1

2

4

1		Thursday, 6 March 2025
2	(9.5	59 am)
3	LA	DY HALLETT: Mr Wald.
4	MR	WALD: My Lady. Our next witness and our first witness
5		this morning is Mr Max Cairnduff. Could the witness be
6		sworn, please.
7		MR MAX CAIRNDUFF (affirmed)
8	(Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY FOR MODULE 5
9	MR	WALD: Please state your full name for the Inquiry.
10	Α.	Maximillian Cairnduff but I use Max.
11	Q.	Thank you. Mr Cairnduff, we're grateful for it, you
12		have provided a witness statement to the Inquiry, it's
13		Inquiry number INQ000536351, can you confirm, and
14		I believe you have done so by signing it, that it is
15		true to the best of your knowledge and belief?
16	Α.	It is.
17	Q.	All right. Thank you for that.
18		Can I start, please, by way of introduction of you
19		a little bit about your background. You started as
20		a solicitor for number of years at two City firms; is
21		that right?
22	Α.	Yes, technically, actually, I was an employed barrister
23		rather than a solicitor, I'm not sure that matters.
24		I started out at A&O sorry, Allen & Overy, I'm very
25		sorry. I moved then to Shearman & Sterling, which is
		1
1	Q.	Understood. Then in 2019 you joined the senior
2		management team within CTT?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	CTT being?
5	Α.	Complex Transactions Team.

- 5 A. Complex Transactions Team. 6 Q. Yes. On 1 April 2020, you were brought into work on the
- 7 PPE Buy Cell, of which we've heard a bit in the last 8 couple of days?
- 9 A. That's correct, I'd spent a few days previously helping
- build up a bench of contingent labour to assist with 10
- Covid-related activities, and then I was transferred 11
- over to the PPE Buy Cell and, as it's now called, the 12
- 13 HPL, the High Priority Lane, on 1 April.
- 14 Q. You're not the only witness to whom this has been asked 15 and I doubt you'll be the last but if we can try to keep 16
 - the pace down it will help our stenographer enormously.
- 17 A. I'm sorry, yes.
- So you became the High Priority Lane and Donations lead? 18 Q. 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 Q. Those two areas were amalgamated, weren't they,
- Donations and High Priority? 21
- 22 Α. That's correct because both of them would involve
- 23 potentially politically sensitive topics or require
- 24 greater handling.
- 25 Yes, we'll come on to that. But you joined that team Q.

3

- a New York based term, but I was in London. But I spent the bulk of my career at Freshfields.
- 3 Q. How many years were you in private practice there?
 - Α. 15 or more.
- 15, and you specialised -- is it commercial law, 5 Q. 6 procurement?
- 7 A. Not procurement, though I was an infrastructure lawyer,
- 8 so I was a transactional lawyer. So largely
- 9 I negotiated international and domestic project
- 10 financings. The UK ones didn't involve procurement but
- 11 I had procurement law colleagues who would assist with
- the technicalities --12
- 13 Q. You went on to specialise into procurement and --
- A. No, I was never a procurement lawyer, I'm sorry. 14
- Sorry, you ceased to be a lawyer in July 2018, didn't 15 Q.
- 16 you?
- 17 Α. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. You joined the Civil Service --18
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 Q. -- and you joined the Government Commercial Organisation

- 21 within the Cabinet Office?
- 22 Α. Yes, I did.
- 23 Q. Yes. More specifically, you became a commercial
- 24 specialist in the Complex Transactions Team?
- 25 A. Exactly, yes.
- 1 after it had already been set up?
- 2 A. Yes, it was set up -- well, I didn't know at the time --
- 3 sorry, I'll try and slow down -- I didn't know at the
- 4 time exactly when it had been set up but I believe it
- 5 was in late March, mid-to-late March.
- 6 Q. Nor do you know, you tell us in your witness statement, 7 by whom it was set up?
- I didn't know at the time of my witness statement. I've 8 Δ 9 read a lot of other evidence in preparation for today so
- 10 I've seen evidence since where I think it is in Andy
- 11 Wood's statement where it suggests that Lord Agnew
- 12 suggested it, for example. My impression, though, is
- 13 that it was more of an organic response to the flood of
- 14 offers we'd already received by that point and then the
- 15 flood of chasers we were starting to get in relation to 16 some of them.
- 17 Q. You occupied your post at Deputy Director General level?
- Deputy Director, not DG. 18 Α.
- 19 Deputy Director. You occupied that position until Q. 20 around 4 May 2020, so just over a month?
- Α. Yes. 21
- 22 Q. But a busy month?
- 23 Α. Very. I'd actually remembered it as much longer. It
- 24 was only when I was preparing for this hearing that
- 25 I realised it was that short. In memory, it's several

1	_	weeks.	1	
2	Q.	Yes, understandably. Could we please have on the	2	
3		display I don't know whether you followed yesterday's	3	
4		proceedings	4	
5	Α.	Only the start.	5	
6	Q.	Excuse me?	6	
7	Α.	I'm very sorry, only the start.	7	
8	Q.	Could we please, it's a PHT reference rather than an INQ	8	
9		one, it's two parts of the transcript from yesterday's	9	
10		proceedings. I don't know whether it's a part that	10	
11		you've seen. It's PHT000000151. If we could start at	11	Α.
12	•	pages 181 to 182.	12	•
13 14	A.	Thank you.	13	Q.
14	Q.	You can see at the top of that page some of the evidence	14	
15	Α.	that Mr Marron gave. Yes.	15 16	A. Q.
17		" I'm trying to find the right word, some people said	10	ц.
18	Q.		18	
10		'noise' [he's referring here to the VIP Lane], I don't want to use the word 'noise'. There was legitimate	18	Α.
20		interest in so we needed to manage that. I think as	20	Q.
20		we look back on how we did this, we didn't do it in	20	ω.
21		a good way at all. I mean, frankly, we've reviewed it	21	
22		several times, the Boardman Review said this wasn't the	22	
23		right thing to do, and frankly, if we'd had a better	23	
24		process in terms of triaging the offers, as we talked	24	
20		5	20	
1		course for the work that you're doing helping the	1	
2		Inquiry. I know you probably have to arrange"	2	
3		Sorry. If we can go up a page. It spans over the	- 3	A.
4		two pages, 224 and 225. That's it. 224:	4	
5		"I appreciate how hard you and your colleagues must	5	
6		have worked during the pandemic and how distraught, I'm	6	
7		sure, many of you are I think you've shown signs of	7	
8		it this afternoon that all your hard work has been	8	
9		undermined by the creation of the VIP Lane. I hope you	9	
10		understand why we had to examine it."	10	
11	Α.	Mm-hmm.	11	
12	Q.	So I start with those observations. I just want to	12	
13		remind you of parts of your own evidence that relate to	13	
14		the VIP Lane now, if I may.	14	
15	Α.	Yes, please.	15	
16	Q.	Thank you.	16	
17		The first of those is at page 6, paragraph 4.5.	17	
18		Which is INQ the witness statement 000536351,	18	
19		where you observe:	19	
20		"I was also aware at the time that there was	20	
21		a believed that the contracts coming through the [High	21	
22		Priority Lane] would be of good quality and would lead	22	
23		to credible offers of PPE coming through in the	23	
24		otherwise chaotic market."	24	
25		Is that a belief that you held, that there is an 7	25	
		1		

"We've been tested in the High Court on this
particular process, actually, here, that the unfairness
in this very first stage was found to be unlawful,
although actually our justice found generally found
that actually we would have awarded she thought the
contracts would have been awarded"
You understand what he's referring to there?
Yes, I do, that's the Mrs Justice O'Farrell PestFix v
Ayanda judgment.
It is indeed and, in particular, the finding of unequal
treatment
Yes.
albeit that, in that case, those particular contracts
were found to have been ones that would have been
awarded in any event?
Yes.
Yes. Then if we could just move to the latter of the
two parts of this transcript, it's at page 225. This is
towards the very end of proceedings yesterday, where our
Chair comments as follows:
" I'm extremely grateful [to Mr Marron] to your
and your colleagues for the work that you did and, of 6
Ŭ
inherent improved credibility of offer amongst those
that came in through the High Priority Lane?
It depends when. So when I joined the HPL I was, as it
says in that quote actually, highly sceptical of it.
I thought it was unlikely it would be a better route.
I thought the better offers would likely come in through
the other route or just randomly.
What I found in practice once I was working there,
and this happened through two ways, was many genuinely
good high-quality offers came through. And there's
a couple of reasons for that, I think. One of them is
there are individuals such as Lord Feldman who was
actively tasked with going out and identifying offers.
He did a very good job of it. And he would seek, for
example, feedback on which referrals had worked, had
been potentially productive, which hadn't been, and so
that improved the quality, that were coming through that
route.
The other thing we found was some very credible
suppliers, rather than just going through the portal,
would go through minister directly. So, for example,
Apple were making a big donation, they went directly to
government ministers, so that would then get referred to
us. Or they'd go through the portal, not hear back, and
then they would escalate, and so they would still end up 8
v

about before the break, we probably would have needed

this. I think that's a very valid observation."

Mr Marron goes on:

1		with us.
2		So I didn't expect it to begin with but it did
3		become true that amongst a lot of offers that weren't
4		very good, there was a substantial kernel of offers that
5		were very good indeed.
6	Q.	So initial scepticism but eventual support for the HPL;
7		is that fair?
8	Α.	Support to a point. Later on, towards the end of April,
9		I think I recommend wrapping it up, because I felt it
10		was done. We were part in the very early stages of
11		the crisis we had desperate shortages of HPL sorry,
12		of HPL, sorry of PPE. Sorry. We were all very aware
13		of that.
14		You mentioned, I think, to Gareth there's two kinds
15		of pressure: there's the pressure that's coming from
16		ministers, but there's also the greater pressure of just
17		seeing what's happening. So at that point we were
18		really just focused on getting kit in the door. Later
19		on, as it stabilises, it's not the best way to do
20		things (overspeaking)
21	Q.	When you say "[we] were done", you meant that sufficient
22		quantities had already been secured?
23	Α.	I mean that sorry, as it stabilised and we could see
24		more reliable offers more reliable supply coming
25		through, more contracts had been placed, you're in
		9
1		Mr Moore. Do you recall?
2		I do, indeed.
2 3		l do, indeed. Yeah. And you said there is it fair to say that you
2 3 4		I do, indeed. Yeah. And you said there is it fair to say that you and David Moore didn't quite see eye to eye on the
2 3 4 5		I do, indeed. Yeah. And you said there is it fair to say that you and David Moore didn't quite see eye to eye on the VIP Lane?
2 3 4 5 6		I do, indeed. Yeah. And you said there is it fair to say that you and David Moore didn't quite see eye to eye on the
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. A.	I do, indeed. Yeah. And you said there is it fair to say that you and David Moore didn't quite see eye to eye on the VIP Lane? Yes, I think I say in my statement, actually, and David was correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A. Q.	I do, indeed. Yeah. And you said there is it fair to say that you and David Moore didn't quite see eye to eye on the VIP Lane? Yes, I think I say in my statement, actually, and David was correct. Excuse me?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q. A.	I do, indeed. Yeah. And you said there is it fair to say that you and David Moore didn't quite see eye to eye on the VIP Lane? Yes, I think I say in my statement, actually, and David was correct. Excuse me? David was correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I do, indeed. Yeah. And you said there is it fair to say that you and David Moore didn't quite see eye to eye on the VIP Lane? Yes, I think I say in my statement, actually, and David was correct. Excuse me? David was correct. In what sense?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A. Q. A.	I do, indeed. Yeah. And you said there is it fair to say that you and David Moore didn't quite see eye to eye on the VIP Lane? Yes, I think I say in my statement, actually, and David was correct. Excuse me? David was correct. In what sense? In that I pushed at the time. I was caught I think in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I do, indeed. Yeah. And you said there is it fair to say that you and David Moore didn't quite see eye to eye on the VIP Lane? Yes, I think I say in my statement, actually, and David was correct. Excuse me? David was correct. In what sense? In that I pushed at the time. I was caught I think in tunnel vision. When you're there you've got a mixture
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I do, indeed. Yeah. And you said there is it fair to say that you and David Moore didn't quite see eye to eye on the VIP Lane? Yes, I think I say in my statement, actually, and David was correct. Excuse me? David was correct. In what sense? In that I pushed at the time. I was caught I think in tunnel vision. When you're there you've got a mixture of good quality offers that are stuck in some technical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I do, indeed. Yeah. And you said there is it fair to say that you and David Moore didn't quite see eye to eye on the VIP Lane? Yes, I think I say in my statement, actually, and David was correct. Excuse me? David was correct. In what sense? In that I pushed at the time. I was caught I think in tunnel vision. When you're there you've got a mixture of good quality offers that are stuck in some technical assurance black hole, from our limited perspective, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I do, indeed. Yeah. And you said there is it fair to say that you and David Moore didn't quite see eye to eye on the VIP Lane? Yes, I think I say in my statement, actually, and David was correct. Excuse me? David was correct. In what sense? In that I pushed at the time. I was caught I think in tunnel vision. When you're there you've got a mixture of good quality offers that are stuck in some technical assurance black hole, from our limited perspective, and some which are incredibly noisy and may or may not be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I do, indeed. Yeah. And you said there is it fair to say that you and David Moore didn't quite see eye to eye on the VIP Lane? Yes, I think I say in my statement, actually, and David was correct. Excuse me? David was correct. In what sense? In that I pushed at the time. I was caught I think in tunnel vision. When you're there you've got a mixture of good quality offers that are stuck in some technical assurance black hole, from our limited perspective, and some which are incredibly noisy and may or may not be good, you don't know, but they're good enough to be put
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I do, indeed. Yeah. And you said there is it fair to say that you and David Moore didn't quite see eye to eye on the VIP Lane? Yes, I think I say in my statement, actually, and David was correct. Excuse me? David was correct. In what sense? In that I pushed at the time. I was caught I think in tunnel vision. When you're there you've got a mixture of good quality offers that are stuck in some technical assurance black hole, from our limited perspective, and some which are incredibly noisy and may or may not be good, you don't know, but they're good enough to be put through to technical assurance at any rate. They're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I do, indeed. Yeah. And you said there is it fair to say that you and David Moore didn't quite see eye to eye on the VIP Lane? Yes, I think I say in my statement, actually, and David was correct. Excuse me? David was correct. In what sense? In that I pushed at the time. I was caught I think in tunnel vision. When you're there you've got a mixture of good quality offers that are stuck in some technical assurance black hole, from our limited perspective, and some which are incredibly noisy and may or may not be good, you don't know, but they're good enough to be put through to technical assurance at any rate. They're stuck in the system.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I do, indeed. Yeah. And you said there is it fair to say that you and David Moore didn't quite see eye to eye on the VIP Lane? Yes, I think I say in my statement, actually, and David was correct. Excuse me? David was correct. In what sense? In that I pushed at the time. I was caught I think in tunnel vision. When you're there you've got a mixture of good quality offers that are stuck in some technical assurance black hole, from our limited perspective, and some which are incredibly noisy and may or may not be good, you don't know, but they're good enough to be put through to technical assurance at any rate. They're stuck in the system. I said to David basically, "Could you please get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I do, indeed. Yeah. And you said there is it fair to say that you and David Moore didn't quite see eye to eye on the VIP Lane? Yes, I think I say in my statement, actually, and David was correct. Excuse me? David was correct. In what sense? In that I pushed at the time. I was caught I think in tunnel vision. When you're there you've got a mixture of good quality offers that are stuck in some technical assurance black hole, from our limited perspective, and some which are incredibly noisy and may or may not be good, you don't know, but they're good enough to be put through to technical assurance at any rate. They're stuck in the system. I said to David basically, "Could you please get these unstuck." And by unstuck, by the way, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I do, indeed. Yeah. And you said there is it fair to say that you and David Moore didn't quite see eye to eye on the VIP Lane? Yes, I think I say in my statement, actually, and David was correct. Excuse me? David was correct. In what sense? In that I pushed at the time. I was caught I think in tunnel vision. When you're there you've got a mixture of good quality offers that are stuck in some technical assurance black hole, from our limited perspective, and some which are incredibly noisy and may or may not be good, you don't know, but they're good enough to be put through to technical assurance at any rate. They're stuck in the system. I said to David basically, "Could you please get these unstuck." And by unstuck, by the way, that doesn't necessarily mean pass; it means give
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I do, indeed. Yeah. And you said there is it fair to say that you and David Moore didn't quite see eye to eye on the VIP Lane? Yes, I think I say in my statement, actually, and David was correct. Excuse me? David was correct. In what sense? In that I pushed at the time. I was caught I think in tunnel vision. When you're there you've got a mixture of good quality offers that are stuck in some technical assurance black hole, from our limited perspective, and some which are incredibly noisy and may or may not be good, you don't know, but they're good enough to be put through to technical assurance at any rate. They're stuck in the system. I said to David basically, "Could you please get these unstuck." And by unstuck, by the way, that doesn't necessarily mean pass; it means give a resolution to, which may be rejection. I you might
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I do, indeed. Yeah. And you said there is it fair to say that you and David Moore didn't quite see eye to eye on the VIP Lane? Yes, I think I say in my statement, actually, and David was correct. Excuse me? David was correct. In what sense? In that I pushed at the time. I was caught I think in tunnel vision. When you're there you've got a mixture of good quality offers that are stuck in some technical assurance black hole, from our limited perspective, and some which are incredibly noisy and may or may not be good, you don't know, but they're good enough to be put through to technical assurance at any rate. They're stuck in the system. I said to David basically, "Could you please get these unstuck." And by unstuck, by the way, that doesn't necessarily mean pass; it means give

25 **Q.** From your statement or from the correspondence?

1		a place where you're no longer in absolute crisis where
2		you're about to run out tomorrow, hopefully. And at
3		that point you need to start thinking about your
4		structure.
5		And as we go into May, we then start thinking about
6		what the future will look like and what the future of
7		PPE procurement will look like during the remainder of
8		the crisis.
9		Sorry, does that answer?
10	Q.	All right, let's move on to some of your other
11		observations in relation to the VIP Lane.
12	Α.	Yeah.
13	Q.	Page 14, paragraph 6.2 of your evidence. You say:
14		" I had almost expected us to be akin to sewage
15		workers "
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	Then you say:
18	ч.	" clearing noise out of the system. Once we
19		realised that some of it was pretty good my confidence
20		in the HPL increased"
20		
21		And I think that summarises what you've just told
	•	US.
23	A.	Yes, I think that's right.
24 25	Q.	Yes. We then have at your paragraphs 7.16 to 7.17, at
25		page 23, an account of correspondence that you had with 10
1	Α.	Sorry, either, it doesn't matter, I think it's quoted in
2	А.	my statement.
2	Q.	The statement, if you want to look at it, is
4	ω.	-
4 5	•	paragraphs 16 to 17 Oh, ves, thank vou.
	A.	Page 23.
6 7	Q.	
	•	-
	Α.	And essentially David came back saying, "We will not
8	Α.	And essentially David came back saying, "We will not prioritise anything because it's in the VIP Lane."
8 9		And essentially David came back saying, "We will not prioritise anything because it's in the VIP Lane." Which is absolutely right.
8 9 10	A. Q.	And essentially David came back saying, "We will not prioritise anything because it's in the VIP Lane." Which is absolutely right. You, by contrast, Mr Cairnduff, were saying:
8 9 10 11		And essentially David came back saying, "We will not prioritise anything because it's in the VIP Lane." Which is absolutely right. You, by contrast, Mr Cairnduff, were saying: " an HPL case with merit should be given priority
8 9 10 11 12		And essentially David came back saying, "We will not prioritise anything because it's in the VIP Lane." Which is absolutely right. You, by contrast, Mr Cairnduff, were saying: " an HPL case with merit should be given priority over a case of equal merit which came [in] from another
8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	And essentially David came back saying, "We will not prioritise anything because it's in the VIP Lane." Which is absolutely right. You, by contrast, Mr Cairnduff, were saying: " an HPL case with merit should be given priority over a case of equal merit which came [in] from another route."
8 9 10 11 12 13 14		And essentially David came back saying, "We will not prioritise anything because it's in the VIP Lane." Which is absolutely right. You, by contrast, Mr Cairnduff, were saying: " an HPL case with merit should be given priority over a case of equal merit which came [in] from another route." All things being equal, I think I said as well,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A.	And essentially David came back saying, "We will not prioritise anything because it's in the VIP Lane." Which is absolutely right. You, by contrast, Mr Cairnduff, were saying: " an HPL case with merit should be given priority over a case of equal merit which came [in] from another route." All things being equal, I think I said as well, didn't I?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	And essentially David came back saying, "We will not prioritise anything because it's in the VIP Lane." Which is absolutely right. You, by contrast, Mr Cairnduff, were saying: " an HPL case with merit should be given priority over a case of equal merit which came [in] from another route." All things being equal, I think I said as well, didn't I? Yes, you did. You did say that.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A.	And essentially David came back saying, "We will not prioritise anything because it's in the VIP Lane." Which is absolutely right. You, by contrast, Mr Cairnduff, were saying: " an HPL case with merit should be given priority over a case of equal merit which came [in] from another route." All things being equal, I think I said as well, didn't I? Yes, you did. You did say that. And you say further, which is a variation on that
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A.	And essentially David came back saying, "We will not prioritise anything because it's in the VIP Lane." Which is absolutely right. You, by contrast, Mr Cairnduff, were saying: " an HPL case with merit should be given priority over a case of equal merit which came [in] from another route." All things being equal, I think I said as well, didn't I? Yes, you did. You did say that. And you say further, which is a variation on that theme:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A.	And essentially David came back saying, "We will not prioritise anything because it's in the VIP Lane." Which is absolutely right. You, by contrast, Mr Cairnduff, were saying: " an HPL case with merit should be given priority over a case of equal merit which came [in] from another route." All things being equal, I think I said as well, didn't I? Yes, you did. You did say that. And you say further, which is a variation on that theme: " if two leads are otherwise equal priority and
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q.	And essentially David came back saying, "We will not prioritise anything because it's in the VIP Lane." Which is absolutely right. You, by contrast, Mr Cairnduff, were saying: " an HPL case with merit should be given priority over a case of equal merit which came [in] from another route." All things being equal, I think I said as well, didn't I? Yes, you did. You did say that. And you say further, which is a variation on that theme: " if two leads are otherwise equal priority and one is VIP, some weighting to the VIP is helpful."
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A.	And essentially David came back saying, "We will not prioritise anything because it's in the VIP Lane." Which is absolutely right. You, by contrast, Mr Cairnduff, were saying: " an HPL case with merit should be given priority over a case of equal merit which came [in] from another route." All things being equal, I think I said as well, didn't I? Yes, you did. You did say that. And you say further, which is a variation on that theme: " if two leads are otherwise equal priority and

- 23 case.24 A. Well, if you're asking me today, I don't think it should
- 25 be the case.

1	Q.	No.	1
2	Α.	I think I was wrong. And David pushed back hard and	2
3		I never raised the point again, because I was wrong.	3
4		At the time, I was absolutely buried in an ocean of	2
5		cases, none of which seemed to be progressing. I had	5
6		cases that were older than the team was, to put it in	6
7		that perspective. I was trying my hardest to get my	7
8		cases through, and I lost perspective in the wider	8
9		system.	ç
10		And that's partly why you have a wider system. It's	1
11		precisely because when you're there, up to your neck in	1
12		the mud, as it were, you will sometimes lose sight of	1
13 14	•	the bigger picture. All right. Well, that's, if I may say so, a very candid	1 1
14	Q.	description of the experience that you had.	1
16		You have told us nonetheless that you started with	1
17		scepticism?	1
18	Α.	Yes.	1
19	Q.	And you ended up with less scepticism, albeit there came	1
20	-4-	a moment when you thought there should no longer be	2
21		a VIP Lane?	2
22	Α.	Yes.	2
23	Q.	Now, can I just understand more closely from you, when	2
24		your scepticism subsided, what did you see can we	2
25		enumerate them as the reasons or the advantages of	2
		13	
1		the best ones, but sometimes good ones, will actually	1
2		contact multiple people to raise their concerns. You	2
3		get three or four different ministers or MPs, each of	3
4		them saying "Why haven't you responded to Acme Corps?",	2
5		or whoever.	5
6		So that's a lot of noise. I know Jonathan doesn't	6
7		like the term but it is the term I used at the time and	1
8 9		still do. The other reason though	6 2
9 10	Q.	Sorry, can I just	1
11	Q. A.	Please, sorry.	1
12	Q.	ask you in relation to that, so you see as an	1
13	-4-	advantage of the VIP Lane the ability it offered to	1
14		manage the expectations of those that were seeking	1
15		feedback and progress reports as a matter, in effect, of	1
16		PR, public relations?	1
17	Α.	I wouldn't call it public relations, and also I would	1
18		say it's not the best way to do it, it's the way we had	1
19		available to do it. Which is a different thing. I say	1
20		at the end of my statement I actually think there's much	2
21		better ways of doing something	2
22	Q.	By allowing others that were not involved in the core	2
23		task of procurement	2
24	Α.	Precisely.	2
25	Q.	to deal with that. But let me just pick up the first 15	2

1		a VIP Lane?
2	A.	Yes, I set this out in actually quite a detailed email
3		at one point, I believe. We were get there's let
4		me try to break this down.
5		Firstly, there had been a call to arms on 10 April,
6		which is after the VIP Lane is set up, of course, but
7		prior to that there are two appeals, one in Parliament,
8		for people to provide offers to government, the result
9		of which is a vast on-pouring of offers. It's not
10		something a commercial person would have done.
11		So we're in a world where we have
12	Q.	You regard the call to arms as regrettable because it
13		produced a deluge of offers when there were already too
14		many to triage; is that fair?
15	Α.	Speaking personally, yes.
16	Q.	Yes. All right, thank you. Carry on, please.
17	Α.	I think they'd be better sorry, okay. You can ask me
18		about that if you want, what you prefer.
19		So we've got that problem, that we're deluged with
20		offers, so you need something to handle that problem.
21		At that point people will have ministers, MPs and
22		others, and other senior officials will have legitimate
23		concerns where they hear a case which on its face looks
24		good but which doesn't appear to be progressing, and so
25		they will start chasing. And some suppliers, often not
		14
1		half of your answer.
2	A.	Please.
3	Q.	You wouldn't call it public relations. It's obviously
4		not an activity that is central or that is core to
5		proper, efficient, especially emergency, procurement, is
6		it? No, but it's not just PR either. The example I had in
7	Α.	mind on this, of where it's really legitimate, I think,
8 9		for ministers to get involved, because I know the
9 10		professor was quite critical of this, my Lady, but we
11		had a case where a Korean supplier contracted a Labour
12		peer and said, "My case isn't being progressed." On its
13		face it was a I think it was I can't remember
14		exactly what it was but it was a high-volume, credible
15		looking case.
16		The Labour peer raises it with Lord Bethell, saying,
17		"I don't know if your team have dropped the ball on this
18		at all. I don't know if they have if they've dropped
19		the ball on just this one or I don't know if it's an
20		example of a systematic failure by your team."
21		Lord Bethell then raises that through officials, so
22		it comes to my team. I think that is correct, because
~~		

- 23 actually, that is politicians exercising legitimate
- 24 oversight over official activity.
- 25 That's why I don't like PR. It is legitimate, 16

	I think for a minister to any "Official are you doing	1	•	Places
	I think, for a minister to say, "Official, are you doing your job?"	1 2	A. Q.	Please. Sorry to cut across but I do want to pick up this point
Q.	It placed additional stress on you and your team, didn't	3	α.	with you. You may have received good leads through the
~ .	it?	4		VIP Lane. Are you in a position to compare the
A.	Very much so, yes.	5		prevalence of such leads within the High Priority Lane
Q.	And that team was under terrific amounts of stress as it	6		or VIP Lane to those obtained outside of it?
	was?	7	Α.	To an extent now. I couldn't have at the time because
Α.	Yes.	8		at the time I had no sight of what was happening in the
Q.	Yeah. All right. You were enumerating advantages of	9		other lanes, particularly. I knew ours was sclerotic.
	the VIP Lane?	10	Q.	Let's deal with those in parts, at the time and then
Α.	Yes, thank you.	11		now.
Q.	You'd identified that one. Do you have others?	12	Α.	Yes.
Α.	Yes, the better one is that and, again, there are	13	Q.	At the time, your scepticism lifted, didn't it?
	better ways of doing this, this is the means we had	14	Α.	Yes.
	available to us at the time. The other one is that some	15	Q.	But it lifted without an ability to make that comparison
	genuinely really credible offers came to us and, I mean,	16		in and out of the VIP Lane?
	I didn't know this at the time, so this is based on	17	Α.	That's true but there's a couple of things there. One
	reading, for example, the second corporate statement	18		is we're doing triage within the VIP Lane. So when the
	where Clare Gibbs goes into it, but most of the	19		offers come in we look at them like other Opportunities
	contracts placed, I understand, were with a relatively	20		teams and we say is it for what we want to buy? Is it
	small number of large suppliers. We had some really	21		volume? Is it a credible counterparty? We look at
	good leads, people like Bunzl was one, Nine United(?)	22		those things. We do triage. At that point, we progress
	was another. I probably shouldn't should I be naming	23		it forward. The rest of the system and I know this
	them or not? I don't know.	24		is being challenged but I do believe this bit worked
Q.	Mr Cairnduff, can I just ask you there	25		the rest of the system will then compare that to other
	17			18
	offers, and kind of, you know, prioritise within that,	1	Q.	You said that since then you have been able to do
	but we're prioritising within our own lane on the basis	2	-	something towards achieving that
	of things like volume, credibility, is it the right	3	Α.	I've seen various bits of evidence in preparation for
	stuff?	4		today.
Q.	Performance?	5	Q.	Yes, and on the basis of that evidence, can you form
Α.	Yes.	6		a view as to whether the High Priority Lane did yield
Q.	Performance of the contract?	7		a disproportionate number of what you describe as very
Α.	No, sorry, no, not performance of the contract. I had	8		good offers?
	no visibility of that. Most of them wouldn't have been	9	Α.	My impression to be honest is yes.
	placed at that point.	10	Q.	It's only an impression?
Q.	Of course but there are various indicia by reference to	11	Α.	It is only an impression and you will have greater data,
	which one can assess the quality of an offer as it comes	12		so I may be wrong, but my impression, based in a couple
	in, and you've identified some of them?	13		of things. I looked at that on your opening, I think it
Α.	Yes, and at the opportunity stage it's quite a limited	14		was, which I did watch actually. There was a tornado
	thing and one of the things you're looking at, for	15		diagram?
	example, is it's first a very basic check. Is it in	16	Q.	The funnels?
	English? Does it make natural language sense? Are the	17	Α.	That's it, the funnels, thank you, which shows why
	photos of what they purport to be? Really basic stuff.	18		things drop out. And when you look at that, in the HPL,
	So that's one of your first things, and then sorry	19		actually most things don't drop out instantly. Most of
	I don't want to repeat myself is it just we want to	20		the offers we got pass a kind of a minimum credibility
	buy, volume, all that good stuff.	21		test. With the non-HPL lanes, a lot of the offers
Q.	Mr Cairnduff, you said that at the time you didn't have	22		aren't even necessarily of PPE. They're people who have
	an ability to make a comparison in and out of the	23		filled in a web page. And, actually, they drop off
	VIP Lane?	24		really quite sharply, really quite fast. I hadn't
Α.	No. 19	25		actually seen that before this week. But I think it did 20

1

2

3 Q.

4

5

6 Q.

7

8 Α. Yes.

9 Q.

10

11 Α.

12

13 Α.

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 Q.

1

2

3

4

5

6 Α. Yes.

7 Q.

8 Α.

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 Q.

23

24

25 Α.

(5) Pages 17 - 20

1		suggest that there were more credible offers.
2		Then the other thing is I looked at Clare Gibbs and
3		the second corporate statement, where it talks about who
4		the contracts were actually placed with, which I didn't
5		know before, I think, last week, maybe. And, actually,
6		they're placed with 50% of them, I think, are placed
7		with I don't have the exact stats to hand but, you
8		know, 50% of them are placed with a handful of really
9		big suppliers, 80% with, like, ten really big suppliers,
10		I think it was. So the actually HPL things that have
11		got contracts, none of which I knew at the time, went to
12		a small subset of impressive looking suppliers.
13	Q.	
14	-	assembled quite a lot of data about this and I'm going
15		to invite you to comment on that in due course this
16		morning. Before doing that, you've identified
17		advantages as you saw it of the VIP Lane
18	Α.	
19	Q.	what I described as PR, but you insisted is something
20	ч.	slightly different to PR?
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	You've mentioned the quality of offers that you
23	α.	perceived was coming in
23	Α.	Yes.
24	Q.	
25	α.	21
4		
1		ministers who are keeping you to account. I don't think
2		that's a PR thing but it's better done by non-commercial
3		professionals to my mind. But commercial professionals
4		were who we had. In terms of the other piece, it would
5		be vastly preferable, I think, if instead of starting
6		with a vast sack of offers, you were then kind of
7		looking in side trying to find things, to sit down and
8		be much more targeted and think okay, well, SCCL has
9		fallen over well, I heard from somebody it may not
10		have entirely but, as far as knew at the time, it had
11		fallen over how do we go out now and proactively
12		target? And, eventually, of course the Rapid Response
13		Teams were set up and that was a much better
14		methodology.
15	Q.	I was going to ask you next about that. In what way was
16		it better?
17	Α.	Firstly, the trouble with the multi-stage process one
18		of the good things about the multi-stage process is it
19		insulates it allows the VIP Lane to act as
20		a lightning rod for all that political pressure so that
21		the rest of the system isn't really kind of damaged by
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
22		it, and I think it largely did that. But it's slow, it

- has multiple hand-offs and it leads to inefficiencies.
 So, for example, I said we didn't know what things
- 25 were getting awarded contracts, so let's say -- and make

23

- understand to have been coming in to the High Priority Lane.
- 3 **A.** Yes.

1

2

5

- 4 Q. Anything else by way of advantage that you perceived
 - either then -- well, both then and now, on the basis of
- 6 what you've been able to look at, of the VIP Lane?
- 7 **A.** I'm trying to remember. I did send out quite a long
 - email with a whole -- which was called something like
- 9 "Why do we have a VIP Lane", I recall, at the time.
- 10 Q. This was at the time?
- 11 A. This was at the time, yes --
- 12 Q. So it won't help us with making that comparison then and13 now.
- 14 A. Oh, yes, I see. Sorry. Could you repeat the question15 then, please?
- 16 Q. I'm asking you to enumerate what advantages you saw and17 see in the VIP Lane?
- 18 A. Ah, okay. So at the time I saw I think the ones I've
- 19 chiefly set out, which is (a) handling noise and (b) you
- 20 have some really good stuff coming in there and, you
- 21 know, it then makes sense to handle it.
- 22 Q. All right.
- 23 A. Other ways of doing that. Now, I would say handling
- 24 noise, I genuinely don't think it's a PR task, that's
- 25 not semantics for me. It's about your response to 22
- 1 up a supplier name, Acme Corps again, have come through
- 2 again for a third time, and I don't know in fact that
- 3 they failed, that actually their pricing was
- 4 unacceptable and they were reject. Well, I don't know
- 5 that, so I now spending time assessing them again, so
- 6 there's an inefficiency there. It's also multiple
- 7 hand-offs lead to delay and in this extraordinary fervid8 market, delay is fatal.
- 9 Q. That's absolutely right. Speed is vital.
- 10 A. So the RRT -- sorry, if I can use the acronym, is that
- 11 okay?
- 12 **Q.** RRT.
- 13 A. The RRT, by contrast, it brings together the various
- stages of process and, by this point, we all are moresophisticated in what we're doing. I think Andy Wood
- 16 speaks to this.
- 17 Q. Without referrals, without --
- 18 A. Okay, sorry, yes.
- 19 Q. The RRT, the Rapid Response Team?
- A. Yes, the RRT brings together the relevant people in one
 place where they can look as a group at an offer and try
 and progress it really quickly.
- 23 Q. And without referrals and without demands for updates?
- 24 A. Oh, sorry, I thought you were saying for me not to refer
- 25 to things.

7

8

- Q. No, sorry. There isn't the same --1
- 2 Α. Exactly, you take all of that out.
- 3 Q. You take all of that out, you create a slick system --
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. -- that doesn't suffer from the problems of the VIP 6 Lane?
- 7 A. And updates -- yes, and updates become less necessary
- 8 because you've moved through things so quickly that,
- 9 actually, you've rejected it or accepted it within
- 10 probably a couple of days.
- Q. Is it not a model which could and should have replaced 11 12 or substituted for the VIP Lane?
- 13 Α. Well, "should", perhaps; "could", no, I would say.
- 14 There were significant backlogs of opportunities. There 15 were already people handling things and not everything
- 16 was suitable for the RRT. The RRT was a limited
- 17 resource. It made sense for the -- sorry, I'm speaking
- 18 quickly again -- it made sense to use it for the very
- 19 best of the opportunities regardless of lane.
- 20 Q. Let's just rewind a moment, if we may, Mr Cairnduff.
- 21 This is no criticism of you: you arrived and the
- 22 VIP Lane had already been set up, yes?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 For you personally to make a recommendation such as that Q.
- 25 it be replaced with the Rapid Response Team would have 25
- 1 actually, I think -- I wasn't involved in this, this was
- 2 before my time, but I think the team did really pretty
- 3 exceptionally actually, when you think of them scattered
- 4 there, some of them working from their bedrooms, setting 5 up this whole thing in days.
- 6 Q. But the noise to which you and others refer, implicit in
- 7 the word "noise" is unwanted sound?
- Yes. 8 Α.
- Q. Yes. Mr Rhys Williams described it as a distraction. 9
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. You'd accept that --11
- 12 Α. Yes
- -- it was a distraction? To that extent, if not others, 13 Q.
- 14 one would have preferred it not to have been there? 15 Α. Yes.
- Q. Yes. All right. Let's move on. I'm conscious of the 16 17 time.
- Sorry. 18 Α.
- Not your fault. I've been asking you questions that 19 Q. 20 arise out of your answers. Just so we can situate or
- 21 locate the VIP Lane within the procurement system more
- 22 generally, it sat within the PPE Buy Cell?
- 23 A. Yes.

25

- 24 Q. There were four routes by which an offer could be
 - initiated: the online portal --

27

- been quite a radical one to do because it was already 2 functioning, wasn't it?
- 3 A. Also the RRT didn't exist then and Chris hadn't thought 4 of it yet.
- Q. It came to be created, didn't it? 5
- 6 Α. Yes.
 - Q. It served a function akin to the VIP Lane but without
 - some of the drawbacks of the VIP Lane?
- A. I think it's a bit harsh to say it was akin to the VIP 9
- 10 Lane. I think it was better.
- 11 Q. It was better?
- 12 A. But I don't think we could have done it straightaway.
- 13 Why not? Q.
- A. I'm not sure the organisation was mature enough yet. 14
- I mean, to put it in perspective, the whole cell of, 15
- 16 like, 450 people was set up in a matter of days by
- 17 people who were suddenly working from home with no prior
- 18 warning and, back then, we weren't all set up for home
- 19 working the way we are now. We were volunteers from
- 20 Cabinet Office, DfE -- sorry, Department for Education,
- 21 Ministry of Defence, our systems didn't even talk to
- 22 each other much at the time, we didn't have a background
- 23 in clinical buying, which, you know, is worth exploring
- 24 in its own right. But at that point you've got to set
- 25 up something which works and starts doing things and, 26
- 1 Α. Yes.
- 2 Q. -- existing suppliers, China Buy and then senior
- 3 referrers through the VIP Lane?
- 4 A. Yes, and Make, whether you count it or not, was part of 5 that
- 6 Q. We've heard about the eight individual workstreams,
 - known as the Opportunities teams --
- 8 Α. Yes.

7

- Q. -- to which you've made numerous references today, and 9
 - the VIP Lane was one of the Opportunities teams?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. You, as you've made clear, were the VIP Lane team 12
- 13 lead --
- 14 Α. Yes
- 15 Q. -- in charge of its day-to-day running, having taken 16
 - over from Hannah Bolton?
- 17 Α. Yes
- Q. All right. Staffing. Under Hannah Bolton, there were 18
- three full-time staff and one part-time member of staff? 19
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. Once you arrived, that increased, did it not, initially 21 22 to seven and then on to 12?
- 23 A. Seven, yes, 12 sounds right. If it's in my statement 24 it'll be correct.
- 25 Q. We understand from the evidence of Mr Rhys Williams that 28

1		eventually it reached 38 individuals; 38 people working
2		within the PPE Buy Cell?
3	Α.	It gets a little harder to measure because later on
4		there are people some of the work gets shared out
5		with other Opportunities cells.
6	Q.	Is it something in the order of that?
7	Α.	It's poss it's credible. Sorry, I genuinely don't
8		remember the exact size it got to by the end.
9	Q.	Okay, I hope it's not necessary, it's cited in Mr Rhys
10		Williams' third witness statement?
11	Α.	Okay.
12	Q.	That is when the PPE Buy Cell was at its peak at 580
13		staff 508, I beg your pardon.

- 13
- 14 Α. Okay.
- 15 Q. By simple arithmetic, it therefore constituted 7.5% of 16 the PPE Buy Cell, the VIP Lane, at its height?
- 17 Α. Okay.
- 18 Q. It's fair to say, is it not, that VIP offers occupied,
- 19 because of the noise to which you refer, because of the
- 20 demands for feedback, because of the giving of feedback,
- 21 because of the iterative process that sometimes occurred
- 22 within that system, more time and resource than offers 23 arriving by other means?
- More time and resource for the Opportunities team 24 Α.
- 25 dealing with them, for the VIP Lane, not for anyone 29
- 1 Q. All right. It is a crude analysis?
- 2 A. Yeah.
- 3 Q. We certainly know anecdotally what you tell us about
- 4 a distraction and about the opportunity cost and we know
- 5 from the evidence. Perhaps we could show INQ000512297, 6 page 4 of 11.
- 7 LADY HALLETT: I think you mentioned that opportunity cost,
- 8 I think Mr Cairnduff's answer was that he thought there
- 9 was one, bound to be, but it was lower than if -- so I'm
- 10 not sure he was accepting if there was an additional 11 opportunity cost.
- 12 A. I think that's right, my Lady, but I would say there is
- 13 an opportunity cost, it would have been higher if we
- 14 hadn't had that but, if we'd had an alternative means of
- 15 handling -- I mean Jonathan is right, I shouldn't really
- 16 call it noise, but I'll be consistent. If we'd had
- 17 an alternative means of handling communications and
- 18 updates, you'd have dealt with the noise and you
- wouldn't have had quite the same opportunity cost. 19
- 20 LADY HALLETT: That's back to the point about the Rapid 21 Response Team?
- 22 Α. Or an alternative means of interfacing with seniors --23 stakeholders.
- 24 MR WALD: Thank you. I think, given that additional
- 25 exchange, it's probably not necessary to go to this 31

- 1 else.
- 2 Q. No, that's what I'm referring to --
- 3 Α. Yes.
- 4 Q. -- for those individuals. It follows, does it not, that
- 5 there was, to some degree, an opportunity cost therefore
- 6 because the time, the effort, the resource that went
- 7 into VIP offers was not spent on those offers that came in by other means? 8
- A. Yes, but I would say less opportunity cost than if we 9
- 10 hadn't had something to channel those offers because,
- 11 otherwise, they would have popped up all across the
- system and more people would have been drawn into it. 12
- 13 Q. All right. That's fair. Just to put more numbers on 14 it, there were approximately two more numbers in all, 15 weren't there?
- 16 A. It sounds credible, I don't remember the exact number.
- 17 Q. If we're talking about 7.5% occupied by the VIP Lane,
- 18 that takes us to a figure of 1,800, approximately?
- 19 I don't think we had that many offers in VIP. Α.
- 20 Q. No, 1,800 offers that could have been processed by those 21 staff, had it not been for the High Priority Lane?
- 22 Α. Oh, I see your point. Yes, but, as I say, more people 23 would have been having to deal with queries at that
- 24 point, so I'm not sure it would have actually been
 - 30
- 1 document.

helpful.

- 2 I'm going to move on to the purpose of the High 3 Priority Lane in a moment but I just want to ask, on the 4 basis of the answers you've just given, you experienced 5 a degree of discomfort initially about a system you 6 inherited, so to speak, that you came into. 7 Α. Yes 8 Q. Is it one that you yourself would have invented, that 9 you would have created? A. I don't think so but I now have hindsight. So it's 10 11 actually quite hard to answer. 12 Q. We're focused on that, the Inquiry generally is looking 13 back and learning lessons from the experience of the 14 past. 15 A. Oh, okay. No. 16 Q. You wouldn't? 17 A. The only wrinkle is, and I've alluded to this a couple 18 of times, you have the resources you have so, because of 19 the way the nature of the Civil Service works and the 20 government commercial works, it's really easy to reach 21 out and get volunteers from central department 22 commercial, it's much harder to get volunteers from
- 23 everywhere else, like NHS Commercial or comms teams or
- 24 admins teams, or whatever, so that's why, no, I don't
- 25 think it's the best way to do it because you create

1

1		a parallel procurement line within your parallel
2		procurement, and get to equal treatment issues, as
3		Mrs Justice O'Farrell found. But we had the resource we
4		had.
5	Q.	Given that answer, are you in agreement with the
6		comments that Mr Marron made yesterday that you were
7		shown at the very outset of this morning, and he cites
8		Mrs Justice O'Farrell's judgment
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Q.	he says that it shouldn't have existed. He
11		wouldn't you know, on a future occasion it shouldn't
12		be there; would you agree with that?
13	Α.	On a future occasion it shouldn't be there. I think it
14		was quite hard to avoid on this occasion. But on
15		a future occasion, yes, I can't see why you'd remotely
16		want to do that again.
17	Q.	All right. In terms of the steps you took,
18		Mr Cairnduff, upon arrival at the VIP Lane, you
19		introduced a single email address, didn't you?
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	You did this because communications with senior
22		so-called VIPs regarding offers was proving to be time
23		consuming and a distraction for the Opportunities team's
24		focus from good sources?
25	Α.	Yes, I was also concerned that, without a central 33
1		restate. The problem with the term "VIP" is it implies
2		why you're prioritising is because they're a VIP. But
3		triage occurs within the lane, so within the lane is
4		just a way of handling offers that come through
5		a particular route. Your actual prioritisation is still
6		on the basis of volume, kit, quality, all of that stuff.
7		It also is, I thought subsequently, it would just
8 9		well, we've seen what happened with it, actually. You know, there is now a narrative, I think, that
9 10		a key part of the response was effectively cronvism.
11		which I genuinely don't believe it was but I think the
12		term "VIP" and calling it the VIP Lane hugely
13		contributed to that.
14	Q.	So when you sought to change it, it was because of
15	·	a matter of optics rather than your concern that some
16		advantage was being conferred by dint of who it was that
17		referred a particular offer into the VIP Lane?
18	Α.	Yes, but what I say there is I take you back to when you
19		were asking me about some of my earlier comments.
20		This is at exactly the point in time when I am the
21		most sceptical of the value of the lane, so I'm not
22		expecting much of it to go to contract at this point.
23		So yes, I'm seeing it then as a handling lane
24		essentially. I'm highly sceptical that good stuff will
25		come through, I don't realise that until later, and so

mailbox -- if you have a central mailbox, one person can

2 do part of the case and hand it on to someone else

3 seamlessly. If you don't, if everything is flowing

4 through me or Hannah, or someone else, and we fall

5 over -- which did happen to some people -- you have

6 suddenly got, you've just lost your continuity and you

7 then potentially lose life-saving equipment.

8 **Q.** You were also unhappy be about the name, weren't you?

9 **A.** Yes.

10 **Q.** You wanted to change the name?

- 11 A. Sadly, I failed, yes.
- 12 Q. You failed to change it from what to what?
- 13 A. Well, it was originally called the, I think, High
- 14 Priority and VIP team, "Lane" is a term that comes in

15 later because it's not really a lane. I thought the

16 term "VIP" was really unhelpful and it gave the

17 impression, and I think this has been proven since, that

18 the fact someone is a VIP makes the offer special, which

19 is wrong. The VIP status means that you have to do more

20 handling, it doesn't --

21 Q. It's wrong as a matter of impression or it's wrong that22 it should have happened?

- 23 A. Wrong as a matter of fact.
- 24 **Q.** Because you say it wasn't occurring?
- 25 A. Ah no, sorry, I'm putting myself back in the time. I'll 34

1		I'm concerned that the term itself is going to be is
2		just profoundly unhelpful, which I think it was.
3		I didn't think of the term Gareth mentions if you
4		call it the "enquiry lane", which would have been much
5		better. He's right. I didn't think of it.
6	Q.	Tell me, you've cited, and I've asked you a little bit
7		about it, the judgment of Mrs Justice O'Farrell, the
8		PestFix
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Q.	judicial review claim and the finding within it of
11		unequal treatment?
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	That reveals something more than optics, doesn't it?
14	Α.	Yes, it shows you've breached equal treatment
15		requirements, which makes it unlawful.
16	Q.	Yes, so doesn't that suggest to you that, in addition to
17		the impression that was given by the use of the term
18		"VIP Lane", there was something else, something more
19		fundamental, perhaps something more important, that was
20		problematic about it?
21	Α.	I think there clearly is something more than problematic
22		yes, I think that's been well established but
23	Q.	The reason I ask you about it sorry.
24	Α.	On 1 April would I have thought of that, no, because

I wasn't expecting stuff to go through from it.

I wasn't expecting stuff to go through from it. 36

1	Q.	I'm asking you with the benefit of hindsight.
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	You've told the Inquiry that you're perhaps perhaps
4		you were referring to it at the time why you attempted
5		a name change?
6	Α.	Yes.
7	Q.	But now, posing the same question, with the benefit of
8		hindsight, there was more to be concerned about than
9		optics
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	wasn't there?
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	All right, thank you.
14		In terms of the promotion of the VIP Lane, an email
15		initially went out to officials, including Mr Rhys
16		Williams and those working with him. You mentioned Lord
17		Feldman
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Q.	also the private offices of Lord Bethell, Mr Gove,
20		and Lord Agnew, from all of whom we will be hearing in
21		due course.
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	They provided personal email addresses or their office
24		email addresses
05		

e. e.

25 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

24

37

day. Had it been a Labour government or a government of

another party, I would, at the time, have done the same thing because you were reaching out to people who are in ministerial offices who were the ones who were scrutinising your work and chasing for updates. Q. Had it been an alternative government, I would have been asking you the same question in mirror form. Α. Yes. Q. But my follow-up question to you is that it would be fairer, it would be more inclusive, if that initial distribution or that initial invitation to feed in to the VIP Lane be made more generally? 13 Α. That's true but, firstly, I wouldn't have known at the time how to do that. I was already being -- the people I reached out to were the people who were already 16 chasing us regularly. That's why they were on that distribution. They were the ones who were chasing constantly for enquiries. I'm not sure, as a civil servant at the time, actually, I would have been -- I'd have had to kind of find out how you reached out to the opposition. 22 I actually still don't understand today how you would 23 communicate formally with His Majesty's Opposition as a civil servant working for the Government. I actually don't know how that would work. But, it was primarily 25

- Q. -- and they used their contacts. 1
- 2 Α. Yes.
- 3 Q. A particularly --
- 4 A. Sorry, if you're talking there -- Lord Feldman used his
- contacts, I don't know what did particularly. 5
- 6 Q. Well, I was going to say Lord Feldman was the --
- 7 A. He was an envoy essentially, I think.
 - 8 Q. Yes, he was quintessentially drawing upon his many
 - 9 years --
 - 10 Yes. Α.
 - Q. -- of experience within the Conservative Government and 11 the contacts he had acquired thereby? 12
 - 13 A. And his business contacts, I think. I don't know him,
 - 14 I've spoken to him once, I think, but he did have wider 15 business contacts too.
 - 16 Q. Well, we can ask him. I think it's next week, actually,
 - 17 that Lord Feldman will be joining us.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. That initial distribution clearly gave better
- 20 opportunities for involvement in the VIP Lane to those
- 21 who were affiliated with or had connections with the
- 22 Conservative Party. That's fair, isn't it?
- 23 A. Yes, but they were the government of the day.
- 24 Q. Of course.
- 25 Δ. So who I was reaching out to was the government of the 38 1 that that was the people who were raising --2 O. It's not beyond the wit of man that you can do that? 3 Α. No, you can do it. Oh, sorry, that was the other point 4 I was going to make: it would have absolutely drowned us 5 because what I was trying to do with that email was 6 dampen down noise. 7 We're back to that point. We were already massively overloaded, we have far more cases already than we can 8 process, the team after working 14 to 16-hour days, 9 10 seven days a week. Reaching out and saying, "Can you 11 sent me more", would have been a very odd thing to do. What I was trying to do was dampen down and kind of calm 12 13 things. Saying, "Please send me lots more", we wouldn't 14 have been able to do anything with it. 15 Save that a successful offer results in a very Q. 16 significant contract, doesn't it? 17 A. Later but not at that point. At that point all that's happening is that every offer that comes in, none of 18 them were successful --19 20 Q. But you would have known that --21 Α. Yes 22 Q. -- by inclusion in the system you stand a chance of 23 securing an important contract at the end of it? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Q. You will have known it and, for that reason, 40

1		l understand what you're saying about deluge, as
2		a matter of fairness, inclusion in that process should
3		be made more generally?
4	Α.	5
5		whole thing would have fallen over.
6	Q.	<u> </u>
7		by Professor Sanchez-Graells. I think you may have
8		heard his evidence.
9	Α.	
10	Q.	
11		please I'm hoping you have the Inquiry document
12		reference, because I'm not sure I do.
13		It's thank you INQ000539153. And the first
14		reference within it is page 104, paragraph 292.
15	Α.	
16		"If this is representative of the more general
17		understanding of the 'VIP Lane'"
18	~	l'm not sure it was.
19	Q.	
20		touched on this, because you spoke earlier about the
21 22	A.	advantages, as you saw it, of the VIP Lane? Yes.
22	A. Q.	292 let's just go back to 292.1, if we could.
23 24	Q. A.	Yes.
24	Q.	There we are:
20	ч.	41
1		offered and C is VIP status, that would accelerate
1		offered, and C is VIP status, that would accelerate
2	Α.	progress through the offer process.
2 3	A.	progress through the offer process. I don't know where that comes from but they certainly
2 3 4	A.	progress through the offer process. I don't know where that comes from but they certainly weren't accelerated through because I was working there
2 3 4 5	A. Q.	progress through the offer process. I don't know where that comes from but they certainly
2 3 4		progress through the offer process. I don't know where that comes from but they certainly weren't accelerated through because I was working there and it was very, very slow. Let's turn to 292.2.
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	progress through the offer process. I don't know where that comes from but they certainly weren't accelerated through because I was working there and it was very, very slow. Let's turn to 292.2. Sorry, just on that though, that said, I do remember an
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	progress through the offer process. I don't know where that comes from but they certainly weren't accelerated through because I was working there and it was very, very slow. Let's turn to 292.2.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	progress through the offer process. I don't know where that comes from but they certainly weren't accelerated through because I was working there and it was very, very slow. Let's turn to 292.2. Sorry, just on that though, that said, I do remember an email exchange with Darren where he is saying to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A.	progress through the offer process. I don't know where that comes from but they certainly weren't accelerated through because I was working there and it was very, very slow. Let's turn to 292.2. Sorry, just on that though, that said, I do remember an email exchange with Darren where he is saying to someone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q.	progress through the offer process. I don't know where that comes from but they certainly weren't accelerated through because I was working there and it was very, very slow. Let's turn to 292.2. Sorry, just on that though, that said, I do remember an email exchange with Darren where he is saying to someone Mr Blackburn?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q.	progress through the offer process. I don't know where that comes from but they certainly weren't accelerated through because I was working there and it was very, very slow. Let's turn to 292.2. Sorry, just on that though, that said, I do remember an email exchange with Darren where he is saying to someone Mr Blackburn? I'm sorry, yes, Mr Blackburn he was saying to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q.	progress through the offer process. I don't know where that comes from but they certainly weren't accelerated through because I was working there and it was very, very slow. Let's turn to 292.2. Sorry, just on that though, that said, I do remember an email exchange with Darren where he is saying to someone Mr Blackburn? I'm sorry, yes, Mr Blackburn he was saying to somebody "Don't put this over to the HPL, if it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q.	progress through the offer process. I don't know where that comes from but they certainly weren't accelerated through because I was working there and it was very, very slow. Let's turn to 292.2. Sorry, just on that though, that said, I do remember an email exchange with Darren where he is saying to someone Mr Blackburn? I'm sorry, yes, Mr Blackburn he was saying to somebody "Don't put this over to the HPL, if it's a high-quality offer, it will get picked up by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q.	progress through the offer process. I don't know where that comes from but they certainly weren't accelerated through because I was working there and it was very, very slow. Let's turn to 292.2. Sorry, just on that though, that said, I do remember an email exchange with Darren where he is saying to someone Mr Blackburn? I'm sorry, yes, Mr Blackburn he was saying to somebody "Don't put this over to the HPL, if it's a high-quality offer, it will get picked up by the triage teams and whizzed through the system." Might not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q.	progress through the offer process. I don't know where that comes from but they certainly weren't accelerated through because I was working there and it was very, very slow. Let's turn to 292.2. Sorry, just on that though, that said, I do remember an email exchange with Darren where he is saying to someone Mr Blackburn? I'm sorry, yes, Mr Blackburn he was saying to somebody "Don't put this over to the HPL, if it's a high-quality offer, it will get picked up by the triage teams and whizzed through the system." Might not be his exact words but it's pretty close, I think.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q.	progress through the offer process. I don't know where that comes from but they certainly weren't accelerated through because I was working there and it was very, very slow. Let's turn to 292.2. Sorry, just on that though, that said, I do remember an email exchange with Darren where he is saying to someone Mr Blackburn? I'm sorry, yes, Mr Blackburn he was saying to somebody "Don't put this over to the HPL, if it's a high-quality offer, it will get picked up by the triage teams and whizzed through the system." Might not be his exact words but it's pretty close, I think. That was my understanding. If it was a really good
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q.	progress through the offer process. I don't know where that comes from but they certainly weren't accelerated through because I was working there and it was very, very slow. Let's turn to 292.2. Sorry, just on that though, that said, I do remember an email exchange with Darren where he is saying to someone Mr Blackburn? I'm sorry, yes, Mr Blackburn he was saying to somebody "Don't put this over to the HPL, if it's a high-quality offer, it will get picked up by the triage teams and whizzed through the system." Might not be his exact words but it's pretty close, I think. That was my understanding. If it was a really good offer that hadn't come to us, it would whizz through the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q.	progress through the offer process. I don't know where that comes from but they certainly weren't accelerated through because I was working there and it was very, very slow. Let's turn to 292.2. Sorry, just on that though, that said, I do remember an email exchange with Darren where he is saying to someone Mr Blackburn? I'm sorry, yes, Mr Blackburn he was saying to somebody "Don't put this over to the HPL, if it's a high-quality offer, it will get picked up by the triage teams and whizzed through the system." Might not be his exact words but it's pretty close, I think. That was my understanding. If it was a really good offer that hadn't come to us, it would whizz through the system to be kicked up by the other cell's triage, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q.	progress through the offer process. I don't know where that comes from but they certainly weren't accelerated through because I was working there and it was very, very slow. Let's turn to 292.2. Sorry, just on that though, that said, I do remember an email exchange with Darren where he is saying to someone Mr Blackburn? I'm sorry, yes, Mr Blackburn he was saying to somebody "Don't put this over to the HPL, if it's a high-quality offer, it will get picked up by the triage teams and whizzed through the system." Might not be his exact words but it's pretty close, I think. That was my understanding. If it was a really good offer that hadn't come to us, it would whizz through the system to be kicked up by the other cell's triage, which was the same as our triage, of course.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A.	progress through the offer process. I don't know where that comes from but they certainly weren't accelerated through because I was working there and it was very, very slow. Let's turn to 292.2. Sorry, just on that though, that said, I do remember an email exchange with Darren where he is saying to someone Mr Blackburn? I'm sorry, yes, Mr Blackburn he was saying to somebody "Don't put this over to the HPL, if it's a high-quality offer, it will get picked up by the triage teams and whizzed through the system." Might not be his exact words but it's pretty close, I think. That was my understanding. If it was a really good offer that hadn't come to us, it would whizz through the system to be kicked up by the other cell's triage, which was the same as our triage, of course. All right. Let's move down to 292.2, here we are.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	progress through the offer process. I don't know where that comes from but they certainly weren't accelerated through because I was working there and it was very, very slow. Let's turn to 292.2. Sorry, just on that though, that said, I do remember an email exchange with Darren where he is saying to someone Mr Blackburn? I'm sorry, yes, Mr Blackburn he was saying to somebody "Don't put this over to the HPL, if it's a high-quality offer, it will get picked up by the triage teams and whizzed through the system." Might not be his exact words but it's pretty close, I think. That was my understanding. If it was a really good offer that hadn't come to us, it would whizz through the system to be kicked up by the other cell's triage, which was the same as our triage, of course. All right. Let's move down to 292.2, here we are. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	progress through the offer process. I don't know where that comes from but they certainly weren't accelerated through because I was working there and it was very, very slow. Let's turn to 292.2. Sorry, just on that though, that said, I do remember an email exchange with Darren where he is saying to someone Mr Blackburn? I'm sorry, yes, Mr Blackburn he was saying to somebody "Don't put this over to the HPL, if it's a high-quality offer, it will get picked up by the triage teams and whizzed through the system." Might not be his exact words but it's pretty close, I think. That was my understanding. If it was a really good offer that hadn't come to us, it would whizz through the system to be kicked up by the other cell's triage, which was the same as our triage, of course. All right. Let's move down to 292.2, here we are. Yes. First bullet, end of:

1		"It has been stated that 'VIP Lane' offers were at
2		the same time 'route 2' offers, in the sense that,
3		according to [Cabinet Office], all companies referred by
4		ministers, MPs or senior officials 'had already applied
5		via Route 2 or were told to complete the webform for
6 7		Route 2 as part of processing their offers'."
7	•	Do you agree with that?
8	Α.	No, I don't think that sorry, what is "Route 2" in
9 10		this case? I don't think that's correct or they
10		hadn't if they'd all been told well, they may have been told to complete the webform; many did not complete
12		the webform and some would have seen that as
13		inappropriate.
14		It's very difficult with an international global
14		corporation to say who has approached a Minister of
16		State, to say, "Could you go, please, and fill in
17		a webform?" It's not realistic.
18	Q.	Route 2 is an accelerated route. We saw yesterday,
19	α.	during Mr Marron's evidence, a slide that identified
20		"(A + B) OR C".
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	Where C I don't know whether you followed that?
23	Δ.	No, I don't remember that.
24	Q.	A plus B were references to the quantity the size of
25		the company offering or the quantity of PPE being
		42
1		progressed by communicating the organisational
1 2		progressed by communicating the organisational arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and
2		arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and
2 3		arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and providing specific updates on the progress of specific
2 3 4		arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and providing specific updates on the progress of specific offers to the specific referrers on the other."
2 3 4 5		arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and providing specific updates on the progress of specific offers to the specific referrers on the other." You accept that, in many cases, what was fed back or
2 3 4 5 6	A	arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and providing specific updates on the progress of specific offers to the specific referrers on the other." You accept that, in many cases, what was fed back or provided was the latter?
2 3 4 5 6 7	А.	arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and providing specific updates on the progress of specific offers to the specific referrers on the other." You accept that, in many cases, what was fed back or provided was the latter? Yes, and there is a clear difference. I don't agree
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and providing specific updates on the progress of specific offers to the specific referrers on the other." You accept that, in many cases, what was fed back or provided was the latter? Yes, and there is a clear difference. I don't agree with his next bullet however.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q.	arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and providing specific updates on the progress of specific offers to the specific referrers on the other." You accept that, in many cases, what was fed back or provided was the latter? Yes, and there is a clear difference. I don't agree with his next bullet however. Let's look at the next bullet:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and providing specific updates on the progress of specific offers to the specific referrers on the other." You accept that, in many cases, what was fed back or provided was the latter? Yes, and there is a clear difference. I don't agree with his next bullet however. Let's look at the next bullet: "Rather than dedicating resources to answering these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and providing specific updates on the progress of specific offers to the specific referrers on the other." You accept that, in many cases, what was fed back or provided was the latter? Yes, and there is a clear difference. I don't agree with his next bullet however. Let's look at the next bullet: "Rather than dedicating resources to answering these requests for updates, the PPE Buy Cell, DHSC or [Cabinet
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and providing specific updates on the progress of specific offers to the specific referrers on the other." You accept that, in many cases, what was fed back or provided was the latter? Yes, and there is a clear difference. I don't agree with his next bullet however. Let's look at the next bullet: "Rather than dedicating resources to answering these requests for updates, the PPE Buy Cell, DHSC or [Cabinet Office] could have sent general communications to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and providing specific updates on the progress of specific offers to the specific referrers on the other." You accept that, in many cases, what was fed back or provided was the latter? Yes, and there is a clear difference. I don't agree with his next bullet however. Let's look at the next bullet: "Rather than dedicating resources to answering these requests for updates, the PPE Buy Cell, DHSC or [Cabinet
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and providing specific updates on the progress of specific offers to the specific referrers on the other." You accept that, in many cases, what was fed back or provided was the latter? Yes, and there is a clear difference. I don't agree with his next bullet however. Let's look at the next bullet: "Rather than dedicating resources to answering these requests for updates, the PPE Buy Cell, DHSC or [Cabinet Office] could have sent general communications to referrers explaining those organisational arrangements
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and providing specific updates on the progress of specific offers to the specific referrers on the other." You accept that, in many cases, what was fed back or provided was the latter? Yes, and there is a clear difference. I don't agree with his next bullet however. Let's look at the next bullet: "Rather than dedicating resources to answering these requests for updates, the PPE Buy Cell, DHSC or [Cabinet Office] could have sent general communications to referrers explaining those organisational arrangements and asking them to refrain from chasing, as well as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and providing specific updates on the progress of specific offers to the specific referrers on the other." You accept that, in many cases, what was fed back or provided was the latter? Yes, and there is a clear difference. I don't agree with his next bullet however. Let's look at the next bullet: "Rather than dedicating resources to answering these requests for updates, the PPE Buy Cell, DHSC or [Cabinet Office] could have sent general communications to referrers explaining those organisational arrangements and asking them to refrain from chasing, as well as setting up an automated response from the dedicated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and providing specific updates on the progress of specific offers to the specific referrers on the other." You accept that, in many cases, what was fed back or provided was the latter? Yes, and there is a clear difference. I don't agree with his next bullet however. Let's look at the next bullet: "Rather than dedicating resources to answering these requests for updates, the PPE Buy Cell, DHSC or [Cabinet Office] could have sent general communications to referrers explaining those organisational arrangements and asking them to refrain from chasing, as well as setting up an automated response from the dedicated mailbox making clear that the offers were being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and providing specific updates on the progress of specific offers to the specific referrers on the other." You accept that, in many cases, what was fed back or provided was the latter? Yes, and there is a clear difference. I don't agree with his next bullet however. Let's look at the next bullet: "Rather than dedicating resources to answering these requests for updates, the PPE Buy Cell, DHSC or [Cabinet Office] could have sent general communications to referrers explaining those organisational arrangements and asking them to refrain from chasing, as well as setting up an automated response from the dedicated mailbox making clear that the offers were being processed and asking offerors and referrers to wait for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and providing specific updates on the progress of specific offers to the specific referrers on the other." You accept that, in many cases, what was fed back or provided was the latter? Yes, and there is a clear difference. I don't agree with his next bullet however. Let's look at the next bullet: "Rather than dedicating resources to answering these requests for updates, the PPE Buy Cell, DHSC or [Cabinet Office] could have sent general communications to referrers explaining those organisational arrangements and asking them to refrain from chasing, as well as setting up an automated response from the dedicated mailbox making clear that the offers were being processed and asking offerors and referrers to wait for a follow-up as part of the operating procedures."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and providing specific updates on the progress of specific offers to the specific referrers on the other." You accept that, in many cases, what was fed back or provided was the latter? Yes, and there is a clear difference. I don't agree with his next bullet however. Let's look at the next bullet: "Rather than dedicating resources to answering these requests for updates, the PPE Buy Cell, DHSC or [Cabinet Office] could have sent general communications to referrers explaining those organisational arrangements and asking them to refrain from chasing, as well as setting up an automated response from the dedicated mailbox making clear that the offers were being processed and asking offerors and referrers to wait for a follow-up as part of the operating procedures." You've said you don't agree. Why not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and providing specific updates on the progress of specific offers to the specific referrers on the other." You accept that, in many cases, what was fed back or provided was the latter? Yes, and there is a clear difference. I don't agree with his next bullet however. Let's look at the next bullet: "Rather than dedicating resources to answering these requests for updates, the PPE Buy Cell, DHSC or [Cabinet Office] could have sent general communications to referrers explaining those organisational arrangements and asking them to refrain from chasing, as well as setting up an automated response from the dedicated mailbox making clear that the offers were being processed and asking offerors and referrers to wait for a follow-up as part of the operating procedures." You've said you don't agree. Why not? Because I don't think it's appropriate that when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and providing specific updates on the progress of specific offers to the specific referrers on the other." You accept that, in many cases, what was fed back or provided was the latter? Yes, and there is a clear difference. I don't agree with his next bullet however. Let's look at the next bullet: "Rather than dedicating resources to answering these requests for updates, the PPE Buy Cell, DHSC or [Cabinet Office] could have sent general communications to referrers explaining those organisational arrangements and asking them to refrain from chasing, as well as setting up an automated response from the dedicated mailbox making clear that the offers were being processed and asking offerors and referrers to wait for a follow-up as part of the operating procedures." You've said you don't agree. Why not? Because I don't think it's appropriate that when a minister potentially prompted, as in my example
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and providing specific updates on the progress of specific offers to the specific referrers on the other." You accept that, in many cases, what was fed back or provided was the latter? Yes, and there is a clear difference. I don't agree with his next bullet however. Let's look at the next bullet: "Rather than dedicating resources to answering these requests for updates, the PPE Buy Cell, DHSC or [Cabinet Office] could have sent general communications to referrers explaining those organisational arrangements and asking them to refrain from chasing, as well as setting up an automated response from the dedicated mailbox making clear that the offers were being processed and asking offerors and referrers to wait for a follow-up as part of the operating procedures." You've said you don't agree. Why not? Because I don't think it's appropriate that when a minister potentially prompted, as in my example earlier, by a senior member of the Opposition comes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and providing specific updates on the progress of specific offers to the specific referrers on the other." You accept that, in many cases, what was fed back or provided was the latter? Yes, and there is a clear difference. I don't agree with his next bullet however. Let's look at the next bullet: "Rather than dedicating resources to answering these requests for updates, the PPE Buy Cell, DHSC or [Cabinet Office] could have sent general communications to referrers explaining those organisational arrangements and asking them to refrain from chasing, as well as setting up an automated response from the dedicated mailbox making clear that the offers were being processed and asking offerors and referrers to wait for a follow-up as part of the operating procedures." You've said you don't agree. Why not? Because I don't think it's appropriate that when a minister potentially prompted, as in my example earlier, by a senior member of the Opposition comes to their officials and says, "What is going on with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q.	arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and providing specific updates on the progress of specific offers to the specific referrers on the other." You accept that, in many cases, what was fed back or provided was the latter? Yes, and there is a clear difference. I don't agree with his next bullet however. Let's look at the next bullet: "Rather than dedicating resources to answering these requests for updates, the PPE Buy Cell, DHSC or [Cabinet Office] could have sent general communications to referrers explaining those organisational arrangements and asking them to refrain from chasing, as well as setting up an automated response from the dedicated mailbox making clear that the offers were being processed and asking offerors and referrers to wait for a follow-up as part of the operating procedures." You've said you don't agree. Why not? Because I don't think it's appropriate that when a minister potentially prompted, as in my example earlier, by a senior member of the Opposition comes to their officials and says, "What is going on with this? I've heard you're dropping the ball", you give
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q.	arrangements of the PPE Buy Cell, on the one hand, and providing specific updates on the progress of specific offers to the specific referrers on the other." You accept that, in many cases, what was fed back or provided was the latter? Yes, and there is a clear difference. I don't agree with his next bullet however. Let's look at the next bullet: "Rather than dedicating resources to answering these requests for updates, the PPE Buy Cell, DHSC or [Cabinet Office] could have sent general communications to referrers explaining those organisational arrangements and asking them to refrain from chasing, as well as setting up an automated response from the dedicated mailbox making clear that the offers were being processed and asking offerors and referrers to wait for a follow-up as part of the operating procedures." You've said you don't agree. Why not? Because I don't think it's appropriate that when a minister potentially prompted, as in my example earlier, by a senior member of the Opposition comes to their officials and says, "What is going on with this? I've heard you're dropping the ball", you give them an automated response or you say to them "Here's

(11) Pages 41 - 44

1		our organisational structure, leave us to it."
2		Let's say, for example, in that Korean example in
3		fact that offer was not a good offer, or we went back
4		and rejected it, but let's say it had been a really good
5		offer and actually we had dropped the ball, we had
6		missed it, maybe we were systematically missing them,
7		we'd have gone back and said, "No, no, minister, don't
8		worry, officials are on it, it's not for you to look at
9		it", and we would have failed.
10		You know, ministerial oversight is part of the
11		system, and yes, it's some I mean, I think all
12		officials will say sometimes it's easier if you don't
13		have ministers directing oversight; it's still right.
14	Q.	You make the point yourself, Mr Cairnduff, that there's
15		no equivalent of the VIP Lane that you've come across in
16		the past or abroad.
17	Α.	Well, abroad I only take from Professor Sanchez-Graells,
18		his evidence. He does an international comparison where
19		I think he finds
20	Q.	Yes, which you've adopted, you've included in your
21		evidence.
22	Α.	Oh, okay, I forgot that. But yes, I agree, it makes
23		sense to me.
24	Q.	Yes. He says all of this is down to ministerial
25		deference.
		45
1		of points that relate to your diminishing scepticism
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	your observation about the number of good offers that
4		arrived via the VIP Lane
5	Α.	Please.
6	Q.	and what I understand within that to be your growing
7		assumption that a disproportionate number of better or
8		more credible offers would arrive by that means. Is
9		that fair?
10	Α.	No, sorry, I wouldn't say it was a growing assumption.
11		It was a growing belief, I think based on what I was
12		seeing at the time, that we were getting high-quality
13		offers. At the time I had no way of comparing how many
14		we were getting compared to others, but I knew we were
15		getting very good offers that genuinely merited
16	-	following up, and we provided (overspeaking)
17	Q.	But unable to compare them to those offers obtained
18		elsewhere?
19	Α.	Yes, so that's why I was challenging I wasn't
20		assuming they were better, I was believing, based on

- assuming they were better, I was believing, based on
 what I was seeing, that we had good offers worth
 pursuing.
- 23 Q. Yes. Difficult, though, to perform that analysis at the
 time or even --
- 25 A. Impossible.

1	Α.	Yes, I disagree with that.
2	Q.	You disagree with that?
3	Α.	Yes. I think what creates it is the call to arms, which
4		isn't what creates the VIP Lane, because it's after, but
5		it is symptomatic, then previously in March there are
6		two basically public things saying: come in with all
7		your offers. It's kind of a I think someone at the
8		time called it the "Dunkirk spirit" or something. It's
9		like, you know, "Bring it all in." I don't think others
10		did that.
11		No, I can't know for certain, the professor will
12		know better than I will, but that's where, for me,
13		things flow from, because then you're drowning, then you
14		have people chasing you up, then you need means to deal
15		with people chasing you up or it slows down the whole
16		system, and then you're in this world.
17		And I think here the professor is right as a matter
18		of kind of strict procurement practice, but procurement
19		practice isn't the only thing that's important in these
20		situations.
21		And. I mean, there's a bit where the professor puts
22		this list of things to take into account, and notably
23		what it doesn't include is saving lives, which is what
24		we really cared about.
25	Q.	Mr Cairnduff, I just want to finally put to you a number 46
1	Q.	or even since without the data
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	or the evidence that the Inquiry has.
4		You've observed that speed is vital.
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	That the faster you can progress through the system, the
7		better your chances of securing a contract?
8	Α.	Yes. I think in almost all cases. Gareth had a small
9		exception but it would hardly wouldn't come up very
10	_	often.
11	Q.	Okay, that's helpful.
12		And that technical assurance is a bottleneck? It's
13		a point that Mr Blackburn and you both make?
14	Α.	Yes. When it's necessary, but yes.
15	Q.	The VIP Lane had its own technical assurance didn't it?
16	Α.	Partway through. And so I believe, from what I've seen

- 17 from the org structures I've seen, did say the China18 team and some of the others, so I don't think that was19 just us.
- And that wasn't something we asked for. I think
 what that was about was someone you could contact to
 say, "What's going on? What's happened to this? Is it
 being reviewed?" And I think -- and again, this is
 based on what I've read preparing for this, because at
 the time I wouldn't have known -- I do believe that
 48

1		other cells and other routes also had their own means of
2		doing that. I don't believe we were unique in that.
3	Q.	Let's move on, then.
4		Could we have INQ000475005.
5		Now this is you've referred to the material that
6		the Inquiry has available to it, that wasn't then
7		available to you?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	Even more recently
10	Α.	
11	Q.	hasn't been available to you?
12		Yes.
13	Q.	That includes 36 witness statements from referrers.
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	And we'll move on to caseworkers in due course.
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	Of which you regarded yourself as one and included
18		a response?
19	Α.	l didn't regard myself as one but I thought it would be
20		helpful to I thought it would be unhelpful not to
21		reply to the survey.
22	Q.	Indeed, we're grateful for that response.
23		36 are the referrers. Of those 36 referrers, 67% of
24		them, or two-thirds of them, had not conducted any due
25		diligence?
		49
1		So for the majority of offers, you're absolutely
2		correct. But there are two subsets where I think that's
3		not quite true.
4	Q.	All right, let's move on. I'm conscious of the time,
5		Mr Cairnduff.
6	Α.	Sorry.
7	Q.	I'm sorry, if I have to.
8		INQ000582366, page 4, paragraph 1.5.
9		I'm looking at another measure of the inherent
10		credibility or solidity of an offer: performance. How
11		did the contracts perform.
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	In and out of the High Priority Lane.
14	Α.	Yeah.
15	Q.	Within the same given period.
16		And this one, once it's available, INQ000582366,
17		will show that High Priority Lane offers experienced
18		a higher rate of contractual performance issues than
19		non-High Priority Lane ones.
20		I don't know if you saw that slide yesterday?
21	Α.	I did see it. It was 50-something to 30-something or
22		something like that?
23	Q.	Yes, 55 to 39. Well remembered.
24	Α.	Thank you. I didn't think well, there's a couple of
25		things there

things there.

51

1	Α.	No
-		

2 Q. So two-thirds.

- 3 A. Nor would I have expected them to. It may be on that 4 -- (overspeaking) --
- 5 Q. -- (overspeaking) -- figures 24, I know that the chair 6
 - was keen to have that as numbers. That's
- 7 24 individuals.
- 8 A. Okay.
- **Q.** Nor would you have expected them to, but nor would you 9
- therefore say that an arriving offer had any, on this 10
- 11 basis at least, inherent improved credibility or
- 12 solidity?
- 13 A. It may on two things -- most will not. There are two
- 14 exceptions, sorry. If someone has looked at it then it
- is probably English language, basically coherent, and it 15
- is for PPE. So that is an incredibly minimal threshold. 16
- 17 But that's only when someone looks at it. Now, many
- 18 will have been flipped over with no one looking at it. 19
 - The other scenario where it will be more credible is
- 20 if it comes through and it is, I don't know, Amazon,
- 21 which actually was a real example, then the fact it is
- 22 Amazon, with their logistical reach, is inherently
- 23 likely to be more credible, plus you already know 24
- they're probably not going to struggle with the 25 financial covenant.

