1		Wednesday, 12 March 2025	1	Q.	And since then, or forgive me currently, you are the
2	(10.	.00 am)	2		Director General at OFGEM, the energy regulator; is that
3	LAI	DY HALLETT: Mr Sharma.	3		right?
4	MR	SHARMA: My Lady, the first witness is Tim Jarvis.	4	A.	That's right.
5		MR TIM JARVIS (affirmed)	5	Q.	Mr Jarvis, could I start, please, with the UK Make
6		Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY	6		Programme. Would you be able to assist the Inquiry with
7	MR	SHARMA: Mr Jarvis, you have provided a witness statement	7		what it was and why it was set up?
8		to the Inquiry. The reference for it is INQ000527570.	8	A.	Yes, so the programme was set up in response to the
9		Would you be able to confirm, please, that that	9		challenges that we were obviously seeing in March,
10		statement is true to the best of your knowledge and	10		April 2020 to obtain PPE.
11		belief.	11		There was very little PPE manufactured in the UK at
12	A.	Yes.	12		that point, and there was the view was that there was
13	Q.	You have also, in preparing your evidence, considered	13		capacity within the UK manufacturing sector that could
14		the witness statement of Sarah Munby on behalf of the	14		meet some of the demand that we were struggling to
15		Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy	15		fulfil from other sources.
16		which we'll come on to. And that is INQ000517443.	16	Q.	The UK Make team was a joint team, was it not?
17		Mr Jarvis, I wonder if I could please begin with	17	A.	It was originally envisaged as a joint team.
18		your background and experience. From 2018 until	18		Effectively what it became was a group of officials from
19		April of 2020, you were the Director of Consumer and	19		the Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy department
20		Competition Policy at BEIS; is that right?	20		that I led, and that we worked supporting teams that
21	A.	That's correct, yes.	21		were already embedded in the DHSC.
22	Q.	And on 27 April 2020 until September 2020, you became	22	Q.	We have heard from other witnesses about the call to
23		a director in PPE Make, also at BEIS, the Department for	23		arms which was made on 10 April, and on that date, the
24		Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy?	24		Department of Health and Social Care released a UK-wide
25	A.	That's correct.  1	25		plan for the national effort to procure PPE, and as part 2
1		of that call to arms, it was said that the government	1		stage, this document coming from the NHS prior to your
2		would welcome support from other manufacturers who	2		appointment, was to put in place:
3		wished to offer their production facilities for the	3		" a rapid expansion of size, capability and remit
4		manufacture of PPE in the UK?	4		of the Make team to increase the UK manufacture of PPE
5	A.	Yes.	5		by an order of magnitude."
6	Q.	Could we have up, please, INQ000513154.	6		And the third bullet point, second from the bottom,
7		You were appointed to the PPE Make Programme on	7		was a:
8		around 27 or 28 April of 2020. This document predates	8		"Move to a wartime process for compliance and
9		that by a week or so, but could we turn, please, to	9		bureaucracy."
10		page 2 of this document, just to provide some context	10		Was that essentially the approach and the
11		about the reasons for why the Make team was established	11		understanding that you had to the UK Make team when it
12		and the kinds of problems it was established to solve.	12		was established in April?
13		Could we zoom in, please, to number 3, what we have	13	A.	Yes, my understanding was that the systems and processe
14		found. Here it says:	14		in DHSC were not set up to procure its pace and engage
15		"The Make team has a significant role to play in	15		with new manufacturers based in the UK, and so my role
16		providing PPE for the next 90 days. However it is	16		was to bring in a team to help make that happen, and
17		probably underpowered in number and capability of	17		trying to move that at a faster pace.
18		people. It is not sufficiently connected to the pillar	18	Q.	Could we turn, please, to page 2 of that document.
19		to give it the demand signal and escalate issues.	19		Forgive me, the following page. And again. And again.
20		[Although] Some manufacturing has been brought online	20		And again. One more, please. Keep going. One more.
21		This not at the pace [which is] needed to meet	21		One more. That's it.
22		demand."	22		I'm sorry, Mr Jarvis.
23		If we could zoom out from that. And then into	23		This sets out the operating principles. I'd just
24		number 4, please.	24		like to explore with you, please, whether this also

25

And the recommendation which is made, again at this

3

reflects your understanding as you came into your

1 position. It sets out that we're going to make because 2 we can't buy enough in time. The description that:

"This is a wartime supply chain ...

"The country is at our disposal ...

5 "We will need to re-tool ..."

6 And that:

"Engineering is the answer ..."

In headline terms again, was this your understanding of the situation with respect of UK manufacturing when you started at the UK Make team in April?

Yes. 11 Α.

3

4

7

8

9

10

20

21

22

22

23

24

25

12 Q. The UK Make team was led by Lord Deighton who, on 13 19 April of 2020 was appointed by the then Secretary of 14 State for Health and Social Care, Mr Hancock, to lead 15 the national effort to produce PPE. And the remit or 16 the brief to Lord Deighton was to coordinate the 17 end-to-end process with domestic manufacturers to feed 18 them into, what we've looked at with the other 19 witnesses, the eight-stage process.

> You were appointed on 27 or 28 April 2020 and, as you've mentioned, your role was to lead a small team. How many officials did you lead within BEIS?

- 23 A. I think there was around eight when I started and 24 I think it went up to around ten.
- 25 Q. Just in terms of the remit and the limits of your remit

1 entered into UK Make, about the landscape of UK 2 manufacturing?

3 A. Yes, so my understanding was that over a period of time 4 the UK has moved to more specialist manufacturing, where 5 we've been quite successful and competitive, but moved 6 away from the high-volume, low-margin type of 7 manufacturing that generally characterises the PPE 8 market.

9 Q. One of the features, if I can turn to this subject, 10 about the use of external advisers within UK Make, one 11 of the features of UK Make was that Lord Deighton 12 brought in a number of external advisers and supply 13 chain expertise from the private sector to assist in the 14 endeavour of UK manufacture. Could you talk us, please, 15 with your role in relation to working with those 16 external advisers and whether you think that was 17 a successful approach or not.

A. Yes, so my role was to support those advisers. They 18 19 were given the challenge of trying to identify relevant 20 manufacturers to work with, and to see if they could 21 bring them into the system.

> What they brought, which we didn't have in government, was detailed commercial experience of supply chains to the role -- the role of government generally in procurement is to put offers out there and then

1 as part of leading that team, what were you being asked 2 to do? Were you being asked to involve yourself in 3 procurement decisions or what was your day-to-day role?

4 A. So it was very clear that procurement decisions remained 5 with DHSC. What my role was, was to try to ensure that 6 we could maximise the opportunities from

7 UK manufacturers to provide PPE and to be part of that 8 supply chain. So my role was to help identify companies 9 that could contribute to that and to make -- and to help

10 them through the process. But the procurement decisions

11 and the decisions on which companies to sign contracts

12 with remained with DHSC.

13 Q. So the procurement decisions remained within the 14 Department of Health and Social Care, and your role 15 within BEIS was to provide contact and expertise with --16 interfacing with manufacturers and business 17 representative organisations that could help scale up 18 the domestic manufacture of PPE?

19 A. Yes. exactly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

20 Q. We've heard from a number of witnesses, not least 21 Professor Manners-Bell, that very little PPE was 22 manufactured in the UK. This was a largely high-volume 23 but low-margin business of which many -- much 24 manufacturing capacity had been essentially offshored.

25 Was that your understanding of the position as you

consider proposals from the private market. What we were looking at here was going out to the private market and going out to companies, and asking them to consider making something that they probably never made before, and that's where we needed the expertise, the people that Lord Deighton brought in were able to offer. And I think that was hugely successful in terms of engaging with companies in a way that enabled them to start being able to manufacture at pace.

10 Q. So the expertise that came from the private sector was 11 with respect to supply chains and commercial expertise, 12 and things of that nature.

> What experience had those external advisers had with the interface they now had with government, and what was your role in facilitating that?

A. So generally not a huge amount. I think -- I understood 16 17 some of them had previously worked in a public 18 procurement environment for the London 2012 Olympics but 19 generally in terms of working within central government 20 they did not have that experience, and that's where my 21 team, I think, added some value in helping them to 22 navigate that landscape.

23 Q. One of the ways in which the UK Make team worked was in 24 what are called, in your evidence and also the evidence of other witnesses such as Lord Deighton and Sarah Munby

25

1 on behalf of BEIS, is sprints. Could you help us, 2 please, with what sprints are and how they assisted in 3 the UK Make team and the scaling up of domestic 4 manufacturing?

- 5 A. So sprints is essentially a project management tool. In 6 this context what they were used for was to identify 7 product categories where we knew there was a shortage, 8 and the sprint process was to evaluate the market and 9 what was available, what the gap was between what was 10 available and what was needed, and what UK manufacturing 11 capacity might be available to help fill that. So that 12 would be looking at companies that operated in those 13 markets, or related markets, and trying to identify 14 where there was potential for those companies, supported 15 by government, to be able to start manufacturing.
- Q. Is it right that the way in which the sprint teams 17 worked was that there was a dedicated timeframe in which 18 a specific piece of work needed to be completed? 19 A. Yes, it was very tightly managed. I think it was 20 probably no more than a week, so in a sense the team 21 were given their marching orders to look at a product

16

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 22 and to come back within a few days and say what they'd 23 found and what they thought was possible to be done.
- 24 Q. And so within a week, there was a priority product which 25 was identified for each sprint team, and what they were

1 the names of the companies that operated in those 2 markets. So that sprint process was really to try to 3 identify and move quite quickly to bring those companies 4 online.

- Q. And that identifies, if I may say so, clearly what the expertise was within BEIS: that the connections within industry and the connections with business representative organisations was the area in which BEIS had the specialist expertise; would that be fair?
- A. That's right, so we had sector contacts and we also had 10 11 regular contact with the business representative 12 organisations, who themselves, of course, had contacts.
- 13 Q. Could I ask you, please, to just touch upon your 14 relationship and how it worked with Lord Deighton. What 15 were you briefed to do, and what problems were you 16 tasked with solving?
  - A. So I saw my role as to support Lord Deighton to do what he thought needed to be done to meet the challenge that we'd got in front of us. And that involved a range of things, really. It involved ensuring that he could bring in the people that were needed to help support the sprints and the product categories that they developed into. So we needed to regularise their arrangements and bring them in so they could work within government.

There was a lot of managing the incoming requests

1 required to do was to find the suppliers and establish 2 a supply chain design; is that right?

3 A.

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4 Q. The sprint teams were divided up into, I think, six, 5 each dealing with a category of PPE. Could you help us, 6 please, the categories of PPE were films, eye 7 protection, three face masks, gloves, gowns and 8 chemicals. But in relation to dividing this up into 9 categories, what assistance was that to the focus and 10 the speed at which the UK Make team was able to scale up 11 domestic manufacturing?

12 So for each of those categories the team brought in by A. 13 Lord Deighton and supported by my team would look at 14 what products were needed, and the volume of them, and 15 would then start a process of contacting companies that 16 were identified that we could -- that could manufacture 17 at pace, and at large volumes. So what my team did in 18 that time was to link people with, for example, in the 19 Business department we have sector teams who work with 20 particular types of product and the type of 21 manufacturing. So, for example, there is a chemicals 22 team that works with people that produced plastics, for 23 example.

> So what we did was facilitate those sort of introductions because they would have the contacts and

and incoming contacts from external organisations, wanting to know what was happening so I would help to facilitate meetings, for example, with the business representative organisations, help manage that process so that there was a flow of information, and that where there were barriers that emerged to moving at pace, where could -- you know, my team could be used to try to help break down some of those barriers and make the process move more quickly. So whether that would be in helping to connect people with people who could advise on innovative manufacturing techniques to enable companies to operate 24/7 rather than less frequently than they might have done before the pandemic, for example, we could put them in touch with those contacts, whether it was helping them with the regulatory processes.

So we very much saw our role as supporting those product categories to make sure that UK manufacturing could play its part in meeting the demand, the shortfall that we had.

Q. I'd like to move on, please, to a number of areas in which UK Make seemed to innovate in terms of its approach to the procurement of PPE. The first I want to touch on, please, is the focus that was placed on large domestic manufacturers. The Inquiry has received quite

11

a lot of evidence about one of the consequences of the call to arms being that there were tens of thousands of offers of assistance into the Department of Health and Social Care, the Cabinet Office, and so forth.

The focus within PPE Make was on a number of large-scale domestic manufacturers. Could you help us, please, with how those manufacturers were selected, what your role in that was, and how BEIS assisted in that?

A. Yes. So the decision was made to move from what was essentially a reactive approach to the offers that were coming in, which as you know were very large in number, to focusing on companies that could produce at scale. So my role on that, within each product category, the lead for that category would be looking at companies that were operating in related markets.

So, to give you an example, I don't think anyone in the UK was producing plastic aprons prior to the pandemic but there were companies that did produce plastic products and had similar sort of techniques, so I would -- the way we supported that was by connecting those product categories with, for example, the chemicals team who had contacts in that industry so they could identify the big companies that potentially could operate at scale, and then it was a question of contacting those companies to see if they could help,

diverted towards companies that could produce at scale.

And I think that was how we were able to stand up the manufacturing capacity that we could, and produce the PPE domestically that we were able to do, from what was essentially a very small amount pre-pandemic to quite a considerable contribution to the overall demand by the time we left.

Q. Could I ask you, please, about a problem which you refer to in your witness statement, a frequently recurring problem, which was the speed at which regulatory approvals were able to be obtained for those UK manufacturers offering their support.

Could you talk us through, please, what those problems were at the beginning and how easy or how difficult it was to solve that regulatory approvals problem.

A. Yes, I mean, the way the system was set up for peacetime, if you like, was quite a long lead-in of regulatory approvals. So companies would go through quite a lengthy process with a range of different regulators, depending on the product that they were producing and what it would be used for, that they would need to go through and get approvals at each stage, and that would involve being -- tests being carried out on the product. And my understanding was that the way that

1 and what they would need to be able to bring that 2 product online.

Q. The number of domestic manufacturers that were

identified at the outset was just short of 200. I think
it's around 140 to 170. And of those, about 37 were
awarded contract in the PPE Make Programme. What was,
in broad terms, the criteria that was applied to
selecting those companies that were going to be put
forward for the award of contracts?

**A.** I think, in very broad terms, it would have been about
11 the ability to produce at scale and at pace, and that
12 would have been the driving factors. And obviously
13 there would then be a process to ensure that those
14 contracts were value for money in the context of the
15 market that we were operating in at the time.

Q. Could I ask you, please, for your reflections on whether
 you consider that approach worked in terms of PPE Make,
 to focus on a number of large manufacturers, small in
 number, but that were able to pick up the pace?

A. Yes. I think it did work. I think it was a decision
 that I think Lord Deighton made very quickly on
 coming -- on taking up, that that would be the approach
 that we should take, and I think the timeframe that was
 being spent sifting through large numbers for what would
 have been relatively small-scale production was instead

system worked would then -- had a long lead-in at the front and then once companies had become approved, then the process would be very smooth, and they would produce with relatively little friction.

That process didn't work in the period that we were operating in because we needed to get people manufacturing very quickly. So the challenge was to try to make that process as smooth as possible and to speed it up so that we could, rather than having this long front end before companies could start manufacturing, we could expedite that and get companies manufacturing in time to meet the demand that we had.

13 Q. My Lady's Inquiry has heard evidence from Mr Gove about
 the difficulty with trying to speed up regulatory
 approvals and then hitting what he described as the
 brute facts of safety. And was that a problem which you
 in your department were trying to tackle with speeding
 up this regulatory approvals process?

A. Yes. I mean, there was never any question of there being any compromise on the quality of the product and the standards it needed to meet. What I think we were able to do, and the system as a whole was able to do, was to try to accelerate the processes and to ensure that particularly, for example, where different regulatory bodies might have a decision to make in

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

relation to the same product, that that could happen simultaneously rather than over time, which I think was what would usually happen.

So it was clear that the products needed to meet the standards that were required. The question was how could we make sure that the manufacturer understood what those standards were, and what designs would meet those standards to enable them to bring those products to market

- 10 Q. What did you and your team do with the manufacturers to 11 help them through that process?
- 12 So the direct contacts with the manufacturers were Α. 13 largely led by the product category leads working to 14 Lord Deighton. What we did was where they met 15 a barrier, if you like, where they wanted to make 16 a product but weren't sure about the, you know -- to 17 give an example, if you wanted to make aprons, what 18 thickness of aprons was the right amount that was needed 19 to meet the required standards? We would make sure that 20 those companies were given that information as quickly 21 as possible. So we had somebody attached to each team 22 who could basically follow up on these individual 23 enquiries, find the right regulatory authority, find the 24 right person in that regulatory authority to tell the

company what they needed to be able to do.

Q. Was the way that it worked, was it essentially to take a manufacturer from the beginning, the introduction, the triage, through the eight-stage process that we heard Mr Marron give evidence about, and to guide them through that process? Is that the way that it worked?

6 A. Yes.

> Q. Could we have up on screen, please, INQ000475332. This is a Covid-19 strategy deep dive meeting, and a briefing for it. The purpose is for the Secretary of State's attendance at the Covid-19 deep dive.

> > Could we turn to page 3, please. Thank you.

"PPE and Regulation". So one of the ways in which the manufacturers were assisted was by providing them with the guidance and the documentation that the manufacturers needed. Another way of unblocking some of those issues with regulation and the speed of approvals is set out here:

"In normal circumstances ... PPE is a highly regulated product ... governed by [as you've already referred to] strict quality assurance processes, through third-party conformity assurance and testing, with the law requiring it meet the essential health and safety requirements."

Those are the brute facts, the requirement of safety within the manufacture of PPE, which you said there is

no compromise on. Is that right?

2 A. Yes.

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Q. And then there are set out there a number of changes to the systems which are considering regulation and approval. One of them, regulatory easements put in place by the Office for Product Safety and Standards whose witness we're going to hear from next. The second was that the Cabinet Office had established a decision-making committee comprising senior decision makers and regulators from the Cabinet Office, the MHRA, the Health and Safety Executive, and the OPSS. And then finally, that the OPSS is supporting key new suppliers to help them navigate the regulatory regime.

That took place -- that meeting took place on 21 April, so early on or just before your appointment to the PPE make team. By the time that you had started in your work, had you noticed that there was a speeding up of regulatory approvals or was this a persistent problem from your perspective?

19 20 A. It's guite hard to give a definitive answer on that 21 because I wasn't aware of what it was like before. 22 I mean, I think that there were certainly processes in 23 place and an awful lot of resource and people, 24 particularly from OPSS being put into supporting these 25 processes. So I think, and my understanding of how long

19

1 they normally take, which I think is, you know, months 2 if not years, it was being very concertina'd so I think 3 it was moving more quickly. But I think, when it came 4 to the bit that I was working on and responsible for, 5 which was working with UK companies, I think what we saw 6 our role was very much was to try to take those 7 companies through that process as quickly as we could 8 and as efficiently as we could, which meant docking into some of the things that are described here.

10 Q. Could we have a look, please, taking this forward 11 a little further in the chronology, at INQ000477708. 12 This is one of a number of emails which you're involved 13 in, setting out updates about the way in which the 14 system appeared to be working.

> If we could turn, please, to the following page and to paragraph 4.

As I say, this on 4 and 5 May, and this is picking up the same theme about regulation and regulatory processes, setting out how the implementation unit can assist, taking forward recommendations for training of procurement staff, increasing the use of pre-approved designs, increasing testing house capacity. And you make the suggestion, which I think you've referred to already, about a regulatory expert at the point of each new major deal.

Could you help us, please, with just a couple of points arising from this. First of all, pre-approved designs. What is that a reference to and how was that going to assist those involved in the manufacture of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. So what we were looking at was would it be possible to develop a template design, if you like, that you could simply provide to a manufacturer and then say to them "If you make within this template, then therefore -- if you do that, then your product will meet the regulatory requirements that are there", rather than the slightly iterative process that I think would normally operate, where companies would make something and adapt it and try and make sure that it complied.

So that's what we were trying to develop for each of the individual products, and by placing somebody within each category, we were trying to help them through that process, either with a, sort of, formal template or simply just guiding them through the process.

20 Q. We'll come back to pre-approved blueprints a little 21 later. Could I turn then, please, to testing house 22 capacity. Could you help us, please, with what is 23 a testing house and what function does it play in 24 relation to the approval of PPE which is being 25 manufactured?

21

because the United Kingdom did not have a pre-existing domestic manufacturing capacity or at least a very small one, the testing house capacity in the UK was a bottleneck, wasn't it?

- A. I think it risked being one. My understanding was that we were able to take the companies that we eventually ended up working with through that process and to meet the -- to get the testing done to enable them to get their product to market, but it certainly risked being
- 11 Q. And was that in a similar way, as you described, to 12 taking them through the eight-stage process? This was 13 perhaps a sort of handholding that with a manufacturer, 14 you'd be able to take them through the process for 15 having products approved?
- A. Yes. 16
- 17 Q. Could we have up on screen, please, INQ000475400.

This, again, is taking you a little further into the chronology.

And over the page, please. The top of the page. This is an email from you on 22 May, and you make this observation:

"If I'm honest, I think we still have some way to go here on the decision-making process. I know there has been a bit of a reset moment with the decision making

A. So I think your next witness is probably better 1 2 qualified to talk about this than I can. I can give you 3 my perspective from the time --

4 Q. Of course.

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. -- but I think, in order to be able to meet the appropriate regulatory standards, products had to be tested. And the testing house facilities were generally located near the manufacturing, for obvious reasons, and there was some testing house capacity in the UK, but not 10 for every test and it was quite stretched. So one of 11 the tasks that my team undertook was trying to 12 understand what was available, and what could be 13 maximised, how could we make the most of what we had in 14 the time that we had available to meet the requirements.

> So I wasn't close to exactly what was needed for each product but we knew that testing was part of it and we knew that for certain products, there were certain types of tests that we had to find somebody who could do it.

20 Q. And so what was happening was testing house capacity, 21 and we'll consider this with Mr Russell, who is 22 following you, is that the testing houses, by and large, 23 were based overseas, close to where the manufacturing 24 was located. So, for example, with respect to PPE it 25 may go through testing houses based in China, but

committee but my own view is we need a more radical overhaul of the whole process and a wider discussion which I would welcome the opportunity to be a part of."

Is this part of the same problem, this regulatory approvals process that was holding back the UK manufacturing capacity and ability to procure?

7 Yes, I mean, I would draw a distinction between what we 8 needed to do to get the 37 companies that you referenced 9 earlier through the process and what we might need 10 longer term. And so I think what I was reflecting here 11 was that if you were going to set up a system that was 12 going to try to bring multiple manufacturers into it, 13 multiple UK manufacturers into it, then probably what we 14 had would need the sort of radical overhaul that I was 15

> I think we managed to take those companies -- the companies that we worked with through this process in time to -- and prevent it being a bottleneck. But I think that the radical overhaul I'm reflecting there is what might be needed, I think, for a longer term strategy where you're looking to engage multiple -potentially looking to engage multiple manufacturers in, yeah.

24 Q. So this proposal here, you're reflecting back on the 25 fact that although you've been successful with taking

23

1	manufacturers through the process, at some point in the
2	future, if this were to go going to become a problem,
3	that a radical overhaul of the system ought to be
4	considered because it would speed up the process; is
5	that fair?

6 A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Q. Thank you. Could we take that down, please.

I'd like to turn, please, to another subject. We've referred to the focus on large-scale domestic manufacturers. I wonder, please, if you could help the Inquiry with what efforts, if any, were made to engage smaller, regional and locally based manufacturers, either by BEIS or by other institutions within government.

A. So I believe that there was some work undertaken locally 15 16 and regionally with companies that potentially could 17 help, and, you know, the nature of procurement meant 18 that sometimes these products would be getting procured 19 locally. So we supported that to some extent, so 20 there's a Cities and Local Growth team within the 21 Business department at the time that was regionally 22 based and had a regional presence and would have 23 contacts with manufacturers, and, if you like, would be 24 able to have -- adopt a similar role to the one that we 25 were undertaking at a national level, really, which is

> techniques. So I think the example I gave earlier was of companies that were perhaps offering -- operating only during the day, and would then be able to move to 24/7 manufacturing with some additional support, and some manufacturing techniques. So they were basically specialists -- and they were regionally based, so we were able to put them in touch with companies depending on where they were based.

9 Q. Again, your role within BEIS was to facilitate that.

10 A. Mm.

11 Q. To make sure that local manufacturers could be put in 12 touch with local HVMC -- "catapults" I think they're 13 called?

14 A. That's right.

15 Q. And to make sure that, if there was assistance required 16 at the local level, that BEIS would be able to 17 facilitate that, to make the introduction?

18 Α. Exactly.

20

21

22

23

24

25

19 Q. Could we have up on the screen, please, INQ000475418.

> To take you forward again a little further in the chronology, and you're making some reflections in this email about what the PPE Make team has been able to achieve in the short time in which it's been in operation, if we could go to the penultimate paragraph, please. This is from you:

1 to link people in with the right bits of the system to 2 see if they could start to produce. But the focus of my 3 team was predominantly on the national and the 4 large-scale manufacturers.

5 So from your vantage point, the focus in the centre of 6 government was really on the larger-scale manufacturers, 7 but of course there were local and regionally-based 8 manufacturers who might be involved in domestic 9 manufacturing and also in supplying directly to NHS 10 trusts and suchlike?

11 A. That's right, yeah.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

12 Q. Could I ask, please, about an institution which is 13 called the High Value Manufacturing Catapult. Could you 14 explain to the Inquiry what that is and what role that 15 played in assisting with the manufacture of PPE in 16 the UK.

A. Yes. So this was a part of government which I hadn't experience of before, but they are -- receive funding from government to support innovative manufacturing techniques, and they were put -- I was put in touch with them very early on in my time in this role as an organisation that would potentially be able to help.

And what they did was to help individual manufacturers with techniques and processes that would help them be more productive, using innovative

"Pre-Covid, UK manufacturers supplied less than 1 per cent of the PPE used by [the] NHS and social care. We now have contracts in place with UK manufacturers across 8 of the 10 categories and expect UK manufacturing to be supplying 20% of our significantly increased UK demand over the next 12 months. Most of these manufacturers are making PPE for the first time and have benefited from a range of government support ..."

A reference to:

"... (CBILS [which is the Covid (sic) Business Interruption Loan Scheme], support with sourcing raw materials and machinery to convert processes and specialist advice from the manufacturing catapults)."

Which you've just referred to.

And you referred to the contract with government enabling "25-30 UK companies with direct contracts to bring back furloughed staff and in many cases to take on new staff", and you give an example of one company in the West Midlands having doubled its workforce, with an additional 220 staff, and, with the help of the local catapult, moving to 24/7, ie around the clock production.

From that vantage point, Mr Jarvis, had you viewed the UK Make programme essentially to have been 28

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 a success? 2 A. Yes, I think it was, and I think it -- what we were able 3 to combine, largely, very much, I think, with the 4 support of the people that Lord Deighton brought in, who 5 had the commercial expertise -- so when I talk there 6 about support with sourcing raw materials and machinery 7 to convert processes, I mean it was those people that 8 were able to make that move at a speed that I think we 9

> And I think the challenge that we were given at the beginning, which you referred to, was that there was a gap between what we could procure overseas and what was needed, and I think that the manufacturers that we were able to set up were able to fill that gap.

would not have been able to do in government on our own.

This problem that UK Make had solved was one which was Q. being solved as the crisis evolved, wasn't it? And so the UK Make team had really only started to get going when Lord Deighton was appointed and when you were appointed in about the end of April, and so in those crucial months between the failure of the just-in-time contracts, which we've heard was back in February, and the standing up of the UK Make programme, some vital months were lost, were they not?

24 A. I think potentially.

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

25 Q. And looking forward, if I may, to what there might be in

And could we have a look, please, at INQ000477747.

This, again, taking it forward in the chronology to 29 July. The third paragraph from the bottom. And again, this is an email from you to Lord Deighton and others, forwarded on to others. You are providing your reflections about what has been learned as a result of UK Make and what this may mean, looking forward into the future.

You say:

"Looking [forward] ahead, BEIS will want to be apprised of DHSC's procurement strategy ... and [targets of domestic production."

And you note that:

"There is a strong UK base there for most categories to respond positively to ... tenders though ... there are risks ... if tendering opportunities are not made available."

You go on, if we could turn over the page, please -forgive me, if we turn back, it's the next paragraph.

"The other area where I am focusing is regulation and enforcement."

And you speak there about OPSS, again whose witnesses we're going to hear from in a moment, about a more streamlined arrangement in the future, better clarity of roles between them and the Health and Safety the event of a pandemic in the future, there are a number of lessons from the way in which the UK Make programme operated, in terms of approaching and distilling the manufacturers, in terms of streamlining regulation, in terms of working with local manufacturers, which, if there had been the benefit of time, and even with the benefit of hindsight, would contribute to better preparedness for a pandemic in the future. Would you agree with that?

10 A. Yes

> Q. Could we have a look, please, at one of your email updates, this one on 29 June, at INQ000475422. And just over the page, please. The second paragraph from the top. You were in the process at this stage, again at the end of June, so coming towards the fastest scale-up of domestic manufacturing, you're providing some reflections.

You're considering the economic viability and the broader desirability of UK manufacture and a number of aspects of this were in progress: product strategies and analysis of the costs and benefits of UK manufacture; what form government support could take; the role of regional manufacturing, and also the potential role of innovation. So, for example, in relation to re-usable

30

Executive. You refer to legislative change and:

"... needs to be done in the context of wider product safety issues ..."

But you say that you're comfortable that OPSS are now seized of the need to prioritise PPE in their thinking.

Then you say this:

"In the meantime, I think we should be developing a mechanism for a future crisis whereby HMG can publish approved standardised designs for each product required. These designs could be used by manufacturers without the need for full product approval by a notified body."

And you refer again to the role of OPSS.

So again, providing your reflections based upon your experience at PPE Make, UK Make, streamlined regulatory approvals, and pre-approved blueprints for designs, are some of the things which in the future preparing for a pandemic ought to be considered; is that fair?

Yes, I think it is, because I think what you -- it is unrealistic, I think, to expect UK manufacturing to be competing in normal times with other countries for the sort of low-value, high-volume products that were needed. But what you would want in the future is to be able to stand up that capacity in a crisis, and one of the things I think that would make that simpler are the

1 sorts of things that I'm talking about here that you 2 would basically be able to have an off-the-shelf-type 3 template design that you could give to manufacturers and 4 you would already have the contact with those 5 manufacturers, and they would know that they might be 6 called upon in a crisis. I think that's the sort of 7 resilience system you're looking to get towards. 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

25

Q. Could we turn, please, to the lessons learned on behalf of the Department for Business, Enterprise (sic) and Industrial Strategy, some of which reflects what you've described as lessons learned as a result of UK Make, and some which go a little further. And there is some overlap between your evidence, the lessons learned on behalf of BEIS, and also some of the recommendations made by Professor Manners-Bell.

This is INQ000066032, and if we could turn, please, to pages 5 and 6. I'll just take you briefly through this lessons learned document on behalf of BEIS.

If we could zoom in, please, to "UK competitiveness" and at the bottom of the second sentence, the final sentence of that paragraph, it's recommended that:

"... DHSC include a full cost to serve analysis from the procurement team in their work to build a resilience model to determine to which extent UK manufacturers should continue to be encouraged to enter the market."

33

And so Mr Jarvis, would you agree that if, in the event of a pandemic in the future, that with some planning and foresight, we have the beginnings here of what might be considered to be an emergency industrial strategy for the support of domestic manufacture of medical equipment such as PPE?

A. Yes, I think that's right. I think, if you accept that UK manufacturing is going to be part of your resilience strategy for individual products, and that won't always be appropriate, but where it is, I think what you want is a set of processes and enable that to be stood up at pace, recognising that it's unlikely to be there in normal times. And so I think the things that we talked about there would form part of a resilience strategy for DHSC and looking at what products are essential in there, and then what part domestic manufacturing might play in part of that resilience alongside stockpiling and diversity of supply.

19 MR SHARMA: Mr Jarvis, thank you very much for giving your 20 evidence?

35

I don't have any further questions for you, but there are some Rule 10s from Scottish Covid Bereaved and from FEMHO.

24 LADY HALLETT: I think it's Mr Thomas first.

Questions from PROFESSOR THOMAS KC

So that's to work out whether it would be cost effective at a point in the future to scale up domestic manufacturing the way that it had been during the pandemic; is that right?

5 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And then the paragraph below, again the second or rather the final sentence: our advice is that where such a policy to be pursued, that is support for domestic manufacturers, grants are the most effective tool and the reason for that is that they are an injection of cash direct to the company and they don't have associated costs and administrative burden of other approaches.

If we could turn, please, to local manufacturing. And again, a theme which is represented in your evidence, which is that with some foresight and planning, there could be more support, perhaps even from the centre of government, on local and regional manufacturers.

And then the same document, we don't need to turn to it, considers the suggestions and the recommendations which you've made in respect to blueprints for designs for manufacturers and building it into wider government responsibilities to the regions and local areas around the United Kingdom.

34

1 **PROFESSOR THOMAS:** Good morning, Mr Jarvis, can you hear me?

2 A. Yes, I can, yes.

3 Q. I'm representing the Federation of Ethnic Minority 4 Healthcare workers. In paragraph 2.6 of your statement 5 you describe leading a small team of BEIS that worked 6 closely with the DHSC procurement team. Was there 7 a specific role or individual within your team who was 8 expressly concerned with or responsible for ensuring equality in PPE use and fit, including the adherence to 9 10 the Public Sector Equality Duty and the requirement to 11 eliminate discrimination?

12 A. So all of our work would be governed by the Public 13 Sector Equality Duty. I mean, I think we were involved 14 on the supply side rather than the demand side, so what 15 we were given, if you like, were products that needed to 16 be bought and the specification, and our role was to 17 identify people who could manufacture to that standard. 18 So that was the role that we played.

19 Q. There's a DHSC slide dated 12 June 2020 -- we don't need 20 to call it up, I'll just tell you what it says -- for 21 the Programme Delivery Board List, under "Strategic 22 risks", that there is "a risk that we do not provide 23 inclusive product specification for all end users, for

24 example ethnic minorities and those with hearing

25 disabilities".

1	And this was marked as a medium risk on the	1	Mr Jarvis, I appear as instructed on behalf of Aamer
2	likelihood and impact.	2	Anwar & Company on behalf of the Scottish Covid
3	The reference, my Lady, is INQ000339236_0029.	3	Bereaved. Touching on one of the last matters you
4	That's the slide's Programme Delivery Board dated	4	discussed, the issue of UK manufacturing as part of
5	12 June 2020.	5	resilience strategy, what I would like to know is what,
6	Mr Jarvis, my question is this: how was this risk	6	if any, discussions did you have with the Scottish
7	assessed and monitored within the procurement processes	7	Government in relation to developing capacity to
8	that you oversaw?	8	manufacture PPE during the pandemic?
9	A. I wouldn't have had visibility of that, because I think	9 <b>A</b> .	
10	the decisions on what was to be procured and what was to	10	devolved nations that I attended where we shared our
11	be commissioned would have been taken within DHSC. So	11	experience of trying to work with domestic
12	that would not have come across my work beyond what we	12	manufacturers, and but I can't remember anything
13		13	
	were being asked to source in the markets that we were		beyond that.
14	working in.	14 <b>Q</b> .	Okay. Were you aware if there were other discussions
15	Q. That leads me to my final question, which is, again, was	15	that took place, perhaps with other colleagues, or do
16	the Public Sector Equality Duty considered in relation	16	you think that was the sum total of the discussions?
17	to this risk and procurement decisions discussions	17 <b>A</b> .	,
18	that you were privy to?	18	I mean, for example, one of the companies that we worked
19	A. I don't know.	19	with were Honeywell, were based in Scotland, so we
20	PROFESSOR THOMAS: Thank you, my Lady, those are my	20	would have been talking to officials from the Scottish
21	LADY HALLETT: Thank you, Mr Thomas.	21	Government about that, and that that was a regular part
22	Ms Mitchell.	22	of the discussions. They just weren't discussions
23	Ms Mitchell is that way.	23	that I was regularly involved with.
24	Questions from DR MITCHELL KC	24 <b>Q</b> .	Thank you. That perhaps takes me on to my next
25	DR MITCHELL: I'm obliged.	25	question, which is, in his report I don't need it
	37		38
1	brought up Mr Manners-Bell recommends that	1	(A short break)
2	a structure should established to enable formal	2 (11.	25 am)
3	communications between the UK Government and devolved	3 <b>LA</b> I	DY HALLETT: Ms Shehadeh.
4	administrations on PPE and healthcare equipment issues.	4 MS	SHEHADEH: My Lady, the next witness is Graham Russell.
5	Did you experience any difficulties in communication	5	MR GRAHAM RUSSELL (sworn)
6	between the Scottish and UK Governments?	6	Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY
7	A. I didn't experience any, no.		DY HALLETT: I hope you haven't been waiting too long.
8	Q. No. When you say, "I didn't experience any", does that		SHEHADEH: Can you give us your full name, please.
9	suggest that you knew others did, or was that	9 <b>A</b> .	
10	(overspeaking)		And before we get on to the witness statements that
		10 <b>Q</b> .	you'll be speaking to, I believe you wanted to say a few
11	·	12	words?
12	wasn't aware.		
13	DR MITCHELL: I'm obliged.	13 <b>A</b> .	Yes, thank you very much.
14	My Lady, no more questions.	14	I would like to express my sympathy to those who
15	LADY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Ms Mitchell.	15	were, and continue to be, affected by the Covid
16	Mr Jarvis, thank you for all you did to contribute	16	pandemic, and particularly to those where shortage in
		17	the supply of PPE or failings in the protection offered
17	to making UK Make a success. Thank you so much for your		
18	help and thank you for coming today in what I know must	18	by PPE contributed to their loss.
18 19	help and thank you for coming today in what I know must have been very difficult circumstances for you. We all	18 19	by PPE contributed to their loss.  I also want to thank my fellow regulators in OPSS,
18	help and thank you for coming today in what I know must have been very difficult circumstances for you. We all hope you understand how sorry we are for your loss.	18 19 20	by PPE contributed to their loss.  I also want to thank my fellow regulators in OPSS, in other national bodies and in local government, who
18 19	help and thank you for coming today in what I know must have been very difficult circumstances for you. We all	18 19	by PPE contributed to their loss.  I also want to thank my fellow regulators in OPSS,

24

25

Very well, I shall take an early break now, and

return at -- I'll have a slightly longer break -- 11.25.

39

23

24

25 (11.04 am)

(10) Pages 37 - 40

I know we were not always successful, and that's

a matter of deep regret to me as a lifelong regulator,

and I appreciate the opportunity provided by this

1 Inquiry to address these issues and the lessons learned. 2 Thank you.

- 3 **Q.** You have provided this Inquiry with a witness statement 4 which is document reference INQ000562460. Is what is
- 5 written in that statement true to the best of your
- 6 knowledge and belief?
- A. It is. 7
- 8 Q. You're also going to be assisting us with the passages
- 9 of the statement provided on behalf of what was formerly
- 10 BEIS and is now DBT, the statement of Sarah Munby, which
- is INQ000517443, insofar as it relates to the activities 11
- 12 of OPSS and its work with other regulators in relation
- 13 to PPE; is that right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. We are grateful for your assistance in that regard. 15
- 16 In terms of your own professional background, you
- 17 are the chief executive at OPSS; is that correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 And you were in that position during the pandemic; is
- 20 that right?
- 21 A. I was.
- 22 Q. In 2018, OPSS, which is the Office for Product Safety
- 23 and Standards, was created, and you were in fact the
- chief executive from the outset: is that correct? 24
- 25 A. That's correct.

41

- 1 going to crop up a lot.
- 2 Can I ask you to describe in simple terms, what is 3 a market surveillance authority?
- 4 A. Yes, so a market surveillance authority is the regulator
- 5 charged with delivering the functions -- called market
- 6 surveillance -- that are intended to ensure that
- 7 compliance with a given set of regulations is achieved,
- 8 and then there are a set of processes that those
- 9 regulations used that might involve intelligence-led
- 10 inspections or border checks, et cetera. But the
- overall term is called "market surveillance". 11
- Q. And in the UK, in the context that we're going to be 12
- 13 discussing, market surveillance was delivered by local
- 14 authorities, the Health and Safety Executive, Health and
- 15 Safety Executive Northern Ireland, and the Medicines and
- 16 Healthcare products Regulatory Agency; is that right?
- 17 A. Yes. They have defined spheres of operation. So MHRA
- 18 is for, in this context, medical products, HSE is the
- 19 market surveillance authority for PPE in the workplace,
- 20 and Trading Standards is the market surveillance
- 21 authority for consumer PPE or PPE outside the workplace.
- 22 We also have access to the secretary of state's powers,
- 23 so although we're not designated to the market
- 24 surveillance authority we can also act in those consumer
- 25 spaces.

