OFFICIAL SENSITIVE

To: Secretary of State

SCS: Richard Vianello

		Ministry of Housing
From:	Name	Communities & Local Government

1 adox

Date: [received by PO]

Summary of the issue: As test and trace has scaled in size the centrality of data has become more apparent. The launch of the Local Authority testing dashboard was a good first step in ensuring local officials have greater access to test and trace data. However, there continues to be issues in data flowing from central government to local government. We have made good progress at the working level to put across departmental priorities and receive more data from DHSC, but we now need ministerial commitment to make more progress.

Recommendation: We recommend that you raise the data needs outlined within this note with ministerial counterparts at the Department for Health and Social Care. The draft letter can be found at Annex A.

Timing: Raise issues with the Department for Health and Social Care by 26 June 2020.

Context

- 1. On Wednesday 10 June, NHS Digital and the Department for Health and Social Care (DHSC) launched the Local Authority (LA) Dashboard. This gave Local Resilience Forum (LRF) Secretariats and Directors of Public Health (DsPH) access to daily testing data and Covid-19-related 111/999 calls at the LA level. The launching of this dashboard is a major step forward in helping LAs understand case and test numbers across the UK.
- 2. Despite the launch of the LA Dashboard, there remains a significant number of gaps in the data which LAs require to understand and respond to the Covid-19 outbreak. For instance, the dashboard delivers only two of the ten requests you sent to DHSC on Monday 1 June and we have not had assurances that the eight outstanding asks will be delivered. Further, we are yet to secure agreement from NHS Digital to use the LA Dashboard data in MHCLG's LRF Dashboard, which would enable LAs to view testing data alongside other relevant Covid-19 indicators.

Test and Trace Data Requirements

3. The current lack of data being provided to LAs is limiting the ability of local officers and DsPH from appropriately understanding the Covid-19 outbreak both in their area and nationally. In particular, local officers are yet to receive sufficient test and trace data to understand the performance of LAs against key metrics or have the data at a granular enough level for DsPH to understand the characteristics of the outbreak in their

OFFICIAL SENSITIVE



Test and Trace Data Requirements

Who is asking?	What do they need?	Why do they need it?	Does it exist?
DsPH	Tests and Positive Cases • At the most granular geographic level, ideally to patient-level, including place of work	To understand who is being tested and the specific hotspots within the community where case levels are increasing	Yes – the LA Dashboard presents this but aggregated to LA level and Pillar 1 tests not included
MHCLG, DsPH, LRFs, LAs	Channel Turnout Rates Tests undertaken vs. registered/booked Tests undertaken vs. test capacity	To understand how well utilised testing centres are in different locations to better direct resources	Yes – DHSC hold this data but all we currently receive is a weekly sitrep on tests as a % of capacity by site
MHCLG, DsPH	 Testing Performance % of test results returned within 48hrs Average time of end-to-end process 	To understand performance across LAs and intervene where testing is slow and understand likely risk associated with time lagged tracing	Not currently, although a high-level national figure was quoted by Baroness Harding in early June
MHCLG, DsPH, LAs	Demographic Breakdown for both testing and tracing • Age, gender, ethnicity, religion	To understand coverage of different communities and sectors of society to target policy change and engagement activities	Not currently, although figures are provided for mortality and cases
DsPH	 Contact Tracing Cases % identified, contacted and asked to self-isolate % contacts reached within 48hrs Location of contact, i.e. work place setting or community event 	To understand the potential spread of the virus within their community and monitor performance of tracing methods	Yes – PHE have a daily feed going to DsPH but this is only as granular as UTLA and it's unclear whether specific setting is captured

OFFICIAL SENSITIVE



Care Home Testing Data Requirements

Table 2: Care home testing data requirements					
Who is asking?	What do they need?	Why do they need it?	Does it exist?		
MHCLG, LAs, LRFs, DsPH	At the most granular geographic level	To understand the scale of testing and positive cases within care homes and the extent to which this is driving wider-community variables	Not currently, although DHSC do provide updates on overall tests within care homes and number of outbreaks		
MHCLG, DsPH	Number of voided tests • Specific care home level	To understand the relative performance in administering care home tests by region to enable intervention where void rates are high	Not currently, although an overall figure is presented		
MHCLG, LAs, LRFs, DsPH	Tests and positives split between staff and residents • Specific care home level	To understand whether testing it being utilised effectively	Not currently, although an overall figure is presented		
DsPH	% of positive cases where staff/resident was asymptomatic • Patient-level data	To understand risk of the virus and the need to roll-out more testing	Outlined in the Vivaldi system but not broken down to location or demography		
DsPH	Demographic data of those tested in care homes • Patient-level data	To understand the impact on different groups in the community	Not currently outlined, but will exist on patient records		