1		I wasn't sure how useful this was, but you'll form
2		your own conclusions, of course, because it could be,
3		for example, that the problems that arise in the non-HPL
4		ones are all quite minor and the problems in the HPL one
5		are all quite major, in which case it's actually worse
6		than you're suggesting. It could be the opposite.
7	Q.	We simply don't know that detail?
8	Α.	Yes, so I wasn't sure how much it actually told me.
9	Q.	Well, we went into this yesterday, but as I suggested
10		then, what's sauce for the goose is sauce for the
11		gander.
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	We're looking in general terms at HPL and non-HPL.
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	Let's move on to speed. One of the reasons why speed is
16		crucial is the guidance that I think you had a hand in
17		drafting that said if an offer is more than two weeks
18		old, it should be rejected?
19	Α.	Correct, because if nobody has picked it up by two weeks
20		in that market, it's probably not very good.
21	Q.	Okay, fine. That deals with that point.
22		In terms of progressing offers, we've dealt with
23		that.
24		Can we just go back to INQ000475005, and page 2,
25		which shows another doughnut diagram of referrers 52

25

Chasers or requests for feedback might chivvy an offer

56

1	seeking updates for High Priority Lane suppliers.	1		As you know, the Inquiry was provided with the name
2 A	A. Yes.	2		of 20 VIP Lane caseworkers.
3 Q	 And I don't know whether you're able to comment on this 	3	Α.	Yes.
4	based on your experience within the VIP Lane, but we see	4	Q.	And surveyed 17 of them.
5	here that 61% of those referrers did seek updates.	5	Α.	Yes.
6 A	A. Yes, I suspect this may be to do with your 36 group,	6	Q.	The results of that, if they could be displayed at
7	because actually I would have expected the percentage to	7		INQ000581860.
8	be smaller. A lot of people didn't seek updates; it's	8		I'm just going to look at two aspects of the results
9	just that when people do, it creates quite a lot of	9		of that, if I may. Firstly, at page 9. From which we
10	noise. I was actually slightly surprised it was as high	10		learn when it comes up that 53% there it is
11	as it was.	11		of HPL caseworkers were contacted by HPL
12	Your greater problem is when people see multiple	12		referrers directly.
13	updates or a supplier contacts multiple points in the	13	Α.	Okay.
14	system so that five different people are all chasing at	14	Q.	Again, that presumably doesn't come as a great deal
15	slightly different times.	15	Α.	No, that doesn't surprise me.
16	Anyway, I'm sorry, I'm not really disagreeing with	16	Q.	Then I see we've got the second one up now.
17	it.	17		Question:
18 Q	2. Not disagreeing. If the cohort size was larger, you're	18		"Do you consider contracts in the HPL were treated
19	saying that you might have a different percentage there?	19		differently through the process to contracts awarded
20 A	 I'd guess it would fall a wee bit, personally. 	20		outside of the HPL?"
21 Q	2. All right.	21		To which 66.7%, which is 10, in terms of
22 A	A. But it's still quite a lot of noise.	22		individuals
23 Q	2. Let's have a look at it through the other end of the	23	Α.	Yes.
24	telescope, from your point of view and your colleagues'	24	Q.	said yes, 26.7, which is four, said no. And we
25	point of view.	25		didn't get an answer from one of them.
	53			54
1	You answered this, didn't you, Mr Cairnduff?	1	Q.	in relation to those that said yes, what was
2 A	A. Yes.	2		understood by speed, due diligence, assessment of value
3 Q	2. What did you understand speed, due diligence,	3		for money as different treatment?
4	assessments or value for money to mean in terms of	4	Α.	I would guess people were talking about speed of the
5	different treatment of offers?	5		initial pick-up.
6 A	. I actually can't remember right now whether I said yes	6	Q.	
7	or no, but I can see you could answer it either.	7	Α.	Initially, the first contact so that's quite
8	I think if you take the whole chain, I think it's no,	8		important. So obviously I don't know what people had in
9	because they go through the same process steps at each	9		mind, but the same due diligence steps applied, the same
10	stage, they go through the same triage process, they	10		assessments of VFM applied, so if anyone said yes on
11	have to go through technical assurance, closing, all of	11		that I think they were wrong.
12	that process. So overall I don't think they were.	12		If what they were thinking about was speed then the
13	Within, however, that initial contact, clearly they	13		initial contact you're trying to get in touch ideally
14	are, because instead of going through a webform and	14		within 24 hours. We often failed but you're tying to
15	having your details there and then having someone	15		get in touch within 24 hours. And this is where you get
16	contact you based on the webform, instead you have an	16		to the potentially an equal treatment problem,
17	initial conversation with the caseworker, which is	17		because you've got that initial contact, which is quite
18	different.	18		rapid, and that may then help you kind of get on the
19	I don't think that made a difference, as it were,	19		ladder, as it were.
20	but it is a different form of treatment. And this is	20		That's, I think, potentially what if I were
	what takes us back to the judgment, I think.	20		saying yes, that's what I'd have in mind. I don't think
21	mat takes as back to the judgittent, I tillink.	21		saying yes, that's what is indice in minu. I don't tillin
21 22 0	So you Mr Cairnduff may have been amongst the four	22		that then influenced the overall outcome but I'd quess
22 Q		22 23		that then influenced the overall outcome but I'd guess that's what they were thinking about
	D. So you, Mr Cairnduff, may have been amongst the four that said no to that. Can you help us in relation because it's your team	22 23 24	Q.	that then influenced the overall outcome but I'd guess that's what they were thinking about. Well, that might give you an initial speed advantage.

25 A. Yes.

(14) Pages 53 - 56

1		along?	1
2	Α.	Only if it had got stuck somehow. So, by the point it's	2
3		being chased and chivvied, it's already getting delayed.	3
4	Q.	And a separate route for technical assurance might also	4
5		offer some form of speed advantage?	5
6	Α.	There wasn't a separate route for technical assurance.	6
7		Sorry, that's quite important. Early on it's the same	7
8		technical assurance thing for everyone, it's the same	8
9		team, it's a group team. Later on we have a dedicated	9
10		person who applies the same processes, so we have	1(
11		a point of contact so we can find out what's going on.	1
12	_	I don't think that's the same as a separate route.	1:
13	Q.	5	1:
14		Finally, I'm not going to go to a chart, and I'm	14
15		going to pick this up in greater detail with Mr Hall,	1
16		there is a concern about a figure of a 17 times	10
17		conversion rate between offers and acceptance.	17
18	A.		18
19	Q.	•	19
20 21		offerer comparing VIP Lane to non-VIP Lane Yes.	20
21	A. Q.	in terms of prospects of securing a contract after an	2 [.] 22
22	ω.	offer being made?	23
23 24	Α.	Yes. And I think if you look at that funnel diagram, as	24
25		you called it, I think that helps explain why. And	2
		57	
1		me?	1
2	Q.	Yes. So what I'm getting at is, you've described it	2
3		being set up in the moment as a response	3
4	Α.	Yes.	4
5	Q.	to the situation that you had, because there was no	5
6		other alternative way.	6
7	Α.	Ah, yes, I think	7
8	Q.	So what I'm putting to you is, does it follow from that	8
9		that the lack of a structure or plan for the lane itself	9
10		necessarily meant that there was no plan or guidance for	1(
11		the team in respect of how to deal with pressure from	1
12		ministers or indeed the potential for conflicts of	1:
13		interest through this process?	1:
14	Α.	Okay.	14
15	Q.	And I'm picking that up largely from Professor	1:
16	Α.	Yes, well, I think there's a couple of things there	10
17	Q.	Sanchez-Graells.	17
18	Α.	Sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt you.	18
19		We were the plan in that sense, but if you're	19
20		looking at future crises, then it is worth thinking	20
21 22		about in peacetime what the plan is for	2 ⁻
22 23		circumstances like that, and how you deal with that.	22
23 24		I've addressed this at the back of my statement, actually, in part. So it's worth thinking about that.	2:
24 25		I think it's a bit unfair on the team who set it up	24
20		59	23

1		I think also the evidence from I've mentioned it
2		before the second corporate statement, which talks
3		about 50% of them were with, like, five really big
4		contractors is also why.
5	MR	WALD: Mr Cairnduff, those are all the questions I had
6		for you, thank you very much. I know that there are
7		some questions for you from Core Participants.
8	LAD	DY HALLETT: To start with, we've got Mr Weatherby, who is
9		just there, Mr Cairnduff.
10		Questions from MR WEATHERBY KC
11	MR	WEATHERBY: Thank you, my Lady.
12		Just this, Mr Cairnduff. I ask questions on behalf
13		of the Covid Bereaved Families for Justice UK.
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	You told us the VIP Lane was set up largely because of
16		"noise" and that it was an organic response to need.
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	Do you agree that the lack of structure or plan in
19		relation to the VIP Lane at its creation meant that
20		there was, therefore, no plan or guidance in place for
21		consideration of how to deal with pressure from
22		ministers, or indeed, the potential for conflicts of
23		interest through the VIP Lane?
24	Α.	Sorry, I might need to unpack that just a little.
25		Actually, sorry, could you break that down a little for 58
		00
1		to say there was no plan, because this was them thinking
2		about it and putting something in place. It may not
3		have been the best thing that could have been done but
4		in the circumstances I don't think there was much
5		better.
6		In terms of conflicts of interest, that would be
7		picked up later in the process.
8	Q.	
9	A.	Sorry, does that answer?
10	Q.	Well, I mean, I'm not actually wanting to be critical in
11		this question
12	Α.	No, no
13	Q.	I'm just trying to elicit the point that it was set
14		up in the moment.
15	Α.	Yes.
16	Q.	Was there, in fact, guidance of pressure and conflict of
17		interest for your team?
18	Α.	No, but there was a senior civil servant, ie me, put in
19		charge of it.
20	Q.	Yes.
21	Α.	So in that sense, you know, I was able to give guidance
22		to my team and I was that buffer.
23	Q.	Yes, so there was supervision, but
24	Α.	Yes.
25	MR	WEATHERBY: Thank you.
		60

(15) Pages 57 - 60

1	That's all I ask.	1		expedited procurement processes, if at all. So my
2	LADY HALLETT: Thank you, Mr Weatherby. Professor Thomas.	2		precise question is: you observe that the circumstances
3	Oh no, it's not, it's Mr Dayle. Sorry, Mr Dayle.	3		leading to the setting up of the HPL were not normal,
4	Questions from MR DAYLE	4		and you observe this at paragraph 4.5 of your witness
5	MR DAYLE: Mr Cairnduff, I represent the Federation of	5		statement.
6	Ethnic Minority Healthcare Organisations or FEMHO and,	6	Α.	Yes.
7	just by way of context, I should say that, in times of	7	Q.	Assuming you did consider that public emergency
8	crisis, such as the pandemic, we understand you to be	8		conditions existed, did you take this to mean that the
9	saying in your evidence that the urgency to deliver	9		HPL team need not have had regard to the Public Sector
10	solutions often requires rethinking traditional	10		Equality Duty, and/or other equality duties in PPE
11	procedures and protocols.	11		procurement?
12	A. Yes.	12	Α.	No, I'm not well, I could be wrong on this but I'm
13	Q. The establishment of the High Priority Lane, or HPL, is	13		not aware of any exception from Public Sector Equality
14	one such example, which requires an adaptive response,	14		Duty obligations by virtue of an emergency. Now,
15	designed to expedite procurement processes during what	15		I could be wrong on that but I'm not aware of one.
16	is described as a public health emergency?	16		I would expect it, however, to become more manifest when
17	A. Mm-hm.	17		you come to choices about the distribution of PPE rather
18	Q. However, the rapid implementation of these measures	18		than the buying of it. The PPE is itself, I think,
19	raises questions about the balance between expedience	19		I may be wrong, relatively agnostic: a mask is a mask.
20	and adherence to established standards	20		How you then distribute, I think, then becomes very much
21	A. Yes.	21		a question of who is being impacted, you know, and
22	Q particularly concerning equality and inclusivity. So	22		issues like that. For example, bus drivers were an
23	given these reflections, FEMHO and similar organisations	23		at-risk group at the time because they had to deal with
24	would like to know how considerations like the Public	24		the public still, the buses were still operating.
25	Sector Equality Duty were integrated into these	25		I don't know about the public's you know, the
1	equality kind of diversity make-up of bus drivers as	1		that would probably better address the point I think
2	a population but, you know, that's where you'd have to	2		you're making.
3	think: bus drivers are exposed, how does that measure	3		I'm not sure that we were equipped to do that
4	against nurses? That's way beyond my competency but	4		because we simply had specs and we went out to buy those
5	that's where you'd expect it to come in.	5		specs, and I think it's the point of are the specs the
6	LADY HALLETT: I think the problem that Mr Dayle is probably	6		right specs and are they comprehensive, is probably
7	getting at is that a mask isn't a mask. Unfortunately,	7		where the differential impact would come in. Does that
8	we've heard evidence that not all masks fit all faces.	8		make sense?
9	A. No, that's true. It's also an issue with gowns, where,	9	MR	R DAYLE: Directionally, yes, but I think we might pick up
10	for example, with a large gown won't fit a lot of	10		with that line with other witnesses.
11	people.	11		Thank you, my Lady.
12	MR DAYLE: Precisely, as my Lady says, the question is	12	LA	DY HALLETT: Thank you, Mr Dayle.
13	really getting at how were these considerations managed	13		Mr Cairnduff, those are all the questions we have at
14	or integrated into what we now know as the accelerated	14		the time being, although I think I'm going to be seeing
15	procurement processes?	15		you again later in this module.
16	A. Got you. I think this is an area where there is room	16	Α.	Yes, I'm coming back.
17	for improvement. One of the things I say in my	17	LA	DY HALLETT: Even those who criticise various aspects of
	statement is we didn't have access to people who were	18		the procurement process, I don't think I've heard
18	experts on PPE, so that meant, in that sense, we're	19		anybody doubt the extraordinary commitment and
19		~~~		dedication that people like you and your team had in
19 20	buying kit by reference to guidelines we've been given.	20		
19 20 21	buying kit by reference to guidelines we've been given. I think, if it had been possible, which I don't know if	21		trying to get the necessary PPE, so thank you for all
19 20 21 22	buying kit by reference to guidelines we've been given. I think, if it had been possible, which I don't know if it was at the time, I wasn't very sighted on in this	21 22		trying to get the necessary PPE, so thank you for all that you did in that respect during the pandemic, and
19 20 21 22 23	buying kit by reference to guidelines we've been given. I think, if it had been possible, which I don't know if it was at the time, I wasn't very sighted on in this part of the structure, to build in knowledge of the	21 22 23		trying to get the necessary PPE, so thank you for all that you did in that respect during the pandemic, and thank you for your help to date in relation to the
19 20 21 22	buying kit by reference to guidelines we've been given. I think, if it had been possible, which I don't know if it was at the time, I wasn't very sighted on in this	21 22		trying to get the necessary PPE, so thank you for all that you did in that respect during the pandemic, and

	production:					
Α	. No, I'm not well, I could be wrong on this but I'm					
	not aware of any exception from Public Sector Equality					
	Duty obligations by virtue of an emergency. Now,					
	I could be wrong on that but I'm not aware of one.					
	I would expect it, however, to become more manifest when					
	you come to choices about the distribution of PPE rather					
	than the buying of it. The PPE is itself, I think,					
	I may be wrong, relatively agnostic: a mask is a mask.					
	How you then distribute, I think, then becomes very much					
	a question of who is being impacted, you know, and					
	issues like that. For example, bus drivers were an					
	at-risk group at the time because they had to deal with					
	the public still, the buses were still operating.					
	I don't know about the public's you know, the					
	62					
	that would probably better address the point I think					
	you're making.					
	I'm not sure that we were equipped to do that					
	because we simply had specs and we went out to buy those					
	specs, and I think it's the point of are the specs the					
	right specs and are they comprehensive, is probably					
	where the differential impact would come in. Does that					
	make sense?					
N	IR DAYLE: Directionally, yes, but I think we might pick up					
	with that line with other witnesses.					
	Thank you, my Lady.					
L	ADY HALLETT: Thank you, Mr Dayle.					
	Mr Cairnduff, those are all the questions we have at					
	the time being, although I think I'm going to be seeing					
	you again later in this module.					
Α	. Yes, I'm coming back.					
L	ADY HALLETT: Even those who criticise various aspects of					
	the procurement process, I don't think I've heard					
	anybody doubt the extraordinary commitment and					
	dedication that people like you and your team had in					
	trying to get the necessary PPE, so thank you for all					
	that you did in that respect during the pandemic, and					
	thank you for your help to date in relation to the					
	Inquiry.					
-						

1

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11 12 13

14

1	LAI	DY HALLETT: Very well. I shall return at 11.30.
2	(11	14 am)
3		(A short break)
4	(11	30 am)
5	LAI	DY HALLETT: Mr Sharma.
6	MR	SHARMA: My Lady, the next witness is Darren Blackburn.
7		MR DARREN BLACKBURN (sworn)
8		Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY
9	LAI	DY HALLETT: I hope we haven't kept you waiting,
10		Mr Blackburn.
11		EWITNESS: No, that's fine. Thank you.
12	MR	SHARMA: Would you be kind enough to state your full name
13		for the Inquiry?
14 15	A. Q.	It's Darren Blackburn. Mr Blackburn, you have provided of the Inquiry with one
16	Q.	witness statement. I wonder if you'd be good enough to
17		confirm that the content of that statement is true to
18		the best of your knowledge and belief?
19	Α.	I can confirm that.
20	Q.	Mr Blackburn, you have a long career in procurement in
21		the private sector between 1999 and 2019 working for
22		BAE Systems and PwC, amongst other companies in the
23		private sector, and then you joined the Civil Service in
24		September 2019; is that correct?
25	Α.	That's correct.
		65
1		sort of intensity and chaos of what was going on around
2		us, the pure need to get PPE, vital PPE into the NHS was
3		sort of paramount.
4		We were working long hours under intense pressure,
5		with a lot of scrutiny, just trying to do the best that
6		we could to try to get that vital PPE.
7	Q.	You have referred in your witness statement to two of
8		your roles being solving problems and unblocking
9		bottlenecks in the PPE Cell. Could I take each of those
10		in turn, please. From your vantage point in the New
11		Suppliers Team, what were the key problems that you
12		encountered or that you could see from the processing of
13		offers?
14	Α.	I mean, one of the key issues was identifying those good
15		offers with which to take forwards. If I can put into
16		context what we were getting, of the 15,000 to 16,000
17		supplier offers in the system, of which there were
18		multiple offers, you know, they fell into three
19		categories: one category being, you know, a little old
20		lady who had offered to make masks and drop them off at
21		her local hospital; we'd got organisations, factories,
22		if you like, who closed down and had furloughed, who
23		were offering to provide excess stock of PPE in its
24		thousands; and then you'd got the intermediaries where,
25		you know, we were offered the quantities that we wanted. 67
		07

y	
Q.	You joined, then, as a Deputy Director in the Cabinet
	Office Commercial Function Complex Transactions Team; is that right?
Α.	Yes, correct.
Q.	On 19 March 2020, you were deployed from the Cabinet Office to the PPE Cell?
Α.	Correct.
Q.	Then in April 2020 to July 2020, you were the Head of
	the New Supplier Team?
Α.	Yes, that's correct.
Q.	Subsequent to that, you have returned to the private sector; is that right?
Α.	Correct.
Q.	Mr Blackburn, we heard yesterday from Mr Marron about
	the experience of people such as yourself working in the
	PPE Cell, the stress and the strain and the hours that
	were worked. Could you help us with that, please? At
	the beginning and during the tenure, what was it like?
Α.	I mean, in the very first week we were deployed, I was
	actually taken ill and had to isolate myself, so
	I was I would do a lot of Teams calls, et cetera, and
	it wasn't until the second week that I was fully
	deployed and so I missed some of the set-up, but even
	from the isolation of our own sort of bedrooms or
	offices or wherever we were working, you could see the 66
	We had to find them in and amongst the 15,000, and one
	of the areas that we were criticised for early on was
	the speed of which we were getting contracts in place.

- So that was one of the key issues.
- 5 Q. Secondly, in terms of the bottlenecks, in the process by
 which those contracts were being considered by you and
 your team, where were the principal bottlenecks that you
 identified?
- 9 A. So I think I'd set it out in my witness statement and
- 10 Max confirmed it earlier in his statement also, was
- 11 predominantly around the technical assurance, validating
- 12 the offers and the certificates that we received to make
- sure they were fit for purpose and met the specificationand standards.
- 15 Q. From your vantage point as the Head of the New Suppliers16 Team you could see offers being processed through what
- 17 I might call the general route and also via what has
- 18 become known as the High Priority Lane. Could I touch,
- 19 please, first of all on the High Priority Lane. What
- 20 did you understand was the purpose of the High Priority21 Lane?
- 21 Lane?22 A. The point of the High Priority Lane was to address some
- of the criticism that we had around not processing and
- 24 getting contracts quick enough to get high quality,
- 25 valuable PPE into those trusts. So the point of the 68

2

1		High Priority Lane was a way of expediting those good,
2		credible offers.
3	Q.	You refer in your witness statement to another purpose
4		to the High Priority Lane to be to deal with noise. Is
5		that what you're referring to just now or is that
6		something different?
7	Α.	That's another aspect of it, and I yes.
8	Q.	Forgive me. Carry on.
9	Α.	No, that's another aspect of it, yes.
10	Q.	When you refer to "noise" what exactly are you referring
11		to?
12	Α.	Requests for updates and an understanding of where
13		offers were within the process.
14	Q.	5 5
15		looking at the most credible offers, on the one hand,
16		and it's also considering the noise or the feedback
17		requests which are being generated?
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Q.	Are those two concerns, are they competing concerns?
20	_	Are they competing for time?
21	Α.	
22		updates, you're not dealing with the supplier and trying
23	~	to progress their offer.
24	Q.	
25		were not referred into the High Priority Lane, was there 69
1		vantage point, looking at the New Opportunities Team as
2		a whole and the High Priority Lane in particular, as to
3	•	whether there was an advantage of speed in the HPL?
4	Α.	
5		analysis at that time to record at the time at which
6 7		processing started in either lane to get in a contract.
7 8	•	So we just didn't have that data or measure that. Was there any way that you perceived that High Priority
9	Q.	Lane offers were treated differently within the New
10		Suppliers Team?
11	Α.	
12	Λ.	the due diligence that they went through, it was all
13		exactly the same. I guess the difference would have
14		been in the handling and the more direct communication
15		and the fact that they were, I guess, prioritised over
16		some of the other offers.
17	Q.	
18	ч.	communication?
19	Α.	As in the individual caseworker may have given them more
. •		

- A. As in the individual caseworker may have given them moreface time, if you like.
- 21 Q. Of course, is it right that that individual face time
- 22 that the caseworker was giving to those offers in the
- 23 High Priority Lane were resources which were not being
- 24 devoted to other offers, which were otherwise being
- 25 considered by the New Suppliers Team?

- any guidance which was available to you and your team as to which offers to refer into the HPL?
- 3 A. At the time, I don't believe there was.
- 4 Q. Given that there wasn't any guidance, what criteria, if
- 5 any, was applied by you and your team to decide as to 6 whether an offer would go into the HPL or not?
- 7 A. So I can only speak for myself, rather than my team.
- 8 But in my mind, those offers to go into the High
- 9 Priority Lane were offers that were credible, offers of
- an in-demand product and offers that were of largevolumes.
- 12 Q. What about that second category, the offers for which
- 13 updates were being sought? Was that another factor that
- 14 contributed into whether an offer would go into the High
- 15 Priority Lane or not?
- 16 A. From my own perspective, no.
- 17 Q. Were there, in effect, gatekeepers to the High Priority
- 18 Lane: people whose job it was to decide whether or not
- 19 it would be referred in?
- A. Well, ultimately, Max would have been the gatekeeper to
 what went in and then how that was prioritised or
 allocated amongst the team.
- 23 Q. You speak in your witness statement about the speed at24 which offers in the High Priority Lane were processed.
- 25 Do you have anything to tell the Inquiry, from your 70
- A. Well, there were other caseworkers that would have been handling those other cases.
- 3 Q. So a specialist team of caseworkers, simply to deal
- 4 with --
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. -- the handling aspect?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Did offers in the High Priority Lane have a dedicated
 9 caseworker attributed to them and was that something
- 10 which was available in the general lane?
- 11 **A.** In the High Priority Lane there was a dedicated
- 12 caseworker that would have overseen the case as it went
- 13 through the process, as I understand it.
- 14 Q. Was that the case in the general Opportunities team aswell, or not?
- 16 A. I couldn't say for sure. I don't think so. I think
- there were multiple -- more hand-offs in the generalcase team.
- 19 Q. What do you mean by "hand-offs"?
- 20 A. So if you lump the processes into three areas you've got
- 21 opportunities, technical assurance and then closing,22 where the contract was formed. Between those three
- elements there were hand-offs.
- 24 **Q.** I want to ask you some questions, please, setting aside
- 25 the High Priority Lane and just focusing in on one 72

4

7

1 contract, which is the contract with Ayanda. I wonder 2 if we could bring up, by way of introduction, 3 INQ000575086. This is a schedule which has been 4 produced by the Department of Health and Social Care. 5 I wonder if we could have a look, please, at row 18. 6 This is the information about the Ayanda contract, 7 reading from left to right, Ayanda Capital. I wonder if 8 we could move to right. 29 April 2020 for face masks, 9 the original contract value £252.5 million, New Buy. 10 Keep scrolling across. High Priority Lane, yes. Then source of referral, NHS Shared Business Services. But 11 12 the person who received the referral, you're named there 13 as the person who received the referral in; is that 14 right? That's correct. 15 Α. 16 Could we continue to scroll to the right, please. Q. 17 Just underneath there, under "Explanation of Issue" 18 with the contract: 19 "DHSC Rejected Goods." 20 Can I take you through a little bit of the 21 chronology behind Ayanda Capital. When the offer first 22 came to your attention, it was under the name 23 Prospermill, wasn't it? 24 That's correct. Α. 25 Q. When the offer came in, you took an interest in that 73 1 Q. You can take it from me that the dates are accurate, 2 they're taken from your statement. 3 A. Oh, okay then, yes. 4 Q. I wonder if, please, we could bring up INQ000534943.

- 5 Scroll to the bottom of this email chain, so that we can 6 read upwards. Next page, please. These are the initial 7 communications between you and Mr Mills. Mr Mills has 8 provided the details of the manufacturer, a summary of
- 9 the offer and various other documents. 10
 - When you receive a referral such as this, are you
- 11 making any assessment at all about the material which
- 12 he's showing to you before it goes elsewhere?
- 13 Α. Me personally?
- 14 Q. Yes

- A. No, I'm not. 15
- Scroll upwards, please. Then at the top here, on 16 Q. 14 April, Mr Mills says to you: 17
- 18 "I'd like to make sure that I have got through to
- 19 the right place as this is an opportunity for HMG to get
- exclusive access to the entire manufacturing capacity of 20
- 21 Zhende Medical Co for an initial period of 12 weeks ..."
 - This is an email, forgive me, from Mr Mills to
- 23 Martin Kent. Who is Martin Kent, please?
- 24 Α. Martin Kent was a senior official in the Department for
- 25 International Trade, with which, I think, Andrew had got 75

- contract. What was the reason for that?
- 2 Α. It had been referred to me by an ex-colleague from BAE 3
 - Systems, who was now working in the NHS in Manchester.
 - Q. What did you think about the terms of the offer, about
- 5 the goods which were being offered, about the price at 6
 - which it was being offered? What attention did you pay to that?
- A. Not a huge amount of attention. That was part of the 8
- 9 process of checking the offer and the credibility of the
- 10 offer. It was part of the wider due diligence, not
- 11 something I would have done.
- The offer was being made by Mr Andrew Mills, who was 12 Q. 13 a senior adviser at Ayanda. Could you help the Inquiry
- 14 with this, please: who was Mr Mills?
- 15 A. He was the person that was referred to me by my 16 ex-colleague from the Manchester Trusts.
- 17 Q. On 13 April, Mr Mills contacted you by LinkedIn, didn't
- 18
- he? 19 That's correct. Α.
- 20 Q. Did you respond to that contact?
- 21 A. No, I didn't.
- 22 Q. Mr Mills followed up with you in three other 23 communications on 14 April 2020, 15 April 2020, and then 24 16 April 2020; is that right?
- 25 **A.** Um ...
- 74

1		connections with.
2	Q.	It's right, isn't it, that Mr Mills was previously
3		an adviser to the Department for International Trade?
4	Α.	That's right yes.
5	Q.	He's referring, I think, to communications he's had with
6		you and then he's also making Mr Kent aware that both
7		the French and the US Government are circling, so there
8		was a risk, wasn't there, that this offer might go
9		elsewhere?
10	Α.	That's correct.
11	Q.	If we scroll up, please. There it's confirmed by
12		Mr Kent, third line down that:
13		"Andrew is a former Advisor to the Board of Trade
14		when it sat under the former [Secretary of State]."
15		Can we scroll up, please. This email then reaches
16		your inbox about the fact that the company, Ayanda, and
17		Mr Mills have been, it seems to be, vouched for by
18		somebody at the Department for International Trade.
19		What factor or what role, if any, did that play in
20		your decision to refer this contract into the HPL?
21	Α.	From my perspective, it gave confidence that this was
22		a person or an organisation that was used to dealing
23		with HMG and, therefore, was trustworthy. I think for
24		wider context, if I may, you know, I'd worked on another
25		case with one of the other caseworkers over a period of 76

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

1 two weeks that we ended up rejecting because of the 2 credibility of the deal and the fact that that person in 3 question wanted payment into their personal bank 4 account. They were operating from a flat in 5 Knightsbridge, et cetera, so we'd spent two weeks 6 dealing with that opportunity for it to go nowhere. 7 In this instance, you know, we were keen to find 8 credible offers with people that could be trustworthy 9 and this gave confidence to that. In normal times, 10 during a procurement process, you would look for evidence of -- you know, if you were running 11 12 a procurement you would look for evidence from the 13 organisations that were bidding of their history and 14 experience in working with and around government and 15 delivering what it is you need them to deliver, and that 16 is what played out here, in that background and history 17 of working with and being credible in government. 18 Could we please put up INQ000536359. This is your Q. 19 witness statement, Mr Blackburn, page 30, please, 20 paragraph 85: 21 "On 27 April, Andrew emailed saying that while he 22 had submitted the offer under Prospermill, he would be 23 using Ayanda Capital [and that was a company of which he 24 was a director] because they had the infrastructure for 25 international payments already set up. [He] was a Board 77

1 Q. Of course. So that's because of the due diligence was 2 conducted much later on?

3 A. That's correct.

- 4 Q. Right but given those three factors, that it's been
- 5 vouched for by the Department for International Trade,
- 6 the red rating but the demand for masks being critical,
- 7 could you talk us through what would have gone through 8 your mind as an experienced procurement professional
- 9 weighing up those three factors?

10 Α. Well, let me just talk to the red rating, which I think 11 is probably the most important part of the question, 12 what you're looking for.

13 Q. Yes.

14 A. The red rating was an indication of there being risks in 15 the commercial deal. These risks were around the size 16 of Ayanda and, I guess, its P&L and its accounts, versus 17 the size of the order that we were looking to place

18 through it and so that red rating was around its ability 19 to handle that size of contract.

- 20 And therefore, there was an element of risk in there
- 21 and, in those situations, what you have to do is weigh
- 22 up those risks, determine any mitigation and whether
- 23 those mitigation points would give assurance that you
- 24 could effectively put the contract forward, and that's
- 25 the same in normal times and what we were dealing with 79

Advisor for Ayanda ... Due diligence was undertaken on Prospermill, which returned an amber rating, and on

Ayanda, which returned a red rating."

Then you go on to say:

"A red rating did not mean that a contract with Ayanda could not go ahead, but it did mean that careful thought had to be given to contractual terms to minimise the risk."

Then you refer there to the demand for Type IIR 10 masks at the time and the value of the Ayanda contract, and so it was progressed.

12 I appreciate it's difficult, sometime after the 13 event but a number of factors are being weighed up,

14 I assume, in your mind and in the mind of others about 15 whether this contract progresses.

16 On the one hand, you have the Ayanda offer being

- 17 vouched for by the Department for International Trade,
- 18 you have the fact that masks are in demand and then you
- 19 have this red rating. Could you talk us through how
- 20 those three factors were weighed up by you when the 21 contract was referred in to the HPL?
- 22 Α. Well, we didn't know it was a red rating until after it 23 had started through the process, and so the red rating
- 24 came much later on, rather than at the time of me
 - 78
- 1 here.

referring it.

25

2	Q.	What effect, if any, did the fact of the emergency play
3		on these kinds of decisions being made? Because unlike,
4		of course, in business-as-usual procurement when you may
5		have the benefit of time
6	Α.	Yes.
7	Q.	in these circumstances choices and decisions have to
8		be made very quickly. What effect did that have?
9	Α.	Well, that's correct. I mean, two aspects, I think you
10		just raised, is we needed to get critical PPE into the
11		NHS, and time was of the essence. So we did have to
12		make decisions rather quickly. Again, we looked at the
13		risks, determined what the risks were, and quickly put
14		mechanisms in place to address those risks, and that's
15		what we did here.
16	Q.	Now, the contract was entered into but, as we've seen on
17		the DHSC schedule, as it happens, the goods were
18		rejected, and the reason that they were rejected was
19		because they had ear loops rather than head loops and
20		I think you've set that out in your statement.
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	So it had been approved through the eight-stage process,
23		it had received technical assurance, the contract had
24		been paid, I think about £252 million, and it's
25		an example, isn't it, of a contract apparently being

25 an example, isn't it, of a contract apparently being 80

84

1		complied with but the goods not meeting the	1		and you'll see in my witness statement and in the
2		specification?	2		supporting documentation, ie the handover document that
3	Α.	I think the goods did meet the specification but the	3		we produced, of how we learnt and changed things and
4		specifications changed over time, so the goods did meet	4		tried to respond to sort of external factors. Of
5		the specification for which there they were contracted	5		course, if we were starting from scratch today, and
6		against but, as we learnt more, those ear loops,	6		hopefully what we set out in the handover report helps
7		I think, were uncomfortable and so then the requirement	7		the Inquiry with that, we may have set up things
8		changed to a different type of mask.	8		differently and done things differently.
9	Q.	Could I ask you, please, taking what you know about the	9		I think, given that we've got the deluge of offers,
10		Ayanda contract and what I've been asking you questions	10		15,000 suppliers, we did what we could to try to filter
11		about, I appreciate hindsight being 20/20, what	11		and get contracts in place. I'm not going to question
12		reflections do you have, having been at the Head of the	12		whether the call to arms was the right thing to do, but
13		New Suppliers Team and looking at how the Ayanda	13		I think, going forward, we might not have done that, and
14		contract turned out about what could and should have	14		we might have had a different strategy and a different
15		been done differently?	15		structure around that procurement activity.
16	Α.	I mean, at the time, I'm not sure anything could have	16	Q.	We'll come on to that and the handover document that
17		been done too differently from what we did. You know,	17		you've very helpfully referred to in your witness
18		time was of the essence, we needed to get PPE into the	18		statement.
19		NHS. We were doing all that we could. Things were set	19		Just before I leave Ayanda, to focus in on one
20		up quickly, people were working long hours, trying to	20		aspect of it, the vouching for of Mr Mills by another
21		get deals in place and I think, as Max alluded to and	21		official within the Department for International Trade,
22		I even say in my witness statement, it felt like we were	22		do you think that appropriate weight or too much weight
23		doing it for years, not three or four months. It felt	23		was given to that, or do you think, again, drawing on
24		like a very long period.	24		your experience as a procurement professional, whether
25		And as we learnt more, we reacted to those things, 81	25		that was the right thing to take into account? 82
1	Α.	I think it was appropriate weight. I think it was	1		behalf of, you know, my wider team, it was something
2		a good thing to take that into account but it wasn't the	2		that we were all aware of and we were trying to do, but
3		only factor with which or why the contract was	3		I guess the nature and the way that it was set up,
4		awarded.	4		someone somewhere was going to have more of an advantage
5		Mr Mills and Ayanda didn't get a contract because of	5		over someone else because of the number of offers that
6		their links to DIT. That was a factor as part of the	6		we'd got. So you had to apply some form of
7		wider due diligence process which included going through	7		prioritisation to find those offers. That
8		Opportunities, Technical Assurance and Closing, and,	8		prioritisation could have been alphabetically, in which
9	_	ultimately, the Clearance Board.	9		case Ayanda may have still come out sort of top, versus
10	Q.	Of course, that is one of the findings of the High	10		a company called D, or whatever or down to volumes.
11		Court, which you've referred to in your witness	11		There would have been some prioritisation and
12		statement?	12		someone would have got a contract quicker than others
13		Mr Blackburn, just to look at the High Priority Lane	13		and, therefore, been deemed to have been at
14		before I leave it, just for one or two more questions,	14		an advantage. That was the nature of what we'd set up.
15		it might be very easy for lawyers, such as myself, to	15	Q.	If you were designing a procurement system for
16		step back from the emergency that you were dealing with	16		an emergency in the future, an emergency which, I, by
17		at the time and apply and ask for these principles about	17		its nature, can't describe, but if you were, would you
18		transparency and governance, and so on, but we might be	18		include the same features of the High Priority Lane as
19		forgetting the extreme pressure that you were under at	19	_	we had in this country during the pandemic, or not?
20		the time.	20	Α.	
21		Do you think it's possible, again, drawing on your	21		absolutely not but, ideally, you wouldn't, and I think
22		experience as a procurement professional, to comply with	22		it would depend on the sort of the wider structure of
		those principles of fairness, equal treatment, and so	23		the operating model that you were looking to put in
23			_ ·		
23 24 25	А.	on, even during an emergency? As a procurement professional then, and speaking on	24 25		place. And as I say, we might have done a different operating model, one akin to what we set up from July

83

(21) Pages 81 - 84

3

7

25

1		onwards for a more category-based solution
2	Q.	When you say category
3	Α.	and more targeted.
4	Q.	Forgive me when you say "category-based", do you mean
5		category by category of PPE?
6	Α.	Yes, so subcategory so, in this instance, the
7		subcategory of PPE, so masks, gowns, gloves, et cetera.
8	Q.	So there was a moment in your answer in which you paused
9		before you decided. What is it? What's the deciding
10		factor, in your mind, that causes you not to rule out
11		a High Priority Lane in its entirety? What feature does
12		it have, in your mind, that is required in an emergency?
13	Α.	I think at that point in time, you know, that High
14		Priority Lane was just another form of prioritisation.
15		So where I talked about, you know, you're going to have
16		to prioritise, if you've got 15,000 offers in a system,
17		you have to do some form of prioritisation and, in my
18		mind, that High Priority Lane was a form of
19		prioritisation and trying to get that PPE into the
20		hospitals.
21	LAI	DY HALLETT: Otherwise you place the emphasis on the High
22		Priority Lane not the VIP Lane?
23	Α.	Exactly, yes. For me, the VIP bit was sort of the
24		handling of ministers, et cetera, as opposed to the
25		handling of the case.

85

1		Thank you. There are a number of recommendations
2		and observations which you and others have made based on
3		your experience in the PPE Cell and, in particular, in
4		the New Suppliers Team. The first, if I can touch on,
5		please, is under IT, the "Initial webform design".
6		You've referred already to the effect of the call to
7		arms on the way in which it was possible to analyse and
8		triage the offers that were coming into your team.
9		Could you help us, please, with how you would have
10		reformed that process or how you could reform that
11		process. In the event that a call to arms was
12		necessary, what would you do to change that webform
13		process?
14	Α.	Again, putting it into context of where we were at the
15		time, we were tying to set up systems, processes and
16		procure PPE all at the same time. Some of the
17		difficulties that you would have in preparing for such
18		an eventuality in the future is and some of the
19		things that we had to change was having those fields
20		that weren't free flow text but were sort of
21		pre-populated, that you can pick from, that allowed
22		interrogation of the data.
23		That would be difficult to pre-empt, going forward.
24		You know, the next emergency situation might not be
25		about PPE; it might be ooh, I don't know, you know, 87

- MR SHARMA: In your experience, has there ever been a time
- at which the market was as volatile as it was during the
- Covid-19 pandemic -- forgive me, and I mean any procurement market?
- 4 5
- A. Not that I recall, no, not in any of my sort of 6
 - dealings. Over the years that I've been in procurement,
 - the things that I've sort of focused on has changing
- 8 over time. I'd see PPE as a commodity item. There are
- different facets of procurement, so there's directs and 9 indirects and, typically, PPE would be considered 10
- 11 an indirect, a commodity item, if you like, and I don't
- 12 have deep expertise in procurement of commodity items in 13 such a way.
- 14 So if you look at my career history I've bought
- 15 stuff like, you know, propulsors and things for
- 16 submarines, so there was never an issue or a market as
- 17 volatile as that, so no, not in my experience.
- Q. Mr Blackburn, you refer very helpfully in your evidence 18
- 19 to a handover document, which I think you describe as
- 20 the beginnings of an emergency procurement playbook,
- 21 something which you didn't have in the New Suppliers
- 22 Team, or elsewhere, at the time the pandemic struck.
- 23 I wonder if we could bring that up, please. Thank you.
- 24 You were one of a number of contributors to this

document. Page 28.

1		something completely different. It could be about
2		sanitisation and, actually, it's less about PPE but, you
3		know, just keeping everywhere clean. So you would need
4		a different set of cells, et cetera, within your webform
5		or within your portal in order to address some of the
6		things we've got here.
7		So I think having a system ready to go is a good
8		starting point and having the ability to make rapid
9		design and changes to any such input forms that would
10		allow you to do the data interrogation that has been
11		spoken about.
12	Q.	I think what you're referring to, if I may, is
13		a structured data approach?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	So the way in which the data is structured, which
16		Professor Sanchez-Graells referred to in his report
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	that having an approach to that, which is not too
19		prescriptive because as you say, you can't predict what
20		the next emergency may be
21	Α.	But consistency of input. So, you know, I don't put in
22		"10m", someone puts in "1,000,000", et cetera, so
23		consistency of data.
24	Q.	Yes, rather than being free flow, there's some structure
25		to it.

1	Α.	Yes.	1
2	Q.		2
3		linkages and interfaces". It says there:	3
4		" there were no direct interfaces between the	4
5		various systems in use: Mendix, DHSC, Finance,	5
6		Uniserve's OneWorld", which is a supply chain software.	6
7	Α.	Yes.	7
8	Q.	" and so manual workarounds needed to be created to	8
9		ensure information was available to all teams."	9
10		Then on the right-hand side:	10
11		"We needed a clearer understanding of the end-to-end	11
12		data needs at an early stage in the programme rather	12
13		than working through each stage in a linear fashion (ie	13
14		understanding Opportunities and Technical Assurance	14
15		needs before clarifying the needs of Closing and	15
16		Logistics teams)."	16
17		That's a bit of a mouthful but could you help us,	17
18		please, with that. What problems were there with the	18
19		way in which data was being accumulated and shared and	19
20		processed as between the various teams in the New	20
21		Suppliers Team but also making up part of China Buy and	21
22		UK Make?	22
23	Α.		23
24		organisations, even in the private sector, today still	24
25		grapple with this, with you know, numerous systems as 89	25
1		Then there's the Uniserve tracking, so when the item	1
2		is being produced it leaves the factory where it is in	2
3		the world, be it shipped, or what have you, where it is	3
4		in the UK, so that tracking of those items through.	4
5		And sometimes it's difficult to match and marry up.	5
6		So if you take Ayanda, for example, I don't know if	6
7		there was a unique reference number that allows Ayanda	7
8		to be tracked from contracts through to the purchase to	8
9	•	pay, through to DHSC, other than the word "Ayanda".	9
10	Q.		10
11 12		a system which, at least in some way, matches up all of	11
12		those three systems together so that they interlock and they talk to each other?	12 13
14	Α.		13
15		data and the data flow through the different systems,	14
16		whether that be an overriding, overarching system, or	16
17		some form of unique identifiers, and commonality of data	17
18		through them.	18
19	Q.		19
20		the New Opportunities Team, which was the Excel	20
21		spreadsheet into which lots of the offers were being	21
22		entered. And at the beginning of the pandemic that was	22
23		the way in which data was being processed, wasn't it?	23
24	Α.	Correct.	24
25	Q.	And that Excel spreadsheet, I wonder if we could bring	25
		01	

their organisations evolved and they bought different systems to suit different problems and then getting those systems to talk each other. That's not unique just to this, it -- you know, that still applies to private sector today. A lot of organisations, they -- as I've talked about, they evolve, the organisation evolves, so they buy a system to meet a current need. In this instance, you know, we would have -- because opportunities and technical assurance were the first part of the process, we would have been looking at what does the system need to do to get us through those hoops rather than thinking about the end goal and what is the information we would need at the end, and making sure that at those early stages everything was covered, so all of the information that was asked for was covered at the end. Also, you've got, as we talk about, the different systems for different stages of the process. So you'd got the Mendix system, which, if you like, is your source to contract system. So identifying opportunities and taking them through to get a contract. Then you've got the DHSC system, which is the purchase to pay, so once the contract is in place you raise a purchase order and then the supplier is allowed to invoice and you do your three-way matching. 90 it up, please. It's INQ000534813. I won't go through each of the categories here, but if I can just demonstrate how large this spreadsheet became. Row 1 -- I wonder if we could scroll all the way down to row -- I think it goes up to 55,215 rows of information. LADY HALLETT: I've got the point, Mr Sharma. MR SHARMA: And if we could scroll the other way, please, 25 columns. Just in this single spreadsheet alone -- and again, placing this in the context of the emergency and the pressure that everyone was under -- this amounts to nearly 1.4 million individual pieces of data, which are being accumulated and managed and triaged. And it wasn't until some time in April, I think mid-April, that access to Mendix was obtained. A. Yes, 9 April. Q. 9 April. Using this must have caused you and your team enormous stress, inputting data into this on a daily basis and trying to keep up with the information that was coming in? A. Certainly for the team, yes. Q. It was, at the very least, suboptimal, until Mendix came 92

(23) Pages 89 - 92

1		online. What did Mendix do?
2	Α.	Mendix was more of a case management system, so designed
3		to handle cases and keeping it all consistent in, sort
4		of, one place. It was easy to interrogate and get
5		information from. You know, you're sharing spreadsheets
6		around, you've got a risk of data integrity and things
7		being incorrect, whereas at least in Mendix people are
8		accessing it and the data integrity should be better and
9		a more consistent completion of the data required.
10	Q.	And then much later on, or a little later on, in the
11		summer of 2020, after Mendix, the case management
12		system, it moved on to another system called Atamis.
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	Could we bring up, please, INQ000477254.
15		This a screenshot of what a more advanced data
16		management system than both Mendix and, of course, the
17		Excel spreadsheet that we started with.
18		You, of course, didn't have this at the beginning of
19		the pandemic. What effect would it have had if you had
20		this sort of data and analysis available to you at the
21		beginning?
22	Α.	So I'd need to look at the sort of data, et cetera, that
23		this is showing and how you would interrogate it, but it
24		would have given us if this was capturing all of the
25		offers made, it would have allowed us to have better 93
		30
1		Thank you for your help to the Inquiry and obviously
2		thank you for all that you did. I don't know if you've
3		heard me thank your colleagues but whatever criticisms
4		have been made of the system generally, certainly you
5		and your colleagues can't be criticised for your
6		
7		EWITNESS: Thank you.
8	LAI	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much.
9 10		Right, are we ready to go to the next witness, or do
10 11	MD	you want me to break early for lunch? WALD: Break early.
12		DY HALLETT: Break early for lunch.
13	LAI	Very well. I shall return at 1.25 pm.
13 14	(12	.19 pm)
14	(12.	(The Short Adjournment)
16	(1 2	(The Short Adjournment) (5 pm)
17	•	DY HALLETT: Mr Ward.
18		WALD: My Lady our next witness today is Dr Chris Hall.
19	WIIX	DR CHRIS HALL (sworn)
20	ſ	Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY FOR MODULE 5
20		DY HALLETT: Dr Hall, I hope we didn't interrupt your
21	-71	lunch by having a slightly different lunch break.
23	тня	E WITNESS: Not at all, my Lady.
24		WALD: Could you start by giving the Inquiry your full
25		name please.
-		95

1		
		visibility of those offers and the volumes of those
2		offers to help with the prioritisation, avoiding out
3		category 2 and 3. By category 2 and 3 I mean old lady
4		offering to make masks out of ex-husband's shirts and
5		factories just providing excess stock.
6		So it would have allowed for better visibility of
7	~	what the offers were.
8	Q.	This is, of course, only one screenshot from that
9		system.
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	And as you say, it presents the data at least in
12		a graphical format, but you can at least see, in
13		a simple, single picture, the volume, the volume by
14		purchase status, the volume by type, the monthly volume
15		which are being accumulated, and so on?
16	Α.	Correct. I mean, you know, in the very first weeks it
17		was just "buy gloves", rather than nitrile gloves or any
18		other sort or variant of glove.
19	MR	SHARMA: Mr Blackburn, thank you. I don't have any
20		further questions.
21		E WITNESS: Thank you.
22	LAI	DY HALLETT: I don't think there are any Core
23		Participant any Rule 10.
24		
27		SHARMA: No, my Lady.
25		SHARMA: No, my Lady. DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94
25	LAI	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94
		DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94 Christopher Hall, but I'd prefer if you called me Chris,
25 1 2	LAI A.	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94 Christopher Hall, but I'd prefer if you called me Chris, if that's possible.
25	LAI	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94 Christopher Hall, but I'd prefer if you called me Chris, if that's possible. I will probably resort to Mr Hall or Dr Hall, whichever
25 1 2 3 4	LAI A. Q.	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94 Christopher Hall, but I'd prefer if you called me Chris, if that's possible. I will probably resort to Mr Hall or Dr Hall, whichever you prefer.
25 1 2 3 4 5	LAI A. Q. A.	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94 Christopher Hall, but I'd prefer if you called me Chris, if that's possible. I will probably resort to Mr Hall or Dr Hall, whichever you prefer. As you wish.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6	LAI A. Q.	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94 Christopher Hall, but I'd prefer if you called me Chris, if that's possible. I will probably resort to Mr Hall or Dr Hall, whichever you prefer. As you wish. Dr Hall, we are grateful to you for the provision of two
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	LAI A. Q. A.	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94 Christopher Hall, but I'd prefer if you called me Chris, if that's possible. I will probably resort to Mr Hall or Dr Hall, whichever you prefer. As you wish. Dr Hall, we are grateful to you for the provision of two statements, Inquiry document INQ000536369 and
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LAI A. Q. A.	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94 Christopher Hall, but I'd prefer if you called me Chris, if that's possible. I will probably resort to Mr Hall or Dr Hall, whichever you prefer. As you wish. Dr Hall, we are grateful to you for the provision of two statements, Inquiry document INQ000536369 and INQ000536421, both of which have been signed by you.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LAI A. Q. A.	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94 Christopher Hall, but I'd prefer if you called me Chris, if that's possible. I will probably resort to Mr Hall or Dr Hall, whichever you prefer. As you wish. Dr Hall, we are grateful to you for the provision of two statements, Inquiry document INQ000536369 and INQ000536421, both of which have been signed by you. Can you confirm, please, that they are true to the best
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LAI A. Q. Q.	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94 Christopher Hall, but I'd prefer if you called me Chris, if that's possible. I will probably resort to Mr Hall or Dr Hall, whichever you prefer. As you wish. Dr Hall, we are grateful to you for the provision of two statements, Inquiry document INQ000536369 and INQ000536421, both of which have been signed by you. Can you confirm, please, that they are true to the best of your knowledge and belief.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	LAI A. Q. Q. A.	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94 Christopher Hall, but I'd prefer if you called me Chris, if that's possible. I will probably resort to Mr Hall or Dr Hall, whichever you prefer. As you wish. Dr Hall, we are grateful to you for the provision of two statements, Inquiry document INQ000536369 and INQ000536421, both of which have been signed by you. Can you confirm, please, that they are true to the best of your knowledge and belief. I can confirm it.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	LAI A. Q. Q.	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94 Christopher Hall, but I'd prefer if you called me Chris, if that's possible. I will probably resort to Mr Hall or Dr Hall, whichever you prefer. As you wish. Dr Hall, we are grateful to you for the provision of two statements, Inquiry document INQ000536369 and INQ000536421, both of which have been signed by you. Can you confirm, please, that they are true to the best of your knowledge and belief. I can confirm it. Thank you very much indeed. Can I start with a number
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LAI A. Q. Q. A.	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94 Christopher Hall, but I'd prefer if you called me Chris, if that's possible. I will probably resort to Mr Hall or Dr Hall, whichever you prefer. As you wish. Dr Hall, we are grateful to you for the provision of two statements, Inquiry document INQ000536369 and INQ000536421, both of which have been signed by you. Can you confirm, please, that they are true to the best of your knowledge and belief. I can confirm it. Thank you very much indeed. Can I start with a number of preliminary questions about your background, Dr Hall.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	LAI A. Q. Q. A.	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94 Christopher Hall, but I'd prefer if you called me Chris, if that's possible. I will probably resort to Mr Hall or Dr Hall, whichever you prefer. As you wish. Dr Hall, we are grateful to you for the provision of two statements, Inquiry document INQ000536369 and INQ000536421, both of which have been signed by you. Can you confirm, please, that they are true to the best of your knowledge and belief. I can confirm it. Thank you very much indeed. Can I start with a number of preliminary questions about your background, Dr Hall. You joined the Cabinet Office on secondment, did you
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	LAI A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94 Christopher Hall, but I'd prefer if you called me Chris, if that's possible. I will probably resort to Mr Hall or Dr Hall, whichever you prefer. As you wish. Dr Hall, we are grateful to you for the provision of two statements, Inquiry document INQ000536369 and INQ000536421, both of which have been signed by you. Can you confirm, please, that they are true to the best of your knowledge and belief. I can confirm it. Thank you very much indeed. Can I start with a number of preliminary questions about your background, Dr Hall.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15	LAI A. Q. A. Q. A.	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94 Christopher Hall, but I'd prefer if you called me Chris, if that's possible. I will probably resort to Mr Hall or Dr Hall, whichever you prefer. As you wish. Dr Hall, we are grateful to you for the provision of two statements, Inquiry document INQ000536369 and INQ000536421, both of which have been signed by you. Can you confirm, please, that they are true to the best of your knowledge and belief. I can confirm it. Thank you very much indeed. Can I start with a number of preliminary questions about your background, Dr Hall. You joined the Cabinet Office on secondment, did you not, in September 2012? Yes.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 15 16 7 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 112 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 112 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 112 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 112 3 14 5 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	LAI A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94 Christopher Hall, but I'd prefer if you called me Chris, if that's possible. I I will probably resort to Mr Hall or Dr Hall, whichever you prefer. As you wish. Dr Hall, we are grateful to you for the provision of two statements, Inquiry document INQ000536369 and INQ000536421, both of which have been signed by you. Can you confirm, please, that they are true to the best of your knowledge and belief. I can confirm it. Thank you very much indeed. Can I start with a number of preliminary questions about your background, Dr Hall. You joined the Cabinet Office on secondment, did you not, in September 2012? Yes. You worked for the GCCO, the Government Commercial
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LAI A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94 Christopher Hall, but I'd prefer if you called me Chris, if that's possible. I will probably resort to Mr Hall or Dr Hall, whichever you prefer. As you wish. Dr Hall, we are grateful to you for the provision of two statements, Inquiry document INQ000536369 and INQ000536421, both of which have been signed by you. Can you confirm, please, that they are true to the best of your knowledge and belief. I can confirm it. Thank you very much indeed. Can I start with a number of preliminary questions about your background, Dr Hall. You joined the Cabinet Office on secondment, did you not, in September 2012? Yes. You worked for the GCCO, the Government Commercial The Chief Commercial Officer, yes.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	LAI A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94 Christopher Hall, but I'd prefer if you called me Chris, if that's possible. I will probably resort to Mr Hall or Dr Hall, whichever you prefer. As you wish. Dr Hall, we are grateful to you for the provision of two statements, Inquiry document INQ000536369 and INQ000536421, both of which have been signed by you. Can you confirm, please, that they are true to the best of your knowledge and belief. I can confirm it. Thank you very much indeed. Can I start with a number of preliminary questions about your background, Dr Hall. You joined the Cabinet Office on secondment, did you not, in September 2012? Yes. You worked for the GCCO, the Government Commercial The Chief Commercial Officer, yes. The Chief Commercial Officer. When that secondment
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	LAI A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94 Christopher Hall, but I'd prefer if you called me Chris, if that's possible. I will probably resort to Mr Hall or Dr Hall, whichever you prefer. As you wish. Dr Hall, we are grateful to you for the provision of two statements, Inquiry document INQ000536369 and INQ000536421, both of which have been signed by you. Can you confirm, please, that they are true to the best of your knowledge and belief. I can confirm it. Thank you very much indeed. Can I start with a number of preliminary questions about your background, Dr Hall. You joined the Cabinet Office on secondment, did you not, in September 2012? Yes. You worked for the GCCO, the Government Commercial The Chief Commercial Officer, yes. The Chief Commercial Officer. When that secondment ended you joined the Civil Service in the spring of 2014
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LAI A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94 Christopher Hall, but I'd prefer if you called me Chris, if that's possible. I will probably resort to Mr Hall or Dr Hall, whichever you prefer. As you wish. Dr Hall, we are grateful to you for the provision of two statements, Inquiry document INQ000536369 and INQ000536421, both of which have been signed by you. Can you confirm, please, that they are true to the best of your knowledge and belief. I can confirm it. Thank you very much indeed. Can I start with a number of preliminary questions about your background, Dr Hall. You joined the Cabinet Office on secondment, did you not, in September 2012? Yes. You worked for the GCCO, the Government Commercial The Chief Commercial Officer. When that secondment ended you joined the Civil Service in the spring of 2014 as a Deputy Director leading the Complex Transactions
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LAI A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94 Christopher Hall, but I'd prefer if you called me Chris, if that's possible. I will probably resort to Mr Hall or Dr Hall, whichever you prefer. As you wish. Dr Hall, we are grateful to you for the provision of two statements, Inquiry document INQ000536369 and INQ000536421, both of which have been signed by you. Can you confirm, please, that they are true to the best of your knowledge and belief. I can confirm it. Thank you very much indeed. Can I start with a number of preliminary questions about your background, Dr Hall. You joined the Cabinet Office on secondment, did you not, in September 2012? Yes. You worked for the GCCO, the Government Commercial The Chief Commercial Officer, yes. The Chief Commercial Officer. When that secondment ended you joined the Civil Service in the spring of 2014 as a Deputy Director leading the Complex Transactions Team?
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LAI A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94 Christopher Hall, but I'd prefer if you called me Chris, if that's possible. I will probably resort to Mr Hall or Dr Hall, whichever you prefer. As you wish. Dr Hall, we are grateful to you for the provision of two statements, Inquiry document INQ000536369 and INQ000536421, both of which have been signed by you. Can you confirm, please, that they are true to the best of your knowledge and belief. I can confirm it. Thank you very much indeed. Can I start with a number of preliminary questions about your background, Dr Hall. You joined the Cabinet Office on secondment, did you not, in September 2012? Yes. You worked for the GCCO, the Government Commercial The Chief Commercial Officer, yes. The Chief Commercial Officer. When that secondment ended you joined the Civil Service in the spring of 2014 as a Deputy Director leading the Complex Transactions Team?
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LAI A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Blackburr 94 Christopher Hall, but I'd prefer if you called me Chris, if that's possible. I will probably resort to Mr Hall or Dr Hall, whichever you prefer. As you wish. Dr Hall, we are grateful to you for the provision of two statements, Inquiry document INQ000536369 and INQ000536421, both of which have been signed by you. Can you confirm, please, that they are true to the best of your knowledge and belief. I can confirm it. Thank you very much indeed. Can I start with a number of preliminary questions about your background, Dr Hall. You joined the Cabinet Office on secondment, did you not, in September 2012? Yes. You worked for the GCCO, the Government Commercial The Chief Commercial Officer, yes. The Chief Commercial Officer. When that secondment ended you joined the Civil Service in the spring of 2014 as a Deputy Director leading the Complex Transactions Team?

1	advisory	unit.

- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 Q. You, at that point, had accumulated a very significant
- 4 amount of experience in the IT industry; is that right?
- 5 A. Yes, that's right.
- 6 Q. In fact, something in the order of 30 years?
- 7 A. I'm sorry, I'm older than I look!
- 8 **Q.** Well, it wasn't for that reason that I made the point.
- 9 I will be asking you some questions about data and
- 10 IT handling and it's relevant, for that purpose, to know
- 11 that you have had that experience.
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. Thank you. In addition to that, in common with some of
- the other witnesses that have given evidence in thecourse of this Inquiry, you have quite a lot of publicsector experience?
- 17 A. Indeed, starting when I worked for IT companies, selling
- 18 to the public sector, and then as a management
- 19 consultant working for public sector entities,
- 20 particularly on procurement projects.
- 21 **Q.** Thank you. From about 20 April 2020, you took on
- 22 a wider managerial role as part of the management team
- 23 of the PPE Buy Cell and you were the most senior Cabinet
- 24 Office Commercial representative within that team?
- 25 A. Yes, that's right.
- 97
- 1 right --
- 2 A. That's correct.
- Q. -- which we'll come back to, but there was, in order to
 communicate, video conferencing and file sharing, which
- 5 were difficult to manage at that time, weren't they?
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- 7 Q. Information exchange was a challenge, to say the least?
- 8 A. Yes, among other challenges, yes.
- 9 Q. Those involved in the VIP Lane resorted to very large
- spreadsheets, we saw an example of that earlier today,and there were no live documents, were there?
- 12 A. You mean shared documents or --
- 13 Q. Shared documents which could be updated periodically by
 whoever was in that document or inputting data into
 those documents?
- 16 A. That's right because we couldn't share those outside of17 departmental systems.
- 18 Q. Yes, because of a siloing effect of each departmenthaving its own systems?
- 20 A. And different technology, yes.
- 21 Q. And different technology. At this point, Mendix had not22 yet been introduced?
- 23 A. That is correct.
- 24 Q. How much of a step forward was Mendix when it arrived?
- 25 A. Enormous.

- 1 Q. In fact, you worked as Mr Rhys Williams' deputy until
 - July 2020, did you not?
- 3 A. Yes.

- 4 **Q.** You have retired between then and now; you were retired
- 5 in July 2022?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Thank you. Rather like Mr Cairnduff, who gave evidence
- 8 earlier today, you had a relatively short but no doubt
- 9 very intense period within the PPE Buy Cell?
- 10 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 11 **Q.** That period ran, it's a little longer than
- 12 Mr Cairnduff's, from 2 April 2020 until 30 June 2020?
- 13 A. It's actually a little bit shorter than Mr Cairnduff's
- 14 but your dates are correct.
- 15 **Q.** Your experience within the VIP Lane, let's just situate
- 16 ourselves within that entity. It is, the VIP Lane was
- 17 made up of civil servants and volunteers from across the
- 18 government. Yes?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. There were a small number of consultants and there were
- 21 caseworkers working from home, in the main?
- 22 A. As was I.
- 23 Q. As was more or less everybody at that point.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Each department had its own IT systems -- is that98
- 1 Q. Please tell us why.
- 2 A. So Mendix was a single, I believe, Cloud-based system,
- 3 which most of the people inside the PPE Buy Cell could
- 4 access, the sort of hackneyed phrase, which is a "single
- 5 version of the truth", but that's, in many cases, what
- 6 it represented so if you wanted to find out the status
- 7 of a particular offer, what a particular offer consisted
- 8 of, then that data was consistent and was held in Mendix
- 9 once, rather than being in many different places in
- 10 different people's private storage areas or indeed in
- these multiple spreadsheets that you were referring toearlier.
- 13 **Q.** So before the introduction of Mendix, most caseworkers
- 14 had very limited information with which to work --
- 15 A. Mm-hm.
- 16 Q. -- including whether targets were being met or not?
- 17 A. I think that's more of a communications issue than
 18 a data issue, and it was -- for whatever reason, certain
- 19 information was limited in circulation.
- 20 Q. Well, whatever the reason, did that itself have
- 21 an impact on morale and efficiency?
- A. I mean, speaking as a caseworker at the time, it hadan effect on my morale and my efficiency, yes.
- 24 Q. All right. You were allocated cases by Mr Cairnduff,
- 25 weren't you?

1	Α.	Yes.	1
2	Q.	In fact, he wanted you to handle what he describes as	2
3		the most difficult cases?	3
4	Α.	Yes.	4
5	Q.	By that, he meant those with the biggest stakeholder	5
6		implications, we're told?	6
7	Α.	By and large, yes.	7
8	Q.	So putting it in terms of the VIP Lane, we're talking	8
9		about those that were referring offers into the VIP Lane	9
10 11	•	that expected responses to demands for feedback?	10
12	A. Q.	Yes.	11 12
12	Q.	Why is it that it was you that was asked to handle those most difficult of cases?	12
14	Α.	If my Lady would permit, I just want to go back a little	13
15	ς.	tiny bit and explain my personal situation. So why was	14
16		I, as a director, a CS2, working as a caseworker	16
17		alongside more junior staff in the front line of this	10
18		enterprise?	18
19	Q.	•	19
20	Α.	I was about to retire. So when Covid hit, I was about	20
21		to retire for about the third time, and my successor as	21
22		Deputy Chief Commercial Officer had already been	22
23		appointed and I was cutting down my hours. I was	23
24		working two days a week, and I was on a glide path to	24
25		what I saw as a long and happy retirement tending my	25
		101	
1			1
2	Α.	politically sensitive cases? In Max's description, yes.	2
2	Q.		3
4	ч.	"To my mind, these were the offers which had the	4
5		potential to cause reputational damage to the programme	5
6		and to undermine public confidence if not handled	6
7		appropriately."	7
8	Α.	Certainly some of them were in that category, yes.	8
9	Q.	And you're referring there to cases within the VIP Lane?	9
10	Α.	All the cases I saw were in the High Priority Lane, yes.	10
11	Q.	There's a certain irony there, isn't there, that you at	11
12		the outset were concerned about the possibility that the	12
13		handling of those cases would cause reputational damage.	13
14		You're aware, of course, that the High Priority Lane	14
15		itself caused a degree of reputational damage?	15
16	Α.	Yes, which I regret.	16
17	Q.	Yes. Should that handling of reputational damage be	17
18		a task that is taken up by yourself or your colleagues	18
19		in a time of crisis or emergency?	19
20	Α.	I think that's quite a complex question to think about.	20
21		I think it's possibly naive to think that these	21
22		programmes exist outside of a political context, and	22
23		whole issue of the handling of the pandemic was the	23
24		subject of intense media attention, and of course	24
05		e e sur i e d'are en l'étaiene de la menur le sur e l'en d'étaiene	05

occupied many politicians for many hours, and it was

103

nquir	у	6 March 2025
1		garden and indulging myself in my many hobbies.
2		When Covid hit, I was away from the Cabinet Office.
3		I wasn't in the office physically. I actually went to
4		live with my mother in Derbyshire because she's
5		approaching 90 and I thought that was the only way to
6		keep her safe. So I'm remote physically and, to
7		a certain extent, organisationally from what might be
8		considered my normal duties in the Cabinet Office.
9		And after a couple of weeks doing that, I saw and
10		was receiving regular bulletins about what my colleagues
11		were doing, I saw that there was a grave need for people
12		with my skill set and that I could make a serious
13		contribution to what was going on and, as a consequence,
14		I volunteered to come and work anywhere in the Covid-19
15 16		procurement effort and I spoke to Janette, who was the
17		manager of the Complex Transactions Team at the time and she allocated me, she said, "There's a job here, working
18		for Max, in this PPE Buy Cell. It would suit your
19		skill set. Max is looking for somebody who is used to
20		dealing with ministers, who is used to handling C suite
21		executives from from outside corporations, and who
22		has got the kind of network that you've got".
23	Q.	Understood, and thank you for that explanation of
24		background and how it came to be that you were working
25		where you did work. You were allocated the most
		102
1		a very intense period for the politicians, as it was for
2		the officials.
3		Part of the job, I believe, was to maintain public
4		confidence that the government could answer this
5		particular challenge, could answer the challenge of
6		setting up a test network that would adequately test
7		people for the disease, that would keep people safe,
8		buying the vaccines, and also the very, very important
9		job of obtaining enough PPE for health and social care
10		workers.
11	Q.	Let me put that question in a slightly different way in
12		that case. In an ideal world, one wouldn't be coping or
13		managing that noise or that distraction, one would focus
14		instead on the fundamental task of procuring as much as
15		was needed and as effectively as possible?
16	Α.	In an ideal world, yes, you would. But we were not in
17	~	an ideal world.
18	Q.	Particularly given, as you recount in some detail in
19 20		your evidence, the volume of work and the stresses and
20 21		the strains that were caused by that. You say that you yourself processed 40 cases in parallel?
21	Α.	Yes, but others processed more.
23	Q.	Others processed more.

- 23 **Q.** Others processed more.
 - Your own recollection is that that volume of work
- 25 was extremely demanding. You used a Trello board. Can 104

2

1		you explain what that is?
2	Α.	I'm sorry, it's a bit of jargon. It's a network
3		available sorry, an Internet-available tool for
4		handling information, and it's the equivalent of an
5		electronic pinboard, so you can put little notes saying,
6		"I've called Mr So-and-so on this particular date and
7		done this with him", and I can organise that pin board
8		so I can use it as a brought-forward file.
9	Q.	Thank you.
10		You tell us that following up a single lead could
11		require dozens of telephone calls and emails?
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	That's within the deliverable. Yes?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	Do you know what the experience was outside of the
16		VIP Lane, are you able to compare the two?
17	Α.	Not directly, but I can't imagine it's very different.
18	Q.	You think a single lead might have required dozens of
19		telephone calls and emails?
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	
22		every two minutes, either to a prospective supplier or
23		to a colleague to try to progress an order?
24		Yes.
25	Q.	And in between those emails, presumably, you were 105
		100
1	0	who did have that experience.
2	Q.	Have you done so?
2 3	Α.	Have you done so? I have talked to Darren many times about that.
2 3 4		Have you done so? I have talked to Darren many times about that. Did you find that there was a roughly equivalent amount
2 3 4 5	A. Q.	Have you done so? I have talked to Darren many times about that. Did you find that there was a roughly equivalent amount of need to feed back in both of those processes?
2 3 4 5 6	Α.	Have you done so? I have talked to Darren many times about that. Did you find that there was a roughly equivalent amount of need to feed back in both of those processes? The need was to communicate with the supplier to get the
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q.	Have you done so? I have talked to Darren many times about that. Did you find that there was a roughly equivalent amount of need to feed back in both of those processes? The need was to communicate with the supplier to get the offer to a point where we could actually process it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A.	Have you done so? I have talked to Darren many times about that. Did you find that there was a roughly equivalent amount of need to feed back in both of those processes? The need was to communicate with the supplier to get the offer to a point where we could actually process it sensibly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	Have you done so? I have talked to Darren many times about that. Did you find that there was a roughly equivalent amount of need to feed back in both of those processes? The need was to communicate with the supplier to get the offer to a point where we could actually process it sensibly. Well, in the case of non-VIP Lane offers, it's the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A.	Have you done so? I have talked to Darren many times about that. Did you find that there was a roughly equivalent amount of need to feed back in both of those processes? The need was to communicate with the supplier to get the offer to a point where we could actually process it sensibly. Well, in the case of non-VIP Lane offers, it's the supplier. In the case of VIP Lane offers, it's often
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A. Q.	Have you done so? I have talked to Darren many times about that. Did you find that there was a roughly equivalent amount of need to feed back in both of those processes? The need was to communicate with the supplier to get the offer to a point where we could actually process it sensibly. Well, in the case of non-VIP Lane offers, it's the supplier. In the case of VIP Lane offers, it's often the referrer, isn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q. A.	Have you done so? I have talked to Darren many times about that. Did you find that there was a roughly equivalent amount of need to feed back in both of those processes? The need was to communicate with the supplier to get the offer to a point where we could actually process it sensibly. Well, in the case of non-VIP Lane offers, it's the supplier. In the case of VIP Lane offers, it's often the referrer, isn't it? Ah, okay, I understand the distinction. So the amount
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q.	Have you done so? I have talked to Darren many times about that. Did you find that there was a roughly equivalent amount of need to feed back in both of those processes? The need was to communicate with the supplier to get the offer to a point where we could actually process it sensibly. Well, in the case of non-VIP Lane offers, it's the supplier. In the case of VIP Lane offers, it's often the referrer, isn't it? Ah, okay, I understand the distinction. So the amount of communication with the supplier I would estimate was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q.	Have you done so? I have talked to Darren many times about that. Did you find that there was a roughly equivalent amount of need to feed back in both of those processes? The need was to communicate with the supplier to get the offer to a point where we could actually process it sensibly. Well, in the case of non-VIP Lane offers, it's the supplier. In the case of VIP Lane offers, it's often the referrer, isn't it? Ah, okay, I understand the distinction. So the amount of communication with the supplier I would estimate was comparable, but the non-VIP Lane case caseworkers, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q.	Have you done so? I have talked to Darren many times about that. Did you find that there was a roughly equivalent amount of need to feed back in both of those processes? The need was to communicate with the supplier to get the offer to a point where we could actually process it sensibly. Well, in the case of non-VIP Lane offers, it's the supplier. In the case of VIP Lane offers, it's often the referrer, isn't it? Ah, okay, I understand the distinction. So the amount of communication with the supplier I would estimate was comparable, but the non-VIP Lane case caseworkers, the non-HPL caseworkers did not have to communicate with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q.	Have you done so? I have talked to Darren many times about that. Did you find that there was a roughly equivalent amount of need to feed back in both of those processes? The need was to communicate with the supplier to get the offer to a point where we could actually process it sensibly. Well, in the case of non-VIP Lane offers, it's the supplier. In the case of VIP Lane offers, it's often the referrer, isn't it? Ah, okay, I understand the distinction. So the amount of communication with the supplier I would estimate was comparable, but the non-VIP Lane case caseworkers, the non-HPL caseworkers did not have to communicate with a third party, the referrer, about the progress of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q.	Have you done so? I have talked to Darren many times about that. Did you find that there was a roughly equivalent amount of need to feed back in both of those processes? The need was to communicate with the supplier to get the offer to a point where we could actually process it sensibly. Well, in the case of non-VIP Lane offers, it's the supplier. In the case of VIP Lane offers, it's often the referrer, isn't it? Ah, okay, I understand the distinction. So the amount of communication with the supplier I would estimate was comparable, but the non-VIP Lane case caseworkers, the non-HPL caseworkers did not have to communicate with a third party, the referrer, about the progress of offers.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q.	Have you done so? I have talked to Darren many times about that. Did you find that there was a roughly equivalent amount of need to feed back in both of those processes? The need was to communicate with the supplier to get the offer to a point where we could actually process it sensibly. Well, in the case of non-VIP Lane offers, it's the supplier. In the case of VIP Lane offers, it's often the referrer, isn't it? Ah, okay, I understand the distinction. So the amount of communication with the supplier I would estimate was comparable, but the non-VIP Lane case caseworkers, the non-HPL caseworkers did not have to communicate with a third party, the referrer, about the progress of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. Q. A. Q.	Have you done so? I have talked to Darren many times about that. Did you find that there was a roughly equivalent amount of need to feed back in both of those processes? The need was to communicate with the supplier to get the offer to a point where we could actually process it sensibly. Well, in the case of non-VIP Lane offers, it's the supplier. In the case of VIP Lane offers, it's often the referrer, isn't it? Ah, okay, I understand the distinction. So the amount of communication with the supplier I would estimate was comparable, but the non-VIP Lane case caseworkers, the non-HPL caseworkers did not have to communicate with a third party, the referrer, about the progress of offers. Indeed, and that does make sense, doesn't it Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. Q. A. Q.	Have you done so? I have talked to Darren many times about that. Did you find that there was a roughly equivalent amount of need to feed back in both of those processes? The need was to communicate with the supplier to get the offer to a point where we could actually process it sensibly. Well, in the case of non-VIP Lane offers, it's the supplier. In the case of VIP Lane offers, it's often the referrer, isn't it? Ah, okay, I understand the distinction. So the amount of communication with the supplier I would estimate was comparable, but the non-VIP Lane case caseworkers, the non-HPL caseworkers did not have to communicate with a third party, the referrer, about the progress of offers. Indeed, and that does make sense, doesn't it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. Q. A. Q.	Have you done so? I have talked to Darren many times about that. Did you find that there was a roughly equivalent amount of need to feed back in both of those processes? The need was to communicate with the supplier to get the offer to a point where we could actually process it sensibly. Well, in the case of non-VIP Lane offers, it's the supplier. In the case of VIP Lane offers, it's often the referrer, isn't it? Ah, okay, I understand the distinction. So the amount of communication with the supplier I would estimate was comparable, but the non-VIP Lane case caseworkers, the non-HPL caseworkers did not have to communicate with a third party, the referrer, about the progress of offers. Indeed, and that does make sense, doesn't it Yes. because if you're getting close to concluding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. Q. A. Q.	Have you done so? I have talked to Darren many times about that. Did you find that there was a roughly equivalent amount of need to feed back in both of those processes? The need was to communicate with the supplier to get the offer to a point where we could actually process it sensibly. Well, in the case of non-VIP Lane offers, it's the supplier. In the case of VIP Lane offers, it's often the referrer, isn't it? Ah, okay, I understand the distinction. So the amount of communication with the supplier I would estimate was comparable, but the non-VIP Lane case caseworkers, the non-HPL caseworkers did not have to communicate with a third party, the referrer, about the progress of offers. Indeed, and that does make sense, doesn't it Yes. because if you're getting close to concluding a contract, you may need to communicate directly with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. Q. A. Q.	Have you done so? I have talked to Darren many times about that. Did you find that there was a roughly equivalent amount of need to feed back in both of those processes? The need was to communicate with the supplier to get the offer to a point where we could actually process it sensibly. Well, in the case of non-VIP Lane offers, it's the supplier. In the case of VIP Lane offers, it's often the referrer, isn't it? Ah, okay, I understand the distinction. So the amount of communication with the supplier I would estimate was comparable, but the non-VIP Lane case caseworkers, the non-HPL caseworkers did not have to communicate with a third party, the referrer, about the progress of offers. Indeed, and that does make sense, doesn't it Yes. because if you're getting close to concluding a contract, you may need to communicate directly with the supplier but, in the earlier stages of the process, that may not be necessary, or so necessary?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. Q. A. Q.	Have you done so? I have talked to Darren many times about that. Did you find that there was a roughly equivalent amount of need to feed back in both of those processes? The need was to communicate with the supplier to get the offer to a point where we could actually process it sensibly. Well, in the case of non-VIP Lane offers, it's the supplier. In the case of VIP Lane offers, it's often the referrer, isn't it? Ah, okay, I understand the distinction. So the amount of communication with the supplier I would estimate was comparable, but the non-VIP Lane case caseworkers, the non-HPL caseworkers did not have to communicate with a third party, the referrer, about the progress of offers. Indeed, and that does make sense, doesn't it Yes. because if you're getting close to concluding a contract, you may need to communicate directly with the supplier but, in the earlier stages of the process, that may not be necessary, or so necessary?