- Q. And since then you've also held the position of director 1
- 2 within the Competition, Markets and Regulatory Reform
- 3 Division of BEIS?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Is that correct? Is that in addition to your role as
- 6 chief executive of --
- 7 A. No, that is the way that the OPSS is represented in the 8 department.
- Q. Understood. You have, if I can put it this way, an 9
- 10 extensive background in the regulatory sector, you began
- 11 in Trading Standards and have worked in regulation ever
- 12 since; is that right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And you have shared some of your experience in a book
- 15 which you co-wrote with Professor Chris Hodges in 2019
- 16 called Regulatory Delivery, which sets out a model for
- 17 improving the efficiency and effectiveness of the work
- 18 of regulators?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And in 2023 you were asked to chair the Organisation for
- 21 Economic Co-operation and Development's Regulatory
- 22 Policy Committee.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Before we ask you about your work during the pandemic,
- 25 it may be helpful if we explain some key terms that are

- 1 Q. And what is the difference, please, between a market surveillance authority and an enforcement body?
- 2 A. I'm not sure there's practically a lot of difference. 3
- 4 A "market surveillance authority" is the term used in
- 5 certain legislation to define that set of functions.
- 6 Q. All right --
- 7 A. Enforcement isn't --
- 8 Q. Sorry. In terms of enforcement powers, some of these
- 9 market surveillance authorities that we're discussing
- 10 have those enforcement powers, but they all have
- 11 different enforcement powers, is that right?
- A. The legislation gives them powers, yes, which tends to 12
- 13 differ between the legislation. You're right.
- 14 Q. And in the context of PPE regulation can you tell us
- 15 what a notified body is?

24

- 16 A. Yes. So -- and this isn't specific to PPE. A notified
- 17 body is the term that the European Union use, and
- 18 therefore in the period that we're considering was the
- 19 relevant term, for an organisation that is authorised to
- 20 carry out third-party conformity assessment, and in the
- 21 context of PPE for categories 2 and 3 -- so PPE has
- 22 three categories, 1, 2 and 3, 3 being the most
- 23 significant and Covid-related PPE was category 3.
- A notified body is a body that is authorised to carry 25 out the conformity assessment required to put category 3

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1 PPE on the market.

LADY HALLETT: It's probably my age, Ms Shehadeh, but you're softly spoken, and Mr Russell, I'm afraid you're rushing
 your words together at the end of a sentence, so between
 you I'm having to rely on the transcript. If you
 could --

7 MS SHEHADEH: Of course.

8 LADY HALLETT: -- sort that out, both of you, I'd be really9 grateful.

10 Sorry to interrupt.

12

13

14

15

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

24

25

11 MS SHEHADEH: Of course.

You've briefly touched on this, but putting it simply, the Health and Safety Executive is responsible for, as you said, health and safety in the work places, but it also is responsible for regulating equipment that protects the wearer. Is that right?

- A. So PPE is defined as items that are intended to protect
   the person wearing them. And where PPE is being
   supplied for the purpose of use in the workplace, then
   the HSE is the market surveillance authority.
- Q. We are using the term "PPE" more widely, so not in its technically correct sense. We are using the term "PPE" to mean items worn to prevent the spread of coronavirus.
   In that sense, is it right that some of the items we think of as PPE might in fact be medical devices which

resort -- if you can't stop the risk, then PPE is the last line of resort to protect that person.

But there's also the need to protect the patient or other people, and those two are not the same risk. So if you think about a mask, are you trying to make sure the wearer doesn't inhale something, or are you trying to make sure they don't exhale something? And those two things are not exactly the same, and they come from very different -- they come from a different regulatory regime. One of my tasks or our task in the pandemic was to ensure that that complexity did not become a problem for people seeking to supply products into the market.

LADY HALLETT: It speaks for itself, doesn't it? If PPE is
 designed to protect the wearer, if it's designed to be
 used in the workplace, and if it's designed also to
 protect the patient, you have three different regulatory
 systems in operation; is that right?

18 A. I may have misunderstood you, my Lady. I think two19 systems.

20 LADY HALLETT: Two?

A. I think the designing of the wearer -- sorry, the
 protecting of the wearer, sorry, and protecting from, in
 a medical sense, the patient.

That doesn't mean that you have to, you know, the same product can do both things, but the person putting

47

1 fall within the remit of the MHRA?

A. Right. So PPE has a definition, in law, and the way it's regulated is in accordance with that definition. I accept that PPE is also used more colloquially and differently by different people but yes, PPE is, in law, is products designed to protect the wearer or holder of the products. MHRA regulate medical products, and -- this not my area of expertise now, but in very simple terms, those are devices that contribute to, amongst other things, the protection of the patient.

There are products that are intended to deliver both of those purposes to protect the wearer and the patient or a third party, and they're sometimes called dual-use products, and they would have to be brought to market with conformity assessment against both of those regimes.

17 LADY HALLETT: It sounds a bit complicated.

18 I think they come from very different places so although 19 we're talking about PPE in that context, actually, PPE 20 covers a vast range of items, and has to be -- the law 21 has to be flexible enough to cover all those different 22 places. The specific product that, I don't know, 23 someone in a healthcare setting might be wearing, has to 24 protect them as a person, because their employer has an 25 obligation to protect them. And PPE is the last line of

it on the market needs to know that it will do those things and needs to attest that it will do those things.

MS SHEHADEH: The attesting that it will do those things,
 was that the idea behind a conformity assessment
 certificate, C markings, and so on, evidence that the
 party putting the product on the market had checked that
 it was fit for use in its intended setting?

8 A. That's right. If I may just say a word about that?

9 Q. Please.

19

20

21

22

23

A. Not all products that are put on the market in the UK 10 11 need to have third-party accreditation. PPE has these 12 three categories, the lowest category is very low risk, 13 things like gardening gloves, and there, 14 self-declaration is adequate. The highest category, 15 category 3, which Covid-related PPE is category 3, you 16 need conformity assessment of the product and also of 17 the production so that there's an assessment you will be 18 able to make that product to that standard consistently.

So the manufacturer or the importer, the person placing it on the market, makes that declaration, but the law also requires them to have third-party accreditation and that's where the notified bodies come in.

24 Q. And what -- (overspeaking) --

25 A. That is because of the perceived high level of risk

1 attached to those products.

- Q. And what is it that the notified bodies are responsiblefor doing?
- 4 A. So they would receive, in normal times before the
- 5 easements that we'll probably come on to, but they would
- 6 receive from the manufacturer/importer, a request to
- 7 have the product conformity assessed, they would supply
- 8 information, technical files, background design
- 9 material, and so on. And then the notified body would
- 10 then either themselves carry out testing, or require
- 11 testing to be carried out or look at results from other
- 12 testing, and they would make an assessment of whether
- 13 that product complied with the requirements, and for
- 14 category 3, as I say, that would be about the product
- 15 itself but also about the production process.
- 16 Q. And is that a time-consuming exercise?
- 17 A. In normal terms, yes.
- 18 **Q.** Are you able to tell us roughly how long that would
- 19 take?
- 20 A. If you just bear with me, I think this is something from
- 21 BSI, I think, sorry, British Standards Institution, that
- 22 a mask in normal times might take 12 weeks for that
- 23 process.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

- 24 Q. Twelve weeks?
- 25 A. For that process.

49

and it allowed the notified body to authorise the person placing that on the market to do so before that process was completed, provided it had begun and provided they had to receive sufficient assurance that the essential health and safety requirements would be met, and that easement was clarified by the European Union in July of 2020 to say that that would also require the Market Surveillance Authority to assert that for that product.

And then second easement was where the government were purchasing themselves for healthcare settings and for that route, the easement was that the market surveillance authority could approve products without full conformity assessment, and where standards being used for that product were parallel and equivalent.

And that was, for example, used for some US standards which were not for the UK standards but were deemed by the market surveillance authority to achieve the requirements.

19 Q. Thank you. And prior to bringing into force easements
 20 in the UK, the government gave careful consideration to
 21 the risk balance.

Can we display, please, INQ000475266.

This is an email dated 24 March 2020. And it shows here that the:

"Secretary of State approved the non-legislative

ар 51

- Q. So that's in normal times. Perhaps we'll come on to now
   to what took place during the pandemic. We've touched
   upon, with our previous witness, Mr Jarvis, the idea of
   regulatory easement.
- 5 **A.** Mm-hm.

9

10

11

12

13

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. One of the roles that OPSS took on during the pandemic
 was to advise on and implement regulatory easement; is
 that right?

Now, this was an EU-wide initiative, wasn't it? It wasn't specific just to the UK. The EU issued a recommendation, Commission Recommendation 2020/403, which opened up the possibility of introducing a regulatory easement including in the UK.

There were two regulatory easements that were

introduced ultimately in the UK. What was their effect?
A. I don't know if it would be helpful, but it might be
helpful to just say a little bit about the challenges
that the pandemic brought to PPE regulation and
therefore why the easements were useful. I don't know
if it would be --

- Q. We'll certainly come on to that. If we could just deal
   with -- (overspeaking) --
- A. So the easements, narrowly, there were two easements
   recommended by the European Union decision, one related
   to where a product would be approved by a notified body

'easements' on measures to secure the supply of cleansing products and personal protective equipment".

And:

"He was keen [to ensure] these were taken forward in a measured way that continued to offer high levels of protection for consumers and workers (particularly NHS workers).

"He wants these measures to be focused on businesses that will deliver quality and safety to maintain supplies of essential products ... and not opening up a free-for-all for all the disreputable".

Thank you, we can take that down.

So really, there was a balance, a balancing act to be carried out here, wasn't there, between speed of supply, speeding up conformity, really, and ensuring that inadequate PPE didn't reach the front line?

A. Yes. The challenge that we faced as regulators throughout the pandemic was to achieve two things: one was to increase the supply of PPE, because it was needed, but second was to avoid unsafe PPE reaching the people who would use it. And I think balance might be taken to imply that there was some compromise of essential health and safety requirements and if that was the meaning of the word, then I would say there wasn't a balance. There was no compromise and I think the

Secretary of State reflected that, and I think later comments by the Cross-Government Committee reflected the same thing as well, that there was no willingness to compromise on essential health and safety requirements.

What was being looked for was ways of getting safe product to the frontline quicker.

Q. Can we display, please, INQ000269653.

This is a letter written by the OPSS sent to the market surveillance authorities on 25 March 2020. We can see there there's some emboldened text, and it urges both speed and pragmatism in the assessment of PPE needed urgently across the NHS.

Can you help us with what was meant by pragmatism? **A.** Yes. And I think the subsequent sentence that you've highlighted there possibly makes the point:

"Where international standards are being followed ..."

And this is the second easement I spoke about:

"... you should be pragmatic ... [focusing on] the safety and effectiveness of the product, rather than the full conformity [assessment] ..."

And I think that as what is meant by "pragmatic" in that sentence.

Q. And the market surveillance authorities were asked to
 prioritise this over market surveillance work for the

I'll do my best.

Before the pandemic, PPE, which is a highly technical and highly important product, and subject, as we've just discussed, to conformity assessment processes that are not always in place for every product -- so it has a highly regulated environment in that sense -- was not subject to a large amount of enforcement energy. Which might sound slightly counterintuitive but, actually, reasonably well regulated businesses, notify bodies doing a prescribed job, and fairly well informed people purchasing, were locked into a fairly robust supply chain.

I'm not saying any of that was simple or there weren't challenges but, compared to other areas that market surveillance authorities might be responsible for, PPE wasn't the area, necessarily, that risk assessment said was where the enforcement effort should be

When the pandemic broke all three of those things, so the suppliers were suddenly faced with massive expansion, new suppliers came in, existing suppliers had to ramp up their production, if you ramp up production, quality can fail, there was all sorts of challenges for suppliers in the UK and globally. Just-in-time contracts weren't delivered on because of the increasing

clearance or otherwise of non-compliant PPE that is necessary for protection against Covid-19 to ensure that it meets health and safety requirements.

So was this an urging to really prioritise processing assessment of PPE so it could reach the frontline quickly?

A. Yes, and I think what is meant in that sentence is that
market surveillance authorities, particularly local
authorities in this context, although HSE in this
letter, aren't only responsible for PPE, and what we're
saying is: because of the nation's needs for more PPE to
reach the frontline, we would like you to prioritise
that in your work.

14 Q. Thank you. We can take that down.

15 In March and April of 2020, did OPSS observe any 16 specific challenges, either that it itself was 17 encountering or that HSE or other market surveillance 18 authorities were experiencing in relation to this market 19 surveillance work?

20 A. I'm not totally sure I understand how wide you want me
21 to take my answer to that question.

Q. Well, let's focus in on businesses importing PPE to
 the UK, and ensuring that that was compliant. Were
 there any specific challenges around that?

25 A. Okay. You'll have to stop me if I go too wide on this.

demands, some countries put export bans on -- there's all sorts of things about the supply that really massively changed.

The notified bodies did not have enormous capacity to suddenly expand their activity. It's capital intensive, it's highly skilled, you can't simply say, "Let's do 100 times as much as we did yesterday."

And the people purchasing the products were not simply those highly experienced, highly competent people that they had been. At a national level, at a regional level, and even at a local level, people had a scramble for these products.

So those three things all changed at the same time. None of those were in anyone's control. And so the regulatory effort that was necessary to avoid the unsafe PPE that began to flood into the market reaching the front line was significant.

And so the -- to answer your question directly, the regulators, yes, faced in -- I think you said in March and April, but I wouldn't limit it to that -- faced enormous challenges in trying to get safe PPE. There was massive public demand. The government was very aware of that, ministers were very aware of that. We need to make sure that people working in these highly dangerous situations are not being exposed to

2

8

unnecessary risk. But at the same time we have people
wishing to supply, sometimes with good intent, sometimes
with bad intent, products that will not make those
people safe. And so holding those two things in that
situation, which was evolving obviously on a day-by-day
basis was the regulators challenge.

- Q. So the pandemic and the ramp-up of production and sale
   of items that were presented as PPE, it represented an
   enormous stress test on the regulatory systems, didn't
   it?
- 11 A. Yes.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 12 Q. And in your view, were the regulatory systems prepared?
   13 Were they in a good place to face all of that when,
   14 finally, the markets were disrupted in this way and PPE
   15 became a much more commonly available item?
   16 A. I can speak with more confidence about OPSS than I can
  - A. I can speak with more confidence about OPSS than I can with others, to answer that question. We were created in 2018 and we were literally in a growing phase at this point. We had just started to build incident management capability, and I know that we've learnt a lot of lessons from this pandemic which is now in our incident management planning. And so the short answer, had we done that before, the answer was no, so therefore we were not as well prepared as we could have been.

I think that other regulators are in different 57

The second is that in our work with other national regulators, sharing incident management approaches, building coherence between them, recognising the things like the regulators coordination committee that worked well and building that into our thinking, I think that's -- I think that's put us in a stronger place.

And I think the third is just the culture that we all have, that we've learnt from experience, I think is in an important part of our thinking going forward.

Regulation isn't just about processes and laws; it's about how professional people bring their culture and their values to the work they do. And those things were not just in the regulatory field, but those things were challenged in the pandemic. We had to change our ways of working, and that -- those are lessons that are learnt at a personal level just as much as at an organisational level.

17 18 Q. In terms of collaboration, of working together, I'd like 19 to ask you about two specific working groups that OPSS 20 was involved with. The first was the Decision Making 21 Committee and the second the Regulatory Co-ordination 22 Cell. So the Decision Making Committee, the DMC, was 23 a DHSC, Cabinet Office and NHS England committee, and 24 its primary purpose was to provide decisions as to 25 whether a specific product met essential health and

1 places and, you know, would have different answers to

2 that. The other area, I think, is the coordination of

3 market surveillance activity. Going back to my Lady's

4 question about the complexity of the system, one of the

5 ways of resolving complexity is good coordination, and

6 I think we built systems very quickly in those early

weeks, which again might be taken to say that we didn'thave them in place beforehand.

- 9 Q. Should they have been in place beforehand, do you think?
- 10 **A.** That's a really difficult question to give a one-word
- 11 answer to, because it's very easy to say "Yes, here is
  - a problem, we should have been ready for it." But we
- have to risk base all our prioritisation decisions, and
- 14 you can't be ready for everything. I would hope --
- 15 I think I'll answer it this way, if I may -- I would
- hope that we're more ready now than we were then.
- 17 **Q.** What is the basis for that hope, if I may ask? What has
- is being done now to ensure that the coordination
- 19 between regulators, and indeed OPSS itself, is in
- 20 a better position?
- A. So I think there's a number of things, probably three
   main things. The first is that we, as OPSS, have a much
- 23 more robust incident management approach which was built
- prior to 2020 but was definitely expanded in 2020 and
- 25 has continued to be developed since then.

58

1 safety requirements.

What was OPSS's involvement with that working group?

 ${f 3}$   ${f A.}$  So this is the higher-level, cross-government,

4 effectively, cabinet advice group. And we facilitated

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} 5 & that, we both brought information to it and took actions \\ \end{tabular}$ 

away from it. We connected that group with othersparticularly around technical, regulatory and scientific

expertise.

9 I think it's correct -- well, I know it's correct to
10 say that that group did not assume regulatory authority
11 for decision making. So, in that sense, regulators came
12 to the DMC with questions or questions were brought for
13 them to answer, but it remained the responsibility of
14 the relevant market surveillance authority as to whether
15 a product was -- if approval was necessary, whether

16 a product was proved.

17 Q. And is it right that in April 2020 OPSS raised a concernthat the DMC was working too slowly?

19 A. I'm not saying we didn't. I don't have a specific

20 recollection of that actual statement but we were

21 certainly very keen that the processes moved as quickly

22 as possible.

- 23 Q. And what was slowing processes down?
- 24 A. In the DMC?
- 25 **Q.** Yes.

A. I think it was really important that -- for any policy or for any policy decision you want to hear a wide range of voices. You want proper consultation to occur. You want, you know, particularly across government, there are different voices from DHSC, the Health Department, Treasury, my department, Business. They all have different perspectives. And that's right. But in a time of pandemic, you also want decisions to be made quickly, and I think getting that right sweet spot between hearing people but making decisions quickly, I think we probably were -- were probably trying to push for decisions to be made more quickly.

- 13 Q. All right. We'll turn to the Regulatory Co-ordination
   14 Cell. This was established on 29 April 2020, and it
   15 brought together OPSS, HSE, HSE Northern Ireland, and
   16 the MHRA; is that right?
- 17 A. Yes.

- 18 Q. And what was the purpose of that group?
- A. This group arose out of -- almost from day one we'd been having conversations with those organisations on specific topics, and effectively the group -- we decided to create it as a group and give it a little bit of a sort of secretariat and governance role but, actually, it grew out of existing conversations and those conversations were at a pragmatic level, if you like,

the Health and Safety Executive, and they have different enforcement powers, and then OPSS has its own enforcement powers. You've talked about the sharing of market intelligence, you've talked about strategic objectives having to be shared, conversations having to be had. Does that all arise from a fragmented system?

A. I think when we think about any regulatory system there will always be boundaries and there will always be connection points. And as I said earlier, the fact that products can be used by different people in different places for different purposes, where regulation is

you have the MHRA, with a different remit, of course, to

You can brigade all them together into one regulator, but all you've done is brought those conversations into the regulator, you haven't changed the need for the conversations. And you will always have a boundary. So if you had a PPE regulator, you would still have the boundary between where those products interface with consumer products that are or aren't defined as PPE. You will always be able to find the boundary and that boundary can be called fragmentation.

intended to mitigate a given risk, means that you will

always have to bring together those different purposes.

So in that sense, whether it's over complex or over 63

a tactical level around deployment, resources, challenges, what different regulators were seeing.

It was -- from our point of view, it was our way of discharging our responsible for coordination of market surveillance around PPE and medical devices. But I think everybody saw the value, and it was meeting incredibly frequently in those early days. Everyone saw the value in having a place where things could be discussed.

Again, this was not making decisions on behalf of a market surveillance authority. At every point it is the market surveillance authority that is given the statutory powers to make decisions, and having a group or committee enables conversations to be held, enables ideas to be kicked around but it doesn't discharge that decision-making responsibility.

- 17 Q. Was it necessary to bring all these disparate parties
   18 together, because responsibility for regulating PPE was
   19 fragmented and quite complex?
- A. I think if we accept that it's fragmented and quite
   complex, then that's a good reason to bring the parties
   together. So I'm not quite sure if I've answered your
   question.
- Q. Do you accept that the regulatory landscape was, at the
   time, fragmented and complex? You've already described,

fragmented, I think is a more difficult question than whether it's complex and fragmented. What I would say is that the way you resolve those things is through good use of data, good use of coordination, shared objectives across regulators and good communication, and I don't think that's specific to this question of PPE.

**LADY HALLETT:** Can I just challenge you, if I can. You seemed to be more ready to accept it when Ms Shehadeh challenged you, but I'll take that.

If you have -- let's take a mask and if you're going to have two regulators looking at whether or not it should be authorised, they're going to have to look at the design, they're going to have to look at the efficiency, so are you not risking duplication if two different regulators are saying: "Right, well, this mask keeps germs in or keeps a virus in or bacteria in, and it stops them from going out as well", if you've got two different regulators, one looking at does it stop bugs going in and one regulator looking at does it stop bugs going out, doesn't that necessarily have a duplication of effort or does that not arise?

- 22 A. I think two things, if I may, my Lady.
- 23 LADY HALLETT: I'm sorry to use such a simplistic example.
- A. No, no, no, I don't want to trivialise it either by my
  example, so that's why I'm just taking a moment.

If that mask was also used in a bakery where there's big issues with dust in the air and PPE required for that, then where do you draw your line? Do you say, at the moment we have that mask regulated for PPE by the HSE and we have it regulated for medical devices by the MHRA, and there's the line that you've described. If we have it regulated in the healthcare setting by one person because it was managing two risks, you would still have a line for its use elsewhere. So that's why I said you can't avoid fragmentation; the question is where you put the lines and how you manage that.

I think the second thing, and I'm possibly a little bit on the edge of my knowledge here, is that I suspect the risks you're describing are rather more complex than you or I know, and it isn't necessarily simple to say, "Let's have a device that protects the patient and the user".

My understanding is that those dual-use products are not highly prevalent, that actually products are understood, but one of my recommendations would have been that I think we could, either in statute or in guidance, provide for that situation.

I'm advised that it's not a problem in working, but clearly in the pandemic where people who were not used to working in that situation had to make decisions.

65

1 clarity to that question. I don't, from my reading of 2 the file, I don't think it was a frequent and prevalent 3 question, but it was a question.

Q. Let's move on to sector business guidance for PPE that
 was published by the OPSS during the pandemic. OPSS
 published a number of different pieces of guidance.

7 There was guidance for high-volume manufacturers; is8 that right?

9 **A.** Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10 Q. There was also guidance for those who were supplying11 items for use by the NHS?

12 **A.** Mm-hm

Q. And there was guidance for small-scale manufacturers.
 Each of these pieces of guidance was updated several times during the course of the pandemic, wasn't it?

16 **A.** Mm-hm.

17 Q. So returning to the guidance for high-volume
 18 manufacturers, that was updated I think some seven times
 19 between 2 April 2020 and 7 September 2020. Is that
 20 about right?

21 A. I think so.

Q. And the guidance for small-scale manufacturers, between
 2 July 2020 and September 2020, there were four versions
 of that guidance; is that right?

25 **A.** I think so.

This was one of the things that they found challenging and I think we could have -- that could be clearer.

3 LADY HALLETT: Sorry, the recommendation would be for 4 dual-use products? In other words, let's again use the 5 mask, a mask designed to protect the wearer and the 6 patient. So a mask to be used in a healthcare setting 7 designed to protect the wearer and the patient. What, 8 that basically there should be provision for there just 9 to be one regulator for a dual-use product?

10 A. My recommendation would be that there should be clarity 11 on how that product is brought to market so it is 12 absolutely clear in the labelling and the bringing to 13 market of that product what it is being designed for 14 and, for example, that might allow -- we now call them 15 an approved body since we left the EU, but a notified 16 body, approved body might then be able to bring that 17 together into one approvals process, for example.

18 LADY HALLETT: Sorry to interrupt.

19 MS SHEHADEH: Not at all.

20

21

22

23

24

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

During the existence or during the working life of the Regulatory Co-ordination Cell, were there discussions between regulators as to whether products were dual use in that way, and which regulator should take the lead on a specific item?

25 **A.** There were. Yes. And there was some attempt to bring 66

Q. Why did this guidance have to be updated so many times?

A. In a sentence, because it had to be authoritative, and
 the facts were changing -- or sorry, as a nation, our
 understanding of the facts were changing.

In normal times, guidance should be consistent and authoritative and changed infrequently because change has an impact on producers. You know, they have to get to know the new guidance, they have to work out what they need to do to change, they have to implement that, that all costs money, and it probably reduces compliance while they're making those adjustments and so it's not a good thing.

So regulators work hard to -- and it's sometimes why guidance comes at slowly, you know, to produce guidance that has a decent shelf life and will give people authority for as long as possible.

The problem here was that what we knew about the pandemic, what we knew about the disease, what we knew about control measures, what we knew about the authorisations that could be given to businesses and how we could reduce process for them was changing -- in the periods you've described, was changing very rapidly. And I think we set out in Sarah Munby's statement for each of those changes, the rationale behind them.

In hindsight, you could look back and say, were each

1

21

22

23

24

25

of those changes necessary? Because sometimes a change might happen and then a week later, it might change again. And, of course, if you knew it was going to change again a week later you wouldn't have done the

But we were under a requirement to ensure, as far as possible, that we made it as simple as possible for businesses, large and small, to produce or import safe PPE. And so giving them guidance that was as clear as possible and as precise as possible, was part of responding to that.

So it's not just about how often we change the guidance, there's also, you know, why did we have different guidance for large and small? Because, as we got to understand their challenges better, we realised that their responses were very different, and so we tried to write guidance that was going to be as useful to them as possible.

- 19 Q. In terms of large and small, we've heard earlier this 20 morning that there was tailored help for some of the 21 high-value manufacturers.
- 22 Α. Mm-hm.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

24

25

23 **Q.** Did OPSS have a role in assisting high-volume 24 manufacturers at the direction or suggestion of Cabinet 25 Office?

69

seeking to understand that, in concert with, in that case, the Health and Safety Executive, was part of working with the businesses.

So yes, I hope that it helped those businesses to navigate their way through, but I also know that it helped us to understand.

- 7 So these were the top ten businesses that were producing 8 items such as eye protection, gowns, hand sanitiser, and 9 so on.
- 10 A. Mm-hm.
- 11 Q. Would you have expected the top ten businesses involved 12 in this to be familiar with the regulations and the 13 processes and perhaps not to require the assistance of 14 OPSS?
- A. If I'm correct, these are the top ten businesses seeking 15 16 to come into the market rather than the top ten 17 businesses in the market.
- **Q.** I see. These are new entrants to the market? 18
- A. As I understand it. 19
- 20 Q. And you've told us about attempts made to assess whether 21 the processes could be simplified. I'd like to ask you 22 about a different now, and that is Operation Safeguard 23 and the work done around enforcement?

Operation Safeguard was an enforcement operation at UK ports established in May 2020 to provide more support

2 organisations like PPE Make and others, where they were 3 in touch with suppliers or potential suppliers to try to 4 help work through the system, because as has been identified, PPE is complex and technical. So we did 5 6 that. But also, specifically, we were asked by Cabinet 7 Office to work with ten businesses identified, I think, by Deloittes. This was in the fairly early stages, and 8 9 I think, in some ways, it sort of preceded some of the 10 thinking that PPE Make then took forward, and the idea 11 was to try and establish, by working with these 12 businesses, whether we could, I guess, do three things, 13 really: one was whether we could help them to map their 14 way through the regulatory process. The second was 15 whether we could help other businesses to do the same, 16 as a result of learning from them; and then the third 17 was if we could, as an organisation, better understand 18 what the regulatory challenges were. And then put that 19 understanding through this filter, is this "It's really 20 hard to deliver the essential health and safety 21 requirements?" That is just really hard. How do you 22 help them deliver them? Or, actually, this isn't an 23 essential health and safety requirement, it's something 24 that could be, in some way, eased. 25

Yes, in at least two ways. So one was working with

And I think putting them through that filter and

- to local authority Trading Standards teams, because 2 there was a significant influx of non-compliant PPE arriving at UK points of entry; would you agree with
- 3 4 that so far.
- 5 A. Yes. Yes. It was building upon existing activity for 6 that purpose.
- 7 Q. And the idea was, of course, to prevent potentially unsafe or non-compliant PPE from entering the consumer 8 9 market and circulating around the UK?
- 10 A. Not just the consumer market. The workplace market as 11 well
- 12 The workplace market as well. Thank you. Q.
- Is it right that Border Force invited OPSS to assist 13 14 them specifically at Heathrow Airport?
- 15 Yes. The reason I pause slightly is, prior to the pandemic, there were existing activity sets at ports and 16 17 at borders. OPSS had taken responsibility for 18 organising and funding the work of local authorities 19 quite recently before that, and therefore there were 20 local authorities present at Heathrow.

Border Force are the border authority and have the statutory mandate, and they then make, although it doesn't quite look like this on the ground, it's actually Border Force that are making the referral to the local authority or whoever that might be, the market

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 surveillance authority. Border regulation is 2 a regulatory set in itself.

3

4

5

7

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

into a database.

- Q. And what was the OPSS role in relation to Operation Safeguard?
- A. So we were responsible for bringing together and 6 coordinating the activity that was required, using intelligence to prioritise and deliver resources, which 8 did include OPSS staff at some points when there wasn't 9 sufficient resource from other regulators. And 10 essentially, using a database to identify where the 11 challenges are arising, and then to deploy resources to 12 seek to detect and deter that activity, which at that 13 level is exactly the same as we do all the time, it's 14 just that there were specific increases in volumes, and 15 specific increases in patterns. And in normal times --16 so the system works on a profiling system where you look 17 at what the challenges are, which are the products that 18 are likely to be dangerous, which are the suppliers that 19 might be presenting a particular risk, and you put that

PPE wouldn't necessarily feature as a high-risk product for the reasons I alluded to earlier, but clearly, the demand in the UK from customers, from workplaces, from the worried people, in principle not from the NHS because in principle the NHS purchase

imports was at airports first because obviously you don't have the lag in supply, and then over weeks it then moved into more traditional sea-based supply.

Products like PPE are not normally supplied by air because the value doesn't justify that, but there was a spike in air, which we saw at those airports, including East Midlands where the major parcel activity is, and then over time it then moved, particularly to Felixstowe but others, also to other ports, but also by that time, the intelligence profiles were clearer and we were able to work with the local authorities to carry out that work

And then HSE and MHRA would respond to referrals where necessary, either to do checks or to confirm conformity of product.

Q. All right, thank you very much for that. 16

> I just want to move on to a specific issue that was raised by the British Safety Industry Federation. Is it right that the British Safety Industry Federation, which is the trade body that represents supplies of PPE in the UK, raised an issue and said that the market was still awash with non-compliant respiratory protective equipment, raised this issue with the Health and Safety Executive but the OPSS was made aware of this. One of the issues highlighted by the British Safety Industry

should be through the separate track, but we can't say what happened in every hospital and every care location.

That increase in demand very quickly led to people, for good and bad motivation, seeking to bring those products into the UK. And that was visible through patterns of trading. So, for example, I remember at Doncaster airport, which had relatively small amounts of freight, there was an uptick in freight and almost all of it was PPE.

10 Q. Sorry to cut in. So OPSS was able to spot those trends, 11 spot those peaks in activity, and liaise with Trading 12 Standards and local enforcement teams to assist them 13 where they should --

14 **A.** Yes.

15 Q. -- be concentrating their efforts; is that right?

16 So in that particular example, I think it was actually 17 the local authority that had become aware of that 18 change. They asked us whether we could work with Border 19 Force and others to deploy resource, and we then put 20 that through the intelligence system and resource was 21 deployed, and that was dealt with.

> But that was very obvious because it's a spike in behaviour. It's a little bit more difficult to see that same pattern at Heathrow or at Felixstowe, which is the principal seaport. And what happened was the spike in

> > 74

Federation was that their members were seeing others selling non-compliant PPE without sanction, and that most causing them to lose market share but, of course, it was causing a loss of confidence.

Would you accept that there were sellers of non-compliant PPE that were acting without being sanctioned by any authority with enforcement powers during the pandemic?

A. It was certainly the intention to locate and sanction anyone who was supplying non-compliant material, including PPE. I think what BSIF are referring to there, and we had -- that referral came through HSE in the way you've described, but also they came to one of our business reference panels and said something similar. We were conducting virtual business reference panels to understand business needs at the time.

And I think they were probably talking about more than one stream of activity. So one stream was businesses that were not following the regulatory process or were not waiting for the completion of the regulatory process, and/or were using non-genuine -they were very concerned, I remember, about fraudulent certificates being used. So that, in a sense, was that one stream.

They were also concerned about what we've just been

- talking about, about imports that were not being checked
   at the border of entirely non-compliant and potentially
   unsafe material.
- Q. Can I ask you this: they used the phrase "awash with non-compliant PPE"; in your view, was OPSS and were the enforcement authorities actually winning the fight against non-compliant PPE entering the UK markets?
   A. The way that the regulatory system works in the UK
  - A. The way that the regulatory system works in the UK outside of what we've been talking about earlier for the prescribed items, the way that it works is that most items do not need to go through a check before they're put on the market. We don't have a border protection in that way, where every item coming into the UK is looked at. So therefore there is no data on how many items of non-compliant PPE were available on the market in the UK. Neither do people, you know -- so in that sense I can't answer your question because I can't say what ratio there was.

What I can say is that we took those remarks very seriously. That's partly why we ramped up the prioritisation around the border and continued to do so through the pandemic. The other place you look for, for information to answer your question, is intelligence and complaints from what people are buying and whether they are seeing significant issues, and we did not see an

at the Daventry warehouse to ensure that goods were compliant. What were the main challenges that OPSS observed in trying to ensure that non-compliant PPE did not leave that warehouse?

A. So the Daventry supply route was the supply route for government-purchased into the NHS front line. It didn't exclusively use the second easement, but a lot of it would have used the second easement, and so it became massively significant in terms of making sure that hospital settings and NHS settings across the country were properly protected.

We were alerted fairly early on, I think in April, that there were problems with that supply chain and that there were blockages occurring there, and we were asked whether we could assist with understanding what was going wrong, and also resolving that.

So our functions, over time, were, first of all, that we -- initially in discussions and then, secondly, with people on site, we tried to identify what the problem was.

**Q.** Yes.

A. Then we tried to identify who the resources were that
 could resolve that, and that would be regulators -- the
 HSE were involved very early on, other regulators became
 involved -- logistics and decision-making governance.

uptick in people saying, "Oh, I've bought this PPE and
 actually it's no good." We didn't have that, we didn't
 receive that intelligence.

Q. All right, thank you. I'm going to briefly move on to the way that OPSS and the Health and Safety Executive assisted the DHSC with goods that it procured as part of the Parallel Supply Chain, and so those are the goods that were then kept in a warehouse at Daventry before being distributed onwards to the NHS and social care.

Can we have up on screen, please, INQ000477693. Thank you.

This table sets out some of the work that was being done to check the PPE that was held in Daventry.

We can see there at the bottom row:

"Downstream Processes -- Daventry and VIP/non-VIP Donations."

"A hub has been created at Daventry for all PPE procured by CO/Deloitte in the four streams in the top row."

There was assistance given by:

"The Army, HSE and ... OPSS [who all] have a presence at Daventry to assist with the sorting of PPE, ensuring documentation is uploaded ... for OPSS [your organisation]/HSE/MHRA."

There was a great deal of work being done physically

We then resolved those problems and, in resolving them, created a proof of concept of how they could be resolved in future, and then wrote processes that would enable that to be done. So it wasn't just a matter of resolving that backlog, but how do you avoid that happening.

Part of that was data gathering, and we created a secure share point site that other regulators and logistics handlers could use so that the information could flow more freely about what could be approved and what couldn't.

I think one of the problems was that, because of the things we've talked about earlier, about the expansion in supply and the challenges with getting those products approved, products that couldn't be immediately approved were forming, if you like, a barrier to other products flowing through. Because previously product had flowed through quite smoothly. So there were products that couldn't be approved. There were also products that could be approved but people didn't know they could, and HSE gave some very helpful clarity on CE marked products and things like that, started to break that down.

But what really had to happen was that those blocking products, if you will, had to be moved so that products that could flow through quickly did so, and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

then the blocking products needed to be cleared as quickly as possible. But it did vary enormously how easily that could be done. It might be that additional information could be sought, additional certification, confidence in testing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For some products there was testing to be arranged through approved bodies. For some products, there was reworking that was necessary. That wasn't really very prevalent in this case because of the time lags. For some products it could be deployed to other uses with a lower hazard context, and for other products, they couldn't be released.

But all of that segmentation had to be done and then the logistics that followed from that had to happen. And that was really what we were about, was that coordination.

And I think the reason we were there was a combination of technical expertise, role of market surveillance coordination, but also, as part of government, we were very conscious of the problems that were being caused by these blockages.

So we deployed quickly to that, and then having done that and built that capacity through training others, we then handed that over relatively quickly, although I note my team think it took us too long to hand it

their witness statement to the Inquiry are not in favour of a lead regulator. Neither, it appears, is the MHRA.

Given your oral evidence to us this morning, it doesn't appear that you necessarily are. But can I just ask you for your response to that recommendation: "a 'lead regulator', with clear definitions around ... roles of regulators" around products specifically in times of crisis, like a pandemic.

A. Yes. It's -- we've alluded to this a little already. 10 It's not a simple question with a simple answer.

> The comment I made earlier about dual-use products was a specific comment about those products, which are fairly narrow and defined. And I think there is grounds for greater clarity in how those products are both placed on the market and also approved.

But I think "lead regulator" here is quite a lot wider than that. And the challenges -- and this is something, you know, we get asked to comment on not just in the UK but around the world, it's a live question -the challenges are that you want regulators who are competent and agile and flexible, and have sufficient scale to be able to deliver the regulatory activity that's needed at a given point in time.

And small, focused regulators set up for this purpose and this purpose, don't have those features, and over, but I think we handed it over quite quickly. And then we -- but then we continued. There were some problems that happened in July, I think, and through into August, September, where we then coordinated efforts of regulators to resolve those things.

**Q.** All right, thank you for that detailed explanation. I just want to ask you to take a bit of a step back and offer some reflections.

You've already discussed what you foresee as difficulties with trying to, particularly around dual-use items, ensure that there is a third regulator or some sort of different responsibility.

Can I just put to you, there's a recommendation that's featured in the Boardman Review, and we have that at INQ000055876, at page 6. Recommendation 26.

Nigel Boardman, as you may know, carried out a review of a number of aspects of government response to the pandemic. Regulation 26 of his report was that:

"Regulation in the health sector needs a clear structure and the Government should encourage the [NHS] and regulator community to consider appointing a 'lead regulator' with clear definitions around the roles of regulators to make final decisions regarding products in times of crisis."

To be clear, the Health and Safety Executive in

can tend to give you a -- can tend to not give you the flexibility you need.

There are reasons why you want regulators to look at problems, and deliver outcomes. And to be honest, from the -- you know, we looked at this at the time, and the RCC, the Regulatory Co-ordination Cell, looked at this and discussed it, and we've looked at it since, and I've looked at it in preparation for today, and I'm not entirely sure that I fully know -- if what is being suggested here is structural change to create a regulator for -- well --

12 **Q.** The recommendation is to appoint a lead regulator.

13 A.

14 Q. So for a regulator to take the lead and to take 15 responsibility for making final decisions on those 16 specific products needed during a pandemic.

17 A. Yes. And as I say, I think there's -- I think there's 18 a role for regulators to be well coordinated and for 19 regulators to know who's in charge in a given situation, 20 and for everyone else to know that. And that's why, in 21 response to my Lady's questions earlier, I said for that 22 narrow facet of a dual-use product, then, yes, I don't 23 think either -- in any sensible times you would want: 24 get it cleared by this agency and then go to someone 25 else and start again. And if you can bring that

10

11

together, if that's what we mean by a lead regulator, that has some value in that narrow context.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

23

25

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

But, to me, what is most important is that you have good protocols, good coordination, good use of data, so that regulators can act as one. And so that, to the business community, or the supplier community, they are presenting as one.

Now, whether you do that through a single point of contact is a matter of debate, but that's the --

Q. Can I just jump in there. I'm very conscious of time.

Some might be listening to your evidence and might be thinking: well, regulators are bought together in various ways through various means during the pandemic. Shouldn't there just be one regulator that subsumes the roles of both, at least with responsibility for items that we know are going to be needed in a health or hospital care setting? What would be your response to that?

19 A. I don't think that would be the most flexible solution.

There's a principle in regulation called the risk reflex

response, which is a nice way of saying that we too

often design solutions for the last thing that happened,

and the danger is that we create a regulator that would

24 have been the perfect regulator, perhaps, for this

context, but the next context, even in a health setting,

35

1 would be, I'm sorry.

LADY HALLETT: It sounds like it might well be the kind
 we're concerned with. And would that involve more than
 one regulator, do we think, that process?

5 A. I think what they would be thinking about where there is6 PPE.

7 **LADY HALLETT:** Right, and would that have involved more than one regulator?

9 A. It shouldn't do.

LADY HALLETT: How long did it take to get approval for facemasks during the pandemic?

A. The figure they cited was two to five weeks, I think it
 was two weeks to start with but then they got quite
 a lot of failures and it was five weeks by the time they
 had sort of managed to eliminate that.

16 LADY HALLETT: Right. Thank you.

17 Mr Weatherby.

Mr Weatherby is just there.

## Questions from MR WEATHERBY KC

20 MR WEATHERBY: Thank you very much.

Mr Russell, I ask questions on behalf of the Covid Bereaved Families for Justice UK group. Just a few questions from me. You've touched upon my first point already, which is about planning, and you indicated that OPSS had done some work on incident management

87

will be different. And as the example I gave earlier

2 hopefully illustrated, if you say that this mask is

3 regulated by this agency if it's going to a hospital and

4 a different agency if it's going to a bakery, you

5 haven't actually created a non-fragmented system. And

6 you haven't necessarily given a better healthcare

outcome, either, because you've got the business thendealing with two different regulators, possibly giving

9 different requirements.

