1		Monday, 8 December 2025	1	A.	That's correct.
2	(10.	29 am)	2	Q.	And so this is separate to the regime of business grant
3	LAI	DY HALLETT: Good morning, Mr Wright.	3		funding that the Inquiry has heard about; is that right?
4	MR	WRIGHT: Good morning, my Lady. Can I confirm that you	4		That's funds being allocated by local authorities or
5		can both hear and see us in the hearing room?	5		delivered?
6	LA	DY HALLETT: I can, thank you.	6 7	A.	That is correct. So local government finance was
7	MR	WRIGHT: Thank you.			primarily responsible for ensuring the financial
8		Yes, the first witness is Alex Skinner, if he can be	8		sustainability of councils. Business grants was about
9		sworn or take the affirmation. Thank you.	9		supporting the business sector.
10	MR ALEX SKINNER (affirmed)			Q.	Okay, thank you.
11		Questions from RICHARD WRIGHT KC, LEAD COUNSEL TO THE	11		Now, we will look at both of those things during the
12		INQUIRY for MODULE 9	12		course of your evidence, but can we start by looking at
13	MR	WRIGHT: Good morning, Mr Skinner. You were formerly the	13		that funding to local authorities so that they could
14		director of local government funding at the Ministry of	14		provide their services locally.
15		Housing, Communities and Local Government; is that	15		And I'm going to ask, please, if the corporate
16		right?	16		statement of the department could be put up. This is
17	A.	That's right.	17		INQ000652753, and paragraph 48.
18	Q.	And you've provided a witness statement to the Inquiry,	18		And we read there:
19		which is INQ000657743.	19		"The Department did not have a ready-made pandemic
20		Now, in terms of your role as Director of Local	20		plan with relation to local government finance."
21		Government Finance, just, first of all, to understand	21		And I just want to pick up on that. Should we
22		what that really involves, was it a role that arranged	22		therefore understand that there was no pre-existing
23		for funding to be provided to local authorities so that	23		pandemic finance plan?
24		they could continue to function and perform their	24	A.	So I think the best way to describe it was that we had
25		functions of delivering services locally?	25		done a significant amount of preparation for a shock to 2
1		the local government finance system. We had what	1		year. So they are done quarterly and annually.
2		I would describe as the building blocks in place. We	2		I think the difference between what happened prior
3		didn't have a plan in the sense of knowing exactly which	3		to the pandemic and what happened in the pandemic was we
4		of those building blocks we would deploy and at what	4		moved from that statutory quarterly and annual reporting
5		time, and that reflected the uncertainty of the pandemic	5		to voluntary monthly reporting, and exactly what we
6		and the uncertainty of knowing in advance of a pandemic	6		asked for changed. So there were questions that were in
7		exactly which building block it would make sense to	7		the monitoring form that wouldn't have been in the
8		deploy and when.	8		statutory reporting.
9	Q.	I see. And so was there an ad hoc element to how things	9	Q.	I see. We'll come back to monitoring as a subtopic.
10		developed as between the department and local	10		Generally, the evidence that the Inquiry has
11		authorities, within those parameters?	11		received is of the broad view that the department, your
12	A.	So I think there were questions about timing and exactly	12		department, engaged well with local government in terms
13		which levers we would pull. I think we had a very good	13		of funding throughout the pandemic. Do you share that
14		understanding of broadly which levers we would pull and	14		view?
15		how we would support local government, because in	15	A.	Yes, I do share that view.
16		essence you would be looking at income, expenditure,	16	Q.	And why in particular is that your view?
17		financial sustainability and anybody who needed	17	A.	So I think that we had, for a long time, significant
18		exceptional financial support. And you would also need	18		engagement with the sector. So again, all of the
19		to have a method for understanding that, which were the	19		elements which proved to be successful in the pandemic

spoken to government, local government, maybe on
 a monthly or weekly basis. Under the pandemic, we were

25 talking to them on a daily or even multiple times a day.

had been established prior to the pandemic. I think the

engagement. So, prior to the pandemic, we might have

big difference is where -- one, was the intensity of the

20

21

22

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

monitoring forms that we issued.

the pandemic; is that right?

Q. Yes, and we'll come on to look at monitoring, which

You are right. So there is a whole plethora of

I think was something that was well established before

monitoring which goes out to local government every

- And I think the other thing that happened was
  a conscious decision on both sides, I think, to create
  a real spirit of openness and trust which allowed us to
  discuss things with local government which I think we
  hadn't previously been able to do.
- Q. Right. So, two key things: (1), the fact that there
   were these pre-existing relationships that provided the
   channel for communication; followed by (2), stepping up
   that communication and building true trust between the
   two partners --
- 11 A. Yes.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

12 Q. -- as it were, in the delivery of support. Okay.

Can you just explain to us from your perspective and experience why certainty of funding is an important thing for local authorities?

A. So, in normal circumstances, certainly funding is important, because local authorities have a duty to obviously deliver all the services that they do, but also to do it in a way which is -- represents value for money and is efficient and effective. So certainty allows them to plan ahead, for example, to engage and take out contracts with providers and plan ahead. That is important in normal circumstances.

In the pandemic, certainty of funding, I think, was more problematic, because I think there was a tension at

officials reflected that: that we would support local government from a financial perspective through the pandemic.

One of the first things that we did in the pandemic was we provided cash flow support. That meant that no local authority had to worry that they wouldn't have enough money to provide the services. And then if you look at the sequence of support we then put in place, you had tranche 1 funding in March, that was 1.6 billion, and then you had tranche 2 funding in April and the fact that we put the tranche 2 funding in so soon after tranche 1, I think, reflected the fact that what we were trying to do was to say to local government, "You should get on with delivering the services, you shouldn't be worrying about where the money would come from."

And the other part that ministers were very clear about was to say, "We will use the monitoring form so that we can review the state of local government finance, and if there are issues, then we will look at it and review it and consider the case for more funding."

Q. So first of all, guaranteed cash flow. So that
 provides, does it, a safety net, if you like, that every
 local authority knows that the department is going to

1 the heart of the pandemic which was between value for

2 money and the uncertainty about what would happen next

3 in the pandemic. And the tension there was, for

4 example, if we had given local government more

5 certainty, then the risk would have been we would have

6 given them more certainty on the basis of our

understanding at the time we made the funding decisionsthat then turned out not to be true.

9 Q. Right. Can I just ask that we slow down slightly your10 answers.

11 A. I apologise.

16

17

18

19

20

12 Q. Don't worry about that. It's just that the stenographer
 13 needs to be able to keep up, and it's a natural thing to
 14 speak quickly. So just slow it down a little bit, thank
 15 you.

So in general times, normal times, certainty and clarity of funding you think is important, but the nature of the pandemic put stress on your ability to provide that clarity and certainty of funding; is that fair?

A. I think that is fair. I think within the constraints
 that we were operating within, I think we did pretty
 much as much as we could have done to provide local
 government with certainty. So right at the beginning of
 the pandemic I think ministers were very clear and we as

6

stand behind it if they run into cash flow problems. So
they don't need about disruption to services. Is that
the point of guaranteeing cash flow?

4 So the immediate reason for providing the cash flow 5 support was because very early in the pandemic, before 6 the monitoring form, we were getting reports back from 7 local government that they were concerned that the local 8 government funding system, the lending between local 9 authorities, was at risk of shutting down, because local 10 authorities were concerned about ensuring they had 11 sufficient funding so they wouldn't lend to each other.

12 Q. I see.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

A. And over the course of a year local authorities will lend to each other, some will lend in one direction at the beginning of the year, others will lend in the other, and so the purpose of that was to ensure the liquidity of the system. There was enough cash in the system. What we did later was to ensure the sustainability and the solvency of the system which was about did they actually have enough resources longer term to be able to provide the support they need to?

Q. And you were trying to give them numbers early; is thatthe point?

24 A. Correct.

25  $\,$  Q. So that they knew what was coming and they weren't

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

having to delay taking action in terms of delivery of
 service; they knew to a general degree what was coming
 and the volume of funds?

A. Absolutely. And as you got towards the end of the pandemic, if you look at tranche 5, for example, that was first announced as part of the spending review in November and that was funding for the first quarter of the year '21/'22, so that was four months in advance.
So that was, I think, a very sensible thing to do and provided the local government with the certainty they needed.

12 Q. From the tone of your answers, it appears that you thinkthat worked well.

Looking ahead, would you do anything differently in terms of certainty and clarity and providing that certainty? Or do you think you took the measures that you were able to take and they were the sort of measures that should be taken in a future emergency?

18 19 A. So in broad terms. I think they were right. I think 20 what you could do is you could have been -- we could, if 21 you were looking at another pandemic situation, you 22 could provide greater clarity, and I think David 23 Phillips refers to this in his statement, to this idea 24 that you could have a set of principles and an approach 25 that you shared with local government. I think what you

would need to do is you would need to ensure that when you provided that, you also were clear about the fact that the actual response would have to depend on the circumstances of the time, but I think what that would do is it would say to local government: this is how we will think about your funding under a pandemic.

And in essence, I think we did that under the pandemic, the Covid pandemic. I think if you were going forward you could perhaps provide some people with just a little bit more comfort by setting that out as a set of principles.

12 Q. So set out principles that everyone can see, they're
 13 accessible, they know the criteria by which you're
 14 judging the situation, essentially?

15 A. Yes.

Q. All right. So can we come on to monitoring then, and
 monitoring by the department of local authorities. And
 we're talking here, aren't we, about local authorities
 in England?

20 A. That's correct.

Q. And it's important to draw that distinction and I'd like
 to pick up, during these questions, the comparison with
 the position in Scotland. Now, you've told us there was
 regular monitoring, quarterly, I think you said. And
 that changed. The frequency changed, and is it right

1 that also what you're asking for changed?

A. Yes, so there is statutory monitoring which has - pre-existed the pandemic through a variety of forms.
 The monitoring form then was a different document, had
 some overlap with the statutory reporting and then was
 initially monthly and then later, every two months.

Q. Okay. Now, English local authorities, therefore, wereused to an element of monitoring?

9 A. Correct.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14

15

16

17

10 Q. To what extent did this change in frequency and the
 11 change in data you were requesting, in your view, add to
 12 the administrative burden on local authorities at a time
 13 when they were trying to deliver emergency services?

A. So I think we were acutely aware of the impact it would
 have because in essence, every person you had filling
 out a form was not a person, as you said, who would be
 supporting delivery of services to the public.

I think we worked very hard with the Local Government Association and with the sector in the design and refinement of the form, and I think we were also really clear why we thought it was important to have a form. I think local government also understood the value of the form, because of the explicit link that was made between the monitoring form and the funding and the scheme, schemes that were put in place to support local

1 government under the pandemic.

So I think, while local government would have said there was a burden, I think they would have recognised that that was a burden that was worthwhile because of the benefits that the monitoring form provided to MHCLG but also to other government departments.

7 **Q.** So there was an inevitable trade-off in terms of increased administrative burden, but that resulted in you being able to deliver more effective support to the local authorities, so it worked both ways? Is that the point?

12 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

13 Q. And was there any dialogue back and forth between you as
 14 to whether it was too burdensome or did you tend to find
 15 that you worked well in partnership with the Local
 16 Government Association and that, generally speaking,
 17 returns were made in a timely way and provided
 18 information you needed?

A. So I think it's been set out elsewhere that the response rate started at more or less 100%, and then even by the very end we're still over 80%. So I think that reflects the fact that local government understood why we were asking for the information, and they were also prepared to give the information.

I don't -- I can't over-emphasise how important the

12

11

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

monitoring form was, and therefore, if anything, I would
have liked to have seen a larger proportion even through
to the end. But by the time we got to the very last
monitoring forms, the pandemic essentially was winding
down, and therefore I can understand why some local
authorities would have said, "Actually, we've got other
things that we need to prioritise now."

- Q. I mean, providing data for the sake of it would be
   burdensome without advantage, but can you give us an
   example of how -- you say how important it was to have
   this monitoring and how it assisted you in the
   department to assist local authorities in return.
- 13 A. Mm.
- 14 Q. Can you give us a worked example of that, where it made15 a real difference, or --
- 16 A. Well, so, the most obvious one was it allowed to us 17 understand broadly how much local government was 18 spending. So, as a rule of thumb at the beginning of 19 the pandemic, from the monitoring forms that we were 20 getting, we thought that local government was spending 21 broadly in the region of £500 million a month, so that 22 allowed us to think about how much funding we should 23 give, how frequently we should do it, and, therefore, 24 what the combination of those would be. So that would 25 be one example.

13

The other would be, at the very beginning of the pandemic, and you can see this through the development of the way the money was distributed, we had first understood the pandemic as a shock that came through the adult social care system. So the first funding that we gave was primarily aimed towards adult social care.

What we then got back from the monitoring form was actually the pressures on income. And so when you look at the second tranche of money that we gave, that was then much more weighted towards supporting people with a loss of income. And then, as the pandemic developed, we then changed from going through a purely formula-based approach to one where we had a formula-based approach for expenditure and then a claim approach for income, so tax and for sales, fees and charges.

17 LADY HALLETT: Can I interrupt? I'm sorry to interrupt.

18 I think you mentioned how much local government was
19 spending, "in the region of 500 million a month". It
20 was recorded as [draft] "billion". I just wanted to
21 check you said 500 "million" per month?

A. Yes, 500 million. And to be clear, that it was just for
 the un-ringfenced funding that we were providing from
 local government finance. Obviously other departments
 and other actors were providing additional funding.

14

- MR WRIGHT: Yes, thank you, my Lady, I'm sure that will be
   corrected in the stenography. It's "million" not
   "billion".
- 4 A. Yes, it's definitely "million".
- 5 Q. Yes, thank you.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

So there's obviously a balance to be struck, as you've said. Do you think that, by and large, you struck it well with English local authorities, in terms of the level of monitoring that was requiring as against the benefits of that monitoring?

A. Yes, I do. I think if I were looking to the future, one thing that I would consider would be the number of departments, the number of actors who were doing monitoring. So could we, as government, take a more joined-up approach and therefore streamline the amount of monitoring we were doing by asking -- centralising it more, and then just asking one set of questions?

That would obviously be more work -- the single product would be more work for local government, but my aim would be overall that it would reduce the burden on local government overall. And potentially would also then help central government, because you would have one data source telling you what was happening.

Q. One interpretation of the data is that when you looked
 at the funding that was in fact provided to local
 15

authorities in England, there's an argument they wereoverfunded --

3 A. Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. -- during the pandemic, and I just wonder how that sits
 with the proposition that the monitoring was effective
 and assisted you to deliver the support?

A. So I think inevitably the difference between the
 statutory monitoring and the monitoring form was the
 monitoring form was done at pace on unverified data by
 local authority finance directors providing their best
 understanding at the time that it happened.

As you say, it then turned out there was a discrepancy with the formal reporting.

I was never particularly bothered about that because, consistent with the discussion that we had earlier about certainty of funding, actually, providing local government with more funding than it needed at any point in time was not wasted funding, because, in essence, local government funding is something that is repeated every year. So I felt more comfortable, personally, providing more money and recognising that some local authorities were overfunded than the opposite, which would have been they would have been underfunded

And there was a judgement to be made about, if you

use a formula-based approach, inevitably some local authorities will get too much and some local authorities will not get enough. And it's about drawing that balance. And inevitably, if you're trying to avoid one, which is local authorities not having enough, you run the risk of providing some with too many.

And we obviously had the Exceptional Financial Support scheme, which was for local authorities that didn't have enough, but it was -- the design of it was that it was exceptional. It was not to be generalised. And therefore it was important to ensure that there was enough funding in the system.

- 13 Q. And in terms of overfunding, are you making the point
  14 that there would then be another year of funding to
  15 follow, and that overfunding would be, what, recouped or
  16 balanced out over time? Is that the point you're
  17 making?
- A. Absolutely. So if you look at the un-ringfenced reserves that local authorities had, they rose from somewhere in the region of 25, 26 billion up to about 35 billion and have now returned to something like 30 billion. So effectively what happened was, as you say, overfunding went in and then government actually has provided significant funding after the pandemic, but has been able to reflect the funding that was provided

in the pandemic in the decisions that were made subsequently about the levels of funding.

- Q. If you didn't have the level of monitoring you did, how
   would you have picked up on the overfunding that
   subsequently transpired? Would it have been more
   challenging if you'd have had a lighter touch level of
   monitoring and returns?
- **A.** So you would have -- eventually, you would have found the overfunding would have appeared in the official statistics. One, the official statistics are less frequent and secondly, they come with a time lag. So effectively, we would have been blind to the situation until it had come up in the official statistics. And the risk obviously then would have been not that there was overfunding but there was underfunding and then we wouldn't have known and then we would have put delivery by local government at risk.
- **Q.** And we know, in contrast to the position with English local authorities, that in Scotland the Scottish Government did not collect financial monitoring returns, though COSLA did organise some of its own data collections, and the justification was that it was too onerous on local authorities, wanted them out delivering services. You've seen the -- what happened in England and how monitoring went.

But where do you sit in terms of in a future emergency, monitoring is a good thing, or light touch, no monitoring, it can all be done by established relationships?

A. I am very strongly of the view that monitoring was an important part of the response. That isn't to say that the other things that you've described, the informal contact, the feedback from local government potentially in a more qualitative sense was not important, but I think the monitoring forms were absolutely essential.

Q. Okay. And I just want to pick up on that point, the other thing I mentioned, because some of the local government witnesses we heard from in the Inquiry last week were keen to point out that yes, monitoring of quantitative data is important for central government to ensure engagement with local authorities, but that also there needs to be engagement with local authorities in the sense of what is actually happening on the ground. So not just looking at data, but conversation and communication.

And I just wonder, just for you to ponder on that, how would you achieve that in a situation where there are 317 local authorities in England, and it sounds like a great idea, but what's your experience as to how that could actually translate into reality?

A. So I agree with you, having 300-plus local authorities does make it a challenge to connect with each and every one of them. I think it's recorded in the NOA's report on local government finance under the pandemic that the local government finance team, and MHCLG more broadly, had contact with around a third of all local authorities. But the way that we attempted to answer that question is, from a local government finance perspective, is we set up a group who were the presidents of each of the different societies: so London treasurers, metropolitan treasurers, county council treasurers, district council treasurers, that group was a pre-existing group. It had met probably every two or three months prior to the pandemic. And then under the pandemic we met weekly or, on occasions, daily.

That was very important in terms of getting feedback from, if you like, a representative group of the sector. It's also the case that, under the pandemic, we continued the engagement that we had with local government more broadly. So that was less -- if you like, that was less systematic in the sense of we might talk to one local authority one week and another a different week.

So I think we struck the balance of having a dedicated group that we worked with intensively, and

Q.

then a much broader group who we had more occasional contact with but who provided us with feedback.

I think the other thing that's worth saying is that across the directorate, individual members of the directorate would have had connections with local government and we got an enormous amount of feedback during the pandemic about what was working and what wasn't working.

And also, we very actively worked with local government in the design of the schemes that we were implementing. So it wasn't just about feedback after the implementation; it was also about how -- what we were intending to do and how we could make sure that we were designing it in a way that meant it worked for local government.

- Q. So as far as your department's concerned, it seems that from your perspective, you're getting good data through monitoring, you're using pre-existing frameworks that tap into representatives at all different levels of local government, and you're also having ad hoc conversations as well --
- 22 A. Yep.

Q. -- as well as having, within the department, people who
 have a long experience of dealing with local
 authorities; is that right?

for example, led on local government engagement or resilience and emergencies, and then, as you went to the permanent secretary, the director general, there were, for example, the meetings of the regional nine. So

8 I think, as a whole, the department had a very strong
9 engagement with the local government sector under the
10 pandemic. And I think that provided us with an enormous
11 amount of feedback that we found very useful.

Correct, and in addition to the Local Government Finance

Team, who obviously would lead on finance, there were

other -- there were other parts of the department who,

is, if you like, the delay that there sometimes was
between the announcement of funds and the guidance then
being issued that was relevant to how those funds should
be used. Now, I don't want to ask you questions about
topics that aren't within your remit, but we'll pick
this up as a general issue. You're aware, I think, of

Okay. I want to move on to a different topic now which

19 the Covid Additional Relief Fund, CARF?

**A.** Yes.

Q. Is that right? And we understand, and I'm using this as
 an example, it was announced in March of 2021, but the
 guidance became significantly delayed, there were
 various iterations of the guidance, and I think the
 final version was dated December of 2021. So several

months after the funding is or was announced.

I'm not asking you about the specifics of the scheme, but taking that as an example, what sort of challenge would that place on a local authority if they get an announcement but they don't have the guidance at the same time?

A. So I think they were -- I think they've been well illustrated by the evidence that you've had, which is that oftentimes people who were potential recipients would say, "Well, I would really like the money so can you tell me what it is and how I claim it?" And then they would say, "Well, actually, I don't know because I'm waiting for the guidance."

In respect of the CARF, there was actually a very specific explanation. So I think that probably was the most delayed of all of the guidance. And the reason for that was because, at the time of the announcement of the CARF, the government said that the issuing of guidance would be dependent on the successful passage of the Rating and Directors Disqualification Bill, because essentially the CARF overlapped with the material change in circumstances that businesses were making, and so the government said: well, first of all, we need the legislation to prevent the material change of circumstances claims, and then we can issue the CARF.

So in that particular case, there were reasons why it took so long.

But your broader point, which is: were there
negative impacts from a delay between announcement and
guidance? Yes, I think there were impacts.

- Q. Why -- I mean, that's a specific example but in other
   examples there are delays --
- 8 A. Yeah.

9 Q. -- between funds and guidance. Why was that happening?
10 Was that just because of the pace at which things were
11 moving? Was it that there wasn't time to work up the
12 guidance, it was more important to make the
13 guidance and a consumer of the pace at which the pace was presented in the pace was presented.

announcement? Can you just give us your perspective.

A. I mean, if I think about the guidance that we issued, so
primarily that guidance was not binding, so we were
issuing non-ringfenced grants so, effectively, local
government could do what it wanted. So the guidance, if
you like, in those circumstances was not that material.

In the cases where the guidance was essential,
I think there was a tension between making the
announcement and the work that then needed to go in to
work through the details. And some of that, as you say,
was literally about the pace at which the work was
taking place. I think some of it was also about working
practices and who was able to input and work on guidance

- 1 in advance of an announcement and where the announcement
- 2 had to happen first, and then people could really begin
- 3 to get to grips with producing the guidance.
- 4 Q. Can I just unpick that second part of your answer.
- 5 Working practices, do you mean working practices in the
- 6 department or in local authorities? Just expand on what
- you mean, these working practices that caused that sort 7
- 8
- 9 A. So in a number of cases, and I think you've seen this in
- 10 evidence, the responsibility for the design and delivery
- 11 of a grant was split. So in some cases, for example,
- 12 the Treasury had responsibility for the design of the
- 13 policy, BEIS had responsible for the delivery, and MHCLG
- 14 provided expert input. And so in those circumstances,
- 15 then if, for example, the grant was part of a budget
- 16 process, then there may have been issues around who was
- 17 told what and when, because of budget protocols.
- 18 Q. And by budget process (sic) you mean the budget --
- 19 Α.
- 20 Q. -- that the Chancellor of the Exchequer --
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 -- announces on the floor of the House of Commons.
- 23 That budget --
- 24 **A**. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- not local authority budgets.

A. No.

1

2

12

21

22

23

- Q. No, okay. So that's one example.
- 3 So where different departments were involved, one 4 driving policy, one perhaps delivery, one as an expert 5 adviser, that's where you tended to see this separation, 6 perhaps, of announcement from guidance and --
- 7 Α. Yeah.
- 8 Q. -- the scheme actually going on?
- 9 A. So I think there are number of examples that you see in
- 10 the evidence, where issues were first brought to
- 11 departments, the department who would be responsible for
  - the guidance, potentially 24, 48, 72 hours before the
- 13 announcement, and so that limited the department's
- 14 ability to produce the guidance. So there was, for
- 15 example, in the case of the Hardship Fund for Council
- 16 Tax that we were responsible for at the beginning of the
- 17 pandemic, the proposal from the Treasury came on
- 18 10 March to the department, and the announcement was on
- 19 11 March at the budget. That 24 hours made it difficult
- 20 to get guidance out.
  - Now, under those circumstances, we did actually get guidance out within a few days after the budget, but it inevitably took us time to produce the guidance.
- 24 Q. Now, accepting all of the reasons for that,
- 25 confidentiality, speed of response, emerging situation,

- 1 if you were doing all this again, what could you do to 2
  - take some of those rough edges off? I mean, are there
- 3 streams of responsibility that could be looked at, or
- 4 aligning policy with the department that's actually
- 5 implementing? Or what would your suggestions be, having
- 6 been through this?

10

17

18

19

20

25

- 7 A. I mean, it is very hard because, you know, as you were
- 8 living the pandemic, we -- it literally felt that each
- 9 day was bringing new issues, and so people were working
  - at incredible pace. But my reflection would be that
- 11 if -- for example, as we discussed earlier, if there
- 12 were another pandemic and we had done more preparation
- 13 in advance, we would have a greater understanding of
- 14 which levers we would use and what grants we might use,
- 15 and therefore we would be able to do a lot of the work,
- 16 a lot more of the work, further in advance.

I then think there is a question, which I think as government we should always ask ourselves, which is: are we applying the right level of secrecy or confidentiality? Are we being as open as we can be in

- 21 the production of the policy to ensure that we can bring 22 in people who can give us expertise and allow us to, as
- 23 you say, reduce the gap between announcement and
- 24 guidance?

Because if, for example, we had been brought in to

27

- 1 some of those things earlier, that would have allowed us 2 to have reduced the gap between when the announcement 3 was made and when the guidance was finally produced.
- 4 Q. I will come back to this later on in questioning, but 5 just to pick up on some of that now, you talked earlier 6 about you having very good levels of trust and
- 7 openness --
- 8 A. Yeah.
- 9 Q. -- with local authorities as a department, that you had 10 pre-existing and developed even further during the 11 pandemic.

12 So if that was there already with your department, 13 was one of the difficulties really bridging the gap 14 between your department and those other government 15 departments? You had that relationship, but they 16 didn't, with local authorities?

17 A. Yes, so -- yes. So, ultimately, each local government 18 department -- sorry, each government department has 19 responsibility for the policy area that it looks after. 20 MHCLG had expertise in local government. And for the 21 areas that we were responsible for, I think we took

22 exactly the approach that you've just described. 23 We could then support other government departments 24 with the expertise that we had, and with the offer of

the connection to local government. And some

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

departments had that at the beginning of the pandemic, and some took some time to develop it and developed it over the pandemic, but ultimately, that was a decision for them

So, I think, as you've seen in some of the other evidence, for example on business grants, at the beginning there wasn't such a close -- one, there was a tension about how quickly the policy was developed, but, secondly, the connection between the department and local government was not as close, I think, as it was in the case of MHCLG.

- 12 Q. I mean, generally speaking, did you tend to find that 13 local authorities and the people you were dealing with, 14 the representatives, these were reliable people who could be trusted? They understood confidentiality? 15
- 16 A. Absolutely.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 17 Q. Yes. And did you ever find it frustrating that you 18 wanted to bring them closer into the design of policy, 19 but other departments, that perhaps didn't have those 20 sort of relationships or experience, were reluctant to 21 do that?
- 22 A. Yes. But I think I recognise why that was the case: 23 because I think one of the advantages of the way that we 24 had worked prior to the pandemic is we had established 25 those relationships and that level of trust, and so that

naturally flowed into the work under the pandemic. I think if you hadn't have had that, then I think there may have been a natural caution on the part of a government department to say: well, we don't know these actors particularly well, there are risks about being very open, there could be a leak, information could get out that was market sensitive, and so we need to be cautious about what we do.

So I think -- I think part of my answer to your question would be to say there is probably only so much you can do in the heat of the moment of the pandemic. The work should be done prior to the pandemic in terms of establishing the relationship, so they are all there at the point that the pandemic happens.

Q. That neatly brings me on to the next topic, which is essentially looking at emergency final -- financial response frameworks, so how you might plan, now, to put yourself in that better position to have a framework.

The first thing I'd like to ask you is a sort of general question, picking up on the evidence we heard from Dr Leunig, who was an economic adviser at the Treasury, who favoured a sort of -- to an extent, an anti-planning approach. In other words, intellectual agility is what is required, you don't need a lot of plans and framework; you need to react to the situation.

Overarching question, are you on the agility side of the pendulum or are you on the planning side of the pendulum?

A. I mean, I think I would -- I think I would put myself somewhere in the middle.

So I think there is a very sensible amount of planning that you can do in advance. I think you can over-engineer the planning. And you're absolutely right, you need an agility to respond. So at the beginning we talked a little bit about the component parts and the building blocks. If I were responsible now, I would want to understand the building blocks. I would want to understand the levers I was going to deploy. I would want to leave the question of which lever when, by how much, with who, open but, if you like, I would want to minimise the amount of ambiguity and uncertainty going into a pandemic, so that, if you like, I was then just left with the essential decisions which could only be answered in the pandemic.

- 19 20 Q. And in fairness to Dr Leunig, I'm probably overstating 21 his position, because I think he did go on to say and 22 support the idea that you can plan your architecture --
- 23 A. Riaht.
- 24 Q. -- you can plan your relationship frameworks and so on 25 and so forth, but you leave the actual decisions to --

1 reaction to what is happening on the ground. Is that 2 where you sit, really?

3 **A.** Yes. I think the only thing that I would add is I think 4 processing will only ever get you so far. So I would --5 in addition to processes, I would also think about the 6 culture. So what are the ways of working? How do we 7 engage local government? What do we -- what is our 8 approach to openness? As you just described, what is 9 our approach to risk?

So I wouldn't just look at the "what", I would also look at the "how".

12 Q. Right.

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

Now, the Inquiry understands that the department's conducted lessons learned exercises, as you would expect, and I will come on to some of those, but do you, therefore, favour yourself having this emergency framework that can be taken off the shelf in a future emergency?

- 19 A. Yes, I do, but consistent with the point that I just 20 made, I don't think it's just about having a document.
- 21 Q.
- 22 A. It's about how do you make that -- how does that 23 document live? So, for me, I read the -- I wasn't 24 there, but I've read the documents. I think the 25
- question that it leaves for me is: okay, but what's the

32

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	engagement that you're having with local government now
2	in advance of the next pandemic? So that when you do
3	pull that book off the shelf, you not only have the
4	processes, but you also have the people and the
5	relationships in place to make a success of the
6	response.

7 Q. Now, I suspect I know, therefore, the answer to my next 8 question, but I'll ask it anyway.

> How important is it to have the local government association and local authorities involved at this stage, looking ahead at those issues, understanding how things might work, ensuring those relationships are there?

14 A. I mean, I'm sure it's happening, but I would say it was 15 essential. I think that in order to prepare for the 16 next pandemic, you have to have the key actors in the 17

18 Q. Moving on slightly to central government and sort of 19 joined-up working in central government, we've already 20 touched on, in your evidence, these strong relationships 21 you had with local authorities.

> In a sense, your department is the voice of local authorities in central government; do you agree with that?

25 A. I do, yeah.

9

10

11 12

13

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

33

Q. You are the sponsoring department, if you like, who has 1 2 this 317 local authorities. They feed in to you, and 3 then you speak on their behalf to other central 4 government departments.

How did you find there was -- to what extent was there joined-up thinking and working between your department and the other big departments that were players here?

A. Yeah, so the division of responsibility is that MHCLG, as you say, is the sponsor department for local government, but individual departments take responsibilities for specific policy. So I know this has been discussed before but, for example, the Department of Health and Social Care has responsibility for adult social care, the Department for Education has responsible for children's social care, and so on and so

Prior to the pandemic, we had very good relationships with most departments. I would say that the strength of the relationship was proportional to the importance they played in the local government finance system. So, for example, within the Local Government Finance Directorate, we had a team that was specifically responsible for supporting DHSC and DfE on adults' and children's social care, and then we had nominated

34

contacts for other government departments, for, for example, transport, waste, and so on.

So I would say that we had good links in to other government departments and good ways of working prior to the pandemic.

Q. But if you would give yourselves marks out of 10 for how you engaged with local authorities, did you see that other departments were not receptive to engaging with 9 local authorities? Did it vary, department to 10 department, that some viewed them as trusted partners, 11 others didn't? What was your experience?

A. I think it's fair to say that different departments took different views about their level and the nature and engagement that they had with local government into and during the pandemic. My personal view would be to strongly encourage all government departments to develop the strongest relationships possible with local government.

19 Q. Now again, that sounds like a great idea. How does it 20 work in practice? We're talking about central 21 government, big machine. How structurally could you 22 make that work, other than just saying that everybody 23 should talk to local authorities and trust them? What 24 could you actually do? 25

Α. So I don't think I have an exact parallel but where

1 government feels a particular thing is particularly 2 important and worth pursuing, then they can either 3 legislate to make it happen or they can issue guidance 4 to government departments saying that their expectation 5 is that it should happen. So it is not a parallel, but 6 the family test exists, and that was a commitment from 7 a previous government that said the family is really

8 important, and now every government department, when you formulate policy, you need to think about the impact 9 10 that it has on the family.

11 I'm not -- I wouldn't want to stretch that too far. 12 That is non-legislative, it's non-statutory. I think, 13 in essence, it's a "comply or explain" basis, but 14 effectively, what it does is it pushes consideration of 15 the family up the agenda in what can sometimes be a very 16 crowded space.

17 And given your department is effectively the voice of 18 local authorities, I suppose would that give you a bit 19 more heft in terms of delivering their voice to other 20 departments, if you're able to say, "Look, you have 21 a requirement, an obligation to think about local 22 authorities and how" --

23 A. Yes, so there are number of examples, again, they're not 24 parallels, but there are a number of examples where the 25 department has exactly some leverage or some heft with

other government departments. So, for example, the new burdens process, that is a responsibility that sits within MHCLG, and there is a process that needs to be gone through. It is not perfect and it doesn't always deliver the outcomes that you might want, but in broad terms, that means that other government departments need to consider the impact of a change in policy on local government finances and their costs, and then needs them to engage in that process.

So I think what we're talking about here, though, is something broader. Because I think again, coming back to my point, I would be nervous of just saying, "Well, there's a new form that needs to get filled out and therefore that is the answer." Ultimately, I think this comes down to the individuals working in the area understanding the value of engaging with local government as we did in MHCLG, I think, under the pandemic.

I think the process can be helpful, but it needs to be complemented by, if you like, the approach of the individuals concerned.

22 Q. So a lot of this comes down to building relationships, 23 changing attitudes, encouraging inclusivity with local 24 authorities when decisions are being made? 25 Α.

37

- Q. Right. So there's a cultural element, a government 1 2 culture. Right. You're nodding but I think it needs to 3 be a "Yes" or a "No".
- 4 A. Sorry, yes, I agree with you. I think culture is 5 important.
- 6 Q. All right, okay.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Can we move on to playbooks that have been developed, because I think this, again, is something you've touched on and I want to pick up on it with you. There are two playbooks, the MHCLG pandemic playbook, and then the financial shocks playbook.

And I'm just going to ask that the part of the pandemic playbook is put up. So this is INQ000625658, pages 27 to 29, and it's the section entitled "Local Engagement, Local Government (including Mayors)."

There we are. I'm just going to read part of this into the record:

"As demonstrated during Covid-19, local authorities played a critical role in responding to pandemics. Their local leadership, knowledge of communities, and ability to quickly adapt and design services to meet local needs puts them at the forefront of response.

"From public health protection, to economic growth, the expertise of local authorities is vast, meaning they can help tackle the full range of potential impacts on

local people, places and the economy."

Just pausing there, those are all things that your department knows very well already; do you agree?

4 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 5 Q. And in terms of wider government and culture and 6 relationships, I mean, really, that's the message that 7 other departments could do with understanding; is that 8 fair?
- 9 A. I would support that, yeah.
- 10 Q. So that's just not an important lesson learned for your 11 department; it's an important lesson learned for all 12 government departments, how effective a partner local 13 authorities can be?
- 14 A. I would hope that any playbook from any government 15 department which touched on local authorities would have 16 similar text.
- 17 Q. Yes, and then if we can have the next section please:

"For any future emergency response MHCLG will have a key role in emphasising to OGDs [other government departments] the importance of early engagement and co-design with local authorities, particularly where it is possible that local authorities will lead or support delivery."

Just pausing there, again, that sounds great, but I mean, that's saying what you should do. It doesn't

1 actually tell you how you would do it, does it? I mean, 2 isn't it the "how" that's important?

3 A. I agree the "how" is important. I think if I was 4 being -- the other thing that I would pick out is

5 I would -- this is not about the actions that are taken

6 when the pandemic happens. I strongly believe these are

7 actions that need to be taken in advance and

8 considerably in advance, because culture is one of the

9 slowest things to change, ways of working, and so what

10 I would be suggesting -- and I'm sure that it is in 11 government now -- is that I would be taking this and

12 I would be making -- I would be doing this now, so that

13 when we got to the point of the next pandemic, all we 14

were doing is we were just strengthening and building on 15 relationships and ways of working and processes that we

16 already had. We were not creating new ones at the point

17 of the pandemic.

18 Q. So these should not be aspirations for what you do once 19 there is a crisis; this is what you should be getting on 20 with doing now to make sure that when a crisis occurs, 21 everything is in place?

22 A. Absolutely.

23 Right. Can you think of any benefit to not including 24 local authorities in the design of this playbook, and 25 inviting them to contribute to writing a playbook like

this? A. So there may be some sensitivities about some of the information in the playbook but I think I would return to the point that I made earlier, which is: we should really challenge ourselves. Every time we say there's a sensitivity, we should challenge ourselves to understand whether it really is a sensitivity. My personal preference would be to be open. It may be that ultimately local government wouldn't see all parts of every playbook, but I think your general point, which is should local government be involved, and should it understand in broad terms what the playbook is, I think the answer is yes, because I think part of the success would be to have a shared understanding going into a pandemic of what was likely to happen, and I think that's difficult if you don't share the playbook. Q. Yes. I mean, you've stressed earlier in your evidence how important having open and trusting relationships with local authorities are, and one view is that not engaging them in the design of a playbook for future emergencies might tend to undermine a sense of openness and trust. Do you agree with that as a general proposition?

because of particular sensitivities, yes, I agree withthat.

Q. And I don't want to overstate what you are saying, but
 I apprehend you're making the point that you should, as
 the department, challenge yourselves as to: is this
 really sensitive? Is there actually a need to keep this
 private and not involve our partners in local
 authorities? Is that how you would approach decision
 making: self-challenge, can we actually share this?
 A. I mean. I personally find it a good way of working.

A. I mean, I personally find it a good way of working.

I think what it does is, I think sometimes we are overly cautious as a government about what we share with other people because we're nervous about the consequences.

And I'm not saying there are times when it is absolutely right to be cautious and we can't share information, but I would encourage local -- I would encourage sharing information with local government. And I think the other thing, returning to the point that we discussed earlier, is under the pandemic, we demonstrated that we could work with local government in a very open and transparent way, and they respected that confidentiality.

So under the -- in the entirety of the pandemic, we did not have a single occasion in local government finance, where stuff that we had discussed with local

government and asked to be kept confidential did not remain confidential. So I am confident that if you ask local government to respect that confidentiality, they would respect that confidentiality, because ultimately this is to their benefit, and they know that if they don't respect that confidentiality, then one of the consequences will be they will not be involved in activities like this, which are crucial to them.

Yes, subject to my point about there may be very good

reasons on occasions not to share all of the playbook

Q. Thank you.

I'm going to move on from playbooks, only because I want to cover some other ground with you.

12 A. Right.

Α.

13 Q. I think you've made, if I may say so, under that
 14 playbook, the points that I was going to ask you about.
 15 So let's move on a little to business grant schemes.

And I just want to really, through the prism of business grant schemes, pick up this point a little further about engagement, and engagement with departments other than your own.

Now, the Inquiry has heard from the Local Government Association and local authorities a more critical analysis of the way that the Treasury and the department of business engaged with them during the economic response, as compared to your department. And we've picked up this point that you're the voice of local

1 authorities in central government.

Were you doing your part to try to persuade those other departments that they really needed to engage during the emergency, or was it not really working like that because things were happening so quickly there wasn't the time?

A. So, where we knew about something, we would have made
 the point about the value of engage -- well, I think
 there are two things.

One is, under any circumstances, we would have taken the knowledge that we had of local government and represented local government and made the points about, for example, the potential design implications of a particular proposal and whether it would or wouldn't work in local government. So we would have done that under all circumstances.

It's also the case that we would have, as a matter of course, encouraged another government department to engage with local government.

I think you're right, I wasn't personally involved in the business grants in great detail, but having read the evidence, it's clear that there was enormous time pressure and that at some points information was shared with BEIS at a very late stage, which then made them engaging with local government more difficult than it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

23

24

25

1 otherwise would have been. 2 Q. Is there any element here of a sort of pecking order of 3 departments? That the Treasury is an extremely powerful 4 department, and your department, in comparison, wasn't 5 as powerful, and therefore there's a sense in which it 6 has to be subservient to the Treasury? It isn't, sort 7 of, willing to stand up for itself? Is that your 8 experience? 9 A. No, no. I think where we had engagement with the 10 Treasury, and I know that we did, and where we that 11 12

concerns and where we knew about them and shared them with the Treasury -- we would have shared them with the 13 Treasury. There were circumstances where those concerns 14 were acknowledged but were not actioned. But I think 15 they -- I think we were always effective in representing 16 local government under the pandemic. But ultimately, 17 the decision about the design of a scheme or the 18 implementation of a scheme would have sat with the

Q. I just want to pick up a specific example of -- really linked to this point. And I preface this with I don't think you're on this email chain, but I just want to use it to illustrate the point.

Could we have INQ000661243. And this is an extract from an email:

45

"My initial thinking was also that this would be easier if we gave LAs more discretion. But perhaps with some guidelines about what kind of criteria we think they should use.

"Any thoughts about how we could be more specific about what we think LAs might be able to do/achieve? Whether they would welcome the funding, or criticise us for expecting them to do the impossible? Do you know if we did anything like this in the past ..."

And then, underneath, can we see there at 5.12 there's a reply:

"... 'I think it's hard to call how they [local authorities] would react. I think the more cumbersome you make it and the more pressure you put on LAs to do it quickly the harder it will be. New burdens funding might grease the wheels' ..."

If you were being critical of that conversation, you might say: well, rather than wondering how they might react, or wondering how they might find it, just ask

And I just wonder, do you think sometimes that there wasn't an approach whereby this rich resource of experience was tapped into? There was a sort of an assumption, "Well, we'll make the decision and see how it lands", rather than just asking them. Is that

typical or not?

relevant department.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

A. I mean, if I remember rightly -- so, in this specific case, as you say, this was a Treasury policy. So this would have been subject to Treasury protocols and ways of working. This was in the run-up to the budget, so it would have been covered by budget processes. We had a long-established way of working with the Treasury on that, which is effectively we provided them with, if you like, expertise but we did not -- we did that on a closed list. So we were not at liberty to engage local authorities.

I think it's also the case that 7 March 2020 was a Saturday, and this was for the budget on the following Wednesday. But your general point, which is, would injecting -- so I think, remembering the other things on this list, I think what you did see is that officials from MHCLG did share what they thought the impact on local government would have been. So the first role I talked about.

20 Q. Yes.

21 A. The bit that I think you are saying is: well, were local 22 government involved?

I think in this case they were not.

In principle, do I think it is good to involve local government in the development of policy? Yes.

In this specific case, would it have been possible 2 and appropriate?

> Without getting into the details of the particular case, I wouldn't want to say, because, as I say, this was done in the run-up to a budget; I don't know what else was being discussed and therefore how appropriate it would have been.

But I think, looking ahead, if you like, one measure of success would be that we didn't have an email like this in the next pandemic, because we would broadly understand the systems, the levers that we would be using, and therefore we wouldn't need to be having a discussion like this.

14 Q. Putting it bluntly, I might ask you: do you think that 15 sometimes process got in the way of common sense? The 16 need to follow government process and procedure got in 17 the way of the common sense of just picking up the phone 18 and asking the question?

19 A. So I would hesitate to say that. I think what I would 20 say is my principal position is that the involvement of 21 local government is a good thing and will improve the 22 quality of the policies that we are making.

> So, I would -- in principle, I would always say that local government should be involved. Taking that circumstance by circumstance, you need to understand

1 precisely what was happening at the time.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LADY HALLETT: And presumably, Mr Skinner, you would say HMT are likely to say it wasn't just process; there are good reasons why you have a tight circulation list when it comes to a budget and the impact on markets and the like?

A. Yes, that's absolutely true but, for example, prior to the pandemic, the Treasury had been considering reform of the business rate system and they had established a working group in a run-up to a fiscal event which involved certainly representatives of the sector, I can't remember exactly which local authorities or which representatives they were, but they had established that.

So I think my point would be consistent with my point about we should challenge ourselves about exactly when and where we say it cannot be discussed, do we genuinely mean that? And sometimes there is a trade-off to be made. I am confident in them respecting the confidentiality. I think then the question is: well, what is the benefit in involving local government? And I think we're saying they can bring a lot to the table.

23 MR WRIGHT: You mentioned earlier in your evidence a panel 24 that already existed that representatives from different 25 types of local authority.

Yes 1 Α.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2 Q. I mean, accepting you can't speak to 317 local 3 authorities, is there a role for that sort of panel in 4 a much more reactive way? So when a policy is being 5 worked up, that that panel can come in and provide 6 advice and guidance and help you in terms of how it 7 might land? 8

A. So that is exactly what we did, in the directorate that I was responsible for, that's exactly what we did. So the monitoring form, a lot of co-production. As we thought through the tranches and the various sales, fees and charges scheme, the local tax guarantee scheme, the exceptional financial support scheme, that is exactly what we did

I think one of the advantages for us in those circumstances was we were responsible both for the design of the policy and the implementation of the policy, so we brought those two things together. So, if you like, we internalised some of those rough edges that you talked about previously.

21 Q. We've heard in another context that where Treasury and 22 HMRC, for example, were responsible for policy and 23 delivery --

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. -- so they could bring those two things together, things

tended to land much better where policy and design was 2 brought together. So that's what you were trying to do. 3 Where it was in your gift to bring local authorities closely into the delivery of policy, you did. Is that

5 the position?

6 A. Absolutely.

> Q. Right. Can I move on to another topic, which is league tables. Now, this was April 2020. Data being published by central government regarding the proportion of allocated funds that had been paid out to business by local authorities. It became known to some as a league table, if you like.

Mr Jenrick, in his statement, INQ000661484, at paragraph 80, says:

"My view is that a league table was both appropriate and helpful. The grant schemes were of little use if they did not find their way quickly to the business which needed their support. As above, there was a real risk that without support, businesses would simply disappear from local communities ..."

He goes on:

"That would have been disastrous for those directly involved, and if at sufficient scale for LAs as well."

Now it may be that you agree completely with the balance of that paragraph, but do you agree with the

1 first line of it: that league tables were appropriate 2 and helpful in achieving that which followed? Or

3 preventing that which followed?

4 A. So I think that the way the information presented was 5 subject to significant discussion, and a lot of that 6 discussion was to avoid it being seen as a league table. 7 I think -- I understand why the table was produced. In 8 fact, people who worked for me did the calling to find 9 out the answer. I think, as I said in my statement, 10 while the intent is entirely understandable, which is to 11 bring transparency, to understand the performance of 12 different local authorities, the important thing is then 13 to match that with the understanding of why different 14 local authorities were paying out the money at different 15 rates.

> So there were reasons that some local authorities were quicker than others. So first of all, it was based on an estimate, it depended on the proportion of businesses that you had the data for. It depended on the degree of checking that you were doing in advance. So I think my addition to this would be to say: I understand why there was a league table, I understand the benefits it could deliver. I think in having -sorry, not a league table, in having a publication of the information, the important thing is to match that

52

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

- with the data and the explanation of why that is the case, because otherwise I think the risk is that, for each local authority that you correctly identify has or hasn't paid out grants, you have another one for whom there are very good reasons that they perhaps haven't, and that explains why they are taking longer, or vice versa.
- Q. Well, we heard some evidence from Leicestershire council
   about the diverse nature of business in their area, the
   number of businesses --
- 11 A. Yeah.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

25

12 Q. -- and so on and so forth, and that it was never going
 13 to be fair to compare their delivery of support to
 14 a neighbouring council that might have a fraction of the
 15 businesses and a much more straightforward set of
 16 businesses.

So is this the danger of unintended consequences, really: it looks like a league table and people are being rated and criticised for not coming higher up the league, and that's not necessarily going to be fair?

A. Yes, and I think, at the time, local government was trying to do a lot of things and so, was the -- I think the question is: was the benefit of the publication of this information without the explanation which then led

to a criticism of local government, some of it

justified, some of it not, do I think that there were costs to that? Yes. Do I think that could have been helped by taking an approach where more information was provided alongside the numbers, which explained why local authorities were doing it? Yes, I think it would have done.

- Q. I mean, the danger, presumably, is that people who
   really are doing their best in difficult circumstances
   feel demoralised and feel that their efforts are being
   misrepresented by the crude statistic?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Right. Which presumably isn't a very good thing for
   13 this spirit of openness and trust that's so important to
   14 exist between the department and those delivering?
- 15 Yes, but at the same time, I would say that the value of A. 16 this publication is it did allow us to then marry this 17 information together in -- within the department, and 18 then I think that did help us, because we could talk to 19 individual local authorities and understand what the 20 challenges and opportunities were, whether they could 21 get the funding out more quickly. And I think overall, 22 the intent was to get the money out as quickly as 23 possible, and so actually, having that understanding was 24
- 25 **Q.** So the data was helpful, the purpose of gathering the

data was helpful, but there was the unintended consequence of how it was presented, essentially?

## 3 A. (Witness nodded)

- 4 Q. Is that fair?
- A. I think it -- I think what I would say is the -- having
   the information was helpful, making sure that you did
   not just rely on the raw data, but you also understood
   the drivers behind the performance was important to have
   a full understanding of what was going on with
   individual local authorities.
   Q. If you looked ahead to the delivery of grants that the
  - Q. If you looked ahead to the delivery of grants that the Department of Business and Trade was, as it now is, would be responsible for, their forward-looking lessons learned and their playbook acknowledges that local authorities are going to remain a critical delivery partner, and encourages engagement, but again, can you give us any insight as to how, it sounds great, how that should actually happen, in terms of how they should be engaged by other departments?
- engaged by other departments?
  A. I mean, on the specifics of pandemic preparedness,
  I think it would be valuable -- and I know this is
  happening -- to run exercises about a potential pandemic
  that involve local government, to make sure that they
  are involved.

But, as I say, I think processes only get you so 55

far. It's then building the relationships and having
the relationships with local government that means that
you then really understand both the world of local
government, and you appreciate the value that they can
add.

6 **Q.** Right. I'm going to move on now to sort of general
7 lessons learned and reflections for the future in the
8 time we have available, because I want to give you an
9 opportunity to share any particular reflections you
10 have, and best learning, having managed these
11 relationships, been closely involved in them.

But just picking up on that last point, I was going to ask you about the experience we've heard in Wales. Now, obviously there are less local authorities, but we understand that, in Wales, there is something called the Finance Sub Group of the Partnership Council for Wales, which is a regular forum for engagement on finance. It existed before the pandemic, chaired by a minister, nine council leaders sitting on the group, nominated by the Welsh Local Government Association.

And if you look at reported surveys -- I'll just ask if this is put up. INQ000653255, thank you. Paragraph -- there we are.

"Almost three-quarters of English local authorities ... thought that central government engaged

vou so

24 25

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Briault Reporting International - info@briaultreporting.com

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not very or not at all well with local government in the design and implementation of business support schemes. By contrast, 86% of Welsh authorities felt that [the Welsh Government] engaged very or fairly well with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

Now, accepting there's a scale difference here, significant scale difference, in terms of the numbers of local authorities, is there learning that you can draw from that experience, in terms of having a pre-existing engagement group? Or would you say, "Well, we've got a pre-existing engagement group but we're just dealing with a lot more local authorities"? Or how do you bridge that gap?

13 14 A. So I think the Partnership Council and the Finance Sub 15 Group were ministerial, and political, so they were 16 ministers and local politicians. We do -- we do not --17 as far as I'm aware, we do not have or at least we did 18 not have the same statutory equivalent in England. That 19 does not mean that local -- that local government and 20 ministers didn't speak frequently. They did. But 21 I think your scale point is very important, because, in 22 essence, you could fit the whole of Welsh local -- you 23 could have every leader of a Welsh local government --24 you could have a Welsh council in a large table. If you 25 wanted to have the English equivalent, you would need to hire a conference centre. So, by definition, I think that does make it more difficult. And as we've described, at an official level, I think we just had to have engagement with a selection -- or a sample of, rather than the population of.

But this, I'm afraid I don't -- I wouldn't want to speculate on this, because I wasn't involved in business grants, as you know. So I wouldn't want to comment on the details of why these numbers were these numbers.

10 Q. All right, thank you.

> So, Mr Skinner, thank you. Those are the things I wanted to cover. Do you have any additional reflections or learning that you wanted to share with the Inquiry that we haven't -- (overspeaking) --

A. I think we've touched on a lot of it. I think one thing that came up last week was this tension between consultation and speed of delivery, and I wanted to reflect on the fact that it comes back to a point that we have discussed, which is, yes, in a literal sense there is a tension, because if you're having some consultation, then, however long that consultation lasts, means that you can't do it.

But in practice, do I think that meant that consultation was not possible? I do not. I think that the key question was to design the consultation in a way

that was consistent with the timescales that you were working to.

So on a number of occasions I had to have relatively brief conversations with individual representatives of the sector because it was a Sunday morning and the policy that was being discussed needed to be ready for Monday. I think that added value. And I don't -- what that meant is I couldn't have done a full consultation as we would have done in times that were out of the pandemic, but I think it added a lot of value nevertheless.

12 MR WRIGHT: Thank you very much.

> My Lady, those are my questions. I don't think there are any other questions.

LADY HALLETT: There aren't. 15

> Mr Skinner, you talk about consultation adding value, your evidence has added value. Thank you very much indeed. You've been extremely helpful and I'm very grateful to you and of course also to you and your colleagues for what you did during the pandemic. So thank you very much indeed for your help.

22 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

23 LADY HALLETT: I shall take the break now and return at

24 midday.

MR WRIGHT: Thank you, my Lady. 25 59

(11.45 am)

2 (A short break)

3 (12.00 noon)

4 LADY HALLETT: Mr Wright.

MR WRIGHT: My Lady, can I check again that you can see and 5 6 hear us in the hearing room?

7 LADY HALLETT: I can, thank you.

MR WRIGHT: Thank you. Then I call Sir Charles Roxburgh, 8

9 please.

10

SIR CHARLES ROXBURGH (affirmed)

11 Questions from RICHARD WRIGHT KC, LEAD COUNSEL TO THE 12 **INQUIRY for MODULE 9** 

MR WRIGHT: Sir Charles, you are the former Second Permanent 13 14 Secretary to His Majesty's Treasury; is that right?

15 A. Correct.

16 And you've provided a statement to the Inquiry and I'll 17 give the reference, INQ000659746.

18 We understand, Sir Charles, that you joined the 19 Treasury as Director General of Financial Services 20 in 2013, before becoming Second Permanent Secretary in 21 July of 2016, a position you held until June of 2022; is

22 that right?

23 A. Correct.

24 Q. And as Second Permanent Secretary, you were the 25 second-most senior official in the Treasury during the

5

6

7

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- A. That is correct. Q. The First Permanent Secretary at the time was Sir Tom Scholar, but your obligations and duties were to oversee the department alongside Sir Tom Scholar; is that right?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. And you were a member of the Treasury's Executive Management Board, sometimes abbreviated to the EMB, which set the strategic direction of the department; do
- A. Correct.
- Q. You had oversight of various functions within the Treasury in addition to that; is that right?
- A. Yes, I should clarify that my policy responsibilities focused on what are called the economics ministry functions within Treasury. That comprised five directorates, two of which were focused on financial services, and three of which were focused on the enterprise and growth agenda for the Treasury. So that was five of the 15 policy directorates were the ones that I was involved with in terms of policy development
- and advice to ministers.
- 25 And you, in your capacity as Second Permanent

1 Secretary, worked closely with all of the Treasury's 2 director generals; is that fair to say?

- 3 A. In management terms, yes, but not in policy terms.
  - Q. Yes. Management, not policy.

And the Treasury generally, whilst it is a powerful department in government, it's actually a relatively small department; is that right? Quite close knit?