107

2		to be done in between?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	Yes. On top of all of that, threats were made, weren't
5		they? You tell us that it was quite common for
6		suppliers to threaten that they would escalate their
7		grievances to the press or to senior figures within
8		government?
9	Α.	Not uncommon, I would say. Not uncommon.
10	Q.	Again, do you say that that is an experience that was
11		not uncommon within the VIP Lane and elsewhere
12	Α.	l can't speak for elsewhere.
13	Q.	You can't. In the answer you gave earlier, you couldn't
14		speak for elsewhere either? How much direct
15		involvement, did you have with non-VIP Lane offers?
16	Α.	I did not have much involvement at the time but, as your
17		colleagues might know, I've spent the last year and
18		a half gathering evidence for the Inquiry and I've had
19		access to very, very many documents.
20	Q.	Even that exercise, tell me if I'm wrong here, does not
21		enable you to make a comparative analysis between the
22		intensity of communications necessary within the High
23		Priority Lane and outside of the High Priority Lane?
24	Α.	I can take some measure of the intensity by talking to
25		people like Darren Blackburn, who was in here earlier,
		106
1	Δ	They have
1 2	Q. ∆	They have. So the Opportunities teams of which the HPL team was
2	Q. A.	So the Opportunities teams, of which the HPL team was
2 3		So the Opportunities teams, of which the HPL team was one, is just the first step, the initial step in that
2 3 4		So the Opportunities teams, of which the HPL team was one, is just the first step, the initial step in that process. And I know Mr Blackburn talked to you about
2 3 4 5		So the Opportunities teams, of which the HPL team was one, is just the first step, the initial step in that process. And I know Mr Blackburn talked to you about this this morning.
2 3 4 5 6		So the Opportunities teams, of which the HPL team was one, is just the first step, the initial step in that process. And I know Mr Blackburn talked to you about this this morning. Then there's a handover to Technical Assurance.
2 3 4 5 6 7		So the Opportunities teams, of which the HPL team was one, is just the first step, the initial step in that process. And I know Mr Blackburn talked to you about this this morning. Then there's a handover to Technical Assurance. Technical Assurance check the documentation to do with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		So the Opportunities teams, of which the HPL team was one, is just the first step, the initial step in that process. And I know Mr Blackburn talked to you about this this morning. Then there's a handover to Technical Assurance. Technical Assurance check the documentation to do with the product. Often, Technical Assurance hand back and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		So the Opportunities teams, of which the HPL team was one, is just the first step, the initial step in that process. And I know Mr Blackburn talked to you about this this morning. Then there's a handover to Technical Assurance. Technical Assurance check the documentation to do with the product. Often, Technical Assurance hand back and say, "This is missing, that is missing, this doesn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		So the Opportunities teams, of which the HPL team was one, is just the first step, the initial step in that process. And I know Mr Blackburn talked to you about this this morning. Then there's a handover to Technical Assurance. Technical Assurance check the documentation to do with the product. Often, Technical Assurance hand back and say, "This is missing, that is missing, this doesn't look quite right", which provokes the Opportunities
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		So the Opportunities teams, of which the HPL team was one, is just the first step, the initial step in that process. And I know Mr Blackburn talked to you about this this morning. Then there's a handover to Technical Assurance. Technical Assurance check the documentation to do with the product. Often, Technical Assurance hand back and say, "This is missing, that is missing, this doesn't look quite right", which provokes the Opportunities caseworker to have further discussions with the supplier
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Α.	So the Opportunities teams, of which the HPL team was one, is just the first step, the initial step in that process. And I know Mr Blackburn talked to you about this this morning. Then there's a handover to Technical Assurance. Technical Assurance check the documentation to do with the product. Often, Technical Assurance hand back and say, "This is missing, that is missing, this doesn't look quite right", which provokes the Opportunities caseworker to have further discussions with the supplier to try to fill the gaps.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		So the Opportunities teams, of which the HPL team was one, is just the first step, the initial step in that process. And I know Mr Blackburn talked to you about this this morning. Then there's a handover to Technical Assurance. Technical Assurance check the documentation to do with the product. Often, Technical Assurance hand back and say, "This is missing, that is missing, this doesn't look quite right", which provokes the Opportunities caseworker to have further discussions with the supplier to try to fill the gaps. So I am focused on referrers now, and it's in relation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Α.	So the Opportunities teams, of which the HPL team was one, is just the first step, the initial step in that process. And I know Mr Blackburn talked to you about this this morning. Then there's a handover to Technical Assurance. Technical Assurance check the documentation to do with the product. Often, Technical Assurance hand back and say, "This is missing, that is missing, this doesn't look quite right", which provokes the Opportunities caseworker to have further discussions with the supplier to try to fill the gaps. So I am focused on referrers now, and it's in relation to those that you say in your witness statement:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Α.	So the Opportunities teams, of which the HPL team was one, is just the first step, the initial step in that process. And I know Mr Blackburn talked to you about this this morning. Then there's a handover to Technical Assurance. Technical Assurance check the documentation to do with the product. Often, Technical Assurance hand back and say, "This is missing, that is missing, this doesn't look quite right", which provokes the Opportunities caseworker to have further discussions with the supplier to try to fill the gaps. So I am focused on referrers now, and it's in relation to those that you say in your witness statement: "Ultimately, many of these complaints"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Α.	So the Opportunities teams, of which the HPL team was one, is just the first step, the initial step in that process. And I know Mr Blackburn talked to you about this this morning. Then there's a handover to Technical Assurance. Technical Assurance check the documentation to do with the product. Often, Technical Assurance hand back and say, "This is missing, that is missing, this doesn't look quite right", which provokes the Opportunities caseworker to have further discussions with the supplier to try to fill the gaps. So I am focused on referrers now, and it's in relation to those that you say in your witness statement: "Ultimately, many of these complaints" We're now talking about complaints made by referrers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Α.	So the Opportunities teams, of which the HPL team was one, is just the first step, the initial step in that process. And I know Mr Blackburn talked to you about this this morning. Then there's a handover to Technical Assurance. Technical Assurance check the documentation to do with the product. Often, Technical Assurance hand back and say, "This is missing, that is missing, this doesn't look quite right", which provokes the Opportunities caseworker to have further discussions with the supplier to try to fill the gaps. So I am focused on referrers now, and it's in relation to those that you say in your witness statement: "Ultimately, many of these complaints" We're now talking about complaints made by referrers whose offer had not received a response or who were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Α.	So the Opportunities teams, of which the HPL team was one, is just the first step, the initial step in that process. And I know Mr Blackburn talked to you about this this morning. Then there's a handover to Technical Assurance. Technical Assurance check the documentation to do with the product. Often, Technical Assurance hand back and say, "This is missing, that is missing, this doesn't look quite right", which provokes the Opportunities caseworker to have further discussions with the supplier to try to fill the gaps. So I am focused on referrers now, and it's in relation to those that you say in your witness statement: "Ultimately, many of these complaints" We're now talking about complaints made by referrers whose offer had not received a response or who were wondering what had happened with it, for example:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Α.	So the Opportunities teams, of which the HPL team was one, is just the first step, the initial step in that process. And I know Mr Blackburn talked to you about this this morning. Then there's a handover to Technical Assurance. Technical Assurance check the documentation to do with the product. Often, Technical Assurance hand back and say, "This is missing, that is missing, this doesn't look quite right", which provokes the Opportunities caseworker to have further discussions with the supplier to try to fill the gaps. So I am focused on referrers now, and it's in relation to those that you say in your witness statement: "Ultimately, many of these complaints" We're now talking about complaints made by referrers whose offer had not received a response or who were wondering what had happened with it, for example: " would be referred back to the HPL mailbox by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Α.	So the Opportunities teams, of which the HPL team was one, is just the first step, the initial step in that process. And I know Mr Blackburn talked to you about this this morning. Then there's a handover to Technical Assurance. Technical Assurance check the documentation to do with the product. Often, Technical Assurance hand back and say, "This is missing, that is missing, this doesn't look quite right", which provokes the Opportunities caseworker to have further discussions with the supplier to try to fill the gaps. So I am focused on referrers now, and it's in relation to those that you say in your witness statement: "Ultimately, many of these complaints" We're now talking about complaints made by referrers whose offer had not received a response or who were wondering what had happened with it, for example: " would be referred back to the HPL mailbox by the official or minister who had received the complaint.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Α.	So the Opportunities teams, of which the HPL team was one, is just the first step, the initial step in that process. And I know Mr Blackburn talked to you about this this morning. Then there's a handover to Technical Assurance. Technical Assurance check the documentation to do with the product. Often, Technical Assurance hand back and say, "This is missing, that is missing, this doesn't look quite right", which provokes the Opportunities caseworker to have further discussions with the supplier to try to fill the gaps. So I am focused on referrers now, and it's in relation to those that you say in your witness statement: "Ultimately, many of these complaints" We're now talking about complaints made by referrers whose offer had not received a response or who were wondering what had happened with it, for example: " would be referred back to the HPL mailbox by the official or minister who had received the complaint. This simply added to our existing workload and required
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Α.	So the Opportunities teams, of which the HPL team was one, is just the first step, the initial step in that process. And I know Mr Blackburn talked to you about this this morning. Then there's a handover to Technical Assurance. Technical Assurance check the documentation to do with the product. Often, Technical Assurance hand back and say, "This is missing, that is missing, this doesn't look quite right", which provokes the Opportunities caseworker to have further discussions with the supplier to try to fill the gaps. So I am focused on referrers now, and it's in relation to those that you say in your witness statement: "Ultimately, many of these complaints" We're now talking about complaints made by referrers whose offer had not received a response or who were wondering what had happened with it, for example: " would be referred back to the HPL mailbox by the official or minister who had received the complaint. This simply added to our existing workload and required time to investigate and to explain to hard-pressed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Α.	So the Opportunities teams, of which the HPL team was one, is just the first step, the initial step in that process. And I know Mr Blackburn talked to you about this this morning. Then there's a handover to Technical Assurance. Technical Assurance check the documentation to do with the product. Often, Technical Assurance hand back and say, "This is missing, that is missing, this doesn't look quite right", which provokes the Opportunities caseworker to have further discussions with the supplier to try to fill the gaps. So I am focused on referrers now, and it's in relation to those that you say in your witness statement: "Ultimately, many of these complaints" We're now talking about complaints made by referrers whose offer had not received a response or who were wondering what had happened with it, for example: " would be referred back to the HPL mailbox by the official or minister who had received the complaint. This simply added to our existing workload and required time to investigate and to explain to hard-pressed ministers what had in fact happened and why the offer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A.	So the Opportunities teams, of which the HPL team was one, is just the first step, the initial step in that process. And I know Mr Blackburn talked to you about this this morning. Then there's a handover to Technical Assurance. Technical Assurance check the documentation to do with the product. Often, Technical Assurance hand back and say, "This is missing, that is missing, this doesn't look quite right", which provokes the Opportunities caseworker to have further discussions with the supplier to try to fill the gaps. So I am focused on referrers now, and it's in relation to those that you say in your witness statement: "Ultimately, many of these complaints" We're now talking about complaints made by referrers whose offer had not received a response or who were wondering what had happened with it, for example: " would be referred back to the HPL mailbox by the official or minister who had received the complaint. This simply added to our existing workload and required time to investigate and to explain to hard-pressed ministers what had in fact happened and why the offer had not proceeded further."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Α.	So the Opportunities teams, of which the HPL team was one, is just the first step, the initial step in that process. And I know Mr Blackburn talked to you about this this morning. Then there's a handover to Technical Assurance. Technical Assurance check the documentation to do with the product. Often, Technical Assurance hand back and say, "This is missing, that is missing, this doesn't look quite right", which provokes the Opportunities caseworker to have further discussions with the supplier to try to fill the gaps. So I am focused on referrers now, and it's in relation to those that you say in your witness statement: "Ultimately, many of these complaints" We're now talking about complaints made by referrers whose offer had not received a response or who were wondering what had happened with it, for example: " would be referred back to the HPL mailbox by the official or minister who had received the complaint. This simply added to our existing workload and required time to investigate and to explain to hard-pressed ministers what had in fact happened and why the offer

processing, considering other emails -- there was work

to be done in between?

1		answer, which I didn't manage to complete. Forgive me.
2		So you talk about talking to suppliers when you're
3		getting close to contract. That was somebody else's
4		job. That was the job of the Closing team, it wasn't
5		the job of the people in the HPL team.
6	Q.	All right.
7		Could we display, please, INQ000527557.
8		It's this page I wanted to look at, but it follows
9		on from a string of emails from which you may be
10		familiar, between yourself and Allan Nixon
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	in which you set out in a page-long email many of the
13		stresses and strains that you're experiencing as
14		a result of various matters, including threats for those
15		that have referred in offers to the High Priority Lane
16		to go to the press. Here, specifically, someone had
17		threatened to go to Piers Morgan with the story and
18		there are a list of other matters.
19		Allan Nixon, who was Allan Nixon?
20	Α.	Allan was a special adviser to Matt Hancock.
21	Q.	Okay, and Allan Nixon says:
22		"Will keep trying to insulate you and your team from
23		things like this unless absolutely necessary (problem
24		was this one came direct from Matt"
25	Α.	Mm-hm.
		109
1		was necessary to do that, if one couldn't simply ask
2		such requests or such individuals requesting updates to
3		hold off until the offers had been properly considered,
4		for others to be engaged in that task, others who were

- 5 not directly involved in the procurement exercise?
- 6 **A.** To a certain extent, but senior commercial officials
- 7 answer -- help answer Parliamentary Questions,
- 8 FOI requests and other requests all the time. It's
- 9 a normal part of the job, yeah? And if you have a third
- 10 party who are a different official without necessarily
- 11 the background or the technical knowledge preparing an
- 12 answer like that, they're going to take longer and
- 13 they're just going to ask you a lot of questions. So in
- 14 many cases it's quicker to do the work yourself.
- 15 Q. There was, of course, in due course, a rapid response
 team --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- that handled offers in a different way, that hadn't
 19 come in from VIPs. Is that not a way of avoiding the
 20 burden, the noise, the distraction?
- A. That's an interesting hypothesis, but I originated therapid response teams so I've got a slightly different
- 23 view, if I might explain.
- 24 So the rapid response teams were there to do the
- 25 processing side, they weren't there to do the -- tell

- 1 **Q.** Presumably Matt Hancock?
- 2 **A.** Mm-hm.

2	А.	Mm-nm.
3	Q.	" and he'd asked for an answer on it!) I've spoken
4		to him and he's happy as is the MP!"
5		So this sort of email would certainly have caused
6		you and whoever else it might be that received a similar
7		email to leave to one side whatever they were working on
8		and to prioritise handling this sort of concern first;
9		is that right?
10	Α.	That's true. And if I can, I'll give another example.
11		So in late April 2020 the then Shadow Minister to the
12		Cabinet Office, Rachel Reeves, wrote to Michael Gove
13		saying, "Here's 20 companies, I don't think they've been
14		contacted". And at that point I and others had to do
15		a lot of research to understand what had indeed happened
16		with these companies and to assure Minister Gove that
17		some had been contacted, some had not been contacted
18		but, in any event, we thought there was one useful lead
19		among the 20, and help Michael Gove's private office
20		formulate a response for Rachel Reeves, which is a lot
21	~	of work.
22	Q.	Of course.
23	Α.	But it's an appropriate thing to do, because that's how
24	_	the Parliamentary process works.
25	Q.	With hindsight, might it have been preferable that if it 110
1 2		the referrer what's going on with this offer. They're there to process an offer as quickly as possible, from
3		inception through to the point where a contract can be
4		formed and passed to the accounting officer for
5		consideration.
6		Those offers came from the High Priority Lane team,
7		as well as the non-High Priority Lane team.
8	Q.	Yes.
9	Α.	So the only consideration about going into the rapid
10		response team process was that it was a good, viable
11		offer that we thought we could process quickly.
12	Q.	With no regard to who had referred it in
13	Α.	No.
14	Q.	if it hadn't come in through the VIP route?
15	Α.	No, it and purely on: this one's good to cook, this
16		is ready to go, we can execute this quickly.
17		The handling back to the referrer, if at all
18		necessary, would have been handled by somebody in the
19		High Priority Lane team.
20	Q.	Dr Hall, you were the originator of the rapid response
21		team process?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	It sounds, from what you and others have said, that it
24		was a process that might have obviated the need for the
25		VIP Lane, given that there was no shortage of offers
		112

1	already coming in and we'll come on to the call for	1		I would proactively go out and look for people that can
2	arms and the effect that that had with an efficient	2		supply PPE.
3	method of triage. And with your IT experience, you may	3	Q.	Wouldn't that obviate the need for referrals at all?
4	have ideas of how one might achieve that efficiency.	4	Α.	Yes.
5 A .	Mm-hm.	5	Q.	It would?
6 Q .	But isn't there, between the rapid response team and	6	Α.	It would.
7	live data with offers providing all the necessary	7	Q.	Okay. So I may have misunderstood your earlier answe
8	elements of an offer to be considered upfront, a method	8		but you seemed to be defending the VIP Lane as somet
9	of just not having a VIP Lane at all?	9		that inevitably had to happen. You're now saying that
10 A .	No, I'm afraid that's not the case.	10		if we were in the difficult, to put it mildly,
11 Q .	When you came into the VIP Lane, it had already existed?	11		circumstances of a pandemic again, and the need to
12 A .	Yes, that's right	12		emergency procure, you would dispense with it altogeth
13 Q .	But does it follow	13		and have a different system in place?
14 A .	Only for a couple of weeks.	14	Α.	I would take an approach which is closer to the one
15 Q .	Only by couple of weeks. But does it follow from your	15		taken by the Ventilator Challenge. The Ventilator
16	previous answer that you would invent it again in the	16		Challenge proactively went out and found people that
17	event of an emergency and the need to procure in an	17		they thought could design and build ventilators in
18	emergency?	18		a hurry.
19 A .	Not in the form that it came to be. I don't think that	19	Q.	And to the extent that it is harder to do that with more
20	the mere fact of being referred by a senior figure, in	20		commodified products
21	particular by a politician, is an adequate means of	21	Α.	Yeah.
22	prioritisation (overspeaking)	22	Q.	that problem could be overcome by the use of IT, by
23 Q .	So how would you if you were reinventing it, how	23		triaging, either automatically or semi-automatically,
24	would you change it?	24		with human involvement at one point or another; is that
25 A .	I wouldn't adopt a passive approach in the first place.	25		right? 114
1 A .	I wish I had as much faith in IT as you seem to have.	1		And I really want to ask you in relation to the
2	I'm afraid 40 years in the industry have disabused me of	2		first line:
3	that.	3		"We have designed the least efficient process
4	So I go back to evidence that you heard on the first	4		possible."
5	day from your expert witness, and he talked about, in	5		You set out a little bit what you meant by that. Do
6	his report, certainly the use of structured data in	6		you want to add anything to that?
7	order to obtain information from the market, sort that	7	Α.	I'll just give you two words of context, if I can.
8	information, sift it, "Okay, this is the best offer in	8	Q.	Yes.
9	this particular information set", and process that.	9	Α.	So I'd had a sleepless night worrying about this, and
10	That requires a lot of work, you know. And even so,	10		I think I woke up at 6.00 and typed this e-mail. And
11	it requires iterations. You wouldn't get something like	11		initially, I was only going to send it to Max, who was
12	that right first time. You probably wouldn't even	12		my immediate superior within the team, for discussion,
13	attempt it in the middle of a crisis.	13		but at a later point I blind copied Gareth as well, and
14 Q .	-	14		"I dream about this stuff" is because it had kept me
15	Dr Hall, I said I'd touch on the call to arms.	15		awake all night.
16	Presumably you agree with previous witnesses	16		"We have designed the least efficient process
17	that it didn't make matters any better?	17		possible."
18 A .		18		I'm possibly using a bit of hyperbole there, but all
19 Q .		19		the same I stand by that. I believe we had designed an
20	I want to take you briefly to an email that we've	20		inefficient process.
21	seen, because you're its author.	21	Q.	Okay.
22 A .		22	Α.	Possibly for good reasons, because at the beginning of
23 Q .		23		processing this enormous backlog of offers, we had
24	may recall.	24		a newly formed team that were not used to buying PPE.
25	Could we have INQ000527547. 115	25		Many people, we didn't know them, they came from all 116

1

2

3 4

5

1		over government, and we needed to segment my
2		colleagues needed to segment the task into smaller
3		elements that could be quickly taught to new caseworkers
4		and to new administrators.
5	Q.	Thank you very much, that can go down.
6		In terms of how the process, the VIP Lane process,
7		might have been improved, you express this at
8		paragraph 5.2 of your witness statement, where you say
9		this:
10		"It seemed to me that there was a need to
11		differentiate more clearly between VIP offers which
12		merited being given priority because they were viable
13		and those which did not. I was also concerned to ensure
14		that VIP leads which were unproductive were closed down
15		quickly."
16	Α.	Mm.
17	Q.	So that concern did not translate into any change to the
18		VIP process, did it?
19	Α.	It resulted I mean, this was a discussion I had with
20		Max, and Max did take action, as I've seen in some of
21		his emails, in order to winnow down the stock of offers
22		that he had in front of him.
23	Q.	But you're making a slightly different point, aren't
24		you, that there are some VIP offers that come in that
25		are no hopers, and they should be stopped short, instead
		117
		117
1	Q.	I'm just going to take you relatively briefly to two of
1 2	Q.	
	Q.	I'm just going to take you relatively briefly to two of
2	Q.	I'm just going to take you relatively briefly to two of there was. I think probably the swiftest way to deal
2 3	Q.	I'm just going to take you relatively briefly to two of there was. I think probably the swiftest way to deal with this is by reference to your witness statement,
2 3 4	Q.	I'm just going to take you relatively briefly to two of there was. I think probably the swiftest way to deal with this is by reference to your witness statement, which I hope can be brought up, it's INQ000536369, we're
2 3 4 5	Q.	I'm just going to take you relatively briefly to two of there was. I think probably the swiftest way to deal with this is by reference to your witness statement, which I hope can be brought up, it's INQ000536369, we're looking at page 17 and paragraph 6.12, You say at 6.12:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	I'm just going to take you relatively briefly to two of there was. I think probably the swiftest way to deal with this is by reference to your witness statement, which I hope can be brought up, it's INQ000536369, we're looking at page 17 and paragraph 6.12, You say at 6.12: "In some cases, I was unaware at the time that the supplier had any link to the Conservative Party, while in others, Lord Feldman made the connection explicit."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	I'm just going to take you relatively briefly to two of there was. I think probably the swiftest way to deal with this is by reference to your witness statement, which I hope can be brought up, it's INQ000536369, we're looking at page 17 and paragraph 6.12, You say at 6.12: "In some cases, I was unaware at the time that the supplier had any link to the Conservative Party, while
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	I'm just going to take you relatively briefly to two of there was. I think probably the swiftest way to deal with this is by reference to your witness statement, which I hope can be brought up, it's INQ000536369, we're looking at page 17 and paragraph 6.12, You say at 6.12: "In some cases, I was unaware at the time that the supplier had any link to the Conservative Party, while in others, Lord Feldman made the connection explicit."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	I'm just going to take you relatively briefly to two of there was. I think probably the swiftest way to deal with this is by reference to your witness statement, which I hope can be brought up, it's INQ000536369, we're looking at page 17 and paragraph 6.12, You say at 6.12: "In some cases, I was unaware at the time that the supplier had any link to the Conservative Party, while in others, Lord Feldman made the connection explicit." As far as you can tell, why was that connection made
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		I'm just going to take you relatively briefly to two of there was. I think probably the swiftest way to deal with this is by reference to your witness statement, which I hope can be brought up, it's INQ000536369, we're looking at page 17 and paragraph 6.12, You say at 6.12: "In some cases, I was unaware at the time that the supplier had any link to the Conservative Party, while in others, Lord Feldman made the connection explicit." As far as you can tell, why was that connection made explicit; was it relevant? I believe it was Lord Feldman's way of saying that he had some personal well, in this particular case that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		I'm just going to take you relatively briefly to two of there was. I think probably the swiftest way to deal with this is by reference to your witness statement, which I hope can be brought up, it's INQ000536369, we're looking at page 17 and paragraph 6.12, You say at 6.12: "In some cases, I was unaware at the time that the supplier had any link to the Conservative Party, while in others, Lord Feldman made the connection explicit." As far as you can tell, why was that connection made explicit; was it relevant? I believe it was Lord Feldman's way of saying that he had some personal well, in this particular case that he had knowledge of or knew Lord Chadlington and, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		I'm just going to take you relatively briefly to two of there was. I think probably the swiftest way to deal with this is by reference to your witness statement, which I hope can be brought up, it's INQ000536369, we're looking at page 17 and paragraph 6.12, You say at 6.12: "In some cases, I was unaware at the time that the supplier had any link to the Conservative Party, while in others, Lord Feldman made the connection explicit." As far as you can tell, why was that connection made explicit; was it relevant? I believe it was Lord Feldman's way of saying that he had some personal well, in this particular case that he had knowledge of or knew Lord Chadlington and, as a consequence, he believed that this company was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		I'm just going to take you relatively briefly to two of there was. I think probably the swiftest way to deal with this is by reference to your witness statement, which I hope can be brought up, it's INQ000536369, we're looking at page 17 and paragraph 6.12, You say at 6.12: "In some cases, I was unaware at the time that the supplier had any link to the Conservative Party, while in others, Lord Feldman made the connection explicit." As far as you can tell, why was that connection made explicit; was it relevant? I believe it was Lord Feldman's way of saying that he had some personal well, in this particular case that he had knowledge of or knew Lord Chadlington and, as a consequence, he believed that this company was credible, was, you know, not merely opportunistically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Α.	I'm just going to take you relatively briefly to two of there was. I think probably the swiftest way to deal with this is by reference to your witness statement, which I hope can be brought up, it's INQ000536369, we're looking at page 17 and paragraph 6.12, You say at 6.12: "In some cases, I was unaware at the time that the supplier had any link to the Conservative Party, while in others, Lord Feldman made the connection explicit." As far as you can tell, why was that connection made explicit; was it relevant? I believe it was Lord Feldman's way of saying that he had some personal well, in this particular case that he had knowledge of or knew Lord Chadlington and, as a consequence, he believed that this company was credible, was, you know, not merely opportunistically trying to find an opportunity.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		I'm just going to take you relatively briefly to two of there was. I think probably the swiftest way to deal with this is by reference to your witness statement, which I hope can be brought up, it's INQ000536369, we're looking at page 17 and paragraph 6.12, You say at 6.12: "In some cases, I was unaware at the time that the supplier had any link to the Conservative Party, while in others, Lord Feldman made the connection explicit." As far as you can tell, why was that connection made explicit; was it relevant? I believe it was Lord Feldman's way of saying that he had some personal well, in this particular case that he had knowledge of or knew Lord Chadlington and, as a consequence, he believed that this company was credible, was, you know, not merely opportunistically trying to find an opportunity. You say something to that effect a little lower down:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Α.	I'm just going to take you relatively briefly to two of there was. I think probably the swiftest way to deal with this is by reference to your witness statement, which I hope can be brought up, it's INQ000536369, we're looking at page 17 and paragraph 6.12, You say at 6.12: "In some cases, I was unaware at the time that the supplier had any link to the Conservative Party, while in others, Lord Feldman made the connection explicit." As far as you can tell, why was that connection made explicit; was it relevant? I believe it was Lord Feldman's way of saying that he had some personal well, in this particular case that he had knowledge of or knew Lord Chadlington and, as a consequence, he believed that this company was credible, was, you know, not merely opportunistically trying to find an opportunity. You say something to that effect a little lower down: "Lord Feldman also informed me that Stuart Marks was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Α.	I'm just going to take you relatively briefly to two of there was. I think probably the swiftest way to deal with this is by reference to your witness statement, which I hope can be brought up, it's INQ000536369, we're looking at page 17 and paragraph 6.12, You say at 6.12: "In some cases, I was unaware at the time that the supplier had any link to the Conservative Party, while in others, Lord Feldman made the connection explicit." As far as you can tell, why was that connection made explicit; was it relevant? I believe it was Lord Feldman's way of saying that he had some personal well, in this particular case that he had knowledge of or knew Lord Chadlington and, as a consequence, he believed that this company was credible, was, you know, not merely opportunistically trying to find an opportunity. You say something to that effect a little lower down: "Lord Feldman also informed me that Stuart Marks was formerly Northern Treasurer of the Conservative Party.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Α.	I'm just going to take you relatively briefly to two of there was. I think probably the swiftest way to deal with this is by reference to your witness statement, which I hope can be brought up, it's INQ000536369, we're looking at page 17 and paragraph 6.12, You say at 6.12: "In some cases, I was unaware at the time that the supplier had any link to the Conservative Party, while in others, Lord Feldman made the connection explicit." As far as you can tell, why was that connection made explicit; was it relevant? I believe it was Lord Feldman's way of saying that he had some personal well, in this particular case that he had knowledge of or knew Lord Chadlington and, as a consequence, he believed that this company was credible, was, you know, not merely opportunistically trying to find an opportunity. You say something to that effect a little lower down: "Lord Feldman also informed me that Stuart Marks was formerly Northern Treasurer of the Conservative Party. This I took to be Lord Feldman's way of saying that he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q.	I'm just going to take you relatively briefly to two of there was. I think probably the swiftest way to deal with this is by reference to your witness statement, which I hope can be brought up, it's INQ000536369, we're looking at page 17 and paragraph 6.12, You say at 6.12: "In some cases, I was unaware at the time that the supplier had any link to the Conservative Party, while in others, Lord Feldman made the connection explicit." As far as you can tell, why was that connection made explicit; was it relevant? I believe it was Lord Feldman's way of saying that he had some personal well, in this particular case that he had knowledge of or knew Lord Chadlington and, as a consequence, he believed that this company was credible, was, you know, not merely opportunistically trying to find an opportunity. You say something to that effect a little lower down: "Lord Feldman also informed me that Stuart Marks was formerly Northern Treasurer of the Conservative Party. This I took to be Lord Feldman's way of saying that he knew Mr Marks personally and could vouch for him."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Α.	I'm just going to take you relatively briefly to two of there was. I think probably the swiftest way to deal with this is by reference to your witness statement, which I hope can be brought up, it's INQ000536369, we're looking at page 17 and paragraph 6.12, You say at 6.12: "In some cases, I was unaware at the time that the supplier had any link to the Conservative Party, while in others, Lord Feldman made the connection explicit." As far as you can tell, why was that connection made explicit; was it relevant? I believe it was Lord Feldman's way of saying that he had some personal well, in this particular case that he had knowledge of or knew Lord Chadlington and, as a consequence, he believed that this company was credible, was, you know, not merely opportunistically trying to find an opportunity. You say something to that effect a little lower down: "Lord Feldman also informed me that Stuart Marks was formerly Northern Treasurer of the Conservative Party. This I took to be Lord Feldman's way of saying that he

- 23 because I don't pretend to be in his nead but -24 Q. No, no, of course. Yes, you're quite right, and yes,
- 25 I will. But the reason I draw it to your attention is

119

- of consuming resource? **A.** And they were triaged out, so there was a triage process at the beginning of the High Priority Lane process that
- did take away people who were not offering sufficient
- quantity, people who were offering the wrong product.
- 6 Q. So you would say that the concern that you were7 expressing was actioned?
- 8 A. Yes, I believe, it was.
- 9 Q. All right. I want to move on now to some examples of10 offers that you dealt with. Some of those offers came
- 11 to you via Lord Feldman, didn't they?
- 12 A. That's right.
- 13 Q. Can you just briefly -- we'll be hearing from Lord
- Feldman himself. What was Lord Feldman's role inrelation to the VIP Lane?
- 16 A. In relation to the PPE acquisition process more
- 17 generally, I understand he had been appointed, and had
- 18 near enough quasi-ministerial status, appointed into the
- 19 Department of Health and Social Care to help
- 20 Lord James Bethell with this particular task.
- 21 **Q.** He referred three offers to you that resulted in
- 22 contracts, didn't he?
- 23 **A.** Yes.

25 A. Yes.

- 24 Q. SG Recruitment, Maxima and Skinnydip?
 - 118
- 1 I want to know how that, if at all, affected your 2 handling of the offer? What affected my handling of the offer was not the 3 Α. 4 connection to the Conservative Party. What affected my 5 handling of the offer was that Lord Feldman had some 6 confidence that the counterparty was credible. 7 Q. Are you aware of whether or not Lord Feldman conducted 8 any due diligence or inspected or assessed the offer that had been -- that he was referring in? 9 A. I think it's worth looking at the phrase "due diligence" 10 11 because it has particular meaning for procurement professionals and it really means assessing the economic 12 and financial standing of a particular company. So did 13 14 Lord Feldman formally undertake that level of due 15 diligence? No, I doubt if he have the capability or the 16 knowledge to do it. 17 Q. Any form of assessment? 18 A. I believe he made some assessment of the credibility of the offers that were made to him, yes. 19
- 20 **Q.** Mr Rhys Williams' evidence this week that that, as far
- as he was aware, offers were simply referred in withoutscrutiny?
- 23 A. What he's referring to -- and I was here when Gareth
- 24 gave his evidence -- what he was referring to was that
- 25 many offers never actually crossed the desk of the 120

1		minister who nominally had referred them. Those offers
2		were received by somebody in a private office and the
3		private office send them on to as directed, to
4		a particular mailbox. Feldman is a different case, yes?
5		Feldman did have a private office but they didn't send
6		the offers: Feldman sent the offers.
7	Q.	Oh, right. In any event, in relation to this one, you
8		noted that the due diligence position I think this is
9		towards the bottom of 6.12, perhaps. It's not there but
10		you noted, did you not, that the due diligence position
11		of the initial offeror, SGH Global, was not
12		straightforward, as the company was registered in
13		Jersey; do you remember?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	Consequently, fully transparent information was not
16		available about its finances?
17	Α.	Not on a public website, for example.
18	Q.	You raised concerns about the consequent counterparty
19		risks and suggested that they needed to be mitigated
20		before a contract could be awarded?
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	As far as you were aware, you then went back, didn't
23		you? These mitigations were detailed on a deal form
24		when a further deal was agreed with a different company,
25		SG Recruitment?
		121
1	_	deals than just deals that were in the VIP Lane.
2	Q.	
3		or to Stuart Marks placed the offeror at no advantage in
4		terms of negotiating an alternative solution to the
5	_	original offer?
6	Α.	No, I don't believe so.
7	Q.	All right. Let's move on to paper straw drinking
8		company.
9		Could we have INQ000513411, which is the witness
10		statement of Mr Stuart Marks.
11		We just situate ourselves in paragraph 1. He says:
12		"I was also Northern Treasurer for the Conservative
13		Party."
14		At paragraph 3, below that:
15 16		"I was approached by a friend in our local community
16 17		who in turn had a close friend called
17		Jonathan Salem"
18 19		Paragraph 6:
i u		"Il know him] from his rate of Concernative Darts
		"[I knew him] from his role as Conservative Party
20		Chairman"

- 23 Did you have any involvement with Lord Deighton
- 24 through the VIP Lane?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 A. SG Recruitment is a subsidiary of SGH Global, and the
- 2 reason that that particular subsidiary was put forward
- 3 as a counterparty was that it was an existing supplier
- 4 to the NHS and it was in a UK jurisdiction. So in many
- 5 respects it was easier for us to contract with that
- 6 counterparty but we would not contract with such a small
- 7 counterparty on a valuable contract unless we had some
- 8 other backing in the form of, for example, a parent
- 9 company guarantee back to a larger entity.
- 10 **Q.** The contract, as you rightly observe, was of
- a significant value. It was a £16.1 million contractfor chemicals and plastic films?
- 13 A. I don't recall that but I'm happy to take your advice.
- 14 Q. It comes from the DHSC table that we looked at earlier15 today.
- 16 **A.** Okay.
- 17 **Q.** There was to and fro before the contract was concluded
- 18 and it resulted in a subsidiary as co-contractor, rather
- 19 than the initial offeror, didn't it?
- 20 A. It's not a co-contractor, I'm afraid --
- 21 Q. Co-party?
- 22 A. It's the counterparty for the DHSC.
- 23 Q. Was this level of iteration or back and forth available
- 24 to an offeror outside of the VIP Lane?
- 25 A. Certainly changing counterparties took place for other 122
- 1 **Q**. Yes?
- 2 **A.** Yes.

8

9

- 3 **Q.** On a similar basis to Lord Feldman?
- 4 A. It's a different kind of relationship. Deighton was
 5 deeply involved in operational matters because he was
 6 put in charge of the PPE Buy operation.
 - Q. "I offered to email Lord Deighton to see what, if anything, he could do ... it seemed that there was nothing to lose by going back to him and at least
- 10 asking." 11 Then
 - Then finally:
- "One of the Conservative Party donors [Mr Agustsson]
 runs a very large PPE manufacturing business in
 Manchester ... he asked me whether I knew Lord Deighton,
- 15 as he was experiencing difficulties in his factory.
- 16 I told him I did and he asked whether I would act as
- 17 a messenger and deliver an email to him, which I did and
- 18 the email is attached."
 - So similar question, Dr Hall. You say that
- 20 a connection to the Conservative Party offered suppliers
- 21 no advantage over other suppliers in securing
- 22 procurement contracts?
- 23 A. Absolutely. I'm absolutely confident of that.
- 24 **Q.** Let's turn to Skinnydip, please. INQ000560757.
- 25 This is a short statement of Lord Leigh of Hurley. 124

1		Paragraph 1, describes himself as a Tory backbench peer	1
2		and Senior Treasurer of the Conservative Party:	2
3		"In view of my perceived relationship with [the	3
4		Government] and Lord Feldman I was contacted in	4
5		early 2020"	5
6		In paragraph 5, he says:	6
7		"In every case I simply passed on the names with the	7
8		information that they had sent me. I was not in	8
9		a position to assess or advise on the credibility of any	9
10		offer of help"	10
11		So there was an example of a referral in that had no	11
12		scrutiny applied to it, and that was quite commonplace,	12
13	•	was it not, Dr Hall?	13
14 15	А.	Forgive me, my Lady, I'm not quite sure what point	14
15 16	0	Mr Wald wants me to make. Well, you answered earlier that there was some degree of	15 16
17	Q.	scrutiny undertaken by those who referred in cases to	10
18		the VIP Lane.	17
19	Α.		10
20		THE DID HE?	20
21		WALD: Well, he said that there was either I thought	20
22		that his evidence was that	22
23	Α.	I said that in many cases it was obvious that an offer	23
24		had not actually crossed the desk of a minister because	24
25		the email chain showed that the offer was handled by	25
		125	
1		been pursuing with John Vincent and Leon Restaurants."	1
1 2		been pursuing with John Vincent and Leon Restaurants." "Andrew [which is Lord Feldman] explained that he	1 2
2		"Andrew [which is Lord Feldman] explained that he	2
2 3		"Andrew [which is Lord Feldman] explained that he had discussed the deal with John Vincent and David	2 3
2 3 4		"Andrew [which is Lord Feldman] explained that he had discussed the deal with John Vincent and David Meller and that David Meller had agreed to provide the	2 3 4
2 3 4 5		"Andrew [which is Lord Feldman] explained that he had discussed the deal with John Vincent and David Meller and that David Meller had agreed to provide the counterparty, as John Vincent did not believe that it	2 3 4 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		"Andrew [which is Lord Feldman] explained that he had discussed the deal with John Vincent and David Meller and that David Meller had agreed to provide the counterparty, as John Vincent did not believe that it was appropriate for his restaurant business to front the deal." Then at 6.16 there was a problem about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		"Andrew [which is Lord Feldman] explained that he had discussed the deal with John Vincent and David Meller and that David Meller had agreed to provide the counterparty, as John Vincent did not believe that it was appropriate for his restaurant business to front the deal." Then at 6.16 there was a problem about certification, wasn't there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	А.	"Andrew [which is Lord Feldman] explained that he had discussed the deal with John Vincent and David Meller and that David Meller had agreed to provide the counterparty, as John Vincent did not believe that it was appropriate for his restaurant business to front the deal." Then at 6.16 there was a problem about certification, wasn't there? This is quite a technical problem but would you like me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		"Andrew [which is Lord Feldman] explained that he had discussed the deal with John Vincent and David Meller and that David Meller had agreed to provide the counterparty, as John Vincent did not believe that it was appropriate for his restaurant business to front the deal." Then at 6.16 there was a problem about certification, wasn't there? This is quite a technical problem but would you like me to describe the issue?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	"Andrew [which is Lord Feldman] explained that he had discussed the deal with John Vincent and David Meller and that David Meller had agreed to provide the counterparty, as John Vincent did not believe that it was appropriate for his restaurant business to front the deal." Then at 6.16 there was a problem about certification, wasn't there? This is quite a technical problem but would you like me to describe the issue? Yes, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		"Andrew [which is Lord Feldman] explained that he had discussed the deal with John Vincent and David Meller and that David Meller had agreed to provide the counterparty, as John Vincent did not believe that it was appropriate for his restaurant business to front the deal." Then at 6.16 there was a problem about certification, wasn't there? This is quite a technical problem but would you like me to describe the issue? Yes, please. Okay. So the masks themselves were an acceptable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	"Andrew [which is Lord Feldman] explained that he had discussed the deal with John Vincent and David Meller and that David Meller had agreed to provide the counterparty, as John Vincent did not believe that it was appropriate for his restaurant business to front the deal." Then at 6.16 there was a problem about certification, wasn't there? This is quite a technical problem but would you like me to describe the issue? Yes, please. Okay. So the masks themselves were an acceptable product. They were certified. The challenge was that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	"Andrew [which is Lord Feldman] explained that he had discussed the deal with John Vincent and David Meller and that David Meller had agreed to provide the counterparty, as John Vincent did not believe that it was appropriate for his restaurant business to front the deal." Then at 6.16 there was a problem about certification, wasn't there? This is quite a technical problem but would you like me to describe the issue? Yes, please. Okay. So the masks themselves were an acceptable product. They were certified. The challenge was that the masks needed to be marked with a CE mark before they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	"Andrew [which is Lord Feldman] explained that he had discussed the deal with John Vincent and David Meller and that David Meller had agreed to provide the counterparty, as John Vincent did not believe that it was appropriate for his restaurant business to front the deal." Then at 6.16 there was a problem about certification, wasn't there? This is quite a technical problem but would you like me to describe the issue? Yes, please. Okay. So the masks themselves were an acceptable product. They were certified. The challenge was that the masks needed to be marked with a CE mark before they could be exported from China. The Chinese government	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	"Andrew [which is Lord Feldman] explained that he had discussed the deal with John Vincent and David Meller and that David Meller had agreed to provide the counterparty, as John Vincent did not believe that it was appropriate for his restaurant business to front the deal." Then at 6.16 there was a problem about certification, wasn't there? This is quite a technical problem but would you like me to describe the issue? Yes, please. Okay. So the masks themselves were an acceptable product. They were certified. The challenge was that the masks needed to be marked with a CE mark before they could be exported from China. The Chinese government would not allow them to be so marked, and without	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	"Andrew [which is Lord Feldman] explained that he had discussed the deal with John Vincent and David Meller and that David Meller had agreed to provide the counterparty, as John Vincent did not believe that it was appropriate for his restaurant business to front the deal." Then at 6.16 there was a problem about certification, wasn't there? This is quite a technical problem but would you like me to describe the issue? Yes, please. Okay. So the masks themselves were an acceptable product. They were certified. The challenge was that the masks needed to be marked with a CE mark before they could be exported from China. The Chinese government would not allow them to be so marked, and without sorry, to be exported without that mark. The mark could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	"Andrew [which is Lord Feldman] explained that he had discussed the deal with John Vincent and David Meller and that David Meller had agreed to provide the counterparty, as John Vincent did not believe that it was appropriate for his restaurant business to front the deal." Then at 6.16 there was a problem about certification, wasn't there? This is quite a technical problem but would you like me to describe the issue? Yes, please. Okay. So the masks themselves were an acceptable product. They were certified. The challenge was that the masks needed to be marked with a CE mark before they could be exported from China. The Chinese government would not allow them to be so marked, and without sorry, to be exported without that mark. The mark could not be applied because there was no registered supplier	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	"Andrew [which is Lord Feldman] explained that he had discussed the deal with John Vincent and David Meller and that David Meller had agreed to provide the counterparty, as John Vincent did not believe that it was appropriate for his restaurant business to front the deal." Then at 6.16 there was a problem about certification, wasn't there? This is quite a technical problem but would you like me to describe the issue? Yes, please. Okay. So the masks themselves were an acceptable product. They were certified. The challenge was that the masks needed to be marked with a CE mark before they could be exported from China. The Chinese government would not allow them to be so marked, and without sorry, to be exported without that mark. The mark could not be applied because there was no registered supplier of these medical devices in the UK. Meller was intended	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	"Andrew [which is Lord Feldman] explained that he had discussed the deal with John Vincent and David Meller and that David Meller had agreed to provide the counterparty, as John Vincent did not believe that it was appropriate for his restaurant business to front the deal." Then at 6.16 there was a problem about certification, wasn't there? This is quite a technical problem but would you like me to describe the issue? Yes, please. Okay. So the masks themselves were an acceptable product. They were certified. The challenge was that the masks needed to be marked with a CE mark before they could be exported from China. The Chinese government would not allow them to be so marked, and without sorry, to be exported without that mark. The mark could not be applied because there was no registered supplier of these medical devices in the UK. Meller was intended to be the registered supplier of the devices, so, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	"Andrew [which is Lord Feldman] explained that he had discussed the deal with John Vincent and David Meller and that David Meller had agreed to provide the counterparty, as John Vincent did not believe that it was appropriate for his restaurant business to front the deal." Then at 6.16 there was a problem about certification, wasn't there? This is quite a technical problem but would you like me to describe the issue? Yes, please. Okay. So the masks themselves were an acceptable product. They were certified. The challenge was that the masks needed to be marked with a CE mark before they could be exported from China. The Chinese government would not allow them to be so marked, and without sorry, to be exported without that mark. The mark could not be applied because there was no registered supplier of these medical devices in the UK. Meller was intended	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	"Andrew [which is Lord Feldman] explained that he had discussed the deal with John Vincent and David Meller and that David Meller had agreed to provide the counterparty, as John Vincent did not believe that it was appropriate for his restaurant business to front the deal." Then at 6.16 there was a problem about certification, wasn't there? This is quite a technical problem but would you like me to describe the issue? Yes, please. Okay. So the masks themselves were an acceptable product. They were certified. The challenge was that the masks needed to be marked with a CE mark before they could be exported from China. The Chinese government would not allow them to be so marked, and without sorry, to be exported without that mark. The mark could not be applied because there was no registered supplier of these medical devices in the UK. Meller was intended to be the registered supplier of the devices, so, in other words, sponsoring the import of the devices into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	 "Andrew [which is Lord Feldman] explained that he had discussed the deal with John Vincent and David Meller and that David Meller had agreed to provide the counterparty, as John Vincent did not believe that it was appropriate for his restaurant business to front the deal." Then at 6.16 there was a problem about certification, wasn't there? This is quite a technical problem but would you like me to describe the issue? Yes, please. Okay. So the masks themselves were an acceptable product. They were certified. The challenge was that the masks needed to be marked with a CE mark before they could be exported from China. The Chinese government would not allow them to be so marked, and without sorry, to be exported without that mark. The mark could not be applied because there was no registered supplier of these medical devices in the UK. Meller was intended to be the registered supplier of the devices, so, in other words, sponsoring the import of the devices into the UK. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

nquir	У	6 March 2025
1		a private secretary in the private office. The offers
2		that came from Lord Feldman, came from Lord Feldman,
3		they came from his DHSC address or another email
4		address. So there is some reason to believe that Lord
5		Feldman had looked at them and sent them on if he
6		thought that there was some merit in them. But, again,
7		that's a question, really, you ought to put to Lord
8		Feldman.
9	Q.	l will.
10		Final example, Meller Designs.
11	Α.	Okay.
12	Q.	Can we go to INQ000536369. This is your witness
13		statement, pages 18 to 19 and paragraph 6.15 to 6.16.
14		You just remind yourself of that:
15		"David Meller of Meller Designs had originally been
16		introduced to the HPL via Michael Gove's office, as was
17		noted in the list of HPL companies published by DHSC \ldots
18		I knew that David Meller had a connection to the
19		Conservative Party because I remembered his name in
20		connection with newspaper stories in 2018. Meller
21		Designs was awarded several contracts for the supply of
22		PPE, but I had no dealings with him or role in the award
23		of those contracts before or around 1 May when [Lord
24		Feldman] mentioned him to me in connection with a deal
25		to supply a large quantity of Type IIR masks and I had 126
1		communication came in, in relation to the deal for IIR
2		masks, that registration had not taken place and this
3		was a blockage to us being able to obtain the IIR masks.
4		So consequently I was asked I can't remember whether
5		it was directly by Meller or by somebody else connected
6		with the deal or indeed by Feldman himself whether
7		there was anything we could do.
8		Actually, in retrospect, I believe it was Meller
9		who. This was probably the only communication I had
10		with him.
11	Q.	You say towards the middle of your paragraph 6.16 that:
12		" Lord Feldman's private secretary liaised
13		directly with the MHRA to request that the derogation
14		request receive their immediate attention."
15	Α.	Yes, that's right. And in fact but I do recall
16		replying to Moller equips that the MHDA is by statute

replying to Meller saying that the MHRA is, by statute,

any other official could do to force them to make a -you know, to give an opinion one way or the other.

registration of a particular company as essentially an

"What's happening with this? Is there any piece of 128

The devices are acceptable. This is merely the

improved importer.

an independent regulator and there was nothing that I or

But this isn't actually the approval of the devices.

So I made a very gentle enquiry to the MHRA saying,

(32) Pages 125 - 128

1		information that's missing? We'd really like to have	1	Q.	•
2		these devices."	2		1
3		And actually the matter was resolved, I believe, and	3	Α.	ļ
4		would have been resolved without me communicating with	4		i
5		the MHRA, because the approval came through within a day	5		1
6		or so of that contact.	6		(
7	Q.	You would say that this is a matter that would have been	7		
8		resolved without a connection to Lord Feldman, without	8		I
9		a connection to the Conservative Party	9		1
10	Α.	In all likelihood, yes.	10		
11	Q.	That you would have intervened even if it had not been	11		i
12		a VIP	12		ļ
13	Α.	Absolutely, because we wanted the masks.	13		
14	Q.	At your paragraph 5 .8 Dr Hall you say:	14		
15		"[You] have been asked to address the question as to	15		i
16		whether suppliers being processed through the HPL were	16		;
17 18		given unequal treatment compared to those coming through	17		
18	Α.	the Buy Cell." Yes.	18 19		
20	A. Q.	And you say:	19 20		
20	ц.	" I recognise that this process had the potential	20 21		
21		to lead to unequal treatment (as defined by the public	21	Q.	
23		contracts regulations), but this was not so apparent to	22	પ્લ.	i
24		me at the time."	20	Α.	
25	Α.	Yes.	25	Q.	
		129			
1		rather odd use of language "I recognise that this	1		i
2		process had the potential to lead to unequal treatment."	2		
3		We now know that it did so (overspeaking)	3		,
4	Α.	To unequal treatment as led to unequal treatment as	4		1
5		found by the Justice O'Driscoll, yes.	5		;
6	Q.	O'Farrell?	6		I
7	Α.	O'Farrell, beg your pardon.	7		(
8	Q.	You do, within your witness evidence, 5.16 to 517 and	8		;
9		page 13 of offer an alternative, don't you, and you've	9		(
10		started to discuss that earlier. Is there anything you	10		1
11		want to add to that?	11		i
12	Α.	I think there are some other considerations to bring in	12		I
13		to bear. There's been some discussion about the call to	13		I
14		arms and when it happened and quite what form it	14	Q.	(
15		happened, whether there's an explicit call to arms or	15		l
16		not, a minister standing up on television saying,	16		1
17		"Please send us your offers."	17		I
18		A very, very large number of unsolicited offers of	18		1
		help, of product, had been received by Department of	19	Α.	
19		Health, by the SCCL, by my own office in the GCCO,	20		i
20			21		
20 21		by CCS, because I believe the public were motivated to			
20 21 22		help, businesses were motivated to help and, lo and	22		(
20 21 22 23		help, businesses were motivated to help and, lo and behold, a lot of businesses found they had to diversify	22 23		•
20 21 22		help, businesses were motivated to help and, lo and	22		•

1	Q.	You're not seeking, are you, to go behind the finding of
2		the High Court that there was unequal treatment?
3	Α.	No, not at all, but, I mean, we're talking about
4		a period, probably, I don't know, 18 months before that
5		finding came down. And you must remember the
6		circumstances that we were in at the beginning of the
7		pandemic. So we are moving from a situation where
8		pretty well all requirements are competed to a situation
9		where we're making extensive use of Regulation 32.
10		I had never used Regulation 32; I had never made
11		a direct award in my time in the Civil Service, or
12		indeed acting as a consultant to the Civil Service.
13		Regulation 32 is very rare, there's practically no
14		case law in relation to Regulation 32, so we didn't have
15		a strong set of determinations that we could rely on to
16		say, "This is what you can do and this is what you can't
17		do under Regulation 32."
18		We knew you could make direct awards but, for
19		example, it's not a competitive situation. We're not
20		running a competition. So really, does the particular
21		consideration of equal treatment even come in to bear?
22	Q.	Dr Hall, it's not quite right that you you wrote this
23		in January of this year. This is your witness evidence.
24	Α.	Correct.
25	Q.	And the reason for my question was that you it's your
		130
1		a way of doing something with those offers.
1 2		a way of doing something with those offers. In addiction, people contacted their MPs saying,
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2		In addiction, people contacted their MPs saying,
2 3		In addiction, people contacted their MPs saying, "I want to help", people contacted politicians saying, you know, "I've got this offer", and there's got to be some way of dealing with those. I don't have a magic
2 3 4		In addiction, people contacted their MPs saying, "I want to help", people contacted politicians saying, you know, "I've got this offer", and there's got to be
2 3 4 5		In addiction, people contacted their MPs saying, "I want to help", people contacted politicians saying, you know, "I've got this offer", and there's got to be some way of dealing with those. I don't have a magic
2 3 4 5 6		In addiction, people contacted their MPs saying, "I want to help", people contacted politicians saying, you know, "I've got this offer", and there's got to be some way of dealing with those. I don't have a magic pulled for dealing with those. Both of them are
2 3 4 5 6 7		In addiction, people contacted their MPs saying, "I want to help", people contacted politicians saying, you know, "I've got this offer", and there's got to be some way of dealing with those. I don't have a magic pulled for dealing with those. Both of them are challenges that need to be addressed in a similar situation. I don't believe receiving offers from either of those routes is the most efficient way to obtain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		In addiction, people contacted their MPs saying, "I want to help", people contacted politicians saying, you know, "I've got this offer", and there's got to be some way of dealing with those. I don't have a magic pulled for dealing with those. Both of them are challenges that need to be addressed in a similar situation. I don't believe receiving offers from either of those routes is the most efficient way to obtain these emergency goods. I do strongly believe that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		In addiction, people contacted their MPs saying, "I want to help", people contacted politicians saying, you know, "I've got this offer", and there's got to be some way of dealing with those. I don't have a magic pulled for dealing with those. Both of them are challenges that need to be addressed in a similar situation. I don't believe receiving offers from either of those routes is the most efficient way to obtain these emergency goods. I do strongly believe that a proactive approach to potential suppliers in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		In addiction, people contacted their MPs saying, "I want to help", people contacted politicians saying, you know, "I've got this offer", and there's got to be some way of dealing with those. I don't have a magic pulled for dealing with those. Both of them are challenges that need to be addressed in a similar situation. I don't believe receiving offers from either of those routes is the most efficient way to obtain these emergency goods. I do strongly believe that a proactive approach to potential suppliers in the market would have been a different way of doing this and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		In addiction, people contacted their MPs saying, "I want to help", people contacted politicians saying, you know, "I've got this offer", and there's got to be some way of dealing with those. I don't have a magic pulled for dealing with those. Both of them are challenges that need to be addressed in a similar situation. I don't believe receiving offers from either of those routes is the most efficient way to obtain these emergency goods. I do strongly believe that a proactive approach to potential suppliers in the market would have been a different way of doing this and possibly more efficient.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	In addiction, people contacted their MPs saying, "I want to help", people contacted politicians saying, you know, "I've got this offer", and there's got to be some way of dealing with those. I don't have a magic pulled for dealing with those. Both of them are challenges that need to be addressed in a similar situation. I don't believe receiving offers from either of those routes is the most efficient way to obtain these emergency goods. I do strongly believe that a proactive approach to potential suppliers in the market would have been a different way of doing this and possibly more efficient. Can I take it from that answer which you gave earlier,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	In addiction, people contacted their MPs saying, "I want to help", people contacted politicians saying, you know, "I've got this offer", and there's got to be some way of dealing with those. I don't have a magic pulled for dealing with those. Both of them are challenges that need to be addressed in a similar situation. I don't believe receiving offers from either of those routes is the most efficient way to obtain these emergency goods. I do strongly believe that a proactive approach to potential suppliers in the market would have been a different way of doing this and possibly more efficient. Can I take it from that answer which you gave earlier, but you've now reinforced, that you didn't consider that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	In addiction, people contacted their MPs saying, "I want to help", people contacted politicians saying, you know, "I've got this offer", and there's got to be some way of dealing with those. I don't have a magic pulled for dealing with those. Both of them are challenges that need to be addressed in a similar situation. I don't believe receiving offers from either of those routes is the most efficient way to obtain these emergency goods. I do strongly believe that a proactive approach to potential suppliers in the market would have been a different way of doing this and possibly more efficient. Can I take it from that answer which you gave earlier, but you've now reinforced, that you didn't consider that there was anything inherently more credible or more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	In addiction, people contacted their MPs saying, "I want to help", people contacted politicians saying, you know, "I've got this offer", and there's got to be some way of dealing with those. I don't have a magic pulled for dealing with those. Both of them are challenges that need to be addressed in a similar situation. I don't believe receiving offers from either of those routes is the most efficient way to obtain these emergency goods. I do strongly believe that a proactive approach to potential suppliers in the market would have been a different way of doing this and possibly more efficient. Can I take it from that answer which you gave earlier, but you've now reinforced, that you didn't consider that there was anything inherently more credible or more promising about offers that arrived as a result of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		In addiction, people contacted their MPs saying, "I want to help", people contacted politicians saying, you know, "I've got this offer", and there's got to be some way of dealing with those. I don't have a magic pulled for dealing with those. Both of them are challenges that need to be addressed in a similar situation. I don't believe receiving offers from either of those routes is the most efficient way to obtain these emergency goods. I do strongly believe that a proactive approach to potential suppliers in the market would have been a different way of doing this and possibly more efficient. Can I take it from that answer which you gave earlier, but you've now reinforced, that you didn't consider that there was anything inherently more credible or more promising about offers that arrived as a result of referrals into the VIP Lane?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A.	In addiction, people contacted their MPs saying, "I want to help", people contacted politicians saying, you know, "I've got this offer", and there's got to be some way of dealing with those. I don't have a magic pulled for dealing with those. Both of them are challenges that need to be addressed in a similar situation. I don't believe receiving offers from either of those routes is the most efficient way to obtain these emergency goods. I do strongly believe that a proactive approach to potential suppliers in the market would have been a different way of doing this and possibly more efficient. Can I take it from that answer which you gave earlier, but you've now reinforced, that you didn't consider that there was anything inherently more credible or more promising about offers that arrived as a result of referrals into the VIP Lane? I heard Max's evidence earlier, and I share his feelings
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		In addiction, people contacted their MPs saying, "I want to help", people contacted politicians saying, you know, "I've got this offer", and there's got to be some way of dealing with those. I don't have a magic pulled for dealing with those. Both of them are challenges that need to be addressed in a similar situation. I don't believe receiving offers from either of those routes is the most efficient way to obtain these emergency goods. I do strongly believe that a proactive approach to potential suppliers in the market would have been a different way of doing this and possibly more efficient. Can I take it from that answer which you gave earlier, but you've now reinforced, that you didn't consider that there was anything inherently more credible or more promising about offers that arrived as a result of referrals into the VIP Lane? I heard Max's evidence earlier, and I share his feelings about the VIP Lane in many respects. Initially I too
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		In addiction, people contacted their MPs saying, "I want to help", people contacted politicians saying, you know, "I've got this offer", and there's got to be some way of dealing with those. I don't have a magic pulled for dealing with those. Both of them are challenges that need to be addressed in a similar situation. I don't believe receiving offers from either of those routes is the most efficient way to obtain these emergency goods. I do strongly believe that a proactive approach to potential suppliers in the market would have been a different way of doing this and possibly more efficient. Can I take it from that answer which you gave earlier, but you've now reinforced, that you didn't consider that there was anything inherently more credible or more promising about offers that arrived as a result of referrals into the VIP Lane? I heard Max's evidence earlier, and I share his feelings about the VIP Lane in many respects. Initially I too was sceptical, and I think I've reflected that in my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		In addiction, people contacted their MPs saying, "I want to help", people contacted politicians saying, you know, "I've got this offer", and there's got to be some way of dealing with those. I don't have a magic pulled for dealing with those. Both of them are challenges that need to be addressed in a similar situation. I don't believe receiving offers from either of those routes is the most efficient way to obtain these emergency goods. I do strongly believe that a proactive approach to potential suppliers in the market would have been a different way of doing this and possibly more efficient. Can I take it from that answer which you gave earlier, but you've now reinforced, that you didn't consider that there was anything inherently more credible or more promising about offers that arrived as a result of referrals into the VIP Lane? I heard Max's evidence earlier, and I share his feelings about the VIP Lane in many respects. Initially I too was sceptical, and I think I've reflected that in my evidence and in some of the emails I wrote at the time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		In addiction, people contacted their MPs saying, "I want to help", people contacted politicians saying, you know, "I've got this offer", and there's got to be some way of dealing with those. I don't have a magic pulled for dealing with those. Both of them are challenges that need to be addressed in a similar situation. I don't believe receiving offers from either of those routes is the most efficient way to obtain these emergency goods. I do strongly believe that a proactive approach to potential suppliers in the market would have been a different way of doing this and possibly more efficient. Can I take it from that answer which you gave earlier, but you've now reinforced, that you didn't consider that there was anything inherently more credible or more promising about offers that arrived as a result of referrals into the VIP Lane? I heard Max's evidence earlier, and I share his feelings about the VIP Lane in many respects. Initially I too was sceptical, and I think I've reflected that in my evidence and in some of the emails I wrote at the time. So, what are we doing here? Is this just a matter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		In addiction, people contacted their MPs saying, "I want to help", people contacted politicians saying, you know, "I've got this offer", and there's got to be some way of dealing with those. I don't have a magic pulled for dealing with those. Both of them are challenges that need to be addressed in a similar situation. I don't believe receiving offers from either of those routes is the most efficient way to obtain these emergency goods. I do strongly believe that a proactive approach to potential suppliers in the market would have been a different way of doing this and possibly more efficient. Can I take it from that answer which you gave earlier, but you've now reinforced, that you didn't consider that there was anything inherently more credible or more promising about offers that arrived as a result of referrals into the VIP Lane? I heard Max's evidence earlier, and I share his feelings about the VIP Lane in many respects. Initially I too was sceptical, and I think I've reflected that in my evidence and in some of the emails I wrote at the time.

1		useful PPE?
2		Because I've got relatives in the NHS. Max didn't
3		mention it, but he's got relatives in the NHS. We're
4		all incredibly motivated to try to get PPE to the
5		front line. I didn't want to be involved in this matter
6		if it was just a case of reassuring some politicians
7		that everything possible was being done. I wanted to
8		get PPE to the front line. And as a consequence of
9		that, I tried to make the process more efficient. I did
10		some of the other things that I've described in my
11		witness statement.
12	Q.	So like Mr Cairnduff, you started sceptical?
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	You grew increasingly convinced that the VIP Lane was
15		a source of promising or inherently more credible
16		offers?
17	Α.	Well, I can demonstrate that. Within a matter of days
18		I was talking to the most senior executive in the UK for
19		Amazon, which I believe was a credible route to
20		obtaining large volumes of PPE. And we did obtain some
21		PPE from Amazon, perhaps a little bit less than we would
22		have liked to.
23	Q.	So you have individual cases that you can cite?
24	Α.	Yes.
25	Q.	It's what one would describe as anecdotal evidence. The
		133
1		company into the
2	Q.	What was your experience? Did you undertake any
3	Α.	Did I undertake formal financial due diligence? No.
4		No, because there was another team to do that.
5		Did I talk to the supplier? Did I talk to people
6		who knew the supplier? Did I investigate whether the
7		supplier was had the right kind of experience to
8		provide the kind of product that we were looking at?
9		Yes, I did. And thus, I made the referral because
10		I thought the offer was credible.
11	Q.	Okay. Did you also answer the questionnaire from the
12		caseworker side as well?
13	Α.	Yes, I did.
14	Q.	Well, you may be in an unusual position in the sense of
15		being able to help us from both ends of the telescope.
16		We'll move on to that.
17		But in addition to the due diligence figures, there
18		are performance figures which you may have seen.
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	Within the same period, how do HPL versus non-HPL
21		contracts stand up to the test of performance?
22	Α.	I think the things you showed yesterday suggest that 50%
23		of HPL figures had some issues

- 24 Q. 55%?
- 25 Α. 55% of HPL contracts had some issues. And I believe 135

- Inquiry has assembled more data than that. I mean,
- I appreciate that you were in the thick of it, and
- I showed -- you may have followed it -- Mr Cairnduff
- 4 a number of graphics --
- 5 Α. Yes
- 6 Q. -- relating to performance and due diligence.
- 7 Α. Yes.

- Q. Would you like an opportunity to comment on those as 8 well? 9
- 10 A. I'd very much like that opportunity.
- Q. All right let's do that now. 11
- The first is at INQ000475005 and page 1. 12
- 13 This is produced on the basis of witness statements
- 14 from 36 referrers, of which two-thirds responded.
- A. Yeah. 15
- 16 Q. And amongst those who did respond, 67 indicated that
- 17 there had been no due diligence, and you've explained
- what you understand you meant by --18
- 19 Α. 67%, yes, roughly two-thirds. But I'm in this sample,
- 20 I'm one of these referrers --
- 21 Q. Yes?
- 22 Α. Yeah.
- 23 Q. You're not a referrer?
- 24 A. I did refer, yes. I referred one company into the High
- Priority Lane and I enabled the referral of another 25 134
- 1 it's something like 37%?
- 2 Q. 39.
- 3 Α. Thank you; you may have the figures in front often you 4 but I don't.
- 5 So I also heard Jonathan Marron explain how those 6 figures come about and how you dig underneath them. So
- 7 a performance issue might be that there's one gown
- 8 missing in a shipment of several hundred thousand. It
- might be that there's a piece of documentation missing. 9
- It might just be that the inspectors from the MHRA at 10
- 11 Daventry are in some way cautious about the shipment and 12
- decide to put it into quarantine.
- It might be of course, but all of those possibilities 13 Q.
- 14 would apply equally to HPL and non-HPL.
- 15 A. Yes, and I heard you make that argument.
- Q. And what's your response to that? 16
- 17 A. You'd have to look at the detail. Mr Marron said that
- 18 roughly 20 contracts from both the HPL set and the
- non-HPL set fall into this category, you know. And 19
- 20 I know one, for example, that did fall into this
- 21 category, because I was involved in working it. So we
- 22 haven't discussed this yet, but maybe you're going to
- 23 come to it, there's a referral from a gentleman called
- 24 Mr Farha, who sold us £135,000 worth of gowns.
- 25 Q. I will. Not with you, I hope you're not disappointed, 136

1	but with a future witness.	1	-	offer. Which sounds to me to be sound advice.
2 A .	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2	Q.	Okay, let's have a look at it then.
3	faith, 10,000 gowns, we ship them from China, they turn	3		INQ000477274, second page, please.
4	up in Daventry, they are inspected, we told Mr Farha	4		"An opportunity should only be progressed if:
5	we're only going to pay him on satisfactory inspection,	5		"The offer is less than two weeks old. Offers more
6	and they didn't pass inspection because they didn't	6		than two weeks old are generally not credible in the
7	conform and have the right documentation. It's £135,000	7		current market and should not be progressed unless you
8	in a £13 billion programme. So I think you've got to	8		have expressly confirmed with the caseworker that they
9	dig underneath those figures and get some sense of	9		remain valid."
10	proportionality.	10	Α.	Yes.
11 Q .	Of course. It's a crude analysis but it is an analysis	11	Q.	So where do we see that if they're more than two weeks
12	that is equally crude in the HPL case and the non-HPL	12		old you should revalidate them?
13	case.	13	Α.	That's what the last clause means: you should expressly
14 A .	I'm afraid I don't accept that argument. I think, in	14		confirm it with the caseworker that they remain valid.
15	order to have something which is of value to my Lady,	15	Q.	Was it every single one that went beyond two weeks that
16	you would have to look at more detail than that.	16		was revalidated?
17 Q .	Right. You've made clear that your own view is that	17	Α.	I can't attest to that because, you know, I would have
18	speed within the process is advantageous if you are	18		to go back and look for the evidence of it, but that's
19	about the business of trying to secure a contract?	19		what the instruction says. The instruction says if it's
20 A .	Yes.	20		more than two weeks old, then go back to the caseworker,
21 Q .	Yes. And in fact that was made all the more so by	21		who will contact the supplier, and say, "Are you still
22	internal guidance to say anything over two weeks, don't	22		making that offer on those terms?"
23	spend further time on it, move on to others?	23	Q.	All right.
24 A .	Well, I'd clarify that, if I can, that internal guidance	24		Let's move on to the next graphic that was put to
25	says if it's anything over two weeks, revalidate the 137	25		Mr Cairnduff earlier today and upon which you may wish 138
1	to comment. Chasing for updates.	1		next, if we enlarge it slightly. No, sorry, we've
2	INQ000475005, page 2.	2		already looked at that. There we go:
3	Again, you were part of this cohort?	3		"Do you consider contracts in the HPL were treated
4 A .		4		differently through the process to contracts
5 Q.	, , ,	5		outside"
6 A .		6		Now, can we assume from the evidence that you've
7 Q.		7		given that you were one of those that said no.
8	presumably?	8	Α.	No, you can't. I said yes.
	Exactly.	9	Q.	You said yes? All right.
	Yes. We see that 61% did chase for updates. Are you	10	Α.	And the reason I said yes was because of the feedback
11	able to comment? Would you have any sense of whether	11		issue. So my understanding was that well, there was
12	that	12		no one to refer back to, so there was no one to feed
	It feels high. That wasn't my personal experience.	13		back to in the non-HPL lane. But I gave feedback to
14 Q .	5	14		at the request, to people who that referred
15	you did participate	15		opportunities into the High Priority Lane because that
16 A .		16		was one of the purposes of having a High Priority Lane.
17 Q .		17	Q.	That was the sole reason you said yes?
18	INQ000581860, pages 1 and 9.	18	Α.	That was the sole reason I said yes.
19	We start off there:	19	Q.	You didn't consider that there was any speed advantage
20	"Did referrers to the HPL contract you directly?"	20		in a referral in or
21	I think we can conclude from what we've heard in	21	Α.	There's some advantage in there was some advantage in
22	your evidence already that you were one of those that	22		the speed to Technical Assurance, but I don't know
23	said yes?	23		whether we're going to come on to this. I recently
24 A .		24		wrote to the Inquiry with some analysis I did on the
25 Q .	So you were one of the eight. Then let's move to the 139	25		relative processing speeds of different cases. 140

140

(35) Pages 137 - 140

1	Q.	It wasn't part of my plan this afternoon.	
2	Α.	As you wish. But it's part of my second witness	
3		statement, I believe.	
4	Q.	Your evidence is that there was overall no speed	
5		advantage?	
6	Α.	Well, if I may take the Inquiry's time	
7	LAI	DY HALLETT: Well, I think Mr Wald has opened the door.	
8		So do.	
9	THE	E WITNESS: Okay, thank you.	
10		So I did two different sets of analysis, and	
11		I should give a caveat that, doing this kind of analysis	
12		is actually really quite difficult, it's not just	
13		a question of selecting a few cells from the spreadsheet	
14		and then putting in a formula.	
15		So I went back to original communications and	
16		original documentation in Mendix, for example, to try to	
17		do this analysis. I looked at two different times: the	
18		time between when a company was first made known to the	
19		PPE Buy Cell and when it received a contract, by which	
20		I mean the purchase order. I took the purchase order	
21		date because that was the dataset that I had. And the	
22		other piece of analysis I did was look at the time	
23		between the first acceptable offer and the time to	
24		contract, which is shorter, as you would expect, because	
25		it sometimes took time for companies to make	:
		141	
1		explanations for that, but you must remember that	
2		there's a very large volume of opportunities which are	
3		made known to the Department of Health in March, and in	
4		some from the earliest date from something like	
5		13 March, and there was no one there to process them.	
6		There was no way of actually picking these up and	
7		investigating whether they were good, bad or	
8		indifferent.	
9		So, consequently, there's couple of weeks, I can	
10		imagine, of backlog building up, before the PPE Cell was	
11		stood up properly and before it was in a position to	
12		process these cases, whereas by definition, the High	
13		Priority Lane cases come in and there's Hannah Bolton	
14		ready to pick up the phone to talk to them. So, yes,	
15		there's a difference in speed. But if we can go on to	
16		the next paragraph, please, which is on the following	

17 page. 18 So this is in speed to contract, and there the data 19 is quite interesting. So this is the time between the 20 first acceptable offer and a contract, and from both streams, non-High Priority Lane and High Priority Lane, 21 22 that's between three and three and a half weeks. So 23 once somebody has made a good offer, they get through 24 the sausage machine and get their purchase order in 25 roughly the same time. And I think that proves the 143

1		an acceptable offer, and the first offer they made
2		wasn't always acceptable.
3		The time outside the High Priority Lane, again, from
4		memory or would it be helpful to look at my statement
5		so I'm not inadvertently misleading the Inquiry?
6		I don't know if one of your colleagues can remind me
7		what the paragraph number is.
8	LA	DY HALLETT: This is the statement did you say second
9		statement?
10	Α.	I believe it's in my second statement but I could be
11		wrong on that.
12		DY HALLETT: Dated 16 January 2025?
13		WALD: 5.10.
14	Α.	That must be the first statement.
15	Q.	Yes, the second statement is
16	Α.	Yes, that's right. Thank you very much, I do appreciate
17		it.
18		Can we go on to the next page, please? That would
19 20		be helpful.
20 21		Okay. So I won't you can read the process
21		description there but, looking at the very bottom of the page, so the time from first contact to first purchase
22		order for non-HPL cases is of the order of six weeks.
23 24		The time for High Priority Lane cases, that time is of
24		the order of four weeks, and there may be different
20		142
1		contention proves that supports the contention that
1		contention proves that supports the contention that
2		my colleagues, that once these offers, once they were
2 3		my colleagues, that once these offers, once they were being handled, once they were being treated, were
2 3 4	0	my colleagues, that once these offers, once they were being handled, once they were being treated, were treated in a very, very similar way.
2 3 4 5	Q.	my colleagues, that once these offers, once they were being handled, once they were being treated, were treated in a very, very similar way. You don't say that entry into the system, we saw a slide
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	my colleagues, that once these offers, once they were being handled, once they were being treated, were treated in a very, very similar way. You don't say that entry into the system, we saw a slide yesterday that identifies "(A + B) OR C", A plus B being
2 3 4 5 6 7		my colleagues, that once these offers, once they were being handled, once they were being treated, were treated in a very, very similar way. You don't say that entry into the system, we saw a slide yesterday that identifies "(A + B) OR C", A plus B being criteria that relate to the company or the offer
2 3 4 5 6	Q. A. Q.	my colleagues, that once these offers, once they were being handled, once they were being treated, were treated in a very, very similar way. You don't say that entry into the system, we saw a slide yesterday that identifies "(A + B) OR C", A plus B being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Α.	my colleagues, that once these offers, once they were being handled, once they were being treated, were treated in a very, very similar way. You don't say that entry into the system, we saw a slide yesterday that identifies "(A + B) OR C", A plus B being criteria that relate to the company or the offer Yes. with C relating to VIP status, entry into the system
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Α.	my colleagues, that once these offers, once they were being handled, once they were being treated, were treated in a very, very similar way. You don't say that entry into the system, we saw a slide yesterday that identifies "(A + B) OR C", A plus B being criteria that relate to the company or the offer Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	my colleagues, that once these offers, once they were being handled, once they were being treated, were treated in a very, very similar way. You don't say that entry into the system, we saw a slide yesterday that identifies "(A + B) OR C", A plus B being criteria that relate to the company or the offer Yes. with C relating to VIP status, entry into the system conferred any advantage in speed or otherwise.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q.	my colleagues, that once these offers, once they were being handled, once they were being treated, were treated in a very, very similar way. You don't say that entry into the system, we saw a slide yesterday that identifies "(A + B) OR C", A plus B being criteria that relate to the company or the offer Yes. with C relating to VIP status, entry into the system conferred any advantage in speed or otherwise. It probably got you to Technical Assurance, the point of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q.	my colleagues, that once these offers, once they were being handled, once they were being treated, were treated in a very, very similar way. You don't say that entry into the system, we saw a slide yesterday that identifies "(A + B) OR C", A plus B being criteria that relate to the company or the offer Yes. with C relating to VIP status, entry into the system conferred any advantage in speed or otherwise. It probably got you to Technical Assurance, the point of Technical Assurance more quickly, but there were some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	my colleagues, that once these offers, once they were being handled, once they were being treated, were treated in a very, very similar way. You don't say that entry into the system, we saw a slide yesterday that identifies "(A + B) OR C", A plus B being criteria that relate to the company or the offer Yes. with C relating to VIP status, entry into the system conferred any advantage in speed or otherwise. It probably got you to Technical Assurance, the point of Technical Assurance more quickly, but there were some serious bottlenecks in Technical Assurance in particular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q.	 my colleagues, that once these offers, once they were being handled, once they were being treated, were treated in a very, very similar way. You don't say that entry into the system, we saw a slide yesterday that identifies "(A + B) OR C", A plus B being criteria that relate to the company or the offer Yes. with C relating to VIP status, entry into the system conferred any advantage in speed or otherwise. It probably got you to Technical Assurance, the point of Technical Assurance more quickly, but there were some serious bottlenecks in Technical Assurance in particular in April and that's where the process got held up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q.	 my colleagues, that once these offers, once they were being handled, once they were being treated, were treated in a very, very similar way. You don't say that entry into the system, we saw a slide yesterday that identifies "(A + B) OR C", A plus B being criteria that relate to the company or the offer Yes. with C relating to VIP status, entry into the system conferred any advantage in speed or otherwise. It probably got you to Technical Assurance, the point of Technical Assurance more quickly, but there were some serious bottlenecks in Technical Assurance in particular in April and that's where the process got held up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q.	 my colleagues, that once these offers, once they were being handled, once they were being treated, were treated in a very, very similar way. You don't say that entry into the system, we saw a slide yesterday that identifies "(A + B) OR C", A plus B being criteria that relate to the company or the offerYes. with C relating to VIP status, entry into the system conferred any advantage in speed or otherwise. It probably got you to Technical Assurance, the point of Technical Assurance more quickly, but there were some serious bottlenecks in Technical Assurance in particular in April and that's where the process got held up. You made one further inference from that particular evidence which is that there was no triage on the High
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q.	 my colleagues, that once these offers, once they were being handled, once they were being treated, were treated in a very, very similar way. You don't say that entry into the system, we saw a slide yesterday that identifies "(A + B) OR C", A plus B being criteria that relate to the company or the offer Yes. with C relating to VIP status, entry into the system conferred any advantage in speed or otherwise. It probably got you to Technical Assurance, the point of Technical Assurance more quickly, but there were some serious bottlenecks in Technical Assurance in particular in April and that's where the process got held up. You made one further inference from that particular evidence which is that there was no triage on the High Priority Lane offers and that's just not true. There
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A.	 my colleagues, that once these offers, once they were being handled, once they were being treated, were treated in a very, very similar way. You don't say that entry into the system, we saw a slide yesterday that identifies "(A + B) OR C", A plus B being criteria that relate to the company or the offer Yes. with C relating to VIP status, entry into the system conferred any advantage in speed or otherwise. It probably got you to Technical Assurance, the point of Technical Assurance more quickly, but there were some serious bottlenecks in Technical Assurance in particular in April and that's where the process got held up. You made one further inference from that particular evidence which is that there was no triage on the High Priority Lane offers.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A.	my colleagues, that once these offers, once they were being handled, once they were being treated, were treated in a very, very similar way. You don't say that entry into the system, we saw a slide yesterday that identifies "(A + B) OR C", A plus B being criteria that relate to the company or the offer Yes. with C relating to VIP status, entry into the system conferred any advantage in speed or otherwise. It probably got you to Technical Assurance, the point of Technical Assurance more quickly, but there were some serious bottlenecks in Technical Assurance in particular in April and that's where the process got held up. You made one further inference from that particular evidence which is that there was no triage on the High Priority Lane offers and that's just not true. There certainly was a triage on the High Priority Lane offers. To put it into context, this two-week period, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A.	my colleagues, that once these offers, once they were being handled, once they were being treated, were treated in a very, very similar way. You don't say that entry into the system, we saw a slide yesterday that identifies "(A + B) OR C", A plus B being criteria that relate to the company or the offer Yes. with C relating to VIP status, entry into the system conferred any advantage in speed or otherwise. It probably got you to Technical Assurance, the point of Technical Assurance more quickly, but there were some serious bottlenecks in Technical Assurance in particular in April and that's where the process got held up. You made one further inference from that particular evidence which is that there was no triage on the High Priority Lane offers and that's just not true. There certainly was a triage on the High Priority Lane offers. To put it into context, this two-week period, the overall period of PPE procurement lasted for 100 days, didn't it? Roughly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	А. Q. А.	my colleagues, that once these offers, once they were being handled, once they were being treated, were treated in a very, very similar way. You don't say that entry into the system, we saw a slide yesterday that identifies "(A + B) OR C", A plus B being criteria that relate to the company or the offer Yes. with C relating to VIP status, entry into the system conferred any advantage in speed or otherwise. It probably got you to Technical Assurance, the point of Technical Assurance more quickly, but there were some serious bottlenecks in Technical Assurance in particular in April and that's where the process got held up. You made one further inference from that particular evidence which is that there was no triage on the High Priority Lane offers and that's just not true. There certainly was a triage on the High Priority Lane offers. To put it into context, this two-week period, the overall period of PPE procurement lasted for 100 days, didn't it? Roughly. Yeah, so we're looking at a 14-day period within
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q.	my colleagues, that once these offers, once they were being handled, once they were being treated, were treated in a very, very similar way. You don't say that entry into the system, we saw a slide yesterday that identifies "(A + B) OR C", A plus B being criteria that relate to the company or the offer Yes. with C relating to VIP status, entry into the system conferred any advantage in speed or otherwise. It probably got you to Technical Assurance, the point of Technical Assurance more quickly, but there were some serious bottlenecks in Technical Assurance in particular in April and that's where the process got held up. You made one further inference from that particular evidence which is that there was no triage on the High Priority Lane offers and that's just not true. There certainly was a triage on the High Priority Lane offers. To put it into context, this two-week period, the overall period of PPE procurement lasted for 100 days, didn't it? Roughly.

1	Q.	Yes. So it's not an insignificant period of time?	1		whether th
2	A.	No.	2		a tenfold ra
3	Q.	In that procurement window?	3		confusion
4	Α.	In many cases, it would have made the non-High Priority	4		the hope th
5		Lane cases less likely to get to contract, but I think	5		point for us
6		it's also worth observing that, inside that set of	6		' Can w
7		non-High Priority Lane cases there are some of the	7		a table the
8		things that Max Cairnduff talked to you about, and	8		It's IN
9		I hope this isn't being unserious, but one of my that	9		Table
10		I mentioned in the witness statement, was an offer for	10		a figure of
11		a recipe for chicken soup, with proven anti-bacterial	11	Α.	For these
12		and anti-viral properties, and that offer had to be	12		is an HPL :
13		picked up and looked at by somebody and then said,	13		I'm afraid,
14		"Thank you but no thank you", in the same way that	14		a straightfo
15		an offer of 10,000, 100,000, 1 million gowns had to be	15		speaking, v
16		looked at. So there's a really big qualitative	16		Mendix as
17		difference between the offer set processed by the	17		not an HPI
18		non-HPL stream and the HPL stream.	18		Now, a
19	Q.	Thank you, Dr Hall. Have you said everything you wanted	19		at I must
20		to about your analysis?	20		life look
21	Α.	I have, thank you.	21		of the 430
22	Q.	All right. Thank you for that.	22		I don't beli
23		Final point but an important one, conversion rates.	23		another sta
24	Α.	Right.	24	Q.	But what I'
25	Q.	Now, there was I suppose, a degree of confusion about 145	25		it say "sup
1	Α.	It should say "suppliers". What it says there is	1	Α.	l take it yo
2	_	correct.	2	_	paragraph
3	Q.	Then, as against that number of suppliers, there are 51	3	Q.	I am indee
4		contracts awarded: number of suppliers awarded	4		"A sm
5		a contract?	5		of the 24,0
6	Α.	I think it's actually 52.	6		referral' ro
7	Q.	Okay. If those figures are correct, that results in	7	Α.	Forgive me
8		an 11.86% of potential suppliers being awarded	8	-	that's wron
9		a contract?	9	Q.	Well, let's
10	Α.	That's correct arithmetic, yes.	10		wrong, it's
11	Q.	Being awarded at least one contract	11	A.	0
12	Α.	Yes.	12	Q.	publishe
13	Q.	because some suppliers were awarded multiple	13		February 2
14		contracts?	14	A.	Okay.
15	A.	As you can see from the figure below.	15	Q.	You say it
16	Q.	Yes. Comparing that to the non-HPL, we have 15,194	16	A.	Yes.
17		potential suppliers; 173 suppliers awarded contracts,	17	Q.	Let's go ba
18		and that conversion rate is 1.13%	18		the first da
19	A.	Yes.	19		a figure of
20	Q.	which results in a difference in conversion rate of	20	•	document
20		approximately 10.5?	21	Α.	This docur
21	۸	If you look at this by suppliars you that's roughly		\sim	It's incorre
21 22	A.	If you look at this by suppliers, yes, that's roughly	22	Q.	It's incorre
21 22 23	Q.	If you look at it by suppliers.	23	Α.	Yes.
21 22					

1		whether the conversion rate offers to contracts was
2		a tenfold rate of conversion or a 17-fold rate of
3		confusion (sic). I want to ask you some questions in
4		the hope that you will be able to clarify that important
5		point for us, all right?
6		Can we start with Mr Marron's witness statement and
7		a table there.
8		It's INQ000528391.
9		Table 11. There it is. Number of suppliers, HPL,
0		a figure of 430 is given; is that correct?
1	Α.	For these purposes, it's good enough. The matter of who
2		is an HPL supplier and who is not an HPL supplier is,
3		I'm afraid, like many other things, not
4		a straightforward question to answer. So, generally
5		speaking, we've used the existence of a case file in
6		Mendix as a determinant of whether a supplier was or was
7		not an HPL supplier.
8		Now, as part of work for the Inquiry, I had to look
9		at I must have done something bad in a previous
20		life look at these 430 cases, yes? And the some
21		of the 430 cases turned out to be state governments and
22		I don't believe we ever bought PPE from, for example,
23 24	•	another state. So that number is a close approximation.
25	Q.	But what I'm interested in for these purposes, is should it say "suppliers" or "offers"?
5		146
		I de las de constantes de settemente de la setteme
1 2	Α.	I take it you're looking at the second-to-bottom
2 3	~	paragraph; is that right?
3 4	Q.	I am indeed. It says: "A small proportion of offers approximately 430
+ 5		of the 24,000 were processed through a 'high priority
6		referral' route."
7	Α.	Forgive me, I'm not quite sure what the document is, but
, 8	Λ.	that's wrong.
9	Q.	Well, let's go to the top of it. Before you say it's
0	ч.	wrong, it's a DHSC Government website document
1	Α.	Right.
2	Q.	published in November 2021 and then updated in
3		February 2022.
4	Α.	Okay.
5	Q.	You say it contains an error?
6	Α.	Yes.
7	Q.	Let's go back to the error. To be clear, Dr Hall, on
8		the first day of this module in opening, I presented
		and mot day of the module in opening, i presented
9		a figure of a conversion rate of 17, based on this
9 20 21	Α.	a figure of a conversion rate of 17, based on this
20 21	A. Q.	a figure of a conversion rate of 17, based on this document being correct.
20 21 22		a figure of a conversion rate of 17, based on this document being correct. This document is incorrect.
20	Q.	a figure of a conversion rate of 17, based on this document being correct. This document is incorrect. It's incorrect?
20 21 22 23	Q. A.	a figure of a conversion rate of 17, based on this document being correct. This document is incorrect. It's incorrect? Yes. What should that say instead of 430 offers? Around 2,200, and I think this is something we've put in
20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q.	a figure of a conversion rate of 17, based on this document being correct. This document is incorrect. It's incorrect? Yes. What should that say instead of 430 offers?