You will always have fragmentation, and you can't avoid fragmentation simply by redrawing the lines.

12 MS SHEHADEH: Thank you.

My Lady, I am conscious that there are questionsfrom others but I have dealt with all --

15 LADY HALLETT: Thank you. I can just follow up that last --you told me fairly early on in your evidence,

17 Mr Russell, that a face mask, I assume by that you mean

the kind we're talking about in a healthcare setting, or

19 just a face mask --

20 A. Yes, my Lady.

21 **LADY HALLETT:** -- normally takes 12 weeks, you said. So what kind of face mask were you talking about?

A. That was information from BSI regarding -- before the
 easement regarding face masks, that's right, took 12
 weeks. I don't have information which face mask that

8

capability, but it hadn't come to fruition before the pandemic. Have I understood that correctly?

3 A. I think it matured significantly through, and after the4 pandemic.

Yes. So I think it follows from that that you would
 accept that it would have been better, had you had
 contingency planning in place prior to the pandemic?

8 A. I think we could have had better contingency planning,9 yes.

10 Q. Yes. Well, what contingency planning was there, giventhe evidence that you've given? You've indicated that,

12 in real time, your activities developed via the

13 easements, and you have put various matters in place

14 which I'll come to in a minute, but what contingency

15 planning was there at all?

16 **A.** In OPSS?

17 **Q.** Yes.

A. Yes, so we had an incident management plan which
 involved -- non-specific to PPE or the pandemic, we had
 an incident management plan which involved protocols for
 how we worked as an organisation, how we deployed

now we worked as an organisation, now we deployed

resources, and also how we worked with others, I think

23 particularly at that stage it focused on how we worked

24 with local authorities, but also with other national

25 regulators, and it looked at how we made decisions and

- 1 how we both escalated and de-escalated our incidents. 2 So a classic incident management plan.
- 3 But it was, having only been around for two years, 4 it was in its early stages of development.
- 5 Q. Yes.
- 6 A. The pandemic taught us a number of lessons about that 7 which have now influenced it.
- 8 Yes, so some contingency planning but could have been 9 better?
- 10 A. Yes.

23

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. Given that the OPSS was formed in 2018, you've given 11 12 that as a reason why it wasn't as developed as it might 13 be but, of course, the work of the OPSS hadn't been
- 14 invented in 2018, had it? So do you think it might have 15 been possible to have been more developed with your
- 16 contingency planning by the onset of the pandemic?
- 17 A. Before 2018, product safety regulation in total was 18 delivered by local authorities. And one of the reasons 19 why the OPSS was set up was because that had 20 a consequence, in terms of things like this, the ability
- 22 Q. Yes. Okay. All right. I'll move on.
  - Could we have up INQ000477692, please.
- 24 And this, I'm moving on to Daventry, and as you've 25 told us, Daventry was a distribution centre during the

to bring a national level of resource to a problem.

- Then, moving up, there's a helpful response from the staff member, and then Sarah Smith says:
- "Thanks ... for the speedy and positive response."
- 4 This is at the top of page 2.
- 5 "Thanks ... for the speedy and positive response.
- 6 "Clearly loads to work through."
  - Then going up again to the first page, the top of the page:
  - "Thanks for your patience.
    - "We have [a person] at Daventry this morning doing an initial triage and dynamic risk assessment.
    - "Our current working assumption is subject to [the person's] feedback and the advice today is that we look to deploy a team from Monday. We have also been in dialogue with [somebody else]."
  - Yes?
- 17 Α. Mm-hm
- Q. So that thread started on 14 April, and the bit I've 18
- 19 just read out was two days later. I'm afraid I don't
- 20 know what day of the week 16 April was, but it refers
- 21 then to deploying a team the following Monday. So we're
- 22 getting into certainly the second half of April by that
- 23 point.
- 24
- 25 Now we know from other evidence -- I think Brigadier

91

- pandemic, where, I think you'll agree, it's where 1
- 2 screening was carried out to ensure that goods were safe
- 3 for release to the NHS. Is that a fair way of
- 4 putting it?
- 5 A. Yes
- 6 Q. Now, I hope it's up on the screen in front of you.
- 7 Yes
- Q. This is an email thread, and I want to start at page 2, 8
- 9 the bottom of page 2. And this is 14 April and it's an
- 10 email from Sarah Smith who was the deputy chief
- 11 executive of the OPSS; yes?
- 12 Α. Yes.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

1

13 Q. And it's to another member of staff and it's asking for 14 help, second paragraph:

> "We have a situation at the PPE distribution facility at Daventry there we need to put some key resource on the ground to find out what is going on and support decision making by OPSS and others including HSE on whether PPE can and should be released for use by the

"The task is still quite unclear and part of putting a good person in there is to see what is needed going forward."

And it goes over the page, but there's nothing more that we need to look at on page 3.

- Prosser is going to give evidence next week -- that
- 2 Daventry had been up and running since sometime in the
- 3 second half of March?
- 4 A. Mm-hm.
- 5 Q. So are we seeing here a position where the processes for
- 6 clearance and technical assurance or screening were
- 7 still unclear and with a lack of resource by this point,
- 8 the second half of April?
- A. I think, as I understand it, the particular challenges 9
- 10 that I alluded to that were causing the problem that we
- 11 were seeking to resolve through this action, accumulated 12
- as the increase in supply occurred into Daventry. So it 13 wasn't there under normal times. As more product was
- 14
- purchased and supplied, so it came in. But as it came
- 15 in, these four challenges that I alluded to earlier as
- 16 to why things couldn't quickly clear started to
- 17 accumulate.

18 And then the solution to that had to be -- and as 19 that started to happen, we were then contacted, and 20 clearly what you've just then read is the early stages 21 of that dialogue.

- 22 Q. Yes.
- 23 A. But we were seeking to resolve it not only by going 24 physically to the location but also working with MoD,
- 25 Cabinet Office, the various other people, to try to

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1	understand what was

- 2 Q. Yes, I follow that, but what I'm putting to you is that
- 3 here we are in the second half of April, the facility
- 4 has been up and running for certainly several weeks.
- 5 There's a problem, isn't there, with the quality and
- 6 reliability of screening processes up until that point?
- 7 Goods presumably are going out to the NHS, and they're
- 8 not being properly screened?
- 9 A. I don't think that anything you've just said indicates
- 10 that goods were going out that weren't properly
- 11 screened, no.
- 12 Q. Right. Well, can you correct me? Can you put me right?
- 13 If what I've just read out to you -- I mean, effectively
- 14 your office is scoping and trying to provide resources
- to screen materials which comes primarily from new
- suppliers, and is potentially problematic, and it isn't
- 17 being done. So which part of what I've put to you isn't
- 18 right?

23

24

25

1

- 19 A. So the problem that we were seeking to address, and that
- 20 is being alluded to in those emails, is a problem of
- 21 blockage of supply not coming through, rather than any
- 22 suggestion of unsafe supply being made.
  - The procedures for ensuring conformity were in place before the pandemic and, subject to the easements, were
  - in place during the pandemic. But the problem that we
  - It's looking at, is there a reason why this item has
- 2 been detained?
- 3 Q. Yes, I follow that, but can you --
- 4 A. Sorry, and that --
- 5 Q. -- (overspeaking) --
- 6 A. -- that would follow from the deployment which we made
- 7 on the dates that you said, was it 16 April and --
- 8 (overspeaking) --
- 9 Q. Right. So this is a pre-screening, if you like, to work
- out what needs to be looked at and what doesn't; is that
- 11 a fair way of putting that?
- 12 A. I don't think it's a pre-screening.
- 13 Q. Well, how would you describe it?
- 14 A. It's a consideration of material that has been detained
- to identify whether and how it can be released.
- 16 Q. Well, the Sarah Munby statement which I've just
- 17 paraphrased indicates that it checks for consideration
- as to whether further testing would be needed.
- 19 A. That's right.
- 20 **Q.** Yes.
- 21 A. So if, as I -- as I said fairly quickly, earlier, if
- 22 a product had been detained, then there were various
- 23 ways that that could then be released.
- 24 **Q**. Yes
- 25 A. It might be documentary checks could be clarified; it

1 were tasked to resolve was the combination of those

- 2 factors and the change in those processes was leading to
- 3 product not coming through Daventry fast enough and
- 4 there becoming a backlog, as opposed to a suggestion
- 5 that unsafe items were being released.
  - Q. Well, I'm not going to take that any further. I've put the point to you, and you've answered it.

Moving on to a final point, that in the corporate statement of Sarah Munby, and just for the record, paragraph 9.29, she states that:

"The process at Daventry included a visual inspection by HSE and MHRA to check for discrepancies in the documentation and consideration as to whether further testing would be needed."

Can you help us with when those visual inspections were introduced?

- 17 A. When they were introduced?
- 18 Q. When they were.

chain.

Q. Yes.

- A. So again, those, as I understand it, those activitieswere designed to address the question of whether this
- 21 product could be cleared for release into the supply
- 22 23 **Q**.
- 24 **A.** That is not describing the conformity assessment
- 25 process, either in normality or under the easement.

94

- 1 might be, you know, that suppliers could be contacted
- 2 and asked to supply more information, and that would be
- 3 documentary. It might be that that was not adequate to
- 4 give the confidence necessary for a release, and
- 5 therefore testing would be -- would enable you to build
- 6 that confidence. And so --
- ${\bf 7} \quad {\bf Q}. \quad {\bf I} \ {\bf may} \ {\bf have} \ {\bf used} \ {\bf the} \ {\bf wrong} \ {\bf term} \ {\bf but} \ {\bf basically}, \ {\bf this} \ {\bf was}$
- 8 a starting point to determine whether further screening
- 9 was necessary or not? And it came into being after the
- 10 dates that we've looked at in the middle of April?
- 11 A. I hope I'm not being pedantic but I'm struggling with
- 12 "starting point". This is product that has come in
- through a conformity assessment process, but has not
- been released, because there have been issues arising
- from that. So I don't think it's a starting point.
- 16 Q. I wouldn't take it any further.
- Final point, Ms Munby goes on to say, again for the record paragraph 9.31, that the inbound assurance process "had solidified" by May 2020, and involved
- 20 Clipper Logistics taking photographs of inbound PPE
- 21 which would then be sent to OPSS.
- 22 Is that a process that you're familiar with?
- A. And that's what I was alluding to when I talked about
   identifying the problem, resolving it, and then building
- 25 processes that avoided it in the future.

1	Q.	Right. So, following on from what we discussed, the	1		(The Short Adjournment)
2		process eventually, by May, was that the logistics	2	(1.5	50 pm)
3		company was taking pictures of some of the PPE, emailing	3	LA	DY HALLETT: Mr Wald.
4		it through to your office, and then there would be	4	MR	WALD: My Lady, our next witness today is
5		a visual inspection of those photographs?	5		Mr Steve Barclay.
6	A.	By us or by the HSE. But just to be clear, this is the	6		MR STEVE BARCLAY (sworn)
7		product that had been detained because there wasn't	7	(	Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY FOR MODULE 5
8		confidence it had conformity. The product where there	8	LA	DY HALLETT: I hope we haven't kept you waiting too long,
9		was confidence that there it had conformity assessment	9		Mr Barclay.
10		was flowing through at Daventry. This with not that	10	A.	Not at all, my Lady.
11		check. This was the check where there was a problem or	11	Q.	Please state your full name for the Inquiry.
12		perceived to be a problem and people on the ground were	12	Α.	Stephen Paul Barclay.
13		saying, "Can we get the regulator to clear this	13	Q.	
14		particular thing for this reason?"	14		a witness statement. It's INQ000574180, which you have
15	MR	WEATHERBY: Thank you very much.	15		signed. Can you confirm to the Inquiry that it's true
16		DY HALLETT: Thank you, Mr Weatherby.	16		to the best of your knowledge and belief.
17		Thank you very much indeed, Mr Russell. Those are	17	A.	I can.
18		all the questions we have for you. It's good to see	18		Thank you for that.
19		somebody who is such an enthusiast in their field after	19	α.	We know from it, Mr Barclay, that from
20		so many years practising in it.	20		13 February 2020 until 15 September 2021, you served as
21	ТЫБ	E WITNESS: Thank you.	21		Chief Secretary to His Majesty's Treasury.
22		DY HALLETT: So thank you very much for your help.	22	Α.	That's correct.
23		E WITNESS: Thank you very much.	23	Q.	
24		DY HALLETT: I shall return at 1.50.	24	Œ.	the period that's within the scope of the Inquiry you
25		50 pm)	25		also served as Chancellor of the Duchy of Lancaster from
20	(12.	97	25		98
1		15 September 2021 to July 2022.	1	Q.	And those are the areas within its remit that are most
2	A.	(Witness nodded)	2		relevant, to public procurement generally and emergency
3	Q.	And of possible relevance as we get on to other matters	3		public procurement in particular? That's right, is it
4		in a few moments, you had two stints serving as	4		not?
5		Secretary of State for the Department of Health and	5	A.	(No audible answer)
6		Social Care, first from 5 July to 6 September 2022, and	6	Q.	Your day-to-day duties in that role involved you in
7		also from 25 October to 13 November 2023.	7		interdepartmental and Treasury meetings, stakeholder
8	A.	Correct.	8		engagement, budget management, and also you had
9	Q.	All right. Thank you.	9		decision-making authority, including in relation to
10		Now I want to establish first with you, if I may,	10		approving budgets and influencing economic and fiscal
11		the role of the CST, which is something that you address	11		policies, all of that under the guidance of the
12		in your written evidence. It's a ministerial office	12		Chancellor?
13		within the government and the second most senior	13	A.	Correct.
14		ministerial office within the Treasury. That's right,	14	Q.	In that role, you also used procurement principles and
15		isn't it?	15		regulations which are codified in the government
16	A.	It is.	16		document "Managing Public Money", and sets out the main
17	Q.	And that person, for the relevant period you, reported	17		principles for dealing with resources in the public
18		to the Chancellor?	18		sector organisations within the UK.
19	A.	Correct.	19	A.	Correct.
20	Q.	Yes. The CST is responsible for public expenditure,	20	Q.	That's right.
21		which includes spending reviews and strategic planning,	21		All right, I want to now put up on the screen

23

24

25

99

pensions, efficiency and value for money in public

in-year spending control, public sector pay and

service and procurement?

25 A. (Witness nodded)

22

23

24

a couple of paragraphs from the opening, within this

100

So the references for that are, firstly,

PHT000000149, and it's pages 122:

module, and a graph with which you may well be familiar.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

"The level of spending on ... healthcare equipment was not anticipated by anyone, including His Majesty's Treasury. To take the example of PPE alone ..."

And you, of course, Mr Barclay, were involved in more than just PPE but here:

"To take the example of PPE alone, the Treasury's spending envelopes, that is the total amount of money it plans to spend over a set period of time, expanded 138-fold from £100 million to £13.8 billion ..."

Yes?

## Correct. 11 Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

12 Q. And that is illustrated -- let's just move on, before we go to the graph, to the next paragraph, or page 5, from 9 on, starting "These sums".

> "These sums, vast as they are, have understandably been the subject of considerable public interest and media attention.

"One of the questions which has been, and continues to be, posed is whether, during the course of the crisis which faced the country, the public purse was exploited for personal gain by those with close connections to government and officials. There has been a substantial amount of public discourse about whether there was corruption, cronyism, and misuse of public money carried out under cover of protecting frontline health and 101

1 Q. Yes. All right.

> Thank you. I think that can now be taken down. But it helps us frame the degree of spending increases, or spending envelopes, over that period.

You tell us in your statement that during the relevant time the Treasury's role was one of overseeing public spending, but that was often delegated to AOs, accounting officers, given the urgent pace at which decisions were required.

Now, presumably, Mr Barclay, the role of overseeing was made extremely difficult in these circumstances. Is that fair?

13 A. Yes, it is.

14 Q. And once one delegates to AOs, the decisions that were 15 made about expenditure, one is, in effect, removing 16 oneself within the Treasury from that role of oversight. 17 There's a limited degree of oversight that can, in 18 practical terms, be achieved once that transfer of roles 19 is done.

A. It becomes the accounting officer's decision, yes. 20

21 Q. Yes. To what extent, if any, was that decision-making 22 process supervised, monitored, the subject of guidance 23 from within the Treasury?

24 A. Well, firstly, delegated envelopes are part of the 25 consistent "Managing Public Money" approach. I think, 103

social care workers, as well as the public, from Covid-19 infection. The Inquiry had considered not only referrals by those with connections to the then ... Conservative Party, but also those ... political parties including the Labour Party."

Now, it's principally the first paragraph that I wanted to draw your attention, but as we will move to, and I know you address in your written evidence, the Treasury, and you particularly did have some, some degree -- some role in guarding against the misuse of public funds, didn't you?

12 A. I did.

13 Q. Let's now turn to that graph. It's INQ000474916. 14 I don't know whether you are familiar with this. You 15 may even have seen it on Day 1 of this module. Are you 16 familiar with it?

17 A. Not particularly this one, but obviously the underlying 18 message, yes, that spending increased extremely quickly.

19 Q. Extremely quickly. And even beyond the date by which 20 PPE expenditure was tailing off, if not finishing? June 21 or July of that year?

22 A.

23 Q. And likewise, expenditure on ventilators had already 24 taken place by that period?

25 **A**. It had.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

102

what, Mr Wald, you're drawing attention to is the vast increase in the delegated envelopes and the speed at which that envelope increased over a short period of time, and I think that needs to be viewed in the context of the pandemic that the country was facing, the scale of the challenge, and the imperative from the Prime Minister and the Quad, who ultimately set the envelope. My job as Chief Secretary is then to go and implement those decisions, but the decision on spending of that size of up to over 13 billion in England, over 15 billion across the United Kingdom, is set by the Prime Minister, with the Chancellor.

13 That decision reflected the imperative which was to 14 focus saving lives at, and that was what drove that 15 increase and I'm sure we will come on to the various 16 stages of that.

17 LADY HALLETT: Was the spending envelope basically the 18 Treasury, on the authority of the Prime Minister, 19 saying, "You can spend up to this amount but no more"? 20 I mean, a budget would normally be "We expect you to 21 spent about 30 million" or whatever, but an envelope 22 seems to me is slightly different, isn't it?

23 A. So conditions will be attached to the envelope and one 24 of the key mitigations that the Treasury put in place 25 was to impose conditions alongside that increase. But

the envelope then gives the accounting officer authority to spend within that quantum, and the reason for that was the need for speed, and the fact that it was simply not practical for so many contracts to be coming to the Treasury. And indeed, I think in Chris Young's statement to the Inquiry my Lady, he talks about some days there being as many as 11 contracts, an average of almost four a day.

So in those early days, what emerged was that it wasn't practical for individual contracts to come to the Treasury, and that is why the Quad and Number 10 decided to allocate a spending envelope to speed up those, so that the bureaucracy didn't stop us securing those essential PPE contracts to save lives.

15 LADY HALLETT: Thank you.

MR WALD: Mr Barclay, you speak of a balance at paragraph 11
of your written evidence. The balance, it needed to be
a balance between public spending principles, but also
with the flexibility required to meet the urgent health
response spending.

Did you think at the time, and secondly, looking back now, do you think the right balance was struck, in terms of public expenditure, the need for speed, the need for flexibility?

**A.** Well, I think first, if you look at the first envelope

1 position?

A. I think what characterised the position is that value
 for money changes at a time of national crisis. And so,
 if I refer, Mr Wald to my private office's email on
 22 March, they say, and I quote:

"CST feels deeply uncomfortable with this and doesn't feel like there's been the correct level of due diligence. However, CST feels he has been given no choice other than to approve."

And that is because I accepted that with just two weeks of supply then it was necessary at a time of national crisis to assess value for money differently to what would have been the case, if I refer back to your opening graph, when the original PPE budget had been set

**Q.** I think, Mr Barclay, the answer to my question is yes. One cannot apply the same principles to assessing value for money in an emergency where public procurement, say of PPE, is of vital importance as one would normally, but one cannot even apply it in any meaningful sense. If one is told that the stocks are about to expire and that there is an urgent need to procure, it's simply not possible to know or to ascertain whether the price being paid is the going rate or is twice the going rate.

25 A. I'd respectfully say that the principles can be

that was put in place for £100 million, that was in the context of the NHS having just two weeks of supply. And that is why there was such an urgency, because the imperative was to ensure we didn't run out of essential protective equipment for frontline services, and that is why the procurement process needed to be speeded up.

Second, there was a huge global challenge with countries competing against each other.

And third, the existing suppliers couldn't meet demand. So there's a requirement to go to new suppliers, most of whom were overseas, particularly in China, and there was also questions in China as to whether it had a further wave as to whether that supply could be secured moving forward.

And therefore, what characterised that early phase, which is addressed, I think, in the Treasury corporate statement, is the urgency of securing supply. And that in turn shaped the decision that the Prime Minister and the Quad took to set an envelope which was what then as CST, I was implementing.

Q. Given that urgency, Mr Barclay, the requirement to maintain value for money for which -- to which you refer in paragraph 12 of your statement, and proper spending processes, was extremely difficult, if not impossible, to maintain. Is that a fair characterisation of the 

consistently applied in terms of value for money as a Treasury test. What I am suggesting, my Lady, is the application of that principle changes because what constitutes value for money is then different. So was I comfortable, as a chief secretary, that the government was paying eight times, or at some points 14 times the pre-pandemic price? Of course I wasn't. But did I respect that the priority which I agreed with was to protect lives, then we needed to pay what the global market rate was at that time, and that is why the test for value for money, the principle would be applied but the test would be different to what it would have been before the pandemic.

14 Q. And how did you and others within the Treasury inform
15 yourselves as to what the global market rate was at
16 a given moment in time?

A. Well, that couldn't be for me to assess. That is why we had a delegated envelope in order that the accounting officer for the Department of Health could make that assessment, because again, consistent with managing public money before the pandemic, it is for the contracting parties, in this case the Department of Health, to make those assessments on the counterparties, the value for money, and other conditions such as

25 checking whether the stock is suitable. That is for the

- 1 contracting parties not the Treasury.
- Q. You were dependent -- sorry, had you not finished youranswer?
- 4 A. Well, I am very happy --
- You were dependent on the judgments made by the
   Department of Health and the DHSC when it came to
   understanding what the going rate was?
- 8 **A.** Yes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Yes. And I suppose that is what I meant when I said
   that an assessment of value for money couldn't have been
   conducted within the Treasury; it was conducted on the
   basis of say-so from others.
- A. Well, my point is that is consistent with what would
   happen before a pandemic, where the accounting officer
   would have, within their spending envelope, the
   authority. What was different was the size of spend
   that was being allocated.

So again, if I refer back to the email of 23 March from David Williams, who was the accounting officer in the Department of Health on this issue, he says that he is satisfied with the purchase from a regulatory and propriety perspective and he is satisfied "that in the circumstances, this purchase represents value for money."

And that was consistent with the approach that is 109

identify in your written evidence. You describe them as the Treasury's approach shifting through three overlapping phases. Firstly, you identify a phase that runs from March to May of 2020. And you characterise it in this way:

"With an overriding priority on health outcomes, [the Treasury] supported emergency spending with a higher risk appetite due to the urgency of the situation, accepting trade-offs like higher costs for healthcare supplies as the alternative could have been loss of life."

And I think that's what you were referring to a few moments ago. That characterises that first phase, is that right, March until May 2020?

A. It does, and again, the fact that this was a cross-government decision led by the Quad can be seen from the fact that the Cabinet Office procurement policy, which was issued in March, expressly indicated a higher risk appetite, including instructions delegating payments in advance to accounting officers. So again, it wouldn't be normal, outside of a crisis, for such advance payments to be paid for supplies, but the Cabinet Office guidance allowed that, because it reflected the urgency with two weeks' of supply left, that all efforts should be made, and the concern that

1 set out in "Managing Public Money".

2 Q. Save that, Mr Barclay, your deep discomfort and your 3 frustration, we see that you experience sentiments such 4 as these at various points in the correspondence, would 5 in normal circumstances have been met with a greater 6 explanation or the provision of greater detail in order 7 to allay your concerns. In these situations or in this 8 situation, you really had to just go on the basis of 9 what you were told by the DHSC?

10 A. Yes, I mean, I was often, and I think the 11 correspondence, my Lady, will show this, not least the 12 times of emails, bank holidays, very late at night, 13 weekends and so forth, with very, very quick turnaround, 14 was a reflection of the global competition with 15 countries for contracts. The fact it was a suppliers' 16 market, the fact that they could demand terms in terms 17 of upfront payments that would not normally be agreed 18 to, and that both drove the spending envelope that was 19 allocated to the Department of Health, but also shaped 20 the value for money judgement they were reaching and 21 communicating to us in the Treasury.

Q. All right. I will come back to the emails, you've
 mentioned one of them. It's not the only one in which
 you express concern or discomfort, but before I do that,
 I just want to deal with the three phases that you

other countries were going to out-compete us to secure those supplies.

Q. Now, we've spoken about the information that was
 provided to you, and the judgements that were provided
 to you by the DHSC. Was any other data available to the
 Treasury in making the judgements that informed phase 1
 funding?

8 A. In terms of what other -- Mr Wald, could you just
 9 clarify, are you meaning on-demand modelling or some of
 10 the --

Q. Whatever it might be. What I'm interested to
understand, and I'm going to come back to it towards the
end of our exchanges on recommendations, is, was there
any reliable source of data that could inform what the
spending envelope should be and what individual
decisions on a particular contract should also be?

A. Well, I think it was very hard to know how much supply
 was going to be needed, because there was huge
 uncertainty around the direction of the virus. There
 was gaps in data in terms of inventory. There was
 insufficient data, for example, from NHS colleagues on
 the burn rate, and so the procurement was done within
 the context of a highly uncertain environment.

24

25

And as I alluded to earlier, Mr Wald, it was uncertain to what extent suppliers such as China could 112

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be relied on if, for example, the Chinese themselves had further waves of virus and needed more of their own supply.

So those early decisions were taken in a very fluid and uncertain environment.

Q. Let's move on to the second phase, if we may. That runs, according to your categorisation, from May 2020 until April 2021.

Now, the initial period of that saw increasing costs. We know from the table that we saw that they increased -- they continued to increase until June or July of 2020. The spending envelopes do. But you say that as the pandemic progressed and costs rose, the Treasury focused on delegating increasing budgets to the accounting officers as the initial emergency sign-off processes were not effective as a longer term position, given the asymmetry of the information between departments, in part DHSC and the Treasury.

So, to understand that, just as costs rose, the Treasury handed over to accounting officers the task of making those detailed decisions in given cases.

A. Well, I think the more material issue was the volume
 required exponentially rose, because as you will see
 with the delegation, the increased delegation on the
 envelope, that reflected an initial envelope for health

113

- Q. Nothing in principle, though, to prevent the sharing of
   that data, or the prevention of the siloing of data, is
   there? That data could be made available to more than
   one department?
- 5 A. It should.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

- 6 Q. It could and should --
- A. It could and should, and I think a flavour of my
   evidence has been the concern I had around the siloing
   of data.
- Q. Well, I said I'd leave to the end but there's no reason not to address it now in terms of recommendation. What data changes would you recommend to prevent this asymmetry that you describe in your evidence?
- 13 14 A. I think it relates both to the Treasury and the 15 Cabinet Office. And I think there were similar concerns 16 from colleagues, Lord Agnew and others in the Cabinet 17 Office. And I think bringing in external challenge, 18 bringing in the commercial expertise in the Cabinet 19 Office to help support the Department of Health -- which 20 had huge bandwidth challenges, because of the volume of 21 contracts they were trying to deal with, the huge 22 pressures that they were under -- if requests came to 23 Treasury at a very late stage, that limited our ability 24 to help influence those contracts and those decisions,

and what I was pushing for as Chief Secretary was for

115

needs, specifically. There were then later questions which we can come -- you may choose to come on to around when PPE was to cover the opening of the NHS, that creates demand. It was to provide PPE to other departments such as prisons. That created further demand. There was then covering the devolved administrations, and so forth.

So the scope of what was being procured by DH was increasing, and that is why the envelope was expanded through the various phases.

Q. And throughout these phases, or these -- well, I'm
 talking about phase 2, as you describe it, but
 throughout this period there is what you describe as an
 asymmetry of information between departments, including
 between the Treasury and DHSC.

16 A. Yes, because, as I mentioned earlier, it is the 17 contracting party that has the information on the 18 counterparts. So they know what commercial 19 conversations they are having with suppliers in China. 20 They are liaising with our embassy network as part of 21 that. But those aren't conversations that the Treasury 22 is having; it is the contracting party, ie, the 23 department, that is having those conversations, and it 24 is therefore the department that will have the data and 25 that sort of detail.

114

earlier sight of that data so that we could then challenge it more effectively.

3 **Q.** In the event of a future pandemic that called for

4 emergency procurement, those measures should be put in place, should they not?

6 A. Well, I think the more we can break silos down, the7 better.

8 Q. Let's move in to phase 3, then, a period that you
9 identify as running from April 2021 to October 2022, and
10 you say this:

"[The Treasury] built on previous learning, managing spending peaks for effectively with less acute trade-offs between taxpayer and patient interests, and preparing for a return to pre-COVID spending arrangements."

I just want to unlock, if I may, with you what you mean by "building on previous learning". What at this point were the lessons that had already been learnt from the experience of the previous months?

A. Well, I think first and foremost was around demand modelling. So one of the challenges, particularly early on, when many of the contracts were entered, was the uncertainty around demand modelling, what the path of the virus would be, what the burn rate would be on frontline services, the number of services that needed

to be covered, the inventory data that they had, and I think a lot of Health colleagues found that challenging and it took some time to put in place the demand modelling and the data around that. But by the third phase, obviously, that had significantly improved.

Q. All right, thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

25

You mentioned the devolved administrations a moment ago. I just want to pick up with you how -- what was your role in ensuring that the devolved administration -- and the role of the Treasury -- had sufficient funding to cope with the challenges that they faced in terms of emergency procurement?

Yes, so within the Treasury I would be the lead minister

11 12 13 A. 14 in dealing with the devolved administrations' finance 15 ministers, so not their health ministers but their 16 finance ministers. And in terms of ensuring they had 17 sufficient funding, firstly that was applied 18 consistently, as it would before the pandemic, in terms 19 of Barnett consequentials. So when additional money is 20 allocated to England, an equivalence goes to Scotland, 21 Wales and Northern Ireland. And then, secondly, 22 a number of the big ticket spending items, including 23 PPE, were then allocated through the envelope on 24 a UK-wide basis. And therefore, we provided sufficient

1 in future, that that sharing of data should take place?

A. Yes, a consistent theme of my time in government has
 been a push for one version of the truth across
 government and the sharing of data across silos.

funding on advice with the Department of Health

government and the sharing of data across silos.
Q. All right, Mr Barclay. You deal, in your written
evidence in some detail, with a chronology of particular
cases, demands for expenditure, that you had to deal
with, that span from March 2020 all the way to
September 2021.

10 A. Yes.

Q. So they span the three phases that you have identified.
 I don't propose to go through all of them with you.
 Instead, I want to take some early examples of the
 pressures that you were placed under in order to
 understand and extract any emerging themes from those.

Let's start, if we may. You mentioned conditions being placed. I think it was in answer to your question from the chair. You placed conditions on the spending envelopes, did you not?

20 A. Yes.

16

17

18

19

24

25

21 **Q.** Yes.

Let's have brought up on to the screen, if we may, INQ000480114.

This is a letter from yourself dated 24 April 2020 to Matt Hancock and Michael Gove. If we can start with 119

1 colleagues, as shaped by the Quad and the PM and the

2 Chancellor's setting of the envelope in order to provide

3 that on a UK-wide basis.

4 Q. Any difference in treatment of the devolved

5 administrations themselves? Scottish Government, Welsh

6 Government, Northern Ireland Executive?

7 A. Not to my knowledge, Mr Wald.

8 Q. Did they all have fiscal frameworks, for example?

9 A. In what regard?

10 Q. You tell us that there was a fiscal framework in theScottish Government allowing for borrowing up to

£3 billion for capital and 1.75 billion for resource

purposes. Similar arrangements in Wales. But not so in

14 Northern Ireland.

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

15 A. That may be the case, I'd have to refresh, but there is 16 legislation that applies to each of the DAs. What was 17 in common was they were not under a duty to share data 18 with the UK Government in terms of their burn rate. So, 19 again, it pointed to some of the challenges, both around 20 the demand modelling but also, where they'd incurred 21 cost in that initial phase by procuring themselves, 22 there was a challenge in terms of how that was then

23 allocated.
24 Q. Can we infer from that answer that you would say that it

24 **Q.** Can we inter from that answer that you would say that it would be preferable would have been preferable, that it would be preferable 118

page 1, paragraph 1:

"I am writing to formally confirm the funding envelopes agreed between [the] Treasury ... and the Department of Health and Social Care ... for the procurement of ventilators ... (PPE) and testing programmes ..."

So that's the purpose of the letter.

We then move down to paragraph 3, page 2 of 4. Sorry, it's -- yes, it is that half.

"As a result of this, [the Treasury] rely on the condition that ... DHSC accounting officer was content with those approvals to ensure due diligence and scrutiny was being applied appropriately. To support this, [the Treasury] approved the appointment of a second accounting officer who took on his new responsibilities from the 6 March."

17 Who was that, do you recall?

18 A. It was David Williams.

19 Q. But what this letter makes very clear is that the
 20 accounting officer was effectively there making the
 21 judgements that would otherwise be made within the
 22 Treasury?

A. No, the accounting officer was making the normal
 decisions that an accounting officer would make in
 respect of their delegated envelope.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 The reason a second permanent secretary was put in 2 was reflecting the intense pressure in terms of the 3 sudden quantum shift in the volume of contracts that the Department of Health had to enter. So the Department of 4 5 Health would normally, within their spending envelope, 6 be the contracting party, and their accounting officer 7 would be responsible. What was happening was because 8 they needed to secure so much supply in a very globally 9 challenged market, and do so at such pace to save lives, 10 because the critical issue driving the government's approach was to ensure we didn't run out of PPE; that 11 12 was creating a massive pressure on the Department of 13 Health, and that is why a second permanent secretary was 14 appointed

15 Q. How many accounting officers were there?

16 A. In the Department of Health?

17 Q. Yes.

23

3

4

5

18

20

21

22

23

18 A. All departments, at that point, would have one perm sec.

19 I think it's now much more common for departments to

20 have two permanent secs, but from memory, although

21 Treasury colleagues can clarify, I think it was the

22 first time, other than in Treasury, that a department

had a second permanent secretary. And again, it

24 reflected the unique circumstances that we faced.

25 Q. Let's just look at the conditions to which you have

1 Foreign Office guidance through the First Secretary and 2 so on.

> I think I can go to the bit in notes -- I will have them in my notes what the full conditions are, if that's helpful, Mr Wald.

6 Q. If it's easy to access, yes please.

7 The conditions will have been set out in the delegation 8 when we went -- well, for the first £1 billion that's 9 where those would have been set out.

10 Q. While you're looking for that, can I just ask for 11 INQ000534512, to be put up on the screen.

12 LADY HALLETT: I don't know if Mr Block or others could 13 help? I don't know if anyone in your team can help,

14 Mr Block?

MR BLOCK: I think it's an annex. 15

LADY HALLETT: All right. Well, I don't really want to take 16 17 up too much time. Thank you, Mr Block.

Sorry to interrupt.

19 MR WALD: We'll come back to it, Mr Barclay.

> You mentioned Lord Agnew earlier. Have you seen this email in which he expresses concern that he was being asked to agree a sum as large as one and a quarter billion in the course of a single day.

24 A.

25 Q. Yes. Was that exceptional? You spoke about large sums 123

referred. This is paragraph 11 of this letter. Page 3. There we are:

"These aim to ensure that we only enter into contracts with reputable companies who we can reasonably trust will fulfil these contracts and provide safe equipment, and that public money is protected as far as possible in these challenging circumstances. The conditions were specifically designed to avoid slowing procurement down and have been agreed with your officials. They will of course be kept under review and adjusted as necessary ..."

Could you summarise with us what the conditions

A. The conditions were set out in correspondence but, from memory, it was things like checking the quality of stock, because I was very concerned that we would procure stock that wasn't fit for purpose. It was a particular concern of mine, because my career before coming into Parliament, had been in financial crime prevention, and -- as a lawyer at Barclays, and my sort of concern there was if we paid for something at pace, do we have the ability to extract ourselves from that contract at a later point?

There were conditions linked to the checks that would be done, and there was an interaction there with 122

1 needing to be authorised in short periods of time.

2 A. It wasn't as exceptional as it should have been and it 3 was, as I think the evidence shows in my comments, 4 through my private office, there were repeated instances where we were asked for very large spending decisions 5 6 with very, very little time, and on occasion that was 7 escalated through Number 10 even before the Treasury had 8 received the request.

Q. It's a reason you had deep, deep discomfort or deep 9 10 concerns, isn't it?

A. Yes. 11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

12 Q. Because those principles, the principles that you 13 applied when you were working at Barclays and that you 14 were used to, simply couldn't, in practical terms, be 15 meaningfully applied?

A. Well, the concern I was raising was around the data sharing, and the transparency. Because we were reliant on the accounting officer. That was consistent with the framework "Managing Public Money". The concern I had was the quantums were of a very different order to what would be the case in peacetime, and whilst I agreed that the imperative was to save lives, and that is why the Prime Minister and the Quad had decided to have such big

24 envelopes devolved, I felt equally that required

a transparency of data around that and indeed, that is

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

why in that letter you brought up a moment ago, Mr Wald, at the end you will see one of the things that I insisted on, was that that was shared with the Comptroller and Auditor General, because I spent four years on the Public Accounts Committee when I first entered Parliament and I felt it was important that the National Audit Office was notified in real time that we were delegating such large envelopes.

So the logic for delegating I thought was very understandable, but I thought that should be communicated to the National Audit Office because it was such an exceptional amount that was being delegated.

- 13 Q. In effect, in the interest of transparency?
- 14 A. Indeed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

23

24

25

- Q. Yes. And did you frequently take that step? 15
- 16 A. I took it on number of occasions. So I think both when 17 I refused the Department of Health's request to 18 retrospectively agree spend, which we may come on to, in 19 the second phase, in January 2021, which forced the 20 Department of Health accounts to be irregular and 21 therefore notifiable by the NEO. I think we had two
- 22 letters to him the previous April, you brought one up,
- 23 but I was very surprised when, the day after that letter
- 24 was sent, I then got a request to increase the envelope
- 25 further by a further 3 billion from the Department of

125

MR BLOCK: For Mr Wald, please. It's a list of conditions.

MR WALD: Okay, yes, I see, let's deal with that now, then, before we get into Meheco.

I have been given an INQ number, it's an email, perhaps it's the annex to which reference has been made. It's INQ000273559.

And it is around this time, I gather it's 25 March.

Ah, here we are. Second paragraph:

"Conditions for the delegated funding envelopes are that you must ..."

Is this what you were looking for?

12 A. Yes, I think, I don't know if this is on PPE or 13 ventilators because the paragraph above says 14 ventilators, but a lot of the conditions were consistent 15 across the piece. So how legitimate are the companies 16 with which we are contracting? The certification of the 17 equipment, because I was very concerned that, because of 18 the pressure of speed, PPE might be bought that then, if 19 it proved unsafe, our frontline services would be 20 placing a reliance on it, which I was very worried that 21 in the haste of procuring it, we didn't want to give 22

false comfort by providing frontline staff with PPE that wasn't fit for purpose. And so I was signalling that

that was a particular risk that I was concerned about.

And then we were recognising that because of the

127

Health, particularly given that the Department of Health

2 knew about the letter when I sent it, and therefore

3 I requested that a second letter be sent to the

Comptroller and Auditor General, making clear that it

5 had then shifted from 1 billion to 4 billion. I also

6 raised with the Treasury colleagues whether we should

7 write to the Chair of the Public Accounts Committee, but

8 on advice, it was decided not to do so. 9 So I hope one can see, Mr Wald, that there was

a consistent pattern on my part of recognising the imperative of the remarkable times we faced and the need to save lives with a desire to have transparency around those decisions, including notifying the National Audit Office in real time that we were delegating such large

16 Q. I wasn't going to take you as far as January 2021 but 17 thank you for that evidence.

> I would like to take you to another example within March 2020. This is the Meheco offer from a Chinese provider that you may recall.

Could we have displayed INQ000572255 and page 1 of

23 LADY HALLETT: I think the Treasury team may have come up

24 with the goods.

25 MR WALD: Ah.

126

1 unique global market, there was a premium, but trying to 2 bring some transparency to where the price was spiking 3 above 25%. So again, not making it unduly bureaucratic,

4 but trying to get some transparency around any outliers 5 on the decision, ensuring that there was the right

6 governance process there, using the local knowledge of

7 our embassy team, and then pushing on demand management

8 as well, and trying to avoid upfront payments but

9 recognising that the reality of the market, and because 10 it was a suppliers' market, many suppliers were able to

11 demand significant upfront payments as the price of

12 contracting and securing those supplies.

13 Q. All right, thank you, Mr Barclay, and thank you to 14 Mr Block and others for the speedy retrieval of that 15

16 Can I now take you to the reference that I was about 17 to go to, INQ000572255. This is dated 22 March 2020 18 from your private secretary. It relates to an offer 19 from a Chinese provider called Meheco, you may recall.

20 A. Yes. I think this was part of a wider -- I think they 21 were asking for 100 million of which there was 22 a 20 million specific contract, but it was part of the 23 initial 100 million envelope that was allocated by the

24

25 Q. I just want to draw your attention to this bullet, it's

about seven down.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"The team continued to have [value for money] and propriety concerns with this purchase, although these are lower than discussed yesterday given it appears that Meheco is at least a legitimate company ... However, the team are still concerned that the equipment may not work, no contract has yet been seen and payment in advance of receipt is inherently risky. Compared to the multiple asks we have signed off over the last week, this therefore carries by far the most risk from propriety, regularity, and value for money perspectives."