- 8 A. Correct, it's about 1,700 people, and at all times 9 I found it a very collaborative and inclusive group, and 10 we were a very strong and unified senior team throughout 11 all my time there but particularly through this difficult years of the crisis. 12
- 13 Q. Indeed, you set out in your statement how, in your view, 14 officials worked effectively and constructively as 15 a close team?
- 16 A. Absolutely.
- 17 Q. Right.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The first topic I'd like to ask you some questions about, Sir Charles, please, is transparency and engagement. And I preface these questions by accepting, or the questions accept, that there are circumstances in which the Treasury needs to be guarded in terms of information it will share. That's either because of market sensitivity, because there may be a budget or another fiscal event. So there are certain limitations

to the ability of the Treasury to be transparent even if it wanted to be. All right? So I take that as a given.

But notwithstanding that, the Inquiry has received evidence about concerns that the Treasury did not engage as widely as it could have done at times, and sometimes was not particularly open, and the Inquiry's expert, Dr Tetlow, in her report has described the Treasury as often being guarded in the way it shares information and intelligence with other departments and the wider world.

Now, just pausing there, did you accept that description that the Treasury is guarded in that way? And if you do, is that for the reasons that I've prefaced at the start of the questions, or for other

13 14 reasons? A. Well, having spent most of my career outside the 15 16 Treasury and now having left over three years ago, 17 I think I can bring a degree of objectivity and 18 detachment in my perspective on this issue. And I can 19 talk with most conviction and with specific evidence in 20 relation to those areas in which I had direct personal 21 involvement. And based on that evidence, I believe that 22 Treasury worked very well with other departments and we 23 were not guarded and we were not secretive. We actively 24 sought out to work collaboratively with other 25 departments.

We reached out for expert input from across government and outside of government and I'm happy to give you multiple examples of how we worked constructively with other departments, with other arm's-length bodies, and with our delivery partners such as the British Business Bank and the Bank of England. And I think we worked very collaboratively with all those, and I was very pleased to read in their witness statements that my colleagues in those other departments and arm's-length bodies also said that their relationships with the Treasury were generally open and

Now, inevitably, there were some times where in the urgency of a crisis, some information wasn't shared as quickly as some of our colleagues in other departments might have wanted, but this was a crisis where things happened at rapid speed and Chancellor was making decisions very rapidly.

So there were some times when people felt that they weren't fully in the loop, but that was never deliberate, it was never our intention, and my overall conviction is that from my experience, the Treasury worked collaboratively with all our colleagues across government and in arm's-length bodies.

**Q.** So from your perspective, if others have formed the view 25

that the Treasury is guarded in its engagement with
others, that isn't a view that you share; if it's an
impression that's created, it's not one that you meant
to create?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

A. I cannot argue about what other people may have perceived. That is their perceptions. What I would say is that I would not accept a general description of the Treasury as being secretive and guarded because in my direct personal experience that was not how I experienced and not how my colleagues elsewhere in government experienced working with me and my teams on many issues, and many of my other colleagues.

And I think there are multiple examples of really great collaboration across government and with delivery partners.

In fact, Dr Tetlow, in another report she did for the Institute for Government, called out how well the Treasury worked with delivery partners such as HMRC and the British Business Bank.

Q. And indeed, we'll look at some of those relationships,
 and the Inquiry has heard evidence that, in particular,
 in terms of the policy partnership that existed between
 HMRC and the Treasury, there was a very constructive
 working relationship. So please don't proceed on the
 basis that we're not picking up the good examples of

1 close working.

2 A. Could I just mention that the policy partnership is 3 a very specific relationship between HMRC and the 4 Treasury. That is not the only example of where we had really good working relationships with the Bank, with 5 6 the British Business Bank, with UK Export Finance, with 7 UK Government Investments, and with multiple government 8 departments. So I would not accept that you need to 9 have a policy partnership in order to have a good 10 collaborative relationship, because we had many such 11 good, collaborative relationships with multiple 12 departments and multiple arm's-length bodies. 13 Q. When it comes to economic policy, do you accept the 14 proposition that the Treasury, is, in terms of setting 15 economic policy, closed off? 16 A. It is a longstanding principle of the British Government 17 dating from the days of Gladstone, that the Chancellor 18 of the Exchequer is the key cabinet minister with 19 responsibility for economic policy making. Now, on many 20 specific issues around economic policy, which is a very 21 broad topic, advice would go to the Chancellor from 22 Treasury officials having worked very closely with 23 colleagues in other departments. But the ultimate 24 decision maker on the government's overall economic

economic history, is the Chancellor of the Exchequer working closely with the Prime Minister of the day to implement the government's economic policy.

Q. And really, I think you've answered the next question, which is that if there is a sense in which economic policy is closed off, that it sits with the Chancellor, that's because that's where it sits as a matter of fact? The Chancellor is responsible for setting economic policy, ultimately; is that right?

10 A. That is how our British system of government works, yes.

11 Q. Exactly. So if that's the perception, it's correct --

A. But I wouldn't want you to think that we developed policy in isolation of other departments. There were many major policy issues that I worked on where the advice went to the Chancellor and was included in, for instance, a budget statement, where we had developed that advice working collaboratively with the Bank of

England, with the British Business Bank, or with the
 Department of Business or Department of Transport,
 depending on what the policy was. We worked very

21 closely with other colleagues to develop the policies,

22 although the decision maker on those economic policies

23 was the Chancellor of the Exchequer.

Q. Now, Sir Charles, we know that during the pandemic, and
 for many years, you kept a personal diary, intended to

be entirely private, of course, but you have properly
 shared it and extracts with the Inquiry. It is a typed
 document. But I just want to put up one of those
 extracts which goes to this point because I think it
 makes probably the point you've just made.

So this is INQ000549339, page 97.

And we see there, in that paragraph -- this is from 4 June 2020:

policy, and this has been true throughout modern British

9 "Everyone wants to contribute to economic policy
10 making but the reality is that [the Chancellor of the
11 Exchequer] controls it -- that's why it's fun to be in
12 the Treasury and if you want to do economic policy
13 making, that's the only place to be."

A. Well, I'm happy to answer your question on this, but if
 I could just maybe go back to your opening statement
 about my private diary and put that in context?

17 Q. Of course.

25

6

7

8

A. I did keep a personal diary during this period. It was intended to be an entirely private document. I had not shared it with anyone until I started preparing my witness statement for this appearance. I had no intention of publishing my diary. It was, I had hoped, an entirely confidential document written by myself and no one else.

As I wrote in my witness statement, these entries

68

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

were written late at night, often after long and stressful days. They lack perspective, distance, and balance and have never been checked or verified. They reflect my views in real time. Many of those judgements now look mistaken or poorly informed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And when we return to future extracts from my diary, I may well return to that statement because, as I say, it's a private document and I put in my witness statement I do not consider it a reliable source of evidence for this, but that is for the Inquiry to decide.

Now, going to this specific question, yes, this comment here reflects, I think, two things: one, it was an enormous privilege to work at the Treasury throughout my whole time there. It was incredible to be able to work with the Chancellor of the day in providing advice to shape British economic policy. It was an extraordinary privilege. And yes, if you want to do that, you should be in the Treasury. Just as if you want to advise on foreign policy, you should be in the Foreign Office. Or if you want to advise on transport policy, you should be in the Department for Transport.

So, at one level, this is a statement of the obvious: that the Chancellor of the Exchequer is the ultimate decision maker on economic policy, and if you

want to advise the Chancellor, you should be in the 1 2 Treasury.

- Q. Well, the reason, Sir Charles, I'm drawing attention to this entry and have asked you questions about the economic policy and the Chancellor's function, is really to allow you to make the point that you just have: that the Chancellor is, as a matter of our constitutional settlement, responsible for setting economic policy, and therefore, as you have made clear, if there is a sense in which it's closed off and the Treasury makes policy, that's because that's what it's there to do, that's what the Chancellor's responsibility is. Is that the position?
- 14 A. I wouldn't accept your "closed off" point, but I would accept the point that the Chancellor is the ultimate decision maker on economic policy.
- 17 Q. And as I've said, there may be very good reasons why, 18 from time to time, the Treasury can't share information, 19 examples might be risks of market abuse, risks of leaks. 20 other reasons of constitutional significance.

But do you agree that there were times when there was area for improvement in the sense that the Treasury colleagues could have communicated better the direction of travel and what the Treasury was doing, and, second part, explain from time to time why you couldn't share

information for those sorts of reasons?

A. Well, if I could pick up one of your points about whether having market-sensitive information meant that we couldn't share it with other departments, in fact that is not the case. I dealt with some extremely market-sensitive information concerning specific companies that were facing financial distress, and we worked on those very confidential cases, collaboratively with the relevant department, the Department for Transport, Department for Business, or the Ministry of Defence, and we had insider lists covering those departments with people who could have access to the information. And in those cases, most of my colleagues on EMB did not have access to that highly confidential information.

So that is an example of where we absolutely did share confidential information with colleagues across government, because they needed to know. I did not share it with colleagues in Treasury who did not need to know. So I think that illustrates that we really did work across governmental boundaries in departments when we needed to.

To your point as to are there specific areas where we could have communicated better, it is always an easy conclusion to say we could have communicated better.

When I think of the loan programmes that we worked on very closely with the Department for Business and the British Business Bank, I think we communicated very well. In the early days, things were moving incredibly fast. To illustrate that, the Chancellor made the decision to launch the first loan product. He made that decision on the evening of Sunday, March 8, and it was announced in the budget of Wednesday, March 11.

I immediately confirmed to my colleagues in the British Business Bank and BEIS that the Chancellor wanted to make this announcement. So it was a very tight timetable. And maybe some people in those departments felt that we could have told them sooner, but we didn't have a decision until Sunday evening before the budget.

So could we have done better? Possibly. I cannot think of specific instances where I felt we really dropped the ball on these programmes that I was working

Q. The reason I ask the question, Sir Charles, is because you've dealt with it in your statement at paragraph 11.5, when you identified yourself areas for improvement, and said that senior colleagues in the Treasury could have done a better job of communicating with senior colleagues in other departments.

72

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

And also you said -- and explained why there were limits in your ability to share information more widely, and you gave the example of the risk of market abuse --

4 A. Yes.

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

5 Q. -- from sharing material price-sensitive information, or 6 breaking confidences ahead of a major announcement.

> Do you stand by that part of your statement and accept that, for what are good reasons, you could not always share information, and do you stand by that part of your statement --

- A. Yes, and in fact when we --11
- Q. Can I just finish the question? 12
- 13 A. Sorry.
- Q. Do you stand by that part of your statement that also 14 15 says you could have done better at explaining to other 16 departments why there might be reasons not for sharing 17 information in those sorts of circumstances?
- 18 A. Yes, I said I can -- it's always possible to communicate 19 better. And when we talk later about the working group, 20 the Economic and Business Strategy Review Group for 21 permanent secretaries, that group we should not share 22 information with, because it was not on a need-to-know 23 basis, it was not relevant to them.

So, yes, I stand by that, and I'm sure we could always communicate better. What I found, though, with 73

the departments that we worked really closely with: Department for Business, Department for Transport, in particular in this crisis, they fully understood those constraints, because they were working with us on a number of areas. But I think more broadly, for colleagues who weren't working with us on these issues,

that's probably where we could have done better.

So there might be a department that had a particular 9 interest in a particular company, to take an example. 10 It would know about this sensitive information, you 11 would work closely together, information would be 12 shared. But there might be other departments that 13 wouldn't have that sort of close relationship and you 14 might have, in those sort of circumstances, been able to 15 explain better why there was sensitivity; is that fair? A. Yes. And if someone had asked me, I would have

16 17 explained.

18 Q. Yes.

19 Now, can we pick up, then, the Business Economic 20 Response Strategy Group, which you did establish; is 21 that right? And it consisted of permanent secretaries 22 from other departments. Could you just explain why it 23 was established, what its purpose was?

24 A. I did not establish it. It was established by the 25 Cabinet Office and it was established at the beginning 74

of April. At that stage -- and this was about -- a few weeks into the crisis -- the Cabinet Office set up three groups. One was a ministerial decision-making group, the Economic Business Response Implementation Group, EBRIG, and that group was supported by a group of officials who prepared the papers for that group. And separately, they created a permanent secretaries forum, which was called the Economic and Business Response Strategy Group (Permanent Secretaries), and that was set up by the Cabinet Office and they asked me to chair it.

It was intended to be a forum for permanent secretaries of -- with responsibilities for different sectors of the economy, and it was the idea to meet in an informal setting to share information and intelligence about what they were seeing in the economy.

It was not a policy formulation group; it had no defined outputs; it did not make any recommendations; it did not link into the ministerial decision-making group, EBRIG as it was called; it did not review and approve advice to ministers. It was simply an opportunity for senior colleagues to share views as to what they were seeing in the economy.

23 Q. And I think you say in your statement that you found it 24 was not a particularly effective forum, and attendance 25 petered off, really, over the summer of 2020, and the

1 group was stood down; is that right?

2 A. Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And I am just keen to explore why it wasn't a particularly effective forum. Is your view really that having meetings where you simply share information, just leads to meetings for the sake of meetings rather than decisions actually being made and things being progressed?

A. Well, early on I think it was helpful, and I was keen to make it a success, and to make good use of my senior colleagues' time, and I think some of the early discussions were helpful. But over time, the usefulness did decline, and I think that was because just information sharing is quite an expensive way to spend time, and there are other fora for information sharing, Wednesday Morning Colleagues, and the cabinet secretary meetings as well.

So the lessons that I drew out from that, similar to yours, were that meetings to share information have a limited usefulness and should not be duplicated, and we had multiple meetings to share information by this

And secondly, if you want to get senior people together, they need to have an output: something they need to work on together and have a deliverable. This

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

meeting did not have that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And third, in a crisis, it's good to set up new structures but it's also good to shut them down when they finish their purpose. And so I do not see it as a problem that this meeting finished its usefulness and we didn't restart it. That's just the way things work in a crisis.

- 8 Q. So it's good to test whether a new structure can add 9 value, new fora can add value, but if it doesn't, it's 10 right to shut it down because it's a drain on your time and resource, really? 11
- 12 Yes, and my senior colleagues had made that decision Α. 13 themselves because they stopped attending in person and 14 started to send delegates.
- **Q.** Yes, because they're picking up the information that was 15 16 being shared through other fora that they're attending 17 or have delegates attending in any event. Was that what 18 you were finding?
- 19 A. Yes, permanent secretaries are extraordinarily busy 20 people at any time, particularly this time, and 21 appropriately were prioritising how they spend their 22
- 23 Q. Now, you said earlier that obviously you can't speak for 24 others, and their perception of how the Treasury 25 operates. We've heard evidence that the perception of

some others is it wasn't particularly open and collaborative; you disagree with that strongly. There we are.

But let's look at this the other way: it's very easy for people to say you need to be more open and collaborative, sounds like a great idea. I'm more interested in whether you have any reflections about going forwards, whether there were other forums that could have been established, other means of communication that weren't tried and tested, or do you think you went through different iterations of groups and setting up foras and then closing them down again,

12 13 and -- where do you sit with that? 14 Well, I, in a long career in the public and the private 15 sector, I have learned that what's really effective in 16 organisations is not the formal structures, the formal 17 mandates to force cooperation, the documents to say 18 department X should cooperate with department B, what 19 really matters is personal relationships. And 20 therefore, what I tried to do with my teamwork teams at 21 the Treasury, and they were really good at this, was to 22 encourage them to build broad external networks outside 23 of the Treasury, across Whitehall, or in industry, 24 depending on what the focus of their policy area was, 25 and to build those personal networks of relationships

which are valuable in good times, but in a crisis, are absolutely invaluable.

And so to give you an example of where I think I could have done better at building those networks was with the devolved administrations, which I know is an area that you are interested in. And that although we had some formal meetings with officials from the devolved administrations, and in fact together with the permanent secretary at BEIS, we held two briefing sessions for senior officials from the devolved administrations, and in early '21, I held a round table for Scottish CEOs. What I didn't have in either the Scottish or the Welsh civil services were any senior colleagues that I knew personally that I could pick up the phone to.

I did, in Northern Ireland with Sue Gray, who was the permanent secretary of the economics ministry there. And so when Sue faced a problem, she could pick up the phone and I could link her to the right team in the Treasury to get it fixed. I never had a call like that from Scottish civil servants or from Welsh civil service. So is the answer to that more formal structures, more coordinating committees? I think not. In retrospect, I should have spent more time in building relationships with senior civil servants in Scotland and

79

1 in Wales.

2

Q. We heard this morning some evidence from Alex Skinner, 3 who was at the Ministry of Housing, Communities and 4 Local Government, about, in his view yes, it was 5 important to have, if you like, off-the-shelf schemes 6 and systems for a future emergency, but he also stressed 7 that relationships are key, and that having 8 relationships, good relationships in place are important. And that seems to be what you found; where 9 10 you had a pre-existing relationship, that enabled 11 communication. You gave the example of Sue Gray, is 12

- A. Correct. In Northern Ireland. 13
- 14 **Q.** And I think you give that example in your statement: 15 there was a particular issue that arose, Sue Gray was 16 able to contact you directly, because you had that 17 pre-existing working relationship, but it was the 18 working relationship that had pre-existed that enabled that sort of interface between you; is that fair? 19
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. Just looking at that critically, it might be said that 22 the danger of that is it's so personality dependent, you 23 know, if you've got somebody who's been in a job for a 24 long time, worked in the public and private sector as 25 you have, has made these connections, then you're in

2

3

4

1 a good place to have those sort of relationships, but 2 what if you don't?

3 A. Well, there's a certain level of serendipity about it 4 sometimes, yes, but you can work on it. And I can give 5 you two examples of how we worked on it. With the Bank 6 of England -- I put this in my statement -- when I 7 joined in 2013, relationships between officials at the 8 Bank and at the Treasury were not particularly good. 9 The relationship between the governor and the then 10 Chancellor were very good, but the officials had 11 a slightly more difficult relationship. But the two 12 senior teams, from 2013 onwards, made an explicit effort 13 to build and strengthen those personal relationships 14 when Governor Carney was the governor, and then later, 15 when Andrew Bailey became the governor, Andrew Bailey --16 and this was during the Covid crisis -- had a taskforce 17 of Treasury officials and bank officials work on how we 18 could strengthen it still further.

> So although it did depend on the personal relationships we had at multiple levels between the Treasury and the Bank, you can work on those relationships and you can invest in them. And if they are not good, it's the responsibility of senior management, as the Treasury leadership and the Bank leadership decided to do, to strengthen them.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

So it's not pure happenstance, you can work on it but you work on it in ways that allow people to form the personal relationships rather than just leaving them to happen to chance.

5 **Q.** And you appear to be envisaging there relationships not 6 just at permanent secretary, second permanent secretary 7 level, but throughout the organisation, so that people 8 have relationships with their relevant counterparts at 9 whatever level they find themselves in the organisation; 10 is that how you envisage it?

11 A. Yes, and with our major partners, whether it's the Bank 12 of England or a big department like BEIS, and it be true 13 for other colleagues who had the relationships at HMRC 14 or with DWP. We would think about all of the relevant 15 officials, who was their point person? Who was their 16 opposite number in the bank or in the Department for 17 Business? And it was part of their job to get to know 18 them, build relationships with them, both on policy 19 content but also to build some personal rapport with 20 them. And that was very important.

21 Q. But the direction of travel, in terms of the importance 22 of building those relationships, has got to come from 23 the top of the organisation, hasn't it? It's got to be 24 trickled down --

25 A. Yes.

82

Q. -- from the top that this is what you need to be doing?

A. Yes, and you do that -- the tone at the top is vitally important both ways. And when Alex Chisholm became Permanent Secretary at BEIS, which was almost at exactly the same time as I became Second Permanent Secretary, I remember going over to his office and we sat down and we said: look, these two departments have not had a great relationship under the coalition government. We need to set a new tone.

And we both agreed that we would, from the top, set a new tone, that we would not speak disparagingly of the other department, we would not, you know, deliberately say anything that was sleightful of them, but instead would try to really emphasise to our respective teams the importance of working together.

And Alex and I did that in 2016, and that stood us in good stead, because, by 2020, I think the relationship between BEIS and Treasury at multiple levels was very strong.

20 Q. Looking ahead, it might sound like a recommendation that 21 everyone should just be nice to each other and get along 22 very well, which obviously wouldn't actually land or be 23 possible to enact, but is it about also seeing, when you 24 build those relationships, the positives that can be 25 drawn from them, and remembering that, in terms of

83

1 corporate memory, that where you build these 2 relationships, this is how it actually improves 3 delivery --

4 A. Yes.

5

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Briault Reporting International - info@briaultreporting.com

Q. -- therefore that's why they need to be maintained?

6 A. It's not about being just polite and nice to each other. 7 I had some very robust policy debates with colleagues at 8 the Bank of England. Very robust. Because we were 9 different organisations with different objectives. But 10 they were always respectful, always fact based, and 11 always driven by our desire to get the right outcome 12 against our objectives and ultimately the right outcome 13 for the country.

> And it's actually often through those difficult discussions, where you are approaching a common problem with a different perspective, that you form a really deep trust-based relationship. So it's not just about being nice; it's about working constructively and positively, solving problems together.

Now, in terms of what's the recommendation, as I say, I instinctively do not like lots of structural recommendations with committees and mandates, because that isn't how you drive organisational change. What I tried to do in my time as a senior civil servant, and I saw other people doing this too, is it's about

coaching and encouraging the next generation of civil servants to develop that external orientation: the ability to get out of their office and go and build relationships across Whitehall, in industry, with arm's-length bodies.

And that requires coaching, it requires you giving them feedback when they're doing it well or not, and it becomes part of a senior civil servant's job to be externally focused and to build these external relationships.

- 11 Q. To what extent, in your experience, was the tone set not
  12 from the Civil Service, but from the politicians that
  13 sat above that? I don't want to get into political
  14 issues, but if there is a difficult political
  15 relationship, did, in your experience, that put strain
  16 on the ability of officials to communicate?
- 17 A. It did in respect of the Scottish Government, to be
  18 candid. And it was a difficult time, in the political
  19 history of this nation in the years following the
  20 Scottish referendum, the Scottish Government was still
  21 committed to independence, the civil servants working
  22 for the government were duty bound to serve their
  23 government, and so that did put a strain on it.

In more normal times, in Whitehall, there might well be difficult relations between two secretaries of state

or between the Chancellor and another secretary of state. That happens in almost every government, to my experience. And the job of the Civil Service is to work through those difficulties, and not to let any political disagreements get in the way of effective cooperation.

- Q. You discussed sharing information with other
   departments, including sensitive information, and you
   spoke of information not being leaked. But it's also
   right, isn't it, that sometimes information was leaked,
   and you were concerned about that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. What effect did that have on the willingness of yourself13 and colleagues to share information going forwards?
- A. Well, leaks -- as I put in my statement, leaks are an endemic problem in government, and it's been true in all the governments that I've served in my nine and a half years at the Treasury, and I'm sure it's still true today. And it does cause problems. Leaks undermine trust. And -- but they are part of life in government, so there's no point wishing them away.

The practical consequence of it was that we became extremely careful about how we shared information and how we managed the information protocols around it. So in my statement I talk about a process that we called Project Birch, or Special Situations, which was handling

the really company-specific information. And we could not share that widely but we had to keep the Prime Minister informed of it.

So what we agreed with Number 10 is that there would be one person in Number 10, Eddie, now Lord Lister, and one official, who were in the loop, and they would brief the Prime Minister, and no one else in Number 10 could have access to that information. And like that, that meant that we really limited -- equally we had exactly the same type -- it wasn't a comment on Number 10; we had exactly the same restrictions on who could know that in Treasury.

- 13 Q. The Treasury side?
- A. And so the Chancellor knew, but other ministers did not
   know. Just because this was such sensitive information,
   we had to keep it on a very tight restriction.

So that's quite easy to manage because you can, with that market sense of information, wrap it in very tight secrecy, necessarily.

The more difficult leaks are the ones where people leak information that just undermines trust. And some of those leaks happened, they were deeply regrettable, but that's a fact of life in government and I wish they hadn't happened.

25 Q. And again, this may be one of those areas where it is

easy to identify a problem, but totally impractical to come up with a solution for how you stop leaking or briefing in government, I would imagine, Sir Charles?

A. I have no idea how you would solve that problem.

4 A. I have no idea how5 Q. All right.

Can I move on to the topic of experts. And I don't mean experts in the Inquiry, but I mean engagement with experts by the Treasury.

Now, one suggestion that Dr Tetlow has made is that the Treasury could explore more formal or establishing more formal expert networks, so that the Treasury has access to a panel of experts. But would you say that those already exist or existed, or do you accept that that could be developed?

A. Well, why don't I tell you what we did, and then I'll
 tell you why I don't think Dr Tetlow's recommendation
 adds a lot of value to what we were doing.

So the teams that I were working with reached out extensively to experts elsewhere in government and outside of government. And to give you specific examples, we reached out to experts in small business lending, in the British Business Bank, in UK Finance, in the lending institutions, in the Bank of England, a whole range of external experts on small business lending.

q

In credit risk management, we reached out to external firms like McKinsey, who provided expert analysis on credit risk, but we also created a whole new panel of experts on credit risk called the Advisory Credit Committee, where we found the people in government who had deep expertise on credit, having served in investment and commercial banks, and formed a credit committee to advise the Chancellor on some very complex, difficult issues.

So we created that for this, we formed it in a couple of weeks, and it was extremely powerful. And then we stood it down when its time was finished.

And we reached out to other experts in other very specialised forms of finance, preferred equity, collateralised loan obligations, or speciality lines insurance for the event scheme and TV and movie production restart.

And so what we found was that we could get access to the experts we needed, when we needed them, with couple of phone calls. And if we needed them for a one-off bit of expertise, that was fine. And if we needed to create a standing committee, as we did for the Advisory Credit Committee, we could do that.

And I've done that throughout my career at the Treasury: I created similar panels of experts for

reviews that I led on financial regulation in fixed income, on patient capital, on infrastructure finance. We created expert panels to review on those specific policy people.

So I actually don't accept this view that the Treasury doesn't reach out to experts, because I spent my nine and a half years doing exactly that, as did many of my colleagues.

And the reason that I don't support Dr Tetlow's recommendation is first, I think it's unnecessary, because we were doing it, and did it repeatedly throughout my time at the Treasury and in this crisis, and I think it's unhelpful. First of all, you never know the precise expertise you are going to need. I had no idea that we would need an Advisory Credit Committee, because we were taking on £100 billion of single-named credit risk. I had no idea we would ever need that.

Q. Just pausing there, I mean, you may be underlining why you go to experts, which is there's a particular area that is outside your everyday experience and so you bring in that expertise.

And are you saying, Sir Charles, really, that you needed to be flexible about this and to have an *ad hoc* approach. If a particularly complicated issue arose that needed that external expertise, you would bring it

in, rather than having a sort of standing committee --

2 A. Correct.

- 3 Q. -- that may not actually possess the skills that you needed?
- A. Correct, because sometimes, if you've got a specific
   policy issue, as I explained on those policy reviews, or
   this credit risk issue, you create what you need with
   the expertise you need for that issue.

What I struggle with is how we could define even one expert panel for each policy directorate. There are 15 policy directorates. You can't define one panel for each of them, because take financial services, you'd need a different panel for banking. And within that, you'd need a different panel for retail banking versus investment banking, a different panel for wholesale insurance versus retail insurance versus life insurance. You'd end up with a plethora of panels, and each one takes work. You have to recruit people, you have to screen them, you have to clear their conflicts. And then if they don't get used very much, they get frustrated.

So I think this is a lot of work which would have added no value in this crisis and you can solve it by identifying where you need the expertise and for how long, and creating the structures that you need for that 1 policy problem.

Q. And is there any need for those structures to be in any
sense pre-existing? Is there any merit in having at
least a pool of people identified in advance, or is it
very much: see what the problem is, and go to the people
who are current in the expertise at the time you
need it?

A. I would leave that to the policy leads for the particular area. So if one policy lead said: "Look, we have repeated need for expertise in this defined area of economics", which is a highly variegated expertise, so there are lots of different sorts of economics, "but we need expertise on this particular bit of economics on a regular basis", I'd have no problem with having a permanent committee of that type of expert if the policy lead said: "We need that permanently."

In the areas for which I was responsible for, we needed a lot of external expertise, but the need changed, and we didn't have the need for a standing committee of experts and couldn't actually have maintained it.

Q. No. And was there a risk, in your view, that a standing committee could become stagnant, effectively, not actually providing you with the particular advice you needed, there'd be a danger you'd go to your standing

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 committee, and it may not actually have all of the 2 strands of expertise that were required?
- 3 A. One of the things about expertise these days is how 4 incredibly narrow it is.
- 5 Q. Yeah.

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. So if you had -- for instance, when we worked with The Department for Culture, Media and Sport on restarting the events business in the UK through an insurance scheme, you're not looking for an insurance expert, 10 you're not looking for a specialty lines expert; you're 11 looking for the one or two people in the market who 12 underwrite events insurance. There's no way you're 13 going to have that expertise on a standing panel.

> So I completely agree that the Treasury needs to be outward looking, it needs to build -- senior officials need to build their networks so they can get to the experts in one or two phone calls. And there may be some areas of expertise where it's useful to have more people involved for longer periods, but I think a very rigid structure of panels and a roster of approved experts would have been highly constraining in this crisis, and we'd have ignored it. We'd have gone round it to the people we needed to talk to.

24 Q. So you accept, I think, that you need to do some 25 thinking about this in advance, because, as you say, you 1 don't want to be, modern day, getting out the Yellow

2 Pages and looking through to see who you could call, but

3 you don't want to have pre-existing panels, rosters,

4 people pre-approved? It's a balance of flexibility

5 depending on the --

6 **A.** Well, I'm not at the Treasury now so that would be 7 a decision for the current leadership of the Treasury. 8 All I can do is comment on my experience on when I was 9 in the leadership of the Treasury, and it would not have 10 been helpful to me then.

11 Q. Can I move on to a new topic, which is the initial 12 response to the pandemic, so this very early response. 13 And I'm going to start questioning where Module 1 of the 14 Inquiry left, with this conclusion in the Treasury's 15 corporate statement that:

> "... [the] Treasury accepts the Inquiry's recommendation in Module 1 around the government needing to assess a wider range of scenarios around national risks and the need to consider the economic impacts of emergencies and responses on the population.

It goes on:

"Had we prepared for a wider range of scenarios during the period 2017-2019, then we might have had a more well developed set of responses 'on the shelf'. I hope that in a future crisis, future HMT officials

94

will be able to draw on the full suite of interventions that now exist and which need to be kept up to date and fit for purpose."

And sets that out as background, and it's important, Sir Charles, to acknowledge that you and your colleagues were faced with an unprecedented situation, there were no off-the-shelf schemes of the sort talked about there, that there was no playbook, for example, of what a job retention scheme might look like, how it might be delivered, and this was an economic crisis unlike any that had been experienced before.

So we take all of that as a given in these questions.

We also, I suppose, add into that that Mr Sunak had become Chancellor of the Exchequer only on 13 February 2020, so was newly in that post, and asked for advice at that stage as to the likely or anticipated impact of the pandemic that I think was given on 26 February. And at that stage, the advice was focusing on two competing scenarios that were being postulated as potential scenarios. One was that this outbreak of Covid-19 would be largely contained in China, and so it wouldn't really be a problem that reached the United Kingdom, or, at that stage, that we were looking at potentially a four-month long pandemic, affecting

25-50% of the workforce, in which it could be predicted that there'd be two weeks off work per worker. And that was all based on the reasonable worst-case scenario that SAGE was advocating at the time.

And therefore, at that stage, based on that advice -- in fact we'll have this put up on the screen, I think.

It's INQ000625746. I'd like, please, paragraph 23, which is on page 5.

Yes, there we are. The sentence in bold, but after that:

"For Budget, we have resisted creating a fund for Covid-19 related activities as this sets a bad precedent, and while departments are raising risks to their spending teams, but have so far not put a figure on pressures, and, in addition, while the scenarios and any response are still very uncertain, we expect -subject to scale -- situations like these would be addressed from the 2020-21 Reserve in the first instance."

And so that was the initial position in February, and policy options were discussed in this paper, including, really, pre-existing routes of support at that time were being envisaged. So HMRC's Time to Pay arrangements, and arrangements like that, that already

1 existed.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

These were, would you agree, based on the SAGE estimates at the time, and the predicted worst-case scenario, that these were policy options that were never going to stand up to what in fact emerged in the pandemic?

A. Well, yes, that is a statement, looking back, with what I think you called in your opening statement "artificial perfect hindsight".

I think the test is what could people be expected to be thinking at the end of February, based on the best advice we were getting from SAGE? And based on that advice, and that realistic worst-case scenario at the end of February, where there were still only 19 cases in the United Kingdom, and we were being advised by the health experts that containment would work and would be the strategy to pursue, based on that, the economic analysis was suggesting a relatively modest economic shock, where GDP would fall between 0.6 and 1.3% of GDP.

That type of economic downturn is well within the Treasury's normal range of ability to respond to.

Now, what we did not know was that from the end of February we were about to enter the most sudden and dramatic health crisis and economic crisis which unfolded over two to three weeks, when we went into

1 lockdown on March 23rd. That was an extraordinary

2 period where we received, every day, more and more

3 negative surprises on the health side and on the

4 economic side, and yes, policy responses developed in

5 one week were overtaken by events within days, which was

6 why we had to respond so fast, and constantly raise our

7 standards set by the Chancellor for ever larger

8 interventions to respond.

Q. And your interventions were increasing incrementally as
 the health conditions worsened, and as worst-case

11 scenario got worse. So there was a constant need to

reconsider and react to events that were going on around

the world; is that the position?

14 A. Yes, well, the first announcement was in the budget of
 15 March 11, which at the time seemed quite a comprehensive response, but within days was overtaken by events.

17 **Q.** Can we just go back a little before that. You mention this in your statement, that -- you put it as the

Treasury "moved decisively into action" on 28 February.

20 A. Yes.

25

12

21 **Q.** And I'm just going to ask that -- this is an entry from

your diary of that date, which I think refers to

23 a meeting you were asked to convene. So it's

24 28 February 2020. There we are.

"Dan Y-S" -- that's Mr York-Smith; is that right?

1 **A.** Yes.

Q. "... asks me to convene a meeting of HMT seniors as [the
 Chancellor of the Exchequer] is worried that we are not
 reacting with enough urgency on Coronavirus. Call
 a meeting for 4 pm."

We don't need the name.

Now, "HMT Seniors", is this people of your sort of level, permanent secretary level, director general level?

10 A. Well, there are two of us at my level. It would have11 been the director generals and the directors.

12 Q. Yes.

And so this is coming from the Chancellor asking you to convene this meeting really to step the response to the pandemic up --

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. -- the agenda, essentially?

18 A. Correct. I mean, to put this in context, the Chancellor 19 had the previous afternoon, on Thursday, the 27th, he 20 had commissioned advice from the Treasury. This request 21 went out at 2 pm. He had commissioned advice for 22 a comprehensive budget package to respond to the crisis 23 covering health spending, Statutory Sick Pay, business 24 support, and financial services. He had asked for that 25 advice by end of day. The Treasury was working

99

intensely to prepare that advice, which went to him the
 Friday afternoon. So I wouldn't want anyone to take
 away from that clip from my diary any sense that we were
 not working at pace. The Treasury was working at
 intense pace in response to the Chancellor's commission
 of the previous day.

Q. But can we take from that, and from what you've just said, that although he'd only been in post since
 13 February, on a personal level, the Chancellor quickly got to grips with the issue and was driving requirements
 from the Treasury as to advice, as to standing up

12 schemes, and so on and so forth?

A. Absolutely. The Chancellor was fully in the saddle, as
 they say. As Chancellor, the morning after his
 appointment, there was not a single change of pace, and
 he became -- slipped straight into being Chancellor and
 the Treasury systems lined up there, and it really made
 no difference whatsoever to our speed of response.

What he had done was to completely clear the slate of his predecessor's draft budget, and we went back to square one to develop a new budget for the May -- March 11th, and many of the issues that we had been working on for Chancellor Javid's budget were stood

25 **Q.** And again, to put this into context, there was due to be 100

19

20

21

22

23

- a budget regardless of the pandemic; is that right?

  A. Yes, March 11th was going to have been

  Chancellor Javid's first budget, but when he resigned in

  the reshuffle of February, the budget date was there, we

  had to have a budget on that date, and so the Chancellor

  was preparing "the budget", but decided to include,

  within the budget, a coronavirus response package.
- Q. When we look at the pressures that everybody was under, the pandemic did not arrive after a budget, after that annual fiscal event had taken place. The budget was coming anyway, a lot of work to be done on that, and the pandemic alongside it?
- 13 A. It --

- 14 Q. Just so we can understand the -- (overspeaking) --
- A. It was an extraordinarily busy time, but then this was
   an incredibly busy and stressful time for almost
   everyone in the country, and we were just having to work
   hard. And however hard we were working, and however
   much stress we were under, it was as nothing compared to
   frontline health workers at this time.
- Q. And I know it's difficult to comment on other people's reflections, but having acknowledged it's difficult, I'm going to ask you to do it, because I just want your view about this. There was a Corporate Memory Project that the Treasury conducted and Philippa Davies, who was

"In retrospect, I think we were quite slow, as with the whole of government and outside of government, to recognise it was more than just an annoying health issue. I probably tried, three, four, five times, before it necessarily resonated with my Directors at the q time, or DGs to get some resource in for coordinating stuff. We were getting loads of stuff through ..." It goes on. "So in that initial stage, we should have jumped on it quicker, and across the SCS we should have listened more."

Director of Public Spending (sic), spoke to it -- I'm

going to ask for INQ000652953. She said, "In

retrospect" -- let me just find that. There we are:

Do you accept that observation that there perhaps wasn't -- and this isn't a criticism of the Treasury, this is just an observation as to how quickly the pandemic was developing -- there wasn't an appreciation of the need to centrally coordinate from the outset and to move more quickly?

A. I don't feel I can comment much on Philippa's statement. I have huge respect for Philippa, she was an incredibly valued colleague. I don't know quite what time period she's referring to here, whether it's from February 28 or before February 28, but why don't I tell you what

I think on these issues? I find it hard to believe that we could have started much earlier than when we did. You know, you could argue two or three days, maybe, but that would have made no material difference, because we would still have been working towards a deadline of March 11, because that was the budget, and that would be the date that the Chancellor was going to announce anything we worked on. So we might have had two or three days more to prepare the budget package than we did, and I think that would have made no difference to the proposals and measures that were introduced in the budget

I find it impossible to understand how we could have started work on the crisis response at the beginning of February, as I argued in my statement. That would have given us a month, but I just don't see how we could have done that. There was no health advice that we were facing a major problem at that point.

I think, my personal view, is that from February 28 onwards, we stepped up dramatically and then we continued to step up further and further as more and more teams got brought into the work.

The work for the budget was focused on a relatively small number of interventions, of which the loan scheme was on. So for me and some of the colleagues working

with me, that was an intensely busy period because we had one of the major budget announcements to work on. Other teams did not. But those other teams like the personal tax and welfare policy team, then got brought in to do what became known as furlough, and that team worked incredibly hard, incredibly productive, but they started a bit later.

So my personal view is that we responded well and geared up rapidly from February 28 onwards.

- Q. We know that the SPB effectively became the coordinating force under Dan York-Smith in the early stages, and then that developed again, but was that identified as the correct coordinating force in the Treasury, or did that just emerge organically, really, because they'd been working on the budget, that it became the natural successor to that work?
- A. It's the natural place to have this type of effort run from, for two reasons: first of all, the initial response was a budget package. So it made sense to run it through this group, strategy and project directorate, SPD. But it was also the group in the Treasury that was very good at coordinating processes and project management and flexible resourcing. So it had a flexible resourcing pool within it.
- **Q.** Yes.

5

6

7

8

q

10

11

12

13

14

1	Α.	And so and it wasn't a policy directorate in its own
2		right. So it wasn't doing its own policy. So it was
3		both the obvious one, but it was also the right one to
4		have as the coordinating body for this.

Now, in time, structures got built up and it became a -- more of a sort of standing army on the Covid response. But SPB -- and they did a very good job, they were the right people to respond and to coordinate the initial response.

10 MR WRIGHT: Thank you very much, Sir Charles. We need to take our break for lunch now, I think, my Lady. 11

12 LADY HALLETT: Certainly. I shall return at 2.05. Thank 13 you very much.

> Sir Charles, I hope you were warned that we wouldn't finish you this morning, but I promise you we will finish you this afternoon.

17 THE WITNESS: No, thank you very much, I'm expecting to be 18 here for much or all of the afternoon.

19 LADY HALLETT: Well, I hope you're being looked after.

THE WITNESS: Yes, very well. Thank you. 20

LADY HALLETT: Thank you. 21

22 (1.03 pm)

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

23 (The Short Adjournment)

24 (2.05 pm)

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR WRIGHT: Thank you, my Lady. Can I check again that you

can see and hear us in the hearing room? 1

LADY HALLETT: I can. Thank you, Mr Wright.

MR WRIGHT: Thank you. 3

> or you'd been telling us about the period leading up to the budget on 11 March. We'd just been dealing with the role of the SPB and the initial response. And I think you said in your evidence this morning that, yes, there could have been two or three days earlier that the Treasury could have moved, but ultimately, with the budget coming on 11 March, nothing would have been accelerated from that date anyway. And so, in practical terms, even if those two or three days had been utilised, it might have taken a bit of pressure off you perhaps, but it wouldn't have changed where things

Sir Charles, before we broke for lunch, we'd been --

15

16 landed on 11 March; is that right?

17 A. Correct. That's my belief.

18 Q. Yes. And just to have some context about the 11 March 19 budget, on that date the Chancellor announced a package 20 of measures, £12 billion, rules for Statutory Sick Pay

21 were changed, the Small Business Grant Fund was 22 established offering support to small businesses, there

23 was £500 million of new grant funding for local

24 authorities in England and Wales. So that was the

25 package of measures, a very high-level summary, on -- or

in that budget.

But five days after the budget, so we're coming forward, now, to 16 March --

4 A. Sorry, and CBILS was announced in the budget too.

5 Q. Yes, sorry, and CBILS.

> Five days later, the Prime Minister made an announcement that people should stop non-essential contact with others and stop all unnecessary travel. So the health position was moving rapidly. And did it feel, in the Treasury, that, five days later, the budget was already consigned to history, out of date, given the pace at which things were moving in the country generally?

A. It felt exactly as you described: that the health situation was deteriorating so rapidly that it became clear that we needed further interventions, and it was actually the weekend after the budget, the weekend of March 14th and 15th, that Andrew Bailey and I started designing the Coronavirus Corporate Financing Facility, which was one of the big interventions which was announced in the next wave.

So, yes, it was clear within 24, 48 hours of the budget, that we would need to have new and larger interventions to scope with the scale of economic impact, given the rapid deterioration in the health

1 situation.

7

8

2 Q. And your candid reaction, captured in your diary, on 3 16 March -- I won't put it up, I'll just read it out to 4 you, this little part of it -- was, you said, having 5 heard the Prime Minister's announcement about 6 non-essential contact and unnecessary travel:

> "We can expect a total meltdown in large parts of the economy."

9 Was your immediate reaction to hearing that 10 announcement, as captured in your diary. 11 A. Yes, it was clear that the health measures, the

12 necessary health measures, were going to have an 13 extremely severe consequence on the economy, and that 14 economy would both have a financial cost and a human 15 cost, as we shut down large swathes of the economy and 16 put people's jobs at risk and put businesses at risk of

17 failure. And that was what we had to prevent. We had

18 to ensure that the necessary health measures didn't

trigger this economic meltdown which would cause lasting 19 20 damage. We had to prevent that lasting damage.

21 Now, you've just told us that you and the Chancellor had 22 met that weekend and you were discussing the CCFF; is 23 that --

24 A. I hadn't met with the Chancellor.

25 Q. But you were discussing it?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. I had been discussing it --1 2 Q. Sorry, not the Chancellor, the governor? 3 A. Yes, the governor and I had been exchanging messages over that weekend, and I spoke with the Chancellor on 4 5 the morning of the Sunday to get his permission to 6 develop the scheme at pace, and we developed it -- and 7 Andrew texted me on Saturday morning and I spoke to the 8 Chancellor Sunday morning, and we developed it through 9 a series of exchanges and phone conversations during the 10 day Monday, and we shared term sheets Monday and 11 Tuesday, and the CCFF was announced that week, on 12 17 March. 13 Q. Yes. That demonstrates that you certainly, and the
  - governor, were not simply proceeding on the basis that the budget had been announced and that was the end of the work; this was other work going on before the Prime Minister's announcement on the 16th.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I just want to go back to the announcement. Did the announcement and the extent of it. what was being announced, non-essential contact, non-essential travel to stop, did that come as a surprise to you and colleagues in the Treasury that things were moving that quickly?

24 A. That period was such an intense period of both work and 25 extraordinary developments in the health situation 109

that I honestly can't quite pin down which hour of which day I knew what. I think we had got some indication that there was a major announcement coming from the Prime Minister but I don't remember what we knew in advance of that. But I completely understand that our colleagues in Number 10 preparing the Prime Minister and working with the health experts, they had to get that announcement done. They didn't have time to communicate everything to everyone in government ahead of it. I could well understand why the Prime Minister would want to preserve confidences over the announcement ahead of time.

So I didn't feel blindsided by it. I just accepted that this was how it was in a crisis, that things got announced and we had to react.

Q. But looking back generally, I'm not asking you to pinpoint which moment or which announcement or precisely which day, but around this time of the 15th, 16th, 17th, there is at least the impression from your diary and from events that that was the period at which it became clear that the idea of this being a three- to four-month virus, and that the -- they would land the peak in the summer, and that would be the end of it, return to normal in the autumn, that that was not how this was going to develop. This was the realisation that the 110

1 health response was going to be much, much more 2 significant, therefore the economic response had to be 3 much, much more significant; is that fair?

A. Completely fair, and I think it was that week -- I mean, it was an extraordinary week, the week of the 16th -which started with this announcement, but it was clear that this virus was now rapidly spiralling and the infection rate was dramatically increasing, and that we were looking at an extraordinarily dangerous and damaging situation, both in health terms and in economic terms.

12 Q. And I am going to ask that this extract from 17 March is put up please, it's page 23 to 24 from your diary. You'd been into work, there'd been a meeting at Number 11 with those who weren't working from home. You sav:

> "... a lot of the seniors have come in to work on this package."

And you describe the Chancellor in this way: "[The Chancellor of the Exchequer] looks pretty somber. He knows he has to pull a rabbit out of the hat -- and doesn't have long to do it. We go over the possible list of options. Concentrate down on the lending options -- the Bank facility now looks it will be up and running next week (Bank and EGU have done

1 a great job at getting this underway. [Chancellor] 2 wants it to be very big, but not clear that it will be. 3 The Bank are talking about a few, maybe, tens of 4 billions. We increase the Business Interruption Loan to

5 5 [million], accepting that this blows through State Aid 6 rules. We'll have to hope the Commission waves them for

7 us ..." 8

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Is that the European Commission?

9 A. Correct.

10 "... seem to have done so for everyone else ... the good 11 suggestion that [Chancellor] should take a power in the 12 Covid bill to make loans, guarantees, equity investments 13 in any industry without constraints (so a wider power 14 than we have under [existing] Acts. Good suggestion. 15 [Chancellor] likes it, shows action 'taking a power'."

Then:

"Long discussion about employment support. Some good options to make [Universal Credit] more generous, but we can't do anything on employment support as our welfare systems don't work the same way as in Europe."

And that description of the Chancellor looking pretty sombre, knowing he has to pull a rabbit out of the hat, what were you encapsulating in that phrase, that -- (overspeaking) -- to be considered.

25 **A**. Well, that is a sort of real-time image of what it was

112

like at this point in the crisis. We were working in a very purposeful and determined way in the Chancellor's rooms at Number 11. We all realised that we were facing an extremely grave situation. The Chancellor was right to look sombre. We all looked sombre. We all felt very concerned at the situation.

What the Chancellor wanted to do, and I give him enormous credit for his leadership in the crisis overall, but this was, I think, one of his most impactful interventions, was he realised that he had to make a statement to the nation that would reassure the nation that, however bad the health crisis was, the Chancellor would do whatever it takes to protect the economy

And he and the Prime Minister decided that that needed to have a large number attached to it. And the officials, including me, couldn't put a large number on it because, at that stage, we hadn't designed all the packages that would end up costing, well, north of 300 billion, and so, as we thought about it bottom-up, looking at the individual packages we had at that stage -- and we did not have furlough by this stage and we did not have the self-employed scheme, and we did not have Bounce Back Loans, so when we added up from the bottom, we didn't get a very big number.

But the Chancellor correctly felt that to be credible, he needed to announce a number that would reassure the British public. So what I'm referring to there is that he needed a powerful statement that the Treasury, the government, would be there to protect the economy, and the small schemes that we had at that stage weren't it.

Now, he resolved that dilemma, and I was not in the room with him at Number 10 when they go from having our "whatever it takes" unlimited funds into what he announced, which was 330 billion. And I assume he got there by a top-down estimate. And it turns out to have been a pretty accurate top-down estimate, because that's not far short of what it cost. It's about 15% of GDP. And it did signal very effectively that the Chancellor was going to put money behind his statement to do whatever it takes, and I think that was a critical point, a point of real leadership by the Chancellor to reassure the nation that he would protect the economy.

Q. And in terms of pulling a rabbit out of the hat, I mean, at that time, as you've said, you were still working up schemes at speed. There was no playbook for this, there were no schemes on the shelf that could be dusted off and put into action. Is that in part what that phrase is encapsulating: that all of this is having to be

developed at pace and without any precedent?

A. Well, I wouldn't over-interpret the 'rabbit' point.

What is true, though, is that we were indeed developing

the schemes and the interventions at remarkable pace.

I mean, this was a week that the teams were working

already on the furlough, we had a series of big

announcements to come later in the week, and it was an

extraordinary period of remarkably rapid policy

9 development across the Treasury as teams developed the

policies that would then become famous and very

11 impactful. But they were all developed at high speed in

this period

Q. And is it right that, as well as having to develop new schemes, that you were having to accept that what you had hoped would be an approach that would get you through a much shorter crisis, would have to change radically? So it required a complete recalibration as well, in terms of what was required?

well, in terms of what was required?
A. Completely, both in scale and breadth of the crisis.
Because had it been a short two to three months slowdown in the economy, then the Treasury can handle that with the existing measures. And even the ones announced in the budget would have been helpful for that sort of slowdown. But what we were looking at now were whole sectors of the economy, and literally millions of

businesses would be looking at zero revenues. As we saw in your very powerful impact video, the shock to a business owner to know that they've suddenly got to shut and they're going to have zero revenues, that is a whole new scale of crisis.

But it wasn't just small businesses, it was large businesses. So at this time you start seeing in my diary references to the airlines, because the airlines were suddenly looking at zero revenues too. And large manufacturing companies like Rolls-Royce, whose revenues for their critical aviation division depended on aircraft flying, they were looking at a precipitous fall in revenues.

So the lockdown not only increased the severity of the crisis; it opened up a whole new area where we were going to need to find solutions to solving the problem for small businesses and some of Britain's largest and most iconic companies that were now facing a calamitous fall in revenues. And that's what we had to do.

Q. In a time of what you might call an "ordinary" economic crisis -- so this was a health crisis primarily, that

crisis -- so this was a health crisis, primarily, that had economic consequence, but in the time of an economic crisis, there might be companies that you would consider would be fine in any circumstance because they're well run, they've got good liquidity, good reserves, they'll

. 

2

3

4

5

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 be fine. But is it the position here that even the best 2 run, most productive companies were all at risk because 3 revenue was simply stopped?
- 4 A. Well, it varied, and that's what made this so difficult, 5 because some companies did absolutely fine through this 6 period. Professional service companies, law firms 7 consultancies, accountancies, they switched very quickly 8 to remote working and they traded through just fine. 9 Retailers who were shut did not do so fine. Retailers 10 who stayed open, they did do reasonably well.

So it's very different. And the sectors of the economy, some of them, like the hospitality industry, a critically important source of employment, because of the shutdown that was clearly going to face incredibly difficult circumstances.

Aviation, airlines, also very difficult. Automotive, people couldn't buy cars so car production lines stopped. So we saw precipitous falls in revenues for our car manufacturers. But it wasn't across the board, and that's what made the response so difficult to judge, because you had to work out where you could go across the board, as we did with small businesses, and where we had to target support for the large companies as to where it was most needed.

And so, at this point you're talking about,

March 16th, it was both an extraordinarily worrying time, but we were facing some really difficult policy challenges which we needed to find a way through. We did find a way through it, but some of the darkest days of this crisis were around this period.

- 6 **Q.** Had it remained what had initially been expected, 7 a relatively short period of restrictions and 8 constriction of the economy, the strategy for that would 9 have remained one of essentially loans and guarantees 10 that would have got you through a shorter period; is 11 that fair?
- 12 A. Yes. I can't speak for the labour market policies, because I can't -- it wasn't my area. I don't know what they might have done in a different scenario, short of full lockdown. But certainly on the business support areas, if it had been, for instance, like the SARS epidemic in Singapore, the banks kept on lending, airlines kept on flying. There were social restrictions and there was quarantining but Singapore did not have a full lockdown. So yes, you know, the airlines suffered a drop in revenues but they didn't have a total fall in revenues.

So we would probably have been able to survive a much more constrained crisis with guarantees and loans on the business side, and without the scale of

118

- intervention that we ended up needing.
- 2 Q. Okay. And as you've explained, over a very short period 3 of time, you were working up significant schemes. We 4 had the extension of CBILS initially extended on 5 17 March.
- 6 A. Mm.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

7

8

9

- Q. The job retention scheme announced on 20 March, changes to welfare support schemes, alongside a myriad of other measures. And these were, take them in isolation, many 10 of them, schemes that in ordinary times would take 11 months if not years to work up. Is that reasonable?
- 12 A. Well, certainly months. I mean the Treasury is 13 a productive department and does policy development, you 14 know, quite productively. And you'd normally expect to take three to six months on a very major policy, 15 16 including the research, the consultation, you know, all 17 the work that goes into a major policy announcement. We 18 were doing that in days.
- 19 Q. And over a breadth of major policy announcements --

20 A. Yes.

22

23

24

25

21 Q. -- not just one. Yeah.

> And I just want to really drill down into the positives here about how it was that the Treasury was able to react in that way in the matter of days, and stand up those policies across the breadth of

1 interventions. What enabled the Treasury to do that?

A. Well, I think a few things. As I put in my witness 2 3 statement, I give great credit to Rishi Sunak for his 4 leadership of the Treasury during this period. He was, 5 as he should have been, very demanding and set very high standards for us and made us aim high, but he provided 6 7 inspirational leadership, incredible workload that he 8 carried through, incredible detail that he took on, and 9 so I give credit to him as the leader of this effort.

> It's also a reflection of the very high quality of staff at the Treasury. I mean, I'd worked in the private sector, I'd worked with some of the top professional services firms in the world. I was always impressed by the quality of staff that the Treasury attracted, and so we were able to mobilise teams of really able, highly motivated people to work on these policy initiatives, and they were willing to innovate and think differently to come up with these very radical policies at short notice. So I give huge credit to all levels of the Treasury who made this happen.

The Treasury has a very flat structure. It's not hierarchical, it's not bureaucratic. We concentrate on the outcomes, not the process and the inputs. We concentrate on impact and outcomes, and I think that culture of being innovative, entrepreneurial, flexible,

120

non-hierarchical, and very supportive -- I mean, this was a very, very demanding period and a lot of people were going through a lot stress in their personal lives, a lot of people were getting sick. It was very difficult but the Treasury held together, very strong mutual support. And again, for that I give Tom Scholar a lot of the credit for keeping the top team together and making us mutually supportive of one another through what was a very tough time.

- Q. Was there also, was it necessary to land all these
   schemes, to have a different attitude to risk than you
   would have in ordinary times? And I don't mean that as
   a negative, necessarily, I mean in terms of you had to
   modify your approach?
- A. Yes, but I think it's important to realise that the biggest risk here was not acting fast. We had compelling evidence that the business community was rapidly facing acute cash flow crisis. There's an email, you'll see it in my evidence, from Adam Marshall, the head of British Chambers of Commerce, and he's saying that businesses are within weeks of running out of cash. So once the lockdown happens, we knew that businesses were facing imminent disaster.

And again, you heard that on your impact video.

So the biggest risk was not to act. Therefore, by

comparison, the risks that we were prepared to take
-- and, of course, these were decisions by the
Chancellor -- officials simply make recommendations, all
the decisions were the Chancellor's. And so he decided
to accept one set of risks to avoid an even bigger set

of risks of causing lasting damage to the economy.

- **Q.** And so risk needs to be viewed in that way here: as a trade-off between -- it's the risk of doing nothing, as against the risk of launching a scheme that's not perfect, and correcting it later, versus what would happen if you did nothing?
- 12 A. Yes, and I think two points on risk. One, it's the risk
   13 of inaction is often higher. And in a crisis the risk
   14 of inaction can be a lot higher than the risk of action.