(37) Pages 145 - 148

1	the corporate witness statement to you, so this will be	1		outside of it?
2	our second corporate witness statement, which was	2	Α.	But I remind you of what's in the not HPL, in the not
3	submitted by Clare Gibbs, and I think I've got the	3		HPL is, as Max suggested, people offering to knit face
4	paragraph number here, it's 3.93, and this says that	4		masks for us, people offering to make scrubs and,
5	around 2,200 individual case records exist in Mendix and	5		although some of the offers in the HPL were less
6	that means 2,200 offers.	6		credible than others, there's nobody offering to knit
7 Q.		7		face masks.
8 A.		8	Q.	
9	here? No, I don't, I'm afraid, have the INQ number.	9		question: in either event, there's a significant
10	Perhaps one of your colleagues can assist me.	10		advantage, isn't there?
11	Oh, sorry, it's on the bottom of the page. So the	11	Α.	There's an advantage but the advantage accrues from the
12	INQ number is INQ000528389 and it's on pages 56 and 57.	12		nature of the offers in the queue.
13 Q .		13	Q.	Final INQ number and question for you, please, Dr Hall.
	3.93, it's all in one paragraph.	14	-	INQ000565970, and page 34. This is an analysis of how
15 Q .		15		this translates in terms of the amount that was spent on
16 A .		16		PPE in and out of the High Priority Lane?
17 Q.		10	Α.	
18 A .		18	Q.	Does that concur approximately with what you had
19 - .	you look at it measured by offers, it's much nearer 3,	10	ч.	understood?
20	4, 5:1. I don't know if that's material or not but	20	Α.	Well, these are probably better figures than the figures
21	certainly the proportion of offers accepted that came	20	~.	I had, because I assume these are Department of Health
22	from the High Priority Lane, as opposed to the non-High	21		and Social Care figures and, remember, the Department of
23	Priority Lane, is different again.	22		Health and Social Care has the contract data, which the
24 Q .		23		Cabinet Office doesn't hold because we only did the
2 4 Q . 25	terms of conversion rate within the HPL compared to	24 25		front end of the process. We didn't do the back end of
20	149	25		150
1 2 Q .		1 2		next question I'm going to ask you isn't a reflection on your business nous but perhaps a reflection on some
	And I take it this also excludes certain categories	3		evidence you gave earlier.
4	because you'd also need to look at how much came through	4		You said that part of the issue was that you were
5	the China Buy route and how much came through the SCCL	5		working with a newly formed team that were not used to
6	route. And I don't know whether these non-High Priority	6	_	buying PPE.
7	Lane contracts includes China Buy or not.	7	Α.	Yes.
	What we see here is a rough equivalence, High Priority	8	Q.	
9	Lane and non-High Priority Lane	9		Dame Kate Bingham that, to put it bluntly, business nous
10 A .		10		was not abundant in government staff. I think more
11 Q .		11		broadly than people buying PPE, but's a further
12	well?	12		criticism noted in Professor Albert Sanchez-Graells in
	All I can draw from this is a very significant value of	13		his report.
14	contracts were let through the High Priority Lane.	14		How can this be changed to ensure that, when the
15 Q .		15		next pandemic comes, there are groups of people who are
	Yes, that's correct.	16		ready and understand how to buy PPE?
	R WALD: Dr Hall, that concludes my questions for you,	17	Α.	
18	thank you very much.	18		people in the UK that buy PPE for a living, now,
19 A .	Thank you.	19		because, frankly, it's not a difficult thing to buy.
20 L/	ADY HALLETT: Just couple more questions, Dr Hall.	20		It's a commodity. It's usually bought through
21	Dr Mitchell, who is sitting that way.	21		wholesalers.
22	Questions from DR MITCHELL	22		I'm not trying to diminish the skill and application
23 D I	R MITCHELL: I'm obliged.	23		of my former colleagues who came from SCCL, that's quite
24	Dr Hall, I appear as instructed by Aamer Anwar &	24		a small cohort, and all of those people worked in the
25	Company on behalf of the Scottish Covid Bereaved. This	25		PPE Buy Cell. All of them.
	151			152

(38) Pages 149 - 152

1		What we had to do was multiply that by 20, and of	
2		necessity I mean, I'm a software engineer. You know,	
2		of necessity you had to bring in people who did not have	
4		experience of buying PPE.	
5	0	Would there be merit, then, in training people how to	
6	α.	buy when markets are unstable?	
7	Α.	I think there would certainly be a merit in doing that	
8	<i>,</i>	and in exercising that, but I think it's actually	
9		insulting of someone to say that we didn't have business	
10		nous. We had I don't know, 83 per cent of the team	
11		are commercial professionals, most of whom have been	
12		a formal accreditation system, like myself, to test that	
13		we knew what we were talking about, and we knew how to	
14		deal with suppliers and buy things.	
15	Q.		
16		my statement, was more generally, I think, about	
17		government. It wasn't in relation to PPE.	
18		Moving on, Dr Hall, I wonder if I can ask you, we	
19		read in disclosure the prospect of using Amazon to	
20		streamline procurement of PPE, and I am wondering, on	
21		behalf of the Scottish Covid Bereaved, would this have	
22		outsourced a lot of work to businesses who could take	
23		the strain or would there have been more specific	
24		problems with that, in your opinion?	
25	Α.	Different problems, I think. I mean, outsourcing is	
1	Α.	l believe, yes.	
2		MITCHELL: I'm obliged my Lady.	
3	LAI	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much, Dr Mitchell, very	
4		grateful.	
5		You've obviously been following our proceedings and	
6		done a lot of work preparing responses and things to the	
7		Inquiry. If there's anything else that occurs to you	
8 9		that you wish to alert me to, please do. I'm entitled	
9 10		to take into account not just oral evidence, and do take into account written material, and I want to make sure	
10		that any findings I make are entirely fair. So if there	
11 12		is anything else is there anything else immediately	
12 13		you wanted to say that you haven't been asked?	
13 14	тμі	E WITNESS: I don't think I'll take up any more of your	
14 15	110	time today, my Lady.	
16	۱ ۵۱	DY HALLETT: If there is anything else, please let us	
17		know.	
18	тні	E WITNESS: Thank you.	
19		DY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed for your help.	
		I know what the feeling is like to be on a glide path to	
20		retirement and then Covid comes along, so thank you for	
21		coming on that path, and lor trying to held det the	
21 22		coming off that path, and for trying to help get the equipment that we needed. Thank you very much indeed.	
21 22 23	тні	coming off that path, and for trying to help get the equipment that we needed. Thank you very much indeed. E WITNESS: Thank you.	
20 21 22 23 24 25	THI	equipment that we needed. Thank you very much indeed.	

1	brings both advantages and disadvantages, and I have	
2	other colleagues who have written about that at length.	
2	And Amazon's wasn't, strictly speaking, an outsourcing	
4	proposal but we did receive other proposals saying, "Why	
5	don't you give us this problem?" You know, "We'll take	
6	it away for you. We'll go out, find the suppliers,	
7	we'll source this for you, maybe do the logistics or	
8	maybe not."	
9	I think that would have created other, but	
10	different, problems, because then we would have had to	
11	manage the outsourcing contract and be a little bit that	
12	more remote from this highly critical activity.	
13	And it would have taken time to set that kind of	
14	arrangement up and at the beginning of the pandemic, we	
15	didn't have time. You know, the house was burning. We	
16	had to find a big enough firehose to try to put the fire	
17	out.	
18	And consequently I think what the Department of	
19	Health did, because it wasn't my decision	
20	Q. Yes.	
21	A what the Department of Health did was the right thing	
22	to do.	
23	Q. Yes. So you're saying that, speed being of the essence,	
24	it would be better to keep it in-house than to try	
25	to (overspeaking) 154	
1	LADY HALLETT: Very well, I shall return at 3.05.	
2	(2.49 pm)	
3	(A short break)	
4	(3.05 pm)	
5	LADY HALLETT: Mr Sharma.	
6	MR SHARMA: My Lady, the next witness is Andrew Wood.	
7	MR ANDREW WOOD (sworn)	
8	Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY	
9	LADY HALLETT: Mr Wood, I hope you were warned that we might	
10	not get to you until the very end of the day.	
11	A. No, that's fine, thank you.	
12	LADY HALLETT: I'm sorry if we've kept you waiting.	
13	A. That's okay.	
14	MR SHARMA: Mr Wood, would you please confirm your name to	
15	the Inquiry.	
16	A. My full name is Andrew David Wood.	
17	Q. Mr Wood, you have provided the Inquiry with a witness	
18	statement. I wonder if you would be kind enough to	
19	confirm that that statement is true to the best of your	
20	knowledge and belief?	
21	A. Yes.	
22	Q. Mr Wood, I start, if I may, with your background.	
23	You've worked in commercial procurement since 1993; is	
24	that correct?	
25	A. Yes, that's correct.	

(39) Pages 153 - 156

				,
1	Q.	On 24 February 2020, you joined the Complex Transactions	1	
2		Team?	2	
3	Α.	I did yeah, 20 days before we were deployed onto PPE.	3	Q
4	Q.	You were the Deputy Director, a commercial specialist,	4	
5		part of the Government Commercial Function in the	5	
6		Cabinet Office; is that right?	6	
7	Α.	Yes, that's right.	7	
8	Q.	The Inquiry has heard today and yesterday about the	8	Α
9		experiences of those within the PPE Cell engaged in	9	
10		procurement of PPE. In your witness statement you	10	
11		describe it as one of the most frenetic and stressful	11	
12		period of the careers of those you were working with.	12	
13		Would you be able to expand a little bit upon that?	13	
14	Α.	Yeah, of course. As you've heard already in some of the	14	
15		witness evidence, commercial work is normally quite	15	
16		painstaking. It takes time to run through a commercial	16	
17		process, and the outcome of it isn't linked to saving	17	
18		lives. Obviously, in this environment, by the time that	18	
19		we were called in to help DHSC on this assignment, we	19	
20		were already in a bad place as a country, which we	20	
21		quickly learned.	21	
22		So there was immense pressure. I think all the team	22	
23		felt that it was immense privilege to do the work	23	
24		because we see it was important to the country and to	24	
25		frontline workers. So yeah, it was a very strange,	25	
		157		
1		it in their eyes and their body language, it was very	1	Α
2		professional but they were very worried and there was	2	
3		an immediate urgency.	3	
4		And the very next thing I did to add to that	4	
5		briefing, if you like, was to request Emily's office to	5	
6		call Jin Sahota, the CEO of SCCL, who happened to live	6	
7		in London, which was where we were having our meetings	7	
8		and he immediately came into Skipton House and we got in	8	
9		front of a flip chart and he sketched out for me exactly	9	
10		how SCCL I say "exactly", but broadly how SCCL is	10	
11		organised and the fact that they needed to focus on	11	
12		everything else apart from PPE, because the healthcare	12	
13		system needed them to do so and it was clogging it up,	13	
14		and that we would need to set up a completely new supply	14	
15		chain.	15	
16		Importantly that their existing suppliers were, you	16	
17		know, very, very low on stock. They'd already been	17	
18		yeah, they'd already been I'm trying to think of the	18	
19		word. They were empty of stock as well.	19	Q
20	Q.	So your instruction was you had to buy as much PPE as	20	
21		you possibly could; is that fair?	21	
22	Α.	At that time that was the initial instruction, yeah.	22	A
23	Q.	If I were to describe you as very much at the coalface	23	Q
24		of the PPE buying effort, would that be a fair	24	
25		description of your work?	25	A
		159		

1		unusual, environment that we had to get really focused
2		on really quickly.
3	Q.	If I can take you on forward a little bit in the
4	ч.	chronology to a moment on 21 March 2020 when you
5		describe in your witness statement arriving at Skipton
6		House and you were given a briefing. Could you please
7		recount the content of that briefing?
	•	Yeah, I immediately met Dr Emily Lawson, who made me
8	Α.	
9 10		a cup of tea, which was very nice, and then she proceed
10		to tell me the situation that we were in, in terms of
11		SCCL had already had the conversations around whether
12		they could cope with the crisis, the fact that we would
13		be using DHSC's procurement function but we needed to
14		set up a completely new cell from scratch.
15		She also explained that, at that time, we didn't
16		know how much PPE we wanted or needed, so the
17		instruction was we needed to buy as much as we possibly
18		can. I was also introduced to the team that were
19		already on the phone with Beijing embassy, who had
20		already started a week or so before, I believe.
21		I met Lord James Bethell and, you know, he just
22		asked if there was any help that you needed to let him
23		know and he would make things happen. I met the former
24		CEO of the NHS, I believe, Simon Stephenson (sic), and
25		yeah, I think my impression was you know, I could see
25		yeah, I think my impression was you know, I could see 158
25		
25	А.	
	A.	158
1	A.	158 There were many coalfaces. My coalface was and I've
1 2	A.	158 There were many coalfaces. My coalface was and I've described it in my statement, which you've seen,
1 2 3	A.	158 There were many coalfaces. My coalface was and I've described it in my statement, which you've seen, obviously my role was to oversee the setting up of
1 2 3 4	А.	158 There were many coalfaces. My coalface was and I've described it in my statement, which you've seen, obviously my role was to oversee the setting up of the PPE Buy Cell in a very short period of time to
1 2 3 4 5	Α.	158 There were many coalfaces. My coalface was and I've described it in my statement, which you've seen, obviously my role was to oversee the setting up of the PPE Buy Cell in a very short period of time to quickly learn and adapt to the circumstances we were
1 2 3 4 5 6	Α.	158 There were many coalfaces. My coalface was and I've described it in my statement, which you've seen, obviously my role was to oversee the setting up of the PPE Buy Cell in a very short period of time to quickly learn and adapt to the circumstances we were in I mean, I had those few initial facts from that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A.	158 There were many coalfaces. My coalface was and I've described it in my statement, which you've seen, obviously my role was to oversee the setting up of the PPE Buy Cell in a very short period of time to quickly learn and adapt to the circumstances we were in I mean, I had those few initial facts from that briefing but we found out new things every day and
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A.	158 There were many coalfaces. My coalface was and I've described it in my statement, which you've seen, obviously my role was to oversee the setting up of the PPE Buy Cell in a very short period of time to quickly learn and adapt to the circumstances we were in I mean, I had those few initial facts from that briefing but we found out new things every day and also to be the link between the buying part of the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A.	158 There were many coalfaces. My coalface was and I've described it in my statement, which you've seen, obviously my role was to oversee the setting up of the PPE Buy Cell in a very short period of time to quickly learn and adapt to the circumstances we were in I mean, I had those few initial facts from that briefing but we found out new things every day and also to be the link between the buying part of the Parallel Supply Chain with the other elements.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A.	158 There were many coalfaces. My coalface was and I've described it in my statement, which you've seen, obviously my role was to oversee the setting up of the PPE Buy Cell in a very short period of time to quickly learn and adapt to the circumstances we were in I mean, I had those few initial facts from that briefing but we found out new things every day and also to be the link between the buying part of the Parallel Supply Chain with the other elements. So Emily was my client and she was the lead, along
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A.	158 There were many coalfaces. My coalface was and I've described it in my statement, which you've seen, obviously my role was to oversee the setting up of the PPE Buy Cell in a very short period of time to quickly learn and adapt to the circumstances we were in I mean, I had those few initial facts from that briefing but we found out new things every day and also to be the link between the buying part of the Parallel Supply Chain with the other elements. So Emily was my client and she was the lead, along with Jonathan and later Lord Deighton, but I was that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A.	158 There were many coalfaces. My coalface was and I've described it in my statement, which you've seen, obviously my role was to oversee the setting up of the PPE Buy Cell in a very short period of time to quickly learn and adapt to the circumstances we were in I mean, I had those few initial facts from that briefing but we found out new things every day and also to be the link between the buying part of the Parallel Supply Chain with the other elements. So Emily was my client and she was the lead, along with Jonathan and later Lord Deighton, but I was that kind of interface, which I occasionally shared with my
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A.	158 There were many coalfaces. My coalface was and I've described it in my statement, which you've seen, obviously my role was to oversee the setting up of the PPE Buy Cell in a very short period of time to quickly learn and adapt to the circumstances we were in I mean, I had those few initial facts from that briefing but we found out new things every day and also to be the link between the buying part of the Parallel Supply Chain with the other elements. So Emily was my client and she was the lead, along with Jonathan and later Lord Deighton, but I was that kind of interface, which I occasionally shared with my colleagues when if I was on a day off, which was
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Α.	158 There were many coalfaces. My coalface was and I've described it in my statement, which you've seen, obviously my role was to oversee the setting up of the PPE Buy Cell in a very short period of time to quickly learn and adapt to the circumstances we were in I mean, I had those few initial facts from that briefing but we found out new things every day and also to be the link between the buying part of the Parallel Supply Chain with the other elements. So Emily was my client and she was the lead, along with Jonathan and later Lord Deighton, but I was that kind of interface, which I occasionally shared with my colleagues when if I was on a day off, which was quite rare for all of us, so yeah. That was one
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Α.	158 There were many coalfaces. My coalface was and I've described it in my statement, which you've seen, obviously my role was to oversee the setting up of the PPE Buy Cell in a very short period of time to quickly learn and adapt to the circumstances we were in I mean, I had those few initial facts from that briefing but we found out new things every day and also to be the link between the buying part of the Parallel Supply Chain with the other elements. So Emily was my client and she was the lead, along with Jonathan and later Lord Deighton, but I was that kind of interface, which I occasionally shared with my colleagues when if I was on a day off, which was quite rare for all of us, so yeah. That was one coalface that I was on.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A.	158 There were many coalfaces. My coalface was and I've described it in my statement, which you've seen, obviously my role was to oversee the setting up of the PPE Buy Cell in a very short period of time to quickly learn and adapt to the circumstances we were in I mean, I had those few initial facts from that briefing but we found out new things every day and also to be the link between the buying part of the Parallel Supply Chain with the other elements. So Emily was my client and she was the lead, along with Jonathan and later Lord Deighton, but I was that kind of interface, which I occasionally shared with my colleagues when if I was on a day off, which was quite rare for all of us, so yeah. That was one coalface that I was on.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q.	158 There were many coalfaces. My coalface was and I've described it in my statement, which you've seen, obviously my role was to oversee the setting up of the PPE Buy Cell in a very short period of time to quickly learn and adapt to the circumstances we were in I mean, I had those few initial facts from that briefing but we found out new things every day and also to be the link between the buying part of the Parallel Supply Chain with the other elements. So Emily was my client and she was the lead, along with Jonathan and later Lord Deighton, but I was that kind of interface, which I occasionally shared with my colleagues when if I was on a day off, which was quite rare for all of us, so yeah. That was one coalface that I was on.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		158 There were many coalfaces. My coalface was and I've described it in my statement, which you've seen, obviously my role was to oversee the setting up of the PPE Buy Cell in a very short period of time to quickly learn and adapt to the circumstances we were in I mean, I had those few initial facts from that briefing but we found out new things every day and also to be the link between the buying part of the Parallel Supply Chain with the other elements. So Emily was my client and she was the lead, along with Jonathan and later Lord Deighton, but I was that kind of interface, which I occasionally shared with my colleagues when if I was on a day off, which was quite rare for all of us, so yeah. That was one coalface that I was on.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		158 There were many coalfaces. My coalface was and I've described it in my statement, which you've seen, obviously my role was to oversee the setting up of the PPE Buy Cell in a very short period of time to quickly learn and adapt to the circumstances we were in I mean, I had those few initial facts from that briefing but we found out new things every day and also to be the link between the buying part of the Parallel Supply Chain with the other elements. So Emily was my client and she was the lead, along with Jonathan and later Lord Deighton, but I was that kind of interface, which I occasionally shared with my colleagues when if I was on a day off, which was quite rare for all of us, so yeah. That was one coalface that I was on. But, you know, my caseworkers in the different areas, as I'm sure we'll go into, were very much at the coalface with the suppliers. You described in your witness statement the organisation

- 22 **A.** Yeah.
- Q. -- and that the cell was trying to constantly learn and
 adapt about the things that it was experiencing.
- A. Yeah. Would you like me to talk about that for a bit?160

1	Q.	Yes, please.	
2	Α.	So when we first turned up there were already a few	
3		hundred offers that had come in via various emails that	:
4		I know you've already heard about, and SCCL also passed	2
5		a big spreadsheet over to us. We literally started with	ę
6		Post-it notes, you know, within minutes of designing	(
7		a process of how we were going to meet immediate needs.	-
8		And I have seen the expert report, which talks about	8
9		having different types of approaches to this, and	ę
10		different structures, et cetera.	1
11		And I think, for me, this wasn't an either/or, we	1
12		would have to do both. There was an immediate need we	1
13		had to meet, there were offers sitting there, some of	1
14		them, as Chris had said earlier, had been sitting there	1
15		for a couple of weeks. We needed to get a team on the	1
16		phones, on the emails immediately.	1
17		Yeah, it was tough. It was tough and, obviously,	1
18		then we had to find our interfaces across the rest of	1
19		the programme, where were we going to dock into, the	1
20		move part of the team, in terms of logistics, et cetera?	2
21		The technical due diligence, we didn't have that	2
22		expertise.	2
23		So there were a number of reasons why we had to do	2
24		that but, at the same time, we were thinking about going	2
25		to a and I think you've heard some of this 161	2
1		structure, IT, plus, I'm sure, other things, and	
2		Rob Nixon was called in to specifically work on strategy	
3		in parallel to us.	:
4		So it wasn't an either/or, it wasn't "This is going	4
5		to be the best process", we knew that. It was "We've	ţ
6		got to do something now because we're running out	6
7		of PPE." We're kind of, I think	-
8	Q.	Forgive me if I interrupt.	8
9	Α.	Yeah.	9
10	Q.	You were at the same time, in your team, you were	1
11		frantically trying to call man the lines for PPE?	1
12	Α.	Mm-hm.	1
13	Q.	And at the same time as doing that, you are designing	1
14		and setting up a system for that to be undertaken on an	1
15		enormous scale?	1
16	Α.	Yeah, absolutely. I think, from 21 March to 9 April,	1
17		that's just a few days. That's maybe, what, two and	1
18		a bit weeks. So our initial initial focus was we've got	1
19		to get this up and out of the ground really quickly, but	1
20		we know that this isn't the way this should be done.	2
21		And there was reasons why we could not do that straight	2
22		away. We had to deal with what was in front of us and	2
23		start to place orders, basically, for PPE. But at the	2
24		same time we started designing a system that we were	2
25		ready with agreement with DHSC, to implement from the 163	2
		105	

1		terminology and apologies for the terminology into
2		a product-based category structure. And I think there's
3		correspondence that kind of backs up that I think around
4		9 April we were already building that into our strategy.
5	Q.	So just pause there for a moment.
6	Α.	Yeah, sure.
7	Q.	So 21 March is the day you received the briefing?
8	Α.	I had an email, I think, on the 20th and I had a brief
9		discussion with a gentleman in DHSC, but actually the
10		21st was the day that myself and two or three other
11		colleagues actually turned up at Skipton House and met
12	_	Emily and the rest of the kind of management team and
13	Q.	And you describe considering going to a category
14		approach as early as 9 April?
15	Α.	Yeah. So, amongst ourselves, there was a member of
16		the CTT who was part of that initial team, and she was
17		responsible for the organisation, for going out across
18		government, across the GC the Government Commercial
19 20		Function to find resources, and also to pull in people to support us on building systems, which I'm sure we'll
20 21		come back to.
22		Her and I were discussing that already, and then
23		also another colleague from CTT came in, and his role
24		was to pull together the strategy. So while I was kind
25		of overseeing it, Jo was focusing on organisation,
		162
1		end of June onwards.
1 2	Q.	
	Q.	
2	Q.	You describe in your witness statement, and you have
2 3	Q.	You describe in your witness statement, and you have also this afternoon, the pace at which events were
2 3 4	Q. A.	You describe in your witness statement, and you have also this afternoon, the pace at which events were progressing. You describe the pressure which you and
2 3 4 5		You describe in your witness statement, and you have also this afternoon, the pace at which events were progressing. You describe the pressure which you and your team were under.
2 3 4 5 6	А.	You describe in your witness statement, and you have also this afternoon, the pace at which events were progressing. You describe the pressure which you and your team were under. Mm. And you also set out, just as an indication of the volume of work which you were involved in, a figure
2 3 4 5 6 7	А.	You describe in your witness statement, and you have also this afternoon, the pace at which events were progressing. You describe the pressure which you and your team were under. Mm. And you also set out, just as an indication of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	А.	You describe in your witness statement, and you have also this afternoon, the pace at which events were progressing. You describe the pressure which you and your team were under. Mm. And you also set out, just as an indication of the volume of work which you were involved in, a figure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	А.	You describe in your witness statement, and you have also this afternoon, the pace at which events were progressing. You describe the pressure which you and your team were under. Mm. And you also set out, just as an indication of the volume of work which you were involved in, a figure about the number of emails you received in just two months. Yeah, and I'm sure I wasn't alone in this, but just me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q.	You describe in your witness statement, and you have also this afternoon, the pace at which events were progressing. You describe the pressure which you and your team were under. Mm. And you also set out, just as an indication of the volume of work which you were involved in, a figure about the number of emails you received in just two months. Yeah, and I'm sure I wasn't alone in this, but just me personally, I think I received six and a half thousand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	You describe in your witness statement, and you have also this afternoon, the pace at which events were progressing. You describe the pressure which you and your team were under. Mm. And you also set out, just as an indication of the volume of work which you were involved in, a figure about the number of emails you received in just two months. Yeah, and I'm sure I wasn't alone in this, but just me personally, I think I received six and a half thousand emails in two months. And also in my statement I've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q.	You describe in your witness statement, and you have also this afternoon, the pace at which events were progressing. You describe the pressure which you and your team were under. Mm. And you also set out, just as an indication of the volume of work which you were involved in, a figure about the number of emails you received in just two months. Yeah, and I'm sure I wasn't alone in this, but just me personally, I think I received six and a half thousand emails in two months. And also in my statement I've mentioned that I had to get couple of people, colleagues
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q.	You describe in your witness statement, and you have also this afternoon, the pace at which events were progressing. You describe the pressure which you and your team were under. Mm. And you also set out, just as an indication of the volume of work which you were involved in, a figure about the number of emails you received in just two months. Yeah, and I'm sure I wasn't alone in this, but just me personally, I think I received six and a half thousand emails in two months. And also in my statement I've mentioned that I had to get couple of people, colleagues from Gareth's office, to help man my inbox, because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q.	You describe in your witness statement, and you have also this afternoon, the pace at which events were progressing. You describe the pressure which you and your team were under. Mm. And you also set out, just as an indication of the volume of work which you were involved in, a figure about the number of emails you received in just two months. Yeah, and I'm sure I wasn't alone in this, but just me personally, I think I received six and a half thousand emails in two months. And also in my statement I've mentioned that I had to get couple of people, colleagues from Gareth's office, to help man my inbox, because I couldn't cope with that, obviously. Yeah, so it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q.	You describe in your witness statement, and you have also this afternoon, the pace at which events were progressing. You describe the pressure which you and your team were under. Mm. And you also set out, just as an indication of the volume of work which you were involved in, a figure about the number of emails you received in just two months. Yeah, and I'm sure I wasn't alone in this, but just me personally, I think I received six and a half thousand emails in two months. And also in my statement I've mentioned that I had to get couple of people, colleagues from Gareth's office, to help man my inbox, because I couldn't cope with that, obviously. Yeah, so it was frenetic is the right word. It was a privilege
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q.	You describe in your witness statement, and you have also this afternoon, the pace at which events were progressing. You describe the pressure which you and your team were under. Mm. And you also set out, just as an indication of the volume of work which you were involved in, a figure about the number of emails you received in just two months. Yeah, and I'm sure I wasn't alone in this, but just me personally, I think I received six and a half thousand emails in two months. And also in my statement I've mentioned that I had to get couple of people, colleagues from Gareth's office, to help man my inbox, because I couldn't cope with that, obviously. Yeah, so it was frenetic is the right word. It was a privilege but it was also very high pressure. And yeah, we had to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A.	You describe in your witness statement, and you have also this afternoon, the pace at which events were progressing. You describe the pressure which you and your team were under. Mm. And you also set out, just as an indication of the volume of work which you were involved in, a figure about the number of emails you received in just two months. Yeah, and I'm sure I wasn't alone in this, but just me personally, I think I received six and a half thousand emails in two months. And also in my statement I've mentioned that I had to get couple of people, colleagues from Gareth's office, to help man my inbox, because I couldn't cope with that, obviously. Yeah, so it was frenetic is the right word. It was a privilege but it was also very high pressure. And yeah, we had to build the aeroplane as we were flying it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q.	You describe in your witness statement, and you have also this afternoon, the pace at which events were progressing. You describe the pressure which you and your team were under. Mm. And you also set out, just as an indication of the volume of work which you were involved in, a figure about the number of emails you received in just two months. Yeah, and I'm sure I wasn't alone in this, but just me personally, I think I received six and a half thousand emails in two months. And also in my statement I've mentioned that I had to get couple of people, colleagues from Gareth's office, to help man my inbox, because I couldn't cope with that, obviously. Yeah, so it was frenetic is the right word. It was a privilege but it was also very high pressure. And yeah, we had to build the aeroplane as we were flying it. Although you have experience in commercial procurement,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A.	You describe in your witness statement, and you have also this afternoon, the pace at which events were progressing. You describe the pressure which you and your team were under. Mm. And you also set out, just as an indication of the volume of work which you were involved in, a figure about the number of emails you received in just two months. Yeah, and I'm sure I wasn't alone in this, but just me personally, I think I received six and a half thousand emails in two months. And also in my statement I've mentioned that I had to get couple of people, colleagues from Gareth's office, to help man my inbox, because I couldn't cope with that, obviously. Yeah, so it was frenetic is the right word. It was a privilege but it was also very high pressure. And yeah, we had to build the aeroplane as we were flying it. Although you have experience in commercial procurement, did you have, prior to the pandemic, any experience in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A.	You describe in your witness statement, and you have also this afternoon, the pace at which events were progressing. You describe the pressure which you and your team were under. Mm. And you also set out, just as an indication of the volume of work which you were involved in, a figure about the number of emails you received in just two months. Yeah, and I'm sure I wasn't alone in this, but just me personally, I think I received six and a half thousand emails in two months. And also in my statement I've mentioned that I had to get couple of people, colleagues from Gareth's office, to help man my inbox, because I couldn't cope with that, obviously. Yeah, so it was frenetic is the right word. It was a privilege but it was also very high pressure. And yeah, we had to build the aeroplane as we were flying it. Although you have experience in commercial procurement, did you have, prior to the pandemic, any experience in the procurement of PPE or other medical equipment at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q.	You describe in your witness statement, and you have also this afternoon, the pace at which events were progressing. You describe the pressure which you and your team were under. Mm. And you also set out, just as an indication of the volume of work which you were involved in, a figure about the number of emails you received in just two months. Yeah, and I'm sure I wasn't alone in this, but just me personally, I think I received six and a half thousand emails in two months. And also in my statement I've mentioned that I had to get couple of people, colleagues from Gareth's office, to help man my inbox, because I couldn't cope with that, obviously. Yeah, so it was frenetic is the right word. It was a privilege but it was also very high pressure. And yeah, we had to build the aeroplane as we were flying it. Although you have experience in commercial procurement, did you have, prior to the pandemic, any experience in the procurement of PPE or other medical equipment at all?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A.	You describe in your witness statement, and you have also this afternoon, the pace at which events were progressing. You describe the pressure which you and your team were under. Mm. And you also set out, just as an indication of the volume of work which you were involved in, a figure about the number of emails you received in just two months. Yeah, and I'm sure I wasn't alone in this, but just me personally, I think I received six and a half thousand emails in two months. And also in my statement I've mentioned that I had to get couple of people, colleagues from Gareth's office, to help man my inbox, because I couldn't cope with that, obviously. Yeah, so it was frenetic is the right word. It was a privilege but it was also very high pressure. And yeah, we had to build the aeroplane as we were flying it. Although you have experience in commercial procurement, did you have, prior to the pandemic, any experience in the procurement of PPE or other medical equipment at

(41) Pages 161 - 164

1		plastics, food products, when I was working at Tesco for
2		nine to ten years. But the preciseness of PPE, I mean
3		I've heard a lot of people describe it as a commodity
4		and we kind of call it a commodity, but I think that
5		does a slight disrespect to the PPE sector in the
6		industry. It's a very, very precise industry in terms
7		of certification, you know, the investment required to
8		build PPE, and to manufacture PPE is a heavy investment.
9		Some products are simpler than others but some of them
10		are very high investment. So, yeah, it's a very
11		exacting product.
12		And, you know, we wanted to deliver equivalents from
13		new suppliers to the front line. That was our job. So
14		that was a lot of pressure in itself, to get that right,
15		because, you know, that's somebody's that's
16		somebody's relative working on the front line, whether
17		they're in a hospital or whether they're in a social
18		care setting. That was a big responsibility for us.
19	Q.	You describe, if I may say so, in vivid detail, an
20		example of sitting up late at night reading technical
21		guidance about PPE.
22	Α.	Yeah.
23	Q.	Could you please take the Inquiry through that and how
24		that came about and why you were doing that.
25	Α.	Yes, of course. So we'd received the specifications
		165
	_	
1	A.	
2	Α.	is we get to become, kind of, experts in what we buy,
2 3	Α.	is we get to become, kind of, experts in what we buy, and so we learn lots of different subjects.
2 3 4	A.	is we get to become, kind of, experts in what we buy, and so we learn lots of different subjects. Unfortunately we didn't have time to do that here. We
2 3 4 5	A.	is we get to become, kind of, experts in what we buy, and so we learn lots of different subjects. Unfortunately we didn't have time to do that here. We didn't have time to become experts. We didn't have time
2 3 4 5 6	Α.	is we get to become, kind of, experts in what we buy, and so we learn lots of different subjects. Unfortunately we didn't have time to do that here. We didn't have time to become experts. We didn't have time to get to know the market, to speak to customers and
2 3 4 5 6 7	Α.	is we get to become, kind of, experts in what we buy, and so we learn lots of different subjects. Unfortunately we didn't have time to do that here. We didn't have time to become experts. We didn't have time to get to know the market, to speak to customers and end users. We didn't get time to visit factories. In
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Α.	is we get to become, kind of, experts in what we buy, and so we learn lots of different subjects. Unfortunately we didn't have time to do that here. We didn't have time to become experts. We didn't have time to get to know the market, to speak to customers and end users. We didn't get time to visit factories. In fact we'll come on to that later, I think, but we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Α.	is we get to become, kind of, experts in what we buy, and so we learn lots of different subjects. Unfortunately we didn't have time to do that here. We didn't have time to become experts. We didn't have time to get to know the market, to speak to customers and end users. We didn't get time to visit factories. In fact we'll come on to that later, I think, but we couldn't. We couldn't move anywhere.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Α.	is we get to become, kind of, experts in what we buy, and so we learn lots of different subjects. Unfortunately we didn't have time to do that here. We didn't have time to become experts. We didn't have time to get to know the market, to speak to customers and end users. We didn't get time to visit factories. In fact we'll come on to that later, I think, but we couldn't. We couldn't move anywhere. So, you know, that was a big problem, particularly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Α.	is we get to become, kind of, experts in what we buy, and so we learn lots of different subjects. Unfortunately we didn't have time to do that here. We didn't have time to become experts. We didn't have time to get to know the market, to speak to customers and end users. We didn't get time to visit factories. In fact we'll come on to that later, I think, but we couldn't. We couldn't move anywhere. So, you know, that was a big problem, particularly on such a crucially a crucial product that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		is we get to become, kind of, experts in what we buy, and so we learn lots of different subjects. Unfortunately we didn't have time to do that here. We didn't have time to become experts. We didn't have time to get to know the market, to speak to customers and end users. We didn't get time to visit factories. In fact we'll come on to that later, I think, but we couldn't. We couldn't move anywhere. So, you know, that was a big problem, particularly on such a crucially a crucial product that was keeping our people safe.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	is we get to become, kind of, experts in what we buy, and so we learn lots of different subjects. Unfortunately we didn't have time to do that here. We didn't have time to become experts. We didn't have time to get to know the market, to speak to customers and end users. We didn't get time to visit factories. In fact we'll come on to that later, I think, but we couldn't. We couldn't move anywhere. So, you know, that was a big problem, particularly on such a crucially a crucial product that was keeping our people safe. If I may turn to, please, some of the general problems
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		is we get to become, kind of, experts in what we buy, and so we learn lots of different subjects. Unfortunately we didn't have time to do that here. We didn't have time to become experts. We didn't have time to get to know the market, to speak to customers and end users. We didn't get time to visit factories. In fact we'll come on to that later, I think, but we couldn't. We couldn't move anywhere. So, you know, that was a big problem, particularly on such a crucially a crucial product that was keeping our people safe. If I may turn to, please, some of the general problems which you have laid out in your witness statement about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	is we get to become, kind of, experts in what we buy, and so we learn lots of different subjects. Unfortunately we didn't have time to do that here. We didn't have time to become experts. We didn't have time to get to know the market, to speak to customers and end users. We didn't get time to visit factories. In fact we'll come on to that later, I think, but we couldn't. We couldn't move anywhere. So, you know, that was a big problem, particularly on such a crucially a crucial product that was keeping our people safe. If I may turn to, please, some of the general problems which you have laid out in your witness statement about the procurement effort.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A.	is we get to become, kind of, experts in what we buy, and so we learn lots of different subjects. Unfortunately we didn't have time to do that here. We didn't have time to become experts. We didn't have time to get to know the market, to speak to customers and end users. We didn't get time to visit factories. In fact we'll come on to that later, I think, but we couldn't. We couldn't move anywhere. So, you know, that was a big problem, particularly on such a crucially a crucial product that was keeping our people safe. If I may turn to, please, some of the general problems which you have laid out in your witness statement about the procurement effort. Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	is we get to become, kind of, experts in what we buy, and so we learn lots of different subjects. Unfortunately we didn't have time to do that here. We didn't have time to become experts. We didn't have time to get to know the market, to speak to customers and end users. We didn't get time to visit factories. In fact we'll come on to that later, I think, but we couldn't. We couldn't move anywhere. So, you know, that was a big problem, particularly on such a crucially a crucial product that was keeping our people safe. If I may turn to, please, some of the general problems which you have laid out in your witness statement about the procurement effort. Yeah. And of course, you set out in some detail the areas in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A.	is we get to become, kind of, experts in what we buy, and so we learn lots of different subjects. Unfortunately we didn't have time to do that here. We didn't have time to become experts. We didn't have time to get to know the market, to speak to customers and end users. We didn't get time to visit factories. In fact we'll come on to that later, I think, but we couldn't. We couldn't move anywhere. So, you know, that was a big problem, particularly on such a crucially a crucial product that was keeping our people safe. If I may turn to, please, some of the general problems which you have laid out in your witness statement about the procurement effort. Yeah. And of course, you set out in some detail the areas in which you consider that the UK succeeded and your team
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q.	is we get to become, kind of, experts in what we buy, and so we learn lots of different subjects. Unfortunately we didn't have time to do that here. We didn't have time to become experts. We didn't have time to get to know the market, to speak to customers and end users. We didn't get time to visit factories. In fact we'll come on to that later, I think, but we couldn't. We couldn't move anywhere. So, you know, that was a big problem, particularly on such a crucially a crucial product that was keeping our people safe. If I may turn to, please, some of the general problems which you have laid out in your witness statement about the procurement effort. Yeah. And of course, you set out in some detail the areas in which you consider that the UK succeeded and your team succeeded, but if I may turn to the problems with you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A.	is we get to become, kind of, experts in what we buy, and so we learn lots of different subjects. Unfortunately we didn't have time to do that here. We didn't have time to become experts. We didn't have time to get to know the market, to speak to customers and end users. We didn't get time to visit factories. In fact we'll come on to that later, I think, but we couldn't. We couldn't move anywhere. So, you know, that was a big problem, particularly on such a crucially a crucial product that was keeping our people safe. If I may turn to, please, some of the general problems which you have laid out in your witness statement about the procurement effort. Yeah. And of course, you set out in some detail the areas in which you consider that the UK succeeded and your team succeeded, but if I may turn to the problems with you. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q.	is we get to become, kind of, experts in what we buy, and so we learn lots of different subjects. Unfortunately we didn't have time to do that here. We didn't have time to become experts. We didn't have time to get to know the market, to speak to customers and end users. We didn't get time to visit factories. In fact we'll come on to that later, I think, but we couldn't. We couldn't move anywhere. So, you know, that was a big problem, particularly on such a crucially a crucial product that was keeping our people safe. If I may turn to, please, some of the general problems which you have laid out in your witness statement about the procurement effort. Yeah. And of course, you set out in some detail the areas in which you consider that the UK succeeded and your team succeeded, but if I may turn to the problems with you. Sure. What were the principal, I think you describe them as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A.	is we get to become, kind of, experts in what we buy, and so we learn lots of different subjects. Unfortunately we didn't have time to do that here. We didn't have time to become experts. We didn't have time to get to know the market, to speak to customers and end users. We didn't get time to visit factories. In fact we'll come on to that later, I think, but we couldn't. We couldn't move anywhere. So, you know, that was a big problem, particularly on such a crucially a crucial product that was keeping our people safe. If I may turn to, please, some of the general problems which you have laid out in your witness statement about the procurement effort. Yeah. And of course, you set out in some detail the areas in which you consider that the UK succeeded and your team succeeded, but if I may turn to the problems with you. Sure. What were the principal, I think you describe them as disablers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	is we get to become, kind of, experts in what we buy, and so we learn lots of different subjects. Unfortunately we didn't have time to do that here. We didn't have time to become experts. We didn't have time to get to know the market, to speak to customers and end users. We didn't get time to visit factories. In fact we'll come on to that later, I think, but we couldn't. We couldn't move anywhere. So, you know, that was a big problem, particularly on such a crucially a crucial product that was keeping our people safe. If I may turn to, please, some of the general problems which you have laid out in your witness statement about the procurement effort. Yeah. And of course, you set out in some detail the areas in which you consider that the UK succeeded and your team succeeded, but if I may turn to the problems with you. Sure. What were the principal, I think you describe them as disablers Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A.	is we get to become, kind of, experts in what we buy, and so we learn lots of different subjects. Unfortunately we didn't have time to do that here. We didn't have time to become experts. We didn't have time to get to know the market, to speak to customers and end users. We didn't get time to visit factories. In fact we'll come on to that later, I think, but we couldn't. We couldn't move anywhere. So, you know, that was a big problem, particularly on such a crucially a crucial product that was keeping our people safe. If I may turn to, please, some of the general problems which you have laid out in your witness statement about the procurement effort. Yeah. And of course, you set out in some detail the areas in which you consider that the UK succeeded and your team succeeded, but if I may turn to the problems with you. Sure. What were the principal, I think you describe them as disablers Yeah. within the system that had been established and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	is we get to become, kind of, experts in what we buy, and so we learn lots of different subjects. Unfortunately we didn't have time to do that here. We didn't have time to become experts. We didn't have time to get to know the market, to speak to customers and end users. We didn't get time to visit factories. In fact we'll come on to that later, I think, but we couldn't. We couldn't move anywhere. So, you know, that was a big problem, particularly on such a crucially a crucial product that was keeping our people safe. If I may turn to, please, some of the general problems which you have laid out in your witness statement about the procurement effort. Yeah. And of course, you set out in some detail the areas in which you consider that the UK succeeded and your team succeeded, but if I may turn to the problems with you. Sure. What were the principal, I think you describe them as disablers Yeah.

-	-	
1		from SCCL, which we gratefully received, and they came
2		through quickly. And these were the specifications that
2		the NHS was using. So I decided, in my own time, sort
4		of during the night, to go online and to find specific
5		documentation around some of these products.
6		So I looked at the FFP3 mask, which was a very
7		important piece of kit for all of us during the
8		pandemic, and I read the HSE report, which was, you
9		know, quite a few pages. It talked about the need to
10		certify manufacturers, the need to have sampling and
11		testing and retesting and visiting factories.
12		So it just gave me an idea of kind of what I thought
13		I was starting to understand, which was how precise some
14		of this kit is. You know: aprons, slightly different;
15		gowns, very precise, different types.
16		So, yeah, I was I was trying to give myself that
17		insight at midnight at home while I was trying to
18	~	sort you know, manage the team.
19	Q.	And you land, in the evidence you've just given, one of
20		the crucial differences between procuring items such as
21 22		PPE in an emergency and procuring it when you're not in
22	A.	an emergency Yeah.
	_	and that's the ability to see exactly what it is that
24 25	Q.	you're buying?
25		166
1		the technology that you were confronted with?
2	Α.	
2	А.	Yeah, so there's a list in my statement which I'll just
4		pick out a few. So one I've just recently mentioned about travel bans. You know, we wanted to be on the
4 5		ground wherever this stuff was being produced, wherever
_		
6 7		it was being manufactured, we wanted to look at the stock, build relationships with the suppliers.
, 8		Luckily, we've got a fantastic FCDO, as it's now
8 9		called, and DIT teams, who were out there doing some of
10		that for us but with different eyes. So we couldn't
11		travel around.
12		There were many products that had export bans on
13		them. I think around 6 April we received a letter from
14		
		·
15		3M, who is one of the big manufacturers and an existing
15 16		3M, who is one of the big manufacturers and an existing supplier of masks, that President Trump in his first
16		3M, who is one of the big manufacturers and an existing supplier of masks, that President Trump in his first term had basically had banned 3M from selling any stock
16 17		3M, who is one of the big manufacturers and an existing supplier of masks, that President Trump in his first term had basically had banned 3M from selling any stock to anybody else, and it couldn't move around, it just
16 17 18	0	3M, who is one of the big manufacturers and an existing supplier of masks, that President Trump in his first term had basically had banned 3M from selling any stock to anybody else, and it couldn't move around, it just had to go to the US.
16 17 18 19	Q.	3M, who is one of the big manufacturers and an existing supplier of masks, that President Trump in his first term had basically had banned 3M from selling any stock to anybody else, and it couldn't move around, it just had to go to the US. So you were confronted, if I may say so, and put it in
16 17 18 19 20	Q.	3M, who is one of the big manufacturers and an existing supplier of masks, that President Trump in his first term had basically had banned 3M from selling any stock to anybody else, and it couldn't move around, it just had to go to the US. So you were confronted, if I may say so, and put it in these terms, with the fact that it was difficult, if not
16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	3M, who is one of the big manufacturers and an existing supplier of masks, that President Trump in his first term had basically had banned 3M from selling any stock to anybody else, and it couldn't move around, it just had to go to the US. So you were confronted, if I may say so, and put it in these terms, with the fact that it was difficult, if not impossible, to visit the locations in which PPE was
16 17 18 19 20	Q.	3M, who is one of the big manufacturers and an existing supplier of masks, that President Trump in his first term had basically had banned 3M from selling any stock to anybody else, and it couldn't move around, it just had to go to the US. So you were confronted, if I may say so, and put it in these terms, with the fact that it was difficult, if not

- were export controls being imposed on PPEbeing received into the United Kingdom?
- 25 A. Exactly, yes, and those export bans also had an impact $168\,$

2materials that were made in certain countries to British23manufacturers to produce, for instance, gowns. So they34had a very broad effect on the effort.45 Q. If I may turn, please, to a very different subject,56which is the call to arms.67 A. Yeah. A number of witnesses have described the problems78which the call to arms created and, in your evidence,89you've described it in these terms, it's at910paragraph 12.7 on your statement, we don't need to bring1011it up, that:1112"The call to arms was not a commercial decision. It1213gave us a huge problem of trying to assess an avalanche1314of offers."1415It's this point I want to deal with you here today1516With. It's:1617"Government does not have due diligence in a box."1718What does that mean? What did you mean by1819"Government does not have due diligence in a box."2020A. Specifically on that point, I think some of your2021witnesses have described the normal processes in normal2122times of doing due diligence on suppliers and their2223products and their financial credibility, you know, as2324a detailed piece of work.2425We, through our initial 100 days, as such, matured326processes for do	1		on our Make Team, who were trying to get certain	1
4 had a very broad effect on the effort. 4 5 Q. If I may turn, please, to a very different subject, 5 6 which is the call to arms. 6 7 Yeah. A number of witnesses have described the problems 7 8 which the call to arms created and, in your evidence, 8 9 you've described it in these terms, it's at 9 10 paragraph 12.7 on your statement, we don't need to bring 10 11 it up, that: 11 12 "The call to arms was not a commercial decision. It 12 13 gave us a huge problem of trying to assess an avalanche 13 14 of offers." 14 15 It's this point I want to deal with you here today 15 16 with. It's: 16 17 "Government does not have due diligence in a box." 17 18 What does that mean? What did you mean by 18 19 "Government does not have due diligence in a box." 20 21 witnesses have described the normal processes in normal 21 22 titmess of doing due diligence weren't drafted, you 26 <t< td=""><td>2</td><td></td><td>materials that were made in certain countries to British</td><td></td></t<>	2		materials that were made in certain countries to British	
5 Q. If I may turn, please, to a very different subject, 5 6 which is the call to arms. 6 7 A. Yeah. A number of winesses have described the problems 7 8 which the call to arms created and, in your evidence, 8 9 paragraph 12.7 on your statement, we don't need to bring 10 11 tup, that: 11 12 "The call to arms was not a commercial decision. It 12 13 gave us a huge problem of trying to assess an avalanche 13 14 of offers." 14 15 It's this point I want to deal with you here today 15 16 with. It's: 16 7 "Government does not have due diligence in a box." 17 18 What does that mean? What did you mean by 18 19 "Government does not have due diligence in a box?" 19 20 A. Specifically on that point, I think some of your 20 1 what does that mean? 14 12 11 thresses have described the normal processes in normal 21 21 timesses fave described the normal proccasses in normal 21	3			3
6 which is the call to arms. 6 7 A. Yeah. A number of witnesses have described the problems 7 8 which the call to arms created and, in your evidence, 8 9 you've described it in these terms, it's at 9 10 paragraph 12.7 on your statement, we don't need to bring 10 11 tup, that: 11 12 "The call to arms was not a commercial decision. It 12 13 gave us a huge problem of trying to assess an avalanche 13 14 of offers." 14 15 It's this point I want to deal with you here today 15 16 with. It's: 16 17 "Government does not have due diligence in a box." 17 18 What does that mean? What did you mean by 18 19 "Government does not have due diligence in a box." 20 20 A. Specifically on that point, I think some of your 20 21 timesses have described the normal processes in normal 21 22 times of doing due diligence weren't drafted, you 2 23 products and their financial credibility, you know, as 23			had a very broad effect on the effort.	4
7 A. Yeah. A number of witnesses have described the problems 7 8 which the call to arms created and, in your evidence, 8 9 you've described it in these terms, it's at 9 10 paragraph 12.7 on your statement, we don't need to bring 10 11 it up, that: 11 12 "The call to arms was not a commercial decision. It 12 13 gave us a huge problem of trying to assess an avalanche 13 14 of offers." 14 15 It's this point I want to deal with you here today 15 16 with. It's: 16 17 "Government does not have due diligence in a box." 17 18 What does that mean? What did you mean by 18 19 "Government does not have due diligence in a box." 20 10 A. Specifically on that point, I think some of your 20 21 witnesses have described the normal processes in normal 21 22 times of doing due diligence weren't drafted, you 22 23 products and their financial credibility, you know, as 23 24 a detailed piece of work. 24 <td>5</td> <td>Q.</td> <td>If I may turn, please, to a very different subject,</td> <td>5</td>	5	Q.	If I may turn, please, to a very different subject,	5
8 which the call to arms created and, in your evidence, 8 9 you've described it in these terms, it's at 9 10 paragraph 12.7 on your statement, we don't need to bring 10 11 it up, that: 11 12 "The call to arms was not a commercial decision. It 12 13 gave us a huge problem of trying to assess an avalanche 13 14 of offers." 14 15 It's this point I want to deal with you here today 15 16 with. It's: 16 7 "Government does not have due diligence in a box." 17 18 What does that mean? What did you mean by 18 19 "Government does not have due diligence in a box"? 19 20 A. Specifically on that point, I think some of your 20 21 witnesses have described the normal processes in normal 21 22 times of doing due diligence on suppliers and their 22 23 products and their financial credibility, you know, as 23 24 a detailed piece of work. 24 25 We, through our initial 100 days, as such, matured 25				6
 9 you've described it in these terms, it's at 9 paragraph 12.7 on your statement, we don't need to bring 10 it up, that: 11 "The call to arms was not a commercial decision. It 12 "The call to arms was not a commercial decision. It 13 gave us a huge problem of trying to assess an avalanche 14 of offers." 14 if's this point I want to deal with you here today 15 It's this point I want to deal with you here today 16 with. It's: 17 "Government does not have due diligence in a box." 17 "Government does not have due diligence in a box." 18 What does that mean? What did you mean by 18 What does that mean? What did you mean by 18 "Government does not have due diligence in a box." 20 A. Specifically on that point, I think some of your 20 a. Specifically on that point, I think some of your 20 a. Specifically on that point, I think some of your 20 a. Specifically on that point, I think some of your 21 witnesses have described the normal processes in normal 22 times of doing due diligence on suppliers and their 22 times of doing due diligence on suppliers and their 23 products and their financial credibility, you know, as 23 a detailed piece of work. 24 a detailed piece of work. 25 We, through our initial 100 days, as such, matured 25 169 26 processes while we were working with the market sourcing 27 and suppliers team in the Cabinet Office to actually 28 the MoD team or the DFE team, they started to develop 39 more deep and structured way. 30 The way that you're describing it, is a sort of 31 the day that you're describing it, is a sort of 31 the doing they ourde assist and then from 31 A. Yeah. 31 A. Yeah. 32 A. Yeah. 33 A. Yeah. 34 access to due diligence, experts may come in	7	Α.	Yeah. A number of witnesses have described the problems	7
10 paragraph 12.7 on your statement, we don't need to bring 10 11 it up, that: 11 12 "The call to arms was not a commercial decision. It 12 13 gave us a huge problem of trying to assess an avalanche 13 14 of offers." 14 15 It's this point I want to deal with you here today 15 16 with. It's: 16 17 "Government does not have due diligence in a box." 17 18 What does that mean? What did you mean by 18 19 "Government does not have due diligence in a box." 20 21 witnesses have described the normal processes in normal 21 22 times of doing due diligence on suppliers and their 22 23 products and their financial credibility, you know, as 23 24 a detailed piece of work. 24 25 We, through our initial 100 days, as such, matured 25 169 1 hundred, few thousand offers at that time. Our 1 2 processes while we were working with the market sourcing 6 3 grateful for, as people started to arrive, particula	8		which the call to arms created and, in your evidence,	8
11 it up, that: 11 12 "The call to arms was not a commercial decision. It 12 13 gave us a huge problem of trying to assess an avalanche 13 14 of offers." 14 15 It's this point I want to deal with you here today 15 16 with. It's: 16 7 "Government does not have due diligence in a box." 17 18 What does that mean? What did you mean by 18 19 "Government does not have due diligence in a box." 17 10 Specifically on that point, I think some of your 20 21 witnesses have described the normal processes in normal 21 22 times of doing due diligence on suppliers and their 22 23 products and their financial credibility, you know, as 23 24 a detailed piece of work. 24 25 We, through our initial 100 days, as such, matured 25 26 169 1 1 hundred, few thousand offers at that time. Our 1 2 processes while we were working with the market sourcing 6 3 know, w	9			9
12 "The call to arms was not a commercial decision. It 12 13 gave us a huge problem of trying to assess an avalanche 13 14 of offers." 14 15 It's this point I want to deal with you here today 15 16 with. It's: 16 17 "Government does not have due diligence in a box." 17 18 What does that mean? What did you mean by 18 19 "Government does not have due diligence in a box." 20 20 A. Specifically on that point, I think some of your 20 21 witnesses have described the normal processes in normal 21 22 times of doing due diligence on suppliers and their 22 23 products and their financial credibility, you know, as 23 24 a detailed piece of work. 24 25 We, through our initial 100 days, as such, matured 25 169 1 hundred, few thousand offers at that time. Our 1 2 processes for doing due diligence weren't drafted, you 2 3 know, we'd just literally walked through the door and 3 4 grateful for, as peo	10			10
13 gave us a huge problem of trying to assess an avalanche 13 14 of offers." 14 15 It's this point I want to deal with you here today 15 16 with. It's: 16 17 "Government does not have due diligence in a box." 17 18 What does that mean? What did you mean by 18 19 "Government does not have due diligence in a box?" 19 20 A. Specifically on that point, I think some of your 20 21 witnesses have described the normal processes in normal 21 22 times of doing due diligence on suppliers and their 22 23 products and their financial credibility, you know, as 23 24 a detailed piece of work. 24 25 We, through our initial 100 days, as such, matured 25 169 1 hundred, few thousand offers at that time. Our 1 2 processes for doing due diligence weren't drafted, you 2 3 know, we'd just literally walked through the door and 3 4 grateful for, as people started to arrive, particularly 4 5 the MoD team or th			• •	
14 of offers." 14 15 It's this point I want to deal with you here today 15 16 with. It's: 16 17 "Government does not have due diligence in a box." 17 18 What does that mean? What did you mean by 18 19 "Government does not have due diligence in a box."? 19 20 A. Specifically on that point, I think some of your 20 21 witnesses have described the normal processes in normal 21 22 times of doing due diligence on suppliers and their 22 23 products and their financial credibility, you know, as 23 24 a detailed piece of work. 24 25 We, through our initial 100 days, as such, matured 169 1 hundred, few thousand offers at that time. Our 1 2 processes for doing due diligence weren't drafted, you 2 3 know, we'd justi literally walked through the door and 3 4 grateful for, as people started to arrive, particularly 4 5 the MoD team or the DTE team, they started to develop 5 6 processes while we were working with t				
15 It's this point I want to deal with you here today 15 16 with. It's: 16 17 "Government does not have due diligence in a box." 17 18 What does that mean? What did you mean by 18 19 "Government does not have due diligence in a box." 19 20 A. Specifically on that point, I think some of your 20 21 witnesses have described the normal processes in normal 21 22 products and their financial credibility, you know, as 23 24 a detailed piece of work. 24 25 We, through our initial 100 days, as such, matured 25 169 1 hundred, few thousand offers at that time. Our 1 2 processes for doing due diligence weren't drafted, you 2 3 know, we'd just literally walked through the door and 3 4 grateful for, as people started to arrive, particularly 4 5 the MoD team or the DTE team, they started to develop 5 6 processes while we were working with the market sourcing 6 7 and suppliers team in the Cabinet Office to actually 7 <				
16 with. It's: 16 17 "Government does not have due diligence in a box." 17 18 What does that mean? What did you mean by 18 19 "Government does not have due diligence in a box." 19 20 A . Specifically on that point, I think some of your 20 21 witnesses have described the normal processes in normal 21 22 times of doing due diligence on suppliers and their 22 23 products and their financial credibility, you know, as 23 24 a detailed piece of work. 24 25 We, through our initial 100 days, as such, matured 25 169 1 hundred, few thousand offers at that time. Our 1 2 processes for doing due diligence weren't drafted, you 2 3 know, we'd just literally walked through the door and 3 4 grateful for, as people started to arrive, particularly 4 5 the MoD team or the DfE team, they started to develop 5 6 processes while we were working with the market sourcing 6 7 and suppliers team in the Cabinet Office to actually 7				
17 "Government does not have due diligence in a box." 17 18 What does that mean? What did you mean by 18 19 "Government does not have due diligence in a box"? 19 20 A. Specifically on that point, I think some of your 20 21 witnesses have described the normal processes in normal 21 22 times of doing due diligence on suppliers and their 22 23 products and their financial credibility, you know, as 23 24 a detailed piece of work. 24 25 We, through our initial 100 days, as such, matured 25 169 1 hundred, few thousand offers at that time. Our 1 2 processes for doing due diligence weren't drafted, you 2 3 know, we'd just literally walked through the door and 3 4 grateful for, as people started to arrive, particularly 4 5 the MoD team or the DfE team, they started to develop 5 6 processes while we were working with the market sourcing 6 7 and suppliers team in the Cabinet Office to actually 7 8 ramp up external support to help us do that, in a much				
18 What does that mean? What did you mean by 18 19 "Government does not have due diligence in a box"? 19 20 A. Specifically on that point, I think some of your 20 21 witnesses have described the normal processes in normal 21 22 times of doing due diligence on suppliers and their 22 23 products and their financial credibility, you know, as 23 24 a detailed piece of work. 24 25 We, through our initial 100 days, as such, matured 25 1 hundred, few thousand offers at that time. Our 1 2 processes for doing due diligence weren't drafted, you 2 3 know, we'd just literally walked through the door and 3 4 grateful for, as people started to arrive, particularly 4 5 the MoD team or the DTE team, they started to develop 5 6 processes while we were working with the market sourcing 6 7 and suppliers team in the Cabinet Office to actually 7 8 ramp up external support to help us do that, in a much 8 9 0 C. The way that you're describing it, is a sort of				
19 "Government does not have due diligence in a box"? 19 20 A. Specifically on that point, I think some of your 20 21 witnesses have described the normal processes in normal 21 22 times of doing due diligence on suppliers and their 22 23 products and their financial credibility, you know, as 23 24 a detailed piece of work. 24 25 We, through our initial 100 days, as such, matured 25 109 hundred, few thousand offers at that time. Our 1 2 processes for doing due diligence weren't drafted, you 2 3 know, we'd just literally walked through the door and 3 4 grateful for, as people started to arrive, particularly 4 5 the MoD team or the DTE team, they started to develop 5 6 processes while we were working with the market sourcing 6 7 and suppliers team in the Cabinet Office to actually 7 8 ramp up external support to help us do that, in a much 8 9 nore deep and structured way. 9 10 11 A. Yeah. 12 13 Q in wh			-	
20 A. Specifically on that point, I think some of your 20 21 witnesses have described the normal processes in normal 21 22 times of doing due diligence on suppliers and their 22 23 products and their financial credibility, you know, as 23 24 a detailed piece of work. 24 25 We, through our initial 100 days, as such, matured 25 1 hundred, few thousand offers at that time. Our 1 2 processes for doing due diligence weren't drafted, you 2 3 know, we'd just literally walked through the door and 3 4 grateful for, as people started to arrive, particularly 4 5 the MoD team or the DFE team, they started to develop 5 6 processes while we were working with the market sourcing 6 7 and suppliers team in the Cabinet Office to actually 7 8 ramp up external support to help us do that, in a much 8 9 0 C. The way that you're describing it, is a sort of 10 11 iterative process 11 12 12 A. Yeah. 12 13			, , ,	
21 witnesses have described the normal processes in normal 21 22 times of doing due diligence on suppliers and their 22 23 products and their financial credibility, you know, as 23 24 a detailed piece of work. 24 25 We, through our initial 100 days, as such, matured 25 1 hundred, few thousand offers at that time. Our 1 2 processes for doing due diligence weren't drafted, you 2 3 know, we'd just literally walked through the door and 3 4 grateful for, as people started to arrive, particularly 4 5 the MoD team or the DFE team, they started to develop 5 6 processes while we were working with the market sourcing 6 7 and suppliers team in the Cabinet Office to actually 7 8 ramp up external support to help us do that, in a much 8 9 more deep and structured way. 9 10 11 the way that you're describing it, is a sort of 10 11 11 iterative process - 11 12 12 A. Yeah. 12 13 Q.			5	
22 times of doing due diligence on suppliers and their 22 23 products and their financial credibility, you know, as 23 24 a detailed piece of work. 24 25 We, through our initial 100 days, as such, matured 25 169 100 1 hundred, few thousand offers at that time. Our 1 2 processes for doing due diligence weren't drafted, you 2 3 know, we'd just literally walked through the door and 3 4 grateful for, as people started to arrive, particularly 4 5 the MoD team or the DfE team, they started to develop 5 6 processes while we were working with the market sourcing 6 7 and suppliers team in the Cabinet Office to actually 7 8 ramp up external support to help us do that, in a much 8 9 more deep and structured way. 9 10 10 iterative process 11 11 2 Yeah. 12 13 Q in which, whereas at the beginning you didn't have 13 14 access to due diligence, experts may come in from the		Α.		
23 products and their financial credibility, you know, as 23 24 a detailed piece of work. 24 25 We, through our initial 100 days, as such, matured 169 25 1 hundred, few thousand offers at that time. Our 1 2 processes for doing due diligence weren't drafted, you 2 3 know, we'd just literally walked through the door and 3 4 grateful for, as people started to arrive, particularly 4 5 the MoD team or the DfE team, they started to develop 5 6 processes while we were working with the market sourcing 6 7 and suppliers team in the Cabinet Office to actually 7 8 ramp up external support to help us do that, in a much 8 9 more deep and structured way. 9 10 Q. The way that you're describing it, is a sort of 10 11 iterative process 11 12 A. Yeah. 12 13 Q in which, whereas at the beginning you didn't have 13 14 access to due diligence, experts may come in from the 14 15 the Department for Education and so			•	
24 a detailed piece of work. 24 25 We, through our initial 100 days, as such, matured 169 25 1 hundred, few thousand offers at that time. Our 1 2 processes for doing due diligence weren't drafted, you 2 3 know, we'd just literally walked through the door and 3 4 grateful for, as people started to arrive, particularly 4 5 the MoD team or the DfE team, they started to develop 5 6 processes while we were working with the market sourcing 6 7 and suppliers team in the Cabinet Office to actually 7 8 ramp up external support to help us do that, in a much 8 9 0 C. The way that you're describing it, is a sort of 10 11 iterative process 11 12 A. Yeah. 12 13 Q in which, whereas at the beginning you didn't have 13 14 access to due diligence, experts may come in from the 14 15 the Department for Education and so expertise, again, 16 16 the Departments? 18 19 A. Yes, it was. As we were build				
25 We, through our initial 100 days, as such, matured 169 25 1 hundred, few thousand offers at that time. Our 1 2 processes for doing due diligence weren't drafted, you 2 3 know, we'd just literally walked through the door and 3 4 grateful for, as people started to arrive, particularly 4 5 the MoD team or the DfE team, they started to develop 5 6 processes while we were working with the market sourcing 6 7 and suppliers team in the Cabinet Office to actually 7 8 ramp up external support to help us do that, in a much 8 9 more deep and structured way. 9 10 Q. The way that you're describing it, is a sort of 10 11 iterative process 11 12 A. Yeah. 12 13 Q in which, whereas at the beginning you didn't have 13 14 access to due diligence, experts may come in from the 14 15 the Department for Education and so expertise, again, 16 16 the Departments for Education and so expertise, again, 16 17 correct				
1691hundred, few thousand offers at that time. Our12processes for doing due diligence weren't drafted, you23know, we'd just literally walked through the door and34grateful for, as people started to arrive, particularly45the MoD team or the DfE team, they started to develop56processes while we were working with the market sourcing67and suppliers team in the Cabinet Office to actually78ramp up external support to help us do that, in a much89more deep and structured way.910Q. The way that you're describing it, is a sort of1011iterative process1112A. Yeah.1213Q in which, whereas at the beginning you didn't have1314access to due diligence, experts may come in from the1415Ministry of Defence and they could assist and then from1516the Department for Education and so expertise, again,1617correct me if I'm wrong, was being brought in from other1718government departments?1819A. Yes, it was. As we were building the team, we were1920bringing in accredited commercial people who had their2021own systems at their own home departments, and they were2122utilising those in the first instance, so that we had2223something. But I think, as Chris said, the term "due2324di			•	
1 hundred, few thousand offers at that time. Our 1 2 processes for doing due diligence weren't drafted, you 2 3 know, we'd just literally walked through the door and 3 4 grateful for, as people started to arrive, particularly 4 5 the MoD team or the DfE team, they started to develop 5 6 processes while we were working with the market sourcing 6 7 and suppliers team in the Cabinet Office to actually 7 8 ramp up external support to help us do that, in a much 8 9 more deep and structured way. 9 10 Q. The way that you're describing it, is a sort of 10 11 iterative process 11 12 A. Yeah. 12 13 Q in which, whereas at the beginning you didn't have 13 14 access to due diligence, experts may come in from the 14 15 the Department for Education and so expertise, again, 16 16 the Departments? 18 19 A. Yes, it was. As we were building the team, we were 19 20 bringing in accredited commercial people who h	25			25
2processes for doing due diligence weren't drafted, you23know, we'd just literally walked through the door and34grateful for, as people started to arrive, particularly45the MoD team or the DFE team, they started to develop56processes while we were working with the market sourcing67and suppliers team in the Cabinet Office to actually78ramp up external support to help us do that, in a much89more deep and structured way.910Q.The way that you're describing it, is a sort of1011iterative process1112A.Yeah.1213Q in which, whereas at the beginning you didn't have1314access to due diligence, experts may come in from the1415Ministry of Defence and they could assist and then from1516the Department for Education and so expertise, again,1617correct me if I'm wrong, was being brought in from other1718government departments?1819A.Yes, it was. As we were building the team, we were1920bringing in accredited commercial people who had their2021own systems at their own home departments, and they were2122utilising those in the first instance, so that we had2223something. But I think, as Chris said, the term "due2324diligence" we need to just define a bit. It means so24 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>				
3 know, we'd just literally walked through the door and 3 4 grateful for, as people started to arrive, particularly 4 5 the MoD team or the DfE team, they started to develop 5 6 processes while we were working with the market sourcing 6 7 and suppliers team in the Cabinet Office to actually 7 8 ramp up external support to help us do that, in a much 8 9 more deep and structured way. 9 10 Q. The way that you're describing it, is a sort of 10 11 iterative process 11 12 A. Yeah. 12 13 Q in which, whereas at the beginning you didn't have 13 14 access to due diligence, experts may come in from the 14 15 Ministry of Defence and they could assist and then from 15 16 the Department for Education and so expertise, again, 16 17 correct me if I'm wrong, was being brought in from other 17 18 government departments? 18 19 A. Yes, it was. As we were building the team, we were 19 Dringing in accredited commercial people wh			-	
4 grateful for, as people started to arrive, particularly 4 5 the MoD team or the DfE team, they started to develop 5 6 processes while we were working with the market sourcing 6 7 and suppliers team in the Cabinet Office to actually 7 8 ramp up external support to help us do that, in a much 8 9 more deep and structured way. 9 10 Q. The way that you're describing it, is a sort of 10 11 iterative process 11 12 A. Yeah. 12 13 Q in which, whereas at the beginning you didn't have 13 14 access to due diligence, experts may come in from the 14 15 the Department for Education and so expertise, again, 16 16 the Departments? 18 19 A. Yes, it was. As we were building the team, we were 19 20 bringing in accredited commercial people who had their 20 21 own systems at their own home departments, and they were 21 22 utilising those in the first instance, so that we had 22 23 something. But I think, as Chri				
5the MoD team or the DfE team, they started to develop56processes while we were working with the market sourcing67and suppliers team in the Cabinet Office to actually78ramp up external support to help us do that, in a much89more deep and structured way.910Q. The way that you're describing it, is a sort of1011iterative process1112A. Yeah.1213Q in which, whereas at the beginning you didn't have1314access to due diligence, experts may come in from the1415Ministry of Defence and they could assist and then from1516the Department for Education and so expertise, again,1617correct me if I'm wrong, was being brought in from other1718government departments?1819A. Yes, it was. As we were building the team, we were1920bringing in accredited commercial people who had their2021own systems at their own home departments, and they were2122utilising those in the first instance, so that we had2223something. But I think, as Chris said, the term "due2324diligence" we need to just define a bit. It means so2425many things. The eight-step process, I would you25				
6processes while we were working with the market sourcing67and suppliers team in the Cabinet Office to actually78ramp up external support to help us do that, in a much89more deep and structured way.910Q. The way that you're describing it, is a sort of1011iterative process1112A. Yeah.1213Q in which, whereas at the beginning you didn't have1314access to due diligence, experts may come in from the1415Ministry of Defence and they could assist and then from1516the Department for Education and so expertise, again,1617correct me if I'm wrong, was being brought in from other1718government departments?1819A. Yes, it was. As we were building the team, we were1920bringing in accredited commercial people who had their2021own systems at their own home departments, and they were2122utilising those in the first instance, so that we had2223something. But I think, as Chris said, the term "due2324diligence" we need to just define a bit. It means so2425many things. The eight-step process, I would you25				
7and suppliers team in the Cabinet Office to actually78ramp up external support to help us do that, in a much89more deep and structured way.910Q.The way that you're describing it, is a sort of1011iterative process1112A.Yeah.1213Q in which, whereas at the beginning you didn't have1314access to due diligence, experts may come in from the1415Ministry of Defence and they could assist and then from1516the Department for Education and so expertise, again,1617correct me if I'm wrong, was being brought in from other1718government departments?1819A.Yes, it was. As we were building the team, we were1920bringing in accredited commercial people who had their2021own systems at their own home departments, and they were2122utilising those in the first instance, so that we had2223something. But I think, as Chris said, the term "due2324diligence" we need to just define a bit. It means so2425many things. The eight-step process, I would you25				
8ramp up external support to help us do that, in a much more deep and structured way.910Q. The way that you're describing it, is a sort of iterative process1011iterative process1112A. Yeah.1213Q in which, whereas at the beginning you didn't have access to due diligence, experts may come in from the1415Ministry of Defence and they could assist and then from the Department for Education and so expertise, again, correct me if I'm wrong, was being brought in from other1719A. Yes, it was. As we were building the team, we were1920bringing in accredited commercial people who had their own systems at their own home departments, and they were utilising those in the first instance, so that we had something. But I think, as Chris said, the term "due diligence" we need to just define a bit. It means so many things. The eight-step process, I would you25				
9more deep and structured way.910Q. The way that you're describing it, is a sort of1011iterative process1112A. Yeah.1213Q in which, whereas at the beginning you didn't have1314access to due diligence, experts may come in from the1415Ministry of Defence and they could assist and then from1516the Department for Education and so expertise, again,1617correct me if I'm wrong, was being brought in from other1718government departments?1819A. Yes, it was. As we were building the team, we were1920bringing in accredited commercial people who had their2021own systems at their own home departments, and they were2123something. But I think, as Chris said, the term "due2324diligence" we need to just define a bit. It means so2425many things. The eight-step process, I would you25				
10Q. The way that you're describing it, is a sort of1011iterative process1112A. Yeah.1213Q in which, whereas at the beginning you didn't have1314access to due diligence, experts may come in from the1415Ministry of Defence and they could assist and then from1516the Department for Education and so expertise, again,1617correct me if I'm wrong, was being brought in from other1718government departments?1819A. Yes, it was. As we were building the team, we were1920bringing in accredited commercial people who had their2021own systems at their own home departments, and they were2122utilising those in the first instance, so that we had2223something. But I think, as Chris said, the term "due2324diligence" we need to just define a bit. It means so2425many things. The eight-step process, I would you25				
11iterative process1112A. Yeah.1213Q in which, whereas at the beginning you didn't have1314access to due diligence, experts may come in from the1415Ministry of Defence and they could assist and then from1516the Department for Education and so expertise, again,1617correct me if I'm wrong, was being brought in from other1718government departments?1819A. Yes, it was. As we were building the team, we were1920bringing in accredited commercial people who had their2021own systems at their own home departments, and they were2122utilising those in the first instance, so that we had2223something. But I think, as Chris said, the term "due2324diligence" we need to just define a bit. It means so2425many things. The eight-step process, I would you25		~		
12A. Yeah.1213Q in which, whereas at the beginning you didn't have1314access to due diligence, experts may come in from the1415Ministry of Defence and they could assist and then from1516the Department for Education and so expertise, again,1617correct me if I'm wrong, was being brought in from other1718government departments?1819A. Yes, it was. As we were building the team, we were1920bringing in accredited commercial people who had their2021own systems at their own home departments, and they were2122utilising those in the first instance, so that we had2223something. But I think, as Chris said, the term "due2324diligence" we need to just define a bit. It means so2425many things. The eight-step process, I would you25		Q.		
13Q in which, whereas at the beginning you didn't have1314access to due diligence, experts may come in from the1415Ministry of Defence and they could assist and then from1516the Department for Education and so expertise, again,1617correct me if I'm wrong, was being brought in from other1718government departments?1819A.Yes, it was. As we were building the team, we were1920bringing in accredited commercial people who had their2021own systems at their own home departments, and they were2122utilising those in the first instance, so that we had2223something. But I think, as Chris said, the term "due2324diligence" we need to just define a bit. It means so2425many things. The eight-step process, I would you25				
14access to due diligence, experts may come in from the1415Ministry of Defence and they could assist and then from1516the Department for Education and so expertise, again,1617correct me if I'm wrong, was being brought in from other1718government departments?1819A.Yes, it was. As we were building the team, we were1920bringing in accredited commercial people who had their2021own systems at their own home departments, and they were2122utilising those in the first instance, so that we had2223something. But I think, as Chris said, the term "due2324diligence" we need to just define a bit. It means so2425many things. The eight-step process, I would you25				
15Ministry of Defence and they could assist and then from1516the Department for Education and so expertise, again,1617correct me if I'm wrong, was being brought in from other1718government departments?1819A.Yes, it was. As we were building the team, we were1920bringing in accredited commercial people who had their2021own systems at their own home departments, and they were2122utilising those in the first instance, so that we had2223something. But I think, as Chris said, the term "due2324diligence" we need to just define a bit. It means so2425many things. The eight-step process, I would you25		Q.		
16the Department for Education and so expertise, again, correct me if I'm wrong, was being brought in from other1617correct me if I'm wrong, was being brought in from other1718government departments?1819A. Yes, it was. As we were building the team, we were1920bringing in accredited commercial people who had their2021own systems at their own home departments, and they were2122utilising those in the first instance, so that we had2223something. But I think, as Chris said, the term "due2324diligence" we need to just define a bit. It means so2425many things. The eight-step process, I would you25				
17correct me if I'm wrong, was being brought in from other1718government departments?1819A. Yes, it was. As we were building the team, we were1920bringing in accredited commercial people who had their2021own systems at their own home departments, and they were2122utilising those in the first instance, so that we had2223something. But I think, as Chris said, the term "due2324diligence" we need to just define a bit. It means so2425many things. The eight-step process, I would you25				
18government departments?1819A. Yes, it was. As we were building the team, we were1920bringing in accredited commercial people who had their2021own systems at their own home departments, and they were2122utilising those in the first instance, so that we had2223something. But I think, as Chris said, the term "due2324diligence" we need to just define a bit. It means so2425many things. The eight-step process, I would you25				
19A.Yes, it was. As we were building the team, we were1920bringing in accredited commercial people who had their2021own systems at their own home departments, and they were2122utilising those in the first instance, so that we had2223something. But I think, as Chris said, the term "due2324diligence" we need to just define a bit. It means so2425many things. The eight-step process, I would you25				
20bringing in accredited commercial people who had their2021own systems at their own home departments, and they were2122utilising those in the first instance, so that we had2223something. But I think, as Chris said, the term "due2324diligence" we need to just define a bit. It means so2425many things. The eight-step process, I would you25		Δ		
21own systems at their own home departments, and they were2122utilising those in the first instance, so that we had2223something. But I think, as Chris said, the term "due2324diligence" we need to just define a bit. It means so2425many things. The eight-step process, I would you25				
22utilising those in the first instance, so that we had2223something. But I think, as Chris said, the term "due2324diligence" we need to just define a bit. It means so2425many things. The eight-step process, I would you25				
23something. But I think, as Chris said, the term "due2324diligence" we need to just define a bit. It means so2425many things. The eight-step process, I would you25				
24diligence" we need to just define a bit. It means so2425many things. The eight-step process, I would you25				
25 many things. The eight-step process, I would you 25			-	

•		
1		factor and factor with outside help, the size of and
		faster and faster with outside help, the size of, and
2 3		the quantity that we could undertake due diligence on
3 4		these thousands of offers. And the particular due
4 5		diligence in a box, you know, it probably took a couple of weeks to set that up, and we didn't have that. We
6		had to you know, the first offers were, I'm sure,
7		caseworkers going onto, "Have they got a website? Are
7 8		they on Companies House? Oh, they're not in the UK.
9		Okay, so they're not in Companies House". So they would
9 10		have to do that kind of very desktop due diligence.
11		It wasn't until two weeks later of us working with
12		the Cabinet Office team that we actually started to
13		build that capability to cope with the number of offers,
14		which obviously was very important in such a critical
15		product.
16	Q.	So did that mean that at the beginning of the response
17	-	to the pandemic correct me if I'm wrong, but does it
18		mean that due diligence at the beginning of the response
19		was likely to be worse than the due diligence as it
20		progressed, or was it one of those processes that was
21		developing? Explain to me, please.
22	Α.	Yeah, it wasn't mature. It wasn't mature. There are
23		publicly accessible documentation, sources of data for
24		doing due diligence on companies. There's quite a lot
25		available. We were in a position where we had a few
		170
1		could say and I would call each one of those steps
2		is due diligence. But then there are deeper dives on
3		financials, there's a very deep dive on the product, and
4		then ultimately at the back end, there's the contractual
5		diligence as well.
6	Q.	Just to go back to the expression you use in your
7		statement "due diligence in a box", what kind of due
8		diligence are you referring to there?
9	Α.	There I'm specifically, I think, talking about the
10	-	financial due diligence of potential suppliers.
11	Q.	That's critical, isn't it because
12	A.	Absolutely.
13	Q.	if the financial due diligence isn't there and isn't
14 15		right, then the Government and the public are exposed to
16	Α.	contracting with parties They are.
17	Q.	who may become insolvent who may not supply the
18	ω.	equipment which has been paid for, and so on and so
19		forth; is that right?
20	Α.	Absolutely. We talked about playbooks, I think, in some
20	<i>.</i>	of the previous sessions. In the sourcing playbook
22		there is a policy and a tool to undertake a very
23		thorough financial viability and resilience study.
24		We weren't in a position to do that. That's one
25		instance, in terms of the numbers of offers and
		172

(43) Pages 169 - 172

UK Covid-19 Inquiry

24 Α.