That was not unusual, those concerns, in relation to particular offers, was it?

- 15 A. Well, I would put it in the context of the first line, 16 "The UK currently has 2-week supply."
- 17 Q.
- 18 A. And the advice from my Director General, Cat Little, 19 was:

[As read] "I don't see how we can do anything else but approve this given the timescales. I would be keen to move to a delegated model as soon as possible."

So again, it wasn't that there wasn't an awareness either in the Treasury or, I am sure, for Health colleagues to speak to, I'm sure they were also aware, 129

needed to have clearer modelling data. As indeed, a moment ago we touched on the conditions. One of the conditions I set specifically, I think, to this order was "a clear plan for managing excess stock", it's either this order or another, it may have been a PPE one on that same day. But on the 24th, that was one of the conditions I set.

So throughout, we were pushing for better demand modelling. But to be fair to Health colleagues, it was hard for them to provide that data at that stage because there was such uncertainty over the path of the virus, there was uncertainty over the levels of usage within the NHS, because that data on inventory and burn rate was not available. And so the spending decisions were having to be taken without that information, and one of the things I was pushing for was to try to ensure that moving forward, we would get that information for future decisions.

Q. And that's reflected in a further email, if we could just have up on the screen INQ000572257. Page 1, and the first bullet point. There we are:

"The table highlighted below, setting out levels of supply before [and] after [Treasury] approval. Wants to show Munira how low DHSC let supplies go before coming to us for information and how little information DHSC

1 but the reality was with two weeks' supply the priority 2 was to secure that supply for the front line.

3 Q. Are you suggesting that had the supply been two months,

- a different approach might have been taken? 5 Well, there would have been less urgency, yes.
- 6 Q. Less urgency and therefore that value for money balance 7 would have been struck differently?
- 8 A. Correct.

4

- 9 Q. All right. Let's move on a couple of days. 24 March,
- 10 and INQ000572260. This is in relation to approval that
- 11 was sought by DHSC to pre-order 12.5 million testing
- 12 kits for £75 million, which you may recall.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. You were recommended to approve the order, given the 15 government's current objective to rapidly expand
- 16 testing, and you did do so the same day. Yes?
- 17 Α.

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Q. You requested a detailed breakdown from DHSC on what 18 19 demand modelling showed we would need against the supply 20 curve of what equipment and staffing would be in place.

> We see that the Treasury was saying, "Push DHSC hard on absence of detailed breakdown."

23 Was the DHSC pushed hard and if so, what came of it? 24 A. Well, the "he" is myself. So I was requesting that 25 alongside these significant spending decisions, we

130

have been giving us. I know you're already working on this -- can I check in on how long this will take to produce so I can manage expectations?"

Now, you said a moment ago, to be fair to DHSC, there were all manner of challenges that they faced in providing that information. Were they, in essence, requests for information that it would have been possible to accede to?

Well, the Munira recall relates to a slightly different 9 10 but related point. So what happened there was --

11 Q. This is Munira Mirza?

12 A. Yes, who was one of the Prime Minister's key aides and 13 Number 10 had had a complaint that critical orders were 14 being slowed down by Treasury because we were asking 15 questions on value for money and due diligence, 16 specifically on 24 March 2020. It emerged that that 17 suggestion was erroneous and the request had not 18 actually been made to Treasury. But I think what it 19 does is point to the significant pressure that Treasury 20 officials were under to expedite orders, which in turn 21 then placed me under pressure to expedite orders, because we were being called by Number 10 for not

22

23 clearing quickly enough an order that we had not

24 actually even received.

25 Q. I think I want to move on now from the chronology,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 because even in that limited timeframe, we are seeing 2 a number of themes in emerge or a number of systemic 3 problems which is that the Treasury is extremely reliant 4 on what it is told by the Department of Health. It is 5 not able to perform the usual checks that it would 6 perform in normal times, and really the only measure 7 that you were scrupulous to undertake was to maintain 8 high levels of transparency so that after the event it 9 could be seen what was spent on what. Is that a fair 10 summary?

A. Well, firstly, I wasn't doing this after the event, so
I was notifying the National Audit Office in real time.
So I first notified them when the envelope went to
1 billion. It subsequently, my Lady, went to over
13 billion within England and 15 billion UK wide so
I wouldn't accept that -- (overspeaking) --

17 **Q.** I wasn't suggesting -- just to be clear, I wasn't
18 suggesting, Mr Barclay, that you were acting after the
19 event. I was suggesting that your transparency, your
20 concern to maintain high levels of transparency, were
21 designed to enable those that wished to, after the
22 event, to explore what decisions were made in relation
23 to public expenditure.

A. In part. One of the key things I was pushing for was
 around the governance. How did we bring in the

shows repeatedly, around the lack of data sharing across
 government.

3 LADY HALLETT: Just before you carry on, Mr Wald, in the
4 middle of Mr Wald's question was an assertion that there
5 were systemic problems, and I wanted to get your take on
6 whether you accept there were systemic problems, this
7 point about having to rely on the DHSC. Or was it just
8 inevitable because of the circumstances?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Well, I think it's inevitable, my Lady, that the contracting parties will have the information. I don't think it is inevitable that if the Department is aware of information some weeks before, that is communicated to me as Chief Secretary the night before the contract must be signed. And we may come on to, but, you know, examples like that. And what I was frequently pushing for is when did the Department first know of this, and why has the request come to me at a point where, if I don't agree, then the consequence is we lose critical supplies, which would put frontline services at risk: And that is what Cat Little's email is referring to with the two weeks' supply, but we may come on to with some other contracts, and you mentioned earlier Lord Agnew's email where he talks of 1.5 billion and 24 hours to decide.

It was that late notification that was a source of 135

commercial expertise within the Cabinet Office to inform some of those contract negotiations around things like termination provisions? What was the right sort of wider governance, so particularly over the summer, in terms of the wider cross-departmental governance that was put in place over PPE? So again, it wasn't simply within the Department of Health silo. So I was pushing to try to put in more governance, more conditions, recognising at the same time that the Prime Minister and the Quad had decided on the envelopes, and the envelopes were necessary, given it was a global market, other countries were willing to pay, the supply wasn't secure from China because we didn't know what would happen with the path of the virus.

the path of the virus.

And of course we didn't know other factors. We didn't know how quickly a vaccine would come on stream.

We didn't know if there would be further waves. So some of the PPE stock may have been more required, had, for example, the vaccine not been delivered at an earlier stage. So there was a very, very uncertain environment into which I was, one, recognising the paramount priority of the government was to secure supply for frontline services, but at the second, alongside that, we should put in as much governance and transparency as possible. And I was concerned, as I think the evidence

1 frustration to me, as CST.

2 LADY HALLETT: Thank you.

3 MR WALD: Did you feel that the notification you received4 was later than it had to be?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Yeah. On numerous occasions?

7 A. Yes.

Q. And if you're able to offer a view, why did that happen?
 Why were you not notified at a time sufficiently early
 to enable you to meaningfully respond to it, scrutinise,

11 question, and do what one would expect a person in your

12 role would do within the Treasury?

A. Well, I think that's probably more for Health colleagues
 to explain. I think it's fair to say they were under
 huge pressure and extremely busy, and dealing with many
 things, but at the same time, I think if the request

17 comes late to Treasury, then obviously the ability to

18 challenge that is curtailed.

Q. When you say "late", we've looked at an example where
stock was down to only two weeks remaining. That is
a request that comes into Treasury late by reference to
the available stock. Is that what you were referring to
just now, or did you mean a request that related to an
offer that might be lost within 24 hours?

25  $\,$  A. So there's one -- so I think that initial period in

13

14

15

21

22

23

24

25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

March was so early in the pandemic that, actually, the pressure on health was more because of the huge upturn in requirement. And therefore, potentially more understandable. What I am -- alluded to is, for example, I think there was a £300 million contract, from memory, that came to me the night before where the company lost its option if it didn't exercise it the next day. That was on domestic manufacture.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

And I was very surprised that there hadn't been detailed discussions with that counterparty before notifying me the night before the option expired.

I was equally surprised when the request to increase the delegation from 1 to 4 billion came the day after my letter to the National Audit Office was sent. And it struck me as surprising that that hadn't come to me sooner.

- 17 Q. So, in essence, had you had a bit more time, you would 18 have imposed more scrutiny over offers that were 19 incomina?
- 20 A. Well, I think it's not just that the Treasury would have 21 been able to have -- and officials -- more time to 22 scrutinise that, but also Cabinet Office colleagues who 23 had specific commercial expertise would have had more 24 time to be involved in those decisions.
- 25 Q. And with that additional time and that additional 137

from the matters that you chronicle, you put in chronological order in your witness evidence, that we've not touched on.

We've looked at data inefficiencies, timing, the reliance that had to be placed on what the Treasury was told by the DHSC. Are there other emerging themes, as you look back and consider those events that you set out in your witness evidence?

- A. For me, throughout, data was the key, both data sharing but also data modelling. And, you know, that was sort of a paramount issue. I think the ability to scale was a challenge. So by the time DH and cabinet colleagues had put in place domestic manufacture to be able to scale that, the global price by then had started to fall dramatically, so we had missed the key period where most of the contractual spend had been incurred.
- 17 Q. How might that have been addressed? 18 A. So I think it was part of a lessons learned. I think 19 there's a-- we were incredibly reliant on overseas 20 supplies. Predominantly from China. And I think that 21 drove a lot of the early procurement concerns in terms 22 of reliability. So our ability to scale. There was 23 very little domestic supply and there's very little data 24 at the start of the pandemic, and if you look at when 25 the significant spend was incurred, it was in those

139

scrutiny, whether it came from Cabinet Office or from 1 2 Treasury, would have come a better prospect of achieving 3 value for money; is that fair?

4 A. I think the contracts would have been better. I think 5 the challenge on demand modelling needs to be viewed 6 within the context that most of the contractual spend 7 was committed by -- by early June, I think, from memory. 8 Certainly April, May, and by June, most of that 9 contractual spend was committed. And I think the demand 10 modelling didn't really start to come through in better 11 form until the autumn.

> So whilst I was pressing for that to come sooner, and believe it ideally should have come sooner, it probably wouldn't have come soon enough to have shaped many of those orders in April and May.

16 Q. All right. You mentioned that some of your colleagues 17 in the Department of Health (DHSC) would be able to 18 provide more detail on the reasons for that time lag, if 19 it was a time lag. And we've heard from some DHSC 20 witnesses and we are yet to hear from others.

> I will take you to a part of Mr Chris Young's witness evidence in a moment. He, of course, was the Director of Finance at the DHSC.

But before doing so, could I just invite you to comment on any other themes, any other emerging themes 138

1 first few months on PPE. And that was the critical 2 period, that early phase, when most of the contractual 3 spend was incurred. And at that point there wasn't the 4 demand modelling, there wasn't the ability to scale 5 domestic manufacturer, and that in turn then drove the 6 reliance on overseas -- (overspeaking) --

LADY HALLETT: You weren't properly preparing?

A. Well, my Lady, we may come on to that, but if you look as an example at the Frankie Moslyn(?) decision, which actually, as Health Secretary, on advice from Lord Patrick Carter, I took the reluctant decision --I wanted to find a purpose for it, having spent so much money on it, but the commercial reality was actually the value for money decision on Lord Carter's very good advice, was not to continue that, and that is because keeping stock, warehousing stock, stock going out of date, the amount of stock you would need for a pandemic of this size comes at a significant cost. So for me, it was the ability to scale that was the more critical issue, rather than whether we had lots of PPE stock for something of a scale that would have come at a very big price to hold.

23 LADY HALLETT: That's what I meant by lack of preparedness. 24 Not just the size of stockpile, but the ability to scale 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

A. My Lady, I think the key for me is the ability to scale 1 2 rather than having lots of stock ready for a pandemic. 3 Because that would go out of date and be expensive to 4 store

5 MR WALD: When you say the ability to scale, do you mean 6 onshoring, an independent manufacturing capability?

A. So I think there's an interesting question around within NHS tendering and procurement contracts, how one scores the value of resilience versus the best price, not least looking to the fact we have a spending review coming up and there will be professional department spends, and the reality was that, at the start of the pandemic, there was very little domestic manufacture.

Linked to that also. I think there is work that can be done and the Permanent Secretary of the Department for International Trade did some work on this, looking at what are the critical raw materials and other elements that one needs as part of that supply.

19 Q. We may have moved out of your role as CST with that 20 question, but I'm conscious that --

LADY HALLETT: Blame me. 21

22 MR WALD: Excuse me?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

23 LADY HALLETT: Blame me.

24 MR WALD: Well, I was just conscious that you had a wealth 25 of experience in other departments, so no harm, I doubt, 141

> made to the Prime Minister and the Quad, and why the initial hundred million pounds which went to 500, that then went to a billion pounds, that then went to 4 billion that went to 9 billion that went to 13-point whatever, £6 billion. That is exactly why the envelope was put in place.

I think to go to a spending envelope of over £13 billion just within England, and I would draw your attention, Mr Wald, to supps at the end of the year where there was over 55 billion of additional health spend, I think that is a stretch to suggest that Treasury colleagues and indeed Treasury ministers, who, when there's over 55 billion of additional spend, and the envelope goes within a matter of two months to over £13 billion, are not reflecting the risk appetite of the Prime Minister and the Chancellor.

But I think at the same time, it is proper for Treasury ministers to be asking questions of value for money, but in a way that doesn't impede those supplies getting to the frontline. And if one looks at the evidence submitted to the Inquiry, one will see repeated instances where I reluctantly agreed to spend, because I don't want to see a contract lost because of its impact on the front line, but I flag concerns with that and the importance of conditions to try to better

in having your view on that.

We have also touched on lessons learned. Can I just take you, as I said I would, to part of Chris Young's evidence. It's INQ000563070. And he touches on a number of issues here, including that one about the requests that were made of DHSC, effectively for information that couldn't be provided. He says this:

"Finally, I feel that despite the best attempts of all civil servants to find common ground; the risk appetite for procurement of PPE of HMT Ministers was not always aligned with that of the Prime Minister and DHSC. I found that particularly at the outset of the pandemic, where the focus was on obtaining PPE in order to save lives, the need for fast paced decision making was not compatible with the requests for information that were being made by HMT. These requests, whilst well-intentioned, were for information which was not readily available and distracted people from the immediate priority that had been communicated by the Prime Minister and DHSC Ministers."

Do you think that's fair comment?

21 22 A. No. And let me explain why. I think firstly, as he 23 says there, there was a frequency in those first few 24 weeks, which is why we moved to the delegated model, and 25 indeed, that was the case that the Department of Health 142

1 protect value for money within that spend.

- 2 Q. There's a balance to be struck, isn't there?
- 3 A. Of course.

4 Q. And I think what Mr Hall is -- Mr Young, excuse me, is 5 suggesting here, is that there were times when that 6 balance was struck wrongly so that what was intended as 7 a legitimate request for detail became a distraction.

8 You would disagree with that?

A. I would, and it was an argument that the Department of 9 10 Health ran with Number 10 on a number occasions, which -- I've alluded to the example with Munira. 11 12 There's an example in the notes with the chief executive of the UK Health Security Agency and I'm very keen to 13 14 put on record that Treasury officials worked remarkably 15 hard and long hours, to the point that one of my senior 16 officials, I was so concerned with the amount of work 17 she was doing, I spoke twice to the Permanent Secretary

18 to ensure that we were supporting. And that reflected 19 the massive amount of work we were doing to respond to 20 health in a timely fashion.

So the suggestion that the Treasury officials or ministers were not responding in a timely fashion is not one I accept.

24 MR WALD: Thank you, Mr Barclay.

My Lady, I'm on my final topic which is lessons 144

1		learned.	1		Questions from MR WILCOCK KC
2	LAD	DY HALLETT: The trouble is we're running out of time,	2	MR	WILCOCK: Mr Barclay, I have been encouraged to be as
3		Mr Wald. We have 25 minutes of CP questions and we have	3		quick as I can with you and I'm sure you'd appreciate
4		another witness, and another 20 minutes of CP questions	4		that as well. I'm asking you questions on behalf of two
5		for that witness too.	5		Core Participants, one is the UK Covid Bereaved Families
6	MR	WALD: Right, well, Mr Barclay, you've given your	6		for Justice, and the second is the Northern Ireland
7		reflections, I think, on lessons learned. Is there	7		Covid Bereaved Families for Justice.
8		anything you wish to add to them, and then I will sit	8		Can I start out by asking you what might be called
9		down.	9		UK-wide questions. You looked at a letter that you
10	A.		10		wrote with Mr Wald just after you started giving
11	MR	WALD: So no, all right.	11		evidence, where you explained why conditions have been
12	LAD	OY HALLETT: If anything does occur to you and you want to	12		put on funding envelopes, in order to ensure that
13		submit it in writing, I'll be really grateful, obviously	13		contracts were only entered into with what you termed
14		always ready to receive any thoughts, especially from	14		"reputable companies". Do you remember looking at that
15		someone who's been in your position.	15		letter?
16		Are you in any problems, we take a break for the	16	A.	Yes, I do.
17		stenographer, I'll reduce it to ten minutes because we	17	Q.	And the phrase was:
18		are so short of time. Are you okay if we take the break	18		" reputable companies who we can reasonably trust
19		now?	19		will fulfil these contracts and provide safe equipment,
20	THE	E WITNESS: Of course.	20		and that public money is protected as far as possible in
21		DY HALLETT: I shall return at 3.10.	21		these challenges circumstances."
22	(3.0	0 pm)	22		Which I think we all understand.
23		(A short break)	23		Do you agree that, in deciding whether a question
24	(3.1	0 pm)	24		was reputable, you would have expected attention to have
25	LAD	DY HALLETT: Mr Wilcock. 145	25		been paid, whether by the accounting officer or anyone 146
1		else involved, to factor such as whether that company	1		Can we have INQ000109535 on screen, please.
2		had only recently been established or had no prior	2		We will see this is a letter you sent to
3		involvement in PPE supply?	3		Matt Hancock on 16 July 2020.
4	Α.	Yes.	4		And once it's on screen, if we can go to
5	Q.	Would it also have been relevant to consider the extent	5		paragraph 2.
6		of company funds or historical turnover?	6		You will see, I hope, that you wrote that it was:
7	Α.	Yes.	7		" disappointing to hear that the police, LAs
8	Q.	What about the fact that the company had been introduced	8		[local authorities, I assume], some parts of the NHS and
9		by an intermediary who stood to make significant profit	9		prisons have all incurred costs buying their own
10		from any contract with the company involved? Would that	10		PPE"
11		have been a red flag as to whether the company was	11		With her Lady's leave, I'll come back to the passage
12		reputable or not?	12		about the devolved administrations later on.
13	Α.	Well, I think all three things are relevant. All issues	13		And you ended by saying:
14		relating to a contracting party are relevant to the	14		"Furthermore, these organisations have been buying
15	_	department that is contracting with them.	15		from the wholesale market, at inflated prices which DHSC
16	Q.	Do you agree that, sadly, a number of companies that the	16		has been supplying at cost price."
17		government/DHSC contracted with might be thought to have	17		Now, many of the people I represent view this
18	_	raised at least one of those red flags?	18		situation as wholly inappropriate and tantamount to
19	Α.	Well, that would be for the contracting party, but	19		profiteering by commercial wholesalers at a time when
20		I think if so, in terms of what red flags there were,	20		PPE was significantly constrained.
21		then those would be issues that would be looked at	21		Do you agree that purchasing items that DHSC had
22		through the normal process by the accounting officer and	22		been providing at cost price at the inflated prices that
23	_	the contracting party.	23	_	the emergency had created amounted to such profiteering?
24	Q.	I'm going to move on to a different topic and ask you to	24	Α.	Well, firstly, I think there were in the early phase,
25		have a look at another document.  147	25		challenges that were experienced by the Department of 148

24

25

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Health in terms of their distribution. That is why the PPE portal was set up. But again, that was starting from zero base to set that up, and so there were challenges on distribution.

The reason for my letter to the Health Secretary was because I was concerned about the value for money of delegated funds allocated by the Prime Minister and the Chancellor then being used to supply wholesalers who then sold the other public bodies.

So that was done initially, on my understanding, by Health colleagues because of the distribution challenge, but I was very keen to see that curtailed because I didn't regard it as value for money.

- 14 Q. Do you regard it as profiteering?
- 15 **A.** By whom?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

23

24

25

11

12

13

14

15

- 16 Q. By the people using the emergency to buy items -- sellitems at inflated prices?
- A. Well, I don't think the Department of Health should be
   providing to wholesalers if the portal could be put in
   place in order that they could then distribute that
   directly, and that was the issue that I was pressing on.
- 22 Q. Thank you.

Now, the letter goes on to refer to forceful requests from local governments, other government departments, GPs and pharmacies to reimburse PPE costs

larger envelope with the Department of Health was so
that they could use our embassy network, they could use
economies of scale, and if individual public bodies were
then contracting, the risk was they were contracting at
less advantageous terms compared to the wider UK fund.

- Q. Mr Barclay, we understand you wanting to say what you
   want to say but if you answered just the question, we
   will definitely not fall out with my Lady --
- 9 A. My apologies.
- 10 Q. -- and go over the 20 minutes.

Was any assessment undertaken of the additional cost to the public purse of the necessary reimbursement, ie, the difference between the receipts, from DHSC sales at cost, versus the commercial price paid by what we might call community providers?

- A. My recollection is that the Health Secretary wrote to me
   in September following my letter, and subsequent work
   that the Department of Health had done to ensure that
   the envelope was then allocated to the respective
   bodies.
- 21 Q. Yes, but what was the additional cost? How much did the22 envelope have to be increased by?
- A. The funding for the envelope was already there. The
   funding for the envelope from 2 June had gone to the
   13 billion level. So the envelope was there. Hence,

that they have legitimately incurred because supply from
 DHSC was not available.

Can you tell us whether that actually happened?Were they reimbursed?

- A. Yes. And I think, as the notes submitted to the Inquiry
   showed, I had a meeting with the devolved administration
   finance ministers. The minutes of that meeting have
   a series of comments from those finance ministers
   reflecting on the constructive nature of that
   engagement. Part of that engagement was them raising
   concerns --
- 12 Q. We'll come on to devolved administrations in a minute.
   13 At the minute I am just asking you about the local
   14 government department --
- 15 Well, the same issue applied to both, which is my A. 16 concern from a value for money point of view that we 17 were not double funding, that we were not funding bodies 18 to procure PPE directly, and also funding the Department 19 of Health through the very quickly expanded envelope, 20 that had gone up to over £13 billion, which had been 21 provided on the basis that they were funding public 22 **bodies**

So, firstly, my concern was we didn't want to be paying twice; and secondly, I was concerned about the inefficiency because part of the reason for having the 150

- the need for Health to reconcile that with the individual bodies.
- Q. And can you say what the amount of that reconciliationwould have involved?
- A. I can't offhand but that would have been a discussion
   that Department of Health would have with the prison
   service, with the local authorities, with the police, so
   the quantum will vary depending on the amount (overspeaking) --
- 10 Q. -- (overspeaking) -- I think we can understand why you
   11 might not be able to tell us immediately.

Can I move on to my second set of questions and they're about how the devolved administrations fitted in to the UK-wide procurement approach. We heard evidence on Monday from Mr Manley, who was then the Director General of the Covid-19 at the Foreign Office between March and September 2020, and he told us that on 16 April, the Permanent Secretary of DHSC wrote to the devolved administrations to stress that they were going to trying to insist upon a kind of all-UK procurement approach. And I'd like, in that context, for you to look at INQ000336538, please.

While that's coming up, I hope we'll see that this is a letter of ministers of finance for the devolved nations, dated 12 May, to express their:

152

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

"... collective concerns in regards to the limited supply of PPE currently being delivered through the proposed UK wide procurement approach. This has resulted [the letter goes on to say] in the devolved governments incurring significant costs to secure sufficient PPE to protect our frontline workers."

Then, in the third paragraph, the devolved -- the ministers state that:

"... the DHSC cannot currently guarantee the UK Government-led PPE procurement can meet the needs of the devolved [administrations]."

Were you aware at that stage that the DHSC was unable to guarantee adequate PPE supply to the devolved administrations?

A. Well, yes, that had been discussed with the finance ministers in the meeting I alluded to a moment ago. It is why the four nations protocol was being put in place. It is why I wrote to the Health Secretary in terms of encouraging him to address this. But again, it reflected, as in the earlier exchanges with Mr Wald, the fact that this was in May at the early phase of the pandemic when things were being worked at huge pace, distribution was a challenge, not just with the devolved administrations, but as per your earlier questions with local bodies such as the police and local authorities.

And it also reflected a challenge in that the devolved administrations were not under a duty to share their data with the UK government, so their burn rate on how much PPE they were using, they were not required to share with the UK Government. And to some extent, perhaps not surprisingly, they wanted the best of both worlds: to be able to procure independently whilst also having access to the UK-wide procurement pot.

So those were natural early challenges as we rapidly scaled up in those first few weeks, but what I hope my letter to the Health Secretary and my exchanges with the finance ministers showed, was how we approached that in a very constructive spirit from a UK Treasury point of view with finance minister counterparts in order to address that and a subsequent letter from the Health Secretary in September shows that that work was done.

18 Q. So that there is no misunderstanding, can we go back to 19 the letter that you looked at with Mr Wald --20 INQ000109535 -- and go to the passage I summarised, 21 where you wrote that you were also disappointed that:

> "... the Devolved Administrations are now seeking additional funding for existing purchases via the Barnett formula."

> > Is that part of the exchanges you've just been 154

1 telling us about? 2

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

cover PPE, but there was a concern that the devolved administrations in those first, that early phase of the therefore there was a question whether that was paid through the UK-wide fund or whether the funding was allocated to England only, through the Department of Health, and then subject to Barnett consequentials that 10 would then have applied to the Scotland administration. 11

Q. So, many people in Northern Ireland in particular would like to know, were you told at the time that you agreed the UK-wide funding approach, the first envelope, that perhaps the DHSC might be unable to guarantee devolved administration supply? Were you aware at that stage?

A. Well, the Department of Health was moving at huge pace to secure supply. The issue from a Treasury perspective was had we provided sufficient funds to enable them to do so. And I think the quantum that had been delegated shows that we had provided sufficient funds.

21 Q. You think you had provided sufficient quantum?

22 A. We had provided -- by 2 June we had provided over 23 15 billion on a UK-wide basis.

24 Q. And you thought that was sufficient?

25 A. Yes.

A. Yes, so there's two issues. The UK-wide fund was to pandemic had themselves unilaterally procured PPE, and

25

Q. Finally, can we please have INQ000377395 on screen.

This might have been part of the correspondence you told us about earlier, but it's an email actually dated 15 May 2020 between various officials within the Welsh, Northern Irish and Scottish Governments about how they should respond to a letter from the DHSC looking for their views on the proposed four nations approach, where DHSC would manage a PPE fund on behalf of all four nations, on the basis that the Treasury believed that that was the most efficient way to procure PPE.

That's the background to the correspondence.

But if we go to the last paragraph, we can see that the officials involved suggested that a better way than the one that was being suggested to manage the proposed sensible four nations approach would be, and I quote, "to form a new 4 Nations PPE Procurement Group", to plan expenditure where "each administration would be an equal partner", and:

"... its remit would be to plan future PPE expenditure. Where the PPE requirement of each nation overlap, this group could secure better value contracts. Where policies approaches have diverged, it would enable each nation to put in place local solutions either unilaterally or with one or more of the other nations."

Were you aware of that proposal?

2		notes, with the devolved administrations' finance
3		ministers on 19 May, where in my response obviously
4		I haven't seen the email which has been put up, which
5		I presume was
6	Q.	Well, you should have done. It was meant to be given to
7		your team.
8	A.	Okay, well, then the error will be with me, not anyone
9		else. But in terms of that, if I look at the date, it's
10		15 May.
11	Q.	Correct.
12	A.	If I look at the minutes of the meeting on the following
13		Tuesday, 19 May, the quotes show that the finance
14		minister the minister for Wales saying that the
15		subsequent paper coming out of the exchange with
16		officials:
17		[As read] "The paper was really helpful in
18		recognising some of the challenges faced."
19		The finance minister for Scotland:
20		[As read] "This paper is very helpful in setting out
21		potential options, next steps."
22		And in my remarks in the meeting:
23		[As read] "These are unprecedented times and
24		unfortunately we are going to have to live with some
25		uncertainty during it. There is no magic bullet to 157
1	Α.	I think there will be a letter from me a letter from
2		Matt Hancock to me, dated 28 September:
3		[As read] "I appreciate the difficulties this
4		situation has caused for non-NHS public services among
5		departments working with to reimburse them for the
6		cost of clinical-grade PPE they have purchased."
7		Then I think he went on to address the DAs in that
8		letter but the substance of the letter was that there
9		had been work over the summer to address the funding
10		challenge that the DAs were facing through the UK-wide
11		funding.
12	MR	WILCOCK: Okay.
13		Thank you very much, my Lady. We have been given
14		permission for other questions but in the circumstances,
15		I'm not going to ask them now, but we may seek to raise
16		them in written submissions later on.
17	LAI	DY HALLETT: Of course, and if there's anything more you
18		require in writing, Mr Wilcock, I am really grateful to
19		you.
20		WILCOCK: We will do that as well. Thank you.
21	LAI	DY HALLETT: Mr Thomas.
22	_	Questions from PROFESSOR THOMAS KC
23	PR	OFESSOR THOMAS: Good afternoon, Mr Barclay, my name is
24		Leslie Thomas and I'm representing the Federation of
25		Ethnic Minority Healthcare workers. I will be brief in

Well, indeed, we had a meeting, just looking at my

these issues given the crisis." 1 2 Finance minister for Scotland: [As read] "This would be really valuable, especially 3 4 work to forecast on outcomes." 5 And indeed that reflects an earlier letter on 12 May 6 from the finance minister of Scotland, which pointed 7 out: [As read "All countries are chasing the same supply 8 chains, which has become a sellers' market. DHSC's q 10 proposal for a four nations approach which delivers the PPE we require to meet our needs providing some 11 flexibility to enable our procurement. If it doesn't, 12 13 respecting the ... which you expressed last week that 14 these costs are not double funded", et cetera. 15 So I think the challenges we're talking about again 16 mid-May, a very early phase of --17 Q. Everyone accepts that. -- of this. The challenges were collective. The 18 19 finance ministers were working very constructively 20 together, and I would suggest that the minutes of the 21 19 May meeting shows that the UK Treasury was engaging 22 very constructively with the finance ministers and very 23 much engaging with the concerns raised. 24 Q. Are you able to tell us whether or not what resulted, in 25 terms of the two possibilities? 158 1 relation to the questions I ask you. I don't think you 2 have been asked about these issues yet. 3 Firstly, help us with this: what systems did you 4 utilise to ensure that the Treasury's Covid procurement 5 adhered to public law principles of transparency, 6 equality, and fairness in the use of emergency contracts 7 and direct awards? 8 Well, firstly we applied Managing Public Money, and as you will see from the records, I specifically sought 9 advice from officials in April 2020 where the delegation 10 of a billion pounds, the initial sort of significant 11 delegation within the spending envelope, was consistent 12 13 with Managing Public Money, and indeed asked if it was 14 consistent with the ministerial code. And I was 15 16

confirmed by officials to the affirmative that it was.

Secondly, as I alluded to earlier in evidence, at repeated points I ensured that there was transparency in communication to the National Audit Office and to the C and AG, to ensure that that was done.

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

And third, we worked with other government departments to ensure that there was wider government scrutiny, including external scrutiny, and indeed, for example, the Ernst & Young report that confirmed, I think it was early June, but there was an Ernst & Young report at quite an early stage, again confirming

1		the challenges of supply and endorsing that the
2		government's approach was the right one.
3	Q.	Thank you. Your statement at paragraph 25, tha
4		INQ000574180, we don't need to call it up, but I t

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

18

Thank you. Your statement at paragraph 25, that's INQ000574180, we don't need to call it up, but I think we've got your statement there. You mention that the usual procedures were altered for expediency with senior officials overseeing these decisions.

My question is this: how did the Treasury ensure that the Public Sector Equality Duty was upheld during these changes, and were the equality impact assessments, EIAs, conducted before making procurement decisions affecting frontline healthcare workers? To put it in a nutshell, do you believe that the Public Sector Equality Duty played a substantive role in shaping equitable procurement practices as opposed to just being a tick-box exercise?

A. Well, I would argue ensuring sufficient finance was in place to protect supply to frontline services was essential to meeting any such duty, not least because, within the NHS, often those communities most at risk were those most reliant on ensuring that we maintained PPE supplies. So I think firstly, securing supply was essential, and that is what the Treasury did.

Secondly, on the specific point of the delegation, from memory, I think that was to small sums of quantum

1 was consistent with that duty, not against it.

LADY HALLETT: Thank you very much, Mr Thomas, I'm sorry,
 we've got another witness waiting in the wings. I'm
 really sorry.

Thank you very much indeed, Mr Barclay. I'm sorry if it seems a bit rushed. Sometimes the timetabling of witnesses works perfectly and other times not so perfectly, and I'm afraid we have one of your former colleagues waiting in the wings to give evidence.

10 THE WITNESS: Of course.

11 LADY HALLETT: So thank you very much for your help to the
12 Inquiry, I appreciate how busy you must be, so really
13 grateful to you and as I say, if anything does occur to
14 you, please write in and we'll bear it very much in
15 mind.

16 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.

17 **LADY HALLETT:** Thank you.

Mr Wald?

MR WALD: My Lady, our next witness this afternoon is Lord
 Feldman, who is see is just taking up position.

21 LADY HALLETT: I'm sorry you've had to wait. I think you
 22 have to think back to your days as a practising
 23 barrister, Lord Feldman, to remember that timetabling of

163

24 witnesses doesn't always work.

25 **THE WITNESS:** No, no, it's pretty good, though.

1 of around £10 million but it reflected to the point

2 earlier with Chris Young's evidence, which was in that

3 early phase of the pandemic, there were so many

4 contracts it was necessary to reflect the urgency and

5 the speed and have more flexible arrangements in place,

6 and that is why the delegation was granted.

Q. But, sorry, you haven't answered my question, because
 what -- part of my question was during these changes

9 -- and I fully appreciate that you needed to act with

10 expediency. I get that. It was a pandemic. My

11 question was: how did you ensure that the Public Sector

12 Equality Duty and so, for example, impact -- equality

13 impact assessments -- were conducted properly? How was

14 this overseen?

15 **A.** Well, that would be for the contracting party to do

that, which would be the Department of Health. But

17 again, I think there was a recognition that there was

18 a huge urgency around the contracts but it would be for

19 Health colleagues to address.

20 Q. Urgency doesn't mean that the Public Sector Equality

21 Duty is thrown out, though, does it?

22 A. No, but I -- as I say, it would be for the contracting

23 party to answer how they met that duty, but if I was

pushed, my Lady, I would suggest that ensuring that

25 there was sufficient supply of PPE to frontline services

MR WALD: If the witness could be sworn or affirmed, please.

2 LORD ANDREW FELDMAN (sworn)

3 LADY HALLETT: Mr Wald.

4 MR WALD: Thank you, my Lady.

Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY FOR MODULE 5

6 MR WALD: Please state your full name for the Inquiry.

7 A. Yes, Andrew Simon Feldman.

8 **Q.** Thank you. And Lord Feldman, thank you also for

9 providing to the Inquiry a witness statement. It's

10 INQ000540486, which you have signed. Will you please

11 confirm that it is true to the best of your knowledge

12 and belief?

13 **A.** Yes.

5

14 Q. Yes. Lord Feldman, by way of background, I don't think

15 we'll go quite far as back as your time at the Bar but

16 you are -- your current role, you are CEO of Teneo's UK

17 strategy and communications business, a PR and advisory

18 company; is that right?

19 A. That is correct, yes.

20  $\,$  **Q.** And you were previously managing partner of Tulchan

21 Communications until it was acquired by Teneo?

22 A. Yes, that's correct.

23 Q. You are also director of Andrew Feldman Associates?

24 A. Yes, that's correct.

25 Q. What does Andrew Feldman Associates do?

- A. It is just a business where all of my non-Teneo 1
- 2 interests, so non-exec directorships, philanthropic
- 3 stuff, is managed through that.
- 4 Q. Thank you.
- 5 Let's move to the period in which we are focused for
- 6 the purpose of this Inquiry and this module of this
- 7 Inquiry. Between 23 March 2020 and 15 May 2020 you
- 8 served as an unpaid volunteer assisting in the challenge
- 9 of emergency procurement --
- 10 A. Yes. that's correct.
- 11 Q. -- of PPE.
- A. Yes. 12
- 13 Q. And just of PPE?
- A. It did occasionally -- there were other bits of medical 14
- equipment that came across my desk, you know, that 15
- 16 were -- that were referred to me, but overwhelmingly,
- 17 PPF.
- 18 Q. You have served, over the course of a period of
- 19 18 years, between 2008 and 2016, as Chair of the
- 20 Conservative Party?
- 21 A. Yes -- well, I was deputy treasurer from 2005 to 2008,
- 2008 to 2010, I was CEO, and 2010 to 2016 I was 22
- 23 chairman.
- 24 Q. I see, thank you for that correction. You were first
- 25 asked, were you not, to volunteer by Lord James Bethell
- 1 Q. Perhaps it's a shorthand but is that the matter to which
- 2 you refer at paragraph 4 of your statement when you say
- 3 that your extensive business contacts would be useful to
- DHSC? 4
- 5 A. No, I -- well, that's partly, yes. But I think also, in
- 6 my career both in politics and then subsequently in
- 7 business I advise a lot of, and have advised a lot of
- 8 different companies. I know a lot of businesses, a lot
- 9 of businesspeople. You know, both from within the
- 10 clothing business and beyond.
- Q. You say that with one sole exception, Bunzl, the 11
- 12 contacts that you had or perhaps have, were not within
- 13 the medical equipment and supply business?
- 14 A. That's correct.

- Q. Did you feel or do you feel that that placed you at any 15
  - disadvantage in the role you were asked to take up?
- A. Normally speaking in, sort of, peacetime, I would have 17
- 18 been surprised to get the phone call, but in this
- 19 particular situation I understood from James and also
- just from reading the newspaper and watching the news 20
- 21 that there were two massive challenges. One was that
- 22 there was a desperate shortage of PPE and by which
- 23 I soon discovered, it was days' not weeks' supply of PPE
- 24 that were available, and that PPE, in particular things
- 25 like masks and gowns, both in terms of the sourcing of

167

- on or around 20 March 2020? 1
- 2 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 3 Q. And you two knew each other socially and from your time 4
  - as chair of the Conservative Party?
- 5 Α.
- 6 Q. He told you, did he not, that there were severe
- 7 shortages of PPE and other critical equipment and
- 8 supplies, and that the normal supply chain was not able
- 9 to support the demand?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Yes. What reason did he give you specifically for
- 12 enlisting your assistance?
- 13 A. Well, he knew that when I left the Bar in 1995, my
- 14 father was taken ill and I had to leave my chambers to
- 15 go and run the family business which is a clothing
- 16 manufacturing business, and I ran that full time for
- 17 10 years and part-time for another 12 years, so 22 years
- 18 in total. And we essentially manufactured clothing for
- 19 the UK high street, so, you know, lots of well-known
- 20 high street names, and a lot of that sourcing, the
- 21 overwhelming majority of the materials came from China
- 22 and the manufacturing was from across Asia, so China,
- 23 Vietnam, Sri Lanka, Bangladesh, so he knew that I
- 24 understood supply chains, global supply chains, and
- 25 understood sourcing.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

166

- 1 the materials and the assembly of them, you know,
- 2 resemble quite closely clothing manufacturing, because
- 3 you buy the components and then you send them to 4
- a different factory to assemble them. 5

But I understood there was a desperate shortage in the UK, as I say, days of supply.

Then the second thing was that I knew that or I suspected that the vast majority, the overwhelming majority of those materials came from a small number of factories in China, and that the pandemic had broken out in China, so there were 1.4 billion people that needed equipment, and then the rest of the world was descending

13 on those factories trying to get equipment.

14 So it wasn't so much a question of needing deep 15 technical knowledge; it was experience about how supply 16 chains work and how one might be able to access 17 different, you know, different sources of supply, and 18 access those narrow -- that narrow base of factories

19 that were available.

- 20 Q. I'll come on to it in a few moments but part of the
- 21 reason for asking that particular question,
- 22 Lord Feldman, is that, unlike some of the other
- 23 witnesses that have given statements and oral evidence
- 24 to this Inquiry, an example would be Michael Gove, who
- 25 appeared and gave evidence earlier this week, you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

q

10

11

12

13

- 1 triaged or assessed offers as they came in?
- 2 **A.** Yes
- 3 Q. Mr Gove described himself as a postbox --
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. -- simply referring on offers that came his way?
- 6 A. Yes.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 7 Q. But is it right that you, when an offer came your way,
- 8 assessed the credibility or the solidity of that offer
- 9 before troubling others with it?
- 10 A. Yes. So the way I would describe it is -- I mean, just
   11 to give you some context, I was sitting on my own in my
   12 office at home. I was -- I never met any -- apart from
   13 Matthew Hancock and James Bethell, I never met any of
   14 the people I was interacting with physically. I never
- the people I was interacting with physically. I never
   met Max Cairnduff or Andy Wood or Chris Hall or any of

the other civil servants.