The other point which I will come back to again is that you can't consider risk in isolation of impact. So when people say some of these schemes were risky, that may well be true. But they also had very high impact. And you cannot judge risk without assessing the impact of the scheme.

And so yes, we were prepared to accept risk on some of these schemes, but that was because we were confident that they would have impact against the objectives that we had been set.

**Q.** And so the consequence was, at times, that you had to

launch schemes that had imperfections, glitches, things
that would need to be ironed out? That was a necessary
evil; is that fair?

- A. Yes. I say that in my witness statement and yes, that's
   what we had to do. We had to get these schemes to
   market and fix them as we got real-time feedback on
   them.
- 8 Q. And you give some examples in your statement of things
  9 that you launched and then fixed. So you asked the
  10 British Business Bank to upgrade its operational
  11 processes for CBILS but you needed to launch the
  12 schemes?
  - A. Yes, well with CBILS, which was this first of the business loan programmes, what surprised us was the extraordinary surge in volumes, because CBILS was designed in that period before the budget, when the Chancellor announced a £1 billion programme, and that turned out, given the lockdown, to be completely insufficient. So we had far higher volumes than we expected.

We also learnt with CBILS that once it came into contact with real customers, we got a lot of feedback.

And these were feedback on things that, even with better planning, I don't think we would have spotted. There were some very complicated issues around who was and who

was not eligible for CBILS and, put simply, whether someone who didn't need a CBILS guarantee should get one. Because one of the principles of government loan schemes is that you don't give them to people who don't need them.

Well, in this crisis, it became impossible to tell whether someone did or didn't need a loan guarantee product; could they get credit without it? That became impossible to tell. So that had to be changed once we came into contact with real customers and real feedback. But that alone increased volume demand by tenfold, is one estimate.

So, yes, the British Business Bank and the participating lenders had to really work hard to improve their operational processing speed, and it was difficult at first, and we got very negative feedback, but the British Business Bank and the banks and the non-banks who were making these loans did a fantastic job at fixing that quickly, and I give them huge credit for the way they fixed it quickly, but it was a very difficult period.

Q. I'm just interested in the alternative. The alternative would have been to say: we're not going to launch it until you've sorted out these operational issues. But presumably, by the time you'd done that, the companies

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 that needed the money would have fallen over and there'd 2 be no point having fixed them?

- 3 A. Absolutely. And that was very much the trade-off that 4 the Chancellor faced with the Bounce Back Loans 5 decision, which we'll get to, at the beginning of May.
- 7 A. That was very clearly the decision where you go with 8 some known flaws, which you fix as fast as you can, to 9 the extent that you can, or wait, and let hundreds of 10 thousands of businesses fail. That was the choice. I think the Chancellor made absolutely the right choice.

6

11

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 A.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Yes.

- 12 Q. Okay. Well, we will come on to look at CBILS and how it 13 developed, and how the Bounce Back Loan Scheme came to 14 be launched. But if there was the impression that there 15 was this very difficult period in March, and then 16 decisions became easy thereafter, would you agree with 17 that, or dispel that, as a proposition? Were there 18 difficult decisions continuing throughout 2020?
- 19 A. Yes, and the nature of the decisions changed. I mean. 20 the intense urgency of the crisis in that first wave was 21 probably March through April and into May. And by then, 22 most of the schemes had been launched. Different 23 colleagues would have had different experience of what 24 the difficult moments were.

For me, from May, June and July is when we were 125

handling some extremely difficult and high stakes individual company discussions, of individual large iconic companies that were facing serious difficulties, and those were ones that were also very stressful and very difficult, both when we said yes, as we do in a handful of cases, but mainly when we said no.

And I'll come later to this point. The decisions not to do things in a crisis are often as important and as difficult as the decisions to do things.

So for my workload, I had a very difficult set of those company-specific issues over the summer. It then eased up over the summer because we were out of lockdown, but then the second wave came, and that brought with it a whole series of difficult decisions. Those fell more to the labour market interventions where the Chancellor had to face decisions over whether, when and how to extend the furlough, which is not my area.

On the loan programmes, he did make decisions to extend them, but those are actually quite straightforward because you just keep the closing date, you move the closing date. We didn't have to change the loan schemes in substance, because they were working very well by then.

24 Q. And I know it's not your area, the labour market 25 interventions, but I just want to pick up on that 126

briefly, if I can at this stage, because you've touched on it.

Did the difficult decisions for the Chancellor, from your perspective, really shift from -- initially it was "What does he do?" And then it became a question of, "Should he withdraw the support when he had originally planned to withdraw it?"

In other words, a lot of this support was due to end in the autumn, and it became a challenge as to whether it should end as planned or be extended?

Well, these were excruciatingly difficult decisions, and they were difficult both on the balance of were the -what was the health situation? How much would extend? Would the second wave go on? How bad would it be? So there's a difficult health context. But also the fiscal cost was mounting and also the economic cost of having businesses shut down and people sitting at home not at work -- on furlough, but not in the workforce.

And so those decisions got harder and harder for the Chancellor, as this crisis went on. I wasn't involved in them, I wasn't in the room with him. I have enormous sympathy for the difficulties that he had, as he had to trade off the case for extending them versus the growing fiscal costs of them. And that's a difficult trade-off, and I wouldn't presume to have a view as to how to make 127

it.

Q. But that second part of the trade-off, in terms of whether to extend, does that, in a sense, become more like the Chancellor doing not the job he does in normal times, which is looking after the public finances and the business of usual -- of assessing the cost of these interventions, the fiscal costs that are racking up?

A. Well, it -- I don't think this period was like being Chancellor in normal times at any point, but Mr Sunak will no doubt have a better perspective on that than me.

Early on in the crisis, in the sort of first set of interventions, the mindset was very clearly, "Whatever it takes". And that was absolutely the right signal to send early on to reassure the country that we would protect the economy.

Later on, it becomes a more difficult trade-off as to -- not just on the fiscal cost, but the economic cost of people not being in the workforce, of businesses being shut. The risk of permanent scarring goes up the longer people stay out of the workforce. So it wasn't just the fiscal costs; it was the fact that the economy was being shut down, and the longer it shut down, the more risk of permanent damage there is to it, both in terms of businesses and the workforce.

25 Q. And Sir Charles, did you have a sense that the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Chancellor was also having to balance political 2 pressures with support for some sectors? And I give an 3 example, the Self-Employment Income Support Scheme. 4 There was quite a vocal sector -- I think you reference 5 that in one of your diary entries -- in favour of 6 support for that sector, and there were decisions 7 therefore that weren't purely economic, but also had 8 a political element to them that the Chancellor was 9 having to take? 10 A. Well, we -- the Chancellor would be better placed to 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

answer that than me. We did get a lot of incoming lobbying from all sorts of angles. Frankly, in my experience, in this crisis, it was all perfectly reasonable. And the fact that the airlines were calling me and saying, "We've got a problem, can the government help?", was a perfectly proper thing for them to do. And I think the Chancellor had to weigh up all sorts of different demands on him.

I felt his decision making was rational, economic, objective, but I'll leave him to talk about some of the trade-offs he faced.

22 Q. All right. Can we then move on from that initial period 23 and some of the pressures on decision making that you've 24 described, to look at the CBILS scheme in particular, 25 please.

So this was the first Covid-19 loan guarantee product, the Coronavirus Business Interruption Loan Scheme, CBILS, as it became known. Now, that was, as you've corrected me, that was announced at the budget on 11 March. So this was one of the early schemes that stayed the course, as it were.

What was the primary objective of the schemes, from your perspective?

**A.** Well, the origins of this had lain in our preparation for a no-deal Brexit, ie, leaving the European Union without a trade deal, where we thought that businesses might face a sudden drop in demand caused by some of the economic supply constraints that we'd face in that scenario. And that scenario actually mapped quite well on to what we thought we might experience here with a drop-off in demand. Different causes, obviously, but we thought that businesses would face a temporary shortfall in revenues, and therefore face cash flow problems, but that they would bounce back after the restrictions, and so, in effect, bridging finance to give them a loan and to give them more working capital to get through two to three months of slow trading would enable them to weather the storm, and then when they came out on the other side they'd be able to pay back the loan over a period of six years or so, five years in

129

many cases, to weather the storm.

And we thought that would be a useful support for businesses.

- Q. And this was a guaranteed product, in the sense that the government stood behind a proportion of the lending. Why was a guaranteed product chosen?
- A. Well, guarantees are very effective in a number of ways, and one of the lessons learned from this was just how effective guarantees proved, whether it was a £50,000 CBILS loan, or a £3 billion UK export guarantee loan, which we also did in Covid. They prove very effective.

Guarantees provide support to the banking industry but they keep the banking industry very much focused on the risk because the banks have got, as you'll have read in Mr Sunak's statement, they've still got skin in the game. So they're going to make sure that the credit is good, even though they've only got 20% of it you run the normal credit processes, the normal checks and verifications, so you maintain those types of lending disciplines whilst the government takes 80% of the risk, which makes it easier for the banks to lend, and it also means the government can provide the support without having to actually borrow the money on the gilts markets unless the loan goes wrong. So the guarantees are very -- they don't put any strain on the government

financing needs.

Now, other programmes were putting a big strain on the financing needs, because that was real money out of the door that the government had to borrow from the gilts market. The guarantees didn't require any funding upfront, so only when the loan goes bad -- if it goes bad that it requires funding person pun.

So guarantees are a very effective tool in a crisis

Q. But you acknowledge in your statement that nonetheless the launch of this scheme was, in your words, very difficult. And I'll come back to why that was and we'll explore the difficulties that you faced, but just to move forward and look ahead and understand what then happened, is this fair: that the reason that ultimately the Bounce Back Loan Scheme was launched, which offered 100% guarantee, so it took the commercial bank's skin out of the game, the reason that that scheme had to be stood up and launched was because of the difficulty in getting the lending moving through CBILS, in part? A. I think that's part of the reason. I think one of the things that we learnt is that, in a crisis like this,

you need a full suite of product guarantee -- loan guarantee products. And the CBILS, we learned, was actually a really effective product for larger

small businesses and small mid-sized businesses. The average loan size was about 250,000 on a CBILS loan, whereas the average loan size on a Bounce Back Loan was around 30,000.

So CBILS actually proved to be an incredibly powerful product, but for larger small businesses and smaller mid ones.

Just to bring into focus -- because we'll talk about the difficulties, but we should do that in the context of how successful it proved. It extended 25 billion of loan support to these larger small businesses, 100,000 businesses benefited. It's had much lower loan losses than expected. And contrary to what some people say, it's had very low fraud experience.

Fraud is currently running at less than one quarter of 1% on CBILS. So the CBILS product, for that target market, has been extremely successful.

What it was not effective at was getting money very quickly with simple application forms to much smaller businesses. And that's why we realised, the Chancellor realised, by mid-April, that we had a gap and we had a serious gap to fill at the businesses that were too small for CBILS. Even though CBILS was also quite difficult and slow, we had a bigger gap at the smaller -- smaller end.

133

banking industry, through UK Finance, who I know you'll
be hearing from, the input they gave to us was that this
would be a good product to use because they understood
it, they had the processes, and my view is it was the
right product to use.

- 6 Q. Yes, it existed, it worked, it was tested --
- 7 **A.** Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 8 Q. -- and lenders were in place and registered and --
- 9 A. Correct.
- Q. -- so on and so forth. But, and you've referred to it
   as the volume problem, it faced or ran up against the
   volume problem which, is this right, the sheer volume of
   applications was such --
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 Q. -- that it could -- the existing architecture could
  16 not -- (overspeaking) --
- 17 A. There were a number of problems. One was that sheer
   18 surge in volumes. Secondly, the British Business Bank
   19 had 40 accredited lenders, but in order to expand access
- and make sure that every person who wanted a Bounce Back
- 21 Loan could get one through their current bank or
- 22 non-bank lender, they expanded entry to over 100, and it
- 23 just takes time to onboard new lenders -- and it should
- take time, it's a complicated process to check their
- 25 processes, check they've got the capabilities, bring

Q. I appreciate, Sir Charles, it can seem that we're focusing on the negative all the time. But that's because we're trying to identify where things, if they did go wrong, how things could be changed for the future. So I appreciate all of those points that you've

future. So I appreciate all of those points that you've made quite properly.

But concentrating on why CBILS did what you said itdid very well, but didn't do those other things as well.

- 9 A. Yeah, yeah.
- 10 Q. I think, is it right that CBILS was essentially built on
   11 a pre-existing platform, if you like, the Enterprise
- 12 Finance Guarantee scheme platform?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. So that was a pre-existing platform operated by the15 British Business Bank since 2009?
- 16 A. Correct, correct.
- 17 Q. And the reason you took that existing architecture and
  18 built on it was because, putting it bluntly, there was
  19 not time to build the thing from scratch. Is that fair?
- A. There wasn't time to build something from scratch, but
  also, other than this issue of the volumes --
- 22 Q. Yes

1

- 23 **A.** -- it's an effective product, and we also had input from the banking industry, who understood it. Forty people
- 25 were already accredited for this product. So the 134

them on board.

And so people who didn't bank with one of the 40 lenders were frustrated that their lender wasn't yet accredited. That was another problem.

- Q. Sorry, can I just jump in there just to pick up on that.
   Why would it matter who lent you the money? If you've
   got 40 lenders, and they've got enough cash to lend, why
   would it matter that you can only go to 40, and why
- would it matter that you can only go to 40, and whywould you want to expand it?
- 10 A. Well, because it's quite complicated opening a new11 business bank account. And that takes time. If you
- 12 have opened a business bank account recently, it can
- take a bit of time to go through the verification --
- 14 I mean, banks have, appropriately, to do a lot of
- 15 checks. And you have to prove who you are, you have to
- get your utility bills, and all those -- it's different
- now, but back in 2020 you had to prove all those things.
- 18 So telling people, "You can only get it but from one of
- 19 these lenders" would have meant further delay whilst
- 20 they tried to open a new bank account and not all those
- 21 banks were open to new business accounts because they
- 22 were having --
- 23 **Q.** No -- precisely. If these are obvious questions to you,
- 24 I'm asking them so that everybody watching your evidence
- 25 can follow these problems.

136

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- A. Yes. 1
- 2 Q. So it's not as simple as just saying: well, you can
- 3 apply to Barclays if you bank with Lloyds. It's more
- 4 a question of if you don't have an existing
- 5 relationship, you don't have an account, that bank would
- 6 need to make checks, that would take time --
- 7 A. Yeah.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

product.

- 8 Q. -- and by the time all that's been done, your business 9 might have failed?
- 10 A. Yeah, and in the end, we had over 100 lenders, British
- 11 Business Bank did an outstanding job at accrediting
- 12 a very, very wide range of bank and non-bank lenders,
- 13 traditional lenders and new challenger banks and new
- 14 innovative business models. So CBILS was the most
- 15
  - widely available of all the loan schemes.
- 16 Q. Again, why is it important that there should be a range
- 17 of lenders from the perspective of the banks? So
- 18 leaving aside the customers, if they're not a customer
- 19 of that particular bank, they need to open an account,
- 20 that takes time. But from a banking sector perspective,
- 21 is there an importance in having different lenders
- 22 having access to this marketplace --
- 23 A. Well, we had a very clear policy objective, which long
- 24 pre-dated Covid. It had been part of the Treasury's
- 25 policy objectives under successive chancellors since

I joined in 2013, which was to increase banking competition.

So it was a very clear objective of this Chancellor, as previous chancellors, to make sure that small businesses had a choice of who their bank was and that they weren't constrained to a small number of banks. That was one factor.

The other factor was we wanted to make sure that these loans could be distributed throughout the United Kingdom. I know some banks that, like Danske Bank, only operates in Northern Ireland. So we wanted to make sure that there was very broad access and that we weren't constraining bank competition.

14 Yes. In terms of the British Business Bank accrediting 15 lenders who wanted to lend thorough this facility, that 16 was an operational challenge for the British Business 17 Bank, was it, as far as you were concerned?

A. Well, your witnesses from the British Business Bank would be better placed to judge it, but it just takes time to onboard a new banking relationship. They have to go through checks, and all the processes have to be validated to make sure that they have the skills and capabilities to issue these guarantees.

The British Business Bank redesigned their processes at speed to accelerate that process. And they did that 138

1 promptly, and that was one of the things that then 2 helped speed up this process. So the British Business 3 Bank and the participating lenders deserve huge credit 4 for how they responded to these challenges, because we 5 did get these problems fixed, and then, by the summer, 6 these loans were taking five to six weeks, which is an 7 appropriate amount for a product of this complexity and 8 scale, and once we got these problems fixed, CBILS, the 9 noise dropped away and it became a very successful

Q. Was it appreciated, when you decided to, effectively, build this product on the Enterprise Finance Guarantee scheme, that these problems would rise?

So was anybody thinking about this at the outset and saying, "Well, if we use this platform we're going to run into a volume problem. We're going to run into an operational processing problem at the BBB, we're going to run into the problem of there only being 40 lenders", or did that emerge as learning from standing it up on that platform?

21 A. Well, the -- when we were talking about launching it, 22 because we didn't know we were going to have lockdown 23 and this sudden surge, I can't sit here and say we had 24 thought about the volume problem at the time of the 25 budget. Once it hit us, it was a very intense period. 139

As you may even remember, the operational processes on CBILS was a regular feature every morning on the Today Business Programme segment, and the press were very active on it. So we were getting very real-life feedback on the problems around this launch.

And so our intense focus, and where the British Business Bank and the lenders did a great job was on fixing the problems once they became apparent.

- 9 Q. So to remind ourselves, this was announced 11 March. So 10 before the lockdown was announced, before the no 11 non-essential travel, no non-essential social contacts 12 announcement on the 16th.
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. So the decision to use the existing infrastructure of 14 15 the Enterprise Finance Guarantee scheme had been taken 16 before those developments in the health picture?
- 17 Α. Correct.
- 18 Q. So therefore at that time you weren't thinking about 19 those developments --
- 20 A. We weren't thinking about the scale that we would face.
- 21 Q. Do you think, looking back, that there was -- you've
- 22 talked about this featuring on the Today Programme, and
- 23 the government was getting a lot of political pressure
- 24 about this, do you think there was a gap between
- 25 people's expectations of what the scheme could deliver

5

6

7

8

9

10

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

what the scheme could or couldn't do? A. Well, one of the things I've learned from multiple crises is that when you look back at them, you always reflect that you could have communicated better. And at the start of a new crisis, you think: we're really going to work hard on communications because we'll have to do it really well. And in the end you say: oh, we did work

hard, but we didn't do as well as we should.

and reality, and that was a communication problem about

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's one of the things I've learned over the years.

This crisis was no different. CBILS was actually a very complicated product. I mean, these are big loans, up to 5 million. They have conditions, they're complicated, they require underwriting. And in a crisis, political leaders need to give simple messages clearly, without lots of conditions and subclauses and small print. They need to give a simple, clear message. And therefore, it would have been impossible to convey some of the complex conditions that did apply to CBILS appropriately in a simple statement in the House of Commons or in, you know, the evening news announcements from Number 10.

So people may have got unrealistic expectations about how easy it would be to get this product, and I think a lot of the negative feedback we got were 141

1 because small businesspeople understandably were 2 frustrated that these products were taking time to be 3 accessible.

> That did influence the design of the Bounce Back Loans, where the Chancellor was very clear that this had to be very simple, very easy to apply for, and very quick to disburse the funds.

And as you heard from Matthew in the video, that's exactly how that product felt to its target market in a way that CBILS did not.

- Q. And I don't mean that this was done deliberately, but 11 12 was there a sense in which, therefore, people might have 13 felt that the CBILS had been oversold to them? The way 14 it was announced, simply, they found that it didn't 15 actually enable them to access the money as easily as 16 they thought they'd be able to?
- 17 A. Well, I think I used the word "oversold" in my diary. 18 I regret using that word and I don't think it was 19 oversold; I just think it was a very complex product. 20 And at a time when people were understandably and 21 appropriately looking to the government to deliver 22 support quickly, this product was not moving fast 23 enough.

Now, that -- it wasn't doing nothing. I mean, in the first six weeks, 11,000 loans or so were disbursed 142

and 3 billion of valuers agreed. But against a market of 1.5 million small business that we, in the end, ended up having to help, this was a small intervention. And that is why it became essential to develop a new intervention to target the much broader market that CBILS wasn't reaching.

Q. I'm going to put up -- ask for another entry from your diary to be put up.

25 March 2020, please, pages 34-35.

And I'm going to put this on the screen and really ask you whether -- ask you about communication with the public, but also whether there were some internal communication issues about how CBILS should operate.

So this is 25 March 2020, and you say:

"Very difficult call with the [Chancellor] on the CBILS product. He is getting lots of incoming about personal guarantees. He said no personal guarantees. The team -- and me -- interpreted that as no personal guarantees on personal homes, which is the really toxic type of personal guarantee. When someone's business goes bust, the bank then also takes their home. The team had said No Personal Guarantees on homes. In the rush there wasn't enough clarity on our advice, and we were silent on personal guarantees on things other than your home. The [Chancellor] is furious (though mainly

143

at the banks, not us....). Demands that we get it fixed. Then we discuss the rest of the problem of the gap. [Chancellor] wants us to do a CBILS Plus for bigger loans, and he is interested in some platform idea for preferred equity. And we'll have a lot of special situations. He tells us to fix the guarantee problem within hours.

"Call the team, and do a download. They get the message and head off to sort out the problem. Hope they can fix it."

Can you just expand on that?

12 A. Sure, well, this, as you say, March 25th, this is the second day of the lockdown, and this is policy making in the thick of a crisis. The Chancellor had been very clear: he said no personal guarantees. And I and the team thought he meant no personal guarantees on principal private residences, which was a feature of the loan of the EFG. And what we hadn't realised -- in retrospect maybe we should, but we hadn't realised he meant no personal guarantees on any other form of security.

> And as you can see from some of the witness statements from the British Business Bank, those other forms of security were an important risk mitigant for these loans, because banks often take personal

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

guarantees on things other than your principal home. You might have a second home, you might have a large art collection, you might have a yacht, you might have a large financial portfolio of assets. And the banks, not unreasonably, thought those they might be things they could secure the loan on.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

So -- but we -- it was just a miscommunication, a misunderstanding, which is what happens in the thick of a crisis.

The Chancellor was very clear, and we got it fixed. And we had to work with the British Business Bank and the lenders. This confusion over personal guarantees was another one of the problems we had in the market, because some banks interpreted it differently. And so there was confusion in the market. But in the end, the resolution was to, in effect, draw the line at £250,000 loan, and, below that, no personal guarantees on anything. And then on, the bigger loans, the banks could negotiate some security on those loans. Q. I'm going to ask in a moment a couple more of your

entries are put up relating to this, to CBILS. But before I put them up to illustrate the point, do you agree that Chancellor was frustrated with the banks, and the Chancellor felt that the banks were not taking their share of the risk, and not processing applications 145

quickly enough? 1

- A. I'd like to put that in broader context. That's what some of my diary entries say. The Chancellor --
- 4 Q. Well, in fairness to you, I'll put them up on the 5
- 6 A. Okay, fine.
- 7 Q. Then you can explain rather than --
- 8 A. Yep, yep.
- 9 Q. Let's have 30 March 2020, first of all, page 39.

"Frustrating day. Calls on the CBILS SME loan which is in bad shape. The [Chancellor] is totally bent out of shape on this -- lashing out at the banks (better than lashing out at us officials). We are conceding on the issue of guarantees and will have to concede on the issue of 'reverse discrimination'. CBILS was intended for SMEs who could not get credit but it is so attractively priced that businesses who can get credit want it too. The position is just not sustainable. We are going to have to concede, but it will be expensive."

Just pausing there, that point about "businesses who can get credit want it too", is this really a competition point? The businesses --

A. No, this is the -- it's this phrase "reverse discrimination". The basic principle behind any government-guaranteed product up until this point had

been that if the business could get credit without a government guarantee, ie, it didn't need a government quarantee, then it shouldn't get a government guarantee. A perfectly sensible policy. And it meant that government guarantees, up to and including this first variant of CBILS, was intended to support those businesses who couldn't otherwise get credit. Otherwise you get what's called deadweight of handing out government guarantees to people who don't need it.

The problem here was that, in this crisis, it was extremely difficult to determine whether a business was or wasn't viable, with or without a credit, and whether they could or couldn't have got one on their own merits outside of this crisis.

It just became an incredibly difficult thing for the banks to judge, so we had to lift that, but that resulted in a huge increase in demand from businesses who may not have needed one of these CBILS loans but now wanted to get one because they were more attractively priced than regular credit.

21 Q. Yes.

22 A. Can I just make a point on the Chancellor? I mean, I 23 should put this in two points of context. First of all. 24 throughout this crisis, Rishi Sunak was unfailingly 25

polite and courteous and respectful of teams, incredibly

appreciative of the work that officials were doing. He never lost his temper with us once. He was an extremely courteous man, as you all know from having seen him in public life. And I have absolutely no complaints about how he treated us. In fact, I think it was remarkable how calm and courteous he was, given the enormous load he was carrying.

I also know that he was deeply appreciative of the incredible work that the banks and non-bank lenders did in delivering these products, and both he and John Glen, the Economic Secretary, went out of their way to thank the banks for the work and their staff did for the work they did and their staff in delivering these products. Now, if I have recorded some, you know, in-the-moments comments where he was expressing frustration, I really would not take that out of context. I know he was deeply grateful to the banking industry for how they stepped up and delivered these products.

19 Q. Thank you for that clarification, Sir Charles, and so 20 you understand, I'm not going to these entries because 21 of how you describe individuals or a conversation you've 22 had with them, but really because they're making the 23 point that was live at the time.

24 **A**. Yes, okay.

25 Q. So let's just pick this up on the 14 April, page 58,

1 1 please. 14 April. operational processes, and rather selfishly, it was, you 2 2 A. Yep. know -- they were getting the flak rather than us Q. 3 There we are: 3 4 4 "CBILS getting terrible press again ..." 5 And pausing there, Sir Charles, taking your last 5 6 point on board: 6 7 "... [Chancellor] on [the] war path. My view is 7 8 8 that the banks are getting the flak (partly fairly, 9 9 partly unfairly), but let's leave it there for now. 10 Only the banks can get us out of this mess by processing 10 11 11 more loan applications." 12 So explain, "partly fairly, partly unfairly", why 12 Q. 13 the banks were getting the flak and what part of that 13 14 was fair and what part of that was unfair? 14 fair on the banks. A. Well, now we're just starting -- we're just after the 15 15 16 Easter break and we're starting the second three weeks 16 17 of the lockdown, any hope of an Easter re-set has faded. 17 18 We're now settling in for a long and difficult period, 18 19 and frankly, by this stage, everyone was pretty tired 19 20 and I, for one, hadn't had a day off since the beginning 20 21 21 of this crisis. So I really wouldn't pay much attention 22 22 to my somewhat short-tempered comments here. 23 I don't blame the Chancellor for being demanding on 23 A. Yes. 24 us fixing these problems. They needed to be fixed. The 24 25 banks were working incredibly hard to upgrade their 25 is the additional lenders, is it? 149 150 1 A. Yes.

Treasury officials but the flak could have been perfectly fairly shared around. What mattered was to get the problem fixed and, as I say, by this stage of the crisis people were already tired and certainly, in my case, we were a little bit frazzled at the edges so I really wouldn't place much emphasis on this. What mattered was to get this problem fixed -- which it soon was. In fact, this was a turning point and the processing started to pick up from this point onwards. I'm going to push you a little bit on this, because I just am interested in what part of this criticism is I'm going to 13 April, page 57, please. It's just that last part there: "It's the banks who are going slow." A. I think I didn't appreciate just how --Q. Sorry, let me just deal within the question. "It's the banks who are going slow. And the BBB is taking ages to authorise new participants." Now, there are two points there. **Q.** The BBB taking ages to authorise new participants, this

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

2 Q. Right. "Banks who are going slow". In the context of 3 the other entries I've taken you to, were the banks slow 4 to get the money out of the door, really, is what 5 I am -- or did you think they were at the time? 6 A. I would place very low weight on my perspective, because 7

I didn't have the full story as to -- I'd been sitting at home by myself working on 12-hour Teams meetings through this period, so I didn't have the full facts as to what was causing the delays in the -- getting the loans out.

What I did know was that we were getting a lot of negative feedback that these loans were slow to be processed and that new lenders were being slow to be authorised. My judgement as to where that blame lies is wholly unreliable and I would not place much emphasis assist on it.

18 Q. But if you're the Treasury, if it's not the banks and 19 the British Business Bank, and there is a delay in 20 lending, I mean you must have known where that delay was 21 in the pipeline, surely?

22 A. Well, it's a case of are we looking to assign blame, or 23 are we looking to fix the problem?

24 Q. That's why I'm asking these questions, Sir Charles, to 25 find out: if something was going wrong, what was it? 151

The problems, as I understand it, were twofold. One, that the processes for the EFG had been largely manual and worked fine at low volumes but didn't work fine at high volumes, and therefore both the BBB and the lending institutions needed to be reengineering their processes to get them to operate at higher volume. And that just takes time.

Secondly, on authorising new participants, which was normally a process which would appropriately take -- and the BBB will have these numbers -- you know, two or three months, but not have the exact numbers, they were having to redesign that process to do it much faster.

Sitting where I was sitting, with a poor perspective on those operational challenges, I didn't see how much was happening to fix the problem. But the problem was being fixed, and from this day onwards things really started to accelerate.

I think over the next ten days, 11,000 loans were approved. So things really started to accelerate over this. It was just that the first three weeks had been

22 Q. And the scheme in fact had been modified on 3 April, 23 I think, and had been widened; is that right?

24 **A**.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25 Q. So there had been changes -- it was an iterative

152

Briault Reporting International - info@briaultreporting.com

process --1 1 to have a scheme like this. In fact in my witness 2 2 A. Yes. statement you'd want to have a suite of products 3 Q. -- in terms of this scheme, as it went. 3 covering small businesses to the very large businesses, 4 4 But without looking at whether anybody was to blame, where you are confident that you've got scalable 5 operational infrastructure that can handle a very or, if there was blame, where it lies, if we were here 5 6 again, CBILS you've described as a product that, for 6 unpredictable level of volume, and can do that in line 7 those who it was really designed to help, worked very 7 with the latest fraud checks and the latest financial 8 8 payments infrastructure, so that it's ready to go. 9 A. Mm. q You can't leave it on the shelf and let dust 10 Q. But it had these issues with launch, that then 10 accumulate, because IT systems need to be kept 11 necessitated the need to bring in the Bounce Back Loan 11 constantly up to date. And you'd want to have that Scheme. We'll come on to that. 12 12 package on the shelf and ready to go to work at multiple 13 A. 13 levels. And I believe that is the case. Mm. 14 Q. If we were back in this position again, what is the 14 In terms of the optimal number of participating 15 learning here? Presumably you'd have a wider pool of 15 lenders, that's an interesting question. Is it as many 16 lenders at the outset, would you? 16 as 100? Is it 40? I think that's something that you 17 A. Well, the learnings, I would say, first of all -- and my 17 would think about as you go into this crisis. I'll 18 18 understanding is that this is now in place. I mean, leave it to others to judge what the optimal number is 19 before I left the Treasury, three and a half years ago, 19 to have on the shelf. It was very useful to have 20 we were working on lessons learned on all these loan 20 40 ready to go on this product. 21 21 schemes I know the British Business Bank has done With Bounce Back Loans, because it didn't exist, 22 22 a lot of work with this. And because I haven't been there was nobody to begin with, and then there were 23 there for three and half years, I'm not the best person 23 23 lenders at launch, I think, or maybe 20 at launch, 24 24 to say where it stands now, but I believe that this and then a few more, and that proved the right number 25 is -- where -- what exists now, is that you would want 25 for that product launch. So it was different for the 153 1 different products. A. Yes. 2 2 MR WRIGHT: My Lady, it's a little before the break but I'm And then for CLBILS, which was the most complicated 3

£200 million loan, you only had four lenders because you needed to have extra credit skills and extra validation of your credit models to lend that amount of money. So the right number would depend on the level of the loan guarantee.

Q. I see. So it's not just a question of just get as many
 people as you can registered on the platform, it all
 depends on what the platform is doing --

4

5

6

7

10 11 Α. Well, we very explicitly limited CLBILS, the upper tier, 12 the 50-200 million tier. Because we were so concerned 13 about the credit risk there, we explicitly limited that 14 to those banks that had had their credit risk models 15 approved by the PRA, which was a very narrow set of 16 lenders, and that was a good proxy for us to convince us 17 that they had the credit skills to lend that amount of 18 money with a government guarantee on it.

Q. Because you're talking about very large sums of money
 and the government's skin in the game in those loans is
 increasing and increasing --

22 A. Well, £180 million, on a big CLBILS loan.

Q. Yes. And as you have said, although you are not having to raise the money in advance, if it's defaulted upon, then there is a need to raise the money.

MR WRIGHT: My Lady, it's a little before the break but I'm going to move on to a new topic so I'm entirely in your hands. It may be an appropriate time to take the break now.

6 LADY HALLETT: Certainly. You made me drop my pen,
 7 Mr Wright. I shall return at 3.30.

8 MR WRIGHT: Thank you, my Lady.

9 (3.14 pm)

10 (A short break)

11 (3.30 pm)

12 LADY HALLETT: Mr Wright.

13 MR WRIGHT: Thank you, my Lady.

14 Can I check, please, that you can see and hear us 15 again?

16 LADY HALLETT: I can. Thank you.

17 MR WRIGHT: Thank you very much.

Sir Charles, can we move, then, to Bounce Back Loans. And accepting that this was not your area of policy, but of course you remained a member of the Executive Management Board --

22 **A.** Yes.

25

23 Q. -- and had oversight, and so it's in that spirit that24 I'm asking these questions.

Advice was given to the Chancellor, we understand, 156

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on 21 April 2020. And the Bounce Back Loan Scheme, as it came to be known, was a 100% guarantee scheme which was intended to see a rapid dispersal of loans within 24 hours of application.

I don't think you were involved in giving that advice yourself to the Chancellor; is that right?

- A. Correct, but I did see it and I've studied it since then, while I was preparing for this Inquiry.
- 9 Q. Yes. You've talked about improvements that were made to 10 CBILS and things got better over time. First question, really, if things were improving in CBILS, and the 11 12 number of lenders, for example, was increased, why would 13 you need a Bounce Back Loan Scheme at all?
- 14 A. Well, I think for two reasons. One, it was not 15 effective for smaller loans. And particularly loans 16 below £25,000 had additional checks that needed to be 17 performed under the Consumer Credit Act, which the 18 lending institutions couldn't not do, it was the law 19 that they had to do them, and so CBILS was never going 20 to be effective for the smaller, below 25,000 loan area.

And then for larger loans, above 25,000 to 50,000, the sheer demand of, as we found out, the number of small businesses who needed them was far in excess of the capacity of CBILS. I mean, CBILS at the end, when it was all operating smoothly and very efficiently, made 157

100,000 loans. We had 1.5 million loans to small businesses under Bounce Back Loans.

So CBILS was not reaching the market where there was acute need, and it was clear by mid-April we were having this input from all the small business lobbies, the Federation of Small Businesses, the Chambers of Commerce, and others, that hundreds of thousands of small businesses were facing acute cash flow problems and we needed to act, and act fast.

And the Chancellor was determined to have a product that would be easy to apply for, swift to distribute the funds, and would reach that large target market, and CBILS could never do that.

- 14 Q. Right. So far as the Consumer Credit Act requirements 15 were concerned, it would have been feasible, presumably, 16 to pass an act or regulation to remove those 17 requirements?
- A. Well, that's what we ended up doing for the Bounce Back 18 19 Loans. But even so, the CBILS loan was a complicated 20 product. It required underwriting and checks. And so 21 it was always going to take five to six weeks to approve 22 it. So that complicated underwritten product was never 23 going to meet the Chancellor's need, and a very clear 24 message from the small business community, that they 25 needed something that was easy to apply for and guick to

158

disburse.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

CBILS was quite complicated to apply for, it was a large loan, whereas Bounce Back Loans, as you heard from Matthew in the impact video, was very easy to apply for, and that was a key part of its success.

Q. Why was it necessary to make it 100% guaranteed, given that that, we'll come on to, was one of the biggest risks in the scheme? Why do that? Why not just make it quick but still 80%?

10 A. Well, because the banks wouldn't have taken on that risk 11 on a loan that they didn't have any control over 12

13 Q. So, ultimately, even the Treasury can only do so much, 14 and the banks, as commercial institutions, can still 15 insist on doing their checks and assessing risk. You 16 can't force them to take a risk?

17 A. No, they're -- you know, they're free market 18 institutions, and they were incredibly responsible in 19 this crisis and they really stepped up and did a very 20 valuable job in processing the CBILS loans, where they 21 did have credit risk, but also the operational 22 challenges of Bounce Back Loans and the fraud collection 23 responsibilities that they continued to have. The banks 24 had to do a lot to make Bounce Back Loans a success, but 25

1 on a loan that they could not underwrite.

2 Q. Now, we know that the Bounce Back Loan Scheme was stood 3 up very quickly, under pressure. You talked about the 4 lobbying that was going on of government by CBI, other 5 organisations. But there were significant risks that 6 went with action, weren't there, in terms of fraud and 7

8 A. Well, the Bounce Back Loan Scheme is a high-risk scheme, 9 but it's a high-impact scheme, and it's always important 10 to lay those against each other.

> I would absolutely want to clarify that the risks were known in advance. They were not as a result of developing this product at speed. These risks were very well documented and identified and the Chancellor received very good advice.

I've read the Treasury advice which reflected input from the British Business Bank and UK Finance and BEIS. It's very good advice that does what excellent Civil Service advice should do: it takes the objective the Chancellor has set, sets out the options for delivering it, the risks and the costs, and then makes a recommendation. That advice stands up very well five years later.

And the estimates of the cost actually are pretty much spot on in that early advice. So I think it was 160

it was unreasonable to expect them to take credit risk 159

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1 really impressive that the Treasury team, with support 2 from the British Business Bank experts, gave the 3 Chancellor the advice he needed to understand the costs 4 of this scheme, which he appropriately balanced against 5 the very high impact of this scheme.

6 Q. Just to put that into context, so far as costs were 7 concerned, CBILS, 9.51% of guarantees were paid out, 8 and, as you said, 0.25% flagged as suspected fraud.

9 Bounce Back Loan Scheme, 25.77% of guarantees paid out, 10 and 4% flagged to --

A. Yes, I believe that 4% is part of that first number. 11

12 Q. Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. And the advice to the Chancellor that went up said that this scheme would cost between 30-50% of the face value, and I think the figure is 14 billion over six years.

Now, I would also put that in context by thinking about the impact, and there are two ways to think about the impact. The first is a very rigorous and analytically robust analysis done for the British Business Bank by London Economics, and they have identified the value added of this scheme in economic terms, which is £15-£36 billion of value added. So that needs to be set against the cost.

They also estimated the number of businesses who would have failed if we had not done Bounce Back Loans.

And in rather precise numbers they say 153-642,000 businesses. So let's just say hundreds of thousands of businesses would have failed. That's one way to think about it

The other way to think about it is to go back to Matthew in your impact statement about how he explained this was easy to apply for, he got the monies quickly, and it gave him security and a safety net, and peace of mind. And so multiply Matthew by 1.5 million small business owners across the UK, that gives you the impact of this scheme.

So yes, it was high risk, but it was very high impact.

14 No one wants businesses to fail, Sir Charles, I'm sure. 15 Equally, I wouldn't have thought any right-thinking 16 person would want public money to be wasted by going to 17 fraudsters or being given out erroneously. And so 18 really, what I'm interested to explore with you is 19 whether there was a way, or in a future emergency would 20 be a better way, of ensuring that businesses didn't 21 fail, so having a high-volume, easy-access scheme that, 22 at the same time, did not have such high levels of fraud 23 and error.

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And I just want to pick up on some of the things.

I think some of them you've touched on yourself, and the first is that there was a gap that was known about at the time of this scheme that could have been closed previously by government, wasn't there, in terms of a vulnerability and the lack of verification processes at Companies House at the time?

A. Well -- well, yes, there was a gap that was known about, and yes, it was a gap that colleagues and I in the Treasury and the Home Office, working together collaboratively on a joint effort on economic crime, with expert input from outside experts on economic crime, we had put together the Economic Crime Plan of 2019 which had identified -- it was well known but we brought this as a formal recommendation to fix that vulnerability.

Now, unfortunately, fixing that vulnerability required primary legislation. And to get that primary legislation passed, from the time we made that recommendation in 2019, you may remember there was certain political turbulence in 2019, and then a general election at the end of 2019, and then the Covid crisis. So there was no way that that legislation could have been passed in time. The legislation was eventually passed in 2023, and it is only now that Companies House is putting in place those checks.

1 So yes, that vulnerability was known about. We had 2 flagged it in 2019. But there was no way possible to 3 pass a legislation and close the gap during the lifetime 4 of this scheme.

5 Q. No, but as I say, looking ahead, legislation has now 6 been passed.

7 A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Therefore, that gap, one would hope, has been plugged --

A. Yes, and I'd put the gaps into three categories. The first category is those vulnerabilities that are just unavoidable given the nature of this scheme. There will always be some irreducible level of fraud in a scheme that is built on self-certification. That is just an unfortunate fact of life, and that any future Chancellor who launches a scheme with some element of self-certification will have to judge that cost against the benefit.

There's a second category of vulnerabilities that in this time, were unavoidable, like Companies House, but are now being fixed and should be fixed ahead of any future scheme. So we won't get those ones again.

And the third type, the vulnerabilities that we knew about at launch, and the British Business Bank fixed very quickly or as quickly as possible after launch. And a good example of that is the duplicate loans issue,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

where they built a system from scratch to connect the 20-something lenders together to make sure that they exchanged information, and they did that in eight weeks. And anybody who knows anything about developing IT systems in financial services would recognise that eight weeks for a complex system to connect multiple financial institutions is a very impressive achievement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

11

12

13

14

15

16

So they did that, in my view, as fast as you could reasonably expect.

Going forwards, as I put in my witness statement, I am confident that a Bounce Back Loan Scheme could be implemented with much higher fraud protections, partly because of all the things that have been fixed now, like Companies House, like the level of financial crime protection in the banks, like this duplicate loan scheme, but also, future advances in AI and all those other modern techniques for fraud detection have moved on dramatically in the last five years.

So I would expect, if there was a similar 100% loan quarantee scheme in a future crisis, this fraud issue would be much reduced but it would not be eliminated.

- 22 Q. But a 100% loan guarantee scheme generally, do you view 23 that as a scheme of last resort, in true emergency? 24 It's suboptimal in all circumstances?
- 25 Α. Yes, and that's what I called it in my witness

statement. I think it should be in our toolkit for a crisis response. It has huge benefits of speed of distribution and speed of ease of access. But it will always have high costs, particularly -- putting aside the fraud risk, which is four points of this cost, 20 points at the moment, and likely to go higher, is from loan losses. Unsecured lending to small businesses over six years, or in some cases ten years, this is an inherently risky form of lending.

So any future Chancellor who takes on 100% of the credit risk, even if you've eliminated the fraud risk down to a very small irreducible level, they will still be looking at probably 25, maybe more, per cent of loan losses on these. Because small businesses just fail. That's the natural part of a healthy economy, that businesses form and fail.

17 So this will always be a very expensive 18 intervention.

Q. Right. And ultimately, would you say it's all a question of assessing the nature of the shock that you're facing, the extent of the crisis, and it's going to be a very expensive intervention, you need to go into it with your eyes open to that, but you say that there are certain circumstances where it may still be appropriate?

166

1 A. Absolutely. That will be for the Chancellor of the day 2 to judge. And looking back at this, I think Rishi Sunak 3 actually made the right call. It was a high-risk 4 intervention, it was a high-impact intervention, and the 5 people who say he should have delayed it for two months 6 to fix these problems, they would have to answer for the 7 hundreds of thousands of small businesses who failed. 8 I think the Chancellor made exactly the right 9 prioritisation to protect those hundreds of thousands of 10 small businesses.

> When you think about the impact of our collective interventions in preventing avoidable firm failure, the rate of firm failure during 2020, the deepest, economic crisis we had ever failed (sic), was the same as it was in 2019. That demonstrates how effective these interventions were.

- 17 Q. Can I pick up one other issue, and you've touched on 18 part of it. This was a policy being driven very much 19 from the Treasury?
- 20 A. Correct, the Chancellor.
- 21 Q. Yes, the --
- 22 A. The Chancellor is the decision maker. We advise. But 23 ves. the Chancellor.
- 24 Q. Yes. Who sits in the Treasury, but yes.
- 25 A. Yes.

Q. The Chancellor is driving policy. British Business 2 Bank's dealing with delivery? 3 A. Mm.

4 Q. BEIS is the accounting officer -- or the accounting 5 officer for the British Business Bank sits in BEIS?

6 A. Yes.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7 Q. That was suboptimal, wasn't it, in terms of the 8 organisation there, that policy's coming from one area, 9 the accounting officer is in a different department,

10 implementations being done by the British Business Bank?

11 A. I am -- put in my witness statement that I felt a lot of 12 sympathy for my colleagues, and there were three at this 13 time: Alex Chisholm, and then Sam Beckett interim, and 14 then Sarah Munby, as the new permanent secretary at 15 BEIS. I did feel sympathy for them having to take 16 personal accountability to Parliament.

> Being an accounting officer is a serious obligation. You take personal accountability for the propriety, regularity, feasibility, and value for money for a policy decision, and I felt this put them in a difficult position, where they were having to take that personal accountability for decisions that had been taken in another department on advice from another set of officials.

That said, it didn't make a lot of difference. The

168

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

q

10

11

2

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

policies were implemented, the policies were effective. They would not have been different in any way had, for instance, I been the accounting officer for these policies.

Now, we had done another policy two years earlier, where all the decisions had been taken by the Chancellor of the day based by advice from the Treasury, a policy initiative that I led called the British Patient Capital review, a policy driven entirely by the Chancellor and the Treasury, implemented by the British Business Bank. And that worked fine. We had more time, it's been a very successful policy, and -- that worked fine.

So, my personal view is that, in a crisis, we should have the flexibility to be able to adjust where the accounting officer responsibility sits. I would have been happy to take that on for the design decisions here. Once we moved into delivery, then I think that falls more naturally to BEIS.

And I would not -- going back to your opening statement, I would not look at structural change to address this issue. Structural change in government, as in the private sector, is often overused as a tool to effect organisational change. There is a long history of machinery of government changes, as they are known in government, which have been implemented with much higher

costs, much more delay, much more disruption than expected, and far fewer benefits.

So I would not recommend a machinery of government change for the British Business Bank. It has to work well with both the Treasury and with BEIS, and it does. And if we need a small fix to adjust the accounting officer responsibilities to where the decision making is, I'll leave it to today's leadership with the Civil Service to work out how to do that. But that's a much simpler fix than moving responsibility for the British Business Bank.

- 12 Q. Accepting all of that, you wouldn't have been against
   13 a proposition that temporarily, accounting officer
   14 responsibility could have shifted to the Treasury for
   15 this scheme?
- 16 A. Not at all.
- 17 Q. No. So that could be done on an ad hoc basis, if
   18 required. From a Parliamentary democracy perspective it
   19 gives accountability where it lies, doesn't it, in terms
   20 of policy?
- A. Yes, although on these issues, as I'm now no longer
  a civil servant, that would be for, actually, the
  permanent secretary of the Treasury and the chair of the
  Public Accounts Committee would probably need to agree
  that sort of change, but in my view as now a private

- citizen, that would be a lot simpler than movingresponsibility for the British Business Bank.
- 3 Q. Yes, in ordinary, steady-state times.
- 4 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

24

25

- 5 Q. But can I just pick up a second part of what you said 6 and that's about delivery, because if the policy is 7 being driven by the Chancellor, doesn't the fact that 8 the British Business Bank is sitting in a different 9 department separate policy from delivery, whereas if the 10 bank had been supervised by the Treasury, they would 11 have had that easier access to the Chancellor, direct 12 lines of communication, and you would be putting
- 13 together delivery with policy? 14 A. I don't think it makes any difference. We worked very 15 closely with the British Business Bank on delivering 16 these loans, and on delivering British Patient Capital. 17 I had a very close relationship with Keith Morgan, the 18 chief executive and his successor, Catherine Lewis 19 La Torre. We talked to them ahead of our advice to the 20 Chancellor. I don't think there was a problem there. 21 And where there were challenges of delivery, as we 22 talked about at length, with CBILS, those were not 23 because Keith Morgan or one of his top team had not been

- 1 extraordinary crisis, emerged.
  - So I don't think that separation is an issue.
- 3 Q. All right. Can I move on, then, to the Covid Corporate
  4 Financing Facility, CCFF, and I just want to pick this
  5 up reasonably briefly. This was a facility for the
  6 large firms, provided via the Bank of England. It's
  7 what you, I think, were discussing with the governor on
  8 the weekend of the 15th, is that, of March; is that
  9 right?
- 10 A. March 14, 15, yes.
- 11 Q. It provided almost £38 billion of support to more than
  12 100 of the United Kingdom's largest firms and employers,
  13 no losses on the programme, we understand. It may
  14 therefore be unsurprising that you consider that to have
  15 been a very successful scheme. Can you just briefly
  16 explain why you view it as being such a successful
  17 scheme and what it did?
  - A. The scheme started out, and it was very successful against its objective, it started out to prevent what we were deeply concerned about in mid-March, which was a drain of liquidity from the banking system. We were getting intelligence that large companies were perfectly appropriately and rationally contacting their banks to draw down on their multi-billion-pound credit facilities. Those companies were seeing this crisis

172

171

there were difficult issues that, faced with the

in the room with the Chancellor. They were because

coming and wanted to build their cash reserves. So it made sense individually for them to do that.

**A**.

However, if all large companies simultaneously draw on their large credit facilities at the bank, we could have had a very unhelpful drain of liquidity out of the banking system. So the purpose of this was a monetary policy intervention to prevent that drain of liquidity and provide an alternative source for large companies that might need liquidity.

So the actual value of this scheme came from both the money that was drawn, but also the undrawn facilities. So there was 100 billion in total, of which only 38 was drawn. And this scheme worked extremely effectively in preventing that drain of liquidity out of the banking system when it was introduced.

Now, that concern passed because the financial markets settled down as we moved into April and May. So that immediate concern had passed. And then the scheme evolved into something slightly different, which was a source of credit to large companies that needed support to trade through.

And as that need evolved, we also faced growing public pressure on transparency and conditionality. Who was borrowing this money, and what conditions were we imposing on them? And the Chancellor felt that

strongly, and he felt it was appropriate, at this point, to introduce new conditions on the scheme so that we were transparent about who was using it, but also some members of the scheme wanted to be transparent, because they wanted to reassure their other lenders that they had access to liquidity.

And so we changed the scheme under the direction of the Chancellor with the agreement of the governor and the Monetary Policy Committee to introduce transparency and introduce restrictions on dividends and introduce restrictions on executive pay. We'd changed the rules of the scheme halfway through. Therefore, it was only fair -- in fact it was probably only legal -- to give people a chance to repay their money and leave the scheme if they didn't want to participate on the new rules, which some lenders did.

But if I could just illustrate the value of this scheme, with a couple of confidential unnamed examples. There was one really important retailer, employing 10,000 people. Their auditors would not sign their going concern statement. They had already drawn 600 million from this facility, and the auditors would still not sign their going concern statement. We had to advise the Chancellor on whether to extend another 200 million of credit to help this important retailer.

employing 10,000 people, to trade through what would have been a very serious threat to their financial stability.

So the Advisory Credit Committee made that recommendation to the Chancellor, and this was extending 800 million to a retailer that was not currently trading. So this was a bold credit decision. Made the decision, the company traded to, very successful, the money was entirely paid back. Those 10,000 jobs were not threatened.

So this was a really important scheme.

We also used it to provide support to some of our largest iconic companies, and it was a very important support of continuing credit and backstop facilities through the rest of the crisis.

Q. Okay, thank you.

Can I just ask you about one issue just to get you reflection on this. This comes from the governor.

Mr Bailey has suggested in his statement, and so I ask you for your view, given you worked closely with him to develop the scheme, whether, for a future scheme, if there was a future scheme, consideration should be given to public disclosure of usage from the outset? He supports that.

Yes, well, what I put in my witness statement is I think

next time the Chancellor of the day should think about the two variants of this scheme. This scheme started, as I explained, as a monetary policy intervention, funded with central bank reserves, which means that we didn't even have to borrow the money on the gilts market, because it was intended to prevent a drain on liquidity. And as the governor also says in his statement, it's a tenet of central bank interventions that they are anonymous.

So that's one version of the scheme.

Another version of the scheme might be one that is transparent and with conditionality, and all the disclosure requirements that the Chancellor of the day wants to put on it. But that might not qualify as a monetary policy scheme and might need to be funded with public money. So I think that's the sort of choice that people should go through, and it would not be for me to say to a future Chancellor what they should choose to do, but my personal view is they should think about both, because both types of scheme could have a role. They are different.

We started with one and we evolved to the other, and I think that shows flexibility and nimbleness in a crisis. But next time, if you wanted to disclose the names upfront, the Monetary Policy Committee of the day

and the governor of the day would need to be comfortable about doing that with a monetary policy intervention.

Q. Okay, thank you.

Sir Charles, then can I finally, in terms of my questions, come on to your reflections. And accepting all of the caveats you've put in place about your diary, and accepting that I'm sure it must be slightly uncomfortable to hear thoughts captured late at night repeated in the hearing room, you have made it clear in your diary in a number of entries how well you felt the Treasury was being led by the Chancellor, describing him as smart, engaged, quick, courteous and appreciative in one entry, for example.

14 A. Mm.

Q. And you've also made it clear in your evidence today that you were impressed -- hugely impressed, is how you put it -- with the leadership shown by the Chancellor. So you've given your reflections on leadership in the Treasury. And also, we've picked up on how hard everybody worked to stand all these schemes up under intense pressure, short period of time, very quickly.

But looking ahead, so acknowledging all those things that went well, and that people stood up to the challenge, what would be your reflections, having lived through this in the Treasury as number 2, as to how we

A. Well, I think it's important to put the lessons learned into three categories. And I think the first is to learn lessons from what worked well. And I know the British obsession is always with what didn't work so well, but there were a lot of very successful schemes -- yes, including furlough, but not only furlough -- many of which we haven't talked about today at all, that were very successful, and which saved large businesses: the Culture Recovery Fund we haven't talked about; the events insurance scheme to restart the creative industries we haven't talked about; CLBILS, very successful scheme. There's a long list of very

could be better prepared for a future economic response?

So I think we can learn lessons from those schemes and make sure they are ready for use again, because they were very successful.

successful schemes which delivered impact.

We should also learn lessons from what we did not do. And again, we haven't talked today about what we did not do. Some of the most difficult decisions were decisions not to provide support.

And I'll give you one example of that without naming names, you'll have seen it in my diary, I had to call the chief executive of one of our most iconic companies, and tell him that we were turning down his

multi-billion pound request for loan support. It was a difficult call. It was the right call, because we knew they actually didn't need it and would survive without billions of pounds of government support. And why did we know that? We knew that because we had a really rigorous process called Project Birch, which we haven't talked about, which I commend to the Inquiry.

This was a process involving colleagues across Whitehall to evaluate all the requests we got from large companies for government support. It was analytically rigorous, objective, evidence based, free of any political interference, and allowed us to turn down billions of pounds of requests of support from companies that, in the end, didn't need it.

That was a real success and we can learn from what we did not do

As an aside, we did one loan of that which saved a Welsh steelmaker which employed 1,800 people. So that was a success there

And then the third area that we can learn lessons from is, as we've discussed today, is what didn't go so well. So, absolutely, we should learn lessons from that. I tried in my witness statement to be very candid about what didn't go so well, and I'm highly confident that in the now nearly four years since this crisis

began to wind down, the Treasury has done a lot of work on that.

It started before I left, and so yes, we should have a full suite of loan guarantee products on the shelf ready to go with appropriate controls and appropriate fraud protections in place. I'm confident that the Treasury and the British Business Bank and BEIS have that in place.

Yes, I think we could work on the communications around those products, because they're complicated to announce in a crisis.