7 Α.

understanding.

Lane?

A. Yes.

action", thank you:

opening up potential markets.

if you've got further questions.

This was another example of that, and we were

Q. Yes, could I please bring up on the screen INQ000534911,

and if we could zoom in, please, to "Another action" in

context -- forgive me, perhaps we can zoom out so we can have a look at the origin of this. This is a readout of

"Another action I took was to advise Lord Agnew if

You then go on to set out that "hot leads" should be

What was happening in that meeting between you and

Lord Agnew and how does that relate to the High Priority

Sure, absolutely. So this was on 25 March, so this was

my day 4. A meeting had been set up with myself from 174

looking in at this box, we're seeing what's happening

So that was what that conversation was about. But

Yeah. It was about the response. He was saying, in my view -- and I've never spoken to him about this since,

can't have a backlog building up. So how do we do that

What he wasn't saying, in my view, is "Right, I know

That absolutely wasn't what he was saying. This was

but in my view and my interpretation of it -- that this was about "We need to respond to the offers quicker. We

and how can I help you [ie, Lord Agnew] to do that?"

loads of people that can provide PPE and I'm going to

about the response to those offers, because he felt that some of them were credible, the types of companies, the

send the contacts to you and I'd like you to set up

size of the companies, the potential international

actually respond to them quickly. That was my

176

reach. He was trying to help. But he wanted to know

a team, bearing in mind this was 25 March, that could

that from our side we had a team there or we could build

contracts with them really quickly."

and we need some feedback of where we are."

I'm happy to provide more context if you need it. Q. What, in your view, was Lord Agnew saying and not saying

when you had that conversation with him?

we could fast track ministerial/seniors emails regarding

offers of help that they were sending through. We have

reacting to it. So I'll just hold there for a second,

the middle of the page, just to place this in some

a meeting with you, Mr Wood, and Lord Agnew?

Q. If we could zoom in, please, to the paragraph "Another

developed a public pro forma -- very simple, that

automatically populates our database."

sent Lord Agnew and you and others.

1		capability. But we did what we could. I think the	1
2		other piece around this is we were presenting offers the	2
3		Accounting Officer of DHSC, we had to try to identify,	3
4		for them, as many risks as we possibly could, so that	4
5		they could make an informed decision about whether to go	5
6		ahead with a contract and a purchase order.	6
7	Q.	Mr Wood, could I turn, please, to the subject of the	7
8		High Priority Lane	8
9	Α.	Yes.	9
10	Q.	and it's establishment. In your witness statement to	10
11		the Inquiry, you said this:	11
12		"What is now known as the High Priority Lane came	12
13		about as an evolution of offers being received via email	13
14		before the 21 March 2020 from various parts of the	14
15		government, usually at senior civil servant up to	15
16		ministerial level."	16
17		So is it your evidence that there wasn't a conscious	17
18		choice to create the High Priority Lane?	18
19	Α.		19
20		of offers and market, if you like, that had opened up to	20
21		us. Similarly, you know, there was 150 plus staff in	21
22 23		the Beijing embassy from FCDO and DIT, who had opened up	22 23
23 24		a market through their contacts. The Make Team obviously opened up a market of potential British	23 24
24 25		manufacturers. The general opportunities lane was	24 25
25		173	25
1		Lord Agnew's office. The meeting, I think, was for him	1
2		to meet me. He'd never met we'd never met and to	2
3		probably check me out and prod me a little bit, "Is this	3
4		guy up to it?" Too early to tell at that point.	4
5		One of the points he raised well, I'll just go	5
6		back. So after that meeting, I received a readout from	6
7		his office straightaway, and I was just about to send	7
8		kind of my response back to him, and I had sent this to	8
9		some of my team to say, "Look this is what I'm thinking	9
10		of sending back, what do you think?"	10
11		Then in relation to the HPL, as it's now being	11
12		termed, it's actually high priority appraisals, not	12
13		lane, sorry.	13
14	Q.	Forgive me, was that what it was called at the time?	14
15	Α.	No, no. From 1 April, roughly.	15
16		So what Lord Agnew was asking me was, you know,	16
17		"Have you got enough people? How quickly can you	17
18		respond to offers?"	18
19		He said, you know, "I've got business contacts, some	19
20		of my peers have got business contacts. They can help	20
21		you. Is there a way that we can look at those offers	21
22		more quickly" not more quickly than others, but "Can	22
23		we look at those offers quickly and get back with an	23

(44) Pages 173 - 176

1	Q.	Thank you. Could we take that down.	1
2		Turning to another subject, Mr Wood. You've	2
3		referred to the different lanes in which PPE was being	3
4		produced.	4
5	Α.	Mm-hm.	5
6	Q.	There was the New Buy team, the UK Make team?	6
7	A.	Yeah.	7
8	Q.	And the China Buy team, and then of course there was	8
9 10	•	SCCL, which had its own operation. Yeah.	9
10	A. Q.	Could we have up on the screen, please, INQ000534713.	1(1 [.]
12	Q.	I just want to explore with you some comments which	1:
13		are in an email about the relationship between New Buy	1:
14		and China Buy and what the issues were between whether	14
15		they were complementing each other or competing with	1
16		each other.	10
17		So if we could zoom in, please, to paragraph 2.	17
18		Forgive me, let me just place this in context.	18
19		This email chain is from Mr Cairnduff. He sets out	19
20		the content of the email beneath to you.	20
21	Α.	Yes.	2
22	Q.	And then at the top of the email chain, you reply that	22
23		you're "aligned". So I can take it from that that	23
24		you're agreeing with what Mr Cairnduff says in the email	24
25		below?	25
		177	
1		not mony	1
2		not many. As we looked across all of the lanes, the different	1
3		buying streams that we had, there wasn't I don't	3
4		think there was, like, a huge PPE supply chain that we	4
5		never knew about. Our job was to find new suppliers.	5
6		We already had our existing suppliers. The difference	6
7		with the China team was they were in-country, where the	7
8		manufacturing was taken place. So I certainly, and we	8
9		all saw this as a very important route for us, for both	9
10		relationship perspective but also getting close to	1(
11		whether it's a state-owned enterprise, an actual	11
12		manufacturer, or an intermediary that was very close to	12
13		the manufacturing.	13
14		For this reason this was around 20 April, so we'd	14
15		just received our demand figures from the McKinsey model	15
16	_	on, I think, the 16th or 18th	10
17	Q.	Forgive me, the McKinsey model is what was being used	17
18	A.	Yes, sorry.	18
19	Q.	to predict the demand for PPE that would be coming	19
20 21	٨	from the NHS And social care.	20
21 22	A. Q.	and Social Care Sector?	2 ⁻ 2:
22	Q. A.	Yes.	2:
23 24	Q.	And that's what you're talking about when you reference	24
25	- - .	to the McKinsey model?	2
		179	

1	Α.	Yes. At 11.04 pm, I think, on no, 5.11 pm. So,
2		yeah, I can provide more information.
3	Q.	Can we please zoom into paragraph 2. It says:
4		"The VIP Cell and the wider sourcing Cells are
5		mostly dealing with intermediaries, many of whom are
6		sourcing from the same factories our China team are
7		already in contract with. There is clear risk of our
8		disrupting our already-contracted supply and outbidding
9		ourselves for supply that we were already due to
10		receive. I think the VIP and wider sourcing Cells need
11		to be much better aligned with the China team, with
12		a clear and quick route to check if offers of PPE are
13		potentially disruptive rather than additive."
14	Α.	Yeah.
15	Q.	You agree with that statement of Mr Cairnduff, and it
16		was a concern of yours, wasn't it, that the actions of
17		the New Buy team, rather than complementing and sitting
18		alongside the China Buy team, could actually be in
19		competition with them. Could you explain that a little
20		further?
21	Α.	Yeah, absolutely. The number of PPE manufacturers in
22		the world is not a huge number and, as we've already
23		heard from previous witnesses, our existing supply chain
24		was a mixture but more intermediaries that the NHS was
25		contracting with, with some manufacturers in there but
		178
1	Α.	Exactly that, yes, yeah. It was a shift from "buy as
2		much as you can" to, product by product, "here is
3		a 30-day and 90-day forecast of our demand". Which was
4		huge as you can imagine.
5		At this point, the China team I was having
6		discussions with the China team around, "Okay, great,
7		you've done a few deals in the first few weeks, some of
8		them before we even got here. Can we now start building
9		strategic relationships with the suppliers and can we
10		start to share this demand?"
11		Because this demand demands attention. It's big.
12		And we're competing against, you know, many other
13		countries on the planet who were also in China.
14		The other thing I was worried about was we were
15		competing against ourselves, whether it was us competing
16		against the central Buy Cell, competing inadvertently
17		with an NHS trust that was talking to a supplier,
40		a doublead administration tolling to the same survey
18		a devolved administration talking to the same supplier,
19		and, worst of all, if we were competing between
		3

So, you know, what did we do? We did two things.
We circulated around on a regular basis, "This is the
suppliers we are dealing with on each lane, please check
for ..." so we were building up the different knowledge
across the lanes and sharing across the lanes. But
180

(45) Pages 177 - 180

l	ultimately at the end of April we built the buying plan,	1		it up on the screen. It's INQ000540488, and it's
2	which I can explain further, if you like.	2		page 34, paragraph 7.8.
3 Q.	We won't deal with the buying plan for now, but is it	3		Forgive me, I think it's a little bit lower down.
1	right that, up until the moment there was a buying plan,	4		That's it. Thank you.
5	that the risk was there that what was going on as	5		My mistake, Mr Wood. It's 7.7.
6	everyone was scrambling to procure PPE was, as you	6	Α.	Yeah.
7	describe, that even between competition within the UK	7	Q.	You've said:
3	that we were pushing our own prices up because we were	8		"Following my reviews of the numerous reports
9	competing with the China Buy lane, the New Suppliers	9		regarding PPE procurement, for me, the commercial and
0	lane and then, of course, the High Priority Lane?	10		legal point is that it is not possible to apply all
1 A .	The risk was always there. The main reason why the risk	11		peacetime fair and equal treatment principles during an
2	was there is that as a nation we did not find a high	12		emergency procurement situation."
3	level of information regarding the provenance of our	13	Α.	Yeah, I can talk about that.
4	PPE. So there could have been instances where we were	14	Q.	Would you please explain what you mean by that
5	competing with each other but we would never know and	15	Α.	Yes.
6	still would never know that we were competing because we	16	Q.	and, in particular, is it that you don't think it was
7	just dealing with an intermediary.	17		possible to comply with those principles in the
8	Or there were the things that we could control	18		emergency as it was at the time, or that you think that
9	were: were we actually talking to the same suppliers on	19		in all circumstances, in all emergencies, that it's
0	this team and that team? Right, we need to start	20		simply unrealistic to comply with the principles you
1	sharing our information, which is what we're talking	21		describe of fair and equal treatment?
2	about here.	22	Α.	Yeah, absolutely. So in the particular instance that
3 Q .	My final set of questions, if I may, Mr Wood. There is	23		I was involved with, and still looking back, looking at
4	a section in your witness statement in which you	24		the purest view, and, sorry, the legal view, to ensure
5	describe in fact I wonder, perhaps, if we could bring	25		fairness, equal treatment and transparency, that's clear
	181			182
l	but then applying it in this situation with a number of	1		that, although it wasn't, at least in your mind,
2	direct awards, a number of different ways that we were	2		possible to do it at the time
3	approaching the same market sometimes, or a different	3	Α.	Yeah.
1	close-to market, adjacent market, with the same	4	Q.	what is it that you think would enable a system to be
5	requirement from UK as a whole.	5		fair, equitable and transparent in the progress and
6	From any process that I've ever run in normal times,	6		award of contracts in a subsequent emergency?
7	in peacetime, you know, that would be a legal challenge,	7	Α.	Difficult to answer on the spot but I'll try. But
3	straight away, because you just can't do that in	8		before I do that, can I just add one more thing to the
)	peacetime. You can't talk to the market at different	9		previous answer
0	times and give them different information. You just	10	Q.	Of course.
1	can't do that you've got to be completely equal. So	11	Α.	in terms of what we could do and attempted to do?
2	I accept that, you know, the Ayanda judgment, et cetera,	12		And you've heard this many times and it's in my witness
3	those judgments had happened around the JRs, and looking	13		statement. We were fishing with many hooks outside the
4	at that unequal treatment kind of ruling, I accept that,	14		boat because we had a huge demand, we had very shall
5	I understand it. What I've tried to get my head around,	15		supplier knowledge, and we didn't have a lot of time, so
6	is, if I was in this situation again, what would I do?	16		we had to fish with different hooks, we had to react to
7	And I can come on to that later.	17		where the market could be found and go and find it and
8	But this is kind of what I was talking about here,	18		process it quickly.
9	is I think as Chris Hall said, there isn't a lot of case	19		What we could do is we could introduce some level
0	law. There isn't a lot of detail, which the expert	20		separation of duties and standardisation in the process
1	the expert witness also said there isn't a lot of detail	21		for how those offers were taken through to a potential
	about how to do this when you're enacting in emergency	22		contract, which we did do. So and the entry point,
2				
	procurement, and I wish there was.	23		I would say, on all of the lanes was unequal. I know
2 3	procurement, and I wish there was. Let me please perhaps ask my final question on this	23 24		there's an extra element to the HPL, as it's now called,

(46) Pages 181 - 184

"We had to build a buying function completely from scratch. This was a challenging environment to work

"Due to its design SCCL is not set up for or equipped to deal with a crisis of this magnitude and so could not be scaled up to meet the demand, and their understanding of the market in terms of detailed product

I definitely think we need to have a number of things that are ready to go. We've talked about due diligence in a box, we've talked about people having crisis training. We'd never had any crisis training. There may have -- I'm sure there were some things that we

I am not trying to cut you short but time is short, and I was going to come to this in overview. You set those out in your statement at 12.15, and that's what I think you described as the need for a contingency plan.

But the lack of an emergency procurement plan, did that come about partly because business-as-usual procurement was effectively devolved to the NHS and to providers, so they would seek their own PPE and business-as-usual through either SCCL or wholesalers? Those devolved rather than centralised. So it was therefore a lack of central planning? That's really what I'm asking you. I mean, I'm not an expert on that. What I've heard and seen so far through the Inquiry is that DHSC did have

And I was interviewed by the Boardman Review team and those have gone into the Boardman Report as well.

Mr Wood, I'm just going to ask you a very few questions on behalf of the Covid Bereaved Families for Justice UK group and none of them are, in any way, meant to be critical of you and they are just about systems. I just want to pick up where Mr Sharma left off, really. You've been very clear this afternoon but you were very clear in your witness statement also about what happened at the start and I'll just give you some references but I won't take you to the passages. But at

1		et cetera, but all of the lines, I would say are equally	1		Questions from MR WEATHERBY KC
2		unequal, even within themselves. It's impossible for it	2	MR	WEATHERBY: Thank you very much, my Lady.
3		not to be.	3		Mr Wood, I'm just going to ask you a very fev
4		So there were things that we did do to the best of	4		questions on behalf of the Covid Bereaved Famil
5		our ability to try to level that playing field but our	5		Justice UK group and none of them are, in any w
6		challenge was the front end. So moving to your question	6		to be critical of you and they are just about syster
7		of how could we do this in the future, there were some	7		I just want to pick up where Mr Sharma left o
8		ideas that I thought the expert witness had which were	8		really. You've been very clear this afternoon but
9		definitely worth looking into. So publishing, having	9		were very clear in your witness statement also ab
10		ready to publish very quickly the requirement across	10		what happened at the start and I'll just give you se
11		a number of channels to industry of what the requirement	11		references but I won't take you to the passages.
12		was, what our minimum quantities were, being more	12		2.7 in your witness statement you said:
13		upfront about that, the reason we couldn't be upfront	13		"We had to build a buying function completel
14		about that is because we didn't know at the time, we	14		scratch. This was a challenging environment to v
15		didn't have that information, unfortunately. We did	15		in."
16		manage to do some of that later on but we just didn't	16		You also said, a bit later in your statement, a
17		have the information.	17		3.47(h) and I quote:
18		So, you know, step one is to be prepared better, in	18		"Due to its design SCCL is not set up for or
19		a number of ways, for an incident like this but second	19		equipped to deal with a crisis of this magnitude a
20		is I think there are some learnings in terms of how we	20		could not be scaled up to meet the demand, and
21		communicate into the market as a whole, as opposed to on	21		understanding of the market in terms of detailed
22		lots and lots of one-to-one bases.	22		provenance was limited at the time."
23	MR	SHARMA: Mr Wood, thank you very much, those are all the	23		So question: would you agree that this was
24		questions I have.	24		a fundamental failure in the UK's PPE procureme
25	LA	DY HALLETT: Mr Weatherby, just there.	25		abilities at the start of the pandemic?
		185			186
1	Α.	It certainly didn't help.	1	Α.	And I was interviewed by the Boardman Review t
2	Q.	Yes.	2		those have gone into the Boardman Report as we
3	Α.	I'm sorry I'm not being more emphatic because I don't	3	Q.	Yes.
4		have the full information around this topic, but what	4	Α.	I definitely think we need to have a number of thir
5		I experienced at the time was there was you know,	5		that are ready to go. We've talked about due dilig
6		SCCL was set up to run a just-in-time process	6		in a box, we've talked about people having crisis
7	Q.	Yes.	7		training. We'd never had any crisis training. The
8	Α.	and a highly efficient one. The level of knowledge	8		may have I'm sure there were some things that
9		was good up to a level but, in terms of deep	9		could have learnt if we were ready.
10		understanding of the market, origins of different raw	10	Q.	I am not trying to cut you short but time is short, a
11		materials and products, et cetera, I think was lacking.	11		I was going to come to this in overview. You set
12	Q.	Yes.	12		out in your statement at 12.15, and that's what I th
13	Α.	And we had to build that ourselves, which we did with	13		you described as the need for a contingency plan
14		some (overspeaking)	14	Α.	
15	Q.	So the level of knowledge for a business-as-usual was	15	Q.	
16	-4-	good?	16		come about partly because business-as-usual pro
17	Α.	Yeah, I think that was very good and very efficient.	17		was effectively devolved to the NHS and to provid
18	Q.	Okay. And the what I've described as a fundamental	18		they would seek their own PPE and business-as-
19	-4-	failure, would you agree that, whether it was	19		through either SCCL or wholesalers? Those dev
20		a fundamental failure or it didn't help, this was	20		rather than centralised. So it was therefore a lack
21		a result of there being no central emergency procurement	20		central planning? That's really what I'm asking yo
22		plan?	22	Α.	I mean, I'm not an expert on that. What I've hear
23	Α.	I think it's one of my recommendations which you've seen	23		seen so far through the Inquiry is that DHSC did I
24		in my statement.	20		some preparedness, but it wasn't enough.
25	Q.	-	25	Q.	
20	-	197	20	ч.	188

187

188

(47) Pages 185 - 188

1	Α.	And the market then went into a very hot market and	1	A.	Yeah.
2		a very difficult market.	2	Q.	in dou
3	Q.	Sure.	3	Α.	l can't sa
4	Α.	So I'm not sure how much you could plan but I think	4		planning
5		there are things we could do.	5		respons
6		In terms of being devolved, I think I don't	6		an orgar
7		think I'm not sure being devolved is the problem from	7	Q.	Do you t
8		a commercial perspective.	8		PPE pro
9	Q.	Yes.	9		or an ari
10	Α.	I think it's the flow of information data between those	10		necessa
11		parties that create	11		awarene
12	Q.	Sure, I'm not suggesting, with respect, that it being	12		emerger
13		devolved to the NHS or to SCCL is a bad idea in	13	Α.	I think th
14		principle, or business-as-usual.	14		direction
15	Α.	Yeah.	15		what tho
16	Q.	What I'm putting to you is that may be a reason why	16		five year
17		there wasn't central planning for an emergency.	17	Q.	Sure.
18	Α.	Yeah, that's possible. I wouldn't be opposed to that.	18	Α.	or thre
19	Q.	Just finally on this point, just to complete it, you	19		direction
20		described the Buy Cell being "thrown together"?	20	Q.	Yeah.
21	Α.	Mm-hm.	21		Diffe
22	Q.	And that really is as a result of no planning, nothing	22		your a
23		that you could rely on. So you were put in the	23		asked D
24		invidious position of having to put something together	24		approve
25		from scratch from the outset	25		conside
		189			
1		set up at this time".	1		demand
2		' Can you just help us with what you envisaged? How	2		procurer
3		would such a board have improved the procurement	3		, posed u
4		process?	4		imperati
5	Α.	I think it would have helped the accounting officer of	5		such as
6		DHSC knowing that there had been further checks at	6		Sog
7		a senior level, completely away from the team that was	7		process
8		doing the doing, on the way to making a recommendation.	8		following
9		We, as you can see, contributed to kind of living within	9		stateme
10		that situation by setting up our Clearance Board, plus	10		"Wł
11		we had lots of checks and balances along this process,	11		21 Marc
12		which I agree were siloed, but I've tried to explain the	12		much Pf
13		reasons why.	13		dying. E
14	MR	WEATHERBY: Yes, thank you very much.	14		fantastic
15		DY HALLETT: Thank you Mr Weatherby.	15		the all of
16		Mr Dayle.	16		muster.
17		Mr Dayle is over there.	17		lots of it.
18		Questions from MR DAYLE	18		in relatio
19	MR	DAYLE: Thank you, my Lady.	19		paragra
20		Mr Wood, I ask questions on behalf of the Federation	20		"We
21		of Ethnic Minority Healthcare Organisations or FEMHO.	20		no expe
22		I note at the onset of the Covid-19 pandemic the	22		So i
23		urgency to secure PPE was paramount. As you've noted in	23		procurer
23		your statement the immediate mandate was to acquire	23		prototyp
24		significant quantities of PPE to meet the escalating	24		objective
20		191	23		objective

1	Α.	Yeah.
2	Q.	in double quick time.
3	Α.	I can't say whether it was because of a lack of
4		planning, because I don't work for DHSC and I'm not
5		responsible for those plans, but yeah, we had to throw
6		an organisation together very, very quickly.
7	Q.	Do you think a solution would be to have a specialist
8		PPE procurement team within DHSC, or perhaps PHE, UKHSA,
9		or an arm's-length body, including SCCL, with the
10		necessary experience, technical knowledge and market
11		awareness that could be scaled up to respond to an
12		emergency situation?
13	Α.	I think that that sounds like it's in the right
14		direction. I'm not honestly, I'm not familiar with
15		what those organisations have already done since
16		five years ago
17	Q.	Sure.
18	Α.	or three years ago. But certainly that would be the
19		direction of travel that sounds sensible.
20	Q.	Yeah.
21	ч.	Different topic, and very quickly, you've stated in
22		your at paragraph 3.13, that on 23 March 2020 you
23		asked DHSC to set up a senior governance board to
24		approve the process strategy and operating model and
25		consider setting price limits, but "no such board was
20		190
1		demands as the crisis unfolded, and this rapid
2		procurement, driven by the need to save lives, no doubt
3		posed unique challenges, particularly in balancing the
4		imperative to act speedily with statutory obligations
5		such as the Public Sector Equality Duty.
6		So given your pivotal role in emergency procurement
7		processes, FEMHO would like your insight on the
8		following questions. At paragraph 12.3 of your
9		statement you say, and I quote:
10		"When I turned up at Skipton House on Saturday
11		21 March 2020, I was briefed quite literally to buy as
12		much PPE as we possibly could, because people were
13		dying. Buying PPE is exactly what my quickly assembled,
14		fantastically dedicated and hardworking team did with
15		the all of the commercial skills and experience we could
16		muster. We therefore did buy PPE as instructed, and
17		lots of it. Too much it turns out, for reasons set out
18		in relation to the demand that was set for us at
19		paragraph 3.6 above.
20		"We used our skills and drive to get that done with
21		no experience of managing in such a crisis."
22		So my question is: is it fair to say that in PPE
23		procurement, securing products that were considered the
24		prototype, in huge volumes was the predominant
25		objective, even at the exclusion of consequential
		192

UK Covid-19 Inquiry

1		factors of equality and diversity; what do you say?	1
2	Α.	Thank you for your question. The specifications that	2
3		were given to us by DHSC were the specifications we	3
4		delivered, tried to deliver, and bought to. We were not	4
5		part of any decision making process of what those	5
6		specifications were, what special characteristics or	6
7		considerations were in those specifications. They were	7
8		the specifications, I understood at the time, that the	8
9		NHS and SCCL were using in their contracts to buy PPE	9
10		for the NHS.	10
11		So I can only assume that they included some of the	11
12		factors that you're talking about. I hope I've answered	12
13		your question.	13
14	Q.	Very well. We'll press on. At paragraph 7.7 of your	14
15		statement, you say:	15
16		"Following my reviews of the numerous reports	16
17		regarding PPE procurement for me, the commercial and	17
18		legal point is that it is not possible to apply all	18
19		peacetime fair and equal treatment principles during	19
20		an emergency procurement situation. For example, it was	20
21		not possible to run a supplier questionnaire or	21
22		pre-qualification process or a tender exercise for every	22
23		offer received at exactly the same time, giving all	23
24		parties the same response time. The market was moving	24
25		too fast, even for our fastest peacetime procurement	25
		193	
1	Α.	Were we working in an emergency? Absolutely. Were we	1
2		working on behalf of the public? Absolutely, in terms	
3			2
		of we were supplying PPE to frontline workers, to social	3
4	•	care workers who were interacting with the public.	3 4
5	Q.	care workers who were interacting with the public. Was this just your feeling or was there a formal basis	3 4 5
5 6	Q.	care workers who were interacting with the public. Was this just your feeling or was there a formal basis for you to think that you were, in fact, working	3 4 5 6
5 6 7		care workers who were interacting with the public. Was this just your feeling or was there a formal basis for you to think that you were, in fact, working under	3 4 5 6 7
5 6 7 8	Q. A.	care workers who were interacting with the public. Was this just your feeling or was there a formal basis for you to think that you were, in fact, working under Oh, okay, sorry, I thought you might have meant	3 4 5 6 7 8
5 6 7 8 9		care workers who were interacting with the public. Was this just your feeling or was there a formal basis for you to think that you were, in fact, working under Oh, okay, sorry, I thought you might have meant something like that. I don't remember having any formal	3 4 5 6 7 8 9
5 6 7 8 9 10		care workers who were interacting with the public. Was this just your feeling or was there a formal basis for you to think that you were, in fact, working under Oh, okay, sorry, I thought you might have meant something like that. I don't remember having any formal discussion with anyone regarding that. I was just doing	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Α.	care workers who were interacting with the public. Was this just your feeling or was there a formal basis for you to think that you were, in fact, working under Oh, okay, sorry, I thought you might have meant something like that. I don't remember having any formal discussion with anyone regarding that. I was just doing my job on behalf of the Cabinet Office for DHSC.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		care workers who were interacting with the public. Was this just your feeling or was there a formal basis for you to think that you were, in fact, working under Oh, okay, sorry, I thought you might have meant something like that. I don't remember having any formal discussion with anyone regarding that. I was just doing my job on behalf of the Cabinet Office for DHSC. You described in your evidence, given to Mr Sharma, how	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Α.	care workers who were interacting with the public. Was this just your feeling or was there a formal basis for you to think that you were, in fact, working under Oh, okay, sorry, I thought you might have meant something like that. I don't remember having any formal discussion with anyone regarding that. I was just doing my job on behalf of the Cabinet Office for DHSC. You described in your evidence, given to Mr Sharma, how you had to helicopter up to the technical aspects of PPE	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13	Α.	care workers who were interacting with the public. Was this just your feeling or was there a formal basis for you to think that you were, in fact, working under Oh, okay, sorry, I thought you might have meant something like that. I don't remember having any formal discussion with anyone regarding that. I was just doing my job on behalf of the Cabinet Office for DHSC. You described in your evidence, given to Mr Sharma, how you had to helicopter up to the technical aspects of PPE procurement work, and my question is this: what tools	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Α.	care workers who were interacting with the public. Was this just your feeling or was there a formal basis for you to think that you were, in fact, working under Oh, okay, sorry, I thought you might have meant something like that. I don't remember having any formal discussion with anyone regarding that. I was just doing my job on behalf of the Cabinet Office for DHSC. You described in your evidence, given to Mr Sharma, how you had to helicopter up to the technical aspects of PPE procurement work, and my question is this: what tools were available to you to ensure there were	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Α.	care workers who were interacting with the public. Was this just your feeling or was there a formal basis for you to think that you were, in fact, working under Oh, okay, sorry, I thought you might have meant something like that. I don't remember having any formal discussion with anyone regarding that. I was just doing my job on behalf of the Cabinet Office for DHSC. You described in your evidence, given to Mr Sharma, how you had to helicopter up to the technical aspects of PPE procurement work, and my question is this: what tools were available to you to ensure there were considerations regarding measurements and specifications	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q.	care workers who were interacting with the public. Was this just your feeling or was there a formal basis for you to think that you were, in fact, working under Oh, okay, sorry, I thought you might have meant something like that. I don't remember having any formal discussion with anyone regarding that. I was just doing my job on behalf of the Cabinet Office for DHSC. You described in your evidence, given to Mr Sharma, how you had to helicopter up to the technical aspects of PPE procurement work, and my question is this: what tools were available to you to ensure there were considerations regarding measurements and specifications arising from ethnicity?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Α.	care workers who were interacting with the public. Was this just your feeling or was there a formal basis for you to think that you were, in fact, working under Oh, okay, sorry, I thought you might have meant something like that. I don't remember having any formal discussion with anyone regarding that. I was just doing my job on behalf of the Cabinet Office for DHSC. You described in your evidence, given to Mr Sharma, how you had to helicopter up to the technical aspects of PPE procurement work, and my question is this: what tools were available to you to ensure there were considerations regarding measurements and specifications arising from ethnicity? Again, I refer back to my first answer, I'm afraid. The	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q.	care workers who were interacting with the public. Was this just your feeling or was there a formal basis for you to think that you were, in fact, working under Oh, okay, sorry, I thought you might have meant something like that. I don't remember having any formal discussion with anyone regarding that. I was just doing my job on behalf of the Cabinet Office for DHSC. You described in your evidence, given to Mr Sharma, how you had to helicopter up to the technical aspects of PPE procurement work, and my question is this: what tools were available to you to ensure there were considerations regarding measurements and specifications arising from ethnicity? Again, I refer back to my first answer, I'm afraid. The tool that we that and the piece of data that we needed	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q.	care workers who were interacting with the public. Was this just your feeling or was there a formal basis for you to think that you were, in fact, working under Oh, okay, sorry, I thought you might have meant something like that. I don't remember having any formal discussion with anyone regarding that. I was just doing my job on behalf of the Cabinet Office for DHSC. You described in your evidence, given to Mr Sharma, how you had to helicopter up to the technical aspects of PPE procurement work, and my question is this: what tools were available to you to ensure there were considerations regarding measurements and specifications arising from ethnicity? Again, I refer back to my first answer, I'm afraid. The tool that we that and the piece of data that we needed was the specification, which was given to us by SCCL.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q.	care workers who were interacting with the public. Was this just your feeling or was there a formal basis for you to think that you were, in fact, working under Oh, okay, sorry, I thought you might have meant something like that. I don't remember having any formal discussion with anyone regarding that. I was just doing my job on behalf of the Cabinet Office for DHSC. You described in your evidence, given to Mr Sharma, how you had to helicopter up to the technical aspects of PPE procurement work, and my question is this: what tools were available to you to ensure there were considerations regarding measurements and specifications arising from ethnicity? Again, I refer back to my first answer, I'm afraid. The tool that we that and the piece of data that we needed was the specification, which was given to us by SCCL. I do remember that later on in that 100-day process,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q.	care workers who were interacting with the public. Was this just your feeling or was there a formal basis for you to think that you were, in fact, working under Oh, okay, sorry, I thought you might have meant something like that. I don't remember having any formal discussion with anyone regarding that. I was just doing my job on behalf of the Cabinet Office for DHSC. You described in your evidence, given to Mr Sharma, how you had to helicopter up to the technical aspects of PPE procurement work, and my question is this: what tools were available to you to ensure there were considerations regarding measurements and specifications arising from ethnicity? Again, I refer back to my first answer, I'm afraid. The tool that we that and the piece of data that we needed was the specification, which was given to us by SCCL. I do remember that later on in that 100-day process, which I saw as a good sign, we were, as we were planning	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q.	care workers who were interacting with the public. Was this just your feeling or was there a formal basis for you to think that you were, in fact, working under Oh, okay, sorry, I thought you might have meant something like that. I don't remember having any formal discussion with anyone regarding that. I was just doing my job on behalf of the Cabinet Office for DHSC. You described in your evidence, given to Mr Sharma, how you had to helicopter up to the technical aspects of PPE procurement work, and my question is this: what tools were available to you to ensure there were considerations regarding measurements and specifications arising from ethnicity? Again, I refer back to my first answer, I'm afraid. The tool that we that and the piece of data that we needed was the specification, which was given to us by SCCL. I do remember that later on in that 100-day process, which I saw as a good sign, we were, as we were planning ahead on our demand, we were thinking about all of the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q.	care workers who were interacting with the public. Was this just your feeling or was there a formal basis for you to think that you were, in fact, working under Oh, okay, sorry, I thought you might have meant something like that. I don't remember having any formal discussion with anyone regarding that. I was just doing my job on behalf of the Cabinet Office for DHSC. You described in your evidence, given to Mr Sharma, how you had to helicopter up to the technical aspects of PPE procurement work, and my question is this: what tools were available to you to ensure there were considerations regarding measurements and specifications arising from ethnicity? Again, I refer back to my first answer, I'm afraid. The tool that we that and the piece of data that we needed was the specification, which was given to us by SCCL. I do remember that later on in that 100-day process, which I saw as a good sign, we were, as we were planning	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

195

process."

1		process."
2		So question: can you confirm whether, in your
3		procurement work during the pandemic, there was any
4		regard paid to the Public Sector Equality Duty?
5	Α.	Sorry, that last bit you just said, if you don't mind,
6	7.4	the Public Sector Equality Duty, could you just expand
7		on that?
	~	
8	Q.	Yes, that's the Public Sector Equality Duty under the
9		Equality Act. That is the requirement under law to have
10		regard or consider issues of equality.
11	Α.	The only two things I can think of are that, you know,
12		the demand that we were given, buy product, eventually,
13		and over a timescale, was an aggregation of all demand
14		from all parts of the NHS across the UK and social care.
15		So within that, I get there must be a consideration
16		to the equality side of things. From a specification
17		perspective, I refer to my previous answer, which is
18		this was a specification that the NHS was buying before
19		the pandemic and it was the specification we bought
20		within the pandemic, so there was no change in terms of
21		what we were asking suppliers to supply us with, which
22		I presume over time is compliant with the Act that
23		you're citing.
24	Q.	Did you understand yourself to be working under public
25		emergency conditions?
		194
1		et cetera. But apart from buying to the specification,
2	_	that's all I can offer you, I'm afraid.
3	Q.	Very well. Next topic. As we reflect on the challenges
4		and the learning outcomes from the pandemic response,
5		particularly in PPE procurement, focus shifts to how we
6		can do more in future pandemic preparedness.
7		In the lessons learnt section of your statement, you
8		list, by way of recommendation, the following:
9		an advisory panel of expert customers to ensure
10		procurement teams fully understand the product
11		requirement and variants prior to purchasing stock; and
12		a panel of regulatory decision makers with delegated
13		authority and limited liability, including technical
14		compliance expertise, specialist manufacturing
14 15		compliance expertise, specialist manufacturing knowledge, and frontline NHS trust representation.
		· · · ·
15		knowledge, and frontline NHS trust representation. This, for the record, can be found at
15 16		knowledge, and frontline NHS trust representation.

panels to include ethnic minority healthcare workers.

Normally in our commercial work we swim kind of

understand what it is they want us to buy and to sort of match them up with the market. The customer panel I'm

196

A. Absolutely agree that the panel should be from a "customer panel", if you're referring to that part.

upstream to our customer so that we can really

(49) Pages 193 - 196

1	talking about there should be representative of the	1 (4
2	customer base, which, you know, is all ethnicities.	2
3	Q. Very well, and just to complete this	3
4	LADY HALLETT: No, I'm afraid you've had your time,	4
5	Mr Dayle. I'm awfully sorry.	5
6	MR DAYLE: Very well, I'll leave it at that.	6
7	Thank you.	7
8	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	8
9	LADY HALLETT: Mr Wood, we all know about how hard	9
10	healthcare workers work. Give me some idea of the kind	10
11	of hours you and your team were working.	11
12	A. A short answer, my Lady, would be between 16 and	12
13	18 hours a day. So it was yeah, it was full on.	13
14	And, you know, we weren't alone in that.	14
15	LADY HALLETT: I appreciate an awful lot of people in lots	15
16	of different fields, but I think it is important that	16
17	people do understand. I certainly got that impression	17
18	from listening to your colleagues.	18
19	Thank you very much indeed for all you did during	19
20	the pandemic and for all the help that you've given the	20
21	Inquiry.	21
22	THE WITNESS: Thank you, thank you.	22
23	LADY HALLETT: Very well, 10.30 Monday.	23
24	MR WALD: Yes.	24
25	LADY HALLETT: Thank you. 197	25

4.07 pm)

(The hearing adjourned until 10.30 am

On Monday, 10 March 2025)

198

INDEX

1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3		
4	MR MAX CAIRNDUFF (affirmed)	1
5	Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIR	11
6	FOR MODULE 5	
7	Questions from MR WEATHERBY KC	58
8	Questions from MR DAYLE	61
9		
10	MR DARREN BLACKBURN (sworn)	65
11	Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY	65
12		
13	DR CHRIS HALL (sworn)	95
14	Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIR	195
15	FOR MODULE 5	
16	Questions from DR MITCHELL	151
17		
18	MR ANDREW WOOD (sworn)	156
19	Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY	156
20	Questions from MR WEATHERBY KC	186
21	Questions from MR DAYLE	191
22		
23		
24		
25		

	1.4 million [1] 92/14	126/13 191/22	292.1 [1] 41/23	6.12 [3] 119/5 119/5
	1.5 [1] 51/8	19 March [1] 66/5	292.2 [2] 43/6 43/20	121/9
DR MITCHELL: [2]	10 [2] 54/21 94/23	1993 [1] 156/23		6.15 [1] 126/13
151/23 155/2	10 April [1] 14/5	1999 [1] 65/21	3	6.16 [3] 126/13 127/8
LADY HALLETT:	10 March [1] 198/3		3.05 [2] 156/1 156/4	128/11
[38] 1/3 31/7 31/20	10,000 [2] 137/3	2	3.13 [1] 190/22	6.2 [1] 10/13
58/8 61/2 63/6 64/12	145/15	2 April [1] 98/12	3.47 [1] 186/17	61 [2] 53/5 139/10
64/17 65/1 65/5 65/9	10.30 [2] 197/23	2' [1] 42/2	3.6 [1] 192/19	66.7 [1] 54/21
85/21 92/8 94/22	198/2	2,200 [3] 148/25	3.93 [2] 149/4 149/14	67 [3] 49/23 134/16
94/25 95/8 95/12	10.5 [1] 147/21	149/5 149/6	30 [1] 77/19	134/19
95/17 95/21 125/20	100 [1] 169/25	2.49 [1] 156/2	30 June [1] 98/12	
141/7 142/8 142/12	100 days [2] 144/20	2.7 [1] 186/12	30 years [1] 97/6	7
151/20 155/3 155/16	144/24	20 [5] 81/11 110/13	30-something [1]	7.16 [1] 10/24
155/19 156/1 156/5	100,000 [1] 145/15	110/19 153/1 157/3	51/21	7.17 [1] 10/24
156/9 156/12 185/25	100-day [1] 195/21	20 April [2] 97/21	32 [5] 130/9 130/10	7.5 [2] 29/15 30/17
191/15 197/4 197/9	104 [1] 41/14	179/14	130/13 130/14 130/17	
197/15 197/23 197/25	10:1 [1] 149/18	20 contracts [1]	34 [2] 150/14 182/2	7.8 [1] 182/2
MR DAYLE: [5] 61/5	10m [1] 88/22	136/18	36 [3] 49/23 49/23	
63/12 64/9 191/19	11 [2] 31/6 146/9	20 VIP Lane [1] 54/2	53/6	8
197/6	11.04 [1] 178/1	20/20 [1] 81/11	36 referrers [1]	80 [1] 21/9
MR SHARMA: [9]	11.14 [1] 65/2	2012 [1] 96/15	134/14	83 per cent [1]
65/6 65/12 86/1 92/9	11.30 [2] 65/1 65/4	2014 [1] 96/20	36 witness [1] 49/13	153/10
94/19 94/24 156/6	11.86 [1] 147/8	2018 [2] 2/15 126/20	37 [1] 136/1	85 [1] 77/20
156/14 185/23	12 [3] 28/22 28/23	2019 [3] 3/1 65/21	38 [2] 29/1 29/1	
MR WALD: [11] 1/4	75/21	65/24	39 [2] 51/23 136/2	9
1/9 31/24 58/5 95/11	12.15 [2] 188/12	2020 [21] 3/6 4/20	3M [2] 168/14 168/16	9 April [3] 92/19
95/18 95/24 125/21	196/17	66/5 66/8 66/8 73/8		162/4 163/16
142/13 151/17 197/24	12.19 [1] 95/14	74/23 74/23 74/24	4	9.59 [1] 1/2
MR WEATHERBY:	12.3 [1] 192/8	93/11 97/21 98/2	4 May [1] 4/20	90 [1] 102/5
[4] 58/11 60/25	12.7 [1] 169/10	98/12 98/12 110/11	4.07 [1] 198/1	90-day [1] 180/3
186/2 191/14	13 [1] 131/9	125/5 157/1 158/4	4.5 [2] 7/17 62/4	Α
THE WITNESS: [11]	13 April [1] 74/17	173/14 190/22 192/11	40 [1] 104/21	
64/25 65/11 94/21	13 billion [1] 137/8	2021 [1] 148/12	40 years [1] 115/2	Aamer [1] 151/24
95/7 95/23 141/9	13 March [1] 143/5	2022 [2] 98/5 148/13	430 [5] 146/10	abilities [1] 186/25
155/14 155/18 155/24	135,000 [2] 136/24	2025 [3] 1/1 142/12	146/20 146/21 148/4	ability [8] 15/13
197/8 197/22	137/7	198/3	148/24	18/15 19/23 79/18
1	14 [2] 10/13 40/9	20th [1] 162/8	450 [1] 26/16	88/8 91/14 166/24
'had [1] 42/4	14 April [2] 74/23	21 March [5] 158/4	5	185/5
	75/17	162/7 163/16 173/14		able [11] 20/1 22/6
'high [1] 148/5	15 [2] 2/4 2/5	192/11	5.10 [1] 142/13	40/14 53/3 60/21
'noise' [2] 5/18 5/19	15 April [1] 74/23	21st [1] 162/10	5.11 [1] 178/1	105/16 128/3 135/15
'route [1] 42/2 'VIP [2] 41/17 42/1	15,000 [4] 67/16 68/1		5.16 [1] 131/8	139/11 146/4 157/13
	82/10 85/16	225 [2] 6/21 7/4	5.2 [1] 117/8	about [142] 1/19 6/1
'VIP Lane' [2] 41/17 42/1	15,194 [1] 147/16	23 [2] 10/25 12/6	50 [4] 21/6 21/8 58/3	
	150 [1] 173/21	23 March 2020 [1]	135/22	21/3 21/14 22/25
-	16 [2] 12/4 197/12	190/22	50-something [1]	23/15 23/18 28/6
Sanchez-Graells	16 April [1] 74/24	24 [1] 50/5	51/21	30/17 31/3 31/4 31/20
[1] 59/17	16 January 2025 [1]	24 February [1]	508 [1] 29/13	32/5 34/8 35/19 36/7
	142/12	157/1	51 [1] 147/3	36/20 36/23 37/8 41/1
•	16,000 [1] 67/16	24 hours [2] 56/14	517 [1] 131/8	41/20 46/24 47/3
.8 [1] 129/14	16-hour [1] 40/9	56/15	52 [1] 147/6	48/21 56/4 56/12
	16.1 million [1]	24 individuals [1]	53 [1] 54/10	56/23 57/16 58/3
0	122/11	50/7	55 [3] 51/23 135/24	59/21 59/24 60/2
000536351 [1] 7/18	16th [1] 179/16	24,000 [1] 148/5	135/25	61/19 62/17 62/25
	17 [4] 12/4 54/4	25 columns [1] 92/10	55,215 [1] 92/6	66/14 69/24 70/12
1	119/5 148/19	25 March [2] 174/24	56 [1] 149/12	70/23 73/6 74/4 74/4
1 April [3] 3/6 3/13	173 [1] 147/17	176/23	57 [1] 149/12	74/575/1176/16
36/24	18 [2] 73/5 126/13	252 million [1] 80/24	580 [1] 29/12	78/14 80/24 81/9
1 May [1] 126/23	18 hours [1] 197/13	252.5 million [1] 73/9	5:1 [1] 149/20	81/11 81/14 83/17
1 million [1] 145/15		26.7 [1] 54/24	6	85/15 87/25 88/1 88/2
1,000,000 [1] 88/22	181 [1] 5/12	27 April [1] 77/21		88/11 90/7 90/13
1,800 [2] 30/18 30/20	182 [1] 5/12	28 [1] 86/25	6 April [1] 168/13	90/17 96/13 97/9
1.13 [1] 147/18	18th [1] 179/16	29 April [1] 73/8	6 March 2025 [1] 1/1	97/21 101/9 101/20
1.25 [2] 95/13 95/16	19 [4] 86/3 102/14	292 [2] 41/14 41/23	6.00 [1] 116/10	101/20 101/21 102/10
			/E	1) DR MITCHELL: - about

(51) DR MITCHELL: - about

Α	accounts [1] 79/16	97/13 135/17	again [26] 13/3 17/13	100/24 103/10 106/4
about [71] 103/12	accreditation [1]	additional [3] 17/3	24/1 24/2 24/5 25/18	109/6 111/8 112/17
103/20 107/3 107/16	153/12	31/10 31/24	33/16 48/23 54/14	113/7 113/9 114/3
108/4 108/16 109/2	accredited [1]	additive [1] 178/13	64/15 80/12 82/23	115/19 116/15 116/18
112/9 115/5 115/23	171/20	address [8] 33/19	83/21 87/14 89/23	116/25 118/9 120/1
116/9 116/14 121/16	accrues [1] 150/11	64/1 68/22 80/14 88/5		123/7 129/10 130/3
121/18 127/8 130/3	accumulated [4]	126/3 126/4 129/15	114/11 126/6 139/3	130/8 133/4 134/11
131/13 132/17 132/20	89/19 92/15 94/15	addressed [2] 59/23	142/3 149/23 171/16	136/13 137/2 137/21
136/6 136/11 137/19	97/3	132/7	183/16 195/18	138/23 140/9 145/22
145/8 145/20 145/25	accurate [1] 75/1	addresses [2] 37/23	against [6] 63/4 81/6	146/5 149/14 149/15
149/18 153/13 153/16	achieve [1] 113/4	37/24	147/3 180/12 180/15	151/13 152/24 152/25
154/2 157/8 160/24	achieving [1] 20/2	adequate [1] 113/21	180/16	157/22 160/14 164/23
160/25 161/4 161/8	Acme [2] 15/4 24/1	adequately [1] 104/6	aggregation [1]	166/7 179/2 179/9
161/24 164/9 165/21	acquire [1] 191/24	adherence [1] 61/20	194/13	180/19 182/10 182/19
165/24 166/9 167/1	acquired [1] 38/12	adjacent [1] 183/4	Agnew [9] 4/11 37/20 174/10 174/14 174/20	
167/14 168/4 172/9	acquisition [1] 118/16	adjourned [1] 198/2	174/22 175/16 176/5	193/23 192/13 193/18
172/20 173/5 173/13	acronym [1] 24/10	Adjournment [1] 95/15	176/12	195/23 196/2 197/2
175/7 176/3 176/7	across [14] 18/2	administration [1]	Agnew's [1] 175/1	197/9 197/19 197/20
176/8 176/10 176/18	30/11 45/15 73/10	180/18	agnostic [1] 62/19	Allan [5] 109/10
177/13 179/5 179/24	98/17 161/18 162/17	administrators [1]	ago [2] 190/16	109/19 109/19 109/20
180/14 181/22 182/13	162/18 164/24 179/2	117/4	190/18	109/21
183/18 183/22 185/13	180/25 180/25 185/10		agree [13] 33/12 42/7	Allen [1] 1/24
185/14 186/6 186/9	194/14	adopt [1] 113/25	44/7 44/19 45/22	allocated [4] 70/22
188/5 188/6 188/16	act [5] 23/19 124/16	adopted [1] 45/20	58/18 115/16 178/15	100/24 102/17 102/25
193/12 195/23 197/1 197/9	192/4 194/9 194/22	advanced [1] 93/15	186/23 187/19 191/12	
	acting [1] 130/12	advantage [19] 15/13		127/17
above [1] 192/19 abroad [2] 45/16	action [4] 117/20	22/4 35/16 56/24 57/5		allowed [4] 87/21
45/17	174/6 174/13 174/14	71/3 84/4 84/14 123/3	127/4	90/24 93/25 94/6
absolute [1] 10/1	actioned [1] 118/7	124/21 140/19 140/21	agreeing [1] 177/24	allowing [1] 15/22
absolute [1] 10/1 absolutely [20] 12/9	actions [1] 178/16	140/21 141/5 144/10	agreement [2] 33/5	allows [2] 23/19 91/7
13/4 24/9 40/4 51/1	actively [1] 8/13	149/24 150/10 150/11		alluded [2] 32/17
84/21 109/23 124/23	activities [1] 3/11	150/11	Agustsson [1]	81/21
124/23 129/13 163/16	activity [4] 16/4	advantageous [1]	124/12	almost [2] 10/14 48/8
172/12 172/20 174/24	16/24 82/15 154/12	137/18	Ah [4] 22/18 34/25	alone [3] 92/11
176/17 178/21 182/22	actual [2] 35/5 179/11	advantages [6] 13/25 17/9 21/17 22/16		164/11 197/14
195/1 195/2 196/20		41/21 154/1	ahead [3] 78/6 173/6 195/23	along [4] 57/1 155/21 160/10 191/11
abundant [1] 152/10	actually [61] 1/22 4/23 6/5 6/7 6/8 8/4	advice [2] 122/13	akin [4] 10/14 26/7	alongside [2] 101/17
accelerate [1] 43/1	11/6 11/2 15/1 15/20	138/1	26/9 84/25	178/18
accelerated [3] 42/18	16/23 20/14 20/19	advise [2] 125/9	albeit [2] 6/16 13/19	alphabetically [1]
43/4 63/14	20/23 20/25 21/4 21/5	174/14	Albert [1] 152/12	84/8
accept [6] 27/11 44/5	21/10 24/3 25/9 27/1	adviser [3] 74/13	alert [1] 155/8	already [38] 4/1 4/14
57/19 137/14 183/12	27/3 30/24 32/11 35/8		aligned [2] 177/23	9/22 14/13 25/15
183/14	38/16 39/20 39/22	Advisor [2] 76/13	178/11	25/22 26/1 39/14
acceptable [6] 127/13 128/21 141/23	39/24 45/5 50/21 52/5		all [122] 1/17 5/22	39/15 40/7 40/8 42/4
142/1 142/2 143/20	52/8 53/7 53/10 55/6	advisory [2] 97/1	7/8 9/12 10/10 12/14	50/23 57/3 77/25 87/6
acceptance [1] 57/17	58/25 59/24 60/10	196/9	13/14 14/16 16/18	101/22 113/1 113/11
accepted [2] 25/9	66/20 88/2 98/13	aeroplane [1] 164/19	17/9 19/21 22/22	139/22 140/2 157/14
149/21	102/3 107/7 120/25	affected [3] 120/1	23/20 24/14 25/2 25/3	
accepting [1] 31/10	125/24 128/8 128/20	120/3 120/4	26/18 27/16 28/18	158/20 159/17 159/18
access [6] 63/18	129/3 141/12 143/6	affiliated [1] 38/21	30/11 30/13 30/14	161/2 161/4 162/4
75/20 92/17 100/4	147/6 153/8 162/9	affirmed [2] 1/7	31/1 33/17 35/6 37/13	
106/19 171/14	162/11 170/12 171/7	199/4	37/20 40/17 41/6 42/3	
accessible [1]	175/12 176/24 178/18		42/10 43/20 45/11	190/15
170/23	181/19	115/2 122/20 137/14 146/13 149/9 195/18	45/24 46/6 46/9 48/8	already-contracted
accessing [1] 93/8	adapt [2] 160/5 160/24	196/2 197/4	51/4 52/4 52/5 53/14 53/21 55/11 57/13	[1] 178/8 also [45] 7/20 9/16
according [1] 42/3	adaptive [1] 61/14	after [10] 4/1 14/6	58/5 61/1 62/1 63/8	15/17 24/6 26/3 33/25
account [8] 10/25	add [5] 116/6 131/11	40/9 46/4 57/22 78/12		34/8 35/7 37/19 49/1
23/1 46/22 77/4 82/25	149/16 159/4 184/8	78/22 93/11 102/9	68/19 71/12 75/11	57/4 58/1 58/4 63/9
83/2 155/9 155/10	added [1] 108/21	175/6	81/19 84/2 87/16 89/9	
accounting [3] 112/4	addiction [1] 132/2	afternoon [4] 7/8	90/15 91/11 92/5 93/3	
173/3 191/5	addition [3] 36/16	141/1 164/3 186/8	93/24 95/2 95/23	104/8 117/13 119/18
L				

(52) about... - also

[1		1	1
Α	104/4 104/5 106/13	136/14 182/10 193/18	136/11 137/4 137/18	183/24 183/25 186/3
	109/1 110/3 111/7	applying [1] 183/1	138/6 138/21 139/10	191/20
also [22] 123/12	111/7 111/12 113/16	appointed [3] 101/23		asked [13] 3/14 36/6
135/11 136/5 145/6	114/7 132/14 135/11	118/17 118/18	147/7 150/20 150/21	48/20 90/16 101/12
151/3 151/4 158/15				
158/18 160/8 161/4	146/14 150/8 184/7	appraisals [1] 175/12		110/3 124/14 124/16
162/19 162/23 164/3	184/9 194/17 195/18	appreciate [6] 7/5	153/6 153/11 155/11	128/4 129/15 155/13
	197/12	78/12 81/11 134/2	163/13 165/9 165/10	158/22 190/23
164/7 164/13 164/18	answered [3] 55/1	142/16 197/15	170/7 170/22 172/2	asking [14] 12/24
168/25 179/10 180/13	125/16 193/12	approach [6] 88/13	172/8 172/14 172/16	22/16 27/19 35/19
183/21 186/9 186/16	answering [1] 44/10	88/18 113/25 114/14	176/2 177/13 178/4	37/1 39/7 44/14 44/17
alternative [7] 31/14				
31/17 31/22 39/6 59/6	answers [2] 27/20	132/11 162/14	178/5 178/6 178/12	81/10 97/9 124/10
123/4 131/9	32/4	approached [2]	180/23 185/1 185/20	175/16 188/21 194/21
although [5] 6/7	anti [2] 145/11	42/15 123/15	185/23 186/5 186/6	aspect [4] 69/7 69/9
	145/12	approaches [1]	188/5 189/5 194/11	72/6 82/20
64/14 150/5 164/20	anti-bacterial [1]	161/9	area [1] 63/16	aspects [4] 54/8
184/1	1/5/11	approaching [2]	areas [6] 3/20 68/2	64/17 80/9 195/13
altogether [1] 114/12				
always [2] 142/2	anti-virai [1] 145/12	102/5 183/3	72/20 100/10 160/17	assembled [3] 21/14
181/11	Anwar [1] 151/24	appropriate [5] 44/20		134/1 192/13
	any [47] 6/18 11/17	82/22 83/1 110/23	aren't [2] 20/22	assess [3] 19/12
am [9] 1/2 35/20 65/2	49/24 50/10 62/13	127/6	117/23	125/9 169/13
65/4 108/13 148/3	70/1 70/4 70/5 71/4	appropriately [1]	argument [2] 136/15	assessed [1] 120/8
153/20 188/10 198/2	71/8 75/11 76/19	103/7	137/14	assessing [2] 24/5
amalgamated [1]				
3/20	79/22 80/2 86/3 86/5	approval [2] 128/20	arise [2] 27/20 52/3	120/12
Amazon [5] 50/20	88/9 94/17 94/19	129/5	arising [1] 195/17	assessment [4] 56/2
50/22 133/19 133/21	94/22 94/23 110/18	approve [1] 190/24	arithmetic [2] 29/15	75/11 120/17 120/18
	115/17 117/17 119/7	approved [1] 80/22	147/10	assessments [2]
153/19	120/8 120/17 121/7	approximate [1]	arm's [1] 190/9	55/4 56/10
Amazon's [1] 154/3	123/23 125/9 128/18	151/11		
amber [1] 78/2			arm's-length [1]	assignment [1]
among [2] 99/8	128/25 135/2 139/11	approximately [5]	190/9	157/19
110/19	140/19 144/10 155/11	30/14 30/18 147/21	arms [13] 14/5 14/12	assist [4] 2/11 3/10
	155/14 158/22 164/21	148/4 150/18	46/3 82/12 87/7 87/11	149/10 171/15
amongst [8] 8/1 9/3	168/16 183/6 186/5	approximation [1]	113/2 115/15 131/14	assume [4] 78/14
55/22 65/22 68/1	188/7 193/5 194/3	146/23	131/15 169/6 169/8	140/6 150/21 193/11
70/22 134/16 162/15				
amount [5] 74/8 97/4	195/9	April [28] 3/6 3/13	169/12	assuming [2] 47/20
107/4 107/12 150/15	anybody [2] 64/19	9/8 14/5 36/24 66/8	around [26] 4/20	62/7
amounts [2] 17/6	168/17	73/8 74/17 74/23	67/1 68/11 68/23	assumption [2] 47/7
	anyone [3] 29/25	74/23 74/24 75/17	77/14 79/15 79/18	47/10
92/13	56/10 195/10	77/21 92/16 92/16	82/15 93/6 126/23	assurance [23] 11/14
analyse [1] 87/7	anything [16] 12/8	92/18 92/19 97/21	148/25 149/5 158/11	11/17 43/25 48/12
analysis [14] 31/1	22/4 40/14 70/25	98/12 110/11 144/14	162/3 166/5 168/11	48/15 55/11 57/4 57/6
47/23 71/5 93/20				
106/21 137/11 137/11	81/16 116/6 124/8	162/4 162/14 163/16	168/13 168/17 168/22	
140/24 141/10 141/11	128/7 131/10 132/16	168/13 175/15 179/14	173/2 179/14 180/6	79/23 80/23 83/8
	1 1 3 / / / / 1 3 / / / 6 1 66/ /	181/1	180/22 183/13 183/15	89/14 90/10 108/6
141/17 141/22 145/20	155/12 155/12 155/16	aprons [1] 166/14	187/4	108/7 108/8 140/22
150/14	Anyway [1] 53/16	are [113] 7/7 8/12	arrange [1] 7/2	144/11 144/12 144/13
Andrew [9] 74/12		11/13 11/15 12/19		assure [1] 110/16
75/25 76/13 77/21	anywhere [2] 102/14		arrangement [1]	
127/2 156/6 156/7	167/9	14/7 17/1 17/13 18/4	154/14	at [275]
156/16 199/18	apart [2] 159/12	19/11 19/17 21/6 21/8		at page 6 [1] 7/17
	196/1	23/1 24/14 26/19 29/4	44/2 44/13	at-risk [1] 62/23
Andy [2] 4/10 24/15	apologies [1] 162/1	33/5 39/3 41/25 43/20	arrival [11 33/18	Atamis [1] 93/12
anecdotal [1] 133/25	apparent [1] 129/23		arrive [2] 47/8 171/4	attached [1] 124/18
anecdotally [1] 31/3		51/2 52/4 52/5 53/14		
another [25] 12/12	apparently [1] 80/25		arrived [5] 25/21	attempt [1] 115/13
17/23 39/2 51/9 52/25	appeals [1] 14/7	55/14 58/5 58/6 63/3	28/21 47/4 99/24	attempted [2] 37/4
69/3 69/7 69/9 70/13	appear [2] 14/24	64/5 64/6 64/13 69/10		184/11
76/24 82/20 85/14	151/24	69/17 69/19 69/19	arriving [3] 29/23	attention [7] 73/22
	Apple [1] 8/22	69/20 75/1 75/6 75/10		74/6 74/8 103/24
93/12 110/10 114/24	application [1]	76/7 78/13 78/18 86/8		119/25 128/14 180/11
126/3 134/25 135/4				attest [1] 138/17
146/23 162/23 174/2	152/22	87/1 92/14 93/7 94/15		
146/23 162/23 174/2	152/22 applied [6] 42/4 56/9	94/22 95/9 96/6 96/9	ask [22] 14/17 15/12	attributed [1] 72/9
146/23 162/23 174/2 174/6 174/12 174/14	152/22 applied [6] 42/4 56/9 56/10 70/5 125/12	94/22 95/9 96/6 96/9 98/14 105/16 109/18	ask [22] 14/17 15/12 17/25 23/15 32/3	attributed [1] 72/9 author [1] 115/21
146/23 162/23 174/2 174/6 174/12 174/14 177/2	152/22 applied [6] 42/4 56/9	94/22 95/9 96/6 96/9	ask [22] 14/17 15/12 17/25 23/15 32/3	attributed [1] 72/9
146/23 162/23 174/2 174/6 174/12 174/14 177/2 answer [28] 10/9	152/22 applied [6] 42/4 56/9 56/10 70/5 125/12 127/19	94/22 95/9 96/6 96/9 98/14 105/16 109/18	ask [22] 14/17 15/12 17/25 23/15 32/3	attributed [1] 72/9 author [1] 115/21 authority [1] 196/13
146/23 162/23 174/2 174/6 174/12 174/14 177/2 answer [28] 10/9 16/1 31/8 32/11 33/5	152/22 applied [6] 42/4 56/9 56/10 70/5 125/12 127/19 applies [2] 57/10	94/22 95/9 96/6 96/9 98/14 105/16 109/18 111/10 117/24 117/25 120/7 128/21 130/1	ask [22] 14/17 15/12 17/25 23/15 32/3 36/23 38/16 58/12 61/1 72/24 81/9 83/17	attributed [1] 72/9 author [1] 115/21 authority [1] 196/13 automated [2] 44/15
146/23 162/23 174/2 174/6 174/12 174/14 177/2 answer [28] 10/9	152/22 applied [6] 42/4 56/9 56/10 70/5 125/12 127/19 applies [2] 57/10 90/4	94/22 95/9 96/6 96/9 98/14 105/16 109/18 111/10 117/24 117/25 120/7 128/21 130/1 130/7 130/8 131/12	ask [22] 14/17 15/12 17/25 23/15 32/3 36/23 38/16 58/12 61/1 72/24 81/9 83/17 111/1 111/13 116/1	attributed [1] 72/9 author [1] 115/21 authority [1] 196/13 automated [2] 44/15 44/25
146/23 162/23 174/2 174/6 174/12 174/14 177/2 answer [28] 10/9 16/1 31/8 32/11 33/5	152/22 applied [6] 42/4 56/9 56/10 70/5 125/12 127/19 applies [2] 57/10	94/22 95/9 96/6 96/9 98/14 105/16 109/18 111/10 117/24 117/25 120/7 128/21 130/1	ask [22] 14/17 15/12 17/25 23/15 32/3 36/23 38/16 58/12 61/1 72/24 81/9 83/17	attributed [1] 72/9 author [1] 115/21 authority [1] 196/13 automated [2] 44/15
146/23 162/23 174/2 174/6 174/12 174/14 177/2 answer [28] 10/9 16/1 31/8 32/11 33/5	152/22 applied [6] 42/4 56/9 56/10 70/5 125/12 127/19 applies [2] 57/10 90/4	94/22 95/9 96/6 96/9 98/14 105/16 109/18 111/10 117/24 117/25 120/7 128/21 130/1 130/7 130/8 131/12	ask [22] 14/17 15/12 17/25 23/15 32/3 36/23 38/16 58/12 61/1 72/24 81/9 83/17 111/1 111/13 116/1	attributed [1] 72/9 author [1] 115/21 authority [1] 196/13 automated [2] 44/15 44/25

(53) also... - automatically

Α	backing [1] 122/8	103/17 104/12 106/2	151/4 152/19 154/10	beginnings [1] 86/20
automatically [3]	backlog [3] 116/23	107/23 108/19 109/9		behalf [8] 58/12 84/1
114/23 114/23 174/18	143/10 176/11	110/6 111/4 112/3	163/6 164/15 165/15	151/25 153/21 186/4
available [17] 15/19	backlogs [1] 25/14	113/8 113/19 114/8 114/22 117/3 117/25	172/11 176/18 180/11 181/8 181/16 183/8	191/20 195/2 195/11 behind [2] 73/21
17/15 49/6 49/7 49/11	backs [1] 162/3 bacterial [1] 145/11	118/13 119/4 119/20	184/14 184/25 185/14	130/1
51/16 70/1 72/10 89/9	bad [4] 143/7 146/19	119/23 121/19 121/20		behold [1] 131/23
93/20 105/3 105/3	157/20 189/13	127/15 127/16 127/17	190/4 192/12	Beijing [2] 158/19
121/16 122/23 167/25 170/25 195/15	BAE [2] 65/22 74/2	127/18 127/19 127/21	become [7] 9/3 25/7	173/22
avalanche [1] 169/13	BAE Systems [1]	131/25 132/4 132/7	62/16 68/18 167/2	being [75] 3/4 12/14
avoid [1] 33/14	65/22	133/5 135/14 136/7	167/5 172/17	16/12 18/24 35/16
avoiding [2] 94/2	balance [1] 61/19	136/9 136/10 136/13	becomes [1] 62/20	39/14 42/25 43/25
111/19	balances [1] 191/11	138/1 138/4 138/7 142/4 142/10 142/14	bedrooms [2] 27/4 66/24	44/16 48/23 57/3 57/23 59/3 62/21
awake [1] 116/15	balancing [1] 192/3 ball [4] 16/17 16/19			64/14 67/8 67/19 68/6
award [3] 126/22	44/24 45/5	145/15 146/4 146/21	4/4 6/4 6/9 6/17 6/17	68/16 69/17 70/13
130/11 184/6	bank [1] 77/3	148/17 149/1 152/14	7/8 8/16 8/16 9/22	71/23 71/24 74/5 74/6
awarded [14] 6/8 6/9 6/18 23/25 54/19 83/4	banned [1] 168/16	153/5 153/7 154/11	9/25 14/5 19/9 20/1	74/12 77/17 78/13
121/20 126/21 147/4	bans [3] 168/4	154/24 155/20 156/18	22/1 22/6 25/22 26/1	78/16 79/6 79/14 80/3
147/4 147/8 147/11	168/12 168/25	157/13 158/13 159/24		80/25 81/11 88/24
147/13 147/17	barrister [1] 1/22	160/8 163/5 163/14	30/20 30/21 30/23	89/19 91/2 91/21
awards [2] 130/18	base [1] 197/2	163/20 168/4 170/19	30/24 31/13 34/17	91/23 92/15 93/7
183/2	based [16] 2/1 17/17 20/12 47/11 47/20	174/19 178/11 178/18 179/19 183/7 183/11	36/4 36/22 39/1 39/6 39/6 39/20 40/11	94/15 100/9 100/16 113/20 117/12 128/3
aware [10] 7/20 9/12	48/24 53/4 55/16 85/1	184/4 184/17 185/3	40/14 42/1 42/10	129/16 133/7 135/15
62/13 62/15 76/6 84/2	85/4 87/2 100/2	185/13 185/18 186/6	42/11 45/4 49/11	144/3 144/3 144/6
103/14 120/7 120/21	148/19 162/2 195/24	186/20 189/16 189/18		145/9 147/8 147/11
121/22	195/25	190/7 190/11 190/18	63/20 63/21 70/20	148/20 154/23 168/5
awareness [1] 190/11	bases [1] 185/22	194/15 194/24 196/16		168/6 168/22 168/23
away [6] 102/2 118/4	basic [2] 19/16 19/18	196/20 197/1 197/12	76/17 79/4 80/22	168/24 171/17 173/13
154/6 163/22 183/8	basically [5] 11/19	be rejection [1]	80/24 81/10 81/12	175/11 177/3 179/17
191/7	46/6 50/15 163/23 168/16	11/22	81/15 81/17 84/8 84/11 84/13 84/13	185/12 187/3 187/21 189/6 189/7 189/12
awful [1] 197/15	basis [11] 19/2 20/5	bear [2] 130/21 131/13	86/1 86/6 88/10 90/11	189/20
awfully [1] 197/5	22/5 32/4 35/6 50/11	bearing [1] 176/23	95/4 96/8 99/22	belief [6] 1/15 7/25
Ayanda [23] 6/12	92/22 124/3 134/13	became [3] 2/23 3/18		
73/1 73/6 73/7 73/21 74/13 76/16 77/23	180/22 195/5	92/4	110/17 110/25 111/3	156/20
78/1 78/3 78/6 78/10	be [181] 1/5 2/15	because [111] 3/22		believe [32] 1/14 4/4
78/16 79/16 81/10	3/15 6/6 7/22 8/5	9/9 12/8 13/3 13/11	120/9 126/15 127/1	14/3 18/24 35/11
81/13 82/19 83/5 84/9	10/14 11/15 11/16			48/16 48/25 49/2 70/3
91/6 91/7 91/9 183/12	11/22 12/11 12/22 12/25 13/5 13/20	25/8 26/1 29/3 29/19 29/19 29/20 29/21	129/15 131/13 131/19 132/12 134/17 139/7	100/2 104/3 107/24 116/19 118/8 119/11
В	14/17 14/24 17/23	30/6 30/10 32/18	153/11 153/23 155/5	120/18 123/6 126/4
	19/18 20/9 20/12 23/5	32/25 33/21 34/15	155/13 159/17 159/18	127/5 128/8 129/3
back [48] 5/21 8/24 12/7 13/2 26/18 31/20	23/8 25/25 26/5 27/24	34/24 35/2 35/14	161/14 167/24 172/18	131/21 132/8 132/10
32/13 34/25 35/18	28/24 31/9 31/16	36/24 39/3 40/5 41/12		133/19 135/25 141/3
40/7 41/23 44/5 45/3	33/12 33/13 33/22	41/20 43/4 44/20 46/4		142/10 146/22 155/1
45/7 52/24 55/21	34/8 36/1 37/8 37/20	46/13 48/24 52/2	before [26] 6/1 20/25	158/20 158/24
59/23 64/16 83/16	38/17 39/9 39/10	52/19 53/7 55/9 55/14		believed [2] 7/21
99/3 101/14 107/5	39/12 41/3 43/15 43/18 47/6 49/19	55/24 56/17 58/15 59/5 60/1 62/23 64/4	75/12 82/19 83/14 85/9 89/15 100/13	119/14
108/8 108/19 108/25	49/20 50/3 50/19	77/1 77/24 79/1 80/3	121/20 122/17 126/23	believing [1] 47/20
112/17 115/4 121/22	50/23 52/2 52/6 52/18			147/15 177/25
122/9 122/23 124/9 138/18 138/20 140/12	EDIC EDIO ENIC ECIC	90/9 99/16 99/18	143/11 148/9 157/3	bench [1] 3/10
140/13 141/15 148/17	60/6 60/10 61/8 62/12	102/4 107/20 110/23	158/20 173/14 180/8	beneath [1] 177/20
150/25 162/21 164/24	62/15 62/19 63/25	115/21 116/14 116/22		beneficial [1] 196/18
172/4 172/6 175/6	64/14 65/12 65/16		011	benefit [3] 37/1 37/7
175/8 175/10 175/23	69/4 70/19 76/17 77/8	124/5 125/24 126/19	151/2	80/5 Baragy ad [4] 58/12
182/23 195/18	77/22 78/7 80/8 83/15 83/18 86/10 87/23	127/19 129/5 129/13 131/21 131/24 133/2	begin [1] 9/2 beginning [11] 66/18	Bereaved [4] 58/13 151/25 153/21 186/4
backbench [1] 125/1	87/24 87/25 88/1	135/4 135/9 136/21	91/22 93/18 93/21	best [14] 1/15 9/19
background [7] 1/19	88/20 89/8 91/3 91/8	137/6 138/17 140/10	116/22 118/3 130/6	15/1 15/18 25/19
26/22 77/16 96/13 102/24 111/11 156/22	91/16 93/8 95/5 97/9		154/14 170/16 170/18	32/25 60/3 65/18 67/5
102/27 111/11 130/22	99/13 102/7 102/24	147/13 150/21 150/24	171/13	96/9 115/8 156/19
				(54) automatically best

(54) automatically... - best

В	188/1 188/2	burden [1] 111/20	199/4	194/2 194/11 196/2
best [2] 163/5	boat [1] 184/14	buried [1] 13/4	Cairnduff's [3] 31/8	196/6 196/16 196/23
185/4	body [2] 159/1 190/9	burning [1] 154/15	98/12 98/13	can't [20] 16/13
Bethell [5] 16/16	Bolton [3] 28/16	bus [3] 62/22 63/1	call [22] 14/5 14/12	33/15 46/11 55/6 71/4
16/21 37/19 118/20	28/18 143/13 both [14] 3/22 22/5	63/3 buses [1] 62/24	15/17 16/3 31/16 36/4 46/3 68/17 82/12 87/6	
158/21	48/13 76/6 93/16 96/8		87/11 113/1 115/15	128/4 130/16 138/17
better [28] 5/24 8/5	107/5 132/6 135/15	38/15 73/11 80/4	131/13 131/15 159/6	140/8 176/11 183/8
8/6 14/17 15/21 17/13	136/18 143/20 154/1	124/13 127/6 131/24	163/11 165/4 169/6	183/9 183/11 190/3
17/14 23/2 23/13	161/12 179/9	137/19 152/2 152/9	169/8 169/12 172/1	candid [1] 13/14
23/16 26/10 26/11 36/5 38/19 46/12 47/7	bottleneck [1] 48/12	153/9 175/19 175/20	called [17] 3/12 22/8	capability [3] 120/15
47/20 48/7 60/5 64/1	bottlenecks [4] 67/9	187/15 188/16 188/18		170/13 173/1
93/8 93/25 94/6	68/5 68/7 144/13	189/14	57/25 84/10 93/12	capacity [1] 75/20
115/17 150/20 154/24	bottom [5] 75/5	business-as-usual	96/1 105/6 123/16	Capital [3] 73/7
178/11 185/18	121/9 142/21 148/1 149/11	[5] 80/4 187/15 188/16 188/18 189/14	136/23 157/19 163/2 168/9 175/14 184/24	73/21 77/23
between [30] 43/24	bought [7] 86/14	businesses [3]	calling [1] 35/12	capturing [1] 93/24 care [10] 73/4 104/9
57/17 57/19 61/19	90/1 137/2 146/22	131/22 131/23 153/22	calls [3] 66/21	118/19 150/22 150/23
65/21 72/22 75/7 89/4	152/20 193/4 194/19	busy [1] 4/22	105/11 105/19	165/18 179/21 179/22
89/20 98/4 105/25	bound [1] 31/9	but [250]	calm [1] 40/12	194/14 195/4
106/2 106/21 109/10 113/6 117/11 141/18	box [6] 169/17	but's [1] 152/11	came [35] 8/2 8/10	cared [1] 46/24
141/23 143/19 143/22	169/19 170/4 172/7	buy [50] 3/7 3/12	12/7 12/12 13/19	career [4] 2/2 65/20
145/17 160/8 166/20	176/1 188/6	18/20 19/21 27/22	17/16 26/5 30/7 32/6	86/14 164/25
174/21 177/13 177/14	breached [1] 36/14	28/2 29/2 29/12 29/16		careers [1] 157/12
180/19 181/7 189/10	break [9] 6/1 14/4 58/25 65/3 95/10	44/2 44/11 64/4 73/9 89/21 90/8 94/17	92/25 102/24 109/24 112/6 113/11 113/19	careful [1] 78/6
197/12	95/11 95/12 95/22	97/23 98/9 100/3	116/25 118/10 126/2	Carry [2] 14/16 69/8 case [35] 6/16 12/11
beyond [3] 40/2 63/4	156/3	102/18 124/6 129/18	126/2 126/3 128/1	12/12 12/23 12/25
138/15	brief [1] 162/8	141/19 151/5 151/7	129/5 130/5 149/21	14/23 16/11 16/12
bidding [1] 77/13	briefed [1] 192/11	152/16 152/18 152/19		16/15 34/2 42/9 52/5
big [11] 8/22 21/9 21/9 58/3 145/16	briefing [5] 158/6	152/25 153/6 153/14	159/8 162/23 165/24	72/12 72/14 72/18
154/16 161/5 165/18	158/7 159/5 160/7	158/17 159/20 160/4	166/1 173/12	76/25 84/9 85/25 93/2
167/10 168/14 180/11	162/7	167/2 177/6 177/8	can [107] 1/13 1/18	93/11 104/12 107/9
bigger [1] 13/13	briefly [3] 115/20	177/13 177/14 178/17	3/15 5/14 7/3 12/22 13/23 13/24 14/17	107/10 107/14 113/10
biggest [1] 101/5	118/13 119/1 bring [11] 46/9 73/2	178/18 180/1 180/16 181/9 189/20 192/11	15/10 17/25 19/12	119/12 121/4 125/7 130/14 133/6 137/12
billion [1] 137/8	75/4 86/23 91/25	192/16 193/9 194/12	20/5 24/10 24/21	137/13 146/15 149/5
Bingham [1] 152/9	93/14 131/12 153/3	196/24	27/20 34/1 38/16 40/2	
bit [27] 1/19 3/7	169/10 174/5 181/25	Buy Cell [4] 3/7	40/3 40/8 40/10 48/6	cases [32] 13/5 13/6
18/24 26/9 36/6 46/21 53/20 59/25 73/20	bringing [1] 171/20	160/4 180/16 189/20	52/24 55/7 55/23	13/8 40/8 44/5 48/8
85/23 89/17 98/13	brings [3] 24/13	buying [19] 26/23	57/11 65/19 67/15	72/2 93/3 100/5
101/15 105/2 116/5	24/20 154/1	62/18 63/20 104/8	70/7 73/20 75/1 75/5	100/24 101/3 101/13
116/18 133/21 154/11	British [2] 169/2	116/24 152/6 152/11	76/15 87/4 87/21 89/2	103/1 103/9 103/10
157/13 158/3 160/25	173/24	153/4 159/24 160/8	92/3 94/12 96/9 96/11 96/12 104/25 105/5	103/13 104/21 111/14
163/18 171/24 175/3	broad [2] 107/25 169/4	166/25 179/3 181/1 181/3 181/4 186/13	105/7 105/8 106/24	119/6 125/17 125/23 133/23 140/25 142/23
182/3 186/16 194/5	broadly [2] 152/11	192/13 194/18 196/1	110/10 112/3 112/16	142/24 143/12 143/13
bits [1] 20/3	159/10		114/1 116/7 117/5	145/4 145/5 145/7
black [1] 11/14	brought [4] 3/6 105/8	C	118/13 119/4 119/9	146/20 146/21
Blackburn [18] 43/10 43/11 48/13 65/6 65/7	119/4 171/17	Cabinet [17] 2/21	126/12 130/16 132/14	
65/10 65/14 65/15	buffer [1] 60/22	26/20 42/3 44/11 66/1	133/17 133/23 137/24	
65/20 66/14 77/19	build [10] 3/10 63/23	66/5 96/14 96/24	139/21 140/6 142/6	72/9 72/12 100/22
83/13 86/18 94/19	114/17 164/19 165/8	97/23 102/2 102/8 110/12 150/24 157/6	142/18 142/20 143/9	101/16 108/11 135/12
94/25 106/25 108/4	168/7 170/13 176/22 186/13 187/13	170/12 150/24 157/6	143/15 146/6 147/15 147/25 149/10 149/16	138/8 138/14 138/20 139/17
199/10	building [7] 143/10	Cairnduff [30] 1/5 1/7		
blind [1] 116/13	162/4 162/20 171/19	1/10 1/11 12/10 17/25		49/15 54/2 54/11 72/1
blockage [1] 128/3	176/11 180/8 180/24	19/22 21/13 25/20	174/8 175/17 175/20	72/3 76/25 98/21
bluntly [1] 152/9 board [9] 76/13	built [1] 181/1	33/18 45/14 46/25	175/21 175/22 176/12	100/13 107/14 107/15
77/25 83/9 104/25	bulk [1] 2/2	51/5 55/1 55/22 58/5	176/14 177/23 178/2	117/3 160/16 170/7
105/7 190/23 190/25	bullet [3] 43/22 44/8	58/9 58/12 61/5 64/13 98/7 100/24 133/12		categorically [1] 71/4
191/3 191/10	44/9 bulletins [1] 102/10	134/3 138/25 145/8	180/8 180/9 181/2 182/13 183/17 184/8	categories [3] 67/19 92/2 151/3
Boardman [3] 5/23	Bunzi [1] 17/22	177/19 177/24 178/15		category [14] 67/19
				(55) best category