- I had two civil servants assigned to me, who were two -- and I was given a DHSC laptop, and they were to monitor all offers that I was given. And then they were to help guide me about how I could help, because I didn't really, as it were, see behind the curtain. I didn't really know how procurement was working in the department and I didn't have visibility.
- So my job was to -- as I say, there was a very narrow possible source of supply in China for this 169
- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. -- what was it?
- A. It was to ... so, the -- as I understood it, when
   I arrived, the reason he phoned me was because the
   department was totally overwhelmed by offers of PPE,
   both through the sort of the mailbox they set up and
   through recommendations from officials and MPs and
   ministers, and they couldn't really cope with, sort of,
   sorting the wheat from the chaff, working out whether
   these offers were even remotely credible.

these offers were even remotely credible.

And he said, "I need you to cast your eye over some

of the offers -- they'll be directed to you by officials, and to say -- they might look good in the official email but is there anything behind them, and to give a sort of view. And if you think there is, then to either speak directly to -- you know, speak directly to the officials, through the office, the sort of virtual office we set up for you, to sort put them into the flow and to help us to, you know, advance what could be interesting offers."

And what I would say to you is, my sensation is that there were very, very few offers that were either not already being considered, you know, not through the existing supply chain, not being considered, you know, through, let's say, China, you know, the embassy in

equipment, and my job was to try, through a series of questions -- you know, when I was given an offer or when an offer came in to me -- to try to assess whether it was serious. That is to say, did that person have genuine access to potential large quantities of PPE in China? And did they have experience of dealing in China which would make that interesting? And then -- and were they sort of -- did they seem like credible people when I spoke to them? And then I passed it on.

But the process of technical assessment of the offer, deciding whether an order should be placed, at what price, the logistics behind it, that all went -- was sort of handed over to civil servants.

- Q. So let me ask you this: was either your role or the
   criteria that you applied to a given offer defined, set
   down anywhere?
- 17 A. I mean -- well, I had a volunteering contract, and I 18 but -- and I was told by James what he wanted me to do.
- 19 Q. Lord Bethell?
- 20 A. Lord Bethell, sorry. I was told by Lord Bethell what he21 wanted me to do.
- 22 Q. And you directly reported to Lord Bethell?
- 23 A. I directly reported to him, yeah.
- Q. What did he tell you -- if he gave you any descriptionof your role --

170

- China, that were credible. But you had to speak to a lot of people to establish, you know, the one or two or three or half a dozen that could be potentially interesting.
  - And so I could understand why they needed extra manpower to do that, because it was, you know -- I think at one point there were 7,000 offers --
- Q. Let me just focus you, before I turn to the deluge of
   offers, to the second part of my question: the criteria
   that you applied in assessing whether an offer was worth
   pursuing.
- 12 **A**. Yes.

5

6

7

24

25

- Q. Was that left to you? Was that a judgement that you
   exercised without guidance or without criteria set out
   by anyone else?
- A. I think it was for the most part, although what happened 16 17 is I started to interact with people like Andy Wood and 18 Chris Hall, Max Cairnduff -- mainly Chris Hall --19 I started to get more of an understanding of the lessons 20 that they were learning, of the things that were working 21 and the things that weren't working, and also a bit more 22 context about the broader backdrop of the offers that 23 were coming in and the sort of supply constraints.
  - So I would say that I started off with a short briefing and then I kind of had to learn on the job.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

- Q. Chris Hall, we can turn to it if you wish to, in his 1 2 statement says that "Lord Feldman ... was very effective 3 at generating new leads".
- 4 A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

5 Q. He also says that:

> "His assistant frequently contacted the [High Priority Lane] seeking updates from the team in relation to contacts which Lord Feldman had introduced to the Buy Cell ..."

Is that right? Did you frequently follow up to seek updates as to how offers that you had fed into the High Priority Lane were faring?

A. So, to answer the first part, which is -- the way in which I provided interesting leads was by phoning people that my private office, the two officials, gave me to look up, or there was incoming -- people who knew me or knew I was involved in the process approached me with offers of help. So those were the two main sources.

As I said, my actual knowledge of PPE suppliers in the world was, you know, confined to Bunzl. But --

- 21 Q. So some came to you, others you went to?
- 22 Well, some came to -- no, almost everything came to me,
  - either through officials -- well, everything came to me
- 24 through officials or through people contacting me 25

directly.

173

1 referrer a factor in your assessment?

- 2 A. No, no, not at all. I was only interested in: is this
- 3 something that's going to, you know, help -- help deal
- 4 with this crisis? Is this a creditable offer of
- 5 equipment? I wasn't in the least bit interested about
- 6 the political affiliation. And in fact, in terms of the
- 7 suppliers themselves, I had absolutely no idea what
- 8 their -- you know, the people actually doing the
- 9 supplying, I -- for the vast majority of cases, I had no
- 10 idea what their political affiliation was.
- **Q.** Let's move on, then, to the request for updates. 11
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. We've heard a little bit about that up until now in this
- 14 module. We know from Chris Hall's evidence -- I think
- 15 you've just confirmed it -- you did, on occasion, follow
- 16 up on offers that you had fed into the VIP Lane or the
- 17 High Priority Lane.
- LADY HALLETT: Lord Feldman said that his officials did. He 18 wouldn't know how to. 19
- 20 MR WALD: So you asked that that be done?
- 21 A. Well, not really, no. I think they just took it on
- 22 themselves.
- 23 The point is that James Bethell was dealing with an 24 urgent situation, and he was conscious that I didn't
- 25 really understand how the machine worked, and so what he 175

So -- but what I would say, the description that he gives is these two very conscientious hardworking officials that were assigned to me, they kept a sort of record of every single interaction I had and they thought it was their job to then chase it up.

The truth is, I would have had no idea how to chase it up or, indeed, who to speak to. So they saw their job as: look, we've bought Lord Feldman in, he's trying to help, he's triaging all these opportunities, our job within the system is to make sure that they're being followed up.

- 12 Did the majority of those who came to you know you Q. 13 through the Conservative Party?
- 14 It was a mixture. A real mixture. I had some who knew 15 me -- and remember, these are referrers, so the 16 suppliers themselves, no, none -- you know, none of them 17 came to me through politics.

Some of the referrers, people like Lord Leigh, Lord Chadlington, they came to me because they knew me through politics, and then other people might contact me because, you know, they'd met me in business or they'd heard about -- heard that I was doing the job. Often it was simply that they'd heard that I was doing the job.

24 Q. When you were assessing an offer for its credibility, 25 was affiliation to the Conservative Party of the

174

did is he put in place, in 24 hours, the sort of -- what looked like a mini private office. So I had two officials working virtually, I was given a DHSC laptop and a mobile phone, and I was -- and then, you know, signed a volunteer agreement, filled out a declaration of interest or conflicts form, and off I went.

Now -- so I think the officials were instructed by James Bethell, Lord Bethell, to make sure that if I was -- that what I was doing was effective, that, you know, if there was a good lead, it wasn't somehow lost in the system. So I think it was on their initiative,

- 12 if I can say it that way.
- 13 Q. Okay you've made that very clear.
- 14 Α. Yes.
- 15 Q. Let's have look at INQ000551322, please:
  - "Adam -- I'm getting a bit frustrated ..."
- 17 Let's take it from the bottom.
- 18 "Adam,

19 "This is very helpful. I have copied the UK PPE 20 procurement team who I am sure will reach out to 21 [redacted]."

22 So this is directly from you.

- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Who is Adam, do you recall?
- 25 **A**. Adam is the person -- so a little bit of context here.

One -- this was 12 April. Matthew Hancock, the Secretary of State, was extremely exercised about the shortage of gowns. I was told that there were three days' supplies of gowns in the system. And he -- and James Bethell, Lord Bethell had communicated that to me. And there was real anxiety that -- and frankly, I was hearing it from my friends who are doctors on the front line that people were possibly going to be sent in in bin bags, you know, to turn patients on ventilators. It was very serious.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

And an opportunity came in through this company called Nine United, a guy called Adam Dantzer, and he was -- it came in to the Secretary of State, it came in to the officials, and I was asked to look at it. And it was quite exciting because he -- the sort -- Nine United was the procurement arm of a Danish retailer called Bestseller, a very large Danish retailer who was already supplying at scale to the Danish government. And I'll be frank, these kind of things didn't come in very often, it was a sort of hen's tooth. You know, it was an established source of supply of gowns, I think they were offering over a million gowns a week, and it was already credentialised by the fact that it was being sold to the Danish government.

So I was excited by this because I knew -- and this

Cairnduff. Once it had been referred to him and then Adam, the supplier, phoned me up and said, "Look, it's not moving forwarded and I'm worried we're going to lose the offer, I'm going to lose the opportunity to get the gowns", it would be natural for me to say, "Oh, that's annoying, that's frustrating, I don't know why no one is getting back to you, let me give it a chase."

And I think that's not the same as trying to understand how an offer which I've passed on has been acknowledged, is then progressing through the different phases of technical assurance and, you know, all the different phases of the system.

13 Q. Let's just look at -- go back to here. Do you see onthis, on the screen, it says:

"On behalf of Covid PPE Priority Appraisals Mailbox."

Do you recognise that description? That's the VIP Lane or the HPL.

A. To be honest, I didn't really know -- I mean, that was a mailbox I was told to send things to. I didn't ever have this phrasing "High Priority Lane". I didn't really know what that was. That was just one of the inboxes, in the same way that I had Max Cairnduff's email and Chris Hall's email that I, sort of, my officials told me to send things to.

179

1 was at a time, you will recall, where a shipment of

2 Turkish gowns came in, you know, which all had to be

3 rejected and it was a front-page news story. So there

4 was deep anxiety about it. So I was sort of excited

5 about this and thought, well, this could be a real thing

6 so I --

7 Q. Why had you become frustrated, Lord Feldman?

8 A. Sorry, which one?

9 Q. At the top:

10 "Adam, I'm getting a bit frustrated."

11 A. Who am I writing to? Adam?

12 Q. You're writing to Adam.

13 A. Yes, and I'm just saying I'm getting a bit frustrated

that this isn't being picked up because I think this is

15 a great opportunity.

16  $\,$  **Q.** Is that not an example of you following up on an offer,

on a possible opportunity?

18  $\,$  A. Yeah, yeah, and I'm not saying for one moment that I

19 didn't from time to time do that. I --

20 Q. I thought you said that you wouldn't know how, that

21 others in your office -- (overspeaking) --

22 A. Well, this is because I had already specifically

referred it to -- look, there's a difference between,

and this is important, there's a difference between once

25 I'd referred something, I think this was referred to Max 178

Q. Let's just finish up on this document if we could scroll

2 up to the top of it.

3 "Max on it as well", you say. This is all on4 a Sunday, isn't it, 12 April?

5 A. Yeah.

23

1

6 Q. And then if we go back, Matt Hancock:

7 "On PPE do you have good enough leads into the system?

9 "Ministerially it's not technically James's gig --

do you feel like you can get the system to respond?"That's all to you.

12 A. Yes.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

13 **Q.** And then if we just look at the top, you reply:

"It's getting much better. Max and Chris seem more
on it. Yesterday I fed in 5 leads -- 4 serious -- and
they followed up. When I sent stuff to Andy Wood it

17 seemed to die ... he was overwhelmed.

"This Danish offer is a bit different and requires some more flexible thinking -- so it got stuck ... Max now seems to be on it ... although not sure why approval should take a few days."

So this is an example, you would say, of an offer that is for some reason getting stuck and where you would intervene directly; is that right?

25 A. Well, I think that, you know, when I say it got stuck,

1 what I'm referring to is it doesn't even get past the 2 first base, which is it's not even being considered and 3 the reason this is -- and the bit that's getting stuck 4 is that there is an offer from the Danish Government to 5 speak to the British Government about this route. So 6 it's the sort of early stage of credentialising the 7 offer. That's the bit that is concerning me, because 8 I'm thinking this is something which is very unusual, 9 it's a very unusual type of offer because it's already 10 being used by another government, a friendly government, 11 and so that's the bit that I'm trying to sort of move

through and it's, you know, within the first couple of

14 Q. Understood.

days of the interaction.

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think it won't be controversial that other offers that came in that didn't catch your attention for whatever reason were unlikely to receive this sort of treatment unless there was some other senior referrer involved?

- 20 A. Well, I think I've explained to you why I thought this 21 was very interesting, the combination of the timing, the 22 product that was being offered --
- 23 Q. Not quite what I was asking. You've explained why you were interested in this offer. 24
- 25 A.

181

on, the PPE Cell, the High Priority Lane, ways of, as it were, that the Civil Service was designing to manage the vast amount of suggestions that were coming in to them, which I was not privy to. I wasn't at the meetings, I wasn't in the discussions about the design of it. And it felt to me, if I can say, that this team were working really hard to sort of build the plane while they were flying it. You know, this all happened, this is 12 April, you know, the email exchange we're looking at, this is within days of them actually coming together as a team and trying to work out how to manage these offers.

So I literally had no idea. For example, I didn't know whether Max Cairnduff was Chris Hall's boss or the other way round. I didn't know the relative seniority, I didn't know how they related to Andy Wood. They were just people whose emails I was given to get in contact with, and that's the sort of nature of my role. And I think it was to some extent deliberate, because I think that I was seen as -- I think Chris Hall describes me as an envoy, I think I was seen as a helpful envoy to triage some offers of help and feed them into the system but how the system processed them, what they did with them, was invisible to me, which is why, when something like the Nine United offer, you

183

- Q. There would have been a great many other offers of which 1 2 you would have had no involvement, no knowledge, that 3 simply wouldn't have had this treatment. They wouldn't 4 have occupied any of your bandwidth --5 You mean offers through other routes? Α. 6 Q. Through other routes. 7 A. I've got no idea.
- 8 Q. You've got no idea?
- A. Literally I've got no idea. 9
- 10 Q. All right. You say in relation to the High Priority 11 Lane that you were unaware of it until you saw media 12 reporting about it?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. I've shown you one reference to an email that is 15 a specific email for the High Priority Lane, but you 16 never --
- 17 A. It's not described as that though, is it? That's not 18 what the email says.
- 19 Q. But you worked with Max Cairnduff, didn't you?
- 20 A.
- Q. What did you think his role was? What did you think he 21 22
- 23 A. I know it's hard to imagine because it's sort of very 24 not how these things work, but it was sort of like there 25 was a curtain behind which different things were going

182

1 know, felt like I was very excited about it, and 2 I couldn't see what was happening, it's because 3 I literally couldn't understand what was happening to 4 it. I couldn't see how it was being processed.

5 So I sort of thought: you know, don't miss this one, I know we're absolutely desperate for gowns and, you 6 7 know, this is a million gowns a week, it's possibly 8 a really great opportunity. So that's the context.

- Q. Lord Feldman, I'm conscious of time. 9
- 10 A. Of course.
- 11 Q. Let's move on, if we may.
- 12 A. Of course.
- 13 Q. Paragraph 9 you tell us that you were --:

14 "I am aware that in the HPL list published by the 15 DHSC, I am described the actual referrer to the HPL of 16 three companies."

- 17 Α. Yes
- Q. "Mazima (sic), SG Recruitment, and Skinnydip". 18

19 Those, of course, are only -- they're the three that 20 resulted in contracts, aren't they?

- 21 I've now found out. I had no idea.
- 22 **Q.** But you must know that you referred in many more than 23 three offers into the High Priority Lane?
- 24 A. Well, that's the point. I didn't know -- there were
- 25 many more than three, I suspect, offers that I triaged

1 that ended up in contacts, but they may not have been 2 defined, which was a definition which was operated by 3 the Department, as suitable for the High Priority Lane. 4 So I have no visibility about the offers I triaged, 5 those I recommended and those which ended up in 6 purchasing. So I don't really know but I'm now finding out through the evidence that's been shared with me that 7 8 three of the 18 that were referred, and which Chris Hall 9 managed ended up in contracts.

> Do you see there's a distinction? Because I wouldn't have known whether there were other offers that I might have put forward which weren't handled through the High Priority Lane, but I wouldn't have known that because I didn't know how they were processed internally, what qualified for that description.

- 16 Q. We know also from Chris Hall's evidence that on some 17 occasions you made explicit the connection with the Conservative Party and on other occasions you did not 18 19 do so
- 20 A. No, so let me explain that.
- Q. Well --21

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 22 A. Because I can't recall every single offer that I might
- 23 put forward, and I haven't seen all of the
- 24 correspondence of every single offer that I put forward,
- 25 I can't say with certainty whether I, in every single
- 1 if you were anxious, as you say you were, to be 2 scrupulous about any possible connection to the
- 3 Conservative Party --
- 4 A. Yes.

14

15

16

17

- 5 Q. -- in order that anyone handling the offer should be 6 aware of that, why is it that it was only on occasion 7 that you made that explicit, according to Mr Hall, but
- 8 on other occasions you did not do so?
- 9 A. I think that he may be referring to offers that he saw 10 which possibly are ones that I didn't handle. So if you 11 read it, "I was unaware at the time that the supplier 12 had any links to the Conservative Party while in others 13 Lord Feldman made the connection explicit."

So, I don't know, you'll have to ask Chris Hall. He might have been referring to offers through other referrers of suppliers not me. But I think that, as I say, my recollection is that where there was a link, I made it explicit.

- 18
- 19 Q. And where you made it explicit, it wasn't at all for the 20 purpose of vouching for them in any way "I know this 21 person through my connections, you can rely on what they 22 are saying or offering"?
- 23 A. No, I think what I said just now was that to some extent 24 I was credentialising them. So if you imagine, I knew 25
  - that there inboxes were full of thousands of offers of 187

- 1 case, disclosed the connection with the Conservative
- 2 Party. What I was endeavouring to do was two things:
- 3 first of all, to credentialise the offer by saying look,
- 4 this has been introduced by somebody that I know, and
- 5 the second thing, which I think is important, is to be
- 6 completely transparent where there was a political
- 7 connection, because I wanted -- you know, I didn't want
- 8 the person handling the opportunity to find out
- q subsequently and think that somehow or other I hadn't
- 10 been straight with them.

So I was trying to be very, very open and transparent in the way in which I communicated about the offers. I don't -- I mean, it may be that there are some offers that I didn't do that, but I'm not --

15 I can't recall.

11

12

13

14

21

16 Q. We can turn to it if it helps, but what Mr Hall says is 17

"In some cases I was unaware at the time that the 18 19 supplier had any link to the Conservative Party, while 20 in others Lord Feldman made the connection explicit."

So I suppose the question for you, Lord Feldman --

- 22 A. Well, if I --
- 23 If I could just pose the question, please?
- 24 A. Sure.
- 25 **Q.** I suppose the question for you, Lord Feldman, is this:
- 1 help. So I was sort of saying, "look, I know the person
- who has made this introduction is probably worth looking 2
- 3 at." You know, that's another factor to take into your
- 4 mind, plus the point about, you know, demonstrating the
- 5 Conservative connection so that I was transparent
- 6 about it.
- 7 Q. Let's take a concrete example, if we may,
- 8 SG Recruitment.
- 9 A.
- 10 Q. It was an offer that resulted in two awards of 11 a contract, wasn't it?
- 12 **A**.
- 13 Q. One was for two million gowns, purchased at £23,899,000,
- 14 via David Sumner.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And the other was for hand sanitiser, purchased at
- 17 £16.125.000 via Nick Mason?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And you're familiar with those two transactions?
- 20 A.
- 21 Q. SG Recruitment was introduced to you by Lord
- 22 Chadlington?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Is that right? You knew him through your life in 25

politics?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. The initial contact that was made was via text message?
- 3 A. Yes
- 4 Q. Yeah. SG Recruitment is not Lord Chadlington's company
- 5 but he made the introduction?
- 6 A. Yes, that was my understanding. I think he might have
- 7 been the director of the -- a director of it as well.
- 8 Q. Well, we'll come on to that. I think that's right.
- 9 **A.** Yeah.
- 10 Q. David Sumner was the principal of SG Recruitment?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Did you understand what was meant by the "principal"?
- 13 A. I suspect -- I think I probably took that to mean that
- 14 he was the person running it.
- 15 Q. Let's have a look at INQ000510463, please.
- 16 David Sumner wrote to you on 21 April 2020?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Did you know David Sumner before this?
- 19 A. No. I had spoken to him, I think -- I can't remember if
- 20 I'd spoken to him before or after this email, but
- 21 briefly, yes, that was the first time I had ever spoken
- 22 to him.
- 23 Q. You said on 20 April "This sounds very interesting."
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 **Q.** What was the basis upon which you thought that this
- 1 him personally was relevant to assessing the offer that
- 2 he was making?
- 3 A. I think what it did was it meant that -- it was -- it
- 4 was -- it certainly meant that it was worth, I thought
- 5 it was worth -- the combination of all the things that
- 6 I've set out meant that I thought that he was definitely
- 7 someone that Chris Hall should take a look at.
- 8 Q. In your statement you offer no further details about
- 9 SG Recruitment and you tell us that you no longer have
- 10 access to the laptop and email address that you were
- 11 using at the time, because you returned those to DHSC in
- 12 May 2020.
- 13 **A.** Mm.
- 14 Q. The Inquiry, though, has obtained further correspondence
- 15 which you have seen, I believe?
- 16 A. Yes, I've seen.
- 17 Q. And, of course, we also have Lord Chadlington's
- 18 statement. Could we turn up his statement at
- 19 INQ000530462, paragraph 6. We learn there that he was
- 20 a non-executive director, a non-executive chairman of
- 21 Sumner Group Holdings. He has told the Inquiry that he
- 22 understood that one of the companies in the group has
- 23 access to PPE.
- 24 Did he explain to you the nature of his connection
- 25 to SG Recruitment?

- 1 offer was interesting?
- 2 A. I think by this stage I'd spoken to him, I think he'd
- actually sent me an email just before this, on the 19th,
- 4 setting out what he could offer, and then I think I'd
- 5 spoken to him. I can't remember the exact sequence, but
- 6 I spoke to him -- and what was interesting about him was
- 7 that he was ex-military, ex-SAS, he was very -- he had
- 8 done a lot of business in Asia, was very, very connected
- 9 to factories in Asia, and had what looked like an
- impressive team of people supporting him. So he sounded
- 11 like someone, you know, just from speaking to him on the
- 12 phone, who sounded interesting, and passed that test
- 13 that I set out earlier of being deeply connected in the
- 14 Far East. So as I say, I thought this could be
- 15 interesting.
- 16 Q. Did you form a view that he was -- what was the term you
- 17 used -- a "good chap"?
- 18 A. Yes, I mean, look, I have to admit I had a slight soft
- 19 spot for someone who told me they had served in the
- 20 military and was ex-SAS and credentialised themselves in
- 21 that way. So I probably did think he sounded like
- 22 a sort of decent guy when I spoke to him. I can
- 23 remember him being articulate and impressive and also,
- you know, into the detail.
- 25 **Q.** And did you think that that assessment that you made of
- 1 A. Well, he -- in the email that Sumner sent me, and in
- 2 the -- what I sent on to Chris Hall, I said
- 3 Lord Chadlington is a director. So he must have -- that
- 4 must have been -- I think that was in the original
- 5 email. So, yes.
- 6 Q. How did that affect the approach that you adopted to
- 7 this offer?
- 8 A. Not at all, really.
- 9 Q. As a non-executive director, did Lord Chadlington -- did
- 10 it not occur to you that Lord Chadlington held shares in
- 11 SGH Limited, the value of which could be dependent on
- the success of the subsidiaries in the group?
- 13 A. I honestly can say at the time -- obviously I've read
- 14 all the materials now -- at the time, I was -- didn't
- 15 really, sort of -- it didn't really enter my
- 16 consideration.
- 17 Q. Now that you've had the opportunity to look back on it,
- 18 should it have done?
- 19 A. Well, not really, because it's disclosed. It's
- 20 transparent. Look, I think when -- the way I look at
- this is when you're in an emergency situation, you're
- 22 always looking at a balance of risk. And so it's
- a factor to be considered. It's certainly a factor
- 24 I would expect the transaction team to consider when it
- gets to the next stage of assessing the offer, but

April --1 I didn't think that should, on its own, preclude me 1 2 passing the offer on if I thought it was credible --2 A. No --3 Q. Well, perhaps not --LADY HALLETT: I think there may be a misunderstanding, 3 4 4 Mr Wald, with the question. I appreciate that appears A. As long as it's disclosed, as long as it's sort of --5 Q. Look, Lord Feldman, you indicated a moment ago that one to be the answer to your question but I think --5 6 of the reasons why, on occasion, you declared 6 A. No, no, what I was saying is -- this is what I was 7 a connection to a Conservative Party member, say, is to 7 saying to you. This is a letter of 21 April --8 8 ensure transparency? MR WALD: Yes. 9 9 A. -- in which he says that. And when I refer the offer A. Mm. 10 Q. Why would it not have been that upon learning that 10 on, I say "Lord Chadlington is a director of the Lord Chadlington stood to gain from a contract were it 11 company". It is on the face of that initial 11 12 to be awarded, you didn't make that clear on the 12 correspondence. 13 correspondence? 13 If you look at the correspondence, I was involved in 14 A. Sorry, when -- when did I learn that? Just a few weeks 14 the correspondence between 19 and 21 April. After that 15 ago, when I got his statement? I didn't know that at 15 it sort of goes into the machine and they start to 16 the time. 16 assess the offer. After 21 April, that's the end of my 17 Q. Let's have a look at INQ000533519. 17 involvement in this. I leave it. The machine then 18 18 A. David Sumner to me, yes. makes its assessment. 19 There it is. 19 This the first couple of days of to and fro of 20 "Lord Chadlington ..." 20 emails and then it gets passed through to Chris Hall and 21 Towards the bottom --21 the team to assess. And this is sort of -- this is 22 22 A. "... sits on the board ..." the -- this is him setting out his credentials. 23 Q. "... currently sits on the board of SGH Global." 23 "Further to our telephone call yesterday ..." 24 A. Yes. I know. I knew that. Is how the email starts, and then he -- so we spoke, 24 25 Q. So it's not couple of weeks ago, is it? This is 25 I thought you sound like an interesting guy, quite 193 194 1 impressive, and then he's credentialising himself at the 1 evening and speak tomorrow. Peter'." 2 2 bottom, and that's what I was referring to when I said So what support is referred to here? Were you 3 he seemed to have good credentials. 3 batting for Mr Sumner in this case? 4 And more than just having been formerly in the 4 A. No, not at all. First of all I can say that 5 5 military, but actually having relevant connections in David Cameron, other than giving Pete Chadlington my 6 the Middle East -- in the Far East. 6 mobile number, and that's sort of in the evidence, 7 7 Q. Let's just have look at Lord Chadlington's witness that's it. That's the extent of his involvement. As 8 statement now. 8 far as I'm concerned, the extent of my involvement is 9 INQ000530462, paragraph 51. the initial exchange between 19 and 21 April, which 9 10 I'm afraid I don't have a page number here. There 10 you've seen, and that's it. 11 we are, thank you. 11 I think Lord Chadlington is possibly operating under 12 "On 26 April 2020, I responded to an email which 12 a bit of a misapprehension about what my role was. You Mr Sumner had sent to me stating, 'Fingers duly crossed. know, once the offer had passed into the system it was 13 13 14 [Let's] have a chat when we know where we are and what 14 really a matter for Chris Hall and the rest of the team 15 happens next, future orders etc. I should -- after 15 to make the assessment. That's it. 16 chatting to you -- talk to DC and to Feldman ..." 16 Q. All right. 17 Is that "[David Cameron] and to [Lord] Feldman"? 17 A. He may have viewed me passing the offer on initially as 18 18 being very helpful, but it's not what I -- it's no A. Yes. 19 "... they've been batting for us on this and I want to 19 different to what I've done for anybody who I thought 20 say thanks for support. Peter'. Mr Sumner replied, 20 had a credible offer of help.

21

22

23

21

22

23

24

25

'Most definitely. I will call as soon as payment

[David Cameron] and [Lord Feldman] have been very

in the bank we can discuss next steps. Have a good

195

supportive', to which I responded, 'Yes. Once money is

confirmed which I expect will be tomorrow.

Could we have INQ000526188 brought up, please.
 And just to remind, this was for £16,125,000, which
 196

was awarded. This is for hand gel.

Q. Lord Feldman, let's just, to complete the picture, turn

to the other aspect of the SG Recruitment contract which

was the purchase price. You tell us at paragraph 12 of your statement:

"The solicitors for the inquiry have also shared with me an email from Lord Chadlington dated 19th April ... [in which] Nick Mason, who apparently had access to PPE. I do not recall this email and I do not recall what happened as a result of this introduction."

Well, here we have, the following day,

"Someone else ..." it's entitled:

"Nick's family are good friends with [someone] and with ours. He has access also to some PPE which may help in current crisis."

13 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

- Q. "Nick outlined to Andrew what you can provide." 14
- Yes, he only provided me with this email after my 15 16 statement. So, do you see --
- 17 Q. You received it in April of 2020, did you not?
- Yes, but to my DHSC email account. 18
- 19 Q. Does that mean you wouldn't have seen it?
- 20 A. Well, I say it in my statement, I can't recall seeing
- 21 it. And then -- because I don't have access to it any
- 22 more. And then you've produced it for the purpose of
- 23 this Inquiry, and now, you know, I can now see it, and
- 24 now I can remember it.
- 25 Q. Let's just -- there may be a better version of it.

Mr Weatherby is just there.

## Questions from MR WEATHERBY KC

MR WEATHERBY: Thank you very much.

Lord Feldman, I ask questions on behalf of Covid Bereaved Families for Justice UK group. Just a few questions from me. You've told us and I'm not going to repeat it, that your role was triaging as a volunteer in this process.

9 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. So you were influencing, you would say in a positive 10 11 way, the process. So your role was to filter or to 12 promote or to help prioritise offers in what was a Civil 13 Service procurement process. Is that right? Is that 14 a fair way of putting it?
- A. Yeah, I mean, I certainly think to filter and to 15 16 identify interesting opportunities.
- Q. Okay. All right. 17

18 Was it appropriate for a Member of the House of Lords and a leading member of the governing party to be 19 20 playing such a role in a procurement process by the Civil Service? 21

- 22 A. Well, look, the view I took was that, normally speaking, 23 it would not be a role that I would take on.
- 24 Q. Yes.
- But in a crisis --25 Α.

1 Can we turn up INQ000510462, please.

Ah, it's possibly a worse version of it.

- 3 You can see that's sent to my DHSC email. Α.
- 4 Q. I do see that.
- Yeah. 5

2

- 6 Q. "Nick's family are good friends with [David's] and with ours. He has some access to some PPE ..." 7
- 8 You may have forgotten about this email until you were supplied with it a couple of weeks ago. 9
- 10 A.
- 11 Q. But we can now see that towards the end of April 2020, 12 Lord Chadlington --
- 13 A. Yes, it's the same day as the other one.
- 14 Q. The same day, points out that "Nick's family are good
- friends with [David's] and with ours". Why do you 15
- 16 suppose that might have been pointed out in this email?
- 17 What relevance could it have to an offer coming in?
- 18 A. Frankly, in my view, none whatsoever, but if the offer 19 was interesting, you know, on its merits, then worth
- 20
- 21 MR WALD: Thank you, Lord Feldman. Those were all the 22 questions I had for you. I know there may be questions 23 from others.
- 24 LADY HALLETT: There are.
- 25 Mr Weatherby, I think you're up now.

Q. Okay.

1

8

9

10

11

2 Well, it's worth just making this point. In the crisis 3 you get a phone call from James Bethell and you have 4 a choice. You either say, "You know what? I'm not 5 going to help. There's too much risk associated with 6 it, you know, it's complicated, it's not a role that has 7 historic precedent. I'll just sit on my hands."

Or at that moment you say, "Well, I understand there's a risk. We'll do what we can to mitigate the risk, by giving me civil servants and a DHSC laptop, and I will try to help in any way that I can."

12 They're the choices you're faced with at that 13 moment. So the question of appropriateness is a very 14 valid one to ask --

- 15 Q. Thank you.
- A. -- but I'm just saying you have to contextualise it 16 about the choices that you have to make at that moment. 17
- Yes. So you're agreeing with me then that it muddies 18 19 the waters, doesn't it, between --
- 20 A. I don't think so, no.
- 21 Q. -- between the political and the Civil --
- 22 A. No, because I think the other thing to say, in my
- 23 experience with the Civil Service, almost invariable
- 24 experience with the Civil Service, is they're perfectly
- 25 capable, and every day they deal with the challenge of

200

- 1 deciding -- you know, being faced by a political
- 2 pressure or a political judgement and then having to
- 3 make a judgement in their role as civil servants about
- 4 what they perceive to be is right for the country,
- 5 what's in the best interests of the country.
- 6 Q. Yes.
- 7 A. I think the civil servants in my experience that I dealt
- 8 with were more than capable of doing that. And in fact
- 9 their evidence consistently says that, which is that
- 10 they made judgments -- what they thought was in the best
- 11 interests of the country, in the best interests of the
- 12 procurement process. And yes, they may have found
- politicians irritating, but they firmly and trenchantly
- 14 stuck to their guns in making
- 15 decisions -- (overspeaking) --
- 16 Q. Okay, but you at least concede that in normal times it
- 17 wouldn't be appropriate for you to do a role such as
- 18 that, given your role in the --
- 19 A. I think in normal times I wouldn't be asked.
- 20 Q. Yes. Okay, I'll move on.
- 21 You've stated that, with your discussion with
- 22 Lord Bethell, you completed a conflict of interest
- 23 form --
- 24 A. Mm.
- 25 **Q.** -- at the beginning of your placement. Did you ever
- 1 **A**. Um --
- 2 Q. I mean, here you are, putting forward offers or
- 3 promoting offers. Surely you would want to understand
- 4 conflicts or potential conflicts, or financial interests
- 5 of people who were promoting them to you to promote into
- 6 the --

- 7 A. Generally speaking, the people referring the businesses
  - pointed it out. So they made a point of saying if there
- 9 was, like in the case of Peter Chadlington, that they
- 10 were a director. In the other instances, I think
- 11 I assumed not.
- 12 Q. Do you think on reflection you should in each case have
- 13 made that clear with referrers --
- 14 A. Well, are there instances where that happened that
- 15 you're concerned about?
- 16 Q. Well, I'm asking --
- 17 A. No, no, what I am saying to you is that I'm not aware of
- any, I'm not aware of any instances where I referred
- 19 people who had a financial interest. But I mean, I'm
- 20 not saying it's not possible --
- 21 Q. I'm looking at the process you engaged with. I'm simply
- 22 asking you whether this is something that you took care
- 23 to -- (overspeaking) --
- 24 A. Look, I'm hesitating in saying that I did because
- 25 whether I -- in the heat of the moment, whether I did it 203

- 1 give consideration to potential for conflicts of
- 2 interest in relation to the suppliers you were triaging?
- 3 Was that something that you paid attention to?
- 4 A. Yes, absolutely, yes.
- 5 Q. In particular because a lot of them were apparently
- 6 people that you knew?
- 7 A. As I said, some of the people introducing suppliers
- 8 I knew. The suppliers themselves, overwhelmingly,
- 9 I didn't know.
- 10 Q. And did you take account of whether individuals who were
- 11 referring, referrers of the companies, had financial
- 12 interests in the suppliers being promoted?
- 13 A. Largely --
- 14 Q. It's an important question, isn't it?
- 15 A. It is an important question. Largely, that wasn't the
- 16 case. We've just been discussing an instance where Lord
- 17 Chadlington was a director of the company --
- 18 **Q.** Yes.
- 19 A. -- (overspeaking) -- disclosed that. I didn't know
- 20 whether he had a financial interest or not in the
- 21 business at that time. At the moment I can't think of
- 22 any -- I may be corrected but I can't think of any
- others where the referrer had a financial interest in
- 24 the company.
- 25 **Q.** Yes, but was it something you asked them?
- 1 invariably, I can't say for certain. But I certainly
- 2 think that would have been something in my mind, yes.
- 3 Q. And in terms of SG Recruitment finally, you've been
- 4 asked questions so I'll be brief about this, but did you
- 5 specifically ask David Sumner or Lord Chadlington to
- 6 declare conflicts of interest or, particularly with
- 7 Lord Chadlington, the fact of his financial involvement
- 8 with SG Recruitment?
- 9 A. I think, when he said that he was the director, I sort
- 10 of assumed that that was sufficient.
- 11 **Q.** Yes.
- 12 A. And you have to remember, as I said before, I was at the
- 13 tip of the spear. There was an enormous machine of
- 14 hundreds of people sitting behind me who went through
- 15 technical due diligence, financial due diligence.
- 16 Q. -- (overspeaking) --
- 17 A. Logistics and delivery. So you're putting a lot of
- weight on me, and actually, as one person, acting on my
- own, and perhaps I had more faith that the system that
- 20 was sitting behind me, which as I now know, had 500
- 21 people in it, would sort of address those concerns that
- you're now articulating.
- 23  $\,$  Q. Okay. Finally, in terms of SG Recruitment, you've given
- 24 your account of the fact that SG Recruitment had some
- 25 contacts in the Far East and the army connection?

1 A. Mm.

- Q. We know from disclosure that some of the products thatwere supplied by SG Recruitment were marked "Do not
- 3 were supplied by SG Recruitment were marked "Do not
- 4 supply to the NHS" at the end because they weren't fit
- 5 for purpose. This supplier was a recruitment company.
- 6 It had no relevant trading history of itself. It had
- 7 very low turnover for contracts of this magnitude prior
- 8 to the pandemic. Apart from what you said, was there
- 9 any basis for promoting SG Recruitment in the way that
- 10 you did?
- 11 A. Well, I think first of all, promoting -- you can see the
- 12 correspondence. If you view that as promoting, you
- 13 know, that's your word not mine. I think I passed them
- on because I spoke to Mr Sumner and he seemed like
- a credible, knowledgeable person who might be able to
- help. The question of what was bought, how much of it
- 17 was bought, what was paid for it, where it came from,
- how it was technically checked, how it was delivered,
- 19 these were matters which were not in my remit and which
  - there were teams of hundreds of people to attend to and
- 21 I believe all of them, in my experience, worked very
- 22 hard to get us to the right conclusion.
  - It is of course the case in a wartime situation,
- 24 which frankly is what this was, that mistakes will be
  - made. I suspect if one analyses the recruitment
  - 205
- 1 **A.** Mm.

20

23

25

- 2 Q. Can I ask you if you asked him certain questions? And
- 3 I think I might already know the answer to this but if
- 4 I can just ask you to clarify. Did you ask him, for
- 5 example, how long his company had been trading?
- 6 A. Look, I don't want to misspeak. If you imagine this is
- 7 5 years ago --
- 8 Q. Indeed.
- 9 A. I was having multiple conversations a day. I don't --
- 10 I can't actually remember my conversation other than
- 11 what's set out in the correspondence, which is he seemed
- 12 like an interesting, credible person.
- 13 I suspect that I didn't ask him how long the company
- 14 had been trading. It's possible that I did but I can't
- 15 honestly recall.
- 16 Q. You see, it's the -- the Inquiry has heard that in order
- 17 to triage offers, that questions were asked, for
- 18 example, how long had a company been trading, whether or
- not it was trading at a profit or a loss, whether or not
- 20 it had ever traded PPE, and I wonder if I can ask you to
- 21 comment on this: it sounded like what you were triaging
- 22 was people rather than offers?
- 23 A. I think that -- well, I think certainly what I was --
- 24 and it's a very fair comment. What I was doing was
- 25 I was trying to make a rapid assessment of whether an 207

- 1 practices of the British government at the commencement
- 2 of the Second World War, you would see all sorts of
- 3 things --
- 4 Q. I don't think we'll go to the Second World War --
- 5 A. No, but what I am saying to you is you're placing
- 6 a prism on this team, this hard working team of civil
- 7 servants, which is I'm afraid, you know, inevitably
- 8 we're carrying the weight of hindsight, but it's not
- being very forgiving, given the situation that they were
- 10 facing.

15

16

- 11 **Q.** I'm just asking you questions, Lord Feldman. Thank you
- 12 very much.
- 13 A. No, I understand.
- 14 LADY HALLETT: Thank you very much. Have we agreed on the
  - questions, Ms Mitchell, that I've allowed you to ask?