Where I am less convinced of changes is to focus on some of the minutiae of Whitehall process. And I think the thing I'd like to leave the Inquiry with is that what really matters in a crisis is to focus on outcomes and impact. It's not always a pretty process, working in a crisis. We didn't get everything right. It was not perfect. But perfection is not a relevant standard in a crisis. Impact is. And so, as you think about what would make a material difference to a future crisis, my view is it will be a few, small big things. These big schemes, either those that worked well, that stopped us doing things that we didn't need to do, or that had impact and could be better next time. A few big things focused on impact and outcomes and not the

25 big thing

2

3

4

5

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

minutiae of Whitehall process. 2 And I think if that's where we get to, I think a lot 3 of that has already been done by the Treasury, but then I'm confident that my successors -- I hope they never 5 that have to live through something like this, but if 6 they do, I'm confident they will handle it extremely well. Thank you for the time today. MR WRIGHT: Thank you very much, Sir Charles. Those are my 9 questions. 10 There are, I think, my Lady, some Rule 10 questions. LADY HALLETT: There are. Thank you. 11 12 Ms Beattie. 13 Ms Beattie will just be to your right. 14 **Questions from MS BEATTIE** MS BEATTIE: Thank you, my Lady. 15 16

I ask questions on behalf of National Disabled 17 People's Organisations.

> You said at the outset of your evidence, Sir Charles, that you're a member of the Executive Management Board --

21 A. Yes.

1

4

7

8

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

22

23

24

25

22 Q. -- which set the strategic direction of the Treasury, 23 and you tell us in your statement that that considered 24 issues of equalities and vulnerable people.

25 Α.

181

Q. What sources of information and data were made available to the Executive Management Board and did the board in fact refer to and assess in its discussions about equalities and vulnerable people and in particular the impact of the pandemic on disabled people?

6 A. Well, I think it's important to remember that EMB was 7 a management board. We didn't do policy formulation. 8 So our responsibility was to ensure that our colleagues 9 across the Treasury had the capabilities, skills and 10 training in order to formulate policy and deliver 11 against our collective obligation, to consider 12 equalities under the Public Sector Equality Duty.

> And so our job, which we took seriously, was to make sure that we had the processes and training in place for those pieces of advice. And ordinarily, any piece of advice going to the Chancellor would have considered the impact on individual groups.

So we had different toolkits to support them doing that. We had different checklists. We provided tools for them to make sure that our teams had the skills to do that. And on the Covid Response Board, we had a subcommittee of that which looked at the impact on vulnerable people.

EMB did not look across the board across all these policy areas, because not all of them were evaluated by 182

the Treasury. So the loan schemes which we've been talking about today, those evaluations, those equality impact assessments were done by the department of business. They did those evaluations, we can talk about those in a minute.

So EMB's responsibilities were managerial, to make sure that the teams had the responsibilities to fulfil their duty and give the Chancellor or the relevant minister the advice that included the appropriate equalities assessment.

10 11 Q. Right, just to be clear, so when you say were a 12 particularly encouraged in your -- that the EMB 13 discussions of the Covid crisis considered those issues, 14 it was about, as you say, making sure that the team had 15 those tools --

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. -- rather than any discussion by the board itself; is 18 that correct?

19 A. Well, what we did, we didn't get into -- EMB never sat 20 down and, as it were, critiqued the furlough policy or 21 critiqued the loan policy. But we were keen to make

> sure that these policies -- we wanted updates on the policies, and so in those discussions, for instance

I remember, you know, it was reassuring to know that the

loan programmes had been equally distributed around the

1 whole of the geographic regions of the UK and that 6% of 2 the businesses were in Scotland and they got 6% of 3 loans. But I don't remember the formal management

4 information system that would have reported the impact

5 on different segments of people. That would have been 6 looked at more by other parts of the Treasury in terms

7 of thinking about the impact of specific policies.

8 Q. And dealing with those business support schemes, in your 9 statement you say that the department did take 10 significant care to ensure that socially and 11 economically vulnerable groups, including those with 12 protected characteristics, were accounted for and

13 protected during the pandemic, but that your work on

14 those business support schemes saw less targeted 15 consideration of vulnerable and protected groups. Why

16 did that work on business support schemes see less

17 targeted consideration of protected groups in, in

18 particular disabled people?

19 A. Well, we were focused on the schemes I've been talking 20 about today on preventing avoidable business failure.

21 And our thinking there, in respect of disabled and

22 vulnerable people, was that it's a very sad truth that

23 if a disabled person becomes unemployed, it's much

24 harder for them to re-enter the workforce. And

25 therefore by preventing avoidable business failure and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

preventing unnecessary job losses for those disabled and vulnerable people in employment, we were doing, through these loan schemes, the best possible thing we could do for those disabled people in work, which was to keep their business afloat and to keep their job safe.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

24

25

And that meant that for these loan schemes, by doing that, we were avoiding the terrible scourge of unemployment, which is awful for everybody, but the cost of which falls particularly harshly on disabled and vulnerable people who find it harder to re-enter the workforce. So that's why we focused on protecting the companies and through that, we, I think, protected disabled people in work.

14 Q. So it was through that general approach rather than a targeted consideration or intervention, specifically directed, for example, at disabled people who faced that greater risk of economic scarring that you mentioned?

Well, because we were -- these schemes -- and this is Α. only part of what the Treasury were doing. Other colleagues were working on other interventions that were more focused on the labour market and they'll be better placed to talk about those interventions, but the firm-specific interventions, we could not provide a different loan to a business that did or didn't employ more disabled people because we didn't have that 185

information, and it was important to provide support to the businesses quickly and efficiently.

But because disabled people in the workforce -- and almost one in seven people in the workforce have a disability, we were saving hundreds of thousands of jobs, and therefore tens of thousands of disabled and vulnerable people benefited from that. But we weren't targeting it to one type of business versus another due to the proportion of disabled or vulnerable people in that business.

MS BEATTIE: Thank you, my Lady. 11

LADY HALLETT: Thank you very much, Ms Beattie.

That completes our questions for you, Sir Charles. I'm really grateful.

Obviously it was a really tough time for you and your colleagues and you've obviously given a great deal of thought not only to what you were doing at the time but also to helping this Inquiry, so I'm very grateful. And obviously if any former colleagues of yours have helped in preparing your witness statement.

You've mentioned a number of times that we haven't covered something. Please rest assured that just because we haven't dealt with it in oral evidence does not mean the Inquiry will ignore it. We take into account the whole mass of evidence that we've gathered.

1 So I'm really grateful to you and I'm sorry it's 2 been such a long day for you. 3 THE WITNESS: No, I'm very happy to have been of help. 4 Thank you for the opportunity. 5 LADY HALLETT: Not at all. 6 Very well, I think that completes today's hearing, 7 I think, Mr Wright? 8 MR WRIGHT: It does, my Lady. That completes the evidence 9 for today. 10 LADY HALLETT: Thank you very much. 11 10.00 tomorrow, please. 12 (4.12 pm) 13 (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am the following day) 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

187

INDEX 1 **PAGE** 2 3 MR ALEX SKINNER (affirmed) ..... 1 4 Questions from RICHARD WRIGHT KC, LEAD ...... 5 COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY for MODULE 9 6 7 SIR CHARLES ROXBURGH (affirmed) ..... 60 8 Questions from RICHARD WRIGHT KC, LEAD ..... 60 9 COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY for MODULE 9 10 Questions from MS BEATTIE ..... 181 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

188

136/17 143/9 143/14 32/22 35/13 35/20 100,000 businesses **4.12 [1]** 187/12 146/9 157/1 167/13 36/9 36/21 37/10 40/5 **40 [6]** 135/19 136/2 **[1]** 133/12 **LADY HALLETT:** 41/2 41/24 42/12 **11 [5]** 72/8 98/15 136/7 136/8 139/18 **2020-21 [1]** 96/19 **[19]** 1/3 1/6 14/17 103/6 111/15 113/3 **2021 [2]** 22/22 22/25 154/16 42/13 43/14 43/18 49/2 59/15 59/23 60/4 11 March [7] 26/19 2022 [1] 60/21 **40 ready [1]** 154/20 44/7 44/8 44/12 45/11 60/7 105/12 105/19 106/6 106/11 106/16 **2023 [1]** 163/24 **48 [2]** 2/17 26/12 45/17 46/3 46/5 46/6 105/21 106/2 156/6 106/18 130/5 140/9 47/19 49/16 49/16 **2025 [1]** 1/1 **48 hours [1]** 107/22 156/12 156/16 181/11 **11,000 [1]** 142/25 21 [2] 96/19 157/1 50/20 53/9 55/22 186/12 187/5 187/10 11,000 loans [1] 23 [2] 96/8 111/13 56/13 59/16 62/8 MR WRIGHT: [19] 23 lenders [1] 154/23 5 million [1] 141/13 62/19 63/4 65/5 68/16 152/18 1/4 1/7 1/13 15/1 **23rd [1]** 98/1 **5.12 [1]** 46/10 70/4 71/2 73/19 74/10 **11.45 [1]** 60/1 49/23 59/12 59/25 **50 [2]** 96/1 161/14 11.5 [1] 72/22 **24 [3]** 26/12 107/22 75/1 75/15 78/7 80/4 60/5 60/8 60/13 **11th [2]** 100/22 101/2 **50,000 [2]** 131/9 81/3 82/14 83/23 84/6 111/13 105/10 105/25 106/3 **12** billion [1] 106/20 157/21 **24 hours [2]** 26/19 84/17 84/18 84/25 156/2 156/8 156/13 50-200 million [1] **12-hour [1]** 151/8 86/10 86/22 86/24 157/4 156/17 181/8 187/8 90/23 93/3 93/25 95/7 155/12 **12.00 [1]** 60/3 **25 [3]** 17/20 143/9 MS BEATTIE: [2] **500 [1]** 14/21 97/23 101/24 106/5 **13 [1]** 150/15 166/13 181/15 186/11 **500 million [4]** 13/21 13 February [1] **25** billion [1] 133/10 106/18 108/5 112/3 THE WITNESS: [4] 14/19 14/22 106/23 112/17 113/20 114/14 100/9 25 March 2020 [1] 59/22 105/17 105/20 13 February 2020 [1] 143/14 **57 [1]** 150/15 117/25 119/23 129/20 187/3 **58 [1]** 148/25 **25,000 [3]** 157/16 133/2 133/8 139/14 95/16 139/21 139/24 140/18 **14 [3]** 148/25 149/1 157/20 157/21 140/20 140/22 140/24 **25-50 [1]** 96/1 172/10 **'21 [2]** 9/8 79/11 600 million [1] **14 billion [1]** 161/15 141/1 141/24 143/11 **25.77 [1]** 161/9 **'21/'22 [1]** 9/8 174/22 **14th [1]** 107/18 **250,000 [2]** 133/2 143/13 143/16 146/20 **'22 [1]** 9/8 **642,000 [1]** 162/1 **15** [5] 61/21 91/10 145/16 148/4 154/17 155/13 **'I [1]** 46/12 114/14 161/22 172/10 **25th [1]** 144/12 155/19 157/9 160/3 'I think [1] 46/12 161/17 161/17 162/4 153-642,000 [1] **26** billion [1] 17/20 'on [1] 94/24 7 March 2020 [1] 162/5 162/6 163/2 162/1 26 February [1] 'rabbit' [1] 115/2 47/12 163/7 164/1 164/23 **15th [3]** 107/18 95/19 'reverse [1] 146/15 **72 hours [1]** 26/12 165/4 167/11 171/6 110/18 172/8 **27 [1]** 38/14 'taking [1] 112/15 **16 March [2]** 107/3 **27th [1]** 99/19 171/22 172/20 174/3 175/17 176/1 176/19 108/3 **28 [4]** 102/24 102/25 8 December 2025 [1] **16th [5]** 109/17 103/19 104/9 177/2 177/6 178/8 -- **and [1]** 122/2 1/1 110/18 111/5 118/1 28 February [1] 178/10 178/12 178/19 **80 [4]** 12/21 51/14 140/12 98/19 179/7 179/24 180/19 131/20 159/9 28 February 2020 [1] 182/3 183/2 183/4 **17 [1]** 111/12 0.25 [1] 161/8 **800 million [1]** 175/6 183/14 184/7 184/20 **17 March [2]** 109/12 98/24 **0.6 [1]** 97/19 **86 [1]** 57/3 185/22 119/5 **29 [1]** 38/14 17th [1] 110/18 above [3] 51/18 9 1 billion [1] 123/17 **180 [1]** 155/22 85/13 157/21 **9.51 [1]** 161/7 **3 April [1]** 152/22 **19 [4]** 38/18 95/22 absolutely [21] 9/4 **1,700 [1]** 62/8 **97 [1]** 68/6 **3 billion [2]** 131/10 17/18 19/10 29/16 **1,800 [1]** 179/18 96/13 130/1 143/1 **1.03 [1]** 105/22 **19 cases [1]** 97/14 31/8 40/22 42/14 49/7 **3.14 [1]** 156/9 51/6 62/16 71/16 79/2 **1.3 [1]** 97/19 abbreviated [1] 61/9 3.30 [2] 156/7 156/11 100/13 117/5 125/3 **1.5 million [3]** 143/2 ability [8] 6/18 26/14 **2.05 [2]** 105/12 **30 billion [1]** 17/22 125/11 128/13 148/4 158/1 162/9 38/21 63/1 73/2 85/3 105/24 30 March 2020 [1] **1.6 billion [1]** 7/10 160/11 167/1 179/22 85/16 97/21 **20 [2]** 131/17 154/23 146/9 **10 [9]** 35/6 87/4 87/5 abuse [2] 70/19 73/3 able [21] 5/5 6/13 **20 March [1]** 119/7 **30,000 [1]** 133/4 87/7 87/10 110/6 accelerate [3] 138/25 8/21 9/17 12/9 17/25 **20 points [1]** 166/6 **30-50 [1]** 161/14 114/9 141/22 181/10 152/17 152/19 24/25 27/15 36/20 **300 billion [1]** 113/20 10 March [1] 26/18 20-something [1] accelerated [1] 46/6 69/15 74/14 165/2 300-plus [1] 20/1 **10,000 [1]** 175/9 106/12 80/16 95/1 118/23 119/24 120/15 120/16 accept [15] 62/21 **200 million [2]** 155/3 **317 [2]** 19/23 50/2 10,000 people [2] 174/25 **317 local [1]** 34/2 63/10 65/7 66/8 66/13 174/20 175/1 130/24 142/16 169/14 **2009 [1]** 134/15 330 billion [1] 114/11 70/14 70/15 73/8 **10.00 [2]** 187/11 about [158] 2/3 2/8 2013 [4] 60/20 81/7 **35 [1]** 143/9 88/13 90/5 93/24 187/13 3/12 6/2 6/12 7/15 81/12 138/1 10.29 [1] 1/2 **35 billion [1]** 17/21 102/15 115/14 122/5 7/18 8/2 8/10 8/20 **2016 [2]** 60/21 83/16 **36 billion [1]** 161/22 122/21 **100 [11]** 12/20 10/2 10/6 10/18 13/22 132/17 135/22 137/10 **2017-2019 [1]** 94/23 **38 [1]** 173/13 accepted [1] 110/13 16/14 16/16 16/25 **2019 [7]** 94/23 38 billion [1] 172/11 154/16 157/2 159/6 accepting [9] 26/24 17/3 17/20 18/2 21/7 **39 [1]** 146/9 163/13 163/19 163/20 165/19 165/22 166/10 50/2 57/6 62/20 112/5 21/11 21/12 22/16 163/21 164/2 167/15 172/12 156/19 170/12 177/5 23/2 24/14 24/23 **2020 [14]** 47/12 51/8 **100 billion [2]** 90/16 177/7 24/24 28/6 29/8 30/5 68/8 75/25 83/17 4 June 2020 [1] 68/8 173/12 accepts [1] 94/16 30/8 31/10 32/5 32/20

**4 pm [1]** 99/5

95/16 98/24 125/18

**100,000 [1]** 158/1

access [13] 71/12

92/24 95/17 95/19 73/6 83/20 110/9 10/2 11/1 11/20 11/22 actors [4] 14/25 96/6 97/12 97/13 12/6 12/23 15/21 15/13 30/5 33/16 110/11 132/14 164/5 access... [12] 71/14 164/20 171/19 177/22 19/16 20/18 21/9 99/20 99/21 99/25 **Acts [1]** 112/14 87/8 88/12 89/18 actual [3] 10/3 31/25 100/1 100/11 103/17 **AI [1]** 165/16 21/12 21/20 24/24 135/19 137/22 138/12 173/10 143/23 156/25 157/6 Aid [1] 112/5 32/5 32/10 33/4 44/17 142/15 162/21 166/3 actually [40] 8/20 160/15 160/16 160/18 aim [2] 15/20 120/6 46/1 47/12 55/7 59/19 171/11 174/6 160/19 160/22 160/25 aimed [1] 14/6 13/6 14/8 16/16 17/23 64/10 73/1 73/14 77/3 accessible [2] 10/13 19/18 19/25 23/12 161/3 161/13 168/23 aircraft [1] 116/12 80/6 82/19 83/23 86/8 142/3 23/14 26/8 26/21 27/4 169/7 171/19 182/15 airlines [6] 116/8 89/3 95/14 104/21 account [6] 136/11 35/24 40/1 42/6 42/9 105/3 117/16 120/10 182/16 183/9 116/8 117/16 118/18 136/12 136/20 137/5 54/23 55/18 62/6 76/7 121/10 122/18 123/21 advise [6] 69/20 118/20 129/14 137/19 186/25 Alex [7] 1/8 1/10 80/2 83/22 84/2 84/14 90/5 69/21 70/1 89/8 126/4 127/15 127/16 accountability [4] 83/3 83/16 168/13 91/3 92/20 92/24 93/1 129/1 129/7 131/11 167/22 174/24 168/16 168/18 168/22 107/17 126/19 130/14 advised [1] 97/15 188/3 131/21 133/23 134/21 170/19 131/23 132/25 133/5 134/23 143/12 143/21 adviser [2] 26/5 Alex Chisholm [1] accountancies [1] 141/11 142/15 160/24 30/21 168/13 148/8 159/21 161/16 117/7 167/3 170/22 179/3 161/24 165/16 173/11 Advisory [4] 89/4 aligning [1] 27/4 accounted [1] acute [3] 121/18 89/22 90/15 175/4 all [91] 1/21 4/18 173/22 174/3 175/12 184/12 158/4 158/8 5/18 7/23 10/16 19/3 176/7 177/15 177/19 advocating [1] 96/4 accounting [8] 168/4 acutely [1] 11/14 affecting [1] 95/25 20/6 21/19 23/16 178/18 186/18 168/4 168/9 168/17 ad [4] 3/9 21/20 23/23 26/24 27/1 alternative [3] affirmation [1] 1/9 169/3 169/15 170/6 **affirmed [4]** 1/10 30/13 35/16 38/6 39/2 124/22 124/22 173/8 90/23 170/17 170/13 ad hoc [3] 3/9 90/23 60/10 188/3 188/7 39/11 40/13 41/9 although [6] 67/22 accounts [2] 136/21 170/17 afloat [1] 185/5 41/25 44/16 52/17 79/6 81/19 100/8 170/24 Adam [1] 121/19 afraid [1] 58/6 57/1 58/10 62/1 62/8 155/23 170/21 accredited [3] Adam Marshall [1] after [18] 7/12 17/24 62/11 63/2 64/7 64/23 always [20] 27/18 134/25 135/19 136/4 21/11 23/1 26/22 82/14 86/15 88/5 37/4 45/15 48/23 121/19 accrediting [2] adapt [1] 38/21 28/19 69/1 96/10 90/13 93/1 94/8 95/12 71/24 73/9 73/18 137/11 138/14 96/3 104/18 105/18 73/25 84/10 84/10 100/14 101/9 101/9 add [6] 11/11 32/3 accumulate [1] 56/5 77/8 77/9 95/14 105/19 107/2 107/17 107/8 113/3 113/5 84/11 120/13 141/4 154/10 added [7] 59/7 59/10 128/5 130/19 149/15 113/5 113/18 114/25 158/21 160/9 164/12 accurate [1] 114/13 59/17 91/23 113/24 164/24 115/11 117/2 119/16 166/4 166/17 178/5 achieve [2] 19/22 120/19 121/10 122/3 161/21 161/22 afternoon [4] 99/19 180/16 46/6 adding [1] 59/16 100/2 105/16 105/18 129/12 129/13 129/17 am [13] 1/2 19/5 43/2 achievement [1] addition [5] 22/1 32/5 again [25] 4/18 27/1 129/22 134/2 134/5 49/19 60/1 76/3 165/7 35/19 36/23 37/11 136/16 136/17 136/20 52/21 61/14 96/16 111/12 150/13 151/5 achieving [1] 52/2 38/8 39/24 55/16 60/5 137/8 137/15 138/21 165/11 168/11 180/12 additional [5] 14/25 acknowledge [2] 22/19 58/12 150/25 78/12 87/25 100/25 146/9 147/23 148/3 187/13 95/5 132/10 104/12 105/25 121/6 153/17 153/20 155/9 157/16 **ambiguity [1]** 31/16 acknowledged [2] address [1] 169/21 121/24 122/15 137/16 157/13 157/25 158/5 amount [9] 2/25 45/14 101/22 149/4 153/6 153/14 165/13 165/16 165/24 15/15 21/6 22/11 31/6 addressed [1] 96/19 acknowledges [1] 31/16 139/7 155/5 156/15 164/21 178/16 166/19 169/6 170/12 adds [1] 88/17 55/14 adjourned [1] 187/13 170/16 172/3 173/3 178/19 155/17 acknowledging [1] Adjournment [1] against [13] 15/9 176/12 177/6 177/20 analysis [4] 43/22 177/22 105/23 84/12 122/9 122/23 177/22 178/8 179/9 89/3 97/18 161/19 across [18] 21/4 64/1 adjust [2] 169/14 135/11 143/1 160/10 182/24 182/25 187/5 analytically [2] 64/23 65/14 71/17 161/4 161/23 164/16 allocated [2] 2/4 170/6 161/19 179/10 71/21 78/23 85/4 51/10 **Andrew [4]** 81/15 administrations [3] 170/12 172/19 182/11 102/13 115/9 117/19 agenda [3] 36/15 79/5 79/8 79/11 allow [4] 27/22 54/16 81/15 107/18 109/7 117/22 119/25 162/10 administrative [2] 61/20 99/17 70/6 82/2 Andrew Bailey [1] 179/8 182/9 182/24 11/12 12/8 ages [2] 150/21 allowed [5] 5/3 13/16 107/18 182/24 adult [3] 14/5 14/6 150/24 13/22 28/1 179/12 angles [1] 129/12 act [6] 121/25 157/17 34/15 agility [3] 30/24 31/1 allows [1] 5/21 **announce [3]** 103/7 158/9 158/9 158/14 almost [6] 56/24 83/4 114/2 180/11 adults' [1] 34/24 31/9 158/16 advance [15] 3/6 9/8 ago [2] 63/16 153/19 86/2 101/16 172/11 announced [19] 9/6 acting [1] 121/16 agree [16] 20/1 33/23 186/4 22/22 23/1 72/8 25/1 27/13 27/16 31/7 action [6] 9/1 98/19 33/2 40/7 40/8 52/20 38/4 39/3 40/3 41/22 alone [1] 124/11 106/19 107/4 107/21 112/15 114/24 122/14 92/4 93/25 110/5 42/1 51/24 51/25 109/11 109/15 109/20 along [1] 83/21 155/24 160/12 110/15 114/11 115/22 61/11 70/21 93/14 alongside [4] 54/4 actioned [1] 45/14 advances [1] 165/16 97/2 125/16 145/23 61/5 101/12 119/8 119/7 123/17 130/4 actions [2] 40/5 40/7 advantage [1] 13/9 170/24 140/9 140/10 142/14 already [13] 28/12 active [1] 140/4 advantages [2] 29/23 agreed [3] 83/10 87/4 33/19 39/3 40/16 announcement [29] actively [2] 21/9 49/24 88/13 96/25 50/15 143/1 22/14 23/5 23/17 24/4 63/23 advice [36] 50/6 agreement [1] 174/8 107/11 115/6 134/25 24/13 24/21 25/1 25/1 activities [2] 43/8 61/23 66/21 67/15 ahead [15] 5/21 5/22 150/6 174/21 181/3 26/6 26/13 26/18 96/13 67/17 69/16 75/20 9/14 33/11 48/8 55/11 also [66] 3/18 5/19 27/23 28/2 72/11 73/6

Α announcement... [14] 98/14 107/7 108/5 108/10 109/17 109/18 109/19 110/3 110/8 110/11 110/17 111/6 119/17 140/12 announcements [4] 104/2 115/7 119/19 141/22 announces [1] 25/22 **annoying [1]** 102/6 annual [2] 4/4 101/10 annually [1] 4/1 anonymous [1] 176/9 another [21] 9/21 17/14 20/22 27/12 44/18 50/21 51/7 53/4 62/25 65/16 86/1 121/8 136/4 143/7 145/13 168/23 168/23 169/5 174/24 176/11 186/8 answer [11] 20/7 25/4 30/9 33/7 37/14 41/13 52/9 68/14 79/22 129/11 167/6 answered [2] 31/19 67/4 answers [2] 6/10 9/12 anti [1] 30/23 anti-planning [1] 30/23 anticipated [1] 95/17 any [45] 12/13 16/17 39/14 39/14 39/18 40/23 44/10 45/2 46/5 55/17 56/9 58/12 59/14 75/17 77/17 77/20 78/7 79/13 86/4 92/2 92/2 92/3 95/10 96/17 100/3 112/13 115/1 116/24 128/9 131/25 132/5 144/20 146/24 149/17 159/11 162/15 164/14 164/20 **April 2020 [2]** 51/8 166/10 169/2 171/14 179/11 182/15 183/17 186/19 anybody [4] 3/17 139/14 153/4 165/4 anyone [2] 68/20 100/2 anything [8] 9/14 13/1 46/9 83/13 103/8 112/19 145/18 165/4 anyway [3] 33/8 101/11 106/12 apologise [1] 6/11 apparent [1] 140/8 appear [1] 82/5 appearance [1] 68/21

appeared [1] 18/9 appears [1] 9/12 application [2] 133/19 157/4 applications [3] 135/13 145/25 149/11 **apply [8]** 137/3 141/19 142/6 158/11 158/25 159/2 159/4 162/7 applying [1] 27/19 appointment [1] 100/15 appreciate [4] 56/4 134/1 134/5 150/18 appreciated [1] 139/11 appreciation [1] 102/18 appreciative [3] 148/1 148/8 177/12 apprehend [1] 42/4 approach [18] 9/24 14/13 14/14 14/15 15/15 17/1 28/22 30/23 32/8 32/9 37/20 42/8 46/22 54/3 90/24 115/15 121/14 185/14 approaching [1] 84/15 appropriate [11] 48/2 48/6 51/15 52/1 139/7 156/4 166/25 174/1 180/5 180/5 183/9 appropriately [7] 77/21 136/14 141/20 142/21 152/9 161/4 172/23 approve [2] 75/19 158/21 approved [4] 93/20 94/4 152/19 155/15 **April [12]** 7/10 51/8 75/1 125/21 133/21 148/25 149/1 150/15 152/22 157/1 158/4 173/17 157/1 architecture [3] 31/22 134/17 135/15 are [116] 3/24 4/1 7/20 17/13 18/10 19/23 24/7 26/9 27/2 27/18 27/20 30/5 30/13 31/1 31/2 32/6 33/12 34/1 36/23 36/24 37/24 38/10 38/16 39/2 40/5 40/6 41/19 42/3 42/11 42/14 43/8 44/9 47/21 48/22 49/3 49/3 53/5 53/6 53/18 54/8 54/9 55/15 55/24 56/14

56/23 58/11 59/13

59/14 60/13 61/16

62/21 62/25 65/13 143/11 145/20 175/17 71/23 73/8 76/15 77/19 78/3 79/1 79/1 79/6 80/7 80/8 81/23 84/15 86/14 86/19 87/20 90/14 90/22 123/9 91/10 92/6 92/12 96/10 96/14 96/17 98/24 99/3 99/10 102/3 112/3 121/21 126/8 126/19 128/7 131/7 131/24 132/8 136/15 136/23 141/12 aspirations [1] 40/18 145/21 146/13 146/19 assess [2] 94/18 149/3 149/8 150/17 182/3 150/20 150/22 151/2 151/22 151/23 154/4 155/23 160/24 161/17 assessment [1] 164/10 164/20 166/24 183/10 169/24 176/9 176/21 178/16 181/8 181/10 183/3 181/11 area [17] 28/19 37/15 assign [1] 151/22 53/9 70/22 78/24 79/6 90/19 92/9 92/10 151/17 116/15 118/13 126/17 assisted [2] 13/11 126/24 156/19 157/20 16/6 168/8 179/20 areas [10] 28/21 63/20 71/23 72/22 56/20 74/5 87/25 92/17 93/18 118/16 182/25 aren't [3] 10/18 22/17 46/24 59/15 argue [2] 65/5 103/3 at [222] argued [1] 103/15 argument [1] 16/1 arm's [5] 64/5 64/10 64/24 66/12 85/5 arm's-length [5] 64/5 64/10 64/24 66/12 149/21 85/5 army [1] 105/6 arose [2] 80/15 90/24 attitudes [1] 37/23 around [15] 20/6 25/16 66/20 86/23 94/17 94/18 98/12 110/18 118/5 123/25 133/4 140/5 150/4 174/22 180/10 183/25 arranged [1] 1/22 150/24 arrangements [2] 96/25 96/25 151/15 arrive [1] 101/9 art [1] 145/2 artificial [1] 97/8 as [243] aside [3] 137/18 166/4 179/17 ask [26] 2/15 6/9 22/16 27/18 30/19 33/8 38/12 43/2 43/14 46/19 48/14 56/13 56/21 62/18 72/20 98/21 101/23 102/2 111/12 143/7 143/11

175/19 181/16 asked [9] 4/6 43/1 70/4 74/16 75/10 95/16 98/23 99/24 asking [12] 11/1 12/23 15/16 15/17 23/2 46/25 48/18 99/13 110/16 136/24 151/24 156/24 asks [1] 99/2 assessing [4] 122/19 Automotive [1] 128/6 159/15 166/20 assessments [1] assets [1] 145/4 **assist [2]** 13/12 association [5] 11/19 avoidable [3] 167/12 12/16 33/10 43/21 assume [1] 114/11 assumption [1] assured [1] 186/22 attached [1] 113/16 attempted [1] 20/7 **attendance [1]** 75/24 **attending [3]** 77/13 77/16 77/17 **attention [2]** 70/3 attitude [1] 121/11 attracted [1] 120/15 attractively [2] 146/17 147/19 **auditors [2]** 174/20 authorise [2] 150/21 authorised [1] authorising [1] 152/8 background [1] 95/4 authorities [76] 1/23 2/4 2/13 3/11 5/15 bad [6] 96/13 113/12 127/14 132/6 132/7 5/17 8/9 8/10 8/13 146/11 10/17 10/18 11/7 Bailey [4] 81/15 11/12 12/10 13/6 81/15 107/18 175/19 13/12 15/8 16/1 16/22 balance [8] 15/6 17/4 17/2 17/2 17/5 17/8 20/24 51/25 69/3 94/4 17/19 18/19 18/23 19/16 19/17 19/23 127/12 129/1 **balanced [2]** 17/16 20/1 20/7 21/25 25/6 161/4 28/9 28/16 29/13 33/10 33/21 33/23 **ball [1]** 72/18

34/2 35/7 35/9 35/23 36/18 36/22 37/24 38/18 38/24 39/13 39/15 39/21 39/22 40/24 41/19 42/8 43/21 44/1 46/13 47/11 49/12 50/3 51/3 51/11 52/12 52/14 52/16 54/5 54/19 55/10 55/15 56/14 56/25 57/3 57/8 57/12 106/24 authority [8] 7/6 7/25 16/10 20/22 23/4 25/25 49/25 53/3 117/17 autumn [2] 110/24 127/9 available [3] 56/8 137/15 182/1 average [2] 133/2 133/3 aviation [2] 116/11 117/16 avoid [3] 17/4 52/6 122/5 184/20 184/25 avoiding [1] 185/7 aware [3] 11/14 22/18 57/17 away [3] 86/20 100/3 139/9 awful [1] 185/8 back [47] 4/9 8/6 12/13 14/7 28/4 37/11 58/18 68/15 97/7 98/17 100/20 109/18 110/16 113/24 122/15 125/4 125/13 130/19 130/24 132/12 132/16 133/3 135/20 136/17 140/21 141/4 142/4 153/11 153/14 154/21 156/18 157/1 157/13 158/2 158/18 159/3 159/22 159/24 160/2 160/8 161/9 161/25 162/5 165/11 167/2 169/19 175/9 backstop [1] 175/14

69/3 74/14 78/9 80/23 became [23] 22/23 63/21 103/1 153/24 153/5 В 51/11 81/15 83/3 83/5 86/15 93/21 94/10 154/13 161/11 **blind [1]** 18/12 bank [72] 64/6 64/6 **blindsided [1]** 110/13 86/21 100/16 104/5 95/11 99/11 100/8 below [3] 145/17 65/19 66/5 66/6 67/17 104/10 104/15 105/5 100/22 101/2 103/5 157/16 157/20 block [1] 3/7 67/18 72/3 72/10 81/5 107/15 110/20 124/6 104/14 106/4 106/5 below 25,000 [1] blocks [4] 3/2 3/4 81/8 81/17 81/21 124/8 125/16 127/5 106/6 106/9 106/11 157/20 31/11 31/12 81/24 82/11 82/16 127/9 130/3 139/9 106/13 109/1 109/3 benefit [5] 40/23 43/5 blows [1] 112/5 84/8 88/22 88/23 bluntly [2] 48/14 140/8 143/4 147/15 109/15 111/14 111/14 49/21 53/23 164/17 111/24 111/25 112/3 because [134] 3/15 114/13 115/20 115/23 benefited [2] 133/12 134/18 123/10 124/13 124/17 118/6 118/16 118/23 5/17 5/25 8/5 8/9 186/7 board [13] 61/9 134/15 135/18 135/21 11/15 11/23 12/4 120/5 122/24 124/23 benefits [5] 12/5 117/20 117/22 136/1 135/22 136/2 136/11 15/22 16/15 16/18 125/22 133/17 137/8 15/10 52/23 166/2 149/6 156/21 181/20 136/12 136/20 137/3 19/12 23/12 23/17 137/24 140/15 141/18 170/2 182/2 182/2 182/7 137/5 137/11 137/12 bent [1] 146/11 23/20 24/10 25/17 142/13 144/14 147/1 182/21 182/24 183/17 137/12 137/19 138/5 27/7 27/25 29/23 150/3 151/7 152/2 best [8] 2/24 16/10 bodies [5] 64/5 64/10 138/10 138/13 138/14 31/21 37/11 38/8 40/8 152/20 152/22 152/23 54/8 56/10 97/11 64/24 66/12 85/5 138/17 138/18 138/24 41/13 42/1 42/13 43/4 152/25 153/22 158/15 117/1 153/23 185/3 **body [1]** 105/4 139/3 140/7 143/21 43/10 44/5 48/4 48/10 163/3 163/23 164/6 better [24] 30/18 **bold [2]** 96/10 175/7 144/23 145/11 148/9 53/2 54/18 56/8 57/21 164/8 165/13 168/22 51/1 70/23 71/24 **book** [1] 33/3 151/19 153/21 160/17 58/7 58/20 59/5 62/23 169/2 169/3 169/6 71/25 72/16 72/24 borrow [3] 131/23 161/2 161/20 164/23 62/24 65/8 66/10 67/7 169/11 169/16 169/25 73/15 73/19 73/25 132/4 176/5 168/5 168/10 169/10 68/4 69/7 70/11 71/18 170/12 171/10 171/23 74/7 74/15 79/4 borrowing [1] 173/24 170/4 170/11 171/2 123/23 128/10 129/10 72/20 73/22 74/4 172/15 175/2 181/3 both [25] 1/5 2/11 5/2 171/8 171/10 171/15 183/1 183/25 184/5 138/19 141/5 146/12 76/13 77/10 77/13 12/10 50/16 51/15 172/6 173/4 176/4 77/15 80/16 83/17 184/19 187/2 187/3 157/10 162/20 178/1 56/3 82/18 83/3 83/10 176/8 180/7 84/8 84/22 87/15 before [21] 3/22 8/5 180/24 185/21 105/3 108/14 109/24 **bank's [2]** 132/17 87/17 90/6 90/11 26/12 34/13 56/18 between [32] 3/10 111/10 115/19 118/1 168/2 90/16 91/5 91/12 60/20 72/15 95/11 4/2 5/9 6/1 8/8 11/24 126/5 127/12 128/23 banking [14] 91/13 93/25 101/23 103/4 98/17 102/8 102/25 12/13 16/7 22/14 24/4 148/10 152/4 170/5 91/14 91/15 131/12 103/6 104/1 104/14 106/4 109/16 123/16 24/9 24/20 27/23 28/2 173/10 176/20 176/20 131/13 134/24 135/1 28/14 29/9 34/6 54/14 bothered [1] 16/14 113/18 114/13 115/20 140/10 140/10 140/16 137/20 138/1 138/20 116/8 116/24 117/2 145/22 153/19 156/2 58/16 65/22 66/3 **bottom [2]** 113/20 148/17 172/21 173/6 80/19 81/7 81/9 81/20 113/25 117/5 117/13 117/21 180/3 173/15 118/13 122/22 123/15 began [1] 180/1 83/18 85/25 86/1 bottom-up [1] 113/20 banks [39] 89/7 124/3 126/12 126/20 begin [2] 25/2 154/22 97/19 122/8 140/24 bounce [23] 113/24 118/17 124/17 124/17 126/22 127/1 131/14 161/14 125/4 125/13 130/19 beginning [12] 6/24 131/14 131/21 136/14 132/3 132/19 133/8 8/15 13/18 14/1 26/16 big [14] 4/21 34/7 132/16 133/3 135/20 136/21 137/13 137/17 134/3 134/18 135/3 29/1 29/7 31/10 74/25 35/21 82/12 107/20 142/4 153/11 154/21 138/6 138/10 144/1 103/14 125/5 149/20 136/10 136/21 139/4 112/2 113/25 115/6 156/18 157/1 157/13 144/25 145/4 145/14 139/22 141/7 142/1 behalf [2] 34/3 132/2 141/12 155/22 158/2 158/18 159/3 145/18 145/23 145/24 144/25 145/14 147/19 181/16 180/21 180/22 180/25 159/22 159/24 160/2 146/12 147/16 148/9 160/8 161/9 161/25 148/20 148/22 150/12 behind [5] 8/1 55/8 bigger [4] 122/5 148/12 149/8 149/10 151/6 153/22 154/10 114/16 131/5 146/24 133/24 144/4 145/18 165/11 149/13 149/25 150/14 154/21 155/3 155/12 being [47] 2/4 12/9 biggest [3] 121/16 bound [1] 85/22 150/17 150/20 151/2 155/19 159/10 165/13 22/15 27/20 30/6 121/25 159/7 **boundaries** [1] 71/21 151/3 151/18 155/14 37/24 40/4 46/17 48/6 **bill [2]** 23/20 112/12 166/14 171/6 171/23 breadth [3] 115/19 159/10 159/14 159/23 171/24 173/16 174/4 50/4 51/8 52/6 53/19 **billion [20]** 7/10 119/19 119/25 165/15 172/23 176/6 176/20 178/16 54/9 59/6 63/8 65/8 14/20 15/3 17/20 break [7] 59/23 60/2 **Barclays** [1] 137/3 76/7 76/7 77/16 84/6 17/21 17/22 90/16 105/11 149/16 156/2 179/2 179/5 180/10 based [15] 14/13 182/25 185/18 185/25 84/18 86/8 95/20 106/20 113/20 114/11 156/4 156/10 14/14 17/1 52/17 186/3 186/23 96/24 97/15 100/16 123/17 131/10 133/10 breaking [1] 73/6 63/21 84/10 84/17 105/19 109/19 110/21 143/1 161/15 161/22 **Beckett [1]** 168/13 **Brexit** [1] 130/10 96/3 96/5 97/2 97/11 become [4] 92/23 120/25 128/8 128/18 172/11 172/24 173/12 bridge [1] 57/13 97/12 97/17 169/7 95/15 115/10 128/3 128/19 128/22 139/18 179/1 **bridging [2]** 28/13 179/11 149/23 151/14 152/16 billions [3] 112/4 becomes [3] 85/8 130/20 basic [1] 146/24 162/17 164/20 167/18 179/4 179/13 128/16 184/23 brief [2] 59/4 87/6 basis [8] 4/24 6/6 168/10 168/17 171/7 becoming [1] 60/20 bills [1] 136/16 briefing [2] 79/9 88/3 36/13 65/25 73/23 been [108] 4/7 4/20 172/16 177/11 binding [1] 24/15 briefly [3] 127/1 92/14 109/14 170/17 5/5 6/5 9/20 12/19 **BEIS [14]** 25/13 Birch [2] 86/25 179/6 172/5 172/15 **BBB [5]** 139/17 16/23 16/23 17/25 44/24 72/10 79/9 bit [12] 6/14 10/10 bring [12] 27/21 150/20 150/24 152/4 29/18 49/22 50/25 18/5 18/12 18/14 23/7 82/12 83/4 83/18 31/10 36/18 47/21 152/10 25/16 27/6 27/25 30/3 160/17 168/4 168/5 89/20 92/13 104/7 51/3 52/11 63/17 be [240] 34/13 38/7 45/1 47/4 168/15 169/18 170/5 106/14 136/13 150/7 90/21 90/25 133/8 Beattie [5] 181/12 47/6 47/18 48/1 48/7 180/7 150/12 135/25 153/11 181/13 181/14 186/12 belief [1] 106/17 49/8 51/10 51/22 54/2 blame [5] 149/23 **bringing [1]** 27/9 188/10 56/11 59/18 66/25 believe [6] 40/6 151/15 151/22 153/4 **brings [1]** 30/15

134/10 137/14 139/8 76/16 cannot [4] 49/17 65/5 burdensome [2] В 72/16 122/19 140/2 141/11 141/19 12/14 13/9 calamitous [1] Britain's [1] 116/17 142/10 142/13 143/6 bureaucratic [1] 116/18 capabilities [3] British [46] 64/6 120/22 call [12] 46/12 60/8 135/25 138/23 182/9 143/13 143/16 144/3 65/19 66/6 66/16 business [97] 2/2 2/8 79/20 94/2 99/4 capacity [2] 61/25 145/21 146/10 146/15 66/25 67/10 67/18 2/9 29/6 43/15 43/17 116/20 143/15 144/8 147/6 147/18 149/4 157/24 69/17 72/3 72/10 43/23 44/21 49/9 167/3 178/23 179/2 capital [4] 90/2 153/6 157/10 157/11 88/22 114/3 121/20 51/10 51/17 53/9 179/2 130/21 169/8 171/16 157/19 157/24 157/24 123/10 124/13 124/17 55/12 57/2 58/7 64/6 called [12] 56/15 captured [3] 108/2 158/3 158/13 158/19 134/15 135/18 137/10 65/19 66/6 67/18 61/16 65/17 75/8 159/2 159/20 161/7 108/10 177/8 138/14 138/16 138/18 67/19 71/10 72/2 72/3 75/19 86/24 89/4 97/8 car [2] 117/17 117/19 171/22 138/24 139/2 140/6 care [7] 14/5 14/6 72/10 73/20 74/2 147/8 165/25 169/8 CCFF [3] 108/22 144/23 145/11 151/19 74/19 75/4 75/8 82/17 34/14 34/15 34/16 179/6 109/11 172/4 153/21 160/17 161/2 88/21 88/22 88/24 calling [2] 52/8 34/25 184/10 cent [1] 166/13 161/19 164/23 168/1 93/8 99/23 106/21 129/14 career [3] 63/15 central [12] 15/22 168/5 168/10 169/8 112/4 116/3 118/15 calls [3] 89/20 93/17 78/14 89/24 19/15 33/18 33/19 169/10 170/4 170/10 118/25 121/17 123/10 146/10 careful [1] 86/22 33/23 34/3 35/20 44/1 171/2 171/8 171/15 123/14 124/13 124/17 calm [1] 148/6 **CARF [5]** 22/19 23/14 51/9 56/25 176/4 171/16 178/5 180/7 came [10] 14/4 26/17 128/6 130/2 134/15 23/18 23/21 23/25 176/8 broad [7] 4/11 9/19 centralising [1] 135/18 136/11 136/12 58/16 123/21 124/10 Carney [1] 81/14 37/5 41/12 66/21 136/21 137/8 137/11 125/13 126/13 130/24 carried [1] 120/8 15/16 78/22 138/12 137/14 138/14 138/16 157/2 173/10 carrying [1] 148/7 centrally [1] 102/19 broader [5] 21/1 24/3 138/18 138/24 139/2 can [118] 1/4 1/5 1/6 cars [1] 117/17 centre [1] 58/1 37/11 143/5 146/2 1/8 2/12 5/13 6/9 7/19 case [22] 7/21 20/18 140/3 140/7 143/2 **CEOs [1]** 79/12 broadly [7] 3/14 143/20 144/23 145/11 10/12 10/16 13/5 13/9 24/1 26/15 29/11 certain [4] 62/25 81/3 13/17 13/21 20/5 147/1 147/11 151/19 13/14 14/2 14/17 19/3 29/22 44/17 47/3 163/20 166/24 20/20 48/10 74/5 47/12 47/23 48/1 48/4 certainly [8] 5/16 153/21 158/5 158/24 23/10 23/25 24/13 broke [1] 106/4 160/17 161/2 161/20 25/4 27/20 27/21 53/2 71/5 96/3 97/3 49/11 105/12 109/13 brought [8] 26/10 162/10 164/23 168/1 27/22 30/11 31/7 31/7 97/13 98/10 127/23 118/15 119/12 150/6 27/25 50/18 51/2 168/5 168/10 169/10 31/22 31/24 32/17 150/7 151/22 154/13 156/6 103/22 104/4 126/14 170/4 170/11 171/2 36/2 36/3 36/15 37/19 cases [9] 24/19 25/9 certainty [12] 5/14 163/14 171/8 171/15 180/7 38/7 38/25 39/13 25/11 71/8 71/13 5/20 5/24 6/5 6/6 6/16 budget [51] 25/15 183/4 184/8 184/14 6/19 6/24 9/10 9/15 39/17 40/23 42/9 97/14 126/6 131/1 25/17 25/18 25/18 184/16 184/20 184/25 46/10 49/22 50/5 51/7 166/8 9/16 16/16 25/23 26/19 26/22 185/5 185/24 186/8 55/16 56/4 57/8 60/5 cash [12] 7/5 7/23 certification [2] 47/5 47/6 47/13 48/5 186/10 60/5 60/7 63/17 63/18 8/1 8/3 8/4 8/17 164/13 164/16 49/5 62/24 67/16 72/8 businesses [54] 73/12 73/18 74/19 121/18 121/22 130/18 chain [1] 45/22 72/15 96/12 98/14 23/22 51/19 52/19 77/8 77/9 81/4 81/4 136/7 158/8 173/1 chair [2] 75/10 99/22 100/20 100/21 81/21 81/22 82/1 53/10 53/15 53/16 cash flow [8] 7/5 170/23 100/23 101/1 101/3 106/22 108/16 116/1 83/24 87/17 88/6 7/23 8/1 8/3 8/4 chaired [1] 56/18 101/4 101/5 101/6 116/6 116/7 116/17 91/23 93/16 94/8 121/18 130/18 158/8 challenge [10] 20/2 101/7 101/9 101/10 117/22 121/21 121/23 94/11 98/17 100/7 23/4 41/5 41/6 42/5 categories [2] 164/9 103/6 103/9 103/12 125/10 127/17 128/18 101/14 102/21 105/25 178/3 42/9 49/16 127/9 103/23 104/2 104/15 128/24 130/11 130/17 106/1 106/2 108/7 category [2] 164/10 138/16 177/24 104/19 106/6 106/11 131/3 133/1 133/1 115/21 122/14 125/8 164/18 challenger [1] 106/19 107/1 107/2 133/6 133/11 133/12 125/9 127/1 129/15 Catherine [1] 171/18 137/13 107/4 107/10 107/17 133/20 133/22 138/5 129/22 131/22 134/1 **challenges** [6] 54/20 cause [2] 86/18 107/23 109/15 115/23 146/17 146/20 146/22 136/5 136/8 136/12 118/3 139/4 152/14 108/19 123/16 130/4 139/25 147/7 147/17 154/3 136/18 136/25 137/2 caused [2] 25/7 159/22 171/21 budgets [1] 25/25 154/3 157/23 158/2 144/10 144/11 144/22 130/12 challenging [1] 18/6 build [15] 78/22 Chambers [2] 121/20 158/6 158/8 161/24 146/7 146/17 146/21 causes [1] 130/16 78/25 81/13 82/18 162/2 162/3 162/14 147/22 149/10 154/5 causing [2] 122/6 158/6 82/19 83/24 84/1 85/3 162/20 166/7 166/14 154/6 155/9 156/14 151/10 chance [2] 82/4 85/9 93/15 93/16 166/16 167/7 167/10 156/14 156/16 156/18 caution [1] 30/3 174/14 134/19 134/20 139/12 178/9 184/2 186/2 159/13 159/14 167/17 cautious [3] 30/8 Chancellor [112] 173/1 171/5 172/3 172/15 42/12 42/15 25/20 64/17 66/17 businesspeople [1] building [12] 3/2 3/4 142/1 175/17 177/4 178/15 caveats [1] 177/6 66/21 67/1 67/6 67/8 3/7 5/9 31/11 31/12 179/15 179/20 183/4 **CBI[1]** 160/4 67/15 67/23 68/10 bust [1] 143/21 37/22 40/14 56/1 79/4 69/16 69/24 70/1 70/7 **busy [4]** 77/19 can't [16] 12/25 **CBILS [53]** 107/4 79/24 82/22 101/15 101/16 104/1 42/15 49/12 50/2 107/5 119/4 123/11 70/15 72/5 72/10 built [5] 105/5 134/10 58/22 70/18 77/23 123/13 123/15 123/21 81/10 86/1 87/14 89/8 but [280] 134/18 164/13 165/1 95/15 98/7 99/3 99/13 buy [1] 117/17 91/11 110/1 112/19 124/1 124/2 125/12 burden [5] 11/12 118/12 118/13 122/16 129/24 130/3 131/10 99/18 100/9 100/13 12/3 12/4 12/8 15/20 139/23 154/9 159/16 132/20 132/24 133/2 100/14 100/16 100/23 burdens [2] 37/2 cabinet [5] 66/18 candid [3] 85/18 133/5 133/16 133/16 101/3 101/5 103/7 46/15 74/25 75/2 75/10 108/2 179/23 133/23 133/23 134/7 106/19 108/21 108/24

(52) Britain's - Chancellor

148/15 149/22 checked [1] 69/3 closer [1] 29/18 С competition [3] 138/2 138/13 146/22 checking [1] 52/20 closing [3] 78/12 Commerce [2] Chancellor... [75] checklists [1] 182/19 complaints [1] 148/4 126/20 126/21 121/20 158/7 109/2 109/4 109/8 **checks [9]** 131/18 co [2] 39/21 50/10 commercial [3] 89/7 complemented [1] 111/19 111/20 112/1 136/15 137/6 138/21 co-design [1] 39/21 132/17 159/14 37/20 112/11 112/15 112/21 154/7 157/16 158/20 co-production [1] commission [3] complete [1] 115/17 113/4 113/7 113/13 159/15 163/25 50/10 100/5 112/6 112/8 **completely [7]** 51/24 114/1 114/15 114/18 chief [2] 171/18 coaching [2] 85/1 commissioned [2] 93/14 100/19 110/5 122/3 123/17 125/4 111/4 115/19 123/18 178/24 85/6 99/20 99/21 125/11 126/16 127/3 completes [3] 186/13 children's [2] 34/16 **coalition** [1] 83/8 commitment [1] 36/6 127/20 128/4 128/9 34/25 collaboration [1] **committed** [1] 85/21 187/6 187/8 129/1 129/8 129/10 China [1] 95/22 committee [14] 89/5 complex [4] 89/9 65/14 129/17 133/20 138/3 **Chisholm [2]** 83/3 collaborative [5] 89/8 89/22 89/23 141/19 142/19 165/6 142/5 143/15 143/25 62/9 66/10 66/11 78/2 90/15 91/1 92/15 168/13 **complexity** [1] 139/7 144/3 144/14 145/10 **choice [4]** 125/10 78/6 92/20 92/23 93/1 complicated [11] 145/23 145/24 146/3 125/11 138/5 176/16 collaboratively [6] 170/24 174/9 175/4 90/24 123/25 135/24 146/11 147/22 149/7 176/25 **choose [1]** 176/18 63/24 64/7 64/23 136/10 141/12 141/14 149/23 156/25 157/6 **chosen [1]** 131/6 67/17 71/8 163/10 committees [2] 155/2 158/19 158/22 158/10 160/14 160/20 circulation [1] 49/4 79/23 84/22 159/2 180/10 collateralised [1] 161/3 161/13 164/14 circumstance [3] 89/15 **common [3]** 48/15 comply [1] 36/13 166/10 167/1 167/8 48/25 48/25 116/24 48/17 84/15 colleague [1] 102/23 **component** [1] 31/10 167/20 167/22 167/23 **Commons [2]** 25/22 circumstances [19] colleagues [36] comprehensive [2] 168/1 169/6 169/9 5/16 5/23 10/4 23/22 59/20 64/9 64/15 98/15 99/22 141/21 171/7 171/11 171/20 23/25 24/18 25/14 64/23 65/10 65/12 communicate [4] comprised [1] 61/17 171/24 173/25 174/8 26/21 44/10 44/16 66/23 67/21 70/23 73/18 73/25 85/16 **concede [2]** 146/14 174/24 175/5 176/1 45/13 50/16 54/8 71/13 71/17 71/19 110/8 146/19 176/13 176/18 177/11 62/21 73/17 74/14 72/9 72/23 72/25 74/6 communicated [5] conceding [1] 146/13 177/17 182/16 183/8 117/15 165/24 166/24 75/21 76/16 77/12 70/23 71/24 71/25 concentrate [3] Chancellor Javid's 79/14 82/13 84/7 72/3 141/5 111/23 120/22 120/24 citizen [1] 171/1 **[1]** 101/3 86/13 90/8 95/5 civil [13] 79/13 79/21 communicating [1] concentrating [1] Chancellor's [6] 70/5 79/21 79/25 84/24 103/25 109/22 110/6 72/24 134/7 70/12 100/5 113/2 85/1 85/8 85/12 85/21 125/23 163/8 168/12 concern [4] 173/16 communication [9] 122/4 158/23 86/3 160/18 170/8 179/8 182/8 185/20 173/18 174/21 174/23 5/8 5/9 19/20 78/10 chancellors [2] 186/16 186/19 170/22 80/11 141/1 143/11 **concerned** [11] 8/7 137/25 138/4 claim [2] 14/15 23/11 **colleagues' [1]** 76/11 143/13 171/12 8/10 21/16 37/21 change [15] 11/10 claims [1] 23/25 collect [1] 18/20 86/10 113/6 138/17 communications [2] 11/11 23/21 23/24 clarification [1] **collection [2]** 145/3 141/7 180/9 155/12 158/15 161/7 37/7 40/9 84/23 148/19 159/22 communities [4] 172/20 100/15 115/16 126/21 1/15 38/20 51/20 80/3 concerning [1] 71/6 collections [1] 18/22 clarify [2] 61/15 169/20 169/21 169/23 160/11 **collective [2]** 167/11 community [2] concerns [3] 45/11 170/4 170/25 clarity [5] 6/17 6/19 121/17 158/24 182/11 45/13 63/4 changed [13] 4/6 combination [1] companies [24] 71/7 **conclusion [2]** 71/25 9/15 9/22 143/23 10/25 10/25 11/1 116/10 116/18 116/23 94/14 **CLBILS [4]** 155/2 13/24 14/12 92/19 106/15 155/11 155/22 178/12 come [22] 3/21 4/9 117/2 117/5 117/6 conditionality [2] 106/21 124/9 125/19 clear [26] 6/25 7/17 7/16 10/16 18/11 117/23 124/25 126/3 173/23 176/12 134/4 174/7 174/11 10/2 11/21 14/22 18/13 28/4 32/15 50/5 163/6 163/24 164/19 **conditions [6]** 98/10 changes [4] 119/7 44/22 70/9 91/19 82/22 88/2 109/21 165/14 172/22 172/25 141/13 141/16 141/19 152/25 169/24 180/12 100/19 107/16 107/22 111/17 115/7 120/18 173/3 173/8 173/20 173/24 174/2 changing [1] 37/23 122/15 125/12 126/7 175/13 178/24 179/10 conducted [2] 32/14 108/11 110/21 111/6 **channel** [1] 5/8 112/2 137/23 138/3 132/12 153/12 159/7 179/13 185/12 101/25 characteristics [1] 141/17 142/5 144/15 177/5 Companies House conference [1] 58/1 184/12 **[4]** 163/6 163/24 145/10 158/4 158/23 comes [6] 37/15 confidences [2] 73/6 charges [2] 14/16 37/22 49/5 58/18 177/9 177/15 183/11 164/19 165/14 110/11 50/12 clearly [4] 117/14 66/13 175/18 company [5] 74/9 confident [9] 43/2 **Charles [26]** 60/8 125/7 128/12 141/16 comfort [1] 10/10 87/1 126/2 126/11 49/19 122/22 154/4 60/10 60/13 60/18 clip [1] 100/3 165/11 179/24 180/6 175/8 comfortable [2] 62/19 67/24 70/3 close [8] 29/7 29/10 181/4 181/6 16/20 177/1 company-specific [2] 72/20 88/3 90/22 95/5 coming [11] 8/25 9/2 62/7 62/15 66/1 74/13 87/1 126/11 confidential [7] 43/1 105/10 105/14 106/4 164/3 171/17 43/2 68/23 71/8 71/14 37/11 53/19 99/13 compare [1] 53/13 128/25 134/1 148/19 closed [6] 47/10 101/11 106/11 107/2 71/17 174/18 compared [2] 43/24 149/5 151/24 156/18 66/15 67/6 70/10 110/3 168/8 173/1 101/19 confidentiality [8] 162/14 177/4 181/8 70/14 163/3 commend [1] 179/7 26/25 27/20 29/15 comparison [3] 181/19 186/13 188/7 closely [11] 51/4 42/22 43/3 43/4 43/6 comment [6] 58/8 10/22 45/4 122/1 check [6] 14/21 60/5 56/11 62/1 66/22 67/2 compelling [1] 69/13 87/10 94/8 49/20 105/25 135/24 135/25 67/21 72/2 74/1 74/11 101/21 102/21 121/17 confirm [1] 1/4 156/14 171/15 175/20 comments [2] **confirmed** [1] 72/9 **competing [1]** 95/20