(55) best... - category

C	chain [10] 55/8 75/5	China Buy [6] 28/2	closed [2] 67/22	32/23 66/2 79/15
	90/6 125/25 150/15	89/21 151/5 151/7	117/14	96/17 96/18 96/19
category [13] 70/12	160/9 177/19 177/22	177/14 181/9	closely [1] 13/23	97/24 101/22 111/6
85/1 85/2 85/4 85/5	178/23 179/4	Chinese [1] 127/16	closer [1] 114/14	153/11 156/23 157/4
85/5 94/3 94/3 103/8	chair [2] 6/23 50/5	chivvied [1] 57/3	closest [1] 164/25	157/5 157/15 157/16
136/19 136/21 162/2	Chairman [1] 123/20	chivvy [1] 56/25	closing [5] 55/11	162/18 164/20 169/12
162/13	challenge [8] 99/7	choice [1] 173/18	72/21 83/8 89/15	171/20 182/9 189/8
category 2 [1] 94/3	104/5 104/5 114/15	choices [2] 62/17	109/4	192/15 193/17 196/22
category-based [2]	114/16 127/14 183/7	80/7	Cloud [1] 100/2	commitment [1]
85/1 85/4	185/6	Chris [8] 26/3 95/18	Cloud-based [1]	64/19
caught [1] 11/11	challenged [1] 18/24	95/19 96/1 161/14	100/2	commodified [1]
cause [2] 103/5	challenges [4] 99/8	171/23 183/19 199/13		114/20
103/13	132/7 192/3 196/3	Christopher [1] 96/1	122/20 122/21	commodity [6] 86/8
caused [4] 92/20		chronology [2] 73/21		86/11 86/12 152/20
103/15 104/20 110/5	186/14	158/4	122/18	165/3 165/4
causes [1] 85/10	challenging I [1]	chunk [2] 41/9 41/10	Co-party [1] 122/21	common [2] 97/13
cautious [1] 136/11	47/19	circling [1] 76/7	coalface [4] 159/23	106/5
caveat [1] 141/11	chance [1] 40/22	circulated [1] 180/22	160/1 160/15 160/18	commonality [1]
CCS [1] 131/21	chances [1] 48/7	circulation [1]	coalfaces [1] 160/1	91/17
CE [1] 127/15	change [9] 34/10	100/19	coherent [1] 50/15	commonplace [1]
ceased [1] 2/15 cell [29] 3/7 3/12	34/12 35/14 37/5	circumstances [8]	cohort [3] 53/18	125/12
26/15 27/22 29/2	87/12 87/19 113/24	59/22 60/4 62/2 80/7	139/3 152/24	comms [1] 32/23
29/12 29/16 44/2	117/17 194/20	114/11 130/6 160/5	colleague [4] 74/2	communicate [6]
44/11 66/6 66/16 67/9	changed [4] 81/4	182/19	74/16 105/23 162/23	39/23 99/4 107/6
87/3 97/23 98/9 100/3	81/8 82/3 152/14	citation [1] 149/7	colleagues [19] 2/11	107/15 107/21 185/21
102/18 129/18 141/19	changes [1] 88/9	cite [1] 133/23	6/25 7/5 95/3 95/5	communicating [2]
143/10 152/25 157/9	changing [2] 86/7	cited [2] 29/9 36/6	102/10 103/18 106/17	44/1 129/4
158/14 160/4 160/20	122/25	cites [1] 33/7	117/2 139/7 142/6	communication [5]
160/23 178/4 180/16	channel [1] 30/10	citing [1] 194/23	144/2 149/10 152/23	71/14 71/18 107/13
189/20	channels [1] 185/11	City [1] 1/20	154/2 160/13 162/11	128/1 128/9
cell's [1] 43/18	chaos [1] 67/1	civil [11] 2/18 32/19	164/14 197/18	communications [9]
cells [6] 29/5 49/1	chaotic [1] 7/24	39/19 39/24 60/18	colleagues' [1] 53/24	31/17 33/21 44/12
88/4 141/13 178/4	characteristics [1]	65/23 96/20 98/17	columns [1] 92/10	74/23 75/7 76/5
178/10	193/6	130/11 130/12 173/15		100/17 106/22 141/15
cent [1] 153/10	charge [3] 28/15	claim [1] 36/10	18/19 24/1 35/4 35/25	
central [8] 16/4 32/21	60/19 124/6	Clare [3] 17/19 21/2	43/17 45/15 46/6 48/9	123/15
33/25 34/1 180/16	chart [2] 57/14 159/9	149/3 Clara Gibba [2] 21/2	54/14 62/17 63/5 64/7	companies [12] 42/3 65/22 97/17 110/13
187/21 188/21 189/17	chase [2] 139/5 139/10	Clare Gibbs [2] 21/2	82/16 84/9 99/3	110/16 126/17 141/25
centralised [1]		149/3 Clarify [2] 137/24	113/1 117/24 130/21	170/8 170/9 170/24
188/20	chased [1] 57/3 chasers [2] 4/15	clarify [2] 137/24 146/4	131/25 136/6 136/23	176/19 176/20
CEO [2] 158/24 159/6	56/25	clarifying [1] 89/15	140/23 143/13 161/3	Companies House
certain [8] 46/11	chasing [10] 14/25	clause [1] 138/13	162/21 164/25 167/8	[2] 170/8 170/9
100/18 102/7 103/11	39/5 39/16 39/17	clean [1] 88/3		company [16] 42/25
111/6 151/3 169/1	44/14 46/14 46/15	clear [11] 28/12	188/16	76/16 77/23 84/10
169/2	53/14 139/1 139/7	43/24 44/7 44/16	comes [11] 16/22	119/14 120/13 121/12
certainly [16] 31/3	check [5] 19/16	137/17 148/17 178/7	19/12 34/14 40/18	121/24 122/9 123/8
43/3 92/24 95/4 103/8	108/7 175/3 178/12	178/12 182/25 186/8	43/3 44/22 50/20	128/22 134/24 135/1
110/5 115/6 122/25	180/23	186/9	54/10 122/14 152/15	141/18 144/7 151/25
144/18 149/8 149/21	checking [1] 74/9	Clearance [2] 83/9	155/21	comparable [1]
153/7 179/8 187/1	checks [2] 191/6	191/10	coming [15] 7/21	107/14
190/18 197/17	191/11	clearer [1] 89/11	7/23 8/17 9/15 9/24	comparative [1]
certificates [1] 68/12 certification [2]	chemicals [1] 122/12	clearing [1] 10/18	21/23 22/1 22/20	106/21
127/9 165/7	chicken [1] 145/11	clearly [4] 36/21	64/16 87/8 92/23	compare [4] 18/4
certified [1] 127/14	Chief [3] 96/18 96/19	38/19 55/13 117/11	113/1 129/17 155/22	18/25 47/17 105/16
certify [1] 166/10	101/22	client [1] 160/10	179/19	compared [3] 47/14
cetera [14] 66/21	chiefly [1] 22/19	clinical [1] 26/23	comment [7] 21/15	129/17 149/25
77/5 85/7 85/24 88/4	China [17] 28/2	clinicians [1] 63/24	41/19 53/3 134/8	comparing [3] 47/13
88/22 93/22 161/10	48/17 89/21 127/16	clogging [1] 159/13	139/1 139/11 180/20	57/20 147/16
161/20 183/12 184/25	137/3 151/5 151/7	close [8] 43/15	comments [4] 6/23	comparing VIP Lane
185/1 187/11 196/1	177/8 177/14 178/6	107/20 109/3 123/16	33/6 35/19 177/12	[1] 57/20
Chadlington [1]	178/11 178/18 179/7		commercial [32] 2/5	comparison [4]
119/13	180/5 180/6 180/13	183/4	2/20 2/23 14/10 23/2	18/15 19/23 22/12
	181/9	close-to [1] 183/4	23/3 32/20 32/22	45/18

(56) category... - comparison

C	confident [1] 124/23	195/25	21/4 21/11 23/25	could [129] 1/5 5/2
competed [1] 130/8	confirm [9] 1/13	consultant [2] 97/19	51/11 54/18 54/19	5/8 5/11 6/20 9/23
competency [1] 130/8 competency [1] 63/4	65/17 65/19 96/9	130/12	68/3 68/6 68/24 82/11	11/19 22/14 25/11
competing [11]	96/11 138/14 156/14	consultants [1]	91/8 107/25 118/22	25/13 26/12 27/24
69/19 69/20 177/15	156/19 194/2	98/20	124/22 126/21 126/23	
180/12 180/15 180/15	confirmed [3] 68/10	consuming [2] 33/23		41/23 42/16 44/12
180/16 180/19 181/9	76/11 138/8	118/1	136/18 140/3 140/4	48/21 49/4 52/2 52/6
181/15 181/16	conflict [1] 60/16	contact [12] 15/2 48/21 55/13 55/16	146/1 147/4 147/14 147/17 151/7 151/14	54/6 55/7 58/25 60/3 62/12 62/15 66/17
competition [3]	conflicts [3] 58/22 59/12 60/6	56/7 56/13 56/17	176/16 184/6 193/9	66/25 67/6 67/9 67/12
130/20 178/19 181/7	conform [1] 137/7	57/11 74/20 129/6	contractual [3] 51/18	68/16 68/18 73/2 73/5
competitive [1]	confronted [2] 168/1	138/21 142/22	78/7 172/4	73/8 73/16 74/13 75/4
130/19	168/19	contact so [1] 56/7	contrast [2] 12/10	77/8 77/18 78/6 78/19
complaint [1] 108/20 complaints [2]	confusion [2] 145/25	contacted [8] 54/11	24/13	79/7 79/24 81/9 81/14
108/15 108/16	146/3	74/17 110/14 110/17	contributed [3] 35/13	
complementing [2]	connected [1] 128/5	110/17 125/4 132/2	70/14 191/9	84/8 86/23 87/9 87/10
177/15 178/17	connection [10]	132/3	contribution [1]	88/1 89/17 91/25 92/5
complete [6] 42/5	119/8 119/9 120/4 123/2 124/20 126/18	contacts [10] 38/1 38/5 38/12 38/13	102/13	92/9 93/14 95/24 99/13 100/3 102/12
42/11 42/11 109/1	126/20 126/24 129/8	38/15 53/13 173/23	contributors [1] 86/24	104/4 104/5 105/10
189/19 197/3	129/9	175/19 175/20 176/15		107/7 109/7 112/11
completely [6] 88/1	connections [2]	contains [1] 148/15	controls [1] 168/23	114/17 114/22 115/25
158/14 159/14 183/11	38/21 76/1	content [3] 65/17	conversation [3]	117/3 119/21 121/20
186/13 191/7 completion [1] 93/9	conscious [3] 27/16	158/7 177/20	55/17 176/3 176/6	123/9 124/8 127/16
complex [7] 2/24 3/5	51/4 173/17	contention [2] 144/1	conversations [1]	127/18 128/7 128/18
66/2 96/21 102/16	consequence [3]	144/1	158/11	130/15 130/18 142/10
103/20 157/1	102/13 119/14 133/8	context [12] 61/7	conversion [9] 57/17	153/22 158/6 158/12
compliance [1]	consequent [1]	67/16 76/24 87/14	57/19 145/23 146/1	158/25 159/21 163/21
196/14	121/18	89/23 92/12 103/22 116/7 144/19 174/8	146/2 147/18 147/20 148/19 149/25	165/23 170/2 171/15 172/1 173/1 173/4
compliant [1] 194/22	consequential [1] 192/25	176/4 177/18	convinced [1] 133/14	
complied [1] 81/1	consequently [4]	contingency [1]	cook [1] 112/15	174/6 174/12 174/15
comply [3] 83/22 182/17 182/20	121/15 128/4 143/9	188/13	cope [3] 158/12	176/22 176/23 177/1
comprehensive [1]	154/18	contingent [1] 3/10	164/16 170/13	177/11 177/17 178/18
64/6	Conservative [12]	continue [1] 73/16	copied [1] 116/13	178/19 181/14 181/18
concern [6] 35/15	38/11 38/22 119/7	continuity [1] 34/6	coping [1] 104/12	181/25 184/11 184/17
57/16 110/8 117/17	119/19 120/4 123/12 123/19 124/12 124/20	contract [58] 19/7	core [4] 15/22 16/4 58/7 94/22	184/19 184/19 185/7 186/20 188/9 189/4
118/6 178/16	125/2 126/19 129/9	40/23 48/7 57/22 71/6		189/5 189/23 190/11
concerned [5] 33/25	consider [10] 54/18	72/22 73/1 73/1 73/6	21/3 58/2 149/1 149/2	
36/1 37/8 103/12	62/7 89/2 132/15		corporation [1] 42/15	
117/13	140/3 140/19 167/18	78/5 78/10 78/15	corporations [1]	72/16 99/16 106/13
concerning [1] 61/22 concerns [5] 14/23	190/25 194/10 196/18	78/21 79/19 79/24	102/21	111/1 164/16 167/9
15/2 69/19 69/19	consideration [5]	80/16 80/23 80/25	Corps [2] 15/4 24/1	167/9 168/10 168/17
121/18	58/21 112/5 112/9		correct [44] 2/17 3/9	185/13
conclude [1] 139/21	130/21 194/15	84/12 90/20 90/21	3/22 11/7 11/9 16/22	COUNSEL [8] 1/8
concluded [1] 122/17	considerations [5] 61/24 63/13 131/12	90/23 107/21 109/3 112/3 121/20 122/5	28/20 28/24 42/9 51/2 52/19 65/24 65/25	65/8 95/20 156/8 199/5 199/11 199/14
concludes [1] 151/17	193/7 195/16	122/6 122/7 122/10	66/4 66/7 66/10 66/13	
concluding [2]	considered [8] 43/25	122/11 122/17 137/19		count [1] 28/4
107/20 107/25	68/6 71/25 86/10	139/20 141/19 141/24		
conclusions [1] 52/2 concur [1] 150/18	102/8 111/3 113/8	143/18 143/20 145/5	94/16 98/6 98/10	122/25
conditions [2] 62/8	192/23	147/5 147/9 147/11	98/14 98/19 99/2	counterparty [8]
194/25	considering [3]	150/23 154/11 173/6	99/23 108/25 130/24	18/21 120/6 121/18
conducted [3] 49/24	69/16 106/1 162/13	178/7 184/22	139/24 146/10 147/2 147/7 147/10 148/20	122/3 122/6 122/7 122/22 127/5
79/2 120/7	consisted [1] 100/7 consistency [2]	contracted [3] 16/11 81/5 178/8	151/16 156/24 156/25	
conferencing [1]	88/21 88/23	contracting [2]	170/17 171/17	180/13
99/4	consistent [4] 31/16	172/15 178/25	correction [1] 149/15	
conferred [2] 35/16 144/10	93/3 93/9 100/8	contractor [2] 122/18		157/20 157/24 179/7
confidence [6] 10/19	constantly [2] 39/18	122/20	10/25 11/25 162/3	couple [16] 3/8 8/11
76/21 77/9 103/6	160/23	contractors [1] 58/4	cost [7] 30/5 30/9	18/17 20/12 25/10
104/4 120/6	constituted [1] 29/15 construction [1]	contracts [36] 6/9 6/16 7/21 9/25 17/20	31/4 31/7 31/11 31/13 31/19	32/17 51/24 59/16 102/9 113/14 113/15
				(57) competed couple

(57) competed - couple

С	95/5	126/15 126/18 127/4	definitely [2] 185/9	133/10 160/2 160/19
	criticism [4] 25/21	day [18] 28/15 28/15	188/4	169/7 169/9 169/21
couple [5] 143/9	68/23 152/8 152/12	38/23 39/1 115/5	definition [1] 143/12	187/18 188/13 189/20
151/20 161/15 164/14	criticisms [1] 95/3	129/5 144/23 148/18	degree [5] 30/5 32/5	195/12
170/4 course [35] 7/1 14/6	cronyism [1] 35/10	156/10 160/7 160/13	103/15 125/16 145/25	describes [2] 101/2
19/11 21/15 23/12	crossed [2] 120/25	162/7 162/10 174/25	Deighton [6] 123/22	125/1
37/21 38/24 43/19	125/24	180/3 180/3 195/21	123/23 124/4 124/7	describing [1]
49/15 52/2 69/21	crucial [3] 52/16	197/13	124/14 160/11	171/10
71/21 79/1 80/4 82/5	166/20 167/11	Dayle [11] 61/3 61/3	delay [2] 24/7 24/8	description [4] 13/15
83/10 93/16 93/18	crucially [1] 167/11	61/4 63/6 64/12	delayed [1] 57/3	103/2 142/21 159/25
94/8 97/15 103/14	crude [3] 31/1 137/11		delegated [1] 196/12	design [4] 87/5 88/9
103/24 110/22 111/15	137/12	197/5 199/8 199/21	deliver [5] 61/9 77/15	114/17 186/18
111/15 119/24 136/13	CS2 [1] 101/16 CTT [4] 3/2 3/4	days [15] 3/8 3/9 25/10 26/16 27/5 40/9	124/17 165/12 193/4	designed [5] 61/15 93/2 116/3 116/16
137/11 157/14 160/20	162/16 162/23	40/10 101/24 127/25	105/13	116/19
165/25 167/17 177/8	cup [1] 158/9	133/17 144/20 144/24		designing [4] 84/15
181/10 184/10	current [2] 90/8	157/3 163/17 169/25	delivering [1] 77/15	161/6 163/13 163/24
Court [3] 6/4 83/11	138/7	deal [26] 15/25 18/10	deluge [3] 14/13 41/1	Designs [3] 126/10
130/2	customer [4] 196/21	30/23 46/14 54/14	82/9	126/15 126/21
covenant [1] 50/25 covered [2] 90/15	196/23 196/25 197/2	58/21 59/11 59/22	deluged [1] 14/19	desk [2] 120/25
90/16	customers [2] 167/6	62/23 69/4 72/3 77/2	demand [15] 70/10	125/24
Covid [11] 3/11 58/13	196/9	79/15 119/2 121/23	78/9 78/18 79/6	desktop [1] 170/10
86/3 101/20 102/2	cut [2] 18/2 188/10	121/24 126/24 127/3	179/15 179/19 180/3	desperate [1] 9/11
102/14 151/25 153/21	cutting [1] 101/23	127/7 128/1 128/6		
155/21 186/4 191/22	D	153/14 163/22 169/15		104/18 136/17 137/16
Covid-19 [3] 86/3	daily [1] 92/21	181/3 186/19 dealing [12] 29/25	194/13 195/23 demanding [1]	165/19 167/17 183/20 183/21
102/14 191/22	damage [4] 103/5	69/22 76/22 77/6	104/25	detailed [4] 14/2
Covid-related [1]	103/13 103/15 103/17	79/25 83/16 102/20	demands [5] 24/23	121/23 169/24 186/21
3/11	damaged [1] 23/21	132/5 132/6 178/5	29/20 101/10 180/11	details [2] 55/15 75/8
create [4] 25/3 32/25	Dame [2] 152/9	180/23 181/17	192/1	determinant [1]
173/18 189/11 created [5] 26/5 32/9	153/15	dealings [2] 86/6	demonstrate [2] 92/3	
89/8 154/9 169/8	Dame Kate Bingham	126/22	133/17	determinations [1]
creates [3] 46/3 46/4	[1] 152/9	deals [5] 52/21 81/21		130/15
53/9	dampen [2] 40/6	123/1 123/1 180/7	26/20 32/21 73/4	determine [1] 79/22
creation [2] 7/9	40/12 Derrop [7] 42/8 65/6	dealt [3] 31/18 52/22	75/24 76/3 76/18	determined [1] 80/13
58/19	Darren [7] 43/8 65/6 65/7 65/14 106/25	118/10	78/17 79/5 82/21	develop [1] 171/5
credibility [10] 8/1	107/3 199/10	decide [3] 70/5 70/18 136/12	131/19 143/3 150/21	developed [1] 174/17 developing [1]
19/3 20/20 50/11	data [36] 20/11 21/14		150/22 154/18 154/21	170/21
51/10 74/9 77/2	48/1 71/7 87/22 88/10	166/3	171/16	devices [6] 127/20
120/18 125/9 169/23	88/13 88/15 88/23	deciding [1] 85/9	departmental [1]	127/21 127/22 128/20
credible [25] 7/23 8/19 16/14 17/16	89/12 89/19 91/15	decision [6] 76/20	99/17	128/21 129/2
18/21 21/1 29/7 30/16	91/15 91/17 91/23	154/19 169/12 173/5	departments [2]	devolved [6] 180/18
47/8 50/19 50/23 69/2	92/14 92/21 93/0 93/0		171/18 171/21	188/17 188/19 189/6
69/15 70/9 77/8 77/17	93/9 93/15 93/20	decisions [3] 80/3	depend [1] 84/22	189/7 189/13
119/15 120/6 132/16	93/22 94/11 97/9 99/14 100/8 100/18	80/7 80/12	depends [1] 8/3	devoted [1] 71/24
133/15 133/19 135/10	113/7 115/6 134/1	dedicated [5] 44/15 57/9 72/8 72/11	deployed [4] 66/5 66/19 66/23 157/3	DfE [2] 26/20 171/5
138/6 150/6 176/19	143/18 150/23 170/23		deputy [8] 4/17 4/18	DG [1] 4/18 DHSC [22] 44/11
crises [1] 59/20	189/10 195/19	dedicating [1] 44/10	4/19 66/1 96/21 98/1	73/19 80/17 89/5
crisis [12] 9/11 10/1	database [1] 174/18	dedication [2] 64/20	101/22 157/4	90/22 91/9 122/14
10/8 61/8 103/19	dataset [1] 141/21	95/6	Derbyshire [1] 102/4	122/22 126/3 126/17
	date [4] 64/23 105/6	deemed [1] 84/13	derogation [1]	148/10 157/19 162/9
188/6 188/7 192/1 192/21	141/21 143/4	deep [4] 86/12 171/9	128/13	163/25 173/3 188/23
criteria [2] 70/4	Dated [1] 142/12	172/3 187/9	describe [17] 20/7	190/4 190/8 190/23
144/7	dates [2] 75/1 98/14	deeper [1] 172/2	84/17 86/19 127/11	191/6 193/3 195/11
critical [8] 16/10	Daventry [2] 136/11	deeply [1] 124/5	133/25 157/11 158/5	DHSC's [1] 158/13
60/10 79/6 80/10	137/4 David [11] 11/4 11/6	Defence [2] 26/21	159/23 162/13 164/2	diagram [3] 20/15
154/12 170/14 172/11	David [11] 11/4 11/6 11/9 11/19 12/7 13/2	171/15	164/4 165/3 165/19	52/25 57/24
186/6	126/15 126/18 127/3	defending [1] 114/8 deference [1] 45/25	167/21 181/7 181/25 182/21	did [115] 2/22 5/21 6/25 8/14 9/2 12/16
criticise [1] 64/17	127/4 156/16	define [1] 171/24	described [14] 21/19	12/16 13/24 20/6
criticised [2] 68/2	David Meller [3]	defined [1] 129/22	27/9 59/2 61/16	20/14 20/25 22/7
	L			

(58) couple... - did

D	different [66] 15/3	139/20	58/18 64/3 66/21 67/5	165/24 168/9 169/22
	15/19 21/20 53/14	director [8] 4/17 4/18	70/25 71/4 71/17	170/24 171/2 191/8
did [103] 23/22	53/15 53/19 55/5	4/19 66/1 77/24 96/21	72/19 79/21 81/12	191/8 195/10
27/2 28/21 33/21 34/5	55/18 55/20 56/3 69/6	101/16 157/4	82/12 82/22 82/23	domestic [1] 2/9
38/5 38/14 42/11	81/8 82/14 82/14	directs [1] 86/9	83/21 84/2 85/4 85/17	don't [98] 5/3 5/10
46/10 48/17 51/11	84/24 86/9 88/1 88/4	disablers [1] 167/22	87/12 88/10 90/12	5/18 11/16 11/23
51/21 53/5 55/3 62/7	90/1 90/2 90/17 90/18		90/25 93/1 95/9	12/24 16/17 16/18
62/8 64/22 68/20 72/8	91/15 95/22 99/20	disadvantages [1]	101/19 105/15 106/10	16/19 16/25 17/24
74/4 74/6 74/20 76/19	99/21 100/9 100/10	154/1	108/7 110/14 110/23	19/20 20/19 21/7
78/5 78/6 80/2 80/8	104/11 105/17 111/10		111/1 111/14 111/24	22/24 23/1 24/2 24/4
80/11 80/15 81/3 81/4	111/18 111/22 114/13		111/25 114/19 116/5	26/12 29/7 30/16
81/17 82/10 93/1 95/2	117/23 121/4 121/24	disagreeing [2]	120/16 121/13 124/8	30/19 32/10 32/24
96/14 98/2 100/20 102/25 106/15 106/16	124/4 132/12 140/25	53/16 53/18	128/7 128/15 128/18	34/3 35/11 35/25 38/5
107/1 107/4 107/15	141/10 141/17 142/25	disappointed [1]	130/16 130/17 131/8	38/13 39/22 39/25
117/13 117/17 117/18	149/23 153/25 154/10		132/10 134/11 135/4	42/8 42/9 42/22 42/23
117/20 118/4 120/13	160/16 161/9 161/10	disclosure [1]	135/20 138/11 140/3	43/3 43/12 44/7 44/19
121/5 121/10 123/23	166/14 166/15 167/3	153/19	141/8 141/17 142/16	44/20 45/7 45/12 46/9
124/16 124/17 125/20	168/10 169/5 177/3	discomfort [1] 32/5	149/7 150/25 153/1	48/18 49/2 50/20
127/5 131/3 133/9	179/2 180/24 183/2	discuss [1] 131/10	154/7 154/22 155/8	51/20 52/7 53/3 55/12
133/20 134/16 134/24	183/3 183/9 183/10	discussed [2] 127/3	155/9 157/23 159/13	55/19 56/8 56/21
135/2 135/3 135/5	184/16 187/10 190/21	136/22	161/12 161/23 163/6	57/12 60/4 62/25
135/5 135/6 135/9	195/24 197/16	discussing [1]	163/21 167/1 167/4	63/21 64/18 70/3
135/11 135/13 136/20	differential [1] 64/7	162/22	170/10 171/8 172/24	72/16 86/11 87/25
139/5 139/10 139/15	differentiate [1]	discussion [5]	175/10 176/11 176/11	88/21 91/6 94/19
139/20 140/24 141/10	117/11	116/12 117/19 131/13		94/22 95/2 110/13
141/22 142/8 150/24	differently [7] 54/19	162/9 195/10	183/11 183/16 183/22	113/19 119/23 122/13
153/3 154/4 154/19	71/9 81/15 81/17 82/8		184/2 184/8 184/11	123/6 130/4 131/9
154/21 157/3 159/4	82/8 140/4	108/11 180/6	184/11 184/19 184/22	132/5 132/8 136/4
164/21 169/18 170/16	difficult [16] 42/14	disease [1] 104/7	185/4 185/7 185/16	137/14 137/22 140/22
173/1 180/21 180/21	47/23 78/12 84/20	dispense [1] 114/12	189/5 190/7 193/1	142/6 144/5 146/22
181/12 184/22 185/4	84/20 87/23 91/5 99/5		195/21 196/6 197/17	149/8 149/9 149/20
185/15 187/13 188/15	101/3 101/13 114/10	109/7	dock [1] 161/19	151/6 153/10 154/5
188/23 192/14 192/16	141/12 152/19 168/20		document [12] 32/1	155/14 169/10 179/3
194/24 197/19	184/7 189/2	disproportionate [2]	41/11 82/2 82/16	182/16 187/3 189/6
didn't [72] 2/10 2/15	difficulties [2] 87/17	20/7 47/7	86/19 86/25 96/7	190/4 194/5 195/9
4/2 4/3 4/8 5/21 9/2	124/15	disrespect [1] 165/5	99/14 148/7 148/10 148/20 148/21	donation [1] 8/22
11/4 12/15 17/3 17/17	dig [2] 136/6 137/9	disrupting [1] 178/8 disruptive [1] 178/13		Donations [2] 3/18 3/21
18/13 19/22 21/4	diligence [38] 49/25 55/3 56/2 56/9 71/12	distinction [1]	82/2 108/7 136/9	done [25] 1/14 9/10
23/24 26/3 26/5 26/21	71/10 78/1 70/1 83/7	107/12	137/7 141/16 166/5	9/21 14/10 23/2 26/12
26/22 33/19 36/3 36/5	120/8 120/10 120/15	distraction [6] 27/9	170/23	39/2 41/4 60/3 74/11
48/15 49/19 51/24	121/8 121/10 134/6	27/13 31/4 33/23	documents [6] 75/9	81/15 81/17 82/8
53/8 54/25 55/1 59/18	134/17 135/3 135/17	104/13 111/20	99/11 99/12 99/13	82/13 84/24 105/7
63/18 71/4 71/7 74/17	161/21 169/17 169/19		99/15 106/19	106/2 107/2 133/7
74/21 78/22 83/5	169/22 170/2 170/4	distribute [1] 62/20	does [22] 10/9 19/17	146/19 155/6 163/20
86/21 93/18 95/21		distribution [4] 38/19		180/7 190/15 192/20
109/1 115/17 116/25	170/24 171/2 171/14	39/11 39/17 62/17	63/3 64/7 85/11 90/11	I I
118/11 118/22 121/5	171/24 172/2 172/5	DIT [3] 83/6 168/9	106/20 107/18 113/13	
121/22 122/19 130/14 132/15 133/2 133/5	172/7 172/8 172/10	173/22	113/15 130/20 150/18	
137/6 137/6 140/19	172/13 188/5	dive [1] 172/3	165/5 169/17 169/18	double [1] 190/2
144/21 150/25 153/9	diminish [1] 152/22	diversify [1] 131/23	169/19 170/17 174/22	
154/15 158/15 161/21	diminishing [1] 47/1	diversity [2] 63/1	doesn't [15] 11/21	98/8 120/15 192/2
167/4 167/5 167/5	dint [1] 35/16	193/1	12/1 14/24 15/6 25/5	doughnut [1] 52/25
167/7 170/5 171/13	direct [8] 71/14 71/17		34/20 36/13 36/16	down [22] 3/16 4/3
184/15 185/14 185/15	89/4 106/14 109/24	do [131] 4/6 5/21	40/16 46/23 54/14	14/4 23/7 40/6 40/12
185/16 187/1 187/20	130/11 130/18 183/2	5/24 6/11 9/19 11/1	54/15 107/18 108/9	43/20 45/24 46/15
didn't I [1] 12/15	directed [1] 121/3	11/2 15/8 15/18 15/19		58/25 67/22 76/12
difference [8] 43/24	directing [1] 45/13	17/12 18/2 18/22	doing [28] 7/1 15/21	84/10 92/6 101/23
44/7 55/19 71/13	direction [2] 190/14	18/24 20/1 22/9 23/11		
143/15 145/17 147/20	190/19	26/1 32/25 33/16 34/2		119/17 130/5 177/1
179/6	Directionally [1] 64/9	34/19 39/14 40/2 40/3		182/3
differences [1]	directly [9] 8/21 8/22	40/5 40/11 40/12	81/23 102/9 102/11	dozens [2] 105/11
166/20	54/12 105/17 107/21	40/14 41/12 42/7 43/7		105/18
	111/5 128/5 128/13	48/25 53/6 53/9 54/18	141/11 153/7 163/13	Dr [25] 95/18 95/19

	193/19 194/3 197/19	elicit [1] 60/13	ended [3] 13/19 77/1	equivalent [4] 45/15
D	duties [3] 62/10	else [15] 22/4 30/1	96/20	105/4 107/4 151/11
Dr [23] 95/21 96/3	102/8 184/20	32/23 34/2 34/4 36/18		equivalents [1]
96/6 96/13 112/20	Duty [7] 61/25 62/10	84/5 110/6 128/5	engaged [2] 111/4	165/12
115/15 124/19 125/13	62/14 192/5 194/4	155/7 155/12 155/12	157/9	Ernst [1] 96/25
129/14 130/22 145/19	194/6 194/8	155/16 159/12 168/17	engineer [1] 153/2	error [2] 148/15
148/17 150/13 151/17	dying [1] 192/13	else's [1] 109/3	English [2] 19/17	148/17
151/20 151/21 151/22		elsewhere [7] 47/18	50/15	escalate [2] 8/25
151/24 153/18 155/3	E	75/12 76/9 86/22	enlarge [1] 140/1	106/6
158/8 199/13 199/16	e-mail [1] 116/10	106/11 106/12 106/14		escalating [1] 191/25
Dr Chris [1] 95/18	each [15] 15/3 26/22	email [29] 14/2 22/8	99/25 116/23 163/15	especially [1] 16/5
Dr Emily Lawson [1]	55/9 67/9 89/13 90/3	33/19 37/14 37/23	enormously [1] 3/16	
158/8	91/13 92/2 98/25	37/24 40/5 43/8 75/5		essence [3] 80/11 81/18 154/23
Dr Hall [17] 95/21	99/18 172/1 177/15		enough [12] 11/16	
96/3 96/6 96/13	177/16 180/23 181/15	75/22 76/15 105/21	26/14 65/12 65/16	essentially [4] 12/7
112/20 115/15 124/19			68/24 104/9 118/18	35/24 38/7 128/22
125/13 129/14 130/22	ear [2] 80/19 81/6		146/11 154/16 156/18	
145/19 148/17 150/13	earlier [19] 35/19 41/20 44/22 68/10	124/17 124/18 125/25		61/20 167/24
151/17 151/20 151/24		126/3 162/8 173/13	enquiries [1] 39/18	establishment [2]
153/18	98/8 99/10 100/12	177/13 177/19 177/20		61/13 173/10
Dr Mitchell [2]	106/13 106/25 107/22	177/22 177/24	128/24	estimate [1] 107/13
151/21 155/3	114/7 122/14 125/16	emailed [1] 77/21	ensure [6] 89/9	et [14] 66/21 77/5
drafted [1] 171/2		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	117/13 152/14 182/24	85/7 85/24 88/4 88/22
drafting [1] 52/17	138/25 152/3 161/14	105/19 105/25 106/1	195/15 196/9	93/22 161/10 161/20
draw [2] 119/25	earliest [1] 143/4	109/9 117/21 132/22	entered [2] 80/16	183/12 184/25 185/1
151/13	early [11] 9/10 57/7	161/3 161/16 164/9	91/22	187/11 196/1
drawbacks [1] 26/8	68/2 89/12 90/14	164/13 174/15	enterprise [2] 101/18	
drawing [3] 38/8	95/10 95/11 95/12	embassy [2] 158/19	179/11	85/7 85/24 88/4 88/22
82/23 83/21	125/5 162/14 175/4	173/22	entire [1] 75/20	93/22 161/10 161/20
	easier [2] 45/12	emergencies [1]	entirely [2] 23/10	183/12 184/25 185/1
drawn [1] 30/12	122/5	182/19	155/11	196/1
dream [2] 115/23	easy [3] 32/20 83/15	emergency [33] 16/5	entirety [1] 85/11	ethnic [3] 61/6
116/14	93/4	61/16 62/7 62/14 80/2	entities [1] 97/19	191/21 196/19
drinking [1] 123/7	economic [1] 120/12	83/16 83/24 84/16	entitled [1] 155/8	ethnicities [1] 197/2
drive [1] 192/20	Education [2] 26/20	84/16 85/12 86/20	entity [2] 98/16 122/9	ethnicity [2] 195/17
driven [1] 192/2	171/16	87/24 88/20 92/12	entry [3] 144/5 144/9	195/25
drivers [3] 62/22	effect [11] 15/15	103/19 113/17 113/18		even [21] 20/22
63/1 63/3	70/17 80/2 80/8 87/6		enumerate [2] 13/25	26/21 47/24 48/1 49/9
drop [4] 20/18 20/19	93/19 99/18 100/23	166/22 182/12 182/18		64/17 66/23 81/22
20/23 67/20	113/2 119/17 169/4	183/22 184/6 187/21	enumerating [1] 17/9	
dropped [3] 16/17	effectively [4] 35/10	188/15 189/17 190/12		115/10 115/12 129/11
16/18 45/5	79/24 104/15 188/17	192/6 193/20 194/25	157/18 158/1 186/14	130/21 175/25 180/8
dropping [1] 44/24	efficiency [3] 100/21	195/1	envisaged [1] 191/2	181/7 185/2 192/25
drowned [1] 40/4	100/23 113/4	Emily [3] 158/8	envoy [1] 38/7	193/25
drowning [1] 46/13	efficient [9] 16/5	160/10 162/12	equal [13] 12/12	event [8] 6/18 78/13
due [43] 21/15 37/21	113/2 116/3 116/16	Emily's [1] 159/5	12/14 12/19 33/2	87/11 110/18 113/17
49/15 49/24 55/3 56/2	132/9 132/13 133/9	emphasis [1] 85/21	36/14 56/16 83/23	121/7 149/24 150/9
56/9 71/12 74/10 78/1	187/8 187/17	emphasis [1] 03/21 emphatic [1] 187/3	130/21 182/11 182/21	
79/1 83/7 111/15	effort [5] 30/6 102/15		182/25 183/11 193/19	
120/8 120/10 120/14	159/24 167/15 169/4			
121/8 121/10 134/6	eight [4] 28/6 80/22	empt [1] 87/23	equality [14] 61/22	eventuality [1] 87/18
134/17 135/3 135/17	130/25 171/25	empty [1] 159/19	61/25 62/10 62/10	eventually [3] 23/12
161/21 169/17 169/19		enable [2] 106/21	62/13 63/1 192/5	29/1 194/12
169/22 170/2 170/3	eight-stage [1] 60/22	184/4	193/1 194/4 194/6	ever [3] 86/1 146/22
170/10 170/18 170/19	eight-step [1] 171/25	enabled [1] 134/25	194/8 194/9 194/10	183/6
170/24 171/2 171/14	either [15] 12/1 16/7	enacting [1] 183/22	194/16	every [6] 40/18
171/23 172/2 172/7	22/5 55/7 71/6 105/22		equally [3] 136/14	105/22 125/7 138/15
172/7 172/10 172/13	106/14 114/23 125/21	67/12	137/12 185/1	160/7 193/22
178/9 186/18 188/5	132/8 149/24 150/9	end [21] 6/22 8/25	equipment [6] 34/7	everybody [1] 98/23
Dunkirk [1] 46/8	161/11 163/4 188/19	9/8 15/20 29/8 40/23	63/24 155/23 164/22	everyone [3] 57/8
during [17] 7/6 10/7	either/or [1] 163/4	43/22 53/23 89/11	172/18 195/24	92/13 181/6
42/19 61/15 64/22	electronic [1] 105/5	89/11 90/13 90/14	equipped [2] 64/3	everything [6] 25/15
66/18 77/10 83/24	element [2] 79/20	90/16 150/25 150/25	186/19	34/3 90/15 133/7
84/19 86/2 160/20	184/24	156/10 164/1 167/7	equitable [1] 184/5	145/19 159/12
166/4 166/7 182/11	elements [4] 72/23	172/4 181/1 185/6	equivalence [1]	everywhere [2] 32/23
	113/8 117/3 160/9	end users [1] 167/7	151/8	88/3
L			1	

(60) Dr... - everywhere

E evidence [47] 4/9 4/10 5/14 7/13 10/13	execute [1] 112/16 executive [1] 133/18 executives [1]	explaining [1] 44/13 explanation [2] 73/17 102/23	38/22 41/9 41/10 47/9	
20/3 20/5 28/25 31/5 41/8 41/9 42/19 45/18 45/21 48/3 58/1 61/9	102/21 exercise [3] 106/20 111/5 193/22	explanations [1] 143/1 explicit [3] 119/8	155/11 159/21 159/24 182/11 182/21 184/5 192/22 193/19	81/23 157/23 176/18 FEMHO [4] 61/6
63/8 77/11 77/12 86/18 97/14 98/7 104/19 106/18 115/4	exercising [2] 16/23 153/8 exist [3] 26/3 103/22	119/10 131/15 explore [1] 177/12 exploring [1] 26/23	fairer [1] 39/10 fairness [4] 41/2 83/23 153/15 182/25	61/23 191/21 192/7 fervid [1] 24/7 few [12] 3/9 141/13
120/20 120/24 123/2 125/22 130/23 131/8 132/19 132/22 133/25	149/5 existed [3] 33/10 62/8 113/11 existence [1] 146/15	export [3] 168/12 168/23 168/25 exported [2] 127/16 127/18	faith [2] 115/1 137/3 fall [4] 34/4 53/20 136/19 136/20 fallen [3] 23/9 23/11	160/6 161/2 163/17 166/9 168/3 170/25 171/1 180/7 180/7 186/3
138/18 139/22 140/6 141/4 144/16 152/3 155/9 157/15 166/19	existing [8] 28/2 108/21 122/3 131/24 159/16 168/14 178/23	exposed [2] 63/3 172/14	41/5 familiar [2] 109/10 190/14	FFP3 [1] 166/6 field [1] 185/5 fields [2] 87/19
169/8 173/17 195/12 evolution [1] 173/13 evolve [1] 90/7	179/6 expand [2] 157/13 194/6		Families [2] 58/13 186/4 fantastic [1] 168/8	197/16 figure [7] 30/18 57/16 113/20 146/10
evolved [1] 90/1 evolves [1] 90/7 ex [3] 74/2 74/16	expect [4] 9/2 62/16 63/5 141/24 expectations [1]	138/13 extensive [1] 130/9 extent [5] 18/7 27/13	fantastically [1] 192/14 far [6] 23/10 40/8	147/15 148/19 164/8 figures [13] 50/5 106/7 135/17 135/18
94/4 ex-colleague [1] 74/16 ex-busband's [1]	15/14 expected [5] 10/14 50/3 50/9 53/7 101/10	102/7 111/6 114/19 external [2] 82/4	119/9 120/20 121/22 188/23 Farha [3] 136/24	135/23 136/3 136/6 137/9 147/7 150/20 150/20 150/22 179/15
ex-husband's [1] 94/4 exact [5] 11/23 21/7 29/8 30/16 43/15	expecting [2] 35/22 36/25 expedience [1] 61/19	extra [1] 184/24 extraordinary [2] 24/7 64/19	137/2 137/4 fashion [1] 89/13 fast [3] 20/24 174/15	file [3] 99/4 105/8 146/15 fill [2] 42/16 108/12
exacting [1] 165/11 exactly [17] 2/25 4/4 16/14 25/2 35/20	expedite [1] 61/15 expedited [1] 62/1 expediting [1] 69/1	extreme [1] 83/19 extremely [2] 6/24 104/25	193/25 faster [3] 48/6 170/1 170/1	filled [1] 20/23 films [1] 122/12 filter [1] 82/10
69/10 71/13 84/20 85/23 139/9 159/9 159/10 166/24 168/25	expenditure [1] 151/11 experience [28]	eye [2] 11/4 11/4 eyes [2] 159/1 168/10	fastest [1] 193/25 fatal [1] 24/8 fault [1] 27/19	final [6] 126/10 145/23 150/13 181/23 183/24 183/25
180/1 192/13 193/23 examine [1] 7/10 example [32] 4/12	13/15 32/13 38/11 53/4 66/15 77/14 82/24 83/22 86/1 86/17 87/3 97/4 97/11	F face [7] 14/23 16/13 71/20 71/21 73/8	FCDO [2] 168/8 173/22 feature [1] 85/11 features [4] 85/11	finally [5] 46/25 57/14 123/21 124/11 189/19 Einange [11 80/5
8/15 8/21 16/7 16/20 17/18 19/16 23/24 44/21 45/2 45/2 50/21	97/16 98/15 105/15 106/10 107/1 113/3 135/2 135/7 139/13	150/3 150/7 faces [1] 63/8 facets [1] 86/9	features [1] 84/18 February [2] 148/13 157/1 fed [1] 44/5	Finance [1] 89/5 finances [1] 121/16 financial [7] 50/25 120/13 135/3 169/23
52/3 61/14 62/22 63/10 80/25 91/6 99/10 108/18 110/10	153/4 164/20 164/21 190/10 192/15 192/21 experienced [4] 32/4	fact [24] 24/2 34/18 34/23 45/3 50/21 60/16 71/15 76/16	Federation [2] 61/5 191/20 feed [3] 39/11 107/5	172/10 172/13 172/23 financials [1] 172/3 financings [1] 2/10
121/17 122/8 125/11 126/10 130/19 136/20 141/16 146/22 165/20	51/17 79/8 187/5 experiences [1] 157/9	77/2 78/18 80/2 97/6 98/1 101/2 108/23 113/20 128/15 137/21	140/12 feedback [10] 8/15	find [18] 5/17 23/7 39/21 57/11 68/1 77/7 84/7 100/6 107/4
174/2 193/20 examples [1] 118/9 Excel [3] 91/20 91/25	experiencing [3] 109/13 124/15 160/24 expert [7] 115/5	158/12 159/11 167/8	56/25 69/16 101/10 140/10 140/13 176/2 feeling [2] 155/20	119/16 154/6 154/16 161/18 162/19 166/4 179/5 181/12 184/17
93/17 exception [2] 48/9 62/13	161/8 183/20 183/21 185/8 188/22 196/9 expertise [5] 86/12	76/19 83/3 83/6 85/10 factories [5] 67/21 94/5 166/11 167/7	195/5 feelings [1] 132/19 feels [2] 139/13	finding [4] 6/13 36/10 130/1 130/5 findings [2] 83/10
exceptionally [1] 27/3 exceptions [1] 50/14	161/22 167/25 171/16 196/14 experts [4] 63/19	178/6 factors [7] 78/13 78/20 79/4 79/9 82/4	139/14 Feldman [27] 8/12 37/17 38/4 38/6 38/17	155/11 finds [1] 45/19 fine [4] 52/21 65/11
excess [2] 67/23 94/5 exchange [3] 31/25	167/2 167/5 171/14 explain [11] 57/25 101/15 105/1 108/22	193/1 193/12 factory [2] 91/2 124/15	118/11 118/14 119/8 119/18 119/22 120/5 120/7 120/14 121/4	115/22 156/11 fire [1] 154/16 firehose [1] 154/16
43/8 99/7 excludes [1] 151/3 exclusion [1] 192/25 exclusive [1] 75/20 Excuse [2] 5/6 11/8	111/23 136/5 170/21 178/19 181/2 182/14 191/12 explained [4] 107/24	facts [1] 160/6 failed [5] 24/3 34/11 34/12 45/9 56/14 failure [4] 16/20	121/5 121/6 123/2 124/3 125/4 126/2 126/2 126/5 126/8 126/24 127/2 128/6	firms [1] 1/20 first [36] 1/4 6/6 7/17 15/25 19/16 19/19 41/13 43/22 56/7
	127/2 134/17 158/15	186/24 187/19 187/20	129/8	66/19 68/19 73/21

(61) evidence - first

-	04/44 04/47 442/40	funnal [4] 57/04	175/00 100/15 100/00	100/10 111/10 111/10
F	91/14 91/17 113/19	funnel [1] 57/24	175/23 183/15 192/20	
first [24] 87/4 90/10	120/17 121/23 122/8	funnels [2] 20/16	194/15	112/1 112/9 116/11
94/16 108/3 110/8	131/14	20/17	gets [2] 29/3 29/4	119/1 124/9 132/24
113/25 115/4 115/12	forma [1] 174/17	furloughed [1] 67/22	getting [16] 9/18	136/22 137/5 140/23
116/2 134/12 141/18	formal [4] 135/3	further [12] 12/17	23/25 47/12 47/14	152/1 161/7 161/19
141/23 142/1 142/14	153/12 195/5 195/9	94/20 108/11 108/24	47/15 57/3 59/2 63/7	161/24 162/13 162/17
142/22 142/22 143/20	formally [2] 39/23	121/24 137/23 144/15	63/13 67/16 68/3	163/4 170/7 176/14
148/18 161/2 168/15	120/14	152/11 174/4 178/20	68/24 90/2 107/20	181/5 186/3 188/11
	format [1] 94/12	181/2 191/6	109/3 179/10	gone [4] 45/7 79/7
170/6 171/22 180/7	formed [4] 72/22	future [11] 10/6 10/6	Gibbs [3] 17/19 21/2	131/24 188/2
195/18	112/4 116/24 152/5	33/11 33/13 33/15	149/3	good [43] 5/22 7/22
firstly [4] 14/5 23/17	former [4] 76/13	59/20 84/16 87/18	give [15] 11/21 44/24	8/10 8/14 9/4 9/5
39/13 54/9	76/14 152/23 158/23	137/1 185/7 196/6	56/24 60/21 79/23	10/19 11/13 11/16
fish [1] 184/16	formerly [1] 119/19		110/10 116/7 128/19	11/16 14/24 15/1
fishing [1] 184/13	forms [1] 88/9	G	141/11 149/7 154/5	17/22 18/3 19/21 20/8
fit [3] 63/8 63/10		gander [1] 52/11	166/16 183/10 186/10	22/20 23/18 33/24
68/13	formula [1] 141/14	gaps [1] 108/12		
five [3] 53/14 58/3	formulate [1] 110/20		197/10	35/24 43/16 45/3 45/4
190/16	forth [2] 122/23	garden [1] 102/1	given [30] 12/11	47/3 47/15 47/21
five years [1] 190/16	172/19	Gareth [5] 9/14 36/3	31/24 32/4 33/5 36/17	52/20 65/16 67/14
flat [1] 77/4	forward [8] 18/23	48/8 116/13 120/23	51/15 61/23 63/20	69/1 83/2 88/7 112/10
flip [1] 159/9	79/24 82/13 87/23	Gareth's [1] 164/15	70/4 71/19 78/7 79/4	112/15 116/22 137/2
flipped [1] 50/18	99/24 105/8 122/2	gatekeeper [1] 70/20	82/9 82/23 93/24	143/7 143/23 146/11
	158/3	gatekeepers [1]	97/14 104/18 112/25	187/9 187/16 187/17
flood [2] 4/13 4/15	forwards [1] 67/15	70/17	117/12 129/17 140/7	195/22
flow [5] 46/13 87/20	found [13] 6/6 6/7 6/7	gathering [1] 106/18	146/10 158/6 166/19	goods [7] 73/19 74/5
88/24 91/15 189/10	6/17 8/8 8/19 33/3	gave [13] 5/15 34/16	192/6 193/3 194/12	80/17 81/1 81/3 81/4
flowing [1] 34/3	114/16 131/5 131/23	38/19 76/21 77/9 98/7	195/12 195/20 197/20	
flying [1] 164/19	160/7 184/17 196/16	106/13 120/24 132/14	giving [4] 29/20	goose [1] 52/10
focus [6] 33/24 82/19	four [6] 15/3 27/24	140/13 152/3 166/12	71/22 95/24 193/23	got [53] 11/12 14/19
104/13 159/11 163/18	54/24 55/22 81/23	169/13	glide [2] 101/24	20/20 21/11 26/24
196/5	142/25	GC [1] 162/18	155/20	29/8 34/6 54/16 56/17
focused [5] 9/18		GCCO [2] 96/17		
32/12 86/7 108/13	frankly [3] 5/22 5/24	131/20	global [3] 42/14	57/2 58/8 63/16 67/21
158/1	152/19		121/11 122/1	67/24 72/20 75/18
focusing [2] 72/25		general [10] 4/17	glove [1] 94/18	75/25 82/9 84/6 84/12
162/25	free [2] 87/20 88/24	41/16 44/12 52/13	gloves [3] 85/7 94/17	85/16 88/6 90/17
FOI [1] 111/8	French [1] 76/7	68/17 72/10 72/14	94/17	90/19 90/22 91/14
FOI requests [1]	frenetic [2] 157/11	72/17 167/13 173/25	go [52] 7/3 8/21 8/24	92/8 93/6 102/22
111/8	164/17	generally [10] 6/7	10/5 23/11 31/25	102/22 111/22 131/25
fold [1] 146/2	Freshfields [1] 2/2	27/22 32/12 39/12	35/22 36/25 41/23	132/4 132/4 133/2
	friend [2] 123/15	41/3 95/4 118/17	42/16 52/24 55/9	133/3 137/8 144/11
follow [5] 39/9 44/18	123/16	138/6 146/14 153/16	55/10 55/11 57/14	144/14 149/3 149/8
59/8 113/13 113/15	fro [1] 122/17	generated [1] 69/17	70/6 70/8 70/14 76/8	159/8 163/6 163/18
follow-up [1] 39/9	front [12] 101/17	gentle [1] 128/24	77/6 78/4 78/6 88/7	168/8 170/7 174/4
followed [4] 5/3	117/22 127/6 133/5	gentleman [2]	92/2 95/9 101/14	175/17 175/19 175/20
42/22 74/22 134/3	133/8 136/3 150/25	136/23 162/9	108/25 109/16 109/17	180/8 183/11 197/17
following [8] 47/16	159/9 163/22 165/13	genuinely [7] 8/9	112/16 114/1 115/4	Gove [3] 37/19
105/10 143/16 155/5	165/16 185/6	17/16 22/24 29/7	117/5 126/12 130/1	110/12 110/16
182/8 192/8 193/16	front line [1] 133/5	35/11 47/15 132/24	138/18 138/20 140/2	Gove's [2] 110/19
196/8	frontline [1] 153/5	get [54] 4/15 8/23	142/18 143/15 148/9	126/16
follows [3] 6/23 30/4		11/19 13/7 14/3 15/3		
109/8	195/3 196/15	16/9 32/21 32/22 33/2	148/17 154/6 160/17	governance [2]
food [1] 165/1	full [7] 1/9 28/19			83/18 190/23
force [1] 128/18	65/12 95/24 156/16	43/13 54/25 56/13	173/5 174/19 175/5	government [32]
forecast [1] 180/3	187/4 197/13	56/15 56/15 56/18	184/17 188/5	2/20 8/23 14/8 32/20
forgetting [1] 83/19	full-time [1] 28/19	64/21 67/2 67/6 68/24	goal [1] 90/13	38/11 38/23 38/25
forgive [14] 69/8	fully [3] 66/22 121/15	71/6 75/19 80/10	goes [4] 6/3 17/19	39/1 39/1 39/6 39/24
75/22 85/4 86/3 91/10	196/10	81/18 81/21 82/11	75/12 92/6	76/7 77/14 77/17
109/1 125/14 148/7	function [6] 26/7	83/5 85/19 90/12	going [50] 8/13 8/20	96/17 98/18 104/4
163/8 174/8 175/14	66/2 157/5 158/13	90/21 93/4 107/6	21/14 23/15 32/2 36/1	106/8 117/1 125/4
	162/19 186/13	115/11 133/4 133/8	38/6 40/4 41/19 44/23	127/16 148/10 152/10
177/18 179/17 182/3	functioning [1] 26/2	137/9 143/23 143/24	48/22 50/24 54/8	153/17 157/5 162/18
forgot [1] 45/22	functions [1] 69/14	145/5 155/22 156/10	55/14 57/11 57/14	162/18 169/17 169/19
form [16] 20/5 39/7	fundamental [5]	158/1 161/15 163/19	57/15 64/14 67/1	171/18 172/14 173/15
52/1 55/20 57/5 84/6	36/19 104/14 186/24	164/14 165/14 167/2	82/11 82/13 83/7 84/4	
85/14 85/17 85/18	187/18 187/20	167/6 167/7 169/1	85/15 87/23 91/19	146/21
	 ~			

(62) first... - governments

	00/45 70/47 70/40		00/47 74/40 07/0	
G	69/15 72/17 72/19	haven't [4] 15/4 65/9	66/17 74/13 87/9	highly [4] 8/4 35/24
gown [2] 63/10 136/7	72/23 78/16 89/10	136/22 155/13	89/17 94/2 95/1	154/12 187/8
gowns [8] 63/9 85/7	108/8	having [20] 28/15	110/19 111/7 118/19	him [22] 37/16 38/13
136/24 137/2 137/3	hand-offs [5] 23/23	30/23 55/15 55/15	125/10 131/19 131/22	38/14 38/16 105/7
	24/7 72/17 72/19	81/12 87/19 88/7 88/8	131/22 132/3 135/15	110/4 117/22 119/21
145/15 166/15 169/3	72/23	88/18 95/22 99/19	155/19 155/22 157/19	
Graells [6] 41/7	handful [1] 21/8	113/9 140/16 159/7	158/22 164/15 170/1	124/16 124/17 126/22
43/23 45/17 59/17				
88/16 152/12	handle [6] 14/20	161/9 180/5 185/9	171/8 174/16 175/20	126/24 128/10 137/5
graphic [1] 138/24	22/21 79/19 93/3	188/6 189/24 195/9	176/12 176/21 187/1	158/22 175/1 175/8
graphical [1] 94/12	101/2 101/12	he [64] 8/14 8/14	187/20 191/2 197/20	176/6 176/8
	handled [6] 56/6	31/8 31/10 33/7 33/10	helped [1] 191/5	himself [3] 118/14
graphics [1] 134/4	103/6 111/18 112/18	33/10 38/7 38/8 38/12		125/1 128/6
grapple [1] 89/25	125/25 144/3	38/14 41/15 43/8	30/25 48/11 49/20	hindsight [5] 32/10
grateful [6] 1/11 6/24	handling [27] 3/24	43/11 45/18 45/19	142/4 142/19	37/1 37/8 81/11
49/22 96/6 155/4	22/19 22/23 25/15	45/24 74/15 74/18		110/25
171/4			helpfully [2] 82/17	
gratefully [1] 166/1	31/15 31/17 34/20	77/21 77/22 77/23	86/18	his [26] 38/4 38/8
grave [1] 102/11	35/4 35/23 71/14	77/25 101/2 101/2	helping [2] 3/9 7/1	38/13 39/23 41/8 41/9
great [3] 54/14 167/1	71/17 72/2 72/6 85/24	101/5 115/5 117/22	helps [2] 57/25 82/6	43/15 44/8 45/18
	85/25 97/10 102/20	118/17 118/21 118/22	her [4] 67/21 102/6	68/10 88/16 115/6
180/6	103/13 103/17 103/23	119/11 119/13 119/14		117/21 119/23 120/24
greater [5] 3/24 9/16	105/4 110/8 112/17	119/20 120/9 120/15	here [26] 5/18 6/5	123/19 124/15 125/22
20/11 53/12 57/15	120/2 120/3 120/5	120/18 120/21 120/24		126/3 126/19 127/6
grew [1] 133/14				
grievances [1] 106/7	132/24	123/11 124/5 124/8	75/16 77/16 80/1	132/19 152/13 162/23
ground [2] 163/19	handover [5] 82/2	124/14 124/15 124/16		168/15 175/7
168/5	82/6 82/16 86/19	125/6 125/20 125/21	102/17 106/20 106/25	
group [6] 24/21 53/6	108/6	126/5 127/2 158/21	109/16 120/23 132/23	39/23
	Hannah [4] 28/16	158/23 159/8 159/9	149/4 149/9 151/8	history [3] 77/13
57/9 62/23 152/17	28/18 34/4 143/13	175/5 175/19 176/7	167/4 169/15 180/2	77/16 86/14
186/5	happen [3] 34/5	176/13 176/17 176/18		hit [2] 101/20 102/2
groups [1] 152/15	114/9 158/23			hm [9] 61/17 100/15
growing [3] 47/6		176/21 176/21 177/19		
47/10 47/11	happened [12] 8/9	he'd [2] 110/3 175/2	110/13	109/25 110/2 113/5
guarantee [1] 122/9	34/22 35/8 48/22	he's [10] 5/18 6/10	high [89] 3/13 3/18	151/10 163/12 177/5
guess [7] 53/20 56/4	108/18 108/23 110/15		3/21 6/4 7/21 8/2 8/10	
56/22 71/13 71/15	131/14 131/15 159/6	76/6 110/4 120/23	16/14 18/5 20/6 22/1	HMG [2] 75/19 76/23
	183/13 186/10	133/3	30/21 32/2 34/13	hmm [1] 7/11
79/16 84/3	happening [6] 9/17	head [6] 66/8 68/15	43/13 47/12 51/13	hobbies [1] 102/1
guidance [11] 52/16	18/8 40/18 128/25	80/19 81/12 119/23	51/17 51/19 53/1	hold [3] 111/3 150/24
58/20 59/10 60/16	174/21 176/1	183/15	53/10 61/13 68/18	174/3
60/21 69/24 70/1 70/4				
137/22 137/24 165/21	happens [1] 80/17	headed [1] 115/23	68/19 68/20 68/22	hole [1] 11/14
guidelines [1] 63/20	happy [4] 101/25	health [10] 61/16		home [5] 26/17 26/18
guy [1] 175/4	110/4 122/13 176/4	73/4 104/9 118/19	69/25 70/8 70/14	98/21 166/17 171/21
<u></u>	hard [7] 7/5 7/8 13/2	131/20 143/3 150/21		honest [2] 20/9 63/25
H	32/11 33/14 108/22	150/23 154/19 154/21	71/23 72/8 72/11	honestly [1] 190/14
	197/9	healthcare [5] 61/6	72/25 73/10 83/10	hooks [2] 184/13
hackneyed [1] 100/4	hard-pressed [1]	159/12 191/21 196/19		184/16
had [209]	108/22	197/10	85/13 85/18 85/21	hoops [1] 90/12
hadn't [9] 8/16 20/24	harder [3] 29/3 32/22		103/10 103/14 106/22	
26/3 30/10 31/14				
42/10 43/17 111/18	114/19	heard [24] 3/7 23/9	106/23 109/15 112/6	65/9 95/21 119/4
112/14	hardest [1] 13/7	28/6 41/8 41/9 41/10	112/7 112/19 118/3	136/25 145/9 146/4
half [4] 16/1 106/18	hardly [1] 48/9	44/24 63/8 64/18	130/2 134/24 139/13	156/9 193/12
143/22 164/12	hardworking [1]	66/14 95/3 115/4	139/14 140/15 140/16	hopefully [2] 10/2
	192/14	132/19 136/5 136/15	142/3 142/24 143/12	82/6
Hall [24] 57/15 95/18	harsh [1] 26/9	139/21 157/8 157/14	143/21 143/21 144/16	
95/19 95/21 96/1 96/3	has [26] 3/14 7/8	161/4 161/25 165/3	144/18 145/4 145/7	hoping [1] 41/11
96/3 96/6 96/13	21/13 23/8 23/23	178/23 184/12 188/22		
112/20 115/15 124/19				
125/13 129/14 130/22	34/17 42/1 42/15 48/3	0		165/17
145/19 148/17 150/13	49/6 50/14 52/19	37/20 118/13 198/2	151/14 164/18 165/10	
151/17 151/20 151/24	68/17 73/3 75/7 86/1	heavy [1] 165/8	173/8 173/12 173/18	hot [2] 174/19 189/1
153/18 183/19 199/13	86/7 88/10 102/22	height [1] 29/16	174/22 175/12 181/10	hour [1] 40/9
	120/11 134/1 141/7	held [3] 7/25 100/8	181/12	hours [9] 56/14
Hancock [2] 109/20	143/23 150/23 157/8	144/14	high-quality [2] 8/10	56/15 66/16 67/4
110/1	172/18	helicopter [1] 195/13		81/20 101/23 103/25
hand [13] 21/7 23/23		help [35] 3/16 22/12		197/11 197/13
24/7 34/2 44/2 52/16	hasn't [1] 49/11		higher [2] 31/13	
	have [283]	55/23 56/18 64/23	51/18	house [8] 154/15
L	I		1	(63) gown - bouso

(63) gown - house

Н		18/2 18/24 41/12 43/7		I read [1] 166/8
house [7] 154/24	l accept [2] 183/12	48/25 132/10 142/16	I joined [1] 8/3	I realised [1] 4/25
158/6 159/8 162/11	183/14	183/16 184/8 195/21	l just [10] 7/12 12/22	I recall [1] 22/9
170/8 170/9 192/10	I actually [5] 15/20	I don't [54] 5/3 5/10	13/23 15/10 17/25	I received [2] 164/12
how [67] 2/3 5/21 7/5	39/22 39/24 55/6	5/18 11/23 16/25	32/3 101/14 177/12	175/6
7/6 23/11 39/14 39/21	102/3	17/24 19/20 21/7 23/1		I recently [1] 140/23
39/22 39/25 47/13	I agree [1] 191/12			I recognise [2]
51/10 52/1 52/8 58/21	l also [1] 136/5	30/19 32/10 32/24 35/25 38/5 38/13 42/8	47/14 123/19 124/14 126/18	129/21 131/1
59/11 59/22 61/24	l am [5] 35/20 108/13	42/9 42/22 42/23 43/3		I recommend [1] 9/9 I refer [2] 194/17
62/20 63/3 63/13	148/3 153/20 188/10	46/9 60/4 62/25 63/21		195/18
63/24 70/21 78/19	I and [1] 110/14	64/18 70/3 72/16	10/3/10/20 30/3 30/0	I referred [1] 134/24
81/13 82/3 87/9 87/10		86/11 87/25 88/21	161/4 176/13 184/23	l regret [1] 103/16
92/3 93/23 99/24	I appreciate [5] 7/5	94/19 94/22 110/13	I leave [2] 82/19	I remembered [1]
102/24 106/14 110/23		119/23 122/13 123/6	83/14	126/19
113/4 113/23 113/23	197/15	130/4 132/5 136/4	l look [1] 97/7	I remind [1] 150/2
117/6 120/1 135/20	l ask [4] 58/12 61/1	137/14 140/22 142/6	I looked [4] 20/13	I represent [1] 61/5
136/5 136/6 150/14	81/9 191/20	146/22 149/8 149/9	21/2 141/17 166/6	I said [10] 11/19
151/4 151/5 152/14	l assume [2] 78/14	149/20 155/14 187/3	I lost [1] 13/8	12/14 23/24 55/6
152/16 153/5 153/13	150/21	190/4 195/9	I made [2] 97/8	115/15 125/23 140/8
158/16 159/10 159/10 161/7 165/23 166/13	I beg [2] 29/13 151/2	I doubt [2] 3/15	128/24	140/10 140/18 153/15
174/22 175/17 176/11		120/15	I make [1] 155/11	I saw [6] 22/18
176/12 183/22 184/21	4/4 100/2 107/24	I draw [1] 119/25	I may [16] 7/14 13/14	101/25 102/9 102/11
185/7 185/20 189/4	116/19 118/8 119/11	I dream [2] 115/23	20/12 54/9 62/19	103/10 195/22
191/2 195/12 196/5	120/18 128/8 141/3	116/14	76/24 88/12 114/7	I say [7] 11/6 15/19
197/9	142/10 158/20 158/24	I enabled [1] 134/25	141/6 156/22 165/19	30/22 35/18 63/17
however [5] 43/24	I blind [1] 116/13	l even [1] 81/22	167/13 167/19 168/19	
44/8 55/13 61/18	I can [29] 24/10 55/7	l experienced [1]	169/5 181/23	I see [3] 22/14 30/22
62/16	65/19 67/15 70/7 87/4	187/5	I mean [23] 5/22 9/23 17/16 26/15 31/15	54/16
HPL [62] 3/13 8/3 9/6	89/2 92/3 96/11 105/7	I failed [1] 34/11 I felt [1] 9/9	45/11 60/10 66/19	I set [1] 14/2 I shall [3] 65/1 95/13
9/11 9/12 10/20 12/11		I forgot [1] 45/22	67/14 80/9 81/16 86/3	
20/18 20/21 21/10	116/7 133/17 137/24	I found [1] 8/8	94/3 100/22 117/19	I share [1] 132/19
43/12 52/3 52/4 52/13		I gave [1] 140/13	130/3 141/20 153/2	I should [2] 61/7
52/13 54/11 54/11	153/18 158/3 177/23	I genuinely [3] 22/24	153/25 160/6 165/2	141/11
54/18 54/20 56/6	178/2 181/2 182/13	29/7 35/11	167/1 188/22	I shouldn't [1] 31/15
61/13 62/3 62/9 70/2 70/6 71/3 76/20 78/21	183/17 193/11 194/11 196/2	I get [1] 194/15	I mentioned [1]	I showed [1] 134/3
	I can't [7] 33/15 71/4	I go [1] 115/4	145/10	I simply [1] 125/7
109/5 126/16 126/17	105/17 106/12 128/4	l got [1] 149/8	I met [1] 158/21	I spent [1] 2/1
129/16 135/20 135/20		I guess [4] 71/13	I might [3] 58/24	I spoke [1] 102/15
135/23 135/25 136/14		71/15 79/16 84/3	68/17 111/23	I stand [1] 116/19
136/14 136/18 136/19		I had [22] 2/11 10/14	I missed [1] 66/23	I start [4] 1/18 7/12
137/12 137/12 139/20		13/5 16/7 18/8 19/8	I moved [1] 1/25	96/12 156/22
140/3 140/13 142/23	62/15 102/12 142/10	47/13 58/5 115/1	I must [1] 146/19	I started [1] 1/24
145/18 145/18 146/9	158/25	117/19 126/22 126/25 128/9 130/10 130/10		I suggested [1] 52/9 I suppose [1] 145/25
146/12 146/12 146/17		141/21 146/18 150/21	l never [1] 13/3	I suspect [1] 53/6
147/16 149/25 150/2	72/16 164/16	160/6 162/8 162/8	I now [3] 24/5 32/10	I take [6] 35/18 67/9
150/3 150/5 175/11	I decided [1] 166/3	175/8	41/6	73/20 119/22 148/1
184/24	I definitely [1] 188/4	I hadn't [1] 20/24	l occasionally [1]	151/3
HSE [1] 166/8	I described [1] 21/19	I have [9] 36/24 50/3	160/12	I talk [2] 135/5 135/5
	I did [15] 2/22 20/14	51/7 75/18 107/3	I offered [2] 123/22	I talked [1] 85/15
178/22 179/4 180/4 184/14 192/24	22/7 51/21 106/16 124/16 124/17 133/9	145/21 154/1 161/8	124/7	I think [156] 4/10
hugely [1] 35/12	134/24 135/9 140/24	185/24	I only [1] 45/17	5/20 6/2 7/7 8/11 9/9
human [1] 114/24	141/10 141/22 157/3	I heard [4] 23/9 41/9	I originated [1]	9/14 10/21 10/23 11/6
hundred [3] 136/8	159/4	132/19 136/15	111/21	11/11 12/1 12/14 13/2
161/3 171/1	I didn't [14] 4/2 4/3	I help [1] 176/12	I please [1] 174/5	14/17 16/13 16/22
Hurley [1] 124/25	4/8 9/2 17/17 21/4	I hope [8] 7/9 29/9	I presented [1]	17/1 20/13 20/25 21/5
hurry [1] 114/18	36/3 36/5 49/19 51/24	95/21 119/4 136/25	148/18	21/6 21/10 22/18 23/5
husband's [1] 94/4	59/18 74/21 109/1	145/9 156/9 193/12 I immediately [1]	I presume [1] 194/22 I probably [1] 17/23	23/22 24/15 26/9 26/10 27/1 27/2 31/7
hyperbole [1] 116/18	133/5	158/8	I quote [2] 186/17	31/8 31/12 33/13
hypothesis [1]	I disagree [1] 46/1	l interrupt [1] 163/8	192/9	34/13 34/17 35/11
111/21	I do [12] 6/11 11/2	l investigate [1]	I reached [1] 39/15	36/2 36/21 36/22 38/7
L				

(64) house... - I think

1	169/15	I'II [14] 4/3 31/16	19/13 21/16 42/19	196/21
<u> </u>	I wanted [2] 109/8	34/25 108/25 110/10	68/8	IIR [4] 78/9 126/25
I think [114] 38/13	133/7	116/7 149/16 155/14		128/1 128/3
38/14 38/16 41/4 41/4			identifiers [1] 91/17	
41/7 43/15 45/19 46/3	I was [70] 1/22 2/1	168/2 174/3 175/5	identifies [1] 144/6	ill [1] 66/20
46/7 46/17 47/11 48/8	2/7 2/8 2/14 3/11 4/24		identify [1] 173/3	imagine [3] 105/17
48/20 48/23 51/2 55/8	7/20 8/3 8/8 11/11	l'm [111] 1/23 1/24	identifying [3] 8/13	143/10 180/4
55/8 55/21 57/24	13/2 13/3 13/4 13/7	2/14 3/17 5/7 5/17	67/14 90/20	immediate [6] 116/12
57/25 58/1 59/16	23/15 33/25 38/25	6/24 7/6 21/14 22/7	ie [5] 56/6 60/18 82/2	128/14 159/3 161/7
59/25 62/18 62/20	39/14 40/4 40/5 40/12	22/16 25/17 26/14	89/13 176/12	161/12 191/24
63/6 63/16 63/21	43/4 47/11 47/19	27/16 30/2 30/24 31/9		immediately [4]
63/25 64/1 64/5 64/9	47/20 47/21 53/10	32/2 34/25 35/21	if [173] 3/15 5/11	155/12 158/8 159/8
64/14 68/9 72/16	60/21 60/22 66/19	35/23 35/24 36/1 37/1		161/16
75/25 76/5 76/23	66/21 66/22 101/20	39/19 41/11 41/12	12/3 12/19 12/24	immense [2] 157/22
79/10 80/9 80/20	101/20 101/23 101/23	41/18 41/19 43/11	13/14 14/18 16/17	157/23
80/24 81/3 81/7 81/21	101/24 102/2 116/11	51/4 51/7 51/9 53/16	16/18 16/18 16/19	impact [3] 64/7
82/9 82/13 83/1 83/1	117/13 119/6 120/23	53/16 54/8 57/14	23/5 24/10 25/20	100/21 168/25
84/21 85/13 86/19	123/12 123/15 125/4	57/14 59/2 59/8 59/15	27/13 28/23 30/9	impacted [1] 62/21
	125/8 128/4 133/18	60/10 60/13 62/12	30/17 31/9 31/10	imperative [1] 192/4
88/7 88/12 92/6 92/16	136/21 158/18 160/11	62/12 62/15 64/3	31/13 31/14 31/16	implement [1]
100/17 103/20 103/21	160/13 160/15 162/24	64/14 64/16 75/15	34/1 34/3 34/3 36/3	163/25
116/10 119/2 120/10	164/24 165/1 166/13	81/16 82/11 91/19	38/4 39/10 41/4 41/16	implementation [1]
121/8 131/12 132/21	166/16 166/17 175/7	97/7 97/7 102/6 105/2		61/18
137/8 137/14 139/21	180/5 180/14 182/23	106/20 113/10 115/2	43/16 45/12 50/14	implications [1]
141/7 143/25 145/5	183/16 183/18 188/1	116/18 119/1 122/13	50/20 51/7 51/20	101/6
147/6 148/25 149/3	188/11 192/11 195/10	122/20 124/23 125/14		implicit [1] 27/6
152/10 153/7 153/8	I wasn't [7] 27/1	134/19 134/20 137/14		implies [1] 35/1
153/16 153/25 154/9	36/25 52/1 52/8 63/22	142/5 146/13 146/24	56/12 56/20 57/2	import [1] 127/22
154/18 157/22 158/25	102/3 164/11	148/7 149/9 151/23	57/24 59/19 62/1	important [14] 36/19
161/11 161/25 162/2	I went [1] 141/15	152/1 152/22 153/2	63/21 63/21 65/16	40/23 46/19 56/8 57/7
162/3 163/7 163/16	I were [3] 56/20	155/2 155/8 156/12	67/15 67/22 69/21	79/11 104/8 145/23
164/12 165/4 167/21	159/23 162/22	159/18 160/17 162/20		146/4 157/24 166/7
168/13 169/20 171/23	I will [7] 46/12 96/3	163/1 164/11 170/6	73/5 73/7 75/4 76/11	170/14 179/9 197/16
172/9 172/20 173/1	97/9 119/25 126/9	170/17 171/17 172/9	76/19 76/24 77/11	Importantly [1]
175/1 178/1 178/10	136/25 183/25	175/9 176/4 176/14	80/2 82/5 84/15 84/17	159/16
182/3 183/19 185/20	Luciah [0] 115/1	186/3 187/3 187/3	85/16 86/11 86/14	importer [1] 128/23
187/11 187/23 188/12	183/23	188/8 188/21 188/22	86/23 87/4 88/12 89/2	imposed [1] 168/23
189/4 189/6 189/10	I woke [1] 116/10	189/4 189/7 189/12	90/19 91/6 91/6 91/25	
190/13 191/5 197/16	I won't [2] 142/20	189/16 190/4 190/14	92/3 92/5 92/9 93/19	168/21 185/2
l thought [13] 8/5 8/6	186/11	190/14 195/18 196/2	93/24 95/2 96/1 96/2	impression [10] 4/12
24/24 34/15 35/7	I wonder [10] 65/16	196/25 197/4 197/5	100/6 101/14 103/6	20/9 20/10 20/11
49/19 49/20 102/5	73/1 73/5 73/7 75/4	l'm sorry [1] 53/16	106/20 107/20 110/10	
125/21 135/10 166/12	86/23 92/5 153/18	I've [45] 4/8 4/10 20/3		36/17 158/25 197/17
185/8 195/8	156/18 181/25	22/18 27/19 32/17	111/23 112/14 112/17	
I told [1] 124/16	I worked [1] 97/17	36/6 38/14 44/24	113/23 114/10 116/7	improved [6] 8/1
I too [1] 132/20	I would [24] 15/17	48/16 48/17 48/24	120/1 120/15 124/7	8/17 50/11 117/7
I took [2] 141/20	22/23 25/13 30/9	58/1 59/23 64/18	126/5 129/11 133/6	128/23 191/3
174/14	31/12 39/2 39/6 39/20	81/10 86/6 86/7 86/14		
I touch [1] 68/18	53/7 56/4 62/16 66/21	90/6 92/8 105/6	138/4 138/11 138/19	63/17
I tried [1] 133/9	74/11 106/9 107/13	106/17 106/18 110/3	140/1 141/6 142/6	inadvertently [2]
l turn [1] 173/7	114/1 114/14 124/16	111/22 117/20 132/4	143/15 147/7 147/22	142/5 180/16
I turned [1] 192/10	138/17 171/25 172/1	132/21 133/2 133/10	147/23 149/16 149/18	
I understand [7]	173/19 184/23 185/1	149/3 160/1 164/13	149/20 153/18 155/7	42/13
17/20 41/1 47/6 72/13	I wouldn't [5] 15/17	164/25 165/3 168/3	155/11 155/16 156/12	
107/12 118/17 183/15	39/13 48/25 113/25	175/19 176/8 183/6	156/18 156/22 158/3	164/15
I understood [1]	189/18	183/15 187/18 188/22		inception [1] 112/3
193/8	I wrote [1] 132/22	191/12 193/12	160/13 163/8 165/19	incident [1] 185/19
I undertake [1] 135/3	I'd [17] 3/9 4/23	idea [3] 166/12	167/13 167/19 168/19	
l use [1] 1/10	39/20 53/20 56/21	189/13 197/10	168/20 169/5 170/17	84/18 196/19
I used [1] 15/7	56/22 68/9 75/18	ideal [3] 104/12	171/17 172/13 173/20	
I volunteered [1] 102/14	76/24 86/8 93/22 96/1	104/16 104/17	174/4 174/6 174/12	49/17 83/7 193/11
	115/15 116/9 134/10	ideally [3] 56/13	174/14 175/25 176/4	includes [2] 49/13
I want [8] 72/24 115/20 118/9 120/1	137/24 176/15	63/24 84/21	177/17 178/12 180/19	
132/3 146/3 155/10	I'd guess [2] 53/20	ideas [2] 113/4 185/8	181/2 181/23 181/25	including [5] 37/15
	56/22	identified [5] 17/12	183/16 188/9 194/5	100/16 109/14 190/9
L	I			(65) think including