## Questions from DR MITCHELL KC

- 17 **DR MITCHELL:** I think we have, I'm obliged to counsel to the
- 18 Inquiry who asked many of my questions but I think we've
- 19 now agreed on one.
- 20 It follows on from the questions you've just been
- 21 answering in relation to Mr Sumner. You've explained
- 22 that you are doing a triage system, that you were
- credentialising the offer, and you explained in relation
- 24 to Mr Sumner that you had a certain fondness due to the
- 25 fact of him having been in the military and an SAS man.
  - 20
- 1 offer was credible enough to go to the next stage, and
- 2 by which I mean whether the -- from -- what I said at
- 3 the beginning was, was there a proximity to the Chinese
- 4 market? Was it an offer which was of sufficient scale
- 5 to move the dial in a situation where the volumes needed
- 6 were enormous? And was the person knowledgeable about
- 7 the product area in a way that made it interesting?
- 8 The questions of whether the company had been
- 9 trading a long time, the creditworthiness of its balance
- sheet, all of those aspects, were definitely not for me.
- 11 They were definitely handled by the specialist teams
- 12 further down the line. And you can see that in the
- 13 correspondence. If you look at what was done after I'd
- 14 passed it on by the professional team, they looked at
- things like the balance sheet of the company and its
- trading history, and, you know --
- 17 **Q.** Indeed. I --

19

25

- 18 **A.** -- and corporate structure. So my point is it was done,
  - but that wasn't by me.
- 20  $\,$  DR MITCHELL:  $\,$  My Lady, no further questions. I think my
- 21 point is made.
- 22 LADY HALLETT: Thank you very much, Ms Mitchell.
- 23 Thank you very much, Lord Feldman. I may just
- 24 forgive you for that dreadful word "credentialise",
  - I can't even say it, but thank you very much for your

1	patience in waiting so long to come on, and thank you	1	INDEX	
2	for your help with the Inquiry. Thank you very much	2		
3	indeed.	3	MR TIM JARVIS (affirmed)	1
4	Very well, 10.00 tomorrow, please.	4	Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY	1
5	(4.31 pm)	5	Questions from PROFESSOR THOMAS KC	35
6	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am the following day)	6	Questions from DR MITCHELL KC	37
7		7		
8		8	MR GRAHAM RUSSELL (sworn)	40
9		9	Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY	40
10		10	Questions from MR WEATHERBY KC	87
11		11		
12		12	MR STEVE BARCLAY (sworn)	98
13		13	Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY	′98
14		14	FOR MODULE 5	
15		15	Questions from MR WILCOCK KC	146
16		16	Questions from PROFESSOR THOMAS KC	159
17		17		
18		18	LORD ANDREW FELDMAN (sworn)	164
19		19	Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY	′ 164
20		20	FOR MODULE 5	
21		21	Questions from MR WEATHERBY KC	199
22		22	Questions from DR MITCHELL KC	206
23		23		
24		24		
25		25		
	209		210	

	0	<b>16 [1]</b> 95/7	<b>2023 [2]</b> 42/20 99/7	<b>55 billion [1]</b> 143/13
DR MITCHELL: [4]	<b>0029 [1]</b> 37/3	<b>16 April [2]</b> 91/20	2025 [1] 1/1	6
37/25 39/13 206/17		152/18	<b>21 [3]</b> 189/16 194/14 194/16	6 billion [1] 143/5
208/20	1	<b>16 July 2020 [1]</b> 148/3	21 April [3] 19/15	6 March [1] 120/16
LADY HALLETT:	<b>1 billion [3]</b> 123/8	16,125,000 [2]	194/7 196/9	6 September 2022 [1]
<b>[54]</b> 1/3 35/24 37/21	126/5 133/14	188/17 196/25	<b>22 March [1]</b> 107/5	99/6
39/15 39/22 40/3 40/7	1 per cent [1] 28/2	<b>170 [1]</b> 14/5	22 March 2020 [1]	
45/2 45/8 46/17 47/13	1.4 Dillion [1] 108/11	<b>18 [1]</b> 185/8	128/17	7
47/20 64/7 64/23 66/3 66/18 86/15 86/21	<b>1.50 [2]</b> 97/24 98/2	<b>18 years [1]</b> 165/19	<b>22 May [1]</b> 23/21	<b>7,000 [1]</b> 172/7
87/2 87/7 87/10 87/16		<b>19 [7]</b> 18/8 18/10	<b>22 years [1]</b> 166/17	<b>75 million [1]</b> 130/12
97/16 97/22 97/24	118/12	54/2 102/2 152/16	220 staff [1] 28/21	9
98/3 98/8 104/17	<b>10 [7]</b> 2/23 28/4	194/14 196/9	23 March [1] 109/18	
105/15 123/12 123/16		<b>19 April [1]</b> 5/13	23 March 2020 [1]	<b>9 billion [1]</b> 143/4 <b>9.29 [1]</b> 94/10
126/23 135/3 136/2	132/22 144/10	<b>19 May [3]</b> 157/3	165/7	<b>9.31 [1]</b> 96/18
140/7 140/23 141/21	<b>10 million [1]</b> 162/1	157/13 158/21	23,899,000 [1]	90 [1] 3/16
141/23 145/2 145/12	<b>10 years [1]</b> 166/17	<b>1995 [1]</b> 166/13 <b>19th [1]</b> 190/3	188/13 <b>24 [1]</b> 119/24	
145/21 145/25 159/17		19th April [1] 197/4	<b>24</b> [1] 119/24 <b>24 hours [3]</b> 135/23	<u>A</u>
159/21 163/2 163/11	209/6		136/24 176/1	<b>Aamer [1]</b> 38/1
163/17 163/21 164/3	<b>100 [1]</b> 56/7	2	<b>24 March [1]</b> 130/9	abbreviation [1]
175/18 194/3 198/24 206/14 208/22	<b>100 million [4]</b> 101/9 106/1 128/21 128/23	<b>2 June [1]</b> 155/22	24 March 2020 [2]	98/23
MR BLOCK: [2]	10s [1] 35/22	2-week [1] 129/16	51/23 132/16	<b>ability [13]</b> 14/11 24/6 89/20 115/23
123/15 127/1	<b>11 [3]</b> 105/7 105/16	<b>2.6 [1]</b> 36/4	<b>24/7 [3]</b> 12/12 27/4	122/22 136/17 139/11
MR SHARMA: [3]	122/1	<b>20 [3]</b> 28/5 145/4	28/22	139/22 140/4 140/19
1/4 1/7 35/19	<b>11.04 [1]</b> 39/25	189/23	<b>24th [1]</b> 131/6	140/24 141/1 141/5
MR WALD: [19] 98/4	<b>11.25 [2]</b> 39/24 40/2	<b>20 March 2020 [1]</b> 166/1	<b>25 [4]</b> 127/7 128/3	able [49] 1/9 2/6 8/6
105/16 123/19 126/25	<b>12 [9]</b> 1/1 36/19	20 minutes [1]	145/3 161/3 <b>25 March 2020 [1]</b>	8/9 9/15 10/10 14/1
127/2 136/3 141/5	49/22 86/21 86/24	151/10	53/9	14/19 15/2 15/4 15/11
141/22 141/24 144/24	106/23 152/25 180/4 197/1	<b>200 [1]</b> 14/4	<b>25 October [1]</b> 99/7	16/22 16/22 17/25
145/6 145/11 163/19	<b>12 April [2]</b> 177/1	<b>2005 [1]</b> 165/21	<b>25-30 UK [1]</b> 28/17	22/5 23/6 23/14 25/24
164/1 164/4 164/6 175/20 194/8 198/21	183/9	<b>2008 [3]</b> 165/19	<b>26 [3]</b> 82/15 82/18	26/22 27/3 27/7 27/16
MR WEATHERBY:	12 June 2020 [1]	165/21 165/22	195/12	27/22 29/2 29/8 29/9 29/14 29/14 32/24
<b>[3]</b> 87/20 97/15	37/5	<b>2010 [2]</b> 165/22	<b>27 [3]</b> 1/22 3/8 5/20	33/2 48/18 49/18
199/3	<b>12 May [1]</b> 158/5	165/22 <b>2012 [1]</b> 8/18	<b>28 [2]</b> 3/8 5/20	63/22 66/16 74/10
MR WILCOCK: [3]	<b>12 months [1]</b> 28/6	<b>2016 [2]</b> 165/19	<b>28 September [1]</b> 159/2	75/11 83/22 128/10
146/2 159/12 159/20	<b>12 years [1]</b> 166/17	165/22	<b>29 [1]</b> 61/14	133/5 136/8 137/21
MS SHEHADEH: [7]	12.5 million [1]	<b>2018 [6]</b> 1/18 41/22	<b>29 July [1]</b> 31/3	138/17 139/13 152/11
40/4 40/8 45/7 45/11 48/3 66/19 86/12	130/11 <b>12.50 [1]</b> 97/25	57/18 89/11 89/14	<b>29 June [1]</b> 30/12	154/7 158/24 166/8
PROFESSOR	<b>122 [1]</b> 100/25	89/17	3	168/16 205/15 about [121] 2/22 3/11
<b>THOMAS: [3]</b> 36/1	<b>13 billion [6]</b> 104/10	<b>2019 [1]</b> 42/15		7/1 7/10 13/1 14/5
37/20 159/23	133/15 143/8 143/15	<b>2020 [45]</b> 1/19 1/22	3 billion [2] 118/12	14/10 15/8 16/13
THE WITNESS: [7]	150/20 151/25	1/22 2/10 3/8 5/13 5/20 36/19 37/5 51/7	125/25 <b>3.00 [1]</b> 145/22	17/16 18/4 20/13
39/21 97/21 97/23	13 February 2020 [1]	51/23 53/9 54/15	<b>3.10 [2]</b> 145/21	20/18 20/24 22/2
145/20 163/10 163/16		58/24 58/24 60/17	145/24	24/15 26/12 27/22
163/25	13 November 2023	61/14 67/19 67/19	<b>30 million [1]</b> 104/21	29/6 29/19 31/6 31/22
•	[1] 99/7 13-point [1] 143/4	67/23 67/23 71/25	<b>300 million [1]</b> 137/5	31/23 33/1 35/14
'easements' [1] 52/1	<b>13.8 billion [1]</b> 101/9	96/19 98/20 111/4	<b>37 [2]</b> 14/5 24/8	38/21 42/24 46/19 47/5 48/8 49/14 49/15
'Fingers [1] 195/13	<b>138-fold [1]</b> 101/9	111/14 113/7 113/12	4	50/17 53/18 56/2
'lead [2] 82/21 83/6	<b>14 [3]</b> 90/9 91/18	119/8 119/24 126/19	4 billion [3] 126/5	57/16 58/4 59/10
'Most [1] 195/21	108/6	128/17 132/16 148/3 152/17 156/4 160/10	137/13 143/4	59/11 59/19 63/4 63/5
'Yes [1] 195/24	<b>140 [1]</b> 14/5	165/7 165/7 166/1	<b>4.31 [1]</b> 209/5	63/8 67/20 68/17
-	<b>15 [1]</b> 99/1	189/16 191/12 195/12		68/18 68/19 68/19
and [2] 68/13 162/9	<b>15 billion [3]</b> 104/11	197/17 198/11	5	69/12 71/20 71/22
, and [2] 00/10 102/9	153/15 155/25 15 May [2] 157/10	<b>2020/403 [1]</b> 50/11		76/17 76/22 76/25 77/1 77/1 77/9 80/10
<u> </u>	165/7	<b>2021</b> [7] 98/20 99/1	<b>5 July [1]</b> 99/6 <b>5 years [1]</b> 207/7	80/13 80/13 81/15
/HSE/MHRA [1]	15 May 2020 [1]	113/8 116/9 119/9 125/19 126/16	500 [2] 143/2 204/20	83/11 83/12 86/18
78/24	156/4	<b>2022 [3]</b> 99/1 99/6	<b>51 [1]</b> 195/9	86/22 87/5 87/24 89/6
	15 September 2021	116/9	<b>55 [1]</b> 143/10	96/23 101/23 103/15
	<b>[1]</b> 98/20			

(54) DR MITCHELL: - about

about... [50] 104/21 105/6 107/21 112/3 114/12 123/25 126/2 127/24 128/16 129/1 135/7 142/5 147/8 148/12 149/6 150/13 150/24 152/13 155/1 156/3 156/5 158/15 160/2 168/15 169/20 172/22 174/22 175/5 175/13 177/2 178/4 178/5 181/5 182/12 183/5 184/1 185/4 186/12 187/2 188/4 188/6 190/6 191/8 196/12 198/8 200/17 201/3 203/15 204/4 208/6 about it [1] 188/6 **above [2]** 127/13 128/3 absence [1] 130/22 **absolutely [4]** 66/12 175/7 184/6 202/4 accede [1] 132/8 accelerate [1] 16/23 accept [10] 35/7 46/4 62/20 62/24 64/8 76/5 88/6 133/16 135/6 144/23 accepted [1] 107/10 accepting [1] 111/9 accepts [1] 158/17 access [12] 43/22 123/6 154/8 168/16 168/18 170/5 191/10 191/23 197/5 197/11 197/21 198/7 accordance [1] 46/3 **according [2]** 113/7 187/7 account [3] 197/18 202/10 204/24 accounting [19] 103/8 103/20 105/1 108/18 109/14 109/19 111/20 113/15 113/20 120/11 120/15 120/20 120/23 120/24 121/6 121/15 124/18 146/25 147/22 accounts [3] 125/5 125/20 126/7 accreditation [2] 48/11 48/22 accumulate [1] 92/17 accumulated [1] 92/11 achieve [3] 27/23 51/17 52/18 achieved [2] 43/7 103/18

achieving [1] 138/2 acknowledged [1] 179/10 acquired [1] 164/21 across [13] 28/4 37/12 53/12 61/4 64/5 79/10 104/11 119/3 119/4 127/15 135/1 165/15 166/22 act [4] 43/24 52/13 85/5 162/9 acting [3] 76/6 133/18 204/18 action [1] 92/11 actions [1] 60/5 activities [3] 41/11 88/12 94/19 activity [10] 56/5 58/3 72/5 72/16 73/6 73/12 74/11 75/7 76/18 83/22 actual [3] 60/20 173/19 184/15 actually [23] 46/19 55/9 61/23 65/19 70/22 72/24 74/16 77/6 78/2 86/5 132/18 advisers [5] 7/10 132/24 137/1 140/10 140/13 150/3 156/3 175/8 183/10 190/3 195/5 204/18 207/10 acute [1] 116/12 Adam [9] 176/16 176/18 176/24 176/25 177/12 178/10 178/11 178/12 179/2 adapt [1] 21/13 add [1] 145/8 added [1] 8/21 addition [1] 42/5 additional [12] 27/4 28/21 81/3 81/4 117/19 137/25 137/25 143/10 143/13 151/11 151/21 154/23 address [13] 41/1 93/19 94/20 99/11 102/8 115/11 153/19 154/15 159/7 159/9 162/19 191/10 204/21 addressed [2] 106/16 139/17 adequate [3] 48/14 96/3 153/13 adhered [1] 160/5 adherence [1] 36/9 adjourned [1] 209/6 Adjournment [1] 98/1 adjusted [1] 122/11 adjustments [1] 68/11 administration [5] 117/10 150/6 155/10

155/15 156/17 106/8 130/19 163/1 administrations [14] 39/4 114/7 117/7 118/5 148/12 150/12 152/13 152/19 153/11 153/14 153/24 154/2 154/22 155/4 administrations' [2] 117/14 157/2 administrative [1] 34/12 admit [1] 190/18 adopt [1] 25/24 adopted [1] 192/6 advance [4] 111/20 111/22 129/8 171/19 advantageous [1] 151/5 advice [10] 28/14 34/7 60/4 91/13 117/25 126/8 129/18 140/10 140/15 160/10 advise [3] 12/10 50/7 167/7 advised [2] 65/23 167/7 7/12 7/16 7/18 8/13 advisory [1] 164/17 affect [1] 192/6 affected [1] 40/15 affecting [1] 161/12 affiliation [3] 174/25 175/6 175/10 affirmative [1] 160/15 **affirmed [3]** 1/5 164/1 210/3 **afraid [5]** 45/3 91/19 163/8 195/10 206/7 after [17] 88/3 96/9 97/19 125/23 131/23 133/8 133/11 133/18 189/20 194/14 194/16 78/21 79/17 81/13 195/15 197/15 208/13 82/6 86/14 88/15 afternoon [2] 159/23 163/19 **AG [1]** 160/19 again [40] 3/25 4/19 4/19 4/20 5/8 23/18 27/9 27/20 30/14 31/2 31/4 31/22 32/13 32/14 34/6 34/15 37/15 58/7 62/10 66/4 69/3 69/4 84/25 91/7 94/19 96/17 108/20 109/18 111/15 111/21 175/2 178/2 179/11 118/19 121/23 128/3 129/23 134/6 149/2 153/19 158/15 160/25 162/17 against [7] 46/15 54/2 77/7 102/10

age [1] 45/2 agency [5] 43/16 84/24 86/3 86/4 144/13 agile [1] 83/21 **Agnew [2]** 115/16 123/20 **Agnew's [1]** 135/22 **ago [11]** 111/13 117/8 125/1 131/2 132/4 153/16 193/5 193/15 193/25 198/9 207/7 agree [10] 30/9 35/1 72/3 90/1 123/22 125/18 135/18 146/23 147/16 148/21 agreed [9] 108/8 110/17 120/3 122/9 206/14 206/19 agreeing [1] 200/18 agreement [1] 176/5 **Ah [3]** 126/25 127/8 198/2 ahead [1] 31/10 aides [1] 132/12 aim [1] 122/3 air [3] 65/2 75/4 75/6 airport [2] 72/14 74/7 airports [2] 75/1 75/6 alerted [1] 79/12 aligned [1] 142/11 all [92] 21/2 36/12 36/23 39/16 39/19 44/6 44/10 46/21 48/10 52/11 52/11 55/19 55/23 56/2 56/13 57/13 58/13 59/8 61/6 61/13 62/17 63/7 63/15 63/16 66/19 68/10 73/13 133/21 137/13 146/10 74/8 75/16 78/4 78/17 89/22 97/18 98/10 99/9 100/11 100/21 103/1 110/22 111/25 117/6 118/8 119/5 119/8 119/12 121/18 123/16 128/13 130/9 132/5 138/16 142/9 145/11 146/22 147/13 alternative [1] 147/13 148/9 152/20 156/8 158/8 165/1 169/19 170/12 174/9 180/3 180/11 182/10 183/8 185/23 186/3 187/19 191/5 192/8 192/14 196/4 196/4 196/16 198/21 199/17 205/11 205/21 206/2

208/10 all-UK [1] 152/20 **allay [1]** 110/7 allocate [1] 105/12 allocated [9] 109/17 110/19 117/20 117/23 118/23 128/23 149/7 151/19 155/8 allow [1] 66/14 allowed [3] 51/1 111/23 206/15 allowing [1] 118/11 alluded [10] 73/22 83/9 92/10 92/15 93/20 112/24 137/4 144/11 153/16 160/16 alluding [1] 96/23 almost [5] 61/19 74/8 105/8 173/22 200/23 alone [2] 101/3 101/6 124/21 143/22 155/12 alongside [4] 35/17 104/25 130/25 134/23 already [18] 2/21 18/19 20/24 33/4 62/25 82/9 83/9 87/24 102/23 116/18 132/1 151/23 171/23 177/17 177/23 178/22 181/9 207/3 also [71] 1/13 1/23 4/24 8/24 11/10 26/9 30/23 33/14 40/19 41/8 42/1 43/22 43/24 45/15 46/4 47/3 47/15 48/16 48/21 49/15 51/7 61/8 65/1 67/10 69/13 70/6 71/5 75/9 75/9 76/13 76/25 79/16 80/19 81/19 83/15 88/22 88/24 91/14 92/24 98/25 99/7 100/8 100/14 102/4 105/18 106/12 110/19 112/16 118/20 126/5 129/25 137/22 139/10 141/14 142/2 147/5 150/18 154/1 154/7 154/21 164/8 164/23 167/5 167/19 172/21 173/5 185/16 190/23 191/17 197/3 197/11 altered [1] 161/6 111/10 although [11] 3/20 24/25 43/23 46/18 54/9 72/22 81/24 121/20 129/3 172/16 180/20 **always [13]** 35/9 40/23 55/5 63/9 63/9 63/14 63/18 63/22 86/10 142/11 145/14

147/10 151/11 161/19 34/13 59/2 156/22 59/15 61/5 63/21 arrangements [4] 167/15 169/12 169/13 approaching [1] 30/3 64/14 64/15 65/14 11/23 116/15 118/13 always... [2] 163/24 169/15 170/24 182/4 appropriate [4] 22/6 65/18 65/19 71/15 162/5 192/22 35/10 199/18 201/17 186/19 187/2 187/12 71/18 72/21 72/24 arrived [1] 171/4 am [19] 1/2 31/20 187/20 197/21 200/11 appropriately [1] 73/11 73/17 73/17 arriving [1] 72/3 39/25 40/2 86/13 202/22 202/22 203/18 120/13 73/18 73/18 75/4 articulate [1] 190/23 108/2 109/4 120/2 76/11 77/24 77/25 203/18 205/9 appropriateness [1] articulating [1] 129/24 137/4 150/13 78/7 83/1 83/4 83/12 **anybody [1]** 196/19 200/13 204/22 159/18 176/20 178/11 anyone [8] 13/16 approval [8] 19/5 83/14 83/20 83/20 as [257] 184/14 184/15 203/17 76/10 101/2 123/13 21/24 32/12 60/15 84/3 85/6 85/12 85/16 ascertain [1] 107/23 206/5 209/6 Asia [3] 166/22 190/8 146/25 157/8 172/15 87/10 130/10 131/23 86/13 92/5 93/3 93/7 among [1] 159/4 187/5 180/20 97/17 100/1 100/1 190/9 amongst [1] 46/9 anyone's [1] 56/14 approvals [12] 15/11 100/15 100/24 101/15 ask [28] 11/13 14/16 amount [14] 8/16 102/14 102/15 103/24 15/8 26/12 42/24 43/2 anything [8] 38/12 15/15 15/19 15/23 15/5 17/18 55/7 101/7 93/9 129/20 145/8 16/15 16/18 18/16 107/21 112/9 114/19 58/17 59/19 71/21 101/23 104/19 125/12 145/12 159/17 163/13 19/18 24/5 32/16 114/20 122/2 123/4 77/4 82/7 83/5 87/21 140/17 144/16 144/19 66/17 120/12 127/8 127/9 127/15 123/10 147/24 159/15 171/14 152/3 152/8 183/3 127/16 129/4 129/6 anywhere [1] 170/16 approve [4] 51/12 160/1 170/14 187/14 amounted [1] 148/23 **AOs [2]** 103/7 103/14 107/9 129/21 130/14 130/3 131/21 133/1 199/4 200/14 204/5 amounts [1] 74/7 apart [2] 169/12 138/20 139/6 141/17 206/15 207/2 207/4 approved [19] 16/2 analyses [1] 205/25 20/21 21/2 21/20 143/15 145/16 145/18 207/4 207/13 207/20 205/8 analysis [2] 30/21 apologies [1] 151/9 23/15 32/10 32/16 145/18 147/13 147/14 asked [24] 6/1 6/2 33/22 **apparently [2]** 197/5 50/25 51/25 66/15 154/22 157/23 157/24 37/13 42/20 53/24 **ANDREW [6]** 164/2 202/5 66/16 80/10 80/15 158/8 158/14 158/24 70/6 74/18 79/14 164/7 164/23 164/25 appear [2] 38/1 83/4 80/15 80/19 80/20 164/16 164/16 164/23 83/18 96/2 123/22 197/14 210/18 165/5 174/15 177/7 124/5 160/2 160/13 81/7 83/15 120/14 appeared [2] 20/14 **Andy [4]** 169/15 184/19 186/13 187/10 approving [1] 100/10 165/25 167/16 175/20 168/25 172/17 180/16 183/16 187/22 195/11 195/14 appears [3] 83/2 April [47] 1/19 1/22 177/14 201/19 202/25 Andy Wood [1] 129/4 194/4 2/10 2/23 3/8 4/12 197/10 198/6 198/14 204/4 206/18 207/2 172/17 5/10 5/13 5/20 19/15 198/24 203/2 203/14 207/17 appetite [4] 111/8 annex [2] 123/15 111/19 142/10 143/15 29/19 54/15 56/20 206/22 asking [13] 8/3 90/13 127/5 area [6] 11/8 31/20 application [1] 108/3 60/17 61/14 67/19 128/21 132/14 143/18 annoying [1] 179/6 79/12 90/9 91/18 46/8 55/16 58/2 208/7 146/4 146/8 150/13 applied [12] 14/7 another [12] 18/15 108/1 108/11 117/17 91/20 91/22 92/8 93/3 areas [4] 12/21 34/24 168/21 181/23 203/16 25/8 90/13 126/18 120/13 124/13 124/15 95/7 96/10 113/8 55/14 100/1 203/22 206/11 131/5 145/4 145/4 150/15 155/10 160/8 116/9 119/24 125/22 aren't [4] 54/10 63/22 asks [1] 129/9 147/25 163/3 166/17 138/8 138/15 152/18 170/15 172/10 114/21 184/20 aspect [1] 196/22 181/10 188/3 applies [1] 118/16 160/10 177/1 180/4 aspects [3] 30/20 argue [1] 161/17 answer [22] 5/7 183/9 189/16 189/23 argument [1] 144/9 **apply [2]** 107/17 82/17 208/10 19/20 54/21 56/18 107/20 194/1 194/7 194/14 arise [2] 63/7 64/21 assemble [1] 168/4 57/17 57/22 57/23 appoint [1] 84/12 194/16 195/12 196/9 arising [3] 21/2 73/11 assembly [1] 168/1 58/11 58/15 60/13 appointed [6] 3/7 197/4 197/17 198/11 96/14 assert [1] 51/8 77/17 77/23 83/10 5/13 5/20 29/18 29/19 April 2020 [10] 1/22 assertion [1] 135/4 arm [1] 177/16 100/5 107/16 109/3 121/14 2/10 5/20 61/14 67/19 arms [3] 2/23 3/1 assess [6] 71/20 118/24 119/17 162/23 appointing [1] 82/21 119/24 160/10 189/16 13/2 107/12 108/17 170/3 173/13 194/5 207/3 195/12 198/11 army [2] 78/21 194/16 194/21 appointment [3] 4/2 answered [4] 62/22 **April 2021 [2]** 113/8 assessed [4] 37/7 19/15 120/14 204/25 94/7 151/7 162/7 answering [1] 206/21 Appraisals [1] 116/9 arose [1] 61/19 49/7 169/1 169/8 around [39] 3/8 5/23 179/15 **April of [1]** 1/19 assessing [5] 107/17 answers [1] 58/1 5/24 14/5 28/22 34/24 172/10 174/24 191/1 appreciate [6] 40/25 aprons [3] 13/17 anticipated [1] 101/2 146/3 159/3 162/9 17/17 17/18 54/24 60/7 62/1 62/5 192/25 **Anwar [1]** 38/2 163/12 194/4 are [140] 2/1 8/24 9/2 62/15 71/23 72/9 assessment [26] anxiety [2] 177/6 18/24 19/3 19/4 20/9 77/21 82/10 82/22 44/20 44/25 46/15 apprised [1] 31/11 178/4 83/6 83/7 83/19 89/3 48/4 48/16 48/17 approach [21] 4/10 21/11 26/18 28/7 30/1 anxious [1] 187/1 49/12 51/13 53/11 7/17 12/23 13/10 31/5 31/16 31/16 32/4 112/19 114/2 115/8 any [50] 16/19 16/20 14/17 14/22 58/23 32/16 32/25 34/9 116/20 116/23 117/4 53/21 54/5 55/4 55/17 25/11 35/21 38/6 39/5 103/25 109/25 111/2 34/10 35/15 35/22 118/19 124/16 124/25 91/11 94/24 96/13 39/7 39/8 54/15 54/24 121/11 130/4 152/14 37/20 39/20 41/15 126/12 127/7 128/4 97/9 108/20 109/10 55/13 61/1 61/2 63/8 152/21 153/3 155/13 41/17 42/25 43/6 43/8 133/25 134/2 135/1 151/11 170/10 175/1 76/7 84/23 93/21 94/6 156/7 156/15 158/10 45/17 45/21 45/22 141/7 162/1 162/18 190/25 194/18 196/15 96/16 103/21 107/20 161/2 192/6 46/9 46/11 46/11 47/4 166/1 207/25 112/5 112/14 118/4 approached [2] 47/5 47/6 47/8 48/10 arranged [1] 81/6 assessments [3] 119/15 128/4 138/25 49/2 49/18 53/16 55/5 arrangement [1] 108/23 161/10 162/13 154/12 173/17 138/25 145/14 145/16 approaches [3] 56/25 57/25 59/15 31/24 assigned [2] 169/17

134/13 135/8 137/2 49/4 51/2 55/2 57/23 authority [28] 17/23 bandwidth [2] 17/24 43/3 43/4 43/19 115/20 182/4 140/15 141/3 143/22 72/19 77/11 78/8 assigned... [1] 174/3 43/21 43/24 44/2 44/4 Bangladesh [1] 143/23 145/17 149/6 86/23 88/1 89/17 assist [8] 2/6 7/13 45/20 51/8 51/12 166/23 149/11 149/12 150/1 93/24 101/12 108/13 20/20 21/4 72/13 51/17 60/10 60/14 bank [2] 110/12 150/25 161/19 162/7 108/21 109/14 110/24 74/12 78/22 79/15 62/11 62/12 68/16 195/25 168/2 169/20 171/4 117/18 122/18 124/7 assistance [7] 10/9 72/1 72/21 72/25 73/1 bans [1] 56/1 172/6 174/19 174/21 127/3 131/23 131/24 13/3 27/15 41/15 74/17 76/7 100/9 177/15 177/25 178/14 135/3 135/12 135/13 **Bar [2]** 164/15 71/13 78/20 166/12 104/18 105/1 109/16 166/13 178/22 181/7 181/9 137/6 137/10 137/11 assistant [1] 173/6 Barclay [23] 98/5 autumn [1] 138/11 182/23 183/19 184/2 138/24 161/11 169/9 assisted [4] 9/2 13/8 available [16] 9/9 98/6 98/9 98/12 98/13 185/10 185/14 185/22 172/8 189/18 189/20 18/13 78/6 9/10 9/11 22/12 22/14 98/19 101/4 103/10 186/7 191/11 192/19 190/3 204/12 assisting [4] 26/15 31/17 57/15 77/15 105/16 106/21 107/16 197/21 200/22 202/5 beforehand [2] 58/8 41/8 69/23 165/8 112/5 115/3 131/14 110/2 119/5 123/19 203/24 205/4 205/14 58/9 associated [2] 34/12 136/22 142/18 150/2 128/13 133/18 144/24 become [6] 16/2 25/2 began [2] 42/10 200/5 167/24 168/19 145/6 146/2 151/6 47/11 74/17 158/9 56/16 Associates [2] 159/23 163/5 210/12 average [1] 105/7 178/7 begin [1] 1/17 164/23 164/25 **beginning [5]** 15/14 avoid [7] 52/20 56/15 Barclays [2] 122/20 becomes [1] 103/20 assume [3] 60/10 18/2 29/11 201/25 65/10 80/5 86/11 124/13 becoming [1] 94/4 86/17 148/8 been [130] 3/20 6/24 122/8 128/8 **Barnett [3]** 117/19 208/3 assumed [2] 203/11 avoided [1] 96/25 154/24 155/9 7/5 14/10 14/12 14/25 **beginnings** [1] 35/3 204/10 award [1] 14/9 barrier [2] 17/15 23/25 24/25 27/22 begun [1] 51/3 assumption [1] awarded [3] 14/6 80/16 27/23 28/25 29/9 30/6 behalf [14] 1/14 9/1 91/12 193/12 196/23 barriers [2] 12/6 12/8 31/6 34/3 37/11 38/20 33/8 33/14 33/18 38/1 assurance [6] 18/20 39/19 40/7 56/10 38/2 41/9 62/10 87/21 awards [2] 160/7 barrister [1] 163/23 18/21 51/4 92/6 96/18 57/24 58/9 58/12 146/4 156/8 179/15 188/10 base [5] 31/14 58/13 179/11 61/19 65/21 70/4 aware [16] 19/21 149/3 168/18 181/2 199/4 asymmetry [3] 76/25 77/9 78/17 38/14 39/12 56/23 based [11] 4/15 behaviour [1] 74/23 113/17 114/14 115/13 56/23 74/17 75/24 22/23 22/25 25/12 85/24 88/6 89/3 89/8 behind [8] 48/4 68/24 at [257] 129/25 135/11 153/12 25/22 26/7 27/6 27/8 89/13 89/15 89/15 169/21 170/12 171/14 attached [3] 17/21 155/15 156/25 184/14 182/25 204/14 204/20 32/14 38/19 75/3 91/14 92/2 93/4 95/2 49/1 104/23 187/6 203/17 203/18 basically [6] 17/22 95/14 95/22 96/14 being [71] 6/1 6/2 8/8 attempt [1] 66/25 27/5 33/2 66/8 96/7 96/14 97/7 101/16 13/2 14/24 15/24 awareness [1] attempts [2] 71/20 129/23 104/17 101/18 101/22 107/7 15/24 16/20 19/24 142/8 awash [2] 75/22 77/4 basis [11] 57/6 58/17 107/8 107/13 107/14 20/2 21/24 23/5 23/9 attend [1] 205/20 away [2] 7/6 60/6 109/12 110/8 117/24 108/12 109/10 110/5 24/18 29/16 37/13 attendance [1] 18/10 111/10 115/8 116/18 awful [1] 19/23 118/3 150/21 155/23 44/22 45/18 51/13 attended [1] 38/10 156/9 189/25 205/9 118/25 119/3 122/9 53/5 53/16 56/25 attention [8] 101/17 В 122/19 123/7 123/9 58/18 66/13 76/6 batting [2] 195/19 102/7 104/1 128/25 back [25] 9/22 21/20 124/2 127/4 127/5 76/23 77/1 78/9 78/12 196/3 143/9 146/24 181/16 24/5 24/24 28/18 be [316] 129/7 130/3 130/4 78/25 81/21 84/9 93/8 202/3 29/21 31/19 58/3 **bear [2]** 49/20 163/14 130/5 130/7 131/5 93/17 93/20 93/22 attest [1] 48/2 68/25 82/7 105/22 became [6] 1/22 2/18 132/1 132/7 132/18 94/5 96/9 96/11 105/7 attesting [1] 48/3 107/13 109/18 110/22 57/15 79/8 79/24 134/18 134/19 137/9 107/23 109/17 114/8 audible [1] 100/5 112/12 123/19 139/7 144/7 137/21 138/4 139/16 119/17 120/13 123/22 Audit [6] 125/7 148/11 154/18 163/22 because [103] 5/1 139/17 142/19 145/15 125/12 132/14 132/22 125/11 126/13 133/12 164/15 179/7 179/13 142/16 149/8 153/2 10/25 16/6 19/21 23/1 146/2 146/11 146/25 137/14 160/18 180/6 192/17 153/17 153/22 156/14 147/2 147/5 147/8 25/4 32/19 37/9 46/24 Auditor [2] 125/4 backdrop [1] 172/22 48/25 52/19 54/11 147/11 148/14 148/16 161/15 171/23 171/24 126/4 background [6] 1/18 55/25 58/11 62/18 148/22 150/20 152/5 174/10 177/23 178/14 August [1] 82/4 41/16 42/10 49/8 65/8 68/2 68/6 69/1 153/15 154/25 155/19 181/2 181/10 181/22 authorisations [1] 156/11 164/14 69/14 70/4 72/1 73/25 156/2 157/4 159/9 184/4 190/13 190/23 68/20 backlog [2] 80/5 94/4 74/22 75/1 75/5 77/17 159/13 160/2 167/18 196/18 201/1 202/12 authorise [1] 51/1 bacteria [1] 64/16 80/12 80/17 81/9 86/7 179/1 179/9 182/1 206/9 authorised [4] 44/19 bad [2] 57/3 74/4 89/19 96/14 97/7 185/1 185/7 186/4 **BEIS [17]** 1/20 1/23 44/24 64/12 124/1 bags [1] 177/9 106/3 107/10 108/3 186/10 187/15 189/7 5/22 6/15 9/1 11/6 authoritative [2] 68/2 bakery [2] 65/1 86/4 108/20 111/23 112/18 192/4 193/10 195/4 11/8 13/8 25/13 27/9 68/6 balance [14] 51/21 113/23 114/16 115/20 195/19 195/23 198/16 27/16 31/10 33/14 authorities [17] 52/13 52/21 52/25 121/7 121/10 122/16 202/16 204/2 204/3 33/18 36/5 41/10 42/3 43/14 44/9 53/9 53/24 105/16 105/17 105/18 122/18 124/12 124/17 206/20 206/25 207/5 belief [4] 1/11 41/6 54/8 54/9 54/18 55/15 105/22 130/6 144/2 125/4 125/11 127/13 207/14 207/18 208/8 98/16 164/12 72/18 72/20 75/11 144/6 192/22 208/9 127/17 127/17 127/25 **before [44]** 8/4 12/13 believe [6] 25/15 77/6 88/24 89/18 208/15 128/9 131/10 131/13 16/10 19/15 19/21 40/11 138/13 161/13 148/8 152/7 153/25 balancing [1] 52/13 132/14 132/22 133/1 26/18 40/10 42/24

191/15 205/21 (57) assigned... - believe

В believed [1] 156/9 Bell [3] 6/21 33/15 39/1 **below [2]** 34/6 131/22 benefit [2] 30/6 30/7 **benefited** [1] 28/8 benefits [1] 30/21 Bereaved [6] 35/22 38/3 87/22 146/5 146/7 199/5 best [11] 1/10 41/5 55/1 98/16 141/9 142/8 154/6 164/11 201/5 201/10 201/11 **Bestseller** [1] 177/17 Bethell [13] 165/25 169/13 170/19 170/20 170/20 170/22 175/23 176/8 176/8 177/5 177/5 200/3 201/22 better [20] 22/1 30/8 31/24 58/20 69/15 70/17 86/6 88/6 88/8 89/9 116/7 131/8 138/2 138/4 138/10 143/25 156/13 156/21 180/14 197/25 between [36] 9/9 24/7 29/12 29/20 31/25 33/13 39/3 39/6 44/1 44/13 45/4 52/14 58/19 59/3 61/10 63/20 66/22 67/19 67/22 105/18 113/17 114/14 114/15 116/13 120/3 151/13 152/16 156/4 165/7 165/19 178/23 178/24 194/14 196/9 200/19 200/21 beyond [4] 37/12 38/13 102/19 167/10 big [5] 13/23 65/2 117/22 124/23 140/21 billion [28] 101/9 104/10 104/11 118/12 118/12 123/8 123/23 125/25 126/5 126/5 133/14 133/15 133/15 135/23 137/13 143/3 143/4 143/4 143/5 143/8 143/10 143/13 143/15 150/20 151/25 155/23 160/11 168/11 bin [1] 177/9 bit [24] 20/4 23/25 46/17 50/17 61/22 145/23 65/13 74/23 82/7 breakdown [2] 91/18 123/3 137/17 130/18 130/22 163/6 172/21 175/5 brief [3] 5/16 159/25 175/13 176/16 176/25 204/4 178/10 178/13 180/18 briefed [1] 11/15

181/3 181/7 181/11 196/12 bits [2] 26/1 165/14 Blame [2] 141/21 141/23 Block [4] 123/12 123/14 123/17 128/14 blockage [1] 93/21 **blockages [2]** 79/14 81/21 blocking [2] 80/24 81/1 **blueprints [3]** 21/20 32/16 34/22 board [4] 36/21 37/4 193/22 193/23 **Boardman [2]** 82/14 82/16 bodies [14] 16/25 40/20 48/22 49/2 55/10 56/4 81/7 149/9 150/17 150/22 151/3 151/20 152/2 153/25 **body [13]** 32/12 44/2 44/15 44/17 44/24 44/24 49/9 50/25 51/1 66/15 66/16 66/16 75/20 book [1] 42/14 **border [10]** 43/10 72/13 72/21 72/21 72/24 73/1 74/18 77/2 **BSI [2]** 49/21 86/23 77/12 77/21 borders [1] 72/17 borrowing [1] 118/11 **boss [1]** 183/14 **both [20]** 45/8 46/11 46/15 47/25 53/11 60/5 83/14 85/15 89/1 110/18 115/14 118/19 125/16 139/9 150/15 154/6 167/6 167/9 167/25 171/6 bottleneck [2] 23/4 24/18 **bottom [8]** 4/6 31/3 33/20 78/14 90/9 176/17 193/21 195/2 **bought [7]** 36/16 78/1 85/12 127/18 174/8 205/16 205/17 boundaries [1] 63/9 **boundary [4]** 63/19 63/20 63/23 63/23 box [1] 161/16 break [9] 12/8 39/23 39/24 40/1 80/22 116/6 145/16 145/18

**briefing [2]** 18/9 172/25 briefly [4] 33/17 45/12 78/4 189/21 brigade [1] 63/15 **Brigadier** [1] 91/25 bring [20] 4/16 7/21 11/3 11/21 11/24 14/1 17/8 24/12 28/18 59/11 62/17 62/21 63/14 66/16 66/25 74/4 84/25 89/21 128/2 133/25 **bringing [5]** 51/19 66/12 73/5 115/17 115/18 British [6] 49/21 75/18 75/19 75/25 181/5 206/1 broad [2] 14/7 14/10 broader [2] 30/19 172/22 broke [1] 55/19 broken [1] 168/10 brought [18] 3/20 7/12 7/22 8/6 10/12 29/4 39/1 46/14 50/18 60/5 60/12 61/15 63/16 66/11 119/22 125/1 125/22 196/24 brute [2] 16/16 18/24 **BSIF [1]** 76/11 budget [3] 100/8 104/20 107/14 **budgets [2]** 100/10 113/14 bugs [2] 64/18 64/19 build [4] 33/23 57/19 96/5 183/7 building [6] 34/23 59/3 59/5 72/5 96/24 116/17 built [4] 58/6 58/23 81/23 116/11 bullet [4] 4/6 128/25 177/16 came [36] 4/25 8/10 131/21 157/25 20/3 55/21 60/11 **Bunzl [2]** 167/11 76/12 76/13 92/14 173/20 92/14 96/9 109/6 **burden [1]** 34/12 115/22 130/23 137/6 bureaucracy [2] 4/9 137/13 138/1 165/15 105/13 166/21 168/9 169/1 bureaucratic [1] 169/5 169/7 170/3 128/3 burn [5] 112/22 116/24 118/18 131/13 154/3 177/13 178/2 181/16 business [30] 1/15 205/17 1/24 2/19 6/16 6/23 10/19 11/7 11/11 12/3 **Cameron [3]** 195/17 25/21 28/11 33/9 61/6 195/23 196/5 can [105] 7/9 20/19 67/4 76/14 76/15 22/2 22/2 32/9 36/1 76/16 85/6 86/7 36/2 40/8 42/9 43/2 164/17 165/1 166/15