140/11 127/16 128/6 128/17 148/3 148/6 177/12 **critical [6]** 38/19 С contained [1] 95/22 128/17 160/24 161/14 cover [2] 43/11 58/12 43/21 46/17 55/15 conflicts [1] 91/19 161/23 164/16 166/5 114/17 116/11 containment [1] **covered [2]** 47/6 confusion [2] 145/12 97/16 186/22 critically [2] 80/21 145/15 content [1] 82/19 costing [1] 113/19 covering [3] 71/11 117/13 connect [3] 20/2 context [13] 50/21 costs [10] 37/8 54/2 99/23 154/3 criticise [1] 46/7 165/1 165/6 127/24 128/7 128/21 68/16 99/18 100/25 Covid [15] 10/8 22/19 criticised [1] 53/19 connection [2] 28/25 criticism [3] 53/25 106/18 127/15 133/9 160/21 161/3 161/6 38/18 81/16 95/22 29/9 146/2 147/23 148/16 166/4 170/1 96/13 105/6 112/12 102/16 150/13 connections [2] 21/5 could [110] 1/24 2/13 130/1 131/11 137/24 151/2 161/6 161/16 critiqued [2] 183/20 80/25 2/16 6/23 9/20 9/20 163/21 172/3 182/21 continue [1] 1/24 183/21 **conscious** [1] 5/2 continued [3] 20/19 9/20 9/22 9/24 10/9 183/13 crowded [1] 36/16 consequence [5] 103/21 159/23 15/14 19/25 21/13 Covid-19 [4] 38/18 crucial [1] 43/8 55/2 86/21 108/13 continuing [2] 24/17 25/2 27/1 27/3 95/22 96/13 130/1 crude [1] 54/10 116/22 122/25 28/23 29/15 30/6 30/7 125/18 175/14 create [4] 5/2 65/4 cultural [1] 38/1 consequences [3] contracts [1] 5/22 31/19 35/21 35/24 89/21 91/7 culture [8] 32/6 38/2 42/13 43/7 53/17 39/7 42/20 45/24 46/5 created [6] 65/3 75/7 **contrary** [1] 133/13 38/4 39/5 40/8 93/7 consider [9] 7/21 **contrast [2]** 18/18 50/25 52/23 54/2 89/3 89/10 89/25 90/3 120/25 178/10 15/12 37/7 69/9 94/19 creating [3] 40/16 54/18 54/20 57/22 57/3 cumbersome [1] 116/23 122/16 172/14 **contribute [2]** 40/25 57/23 57/24 63/5 66/2 91/25 96/12 46/13 182/11 68/15 70/23 71/2 creative [1] 178/11 current [3] 92/6 94/7 68/9 considerably [1] control [1] 159/11 71/12 71/24 71/25 credible [1] 114/2 135/21 40/8 72/13 72/16 72/24 credit [45] 89/1 89/3 controls [2] 68/11 **currently [2]** 133/15 consideration [5] 73/8 73/15 73/24 74/7 180/5 89/4 89/5 89/6 89/8 175/6 36/14 175/22 184/15 convene [3] 98/23 74/22 78/9 79/4 79/14 89/22 90/15 90/17 customer [1] 137/18 184/17 185/15 99/2 99/14 79/18 79/19 81/18 91/7 112/18 113/8 customers [3] considered [4] 87/1 87/7 87/11 88/10 120/3 120/9 120/19 123/22 124/10 137/18 conversation [3] 112/24 181/23 182/16 19/19 46/17 148/21 88/14 89/18 89/23 121/7 124/8 124/19 183/13 91/9 92/23 94/2 96/1 131/16 131/18 139/3 conversations [3] considering [1] 49/8 daily [2] 4/25 20/15 97/10 103/2 103/3 146/16 146/17 146/21 21/21 59/4 109/9 consigned [1] 107/11 damage [4] 108/20 103/13 103/16 106/9 147/1 147/7 147/12 convey [1] 141/18 **consisted [1]** 74/21 108/20 122/6 128/23 **conviction [2]** 63/19 106/10 110/10 114/23 147/20 155/4 155/5 **consistent [4]** 16/15 117/21 124/8 134/4 155/13 155/14 155/17 damaging [1] 111/10 64/22 32/19 49/15 59/1 convince [1] 155/16 157/17 158/14 159/21 Dan [2] 98/25 104/11 135/15 135/15 135/21 constant [1] 98/11 convinced [1] 180/12 138/9 140/25 141/2 159/25 166/11 172/24 Dan York-Smith [1] constantly [2] 98/6 **cooperate** [1] 78/18 141/5 145/6 145/19 173/4 173/20 174/25 104/11 154/11 146/16 147/1 147/13 175/4 175/7 175/14 danger [4] 53/17 cooperation [2] constitutional [2] 54/7 80/22 92/25 78/17 86/5 150/3 158/13 160/1 crime [4] 163/10 70/7 70/20 163/12 163/12 165/14 dangerous [1] 111/9 163/3 163/22 165/8 coordinate [2] constrained [2] **Danske [1]** 138/10 102/19 105/8 165/11 170/14 170/17 crises [1] 141/4 118/24 138/6 Danske Bank [1] coordinating [6] 173/4 174/17 176/20 crisis [72] 40/19 constraining [2] 138/10 178/1 180/9 180/24 79/23 102/9 104/10 40/20 62/12 64/14 93/21 138/13 64/16 74/3 75/2 77/2 darkest [1] 118/4 104/13 104/22 105/4 185/3 185/23 constraints [4] 6/21 77/7 79/1 81/16 90/12 data [16] 11/11 13/8 coronavirus [4] 99/4 couldn't [10] 59/8 74/4 112/13 130/13 15/23 15/24 16/9 101/7 107/19 130/2 70/25 71/4 92/20 91/23 93/22 94/25 constriction [1] 95/10 97/24 97/24 18/21 19/15 19/19 corporate [6] 2/15 113/17 117/17 141/2 118/8 21/17 51/8 52/19 53/1 84/1 94/15 101/24 147/7 147/13 157/18 99/22 103/14 110/14 constructive [1] 54/25 55/1 55/7 182/1 113/1 113/8 113/12 107/19 172/3 council [9] 20/11 65/23 date [11] 95/2 98/22 20/12 26/15 53/8 115/16 115/19 116/5 correct [32] 2/1 2/6 constructively [3] 101/4 101/5 103/7 8/24 10/20 11/9 22/1 53/14 56/16 56/19 116/15 116/21 116/21 62/14 64/4 84/18 106/12 106/19 107/11 25/21 60/15 60/23 57/14 57/24 116/23 118/5 118/24 consultancies [1] 126/20 126/21 154/11 61/2 61/7 61/12 62/8 121/18 122/13 124/6 councils [1] 2/8 117/7 67/11 76/2 80/13 125/20 126/8 127/20 dated [2] 22/25 **COUNSEL [4]** 1/11 consultation [8] 137/24 80/20 91/2 91/5 99/18 60/11 188/5 188/9 128/11 129/13 132/8 58/17 58/21 58/21 104/13 106/17 112/9 132/22 141/6 141/11 dating [1] 66/17 counterparts [1] 58/24 58/25 59/8 134/13 134/16 134/16 141/15 144/14 145/9 **David [1]** 9/22 82/8 59/16 119/16 147/10 147/14 147/24 Davies [1] 101/25 135/9 140/13 140/17 country [4] 84/13 Consumer [2] 157/17 day [23] 4/25 27/9 157/7 167/20 183/18 101/17 107/12 128/14 149/21 150/6 154/17 158/14 159/19 163/21 165/20 67/2 69/16 94/1 98/2 **corrected [2]** 15/2 county [1] 20/11 contact [9] 19/8 20/6 99/25 100/6 109/10 couple [4] 89/11 166/2 166/21 167/14 130/4 21/2 80/16 107/8 correcting [1] 122/10 110/2 110/18 144/13 89/19 145/20 174/18 169/13 172/1 172/25 108/6 109/20 123/22 146/10 149/20 152/16 course [9] 2/12 8/13 175/15 176/24 179/25 **correctly [2]** 53/3 124/10 167/1 169/7 176/1 44/18 59/19 68/1 180/11 180/15 180/17 114/1 contacting [1] 176/13 176/25 177/1 68/17 122/2 130/6 COSLA [1] 18/21 180/19 180/21 183/13 172/23 187/2 187/13 cost [14] 108/14 156/20 criteria [2] 10/13 contacts [2] 35/1 108/15 114/14 127/16 courteous [4] 147/25 46/3 days [18] 26/22

29/1 29/19 34/4 34/7 88/14 94/24 98/4 182/24 183/4 183/19 delays [2] 24/7 D 184/9 184/16 185/24 34/11 34/19 35/1 35/4 104/12 109/6 109/8 151/10 days... [17] 66/17 35/8 35/12 35/16 36/4 115/1 115/9 115/11 didn't [49] 3/3 17/9 delegates [2] 77/14 69/2 72/4 93/3 98/5 77/17 36/20 37/1 37/6 39/7 125/13 18/3 28/16 29/19 98/16 103/3 103/9 deliberate [1] 64/21 39/12 39/20 43/19 35/11 48/9 57/20 developing [4] 106/9 106/13 107/2 44/3 45/3 55/19 63/9 102/18 115/3 160/13 72/14 77/6 79/12 deliberately [2] 83/12 107/6 107/10 118/4 92/19 108/18 110/8 142/11 63/22 63/25 64/4 64/9 165/4 119/18 119/24 152/18 deliver [9] 5/18 11/13 64/15 66/8 66/12 110/13 113/25 118/21 development [5] deadline [1] 103/5 12/9 16/6 37/5 52/23 66/23 67/13 71/4 14/2 47/25 61/22 124/2 124/7 126/21 deadweight [1] 147/8 71/12 71/21 72/13 132/5 134/8 136/2 140/25 142/21 182/10 115/9 119/13 deal [4] 130/10 139/22 141/9 142/14 deliverable [1] 76/25 72/25 73/16 74/1 developments [3] 130/11 150/19 186/16 delivered [4] 2/5 74/12 74/22 83/7 86/7 109/25 140/16 140/19 147/2 150/18 151/7 dealing [6] 21/24 95/10 148/18 178/14 96/14 **devolved [3]** 79/5 151/9 152/3 152/14 29/13 57/11 106/6 depend [3] 10/3 154/21 159/11 162/20 delivering [10] 1/25 79/8 79/10 168/2 184/8 168/25 174/15 176/5 7/14 18/23 36/19 81/19 155/6 **DfE [1]** 34/24 dealt [3] 71/5 72/21 54/14 148/10 148/13 depended [3] 52/18 **DGs [1]** 102/9 178/5 179/3 179/14 186/23 160/20 171/15 171/16 179/21 179/24 180/17 52/19 116/11 **DHSC** [1] 34/24 debates [1] 84/7 dependent [2] 23/19 delivery [24] 5/12 9/1 dialogue [1] 12/13 180/23 182/7 183/19 December [2] 1/1 diary [19] 67/25 11/17 18/16 25/10 185/24 185/25 80/22 22/25 25/13 26/4 39/23 depending [3] 67/20 68/16 68/18 68/22 difference [12] 4/2 decide [1] 69/11 50/23 51/4 53/13 78/24 94/5 69/6 98/22 100/3 4/21 13/15 16/7 57/6 decided [5] 81/25 55/11 55/15 58/17 108/2 108/10 110/19 57/7 100/18 103/4 depends [1] 155/10 101/6 113/15 122/4 103/10 168/25 171/14 64/5 65/14 65/18 84/3 111/13 116/8 129/5 deploy [3] 3/4 3/8 139/11 168/2 169/17 171/6 142/17 143/8 146/3 31/14 180/20 decision [26] 5/2 171/9 171/13 171/21 describe [4] 2/24 3/2 177/6 177/10 178/23 different [42] 11/4 29/3 42/8 45/17 46/24 demand [5] 124/11 111/19 148/21 did [133] 2/19 6/22 20/10 20/23 21/19 66/24 67/22 69/25 130/12 130/16 147/17 7/4 8/18 8/20 10/7 22/12 26/3 35/12 described [8] 19/7 70/16 72/6 72/7 72/14 28/22 32/8 58/3 63/7 11/10 12/14 18/3 35/13 49/24 52/12 157/22 75/3 75/18 77/12 94/7 107/14 129/24 153/6 18/20 18/21 26/21 52/13 52/14 75/12 demanding [3] 120/5 125/5 125/7 129/19 78/11 84/9 84/9 84/16 29/12 29/17 31/21 121/2 149/23 describing [1] 129/23 140/14 167/22 demands [2] 129/18 177/11 34/5 35/7 35/9 37/17 91/13 91/14 91/15 168/20 170/7 175/7 description [3] 63/11 42/24 43/1 45/10 46/9 92/12 117/11 118/14 144/1 175/8 47/9 47/9 47/16 47/17 121/11 125/22 125/23 democracy [1] 65/7 112/21 decision-making [2] 170/18 deserve [1] 139/3 50/8 50/9 50/14 51/4 129/18 130/16 136/16 75/3 75/18 design [18] 11/19 51/17 52/8 54/16 137/21 141/11 154/25 demonstrated [2] decisions [26] 6/7 17/9 21/10 25/10 54/18 55/6 57/17 155/1 168/9 169/2 38/18 42/19 18/1 31/18 31/25 57/20 59/20 63/4 171/8 173/19 176/21 demonstrates [2] 25/12 29/18 38/21 37/24 64/18 76/7 109/13 167/15 39/21 40/24 41/20 63/10 65/16 68/18 182/18 182/19 184/5 122/2 122/4 125/16 demoralised [1] 54/9 44/13 45/17 50/17 71/14 71/16 71/18 185/24 125/18 125/19 126/7 **department [72]** 2/16 51/1 57/2 58/25 142/4 71/19 71/20 74/20 differently [3] 9/14 126/9 126/14 126/16 2/19 3/10 4/11 4/12 74/24 75/17 75/18 120/18 145/14 169/16 126/18 127/3 127/11 7/25 10/17 13/12 75/19 76/13 77/1 difficult [47] 26/19 designed [3] 113/18 127/19 129/6 168/22 41/16 44/25 54/8 58/2 21/23 22/3 22/8 25/6 123/16 153/7 79/16 81/19 83/16 169/6 169/16 178/20 26/11 26/18 27/4 28/9 designing [2] 21/14 85/15 85/17 85/23 62/12 81/11 84/14 178/21 28/12 28/14 28/18 107/19 86/12 87/14 88/15 85/14 85/18 85/25 decisively [1] 98/19 87/20 89/9 101/21 28/18 29/9 30/4 33/22 desire [1] 84/11 89/22 90/7 90/11 decline [1] 76/13 34/1 34/7 34/10 34/14 detachment [1] 97/22 101/9 103/2 101/22 117/4 117/15 dedicated [1] 20/25 34/15 35/9 35/10 36/8 63/18 103/10 104/3 104/13 117/16 117/20 118/2 deep [2] 84/17 89/6 detail [2] 44/21 120/8 36/17 36/25 39/3 105/7 107/9 109/18 121/5 124/15 124/20 deepest [1] 167/13 39/11 39/15 42/5 details [3] 24/22 48/3 109/21 113/22 113/23 125/15 125/18 125/24 deeply [4] 87/22 43/22 43/24 44/18 58/9 113/23 114/15 117/5 126/1 126/5 126/9 148/8 148/17 172/20 45/4 45/4 45/19 54/14 117/9 117/10 117/22 126/10 126/14 127/3 **detection [1]** 165/17 defaulted [1] 155/24 127/11 127/12 127/15 54/17 55/12 61/5 deteriorating [1] 118/4 118/19 122/11 Defence [1] 71/11 61/10 62/6 62/7 67/19 107/15 124/7 124/18 126/18 127/24 128/16 132/12 define [2] 91/9 91/11 67/19 69/22 71/9 71/9 127/3 128/25 129/11 133/24 143/15 147/11 deterioration [1] defined [2] 75/17 71/10 72/2 74/2 74/2 131/11 134/4 134/7 147/15 149/18 168/21 107/25 92/10 134/8 137/11 138/25 171/25 178/20 179/2 74/8 78/18 78/18 determine [1] 147/11 definitely [1] 15/4 82/12 82/16 83/12 139/5 139/19 140/7 difficulties [6] 28/13 determined [2] 113/2 definition [1] 58/1 93/7 119/13 168/9 141/8 141/19 142/4 86/4 126/3 127/22 158/10 degree [3] 9/2 52/20 168/23 171/9 183/3 develop [10] 29/2 142/10 148/9 148/12 132/13 133/9 63/17 148/13 151/5 151/12 184/9 35/16 67/21 85/2 difficulty [1] 132/19 delay [7] 9/1 22/13 100/21 109/6 110/25 157/7 159/19 159/21 dilemma [1] 114/8 department's [3] 24/4 136/19 151/19 115/13 143/4 175/21 162/22 165/3 165/8 21/16 26/13 32/13 direct [3] 63/20 65/9 151/20 170/1 168/15 172/17 174/16 departments [51] **developed [18]** 3/10 171/11 delayed [3] 22/23 12/6 14/24 15/13 26/3 14/11 28/10 29/2 29/8 178/18 178/20 179/5 directed [1] 185/16 23/16 167/5 26/11 28/15 28/23 38/8 67/12 67/16 179/16 179/17 182/2 direction [6] 8/14

40/1 42/11 57/19 58/2 Dr Tetlow [3] 63/7 170/1 eased [1] 126/12 D 65/16 88/9 86/18 119/13 127/5 distance [1] 69/2 easier [3] 46/2 direction... [5] 61/10 distinction [1] 10/21 Dr Tetlow's [2] 88/16 | 131/21 171/11 128/3 128/4 160/18 70/23 82/21 174/7 distress [1] 71/7 170/5 186/23 187/8 90/9 easily [1] 142/15 181/22 distribute [1] 158/11 doesn't [7] 37/4 draft [2] 14/20 Easter [2] 149/16 directly [2] 51/22 distributed [3] 14/3 39/25 77/9 90/6 100/20 149/17 80/16 easy [12] 71/24 78/4 138/9 183/25 111/22 170/19 171/7 drain [6] 77/10 director [8] 1/14 1/20 distribution [1] 166/3 doing [33] 15/13 172/21 173/5 173/7 87/17 88/1 125/16 22/6 60/19 62/2 99/8 district [1] 20/12 15/16 27/1 40/12 173/14 176/6 141/24 142/6 158/11 99/11 102/1 diverse [1] 53/9 40/14 40/20 44/2 dramatic [1] 97/24 158/25 159/4 162/7 directorate [7] 21/4 52/20 54/5 54/8 70/24 dramatically [3] dividends [1] 174/10 162/21 21/5 34/23 50/8 91/10 103/20 111/8 165/18 83/1 84/25 85/7 88/17 division [2] 34/9 easy-access [1] 104/20 105/1 90/7 90/11 105/2 116/11 draw [6] 10/21 57/8 162/21 directorates [3] do [133] 4/13 4/15 119/18 122/8 128/4 95/1 145/16 172/24 **EBRIG [2]** 75/5 75/19 61/18 61/21 91/11 5/5 5/18 5/19 7/13 9/9 142/24 148/1 155/10 173/3 economic [51] 30/21 directors [4] 16/10 9/14 9/16 9/20 10/1 158/18 159/15 177/2 drawing [2] 17/3 70/3 38/23 43/23 66/13 23/20 99/11 102/8 10/5 13/23 15/7 15/11 180/23 182/18 185/2 66/15 66/19 66/20 drawn [4] 83/25 disability [1] 186/5 19/1 21/13 24/17 25/5 185/6 185/19 186/17 173/11 173/13 174/21 66/24 67/1 67/3 67/5 disabled [14] 181/16 27/1 27/15 29/21 30/8 don't [54] 6/12 8/2 drew [1] 76/18 67/8 67/22 68/9 68/12 182/5 184/18 184/21 30/11 31/7 32/6 32/7 12/25 22/16 23/5 drill [1] 119/22 69/17 69/25 70/5 70/8 184/23 185/1 185/4 32/15 32/19 32/22 23/12 30/4 30/24 drive [1] 84/23 70/16 73/20 74/19 185/9 185/13 185/16 driven [4] 84/11 33/2 33/23 33/25 32/20 35/25 41/16 75/4 75/8 94/19 95/10 185/25 186/3 186/6 42/3 43/6 45/21 48/5 97/17 97/18 97/20 35/24 39/3 39/7 39/25 167/18 169/9 171/7 186/9 58/6 59/7 59/13 65/24 drivers [1] 55/8 97/24 98/4 107/24 40/1 40/18 41/22 46/6 disagree [1] 78/2 46/8 46/8 46/14 46/21 81/2 85/13 88/6 88/15 driving [3] 26/4 108/19 111/2 111/10 disagreements [1] 47/24 48/14 49/17 88/16 90/5 90/9 91/20 100/10 168/1 116/20 116/22 116/22 86/5 51/2 51/25 53/22 54/1 94/1 94/3 99/6 102/21 drop [4] 118/21 127/16 128/17 129/7 disappear [1] 51/20 54/2 57/12 57/16 102/23 102/25 103/16 130/12 130/16 156/6 129/19 130/13 148/11 disaster [1] 121/23 57/16 57/17 58/12 110/4 112/20 118/13 161/21 163/10 163/11 dropped [2] 72/18 disastrous [1] 51/22 58/22 58/23 58/24 121/12 123/24 124/4 163/12 167/13 178/1 139/9 disburse [2] 142/7 61/10 63/12 66/13 124/4 128/8 131/25 due [3] 100/25 127/8 185/17 159/1 68/12 69/9 69/18 137/4 137/5 142/11 186/8 economically [1] disbursed [1] 142/25 70/11 70/21 73/7 73/9 142/18 147/9 149/23 duplicate [2] 164/25 184/11 disciplines [1] **economics [6]** 61/16 73/14 77/4 78/10 157/5 171/14 171/20 165/15 131/20 172/2 184/3 78/13 78/20 81/25 duplicated [1] 76/20 79/17 92/11 92/12 disclose [1] 176/24 83/2 84/21 84/24 done [38] 2/25 4/1 92/13 161/20 during [20] 2/11 disclosure [2] 88/13 89/23 93/24 6/23 16/9 19/3 27/12 10/22 16/4 21/7 28/10 economy [19] 39/1 175/23 176/13 94/8 101/23 102/15 30/12 44/15 48/5 54/6 35/15 38/18 43/23 75/13 75/15 75/22 discrepancy [1] 104/5 111/22 112/19 59/8 59/9 63/5 72/16 44/4 59/20 60/25 108/8 108/13 108/14 16/13 113/7 113/13 114/16 72/24 73/15 74/7 79/4 67/24 68/18 81/16 108/15 113/14 114/6 discretion [1] 46/2 116/19 117/9 117/10 89/24 100/19 101/11 94/23 109/9 120/4 114/19 115/21 115/25 discrimination [1] 120/1 123/5 126/5 103/17 110/8 111/25 164/3 167/13 184/13 117/12 118/8 122/6 146/24 112/10 118/14 124/25 dust [1] 154/9 126/8 126/9 127/5 128/15 128/21 166/15 discrimination' [1] 129/16 133/9 134/8 137/8 142/11 153/21 dusted [1] 114/23 Eddie [1] 87/5 146/15 136/14 140/21 140/24 161/19 161/25 168/10 duties [1] 61/4 edges [3] 27/2 50/19 discuss [2] 5/4 144/2 141/2 141/7 141/9 169/5 170/17 180/1 duty [4] 5/17 85/22 150/7 discussed [11] 27/11 **Education [1]** 34/15 144/3 144/8 145/22 181/3 183/3 182/12 183/8 34/13 42/18 42/25 152/12 154/6 157/18 door [2] 132/4 151/4 effect [4] 86/12 **DWP [1]** 82/14 48/6 49/17 58/19 59/6 doubt [1] 128/10 157/19 158/13 159/8 130/20 145/16 169/23 86/6 96/22 179/21 E 159/13 159/24 165/22 down [30] 6/9 6/14 effective [20] 5/20 discussing [4] each [14] 8/11 8/14 170/9 173/2 176/19 8/9 13/5 37/15 37/22 12/9 16/5 39/12 45/15 108/22 108/25 109/1 20/2 20/10 27/8 28/17 178/19 178/20 179/16 76/1 77/3 77/10 78/12 75/24 76/4 78/15 86/5 28/18 53/3 83/21 84/6 180/23 181/6 182/7 82/24 83/6 89/12 131/7 131/9 131/11 discussion [6] 16/15 91/10 91/12 91/17 182/21 185/3 100/24 108/15 110/1 132/8 132/25 133/18 48/13 52/5 52/6 111/23 114/12 114/13 160/10 134/23 157/15 157/20 do/achieve [1] 46/6 112/17 183/17 do: [1] 160/19 119/22 127/17 128/22 earlier [12] 16/16 167/15 169/1 discussions [6] 128/22 166/12 172/24 27/11 28/1 28/5 41/4 do: it [1] 160/19 effectively [12] 17/22 76/12 84/15 126/2 173/17 178/25 179/12 41/17 42/19 49/23 document [7] 11/4 18/12 24/16 36/14 182/3 183/13 183/23 77/23 103/2 106/9 180/1 183/20 36/17 47/8 62/14 32/20 32/23 68/3 disparagingly [1] 169/5 68/19 68/23 69/8 download [1] 144/8 92/23 104/10 114/15 83/11 early [13] 8/5 8/22 documented [1] 139/11 173/14 downturn [1] 97/20 dispel [1] 125/17 39/20 72/4 76/9 76/11 160/14 **Dr** [7] 30/21 31/20 efficient [1] 5/20 dispersal [1] 157/3 79/11 94/12 104/11 63/7 65/16 88/9 88/16 documents [2] 32/24 **efficiently [2]** 157/25 Disqualification [1] 128/11 128/14 130/5 78/17 90/9 186/2 23/20 160/25 does [18] 7/24 20/2 **Dr Leunig [2]** 30/21 effort [4] 81/12 disruption [2] 8/2 32/22 35/19 36/14 31/20 ease [1] 166/3 104/17 120/9 163/10

90/17 98/7 167/14 **executive [7]** 61/8 encouraging [2] envisage [1] 82/10 Е every [15] 3/25 7/24 156/21 171/18 174/11 37/23 85/1 envisaged [1] 96/24 efforts [1] 54/9 11/6 11/15 16/20 20/2 end [21] 9/4 12/21 178/24 181/19 182/2 envisaging [1] 82/5 **EFG [2]** 144/18 152/2 20/13 36/8 41/5 41/10 exercises [2] 32/14 13/3 91/17 97/11 epidemic [1] 118/17 **EGU [1]** 111/25 97/14 97/22 99/25 equalities [4] 181/24 57/23 86/2 98/2 55/22 eight [2] 165/3 165/5 exist [4] 54/14 88/13 109/15 110/23 113/19 182/4 182/12 183/10 135/20 140/2 either [4] 36/2 62/23 127/8 127/10 133/25 equality [2] 182/12 everybody [5] 35/22 95/2 154/21 79/12 180/22 137/10 141/8 143/2 183/2 101/8 136/24 177/20 **existed [8]** 11/3 election [1] 163/21 145/15 157/24 163/21 equally [3] 87/9 185/8 49/24 56/18 65/22 element [6] 3/9 11/8 179/14 everyday [1] 90/20 80/18 88/13 97/1 162/15 183/25 38/1 45/2 129/8 ended [3] 119/1 equity [3] 89/14 everyone [7] 10/12 135/6 164/15 143/2 158/18 112/12 144/5 68/9 83/21 101/17 existing [20] 2/22 5/7 elements [1] 4/19 endemic [1] 86/15 equivalent [2] 57/18 110/9 112/10 149/19 20/13 21/18 28/10 eligible [1] 124/1 engage [8] 5/21 32/7 everything [3] 40/21 57/9 57/11 80/10 57/25 eliminated [2] 165/21 37/9 44/3 44/8 44/19 80/17 92/3 94/3 96/23 erroneously [1] 110/9 180/17 166/11 162/17 evidence [30] 2/12 112/14 115/22 134/11 47/10 63/4 else [4] 48/6 68/24 4/10 23/8 25/10 26/10 134/14 134/17 135/15 engaged [7] 4/12 error [2] 160/7 87/7 112/10 35/7 43/23 55/19 162/23 29/6 30/20 33/20 137/4 140/14 elsewhere [3] 12/19 56/25 57/4 177/12 **essence** [6] 3/16 41/17 44/22 49/23 exists [2] 36/6 65/10 88/19 engagement [22] 10/7 11/15 16/19 53/8 59/17 63/4 63/19 153/25 email [4] 45/22 45/25 4/18 4/22 19/16 19/17 36/13 57/22 63/21 65/21 69/10 expand [4] 25/6 48/9 121/19 20/19 22/4 22/9 33/1 77/25 80/2 106/8 135/19 136/9 144/11 essential [11] 19/10 **EMB** [6] 61/9 71/14 35/14 38/15 39/20 121/17 121/19 136/24 expanded [1] 135/22 24/19 31/18 33/15 182/6 182/24 183/12 43/18 43/18 45/9 107/7 108/6 109/20 177/15 179/11 181/18 expect [7] 32/15 183/19 55/16 56/17 57/10 109/20 140/11 140/11 186/23 186/25 187/8 96/17 108/7 119/14 **EMB's [1]** 183/6 57/11 58/4 62/20 65/1 143/4 evil [1] 123/3 159/25 165/9 165/19 emerge [2] 104/14 essentially [8] 10/14 evolved [3] 173/19 expectation [1] 36/4 139/19 engaging [4] 35/8 13/4 23/21 30/16 55/2 173/22 176/22 expectations [2] emerged [2] 97/5 37/16 41/20 44/25 99/17 118/9 134/10 **exact [2]** 35/25 140/25 141/23 172/1 establish [2] 74/20 152/11 engineer [1] 31/8 **expected [5]** 97/10 emergencies [3] **England [13]** 10/19 74/24 exactly [19] 3/3 3/7 118/6 123/20 133/13 22/5 41/21 94/20 16/1 18/24 19/23 established [12] 3/22 3/12 4/5 28/22 36/25 170/2 **emergency [11]** 9/18 49/12 49/16 50/8 50/9 expecting [2] 46/8 57/18 64/6 67/18 81/6 4/20 19/3 29/24 47/7 11/13 19/2 30/16 50/13 67/11 83/4 87/9 105/17 82/12 84/8 88/23 49/9 49/14 74/23 32/16 32/18 39/18 106/24 172/6 74/24 74/25 78/9 87/11 90/7 107/14 expenditure [2] 3/16 44/4 80/6 162/19 English [5] 11/7 15/8 106/22 142/9 167/8 14/14 165/23 18/18 56/24 57/25 establishing [2] example [40] 5/21 **expensive [4]** 76/14 emerging [1] 26/25 enormous [7] 21/6 30/13 88/10 6/4 9/5 13/10 13/14 146/19 166/17 166/22 **emphasis** [2] 150/8 22/10 44/22 69/14 estimate [4] 52/18 13/25 22/4 22/7 22/22 **experience [20]** 5/14 151/16 23/3 24/6 25/11 25/15 113/8 127/21 148/6 114/12 114/13 124/12 19/24 21/24 29/20 emphasise [2] 12/25 enough [12] 7/7 8/17 **estimated** [1] 161/24 26/2 26/15 27/11 35/11 45/8 46/23 83/14 27/25 29/6 34/13 56/13 57/9 64/22 65/9 8/20 17/3 17/5 17/9 **estimates** [2] 97/3 emphasising [1] 34/22 35/2 37/1 44/13 17/12 99/4 136/7 160/24 85/11 85/15 86/3 39/19 142/23 143/23 146/1 **Europe [1]** 112/20 45/20 49/7 50/22 66/4 90/20 94/8 125/23 employ [1] 185/24 ensure [9] 8/16 8/18 European [2] 112/8 71/16 73/3 74/9 79/3 129/13 130/15 133/14 **employed [2]** 113/23 80/11 80/14 95/8 experienced [3] 10/1 17/11 19/16 130/10 179/18 27/21 108/18 182/8 evaluate [1] 179/9 129/3 157/12 164/25 65/10 65/11 95/11 employers [1] 172/12 177/13 178/22 185/16 expert [12] 25/14 evaluated [1] 182/25 184/10 **employing [2]** 174/19 ensuring [4] 2/7 8/10 26/4 63/6 64/1 88/11 evaluations [2] 183/2 examples [12] 24/7 175/1 26/9 36/23 36/24 64/3 89/2 90/3 91/10 92/15 33/12 162/20 183/4 employment [5] even [18] 4/25 12/20 enter [3] 97/23 65/13 65/25 70/19 93/9 93/10 163/11 112/17 112/19 117/13 13/2 28/10 63/1 91/9 81/5 88/21 123/8 expertise [21] 27/22 184/24 185/10 129/3 185/2 106/13 115/22 117/1 28/20 28/24 38/24 enterprise [4] 61/20 174/18 enable [2] 130/23 134/11 139/12 140/15 122/5 123/23 131/17 **excellent [1]** 160/18 47/9 89/6 89/21 90/14 142/15 133/23 140/1 158/19 exceptional [4] 3/18 90/21 90/25 91/8 entirely [7] 52/10 enabled [3] 80/10 159/13 166/11 176/5 91/24 92/6 92/10 68/1 68/19 68/23 17/7 17/10 50/13 80/18 120/1 156/3 169/9 175/9 92/11 92/13 92/18 evening [3] 72/7 excess [1] 157/23 enact [1] 83/23 entirety [1] 42/23 72/14 141/21 **exchanged** [1] 165/3 93/2 93/3 93/13 93/18 encapsulating [2] entitled [1] 38/14 event [5] 49/10 62/25 **exchanges** [1] 109/9 experts [20] 88/6 112/23 114/25 exchanging [1] 109/3 77/17 89/16 101/10 88/7 88/8 88/12 88/19 entrepreneurial [1] **encourage [4]** 35/16 **Exchequer [9]** 25/20 88/21 88/24 89/4 120/25 events [7] 93/8 93/12 42/16 42/16 78/22 entries [7] 68/25 98/5 98/12 98/16 66/18 67/1 67/23 89/13 89/19 89/25 encouraged [2] 129/5 145/21 146/3 110/20 178/11 68/11 69/24 95/15 90/6 90/19 92/20 44/18 183/12 93/17 93/21 97/16 148/20 151/3 177/10 **eventually [2]** 18/8 99/3 111/20 encourages [1] entry [5] 70/4 98/21 163/23 excruciatingly [1] 110/7 161/2 163/11 55/16 135/22 143/7 177/13 ever [5] 29/17 32/4 127/11 explain [8] 5/13

	I		I	
E	facilities [4] 172/25	featuring [1] 140/22	102/3 103/1 103/13	floor [1] 25/22
I	173/4 173/12 175/14	February [15] 95/16	116/16 118/3 118/4	flow [8] 7/5 7/23 8/1
explain [7] 36/13	facility [6] 107/19	95/19 96/21 97/11	151/25 185/10	8/3 8/4 121/18 130/18
70/25 74/15 74/22	111/24 138/15 172/4	97/14 97/23 98/19		158/8
146/7 149/12 172/16			finding [1] 77/18	
<b>explained</b> [7] 54/4	172/5 174/22	98/24 100/9 101/4	fine [11] 89/21	flowed [1] 30/1
73/1 74/17 91/6 119/2	facing [10] 71/7	102/24 102/25 103/15	116/24 117/1 117/5	flying [2] 116/12
	103/18 113/3 116/18	103/19 104/9	117/8 117/9 146/6	118/18
162/6 176/3	118/2 121/18 121/23	February 28 [4]	152/3 152/3 169/11	focus [5] 78/24 133/8
<b>explaining [1]</b> 73/15	126/3 158/8 166/21	102/24 102/25 103/19		140/6 180/12 180/15
<b>explains [1]</b> 53/6				
explanation [3] 23/15	fact [27] 5/6 7/11	104/9	finish [4] 73/12 77/4	focused [10] 61/16
53/1 53/24	1/12 10/2 12/22 13/23	Federation [1] 158/6	105/15 105/16	61/18 61/19 85/9
explicit [2] 11/23	52/8 58/18 65/16 67/7	feed [1] 34/2	finished [2] 77/5	103/23 131/13 180/25
81/12	71/4 73/11 79/8 84/10	feedback [15] 19/8	89/12	184/19 185/11 185/21
• · · · =	87/23 96/6 97/5	20/16 21/2 21/6 21/11	firm [3] 167/12	focusing [2] 95/19
<b>explicitly [2]</b> 155/11	128/21 129/14 148/5	22/11 85/7 123/6	167/13 185/23	134/2
155/13	150/10 152/22 154/1	123/22 123/23 124/10		l .
<b>explore [4]</b> 76/3	l .			follow [3] 17/15
88/10 132/13 162/18	164/14 171/7 174/13	124/16 140/5 141/25	185/23	48/16 136/25
export [2] 66/6	182/3	151/13	firms [5] 89/2 117/6	followed [3] 5/8 52/2
	factor [2] 138/7	feel [6] 54/9 54/9	120/13 172/6 172/12	52/3
131/10	138/8		first [41] 1/8 1/21 7/4	<b>following [3]</b> 47/13
expressing [1]	facts [1] 151/9	168/15	7/23 9/6 9/7 14/3 14/5	
148/15	faded [1] 149/17	feels [1] 36/1	23/23 25/2 26/10	fora [3] 76/15 77/9
extend [5] 126/17				
126/19 127/13 128/3	fail [5] 125/10 162/14		30/19 47/18 52/1	77/16
174/24	162/21 166/14 166/16		52/17 61/3 62/18 72/6	
extended [3] 119/4	failed [5] 137/9	felt [18] 16/20 27/8	90/10 90/13 96/19	force [4] 78/17
127/10 133/10	161/25 162/3 167/7	57/3 64/19 72/13	98/14 101/3 104/18	104/11 104/13 159/16
extending [2] 127/23	167/14	72/17 107/14 113/5	123/13 124/16 125/20	forefront [1] 38/22
	failure [5] 108/17	114/1 129/19 142/9	128/11 130/1 142/25	foreign [2] 69/20
175/5		142/13 145/24 168/11	146/9 147/5 147/23	69/21
extension [1] 119/4	184/25	168/20 173/25 174/1	152/20 153/17 157/10	form [21] 4/7 7/18
extensively [1] 88/19	fair [19] 6/20 6/21	177/10	161/11 161/18 163/2	8/6 11/4 11/16 11/20
extent [7] 11/10	35/12 39/8 53/13	few [7] 26/22 75/1	164/10 178/3	11/22 11/23 11/24
30/22 34/5 85/11	53/20 55/4 62/2 74/15			12/5 13/1 14/7 16/8
109/19 125/9 166/21	80/19 111/3 111/4		fiscal [8] 49/10 62/25	
external [7] 78/22		180/21 180/24	101/10 127/15 127/24	I
85/2 85/9 88/24 89/2	118/11 123/3 132/15	fewer [1] 170/2	128/7 128/17 128/21	84/16 144/20 166/9
90/25 92/18	134/19 149/14 150/14		fit [2] 57/22 95/3	166/16
	174/13	161/15	five [11] 61/17 61/21	formal [9] 16/13
externally [1] 85/9 extra [2] 155/4 155/4	fairly [4] 57/4 149/8	fill [1] 133/22	102/7 107/2 107/6	78/16 78/16 79/7
extract [2] 45/24	149/12 150/4	filled [1] 37/13	107/10 130/25 139/6	79/22 88/10 88/11
111/12	fairness [2] 31/20	filling [1] 11/15	158/21 160/23 165/18	163/14 184/3
	146/4	final [2] 22/25 30/16	five days [2] 107/2	formed [3] 64/25
extracts [3] 68/2 68/4	fall [4] 97/19 116/12	finally [2] 28/3 177/4	107/10	89/7 89/10
69/6	116/19 118/22		five years [3] 130/25	1
extraordinarily [4]	fallen [1] 125/1	2/20 2/23 3/1 7/20	160/23 165/18	186/19
77/19 101/15 111/9	falls [3] 117/18	14/24 16/10 20/4 20/5		formerly [1] 1/13
118/1	169/18 185/9	20/8 22/1 22/2 34/21	144/6 144/10 151/23	
extraordinary [7]				forms [8] 3/20 11/3
69/18 98/1 109/25	family [4] 36/6 36/7	34/23 42/25 56/16	152/15 163/14 167/6	13/4 13/19 19/10
111/5 115/8 123/15	36/10 36/15	56/17 57/14 66/6	170/6 170/10	89/14 133/19 144/24
172/1	famous [1] 115/10	88/22 89/14 90/2	fixed [17] 79/20 90/1	formula [3] 14/13
extremely [13] 45/3	fantastic [1] 124/18	130/20 134/12 135/1	123/9 124/20 125/2	14/14 17/1
59/18 71/5 86/22	far [13] 21/16 32/4	139/12 140/15 160/17		formula-based [1]
89/11 108/13 113/4	36/11 56/1 57/17	finances [2] 37/8	145/10 149/24 150/5	14/13
1	96/15 114/14 123/19	128/5	150/9 152/16 164/20	formulate [2] 36/9
126/1 133/17 147/11	138/17 157/23 158/14		164/20 164/23 165/13	
148/2 173/13 181/6	161/6 170/2	3/17 3/18 7/2 17/7	fixing [4] 124/19	formulation [2] 75/16
eyes [1] 166/23	fast [7] 72/5 98/6	18/20 30/16 38/11	140/8 149/24 163/16	182/7
F	121/16 125/8 142/22	50/13 60/19 61/18	flagged [3] 161/8	forth [5] 12/13 31/25
	158/9 165/8	71/7 90/1 91/12 99/24		53/12 100/12 135/10
face [8] 117/14	54 F43 450/40			l .
126/16 130/12 130/13	faster [1] 152/12	108/14 145/4 154/7	flak [4] 149/8 149/13	Forty [1] 134/24
130/17 130/18 140/20	1avour [2] 32/10	165/5 165/6 165/14	150/2 150/3	forum [5] 56/17 75/7
161/14	129/3	173/16 175/2	flat [1] 120/21	75/11 75/24 76/4
faced [9] 79/18 95/6	favoured [1] 30/22		flaws [1] 125/8	forums [1] 78/8
125/4 129/21 132/13	feasibility [1] 168/19	132/1 132/3 172/4	flexibility [3] 94/4	forward [4] 10/9
135/11 171/25 173/22	feasible [1] 158/15	find [17] 12/14 29/12	169/14 176/23	55/13 107/3 132/14
185/16	feature [2] 140/2	29/17 34/5 42/10	flexible [4] 90/23	forward-looking [1]
337.13	144/17	46/19 51/17 52/8 82/9	104/23 104/24 120/25	55/13
				1

14/23 14/25 15/25 76/23 79/20 82/17 132/6 132/6 143/21 109/2 109/3 109/14 16/16 16/17 16/18 83/21 84/11 85/3 going [72] 2/15 7/25 172/7 174/8 175/18 forwards [3] 78/8 85/13 86/5 89/18 10/8 14/12 26/8 31/13 16/19 17/12 17/14 176/7 177/1 86/13 165/10 17/24 17/25 18/2 23/1 91/20 91/20 93/16 31/17 38/12 38/16 grant [8] 2/2 25/11 found [10] 18/8 22/11 46/7 46/15 54/21 102/9 109/5 110/7 41/14 43/10 43/14 25/15 43/15 43/17 62/9 73/25 75/23 80/9 106/23 132/5 132/7 113/25 115/15 123/5 53/12 53/20 55/9 51/16 106/21 106/23 89/5 89/18 142/14 124/2 124/8 125/5 funds [9] 2/4 9/3 55/15 56/6 56/12 grants [8] 2/8 24/16 157/22 22/14 22/15 24/9 129/11 130/22 135/21 69/12 78/8 83/6 86/13 27/14 29/6 44/21 53/4 four [7] 9/8 95/25 51/10 114/10 142/7 136/16 136/18 139/5 90/14 93/13 94/13 55/11 58/8 102/7 110/21 155/3 141/24 144/1 144/8 97/5 98/12 98/21 158/12 grateful [5] 59/19 166/5 179/25 146/16 146/17 146/21 101/2 101/23 102/2 148/17 186/14 186/18 furious [1] 143/25 four years [1] 179/25 furlough [8] 104/5 147/1 147/3 147/7 103/7 108/12 109/16 four-month [1] 147/8 147/19 149/10 110/25 111/1 111/12 113/22 115/6 126/17 grave [1] 113/4 110/21 Gray [3] 79/16 80/11 127/18 178/7 178/7 150/5 150/9 151/4 114/16 116/4 116/16 fraction [1] 53/14 152/6 155/8 163/17 117/14 121/3 124/23 80/15 183/20 framework [3] 30/18 131/16 139/15 139/16 grease [1] 46/16 further [8] 27/16 164/21 175/17 180/17 30/25 32/17 181/2 183/19 139/17 139/22 141/6 28/10 43/18 81/18 great [12] 19/24 frameworks [3] getting [23] 8/6 13/20 103/21 103/21 107/16 143/7 143/10 145/20 35/19 39/24 44/21 21/18 30/17 31/24 136/19 20/16 21/17 40/19 146/19 148/20 150/12 55/17 65/14 78/6 83/8 frankly [2] 129/12 future [23] 9/18 48/3 94/1 97/12 150/15 150/17 150/20 112/1 120/3 140/7 149/19 15/11 19/1 32/17 102/10 112/1 121/4 151/2 151/25 156/3 186/16 fraud [14] 133/14 39/18 41/20 56/7 69/6 132/20 133/18 140/4 157/19 158/21 158/23 greater [3] 9/22 133/15 154/7 159/22 80/6 94/25 94/25 140/23 143/16 149/4 160/4 162/16 165/10 27/13 185/17 160/6 161/8 162/22 134/5 162/19 164/14 166/21 169/19 174/21 149/8 149/13 150/2 grips [2] 25/3 100/10 164/12 165/12 165/17 ground [3] 19/18 164/21 165/16 165/20 151/10 151/12 172/22 174/23 182/16 165/20 166/5 166/11 gone [2] 37/4 93/22 166/10 175/21 175/22 gift [1] 51/3 32/1 43/11 180/6 176/18 178/1 180/20 gilts [3] 131/23 132/5 good [48] 1/3 1/4 group [28] 20/9 fraudsters [1] 162/17 176/5 1/13 3/13 19/2 21/17 20/12 20/13 20/17 frazzled [1] 150/7 G give [33] 8/22 12/24 28/6 34/18 35/3 35/4 20/25 21/1 49/10 free [2] 159/17 game [3] 131/16 13/9 13/14 13/23 41/24 42/10 47/24 56/16 56/19 57/10 179/11 132/18 155/20 48/21 49/3 53/5 54/12 24/13 27/22 35/6 57/11 57/15 62/9 frequency [2] 10/25 gap [14] 27/23 28/2 36/18 55/17 56/8 65/25 66/5 66/9 66/11 73/19 73/20 73/21 11/10 28/13 57/13 133/21 60/17 64/3 79/3 80/14 70/17 73/8 76/10 77/2 74/20 75/4 75/5 75/5 frequent [1] 18/11 133/22 133/24 140/24 81/4 88/20 113/7 77/3 77/8 78/21 79/1 75/5 75/6 75/9 75/16 **frequently [2]** 13/23 144/3 163/2 163/7 120/3 120/9 120/19 80/8 81/1 81/8 81/10 75/18 76/1 104/20 57/20 163/8 164/3 164/8 121/6 123/8 124/4 81/23 83/17 104/22 104/21 Friday [1] 100/2 gaps [1] 164/9 124/19 129/2 130/21 105/7 112/10 112/14 groups [6] 75/3 frontline [1] 101/20 gathered [1] 186/25 130/21 141/15 141/17 112/18 116/25 116/25 78/11 182/17 184/11 frustrated [4] 91/21 gathering [1] 54/25 174/13 178/22 183/8 131/17 135/3 155/16 184/15 184/17 136/3 142/2 145/23 given [19] 6/4 6/6 gave [8] 14/6 14/9 160/15 160/18 164/25 growing [2] 127/23 frustrating [2] 29/17 46/2 73/3 80/11 135/2 got [45] 9/4 13/3 13/6 173/22 36/17 63/2 95/12 146/10 161/2 162/8 14/7 21/6 40/13 48/15 growth [2] 38/23 95/18 103/16 107/11 frustration [1] **GDP [3]** 97/19 97/19 107/25 123/18 148/6 48/16 57/10 80/23 61/20 148/15 114/14 156/25 159/6 162/17 82/22 82/23 91/5 quarantee [22] 50/12 fulfil [1] 183/7 geared [1] 104/9 124/2 124/7 130/1 164/11 175/20 175/22 98/11 100/10 103/22 full [10] 38/25 55/9 general [14] 6/16 9/2 104/4 105/5 110/2 131/10 132/17 132/23 177/18 186/16 59/8 95/1 118/15 22/6 22/18 30/20 gives [2] 162/10 110/14 114/11 116/3 132/24 134/12 139/12 118/20 132/23 151/7 41/10 41/22 47/14 116/25 118/10 123/6 140/15 143/20 144/6 170/19 151/9 180/4 56/6 60/19 65/7 99/8 123/22 124/16 127/19 147/2 147/3 147/3 giving [2] 85/6 157/5 fully [3] 64/20 74/3 163/20 185/14 155/7 155/18 157/2 **Gladstone** [1] 66/17 129/15 131/14 131/15 100/13 generalised [1] Glen [1] 148/10 131/17 135/25 136/7 165/20 165/22 180/4 fun [1] 68/11 17/10 136/7 139/8 141/23 guaranteed [5] 7/23 glitches [1] 123/1 function [2] 1/24 generally [8] 4/10 go [30] 24/21 31/21 141/25 145/10 147/13 131/4 131/6 146/25 70/5 12/16 29/12 62/5 66/21 68/15 85/3 154/4 157/10 162/7 159/6 **functions [3]** 1/25 64/11 107/13 110/16 90/19 92/5 92/25 179/9 184/2 guaranteeing [1] 8/3 61/13 61/17 165/22 98/17 109/18 111/22 government [190] guarantees [26] fund [5] 22/19 26/15 generals [2] 62/2 114/9 117/21 125/7 government's [3] 112/12 118/9 118/24 96/12 106/21 178/10 99/11 127/14 134/4 136/8 66/24 67/3 155/20 131/7 131/9 131/12 funded [2] 176/4 generation [1] 85/1 136/13 138/21 154/8 government-guarant 131/24 132/5 132/8 176/15 **generous** [1] 112/18 154/12 154/17 154/20 **eed [1]** 146/25 138/23 143/17 143/17 funding [41] 1/14 **genuinely [1]** 49/18 162/5 166/6 166/22 143/19 143/22 143/24 governmental [1] 1/23 2/3 2/13 4/13 geographic [1] 184/1 176/17 179/21 179/24 71/21 144/15 144/16 144/20 5/14 5/16 5/24 6/7 get [63] 7/14 17/2 180/5 145/1 145/12 145/17 governments [1] 6/17 6/19 7/9 7/10 17/3 23/5 25/3 26/20 goes [11] 3/25 51/21 146/14 147/5 147/9 86/16 7/11 7/22 8/8 8/11 9/7 26/21 30/7 32/4 37/13 governor [12] 81/9 68/4 94/21 102/11 161/7 161/9 10/6 11/24 13/22 14/5 54/21 54/22 55/25 119/17 128/19 131/24 81/14 81/14 81/15 guarded [6] 62/22