(65) I think ... - including

[,	inherently [3] 50/22	INQ000565970 [1]	60/17 73/25	investigating [1]
	including [1]	132/16 133/15	150/14	interested [1] 146/24	143/7
	196/13	inherited [1] 32/6	INQ000575086 [1]	interesting [2]	investment [3] 165/7
	inclusion [2] 40/22	initial [22] 9/6 38/19	73/3	111/21 143/19	165/8 165/10
	41/2	39/10 39/11 55/13	INQ000581860 [2]	interface [1] 160/12	invidious [1] 189/24
	inclusive [1] 39/10	55/17 56/5 56/13	54/7 139/18	interfaces [3] 89/3	invitation [1] 39/11
	inclusivity [1] 61/22	56/17 56/24 75/6	INQ000582366 [2]	89/4 161/18	invite [2] 21/15 41/19
	incorrect [3] 93/7	75/21 87/5 108/3	51/8 51/16	interfacing [1] 31/22	invoice [1] 90/25
	148/21 148/22		INQUIRY [42] 1/8 1/9		involve [2] 2/10 3/22
	increased [2] 10/20	160/6 162/16 163/18	1/12 1/13 7/2 21/13	intermediaries [3]	involved [10] 15/22
	28/21	163/18 169/25	32/12 37/3 41/11 48/3		16/9 27/1 99/9 111/5
	increasingly [1]	initially [6] 28/21	49/6 54/1 64/24 65/8	intermediary [2]	124/5 133/5 136/21
	133/14	32/5 37/15 56/7 116/11 132/20	65/13 65/15 70/25	179/12 181/17	164/8 182/23
	incredibly [3] 11/15	initiated [1] 27/25	74/13 82/7 95/1 95/20 95/24 96/7 97/15	137/24	involvement [5] 38/20 106/15 106/16
	50/16 133/4	input [2] 88/9 88/21	106/18 134/1 140/24	international [11] 2/9	114/24 123/23
	indeed [18] 6/13 9/5	inputting [2] 92/21	142/5 146/18 155/7	42/14 45/18 75/25	irony [1] 103/11
	11/2 49/22 58/22	99/14	156/8 156/15 156/17	76/3 76/18 77/25	is [366]
	59/12 94/25 96/12	INQ [6] 5/8 7/18	157/8 165/23 173/11	78/17 79/5 82/21	isn't [24] 16/12 23/21
	97/17 100/10 107/18	149/8 149/9 149/12	188/23 197/21 199/5	176/20	25/1 38/22 46/4 46/19
	110/15 128/6 130/12	150/13	199/11 199/14 199/19		63/7 76/2 80/25
	148/3 155/19 155/23	INQ000475005 [4]	Inquiry's [1] 141/6	Internet-available [1]	103/11 107/11 113/6
	197/19	49/4 52/24 134/12	inside [2] 100/3	105/3	128/20 145/9 150/10
	independent [1] 128/17	139/2	145/6	interpretation [1]	152/1 157/17 163/20
		INQ000477254 [1]	insight [2] 166/17	176/9	172/11 172/13 172/13
	indicated [1] 134/16 indication [2] 79/14	93/14	192/7	interrogate [2] 93/4	183/19 183/20 183/21
	164/7	INQ000477274 [1]	insignificant [1]	93/23	isolate [1] 66/20
	indicia [1] 19/11	138/3	145/1	interrogation [2]	isolation [1] 66/24
	indifferent [1] 143/8	INQ000477283 [1]	insisted [1] 21/19	87/22 88/10	issue [10] 63/9 73/17
	indirect [1] 86/11	147/25	insolvent [1] 172/17	interrupt [3] 59/18	86/16 100/17 100/18
	indirects [1] 86/10	INQ000512297 [1]	inspected [2] 120/8	95/21 163/8	103/23 127/11 136/7
	individual [6] 28/6	31/5	137/4	intervened [1]	140/11 152/4
	71/19 71/21 92/14	INQ000513411 [1]	inspection [2] 137/5	129/11	issues [9] 33/2 51/18
	133/23 149/5	123/9	137/6	interviewed [1] 188/1	
	individuals [6] 8/12	INQ000527547 [1]	inspectors [1] 136/10	into [66] 2/13 3/6	135/23 135/25 177/14
	29/1 30/4 50/7 54/22	115/25		10/5 17/19 30/7 30/12 32/6 35/17 46/22 52/9	
	111/2	INQ000527557 [1] 109/7	instance [7] 77/7 85/6 90/8 169/3		it'll [1] 28/24
	indulging [1] 102/1	INQ000528389 [1]	171/22 172/25 182/22		it's [167] 1/12 3/12
	industry [5] 97/4	149/12	instances [1] 181/14		4/25 5/8 5/9 5/10 5/11
	115/2 165/6 165/6	INQ000528391 [1]	instantly [1] 20/19	70/14 70/14 72/20	6/21 9/19 12/1 12/8
	185/11	146/8	instead [6] 23/5	76/20 77/3 80/10	13/10 14/9 15/18
	inefficiencies [1]	INQ000534713 [1]	55/14 55/16 104/14	80/16 81/18 82/25	15/18 16/3 16/7 16/8
	23/23	177/11	117/25 148/24	83/2 85/19 87/8 87/14	16/19 19/14 19/16
	inefficiency [1] 24/6 inefficient [1] 116/20	INQ000534813 [1]	instructed [2] 151/24	91/21 92/21 99/14	20/10 22/24 22/25
	inevitably [1] 114/9	92/1	192/16	101/9 112/9 113/11	23/2 23/22 24/6 26/9
	inference [1] 144/15	INQ000534911 [1]	instruction [5]	117/2 117/17 118/18	28/23 29/7 29/7 29/9
	influenced [1] 56/22	174/5	138/19 138/19 158/17		29/9 29/18 31/25
	information [25] 73/6	INQ000534943 [1]	159/20 159/22	135/1 136/12 136/19	32/10 32/20 32/22
	89/9 90/13 90/15 92/7	75/4	insulate [1] 109/22	136/20 140/15 144/5	32/25 34/15 34/21
	92/22 93/5 99/7	INQ000536351 [1]	insulates [1] 23/19	144/9 144/19 155/9	34/21 38/16 40/2
	100/14 100/19 105/4	1/13	insulting [1] 153/9	155/10 159/8 160/17	41/13 42/14 42/17
	115/7 115/8 115/9	INQ000536359 [1] 77/18	integrated [2] 61/25 63/14	161/19 162/1 162/4 168/24 178/3 185/9	43/12 43/15 44/20 45/8 45/11 45/12
	121/15 125/8 129/1	INQ000536369 [3]	integrity [2] 93/6	185/21 188/2 189/1	45/13 46/4 46/7 46/8
	178/2 181/13 181/21	96/7 119/4 126/12	93/8	introduce [1] 184/19	48/12 48/14 51/16
	183/10 185/15 185/17	INQ000536421 [1]	intended [1] 127/20	introduce [1] 184/19	52/5 52/20 53/8 53/22
	187/4 189/10	96/8	intense [4] 67/4 98/9	99/22 126/16 158/18	55/8 55/24 57/2 57/3
	informed [2] 119/18	INQ000539153 [1]	103/24 104/1	introduction [3] 1/18	57/7 57/8 57/9 59/24
	173/5	41/13	intensity [3] 67/1	73/2 100/13	59/25 61/3 61/3 63/9
	infrastructure [2] 2/7	INQ000540488 [1]	106/22 106/24	invent [1] 113/16	63/24 64/5 65/14
	77/24 inherent [3] 8/1	182/1		invented [1] 32/8	69/14 69/16 76/2
	50/11 51/9	INQ000560757 [1]	interest [6] 5/20	investigate [2]	76/11 78/12 79/4
		124/24	58/23 59/13 60/6	108/22 135/6	80/24 83/21 84/20
1		1	l	1	

(66) including... - it's

I it's [79] 84/20 88/2 91/5 92/1 97/10 98/11 98/13 103/21 105/2	Judiciai [1] 36/10 July [5] 2/15 66/8 84/25 98/2 98/5 June [2] 98/12 164/1 junior [1] 101/17 jurisdiction [1] 122/4 just [116] 4/20 6/20 7/12 8/7 8/20 9/16 9/18 10/21 12/22 13/23 15/10 15/25 16/7 16/19 17/25 19/20 25/20 27/20 30/13 32/3 32/4 34/6 35/4 35/7 36/2 41/19 41/23 43/7 46/25 48/19 52/24 53/9 54/8 58/9 58/12 58/24 60/13 61/7 67/5 69/5 71/7 72/25 73/17 79/10 80/10 82/19 83/13 83/14 85/14 88/3 90/4 92/3 92/11 94/5 94/17 98/15 101/14 108/3 108/25 111/13 113/9 116/7 118/13 119/1 123/1 123/11 126/14 132/23 133/6 136/10 141/12 144/17 149/16 151/20 155/9 158/21 162/5 163/17 164/7 164/9 164/11 166/12 166/19 168/2 168/3 168/17 171/3 171/24 172/6	Kingdom [1] 168/24 kit [5] 9/18 35/6 63/20 166/7 166/14 knew [15] 18/9 21/11 23/10 47/14 119/13 119/21 123/19 124/14 126/18 130/18 135/6 153/13 153/13 163/5 179/5 Knightsbridge [1] 77/5 knit [2] 150/3 150/6 know [146] 4/2 4/3 4/6 4/8 5/3 5/10 7/2 11/16 15/6 16/9 16/17 16/18 16/19 17/17 17/24 18/23 19/1 21/5 21/8 22/21 23/24 24/2	91/6 93/5 94/16 95/2 97/10 105/15 106/17 108/4 115/10 116/25 119/15 120/1 128/19 130/4 131/3 132/4 136/19 136/20 138/17 140/22 142/6 149/8 149/20 151/6 153/2 153/10 154/5 154/15 155/17 155/20 158/16 158/21 158/23 158/25 159/17 160/16 161/4 161/6 163/20 165/7 165/12 165/15 166/9 166/14 166/18 167/6 167/10 168/4 169/23 170/4 170/6 171/3 173/21 175/16 175/19 176/13 176/21 180/12 180/21 181/15 181/16 183/7 183/12 184/23 185/14 185/18 187/5 194/11 197/2 197/9 197/14 knowing [1] 191/6 knowledge [15] 1/15 63/23 63/25 65/18 96/10 111/11 119/13 120/16 156/20 180/24 184/15 187/8 187/15 190/10 196/15 known [9] 28/7 39/13 40/20 40/25 48/25 68/18 141/18 143/3 173/12 Korean [2] 16/11 45/2 L labour [4] 3/10 16/11 16/16 39/1 lack [5] 58/18 59/9 188/15 188/20 190/3 lacking [1] 187/11 ladder [1] 56/19 lady [21] 1/4 16/10 31/12 58/11 63/12 64/11 65/6 67/20 94/3	
62/18 100/20 103/15	123/11 126/14 132/23 133/6 136/10 141/12	77/5 knit [2] 150/3 150/6	16/16 39/1 lack [5] 58/18 59/9	173/25 174/23 175/13 180/23 181/9 181/10
· · · · ·	155/9 158/21 162/5	4/6 4/8 5/3 5/10 7/2	lacking [1] 187/11	Lane' [2] 41/17 42/1
158/21 Janette [1] 102/15	164/11 166/12 166/19 168/2 168/3 168/17	16/18 16/19 17/17 17/24 18/23 19/1 21/5	lady [21] 1/4 16/10 31/12 58/11 63/12 64/11 65/6 67/20 94/3 94/24 95/18 95/23 101/14 125/14 137/15 155/2 155/15 156/6 186/2 191/19 197/12 laid [1] 167/14 land [1] 166/19 lane [169] 3/13 3/18 5/18 7/9 7/14 7/22 8/2 10/11 11/5 12/8 13/21 14/1 14/6 15/13 17/10	177/3 179/2 180/25 180/25 184/23 language [4] 19/17 50/15 131/1 159/1 large [12] 17/21 63/10 70/10 92/3 99/9 101/7 124/13 126/25 131/18 132/25 133/20 143/2 largely [4] 2/8 23/22 58/15 59/15 larger [2] 53/18 122/9 last [7] 3/7 3/15 21/5

(67) it's... - last

L	let [9] 14/3 15/25	126/17 168/2 196/8	loops [3] 80/19 80/19	
	79/10 104/11 151/14	listening [1] 197/18	81/6	115/18 119/8 119/9
last [1] 194/5	155/16 158/22 177/18		Lord [49] 4/11 8/12	120/18 120/19 128/24
lasted [1] 144/20				
late [4] 4/5 4/5	183/24	171/3 192/11	16/16 16/21 37/16	130/10 135/9 137/17
	let's [24] 10/10 18/10	little [21] 1/19 29/3	37/19 37/20 38/4 38/6	137/21 141/18 142/1
110/11 165/20	23/25 25/20 27/16	36/6 58/24 58/25	38/17 118/11 118/13	143/3 143/23 144/15
later [21] 9/8 9/18				
29/3 34/15 35/25	41/23 43/6 43/20 44/9		118/14 118/20 119/8	145/4 152/8 158/8
	45/2 45/4 49/3 51/4	98/11 98/13 101/14	119/11 119/13 119/18	169/2
40/17 57/9 60/7 64/15	52/15 53/23 98/15	105/5 116/5 119/17	119/20 119/22 120/5	magic [1] 132/5
78/24 79/2 93/10				
93/10 116/13 160/11	123/7 124/24 134/11	133/21 154/11 157/13		magnitude [1]
	138/2 138/24 139/25	158/3 175/3 178/19	123/22 123/23 124/3	186/19
167/8 170/11 183/17	148/9 148/17	182/3	124/7 124/14 124/25	mail [1] 116/10
185/16 186/16 195/21				
latter [2] 6/20 44/6	letter [1] 168/13	live [4] 99/11 102/4	125/4 126/2 126/2	mailbox [5] 34/1 34/1
	level [11] 4/17	113/7 159/6	126/4 126/7 126/23	44/16 108/19 121/4
law [5] 2/5 2/11	120/14 122/23 173/16	lived [1] 63/25	127/2 128/12 129/8	main [2] 98/21
130/14 183/20 194/9				
Lawson [1] 158/8	181/13 184/19 185/5	lives [3] 46/23	158/21 160/11 174/10	
lawyer [4] 2/7 2/8	187/8 187/9 187/15	157/18 192/2	174/14 174/20 174/22	maintain [1] 104/3
	191/7	living [2] 152/18	175/1 175/16 176/5	Majesty's [1] 39/23
2/14 2/15				
lawyers [1] 83/15	liability [1] 196/13	191/9	176/12	major [1] 52/5
lead [16] 1/8 3/18	liaised [1] 128/12	lo [1] 131/22	Lord Bethell [3]	majority [1] 51/1
	life [2] 34/7 146/20	loads [1] 176/14	16/16 16/21 37/19	make [36] 18/15
7/22 24/7 28/13 95/20	life-saving [1] 34/7			19/17 19/23 23/25
96/25 105/10 105/18		local [2] 67/21	Lord Chadlington [1]	
110/18 129/22 131/2	lifted [2] 18/13 18/15	123/15	119/13	25/24 28/4 40/4 45/14
	lightning [1] 23/20	locate [1] 27/21	Lord Feldman [10]	48/13 63/1 64/8 67/20
132/24 160/10 199/5	like [53] 10/6 10/7	locations [1] 168/21	8/12 38/4 38/6 118/11	68/12 75/18 80/12
199/14				
leading [2] 62/3	15/7 16/25 17/22	logistical [1] 50/22	119/8 119/18 119/22	88/8 89/22 94/4
	18/19 19/3 21/9 22/8	logistics [3] 89/16	120/5 127/2 129/8	102/12 106/21 107/18
96/21	26/16 32/23 46/9	154/7 161/20	Lord Feldman's [2]	115/17 125/15 128/18
leads [7] 12/19 17/22				
18/3 18/5 23/23	51/22 58/3 59/22	London [2] 2/1 159/7	118/14 119/11	130/18 133/9 136/15
	61/24 61/24 62/22	long [7] 22/7 65/20	Lord James Bethell	141/25 150/4 155/10
117/14 174/19	64/20 66/18 67/22	67/4 81/20 81/24	[1] 118/20	155/11 158/23 169/1
learn [4] 54/10 160/5				
160/23 167/3	71/20 75/18 81/22	101/25 109/12	Lord Leigh [1]	173/5 173/23 177/6
	81/24 86/11 86/15	longer [5] 4/23 10/1	124/25	make-up [1] 63/1
learned [1] 157/21	90/19 98/7 106/25	13/20 98/11 111/12	lose [3] 13/12 34/7	makers [1] 196/12
learning [2] 32/13				
196/4	109/23 111/12 115/11		124/9	makes [4] 22/21
	127/10 129/1 133/12	10/7 12/3 18/19 18/21	lost [2] 13/8 34/6	34/18 36/15 45/22
learnings [1] 185/20	134/8 134/10 136/1	20/18 22/6 24/21 44/9		making [13] 8/22
learnt [5] 81/6 81/25				
82/3 188/9 196/7	143/4 146/13 153/12		20/21 21/14 53/8 53/9	
	155/20 159/5 160/25	73/5 77/10 77/12	53/22 63/10 66/21	75/11 76/6 89/21
least [12] 50/11	173/20 176/15 179/4	83/13 86/14 93/22	67/5 89/23 90/6 97/15	90/14 117/23 130/9
91/11 92/25 93/7				
94/11 94/12 99/7	181/2 185/19 190/13	97/7 108/10 109/8	110/15 110/20 111/13	
116/3 116/16 124/9	192/7 195/9	114/1 136/17 137/16	115/10 115/18 131/23	man [3] 40/2 163/11
	liked [1] 133/22	138/2 138/18 141/22	153/22 155/6 165/3	164/15
147/11 184/1	likelihood [1] 129/10	142/4 146/18 146/20	165/14 170/24 183/19	
leave [5] 45/1 82/19				
83/14 110/7 197/6	likely [4] 8/6 50/23		183/20 183/21 184/15	
	145/5 170/19	149/19 151/4 168/6	197/15	154/11 166/18 185/16
leaves [1] 91/2	limited [7] 11/14	174/9 175/9 175/21	lots [8] 40/13 91/21	managed [2] 63/13
led [1] 131/4				
left [2] 73/7 186/7	19/14 25/16 100/14	175/23	167/3 185/22 185/22	92/15
	100/19 186/22 196/13	looked [12] 20/13	191/11 192/17 197/15	management [7] 3/2
legal [4] 182/10	limits [1] 190/25	21/2 50/14 80/12	low [1] 159/17	93/2 93/11 93/16
182/24 183/7 193/18				
legitimate [5] 5/19	line [9] 33/1 64/10	122/14 126/5 140/2	lower [3] 31/9 119/17	97/18 97/22 162/12
14/22 16/8 16/23	76/12 101/17 116/2	141/17 145/13 145/16	182/3	manager [1] 102/16
	133/5 133/8 165/13	166/6 179/2	Luckily [1] 168/8	managerial [1] 97/22
16/25	165/16	looking [28] 16/15	lump [1] 72/20	managing [2] 104/13
Leigh [1] 124/25				
	linear [1] 89/13	19/15 21/12 23/7	lunch [4] 95/10 95/12	
uonath [2] 15/1/2		32/12 50/18 51/9	95/22 95/22	Manchester [3] 74/3
length [2] 154/2				
190/9	lines [2] 163/11			1/4/10 1/4/14
190/9	lines [2] 163/11 185/1	52/13 59/20 69/15	Μ	74/16 124/14
190/9 Leon [1] 127/1	lines [2] 163/11	52/13 59/20 69/15 71/1 79/12 79/17	Μ	mandate [1] 191/24
190/9 Leon [1] 127/1 less [9] 13/19 25/7	lines [2] 163/11 185/1 link [2] 119/7 160/8	52/13 59/20 69/15	M machine [1] 143/24	mandate [1] 191/24
190/9 Leon [1] 127/1 less [9] 13/19 25/7 30/9 88/2 98/23	lines [2] 163/11 185/1 link [2] 119/7 160/8 linkage [1] 184/25	52/13 59/20 69/15 71/1 79/12 79/17 81/13 84/23 90/11	machine [1] 143/24	mandate [1] 191/24 manifest [1] 62/16
190/9 Leon [1] 127/1 less [9] 13/19 25/7	lines [2] 163/11 185/1 link [2] 119/7 160/8 linkage [1] 184/25 linkages [1] 89/3	52/13 59/20 69/15 71/1 79/12 79/17 81/13 84/23 90/11 102/19 119/5 120/10	machine [1] 143/24 made [39] 25/17	mandate [1] 191/24 manifest [1] 62/16 manual [1] 89/8
190/9 Leon [1] 127/1 less [9] 13/19 25/7 30/9 88/2 98/23 133/21 138/5 145/5	lines [2] 163/11 185/1 link [2] 119/7 160/8 linkage [1] 184/25 linkages [1] 89/3 linked [1] 157/17	52/13 59/20 69/15 71/1 79/12 79/17 81/13 84/23 90/11 102/19 119/5 120/10 135/8 142/21 144/23	machine [1] 143/24 made [39] 25/17 25/18 28/9 28/12 33/6	mandate [1] 191/24 manifest [1] 62/16 manual [1] 89/8 manufacture [1]
190/9 Leon [1] 127/1 less [9] 13/19 25/7 30/9 88/2 98/23 133/21 138/5 145/5 150/5	lines [2] 163/11 185/1 link [2] 119/7 160/8 linkage [1] 184/25 linkages [1] 89/3 linked [1] 157/17	52/13 59/20 69/15 71/1 79/12 79/17 81/13 84/23 90/11 102/19 119/5 120/10	machine [1] 143/24 made [39] 25/17 25/18 28/9 28/12 33/6 39/12 41/3 41/6 55/19	mandate [1] 191/24 manifest [1] 62/16 manual [1] 89/8 manufacture [1] 165/8
190/9 Leon [1] 127/1 less [9] 13/19 25/7 30/9 88/2 98/23 133/21 138/5 145/5 150/5 lessons [2] 32/13	lines [2] 163/11 185/1 link [2] 119/7 160/8 linkage [1] 184/25 linkages [1] 89/3 linked [1] 157/17 LinkedIn [1] 74/17	52/13 59/20 69/15 71/1 79/12 79/17 81/13 84/23 90/11 102/19 119/5 120/10 135/8 142/21 144/23 148/1 176/1 182/23	machine [1] 143/24 made [39] 25/17 25/18 28/9 28/12 33/6 39/12 41/3 41/6 55/19	mandate [1] 191/24 manifest [1] 62/16 manual [1] 89/8 manufacture [1] 165/8
190/9 Leon [1] 127/1 less [9] 13/19 25/7 30/9 88/2 98/23 133/21 138/5 145/5 150/5	lines [2] 163/11 185/1 link [2] 119/7 160/8 linkage [1] 184/25 linkages [1] 89/3 linked [1] 157/17 LinkedIn [1] 74/17 links [1] 83/6	52/13 59/20 69/15 71/1 79/12 79/17 81/13 84/23 90/11 102/19 119/5 120/10 135/8 142/21 144/23 148/1 176/1 182/23 182/23 183/13 185/9	machine [1] 143/24 made [39] 25/17 25/18 28/9 28/12 33/6 39/12 41/3 41/6 55/19 57/23 74/12 80/3 80/8	mandate [1] 191/24 manifest [1] 62/16 manual [1] 89/8 manufacture [1] 165/8 manufactured [2]
190/9 Leon [1] 127/1 less [9] 13/19 25/7 30/9 88/2 98/23 133/21 138/5 145/5 150/5 lessons [2] 32/13	lines [2] 163/11 185/1 link [2] 119/7 160/8 linkage [1] 184/25 linkages [1] 89/3 linked [1] 157/17 LinkedIn [1] 74/17	52/13 59/20 69/15 71/1 79/12 79/17 81/13 84/23 90/11 102/19 119/5 120/10 135/8 142/21 144/23 148/1 176/1 182/23	machine [1] 143/24 made [39] 25/17 25/18 28/9 28/12 33/6 39/12 41/3 41/6 55/19	mandate [1] 191/24 manifest [1] 62/16 manual [1] 89/8 manufacture [1] 165/8
190/9 Leon [1] 127/1 less [9] 13/19 25/7 30/9 88/2 98/23 133/21 138/5 145/5 150/5 lessons [2] 32/13	lines [2] 163/11 185/1 link [2] 119/7 160/8 linkage [1] 184/25 linkages [1] 89/3 linked [1] 157/17 LinkedIn [1] 74/17 links [1] 83/6	52/13 59/20 69/15 71/1 79/12 79/17 81/13 84/23 90/11 102/19 119/5 120/10 135/8 142/21 144/23 148/1 176/1 182/23 182/23 183/13 185/9	machine [1] 143/24 made [39] 25/17 25/18 28/9 28/12 33/6 39/12 41/3 41/6 55/19 57/23 74/12 80/3 80/8	mandate [1] 191/24 manifest [1] 62/16 manual [1] 89/8 manufacture [1] 165/8 manufactured [2]
190/9 Leon [1] 127/1 less [9] 13/19 25/7 30/9 88/2 98/23 133/21 138/5 145/5 150/5 lessons [2] 32/13	lines [2] 163/11 185/1 link [2] 119/7 160/8 linkage [1] 184/25 linkages [1] 89/3 linked [1] 157/17 LinkedIn [1] 74/17 links [1] 83/6	52/13 59/20 69/15 71/1 79/12 79/17 81/13 84/23 90/11 102/19 119/5 120/10 135/8 142/21 144/23 148/1 176/1 182/23 182/23 183/13 185/9	machine [1] 143/24 made [39] 25/17 25/18 28/9 28/12 33/6 39/12 41/3 41/6 55/19 57/23 74/12 80/3 80/8 87/2 93/25 95/4 97/8	mandate [1] 191/24 manifest [1] 62/16 manual [1] 89/8 manufacture [1] 165/8 manufactured [2]

(68) last... - manufactured

Μ	196/25	117/10 119/18 124/14	44/22 162/15	176/23 184/1 194/5
manufacturer [2]	matches [1] 91/11	125/8 125/14 125/15	memory [2] 4/25	minimal [1] 50/16
75/8 179/12	matching [1] 90/25	126/24 127/10 129/4	142/4	minimise [1] 78/7
manufacturers [6]	material [4] 49/5	129/24 138/1 142/6	Mendix [17] 89/5	minimum [2] 20/20
166/10 168/14 169/3	75/11 149/20 155/10	148/7 149/10 155/8	90/19 92/17 92/25	185/12
173/25 178/21 178/25	materials [2] 169/2	158/8 158/10 159/9	93/1 93/2 93/7 93/11	minister [11] 8/21
manufacturing [5]	187/11	160/25 161/11 163/8	93/16 99/21 99/24	17/1 42/15 44/21 45/7
75/20 124/13 179/8	Matt [3] 109/20	164/11 166/12 170/17		108/20 110/11 110/16
179/13 196/14	109/24 110/1	170/21 171/17 174/8	141/16 146/16 149/5	121/1 125/24 131/16
many [36] 2/3 7/7 8/9	matter [15] 12/1	175/2 175/3 175/3	mention [2] 91/19	ministerial [6] 39/4
14/14 30/19 38/8	15/15 26/16 34/21	175/14 175/16 177/18		45/10 45/24 118/18
42/11 44/5 47/13	34/23 35/15 41/2 46/17 127/25 129/3	177/18 179/17 182/3 182/9 183/24 193/17	mentioned [10] 9/14 21/22 31/7 37/16 58/1	173/16 174/15 ministerial/seniors
50/17 100/5 100/9	129/7 132/23 133/5	197/10	91/19 126/24 145/10	[1] 174/15
102/1 103/25 103/25	133/17 146/11	me to [1] 95/10	164/14 168/3	ministers [13] 8/23
106/19 107/3 108/15	matters [6] 1/23	mean [41] 5/22 9/23	mentions [1] 36/3	9/16 14/21 15/3 16/9
109/12 111/14 116/25	109/14 109/18 115/17	11/21 17/16 26/15	mere [1] 113/20	23/1 42/4 45/13 58/22
120/25 122/4 125/23	115/18 124/5	31/15 45/11 46/21	merely [2] 119/15	59/12 85/24 102/20
132/20 145/4 146/13	mature [3] 26/14	55/4 59/18 60/10 62/8		108/23
160/1 168/12 171/25 173/4 178/5 179/1	170/22 170/22	66/19 67/14 71/17	merit [5] 12/11 12/12	Ministry [2] 26/21
180/12 184/12 184/13	matured [1] 169/25	72/19 78/5 78/6 80/9	126/6 153/5 153/7	171/15
March [16] 1/1 4/5	Max [15] 1/5 1/7 1/10	81/16 85/4 86/3 94/3	merited [2] 47/15	minor [1] 52/4
4/5 46/5 66/5 143/3	68/10 70/20 81/21	94/16 99/12 100/22	117/12	minority [3] 61/6
143/5 158/4 162/7	102/18 102/19 116/11	117/19 130/3 134/1	messenger [1]	191/21 196/19
163/16 173/14 174/24	117/20 117/20 133/2	141/20 153/2 153/25	124/17	minutes [2] 105/22
176/23 190/22 192/11	145/8 150/3 199/4	160/6 165/2 167/1	met [8] 68/13 100/16	161/6
198/3	Max's [2] 103/2	169/18 169/18 170/16		mirror [1] 39/7
mark [3] 127/15	132/19			misleading [1] 142/5
127/18 127/18	Maxima [1] 118/24 Maximillian [1] 1/10	meaning [1] 120/11	method [2] 113/3 113/8	missed [2] 45/6 66/23
marked [2] 127/15	may [54] 4/20 7/14	means [17] 11/21 17/14 29/23 30/8	methodology [1]	missing [6] 45/6
127/17	10/5 11/15 11/15	31/14 31/17 31/22	23/14	108/9 108/9 129/1
market [28] 7/24 24/8	11/22 13/14 18/3	34/19 46/14 47/8 49/1		136/8 136/9
52/20 86/2 86/4 86/16	20/12 23/9 25/20 41/7		128/13 128/16 128/24	
115/7 132/12 138/7	42/10 50/3 50/13 53/6		129/5 136/10	misunderstood [1]
167/6 171/6 173/20 173/23 173/24 183/3	54/9 55/22 56/18 60/2	meant [9] 9/21 58/19	Michael [3] 110/12	114/7
183/4 183/4 183/9	62/19 71/19 76/24	59/10 63/19 101/5	110/19 126/16	Mitchell [4] 151/21
184/17 185/21 186/21	80/4 82/7 84/9 88/12	116/5 134/18 186/5	mid [2] 4/5 92/16	151/22 155/3 199/16
187/10 189/1 189/1	88/20 107/21 107/23	195/8	mid-April [1] 92/16	mitigated [1] 121/19
189/2 190/10 193/24	109/9 113/3 114/7	measure [5] 29/3	middle [3] 115/13	mitigation [2] 79/22
196/25	115/24 126/23 134/3	51/9 63/3 71/7 106/24		79/23
markets [2] 153/6	135/14 135/18 136/3		midnight [1] 166/17	mitigations [1]
174/1	138/25 141/6 142/25 156/22 165/19 167/13	149/19	might [32] 11/22 43/14 53/19 56/24	121/23 mixture [2] 11/12
Marks [4] 119/18	167/19 168/19 169/5	195/16	56/25 57/4 58/24 64/9	
119/21 123/3 123/10	171/14 172/17 172/17		68/17 76/8 82/13	Mm [12] 7/11 61/17
Marron [7] 5/15 6/3	181/23 188/8 189/16	mechanisms [1]	82/14 83/15 83/18	100/15 109/25 110/2
6/24 33/6 66/14 136/5	maybe [6] 21/5 45/6	80/14	84/24 87/24 87/25	113/5 117/16 151/10
136/17 Marran'a [2] 42/19	136/22 154/7 154/8	media [1] 103/24	102/7 105/18 106/17	163/12 164/6 177/5
Marron's [2] 42/19 146/6	163/17	medical [3] 75/21	110/6 110/25 111/23	189/21
marry [1] 91/5	McKinsey [3] 179/15	127/20 164/22	112/24 113/4 117/7	Mm-hm [9] 61/17
Martin [3] 75/23	179/17 179/25	meet [8] 81/3 81/4	136/7 136/9 136/10	100/15 109/25 110/2
75/23 75/24	me [80] 5/6 11/8	90/8 161/7 161/13	136/13 156/9 195/8	
mask [6] 62/19 62/19	12/24 14/4 14/17	175/2 186/20 191/25	mildly [1] 114/10	177/5 189/21
63/7 63/7 81/8 166/6	15/25 22/25 24/24	meeting [6] 81/1	million [5] 73/9 80/24	
masks [17] 63/8	34/4 35/19 36/6 40/11 40/13 45/23 46/12	174/10 174/21 174/25 175/1 175/6		MoD [1] 171/5
67/20 73/8 78/10	40/13/45/23/46/12 52/8 54/15 59/1 60/18		Mills [12] 74/12 74/14 74/17 74/22	model [7] 25/11 84/23 84/25 179/15
78/18 79/6 85/7 94/4	69/8 74/2 74/15 75/1	Meller [11] 126/10	75/7 75/7 75/17 75/22	
126/25 127/13 127/15	75/13 75/22 78/24	126/15 126/15 126/18		module [7] 1/8 64/15
128/2 128/3 129/13	79/10 85/4 85/23 86/3	126/20 127/4 127/4	mind [15] 16/8 23/3	95/20 148/18 152/8
150/4 150/7 168/15	91/10 95/3 95/10 96/1	127/20 128/5 128/8	56/9 56/21 70/8 78/14	
massively [1] 40/7	102/17 104/11 106/20	128/16	78/14 79/8 85/10	MODULE 5 [4] 1/8
match [2] 91/5	109/1 115/2 116/14	member [3] 28/19	85/12 85/18 103/4	95/20 199/6 199/15

(69) manufacturer - MODULE 5

М	168/17		192/12 192/17 197/19	Ν
moment [9] 13/20	moved [3] 1/25 25/8	178/15	mud [1] 13/12	naive [1] 103/21
25/20 32/3 59/3 60/14	93/12	Mr Cairnduff's [3]	multi [2] 23/17 23/18	name [11] 1/9 24/1
85/8 158/4 162/5	moving [4] 130/7	31/8 98/12 98/13	multi-stage [2] 23/17	34/8 34/10 37/5 65/12
181/4	153/18 185/6 193/24	Mr Dayle [11] 61/3	23/18	73/22 95/25 126/19
Monday [2] 197/23	MP [1] 110/4	61/3 61/4 63/6 64/12 191/16 191/17 191/18	multiple [9] 15/2 23/23 24/6 53/12	156/14 156/16
198/3	MPs [4] 14/21 15/3 42/4 132/2	197/5 199/8 199/21	53/13 67/18 72/17	named [1] 73/12
money [2] 55/4 56/3	Mr [128] 1/3 1/5 1/7	Mr Farha [3] 136/24	100/11 147/13	names [2] 54/1 125/7
month [2] 4/20 4/22	1/11 5/15 6/3 6/24	137/2 137/4	multiply [1] 153/1	naming [1] 17/23
monthly [1] 94/14	11/1 12/10 17/25	Mr Gove [1] 37/19	must [7] 7/5 92/20	narrative [1] 35/9
months [4] 81/23	19/22 21/13 25/20	Mr Hall [1] 57/15	130/5 142/14 143/1	nation [1] 181/12
130/4 164/10 164/13	27/9 28/25 29/9 31/8	Mr Kent [2] 76/6	146/19 194/15	natural [1] 19/17
Moore [2] 11/1 11/4	33/6 33/18 37/15	76/12	muster [1] 192/16	nature [5] 32/19 84/3
morale [2] 100/21	37/19 42/19 43/10	Mr Marks [1] 119/21	my [132] 1/4 2/2 4/8	84/14 84/17 150/12
100/23	43/11 45/14 46/25	Mr Marron [6] 5/15	4/12 10/19 11/6 11/23	near [1] 118/18
more [86] 2/4 2/23	48/13 51/5 55/1 55/22		12/2 13/7 13/7 15/20	nearer [1] 149/19
4/13 9/24 9/24 9/25 13/23 21/1 23/8 24/14	57/15 58/5 58/8 58/9	136/17	16/10 16/12 16/22	nearly [1] 92/14
27/21 29/22 29/24	58/10 58/12 61/2 61/3	Mr Marron's [2]	20/9 20/12 23/3 27/2	necessarily [5] 11/21
30/12 30/13 30/14	61/3 61/4 61/5 63/6	42/19 146/6	28/23 31/12 35/19	20/22 59/10 111/10 195/24
30/22 34/19 36/13	64/12 64/13 65/5 65/7		39/9 43/16 43/23	necessary [14] 25/7
36/18 36/19 36/21	65/10 65/15 65/20	Mr Mills [11] 74/14	44/21 58/11 59/23	29/9 31/25 48/14
37/8 39/10 39/12 40/8	66/14 66/14 74/12	74/17 74/22 75/7 75/7	60/22 62/1 63/4 63/12	64/21 87/12 106/22
40/11 40/13 41/3	74/14 74/17 74/22	75/17 75/22 76/2	63/17 64/11 65/6 68/9	107/23 107/23 109/23
41/16 47/8 49/9 50/19	75/7 75/7 75/17 75/22	76/17 82/20 83/5	70/7 70/8 70/16 74/15	111/1 112/18 113/7
50/23 52/17 56/6	76/2 76/6 76/12 76/17		76/21 81/22 82/1 84/1	190/10
62/16 71/14 71/19	77/19 82/20 83/5	Mr Rhys [6] 27/9	85/17 86/5 86/14	necessity [2] 153/2
72/17 81/6 81/25	83/13 86/18 92/8	28/25 29/9 37/15 98/1	86/17 94/24 95/18	153/3
83/14 84/4 85/1 85/3	94/19 94/25 95/17 96/3 98/1 98/7 98/12	120/20	95/23 100/23 100/23 101/14 101/15 101/21	neck [1] 13/11
93/2 93/9 93/15 98/23	98/13 100/24 105/6	Mr Sharma [5] 65/5 92/8 156/5 186/7	101/23 101/25 102/1	need [38] 10/3 14/20
100/17 101/17 104/22	108/4 119/21 120/20	195/12	102/4 102/8 102/10	46/14 58/16 58/24
104/23 114/19 117/11	123/10 124/12 125/15		102/12 103/4 108/25	62/9 67/2 77/15 88/3
118/16 132/13 132/16	133/12 134/3 136/17	123/10	116/12 117/1 120/3	90/8 90/11 90/14
132/16 133/9 133/15	136/24 137/2 137/4	Mr Wald [3] 1/3	120/4 125/3 125/14	93/22 102/11 107/5
134/1 137/16 137/21	138/25 141/7 146/6	125/15 141/7	130/11 130/25 131/20	107/6 107/21 112/24
138/5 138/11 138/20	156/5 156/7 156/0	Mr Ward [1] 95/17	132/21 133/10 137/15	113/17 114/3 114/11
144/12 149/16 151/20	156/11 156/17 156/22	Mr Weatherby [4]	139/13 140/11 141/1	
152/10 153/16 153/23 154/12 155/14 171/9	173/7 174/10 177/2	58/8 61/2 185/25	141/2 142/4 142/10	159/14 161/12 166/9 166/10 169/10 171/24
175/22 175/22 176/4	177/19 177/24 178/15	191/15	144/2 145/9 150/8	176/2 176/4 176/10
178/2 178/24 184/8	181/23 182/5 185/23	Mr Wood [13] 156/9	151/17 152/23 153/16	178/10 181/20 188/4
185/12 187/3 196/6	185/25 186/1 186/3	156/14 156/17 156/22		188/13 192/2
Morgan [1] 109/17	186/7 191/15 191/16	173/7 174/10 177/2	156/6 156/16 158/25	needed [20] 5/20 6/1
morning [4] 1/5	191/17 191/18 191/20		160/1 160/2 160/3	80/10 81/18 89/8
21/16 33/7 108/5	195/12 197/5 197/9	186/3 191/20 197/9	160/10 160/12 160/16	89/11 104/15 117/1
most [18] 17/19 19/9	199/4 199/7 199/8	Mrs [4] 6/11 33/3	164/13 164/15 164/24	117/2 121/19 127/15
20/19 20/19 35/21	199/10 199/18 199/20		166/3 168/2 174/25	155/23 158/13 158/16
50/13 69/15 79/11	199/21	Mrs Justice [4] 6/11	175/8 175/9 175/20	158/17 158/22 159/11
97/23 100/3 100/13	Mr Agustsson [1]	33/3 33/8 36/7	176/7 176/9 176/9 176/13 176/24 181/23	159/13 161/15 195/19
101/3 101/13 102/25	124/12 Mr Androw [1] 7//12	17/5 23/8 23/13 26/22	176/13 176/24 181/23	
132/9 133/18 153/11	Mr Andrew [1] 74/12 Mr Blackburn [13]	32/22 35/22 36/4 52/8		89/15 89/15 91/10
157/11	43/10 43/11 48/13		187/23 187/24 191/19	161/7
mostly [1] 178/5	65/10 65/15 65/20	79/2 82/22 93/10	192/13 192/22 193/16	negotiated [1] 2/9
mother [1] 102/4	66/14 77/19 83/13	94/25 95/8 96/12	194/17 195/11 195/14	negotiating [1] 123/4
motivated [3] 131/21	86/18 94/19 94/25	99/24 104/14 106/14	195/18 197/12	network [3] 102/22
131/22 133/4	108/4	106/16 115/1 117/5	my Lady [5] 16/10	104/6 105/2
mouthful [1] 89/17	Mr Cairnduff [24]	134/10 142/16 149/19		never [13] 2/14 13/3
move [20] 6/20 10/10	1/11 12/10 17/25	151/4 151/5 151/18	197/12	86/16 120/25 130/10
27/16 32/2 43/20 49/3	10/22 21/13 25/20	155/3 155/19 155/23	myself [11] 19/20	
49/15 51/4 52/15 73/8 118/9 123/7 135/16	33/18 45/14 46/25	158/16 158/17 159/20		176/8 179/5 181/15 181/16 188/7
137/23 138/24 139/14	51/5 55/1 55/22 58/5	159/23 160/17 171/8	70/7 83/15 102/1	new [24] 2/1 66/9
139/25 161/20 167/9	58/12 61/5 64/13 98/7	178/11 180/2 185/23	153/12 162/10 166/16	67/10 68/15 71/1 71/9
	100/24 133/12 134/3	186/2 189/4 191/14	174/25	
L	1		1	(=0)

Ν	143/5 143/6 144/16	November [1] 148/12	obviously [10] 16/3	47/8 47/13 47/15
	145/2 145/14 149/9	now [46] 3/12 7/14	56/8 95/1 155/5	47/17 47/21 51/1
new [18] 71/25 73/9 81/13 86/21 87/4	156/11 164/24 173/19		157/18 160/3 161/17	51/17 52/22 55/5
89/20 91/20 117/3	175/15 175/15 175/25	22/13 22/23 23/11	164/16 170/14 173/24	57/17 67/13 67/15
117/4 158/14 159/14	178/1 187/21 188/25	24/5 26/19 32/10 35/9	occasion [4] 33/11	67/17 67/18 68/12
160/7 165/13 177/6	189/22 190/25 192/2	37/7 41/6 49/5 50/17	33/13 33/14 33/15	68/16 69/2 69/13
177/13 178/17 179/5	192/21 194/20 197/4	54/16 55/6 62/14	occasionally [1]	69/15 69/24 70/2 70/8
181/9	nobody [2] 52/19	63/14 69/5 74/3 80/16		70/9 70/9 70/10 70/12
newly [2] 116/24	150/6	91/19 98/4 108/13	occupied [5] 4/17	70/24 71/9 71/16
152/5	noise [18] 10/18 15/6	108/16 114/9 118/9	4/19 29/18 30/17	71/22 71/24 72/8 77/8
newspaper [1]	22/19 22/24 27/6 27/7	131/3 132/15 134/11	103/25	82/9 84/5 84/7 85/16
126/20	29/19 31/16 31/18	140/6 145/25 146/18	occurred [1] 29/21	87/8 91/21 93/25 94/1
next [21] 1/4 23/15	40/6 53/10 53/22	147/25 152/8 152/18	occurring [1] 34/24	94/2 94/7 101/9 103/4
38/16 44/8 44/9 65/6	58/16 69/4 69/10	163/6 168/8 173/12	occurs [2] 35/3 155/7	106/15 107/9 107/10
75/6 87/24 88/20 89/2	69/16 104/13 111/20	175/11 180/8 181/3	ocean [1] 13/4	107/17 109/15 111/3
95/9 95/18 138/24	noisy [1] 11/15	184/24	odd [2] 40/11 131/1	111/18 112/6 112/25
140/1 142/18 143/16	nominally [1] 121/1	nowhere [1] 77/6	off [7] 20/23 67/20	113/7 116/23 117/11 117/21 117/24 118/10
152/1 152/15 156/6	non [25] 20/21 23/2	number [38] 1/13 1/20 17/21 20/7 30/16	111/3 139/19 155/22 160/13 186/7	118/10 118/21 120/19
159/4 196/3	51/19 52/3 52/13 57/20 106/15 107/9	46/25 47/3 47/7 78/13		120/21 120/25 121/1
NHS [21] 32/23 67/2	107/14 107/15 112/7	84/5 86/24 87/1 91/7	24/21 27/24 34/18	121/6 121/6 126/1
73/11 74/3 80/11	135/20 136/14 136/19		35/17 40/15 40/18	131/17 131/18 132/1
81/19 122/4 133/2	137/12 140/13 142/23		43/2 43/13 43/17 45/3	132/8 132/17 133/16
133/3 158/24 166/3	143/21 145/4 145/7	146/23 147/3 147/4	45/3 45/5 50/10 51/10	138/5 144/2 144/17
178/24 179/20 180/17	145/18 147/16 149/22	149/4 149/8 149/9	52/17 56/6 56/25 57/5	144/18 146/1 146/25
188/17 189/13 193/9	151/6 151/9	149/12 150/13 161/23		148/4 148/24 149/6
193/10 194/14 194/18	non-commercial [1]	164/9 169/7 170/13	70/14 73/21 73/25	149/19 149/21 150/5
196/15	23/2	178/21 178/22 183/1	74/4 74/9 74/10 74/12	150/12 161/3 161/13
NHS trust [2] 180/17 196/15	non-High [8] 51/19	183/2 185/11 185/19	75/9 76/8 77/22 78/16	169/14 170/3 170/6
nice [1] 158/9	112/7 143/21 145/4	188/4	100/7 100/7 107/7	170/13 171/1 172/25
night [4] 116/9	145/7 149/22 151/6	numbers [5] 30/13	108/17 108/23 112/1	173/2 173/13 173/20
116/15 165/20 166/4	151/9	30/14 50/6 149/13	112/2 112/11 113/8	174/16 175/18 175/21
nine [2] 17/22 165/2	non-HPL [12] 20/21	172/25	115/8 120/2 120/3	175/23 176/10 176/18
nitrile [1] 94/17	52/3 52/13 107/15	numerous [4] 28/9	120/5 120/8 123/5	178/12 184/21
Nixon [5] 109/10	135/20 136/14 136/19		125/10 125/23 125/25	
109/19 109/19 109/21	137/12 140/13 142/23	nurses [1] 63/4	131/9 132/4 135/10	office [30] 2/21 26/20
163/2	145/18 147/16 non-VIP Lane [4]	0	138/1 138/5 138/22 141/23 142/1 142/1	37/23 42/3 44/12 66/2 66/6 96/14 96/24
no [106] 2/14 10/1	57/20 106/15 107/9	O'Driscoll [1] 131/5	143/20 143/23 144/7	97/24 102/2 102/3
13/1 13/20 16/7 18/8	107/14	O'Farrell [5] 6/11	145/10 145/12 145/15	102/8 110/12 110/19
19/8 19/8 19/9 19/25	none [4] 13/5 21/11	33/3 36/7 131/6 131/7		121/2 121/3 121/5
25/1 25/13 25/21	40/18 186/5	O'Farrell's [1] 33/8	offered [9] 15/13	126/1 126/16 131/20
26/17 30/2 30/20	nonetheless [1]	objective [1] 192/25	43/1 67/20 67/25 74/5	150/24 157/6 159/5
32/15 32/24 34/25	13/16	obligations [2] 62/14	74/6 123/22 124/7	164/15 170/12 171/7
36/24 40/3 42/8 42/23	nor [4] 4/6 50/3 50/9	192/4	124/20	175/1 175/7 195/11
45/7 45/7 45/15 46/11 47/10 47/13 50/1	50/9	obliged [2] 151/23	offerer [1] 57/20	officer [6] 96/18
50/18 54/15 54/24	normal [8] 62/3 77/9	155/2	offering [8] 42/25	96/19 101/22 112/4
55/7 55/8 55/23 58/20	79/25 102/8 111/9	observation [3] 6/2	67/23 94/4 118/4	173/3 191/5
59/5 59/10 60/1 60/12	169/21 169/21 183/6	47/3 153/15	118/5 150/3 150/4	offices [3] 37/19 39/4
60/12 60/18 61/3	normally [2] 157/15	observations [3]	150/6	66/25
62/12 63/9 65/11 69/9	196/22	7/12 10/11 87/2	offeror [4] 121/11	official [7] 16/24 17/1
70/16 71/4 74/21	Northern [2] 119/19	observe [4] 7/19 62/2		75/24 82/21 108/20
75/15 86/5 86/17 89/4	123/12	62/4 122/10	offerors [1] 44/17	111/10 128/18
94/24 98/8 99/11	not [209]	observed [1] 48/4 observing [1] 145/6	offers [146] 4/14	officials [9] 14/22
112/12 112/13 112/15	notably [1] 46/22 note [1] 191/22	obtain [4] 115/7	5/25 7/23 8/6 8/10 8/13 9/3 9/4 9/24	16/21 37/15 42/4 44/23 45/8 45/12
112/25 113/10 117/25	noted [5] 121/8	128/3 132/9 133/20	0/13 9/3 9/4 9/24 11/13 14/8 14/9 14/13	104/2 111/6
119/24 119/24 120/15	121/10 126/17 152/12		14/20 17/16 18/19	offs [5] 23/23 24/7
123/3 123/6 124/21	191/23	47/17 92/17	19/1 20/8 20/20 20/21	72/17 72/19 72/23
	notes [2] 105/5 161/6			often [7] 14/25 48/10
130/3 130/13 134/17	nothing [3] 124/9	133/20	29/22 30/7 30/7 30/10	
135/3 135/4 139/6	128/17 189/22	obviate [1] 114/3	30/19 30/20 33/22	108/8 136/3
140/1 140/7 140/8 140/12 140/12 141/4	nous [3] 152/2 152/9	obviated [1] 112/24	35/4 42/1 42/2 43/25	Oh [13] 12/5 22/14
170/12 140/12 141/4	153/10	obvious [1] 125/23	44/4 44/16 46/7 47/3	24/24 30/22 32/15
l				(71) pow Ob

0	ones [9] 2/10 6/17	44/5 44/11 44/25 45/3	organisations [9]	ours [1] 18/9
	15/1 15/1 22/18 39/4	45/16 46/8 46/15 47/7	61/6 61/23 67/21	ourselves [7] 98/16
Oh [8] 40/3 45/22 61/3 75/3 121/7	39/17 51/19 52/4	47/24 48/1 48/3 49/24	77/13 89/24 90/1 90/6	123/11 162/15 178/9
149/11 170/8 195/8	OneWorld [1] 89/6	50/11 51/10 51/21	190/15 191/21	180/15 180/20 187/13
okay [38] 14/17	online [3] 27/25 93/1	53/13 55/4 55/7 56/25		out [63] 1/24 8/13
22/18 23/8 24/11	166/4	58/18 58/20 58/22	organised [1] 159/11	10/2 10/18 14/2 18/16
24/18 29/9 29/11	only [25] 3/14 4/24	59/9 59/10 59/12 61/6		19/23 20/18 20/19
29/14 29/17 32/15	5/5 5/7 20/10 20/11	61/13 62/10 63/14	original [4] 73/9	22/7 22/19 23/11 25/2
45/22 48/11 50/8	32/17 45/17 46/19	66/24 66/25 67/12	123/5 141/15 141/16	25/3 27/20 29/4 32/21
52/21 54/13 59/14	50/17 57/2 70/7 83/3	69/5 69/16 70/6 70/15		37/15 38/25 39/3
75/3 107/12 109/21	94/8 102/5 112/9	70/18 70/21 71/4 71/7		39/15 39/21 39/21
114/7 115/8 115/14	113/14 113/15 116/11 128/9 137/5 138/4	72/15 76/19 76/22 81/23 82/22 82/23	originated [1] 111/21	40/10 51/13 57/11 64/4 68/9 77/16 80/20
116/21 122/16 126/11	150/24 193/11 194/11	83/3 83/14 84/10	originator [1] 112/20 origins [1] 187/10	81/14 82/6 84/9 85/10
127/13 135/11 138/2	onset [1] 191/22	84/10 84/19 86/16	other [70] 4/9 8/7	94/2 94/4 100/6
141/9 142/20 147/7	onto [2] 157/3 170/7		8/19 10/10 14/22 15/9	109/12 114/1 114/16
148/14 149/16 156/13	onwards [2] 85/1	91/16 93/10 94/17	17/15 18/9 18/19	116/5 118/2 146/21
170/9 180/6 187/18	164/1	94/18 95/9 96/3 98/23		I I
195/8	ooh [1] 87/25	99/12 99/14 100/10	26/22 29/5 29/23 30/8	
old [7] 52/18 67/19	opened [4] 141/7	100/16 103/18 103/19		163/6 163/19 164/7
94/3 138/5 138/6 138/12 138/20	173/20 173/22 173/24	104/12 104/13 105/22		167/14 167/17 168/3
older [2] 13/6 97/7	opening [3] 20/13	106/7 107/23 108/17	62/10 64/10 65/22	168/9 174/8 174/19
on [250]	148/18 174/1	108/20 111/2 111/11	71/16 71/24 72/1 72/2	175/3 177/19 188/12
on-pouring [1] 14/9	operating [6] 44/18	114/23 114/24 119/13		192/17 192/17
once [11] 8/8 10/18	62/24 77/4 84/23	120/7 120/8 120/8	91/9 91/13 92/9 94/18	
28/21 38/14 51/16	84/25 190/24	120/15 122/23 123/3	97/14 99/8 106/1	outcome [2] 56/22
90/23 100/9 143/23	operation [2] 124/6	125/9 126/3 126/22	107/24 109/18 111/8	157/17
144/2 144/2 144/3	177/9	126/23 128/5 128/6	122/8 122/25 124/21	outcomes [1] 196/4
one [103] 5/9 8/11	operational [1] 124/5 opinion [2] 128/19	128/17 128/19 129/6 130/11 131/15 132/16	127/22 128/18 128/19 131/12 133/10 141/22	103/12 189/25
12/20 14/3 14/7 16/19	153/24	133/15 140/20 142/4	146/13 154/2 154/4	outside [13] 18/6
17/12 17/13 17/15	opportunistically [1]	143/7 144/6 144/7	154/9 160/9 162/10	54/20 99/16 102/21
17/22 18/17 19/12	119/15	144/10 146/2 146/16	163/1 164/22 171/17	103/22 105/15 106/23
19/15 19/19 23/17	opportunities [22]	146/25 149/20 151/7	173/2 177/15 177/16	122/24 140/5 142/3
24/20 26/1 27/14	18/19 25/14 25/19	153/23 154/7 158/16	180/12 180/14 181/15	
28/10 28/19 31/9 32/8 34/1 44/2 49/17 49/19	28/7 28/10 29/5 29/24	158/20 161/11 162/10	others [23] 14/22	outsourced [1]
50/18 51/16 52/4	33/23 38/20 71/1	163/4 164/22 165/17	15/22 17/12 27/6	153/22
52/15 54/16 54/25	72/14 72/21 83/8	170/20 171/5 176/22	27/13 46/9 47/14	outsourcing [3]
61/14 62/15 63/17	89/14 90/9 90/20	177/15 179/12 179/16		153/25 154/3 154/11
65/15 67/14 67/19	91/20 108/2 108/10	181/18 182/18 183/3	87/2 104/22 104/23	over [26] 3/12 4/20
68/1 68/4 69/15 72/25	140/15 143/2 173/25	186/18 187/20 188/19		7/3 12/12 16/24 23/9
76/25 78/16 82/19	opportunity [14] 19/14 30/5 30/9 31/4	189/13 189/14 190/8	112/23 119/8 137/23 150/6 165/9 174/20	23/11 28/16 34/5 41/5
83/10 83/14 84/25	31/7 31/11 31/13	190/9 190/18 191/21 193/6 193/21 193/22	175/22	43/12 50/18 71/15 76/25 81/4 84/5 86/6
86/24 93/4 94/8	31/19 75/19 77/6	194/10 195/5	otherwise [6] 7/24	86/8 117/1 124/21
104/12 104/13 105/21	119/16 134/8 134/10	oral [1] 155/9	12/19 30/11 71/24	137/22 137/25 161/5
108/3 109/24 110/7	138/4	order [17] 29/6 79/17		191/17 194/13 194/22
110/18 111/1 113/4	opposed [4] 85/24	88/5 90/24 97/6 99/3	ought [1] 126/7	overall [4] 55/12
114/14 114/24 115/23 121/7 124/12 128/19	149/22 185/21 189/18	105/23 115/7 117/21	our [47] 1/4 1/4 3/16	56/22 141/4 144/20
133/25 134/20 134/24	opposite [1] 52/6	137/15 141/20 141/20	6/7 6/22 11/14 19/2	overarching [1]
136/7 136/20 138/15	opposition [3] 39/21	142/23 142/23 142/25		91/16
139/7 139/22 139/25	39/23 44/22	143/24 173/6	66/24 95/18 108/21	overcome [1] 114/22
140/7 140/12 140/12	optics [3] 35/15	orders [1] 163/23	123/15 149/2 155/5	overloaded [1] 40/8
140/16 142/6 143/5	36/13 37/9	org [1] 48/17		overriding [1] 91/16
144/15 145/9 145/23	or [178] 2/4 3/23 8/7	organic [2] 4/13		
147/11 149/10 149/14	8/24 11/15 11/25 13/25 15/3 15/3 15/5	58/16	169/1 169/25 171/1 174/18 176/22 178/6	overseeing [1] 162/25
149/16 157/11 160/14	13/25 15/3 15/3 15/5 16/4 16/19 17/24 18/6	organisation [8] 2/20 26/14 76/22 90/7	178/7 178/8 178/23	overseen [1] 72/12
166/19 168/3 168/14	21/25 25/9 25/12	160/19 162/17 162/25		oversight [3] 16/24
170/20 172/1 172/24	27/20 28/4 31/22	190/6	180/3 181/8 181/13	45/10 45/13
175/5 184/8 185/18	32/23 32/23 32/24	organisational [3]	181/21 185/5 185/5	overspeaking [8]
185/22 185/22 187/8	34/4 34/4 34/21 37/23		185/12 191/10 192/20	9/20 47/16 50/4 50/5
187/23	38/21 39/1 39/11 42/4		193/25 195/23 196/22	113/22 131/3 154/25
one's [1] 112/15	42/5 42/9 42/20 42/25		196/23	187/14
				(72) Ob overspeaking

(72) Oh... - overspeaking

0	panels [1] 196/19	191/23	payments [1] 77/25	25/24 53/20 75/13
	paper [1] 123/7	pardon [3] 29/13	peacetime [6] 59/21	119/21 164/12
overview [1] 188/11	paragraph [31] 7/17	131/7 151/2	182/11 183/7 183/9	perspective [9]
Overy [1] 1/24	10/13 41/14 51/8 62/4	parent [1] 122/8	193/19 193/25	11/14 13/7 13/8 26/15
own [19] 7/13 19/2 26/24 48/15 49/1 52/2	77/20 117/8 119/5	Parliament [1] 14/7	peak [1] 29/12	70/16 76/21 179/10
66/24 70/16 98/25	123/11 123/14 123/18	Parliamentary [2]	peer [3] 16/12 16/16	189/8 194/17
99/19 104/24 131/20	125/1 125/6 126/13	110/24 111/7	125/1	PestFix [2] 6/11 36/8
137/17 166/3 171/21	128/11 129/14 142/7	part [31] 5/10 9/10	peers [1] 175/20	PHE [1] 190/8
171/21 177/9 181/8	143/16 148/2 149/4		people [70] 5/17 14/8	
188/18	149/13 149/14 169/10		14/21 15/2 17/22	158/19
	174/12 177/17 178/3	59/24 63/23 74/8	20/22 24/20 25/15	phones [1] 161/16
owned [1] 179/11	182/2 190/22 192/8	74/10 79/11 83/6	26/16 26/17 29/1 29/4	photos [1] 19/18
Ρ	192/19 193/14	89/21 90/10 97/22	30/12 30/22 34/5 39/3	phrase [2] 100/4
pace [2] 3/16 164/3	paragraph 1 [2]	104/3 111/9 139/3	39/14 39/15 40/1	120/10
page [32] 5/14 6/21	123/11 125/1	141/1 141/2 146/18	46/14 46/15 53/8 53/9	
7/3 7/17 10/13 10/25	paragraph 1.5 [1]	152/4 157/5 160/8	53/12 53/14 56/4 56/8	
12/6 20/23 31/6 41/14	51/8	161/20 162/16 193/5	63/11 63/18 64/20	5/11
51/8 52/24 54/9 75/6	paragraph 12.3 [1]	196/21	66/15 70/18 77/8	physically [2] 102/3
77/19 86/25 109/8	192/8	part-time [1] 28/19	81/20 93/7 100/3	102/6
109/12 119/5 131/9	paragraph 12.7 [1]	Participant [1] 94/23	102/11 104/7 104/7	pick [9] 15/25 18/2
134/12 138/3 139/2	169/10	Participants [1] 58/7	106/25 109/5 114/1	56/5 57/15 64/9 87/21
142/18 142/22 143/17	paragraph 2 [2]	participate [1]	114/16 116/25 118/4	143/14 168/3 186/7
147/25 149/11 150/14	177/17 178/3	139/15	118/5 132/2 132/3	pick-up [1] 56/5
174/7 182/2 199/2	paragraph 292 [1]	particular [26] 6/5	135/5 140/14 150/3	picked [4] 43/13
page 1 [1] 134/12	41/14	6/13 6/16 35/5 35/17	150/4 152/11 152/15	52/19 60/7 145/13
page 104 [1] 41/14	paragraph 3 [1]	71/2 87/3 100/7 100/7		picking [2] 59/15
page 13 [1] 131/9	123/14	104/5 105/6 113/21	153/5 162/19 164/14	143/6
Page 14 [1] 10/13	paragraph 3.13 [1]	115/9 118/20 119/12	165/3 167/12 171/4	picture [2] 13/13
page 17 [1] 119/5	190/22	120/11 120/13 121/4	171/20 175/17 176/14	
page 2 [2] 52/24	paragraph 3.6 [1]	122/2 128/22 130/20	188/6 192/12 197/15	piece [8] 23/4 128/25
139/2	192/19	144/13 144/15 170/3	197/17	136/9 141/22 166/7
page 225 [1] 6/21	paragraph 4.5 [2]	182/16 182/22	people's [1] 100/10	
page 23 [2] 10/25	7/17 62/4	particularly [10] 18/9		pieces [1] 92/14
12/6	paragraph 5 [1]	38/3 38/5 61/22 97/20		Piers [1] 109/17
Page 28 [1] 86/25	125/6	104/18 167/10 171/4	22/4 71/8 125/3	pin [1] 105/7
page 3 [1] 147/25	paragraph 5.2 [1]	192/3 196/5	percentage [2] 53/7	pinboard [1] 105/5
page 30 [1] 77/19	117/8 Baragraph 6 [1]	parties [3] 172/15 189/11 193/24	53/19	pivotal [1] 192/6
page 34 [2] 150/14	Paragraph 6 [1]		perform [2] 47/23	place [23] 10/1 24/21 58/20 60/2 68/3 75/19
182/2	123/18	partly [2] 13/10 188/16	51/11	
page 4 [2] 31/6 51/8	paragraph 6.12 [1] 119/5		performance [9] 19/5 19/7 19/8 51/10 51/18	
page 9 [1] 54/9	paragraph 6.15 [1]	parts [6] 5/9 6/21 7/13 18/10 173/14	134/6 135/18 135/21	82/11 84/24 85/21 90/23 93/4 113/25
pages [6] 5/12 7/4	126/13	194/14	134/6 135/16 135/21	114/13 122/25 128/2
126/13 139/18 149/12	paragraph 6.16 [1]	Partway [1] 48/16	perhaps [14] 21/25	157/20 163/23 174/7
166/9	128/11	party [15] 38/22 39/2	25/13 31/5 36/19 37/3	1
pages 1 [1] 139/18	paragraph 6.2 [1]	107/16 111/10 119/7	37/3 121/9 133/21	placed [9] 9/25 17/3
pages 18 [1] 126/13	10/13	119/19 120/4 122/21	149/10 152/2 174/8	17/20 19/10 21/4 21/6
pages 56 [1] 149/12	paragraph 7.7 [1]	123/13 123/19 124/12		21/6 21/8 123/3
paid [3] 80/24 172/18	193/14	124/20 125/2 126/19	period [16] 51/15	places [1] 100/9
194/4	paragraph 7.8 [1]	129/9	75/21 76/25 81/24	placing [1] 92/12
painstaking [1]	182/2	pass [3] 11/21 20/20	98/9 98/11 104/1	plan [16] 58/18 58/20
157/16	paragraph 85 [1]	137/6	130/4 135/20 144/19	59/9 59/10 59/19
pandemic [25] 7/6	77/20	passages [1] 186/11	144/20 144/23 144/24	
61/8 64/22 84/19 86/3	paragraphs [3] 10/24		145/1 157/12 160/4	181/1 181/3 181/4
86/22 91/22 93/19	12/4 196/17	125/7 161/4	periodically [1] 99/13	
103/23 114/11 130/7 152/15 154/14 160/21	paragraphs 12.15 [1]		permit [1] 101/14	188/25 189/4
164/21 166/8 170/17	196/17	past [2] 32/14 45/16	person [8] 14/10	planet [1] 180/13
186/25 191/22 194/3	paragraphs 16 [1]	path [3] 101/24	34/1 57/10 73/12	planning [5] 188/21
194/19 194/20 196/4	12/4	155/20 155/22	73/13 74/15 76/22	189/17 189/22 190/4
196/6 197/20	paragraphs 7.16 [1]	pause [1] 162/5	77/2	195/22
panel [5] 196/9	10/24	paused [1] 85/8	personal [5] 37/23	plans [1] 190/5
196/12 196/20 196/21	parallel [5] 33/1 33/1	pay [4] 74/6 90/23	77/3 101/15 119/12	plastic [1] 122/12
196/25	104/21 160/9 163/3	91/9 137/5	139/13	plastics [1] 165/1
	paramount [2] 67/3	payment [1] 77/3	personally [6] 14/15	play [2] 76/19 80/2
L				

(73) overview - play

Р	politicians [5] 16/23	159/24 160/4 160/20	pressure [14] 9/15	151/14 173/8 173/12
playbook [2] 86/20	103/25 104/1 132/3	163/7 163/11 163/23	9/15 9/16 23/20 58/21	173/18 174/22 175/12
172/21	133/6	164/22 165/2 165/5	59/11 60/16 67/4	181/10
playbooks [1] 172/20	popped [1] 30/11	165/8 165/8 165/21	83/19 92/13 157/22	private [15] 2/3 37/19
played [1] 77/16	populated [1] 87/21	166/21 168/21 168/23 176/14 177/3 178/12		65/21 65/23 66/11 89/24 90/5 100/10
playing [1] 185/5	populates [1] 174/18 population [1] 63/2	178/21 179/4 179/19	presumably [5] 54/14 105/25 110/1	110/19 121/2 121/3
please [73] 1/6 1/9	portal [4] 8/20 8/24	181/6 181/14 182/9	115/16 139/8	121/5 126/1 126/1
1/18 5/2 5/8 7/15	27/25 88/5	186/24 188/18 190/8	presume [1] 194/22	128/12
11/19 14/16 15/11 16/2 18/1 22/15 40/13	posed [1] 192/3	191/23 191/25 192/12		privilege [2] 157/23
41/11 42/16 47/5	posing [1] 37/7		pretty [4] 10/19 27/2	164/17
66/17 67/10 68/19	position [10] 4/19	193/9 193/17 195/3	43/15 130/8	pro [1] 174/17
69/24 72/24 73/5	18/4 121/8 121/10	195/13 196/5	prevalence [1] 18/5	proactive [1] 132/11
73/16 74/14 75/4 75/6	125/9 135/14 143/11 170/25 172/24 189/24	PPE Cell [6] 66/6 67/9 87/3 143/10	previous [8] 108/25 113/16 115/16 146/19	proactively [3] 23/11 114/1 114/16
75/16 75/23 76/11	poss [1] 29/7	157/9 160/20	172/21 178/23 184/9	probably [21] 6/1 7/2
76/15 77/18 77/19	possibilities [1]	PR [7] 15/16 16/7	194/17	17/23 25/10 31/25
81/9 86/23 87/5 87/9 89/2 89/18 92/1 92/9	136/13	16/25 21/19 21/20	previously [3] 3/9	50/15 50/24 52/20
93/14 95/25 96/9	possibility [1] 103/12		46/5 76/2	63/6 64/1 64/6 79/11
100/1 101/19 109/7	possible [15] 63/21	practically [1] 130/13		96/3 115/12 119/2
124/24 127/12 131/17	83/21 87/7 96/2	practice [5] 2/3 8/8	prices [1] 181/8	128/9 130/4 144/11
138/3 142/18 143/16	104/15 112/2 116/4	46/18 46/19 96/25	pricing [1] 24/3	150/20 170/4 175/3
149/17 150/13 155/8	116/17 133/7 182/10 182/17 184/2 189/18	pre [3] 87/21 87/23 193/22	primarily [1] 39/25 principal [2] 68/7	problem [14] 14/19 14/20 35/1 53/12
155/16 156/14 158/6	193/18 193/21	pre-empt [1] 87/23	167/21	56/16 63/6 109/23
161/1 165/23 167/13	possibly [8] 103/21	pre-populated [1]	principle [1] 189/14	114/22 127/8 127/10
169/5 170/21 173/7 174/5 174/6 174/12	116/18 116/22 132/13		principles [6] 83/17	154/5 167/10 169/13
177/11 177/17 178/3	158/17 159/21 173/4	pre-qualification [1]	83/23 182/11 182/17	189/7
180/23 182/14 183/24	192/12	193/22	182/20 193/19	problematic [2]
plus [6] 42/24 50/23	post [2] 4/17 161/6	precise [4] 62/2	prior [5] 14/7 26/17	36/20 36/21
144/6 163/1 173/21	Post-it [1] 161/6	165/6 166/13 166/15	96/24 164/21 196/11 prioritisation [9] 35/5	problems [13] 25/5
191/10	potential [14] 58/22 59/12 103/5 129/21	precisely [3] 13/11 15/24 63/12	84/7 84/8 84/11 85/14	
pm [8] 95/13 95/14	131/2 132/11 147/8	preciseness [1]	85/17 85/19 94/2	153/25 154/10 167/13
95/16 156/2 156/4 178/1 178/1 198/1	147/17 172/10 173/19		113/22	167/19 169/7
point [63] 4/14 9/8	173/24 174/1 176/20	predict [2] 88/19	prioritise [4] 12/8	procedures [2] 44/18
9/17 10/3 13/3 14/3	184/21	179/19	19/1 85/16 110/8	61/11
14/21 18/2 18/22	potentially [7] 3/23	predominant [1]	prioritised [2] 70/21	proceed [1] 158/9
19/10 24/14 26/24	8/16 34/7 44/21 56/16 56/20 178/13		71/15	proceeded [1] 108/24
30/22 30/24 31/20	pouring [1] 14/9	predominantly [1] 68/11	prioritising [2] 19/2 35/2	proceedings [4] 5/4
35/20 35/22 40/3 40/7	PPE [111] 3/7 3/12	prefer [3] 14/18 96/1	priority [79] 3/13	5/10 6/22 155/5
40/17 40/17 45/14	7/23 9/12 10/7 20/22	96/4	3/18 3/21 7/22 8/2	process [77] 5/25 6/5
48/13 52/21 53/24 53/25 57/2 57/11	27/22 29/2 29/12	preferable [2] 23/5	12/11 12/19 18/5 20/6	
60/13 64/1 64/5 67/10	29/16 42/25 44/2	110/25	22/1 30/21 32/3 34/14	
68/15 68/22 68/25	44/11 50/16 62/10	preferred [1] 27/14	51/13 51/17 51/19	54/19 55/9 55/10
71/1 85/13 88/8 92/8	62/17 62/18 63/19	preliminary [1] 96/13	53/1 61/13 68/18 68/19 68/20 68/22	55/12 59/13 60/7 64/18 68/5 69/13
97/3 97/8 98/23 99/21	64/21 66/6 66/16 67/2 67/2 67/6 67/9 67/23	20/3	69/1 69/4 69/14 69/25	
105/21 107/7 110/14	68/25 80/10 81/18	prepared [1] 185/18	70/9 70/15 70/17	77/10 78/23 80/22
112/3 114/24 116/13	85/5 85/7 85/10 86/8	preparedness [2]	70/24 71/2 71/8 71/23	
117/23 125/14 127/25 144/11 145/23 146/5	86/10 87/3 87/16	188/24 196/6	72/8 72/11 72/25	87/13 90/10 90/18
169/15 169/20 175/4	87/25 88/2 97/23 98/9		73/10 83/13 84/18	107/7 107/22 107/25
180/5 182/10 184/22	100/3 102/18 104/9	48/24 87/17 111/11	85/11 85/14 85/18	108/4 110/24 112/2
189/19 193/18	114/2 116/24 118/16 124/6 124/13 126/22	155/6	85/22 103/10 103/14 106/23 106/23 109/15	112/10 112/11 112/21 112/24 115/9 116/3
points [4] 47/1 53/13	133/1 133/4 133/8	prescriptive [1] 88/19	106/23 106/23 109/15	116/16 116/20 117/6
79/23 175/5		presented [1] 148/18		117/6 117/18 118/2
policy [1] 172/22		presenting [1] 173/2	140/15 140/16 142/3	118/3 118/16 129/21
political [4] 23/20 103/22 132/24 184/25	150/16 152/6 152/11	presents [1] 94/11	142/24 143/13 143/21	131/2 133/9 137/18
politically [2] 3/23		President [1] 168/15	143/21 144/17 144/18	
103/1	153/4 153/17 153/20	press [3] 106/7	145/4 145/7 148/5	143/12 144/14 150/25
politician [1] 113/21	157/3 157/9 157/10 158/16 159/12 159/20	109/16 193/14	149/22 149/23 150/16 151/6 151/8 151/9	151/1 157/17 161/7 163/5 171/11 171/25
		hiessen[1] 100/22	131/0 131/0 131/9	100/0 17 1/11 17 1/20
				(74) playbook process

(74) playbook - process

Р	82/24 83/22 83/25	67/23 127/4 135/8	Q	38/8
process [11] 183/6	159/2	176/4 176/14 178/2	qualification [1]	quite [39] 11/4 14/2
184/18 184/20 187/6	professionals [4]	provided [8] 1/12	193/22	16/10 19/14 20/24
190/24 191/4 191/11	23/3 23/3 120/12	37/23 44/6 47/16 54/1	qualitative [1] 145/16	20/24 21/14 22/7 26/1
193/5 193/22 194/1	153/11	65/15 75/8 156/17	quality [10] 7/22 8/10	31/19 32/11 33/14
195/21	professor [11] 16/10	providers [1] 188/17	8/17 11/13 19/12	51/3 52/4 52/5 53/9
processed [12]	41/7 43/23 45/17	providing [4] 43/24	21/22 35/6 43/13	53/22 56/7 56/17 57/7
30/20 44/17 68/16	46/11 46/17 46/21	44/3 94/5 113/7	47/12 68/24	97/15 103/20 106/5
70/24 89/20 91/23	59/15 61/2 88/16 152/12	proving [1] 33/22	quantities [4] 9/22	108/10 119/24 125/12
104/21 104/22 104/23		provision [1] 96/6 provokes [1] 108/10	67/25 185/12 191/25	125/14 127/10 130/22 131/14 141/12 143/19
129/16 145/17 148/5	Professor Albert [1] 152/12	public [27] 15/16	quantity [5] 42/24	148/7 152/23 157/15
processes [12] 57/10	Professor	15/17 16/3 46/6 61/16	42/25 118/5 126/25	160/14 166/9 170/24
61/15 62/1 63/15	Sanchez-Graells [2]	61/24 62/7 62/9 62/13	170/2	192/11
72/20 87/15 107/5	43/23 45/17	62/24 97/15 97/18	quarantine [1]	quote [3] 8/4 186/17
169/21 170/20 171/2	Professor Thomas	97/19 103/6 104/3	136/12	192/9
171/6 192/7	[1] 61/2	121/17 129/22 131/21	quasi [1] 118/18	quoted [1] 12/1
processing [8] 42/6	profoundly [1] 36/2	172/14 174/17 192/5	quasi-ministerial [1]	
67/12 68/23 71/6	programme [4] 89/12	194/4 194/6 194/8		R
106/1 111/25 116/23	103/5 137/8 161/19	194/24 195/2 195/4	queries [1] 30/23 question [32] 22/14	Rachel [2] 110/12
procure [4] 87/16	programmes [1]	public's [1] 62/25	37/7 39/7 39/9 54/17	110/20
113/17 114/12 181/6	103/22	publicly [1] 170/23	60/11 62/2 62/21	radical [1] 26/1
procurement [67]	progress [10] 15/15	publish [1] 185/10	63/12 77/3 79/11	raise [2] 15/2 90/24
2/6 2/7 2/10 2/11 2/13	18/22 24/22 43/2 44/3		82/11 103/20 104/11	raised [4] 13/3 80/10
2/14 10/7 15/23 16/5	48/6 69/23 105/23	148/12	124/19 126/7 129/15	121/18 175/5
27/21 33/1 33/2 46/18	107/16 184/5	publishing [1] 185/9	130/25 141/13 146/14	raises [3] 16/16 16/21 61/19
46/18 61/15 62/1	progressed [6] 16/12		150/9 150/13 152/1	raising [1] 40/1
62/11 63/15 64/18	44/1 78/11 138/4 138/7 170/20	162/24	183/24 183/25 185/6	ramp [1] 171/8
65/20 77/10 77/12	progresses [1] 78/15	pulled [1] 132/6	186/23 192/22 193/2	ran [1] 98/11
79/8 80/4 82/15 82/24	progressing [4] 13/5	90/24 91/8 94/14	193/13 194/2 195/14	randomly [1] 8/7
83/22 83/25 84/15	14/24 52/22 164/4	141/20 141/20 142/22	questionnaire [2]	rapid [14] 23/12
86/4 86/6 86/9 86/12	project [1] 2/9	143/24 173/6	135/11 193/21	24/19 25/25 31/20
86/20 97/20 102/15	projects [1] 97/20	purchasing [1]	questions [42] 1/8	56/18 61/18 88/8
111/5 120/11 124/22 144/20 145/3 153/20	promising [2] 132/17		27/19 58/5 58/7 58/10	111/15 111/22 111/24
156/23 157/10 158/13	133/15	pure [1] 67/2	58/12 61/4 61/19 64/13 65/8 72/24	112/9 112/20 113/6
164/20 164/22 167/15		purely [1] 112/15	81/10 83/14 94/20	192/1
182/9 182/12 183/23	prompted [1] 44/21	purest [1] 182/24	95/20 96/13 97/9	rare [2] 130/13
186/24 187/21 188/15	proper [1] 16/5	purport [1] 19/18	111/7 111/13 119/22	160/14
188/16 190/8 191/3	properly [2] 111/3	purpose [5] 32/2	146/3 151/17 151/20	rate [11] 11/17 51/18
192/2 192/6 192/23	143/11	68/13 68/20 69/3	151/22 156/8 174/4	57/17 57/19 146/1
193/17 193/20 193/25	properties [1] 145/12		181/23 185/24 186/1	146/2 146/2 147/18
194/3 195/14 196/5	proportion [2] 148/4	purposes [3] 140/16	186/4 191/18 191/20	147/20 148/19 149/25 rates [1] 145/23
196/10	149/21	146/11 146/24	192/8 199/5 199/7	rather [21] 1/23 5/8
procuring [3] 104/14	proportionality [1] 137/10	pursuing [2] 47/22 127/1	199/8 199/11 199/14	8/20 35/15 44/10
166/20 166/21	proposal [1] 154/4	pushed [2] 11/11	199/16 199/19 199/20	62/17 70/7 78/24
prod [1] 175/3	proposals [1] 154/4	13/2	199/21	80/12 80/19 88/24
produce [1] 169/3	propulsors [1] 86/15	pushing [1] 181/8	queue [1] 150/12	89/12 90/12 94/17
produced [7] 14/13	prospect [1] 153/19	put [29] 11/16 13/6	quick [3] 68/24	98/7 100/9 122/18
73/4 82/3 91/2 134/13	prospective [1]	26/15 30/13 43/12	178/12 190/2	131/1 178/13 178/17
168/5 177/4	105/22	46/25 60/18 67/15	quicker [3] 84/12	188/20
product [16] 70/10	prospects [1] 57/22	77/18 79/24 80/13	111/14 176/10	rating [10] 78/2 78/3
108/8 118/5 127/14 131/19 135/8 162/2	Prospermill [3] 73/23		quickly [29] 24/22 25/8 25/18 80/8 80/12	78/5 78/19 78/22
165/11 167/11 170/15	77/22 78/2	105/5 114/10 119/22	80/13 81/20 112/2	78/23 79/6 79/10
172/3 180/2 180/2	protocols [1] 61/11	122/2 124/6 126/7	112/11 112/16 117/3	79/14 79/18
186/21 194/12 196/10	prototype [1] 192/24	136/12 138/24 144/19	117/15 144/12 157/21	raw [1] 187/10
productive [1] 8/16	proven [2] 34/17	148/25 152/9 154/16	158/2 160/5 163/19	reach [3] 32/20 50/22
products [8] 114/20	145/11	168/19 189/23 189/24	166/2 175/17 175/22	176/21
165/1 165/9 166/5	provenance [2]	puts [2] 46/21 88/22	175/22 175/23 176/16	reached [3] 29/1
168/12 169/23 187/11	181/13 186/22	putting [7] 34/25	176/24 184/18 185/10	39/15 39/21 reaches [1] 76/15
192/23	proves [2] 143/25	59/8 60/2 87/14 101/8	190/0 190/21 192/13	reaching [3] 38/25
professional [5] 79/8	144/1 provide [7] 14/8	141/14 189/16 PwC [1] 65/22	quintessentially [1]	39/3 40/10
				(75) process reaching