166/16 167/3 167/7 167/10 167/13 174/21 190/8 202/21 **businesses** [17] 52/8 54/22 55/9 68/20 69/8 70/7 70/12 70/15 71/3 71/4 71/7 71/11 71/15 71/17 76/19 167/8 203/7 businesspeople [1] 167/9 busy [2] 136/15 163/12 but [241] **buy [4]** 5/2 149/16 168/3 173/9 **Buy Cell [1]** 173/9 buying [3] 77/24 148/9 148/14 **C and [1]** 160/19 cabinet [17] 13/4 19/8 19/10 59/23 60/4 69/24 70/6 92/25 111/17 111/23 115/15 115/16 115/18 134/1 137/22 138/1 139/12 Cabinet Office [1] 115/15 **Cairnduff [5]** 169/15 172/18 179/1 182/19 183/14 Cairnduff's [1] 179/23 call [11] 2/22 3/1 13/2 36/20 66/14 151/15 161/4 167/18 194/23 195/21 200/3 called [17] 8/24 26/13 27/13 33/6 42/16 43/5 43/11 46/13 63/23 85/20 116/3 128/19 132/22 146/8 177/12 177/12

43/24 44/14 47/25 51/22 52/12 53/7 53/10 53/13 54/14 55/23 57/16 57/16 63/11 63/15 63/23 64/7 64/7 77/4 77/19 78/10 78/14 82/13 83/4 84/1 84/1 84/25 85/5 85/10 86/15 90/19 93/12 93/12 94/15 95/3 95/15 97/13 98/15 98/17 103/2 103/17 104/19 107/25 111/16 114/2 116/6 118/24 119/25 121/21 122/4 123/3 123/10 123/13 126/9 128/16 129/20 132/2 132/3 141/14 142/2 146/3 146/8 146/18 148/1 148/4 150/3 152/3 152/10 152/12 153/10 154/18 156/1 156/12 173/1 176/12 180/10 183/6 186/16 187/21 190/22 192/13 195/25 196/4 197/14 197/23 197/24 198/1 198/3 198/11 200/9 200/11 205/11 207/2 207/4 207/20 208/12 can't [23] 5/2 38/12 47/1 56/6 58/14 65/10 74/1 77/17 77/17 86/10 152/5 185/22 185/25 186/15 189/19 190/5 197/20 202/21 202/22 204/1 207/10 207/14 208/25 cannot [3] 107/17 107/20 153/9 capability [5] 3/17 4/3 57/20 88/1 141/6 capable [2] 200/25 201/8 capacity [15] 2/13 6/24 9/11 15/3 20/22 21/22 22/9 22/20 23/2 23/3 24/6 32/24 38/7 56/4 81/23 capital [2] 56/5 118/12 care [12] 2/24 5/14 6/14 13/4 28/2 74/2 173/21 173/22 173/22 78/9 85/17 99/6 102/1 173/23 174/12 174/17 120/4 203/22 174/19 177/11 177/13 career [2] 122/18 167/6 careful [1] 51/20 carried [6] 15/24 49/11 52/14 82/16 90/2 101/24 carries [1] 129/10

59/21 61/13 66/21 C certificate [1] 48/5 **changes** [8] 19/3 Chris Hall's [3] certificates [1] 76/23 68/24 69/1 107/3 175/14 179/24 183/14 84/6 carry... [3] 49/10 certification [2] 81/4 108/3 115/12 161/10 **chronicle [1]** 139/1 co-wrote [1] 42/15 75/11 135/3 127/16 162/8 chronological [1] **CO/Deloitte [1]** 78/18 carrying [1] 206/8 code [1] 160/14 cetera [2] 43/10 changing [4] 68/3 139/2 **Carter [1]** 140/11 158/14 68/4 68/21 68/22 chronology [6] 20/11 codified [1] 100/15 Carter's [1] 140/14 **chap [1]** 190/17 Chadlington [16] 23/19 27/21 31/2 coherence [1] 59/3 case [13] 71/2 81/9 174/19 188/22 192/3 119/6 132/25 characterisation [1] collaboration [1] 107/13 108/22 118/15 192/9 192/10 193/11 106/25 circulating [1] 72/9 59/18 124/21 142/25 186/1 193/20 194/10 196/5 characterise [1] circumstances [10] colleagues [17] 196/3 202/16 203/9 196/11 197/4 198/12 111/4 18/18 39/19 103/11 38/15 112/21 115/16 203/12 205/23 202/17 203/9 204/5 109/23 110/5 121/24 117/2 118/1 121/21 characterised [2] cases [5] 28/18 204/7 106/15 107/2 122/7 135/8 146/21 126/6 129/25 131/9 113/21 119/7 175/9 Chadlington's [3] 159/14 136/13 137/22 138/16 characterises [2] 7/7 186/18 189/4 191/17 195/7 111/13 cited [1] 87/12 139/12 143/12 149/11 cash [1] 34/11 chaff [1] 171/9 Cities [1] 25/20 162/19 163/9 charge [1] 84/19 cast [1] 171/11 chain [10] 5/3 6/8 charged [1] 43/5 civil [14] 142/9 collective [2] 153/1 Cat [2] 129/18 135/20 7/13 10/2 55/12 78/7 **chase [3]** 174/5 169/16 169/17 170/13 158/18 Cat Little [1] 129/18 79/13 94/22 166/8 174/6 179/7 183/2 199/12 199/21 colloquially [1] 46/4 Cat Little's [1] 171/24 chasing [1] 158/8 200/10 200/21 200/23 combination [4] 135/20 chains [6] 7/24 8/11 200/24 201/3 201/7 **chat [1]** 195/14 81/18 94/1 181/21 catapult [2] 26/13 158/9 166/24 166/24 chatting [1] 195/16 206/6 191/5 28/22 168/16 check [6] 77/11 **clarified [2]** 51/6 combine [1] 29/3 catapults [2] 27/12 chair [5] 42/20 78/13 94/12 97/11 95/25 come [42] 1/16 9/22 28/14 119/18 126/7 165/19 97/11 132/2 clarify [3] 112/9 21/20 37/12 46/18 catch [1] 181/16 166/4 121/21 207/4 47/8 47/9 48/23 49/5 checked [3] 48/6 categories [12] 9/7 50/1 50/21 71/16 88/1 77/1 205/18 **chairman [2]** 165/23 **clarity [5]** 31/25 10/6 10/9 10/12 11/22 88/14 96/12 104/15 191/20 checking [2] 108/25 66/10 67/1 80/21 12/18 13/21 28/4 challenge [21] 7/19 122/15 83/14 105/10 110/22 112/12 31/14 44/21 44/22 checks [6] 43/10 11/18 16/7 29/10 114/2 114/2 123/19 classic [1] 89/2 48/12 75/14 95/17 95/25 52/17 57/6 64/7 104/6 cleansing [1] 52/2 125/18 126/23 134/16 categorisation [1] 106/7 115/17 116/2 122/24 133/5 clear [18] 6/4 17/4 135/14 135/17 135/21 113/7 118/22 136/18 138/5 66/12 69/9 82/19 137/15 138/2 138/10 **chemicals** [3] 10/8 category [12] 10/5 139/12 149/11 153/23 10/21 13/22 82/22 82/25 83/6 138/12 138/13 138/14 13/13 13/14 17/13 154/1 159/10 165/8 chief [10] 41/17 92/16 97/6 97/13 140/8 140/21 148/11 21/17 44/23 44/25 200/25 41/24 42/6 90/10 120/19 126/4 131/4 150/12 168/20 177/19 48/12 48/14 48/15 133/17 176/13 193/12 189/8 209/1 challenged [3] 59/14 98/21 104/8 108/5 48/15 49/14 115/25 135/13 144/12 203/13 64/9 121/9 come in [1] 48/23 category 3 [2] 44/23 China [16] 22/25 clearance [2] 54/1 challenges [32] 2/9 comes [5] 68/14 44/25 50/17 54/16 54/24 106/12 106/12 112/25 92/6 93/15 136/17 136/21 caused [2] 81/21 114/19 134/13 139/20 cleared [3] 81/1 55/14 55/23 56/21 140/18 159/4 62/2 69/15 70/18 166/21 166/22 168/10 84/24 94/21 comfort [1] 127/22 causing [3] 76/3 76/4 73/11 73/17 79/2 168/11 169/25 170/6 clearer [3] 66/2 75/10 comfortable [2] 32/4 92/10 80/14 83/17 83/20 170/6 171/25 172/1 131/1 108/5 **CBILS [1]** 28/11 92/9 92/15 115/20 Chinese [4] 113/1 clearing [1] 132/23 coming [18] 4/1 **CE [1]** 80/21 126/19 128/19 208/3 13/11 14/22 30/15 116/21 117/11 118/19 clearly [5] 11/5 65/24 Cell [6] 59/22 61/14 132/5 146/21 148/25 73/23 91/6 92/20 39/18 77/13 93/21 **choice [2]** 107/9 66/21 84/6 173/9 149/4 154/9 157/18 200/4 clinical [1] 159/6 94/3 105/4 122/19 183/1 158/15 158/18 161/1 choices [2] 200/12 clinical-grade [1] 131/24 141/10 152/23 cent [1] 28/2 157/15 172/23 183/3 167/21 200/17 159/6 central [1] 8/19 challenging [3] 66/1 choose [1] 114/2 Clipper [1] 96/20 183/10 198/17 centre [3] 26/5 34/18 117/3 122/7 Chris [21] 42/15 clock [1] 28/22 commencement [1] 89/25 chambers [1] 166/14 105/5 138/21 142/3 close [3] 22/15 22/23 206/1 **CEO [2]** 164/16 162/2 169/15 172/18 Chancellor [6] 98/25 101/21 comment [8] 39/11 165/22 99/18 100/12 104/12 172/18 173/1 175/14 closely [2] 36/6 83/11 83/12 83/18 certain [6] 22/17 143/16 149/8 179/24 180/14 183/14 168/2 138/25 142/21 207/21 22/17 44/5 204/1 clothing [4] 166/15 183/20 185/8 185/16 207/24 Chancellor's [1] 206/24 207/2 187/14 191/7 192/2 118/2 166/18 167/10 168/2 comments [3] 53/2 certainly [13] 19/22 change [11] 32/1 194/20 196/14 co [7] 42/15 42/21 124/3 150/8 23/9 50/21 60/21 76/9 59/14 68/6 68/9 69/1 Chris Hall [11] 59/21 61/13 66/21 commercial [10] 91/22 93/4 138/8 169/15 172/18 172/18 7/23 8/11 29/5 114/18 69/2 69/4 69/12 74/18 78/18 84/6 191/4 192/23 199/15 84/10 94/2 173/1 183/20 185/8 Co-operation [1] 115/18 134/1 137/23 204/1 207/23 187/14 191/7 192/2 140/13 148/19 151/14 changed [4] 56/3 42/21 certainty [1] 185/25 56/13 63/17 68/6 194/20 196/14 Co-ordination [4] Commission [1]

(59) carry... - Commission

С	competitiveness [1]	122/24 123/4 123/7	<b>considered [10]</b> 1/13	
Commission [1]	33/19	127/1 127/9 127/14	25/4 32/18 35/4 37/16	I I
50/11	complaint [1] 132/13	131/2 131/3 131/7	102/2 171/23 171/24	147/10 170/17 188/11
commissioned [1]	complaints [1] 77/24	134/8 143/25 146/11	181/2 192/23	193/11 196/22
37/11	complete [1] 196/21	conducted [4]	considering [3] 19/4	contracted [1]
committed [2] 138/7	completed [3] 9/18	109/11 109/11 161/11		147/17
138/9	51/3 201/22	162/13	considers [1] 34/21	contracting [16]
<b>committee [11]</b> 19/9	completely [1] 186/6	conducting [1] 76/15	103/25 108/20 109/13	108/22 109/1 114/17 114/22 121/6 127/16
24/1 42/22 53/2 59/4	<b>completion [1]</b> 76/20 <b>complex [7]</b> 62/19	<b>confidence [7]</b> 57/16 76/4 81/5 96/4 96/6	109/25 109/20 109/13	128/12 135/10 147/14
59/21 59/22 59/23	62/21 62/25 63/25	97/8 97/9	126/10 127/14 160/12	
62/14 125/5 126/7	64/2 65/14 70/5	confined [1] 173/20	160/14 163/1	151/4 151/4 162/15
common [3] 118/17	complexity [3] 47/11	confirm [5] 1/9 75/14		162/22
121/19 142/9	58/4 58/5	98/15 120/2 164/11	48/18 108/1 117/18	contracts [30] 6/11
commonly [1] 57/15	compliance [3] 4/8	confirmed [4] 160/15	201/9	14/9 14/14 28/3 28/17
communicated [5]	12/7 69/10		constitutes [1] 108/4	29/21 55/25 105/4
125/11 135/12 142/19 177/5 186/12	compliant [14] 54/1	confirming [1]	constrained [1]	105/7 105/10 105/14
	54/23 72/2 72/8 75/22	160/25	148/20	110/15 115/21 115/24
communicating [1]	76/2 76/6 76/10 77/2	conflict [1] 201/22	constraints [1]	116/22 121/3 122/4
communication [3]	77/5 77/7 77/15 79/2	conflicts [5] 176/6	172/23	122/5 135/22 138/4
39/5 64/5 160/18	79/3	202/1 203/4 203/4	constructive [2]	141/8 146/13 146/19
communications [3]	complicated [2]	204/6	150/9 154/13	156/21 160/6 162/4
39/3 164/17 164/21	46/17 200/6	conformity [17]	constructively [2]	162/18 184/20 185/9
communities [1]	complied [2] 21/14	18/21 44/20 44/25	158/19 158/22	205/7
161/20	49/13	46/15 48/4 48/16 49/7		
community [4] 82/21	components [1]	51/13 52/15 53/21	consumer [6] 1/19	138/9 139/16 140/2
85/6 85/6 151/15	168/3	55/4 75/15 93/23   94/24 96/13 97/8 97/9	43/21 43/24 63/21   72/8 72/10	<b>contribute [4]</b> 6/9 30/8 39/16 46/9
<b>companies [43]</b> 6/8	comprising [1] 19/9 compromise [5]	connect [1] 12/10	consumers [1] 52/6	contributed [1] 40/18
6/11 8/3 8/8 9/12 9/14	16/20 19/1 52/22	connected [4] 3/18	consuming [1] 49/16	contribution [1] 15/6
10/15 11/1 11/3 12/12	52/25 53/4	60/6 190/8 190/13	contact [7] 6/15	control [3] 56/14
13/12 13/14 13/18	Comptroller [2]	connecting [1] 13/20	11/11 33/4 85/9	68/19 99/22
13/23 13/25 14/8 15/1	125/4 126/4	connection [11]	174/20 183/17 189/2	controversial [1]
15/19 16/2 16/10	concede [1] 201/16	63/10 185/17 186/1	contacted [3] 92/19	181/15
16/11 17/20 20/5 20/7 21/13 23/6 24/8 24/16		186/7 186/20 187/2	96/1 173/6	conversation [1]
24/17 25/16 27/2 27/7	74/15	187/13 188/5 191/24	contacting [3] 10/15	207/10
28/17 38/18 122/4	concept [1] 80/2	193/7 204/25	13/25 173/24	conversations [11]
127/15 146/14 146/18	concern [13] 60/17	connections [6] 11/6		61/20 61/24 61/25
147/16 167/8 184/16	110/24 111/25 115/8	11/7 101/21 102/3	11/10 11/12 12/1	62/14 63/6 63/17
191/22 202/11			12/14 13/22 17/12	63/18 114/19 114/21
companies the [1]	124/16 124/19 133/20		25/23 167/3 167/12	114/23 207/9
24/16	150/16 150/23 155/3 concerned [14] 36/8	174/2 conscious [7] 81/20	173/8 185/1 204/25 content [1] 120/11	<b>convert [2]</b> 28/13 29/7
company [24] 17/25	76/22 76/25 87/3	85/10 86/13 141/20	context [24] 3/10 9/6	coordinate [1] 5/16
28/19 34/11 38/2 97/3	122/16 127/17 127/24		14/14 32/2 43/12	coordinated [2] 82/4
129/5 137/7 147/1	129/6 134/25 144/16	consequence [2]	43/18 44/14 44/21	84/18
147/6 147/8 147/10	149/6 150/24 196/8	89/20 135/18	46/19 54/9 81/11 85/2	
147/11 164/18 177/11	203/15	consequences [1]	85/25 85/25 104/4	coordination [10]
189/4 194/11 202/17 202/24 205/5 207/5	<b>concerning [1]</b> 181/7	13/1	106/2 112/23 129/15	38/17 58/2 58/5 58/18
207/13 207/18 208/8	<b>concerns [11]</b> 110/7	consequentials [2]	138/6 152/21 169/11	59/4 62/4 64/4 81/16
208/15	115/15 124/10 129/3	117/19 155/9	172/22 176/25 184/8	81/19 85/4
compared [3] 55/14	129/13 139/21 143/24		contextualise [1]	cope [2] 117/11
129/8 151/5	150/11 153/1 158/23	102/4 165/20 166/4	200/16	171/8
compatible [1]	204/21		contingency [6] 88/7	
142/15	concert [1] 71/1 concertina'd [1] 20/2	186/1 186/19 187/3 187/12 188/5 193/7	88/8 88/10 88/14 89/8 89/16	core [1] 146/5 coronavirus [1]
compete [1] 112/1	conclusion [1]	consider [8] 8/1 8/3	continue [3] 33/25	45/23
<b>competent [2]</b> 56/9	205/22	14/17 22/21 82/21	40/15 140/15	corporate [3] 94/8
83/21	concrete [1] 188/7	139/7 147/5 192/24	continued [6] 52/5	106/16 208/18
competing [2] 32/21 106/8	condition [1] 120/11	considerable [2]	58/25 77/21 82/2	correct [26] 1/21
competition [3] 1/20	conditions [21]	15/6 101/16	113/11 129/2	1/25 41/17 41/24
42/2 110/14	104/23 104/25 108/24		continues [1] 101/18	
competitive [1] 7/5	119/16 119/18 121/25		contract [15] 14/6	60/9 71/15 93/12
	122/8 122/12 122/14	95/17 192/16 202/1	28/16 112/16 122/23	98/22 99/8 99/19
-		•	(6)	0) Commission correct

57/17 78/17 80/2 80/7 dangerous [2] 56/25 C 133/9 138/24 149/19 |**DC [1]** 195/16 149/20 151/2 151/2 86/5 114/5 148/23 73/18 de [1] 89/1 correct... [12] 100/13 156/21 164/1 169/20 creates [1] 114/4 **Danish [6]** 177/16 de-escalated [1] 89/1 100/19 101/11 107/7 177/17 177/18 177/24 deal [10] 20/25 50/21 171/19 172/3 172/5 creating [1] 121/12 130/8 157/11 164/19 178/5 180/1 186/23 credentialise [2] 180/18 181/4 78/25 110/25 115/21 164/22 164/24 165/10 190/4 190/14 191/18 186/3 208/24 **Dantzer [1]** 177/12 119/5 119/7 127/2 166/2 167/14 192/11 196/24 198/17 **DAs [3]** 118/16 159/7 175/3 200/25 credentialised [2] corrected [1] 202/22 couldn't [14] 80/11 177/23 190/20 159/10 dealing [7] 10/5 86/8 correction [1] 165/24 credentialising [4] 80/15 80/19 81/12 data [32] 64/4 77/14 100/17 117/14 136/15 correctly [1] 88/2 80/7 85/4 112/5 92/16 106/9 108/17 181/6 187/24 195/1 170/6 175/23 correspondence [14] 109/10 124/14 142/7 206/23 112/14 112/20 112/21 dealt [3] 74/21 86/14 110/4 110/11 122/14 171/8 184/2 184/3 114/24 115/2 115/2 credentials [2] 201/7 156/2 156/11 185/24 184/4 194/22 195/3 115/3 115/9 115/12 debate [1] 85/9 191/14 193/13 194/12 counsel [9] 1/6 40/6 116/1 117/1 117/4 credibility [2] 169/8 decent [2] 68/15 194/13 194/14 205/12 98/7 164/5 206/17 174/24 118/17 119/1 119/4 190/22 207/11 208/13 210/4 210/9 210/13 credible [8] 170/8 124/16 124/25 131/1 decide [1] 135/24 corruption [1] 101/24 171/10 172/1 193/2 131/10 131/13 135/1 210/19 decided [5] 61/21 cost [10] 33/22 34/1 196/20 205/15 207/12 139/4 139/9 139/9 105/11 124/23 126/8 counterintuitive [1] 118/21 140/18 148/16 139/10 139/23 154/3 208/1 134/10 55/8 148/22 151/11 151/14 deciding [3] 146/23 creditable [1] 175/4 database [2] 73/10 counterparties [1] 151/21 159/6 108/23 creditworthiness [1] 73/20 170/11 201/1 costs [11] 30/21 decision [27] 13/9 counterparts [2] 208/9 date [5] 2/23 102/19 34/12 68/10 111/9 114/18 154/14 crime [1] 122/19 140/17 141/3 157/9 14/20 16/25 19/9 19/9 113/10 113/13 113/19 crisis [15] 29/16 32/9 dated [9] 36/19 37/4 23/24 23/25 50/24 counterparty [1] 148/9 149/25 153/5 32/24 33/6 82/24 83/8 51/23 119/24 128/17 59/20 59/22 60/11 137/10 158/14 101/19 107/3 107/12 152/25 156/3 159/2 61/2 62/16 79/25 countries [7] 32/21 could [151] 1/17 2/5 56/1 106/8 110/15 90/18 100/9 103/20 111/21 158/1 175/4 197/4 2/13 3/6 3/9 3/13 3/23 112/1 134/12 158/8 197/12 199/25 200/2 dates [2] 95/7 96/10 103/21 104/9 104/13 4/18 6/6 6/9 6/17 7/14 **country [7]** 5/4 79/10 criteria [4] 14/7 **Daventry [16]** 78/8 106/18 111/16 128/5 7/20 9/1 10/5 10/16 101/20 104/5 201/4 170/15 172/9 172/14 78/13 78/15 78/17 140/9 140/11 140/14 10/16 11/13 11/20 201/5 201/11 critical [7] 121/10 78/22 79/1 79/5 89/24 142/14 11/24 12/7 12/7 12/10 couple [7] 21/1 132/13 135/18 140/1 89/25 90/16 91/10 decision-making [5] 12/14 12/19 13/6 140/19 141/17 166/7 100/22 130/9 181/12 92/2 92/12 94/3 94/11 23/24 62/16 79/25 13/12 13/23 13/23 193/25 194/19 198/9 cronyism [1] 101/24 97/10 100/9 103/21 13/25 14/16 15/1 15/3 course [28] 11/12 crop [1] 43/1 David [11] 109/19 decisions [36] 6/3 15/8 15/13 16/9 16/10 22/4 26/7 45/7 45/11 cross [4] 53/2 60/3 120/18 188/14 189/10 6/4 6/10 6/11 6/13 16/11 17/1 17/6 17/22 63/1 67/15 69/3 72/7 111/16 134/5 189/16 189/18 193/18 37/10 37/17 58/13 18/7 18/11 20/7 20/8 76/3 89/13 101/4 195/17 195/23 196/5 59/24 61/8 61/10 cross-departmental 20/10 20/15 21/1 21/7 101/19 108/7 122/10 204/5 61/12 62/10 62/13 **[1]** 134/5 21/21 21/22 22/12 123/23 134/15 138/22 cross-government **David Cameron [2]** 65/25 82/23 84/15 22/13 22/18 23/17 144/3 145/20 159/17 **[2]** 53/2 60/3 195/23 196/5 88/25 103/9 103/14 25/7 25/10 25/16 26/2 163/10 165/18 184/10 crossed [1] 195/13 David Sumner [5] 104/9 112/16 113/4 26/12 26/13 27/11 184/12 184/19 191/17 crucial [1] 29/20 188/14 189/10 189/18 113/21 115/24 120/24 27/19 27/24 29/12 205/23 CST [8] 98/23 99/11 193/18 204/5 124/5 126/13 130/25 30/11 30/22 31/1 cover [4] 46/21 99/20 106/20 107/6 131/14 131/18 133/22 **David's [2]** 198/6 31/18 32/11 33/3 33/8 137/24 161/7 161/11 101/25 114/3 155/3 107/8 136/1 141/19 198/15 33/16 33/19 34/14 cue [1] 145/10 day [23] 6/3 6/3 27/3 201/15 covered [1] 117/1 34/17 36/17 45/6 covering [1] 114/6 culture [2] 59/7 57/5 57/5 61/19 91/20 declaration [3] 48/14 50/21 51/12 54/5 covers [1] 46/20 59/11 100/6 100/6 102/15 48/20 176/5 57/24 62/8 65/21 66/2 Covid [19] 18/8 18/10 current [4] 91/12 105/8 123/23 125/23 declare [1] 204/6 66/2 68/20 68/21 28/1 28/11 35/22 38/2 130/15 164/16 197/12 130/16 131/6 137/8 declared [1] 193/6 68/25 70/12 70/13 40/15 44/23 48/15 137/13 197/8 198/13 dedicated [1] 9/17 **currently** [5] 2/1 70/15 70/17 70/24 54/2 87/21 102/2 198/14 200/25 207/9 129/16 153/2 153/9 deemed [1] 51/17 71/21 74/18 79/15 116/14 146/5 146/7 209/6 193/23 deep [9] 18/8 18/10 79/23 80/2 80/9 80/10 152/16 160/4 179/15 curtailed [2] 136/18 Day 1 [1] 102/15 40/24 110/2 124/9 80/10 80/20 80/20 199/4 149/12 day one [1] 61/19 124/9 124/9 168/14 80/25 81/3 81/4 81/10 Covid-19 [4] 18/10 curtain [2] 169/21 days [13] 3/16 9/22 178/4 88/8 89/8 89/23 94/21 54/2 102/2 152/16 182/25 62/7 91/19 105/7 deeply [2] 107/6 95/23 95/25 96/1 105/9 130/9 163/22 Covid-related [2] **curve [1]** 130/20 190/13 106/14 108/19 110/16 168/6 180/21 181/13 44/23 48/15 **customers** [1] 73/23 define [1] 44/5 111/10 112/8 112/14 **CP [2]** 145/3 145/4 cut [1] 74/10 183/10 194/19 defined [6] 43/17 112/25 115/3 115/6 create [3] 61/22 45/17 63/22 83/13 days' [2] 167/23 115/7 116/1 122/12 84/10 85/23 177/4 170/15 185/2 123/12 126/21 131/19 created [8] 41/23 danger [1] 85/23 **DBT [1]** 41/10 **definitely [6]** 58/24 (61) correct... - definitely

110/19 114/23 114/24 desk [1] 165/15 10/17 10/24 13/18 179/12 180/18 182/25 D 115/4 115/19 117/25 desperate [3] 167/22 14/20 17/10 17/14 196/19 definitely... [5] 151/8 120/4 121/4 121/4 168/5 184/6 23/1 26/23 38/6 39/5 differently [3] 46/5 191/6 195/21 208/10 121/12 121/16 121/22 despite [1] 142/8 39/9 39/16 47/11 107/12 130/7 208/11 125/17 125/20 125/25 detail [6] 110/6 54/15 56/4 56/7 60/10 difficult [7] 15/15 definition [3] 46/2 68/1 69/13 69/23 70/5 39/19 58/10 64/1 126/1 133/4 134/7 114/25 119/6 138/18 46/3 185/2 135/11 135/16 138/17 144/7 190/24 73/8 77/25 79/3 80/25 74/23 103/11 106/24 definitions [2] 82/22 141/11 141/15 142/25 detailed [6] 7/23 82/6 81/2 87/10 102/9 difficulties [3] 39/5 83/6 144/9 147/15 148/25 113/21 130/18 130/22 102/12 105/21 108/7 82/10 159/3 definitive [1] 19/20 149/18 150/14 150/18 137/10 108/14 118/8 119/19 difficulty [1] 16/14 degree [3] 102/10 151/1 151/18 152/6 **details [1]** 191/8 125/15 130/16 133/25 diligence [5] 107/8 103/3 103/17 155/8 155/16 162/16 135/16 136/3 136/8 120/12 132/15 204/15 detained [4] 95/2 **Deighton [13]** 5/12 169/23 171/5 185/3 95/14 95/22 97/7 136/23 141/16 151/21 204/15 5/16 7/11 8/6 8/25 160/3 161/8 161/23 direct [4] 17/12 28/17 departmental [1] detect [1] 73/12 10/13 11/14 11/17 134/5 **deter [1]** 73/12 162/11 165/14 166/6 34/11 160/7 14/21 17/14 29/4 determine [2] 33/24 166/11 167/15 170/4 directed [1] 171/12 departments [9] 29/18 31/4 113/18 114/5 114/14 170/6 170/8 170/24 96/8 **direction [2]** 69/24 delegated [11] 103/7 121/18 121/19 141/25 develop [2] 21/7 173/10 174/12 175/15 112/19 103/24 104/2 108/18 149/25 159/5 160/21 21/15 175/18 176/1 182/21 directly [11] 26/9 120/25 125/12 127/9 182/21 182/22 183/24 56/18 149/21 150/18 dependent [3] 109/2 developed [5] 11/22 129/22 142/24 149/7 185/18 187/8 189/12 170/22 170/23 171/16 109/5 192/11 58/25 88/12 89/12 155/19 depending [3] 15/21 89/15 189/18 190/16 190/21 171/16 173/25 176/22 delegates [1] 103/14 27/7 152/8 developing [2] 32/8 190/25 191/3 191/24 180/24 delegating [5] 111/20 deploy [3] 73/11 192/6 192/9 192/9 director [17] 1/19 38/7 113/14 125/8 125/9 74/19 91/14 193/14 197/17 201/25 1/23 2/2 42/1 129/18 development [1] 126/14 202/10 203/24 203/25 deployed [4] 74/21 138/23 152/15 164/23 89/4 delegation [8] 113/24 204/4 205/10 207/4 189/7 189/7 191/20 81/10 81/22 88/21 **Development's [1]** 113/24 123/7 137/13 207/14 192/3 192/9 194/10 deploying [1] 91/21 42/21 160/10 160/12 161/24 deployment [2] 62/1 device [1] 65/16 didn't [52] 7/22 16/5 202/17 203/10 204/9 162/6 39/7 39/8 52/16 57/9 95/6 devices [4] 45/25 directorships [1] deliberate [1] 183/19 58/7 60/19 78/2 78/2 deputy [2] 90/10 46/9 62/5 65/5 165/2 deliver [7] 46/11 52/9 devolved [24] 38/10 165/21 79/6 80/20 102/11 disabilities [1] 36/25 70/20 70/22 73/7 39/3 114/6 117/7 105/13 106/4 121/11 descending [1] disadvantage [1] 83/22 84/4 168/12 117/9 117/14 118/4 127/21 134/13 134/15 167/16 delivered [6] 43/13 134/16 134/17 137/7 describe [8] 36/5 124/24 148/12 150/6 disagree [1] 144/8 55/25 89/18 134/19 138/10 149/13 150/23 disappointed [1] 43/2 95/13 111/1 150/12 152/13 152/19 153/2 205/18 169/21 169/22 169/23 154/21 114/12 114/13 115/13 152/24 153/4 153/7 delivering [1] 43/5 169/10 153/11 153/13 153/23 175/24 177/19 178/19 disappointing [1] delivers [1] 158/10 154/2 154/22 155/3 179/19 179/20 179/21 148/7 described [11] 16/15 delivery [4] 36/21 181/16 182/19 183/13 discharge [1] 62/15 20/9 23/11 33/11 155/14 157/2 37/4 42/16 204/17 183/15 183/16 184/24 discharging [1] 62/4 62/25 65/6 68/22 **DH [2]** 114/8 139/12 **Deloitte [1]** 78/18 76/13 169/3 182/17 DHSC [52] 2/21 4/14 185/14 186/7 186/14 disclosed [4] 186/1 **Deloittes** [1] 70/8 184/15 6/5 6/12 33/22 35/15 187/10 192/14 192/15 192/19 193/4 202/19 deluge [1] 172/8 193/1 193/12 193/15 describes [1] 183/21 36/6 36/19 37/11 **disclosure** [1] 205/2 demand [28] 2/14 59/23 61/5 78/6 109/6 202/9 202/19 207/13 **discomfort** [3] 110/2 **describing [2]** 65/14 3/19 3/22 12/19 15/6 110/9 112/5 113/18 die [1] 180/17 110/24 124/9 94/24 16/12 28/6 36/14 discourse [1] 101/23 114/15 120/11 130/11 differ [1] 44/13 description [5] 5/2 56/22 73/23 74/3 130/18 130/21 130/23 difference [6] 44/1 170/24 174/1 179/17 discovered [1] 106/10 110/16 112/9 185/15 131/24 131/25 132/4 44/3 118/4 151/13 167/23 114/4 114/6 116/20 design [7] 10/2 21/7 135/7 138/17 138/19 178/23 178/24 discrepancies [1] 116/23 117/4 118/20 33/3 49/8 64/13 85/22 138/23 139/6 142/6 different [48] 15/20 94/12 128/7 128/11 130/19 183/5 142/11 142/20 147/17 16/24 44/11 46/5 discrimination [1] 131/8 138/5 138/9 148/15 148/21 150/2 46/18 46/21 47/9 47/9 36/11 designated [1] 43/23 140/4 166/9 47/16 57/25 58/1 61/5 discuss [1] 195/25 designed [10] 46/6 151/13 152/18 153/9 demands [2] 56/1 47/14 47/14 47/15 153/12 155/14 156/6 61/7 62/2 63/1 63/2 discussed [8] 38/4 119/7 66/5 66/7 66/13 94/20 156/8 167/4 169/18 63/11 63/11 63/12 55/4 62/9 82/9 84/7 demonstrating [1] 122/8 133/21 176/3 184/15 191/11 63/14 64/15 64/18 97/1 129/4 153/15 188/4 designing [2] 47/21 197/18 198/3 200/10 67/6 69/14 69/16 discussing [3] 43/13 department [57] 1/15 71/22 82/12 86/1 86/4 44/9 202/16 183/2 **DHSC's [2]** 31/11 1/23 2/19 2/24 6/14 designs [7] 17/7 86/8 86/9 104/22 158/9 discussion [3] 24/2 10/19 13/3 16/17 20/22 21/3 32/10 dial [1] 208/5 108/4 108/12 109/16 152/5 201/21 25/21 33/9 42/8 61/5 32/11 32/16 34/22 124/20 130/4 132/9 dialogue [2] 91/15 discussions [10] 61/6 99/5 108/19 37/17 38/6 38/14 147/24 167/8 168/4 desirability [1] 30/19 92/21 108/22 109/6 109/20

did [88] 5/22 8/20

desire [1] 126/12

38/16 38/22 38/22

168/17 168/17 179/10

D discussions... [4] 66/22 79/18 137/10 183/5 disease [1] 68/18 disparate [1] 62/17 display [2] 51/22 53/7 displayed [1] 126/21 disposal [1] 5/4 disreputable [1] 52/11 disrupted [1] 57/14 distilling [1] 30/4 distinction [2] 24/7 185/10 distracted [1] 142/18 distraction [1] 144/7 distribute [1] 149/20 distributed [1] 78/9 distribution [6] 89/25 90/15 149/1 149/4 149/11 153/23 dive [2] 18/8 18/10 diverged [1] 156/22 diversity [1] 35/18 diverted [1] 15/1 divided [1] 10/4 dividing [1] 10/8 **Division** [1] 42/3 **DMC [4]** 59/22 60/12 60/18 60/24 do [89] 6/2 10/1 11/15 11/17 15/4 16/22 16/22 17/10 17/25 21/10 22/19 24/8 29/9 36/22 38/15 47/25 48/1 48/2 48/3 51/2 55/1 56/7 58/9 59/12 62/24 65/3 65/3 68/9 70/12 70/15 70/21 73/13 75/14 77/11 77/16 77/21 80/5 85/8 87/4 87/9 89/14 105/22 110/24 113/12 120/17 121/9 122/22 126/8 129/20 130/16 136/11 136/12 141/5 142/21 146/14 146/16 146/23 147/16 148/21 149/14 155/19 159/20 161/13 162/15 164/25 167/15 170/18 170/21 172/6 176/24 178/19 179/13 179/17 180/7 180/10 185/10 185/19 186/2 186/14 187/8 197/6 197/6 197/16 198/4 198/15 200/9 201/17 203/12 205/3 do it [1] 22/19 do so [1] 185/19

docking [1] 20/8 doctors [1] 177/7 document [10] 3/8 3/10 4/1 4/18 33/18 34/20 41/4 100/16 147/25 180/1 documentary [2] 95/25 96/3 documentation [3] 18/14 78/23 94/13 does [13] 21/23 39/8 63/7 64/18 64/19 64/21 111/15 132/19 145/12 162/21 163/13 78/15 164/25 197/19 does it [1] 162/21 doesn't [16] 47/6 47/13 47/24 62/15 64/20 72/23 75/5 83/4 95/10 107/7 143/19 158/12 162/20 163/24 181/1 200/19 doing [14] 49/3 55/10 91/10 133/11 138/24 144/17 144/19 174/22 174/23 175/8 176/9 201/8 206/22 207/24 domestic [22] 5/17 6/18 9/3 10/11 12/25 13/6 14/3 23/2 25/9 26/8 30/16 31/12 34/2 34/8 35/5 35/16 38/11 137/8 139/13 139/23 140/5 141/13 domestically [1] 15/4 don't [51] 13/16 34/11 34/20 35/21 36/19 37/19 38/25 46/22 47/7 50/16 50/19 60/19 64/5 64/24 67/1 67/2 75/2 77/12 83/25 84/22 85/19 86/25 91/19 93/9 95/12 96/15 102/14 119/12 123/12 123/13 123/16 127/12 129/20 135/10 135/18 143/23 149/18 160/1 161/4 164/14 179/6 184/5 185/6 186/13 187/14 195/10 197/21 200/20 206/4 207/6 207/9 **Donations** [1] 78/16 **Doncaster** [1] 74/7 done [33] 9/23 11/18 12/13 23/8 32/2 57/23 58/18 63/16 69/4 71/23 78/13 78/25 80/4 81/3 81/13 81/22 87/25 93/17 103/19 112/22 122/25 141/15

149/10 151/18 154/17

157/6 160/19 175/20

190/8 192/18 196/19 208/13 208/18 double [2] 150/17 158/14 doubled [1] 28/20 doubt [1] 141/25 down [16] 12/8 25/7 52/12 54/14 60/23 80/22 103/2 116/6 120/8 122/9 129/1 132/14 136/20 145/9 170/16 208/12 Downstream [1] dozen [1] 172/3 **DR [4]** 37/24 206/16 210/6 210/22 dramatically [1] 139/15 draw [5] 24/7 65/3 102/7 128/25 143/8 drawing [1] 104/1 dreadful [1] 208/24 driving [2] 14/12 121/10 drove [4] 104/14 110/18 139/21 140/5 dual [8] 46/13 65/18 66/4 66/9 66/23 82/11 83/11 84/22 dual-use [5] 46/13 65/18 66/4 82/11 83/11 **Duchy [1]** 98/25 due [7] 107/7 111/8 120/12 132/15 204/15 123/6 204/15 206/24 duly [1] 195/13 64/20 duration [1] 98/23 during [22] 27/3 34/3 38/8 41/19 42/24 50/2 effective [5] 34/2 50/6 66/20 66/20 67/5 34/9 113/16 173/2 67/15 76/8 84/16 85/13 87/11 89/25 93/25 101/19 103/5 157/25 161/9 162/8 dust [1] 65/2 duties [1] 100/6 duty [12] 36/10 36/13 37/16 118/17 154/2 161/9 161/14 161/19 162/12 162/21 162/23 efficient [1] 156/10 163/1 dynamic [1] 91/11 each [21] 9/25 10/5

10/12 13/13 15/23 17/21 20/24 21/15 21/17 22/16 32/10 67/14 68/24 68/25 106/8 118/16 156/17

156/20 156/23 166/3 203/12 earlier [26] 24/9 27/1 63/10 69/19 73/22 77/9 80/13 83/11 84/21 86/1 92/15 95/21 112/24 114/16 116/1 123/20 134/19 135/22 153/20 153/24 eliminate [2] 36/11 156/3 158/5 160/16 162/2 168/25 190/13 early [30] 19/15 26/21 39/23 58/6 62/7 70/8 79/12 79/24 86/16 89/4 92/20 105/9 106/15 113/4 116/21 119/13 136/9 137/1 138/7 139/21 140/2 148/24 153/21 154/9 155/4 158/16 160/24 160/25 162/3 181/6 eased [1] 70/24 easement [11] 50/4 50/7 50/13 51/6 51/9 51/11 53/18 79/7 79/8

86/24 94/25 **easements** [9] 19/5 49/5 50/14 50/19 50/23 50/23 51/19 88/13 93/24

easily [1] 81/3 East [5] 75/7 190/14 195/6 195/6 204/25 easy [3] 15/14 58/11

**economic [3]** 30/18 42/21 100/10 **duplication [2]** 64/14 economies [1] 151/3 edge [1] 65/13 effect [3] 50/15 103/15 125/13

> 176/9 effectively [8] 2/18 60/4 61/21 93/13 116/2 116/12 120/20 142/6

effectiveness [2] 42/17 53/20 efficiency [3] 42/17 64/14 99/23 efficiently [1] 20/8 effort [5] 2/25 5/15 55/17 56/15 64/21 efforts [4] 25/11