66/25 80/25 82/22 171/18 171/23 175/19 131/8 133/10 134/4 healthy [1] 166/15 G 88/9 88/11 111/21 176/7 178/25 139/4 141/24 142/9 hear [5] 1/5 60/6 guarded... [5] 63/8 112/22 120/21 133/17 106/1 156/14 177/8 143/13 148/5 148/6 His Majesty's [1] 63/11 63/23 65/1 65/8 149/17 153/21 160/20 heard [14] 2/3 19/13 60/14 148/17 148/21 150/18 guidance [26] 22/14 164/5 164/8 166/2 30/20 43/20 50/21 history [4] 67/1 85/19 152/14 162/6 167/15 22/23 22/24 23/5 170/4 175/19 180/1 53/8 56/13 65/21 107/11 169/23 170/9 177/10 177/16 23/13 23/16 23/18 181/3 77/25 80/2 108/5 hit [1] 139/25 177/19 177/25 24/5 24/9 24/12 24/14 hasn't [2] 53/4 82/23 121/24 142/8 159/3 **HMRC** [5] 50/22 however [5] 58/21 24/15 24/17 24/19 hat [3] 111/22 112/23 hearing [8] 1/5 60/6 65/18 65/23 66/3 101/18 101/18 113/12 24/25 25/3 26/6 26/12 106/1 108/9 135/2 114/20 82/13 173/3 26/14 26/20 26/22 177/9 187/6 187/13 **HMRC's [1]** 96/24 huge [6] 102/22 have [309] 26/23 27/24 28/3 36/3 **HMT [4]** 49/2 94/25 haven't [10] 53/5 120/19 124/19 139/3 heart [1] 6/1 50/6 58/14 153/22 178/8 heat [1] 30/11 99/2 99/7 147/17 166/2 guidelines [1] 46/3 178/10 178/12 178/19 heft [2] 36/19 36/25 hoc [4] 3/9 21/20 **hugely [1]** 177/16 179/7 186/21 186/23 held [4] 60/21 79/9 90/23 170/17 human [1] 108/14 having [56] 9/1 17/5 79/11 121/5 hundreds [6] 125/9 home [8] 111/15 had [226] 127/17 143/21 143/25 158/7 162/2 167/7 20/1 20/24 21/20 help [10] 15/22 38/25 had a [3] 133/24 21/23 27/5 28/6 32/16 50/6 54/18 59/21 145/1 145/2 151/8 167/9 186/5 137/23 182/21 32/20 33/1 41/18 129/16 143/3 153/7 163/9 hadn't [8] 5/5 30/2 44/21 48/12 52/23 174/25 187/3 Home Office [1] 87/24 108/24 113/18 52/24 54/23 55/5 56/1 helped [3] 54/3 139/2 I actually [1] 90/5 163/9 144/18 144/19 149/20 lagree [4] 20/1 38/4 56/10 57/9 58/20 186/20 homes [2] 143/19 half [4] 86/16 90/7 40/3 42/1 63/15 63/16 66/22 helpful [12] 37/19 143/22 153/19 153/23 I also [1] 148/8 71/3 76/5 80/7 89/6 51/16 52/2 54/24 honestly [1] 110/1 halfway [1] 174/12 I am [9] 19/5 43/2 91/1 92/3 92/14 54/25 55/1 55/6 59/18 hope [9] 39/14 94/25 handful [1] 126/6 49/19 76/3 111/12 101/17 101/22 108/4 76/9 76/12 94/10 105/14 105/19 112/6 **handing [1]** 147/8 151/5 165/11 168/11 114/9 114/25 115/13 115/23 144/9 149/17 164/8 handle [3] 115/21 115/14 125/2 127/16 180/12 helping [1] 186/18 181/4 154/5 181/6 I and [1] 144/15 129/1 129/9 131/23 her [2] 63/7 79/19 hoped [2] 68/22 handling [2] 86/25 I apologise [1] 6/11 136/22 137/21 137/22 here [19] 10/18 34/8 115/15 126/1 37/10 45/2 57/6 69/13 hospitality [1] 117/12 appreciate [2] 143/3 148/3 152/12 hands [1] 156/4 hour [2] 110/1 151/8 134/1 134/5 155/23 158/4 162/21 102/24 105/18 117/1 happen [9] 6/2 25/2 119/23 121/16 122/7 I apprehend [1] 42/4 168/15 168/21 177/24 hours [5] 26/12 36/3 36/5 41/15 55/18 he [60] 1/8 31/21 I argued [1] 103/15 130/15 139/23 147/10 26/19 107/22 144/7 82/4 120/20 122/11 lask [3] 72/20 51/21 72/6 80/6 99/19 149/22 153/5 153/15 157/4 happened [10] 4/2 175/19 181/16 99/21 99/24 100/16 169/17 House [6] 25/22 4/3 5/1 16/11 17/22 100/19 101/3 111/21 141/21 163/6 163/24 I assume [1] 114/11 hesitate [1] 48/19 18/24 64/17 87/22 I became [1] 83/5 111/21 112/22 113/10 hierarchical [2] 164/19 165/14 87/24 132/15 113/10 113/15 114/2 I been [1] 169/3 120/22 121/1 Housing [2] 1/15 happening [9] 15/23 I called [1] 165/25 114/4 114/8 114/10 high [18] 106/25 80/3 19/18 24/9 32/1 33/14 how [105] 3/9 3/15 I can [12] 1/6 13/5 114/11 114/19 120/4 115/11 120/5 120/6 44/5 49/1 55/22 60/7 63/17 63/18 120/5 120/6 120/7 10/5 12/25 13/10 120/10 122/18 126/1 152/15 73/18 81/4 94/8 120/8 122/4 126/18 152/4 160/8 160/9 13/10 13/11 13/17 happens [5] 30/14 102/21 106/2 127/1 127/5 127/6 127/6 161/5 162/12 162/12 13/22 13/23 14/18 40/6 86/2 121/22 127/22 127/22 128/4 162/21 162/22 166/4 16/4 18/3 18/25 19/22 156/16 145/8 I can't [5] 12/25 129/21 143/16 143/17 167/3 167/4 19/24 21/12 21/13 happenstance [1] 49/12 118/12 118/13 144/4 144/6 144/15 22/15 23/11 29/8 high-level [1] 106/25 82/1 139/23 30/17 31/15 32/6 144/16 144/19 148/1 higher [8] 53/19 happy [4] 64/2 68/14 I cannot [2] 65/5 148/2 148/5 148/6 122/13 122/14 123/19 32/11 32/22 32/22 169/16 187/3 72/16 148/7 148/8 148/10 152/6 165/12 166/6 33/9 33/11 34/5 35/6 hard [12] 11/18 27/7 I check [3] 60/5 148/15 148/16 161/3 169/25 35/19 35/21 36/22 46/12 101/18 101/18 105/25 156/14 161/4 162/6 162/7 39/12 40/1 40/2 40/3 highly [5] 71/14 103/1 104/6 124/14 41/18 42/8 46/5 46/12 I claim [1] 23/11 167/5 174/1 175/23 92/11 93/21 120/16 141/7 141/9 149/25 I commend [1] 179/7 he'd [1] 100/8 179/24 46/18 46/19 46/24 177/19 I completely [2] him [12] 100/1 113/7 48/6 50/6 55/2 55/17 he's [1] 121/20 harder [5] 46/15 55/17 55/18 57/12 93/14 110/5 head [2] 121/20 114/9 120/9 127/21 127/19 127/19 184/24 62/13 64/3 65/9 65/10 | I confirm [1] 1/4 144/9 129/18 129/20 148/3 185/10 I could [6] 68/15 71/2 health [25] 34/14 162/8 175/20 177/11 65/17 67/10 77/21 Hardship [1] 26/15 38/23 97/16 97/24 79/4 79/19 110/10 77/24 81/5 81/17 178/25 harshly [1] 185/9 174/17 98/3 98/10 99/23 82/10 84/2 84/23 hindsight [1] 97/9 has [38] 2/3 4/10 86/22 86/23 88/2 88/4 I couldn't [1] 59/8 101/20 102/6 103/17 hire [1] 58/1 11/2 17/24 17/25 107/9 107/14 107/25 his [20] 9/23 31/21 | I created [1] 89/25 91/9 91/24 93/3 95/9 28/18 34/1 34/13 102/17 103/13 103/16 I dealt [1] 71/5 108/11 108/12 108/18 51/13 60/14 80/4 83/6 34/14 34/15 36/10 110/14 110/24 119/23 I did [8] 68/18 71/18 109/25 110/7 111/1 100/14 100/20 109/5 36/25 43/20 45/6 53/3 74/24 79/16 83/16

113/8 113/9 114/16

120/3 129/19 148/2

125/12 125/13 126/17

127/13 127/14 127/25

111/10 113/12 116/21

127/13 127/15 140/16

59/17 63/3 63/7 65/21

151/12 157/7 168/15

24/14 27/2 27/7 29/12 I was [13] 16/14 126/3 175/13 178/24 95/18 107/25 116/2 31/4 39/6 39/25 40/1 120/24 121/24 122/16 31/13 31/18 40/3 idea [11] 9/23 19/24 I didn't [6] 79/12 56/12 64/8 76/9 92/17 41/17 42/10 47/2 50/2 122/18 122/19 122/23 31/22 35/19 75/13 110/13 150/18 151/7 94/8 114/8 120/13 78/6 88/4 90/15 90/17 54/7 55/20 88/7 90/18 159/4 160/9 161/5 151/9 152/14 99/18 111/4 114/20 152/13 157/8 110/21 144/4 161/17 161/18 162/6 I do [6] 15/11 32/19 115/5 119/12 120/11 I wasn't [4] 32/23 identified [6] 72/22 162/10 162/13 167/4 33/25 58/24 69/9 77/4 121/1 121/13 125/19 44/20 58/7 127/20 92/4 104/12 160/14 167/11 178/14 180/16 I don't [28] 22/16 136/14 141/12 142/24 I were [2] 15/11 161/21 163/13 180/19 180/24 180/25 23/12 32/20 35/25 147/22 151/20 153/18 31/11 identify [3] 53/3 88/1 182/5 182/17 182/22 42/3 45/21 48/5 58/6 157/24 I will [3] 28/4 32/15 183/3 184/4 184/7 134/3 59/7 59/13 85/13 88/6 identifying [1] 91/24 I mentioned [1] 122/15 impactful [2] 113/10 88/16 90/9 102/23 ie [2] 130/10 147/2 19/12 I wish [1] 87/23 115/11 110/4 118/13 121/12 I might [1] 48/14 I would [42] 3/2 13/1 **if [130]** 1/8 2/15 6/4 impacts [4] 24/4 24/5 123/24 128/8 142/11 I move [2] 51/7 172/3 23/10 31/4 31/12 7/7 7/20 7/24 8/1 9/5 38/25 94/19 142/18 149/23 157/5 31/13 31/14 31/16 9/20 10/8 13/1 15/11 I never [1] 79/20 imperfections [1] 171/14 171/20 172/2 I personally [1] 42/10 32/4 32/5 32/10 33/14 16/25 17/4 17/18 18/3 123/1 184/3 34/19 35/3 37/12 18/6 20/17 20/20 I pick [1] 167/17 implement [1] 67/3 I experienced [1] I preface [2] 45/21 39/14 40/5 40/10 22/13 23/4 24/14 implementation [5] 65/10 62/20 40/12 40/12 41/3 24/17 25/15 27/1 21/12 45/18 50/17 I explained [2] 91/6 I probably [1] 102/7 42/16 48/19 48/19 27/11 27/11 27/25 57/2 75/4 176/3 I promise [1] 105/15 48/23 54/15 55/5 65/6 28/12 30/2 31/11 implementations [1] I felt [4] 16/20 72/17 I put [7] 69/8 81/6 66/8 70/14 74/16 92/8 31/15 31/17 34/1 35/6 168/10 129/19 168/20 151/6 151/16 153/17 36/20 37/20 39/17 86/14 120/2 145/22 implemented [4] I find [2] 103/1 160/11 161/16 165/19 40/3 41/16 43/2 43/5 165/12 169/1 169/10 165/10 175/25 103/13 I read [1] 32/23 169/15 169/19 169/20 43/13 46/2 46/8 46/17 169/25 I found [2] 62/9 73/25 I really [1] 148/15 170/3 47/2 47/8 48/8 50/18 implementing [2] I give [6] 113/7 120/3 I recognise [1] 29/22 | I wouldn't [11] 32/10 51/12 51/16 51/23 21/11 27/5 120/9 120/19 124/19 I regret [1] 142/18 36/11 48/4 58/6 58/8 55/11 56/21 56/22 implications [1] 129/2 67/12 70/14 100/2 57/24 58/20 63/1 I remember [3] 47/2 44/13 I had [12] 59/3 63/20 63/12 64/25 65/2 67/5 importance [5] 34/21 115/2 127/25 162/15 83/6 183/24 68/21 68/22 84/7 67/11 68/12 68/14 I said [2] 52/9 73/18 I wrote [1] 68/25 39/20 82/21 83/15 90/14 90/17 109/1 I saw [1] 84/25 I'd [11] 10/21 30/19 69/18 69/19 69/21 137/21 109/3 126/10 171/17 I say [7] 48/4 55/25 69/25 70/9 71/2 74/16 important [46] 5/14 62/18 92/14 96/8 178/23 69/7 84/21 123/4 120/11 120/12 146/2 76/23 77/9 80/5 80/23 5/17 5/23 6/17 10/21 I hadn't [1] 108/24 150/5 164/5 151/7 164/9 180/14 81/2 81/22 85/14 11/21 12/25 13/10 I have [6] 78/15 88/4 I see [4] 3/9 4/9 8/12 I'II [12] 33/8 56/21 89/20 89/21 90/24 17/11 19/6 19/9 19/15 102/22 127/21 148/4 91/5 91/20 92/9 92/15 20/16 24/12 33/9 36/2 155/8 60/16 88/15 108/3 148/14 I shall [3] 59/23 126/7 129/20 132/12 93/6 106/13 118/16 36/8 38/5 39/10 39/11 I haven't [1] 153/22 105/12 156/7 146/4 154/17 170/8 119/11 122/11 125/14 40/2 40/3 41/18 52/12 I hope [4] 94/25 178/22 127/1 132/6 134/3 52/25 54/13 55/8 I should [1] 79/24 105/14 105/19 181/4 I'm [58] 2/15 14/17 134/11 136/6 136/11 57/21 80/5 80/9 82/20 I spent [1] 90/6 I immediately [1] 136/23 137/3 137/4 83/3 95/4 117/13 I spoke [2] 109/4 15/1 22/21 23/2 23/13 72/9 137/18 139/15 147/1 121/15 126/8 137/16 109/7 31/20 33/14 36/11 I in [1] 163/8 I stand [1] 73/24 38/12 38/16 40/10 148/14 151/18 151/18 144/24 160/9 174/19 I instinctively [1] I started [2] 68/20 42/14 43/10 56/6 151/25 153/5 153/5 174/25 175/11 175/13 84/21 57/17 58/6 59/18 64/2 153/14 155/24 157/11 107/18 178/2 182/6 186/1 I interrupt [1] 14/17 161/25 165/19 166/11 imposing [1] 173/25 68/14 70/3 73/24 78/6 I strongly [1] 40/6 I joined [1] 138/1 I struggle [1] 91/9 86/17 94/6 94/13 170/6 170/17 171/6 impossible [5] 46/8 I just [25] 2/21 14/20 98/21 101/22 102/1 171/9 173/3 174/15 103/13 124/6 124/9 I suspect [1] 33/7 16/4 19/11 19/21 25/4 105/17 110/16 114/3 174/17 175/21 176/24 I take [1] 63/2 141/18 43/16 45/20 45/22 181/2 181/5 184/23 I talk [1] 86/24 124/22 136/24 143/7 impractical [1] 88/1 46/21 66/2 68/3 73/12 143/10 145/20 148/20 186/19 I talked [1] 47/19 impressed [3] 101/23 103/16 109/18 150/12 150/15 151/24 ignore [1] 186/24 I tell [2] 88/15 102/25 120/14 177/16 177/16 110/13 119/22 126/25 I then [1] 27/17 153/23 156/2 156/3 ignored [1] 93/22 impression [3] 65/3 136/5 142/19 147/22 156/24 162/14 162/18 illustrate [4] 45/23 110/19 125/14 I think [203] 162/25 171/5 172/4 170/21 177/7 179/24 72/5 145/22 174/17 I tried [3] 78/20 84/24 **impressive** [2] 161/1 I knew [1] 110/2 180/6 181/4 181/6 179/23 illustrated [1] 23/8 165/7 I know [12] 33/7 186/14 186/18 187/1 **illustrates** [1] 71/20 I understand [3] 52/7 **improve [2]** 48/21 34/12 45/10 55/21 187/1 187/3 52/22 52/22 image [1] 112/25 124/14 79/5 101/21 126/24 I've [11] 32/24 63/12 imagine [1] 88/3 I understand it [1] improvement [2] 135/1 138/10 148/16 70/17 86/16 89/24 152/1 immediate [3] 8/4 70/22 72/23 153/21 178/4 I used [1] 142/17 141/3 141/10 151/3 108/9 173/18 improvements [1] I led [1] 169/8 157/7 160/16 184/19 I want [4] 22/12 38/9 immediately [1] 72/9 157/9 l left [2] 153/19 180/3 43/11 56/8 **I, [1]** 78/14 **imminent [1]** 121/23 improves [1] 84/2 I may [2] 43/13 69/7 **I, in [1]** 78/14 improving [1] 157/11 I wanted [2] 58/12 impact [35] 11/14 I mean [34] 13/8 24/6 58/17 iconic [4] 116/18 36/9 37/7 47/17 49/5 inaction [2] 122/13

73/2 73/5 73/9 73/17 93/6 96/20 118/16 176/8 185/20 185/22 24/14 73/22 74/10 74/11 169/3 183/23 185/23 issues [22] 7/20 inaction... [1] 122/14 75/14 76/5 76/14 instances [1] 72/17 25/16 26/10 27/9 into [43] 8/1 19/25 include [1] 101/6 76/15 76/19 76/21 instead [1] 83/13 21/19 29/18 30/1 33/11 65/12 66/20 included [2] 67/15 77/15 86/6 86/7 86/8 31/17 35/14 38/17 67/14 74/6 85/14 89/9 instinctively [1] 183/9 86/9 86/13 86/22 41/14 46/23 48/3 51/4 100/22 103/1 123/25 84/21 including [9] 38/15 75/2 75/18 85/13 86/23 87/1 87/8 87/15 Institute [1] 65/17 124/24 126/11 143/13 40/23 86/7 96/23 87/18 87/21 165/3 institutions [6] 88/23 95/14 97/25 98/19 153/10 170/21 171/25 113/17 119/16 147/5 152/5 157/18 159/14 182/1 184/4 186/1 100/16 100/25 103/22 181/24 183/13 178/7 184/11 111/14 114/10 114/24 issuing [2] 23/18 informed [2] 69/5 159/18 165/7 inclusive [1] 62/9 119/17 119/22 123/21 24/16 87/3 insufficient [1] inclusivity [1] 37/23 124/10 125/21 133/8 infrastructure [4] 123/19 it [552] income [6] 3/16 14/8 90/2 140/14 154/5 139/16 139/16 139/18 it's [107] 6/12 6/13 insurance [8] 89/16 14/11 14/15 90/2 154/8 91/16 91/16 91/16 154/17 161/6 164/9 10/21 12/19 15/2 15/4 129/3 93/8 93/9 93/12 166/22 169/17 173/17 17/3 20/3 20/18 32/20 inherently [1] 166/9 incoming [2] 129/11 initial [8] 46/1 94/11 178/11 173/19 178/3 183/19 32/22 33/14 35/12 143/16 96/21 102/12 104/18 186/24 36/12 36/13 38/14 intellectual [1] 30/23 increase [3] 112/4 105/9 106/7 129/22 intelligence [3] 63/9 into action [1] 98/19 39/11 44/17 44/22 138/1 147/17 initially [4] 11/6 75/15 172/22 46/12 47/12 56/1 62/6 introduce [4] 174/2 increased [4] 12/8 118/6 119/4 127/4 intended [7] 67/25 174/9 174/10 174/10 62/8 65/2 65/3 67/11 116/14 124/11 157/12 68/19 75/11 146/15 initiative [1] 169/8 68/11 69/8 70/10 introduced [2] increasing [4] 98/9 initiatives [1] 120/17 70/11 73/18 77/2 77/3 147/6 157/3 176/6 103/11 173/15 111/8 155/21 155/21 77/8 77/9 77/10 78/4 injecting [1] 47/15 intending [1] 21/13 invaluable [1] 79/2 **incredible [5]** 27/10 innovate [1] 120/17 intense [6] 100/5 invest [1] 81/22 80/22 81/23 82/1 69/15 120/7 120/8 innovative [2] 120/25 109/24 125/20 139/25 investment [2] 89/7 82/11 82/23 84/6 148/9 137/14 140/6 177/21 91/15 84/14 84/17 84/18 incredibly [12] 72/4 input [8] 24/25 25/14 intensely [2] 100/1 84/25 86/8 86/15 investments [2] 66/7 93/4 101/16 102/22 64/1 134/23 135/2 86/17 90/10 90/13 104/1 112/12 104/6 104/6 117/14 93/18 94/4 95/4 96/8 158/5 160/16 163/11 intensity [1] 4/21 inviting [1] 40/25 133/5 147/15 147/25 intensively [1] 20/25 98/23 101/21 101/22 inputs [1] 120/23 involve [3] 42/7 149/25 159/18 INQ000549339 [1] intent [2] 52/10 54/22 47/24 55/23 102/24 104/17 111/13 incrementally [1] intention [2] 64/21 involved [16] 26/3 114/14 117/11 120/10 68/6 98/9 120/21 120/22 121/15 INQ000625658 [1] 68/22 33/10 41/11 43/7 indeed [5] 59/18 38/13 interest [1] 74/9 44/20 47/22 48/24 122/8 122/12 126/24 59/21 62/13 65/20 INQ000625746 [1] interested [6] 78/7 49/11 51/23 55/24 133/12 133/14 134/23 115/3 79/6 124/22 144/4 56/11 58/7 61/22 135/24 136/10 136/16 96/8 independence [1] INQ000652753 [1] 137/2 137/3 141/10 150/13 162/18 93/19 127/20 157/5 85/21 interesting [1] involvement [2] 146/23 150/16 150/17 2/17 indication [1] 110/2 150/20 151/18 151/22 INQ000652953 [1] 154/15 48/20 63/21 individual [9] 21/4 102/2 interface [1] 80/19 154/8 155/8 155/24 involves [1] 1/22 34/11 54/19 55/10 156/2 156/23 160/9 INQ000653255 [1] interference [1] involving [2] 49/21 59/4 113/21 126/2 179/12 160/9 160/18 165/24 56/22 179/8 126/2 182/17 INQ000657743 [1] interim [1] 168/13 Ireland [3] 79/16 166/19 166/21 169/11 individually [1] 173/2 1/19 internal [1] 143/12 80/13 138/11 172/6 176/8 178/2 **individuals [3]** 37/15 INQ000659746 [1] internalised [1] ironed [1] 123/2 180/16 182/6 184/22 37/21 148/21 irreducible [2] 60/17 50/19 184/23 187/1 industries [1] 178/12 interpret [1] 115/2 164/12 166/12 INQ000661243 [1] iterations [2] 22/24 industry [9] 78/23 45/24 is [380] 78/11 interpretation [1] 85/4 112/13 117/12 131/12 131/13 134/24 INQ000661484 [1] 15/24 is particularly [1] iterative [1] 152/25 51/13 interpreted [2] 36/1 its [12] 18/21 65/1 135/1 148/17 **INQUIRY [23]** 1/12 143/18 145/14 is: [1] 32/25 74/23 77/5 89/12 inevitable [1] 12/7 1/18 2/3 4/10 19/13 105/1 105/2 123/10 interrupt [2] 14/17 is: okay [1] 32/25 inevitably [5] 16/7 32/13 43/20 58/14 14/17 isn't [8] 19/6 40/2 142/9 159/5 172/19 17/1 17/4 26/23 64/13 60/12 60/16 63/3 Interruption [2] 45/6 54/12 65/2 84/23 182/3 **infection [1]** 111/8 65/21 68/2 69/10 88/7 112/4 130/2 86/9 102/16 itself [2] 45/7 183/17 influence [1] 142/4 94/14 157/8 179/7 **isolation [3]** 67/13 intervention [11] informal [2] 19/7 180/14 186/18 186/24 119/1 143/3 143/5 119/9 122/16 75/14 issue [21] 22/18 Javid's [2] 100/23 188/5 188/9 166/18 166/22 167/4 information [54] 101/3 167/4 173/7 176/3 23/25 36/3 63/18 Inquiry's [2] 63/6 12/18 12/23 12/24 80/15 90/24 91/6 91/7 Jenrick [1] 51/13 94/16 177/2 185/15 30/6 41/3 42/15 42/17 job [17] 72/24 80/23 91/8 100/10 102/7 insider [1] 71/11 interventions [20] 44/23 52/4 52/25 95/1 98/8 98/9 103/24 134/21 138/23 146/14 82/17 85/8 86/3 95/8 insight [1] 55/17 53/24 54/3 54/17 55/6 105/7 112/1 119/7 107/16 107/20 107/24 146/15 164/25 165/20 insist [1] 159/15 62/23 63/8 64/14 124/18 128/4 137/11 167/17 169/21 172/2 113/10 115/4 120/1 inspirational [1] 70/18 71/1 71/3 71/6 140/7 159/20 182/13 120/7 126/15 126/25 128/7 175/17 71/13 71/15 71/17 instance [6] 67/16 128/12 167/12 167/16 issued [3] 3/20 22/15 185/1 185/5

175/17 175/17 181/13 L 39/22 60/11 92/9 137/10 137/12 137/13 137/17 137/21 138/15 92/16 188/4 188/8 183/11 186/22 jobs [3] 108/16 175/9 La [1] 171/19 139/3 139/18 140/7 justification [1] leader [2] 57/23 186/6 **La Torre [1]** 171/19 18/22 120/9 145/12 148/9 150/25 **John [1]** 148/10 labour [4] 118/12 leaders [2] 56/19 justified [1] 54/1 151/14 153/16 154/15 John Glen [1] 148/10 126/15 126/24 185/21 141/15 154/23 155/3 155/16 lack [2] 69/2 163/5 joined [6] 15/15 leadership [12] 157/12 165/2 174/5 33/19 34/6 60/18 81/7 **Lady [14]** 1/4 15/1 KC [4] 1/11 60/11 38/20 81/24 81/25 174/16 59/13 59/25 60/5 138/1 188/4 188/8 94/7 94/9 113/8 lending [14] 8/8 105/11 105/25 156/2 joined-up [3] 15/15 keen [4] 19/14 76/3 88/22 88/23 88/25 114/18 120/4 120/7 156/8 156/13 181/10 33/19 34/6 76/9 183/21 170/8 177/17 177/18 111/24 118/17 131/5 joint [1] 163/10 181/15 186/11 187/8 keep [9] 6/13 42/6 leading [1] 106/5 131/19 132/20 151/20 judge [7] 117/21 lag [1] 18/11 68/18 87/2 87/16 leads [2] 76/6 92/8 152/4 157/18 166/7 122/19 138/19 147/16 lain [1] 130/9 126/20 131/13 185/4 league [9] 51/7 51/11 166/9 154/18 164/16 167/2 land [5] 50/7 51/1 185/5 51/15 52/1 52/6 52/22 length [6] 64/5 64/10 judgement [2] 16/25 83/22 110/22 121/10 keeping [1] 121/7 52/24 53/18 53/20 64/24 66/12 85/5 151/15 landed [1] 106/16 Keith [2] 171/17 leak [2] 30/6 87/21 171/22 judgements [1] 69/4 lands [1] 46/25 171/23 leaked [2] 86/8 86/9 lent [1] 136/6 judging [1] 10/14 large [24] 15/7 57/24 kept [6] 43/1 67/25 less [9] 12/20 18/10 leaking [1] 88/2 July [2] 60/21 125/25 108/7 108/15 113/16 95/2 118/17 118/18 leaks [6] 70/19 86/14 20/20 20/21 56/14 113/17 116/6 116/9 jump [1] 136/5 154/10 86/14 86/18 87/20 133/15 180/12 184/14 jumped [1] 102/12 117/23 126/2 145/2 key [7] 5/6 33/16 87/22 184/16 June [3] 60/21 68/8 145/4 154/3 155/19 39/19 58/25 66/18 less -- if [1] 20/20 learn [6] 178/4 158/12 159/3 172/6 125/25 80/7 159/5 178/15 178/18 179/15 lesson [2] 39/10 172/22 173/3 173/4 just [138] 1/21 2/21 kind [1] 46/3 179/20 179/22 39/11 5/13 6/9 6/12 6/14 173/8 173/20 178/9 Kingdom [3] 95/24 learned [12] 32/14 lessons [12] 32/14 10/9 14/20 14/22 179/9 97/15 138/10 39/10 39/11 55/14 55/13 56/7 76/18 15/17 16/4 19/11 largely [2] 95/22 Kingdom's [1] 56/7 78/15 131/8 131/8 153/20 178/2 19/19 19/21 19/21 152/2 172/12 132/24 141/3 141/10 178/4 178/15 178/18 21/11 24/10 24/13 larger [7] 13/2 98/7 knew [12] 8/25 9/2 153/20 178/2 179/20 179/22 25/4 25/6 28/5 28/22 107/23 132/25 133/6 44/7 45/11 79/14 learning [5] 56/10 let [5] 86/4 102/3 31/18 32/8 32/10 133/11 157/21 87/14 110/2 110/4 57/8 58/13 139/19 125/9 150/19 154/9 32/19 32/20 35/22 largest [3] 116/17 121/22 164/22 179/3 153/15 let's [6] 43/15 78/4 37/12 38/12 38/16 172/12 175/13 learnings [1] 153/17 179/5 146/9 148/25 149/9 LAs [4] 46/2 46/6 39/2 39/10 39/24 knit [1] 62/7 162/2 learnt [2] 123/21 40/14 43/16 45/20 46/14 51/23 **know [54]** 10/13 132/22 Leunig [2] 30/21 45/22 46/19 46/21 **lashing [2]** 146/12 18/18 23/12 27/7 30/4 least [3] 57/17 92/4 31/20 46/25 48/17 49/3 55/7 146/13 33/7 34/12 43/5 45/10 110/19 level [22] 15/9 18/3 56/12 56/21 57/11 last [8] 13/3 19/13 46/8 48/5 55/21 58/8 leave [10] 31/14 18/6 27/19 29/25 58/3 63/10 66/2 68/3 56/12 58/16 149/5 67/24 71/18 71/20 31/25 92/8 129/20 35/13 58/3 69/23 81/3 68/5 68/15 69/19 70/6 150/16 165/18 165/23 73/22 74/10 79/5 149/9 154/9 154/18 82/7 82/9 99/8 99/8 73/12 74/22 76/3 76/6 lasting [3] 108/19 80/23 82/17 83/12 170/8 174/14 180/14 99/9 99/10 100/9 76/13 77/6 80/21 82/3 108/20 122/6 87/11 87/15 90/14 106/25 154/6 155/6 leaves [1] 32/25 82/6 83/21 84/6 84/17 lasts [1] 58/22 97/22 101/21 102/23 leaving [3] 82/3 164/12 165/14 166/12 87/15 87/21 90/18 late [3] 44/24 69/1 103/3 104/10 116/3 130/10 137/18 levels [8] 18/2 21/19 98/17 98/21 100/7 177/8 led [5] 22/4 53/24 118/13 118/20 119/14 28/6 81/20 83/19 later [13] 8/18 11/6 101/14 101/17 101/23 119/16 126/24 135/1 90/1 169/8 177/11 120/20 154/13 162/22 102/3 102/6 102/17 28/4 73/19 81/14 138/10 139/22 141/21 left [5] 31/18 63/16 lever [1] 31/15 103/16 104/14 106/6 104/7 107/6 107/10 148/3 148/8 148/14 leverage [1] 36/25 94/14 153/19 180/3 106/18 108/3 108/21 115/7 122/10 126/7 148/16 150/2 151/12 legal [1] 174/13 levers [5] 3/13 3/14 109/18 110/13 116/6 128/16 160/23 152/10 153/21 159/17 legislate [1] 36/3 27/14 31/13 48/11 117/8 119/21 119/22 latest [2] 154/7 154/7 160/2 178/4 179/5 legislation [7] 23/24 **Lewis [1]** 171/18 124/22 126/20 126/25 launch [12] 72/6 183/24 183/24 163/17 163/18 163/22 liberty [1] 47/10 123/1 123/11 124/23 128/17 128/21 131/8 knowing [3] 3/3 3/6 163/23 164/3 164/5 lies [3] 151/15 153/5 132/13 133/8 135/23 132/11 140/5 153/10 112/22 **legislative [1]** 36/12 170/19 154/23 154/23 154/25 136/5 136/5 137/2 knowledge [2] 38/20 life [6] 86/19 87/23 Leicestershire [1] 138/19 142/19 144/11 164/23 164/24 44/11 91/16 140/4 148/4 53/8 145/7 146/18 146/20 launched [5] 123/9 known [13] 18/16 lend [9] 8/11 8/14 164/14 147/15 147/22 148/25 125/14 125/22 132/16 51/11 104/5 125/8 8/14 8/15 131/21 lifetime [1] 164/3 149/15 149/15 150/13 132/19 130/3 151/20 157/2 136/7 138/15 155/5 lift [1] 147/16 launches [1] 164/15 150/16 150/18 150/19 160/12 163/2 163/7 light [1] 19/2 155/17 152/6 152/20 155/8 launching [2] 122/9 163/13 164/1 169/24 lender [2] 135/22 lighter [1] 18/6 155/8 159/8 161/6 139/21 knows [4] 7/25 39/3 136/3 like [59] 7/24 10/21 law [2] 117/6 157/18 162/2 162/25 164/10 111/21 165/4 lenders [29] 124/14 17/21 19/23 20/17 164/13 166/14 171/5 lay [1] 160/10 135/8 135/19 135/23 20/21 22/13 23/10 172/4 172/15 174/17 lead [8] 1/11 22/2 136/3 136/7 136/19 24/18 30/19 31/16

like... [48] 31/18 34/1 35/19 37/20 40/25 43/8 44/4 46/9 47/9 48/8 48/9 48/13 49/6 50/19 51/12 53/18 62/18 78/6 79/20 80/5 82/12 83/20 84/21 87/8 89/2 95/9 96/8 96/18 96/25 104/3 113/1 116/10 117/12 118/16 128/4 128/8 132/9 132/22 134/11 138/10 146/2 154/1 164/19 165/13 165/14 165/15 180/14 181/5 liked [1] 13/2 likely [4] 41/15 49/3 95/17 166/6 likes [1] 112/15 limitations [1] 62/25 limited [5] 26/13 76/20 87/9 155/11 155/13 limits [1] 73/2 line [3] 52/1 145/16 154/6 lined [1] 100/17 lines [4] 89/15 93/10 117/18 171/12 link [3] 11/23 75/18 79/19 linked [1] 45/21 links [1] 35/3 **liquidity [9]** 8/17 116/25 172/21 173/5 173/7 173/9 173/14 174/6 176/7 list [5] 47/10 47/16 49/4 111/23 178/13 listened [1] 102/13 **Lister [1]** 87/5 lists [1] 71/11 literal [1] 58/19 literally [3] 24/23 27/8 115/25 little [11] 6/14 10/10 31/10 43/15 43/17 51/16 98/17 108/4 150/7 150/12 156/2 live [3] 32/23 148/23 181/5 lived [1] 177/24 lives [1] 121/3 living [1] 27/8 **Lloyds [1]** 137/3 **load [1]** 148/6 loads [1] 102/10 loan [64] 72/1 72/6 89/15 103/24 112/4 123/14 124/3 124/7 125/13 126/18 126/22 130/1 130/2 130/21 130/25 131/10 131/10 131/24 132/6 132/16

132/23 133/2 133/2 133/3 133/3 133/11 133/12 135/21 137/15 144/18 145/6 145/17 146/10 149/11 153/11 153/20 155/3 155/6 155/22 157/1 157/13 157/20 158/19 159/3 159/11 160/1 160/2 160/8 161/9 165/11 165/15 165/19 165/22 166/7 166/13 179/1 179/17 180/4 183/1 183/21 183/25 185/3 185/6 185/24 loans [38] 112/12 113/24 118/9 118/24 124/18 125/4 138/9 139/6 141/13 142/5 142/25 144/4 144/25 145/18 145/19 147/18 57/22 57/23 80/4 151/11 151/13 152/18 154/21 155/20 156/19 locally [2] 1/25 2/14 157/3 157/15 157/15 157/21 158/1 158/1 158/2 158/19 159/3 159/20 159/22 159/24 161/25 164/25 171/16 184/3 lobbies [1] 158/5 lobbying [2] 129/12 160/4 local [188] 1/14 1/15 1/20 1/23 2/4 2/6 2/13 2/20 3/1 3/10 3/15 3/25 4/12 4/23 5/4 5/15 5/17 6/4 6/23 7/1 7/6 7/13 7/19 7/25 8/7 8/7 8/8 8/9 8/13 9/10 9/25 10/5 10/17 10/18 longstanding [1] 11/7 11/12 11/18 11/22 11/25 12/2 12/10 12/15 12/22 13/5 13/12 13/17 13/20 14/18 14/24 15/8 15/19 15/21 15/25 16/10 16/17 16/19 16/22 17/1 17/2 17/5 17/8 17/19 18/17 18/19 18/23 19/8 19/12 19/16 19/17 19/23 20/1 20/4 20/5 20/6 20/8 20/19 20/22 21/5 21/9 21/15 21/20 21/24 22/1 22/4 22/9 23/4 24/16 25/6 25/25 28/9 28/16 28/17 28/20 28/25 29/10 29/13 32/7 33/1 33/9 33/10 33/21 33/22 34/2 34/10 34/21 34/22 35/7 35/9 35/14 35/17 35/23 36/18 36/21 37/7 37/16 37/23 38/14 38/15 38/18 38/20 38/22

38/24 39/1 39/12 39/15 39/21 39/22 40/24 41/9 41/11 41/19 42/7 42/16 42/17 42/20 42/24 42/25 43/3 43/20 43/21 43/25 44/11 44/12 44/15 44/19 44/25 45/16 46/12 47/11 47/18 47/21 47/24 48/21 48/24 49/12 49/21 49/25 50/2 50/12 51/3 51/11 51/20 52/12 52/14 52/16 53/3 53/21 53/25 54/5 54/19 55/10 55/14 55/23 56/2 56/3 56/14 56/20 56/24 57/1 57/8 57/12 57/16 57/19 57/19 106/23 lockdown [11] 98/1 116/14 118/15 118/20 **low [3]** 133/14 151/6 121/22 123/18 126/13 152/3 139/22 140/10 144/13 lower [1] 133/12 149/17 **London [2]** 20/10 161/20 long [17] 4/17 21/24 24/2 47/7 58/21 69/1 78/14 80/24 91/25 95/25 111/22 112/17 137/23 149/18 169/23 made [49] 2/19 6/7 178/13 187/2 longer [6] 8/20 53/6 93/19 128/20 128/22 170/21 66/16 look [25] 2/11 3/21 7/8 7/20 9/5 14/8 17/18 32/10 32/11 36/20 56/21 65/20 69/5 78/4 83/7 92/9 95/9 101/8 113/5 125/12 129/24 132/14 141/4 169/20 182/24 looked [7] 15/24 27/3 177/15 182/1 55/11 105/19 113/5 182/22 184/6 looking [37] 2/12 3/16 9/14 9/21 15/11 19/19 30/16 33/11 48/8 55/13 80/21 83/20 93/9 93/10 93/11 93/15 94/2 95/24 97/7 110/16 111/9 112/21 113/21 115/24 116/1 116/9 116/12 128/5 140/21 142/21 151/22 151/23 153/4 164/5 166/13 167/2 177/22 looks [4] 28/19 53/18 76/10 76/10 112/12

111/20 111/24 loop [2] 64/20 87/6 **Lord [1]** 87/5 **Lord Lister [1]** 87/5 loss [1] 14/11 losses [5] 133/13 166/7 166/14 172/13 185/1 lost [1] 148/2 lot [37] 27/15 27/16 30/24 37/22 49/22 50/10 52/5 53/22 57/12 58/15 59/10 88/17 91/22 92/18 101/11 111/17 121/2 121/3 121/4 121/7 122/14 123/22 127/8 129/11 136/14 140/23 141/25 144/5 151/12 153/22 159/24 168/11 168/25 171/1 178/6 180/1 181/2 lots [4] 84/21 92/12 141/16 143/16 lunch [2] 105/11 106/4 M machine [1] 35/21 machinery [2] 169/24 84/22 170/3 11/24 12/17 13/14 16/25 18/1 26/19 28/3 32/20 37/24 41/4 43/13 44/7 44/12 44/24 49/19 68/5 70/9 72/5 72/6 76/7 77/12 80/25 81/12 88/9 100/17 103/4 103/10 104/19 107/6 117/4 117/20 120/6 120/20 125/11 134/6 156/6 157/9 157/25 163/18 167/3 167/8 173/2 175/4 175/7 177/9 **mainly [2]** 126/6 143/25 maintain [1] 131/19 maintained [2] 84/5 92/21 Majesty's [1] 60/14 major [9] 67/14 73/6 82/11 103/18 104/2 110/3 119/15 119/17 119/19 make [43] 3/7 20/2 21/13 24/12 32/22 33/5 35/22 36/3 40/20 107/18 46/14 46/24 55/23 58/2 70/6 72/11 75/17

112/18 113/11 122/3 126/18 127/25 131/16 135/20 137/6 138/4 138/8 138/11 138/22 147/22 159/6 159/8 159/24 165/2 168/25 178/16 180/20 182/13 182/20 183/6 183/21 maker [5] 66/24 67/22 69/25 70/16 167/22 makes [5] 68/5 70/10 131/21 160/21 171/14 making [23] 17/13 17/17 23/22 24/20 40/12 42/4 42/9 48/22 55/6 64/17 66/19 68/10 68/13 75/3 75/18 121/8 124/18 129/19 129/23 144/13 148/22 170/7 183/14 man [1] 148/3 manage [1] 87/17 managed [2] 56/10 86/23 management [11] 61/9 62/3 62/4 81/24 89/1 104/23 156/21 181/20 182/2 182/7 184/3 managerial [1] 183/6 mandates [2] 78/17 manual [1] 152/2 manufacturers [1] 117/19 manufacturing [1] 116/10 many [15] 17/6 65/12 65/12 66/10 66/19 67/14 67/25 69/4 90/7 100/22 119/9 131/1 154/15 155/8 178/7 mapped [1] 130/14 March [35] 7/9 22/22 26/18 26/19 47/12 72/7 72/8 98/1 98/15 100/22 101/2 103/6 106/6 106/11 106/16 106/18 107/3 107/18 108/3 109/12 111/12 118/1 119/5 119/7 125/15 125/21 130/5 140/9 143/9 143/14 144/12 146/9 172/8 172/10 172/20 March 11 [3] 72/8 98/15 103/6 March 11th [2] 100/22 101/2 March 14 [1] 172/10 March 14th [1] March 16th [1] 118/1 March 2020 [1] 143/9 March 23rd [1] 98/1

49/18 50/2 54/7 55/20 172/20 111/1 111/3 112/18 **MODULE [6]** 1/12 М 57/19 88/7 88/7 90/18 mid-sized [1] 133/1 118/24 126/15 128/3 60/12 94/13 94/17 March 25th [1] 99/18 111/4 114/20 midday [1] 59/24 188/5 188/9 128/16 128/23 130/21 144/12 115/5 119/12 120/11 middle [1] 31/5 Module 1 [2] 94/13 137/3 145/20 147/19 market [24] 30/7 121/1 121/12 121/13 might [45] 4/22 20/21 94/17 149/11 154/24 166/13 62/24 70/19 71/3 71/6 125/19 136/14 141/12 27/14 30/17 33/12 moment [4] 30/11 169/11 169/18 170/1 73/3 87/18 93/11 142/11 142/24 147/22 37/5 41/21 46/6 46/16 110/17 145/20 166/6 170/1 172/11 184/6 118/12 123/6 126/15 151/20 153/18 157/24 46/18 46/18 46/19 moments [2] 125/24 185/21 185/25 126/24 132/5 133/17 186/24 48/14 50/7 53/14 148/14 Morgan [2] 171/17 142/9 143/1 143/5 64/16 70/19 73/16 meaning [1] 38/24 Monday [4] 1/1 59/7 171/23 145/13 145/15 158/3 means [6] 37/6 56/2 74/8 74/12 74/14 109/10 109/10 morning [13] 1/3 1/4 158/12 159/17 176/6 58/22 78/9 131/22 80/21 83/20 85/24 1/13 59/5 76/16 80/2 monetary [6] 173/6 185/21 94/23 95/9 95/9 103/8 174/9 176/3 176/15 176/4 100/14 105/15 106/8 market-sensitive [2] meant [12] 7/5 21/14 106/14 116/20 116/23 109/5 109/7 109/8 176/25 177/2 71/3 71/6 58/23 59/8 65/3 71/3 118/14 130/12 130/15 money [31] 5/20 6/2 140/2 marketplace [1] 87/9 136/19 144/16 137/9 142/12 145/2 7/7 7/16 14/3 14/9 **most [17]** 13/16 137/22 144/20 147/4 185/6 145/2 145/3 145/3 23/16 34/19 60/25 16/21 23/10 52/14 markets [3] 49/5 measure [1] 48/8 145/5 173/9 176/11 54/22 114/16 125/1 63/15 63/19 71/13 131/23 173/17 measures [10] 9/16 176/14 176/15 131/23 132/3 133/18 97/23 113/9 116/18 marks [1] 35/6 9/17 103/11 106/20 million [18] 13/21 136/6 142/15 151/4 117/2 117/24 125/22 marry [1] 54/16 106/25 108/11 108/12 14/19 14/21 14/22 155/5 155/18 155/19 137/14 155/2 178/20 Marshall [1] 121/19 108/18 115/22 119/9 15/2 15/4 106/23 155/24 155/25 162/16 178/24 mass [1] 186/25 168/19 173/11 173/24 motivated [1] 120/16 Media [1] 93/7 112/5 141/13 143/2 match [2] 52/13 155/3 155/12 155/22 174/14 175/9 176/5 meet [3] 38/21 75/13 mounting [1] 127/16 52/25 158/23 158/1 162/9 174/22 176/16 move [15] 22/12 38/7 material [6] 23/21 meeting [7] 77/1 77/5 43/10 43/15 51/7 56/6 174/25 175/6 monies [1] 162/7 23/24 24/18 73/5 98/23 99/2 99/5 99/14 millions [1] 115/25 monitoring [39] 3/20 88/6 94/11 102/20 103/4 180/20 3/21 3/25 4/7 4/9 7/18 126/21 129/22 132/14 111/14 mind [1] 162/9 matter [6] 44/17 67/7 8/6 10/16 10/17 10/24 156/3 156/18 172/3 meetings [9] 22/7 mindset [1] 128/12 70/7 119/24 136/6 moved [6] 4/4 98/19 76/5 76/6 76/6 76/17 minimise [1] 31/16 11/2 11/4 11/8 11/24 136/8 76/19 76/21 79/7 minister [11] 56/18 12/5 13/1 13/4 13/11 106/10 165/17 169/17 mattered [2] 150/4 66/18 67/2 87/3 87/7 13/19 14/7 15/9 15/10 173/17 151/8 150/9 15/14 15/16 16/5 16/8 movie [1] 89/16 meltdown [2] 108/7 107/6 110/4 110/6 matters [2] 78/19 108/19 110/10 113/15 183/9 16/8 16/9 18/3 18/7 moving [10] 24/11 180/15 member [3] 61/8 Minister's [2] 108/5 18/20 18/25 19/2 19/3 33/18 72/4 107/9 Matthew [4] 142/8 19/5 19/10 19/14 107/12 109/22 132/20 156/20 181/19 109/17 159/4 162/6 162/9 142/22 170/10 171/1 members [2] 21/4 ministerial [3] 57/15 21/18 50/10 may [29] 25/16 30/3 174/4 75/3 75/18 monitoring form [1] Mr [18] 1/3 1/10 1/13 41/2 41/8 41/24 43/13 49/2 51/13 58/11 memory [2] 84/1 ministers [7] 6/25 16/8 51/24 62/24 65/5 69/7 101/24 7/17 57/16 57/20 month [6] 13/21 59/16 60/4 95/14 70/17 87/25 90/18 14/19 14/21 95/25 98/25 106/2 128/9 mention [2] 66/2 61/23 75/20 87/14 91/3 93/1 93/17 131/15 156/7 156/12 103/16 110/21 98/17 ministry [5] 1/14 100/21 122/18 125/5 mentioned [5] 14/18 61/16 71/10 79/17 monthly [3] 4/5 4/24 175/19 187/7 188/3 125/21 125/25 140/1 19/12 49/23 185/17 80/3 11/6 Mr Bailey [1] 175/19 141/23 147/18 156/4 186/21 minute [1] 183/5 months [11] 9/8 11/6 | Mr Jenrick [1] 51/13 163/19 166/24 172/13 merit [1] 92/3 minutiae [2] 180/13 20/14 23/1 115/20 Mr Skinner [4] 1/13 merits [1] 147/13 119/11 119/12 119/15 49/2 58/11 59/16 181/1 maybe [8] 4/23 68/15 mess [1] 149/10 130/22 152/11 167/5 Mr Sunak [2] 95/14 miscommunication 72/12 103/3 112/3 message [4] 39/6 **[1]** 145/7 more [82] 5/25 6/4 128/9 144/19 154/23 166/13 141/17 144/9 158/24 misrepresented [1] 6/6 7/21 10/10 12/9 Mr Sunak's [1] Mayors [1] 38/15 messages [2] 109/3 54/10 12/20 14/10 15/14 131/15 McKinsey [1] 89/2 15/17 15/18 15/19 141/15 mistaken [1] 69/5 Mr Wright [6] 1/3 me [24] 23/11 30/15 met [4] 20/13 20/15 misunderstanding 16/17 16/20 16/21 60/4 106/2 156/7 32/23 32/25 52/8 108/22 108/24 **[1]** 145/8 18/5 19/9 20/5 20/20 156/12 187/7 65/11 74/16 75/10 method [1] 3/19 mitigant [1] 144/24 21/1 24/12 27/12 Mr York-Smith [1] 94/10 99/2 102/3 27/16 36/19 43/21 Mm [7] 13/13 119/6 98/25 metropolitan [1] 103/25 104/1 109/7 44/25 46/2 46/5 46/13 **Ms [5]** 181/12 181/13 20/11 153/9 153/13 168/3 113/17 125/25 128/10 MHCLG [11] 12/5 177/14 181/25 46/14 50/4 53/15 54/3 181/14 186/12 188/10 129/11 129/15 130/4 54/21 57/12 58/2 73/2 MS BEATTIE [3] 20/5 25/13 28/20 mobilise [1] 120/15 143/18 150/19 156/6 29/11 34/9 37/3 37/17 models [3] 137/14 74/5 78/5 78/6 79/22 181/14 186/12 188/10 176/18 38/10 39/18 47/17 79/23 79/24 81/11 155/5 155/14 much [56] 6/23 6/23 mean [44] 13/8 24/6 mid [5] 133/1 133/7 85/24 87/20 88/10 13/17 13/22 14/10 **modern [3]** 66/25 24/14 25/5 25/7 25/18 133/21 158/4 172/20 88/11 93/18 94/24 14/18 17/2 21/1 30/10 94/1 165/17 27/2 27/7 29/12 31/4 mid-April [2] 133/21 modest [1] 97/18 98/2 98/2 102/6 31/15 50/4 51/1 53/15 33/14 39/6 39/25 40/1 modified [1] 152/22 158/4 102/14 102/20 103/9 59/12 59/18 59/21 41/17 42/10 47/2 mid-March [1] 103/21 103/22 105/6 91/20 92/5 101/19 modify [1] 121/14

М much... [37] 102/21 103/2 105/10 105/13 105/17 105/18 111/1 111/1 111/3 111/3 115/16 118/24 125/3 127/13 131/13 133/12 133/19 143/5 149/21 150/8 151/16 152/12 152/14 156/17 159/13 N 160/25 165/12 165/21 167/18 169/25 170/1 170/1 170/9 181/8 184/23 186/12 187/10 multi [2] 172/24 179/1 multi-billion pound **[1]** 179/1 multi-billion-pound **[1]** 172/24 multiple [12] 4/25 64/3 65/13 66/7 66/11 66/12 76/21 81/20 83/18 141/3 154/12 165/6 multiply [1] 162/9 **Munby [1]** 168/14 must [2] 151/20 177/7 mutual [1] 121/6 mutually [1] 121/8 mv [106] 1/4 15/1 15/19 27/10 30/9 33/7 35/15 37/12 41/7 41/24 46/1 48/20 49/15 49/15 51/15 52/9 52/21 59/13 59/13 59/25 60/5 61/15 62/11 63/15 63/18 64/9 64/21 64/22 65/8 65/10 65/11 65/12 68/16 68/20 68/22 68/25 69/4 69/6 69/8 69/15 71/13 72/9 76/10 77/12 78/20 81/6 84/24 86/2 86/14 86/16 86/24 89/24 90/7 90/8 90/12 94/8 99/10 100/3 102/8 103/15 103/19 104/8 105/11 105/25 106/17 116/7 118/13 120/2 121/19 123/4 126/10 126/17 129/12 135/4 142/17 146/3 149/7 149/22 150/7 151/6 151/15 153/17 154/1 156/2 156/6 156/8 156/13 165/8 165/10 165/25 168/11 168/12 169/13 170/25 175/25 176/19 177/4 178/23 179/23 180/21 181/4 181/8 181/10 181/15

186/11 187/8 my Lady [14] 1/4 15/1 59/13 59/25 60/5 105/11 105/25 156/2 156/8 156/13 181/10 181/15 186/11 187/8 myriad [1] 119/8 myself [3] 31/4 68/23 151/8

name [1] 99/6 named [1] 90/16 names [2] 176/25 178/23 naming [1] 178/22 **narrow [2]** 93/4 155/15 nation [4] 85/19 113/11 113/12 114/19 **national [2]** 94/18 181/16 natural [5] 6/13 30/3 104/15 104/17 166/15 **naturally [2]** 30/1 169/18 nature [6] 6/18 35/13 53/9 125/19 164/11 166/20 nearly [1] 179/25 **neatly [1]** 30/15 necessarily [4] 53/20 87/19 102/8 121/13 necessary [5] 108/12 108/18 121/10 123/2 159/6 necessitated [1] 153/11 need [81] 3/18 8/2 8/21 10/1 10/1 13/7 23/23 30/7 30/24 30/25 31/9 36/9 37/6 40/7 42/6 48/12 48/16 48/25 57/25 66/8 71/19 73/22 76/24 76/25 78/5 83/1 83/9 84/5 90/14 90/15 90/17 91/7 91/8 91/13 91/14 91/24 91/25 92/2 92/7 92/10 92/13 92/16 92/18 92/19 93/16 93/24 94/19 95/2 98/11 99/6 102/19 105/10 107/23 116/16 123/2 124/2 124/5 124/7 132/23 137/6 137/19 141/15 141/17 147/2 147/9 153/11 154/10 155/25 157/13 158/4 158/23 166/22 170/6 170/24 173/9 173/22 176/15

177/1 179/3 179/14

need it [1] 92/7

**needed [38]** 3/17

180/23

9/11 12/18 16/17 24/21 44/3 51/18 59/6 71/18 71/22 89/19 89/19 89/20 89/21 90/23 90/25 91/4 92/18 92/25 93/23 107/16 113/16 114/2 114/4 117/24 118/3 123/11 125/1 147/18 149/24 152/5 155/4 157/16 157/23 158/9 158/25 161/3 173/20 needing [2] 94/17 119/1 needs [15] 6/13 19/17 37/3 37/8 37/13 37/19 38/2 38/22 62/22 93/14 93/15 122/7 132/1 132/3 161/23 negative [7] 24/4 98/3 121/13 124/16 134/2 141/25 151/13 negotiate [1] 145/19 neighbouring [1] 56/19 53/14 nervous [2] 37/12 42/13 net [2] 7/24 162/8 networks [5] 78/22 78/25 79/4 88/11 93/16 **never [14]** 16/14 124/17 53/12 64/20 64/21 69/3 79/20 90/13 97/4 148/2 157/19 158/13 158/22 181/4 183/19 nevertheless [1] 59/11 121/1 new [35] 27/9 37/1 37/13 40/16 46/15 36/12 77/2 77/8 77/9 83/9 83/11 89/3 94/11 24/16 100/21 106/23 107/23 non-statutory [1] 115/13 116/5 116/15 36/12 135/23 136/10 136/20 nonetheless [1] 136/21 137/13 137/13 132/10 138/20 141/6 143/4 150/21 150/24 151/14 152/8 156/3 168/14 174/2 174/15 newly [1] 95/16 news [1] 141/21 next [16] 6/2 30/15 152/9 33/2 33/7 33/16 39/17 north [1] 113/19 40/13 48/10 67/4 85/1 Northern [3] 79/16 107/21 111/25 152/18 80/13 138/11 176/1 176/24 180/24 not [212] nice [3] 83/21 84/6 84/18 night [2] 69/1 177/8 142/24 nimbleness [1] 176/23 nine [4] 22/7 56/18 63/3 86/16 90/7 no [56] 2/22 7/5 19/3

26/1 26/2 32/21 38/3 45/9 45/9 68/21 68/24 75/16 86/20 87/7 88/4 90/15 90/17 91/23 92/14 92/22 93/12 95/7 95/8 100/18 103/4 103/10 103/17 105/17 114/22 114/23 125/2 126/6 128/10 130/10 136/23 140/10 140/11 141/11 143/17 143/18 143/22 144/15 144/16 144/20 145/17 146/23 148/4 159/17 162/14 163/22 164/2 164/5 170/17 170/21 172/13 187/3 **no-deal [1]** 130/10 **NOA's [1]** 20/3 **nobody [1]** 154/22 nodded [1] 55/3 **nodding [1]** 38/2 **noise [1]** 139/9 nominated [2] 34/25 non [14] 24/16 36/12 36/12 107/7 108/6 109/20 109/20 121/1 124/17 135/22 137/12 140/11 140/11 148/9 non-bank [3] 135/22 137/12 148/9 non-banks [1] non-essential [6] 107/7 108/6 109/20 109/20 140/11 140/11 non-hierarchical [1] non-legislative [1] non-ringfenced [1] **noon [1]** 60/3 **normal [10]** 5/16 5/23 6/16 85/24 97/21 objective [7] 129/20 110/24 128/4 128/9 131/18 131/18 normally [2] 119/14 **nothing [5]** 101/19 106/11 122/8 122/11 **notice [1]** 120/19 notwithstanding [1] **November [1]** 9/7 now [80] 1/20 2/11

10/23 11/7 13/7 17/21 22/12 22/16 26/21 26/24 28/5 30/17 31/12 32/13 33/1 33/7 35/19 36/8 40/11 40/12 40/20 43/20 51/8 51/24 55/12 56/6 56/14 57/6 59/23 63/10 63/16 64/13 66/19 67/24 69/5 69/12 74/19 77/23 84/20 87/5 88/9 94/6 95/2 97/22 99/7 105/5 105/11 107/3 108/21 111/7 111/24 114/8 115/24 116/18 130/3 132/2 136/17 142/24 147/18 148/14 149/9 149/15 149/18 150/22 153/18 153/24 153/25 156/5 160/2 161/16 163/16 163/24 164/5 164/20 165/13 169/5 170/21 170/25 173/16 179/25 number [38] 15/12 15/13 25/9 26/9 36/23 36/24 53/10 59/3 74/5

82/16 87/4 87/5 87/7 87/10 103/24 110/6 111/15 113/3 113/16 113/17 113/25 114/2 114/9 131/7 135/17 138/6 141/22 154/14 154/18 154/24 155/6 157/12 157/22 161/11 161/24 177/10 177/25 186/21 Number 10 [7] 87/4