(75) process... - reaching

R	140/23 168/3	6/10 30/2 37/4 69/5	15/17 16/3	56/25 69/12 69/17
react [1] 184/16	recipe [1] 145/11	69/10 76/5 78/25	relationship [4]	111/2 111/8 111/8
reacted [1] 81/25	recognise [2] 129/21	88/12 100/11 101/9	124/4 125/3 177/13	require [2] 3/23
reacting [1] 174/3	131/1 recollection [1]	103/9 120/9 120/23 120/24 172/8 196/21	179/10	105/11 required [5] 85/12
reaction [1] 173/19	104/24	reflect [1] 196/3	relationships [2] 168/7 180/9	93/9 105/18 108/21
read [6] 4/9 48/24	recommend [1] 9/9	reflected [1] 132/21	relative [2] 140/25	165/7
75/6 142/20 153/19 166/8	recommendation [3]	reflection [2] 152/1	165/16	requirement [6] 81/7
reading [3] 17/18	25/24 191/8 196/8	152/2	relatively [4] 17/20	183/5 185/10 185/11
73/7 165/20	recommendations	reflections [2] 61/23	62/19 98/8 119/1	194/9 196/11
readout [2] 174/9	[2] 87/1 187/23	81/12	relatives [2] 133/2	requirements [2]
175/6	record [2] 71/5 196/16	reform [1] 87/10 reformed [1] 87/10	133/3 relevant [3] 24/20	36/15 130/8 requires [4] 61/10
ready [9] 88/7 95/9	records [1] 149/5	refrain [1] 44/14	97/10 119/10	61/14 115/10 115/11
112/16 143/14 152/16	recount [2] 104/18	regard [6] 14/12	reliable [2] 9/24 9/24	research [1] 110/15
163/25 185/10 188/5 188/9	158/7	49/19 62/9 112/12	rely [2] 130/15	resilience [1] 172/23
real [1] 50/21	Recruitment [3]	194/4 194/10	189/23	resolution [1] 11/22
realise [1] 35/25	118/24 121/25 122/1	regarded [1] 49/17	remain [2] 138/9	resolved [3] 129/3
realised [2] 4/25	red [9] 78/3 78/5 78/19 78/22 78/23	regarding [7] 33/22 174/15 181/13 182/9	138/14	129/4 129/8
10/19	79/6 79/10 79/14	193/17 195/10 195/16	remainder [1] 10/7	resort [1] 96/3 resorted [1] 99/9
realistic [1] 42/17	79/18	regardless [1] 25/19	remember [15] 11/23	
really [39] 9/18 16/8	Reeves [2] 110/12	register [1] 127/24	16/13 22/7 29/8 30/16	
17/16 17/21 19/18 20/24 20/24 21/8 21/9	110/20	registered [3] 121/12		118/1
22/20 23/21 24/22	refer [13] 24/24 27/6	127/19 127/21	121/13 128/4 130/5	resources [4] 32/18
27/2 31/15 32/20	29/19 69/3 69/10 70/2	• • • •		44/10 71/23 162/19
34/15 34/16 43/16	76/20 78/9 86/18	128/22	195/21	respect [3] 59/11 64/22 189/12
45/4 46/24 53/16 58/3	134/24 140/12 194/17 195/18	regret [1] 103/16 regrettable [1] 14/12	remembered [3] 4/23 51/23 126/19	respects [2] 122/5
63/13 116/1 120/12	reference [8] 5/8	regular [2] 102/10	remind [4] 7/13	132/20
126/7 129/1 130/20	19/11 41/12 41/14	180/22	126/14 142/6 150/2	respond [7] 74/20
132/25 141/12 145/16 158/1 158/2 163/19	63/20 91/7 119/3	regularly [1] 39/16	reminder [1] 150/8	82/4 134/16 175/18
176/16 186/8 188/21	179/24	Regulation [5] 130/9	remote [2] 102/6	176/10 176/24 190/11
189/22 196/23	references [3] 28/9	130/10 130/13 130/14		responded [2] 15/4
reason [19] 15/9	42/24 186/11 referral [9] 73/11	130/17 Regulation 32 [5]	remotely [1] 33/15 reorganisation [1]	134/14 responding [1] 69/21
36/23 40/25 74/1	73/12 73/13 75/10	130/9 130/10 130/13	160/20	response [30] 4/13
80/18 97/8 100/18	125/11 134/25 135/9	130/14 130/17	repeat [2] 19/20	22/25 23/12 24/19
100/20 119/25 122/2 126/4 130/25 140/10	136/23 140/20	regulations [1]	22/14	25/25 31/21 35/10
140/17 140/18 179/14	referral' [1] 148/6	129/23	replaced [2] 25/11	44/15 44/25 49/18
181/11 185/13 189/16	referrals [5] 8/15	regulator [1] 128/17	25/25	49/22 58/16 59/3
reasons [8] 8/11	24/17 24/23 114/3 132/18	regulatory [1] 196/12 reinforced [1] 132/15		61/14 108/17 110/20 111/15 111/22 111/24
13/25 52/15 116/22	referred [25] 8/23	reinventing [1]	replying [1] 128/16	112/10 112/20 113/6
161/23 163/21 191/13	35/17 42/3 49/5 67/7	113/23	report [7] 82/6 88/16	136/16 170/16 170/18
192/17 reassuring [1] 133/6	69/25 70/19 74/2	reject [1] 24/4	115/6 152/13 161/8	175/8 176/7 176/18
recall [6] 11/1 22/9	74/15 78/21 82/17	rejected [6] 25/9 45/4		193/24 196/4
86/5 115/24 122/13	83/11 87/6 88/16	52/18 73/19 80/18	reports [3] 15/15	responses [2]
128/15	108/19 109/15 112/12		182/8 193/16	101/10 155/6
receive [4] 75/10	113/20 118/21 120/21 121/1 125/17 134/24	rejecting [1] 77/1 rejection [1] 11/22	represent [1] 61/5 representation [1]	responsibility [1] 165/18
128/14 154/4 178/10	140/14 177/3	relate [4] 7/13 47/1	196/15	responsible [2]
received [23] 4/14 18/3 68/12 73/12	referrer [6] 107/11	144/7 174/22	representative [3]	162/17 190/5
73/13 80/23 108/17	107/16 112/1 112/17	related [1] 3/11	41/16 97/24 197/1	rest [5] 18/23 18/25
108/20 110/6 121/2	134/23 139/5	relating [2] 134/6	represented [1]	23/21 161/18 162/12
131/19 141/19 162/7	referrers [15] 28/3 44/4 44/13 44/17	144/9 relation [17] 4/15	100/6 reputational [4]	restate [1] 35/1 restaurant [1] 127/6
164/9 164/12 165/25	49/13 49/23 49/23	10/11 15/12 55/23	103/5 103/13 103/15	Restaurants [1]
166/1 168/13 168/24	52/25 53/5 54/12	56/1 58/19 64/23	103/17	127/1
173/13 175/6 179/15 193/23	108/13 108/16 134/14		request [5] 69/21	result [5] 14/8 109/14
receiving [2] 102/10	134/20 139/20	118/16 121/7 128/1	128/13 128/14 140/14	
132/8	referrers directly [1]	130/14 153/17 175/11		resulted [3] 117/19
recently [3] 49/9	54/12	192/18	requesting [1] 111/2	118/21 122/18
	referring [17] 5/18	relations [3] 15/16	requests [7] 44/11	results [5] 40/15 54/6
				(76) react - results

(76) react - results

R	78/8 79/20 93/6 178/7	186/12 186/16 194/5	193/1 193/15	185/19
results [3] 54/8	181/5 181/11 181/11	Salem [1] 123/17	saying [33] 12/7	Secondly [1] 68/5
147/7 147/20	risks [8] 79/14 79/15	same [35] 25/1 31/19		secondment [2]
retesting [1] 166/11	79/22 80/13 80/13 80/14 121/19 173/4	37/7 39/2 39/7 42/2	24/24 40/10 40/13	96/14 96/19
rethinking [1] 61/10	Rob [1] 163/2	43/19 51/15 55/9 55/10 56/9 56/9 57/7	41/1 43/8 43/11 46/6 53/19 56/21 61/9	secretary [3] 76/14 126/1 128/12
retire [2] 101/20	Rob Nixon [1] 163/2	57/8 57/10 57/12	77/21 91/10 105/5	section [2] 181/24
101/21	rod [1] 23/20	71/13 79/25 84/18	110/13 114/9 119/11	196/7
retired [2] 98/4 98/4 retirement [2] 101/25	TOL TOL 76/10 07/00	87/16 116/19 135/20	119/20 128/16 128/24	sector [17] 61/25
155/21	110/14 123/19 120/22	143/25 145/14 161/24		62/9 62/13 65/21
retrospect [2] 21/25	160/3 162/23 192/6	163/10 163/13 163/24		65/23 66/12 89/24
128/8	roles [1] 67/8	178/6 180/18 181/19	176/5 176/7 176/13 176/17	90/5 97/16 97/18
return [3] 65/1 95/13	room [1] 63/16 rough [1] 151/8	183/3 183/4 193/23 193/24	says [20] 8/4 33/10	97/19 165/5 179/22 192/5 194/4 194/6
156/1	roughly [8] 107/4	sample [1] 134/19	41/15 43/23 44/23	194/8
returned [3] 66/11 78/2 78/3	134/19 136/18 143/25		45/24 63/12 75/17	secure [2] 137/19
revalidate [2] 137/25	144/22 147/22 151/2	Sanchez [6] 41/7	89/3 109/21 123/11	191/23
138/12	175/15	43/23 45/17 59/17	125/6 137/25 138/19	secured [1] 9/22
revalidated [1]	route [21] 8/5 8/7	88/16 152/12	138/19 147/1 148/3	securing [5] 40/23
138/16	8/18 12/13 35/5 42/5 42/6 42/8 42/18 42/18	Sanchez-Graells [3]	149/4 177/24 178/3	48/7 57/22 124/21 192/23
reveals [1] 36/13	42/6 42/8 42/18 42/18 57/4 57/6 57/12 68/17	41/7 88/16 152/12 sanitisation [1] 88/2	scale [1] 163/15 scaled [2] 186/20	see [30] 5/14 9/23
review [3] 5/23 36/10	112/14 133/19 148/6	sat [2] 27/22 76/14	190/11	11/4 13/24 15/12
188/1	151/5 151/6 178/12	satisfactory [1]	scattered [1] 27/3	22/14 22/17 30/22
reviewed [2] 5/22 48/23	179/9	137/5	SCCL [18] 23/8	33/15 51/21 53/4
reviews [2] 182/8		Saturday [1] 192/10	131/20 151/5 152/23	53/12 54/16 55/7
193/16	42/8	sauce [2] 52/10	158/11 159/6 159/10	66/25 67/12 68/16
rewind [1] 25/20	routes [3] 27/24 49/1 132/9	52/10	159/10 161/4 166/1 177/9 186/18 187/6	82/1 86/8 94/12 103/3 124/7 138/11 139/10
Rhys [6] 27/9 28/25	row [3] 73/5 92/5	sausage [1] 143/24 save [2] 40/15 192/2	188/19 189/13 190/9	147/15 151/8 157/24
29/9 37/15 98/1	92/6	saving [3] 34/7 46/23		158/25 166/24 191/9
120/20	rows [1] 92/6	157/17	scenario [1] 50/19	seeing [6] 9/17 35/23
right [95] 1/17 1/21 5/17 5/24 10/10 10/23	RRT [8] 24/10 24/12	saw [14] 21/17 22/16	sceptical [5] 8/4	47/12 47/21 64/14
12/9 13/14 14/16 17/9	24/13 24/19 24/20	22/18 41/21 42/18	35/21 35/24 132/21	176/1
19/3 21/13 22/22 24/9	25/16 25/16 26/3	51/20 99/10 101/25	133/12	seek [4] 8/14 53/5
26/24 27/16 28/18	rule [2] 85/10 94/23 Rule 10 [1] 94/23	102/9 102/11 103/10 144/5 179/9 195/22	scepticism [6] 9/6 13/17 13/19 13/24	53/8 188/18 seeking [3] 15/14
28/23 30/13 31/1	ruling [1] 183/14	say [88] 9/21 10/13	18/13 47/1	53/1 130/1
31/12 31/15 33/17	run [5] 10/2 157/16	10/17 11/3 11/6 12/16		seem [1] 115/1
36/5 37/13 41/4 41/6 43/20 45/13 46/17	183/6 187/6 193/21	12/17 13/14 15/18	80/17	seemed [4] 13/5
51/4 53/21 55/6 57/13	running [4] 28/15	15/19 17/1 18/20	sclerotic [1] 18/9	114/8 117/10 124/8
64/6 66/3 66/12 71/21	77711 130/20 103/0	22/23 23/25 25/13	Scottish [2] 151/25	seems [1] 76/17
73/7 73/8 73/14 73/16		26/9 29/18 30/9 30/22 31/12 34/24 35/18	scrambling [1] 181/6	seen [16] 4/10 5/11 20/3 20/25 35/8 42/12
74/24 75/19 76/2 76/4	S	38/6 42/15 42/16	scratch [4] 82/5	48/16 48/17 80/16
79/4 82/12 82/25	sack [1] 23/6		158/14 186/14 189/25	
89/10 95/9 97/4 97/5 97/25 99/1 99/16	Sadly [1] 34/11	47/10 48/17 48/22	screen [4] 147/25	160/2 161/8 187/23
100/24 108/10 109/6	safe [3] 102/6 104/7	50/10 60/1 61/7 63/17		188/23
110/9 113/12 114/25	167/12 Sebete [1] 150/6		screenshot [2] 93/15	
115/12 115/19 118/9	Sahota [1] 159/6 said [46] 5/17 5/23	84/20 84/20 84/24	94/8	117/2
118/12 119/24 121/7	11/3 11/19 12/14	85/2 85/4 88/19 94/11 99/7 103/3 104/20	75/16 76/11 76/15	selecting [1] 141/13 selling [2] 97/17
123/7 128/15 130/22	16/12 19/22 20/1	106/9 106/10 108/9	92/5 92/9	168/16
134/11 135/7 137/7 137/17 138/23 140/9	23/24 43/7 44/19 45/7	108/14 117/8 118/6	scrolling [1] 73/10	semantics [1] 22/25
142/16 145/22 145/24	52/17 54/24 54/24	119/5 119/17 124/19	scrubs [1] 150/4	semi [1] 114/23
146/5 148/2 148/11	55/6 55/23 56/1 56/10	128/11 129/7 129/14	scrutinising [1] 39/5	semi-automatically
149/15 154/21 157/6	102/17 112/23 115/15 125/21 125/23 136/17	129/20 130/16 137/22		[1] 114/23
157/7 164/17 165/14	139/23 140/7 140/8	138/21 142/8 144/5 146/25 147/1 148/9	120/22 125/12 125/17 seamlessly [1] 34/3	send [8] 22/7 40/13 116/11 121/3 121/5
172/14 172/19 176/13	140/9 140/10 140/17	148/15 148/24 153/9	second [15] 17/18	131/17 175/7 176/15
181/4 181/20 190/13	140/18 145/13 145/19	155/13 159/10 165/19		
right-hand [1] 89/10 rightly [1] 122/10	152/4 153/15 161/14	168/19 172/1 173/19	70/12 138/3 141/2	174/16 175/10
risk [9] 62/23 76/8	171/23 173/11 175/19	175/9 184/23 185/1	142/8 142/10 142/15	senior [18] 3/1 14/22
	182/7 183/19 183/21	190/3 192/9 192/22	148/1 149/2 174/3	28/2 33/21 42/4 44/22
				(77) results - senior

(77) results... - senior

S	165/18 190/25 191/10	93/23	192/20	143/4 144/12 145/7
senior [12] 60/18	seven [3] 28/22	shown [2] 7/7 33/7	Skinnydip [2] 118/24	146/3 146/20 147/13
74/13 75/24 97/23	28/23 40/10	shows [4] 20/17	124/24	150/5 152/2 157/14
106/7 111/6 113/20	several [4] 4/25 5/23	36/14 52/25 151/2	Skipton [4] 158/5	161/13 161/25 165/9
125/2 133/18 173/15	126/21 136/8	sic [2] 146/3 158/24	159/8 162/11 192/10	165/9 166/5 166/13 167/13 167/17 168/9
190/23 191/7	sewage [1] 10/14 SG [3] 118/24 121/25	side [7] 23/7 89/10 110/7 111/25 135/12	sleepless [1] 116/9 slick [1] 25/3	169/20 172/20 174/7
seniors [2] 31/22	122/1	176/22 194/16	slide [3] 42/19 51/20	175/9 175/19 176/2
174/15	SG Recruitment [2]	sift [1] 115/8	144/5	176/19 177/12 178/25
sense [15] 11/10 19/17 22/21 25/17	118/24 122/1	sight [2] 13/12 18/8	slight [1] 165/5	180/7 184/19 185/7
25/18 42/2 45/23	SGH [2] 121/11 122/1		slightly [9] 21/20	185/16 185/20 186/10
59/19 60/21 63/19	SGH Global [2]	sign [1] 195/22	53/10 53/15 95/22	187/14 188/8 188/24
64/8 107/18 135/14	121/11 122/1	signed [1] 96/8	104/11 111/22 117/23	193/11 197/10
137/9 139/11	Shadow [1] 110/11	significant [8] 25/14	140/1 166/14	somebody [10] 23/9
sensible [1] 190/19	shall [3] 65/1 95/13 156/1	40/16 97/3 122/11 149/24 150/9 151/13	slow [3] 4/3 23/22 43/5	43/12 76/18 102/19 109/3 112/18 121/2
sensibly [1] 107/8	shallow [1] 184/14	191/25	slows [1] 46/15	128/5 143/23 145/13
sensitive [2] 3/23	share [3] 99/16	signing [1] 1/14	small [8] 17/21 21/12	somebody's [2]
	132/19 180/10	signs [1] 7/7	48/8 98/20 122/6	165/15 165/16
sent [7] 40/11 44/12	shared [6] 29/4 73/11		148/4 152/17 152/24	somehow [1] 57/2
121/6 125/8 126/5 174/20 175/8	89/19 99/12 99/13	siloing [1] 99/18	smaller [2] 53/8	someone [15] 34/2
separate [3] 57/4	160/12	similar [6] 61/23	117/2	34/4 34/18 43/9 46/7
57/6 57/12	sharing [4] 93/5 99/4	110/6 124/3 124/19	so [306]	48/21 50/14 50/17
separation [1]	180/25 181/21	132/7 144/4	so-called [1] 33/22	55/15 84/4 84/5 84/12
184/20	Sharma [5] 65/5 92/8		social [10] 73/4	88/22 109/16 153/9
September [2] 65/24	156/5 186/7 195/12 sharply [1] 20/24	Simon [1] 158/24 simple [3] 29/15	104/9 118/19 150/22 150/23 165/17 179/21	something [41] 14/10 14/20 15/21
96/15	she [7] 6/8 102/17	94/13 174/17	179/22 194/14 195/3	20/2 21/19 22/8 26/25
serious [2] 102/12	102/17 158/9 158/15	simpler [1] 165/9	software [2] 89/6	29/6 30/10 36/13
144/13	160/10 162/16	simply [8] 52/7 64/4	153/2	36/18 36/18 36/19
servant [4] 39/19 39/24 60/18 173/15	she's [1] 102/4	72/3 108/21 111/1	sold [1] 136/24	36/21 46/8 48/20
servants [1] 98/17	Shearman [1] 1/25	120/21 125/7 182/20	sole [2] 140/17	51/21 51/21 51/22
served [1] 26/7	shift [1] 180/1	since [7] 4/10 20/1	140/18	60/2 69/6 72/9 74/11
Service [6] 2/18	shifts [1] 196/5	34/17 48/1 156/23	solicitor [2] 1/20 1/23	
32/19 65/23 96/20	ship [1] 137/3	176/8 190/15	solidity [2] 50/12 51/10	97/6 114/8 115/11 119/17 132/1 136/1
130/11 130/12	shipment [2] 136/8 136/11	single [8] 33/19 92/11 94/13 100/2	solution [4] 85/1	137/15 143/4 146/19
Services [1] 73/11	shipped [1] 91/3	100/4 105/10 105/18	123/4 131/25 190/7	148/25 163/6 171/23
serving [1] 69/14	shirts [1] 94/4	138/15	solutions [1] 61/10	189/24 195/9
sessions [1] 172/21 set [55] 4/1 4/2 4/4	short [11] 4/25 65/3	sit [1] 23/7	solving [1] 67/8	sometime [1] 78/12
4/7 14/2 14/6 22/19	95/15 98/8 117/25	sitting [5] 151/21	some [113] 4/16 5/14	sometimes [7] 13/12
23/13 25/22 26/16	124/25 156/3 160/4	161/13 161/14 165/20		
26/18 26/24 58/15	188/10 188/10 197/12		11/13 11/15 12/20	141/25 183/3
59/3 59/25 60/13	shortage [1] 112/25	situate [3] 27/20	14/25 17/15 17/21 19/13 22/20 26/8 27/4	somewhere [1] 84/4
66/23 68/9 77/25	shortages [1] 9/11 shorter [2] 98/13	98/15 123/11 situation [14] 59/5	29/4 30/5 34/5 35/15	sophisticated [1] 24/15
80/20 81/19 82/6 82/7	141/24	87/24 101/15 130/7	35/19 41/6 42/12	sorry [62] 1/24 1/25
84/3 84/14 84/25	should [27] 12/11	130/8 130/19 132/8	45/11 48/18 57/5 58/7	2/14 2/15 3/17 4/3 5/7
87/15 88/4 102/12 102/19 109/12 115/9	12/22 12/24 13/20	158/10 182/12 183/1	66/23 68/22 71/16	7/3 9/11 9/12 9/12
116/5 130/15 136/18	17/23 25/11 25/13	183/16 190/12 191/10	72/24 84/6 84/11	9/23 10/9 12/1 14/17
136/19 145/6 145/17	34/22 41/2 52/18 61/7		85/17 87/16 87/18	15/10 15/11 18/2 19/8
154/13 158/14 159/14	81/14 93/8 103/17	situations [2] 46/20	88/5 88/24 91/11	19/19 22/14 24/10
164/7 167/17 170/5	117/25 138/4 138/7	79/21	91/14 91/17 92/16	24/18 24/24 25/1
174/19 174/25 176/15		six [2] 142/23 164/12	97/9 97/13 103/8 104/18 106/24 110/17	25/17 26/20 27/18 29/7 34/25 36/23 38/4
181/23 186/18 187/6	146/24 147/1 148/24 163/20 174/19 196/20	size [8] 29/8 42/24 53/18 79/15 79/17	110/17 117/20 117/24	40/3 42/8 43/7 43/11
188/11 190/23 191/1	197/1	79/19 170/1 176/20	118/9 118/10 119/6	47/10 50/14 51/6 51/7
192/17 192/18	shouldn't [5] 17/23	sizes [1] 195/25	119/12 120/5 120/18	53/16 57/7 58/24
set-up [1] 66/23	31/15 33/10 33/11	sketched [1] 159/9	122/7 125/16 126/4	58/25 59/18 60/9 61/3
sets [2] 141/10 177/19	33/13	skill [3] 102/12	126/6 131/12 131/13	97/7 105/2 105/3
setting [10] 27/4	show [2] 31/5 51/17	102/19 152/22	132/5 132/22 133/6	127/18 140/1 149/11
44/15 62/3 72/24	showed [3] 125/25	skill set [2] 102/12	133/10 133/20 135/23	151/2 156/12 175/13
104/6 160/3 163/14	134/3 135/22	102/19	135/25 136/11 137/9	179/18 182/24 187/3
	showing [2] 75/12	skills [2] 192/15	140/21 140/21 140/24	194/5 195/8 197/5

(78) senior... - sorry

S	speeds [1] 140/25	statement [77] 1/12	strains [2] 104/20	substantial [1] 9/4
sort [22] 66/24 67/1	spend [1] 137/23	4/6 4/8 4/11 7/18 11/6		substituted [1] 25/12
67/3 82/4 84/9 84/22	spending [1] 24/5	11/25 12/2 12/3 15/20	strange [1] 157/25	succeeded [2]
85/23 86/5 86/7 87/20	spent [6] 2/1 3/9 30/7	17/18 21/3 28/23	strategic [1] 180/9	167/18 167/19
93/3 93/20 93/22	77/5 106/17 150/15	29/10 58/2 59/23 62/5		successful [2] 40/15
94/18 100/4 110/5	spirit [1] 46/8	63/18 65/16 65/17	162/4 162/24 163/2	40/19
110/8 115/7 166/3	spoke [2] 41/20	67/7 68/9 68/10 69/3	190/24	successor [1] 101/21
166/18 171/10 196/24	102/15	70/23 75/2 77/19	straw [1] 123/7	such [22] 8/12 18/5
sort you [1] 166/18	spoken [4] 38/14	80/20 81/22 82/1	stream [2] 145/18	25/24 61/8 61/14
sought [2] 35/14	88/11 110/3 176/8	82/18 83/12 103/3	145/18	66/15 75/10 83/15
70/13	sponsoring [1]	108/14 117/8 119/3	streamline [1]	86/13 87/17 88/9
sound [2] 27/7 138/1	127/22	123/10 124/25 126/13		111/2 111/2 122/6
sounds [6] 28/23	spot [1] 184/7	133/11 141/3 142/4	streams [2] 143/21	166/20 167/11 169/25
30/16 112/23 138/1	spreadsheet [7]	142/8 142/9 142/10	179/3	170/14 190/25 191/3
190/13 190/19	91/21 91/25 92/3	142/14 142/15 145/10		192/5 192/21
soup [1] 145/11	92/11 93/17 141/13	146/6 149/1 149/2	66/16 92/21	suddenly [2] 26/17
source [5] 73/11	161/5	153/16 156/18 156/19		34/6
90/20 133/15 154/7	spreadsheets [3]	157/10 158/5 160/2	109/13	suffer [1] 25/5
173/19	93/5 99/10 100/11	160/19 164/2 164/13	stressful [1] 157/11	sufficient [2] 9/21
sources [2] 33/24	spring [1] 96/20	167/14 168/2 169/10	strict [1] 46/18	118/4
170/23	stabilised [1] 9/23	172/7 173/10 178/15	strictly [1] 154/3	suggest [3] 21/1
sourcing [6] 96/25	stabilises [1] 9/19	181/24 184/13 186/9	string [1] 109/9	36/16 135/22
171/6 172/21 178/4	staff [7] 28/19 28/19	186/12 186/16 187/24		suggested [4] 4/12
178/6 178/10	29/13 30/21 101/17	188/12 191/24 192/9	strongly [1] 132/10	52/9 121/19 150/3
spans [1] 7/3	152/10 173/21	193/15 196/7	struck [1] 86/22	suggesting [2] 52/6
speak [6] 32/6 70/7	Staffing [1] 28/18	statements [3] 49/13	structure [10] 10/4	189/12
70/23 106/12 106/14	stage [8] 6/6 19/14	96/7 134/13	45/1 58/18 59/9 63/23	suggests [2] 4/11
167/6	23/17 23/18 55/10	stats [1] 21/7	82/15 84/22 88/24	180/20
speaking [6] 14/15	80/22 89/12 89/13	status [6] 34/19 43/1	162/2 163/1	suit [2] 90/2 102/18
25/17 83/25 100/22	stages [5] 9/10 24/14 90/15 90/18 107/22	94/14 100/6 118/18 144/9	structured [4] 88/13 88/15 115/6 171/9	suitable [1] 25/16
146/15 154/3	stakeholder [1]	statute [1] 128/16	structures [2] 48/17	suite [1] 102/20 summarises [1]
speaks [1] 24/16	101/5	statutory [1] 192/4	161/10	10/21
special [3] 34/18	stakeholders [1]	stenographer [1]	struggle [1] 50/24	summary [1] 75/8
109/20 193/6	31/23	3/16	Stuart [3] 119/18	summer [1] 93/11
specialise [1] 2/13	stand [3] 40/22	step [6] 83/16 99/24	123/3 123/10	superior [1] 116/12
specialised [1] 2/5	116/19 135/21	108/3 108/3 171/25	Stuart Marks [2]	supervision [1]
specialist [5] 2/24	standardisation [1]	185/18	119/18 123/3	60/23
72/3 157/4 190/7	184/20	Stephenson [1]	stuck [3] 11/13 11/18	
196/14	standards [2] 61/20	158/24	57/2	24/1 53/13 66/9 67/17
specific [5] 44/3 44/3	68/14	steps [4] 33/17 55/9	study [1] 172/23	69/22 90/24 105/22
44/4 153/23 166/4	standing [2] 120/13	56/9 172/1	stuff [11] 19/4 19/18	107/6 107/10 107/13
specifically [6] 2/23	131/16	Sterling [1] 1/25	19/21 22/20 35/6	107/22 108/11 119/7
109/16 163/2 164/24 169/20 172/9	start [20] 1/18 5/5 5/7		35/24 36/25 86/15	122/3 127/19 127/21
specification [9]	5/11 7/12 10/3 10/5	35/5 39/22 45/13	115/23 116/14 168/5	127/24 135/5 135/6
68/13 81/2 81/3 81/5	14/25 58/8 95/24	53/22 62/24 62/24	subcategory [2] 85/6	135/7 138/21 146/12
194/16 194/18 194/19	96/12 139/19 146/6	84/9 89/24 90/4	85/7	146/12 146/16 146/17
195/20 196/1	156/22 163/23 180/8	138/21 181/16 182/23		168/15 180/17 180/18
specifications [9]	180/10 181/20 186/10		169/5 173/7 177/2	184/15 193/21
81/4 165/25 166/2	186/25	117/21 159/17 159/19		suppliers [51] 8/20
193/2 193/3 193/6	started [14] 1/19	168/7 168/16 196/11	submarines [1]	14/25 17/21 21/9 21/9
193/7 193/8 195/16	1/24 13/16 71/6 78/23		86/16	21/12 28/2 53/1 67/11
specs [4] 64/4 64/5		stopped [1] 117/25	submitted [2] 77/22	68/15 71/10 71/25
64/5 64/6		storage [1] 100/10	149/3	81/13 82/10 86/21
speed [21] 24/9 48/4	170/12 171/4 171/5	stories [1] 126/20	suboptimal [1] 92/25	87/4 89/21 106/6
52/15 52/15 55/3 56/2	starting [6] 4/15 23/5		subsequent [2]	109/2 124/20 124/21
56/4 56/12 56/24 57/5	82/5 88/8 97/17	straight [2] 163/21	66/11 184/6	129/16 132/11 146/9
68/3 70/23 71/3	166/13	183/8	subsequently [1]	146/25 147/1 147/3
137/18 140/19 140/22	starts [1] 26/25	straightaway [2]	35/7	147/4 147/8 147/13
141/4 143/15 143/18	state [7] 1/9 42/16	26/12 175/7	subset [1] 21/12	147/17 147/17 147/22
144/10 154/23	65/12 76/14 146/21	straightforward [2]	subsets [1] 51/2	147/23 149/18 153/14
speedily [2] 56/6	146/23 179/11	121/12 146/14	subsided [1] 13/24	154/6 159/16 160/18
192/4	stated [2] 42/1	strain [2] 66/16	subsidiary [3] 122/1	165/13 168/7 169/22
	190/21	153/23	122/2 122/18	171/7 172/10 179/5

(79) sort - suppliers

S	171/21 186/6	67/11 68/7 68/16 70/1	tenure [1] 66/18	193/2 197/7 197/8
suppliers [6] 179/6	Т	70/5 70/7 70/22 71/1	term [12] 2/1 15/7	197/19 197/22 197/22
180/9 180/23 181/9		71/10 71/25 72/3	15/7 34/14 34/16 35/1	197/25
181/19 194/21	table [3] 122/14	72/14 72/18 81/13	35/12 36/1 36/3 36/17	that [1048]
supply [13] 9/24 89/6	146/7 146/9	84/1 86/22 87/4 87/8	168/16 171/23	that I [3] 52/16 86/5
114/2 126/21 126/25	take [38] 25/2 25/3 35/18 41/6 45/17	89/21 91/20 92/20	termed [1] 175/12	128/17
159/14 160/9 172/17	46/22 55/8 62/8 67/9	92/24 96/22 97/22	terminology [2] 162/1 162/1	that it didn't [1]
178/8 178/9 178/23	67/15 73/20 75/1	97/24 102/16 108/2 109/4 109/5 109/22	terms [33] 5/25 23/4	115/17 that's [118] 2/17 3/9
179/4 194/21	82/25 83/2 91/6	111/16 112/6 112/7	33/17 37/14 52/13	3/22 6/2 6/11 7/4 9/15
supplying [1] 195/3	106/24 111/12 114/14		52/22 54/21 55/4	10/23 13/10 13/14
support [4] 9/6 9/8	115/20 117/20 118/4	113/6 116/12 116/24	57/22 60/6 68/5 71/11	15/6 16/25 18/17
162/20 171/8	119/1 119/22 122/13	135/4 152/5 153/10	74/4 78/7 101/8 117/6	19/19 20/17 22/24
supporting [1] 82/2	132/14 141/6 148/1	157/2 157/22 158/18	123/4 138/22 149/25	23/2 24/9 30/2 30/13
supports [1] 144/1 suppose [1] 145/25	151/3 153/22 154/5	161/15 161/20 162/12	150/15 158/10 161/20	31/12 31/20 32/24
sure [33] 1/23 7/7	155/9 155/9 155/14	162/16 163/10 164/5	165/6 168/20 169/9	36/22 38/22 39/13
26/14 30/24 31/10	158/3 165/23 177/1	166/18 167/18 169/1	172/25 184/11 185/20	39/16 40/17 41/4 42/9
39/19 41/12 41/18	177/23 186/11	170/12 171/5 171/5	186/21 187/9 189/6	46/12 46/19 47/19
52/1 52/8 64/3 68/13	taken [9] 28/15 66/20	171/7 171/19 173/23	194/20 195/2	48/11 50/6 50/17 51/2
72/16 75/18 81/16	75/2 103/18 114/15 128/2 154/13 179/8	175/9 176/22 176/23	terrific [1] 17/6	56/7 56/20 56/21
90/14 125/14 148/7	184/21	177/6 177/6 177/8 178/6 178/11 178/17	Tesco [1] 165/1	56/23 57/7 57/12 61/1 63/2 63/4 63/5 63/9
155/10 160/17 162/6	takes [3] 30/18 55/21	178/18 179/7 180/5	test [5] 20/21 104/6 104/6 135/21 153/12	65/11 65/25 66/10
162/20 163/1 164/11	157/16	180/6 181/20 181/20	tested [1] 6/4	69/7 69/9 73/15 73/24
167/20 170/6 174/24	taking [2] 81/9 90/21	188/1 190/8 191/7	testing [1] 166/11	74/19 76/4 76/10 79/1
188/8 189/3 189/4	talk [14] 26/21 78/19	192/14 197/11	text [1] 87/20	79/3 79/24 80/9 80/14
189/7 189/12 190/17	79/7 79/10 90/3 90/17	team's [1] 33/23	than [52] 1/23 5/8	89/17 90/3 96/2 97/5
surprise [1] 54/15	91/13 109/2 135/5	teams [16] 18/20	8/20 13/6 29/22 30/9	97/25 98/6 98/10 99/2
surprised [1] 53/10 survey [2] 49/21	135/5 143/14 160/25	23/13 28/7 28/10	31/9 35/15 36/13	99/16 100/5 100/17
139/14	182/13 183/9	32/23 32/24 43/14	36/21 37/8 40/8 44/10	103/20 105/13 108/25
surveyed [1] 54/4	talked [11] 5/25	66/21 89/9 89/16	46/12 51/18 52/6	110/10 110/23 111/21
suspect [1] 53/6	85/15 90/6 107/3	89/20 108/2 111/22	52/17 62/18 70/7	113/10 113/12 118/12
swiftest [1] 119/2	108/4 115/5 145/8 166/9 172/20 188/5	111/24 168/9 196/10	78/24 80/19 84/12	126/7 128/15 129/1
swim [1] 196/22	188/6	technical [28] 11/13 11/17 48/12 48/15	88/24 89/13 90/12 91/9 93/16 94/17 97/7	138/13 138/18 139/24 142/16 143/22 144/14
sworn [7] 1/6 65/7	talking [20] 30/17	55/11 57/4 57/6 57/8	98/11 98/13 100/9	144/17 147/10 147/22
95/19 156/7 199/10	38/4 56/4 101/8	68/11 72/21 80/23	100/17 122/19 123/1	148/8 149/20 151/16
199/13 199/18	106/24 108/16 109/2	83/8 89/14 90/10	133/21 134/1 137/16	152/23 156/11 156/13
symptomatic [1]	130/3 133/18 149/18	108/6 108/7 108/8	138/5 138/6 138/11	156/25 157/7 163/17
46/5	153/13 172/9 179/24	111/11 127/10 140/22		163/17 165/15 165/15
system [47] 10/18 11/18 13/9 13/10	180/17 180/18 181/19		152/11 154/24 165/9	166/24 172/11 172/24
18/23 18/25 23/21	181/21 183/18 193/12		170/19 175/22 178/13	179/24 182/4 182/25
25/3 27/21 29/22	197/1	195/13 196/13	178/17 188/20	188/12 188/21 189/18
30/12 32/5 40/22	talks [3] 21/3 58/2	technicalities [1]	thank [73] 1/11 1/17	194/8 196/2
43/14 43/18 45/11	161/8	2/12	5/13 7/16 12/5 14/16	their [30] 15/2 24/3
46/16 48/6 53/14	target [1] 23/12 targeted [2] 23/8	technically [1] 1/22 technology [3] 99/20	17/11 20/17 31/24 37/13 41/13 51/24	27/4 37/23 38/1 42/6 44/23 49/1 50/22
67/17 84/15 85/16	85/3	99/21 168/1	58/6 58/11 60/25 61/2	
88/7 89/2 90/8 90/11	targets [1] 100/16	telephone [2] 105/11	64/11 64/12 64/21	90/1 106/6 128/14
90/19 90/20 90/22	task [7] 15/23 22/24	105/19	64/23 64/25 65/11	131/24 132/2 143/24
91/11 91/16 93/2	103/18 104/14 111/4	telescope [2] 53/24	86/23 87/1 94/19	159/1 159/1 159/16
93/12 93/12 93/16 94/9 95/4 100/2	117/2 118/20	135/15	94/21 94/25 95/1 95/2	169/22 169/23 171/20
114/13 144/5 144/9	tasked [1] 8/13	television [1] 131/16	95/3 95/7 95/8 96/12	171/21 173/23 186/20
153/12 159/13 163/14	taught [1] 117/3	tell [13] 4/6 31/3 36/6		188/18 193/9
163/24 167/24 184/4	tea [1] 158/9	70/25 100/1 105/10	102/23 105/9 117/5	them [66] 3/22 4/16
systematic [1] 16/20	team [110] 2/24 3/2	105/21 106/5 106/20	136/3 141/9 142/16	8/11 13/25 15/4 17/24
systematically [1]	3/5 3/25 13/6 16/17 16/20 16/22 17/3 17/6	111/25 119/9 158/10 175/4	145/14 145/14 145/19	18/19 19/9 19/13 21/6
45/6	24/19 25/25 27/2	ten [3] 21/9 57/19	145/21 145/22 149/15 150/8 151/18 151/19	21/8 24/5 27/3 27/4 29/25 40/19 44/14
systems [17] 26/21	28/12 29/24 31/21	165/2	155/3 155/18 155/19	44/25 44/25 45/6
65/22 74/3 87/15 89/5	34/14 40/9 48/18	ten years [1] 165/2	155/21 155/23 155/24	47/17 49/24 49/24
89/25 90/2 90/3 90/18	55/24 57/9 57/9 59/11	tender [1] 193/22	156/11 174/13 177/1	50/3 50/9 54/4 54/25
91/12 91/15 98/25	59/25 60/17 60/22	tending [1] 101/25	182/4 185/23 186/2	58/3 60/1 67/20 68/1
99/17 99/19 162/20	62/9 64/20 66/2 66/9	tenfold [1] 146/2	191/14 191/15 191/19	

(80) suppliers... - them

Т	184/24	thing [24] 5/24 8/19	134/16 136/5 136/13	188/19 188/23
them [32] 90/21	thereby [1] 38/12	15/19 19/15 21/2 23/2		throw [1] 190/5
91/18 103/8 116/25	therefore [9] 29/15	27/5 39/3 40/11 41/5	140/7 147/7 152/24	thrown [1] 189/20
121/1 121/3 126/5	30/5 50/10 58/20	46/19 57/8 60/3 82/12	157/9 157/12 160/6	Thursday [1] 1/1
126/5 126/6 127/17	76/23 79/20 84/13	82/25 83/2 110/23	168/25 170/20 171/22	thus [1] 135/9
128/18 132/6 136/6	188/20 192/16	149/16 152/19 154/21	172/1 175/21 175/23	time [131] 4/2 4/4 4/8
137/3 138/12 143/5	these [38] 11/20	159/4 167/1 180/14	176/18 182/17 183/13	I I
143/14 152/25 159/13	44/10 46/19 61/18	184/8	184/21 185/23 188/2	17/15 17/17 18/7 18/8
161/14 165/9 167/21	61/23 61/25 63/13	things [56] 9/20	188/11 188/19 189/10	18/10 18/13 19/22
168/13 173/4 176/16	75/6 79/15 80/3 80/7 83/17 100/11 103/4	12/14 18/17 18/22 19/3 19/15 19/19	190/5 190/15 193/5 193/7	21/11 21/25 22/9 22/10 22/11 22/18
176/19 176/24 178/19	103/21 108/15 110/16	20/13 20/18 20/19	though [5] 2/7 4/12	23/10 24/2 24/5 26/22
180/8 183/10 186/5	121/23 127/20 129/2	21/10 23/7 23/18	15/9 43/7 47/23	27/2 27/17 28/19
196/25	132/10 134/20 137/2	23/24 24/25 25/8	thought [23] 6/8 8/5	28/19 29/22 29/24
theme [1] 12/18	143/6 143/12 144/2	25/15 26/25 40/13	8/6 13/20 24/24 26/3	30/6 33/22 34/25
themselves [2]	146/11 146/20 146/24	46/6 46/13 46/22	31/8 34/15 35/7 36/24	35/20 37/4 39/2 39/14
127/13 185/2 then [105] 1/25 3/1	150/20 150/21 151/6	50/13 51/25 59/16	49/19 49/20 78/7	39/19 42/2 46/8 47/12
3/11 4/14 6/20 8/23	166/2 166/5 168/20	63/17 81/19 81/25	102/5 110/18 112/11	47/13 47/24 48/25
8/25 10/5 10/17 10/24	169/9 170/3 196/18	82/3 82/7 82/8 86/7	114/17 125/21 126/6	51/4 62/23 63/22
16/21 18/10 18/25	they [105] 3/20 8/22	86/15 87/19 88/6 93/6		64/14 69/20 70/3 71/5
19/19 20/1 21/2 22/5	8/25 8/25 14/23 14/25	109/23 133/10 135/22		71/5 71/20 71/21
22/5 22/12 22/15	16/18 19/18 20/23		thousand [3] 136/8	78/10 78/24 80/5
22/21 23/6 26/3 26/18	24/3 24/4 24/21 30/11	155/6 158/23 160/7	164/12 171/1	80/11 81/4 81/16
28/2 28/22 34/7 35/23	37/23 38/1 38/23 39/16 39/17 42/9	160/24 163/1 171/25 180/21 181/18 185/4	thousands [2] 67/24 170/3	81/18 83/17 83/20 85/13 86/1 86/8 86/22
46/5 46/13 46/13	42/10 43/3 47/20 54/6	188/4 188/8 189/5	threaten [1] 106/6	87/15 87/16 92/16
46/14 46/16 49/3 49/6	55/9 55/10 55/10	194/11 194/16	threatened [1]	99/5 100/22 101/21
50/14 50/21 52/10	55/12 55/13 56/11	think [217]	109/17	102/16 103/19 106/16
54/16 55/15 56/12	56/12 56/23 62/23	thinking [12] 10/3	threats [2] 106/4	108/22 111/8 115/12
56/18 56/22 59/20	64/6 67/18 68/13	10/5 56/12 56/23	109/14	119/6 127/24 129/24
62/20 62/20 63/25 65/23 66/1 66/8 67/24	69/19 69/20 71/11	59/20 59/24 60/1	three [17] 15/3 28/19	130/11 132/22 137/23
70/21 72/21 73/10	71/12 71/15 77/4	90/12 161/24 164/24	67/18 72/20 72/22	141/6 141/18 141/22
74/23 75/3 75/16 76/6	77/24 80/18 80/19	175/9 195/23	74/22 78/20 79/4 79/9	141/23 141/25 142/3
76/15 78/4 78/9 78/18	81/5 90/1 90/6 90/7	third [6] 24/2 29/10	81/23 90/25 91/12	142/22 142/24 142/24
81/7 83/25 89/10 90/2	90/7 91/12 91/13 96/9		118/21 143/22 143/22	143/19 143/25 145/1
90/22 90/24 91/1	99/5 106/5 106/6	111/9	162/10 190/18	154/13 154/15 155/15
93/10 97/18 98/4	108/1 110/7 111/25	thirds [4] 49/24 50/2	three years [1]	157/16 157/18 158/15
100/8 108/6 110/11	114/17 116/25 117/12 117/25 118/2 118/11	this [272]	190/18 three-way [1] 90/25	159/22 160/4 161/24 163/10 163/13 163/24
121/22 123/21 124/11	121/5 121/19 125/8	Thomas [1] 61/2	threshold [1] 50/25	166/3 167/4 167/5
127/8 138/2 138/20	126/3 127/14 127/15		through [82] 7/21	167/5 167/7 171/1
139/14 139/25 141/14	131/23 137/3 137/4	those [108] 3/20 6/16		175/14 182/18 184/2
145/13 147/3 148/12	137/6 137/6 138/8	7/12 7/17 8/1 15/14	8/17 8/20 8/21 8/24	184/15 185/14 186/22
153/5 154/10 155/21 158/9 161/18 162/22	138/14 142/1 143/7	18/6 18/10 18/22 30/4	9/25 11/17 13/8 16/21	187/5 187/6 188/10
168/22 171/15 172/2	143/23 144/2 144/3	30/7 30/10 30/20	18/3 24/1 25/8 28/3	190/2 191/1 193/8
172/4 172/14 174/19	158/12 159/2 159/11	37/16 38/20 44/13	34/4 35/4 35/25 36/25	
175/11 177/8 177/22	159/19 166/1 169/3		43/2 43/4 43/14 43/17	197/4
181/10 183/1 189/1	170/7 170/8 170/9	58/5 64/4 64/13 64/17		times [14] 5/23 32/18
there [245]	171/5 171/15 171/21	67/9 67/14 68/6 68/25		53/15 57/16 57/19
there's [43] 8/10 9/14	172/16 173/5 174/16 175/20 177/15 179/7	69/1 69/19 70/8 71/22 72/2 72/22 78/20 79/4		61/7 77/9 79/25 107/3 141/17 169/22 183/6
9/15 9/16 14/3 15/20	186/6 188/18 193/7	79/9 79/21 79/22	71/11 71/12 72/13	183/10 184/12
18/17 24/6 45/14	193/11 196/24	79/23 80/14 81/6	73/20 75/18 78/19	timescale [1] 194/13
46/21 51/24 59/16	they'd [5] 8/24 14/17	81/25 83/23 84/7	78/23 79/7 79/7 79/18	
86/9 88/24 91/1	42/10 159/17 159/18	87/19 90/3 90/12	80/22 83/7 89/13	today [16] 4/9 12/24
102/17 103/11 108/6 130/13 131/13 131/15	they dre [4 5] 11/16	90/14 91/4 91/12 94/1	90/12 90/21 91/4 91/8	
131/25 132/4 136/7	11/17 20/22 21/6 35/2	94/1 99/9 99/15 99/16		89/24 90/5 95/18 98/8
136/9 136/23 140/21	50/24 75/2 111/12	101/5 101/9 101/12	112/3 112/14 123/24	99/10 122/15 138/25
143/2 143/9 143/13	111/13 112/1 138/11	103/13 105/25 107/5	129/5 129/16 129/17	155/15 157/8 169/15
143/15 145/16 150/6	165/17 165/17 170/8	108/14 109/14 112/6	140/4 143/23 148/5	together [7] 24/13
150/9 150/11 152/17	170/9	117/13 118/10 119/22		24/20 91/12 162/24
155/7 162/2 168/2	they've [2] 16/18	121/1 125/17 126/23	152/20 157/16 165/23	
170/24 172/3 172/4	110/13 thick [1] 134/2	129/17 132/1 132/5 132/6 132/9 134/8	166/2 169/25 171/3 173/23 174/16 184/21	told [11] 10/21 13/16 37/3 42/5 42/10 42/11
		102/0 102/3 104/0	110/20 114/10 104/21	0110 72/0 72/ 10 42/ 11
				(81) them - told

(81) them... - told

Т	83/23 129/17 129/22	105/16 105/22 116/7	61/8 68/20 72/13	up [130] 3/10 4/1 4/2
-	130/2 130/21 131/2	119/1 134/14 134/19	107/12 110/15 118/17	
told [5] 52/8 58/15	131/4 131/4 182/11	137/22 137/25 138/5	134/18 152/16 166/13	
101/6 124/16 137/4	182/21 182/25 183/14	138/6 138/11 138/15	183/15 194/24 196/10	
tomorrow [1] 10/2	193/19	138/20 141/10 141/17	196/24 197/17	24/1 25/22 26/16
too [9] 14/13 38/15	Trello [1] 104/25	144/19 162/10 163/17	understandably [1]	26/18 26/25 27/5
81/17 82/22 88/18	triage [13] 14/14	164/10 164/13 170/11	5/2	30/11 39/9 43/13
132/20 175/4 192/17	18/18 18/22 35/3	180/21 194/11	understanding [9]	43/18 44/15 44/18
193/25	43/14 43/18 43/19	two minutes [1]	41/17 43/16 69/12	46/14 46/15 47/16
took [9] 33/17 73/25	55/10 87/8 113/3	105/22	89/11 89/14 140/11	48/9 52/19 54/10
97/21 119/20 122/25	118/2 144/16 144/18	two months [2]	176/25 186/21 187/10	
141/20 141/25 170/4	triaged [2] 92/15	164/10 164/13	understood [5] 3/1	58/15 59/3 59/15
174/14	118/2	two weeks [7] 52/17	56/2 102/23 150/19	59/25 60/7 60/14 62/3
tool [3] 105/3 172/22	triaging [2] 5/25	52/19 137/22 137/25	193/8	63/1 64/9 66/23 73/2
195/19	114/23	138/11 138/15 138/20	undertake [5] 120/14	74/22 75/4 76/11
tools [1] 195/14	tried [5] 82/4 133/9	two-thirds [4] 49/24	135/2 135/3 170/2	76/15 77/1 77/18
top [6] 5/14 75/16	183/15 191/12 193/4	50/2 134/14 134/19	172/22	77/25 78/13 78/20
84/9 106/4 148/9 177/22	trouble [1] 23/17	two-week [1] 144/19	undertaken [3] 78/1	79/9 79/22 81/20 82/7
-	true [11] 1/15 9/3	tying [2] 56/14 87/15	125/17 163/14	84/3 84/14 84/25
topic [4] 183/25 187/4 190/21 196/3	18/17 39/13 51/3 63/9	,	unequal [11] 6/13	86/23 87/15 89/21
	65/17 96/9 110/10	94/14 126/25	36/11 129/17 129/22	91/5 91/11 92/1 92/6
topics [1] 3/23	144/17 156/19	Type IIR [2] 78/9	130/2 131/2 131/4	92/22 93/14 98/17
tornado [1] 20/14 Tory [1] 125/1	Trump [1] 168/15	126/25	131/4 183/14 184/23	103/18 104/6 105/10
touch [5] 56/13 56/15	trust [2] 180/17	typed [1] 116/10	185/2	116/10 119/4 131/16
68/18 87/4 115/15	196/15	types [3] 161/9	unfair [1] 59/25	135/21 137/4 143/6
touched [1] 41/20	trusts [2] 68/25	166/15 176/19	unfairness [1] 6/5	143/10 143/11 143/14
tough [2] 161/17	74/16	typically [1] 86/10	unfolded [1] 192/1	144/14 145/13 147/25
161/17	trustworthy [2] 76/23		unfortunately [3]	154/14 155/14 158/14
towards [5] 6/22 9/8	1110		63/7 167/4 185/15	159/13 159/14 160/3
20/2 121/9 128/11	truth [1] 100/5	UK [16] 2/10 58/13	unhappy [1] 34/8	161/2 162/3 162/11
track [1] 174/15	try [15] 3/15 4/3 14/4	89/22 91/4 122/4	unhelpful [3] 34/16	163/14 163/19 165/20
tracked [1] 91/8	24/21 67/6 82/10	127/20 127/23 133/18		169/11 170/5 171/8
tracking [3] 91/1	105/23 108/12 133/4	152/18 167/18 170/8	unique [5] 49/2 90/3	173/15 173/20 173/22
91/4 91/14	141/16 154/16 154/24	177/6 181/7 183/5	91/7 91/17 192/3	173/24 174/1 174/5
Trade [7] 75/25 76/3	173/3 184/7 185/5	186/5 194/14	Uniserve [1] 91/1	174/25 175/4 176/11
76/13 76/18 78/17	trying [29] 5/17 13/7	UK's [1] 186/24	Uniserve's [1] 89/6	176/15 177/11 180/24
79/5 82/21	22/7 23/7 40/5 40/12	UKHSA [1] 190/8	unit [1] 97/1	181/4 181/8 182/1
traditional [1] 61/10	56/13 60/13 64/21	ultimately [5] 70/20	United [2] 17/22	186/7 186/18 186/20
training [3] 153/5	67/5 69/22 81/20 84/2		168/24	187/6 187/9 190/11
188/7 188/7	85/19 92/22 109/22	181/1	unlawful [2] 6/6	190/23 191/1 191/10
transactional [1] 2/8	119/16 137/19 152/22		36/15	192/10 195/13 196/25
Transactions [6]	155/22 159/18 160/23		unless [3] 109/23	update [1] 175/24
2/24 3/5 66/2 96/21		unacceptable [1] 24/4	122/7 138/7	updated [2] 99/13
102/16 157/1	169/1 169/13 176/21	unaware [1] 119/6	unlike [1] 80/3	148/12
transcript [3] 5/9	188/10	unblocking [1] 67/8	unlikely [1] 8/5	updates [18] 24/23 25/7 25/7 31/18 39/5
6/21 149/7	tunnel [1] 11/12 turn [9] 43/6 67/10	uncomfortable [1]	unpack [1] 58/24 unproductive [1]	44/3 44/11 53/1 53/5
transferred [1] 3/11	123/16 124/24 137/3	81/7	117/14	53/8 53/13 69/12
translate [1] 117/17	167/13 167/19 169/5	uncommon [3] 106/9	unrealistic [1]	69/22 70/13 111/2
translates [1] 150/15	173/7	106/9 106/11	182/20	139/1 139/5 139/10
transparency [2]	turned [5] 81/14	under [16] 17/6	unserious [1] 145/9	upfront [3] 113/8
83/18 182/25	146/21 161/2 162/11	28/18 67/4 73/17	unsolicited [1]	185/13 185/13
transparent [2]	192/10	73/22 76/14 77/22	131/18	upon [4] 33/18 38/8
121/15 184/5	Turning [2] 69/24	83/19 87/5 92/13	unstable [1] 153/6	138/25 157/13
travel [3] 168/4	177/2	130/17 164/5 194/8	unstuck [2] 11/20	upstream [1] 196/23
168/11 190/19	turns [1] 192/17	194/9 194/24 195/7	11/20	upwards [2] 75/6
Treasurer [3] 119/19	two [51] 1/20 3/20	undermine [1] 103/6	until [13] 4/19 35/25	75/16
123/12 125/2	5/9 6/21 7/4 8/9 9/14	undermined [1] 7/9	66/22 78/22 92/16	urgency [3] 61/9
treated [5] 54/18	12/19 14/7 30/14 46/6			159/3 191/23
71/9 140/3 144/3	49/24 50/2 50/13	136/6 137/9	111/3 156/10 170/11	us [64] 4/6 8/24 9/1
144/4	50/13 51/2 52/17	understand [25] 6/10		10/14 10/22 13/16
treatment [21] 6/14 33/2 36/11 36/14 55/5	52/19 54/8 67/7 69/14	7/10 12/22 13/23	unusual [2] 135/14	17/15 17/16 22/12
55/20 56/3 56/16	69/19 77/1 77/5 80/9	17/20 22/1 28/25	158/1	30/18 31/3 38/17
	83/14 96/6 101/24	39/22 41/1 47/6 55/3	unwanted [1] 27/7	39/16 40/4 43/17 45/1
	L	L		

(82) told... - us

U	ventilators [1]	22/17 23/19 25/5	164/8	63/4 69/1 71/8 73/2
us [48] 48/19 55/21	114/17	25/12 25/22 26/7 26/8		84/3 86/13 87/7 88/15
55/23 58/15 66/17	version [1] 100/5	26/9 27/21 28/3 28/10		89/19 90/25 91/11
67/2 76/7 78/19 79/7	versus [3] 79/16 84/9	28/12 29/16 29/18	132/25 133/20 192/24	91/23 92/5 92/9 102/5
87/9 89/17 90/12	135/20	29/25 30/7 30/17	volunteered [1]	104/11 111/18 111/19
93/24 93/25 100/1	very [121] 1/24 4/23	30/19 33/18 34/14	102/14	119/2 119/11 119/20
105/10 105/21 106/5	5/7 6/2 6/6 6/22 8/14	34/16 34/18 34/19	volunteers [4] 26/19	128/19 132/1 132/5
122/5 128/3 131/17	8/19 9/4 9/5 9/10 9/12	35/1 35/2 35/12 35/12	32/21 32/22 98/17	132/9 132/12 136/11
135/15 136/24 146/5	13/14 17/5 19/16 20/7	35/17 36/18 37/14	vouch [1] 119/21	143/6 144/4 145/14
150/4 154/5 155/16	25/18 33/7 40/11	38/20 39/12 41/21	vouched [3] 76/17	151/21 163/20 171/9
160/14 161/5 162/20	40/15 42/14 43/5 43/5	43/1 45/15 46/4 47/4	78/17 79/5	171/10 175/21 186/5
163/3 163/22 165/18	47/15 48/9 52/20 58/6	48/15 53/4 54/2 57/20	vouching [1] 82/20	191/8 196/8
166/7 168/10 168/18	62/20 63/22 65/1	57/20 58/15 58/19	W	ways [6] 8/9 15/21
169/13 170/11 171/8	66/19 80/8 81/24	58/23 85/22 85/23		17/14 22/23 183/2
173/21 179/9 180/15	82/17 83/15 86/18	98/15 98/16 99/9	wait [1] 44/17	185/19
191/2 192/18 193/3	92/25 94/16 94/25	101/8 101/9 103/9	waiting [2] 65/9	we [429]
194/21 195/20 196/24	95/8 95/13 96/12 97/3	105/16 106/11 106/15		we'd [16] 4/14 5/24
	98/9 99/9 100/14	107/9 107/10 107/14	Wald [3] 1/3 125/15	31/14 31/16 41/4 45/7
use [12] 1/10 5/19	104/1 104/8 104/8	112/14 112/25 113/9	141/7	67/21 77/5 84/6 84/14
24/10 25/18 36/17	105/17 106/19 106/19	113/11 114/8 117/6	walked [1] 171/3	129/1 165/25 171/3
89/5 105/8 114/22	117/5 124/13 128/24	117/11 117/14 117/18		175/2 179/14 188/7
115/6 130/9 131/1	130/13 131/18 131/18	117/24 118/15 122/24		we'll [14] 3/25 49/15
172/6	134/10 142/16 142/21	123/1 123/24 125/18	18/20 19/20 19/20	82/16 99/3 113/1
used [14] 15/7 38/1	143/2 144/4 144/4	129/12 132/18 132/20	32/3 33/16 41/6 46/25	118/13 135/16 154/5
38/4 63/24 76/22	151/13 151/18 152/17	133/14 144/9 178/4	72/24 95/10 101/14	154/6 154/7 160/17
102/19 102/20 104/25	152/17 155/3 155/3	178/10	115/20 116/1 116/6	162/20 167/8 193/14
116/24 130/10 146/15	155/19 155/23 156/1	VIP Lane [63] 5/18	118/9 120/1 131/11	we're [30] 1/11 14/11
152/5 179/17 192/20	156/10 157/25 158/9	7/9 7/14 10/11 11/5	132/3 133/5 146/3	14/19 18/18 19/2
useful [3] 52/1	159/1 159/2 159/4	12/8 14/6 15/13 17/10		24/15 30/17 32/12
110/18 133/1	159/17 159/17 159/23	18/16 18/18 19/24	177/12 186/7 196/24	40/7 49/22 52/13
users [1] 167/7	160/4 160/17 164/18	21/17 22/6 22/17	wanted [16] 34/10	63/19 101/6 101/8
using [7] 77/23 92/20	165/6 165/6 165/10	23/19 25/12 25/22	67/25 77/3 100/6	108/16 119/4 130/3
116/18 153/19 158/13	165/10 166/6 166/15	26/7 26/8 27/21 28/3	101/2 109/8 129/13	130/9 130/19 133/3
166/3 193/9	169/4 169/5 170/10	28/10 28/12 29/16	132/25 133/7 145/19	137/5 140/23 144/23
usual [5] 80/4 187/15	170/14 172/3 172/22	29/25 30/17 33/18	155/13 158/16 165/12	149/18 163/6 163/7
188/16 188/18 189/14	174/17 179/9 179/12	35/12 35/17 37/14	168/4 168/6 176/21	175/25 176/1 180/12
usually [2] 152/20	184/14 185/10 185/23	38/20 41/21 45/15	wanting [1] 60/10	181/21
173/15	186/2 186/3 186/8	46/4 47/4 48/15 53/4	wants [1] 125/15	we've [27] 3/7 5/22
utilising [1] 171/22	186/9 187/17 187/17	58/15 58/19 58/23	Ward [1] 95/17	6/4 14/19 28/6 35/8
V	189/1 189/2 190/6	85/22 98/15 98/16	warned [1] 156/9	41/19 52/22 54/16
	190/6 190/21 191/14	99/9 101/8 101/9	warning [1] 26/18	58/8 63/8 63/20 80/16
vaccines [1] 104/8	193/14 196/3 197/3	103/9 105/16 106/11	was [603]	82/9 88/6 115/20
valid [3] 6/2 138/9	197/6 197/19 197/23		was [000] was trying [1] 176/21	139/21 140/1 146/15
138/14			was it ying [1] 170/21 wasn't [45] 5/23 26/2	148/25 156/12 163/5
validating [1] 68/11	VFM [1] 56/10	114/8 117/6 118/15	27/1 34/24 36/25	
valuable [2] 68/25	via [7] 42/5 47/4	122/24 123/1 123/24 125/18 132/18 132/20		163/18 168/8 178/22 188/5 188/6
122/7	68/17 118/11 126/16		49/6 52/1 52/8 57/6	
value [8] 35/21 55/4	161/3 173/13	133/14		Weatherby [8] 58/8
56/2 73/9 78/10	viability [1] 172/23	VIPs [2] 33/22 111/19	73/23 76/8 83/2 91/23	58/10 61/2 185/25
122/11 137/15 151/13	viable [2] 112/10	viral [1] 145/12	92/16 97/8 102/3	186/1 191/15 199/7
vantage [3] 67/10	117/12	virtue [1] 62/14	109/4 127/9 139/13	199/20
68/15 71/1	video [1] 99/4	visibility [3] 19/9		web [1] 20/23
variant [1] 94/18	view [13] 20/6 43/23	94/1 94/6	141/1 142/2 153/17	webform [9] 42/5
variants [2] 195/24	53/24 53/25 111/23	vision [1] 11/12	154/3 154/19 161/11	42/11 42/12 42/17
196/11	125/3 137/17 176/5	visit [2] 167/7 168/21	163/4 163/4 164/11	55/14 55/16 87/5
variation [1] 12/17	176/8 176/9 176/13	visiting [1] 166/11		87/12 88/4
various [10] 19/11	182/24 182/24	vital [4] 24/9 48/4		website [3] 121/17
20/3 24/13 64/17 75/9	Vincent [3] 127/1	67/2 67/6	178/16 179/3 184/1	148/10 170/7
89/5 89/20 109/14	127/3 127/5	vivid [1] 165/19	188/24 189/17	wee [1] 53/20
161/3 173/14	VIP [102] 5/18 7/9	volatile [2] 86/2	watch [1] 20/14	week [10] 20/25 21/5
vast [2] 14/9 23/6	7/14 10/11 11/5 12/8	86/17	way [53] 1/18 5/22	38/16 40/10 66/19
vastly [1] 23/5	12/20 12/20 13/21	volume [12] 16/14	9/19 11/20 15/18	66/22 101/24 120/20
Ventilator [2] 114/15	14/1 14/6 15/13 17/10	18/21 19/3 19/21 35/6		144/19 158/20
114/15	18/4 18/6 18/16 18/18	94/13 94/13 94/14	26/19 32/19 32/25	weeks [26] 5/1 52/17
	19/24 21/17 22/6 22/9	104/19 104/24 143/2	35/4 47/13 59/6 61/7	52/19 75/21 77/1 77/5
				(82) ug waaka

(83) us... - weeks

W	27/3 29/12 35/14	56/17 58/2 61/14	123/16 125/17 128/9	47/6 51/15 53/4 55/13
weeks [20] 94/16	35/18 35/20 44/20	63/21 67/15 67/17	134/16 135/6 136/24	56/14 56/15 69/13
102/9 113/14 113/15	48/14 50/17 53/9	68/3 68/6 69/17 69/24		71/9 82/21 88/4 88/5
137/22 137/25 138/5	53/12 54/10 62/16	70/1 70/2 70/12 70/24		97/24 98/9 98/15
138/6 138/11 138/15	69/10 73/21 73/25	71/5 71/23 71/24	152/23 153/3 153/22	98/16 103/9 105/13
138/20 142/23 142/25	75/10 76/14 78/20	72/10 73/1 73/3 74/5	154/2 158/8 158/19	106/7 106/11 106/22
143/9 143/22 161/15	80/4 85/2 85/4 91/1	74/6 75/11 75/25	159/6 162/16 168/9	116/12 129/5 131/8
163/18 170/5 170/11	96/19 97/17 99/24	77/23 78/2 78/3 79/10		133/17 135/20 137/18
180/7	101/20 102/2 109/2	81/5 83/3 83/7 83/11	172/17 172/17 173/22	144/23 149/25 157/9
weigh [1] 79/21	113/11 120/23 121/24	84/8 84/16 85/8 86/2	180/13 195/4	161/6 167/24 167/25
weighed [2] 78/13	126/23 131/14 141/18	86/19 86/21 87/2 87/7	who'd [1] 63/25	181/7 185/2 190/8
78/20	141/19 152/14 153/6	88/15 88/15 88/18	whoever [3] 15/5	191/9 194/15 194/20
weighing [1] 79/9	158/4 160/13 161/2	89/6 89/19 90/19	99/14 110/6	without [15] 18/15
weight [3] 82/22	165/1 166/21 176/6	90/22 91/11 91/20	whole [10] 22/8	24/17 24/17 24/23
82/22 83/1	179/24 183/22 192/10	91/21 91/23 92/14 94/15 96/8 99/3 99/4	26/15 27/5 41/5 46/15 55/8 71/2 103/23	24/23 26/7 33/25 48/1 111/10 120/21 127/17
weighting [1] 12/20	where [51] 4/10 4/11 6/22 7/19 10/1 10/1	99/13 100/3 100/4	183/5 185/21	127/18 129/4 129/8
well [63] 4/2 12/14	14/11 14/23 16/8	100/14 103/4 103/16	wholesalers [2]	129/8
12/24 13/14 22/5 23/8	16/11 17/19 21/3	108/2 108/10 109/1	152/21 188/19	witness [57] 1/4 1/4
23/9 24/4 25/13 34/13	24/21 42/22 43/3 43/8	109/9 109/12 110/20	whom [5] 3/14 4/7	1/5 1/12 3/14 4/6 4/8
35/8 36/22 38/6 38/16	45/18 46/12 46/21	114/14 117/11 117/13		7/18 29/10 49/13 62/4
42/10 44/14 45/17	50/19 51/2 56/15 63/2	117/14 119/4 123/9	whose [2] 70/18	65/6 65/16 67/7 68/9
51/23 51/24 52/9	63/5 63/9 63/16 64/7	124/17 127/2 132/14	108/17	69/3 70/23 77/19
56/24 59/16 60/10	67/24 68/7 69/12	132/25 133/19 134/14		81/22 82/1 82/17
62/12 65/1 70/20 72/1	72/22 85/15 87/14	135/18 137/15 138/1	13/10 15/4 16/25	83/11 95/9 95/18
72/15 78/22 79/10	91/2 91/3 102/25	138/25 139/14 141/19		103/3 108/14 115/5
80/9 95/13 97/8	107/7 112/3 117/8	141/24 143/2 143/16	32/24 33/15 35/2 37/4	117/8 119/3 123/9
100/20 107/9 107/24	130/7 130/9 138/11	144/16 147/20 149/2	39/16 44/19 47/19	126/12 130/23 131/8
112/7 116/13 119/12	144/14 159/7 161/19	150/23 157/20 158/9	52/15 57/25 58/4 83/3	133/11 134/13 137/1
125/16 125/21 130/8	170/25 176/2 179/7	159/7 160/2 160/12	100/1 101/12 101/15	141/2 145/10 146/6
133/17 134/9 135/12 135/14 137/24 140/11	181/14 184/17 186/7	160/13 161/8 162/20	108/23 119/9 154/4	149/1 149/2 155/25
141/6 141/7 148/9	whereas [3] 93/7	164/3 164/4 164/8	161/23 163/21 165/24	156/6 156/17 157/10
150/20 151/12 156/1	143/12 171/13	166/1 166/6 166/8	181/11 189/16 191/13	157/15 158/5 160/19
159/19 172/5 175/5	wherever [3] 66/25		wider [12] 13/8 13/10	164/2 167/14 173/10
188/2 193/14 196/3	168/5 168/5	168/2 168/21 168/23	38/14 74/10 76/24	181/24 183/21 184/12
197/3 197/6 197/23	whether [41] 5/3 5/10		83/7 84/1 84/22 89/23	185/8 186/9 186/12
Well remembered [1]	20/6 28/4 42/22 53/3	171/13 172/18 177/3	97/22 178/4 178/10	witnesses [7] 64/10
51/23	55/6 70/6 70/14 70/18		will [33] 3/16 10/6	97/14 107/24 115/16
went [18] 2/13 8/22	71/3 78/15 79/22	181/2 181/21 181/24	10/7 12/7 13/12 14/21	169/7 169/21 178/23
21/11 30/6 37/15 45/3	82/12 82/24 91/16	183/20 183/25 184/22		woke [1] 116/10
52/9 64/4 70/21 71/11	100/16 120/7 124/14	185/8 187/13 187/23	18/25 20/11 35/24	won't [6] 22/12 63/10
71/12 72/12 102/3	124/16 128/4 128/6	191/12 194/17 194/21 195/20 195/22 197/2		92/2 142/20 181/3 186/11
114/16 121/22 138/15	129/16 131/15 135/6 139/11 140/23 143/7	whichever [1] 96/3	43/13 45/12 46/11 46/12 50/13 50/18	wonder [11] 65/16
141/15 189/1	146/1 146/16 151/6	while [5] 77/21 119/7		
were [325]	158/11 165/16 165/17	162/24 166/17 171/6	109/22 119/25 126/9	86/23 91/25 92/5
weren't [14] 3/20 9/3	173/5 177/14 179/11	whizz [1] 43/17	136/25 138/21 146/4	153/18 156/18 181/25
26/18 30/15 34/8 43/4	180/15 187/19 190/3	whizzed [1] 43/14	149/1 183/25	wondering [2]
87/20 99/5 100/25	194/2	who [78] 2/11 8/12	Williams [3] 27/9	108/18 153/20
106/4 111/25 171/2	which [186] 1/25 3/7	20/22 21/3 23/1 23/4	28/25 37/16	Wood [18] 24/15
172/24 197/14	7/18 8/15 8/16 11/15	26/17 35/16 38/21	Williams' [3] 29/10	156/6 156/7 156/9
what [203]	11/22 12/9 12/12	38/25 39/3 39/4 39/4	98/1 120/20	156/14 156/16 156/17
what's [12] 9/17	12/17 13/5 14/6 14/9	39/15 39/17 40/1	window [1] 145/3	156/22 173/7 174/10
48/22 48/22 52/10	14/23 14/24 15/19	42/15 57/10 58/8	winnow [1] 117/21	177/2 181/23 182/5
57/11 85/9 112/1 128/25 136/16 150/2	19/12 20/14 20/17	59/25 62/21 63/18	wish [6] 96/5 115/1	185/23 186/3 191/20
150/8 176/1	21/4 21/11 22/8 22/19	64/17 67/20 67/22	138/25 141/2 155/8	197/9 199/18
whatever [7] 32/24	25/11 26/23 26/25	67/22 73/12 73/13	183/23	Wood's [1] 4/11
84/10 95/3 100/18	27/6 27/24 28/9 29/19	74/3 74/12 74/14	wit [1] 40/2	word [6] 5/17 5/19
100/20 110/7 131/25	34/5 34/18 35/11 36/2	75/23 98/7 102/15	withdrew [1] 155/25	27/7 91/9 159/19
when [58] 4/4 4/24	36/4 36/15 43/18	102/19 102/20 102/21	within [55] 2/21 3/2	164/17
8/3 8/3 9/21 11/12	45/20 46/3 46/23	106/25 107/1 108/17	18/5 18/18 19/1 19/2	words [4] 11/23
13/11 13/20 13/23	49/17 50/21 52/5	108/20 109/19 111/4	25/9 27/21 27/22 29/2	43/15 116/7 127/22
14/13 18/18 20/18	52/25 54/9 54/21	111/10 112/12 116/11		work [31] 3/6 6/25
	54/21 54/24 55/17	118/4 118/5 121/1	36/10 38/11 41/14	7/1 7/8 29/4 39/5
				(84) wooke work

(84) weeks... - work

W	47/8 48/9 49/19 49/20	Y	179/24 183/22 193/12	
work [25] 39/25	50/3 50/9 50/9 53/7	yeah [59] 10/12 11/3	194/23 196/21	155/14 155/19 156/14
100/14 102/14 102/25	53/20 56/4 56/6 57/19	17/9 31/2 51/14 89/23	you've [64] 5/11 7/7	156/19 156/22 157/10
104/19 104/24 106/1	60/6 61/24 62/16 64/1	111/9 114/21 134/15	10/21 11/12 19/13	158/5 159/20 159/25
110/21 111/14 115/10	64/7 65/12 66/21 70/6	134/22 144/23 157/3	21/16 21/22 22/6 25/8	160/19 163/10 164/2
146/18 153/22 155/6	70/14 70/19 70/20	157/14 157/25 158/8	25/9 26/24 28/9 28/12	164/5 167/14 167/18
	71/13 72/1 72/12		32/4 34/6 36/6 36/14	169/8 169/10 169/20
157/15 157/23 159/25	74/11 77/10 77/12	158/25 159/18 159/22	37/3 44/19 45/15	172/6 173/10 173/17
163/2 164/8 169/24	77/22 79/7 79/23	160/14 160/22 160/25	45/20 45/20 48/4 49/5	176/5 181/24 184/1
186/14 190/4 194/3	84/11 84/12 84/17	161/17 162/6 162/15	56/17 59/2 72/20	185/6 186/9 186/12
195/14 196/22 197/10	84/22 86/10 87/9	163/9 163/16 164/11	80/20 82/17 83/11	186/16 188/12 190/22
workarounds [1]	87/12 87/17 87/23	164/16 164/18 165/10	85/16 87/6 90/17	191/24 192/6 192/7
89/8	88/3 88/9 90/9 90/11	165/22 166/16 166/23	90/22 91/14 93/6 95/2	192/8 193/2 193/13
worked [10] 7/6 8/15	90/13 93/19 93/23	167/1 167/16 167/23	102/22 131/9 132/15	193/14 194/2 195/5
18/24 66/17 76/24	93/24 93/25 94/6	168/2 169/7 170/22	134/17 137/8 137/17	195/12 196/7 197/4
96/17 97/17 98/1	101/14 102/18 103/13	171/12 176/7 177/7	140/6 155/5 156/23	197/11 197/18
152/24 156/23	104/6 104/7 104/13	177/10 178/2 178/14	157/14 160/2 161/4	yours [1] 178/16
workers [7] 10/15	104/16 106/6 106/9	178/21 180/1 182/6	161/25 166/19 169/9	yourself [10] 32/8
104/10 157/25 195/3	107/13 108/19 110/5	182/13 182/22 184/3	174/4 177/2 180/7	45/14 49/17 66/15
195/4 196/19 197/10	112/18 113/16 113/23	187/17 188/14 189/15	182/7 183/11 184/12	103/18 104/21 109/10
working [37] 8/8	113/24 114/1 114/5	189/18 190/1 190/5	186/8 187/23 190/21	111/14 126/14 194/24
26/17 26/19 27/4 29/1	114/6 114/12 114/14	190/20 197/13	191/23 197/4 197/20	
37/16 39/24 40/9 43/4	118/6 122/6 124/16	year [2] 106/17		Z
65/21 66/15 66/25	127/10 127/17 129/4	130/23	Young's [1] 96/25 your [183] 1/9 1/15	Zhende [1] 75/21
67/4 74/3 77/14 77/17	129/7 129/7 129/11	years [10] 1/20 2/3	1/19 4/6 4/17 6/24	zoom [5] 174/6 174/8
81/20 89/13 97/19	132/12 133/21 133/25	38/9 81/23 86/6 97/6	6/25 7/5 7/8 7/13 10/3	174/12 177/17 178/3
98/21 101/16 101/24	134/8 136/14 137/16	115/2 165/2 190/16	10/10 10/13 10/24	
102/17 102/24 110/7	138/17 139/11 141/24	190/18	11/25 13/11 13/24	
136/21 152/5 157/12	142/4 142/18 145/4	yes [329]	16/1 16/17 16/20 17/2	
165/1 165/16 170/11	153/5 153/7 153/21	yesterday [9] 6/22	17/3 18/13 19/19	
171/6 194/24 195/1	153/23 154/9 154/10	33/6 42/18 51/20 52/9	20/13 22/25 27/19	
195/2 195/6 197/11	154/13 154/24 156/14	66/14 135/22 144/6	27/20 29/13 30/22	
workload [1] 108/21	156/18 157/13 158/12	157/8	33/1 34/6 35/5 35/15	
works [4] 26/25	158/23 159/14 159/24	yesterday's [2] 5/3	39/5 41/19 45/20 46/7	
32/19 32/20 110/24	160/25 161/12 170/9	5/9	47/1 47/3 47/6 48/7	
workstreams [1]	171/25 172/1 173/19	yet [4] 26/4 26/14	52/2 53/4 53/6 53/12	
28/6	170/10 101/15 101/16	99/22 136/22	53/24 53/24 55/15	
world [8] 14/11 46/16	182/14 183/7 183/16	yield [1] 20/6	55/24 60/17 61/9 62/4	
91/3 104/12 104/16	184/4 184/23 185/1	York [1] 2/1	64/20 64/23 65/12	
104/17 168/22 178/22	186/23 187/19 188/18	you [849]	65/18 67/7 67/8 67/10	
worried [2] 159/2	190/7 190/18 191/3	you'd [12] 17/12	68/7 68/15 69/3 70/1	
180/14	191/5 192/7 196/18	27/11 31/18 33/15	70/5 70/23 70/25	
worry [1] 45/8	197/12	63/2 63/5 65/16 67/24	73/22 75/2 76/16	
worrying [1] 116/9	wouldn't [18] 15/17	90/18 136/17 139/7	76/20 77/18 78/14	
worse [3] 52/5	16/3 19/9 31/19 32/16	151/4	79/8 80/20 82/17	
115/18 170/19	33/11 39/13 40/13	you'll [4] 3/15 52/1	82/24 83/11 83/21	
worst [1] 180/19	47/10 48/9 48/25	82/1 119/22	85/8 85/10 85/12 86/1	
worth [8] 26/23 47/21	84/21 104/12 113/25	you're [59] 3/14 7/1	86/18 87/3 87/8 88/4	
59/20 59/24 120/10	114/3 115/11 115/12	9/25 10/1 10/2 11/12	88/5 90/19 90/25	
136/24 145/6 185/9	189/18	12/24 13/11 19/15	92/20 95/1 95/3 95/5	
would [183] 2/11	wrapping [1] 9/9	21/13 35/2 37/3 38/4	95/5 95/21 95/24	
3/22 6/1 6/8 6/9 6/17	wrinkle [1] 32/17	41/1 44/24 46/13	96/10 96/13 98/14	
7/22 7/22 8/5 8/6 8/14	written [2] 154/2	46/16 51/1 52/6 53/3	98/15 102/18 103/3	
8/21 8/23 8/25 8/25	155/10	53/18 56/13 56/14	103/18 104/19 104/24	
14/10 15/17 22/23	wrong [18] 13/2 13/3	59/19 64/2 69/5 69/21	106/16 108/14 109/22	
23/4 25/13 25/25 27/14 30/9 30/11	20/12 34/19 34/21	69/22 73/12 79/12 85/15 88/12 91/10	113/3 113/15 114/7	
30/12 30/23 30/24	34/21 34/23 56/11	93/5 103/9 103/14	115/5 117/8 119/3	
31/12 31/13 32/8 32/9	62/12 62/15 62/19	107/20 109/2 109/13	119/25 120/1 122/13	
33/12 35/7 36/4 36/24	106/20 118/5 142/11	114/9 115/21 117/23	123/2 126/12 128/11	
39/2 39/6 39/9 39/10	148/8 148/10 170/17	119/24 130/1 134/23	129/14 130/23 130/25	
39/20 39/22 39/25	171/17	136/22 136/25 148/1	131/7 131/8 131/17	
40/4 40/11 40/20 41/5	wrote [4] 110/12	154/23 166/21 166/25	135/2 136/16 137/17	
42/12 43/1 43/17 45/9	130/22 132/22 140/24	171/10 177/23 177/24	139/7 139/22 141/4	
		17 17 10 17 17 20 17 17 24	142/6 145/20 149/10	

(85) work... - zoom