87/5 87/7 87/10 110/6 114/9 141/22 Number 11 [2] 111/15 113/3

number 2 [1] 177/25 numbers [8] 8/22 54/4 57/7 58/9 58/9 152/10 152/11 162/1

130/7 137/23 138/3 160/19 172/19 179/11 objectives [4] 84/9 84/12 122/23 137/25 objectivity [1] 63/17 obligation [3] 36/21 168/17 182/11 obligations [2] 61/4 89/15 observation [2] 102/15 102/17 **obsession [1]** 178/5 **obvious [4]** 13/16 69/24 105/3 136/23 **obviously [14]** 5/18 14/24 15/6 15/18 17/7

40/8 41/19 43/6 44/10 opportunities [1] O 48/8 50/15 53/4 58/15 54/20 obviously... [9] 18/14 65/3 68/3 68/24 69/13 opportunity [3] 56/9 22/2 56/14 77/23 69/23 71/2 75/3 87/5 75/20 187/4 83/22 130/16 186/15 87/6 87/7 87/25 88/9 opposite [2] 16/23 186/16 186/19 89/20 91/9 91/11 82/16 occasion [1] 42/24 91/17 92/9 93/3 93/11 optimal [2] 154/14 occasional [1] 21/1 93/17 95/21 98/5 154/18 occasions [3] 20/15 100/21 104/2 105/3 options [6] 96/22 41/25 59/3 105/3 107/20 113/9 97/4 111/23 111/24 occurs [1] 40/20 118/9 119/21 121/8 112/18 160/20 off [**25**] 12/7 27/2 122/5 122/12 124/3 or [121] 1/9 2/4 4/24 32/17 33/3 49/18 4/25 9/16 12/14 12/20 124/3 124/12 129/5 66/15 67/6 70/10 130/5 131/8 132/21 13/15 17/15 19/2 70/14 75/25 80/5 133/15 135/17 135/21 20/13 20/15 22/4 23/1 89/20 95/7 96/2 136/2 136/18 138/7 25/6 27/3 27/5 27/19 106/14 114/23 122/8 139/1 141/3 141/10 29/20 31/2 36/3 36/13 125/3 127/23 127/24 145/13 147/13 147/18 36/25 38/3 39/22 44/4 128/2 128/16 130/16 147/19 149/20 152/1 44/14 45/17 46/7 144/9 149/20 157/14 159/7 162/3 46/19 47/1 49/12 52/2 offer [1] 28/24 162/14 164/8 167/17 53/3 53/6 57/1 57/4 offered [1] 132/16 168/8 171/23 174/19 57/10 57/12 57/17 offering [1] 106/22 175/17 176/10 176/11 58/4 58/13 62/21 office [7] 69/21 74/25 176/22 177/13 178/22 62/24 63/13 67/18 75/2 75/10 83/6 85/3 178/24 179/17 186/4 67/19 69/3 69/5 69/21 163/9 186/8 71/10 73/5 77/17 officer [8] 168/4 onerous [1] 18/23 78/10 78/23 79/13 168/5 168/9 168/17 ones [7] 40/16 61/21 79/21 82/12 82/14 169/3 169/15 170/7 87/20 115/22 126/4 82/16 83/22 85/7 86/1 170/13 86/25 88/2 88/10 133/7 164/21 official [6] 18/9 18/10 only [29] 30/10 31/19 88/13 88/13 89/15 18/13 58/3 60/25 87/6 32/3 32/4 33/3 43/10 91/6 92/4 93/11 93/17 officials [211 7/1 55/25 66/4 68/13 95/17 95/24 102/9 47/16 62/14 66/22 95/15 97/14 100/8 102/25 103/3 103/8 75/6 79/7 79/10 81/7 116/14 131/17 132/6 104/13 105/18 106/5 81/10 81/17 81/17 136/8 136/18 138/10 106/9 106/13 106/25 82/15 85/16 93/15 139/18 149/10 155/3 110/17 110/17 124/7 94/25 113/17 122/3 125/9 125/17 127/10 159/13 163/24 173/13 146/13 148/1 150/3 174/12 174/13 178/7 130/25 131/10 135/11 168/24 185/19 186/17 135/21 139/19 141/2 offs [1] 129/21 141/21 142/25 147/12 onwards [5] 81/12 often [7] 63/8 69/1 147/12 147/13 148/21 103/20 104/9 150/11 84/14 122/13 126/8 151/5 151/22 152/10 152/16 144/25 169/22 open [15] 27/20 30/6 153/5 154/23 158/16 oftentimes [1] 23/9 31/15 41/8 41/18 162/17 162/19 164/24 **OGDs [1]** 39/19 166/8 168/4 171/23 42/20 63/6 64/11 78/1 oh [1] 141/8 78/5 117/10 136/20 180/23 183/8 183/20 okay [14] 2/10 5/12 185/15 185/24 186/9 136/21 137/19 166/23 11/7 19/11 22/12 26/2 **opened [2]** 116/15 oral [1] 186/23 32/25 38/6 119/2 136/12 order [5] 33/15 45/2 125/12 146/6 148/24 opening [4] 68/15 66/9 135/19 182/10 175/16 177/3 97/8 136/10 169/19 ordinarily [1] 182/15 on [383] openness [5] 5/3 ordinary [4] 116/20 onboard [2] 135/23 28/7 32/8 41/21 54/13 119/10 121/12 171/3 138/20 **operate [2]** 143/13 organically [1] once [9] 40/18 104/14 152/6 121/22 123/21 124/9 operated [1] 134/14 organisation [4] 82/7 139/8 139/25 140/8 82/9 82/23 168/8 operates [2] 77/25 148/2 169/17 138/11 organisational [2] one [104] 4/21 7/4 operating [2] 6/22 84/23 169/23 8/14 13/16 13/25 157/25 organisations [4] 14/13 15/11 15/17 operational [10] 78/16 84/9 160/5 15/22 15/24 17/4 123/10 124/15 124/24 181/17 18/10 20/3 20/22 138/16 139/17 140/1 organise [1] 18/21 20/22 26/2 26/3 26/4 150/1 152/14 154/5 orientation [1] 85/2 26/4 28/13 29/7 29/23 159/21 originally [1] 127/6

126/12 128/20 130/24 origins [1] 130/9 132/3 132/18 144/9 other [101] 5/1 7/17 8/11 8/14 8/16 12/6 146/11 146/12 146/13 13/6 14/1 14/24 14/25 147/8 148/11 148/16 19/7 19/12 21/3 22/3 149/10 151/4 151/11 22/3 24/6 28/14 28/23 151/25 157/22 160/20 29/5 29/19 30/23 34/3 161/7 161/9 162/17 34/7 35/1 35/3 35/8 170/9 172/18 172/19 35/22 36/19 37/1 37/6 173/5 173/14 outbreak [1] 95/21 39/7 39/19 40/4 42/12 42/18 43/11 43/19 outcome [2] 84/11 44/3 47/15 55/19 84/12 59/14 63/9 63/13 outcomes [5] 37/5 63/22 63/24 64/4 64/4 120/23 120/24 180/15 64/9 64/15 65/5 65/12 180/25 66/23 67/13 67/21 output [1] 76/24 70/20 71/4 72/25 outputs [1] 75/17 73/15 74/12 74/22 outset [5] 102/19 76/15 77/16 78/4 78/8 139/14 153/16 175/23 78/9 82/13 83/12 181/18 83/21 84/6 84/25 86/6 outside [8] 63/15 87/14 89/13 89/13 64/2 78/22 88/20 101/21 104/3 104/3 90/20 102/5 147/14 109/16 119/8 122/15 163/11 127/8 130/24 132/2 outstanding [1] 134/8 134/21 138/8 137/11 143/24 144/20 144/23 outward [1] 93/15 145/1 151/3 160/4 over [32] 8/13 12/21 160/10 162/5 165/17 12/25 17/16 29/3 31/8 167/17 174/5 176/22 63/16 75/25 76/12 184/6 185/19 185/20 83/6 97/25 109/4 others [10] 8/15 110/11 111/22 115/2 35/11 52/17 64/25 119/2 119/19 125/1 65/2 77/24 78/1 107/8 126/11 126/12 126/16 130/25 135/22 137/10 154/18 158/7 141/10 145/12 152/18 otherwise [4] 45/1 53/2 147/7 147/7 152/19 157/10 159/11 our [36] 6/6 32/7 32/9 161/15 166/8 42/7 64/5 64/15 64/21 over-emphasise [1] 64/23 67/10 70/7 12/25 82/11 83/14 84/11 over-engineer [1] 84/12 98/6 100/18 31/8 105/11 110/5 112/19 over-interpret [1] 114/9 117/19 130/9 115/2 140/6 143/23 166/1 overall [6] 15/20 167/11 171/19 175/12 15/21 54/21 64/21 178/24 182/8 182/8 66/24 113/9 182/11 182/13 182/20 Overarching [1] 31/1 184/21 186/13 overfunded [2] 16/2 ourselves [5] 27/18 16/22 41/5 41/6 49/16 140/9 overfunding [6] out [75] 3/25 5/22 6/8 17/13 17/15 17/23 10/10 10/12 11/16 18/4 18/9 18/15 12/19 16/12 17/16 overlap [1] 11/5 18/23 19/14 26/20 overlapped [1] 23/21 26/22 30/7 35/6 37/13 overly [1] 42/11 40/4 51/10 52/9 52/14 oversee [1] 61/5 53/4 54/21 54/22 59/9 oversight [2] 61/13 62/13 63/24 64/1 156/23 65/17 76/18 85/3 oversold [3] 142/13 88/18 88/21 89/1 142/17 142/19 89/13 90/6 94/1 95/4 overspeaking [4] 99/21 107/11 108/3 58/14 101/14 112/24 111/21 112/22 114/12 135/16 114/20 117/21 121/21 overstate [1] 42/3 123/2 123/18 124/24 overstating [1] 31/20

91/11 91/13 91/14 41/9 108/7 184/6 phrase [3] 112/23 perform [1] 1/24 O 91/15 93/13 114/24 146/23 pass [2] 158/16 performance [2] overtaken [2] 98/5 pick [21] 2/21 10/22 panels [5] 89/25 90/3 164/3 52/11 55/8 98/16 91/17 93/20 94/3 passage [1] 23/19 performed [1] 157/17 19/11 22/17 28/5 38/9 overused [1] 169/22 paper [1] 96/22 passed [6] 163/18 perhaps [8] 10/9 40/4 43/17 45/20 71/2 own [5] 18/21 43/19 163/23 163/24 164/6 26/4 26/6 29/19 46/2 74/19 79/14 79/18 papers [1] 75/6 105/1 105/2 147/13 53/5 102/15 106/15 paragraph [7] 2/17 173/16 173/18 126/25 136/5 148/25 owner [1] 116/3 51/14 51/25 56/23 past [1] 46/9 period [28] 68/18 150/11 162/25 167/17 owners [1] 162/10 path [1] 149/7 94/23 98/2 102/23 68/7 72/22 96/8 171/5 172/4 patient [3] 90/2 169/8 104/1 106/5 109/24 picked [3] 18/4 43/25 paragraph 11.5 [1] 72/22 171/16 109/24 110/20 115/8 177/19 pace [11] 16/9 24/10 pausing [6] 39/2 115/12 117/6 118/5 picking [5] 30/20 paragraph 23 [1] 24/23 27/10 100/4 118/7 118/10 119/2 48/17 56/12 65/25 96/8 39/24 63/10 90/18 100/5 100/15 107/12 120/4 121/2 123/16 paragraph 48 [1] 146/20 149/5 109/6 115/1 115/4 pay [6] 96/24 99/23 124/21 125/15 128/8 2/17 picture [1] 140/16 package [8] 99/22 129/22 130/25 139/25 piece [1] 182/15 106/20 130/24 149/21 paragraph 80 [1] 101/7 103/9 104/19 149/18 151/9 177/21 51/14 174/11 pieces [1] 182/15 106/19 106/25 111/18 **pin [1]** 110/1 parallel [2] 35/25 paying [1] 52/14 periods [1] 93/19 154/12 permanent [24] 22/6 36/5 payments [1] 154/8 |pinpoint [1] 110/17 packages [2] 113/19 parallels [1] 36/24 peace [1] 162/8 60/13 60/20 60/24 pipeline [1] 151/21 113/21 61/3 61/25 73/21 place [22] 3/2 7/8 parameters [1] 3/11 peak [1] 110/22 page [7] 68/6 96/9 74/21 75/7 75/9 75/11 11/25 23/4 24/24 33/5 pecking [1] 45/2 Parliament [1] 111/13 146/9 148/25 77/19 79/9 79/17 82/6 40/21 68/13 80/8 81/1 168/16 pen [1] 156/6 150/15 188/2 82/6 83/4 83/5 92/15 101/10 104/17 135/8 Parliamentary [1] pendulum [2] 31/2 page 23 [1] 111/13 170/18 31/3 99/8 128/19 128/23 150/8 151/6 151/16 page 39 [1] 146/9 part [34] 7/17 9/6 people [83] 10/9 168/14 170/23 153/18 163/25 177/6 page 5 [1] 96/9 19/6 25/4 25/15 30/3 14/10 21/23 23/9 25/2 180/6 180/8 182/14 permanently [1] page 57 [1] 150/15 30/9 38/12 38/16 27/9 27/22 29/13 placed [3] 129/10 92/16 page 58 [1] 148/25 29/14 33/4 39/1 42/13 permission [1] 109/5 41/13 44/2 70/25 73/7 138/19 185/22 page 97 [1] 68/6 52/8 53/18 54/7 62/8 73/9 73/14 82/17 85/8 person [10] 11/15 places [1] 39/1 pages [3] 38/14 94/2 86/19 108/4 114/24 64/19 65/5 71/12 11/16 77/13 82/15 plan [9] 2/20 2/23 3/3 143/9 128/2 132/20 132/21 72/12 76/23 77/20 87/5 132/7 135/20 5/21 5/22 30/17 31/22 pages 27 [1] 38/14 137/24 149/13 149/14 78/5 82/2 82/7 84/25 153/23 162/16 184/23 31/24 163/12 pages 34-35 [1] 150/13 150/16 159/5 87/20 89/5 90/4 91/18 personal [35] 35/15 planned [2] 127/7 143/9 161/11 166/15 167/18 92/4 92/5 93/11 93/19 41/8 63/20 65/9 67/25 127/10 paid [5] 51/10 53/4 171/5 185/19 93/23 94/4 97/10 99/7 68/18 78/19 78/25 planning [5] 30/23 161/7 161/9 175/9 105/8 107/7 117/17 participants [3] 81/13 81/19 82/3 31/2 31/7 31/8 123/24 pandemic [89] 2/19 150/21 150/24 152/8 120/16 121/2 121/4 82/19 100/9 103/19 plans [1] 30/25 2/23 3/5 3/6 3/23 4/3 122/17 124/4 127/17 104/4 104/8 121/3 platform [8] 134/11 participate [1] 4/3 4/13 4/19 4/20 143/17 143/17 143/18 134/12 134/14 139/15 174/15 128/18 128/20 133/13 4/22 4/24 5/24 6/1 6/3 participating [3] 134/24 136/2 136/18 143/19 143/20 143/22 139/20 144/4 155/9 6/18 6/25 7/3 7/4 8/5 141/23 142/12 142/20 143/24 144/15 144/16 155/10 124/14 139/3 154/14 9/5 9/21 10/6 10/8 144/20 144/25 145/12 playbook [16] 38/10 147/9 150/6 155/9 particular [20] 4/16 10/8 11/3 12/1 13/4 145/17 168/16 168/18 38/11 38/13 39/14 24/1 36/1 42/1 44/14 167/5 174/14 174/20 13/19 14/2 14/4 14/11 48/3 56/9 65/21 74/3 175/1 176/17 177/23 168/22 169/13 176/19 40/24 40/25 41/3 16/4 17/24 18/1 20/4 74/8 74/9 80/15 90/19 179/18 181/24 182/4 personality [1] 80/22 41/10 41/12 41/16 20/14 20/15 20/18 92/9 92/13 92/24 182/5 182/23 184/5 personally [4] 16/21 41/20 41/25 43/14 21/7 22/10 26/17 27/8 55/14 95/8 114/22 129/24 137/19 182/4 184/18 184/22 185/2 42/10 44/20 79/14 27/12 28/11 29/1 29/3 185/4 185/10 185/13 184/18 perspective [17] playbooks [3] 38/7 29/24 30/1 30/11 particularly [16] 185/16 185/25 186/3 5/13 7/2 20/9 21/17 38/10 43/10 30/12 30/14 31/17 16/14 30/5 36/1 39/21 186/4 186/7 186/9 24/13 63/18 64/25 played [2] 34/21 31/19 33/2 33/16 62/11 63/6 75/24 76/4 people's [4] 101/21 69/2 84/16 127/4 38/19 34/18 35/5 35/15 77/20 78/1 81/8 90/24 128/10 130/8 137/17 108/16 140/25 181/17 players [1] 34/8 37/18 38/10 38/13 157/15 166/4 183/12 per [3] 14/21 96/2 137/20 151/6 152/13 please [14] 2/15 40/6 40/13 40/17 185/9 166/13 170/18 39/17 60/9 62/19 41/15 42/19 42/23 partly [5] 149/8 149/9 per cent [1] 166/13 persuade [1] 44/2 65/24 96/8 111/13 45/16 48/10 49/8 149/12 149/12 165/12 129/25 143/9 149/1 **perceived** [1] 65/6 petered [1] 75/25 55/20 55/22 56/18 partner [2] 39/12 Philippa [2] 101/25 150/15 156/14 186/22 perception [3] 67/11 59/10 59/20 61/1 55/16 77/24 77/25 102/22 187/11 67/24 94/12 95/18 partners [7] 5/10 perceptions [1] 65/6 pleased [1] 64/8 Philippa Davies [1] 95/25 97/6 99/15 35/10 42/7 64/5 65/15 perfect [4] 37/4 97/9 101/25 plethora [2] 3/24 101/1 101/9 101/12 Philippa's [1] 102/21 65/18 82/11 122/10 180/18 91/17 102/18 182/5 184/13 perfection [1] 180/18 plugged [1] 164/8 partnership [6] 12/15 **Phillips** [1] 9/23 pandemics [1] 38/19 56/16 57/14 65/22 perfectly [5] 129/13 **phone [6]** 48/17 plus [2] 20/1 144/3 panel [11] 49/23 50/3 pm [7] 99/5 99/21 66/2 66/9 129/16 147/4 150/4 79/15 79/19 89/20 50/5 88/12 89/4 91/10 parts [5] 22/3 31/11 172/22 93/17 109/9 105/22 105/24 156/9

85/13 85/14 85/18 5/7 21/18 28/10 80/17 24/15 116/21 152/9 152/12 153/1 86/4 129/1 129/8 92/3 94/3 96/23 179/6 179/8 180/13 primary [3] 130/7 **pm... [2]** 156/11 140/23 141/15 163/20 precedent [2] 96/14 163/17 163/17 180/16 181/1 187/12 179/12 115/1 Prime [10] 67/2 87/3 processed [1] point [58] 8/3 8/23 politicians [2] 57/16 87/7 107/6 108/5 151/14 precipitous [2] 12/11 16/18 17/13 116/12 117/18 109/17 110/4 110/6 85/12 processes [18] 32/5 17/16 19/11 19/14 ponder [1] 19/21 precise [2] 90/14 110/10 113/15 33/4 40/15 47/6 55/25 24/3 30/14 32/19 Prime Minister [8] **pool [3]** 92/4 104/24 162/1 104/22 123/11 131/18 37/12 40/13 40/16 67/2 87/3 87/7 107/6 153/15 precisely [3] 49/1 135/4 135/25 138/21 41/4 41/10 41/24 42/4 110/17 136/23 138/24 140/1 150/1 poor [1] 152/13 110/4 110/6 110/10 42/18 43/17 43/25 predecessor's [1] 152/2 152/5 163/5 poorly [1] 69/5 113/15 44/8 45/21 45/23 population [2] 58/5 Prime Minister's [1] 182/14 100/20 47/14 49/15 49/16 94/20 predicted [2] 96/1 109/17 processing [7] 32/4 56/12 57/21 58/18 principal [3] 48/20 124/15 139/17 145/25 **portfolio** [1] 145/4 97/3 68/4 68/5 70/6 70/14 position [15] 10/23 144/17 145/1 149/10 150/11 159/20 preface [2] 45/21 70/15 71/23 82/15 18/18 30/18 31/21 62/20 principle [4] 47/24 produce [2] 26/14 86/20 103/18 113/1 48/20 51/5 60/21 prefaced [1] 63/13 48/23 66/16 146/24 26/23 114/18 114/18 115/2 70/13 96/21 98/13 preference [1] 41/8 principles [4] 9/24 **produced** [2] 28/3 117/25 122/15 125/2 107/9 117/1 146/18 10/11 10/12 124/3 **preferred [2]** 89/14 52/7 126/7 128/9 145/22 153/14 168/21 144/5 print [1] 141/17 producing [1] 25/3 146/20 146/22 146/25 prior [9] 4/2 4/20 product [31] 15/19 positively [1] 84/19 preparation [3] 2/25 147/22 148/23 149/6 positives [2] 83/24 4/22 20/14 29/24 72/6 124/8 130/2 27/12 130/9 150/10 150/11 174/1 131/4 131/6 132/23 30/12 34/18 35/4 49/7 119/23 **prepare [3]** 33/15 points [10] 43/14 132/25 133/6 133/16 possess [1] 91/3 100/1 103/9 prioritisation [1] 44/12 44/23 71/2 possible [11] 35/17 prepared [6] 12/23 167/9 134/23 134/25 135/3 122/12 134/5 147/23 39/22 48/1 54/23 75/6 94/22 122/1 prioritise [1] 13/7 135/5 139/7 139/10 150/22 166/5 166/6 58/24 73/18 83/23 122/21 178/1 139/12 141/12 141/24 prioritising [1] 77/21 policies [13] 48/22 111/23 164/2 164/24 **prism [1]** 43/16 142/9 142/19 142/22 preparedness [1] 67/21 67/22 115/10 143/16 146/25 153/6 185/3 private [11] 42/7 68/1 55/20 118/12 119/25 120/19 Possibly [1] 72/16 68/16 68/19 69/8 154/20 154/25 158/10 preparing [5] 68/20 169/1 169/1 169/4 post [2] 95/16 100/8 101/6 110/6 157/8 78/14 80/24 120/12 158/20 158/22 160/13 183/22 183/23 184/7 postulated [1] 95/20 186/20 144/17 169/22 170/25 production [4] 27/21 policy [101] 25/13 50/10 89/17 117/17 **potential** [5] 23/9 presented [2] 52/4 **privilege [2]** 69/14 26/4 27/4 27/21 28/19 69/18 38/25 44/13 55/22 55/2 productive [4] 64/12 29/8 29/18 34/12 36/9 95/21 preserve [1] 110/11 **probably [12]** 20/13 104/6 117/2 119/13 37/7 47/3 47/25 50/4 potentially [4] 15/21 **presidents** [1] 20/10 23/15 30/10 31/20 productively [1] 50/17 50/18 50/22 press [2] 140/3 149/4 68/5 74/7 102/7 19/8 26/12 95/25 119/14 51/1 51/4 59/6 61/15 pound [2] 172/24 pressure [7] 44/23 118/23 125/21 166/13 products [9] 132/24 61/21 61/22 62/3 62/4 46/14 106/14 140/23 170/24 174/13 142/2 148/10 148/13 179/1 65/22 66/2 66/9 66/13 pounds [2] 179/4 160/3 173/23 177/21 problem [32] 25/8 148/18 154/2 155/1 66/15 66/19 66/20 77/5 79/18 84/15 180/4 180/10 179/13 **pressures [5]** 14/8 66/25 67/3 67/6 67/9 86/15 88/1 88/4 92/1 96/16 101/8 129/2 professional [2] power [2] 112/11 67/13 67/14 67/20 112/13 129/23 92/5 92/14 95/23 117/6 120/13 68/9 68/12 69/17 103/18 116/16 129/15 programme [4] power' [1] 112/15 presumably [6] 49/2 69/20 69/22 69/25 **powerful** [7] 45/3 54/7 54/12 124/25 135/11 135/12 136/4 123/17 140/3 140/22 70/5 70/8 70/10 70/16 139/16 139/17 139/18 172/13 45/5 62/5 89/11 114/4 153/15 158/15 75/16 78/24 82/18 116/2 133/6 presume [1] 127/25 139/24 141/1 144/2 programmes [6] 72/1 84/7 90/4 91/6 91/6 pretty [7] 6/22 111/20 144/6 144/9 147/10 72/18 123/14 126/18 PRA [1] 155/15 91/10 91/11 92/1 92/8 112/22 114/13 149/19 150/5 150/9 151/23 practical [2] 86/21 132/2 183/25 92/9 92/15 96/22 97/4 106/12 160/24 180/16 152/15 152/15 171/20 progressed [1] 76/8 98/4 104/4 105/1 practice [2] 35/20 prevent [6] 23/24 problematic [1] 5/25 project [5] 86/25 105/2 115/8 118/2 108/17 108/20 172/19 problems [16] 8/1 101/24 104/20 104/22 58/23 119/13 119/15 119/17 practices [4] 24/25 173/7 176/6 84/19 86/18 130/19 179/6 119/19 120/17 137/23 25/5 25/5 25/7 preventing [6] 52/3 135/17 136/25 139/5 **promise [1]** 105/15 137/25 144/13 147/4 pre [18] 2/22 5/7 11/3 167/12 173/14 184/20 139/8 139/13 140/5 **promptly [1]** 139/1 156/20 167/18 168/1 140/8 145/13 149/24 20/13 21/18 28/10 184/25 185/1 proper [1] 129/16 168/20 169/5 169/7 57/9 57/11 80/10 previous [4] 36/7 152/1 158/8 167/6 **properly [2]** 68/1 169/9 169/12 170/20 procedure [1] 48/16 80/17 80/18 92/3 94/3 99/19 100/6 138/4 134/6 171/6 171/9 171/13 94/4 96/23 134/11 previously [3] 5/5 proceed [1] 65/24 proportion [5] 13/2 173/7 174/9 176/3 134/14 137/24 50/20 163/4 51/9 52/18 131/5 proceeding [1] 176/15 176/25 177/2 109/14 186/9 pre-approved [1] price [1] 73/5 182/7 182/10 182/25 process [22] 25/16 94/4 proportional [1] price-sensitive [1] 183/20 183/21 pre-dated [1] 137/24 25/18 37/2 37/3 37/9 73/5 34/20 policy's [1] 168/8 proposal [2] 26/17 pre-existed [2] 11/3 priced [2] 146/17 37/19 48/15 48/16 polite [2] 84/6 147/25 80/18 147/20 49/3 86/24 120/23 44/14 political [11] 57/15 primarily [4] 2/7 14/6 135/24 138/25 139/2 pre-existing [8] 2/22 proposals [1] 103/11

64/15 100/9 102/17 160/16 pushes [1] 36/14 recently [1] 136/12 put [53] 2/16 6/18 7/8 102/20 109/23 117/7 ready [7] 2/19 59/6 **receptive [1]** 35/8 proposition [5] 16/5 124/19 124/20 133/19 154/8 154/12 154/20 7/11 11/25 18/16 recipients [1] 23/9 41/23 66/14 125/17 30/17 31/4 38/13 142/22 146/1 160/3 178/16 180/5 recognise [3] 29/22 170/13 46/14 56/22 68/3 162/7 164/24 164/24 real [13] 5/3 13/15 102/6 165/5 propriety [1] 168/18 68/16 69/8 81/6 85/15 177/21 186/2 51/18 69/4 112/25 recognised [1] 12/3 protect [5] 113/13 85/23 86/14 96/6 quite [15] 62/7 76/14 114/18 123/6 123/22 recognising [1] 114/5 114/19 128/15 96/15 98/18 99/18 87/17 98/15 102/4 124/10 124/10 132/3 16/21 167/9 100/25 108/3 108/16 102/23 110/1 119/14 140/4 179/15 recommend [1] protected [5] 184/12 108/16 111/13 113/17 126/19 129/4 130/14 real-life [1] 140/4 170/3 184/13 184/15 184/17 114/16 114/24 120/2 133/23 134/6 136/10 real-time [2] 112/25 recommendation [9] 185/12 124/1 131/25 143/7 159/2 83/20 84/20 88/16 123/6 protecting [1] 185/11 143/8 143/10 145/21 90/10 94/17 160/22 realisation [1] **protection [2]** 38/23 R 145/22 146/2 146/4 110/25 163/14 163/19 175/5 165/15 rabbit [3] 111/21 147/23 161/6 161/16 realise [1] 121/15 recommendations protections [2] 112/22 114/20 [3] 75/17 84/22 163/12 164/9 165/10 realised [6] 113/3 165/12 180/6 racking [1] 128/7 113/10 133/20 133/21 168/11 168/20 175/25 122/3 protocols [3] 25/17 radical [1] 120/18 176/14 177/6 177/17 144/18 144/19 reconsider [1] 98/12 47/4 86/23 178/2 radically [1] 115/17 **realistic** [1] 97/13 record [1] 38/17 prove [3] 131/11 raise [3] 98/6 155/24 puts [1] 38/22 reality [3] 19/25 recorded [3] 14/20 136/15 136/17 putting [6] 48/14 155/25 68/10 141/1 20/3 148/14 proved [5] 4/19 131/9 132/2 134/18 163/25 raising [1] 96/14 really [71] 1/22 11/21 recouped [1] 17/15 133/5 133/10 154/24 ran [1] 135/11 23/10 25/2 28/13 32/2 Recovery [1] 178/10 166/4 171/12 provide [15] 2/14 range [7] 38/25 88/24 36/7 39/6 41/5 41/7 recruit [1] 91/18 6/19 6/23 7/7 8/21 Q 94/18 94/22 97/21 42/6 43/16 44/3 44/4 redesign [1] 152/12 9/22 10/9 50/5 131/12 qualify [1] 176/14 137/12 137/16 45/20 53/18 54/8 56/3 redesigned [1] 131/22 173/8 175/12 qualitative [1] 19/9 rapid [4] 64/17 65/13 66/5 67/4 70/5 138/24 178/21 185/23 186/1 quality [3] 48/22 107/25 115/8 157/3 71/20 72/17 74/1 reduce [2] 15/20 provided [22] 1/18 75/25 76/4 77/11 120/10 120/14 rapidly [6] 64/18 27/23 1/23 5/7 7/5 9/10 10/2 104/9 107/9 107/15 quantitative [1] 78/15 78/19 78/21 reduced [2] 28/2 12/5 12/17 15/25 19/15 111/7 121/18 83/14 84/16 87/1 87/9 165/21 17/24 17/25 21/2 rapport [1] 82/19 quarantining [1] 90/22 95/23 96/23 reengineering [1] 22/10 25/14 47/8 54/4 rate [4] 12/20 49/9 118/19 99/14 100/17 104/14 152/5 60/16 89/2 120/6 quarter [2] 9/7 111/8 167/13 118/2 119/22 120/16 refer [1] 182/3 172/6 172/11 182/19 133/15 rated [1] 53/19 124/14 127/4 132/25 **reference [2]** 60/17 providers [1] 5/22 quarterly [3] 4/1 4/4 rates [1] 52/15 141/6 141/8 143/10 129/4 provides [1] 7/24 10/24 rather [12] 46/18 143/19 146/21 148/15 **references** [1] 116/8 providing [11] 8/4 46/25 58/5 76/6 82/3 quarters [1] 56/24 148/22 149/21 150/8 referendum [1] 85/20 9/15 13/8 14/23 14/25 91/1 146/7 150/1 question [24] 20/8 151/4 152/16 152/19 referred [1] 135/10 16/10 16/16 16/21 150/2 162/1 183/17 27/17 30/10 30/20 153/7 157/11 159/19 referring [2] 102/24 17/6 69/16 92/24 31/1 31/14 32/25 33/8 185/14 161/1 162/18 174/19 114/3 proxy [1] 155/16 48/18 49/20 53/23 refers [2] 9/23 98/22 Rating [1] 23/20 175/11 179/6 180/15 public [15] 11/17 58/25 67/4 68/14 rational [1] 129/19 186/14 186/15 187/1 **refinement [1]** 11/20 38/23 78/14 80/24 69/12 72/20 73/12 rationally [1] 172/23 reason [9] 8/4 23/16 reflect [4] 17/25 102/1 114/3 128/5 127/5 137/4 150/19 raw [1] 55/7 70/3 72/20 90/9 58/18 69/4 141/5 143/12 148/4 162/16 154/15 155/8 157/10 re [3] 149/17 184/24 132/15 132/18 132/21 reflected [4] 3/5 7/1 170/24 173/23 175/23 166/20 185/10 134/17 7/12 160/16 176/16 182/12 re-enter [2] 184/24 questioning [2] 28/4 reflection [3] 27/10 reasonable [3] 96/3 publication [3] 52/24 94/13 185/10 119/11 129/14 120/10 175/18 53/23 54/16 questions [27] 1/11 re-set [1] 149/17 reasonably [3] reflections [8] 56/7 published [1] 51/8 3/12 4/6 10/22 15/17 reach [2] 90/6 158/12 117/10 165/9 172/5 56/9 58/13 78/7 publishing [1] 68/22 pull [5] 3/13 3/14 22/16 59/13 59/14 reached [6] 64/1 101/22 177/5 177/18 reasons [15] 24/1 60/11 62/18 62/20 88/18 88/21 89/1 26/24 41/25 49/4 177/24 33/3 111/21 112/22 62/21 63/13 70/4 89/13 95/23 52/16 53/5 63/12 reflects [2] 12/21 pulling [1] 114/20 95/13 136/23 151/24 reaching [2] 143/6 63/14 70/17 70/20 69/13 pun [1] 132/7 156/24 177/5 181/9 158/3 71/1 73/8 73/16 reform [1] 49/8 pure [1] 82/1 181/10 181/14 181/16 react [6] 30/25 46/13 104/18 157/14 **regarding [1]** 51/9 purely [2] 14/12 186/13 188/4 188/8 46/19 98/12 110/15 regardless [1] 101/1 reassure [5] 113/11 188/10 119/24 114/3 114/19 128/14 regime [1] 2/2 purpose [6] 8/16 quick [4] 142/7 reacting [1] 99/4 174/5 region [3] 13/21 54/25 74/23 77/4 95/3 158/25 159/9 177/12 reaction [3] 32/1 14/19 17/20 reassuring [1] 173/6 108/2 108/9 quicker [2] 52/17 183/24 regional [1] 22/7 purposeful [1] 113/2 102/13 reactive [1] 50/4 recalibration [1] regions [1] 184/1 pursue [1] 97/17 quickly [25] 6/14 read [9] 2/18 32/23 115/17 registered [2] 135/8 **pursuing [1]** 36/2 29/8 38/21 44/5 46/15 32/24 38/16 44/21 received [4] 4/11 155/9 push [1] 150/12 51/17 54/21 54/22 64/8 108/3 131/14 63/3 98/2 160/15 regret [1] 142/18

responded [2] 104/8 | review [7] 7/19 7/21 **reply [1]** 46/11 166/9 R robust [3] 84/7 84/8 9/6 73/20 75/19 90/3 report [3] 20/3 63/7 139/4 regrettable [1] 87/22 responding [1] 38/19 169/9 161/19 65/16 regular [5] 10/24 reviews [2] 90/1 91/6 role [8] 1/20 1/22 reported [2] 56/21 response [31] 10/3 56/17 92/14 140/2 184/4 12/19 19/6 26/25 rich [1] 46/22 38/19 39/19 47/18 147/20 reporting [5] 4/4 4/5 30/17 33/6 38/22 **RICHARD [4]** 1/11 50/3 106/7 176/20 regularity [1] 168/19 4/8 11/5 16/13 39/18 43/24 74/20 60/11 188/4 188/8 **Rolls [1]** 116/10 regulation [2] 90/1 reports [1] 8/6 75/4 75/8 94/12 94/12 right [75] 1/16 1/17 Rolls-Royce [1] 158/16 96/17 98/16 99/14 2/3 3/23 3/24 5/6 6/9 116/10 representative [1] related [1] 96/13 6/24 9/19 10/16 10/25 **room [8]** 1/5 33/17 100/5 100/18 101/7 20/17 relating [1] 145/21 21/25 22/21 27/19 representatives [6] 103/14 104/19 105/7 60/6 106/1 114/9 relation [2] 2/20 21/19 29/14 49/11 105/9 106/7 111/1 31/9 31/23 32/12 38/1 127/21 171/24 177/9 38/2 38/6 40/23 42/15 rooms [1] 113/3 49/13 49/24 59/4 111/2 117/20 166/2 relations [1] 85/25 178/1 182/21 43/12 44/20 51/7 represented [1] rose [1] 17/19 relationship [20] 54/12 56/6 58/10 roster [1] 93/20 44/12 responses [3] 94/20 28/15 30/13 31/24 60/14 60/22 61/1 61/6 rosters [1] 94/3 94/24 98/4 representing [1] 34/20 65/24 66/3 61/14 62/7 62/17 63/2 rough [2] 27/2 50/19 45/15 responsibilities [7] 66/10 74/13 80/10 **represents** [1] 5/19 34/12 61/15 75/12 67/9 74/21 76/1 77/10 round [2] 79/11 80/17 80/18 81/9 159/23 170/7 183/6 79/19 84/11 84/12 93/22 request [2] 99/20 81/11 83/8 83/18 86/9 88/5 98/25 101/1 routes [1] 96/23 179/1 183/7 84/17 85/15 137/5 requesting [1] 11/11 responsibility [15] 105/2 105/3 105/8 **Roxburgh [3]** 60/8 138/20 171/17 106/16 113/4 115/13 requests [2] 179/9 25/10 25/12 27/3 60/10 188/7 relationships [41] 125/11 128/13 129/22 **Royce [1]** 116/10 28/19 34/9 34/14 37/2 179/13 5/7 19/4 29/20 29/25 66/19 70/12 81/23 134/10 135/5 135/12 require [2] 132/5 rule [2] 13/18 181/10 33/5 33/12 33/20 141/14 169/15 170/10 170/14 151/2 152/23 154/24 **Rule 10 [1]** 181/10 34/19 35/17 37/22 required [7] 30/24 171/2 182/8 155/6 157/6 158/14 rules [4] 106/20 39/6 40/15 41/18 56/1 93/2 115/17 115/18 responsible [16] 2/7 162/15 166/19 167/3 112/6 174/11 174/16 56/2 56/11 64/11 158/20 163/17 170/18 25/13 26/11 26/16 167/8 172/3 172/9 run [14] 8/1 17/5 47/5 65/20 66/5 66/11 179/2 180/17 181/13 48/5 49/10 55/22 28/21 31/11 34/16 requirement [1] 78/19 78/25 79/25 34/24 50/9 50/16 183/11 104/17 104/19 116/25 36/21 80/7 80/8 80/8 81/1 50/22 55/13 67/8 70/8 right-thinking [1] 117/2 131/17 139/16 requirements [4] 81/7 81/13 81/20 100/10 158/14 158/17 92/17 159/18 162/15 139/16 139/18 81/22 82/3 82/5 82/8 176/13 rest [3] 144/2 175/15 rightly [1] 47/2 run-up [2] 47/5 48/5 82/13 82/18 82/22 running [3] 111/25 186/22 requires [3] 85/6 rigid [1] 93/20 83/24 84/2 85/4 85/10 85/6 132/7 restart [3] 77/6 89/17 rigorous [3] 161/18 121/21 133/15 relatively [5] 59/3 requiring [1] 15/9 178/11 rush [1] 143/23 179/6 179/11 62/6 97/18 103/23 restarting [1] 93/7 research [1] 119/16 ringfenced [3] 14/23 118/7 **Reserve [1]** 96/19 restriction [1] 87/16 17/18 24/16 relevant [8] 22/15 sad [1] 184/22 reserves [4] 17/19 restrictions [6] 87/11 rise [1] 139/13 45/19 71/9 73/23 82/8 saddle [1] 100/13 116/25 173/1 176/4 118/7 118/18 130/20 Rishi [3] 120/3 82/14 180/18 183/8 safe [1] 185/5 reshuffle [1] 101/4 147/24 167/2 174/10 174/11 reliable [2] 29/14 safety [2] 7/24 162/8 Rishi Sunak [1] residences [1] result [1] 160/12 69/9 **SAGE [3]** 96/4 97/2 144/17 resulted [2] 12/8 167/2 Relief [1] 22/19 97/12 resigned [1] 101/3 147/17 risk [51] 6/5 8/9 17/6 reluctant [1] 29/20 said [37] 10/24 11/16 resilience [1] 22/5 retail [2] 91/14 91/16 18/14 18/17 32/9 rely [1] 55/7 12/2 13/6 14/21 15/7 51/19 53/2 73/3 89/1 resisted [1] 96/12 retailer [3] 174/19 remain [2] 43/2 55/15 23/18 23/23 36/7 52/9 174/25 175/6 resolution [1] 145/16 89/3 89/4 90/17 91/7 remained [3] 118/6 64/10 70/17 72/23 92/22 108/16 108/16 resolved [1] 114/8 **Retailers** [2] 117/9 118/9 156/20 73/1 73/18 77/23 117/2 121/11 121/16 resonated [1] 102/8 117/9 remarkable [2] 115/4 80/21 83/7 92/9 92/16 121/25 122/7 122/8 resort [1] 165/23 **retention [2]** 95/9 148/5 100/8 102/2 106/8 resource [3] 46/22 119/7 122/9 122/12 122/12 remarkably [1] 115/8 108/4 114/21 126/5 77/11 102/9 retrospect [4] 79/24 122/13 122/14 122/16 remember [9] 47/2 126/6 134/7 143/17 122/19 122/21 128/19 resources [1] 8/20 102/3 102/4 144/19 49/12 83/6 110/4 143/22 144/15 155/23 return [8] 13/12 41/3 128/23 131/14 131/20 resourcing [2] 140/1 163/19 182/6 161/8 161/13 168/25 59/23 69/6 69/7 144/24 145/25 155/13 104/23 104/24 183/24 184/3 155/14 159/10 159/15 171/5 181/18 respect [7] 23/14 105/12 110/23 156/7 remembering [2] 159/16 159/21 159/25 sake [2] 13/8 76/6 43/3 43/4 43/6 85/17 returned [1] 17/21 47/15 83/25 sales [2] 14/15 50/11 102/22 184/21 returning [1] 42/18 160/8 162/12 166/5 remind [1] 140/9 returns [3] 12/17 **Sam [1]** 168/13 166/11 166/11 167/3 respected [1] 42/21 remit [1] 22/17 Sam Beckett [1] respectful [2] 84/10 18/7 18/20 185/17 remote [1] 117/8 risks [13] 30/5 70/19 168/13 revenue [1] 117/3 147/25 remove [1] 158/16 same [9] 23/6 54/15 respecting [1] 49/19 revenues [10] 116/1 70/19 94/19 96/14 repay [1] 174/14 57/18 83/5 87/10 122/1 122/5 122/6 respective [1] 83/14 116/4 116/9 116/10 repeated [3] 16/20 87/11 112/20 162/22 159/8 160/5 160/11 respond [6] 31/9 116/13 116/19 117/18 92/10 177/9 167/14 97/21 98/6 98/8 99/22 118/21 118/22 130/18 160/13 160/21 repeatedly [1] 90/11 105/8 reverse [1] 146/23 risky [2] 122/17 sample [1] 58/4

(71) regrettable - sample

119/7 122/9 122/20 87/19 111/17 seven [1] 186/4 S 125/13 129/3 129/24 secretaries [7] 73/21 sense [23] 3/3 3/7 several [1] 22/25 Sarah [1] 168/14 74/21 75/7 75/9 75/12 19/9 19/18 20/21 130/3 132/11 132/16 **severe [1]** 108/13 **SARS [1]** 118/16 132/18 134/12 139/13 77/19 85/25 33/22 41/21 45/5 **severity [1]** 116/14 sat [4] 45/18 83/6 140/15 140/25 141/2 secretary [18] 22/6 48/15 48/17 58/19 shall [3] 59/23 85/13 183/19 152/22 153/3 153/12 60/14 60/20 60/24 67/5 70/9 70/22 87/18 105/12 156/7 **Saturday [2]** 47/13 154/1 157/1 157/2 61/3 62/1 76/16 79/9 92/3 100/3 104/19 **shape [3]** 69/17 109/7 157/13 159/8 160/2 79/17 82/6 82/6 83/4 128/3 128/25 131/4 146/11 146/12 **saved [2]** 178/9 160/8 160/8 160/9 83/5 86/1 99/8 148/11 142/12 173/2 share [28] 4/13 4/15 179/17 161/4 161/5 161/9 41/16 41/25 42/9 168/14 170/23 sensible [3] 9/9 31/6 saving [1] 186/5 161/14 161/21 162/11 **secretive [2]** 63/23 147/4 42/12 42/15 47/17 saw [4] 84/25 116/1 162/21 163/3 164/4 sensitive [8] 30/7 56/9 58/13 62/23 65/2 65/8 117/18 184/14 164/11 164/12 164/15 section [2] 38/14 42/6 71/3 71/6 73/5 70/18 70/25 71/4 say [69] 7/13 7/18 164/21 165/11 165/16 39/17 74/10 86/7 87/15 71/17 71/19 73/2 73/9 10/5 13/10 16/12 165/20 165/22 165/23 sector [15] 2/9 4/18 sensitivities [2] 41/2 73/21 75/14 75/21 17/23 19/6 23/10 170/15 172/15 172/17 11/19 20/17 22/9 42/1 76/5 76/19 76/21 23/12 24/22 27/23 172/18 173/10 173/13 49/11 59/5 78/15 86/13 87/2 145/25 sensitivity [4] 41/6 30/10 31/21 33/14 173/18 174/2 174/4 80/24 120/12 129/4 41/7 62/24 74/15 shared [13] 9/25 34/10 34/19 35/3 174/7 174/12 174/15 129/6 137/20 169/22 41/14 44/23 45/11 **sentence** [1] 96/10 35/12 36/20 41/5 174/18 175/11 175/21 182/12 **separate [2]** 2/2 45/12 64/14 68/2 43/13 46/18 47/3 48/4 175/21 175/22 176/2 sectors [4] 75/13 171/9 68/20 74/12 77/16 48/4 48/19 48/20 176/2 176/10 176/11 115/25 117/11 129/2 separately [1] 75/7 86/22 109/10 150/4 48/23 49/2 49/3 49/17 176/15 176/20 178/11 secure [1] 145/6 separation [2] 26/5 shares [1] 63/8 52/21 54/15 55/5 178/13 security [4] 144/21 172/2 sharing [6] 42/16 55/25 57/10 62/2 65/6 schemes [43] 11/25 144/24 145/19 162/8 **sequence** [1] 7/8 73/5 73/16 76/14 69/7 71/25 75/23 78/5 21/10 43/15 43/17 see [28] 1/5 3/9 4/9 serendipity [1] 81/3 76/15 86/6 78/17 83/13 84/21 51/16 57/2 80/5 95/7 8/12 10/12 14/2 26/5 **she [4]** 65/16 79/18 **series [3]** 109/9 88/12 93/25 100/14 100/12 114/6 114/22 26/9 35/7 41/9 46/10 102/2 102/22 115/6 126/14 111/16 122/17 123/4 46/24 47/16 60/5 68/7 114/23 115/4 115/14 serious [4] 126/3 she's [1] 102/24 133/14 139/23 143/14 77/4 92/5 94/2 103/16 133/22 168/17 175/2 119/3 119/8 119/10 **sheer [3]** 135/12 144/12 146/3 150/5 121/11 122/17 122/22 106/1 121/19 144/22 **seriously [1]** 182/13 135/17 157/22 153/17 153/24 162/1 123/1 123/5 123/12 152/14 155/8 156/14 servant [2] 84/24 sheets [1] 109/10 162/2 164/5 166/19 shelf [9] 32/17 33/3 124/4 125/22 126/22 157/3 157/7 184/16 170/22 166/23 167/5 176/18 80/5 95/7 114/23 servant's [1] 85/8 130/5 130/7 137/15 seeing [5] 75/15 183/11 183/14 184/9 154/9 154/12 154/19 153/21 177/20 178/6 75/22 83/23 116/7 servants [4] 79/21 say: [3] 30/4 124/23 178/14 178/15 180/22 172/25 79/25 85/2 85/21 180/4 141/8 shelf' [1] 94/24 183/1 184/8 184/14 seem [2] 112/10 serve [1] 85/22 say: oh [1] 141/8 184/16 184/19 185/3 134/1 served [2] 86/16 89/7 shift [1] 127/4 say: we're [1] 124/23 185/6 185/18 seemed [1] 98/15 **service [7]** 9/2 79/22 shifted [1] 170/14 say: well [1] 30/4 seems [2] 21/16 80/9 shock [5] 2/25 14/4 Scholar [3] 61/4 61/5 85/12 86/3 117/6 **saying [14]** 21/3 97/19 116/2 166/20 seen [7] 13/2 18/24 121/6 160/19 170/9 35/22 36/4 37/12 25/9 29/5 52/6 148/3 services [17] 1/25 scope [1] 107/24 **shocks [1]** 38/11 39/25 42/3 42/14 2/14 5/18 7/7 7/15 8/2 short [11] 60/2 **Scotland [4]** 10/23 178/23 47/21 49/22 90/22 18/19 79/25 184/2 segment [1] 140/3 11/13 11/17 18/24 105/23 114/14 115/20 121/21 129/15 137/2 Scottish [7] 18/19 segments [1] 184/5 38/21 60/19 61/19 118/7 118/14 119/2 139/15 120/19 149/22 156/10 79/12 79/13 79/21 selection [1] 58/4 79/13 91/12 99/24 says [3] 51/14 73/15 85/17 85/20 85/20 self [5] 42/9 113/23 120/13 165/5 177/21 176/7 scourge [1] 185/7 sessions [1] 79/10 129/3 164/13 164/16 short-tempered [1] scalable [1] 154/4 scratch [3] 134/19 self-certification [2] set [29] 9/24 10/10 149/22 scale [11] 51/23 57/6 134/20 165/1 164/13 164/16 10/12 12/19 15/17 **shorter [2]** 115/16 57/7 57/21 96/18 screen [4] 91/19 96/6 20/9 53/15 61/10 118/10 self-challenge [1] 107/24 115/19 116/5 62/13 75/2 75/9 77/2 143/10 146/5 42/9 **shortfall** [1] 130/18 118/25 139/8 140/20 83/9 83/10 85/11 SCS [1] 102/13 self-employed [1] should [61] 2/21 7/14 scarring [2] 128/19 second [18] 14/9 113/23 94/24 98/7 120/5 9/18 13/22 13/23 185/17 25/4 60/13 60/20 122/5 122/5 122/24 22/15 27/18 30/12 Self-Employment [1] scenario [7] 96/3 126/10 128/11 149/17 35/23 36/5 39/25 60/24 60/25 61/25 129/3 97/4 97/13 98/11 155/15 160/20 161/23 40/18 40/19 41/4 41/6 70/24 82/6 83/5 selfishly [1] 150/1 118/14 130/14 130/14 126/13 127/14 128/2 send [2] 77/14 168/23 181/22 41/11 41/11 42/4 46/4 scenarios [5] 94/18 144/13 145/2 149/16 48/24 49/16 55/18 128/14 sets [3] 95/4 96/13 94/22 95/20 95/21 164/18 171/5 senior [16] 60/25 160/20 55/18 61/15 69/19 96/16 62/10 72/23 72/25 setting [6] 10/10 69/20 69/22 70/1 second-most [1] scheme [85] 11/25 66/14 67/8 70/8 75/14 75/21 76/10 76/23 73/21 76/20 78/18 60/25 17/8 23/3 26/8 45/17 secondly [5] 18/11 77/12 79/10 79/13 79/24 83/21 102/12 78/12 45/18 50/12 50/12 102/13 107/7 112/11 29/9 76/23 135/18 79/25 81/12 81/23 **settled [1]** 173/17 50/13 89/16 93/9 95/9 152/8 84/24 85/8 93/15 settlement [1] 70/8 120/5 124/2 127/6 103/24 109/6 113/23 seniors [3] 99/2 99/7 settling [1] 149/18 127/10 133/9 135/23 **secrecy [2]** 27/19

72/20 88/3 90/22 95/5 143/3 154/3 157/23 143/20 **speculate** [1] 58/7 S 105/10 105/14 106/4 158/1 158/5 158/6 something [16] 3/22 **speed [12]** 26/25 should... [18] 137/16 128/25 134/1 148/19 158/8 158/24 162/9 16/19 17/21 37/11 58/17 64/17 100/18 141/9 143/13 144/19 149/5 151/24 156/18 166/7 166/12 166/14 38/8 44/7 56/15 76/24 114/22 115/11 124/15 147/23 160/19 164/20 162/14 177/4 181/8 167/7 167/10 170/6 134/20 151/25 154/16 138/25 139/2 160/13 166/1 167/5 169/13 181/19 186/13 188/7 180/21 158/25 165/2 173/19 166/2 166/3 175/22 176/1 176/17 Sir Charles [8] 62/19 small businesses [1] 181/5 186/22 spend [2] 76/14 176/18 176/19 178/18 67/24 88/3 128/25 133/1 sometimes [11] 77/21 179/22 180/3 151/24 181/8 181/19 smaller [6] 133/7 22/13 36/15 42/11 spending [7] 9/6 **shouldn't [2]** 7/15 186/13 133/19 133/25 133/25 46/21 48/15 49/18 13/18 13/20 14/19 147/3 157/15 157/20 Sir Tom [1] 61/5 61/9 63/5 81/4 86/9 96/15 99/23 102/1 **shown [1]** 177/17 spent [3] 63/15 79/24 smart [1] 177/12 91/5 Sir Tom Scholar [1] **shows [2]** 112/15 61/4 **SME [1]** 146/10 somewhat [1] 149/22 90/6 176/23 sit [4] 19/1 32/2 **SMEs [1]** 146/16 somewhere [2] 17/20 spiralling [1] 111/7 shut [9] 77/3 77/10 78/13 139/23 **spirit [3]** 5/3 54/13 **Smith [2]** 98/25 31/5 108/15 116/4 117/9 sits [7] 16/4 37/2 104/11 soon [2] 7/12 150/10 156/23 127/17 128/19 128/22 67/6 67/7 167/24 **smoothly [1]** 157/25 **sooner [1]** 72/13 **split [1]** 25/11 128/22 168/5 169/15 **sorry [11]** 14/17 spoke [4] 86/8 102/1 so [397] shutdown [1] 117/14 social [8] 14/5 14/6 sitting [6] 56/19 28/18 38/4 52/24 109/4 109/7 **shutting [1]** 8/9 127/17 151/7 152/13 34/14 34/15 34/16 73/13 107/4 107/5 spoken [1] 4/23 sic [3] 25/18 102/1 152/13 171/8 34/25 118/18 140/11 109/2 136/5 150/19 **sponsor [1]** 34/10 167/14 socially [1] 184/10 situation [14] 9/21 187/1 sponsoring [1] 34/1 sick [3] 99/23 106/20 10/14 18/12 19/22 societies [1] 20/10 sort [26] 9/17 23/3 **Sport [1]** 93/7 121/4 26/25 30/25 95/6 solution [1] 88/2 25/7 29/20 30/19 **spot [1]** 160/25 side [7] 31/1 31/2 107/15 108/1 109/25 **solutions [1]** 116/16 30/22 33/18 45/2 45/6 spotted [1] 123/24 87/13 98/3 98/4 46/23 50/3 56/6 74/13 square [1] 100/21 111/10 113/4 113/6 solve [2] 88/4 91/23 118/25 130/24 127/13 74/14 80/19 81/1 91/1 stability [1] 175/3 solvency [1] 8/19 sides [1] 5/2 95/7 99/7 105/6 staff [4] 120/11 situations [3] 86/25 **solving [2]** 84/19 sign [2] 174/20 112/25 115/23 128/11 120/14 148/12 148/13 96/18 144/6 116/16 174/23 144/9 170/25 176/16 stage [16] 33/11 six [7] 119/15 130/25 somber [1] 111/21 **signal [2]** 114/15 139/6 142/25 158/21 sombre [3] 112/22 sorted [1] 124/24 44/24 75/1 76/22 128/13 **sorts** [5] 71/1 73/17 161/15 166/8 113/5 113/5 95/17 95/19 95/24 significance [1] 92/12 129/12 129/17 96/5 102/12 113/18 six weeks [2] 142/25 some [96] 8/14 10/9 70/20 158/21 11/5 13/5 16/22 17/1 sought [1] 63/24 113/22 113/22 114/7 significant [10] 2/25 17/2 17/6 18/21 19/12 sound [1] 83/20 six years [3] 130/25 127/1 149/19 150/5 4/17 17/24 52/5 57/7 161/15 166/8 24/22 24/24 25/11 sounds [5] 19/23 stages [1] 104/11 111/2 111/3 119/3 27/2 28/1 28/5 28/25 size [2] 133/2 133/3 35/19 39/24 55/17 stagnant [1] 92/23 160/5 184/10 sized [1] 133/1 29/2 29/2 29/5 32/15 78/6 stakes [1] 126/1 significantly [1] skills [6] 91/3 138/22 35/10 36/25 36/25 source [5] 15/23 69/9 stand [9] 8/1 45/7 22/23 155/4 155/17 182/9 41/2 41/2 43/11 44/23 117/13 173/8 173/20 73/7 73/9 73/14 73/24 silent [1] 143/24 46/3 50/19 51/11 sources [1] 182/1 97/5 119/25 177/20 182/20 **similar [4]** 39/16 52/16 53/8 53/25 54/1 **skin [3]** 131/15 space [1] 36/16 standard [1] 180/18 76/18 89/25 165/19 58/20 62/18 64/13 132/17 155/20 **SPB [3]** 104/10 105/7 **standards** [2] 98/7 simple [6] 133/19 **Skinner [8]** 1/8 1/10 64/14 64/15 64/19 106/7 120/6 137/2 141/15 141/17 1/13 49/2 58/11 59/16 65/20 71/5 72/12 **SPD [1]** 104/21 standing [9] 89/22 141/20 142/6 76/11 78/1 79/7 80/2 80/2 188/3 **speak [7]** 6/14 34/3 91/1 92/19 92/22 simpler [2] 170/10 slate [1] 100/19 82/19 84/7 87/21 89/8 50/2 57/20 77/23 92/25 93/13 100/11 171/1 **sleightful [1]** 83/13 93/18 93/24 102/9 83/11 118/12 105/6 139/19 simply [8] 51/19 103/25 106/18 110/2 **slightly [5]** 6/9 33/18 **speaking [2]** 12/16 stands [2] 153/24 75/20 76/5 109/14 112/17 116/17 117/5 81/11 173/19 177/7 29/12 160/22 117/3 122/3 124/1 slipped [1] 100/16 117/12 118/2 118/4 special [2] 86/25 start [5] 2/12 63/13 142/14 slow [12] 6/9 6/14 120/12 122/17 122/21 94/13 116/7 141/6 144/5 simultaneously [1] 102/4 130/22 133/24 123/8 123/25 125/8 specialised [1] 89/14 started [16] 12/20 173/3 150/17 150/20 151/2 126/1 129/2 129/20 **speciality [1]** 89/15 68/20 77/14 103/2 since [6] 100/8 129/23 130/12 133/13|specialty [1] 93/10 151/3 151/13 151/14 103/14 104/7 107/18 134/15 137/25 149/20 138/10 141/19 143/12 specific [21] 23/15 111/6 150/11 152/17 152/21 157/7 179/25 24/6 34/12 45/20 46/5 144/4 144/22 145/14 152/19 172/18 172/19 **slowdown [2]** 115/20 Singapore [2] 118/17 115/24 145/19 146/3 148/14 47/2 48/1 63/19 66/3 176/2 176/22 180/3 118/19 162/25 163/1 164/12 66/20 69/12 71/6 slowest [1] 40/9 **starting [2]** 149/15 **single [4]** 15/18 small [36] 62/7 88/21 164/15 166/8 174/3 71/23 72/17 87/1 149/16 42/24 90/16 100/15 88/24 103/24 106/21 174/16 175/12 178/20 88/20 90/3 91/5 **state [5]** 7/19 85/25 single-named [1] 86/2 112/5 171/3 106/22 114/6 116/6 180/13 181/10 126/11 184/7 185/23 90/16 116/17 117/22 133/1 somebody [1] 80/23 specifically [2] 34/23 **statement [53]** 1/18 Sir [28] 60/8 60/10 133/1 133/6 133/11 someone [3] 74/16 185/15 2/16 9/23 51/13 52/9 60/13 60/18 61/4 61/5 133/23 138/4 138/6 124/2 124/7 **specifics [2]** 23/2 60/16 62/13 67/16 62/19 67/24 70/3 141/17 142/1 143/2 55/20 68/15 68/21 68/25 someone's [1]

(73) should... - statement

138/22 144/12 162/14 taking [14] 9/1 23/3 172/16 187/2 104/20 118/8 S 165/2 177/7 178/16 24/24 40/11 48/24 **streamline** [1] 15/15 **sudden [3]** 97/23 statement... [42] 130/12 139/23 182/14 182/20 183/7 53/6 54/3 90/16 139/6 **streams** [1] 27/3 69/7 69/9 69/23 72/21 strength [1] 34/20 **suddenly [2]** 116/3 183/14 183/22 142/2 145/24 149/5 73/7 73/10 73/14 **strengthen [3]** 81/13 surely [1] 151/21 150/21 150/24 116/9 75/23 80/14 81/6 Sue [4] 79/16 79/18 surge [3] 123/15 talk [12] 20/22 35/23 81/18 81/25 86/14 86/24 94/15 strengthening [1] 80/11 80/15 135/18 139/23 54/18 59/16 63/19 97/7 97/8 98/18 40/14 **Sue Gray [3]** 79/16 surprise [1] 109/21 73/19 86/24 93/23 102/21 103/15 113/11 stress [3] 6/18 80/11 80/15 surprised [1] 123/14 129/20 133/8 183/4 114/4 114/16 120/3 suffered [1] 118/21 **surprises** [1] 98/3 101/19 121/3 185/22 123/4 123/8 131/15 talked [15] 28/5 stressed [2] 41/17 sufficient [2] 8/11 **surveys [1]** 56/21 132/10 141/20 154/2 survive [2] 118/23 31/10 47/19 50/20 80/6 51/23 162/6 165/10 166/1 95/7 140/22 157/9 stressful [3] 69/2 179/3 suggested [1] 168/11 169/20 174/21 suspect [1] 33/7 160/3 171/19 171/22 101/16 126/4 175/19 174/23 175/19 175/25 178/8 178/10 178/12 stretch [1] 36/11 suggesting [2] 40/10 suspected [1] 161/8 176/8 179/23 181/23 **strong [5]** 22/8 33/20 97/18 sustainability [3] 2/8 178/19 179/7 184/9 186/20 62/10 83/19 121/5 suggestion [3] 88/9 3/17 8/19 talking [10] 4/25 **statements** [2] 64/9 sustainable [1] strongest [1] 35/17 112/11 112/14 10/18 35/20 37/10 144/23 146/18 112/3 117/25 139/21 **strongly [5]** 19/5 suggestions [1] 27/5 **statistic** [1] 54/10 35/16 40/6 78/2 174/1 155/19 183/2 184/19 **suite [4]** 95/1 132/23 **swathes [1]** 108/15 **statistics** [3] 18/10 struck [3] 15/6 15/8 154/2 180/4 tap [1] 21/19 swift [1] 158/11 18/10 18/13 **summary [1]** 106/25 tapped [1] 46/23 20/24 switched [1] 117/7 statutory [9] 4/4 4/8 **structural** [3] 84/21 target [5] 117/23 summer [5] 75/25 **sworn [1]** 1/9 11/2 11/5 16/8 36/12 133/16 142/9 143/5 169/20 169/21 110/23 126/11 126/12 sympathy [3] 127/22 57/18 99/23 106/20 structurally [1] 35/21 139/5 168/12 168/15 158/12 **stay [1]** 128/20 structure [3] 77/8 sums [1] 155/19 system [16] 3/1 8/8 targeted [3] 184/14 **stayed [2]** 117/10 93/20 120/21 8/17 8/18 8/19 14/5 184/17 185/15 **Sunak [5]** 95/14 130/6 120/3 128/9 147/24 17/12 34/22 49/9 structures [6] 77/3 targeting [1] 186/8 stead [1] 83/17 78/16 79/23 91/25 67/10 165/1 165/6 167/2 taskforce [1] 81/16 **steady [1]** 171/3 tax [4] 14/15 26/16 92/2 105/5 Sunak's [1] 131/15 172/21 173/6 173/15 steady-state [1] struggle [1] 91/9 **Sunday [5]** 59/5 72/7 184/4 50/12 104/4 171/3 team [16] 20/5 22/2 studied [1] 157/7 72/14 109/5 109/8 systematic [1] 20/21 steelmaker [1] stuff [3] 42/25 102/10 supervised [1] 34/23 62/10 62/15 **systems [6]** 48/11 179/18 102/10 171/10 80/6 100/17 112/20 79/19 104/4 104/5 stenographer [1] supply [1] 130/13 154/10 165/5 **Sub [2]** 56/16 57/14 121/7 143/18 143/22 6/12 support [57] 3/15 144/8 144/16 161/1 subclauses [1] stenography [1] 15/2 3/18 5/12 7/1 7/5 7/8 171/23 183/14 141/16 step [2] 99/14 103/21 table [10] 49/22 subcommittee [1] 8/5 8/21 11/25 12/9 teams [16] 65/11 **stepped [3]** 103/20 51/12 51/15 52/6 52/7 16/6 17/8 28/23 31/22 78/20 81/12 83/14 182/22 148/18 159/19 52/22 52/24 53/18 subject [4] 41/24 39/9 39/22 50/13 88/18 96/15 103/22 **stepping [1]** 5/8 57/24 79/11 51/18 51/19 53/13 104/3 104/3 115/5 47/4 52/5 96/18 still [14] 12/21 81/18 57/2 90/9 96/23 99/24 tables [2] 51/8 52/1 115/9 120/15 147/25 suboptimal [2] 85/20 86/17 96/17 106/22 112/17 112/19 tackle [1] 38/25 165/24 168/7 151/8 182/20 183/7 97/14 103/5 114/21 take [36] 1/9 5/22 subsequently [2] 117/23 118/15 119/8 teamwork [1] 78/20 131/15 159/9 159/14 9/17 15/14 27/2 34/11 techniques [1] 121/6 127/6 127/8 18/2 18/5 166/12 166/24 174/23 59/23 63/2 74/9 91/12 165/17 129/2 129/3 129/6 subservient [1] 45/6 stood [8] 76/1 83/16 substance [1] 126/22 95/12 100/2 100/7 131/2 131/12 131/22 tell [9] 23/11 40/1 89/12 100/23 131/5 105/11 112/11 119/9 subtopic [1] 4/9 133/11 142/22 147/6 88/15 88/16 102/25 132/19 160/2 177/23 119/10 119/15 122/1 success [8] 33/5 161/1 172/11 173/21 124/6 124/9 178/25 stop [4] 88/2 107/7 129/9 135/24 136/13 41/13 48/9 76/10 175/12 175/14 178/21 181/23 107/8 109/21 137/6 144/25 148/16 159/5 159/24 179/15 179/1 179/4 179/10 telling [3] 15/23 stopped [4] 77/13 152/9 156/4 158/21 179/19 179/13 182/18 184/8 106/5 136/18 117/3 117/18 180/23 159/16 159/25 168/15 tells [1] 144/6 successful [15] 4/19 184/14 184/16 186/1 **storm [2]** 130/23 168/18 168/21 169/16 temper [1] 148/2 23/19 133/10 133/17 supported [1] 75/5 131/1 184/9 186/24 139/9 169/12 172/15 supporting [4] 2/9 tempered [1] 149/22 **story [1]** 151/7 172/16 172/18 175/8 taken [12] 9/18 32/17 11/17 14/10 34/24 temporarily [1] **straight [1]** 100/16 40/5 40/7 44/10 178/6 178/9 178/13 supportive [2] 121/1 170/13 straightforward [2] 101/10 106/14 140/15 temporary [1] 130/17 178/14 178/17 53/15 126/20 151/3 159/10 168/23 supports [1] 175/24 ten [2] 152/18 166/8 successive [1] strain [4] 85/15 85/23 **suppose [2]** 36/18 169/6 137/25 ten days [1] 152/18 131/25 132/2 ten years [1] 166/8 takes [14] 91/18 successor [2] 104/16 95/14 strands [1] 93/2 113/13 114/10 114/17 tend [3] 12/14 29/12 sure [25] 15/1 21/13 171/18 strategic [2] 61/10 128/13 131/20 135/23 41/21 33/14 40/10 40/20 successors [1] 181/4 181/22 136/11 137/20 138/19 such [10] 29/7 64/5 55/6 55/23 73/24 tended [2] 26/5 51/1 strategy [6] 73/20 143/21 152/7 160/19 65/18 66/10 87/15 86/17 131/16 135/20 tenet [1] 176/8 74/20 75/9 97/17 166/10 109/24 135/13 162/22 138/4 138/8 138/11 tenfold [1] 124/11

142/15 145/22 146/4 58/9 62/20 68/25 139/14 140/18 140/20 168/11 148/22 152/6 157/19 72/18 74/6 80/25 83/7 161/16 162/15 184/7 that it [1] 32/25 tens [2] 112/3 186/6 157/23 159/16 159/25 84/1 85/9 93/3 95/12 that's [62] 1/17 2/1 184/21 tension [6] 5/25 6/3 2/4 10/20 21/3 24/6 163/1 168/15 168/20 96/18 97/2 97/4 103/1 third [4] 20/6 77/2 24/20 29/8 58/16 26/2 26/5 27/4 39/6 171/19 173/2 173/25 119/9 120/16 120/18 164/22 179/20 58/20 39/10 39/25 40/2 182/18 182/20 182/25 121/10 122/2 122/17 this [303] term [2] 8/21 109/10 41/16 49/7 50/9 51/2 184/24 122/22 123/5 123/23 thorough [1] 138/15 terms [46] 1/20 4/12 themselves [2] 77/13 124/18 124/24 127/11 those [111] 2/11 3/4 53/20 54/13 62/23 9/1 9/15 9/19 12/7 65/3 67/7 67/7 67/11 82/9 128/6 133/11 136/19 3/11 13/24 22/15 15/8 17/13 19/1 20/16 136/23 136/25 138/9 68/11 68/13 70/11 then [109] 6/5 6/8 7/7 24/18 25/14 26/21 30/12 36/19 37/6 39/5 70/11 70/11 74/7 77/6 7/8 7/10 7/20 10/16 138/23 139/4 139/5 27/2 28/1 28/14 29/19 41/12 50/6 55/18 57/7 84/5 87/17 87/23 11/4 11/5 11/6 12/20 139/6 139/8 139/13 29/25 32/15 33/11 57/9 61/22 62/3 62/3 98/25 106/17 114/13 14/7 14/10 14/11 141/12 142/2 144/25 33/12 39/2 44/2 45/13 62/22 65/22 66/14 116/19 117/4 117/20 14/12 14/14 15/17 147/18 148/10 148/13 50/15 50/18 50/19 82/21 83/25 84/20 15/22 16/12 17/14 122/9 123/4 127/24 148/18 148/20 149/24 50/25 51/22 54/14 106/13 111/10 111/11 132/21 133/20 134/2 17/23 18/14 18/15 151/13 151/24 152/10 58/11 59/13 63/20 114/20 115/18 121/13 137/8 142/8 146/2 18/16 20/14 21/1 22/5 153/10 153/20 156/24 64/8 64/9 65/20 67/22 128/2 128/24 138/14 151/24 154/15 154/16 22/14 23/11 23/25 160/13 166/14 167/6 68/3 69/4 71/1 71/8 153/3 154/14 160/6 158/18 162/3 165/25 24/21 25/2 25/15 167/15 169/3 170/21 71/11 71/13 72/12 161/22 163/4 168/7 166/15 170/9 171/6 25/16 27/17 28/23 171/16 177/20 180/22 73/17 74/3 74/14 170/19 177/4 184/6 176/10 176/16 181/2 30/2 31/18 34/3 34/25 182/24 183/22 185/3 78/25 79/4 81/1 81/13 terrible [2] 149/4 36/2 37/8 38/11 39/17 81/21 82/22 83/24 185/11 185/6 185/18 185/7 their [65] 1/24 2/14 43/6 44/24 46/10 84/14 86/4 87/22 they [192] test [3] 36/6 77/8 49/20 52/12 53/24 they'd [3] 104/14 16/10 34/3 35/13 36/4 87/25 88/13 90/3 91/6 97/10 36/19 37/8 38/20 43/5 54/16 54/18 56/1 56/3 130/24 142/16 92/2 104/3 106/13 tested [2] 78/10 51/17 51/18 53/9 58/21 60/8 74/19 they'll [2] 116/25 111/15 119/25 126/4 135/6 53/13 54/8 54/9 55/13 78/12 80/25 81/9 185/21 126/11 126/15 126/19 **Tetlow [3]** 63/7 65/16 55/14 64/8 64/10 65/6 81/14 88/15 89/12 they're [14] 10/12 127/19 131/19 134/5 88/9 77/4 77/21 77/24 91/20 94/10 94/23 36/23 77/15 77/16 134/8 136/16 136/17 Tetlow's [2] 88/16 78/24 82/8 82/15 101/15 103/20 104/4 85/7 116/4 116/24 136/20 140/16 140/19 90/9 82/15 82/17 85/3 104/11 112/16 115/10 131/16 137/18 141/13 144/23 145/5 145/19 text [1] 39/16 85/22 91/19 93/16 115/21 123/9 125/15 148/22 159/17 159/17 147/6 152/14 153/7 texted [1] 109/7 125/21 126/11 126/13 155/14 155/20 158/16 96/15 116/11 121/3 180/10 than [36] 16/17 16/22 124/15 135/21 135/24 126/23 127/5 129/22 they've [7] 23/7 160/10 163/25 164/10 35/22 43/19 44/25 164/21 165/16 167/9 136/3 138/5 138/24 130/23 132/14 139/1 116/3 116/25 131/15 46/18 46/25 52/17 143/21 145/24 147/13 139/5 143/21 144/2 131/17 135/25 136/7 171/22 172/25 175/9 58/5 76/7 82/3 91/1 148/11 148/12 148/13 145/18 146/7 147/3 177/22 178/15 180/10 thick [2] 144/14 102/6 103/2 103/9 149/25 152/5 155/14 153/10 154/22 154/24 145/8 180/22 181/8 182/15 112/14 121/11 122/14 159/15 172/23 172/24 155/2 155/25 156/18 183/2 183/2 183/4 thing [23] 5/1 5/15 123/19 128/10 129/11 173/1 173/4 174/5 157/8 157/21 160/21 6/13 9/9 15/12 19/2 183/5 183/13 183/15 133/13 133/15 134/21 174/14 174/20 174/20 163/20 163/21 168/13 19/12 21/3 30/19 32/3 183/23 184/8 184/11 143/24 145/1 146/7 174/23 175/2 183/8 184/14 185/1 185/4 168/14 169/17 172/3 36/1 40/4 42/18 48/21 146/13 147/20 150/2 173/18 177/4 179/20 185/5 185/5 52/12 52/25 54/12 185/22 170/1 170/10 171/1 them [88] 4/25 5/21 181/3 58/15 129/16 134/19 though [7] 18/21 172/11 183/17 185/14 6/6 8/22 18/23 20/3 147/15 180/14 185/3 37/10 73/25 115/3 there [226] thank [43] 1/6 1/7 1/9 29/4 29/18 35/10 there'd [4] 92/25 96/2 things [57] 2/11 3/9 131/17 133/23 143/25 2/10 6/14 15/1 15/5 35/23 37/8 38/22 111/14 125/1 5/4 5/6 7/4 13/7 19/7 thought [16] 11/21 43/9 56/22 58/10 40/25 41/20 43/8 13/20 47/17 50/11 there's [16] 15/6 16/1 24/10 28/1 33/12 39/2 58/11 59/12 59/17 43/23 44/24 45/11 40/9 44/5 44/9 47/15 37/13 38/1 41/5 45/5 56/25 113/20 130/11 59/21 59/22 59/25 45/11 45/12 46/8 46/11 57/6 81/3 86/20 50/18 50/25 50/25 130/15 130/17 131/2 60/7 60/8 61/24 46/20 46/25 47/8 90/19 93/12 121/18 53/22 58/11 64/16 139/24 142/16 144/16 105/10 105/12 105/17 49/19 56/11 57/5 127/15 164/18 178/13 69/13 72/4 76/7 77/6 145/5 162/15 186/17 105/20 105/21 105/25 93/3 106/15 107/12 72/13 73/23 77/3 thereafter [1] 125/16 thoughts [2] 46/5 106/2 106/3 148/11 78/12 78/22 81/22 therefore [31] 2/22 109/22 110/14 120/2 177/8 148/19 156/8 156/13 11/7 13/1 13/5 13/23 123/1 123/8 123/23 81/25 82/3 82/18 thousands [7] 156/16 156/17 175/16 82/18 82/20 83/13 126/8 126/9 132/22 15/15 17/11 27/15 125/10 158/7 162/2 177/3 181/7 181/8 32/16 33/7 37/14 45/5 134/3 134/4 134/8 167/7 167/9 186/5 83/25 85/7 86/20 181/11 181/15 186/11 89/19 89/20 91/12 48/6 48/12 70/9 78/20 136/17 139/1 141/3 186/6 186/12 187/4 187/10 84/5 96/5 111/2 141/10 143/24 145/1 91/19 112/6 117/12 threat [1] 175/2 that [1239] 119/9 119/10 123/6 121/25 129/7 130/18 145/5 152/16 152/19 threatened [1] that I [20] 15/12 32/3 123/7 124/4 124/5 140/18 141/18 142/12 157/10 157/11 162/25 175/10 32/19 40/4 40/11 41/4 165/13 177/22 180/21 three [23] 20/14 124/19 125/2 126/19 152/4 164/8 172/14 43/14 50/9 61/22 65/7 127/21 127/23 127/24 174/12 184/25 186/6 180/23 180/25 56/24 61/19 63/16 67/14 72/18 76/18 129/8 129/16 130/21 these [78] 5/7 10/22 think [264] 75/3 97/25 102/7 79/14 79/14 88/18 25/7 29/14 30/5 33/20 thinking [11] 34/6 130/21 130/23 136/1 103/3 103/9 106/9 90/1 110/1 121/6 136/24 141/4 142/13 40/6 40/18 56/10 58/9 46/1 93/25 97/11 106/13 110/21 115/20

138/20 139/24 140/18 totally [2] 88/1 89/25 90/6 90/12 152/10 157/14 161/17 142/2 142/20 148/23 93/14 94/6 94/7 94/9 146/11 167/5 169/5 176/2 three... [10] 119/15 94/16 98/19 99/20 touch [2] 18/6 19/2 151/5 152/7 156/4 two months [1] 130/22 149/16 152/11 157/10 162/22 163/3 touched [7] 33/20 99/25 100/4 100/11 167/5 152/20 153/19 153/23 163/6 163/18 163/23 38/9 39/15 58/15 100/17 101/25 102/16 two weeks [1] 96/2 164/9 168/12 178/3 164/19 168/13 169/11 127/1 163/1 167/17 104/13 104/21 106/10 two years [1] 169/5 three days [4] 103/3 107/10 109/22 114/5 176/1 176/24 177/21 tough [2] 121/9 twofold [1] 152/1 103/9 106/9 106/13 180/24 181/7 186/15 186/15 115/9 115/21 119/12 type [7] 87/10 92/15 three groups [1] 75/3 186/17 towards [4] 9/4 14/6 119/23 120/1 120/4 97/20 104/17 143/20 three months [3] 120/11 120/14 120/20 164/22 186/8 timely [1] 12/17 14/10 103/5 115/20 130/22 152/11 120/21 121/5 150/3 times [20] 4/25 6/16 toxic [1] 143/19 typed [1] 68/2 three weeks [2] 151/18 153/19 159/13 types [3] 49/25 6/16 42/14 59/9 62/8 trade [13] 12/7 49/18 97/25 152/20 63/5 64/13 64/19 55/12 122/8 125/3 160/16 161/1 163/9 131/19 176/20 three years [1] 63/16 70/21 79/1 85/24 127/23 127/24 128/2 167/19 167/24 169/7 typical [1] 47/1 three-quarters [1] 102/7 119/10 121/12 128/16 129/21 130/11 169/10 170/5 170/14 56/24 122/25 128/5 128/9 173/21 175/1 170/23 171/10 177/11 through [50] 7/2 11/3 **UK [9]** 66/6 66/7 171/3 186/21 177/19 177/25 180/1 trade-off [5] 12/7 13/2 14/2 14/4 14/12 88/22 93/8 131/10 timescales [1] 59/1 125/3 127/24 128/2 180/7 181/3 181/22 21/17 24/22 27/6 37/4 135/1 160/17 162/10 128/16 182/9 183/1 184/6 timetable [1] 72/12 43/16 50/11 62/11 timing [1] 3/12 trade-offs [1] 129/21 185/19 184/1 77/16 78/11 84/14 tired [2] 149/19 150/6 traded [2] 117/8 Treasury's [5] 61/8 UK Finance [3] 88/22 86/4 93/8 94/2 102/10 62/1 94/14 97/21 135/1 160/17 today [11] 86/18 175/8 104/20 109/8 112/5 **UK Government [1]** 140/2 140/22 177/15 137/24 trading [2] 130/22 115/16 117/5 117/8 66/7 178/8 178/19 179/21 175/7 treated [1] 148/5 118/3 118/4 118/10 ultimate [3] 66/23 181/7 183/2 184/20 traditional [1] 137/13 trickled [1] 82/24 120/8 121/3 121/8 69/25 70/15 187/9 training [2] 182/10 tried [6] 78/10 78/20 125/21 130/22 132/20 182/14 84/24 102/7 136/20 ultimately [12] 28/17 today's [2] 170/8 135/1 135/21 136/13 tranche [6] 7/9 7/10 29/3 37/14 41/9 43/4 187/6 179/23 138/21 151/9 173/21 45/16 67/9 84/12 together [16] 50/18 7/11 7/12 9/5 14/9 trigger [1] 108/19 174/12 175/1 175/15 106/10 132/15 159/13 50/25 51/2 54/17 true [10] 5/9 6/8 49/7 tranches [1] 50/11 176/17 177/25 181/5 166/19 74/11 76/24 76/25 translate [1] 19/25 66/25 82/12 86/15 185/2 185/12 185/14 un [2] 14/23 17/18 79/8 83/15 84/19 86/17 115/3 122/18 transparency [4] throughout [10] 4/13 121/5 121/7 163/9 un-ringfenced [2] 52/11 62/19 173/23 165/23 62/10 66/25 69/14 14/23 17/18 163/12 165/2 171/13 174/9 trust [10] 5/3 5/9 82/7 89/24 90/12 told [4] 10/23 25/17 transparent [5] 42/21 28/6 29/25 35/23 unavoidable [2] 125/18 138/9 147/24 72/13 108/21 63/1 174/3 174/4 41/22 54/13 84/17 164/11 164/19 **thumb** [1] 13/18 uncertain [1] 96/17 Tom [3] 61/4 61/5 176/12 86/19 87/21 Thursday [1] 99/19 trust-based [1] 84/17 uncertainty [4] 3/5 121/6 transpired [1] 18/5 tier [2] 155/11 155/12 3/6 6/2 31/17 trusted [2] 29/15 tomorrow [1] 187/11 **transport [6]** 35/2 tight [4] 49/4 72/12 uncomfortable [1] tone [5] 9/12 83/2 67/19 69/21 69/22 35/10 87/16 87/18 177/8 83/9 83/11 85/11 71/10 74/2 trusting [1] 41/18 time [104] 3/5 4/17 under [29] 4/24 10/6 travel [6] 70/24 82/21 too [11] 12/14 17/2 truth [1] 184/22 6/7 10/4 11/12 13/3 10/7 12/1 20/4 20/14 107/8 108/6 109/20 17/6 18/22 36/11 try [2] 44/2 83/14 16/11 16/18 17/16 20/18 22/9 26/21 30/1 84/25 107/4 116/9 140/11 trying [7] 7/13 8/22 18/11 23/6 23/17 37/17 42/19 42/23 133/22 146/18 146/21 treasurers [4] 20/11 11/13 17/4 51/2 53/22 24/11 26/23 29/2 41/5 43/13 44/10 44/16 took [10] 9/16 24/2 20/11 20/12 20/12 134/3 44/6 44/22 49/1 53/21 45/16 83/8 101/8 26/23 28/21 29/2 Treasury [121] 25/12 **Tuesday [1]** 109/11 54/15 56/8 61/3 62/11 101/19 104/11 112/14 35/12 120/8 132/17 26/17 30/22 43/22 turbulence [1] 69/4 69/15 70/18 137/25 157/17 158/2 134/17 182/13 45/3 45/6 45/10 45/12 163/20 70/18 70/25 70/25 160/3 174/7 177/20 tool [2] 132/8 169/22 45/13 47/3 47/4 47/7 turn [1] 179/12 76/11 76/12 76/15 182/12 toolkit [1] 166/1 49/8 50/21 60/14 turned [3] 6/8 16/12 77/10 77/20 77/20 toolkits [1] 182/18 underfunded [1] 60/19 60/25 61/14 123/18 77/22 79/24 80/24 16/24 tools [2] 182/19 61/17 61/20 62/5 turning [2] 150/10 83/5 84/24 85/18 183/15 62/22 63/1 63/4 63/7 178/25 underfunding [1] 89/12 90/12 92/6 96/4 63/11 63/16 63/22 top [9] 82/23 83/1 turns [1] 114/12 18/15 96/24 96/24 97/3 64/11 64/22 65/1 65/8 **TV [1]** 89/16 underlining [1] 90/18 83/2 83/10 114/12 98/15 101/15 101/16 undermine [2] 41/21 114/13 120/12 121/7 65/18 65/23 66/4 two [37] 5/6 5/10 101/20 102/9 102/23 11/6 20/13 38/10 44/9 86/18 171/23 66/14 66/22 68/12 105/5 110/8 110/12 69/14 69/19 70/2 50/18 50/25 61/18 undermines [1] top-down [1] 114/13 110/18 112/25 114/21 87/21 topic [8] 22/12 30/15 70/10 70/18 70/22 69/13 79/9 81/5 81/11 116/7 116/20 116/22 underneath [1] 46/10 51/7 62/18 66/21 88/6 70/24 71/19 72/24 83/7 85/25 93/11 118/2 119/3 121/9 77/24 78/21 78/23 understand [29] 1/21 94/11 156/3 93/17 95/20 96/2 123/6 124/25 134/2 2/22 13/5 13/17 22/21 79/20 81/8 81/17 97/25 99/10 103/3 topics [1] 22/17 134/19 134/20 135/23 31/12 31/13 41/7 **Torre [1]** 171/19 81/21 81/24 83/18 103/8 104/18 106/9 135/24 136/11 136/13 total [3] 108/7 118/21 41/12 48/11 48/25 86/17 87/12 87/13 106/13 115/20 122/12 137/6 137/8 137/20 130/22 147/23 150/22 52/7 52/11 52/22 173/12 88/8 88/10 88/11

U understand... [15] 52/22 54/19 56/3 56/15 60/18 101/14 103/13 110/5 110/10 132/14 148/20 152/1 156/25 161/3 172/13 understandable [1] 52/10 understandably [2] 142/1 142/20 understanding [13] 3/14 3/19 6/7 16/11 27/13 33/11 37/16 39/7 41/14 52/13 54/23 55/9 153/18 understands [1] 32/13 understood [8] 11/22 12/22 14/4 29/15 55/7 74/3 134/24 135/3 underway [1] 112/1 underwrite [2] 93/12 160/1 underwriting [3] 141/14 158/20 159/12 underwritten [1] 158/22 undrawn [1] 173/11 unemployed [1] 184/23 unemployment [1] 185/8 unfailingly [1] 147/24 unfair [1] 149/14 unfairly [2] 149/9 149/12 unfolded [1] 97/25 unfortunate [1] 164/14 unfortunately [1] 163/16 unhelpful [2] 90/13 173/5 unified [1] 62/10 unintended [2] 53/17 55/1 **Union [1]** 130/10 **United [4]** 95/24 97/15 138/9 172/12 United Kingdom [1] 95/24 **Universal [1]** 112/18 unless [1] 131/24 unlike [1] 95/10 **unlimited [1]** 114/10 unnamed [1] 174/18 unnecessary [4] 90/10 107/8 108/6 185/1 unpick [1] 25/4 unprecedented [1] 95/6 unpredictable [1]

154/6

unrealistic [1] 141/23 unreasonable [1] 159/25 unreasonably [1] 145/5 unreliable [1] 151/16 **Unsecured [1]** 166/7 unsurprising [1] 172/14 until [7] 18/13 60/21 68/20 72/14 124/24 146/25 187/13 unverified [1] 16/9 **up [105]** 2/16 2/21 5/8 6/13 10/22 15/15 17/20 18/4 18/13 19/11 20/9 22/18 24/11 28/5 30/20 33/19 34/6 36/15 38/9 38/13 43/17 43/25 45/7 45/20 47/5 48/5 48/17 49/10 50/5 53/19 56/12 56/22 58/16 65/25 68/3 71/2 74/19 75/2 75/10 77/2 77/15 78/12 79/14 79/18 88/2 91/17 95/2 96/6 97/5 99/15 100/11 100/17 103/20 103/21 104/9 105/5 106/5 108/3 111/13 111/25 113/19 113/20 113/24 114/21 116/15 119/1 119/3 119/11 119/25 120/18 126/12 126/25 128/7 128/19 129/17 132/19 135/11 136/5 139/2 139/19 141/13 143/3 143/7 143/8 145/21 145/22 146/4 146/25 147/5 148/18 148/25 150/11 154/11 158/18 159/19 variegated [1] 92/11 160/3 160/22 161/13 162/25 167/17 171/5 172/5 177/19 177/20 177/23 updates [1] 183/22 **upfront** [2] 132/6 176/25 upgrade [2] 123/10 149/25 upon [1] 155/24 upper [1] 155/11 urgency [3] 64/14 99/4 125/20 us [51] 1/5 5/3 5/13 10/23 13/9 13/14 13/16 13/22 21/2 22/10 24/13 26/23 27/22 27/22 28/1 46/7 50/15 54/16 54/18

55/17 60/6 74/4 74/6

83/16 99/10 103/16

106/1 106/5 108/21

139/25 144/1 144/3 144/6 146/13 148/2 148/5 149/10 149/24 150/2 155/16 155/16 156/14 179/12 180/23 181/23 usage [1] 175/23 use [13] 7/18 17/1 27/14 27/14 45/22 46/4 51/16 76/10 135/3 135/5 139/15 140/14 178/16 used [5] 11/8 22/16 91/20 142/17 175/12 useful [4] 22/11 93/18 131/2 154/19 **usefulness** [3] 76/12 76/20 77/5 using [5] 21/18 22/21 48/12 142/18 174/3 usual [1] 128/6 utilised [1] 106/14 utility [1] 136/16 validated [1] 138/22 validation [1] 155/4 valuable [3] 55/21 79/1 159/20 value [21] 5/19 6/1 11/23 37/16 44/8 54/15 56/4 59/7 59/10 59/17 59/17 77/9 77/9 88/17 91/23 161/14 161/21 161/22 168/19 173/10 174/17 valued [1] 102/23 valuers [1] 143/1 variant [1] 147/6 variants [1] 176/2 varied [1] 117/4 variety [1] 11/3 various [3] 22/24 50/11 61/13 vary [1] 35/9 vast [1] 38/24 verification [2] 136/13 163/5 verifications [1] 131/19 verified [1] 69/3 versa [1] 53/7 version [3] 22/25 176/10 176/11 versus [6] 91/14 91/16 91/16 122/10 127/23 186/8 very [185] 3/13 6/25 7/17 8/5 9/9 11/18 12/21 13/3 14/1 19/5 20/16 21/9 22/8 22/11 23/14 27/7 28/6 30/6

31/6 34/18 36/15 39/3

112/7 120/6 120/6

121/8 123/14 135/2

57/21 59/12 59/17 59/18 59/21 62/9 62/10 63/22 64/7 64/8 64/18 65/23 66/3 66/20 66/22 67/20 70/17 71/8 72/2 72/3 72/11 78/4 81/10 82/20 83/19 83/22 84/7 84/8 87/16 87/18 vocal [1] 129/4 93/19 94/12 96/17 104/22 105/7 105/10 105/13 105/17 105/20 106/25 112/2 113/2 113/5 113/25 114/15 115/10 116/2 117/7 117/11 117/16 119/2 119/15 120/5 120/5 120/10 120/18 120/21 voluntary [1] 4/5 121/1 121/2 121/2 121/4 121/5 121/9 122/18 123/25 124/16 124/20 125/3 125/7 125/15 126/4 126/5 126/10 126/23 128/12 131/7 131/11 131/13 131/25 132/8 132/11 133/14 133/18 134/8 137/12 137/12 137/23 138/3 138/12 139/9 139/25 140/3 140/4 141/12 142/5 142/6 142/6 142/6 142/19 143/15 144/14 145/10 Wales [5] 56/13 151/6 153/7 154/3 154/5 154/19 155/11 155/15 155/19 156/17 157/25 158/23 159/4 159/19 160/3 160/13 160/15 160/18 160/22 161/5 161/18 162/12 164/24 165/7 166/12 166/17 166/22 167/18 169/12 171/14 171/17 172/15 172/18 173/5 175/2 175/8 175/13 177/21 178/6 178/9 178/12 178/13 178/17 179/23 181/8 184/22 186/12 186/18 187/3 187/6 187/10 via [1] 172/6 viable [1] 147/12 vice [1] 53/7 vice versa [1] 53/7 video [4] 116/2 121/24 142/8 159/4 view [30] 4/11 4/14 4/15 4/16 11/11 19/5 35/15 41/19 51/15 62/13 64/25 65/2 76/4 144/3 162/14 176/14 80/4 90/5 92/22 101/23 103/19 104/8 127/25 135/4 149/7

41/24 42/20 44/24

53/5 54/12 57/1 57/4

165/8 165/22 169/13 170/25 172/16 175/20 176/19 180/21 viewed [2] 35/10 122/7 views [3] 35/13 69/4 75/21 virus [2] 110/22 111/7 vitally [1] 83/2 89/8 89/13 91/20 92/5 voice [4] 33/22 36/17 36/19 43/25 volume [10] 9/3 124/11 135/11 135/12 135/12 139/16 139/24 152/6 154/6 162/21 volumes [6] 123/15 123/19 134/21 135/18 152/3 152/4 vulnerabilities [3] 164/10 164/18 164/22 vulnerability [4] 163/5 163/15 163/16 164/1 vulnerable [10] 181/24 182/4 182/23 184/11 184/15 184/22 185/2 185/10 186/7 186/9 W wait [1] 125/9

waiting [1] 23/13 56/15 56/16 80/1 106/24 want [48] 2/21 19/11 22/12 22/16 31/12 31/13 31/14 31/16 36/11 37/5 38/9 42/3 43/11 43/16 45/20 45/22 48/4 56/8 58/6 58/8 67/12 68/3 68/12 69/18 69/20 69/21 70/1 76/23 85/13 94/1 94/3 100/2 101/23 109/18 110/11 119/22 126/25 136/9 146/18 146/21 153/25 154/2 154/11 160/11 162/16 162/25 172/4 174/15 wanted [22] 14/20 18/23 24/17 29/18 57/25 58/12 58/13 58/17 63/2 64/16 72/11 113/7 135/20 138/8 138/11 138/15 147/19 173/1 174/4 174/5 176/24 183/22 wants [5] 68/9 112/2 war [1] 149/7 warned [1] 105/14 was [611]

148/11 153/3 160/6 week [12] 19/14 W 20/22 20/23 58/16 161/13 177/23 wasn't [33] 21/8 98/5 109/11 111/4 were [329] 21/11 24/11 29/7 111/5 111/5 111/25 weren't [13] 8/25 32/23 44/6 44/20 45/4 115/5 115/7 64/20 74/6 78/10 46/22 49/3 58/7 64/14 111/15 114/7 129/7 weekend [5] 107/17 76/3 78/1 87/10 138/6 138/12 140/18 107/17 108/22 109/4 102/16 102/18 105/1 172/8 140/20 160/6 186/7 105/2 116/6 117/19 weekly [2] 4/24 20/15 what [193] 118/13 127/20 127/21 weeks [12] 75/2 what's [5] 19/24 128/20 134/20 136/3 89/11 96/2 97/25 32/25 78/15 84/20 142/24 143/6 143/23 121/21 139/6 142/25 147/8 147/12 163/4 168/7 149/16 152/20 158/21 whatever [5] 82/9 waste [1] 35/2 165/3 165/6 113/13 114/10 114/17 wasted [2] 16/18 weigh [1] 129/17 128/12 162/16 weight [1] 151/6 whatsoever [1] watching [1] 136/24 weighted [1] 14/10 100/18 wave [4] 107/21 welcome [1] 46/7 wheels' [1] 46/16 125/20 126/13 127/14 welfare [3] 104/4 when [64] 3/8 10/1 waves [1] 112/6 112/20 119/8 11/13 14/8 15/24 way [42] 2/24 5/19 well [119] 3/22 4/12 25/17 28/2 28/3 31/15 12/17 14/3 20/7 21/14 9/13 12/15 13/16 15/8 33/2 36/8 37/24 40/6 29/23 42/10 42/21 21/21 21/23 23/7 40/13 40/20 42/14 43/22 47/7 48/15 49/4 49/17 50/4 64/19 23/10 23/12 23/23 48/17 50/4 51/17 52/4 30/4 30/5 37/12 39/3 66/13 69/6 70/21 58/25 63/8 63/11 44/8 46/18 46/24 71/21 72/1 72/22 76/14 77/6 78/4 86/5 47/21 49/20 51/23 73/11 73/19 77/3 93/12 111/19 112/20 53/8 57/1 57/4 57/10 79/18 81/6 81/14 113/2 118/3 118/4 63/15 63/22 65/17 81/15 83/3 83/23 85/7 119/24 122/7 124/20 68/14 69/7 70/3 71/2 89/12 89/19 93/6 94/8 142/10 142/13 148/11 72/4 76/9 76/17 78/14 97/25 101/3 101/8 162/3 162/5 162/19 81/3 83/22 85/7 85/24 103/2 113/24 114/9 162/20 163/22 164/2 86/14 88/15 94/6 122/17 123/16 125/25 169/2 94/24 97/7 97/20 126/5 126/6 126/16 ways [10] 12/10 32/6 98/14 99/10 104/8 127/6 130/23 132/6 35/4 40/9 40/15 47/4 105/19 105/20 110/10 139/11 139/21 141/4 82/2 83/3 131/7 112/25 113/19 115/2 142/20 143/20 157/24 161/17 115/13 115/18 116/24 167/11 173/15 183/11 we [572] 117/4 117/10 119/12 when I [1] 81/6 we haven't [1] 120/2 122/18 123/13 where [82] 4/21 7/15 186/23 124/6 125/12 126/23 13/14 14/13 19/1 we'd [6] 93/22 93/22 127/11 128/8 129/10 19/22 24/19 25/1 26/3 106/4 106/6 130/13 130/9 130/14 131/7 26/5 26/10 32/2 35/25 174/11 134/8 134/8 136/10 36/24 39/21 42/25 we'll [14] 3/21 4/9 137/2 137/23 138/18 44/7 45/9 45/10 45/11 22/17 46/24 65/20 139/15 139/21 141/3 45/13 49/17 50/21 96/6 112/6 125/5 141/8 141/9 142/17 51/1 51/3 54/3 64/13 132/12 133/8 141/7 64/16 66/4 67/7 67/14 144/12 146/4 149/15 144/5 153/12 159/7 151/22 153/8 153/17 67/16 71/16 71/23 we're [20] 10/18 155/11 155/22 157/14 72/17 74/7 76/5 78/13 12/21 35/20 37/10 158/18 159/10 160/8 79/3 80/9 84/1 84/15 42/13 49/22 57/11 160/14 160/22 163/7 87/20 87/25 89/5 65/25 107/2 124/23 163/7 163/13 170/5 91/24 93/18 94/13 134/1 134/3 139/15 175/25 177/10 177/23 97/14 97/19 98/2 139/16 139/17 141/6 178/2 178/4 178/6 106/15 116/15 117/21 149/15 149/15 149/16 179/22 179/24 180/22 117/23 117/24 125/7 149/18 181/7 182/6 183/19 126/15 130/11 134/3 we've [14] 13/6 33/19 184/19 185/18 187/6 140/6 142/5 148/15 43/24 50/21 56/13 151/15 151/20 152/13 whilst [3] 62/5 Welsh [9] 56/20 57/3 57/10 58/2 58/15 57/4 57/22 57/23 153/5 153/24 153/25 77/25 129/15 177/19 57/24 79/13 79/21 154/4 158/3 159/20 179/21 183/1 186/25 179/18 165/1 166/24 168/21 weather [2] 130/23 169/6 169/14 170/7 went [15] 17/23 131/1 18/25 22/5 67/15 Wednesday [3] 47/14 78/11 97/25 99/21 181/2 72/8 76/16 100/1 100/20 127/20 whereas [3] 133/3

whereby [1] 46/22 whether [25] 12/14 41/7 44/14 46/7 54/20 71/3 77/8 78/7 78/8 82/11 102/24 124/1 124/7 126/16 127/9 128/3 131/9 143/11 143/12 147/11 147/12 153/4 162/19 174/24 175/21 which [145] 1/19 3/3 3/7 3/13 3/14 3/19 3/21 3/25 4/19 5/3 5/4 5/19 6/1 8/19 10/13 11/2 16/23 17/5 17/8 22/12 23/8 24/3 24/10 24/23 27/14 27/17 27/18 30/15 31/14 31/19 39/15 41/4 41/10 43/8 44/24 45/5 47/8 47/14 49/10 49/12 49/13 51/7 51/18 52/2 52/3 52/10 53/24 54/4 54/12 56/17 58/19 61/10 61/18 61/19 62/22 63/20 66/20 67/5 67/5 wholesale [1] 91/15 68/4 70/10 74/20 75/8 wholly [1] 151/16 79/1 79/5 83/4 83/22 86/25 90/19 91/22 92/11 92/17 94/11 95/2 96/1 96/9 97/24 98/5 98/15 98/22 100/1 103/24 107/12 107/20 107/20 108/19 110/1 110/1 110/17 110/17 110/18 110/20 111/6 114/11 118/3 122/15 123/13 125/5 125/8 126/17 128/5 131/11 131/21 132/16 135/12 137/23 138/1 139/6 142/12 143/19 144/17 145/8 146/10 150/9 152/8 152/9 155/2 155/15 157/2 157/17 160/16 161/4 161/22 163/13 166/5 169/25 172/20 173/12 87/2 137/15 173/19 174/16 176/4 178/8 178/9 178/14 179/6 179/7 179/17 179/18 181/22 182/13 182/22 183/1 185/4 185/8 185/9 while [5] 12/2 52/10 96/14 96/16 157/8 131/20 136/19 Whitehall [6] 78/23 85/4 85/24 179/9 180/13 181/1 170/19 171/21 180/12 who [77] 3/17 11/16 15/13 20/9 21/1 21/2 21/23 22/2 22/3 23/9

159/3 171/9

24/25 25/16 26/11 27/22 29/14 30/21 30/22 31/15 34/1 52/8 54/7 71/12 71/19 74/6 75/6 79/16 80/3 82/13 82/15 82/15 87/6 87/11 89/2 89/6 92/6 93/11 94/2 101/25 111/15 117/9 117/10 120/20 123/25 123/25 124/2 124/4 124/18 134/24 135/1 135/20 136/2 136/6 136/15 138/5 138/15 146/16 146/17 146/20 147/7 147/9 147/18 150/17 150/20 151/2 153/7 157/23 161/24 164/15 165/4 166/10 167/5 167/7 167/24 173/23 174/3 185/10 185/16 who's [1] 80/23 whole [13] 3/24 22/8 57/22 69/15 88/24 89/3 102/5 115/24 116/5 116/15 126/14 184/1 186/25 whom [1] 53/4 whose [1] 116/10 why [51] 4/16 5/14 11/21 12/22 13/5 24/1 24/6 24/9 29/22 49/4 52/7 52/13 52/22 53/1 53/6 54/4 58/9 68/11 70/17 70/25 73/1 73/16 74/15 74/22 76/3 84/5 88/15 88/16 90/18 98/6 102/25 110/10 131/6 132/12 133/20 134/7 136/6 136/7 136/8 137/16 143/4 149/12 151/24 157/12 159/6 159/8 159/8 172/16 179/5 184/15 185/11 wide [1] 137/12 widely [4] 63/5 73/2 widened [1] 152/23 wider [6] 39/5 63/9 94/18 94/22 112/13 153/15 will [40] 2/11 7/18 7/20 8/13 8/14 8/15 10/6 15/1 17/2 17/3 28/4 32/4 32/15 39/18 39/22 43/7 43/7 46/15 48/21 62/23 95/1 105/15 111/24 112/2 122/15 125/12 128/10 146/14 146/19 152/10 164/11 164/16 166/3 166/12 166/17 167/1 180/21 181/6 181/13

W will... [1] 186/24 willing [2] 45/7 120/17 willingness [1] 86/12 wind [1] 180/1 winding [1] 13/4 wish [1] 87/23 wishing [1] 86/20 withdraw [2] 127/6 127/7 within [22] 3/11 6/21 6/22 21/23 22/17 26/22 34/22 37/3 54/17 61/13 61/17 91/13 97/20 98/5 98/16 101/7 104/24 107/22 121/21 144/7 150/19 157/3 without [17] 13/9 48/3 51/19 53/24 112/13 115/1 118/25 122/19 124/8 130/11 131/22 141/16 147/1 147/12 153/4 178/22 179/4 witness [17] 1/8 1/18 55/3 64/8 68/21 68/25 69/8 120/2 123/4 144/22 154/1 165/10 165/25 168/11 175/25 179/23 186/20 witnesses [2] 19/13 138/18 **won't [2]** 108/3 164/21 wonder [3] 16/4 19/21 46/21 wondering [2] 46/18 46/19 word [2] 142/17 142/18 words [3] 30/23 127/8 132/11 work [71] 15/18 15/19 24/11 24/21 24/22 24/23 24/25 27/15 27/16 30/1 30/12 33/12 35/20 35/22 42/20 44/15 63/24 69/14 69/16 71/21 74/11 76/25 77/6 81/4 81/17 81/21 82/1 82/2 86/3 91/18 91/22 96/2 97/16 101/11 101/17 103/14 103/22 103/23 104/2 104/16 109/16 109/16 109/24 111/14 111/17 112/20 117/21 119/11 119/17 120/16 124/14 127/18 141/7 141/8 145/11 148/1 148/9 148/12 148/12 152/3

153/22 154/12 170/4

170/9 178/5 180/1 180/9 184/13 184/16 185/4 185/13 worked [42] 9/13 11/18 12/10 12/15 13/14 20/25 21/9 21/14 29/24 50/5 52/8 62/1 62/14 63/22 64/3 writing [1] 40/25 64/7 64/23 65/18 66/22 67/14 67/20 71/8 72/1 74/1 80/24 81/5 93/6 103/8 104/6 120/11 120/12 135/6 152/3 153/7 169/11 169/12 171/14 173/13 **Y-S [1]** 98/25 175/20 177/20 178/4 180/22 worker [1] 96/2 workers [1] 101/20 workforce [9] 96/1 127/18 128/18 128/20 128/24 184/24 185/11 year [6] 4/1 8/13 8/15 186/3 186/4 working [59] 21/7 21/8 24/24 25/5 25/5 25/7 27/9 32/6 33/19 34/6 35/4 37/15 40/9 40/15 42/10 44/4 47/5 47/7 49/10 59/2 65/11 65/24 66/1 66/5 67/2 67/17 72/18 73/19 74/4 74/6 80/17 80/18 yep [4] 21/22 146/8 83/15 84/18 85/21 88/18 99/25 100/4 100/4 100/23 101/18 103/5 103/25 104/15 110/7 111/15 113/1 114/21 115/5 117/8 119/3 126/22 130/21 149/25 151/8 153/20 163/9 180/16 185/20 workload [2] 120/7 126/10 works [1] 67/10 world [4] 56/3 63/9 98/13 120/13 worried [1] 99/3 worry [2] 6/12 7/6 worrying [2] 7/15 118/1 worse [1] 98/11 worsened [1] 98/10 worst [4] 96/3 97/3 97/13 98/10 worst-case [4] 96/3 97/3 97/13 98/10 worth [2] 21/3 36/2 worthwhile [1] 12/4 would [279] wouldn't [27] 4/7 7/6 8/11 18/16 32/10 36/11 41/9 44/14 48/4 48/12 58/6 58/8 67/12 70/14 74/13 83/22 95/23 100/2 105/14

106/15 115/2 127/25

149/21 150/8 159/10 162/15 170/12 wrap [1] 87/18 Wright [10] 1/3 1/11 60/4 60/11 106/2 156/7 156/12 187/7 188/4 188/8 written [2] 68/23 69/1 wrong [3] 131/24 134/4 151/25 wrote [1] 68/25 yacht [1] 145/3 yeah [14] 24/8 26/7 28/8 33/25 34/9 39/9 53/11 93/5 119/21 134/9 134/9 135/7 137/7 137/10 9/8 16/20 17/14 years [19] 62/12 63/16 67/25 85/19 86/17 90/7 119/11 130/25 130/25 141/10 153/19 153/23 160/23 161/15 165/18 166/8 166/8 169/5 179/25 Yellow [1] 94/1 146/8 149/2 yes [139] 1/8 3/21 4/15 5/11 10/15 11/2 12/12 14/22 15/1 15/4 15/5 15/11 16/3 19/14 22/20 24/5 25/19 25/24 28/17 28/17 29/17 29/22 32/3 32/19 36/23 37/25 38/3 38/4 39/4 39/17 41/13 41/17 41/24 42/1 47/20 47/25 49/7 50/1 50/24 53/21 54/2 54/5 54/11 54/15 58/19 61/15 62/3 62/4 67/10 69/12 69/18 73/4 73/11 73/18 73/24 74/16 74/18 77/12 77/15 77/19 80/4 81/4 82/11 82/25 83/2 84/4 86/11 96/10 97/7 98/4 98/14 98/20 99/1 99/12 99/16 101/2 104/25 105/20 106/8 106/18 107/5 107/22 108/11 109/3 109/13 118/12 118/20 47/14 49/23 51/3 119/20 121/15 122/12 122/21 123/4 123/4 123/13 124/13 125/6 125/19 126/5 134/22 135/6 135/14 137/1 138/14 147/21 148/24 150/23 151/1 152/24

153/2 155/23 156/1 156/22 157/9 161/11 161/12 162/12 162/24 163/7 163/8 164/1 164/7 164/9 165/25 167/21 167/23 167/24 167/24 167/25 168/6 170/21 171/3 171/4 172/10 175/25 178/7 180/3 180/9 181/21 183/16 yet [1] 136/3 York [2] 98/25 104/11 you [580] you need [1] 91/24 you'd [12] 18/6 91/12 91/14 91/17 92/25 106/5 111/14 119/14 124/25 153/15 154/2 154/11 you'll [4] 121/19 131/14 135/1 178/23 vou're [28] 10/13 11/1 17/4 17/16 21/17 21/18 21/20 22/18 31/8 33/1 36/20 38/2 42/4 43/25 44/20 45/22 58/20 80/25 93/9 93/10 93/10 93/12 105/19 117/25 151/18 155/19 166/21 181/19 you've [43] 1/18 10/23 15/7 18/24 19/7 23/8 25/9 28/22 29/5 38/9 41/17 43/13 59/18 60/16 67/4 68/5 72/21 80/23 91/5 100/7 108/21 114/21 119/2 124/24 127/1 129/23 130/4 134/5 135/10 136/6 140/21 148/21 153/6 154/4 157/9 163/1 166/11 167/17 177/6 177/15 177/18 186/16 186/21 your [122] 1/20 2/12 4/11 4/16 5/13 6/9 6/18 9/12 10/6 11/11 19/24 21/16 21/17 22/17 24/3 24/13 25/4 27/5 28/12 28/14 30/9 31/22 31/24 33/20 33/22 34/6 35/11 36/17 39/2 39/10 41/10 41/17 43/19 43/24 44/2 45/4 45/7 57/21 59/17 59/19 59/21 61/4 61/25 62/13 62/13 64/25 68/14 68/15 70/14 71/2 71/23 72/21 73/2 73/7 73/10 73/14 75/23 76/4 77/10

80/14 85/11 85/15 90/20 92/22 92/25 95/5 97/8 98/9 98/18 98/22 99/7 101/23 106/8 108/2 108/2 108/9 108/10 110/19 111/13 116/2 121/14 121/24 123/8 126/24 127/4 129/5 130/8 132/10 132/11 136/16 136/24 137/8 138/18 143/7 143/25 145/1 145/20 149/5 155/5 156/3 156/19 162/6 166/23 169/19 175/20 177/5 177/6 177/10 177/15 177/18 177/24 181/13 181/18 181/23 183/12 184/8 184/13 186/16 186/20 yours [2] 76/19 186/19 yourself [6] 30/18 32/16 72/22 86/12 157/6 163/1 yourselves [2] 35/6 42/5 zero [3] 116/1 116/4 116/9