74/15 82/5 111/25 **EIAs [1]** 161/11 eight [5] 5/19 5/23 18/3 23/12 108/6

eight-stage [3] 5/19 18/3 23/12

either [18] 21/18 25/13 49/10 54/16 64/24 65/21 75/14 84/23 86/7 94/25 129/24 131/5 156/23 170/14 171/16 171/22 173/23 200/4 **elements [1]** 141/18 87/15 else [8] 84/20 84/25 91/15 129/20 147/1

157/9 172/15 197/9 **elsewhere** [1] 65/9 email [37] 23/21 27/22 30/11 31/4 51/23 90/8 90/10 107/4 109/18 123/21 127/4 131/19 135/20 135/23 156/3 157/4 171/14 179/24 179/24 182/14 182/15 182/18 183/9 189/20 190/3 191/10 192/1 192/5 194/24 195/12 197/4 197/6 197/15 197/18 198/3 198/8 198/16 **emailing [1]** 97/3 emails [6] 20/12 93/20 110/12 110/22 183/17 194/20 embassy [4] 114/20 128/7 151/2 171/25

**emerge [1]** 133/2 **emerged** [3] 12/6 105/9 132/16 emergency [12] 35/4 100/2 107/18 111/7 113/15 116/4 117/12 148/23 149/16 160/6 165/9 192/21

embedded [1] 2/21

emboldened [1]

53/10

**emerging [3]** 119/15 138/25 139/6 employer [1] 46/24 enable [12] 12/11 17/8 23/8 35/11 39/2 80/4 96/5 133/21 136/10 155/18 156/22 158/12

enabled [1] 8/8 enables [2] 62/14 62/14

enabling [1] 28/17 encountering [1] 54/17

encourage [1] 82/20 encouraged [2] 33/25 146/2

encouraging [1] 153/19 end [14] 5/17 5/17

195/22 Ε entirely [2] 77/2 84/9 6/24 9/5 13/10 15/5 ex-SAS [2] 190/7 **entitled [1]** 197/9 18/1 28/25 73/10 190/20 expectations [1] end... [12] 16/10 entrants [1] 71/18 166/18 exact [1] 190/5 132/3 29/19 30/15 36/23 entry [1] 72/3 establish [4] 10/1 exactly [6] 6/19 expected [2] 71/11 45/4 112/13 115/10 22/15 27/18 47/8 envelope [35] 104/3 70/11 99/10 172/2 146/24 125/2 143/9 194/16 104/8 104/17 104/21 established [9] 3/11 73/13 143/5 expediency [2] 161/6 198/11 205/4 104/23 105/1 105/12 example [44] 10/18 162/10 3/12 4/12 19/8 39/2 endeavour [1] 7/14 105/25 106/19 108/18 61/14 71/25 147/2 10/21 10/23 12/3 expedite [3] 16/11 endeavouring [1] 109/15 110/18 112/15 177/21 12/14 13/16 13/21 132/20 132/21 186/2 et [2] 43/10 158/14 113/25 113/25 114/9 16/24 17/17 22/24 expenditure [9] ended [5] 23/7 117/23 118/2 120/25 et cetera [2] 43/10 27/1 28/19 30/24 99/20 102/20 102/23 148/13 185/1 185/5 121/5 125/24 128/23 36/24 38/18 51/15 103/15 105/23 119/7 158/14 185/9 133/13 143/5 143/7 etc [1] 195/15 64/23 64/25 66/14 133/23 156/17 156/20 endorsing [1] 161/1 143/14 150/19 151/1 ethnic [3] 36/3 36/24 66/17 74/6 74/16 86/1 **expensive [1]** 141/3 energy [5] 1/15 1/24 151/19 151/22 151/23 159/25 101/3 101/6 112/21 **experience** [21] 1/18 2/2 2/19 55/7 151/24 151/25 155/13 **EU [3]** 50/9 50/10 113/1 118/8 126/18 7/23 8/13 8/20 26/18 enforcement [15] 134/19 136/19 137/5 32/15 38/11 39/5 39/7 160/12 66/15 31/21 44/2 44/7 44/8 envelopes [13] 101/7 EU-wide [1] 50/9 140/9 144/11 144/12 39/8 42/14 59/8 110/3 44/10 44/11 55/7 103/4 103/24 104/2 European [3] 44/17 160/23 162/12 168/24 116/19 141/25 168/15 55/17 63/3 63/4 71/23 178/16 180/22 183/13 113/12 119/19 120/3 50/24 51/6 170/6 200/23 200/24 71/24 74/12 76/7 77/6 124/24 125/8 127/9 188/7 207/5 207/18 **evaluate** [1] 9/8 201/7 205/21 engage [4] 4/14 134/10 134/10 146/12 even [14] 30/7 34/17 **examples [2]** 119/13 experienced [2] 56/9 24/21 24/22 25/11 environment [5] 8/18 56/11 85/25 102/15 135/15 148/25 engaged [1] 203/21 55/6 112/23 113/5 102/19 107/20 124/7 **exception** [1] 167/11 experiencing [1] engagement [3] 134/20 132/24 133/1 171/10 54/18 exceptional [3] 100/8 150/10 150/10 181/1 181/2 208/25 envisaged [1] 2/17 123/25 124/2 125/12 expert [1] 20/24 engaging [3] 8/7 envoy [2] 183/21 evening [1] 196/1 excess [1] 131/4 **expertise** [14] 6/15 158/21 158/23 183/22 event [7] 30/1 35/2 **exchange [3]** 157/15 7/13 8/5 8/10 8/11 Engineering [1] 5/7 equal [1] 156/17 116/3 133/8 133/11 183/9 196/9 11/6 11/9 29/5 46/8 England [6] 59/23 133/19 133/22 60/8 81/18 115/18 equality [11] 36/9 exchanges [4] 104/10 117/20 133/15 112/13 153/20 154/11 36/10 36/13 37/16 events [1] 139/7 134/1 137/23 143/8 155/8 160/6 161/9 161/10 eventually [2] 23/6 154/25 **expire [1]** 107/21 enlisting [1] 166/12 161/14 162/12 162/12 97/2 **excited [3]** 177/25 **expired [1]** 137/11 **enormous [5]** 56/4 162/20 ever [5] 42/11 179/20 178/4 184/1 explain [6] 26/14 56/21 57/9 204/13 equally [2] 124/24 189/21 201/25 207/20 exciting [1] 177/15 42/25 136/14 142/22 208/6 137/12 every [11] 22/10 55/5 exclusively [1] 79/7 185/20 191/24 enormously [1] 81/2 62/11 74/2 74/2 77/13 excuse [2] 141/22 equipment [19] 35/6 **explained** [5] 146/11 enough [7] 5/2 46/21 39/4 45/15 52/2 75/23 174/4 185/22 185/24 144/4 181/20 181/23 206/21 94/3 132/23 138/14 185/25 200/25 101/1 106/5 122/6 206/23 **exec [1]** 165/2 180/7 208/1 127/17 129/6 130/20 **everybody** [1] 62/6 **executive** [19] 19/11 explanation [2] 82/6 enquiries [1] 17/23 146/19 165/15 166/7 **everyone** [3] 62/7 32/1 41/17 41/24 42/6 110/6 ensure [27] 6/5 14/13 167/13 168/12 168/13 84/20 158/17 43/14 43/15 45/13 **explicit** [6] 185/17 16/23 43/6 47/11 52/4 170/1 175/5 **everything [3]** 58/14 63/2 71/2 75/24 78/5 186/20 187/7 187/13 54/2 58/18 69/6 79/1 **equitable [1]** 161/15 173/22 173/23 82/25 90/11 118/6 187/18 187/19 79/3 82/11 90/2 106/4 evidence [43] 1/13 144/12 191/20 191/20 **exploited [1]** 101/20 equivalence [1] 120/12 121/11 122/3 8/24 8/24 13/1 16/13 explore [2] 4/24 192/9 117/20 131/16 144/18 146/12 **equivalent** [1] 51/14 18/4 33/13 34/16 **exercise [3]** 49/16 133/22 151/18 160/4 160/19 exponentially [1] **Ernst [2]** 160/23 35/20 48/5 83/3 85/11 137/7 161/16 160/21 161/8 162/11 160/24 86/16 88/11 91/25 exercised [2] 172/14 113/23 193/8 erroneous [1] 132/17 92/1 99/12 102/8 177/2 export [1] 56/1 ensured [1] 160/17 **error [1]** 157/8 105/17 111/1 115/8 exhale [1] 47/7 **exposed [1]** 56/25 ensuring [12] 11/20 115/13 119/6 124/3 **existence** [1] 66/20 express [3] 40/14 **escalate** [1] 3/19 36/8 52/15 54/23 126/17 134/25 138/22 existing [8] 23/1 110/24 152/25 escalated [3] 89/1 78/23 93/23 117/9 139/2 139/8 142/4 89/1 124/7 55/21 61/24 72/5 **expressed** [1] 158/13 117/16 128/5 161/17 **especially [2]** 145/14 143/21 146/11 152/14 72/16 106/9 154/23 **expresses** [1] 123/21 161/21 162/24 160/16 162/2 163/9 171/24 **expressly [2]** 36/8 158/3 enter [4] 33/25 121/4 168/23 168/25 175/14 expand [2] 56/5 essence [2] 132/6 111/18 122/3 192/15 137/17 185/7 185/16 196/6 130/15 extensive [2] 42/10 entered [4] 7/1 essential [13] 18/22 201/9 **expanded [4]** 58/24 167/3 116/22 125/6 146/13 35/15 51/4 52/10 evolved [1] 29/16 101/8 114/9 150/19 extent [10] 25/19 entering [2] 72/8 52/23 53/4 59/25 **evolving [1]** 57/5 expansion [3] 4/3 33/24 103/21 112/25 77/7 ex [3] 190/7 190/7 70/20 70/23 105/14 55/21 80/13 147/5 154/5 183/19 **Enterprise** [1] 33/9 expect [6] 28/4 32/20 106/4 161/19 161/23 187/23 196/7 196/8 190/20 **enthusiast** [1] 97/19 essentially [9] 4/10 ex-military [1] 190/7 104/20 136/11 192/24 external [7] 7/10 7/12

E	faith [1] 204/19	field [2] 59/13 97/19	flavour [1] 115/7	114/7
	fall [3] 46/1 139/14	fight [1] 77/6	flexibility [4] 84/2	forward [18] 14/9
<b>external [5]</b> 7/16	151/8		105/19 105/24 158/12	20/10 20/20 27/20
8/13 12/1 115/17		figure [1] 87/12		l l
160/22	false [1] 127/22	file [1] 67/2	flexible [5] 46/21	29/25 31/2 31/7 31/10
	familiar [6] 71/12	files [1] 49/8	83/21 85/19 162/5	52/4 59/9 70/10 90/23
extra [1] 172/5				l l
extract [2] 119/15	96/22 100/23 102/14	fill [2] 9/11 29/14	180/19	106/14 131/17 185/12
122/22	102/16 188/19	filled [1] 176/5	flood [1] 56/16	185/23 185/24 203/2
	Families [4] 87/22	films [1] 10/6	flow [4] 12/5 80/10	forwarded [2] 31/5
<b>extremely [7]</b> 102/18				
102/19 103/11 106/24	146/5 146/7 199/5	filter [4] 70/19 70/25	80/25 171/18	179/3
133/3 136/15 177/2	family [4] 166/15	199/11 199/15	flowed [1] 80/17	<b>found [7]</b> 3/14 9/23
	197/10 198/6 198/14	final [8] 33/20 34/7	flowing [2] 80/17	66/1 117/2 142/12
eye [3] 10/6 71/8	l .	37/15 82/23 84/15		184/21 201/12
171/11	far [11] 69/6 72/4		97/10	l l
	122/6 126/16 129/10	94/8 96/17 144/25	fluid [1] 113/4	<b>four [10]</b> 67/23 78/18
F	146/20 164/15 190/14	finally [6] 19/12	flying [1] 183/8	92/15 105/8 125/5
<del></del>	195/6 196/8 204/25	57/14 142/8 156/1	focus [11] 10/9 12/24	
face [9] 10/7 57/13			10Cus [11] 10/9 12/24	
86/17 86/19 86/22	Far East [3] 190/14	204/3 204/23	13/5 14/18 25/9 26/2	156/15 158/10
	195/6 204/25	finance [17] 117/14	26/5 54/22 104/14	four years [1] 125/5
86/24 86/25 87/10	faring [1] 173/12	117/16 138/23 150/7	142/13 172/8	fragmentation [4]
194/11				
faced [12] 52/17	fashion [2] 144/20	150/8 152/24 153/15	focused [5] 52/8	63/24 65/10 86/10
55/20 56/19 56/20	144/22	154/12 154/14 157/2	83/24 88/23 113/14	86/11
	fast [2] 94/3 142/14	157/13 157/19 158/2	165/5	fragmented [7] 62/19
101/20 117/12 121/24				
126/11 132/5 157/18	faster [1] 4/17	158/6 158/19 158/22	focusing [3] 13/12	62/20 62/25 63/7 64/1
200/12 201/1	fastest [1] 30/15	161/17	31/20 53/19	64/2 86/5
	father [1] 166/14	financial [8] 122/19	fold [1] 101/9	frame [1] 103/3
facet [1] 84/22				
facilitate [4] 10/24	favour [1] 83/1	202/11 202/20 202/23		framework [2]
12/3 27/9 27/17	feature [1] 73/21	203/4 203/19 204/7	86/15 93/2 95/3 95/6	118/10 124/19
	featured [1] 82/14	204/15	173/10 175/15	frameworks [1]
facilitated [1] 60/4	features [3] 7/9 7/11	find [9] 10/1 17/23	followed [4] 53/17	118/8
facilitating [1] 8/15				l l
facilities [2] 3/3 22/7	83/25	17/23 22/18 63/22	81/14 174/11 180/16	<b>frank [1]</b> 177/19
facility [2] 90/16 93/3	February [2] 29/21	90/17 140/12 142/9	following [11] 4/19	Frankie [1] 140/9
	98/20	186/8	20/15 22/22 76/19	frankly [3] 177/6
facing [3] 104/5			91/21 97/1 151/17	198/18 205/24
159/10 206/10	fed [3] 173/11 175/16			l l
fact [18] 24/25 41/23	180/15	finish [1] 180/1	157/12 178/16 197/8	fraudulent [1] 76/22
	Federation [5] 36/3	finished [1] 109/2	209/6	free [1] 52/11
45/25 63/10 105/3	75140 75140 7014	finishing [1] 102/20	follows [2] 88/5	freely [1] 80/10
110/15 110/16 111/15	41			
111/17 141/10 147/8	159/24	firmly [1] 201/13	206/20	freight [2] 74/8 74/8
153/21 175/6 177/23	feed [2] 5/17 183/22	first [41] 1/4 12/23	fondness [1] 206/24	frequency [1] 142/23
	feedback [1] 91/13		force [5] 51/19 72/13	
201/8 204/7 204/24				
206/25	feel [6] 107/7 136/3	59/20 69/5 75/1 79/17		frequently [7] 12/12
factor [5] 147/1	142/8 167/15 167/15	87/23 91/7 99/6 99/10	forced [1] 125/19	15/9 62/7 125/15
	180/10	102/6 105/25 105/25	forceful [1] 149/23	135/15 173/6 173/10
175/1 188/3 192/23	feels [2] 107/6 107/8	111/13 116/20 121/22		l l
192/23				friction [1] 16/4
factories [4] 168/10	Feldman [29] 163/20	123/1 123/8 125/5	Foreign [2] 123/1	friendly [1] 181/10
168/13 168/18 190/9	163/23 164/2 164/7	129/15 131/21 133/13	152/16	friends [4] 177/7
	164/8 164/14 164/23	135/16 140/1 142/23	foremost [1] 116/20	197/10 198/6 198/15
factors [3] 14/12				l l
94/2 134/15	164/25 168/22 173/2	154/10 155/4 155/13	foresee [1] 82/9	fro [1] 194/19
factory [1] 168/4	173/8 174/8 175/18	165/24 173/13 181/2	foresight [2] 34/16	<b>front [11]</b> 11/19 16/2
	178/7 184/9 186/20	181/12 186/3 189/21	35/3	16/10 52/16 56/17
facts [4] 16/16 18/24	186/21 186/25 187/13		forgive [4] 2/1 4/19	79/6 90/6 130/2
68/3 68/4				l l
fail [1] 55/23	193/5 195/16 195/17	firstly [11] 100/24	31/19 208/24	143/24 177/8 178/3
failings [1] 40/17	195/23 196/21 198/21	103/24 111/3 117/17	forgiving [1] 206/9	frontline [15] 53/6
	199/4 206/11 208/23	133/11 142/22 148/24		54/6 54/12 101/25
failure [1] 29/20	210/18	150/23 160/3 160/8	form [7] 30/22 35/14	106/5 116/25 127/19
failures [1] 87/14				l l
fair [15] 11/9 25/5	<b>Felixstowe [2]</b> 74/24	161/22	138/11 156/16 176/6	127/22 134/23 135/19
32/18 90/3 95/11	75/9	fiscal [3] 100/10	190/16 201/23	143/20 153/6 161/12
	fellow [1] 40/19	118/8 118/10	formal [2] 21/18 39/2	161/18 162/25
103/12 106/25 131/9				
132/4 133/9 136/14	felt [4] 124/24 125/6	fit [5] 36/9 48/7	formally [1] 120/2	fruition [1] 88/1
138/3 142/21 199/14	183/6 184/1	122/17 127/23 205/4	formed [1] 89/11	frustrated [4] 176/16
	<b>FEMHO [1]</b> 35/23	fitted [1] 152/13	former [1] 163/8	178/7 178/10 178/13
207/24				
<b>fairly [7]</b> 55/10 55/11	few [13] 9/22 40/11	five [2] 87/12 87/14	formerly [2] 41/9	frustrating [1] 179/6
70/8 79/12 83/13	87/22 99/4 111/12	flag [ <b>2</b> ] 143/24	195/4	frustration [2] 110/3
86/16 95/21	140/1 142/23 154/10	147/11	forming [1] 80/16	136/1
	168/20 171/22 180/21		formula [1] 154/24	fulfil [3] 2/15 122/5
fairness [1] 160/6				
	193/14 199/5	147/20	forth [3] 13/4 110/13	146/19
1	1		1	I I

(65) external... - fulfil

160/21 177/18 177/24 190/22 194/25 98/23 117/20 143/14 get [30] 15/23 16/6 16/11 23/8 23/8 24/8 149/23 153/4 194/15 181/4 181/5 181/10 full [10] 32/12 33/22 Н going [58] 4/20 5/1 29/17 33/7 40/10 181/10 206/1 40/8 51/13 53/21 had [195] 4/11 6/24 56/21 68/7 83/18 8/2 8/3 14/8 19/7 21/4 government's [3] 98/11 123/4 164/6 8/13 8/13 8/14 8/17 84/24 87/10 97/13 24/11 24/12 25/2 121/10 130/15 161/2 166/16 187/25 11/9 11/10 11/10 99/3 127/3 128/4 29/17 31/23 35/8 41/8 government-purchas fully [2] 84/9 162/9 11/12 12/20 13/19 131/17 135/5 162/10 43/1 43/12 58/3 59/9 ed [1] 79/6 function [1] 21/23 13/22 16/1 16/2 16/12 167/18 168/13 172/19 64/10 64/12 64/13 government/DHSC **functions** [3] 43/5 17/21 19/8 19/16 **[1]** 147/17 179/4 180/10 181/1 64/17 64/19 64/20 44/5 79/17 19/17 22/6 22/13 69/3 69/17 78/4 79/16 governments [4] 183/17 200/3 205/22 **fund [4]** 151/5 155/2 22/14 22/18 24/14 gets [2] 192/25 85/16 86/3 86/4 90/17 39/6 149/24 153/5 155/7 156/8 25/22 28/24 29/5 194/20 90/22 91/7 92/1 92/23 156/5 funded [1] 158/14 29/15 29/17 30/6 34/3 gowns [12] 10/7 71/8 getting [13] 25/18 93/7 93/10 94/6 funding [20] 26/18 37/9 48/6 51/3 51/4 53/5 61/9 80/14 91/22 107/24 107/24 109/7 167/25 177/3 177/4 72/18 112/7 117/11 55/21 56/10 56/11 112/1 112/12 112/18 143/20 176/16 178/10 177/21 177/22 178/2 117/17 117/25 120/2 57/19 57/22 59/14 178/13 179/7 180/14 126/16 140/16 147/24 179/5 184/6 184/7 127/9 146/12 150/17 63/7 63/19 65/25 68/2 152/19 157/24 159/15 188/13 180/23 181/3 150/17 150/18 150/21 gig [1] 180/9 **GPs [1]** 149/25 72/17 74/7 74/17 175/3 177/8 179/3 151/23 151/24 154/23 76/12 80/17 80/23 give [23] 3/19 13/16 179/4 182/25 199/6 grade [1] 159/6 155/7 155/13 159/9 80/24 81/13 81/14 17/17 18/4 19/20 22/2 200/5 **Graham [4]** 40/4 40/5 159/11 87/15 87/25 88/6 88/6 28/19 33/3 40/8 58/10 gone [2] 150/20 40/9 210/8 funds [5] 102/11 88/8 88/18 88/19 61/22 68/15 84/1 84/1 151/24 Graham Russell [1] 147/6 149/7 155/18 89/14 89/19 92/2 good [29] 36/1 38/17 92/1 96/4 127/21 40/4 92/18 95/22 96/19 163/9 166/11 169/11 57/2 57/13 58/5 62/21 granted [1] 162/6 furloughed [1] 28/18 171/15 179/7 202/1 64/3 64/4 64/5 68/12 97/7 97/8 97/9 99/4 grants [1] 34/9 further [22] 20/11 74/4 78/2 85/4 85/4 100/8 102/2 102/23 given [44] 7/19 9/21 graph [4] 100/23 23/18 27/20 33/12 102/25 106/13 107/14 17/20 29/10 36/15 85/4 90/22 97/18 101/13 102/13 107/14 35/21 94/6 94/14 108/18 109/2 110/8 140/14 159/23 163/25 43/7 62/12 63/13 grateful [5] 41/15 95/18 96/8 96/16 113/1 115/8 115/20 68/20 78/20 83/3 171/13 176/10 180/7 45/9 145/13 159/18 106/13 113/2 114/5 116/18 117/1 117/5 83/23 84/19 86/6 190/17 195/3 195/25 163/13 125/25 125/25 131/19 88/10 88/11 89/11 117/10 117/16 119/7 197/10 198/6 198/14 great [4] 78/25 134/17 191/8 191/14 121/4 121/23 122/19 89/11 103/8 106/21 goods [7] 78/6 78/7 178/15 182/1 184/8 194/23 208/12 208/20 124/7 124/9 124/19 107/8 108/16 113/17 79/1 90/2 93/7 93/10 greater [3] 83/14 Furthermore [1] 113/21 126/1 127/4 124/23 125/21 126/5 126/24 110/5 110/6 148/14 129/4 129/21 130/14 130/3 132/13 132/13 got [14] 11/19 64/17 grew [1] 61/24 future [17] 25/2 30/1 132/17 132/23 134/10 134/11 145/6 157/6 69/15 86/7 87/13 ground [4] 72/23 30/9 31/8 31/24 32/9 90/17 97/12 142/9 134/18 136/4 137/17 125/24 161/5 163/3 158/1 159/13 168/23 32/17 32/23 34/2 35/2 137/17 137/23 137/23 169/18 169/19 170/2 180/19 180/25 182/7 grounds [1] 83/13 80/3 96/25 116/3 139/5 139/13 139/14 group [17] 2/18 60/2 170/15 176/3 183/17 182/8 182/9 193/15 119/1 131/17 156/19 139/15 139/16 140/20 201/18 204/23 206/9 60/4 60/6 60/10 61/18 Gove [4] 16/13 195/15 141/24 142/19 147/2 gives [3] 44/12 105/1 119/25 168/24 169/3 61/19 61/21 61/22 147/2 147/8 148/21 174/2 governance [8] 62/13 87/22 156/16 148/23 150/6 150/20 giving [7] 35/19 69/9 61/23 79/25 128/6 156/21 191/21 191/22 gain [2] 101/21 86/8 132/1 146/10 192/12 199/5 150/20 151/18 151/24 133/25 134/4 134/5 193/11 153/15 155/5 155/18 196/5 200/10 134/8 134/24 groups [1] 59/19 gap [3] 9/9 29/12 155/19 155/20 155/21 growing [1] 57/18 global [9] 106/7 governed [2] 18/19 29/14 155/22 155/22 157/1 108/9 108/15 110/14 36/12 **Growth [1]** 25/20 gaps [1] 112/20 159/9 163/21 166/14 128/1 134/11 139/14 governing [1] 199/19 **guarantee** [3] 153/9 gardening [1] 48/13 government [59] 3/1 167/12 168/10 169/17 166/24 193/23 153/13 155/14 gather [1] 127/7 170/17 172/1 172/25 globally [2] 55/24 7/23 7/24 8/14 8/19 **guarding [1]** 102/10 gathering [1] 80/7 173/8 173/11 174/4 121/8 9/15 11/24 25/14 26/6 guess [1] 70/12 gave [7] 27/1 51/20 174/6 174/14 175/7 gloves [2] 10/7 48/13 26/17 26/19 28/8 guidance [25] 18/14 80/21 86/1 168/25 175/9 175/16 176/2 go [32] 15/19 15/23 28/16 29/9 30/22 65/22 67/4 67/6 67/7 170/24 173/15 177/5 178/2 178/7 22/25 23/23 25/2 34/18 34/23 38/7 67/10 67/13 67/14 gel [1] 196/23 178/22 179/1 179/23 27/24 31/18 33/12 38/21 39/3 40/20 51/9 67/17 67/22 67/24 General [5] 2/2 125/4 182/2 182/3 183/13 54/25 77/11 84/24 51/20 53/2 56/22 60/3 68/1 68/5 68/8 68/14 126/4 129/18 152/16 184/21 186/19 187/12 101/13 104/8 106/10 61/4 79/6 81/20 82/17 68/14 69/9 69/13 generally [7] 7/7 7/24 189/19 189/21 190/7 110/8 119/12 123/3 82/20 99/13 100/15 69/14 69/17 100/11 8/16 8/19 22/7 100/2 190/9 190/18 190/19 128/17 131/24 141/3 101/22 108/5 111/16 103/22 111/23 123/1 203/7 192/17 195/13 196/13 143/7 148/4 151/10 118/5 118/6 118/11 172/14 generating [1] 173/3 196/20 197/5 198/22 154/18 154/20 156/12 118/18 119/2 119/4 guide [2] 18/4 169/20 genuine [2] 76/21 202/11 202/20 202/23 164/15 166/15 179/13 134/22 135/2 147/17 guiding [1] 21/19 170/5 203/19 204/19 204/20 149/24 150/14 153/10 180/6 206/4 208/1 guns [1] 201/14 germs [1] 64/16 204/24 205/6 205/6 goes [8] 90/24 96/17 154/3 154/5 160/20 **guy [3]** 177/12

Н had... [6] 206/24 207/5 207/14 207/18 207/20 208/8 hadn't [6] 26/17 88/1 89/13 137/9 137/15 186/9 half [6] 91/22 92/3 92/8 93/3 120/9 172/3 Hall [14] 144/4 169/15 172/18 172/18 173/1 183/20 185/8 186/16 187/7 187/14 191/7 192/2 194/20 196/14 Hall's [4] 175/14 179/24 183/14 185/16 Hancock [7] 5/14 119/25 148/3 159/2 169/13 177/1 180/6 hand [4] 71/8 81/25 188/16 196/23 handed [4] 81/24 82/1 113/20 170/13 handholding [1] 23/13 handle [1] 187/10 handled [2] 185/12 208/11 handlers [1] 80/9 handling [2] 186/8 187/5 hands [1] 200/7 happen [10] 4/16 17/1 17/3 69/2 80/23 81/14 92/19 109/14 134/13 136/8 happened [10] 74/2 74/25 82/3 85/22 132/10 150/3 172/16 183/8 197/7 203/14 happening [6] 12/2 22/20 80/6 121/7 184/2 184/3 happens [1] 195/15 **happy [1]** 109/4 hard [13] 19/20 68/13 70/20 70/21 112/17 130/21 130/23 131/10 144/15 182/23 183/7 205/22 206/6 hardworking [1] 174/2 harm [1] 141/25 has [54] 3/15 3/20 7/4 12/25 16/13 23/24 27/22 31/6 44/21 46/2 46/20 46/21 46/23 46/24 48/11 55/6 58/17 58/25 63/3 68/7 68/15 70/4 78/17 85/2 93/4 95/1 95/14 96/12 96/13 101/18 101/22

107/8 114/17 115/8 119/2 127/5 129/7 129/16 135/17 148/16 153/3 157/4 158/9 159/4 179/9 186/4 188/2 191/14 191/21 191/22 197/11 198/7 200/6 207/16 haste [1] 127/21 have [272] haven't [8] 40/7 63/17 86/5 86/6 98/8 157/4 162/7 185/23 having [27] 16/9 23/15 28/20 45/5 61/20 62/8 62/13 63/6 63/6 81/22 89/3 106/2 114/19 114/22 114/23 **Health's [1]** 125/17 131/15 135/7 140/12 141/2 142/1 150/25 154/8 195/4 195/5 201/2 206/25 207/9 hazard [1] 81/11 he [75] 11/18 11/20 16/15 52/4 52/8 105/6 107/8 109/20 109/20 109/22 123/21 123/21 heard [13] 2/22 6/20 130/24 135/23 138/22 142/4 142/7 142/22 152/17 159/7 166/6 166/6 166/11 166/13 166/23 170/18 170/20 hearing [4] 36/24 170/24 170/24 171/4 171/11 173/5 174/1 175/18 175/24 175/25 **Heathrow [3]** 72/14 176/1 177/4 177/12 177/15 180/17 182/21 187/9 187/9 187/14 189/5 189/6 189/14 190/4 190/7 190/7 190/7 190/10 190/16 190/21 191/2 191/6 191/19 191/21 191/21 191/24 192/1 192/3 194/9 194/24 195/3 196/17 197/11 197/15 198/7 202/20 204/9 204/9 205/14 207/11 he'd [1] 190/2 he's [3] 174/8 174/9 195/1 headline [1] 5/8 health [79] 2/24 5/14 6/14 13/3 18/22 19/11 31/25 43/14 43/14 45/13 45/14 51/5 52/23 53/4 54/3 59/25 helped [2] 71/4 71/6 61/5 63/2 70/20 70/23 helpful [11] 42/25 71/2 75/23 78/5 82/19 82/25 85/16 85/25 99/5 101/25 105/19 108/19 108/23 109/6 109/20 110/19 111/6 113/25 115/19 117/2 12/10 12/15

117/15 117/25 120/4 helps [2] 103/3 121/4 121/5 121/13 121/16 125/20 126/1 126/1 129/24 131/9 133/4 134/7 136/13 137/2 138/17 140/10 142/25 143/10 144/10 18/17 20/9 23/24 144/13 144/20 149/1 149/5 149/11 149/18 150/19 151/1 151/16 151/18 152/1 152/6 153/18 154/11 154/16 155/9 155/16 162/16 162/19 Health Secretary [4] 151/16 153/18 154/11 154/16 healthcare [13] 36/4 39/4 43/16 46/23 51/10 65/7 66/6 86/6 86/18 101/1 111/10 159/25 161/12 hear [6] 19/7 31/23 36/1 61/2 138/20 148/7 16/13 18/3 29/21 69/19 138/19 152/14 174/22 174/22 174/23 111/9 111/19 175/13 207/16 61/10 177/7 209/6 heat [1] 203/25 72/20 74/24 held [4] 42/1 62/14 78/13 192/10 help [56] 4/16 6/8 6/9 him [22] 125/22 6/17 9/1 9/11 10/5 11/21 12/2 12/4 12/8 13/6 13/25 17/11 19/13 21/1 21/17 21/22 25/10 25/17 26/22 26/23 26/25 28/21 39/18 53/13 69/20 70/4 70/13 70/15 70/22 90/14 94/15 97/22 115/19 115/24 123/13 123/13 68/25 206/8 160/3 163/11 169/20 169/20 171/19 173/18 98/21 101/2 120/15 174/9 175/3 175/3 183/22 188/1 196/20 197/12 199/12 200/5 200/11 205/16 209/2 50/16 50/17 80/21 91/1 123/5 157/17 157/20 176/19 183/22 196/18 helping [3] 8/21

186/16 hen's [1] 177/20 Hence [1] 151/25 her [1] 148/11 here [28] 3/14 8/2 24/10 24/24 33/1 35/3 holder [1] 46/6 51/24 52/14 58/11 65/13 68/17 83/16 84/10 92/5 93/3 101/5 holidays [1] 110/12 127/8 142/5 144/5 196/2 197/8 203/2 hesitating [1] 203/24 | honestly [2] 192/13 high [25] 6/22 7/6 26/13 32/22 48/25 52/5 67/7 67/17 69/21 69/23 73/21 133/8 133/20 166/19 166/20 173/6 173/11 175/17 179/21 182/10 182/15 183/1 184/23 185/3 185/13 high-value [1] 69/21 high-volume [6] 6/22 hours [4] 135/23 7/6 32/22 67/7 67/17 69/23 higher-level [1] 60/3 highest [1] 48/14 highlighted [3] 53/15 how [85] 5/22 9/2 75/25 131/22 highly [10] 18/18 55/2 55/3 55/6 56/6 56/9 56/9 56/24 65/19 112/23 153/19 170/23 179/1 188/24 189/19 189/20 189/22 190/2 190/5 190/6 190/6 190/10 190/11 190/22 190/23 191/1 194/22 206/25 207/2 207/4 207/13 himself [2] 169/3 195/1 hindsight [3] 30/7 his [17] 38/25 82/18 169/5 173/1 173/6 175/18 182/21 191/18 191/24 193/15 194/22 196/7 204/7 207/5 His Majesty's [2] 98/21 101/2 historic [1] 200/7 **historical** [1] 147/6 **history [2]** 205/6 208/16 **hitting [1]** 16/15 **hm [8]** 50/5 67/12

**HMG [1]** 32/9 **HMT [2]** 142/10 142/16 **Hodges [1]** 42/15 hold [1] 140/22 holding [2] 24/5 57/4 **Holdings [1]** 191/21 home [1] 169/12 176/25 179/13 195/10 honest [3] 23/23 84/4 179/19 207/15 Honeywell [1] 38/19 hope [13] 39/20 40/7 58/14 58/16 58/17 71/4 90/6 96/11 98/8 126/9 148/6 152/23 154/10 hopefully [1] 86/2 hospital [4] 74/2 79/10 85/17 86/3 136/24 144/15 176/1 house [8] 20/22 higher [4] 60/3 111/8 | 21/21 21/23 22/7 22/9 22/20 23/3 199/18 houses [2] 22/22 22/25 11/14 13/7 13/8 15/2 15/14 15/14 17/5 19/25 20/19 21/3 22/13 37/6 39/20 49/18 54/20 59/11 65/11 66/11 68/20 69/12 70/21 77/14 80/2 80/5 81/2 83/14 87/10 88/21 88/21 88/22 88/23 88/25 89/1 95/13 95/15 108/14 112/17 117/8 118/22 121/15 127/15 129/20 131/24 131/25 132/2 133/25 134/16 139/17 141/8 151/21 152/13 154/4 154/12 156/5 161/8 162/11 162/13 162/23 163/12 168/15 168/16 169/20 169/22 173/11 174/6 175/19 175/25 178/20 179/9 182/24 183/11 183/16 183/23 184/4 185/14 192/6 194/24 205/16 205/18 205/18 207/5 207/13 207/18 **However [3]** 3/16 107/8 129/5 **HPL [3]** 179/18 184/14 184/15 (67) had... - HPL

67/16 69/22 71/10

91/17 91/24 92/4

Н	186/12	39/18 40/23 57/20	195/2 202/7 204/12	141/24 144/16 149/6
	Laborated F41, 4/47	60/9 65/15 102/8	208/2	149/12 149/21 150/24
HSE [16] 43/18 45/20	169/20 172/5 186/23	132/1 167/8 182/23	I saw [1] 11/17	160/14 162/23 165/21
54/9 54/17 61/15	I couldn't [2] 184/2	184/6 187/20 188/1	I say [11] 20/17 49/14	
61/15 65/5 75/13	184/4	193/24 198/22	162/22 163/13 168/6	169/12 169/14 169/18
76/12 78/21 78/24	I did [2] 102/12	I learn [1] 193/14	169/24 180/25 187/17	170/2 170/18 170/20
79/24 80/21 90/18 94/12 97/6	203/25	I left [1] 166/13	190/14 194/10 197/20	173/17 175/2 176/3
hub [1] 78/17	I didn't [18] 39/7 39/8	I literally [2] 183/13	I see [3] 71/18 127/2	176/9 176/9 177/3
huge [10] 8/16 106/7	149/13 169/21 169/22	184/3	165/24	177/7 177/14 177/25
112/18 115/20 115/21	169/23 179/19 179/20		I sent [2] 126/2 192/2	178/4 179/20 181/23
136/15 137/2 153/22	179/21 183/13 183/15		I set [2] 131/3 131/7	183/4 183/17 183/20
155/16 162/18	183/16 185/14 186/7	I made [2] 83/11	I shall [2] 97/24	183/21 184/1 186/2
hugely [1] 8/7	193/1 193/15 202/9	187/18	145/21	186/11 186/18 187/11
hundred [1] 143/2	202/19	I may [12] 11/5 29/25		188/1 188/5 192/14
hundreds [2] 204/14	I directly [1] 170/23	47/18 48/8 58/15	I soon [1] 167/23	194/6 194/6 194/13
205/20	I do [5] 110/24 146/16 197/6 197/6	58/17 64/22 96/7 99/10 116/16 202/22	I sort [2] 184/5 204/9	195/2 204/12 207/9 207/23 207/24 207/25
HVMC [1] 27/12	198/4	208/23	160/9	I wasn't [10] 19/21
	I don't [16] 38/25	I mean [17] 15/17	I spent [1] 125/4	22/15 108/7 126/16
1	60/19 64/24 67/1	19/22 24/7 29/7 36/13		133/11 133/17 133/17
l accept [2] 46/4	86/25 102/14 119/12	38/18 93/13 104/20	144/17 170/9 190/6	175/5 183/4 183/5
144/23	100/46 100/00 105/10	1		I went [1] 176/6
I accepted [1] 107/10 I advise [1] 167/7	143/23 185/6 195/10	179/19 190/18 199/15		I will [7] 110/22
l agreed [2] 108/8	197/21 207/6 207/9	203/2 203/19 208/2	I started [3] 5/23	138/21 145/8 145/10
124/21	I doubt [1] 141/25	I meant [2] 109/9	172/17 172/19	159/25 195/21 200/11
I alluded [5] 73/22	I ensured [1] 160/17	140/23	I summarised [1]	I wonder [3] 1/17
92/15 112/24 153/16	I expect [1] 195/22	I mentioned [1]	154/20	25/10 207/20
160/16	I fed [1] 180/15	114/16	I suppose [2] 109/9	I would [26] 12/2
I also [3] 40/19 71/5	I felt [2] 124/24 125/6		186/25	13/20 24/7 38/5 40/14
126/5	I first [2] 125/5	I move [1] 152/12	I suspect [4] 184/25	52/24 58/14 58/15
I am [7] 31/20 86/13	133/13	I need [1] 171/11	189/13 205/25 207/13	
108/2 129/24 137/4	I flag [1] 143/24	I never [2] 169/12	I suspected [1] 168/8	129/15 129/21 142/3 143/8 144/9 158/20
150/13 184/15	I follow [2] 93/2 95/3 I fully [1] 162/9	169/13 I note [1] 81/25	I talk [1] 29/5 I talked [1] 96/23	161/17 162/24 167/17
I appear [1] 38/1	I gave [2] 27/1 86/1	I now [2] 128/16	I then [1] 125/24	169/10 171/21 172/24
I appreciate [4]	gave [2] 27/1 00/1     I get [1] 162/10	204/20	I think [221]	174/1 174/6 192/24
40/25 159/3 163/12	I go [1] 54/25	I passed [2] 170/9	I thought [8] 125/9	I wouldn't [7] 37/9
194/4	I got [1] 193/15	205/13	125/10 178/20 181/20	
I arrived [1] 171/4 I ask [9] 11/13 14/16	I guess [1] 70/12	I pause [1] 72/15	191/4 193/2 194/25	185/11 185/13 201/19
15/8 26/12 43/2 77/4	I had [14] 115/8	I presume [1] 157/5	196/19	I writing [1] 178/11
87/21 199/4 207/2	124/19 150/6 166/14	I probably [2] 189/13	I took [1] 199/22	I wrote [1] 153/18
I assume [2] 86/17	169/17 170/17 174/14		I triaged [1] 185/4	l'd [15] 4/23 12/21
148/8	176/2 178/22 189/19	I provided [1] 173/14		25/8 45/8 59/18 71/21
l assumed [1] 203/11	189/21 190/18 198/22		I understand [2]	107/25 115/10 118/15
I believe [1] 40/11	204/19	156/15	200/8 206/13	152/21 178/25 189/20
I can [21] 7/9 22/2	I hadn't [2] 26/17	I recommended [1]	I understand it [1]	190/2 190/4 208/13
22/2 36/2 42/9 57/16	186/9	185/5	92/9	<b>I'II [16]</b> 33/17 36/20
57/16 64/7 77/19	I have [6] 86/14   127/4 146/2 176/19	I refer [4] 107/4   107/13 109/18 194/9	I understood [5] 8/16   88/2 167/19 168/5	39/24 55/1 58/15 64/9 88/14 89/22 145/13
98/17 123/3 132/3	185/4 190/18	I refused [1] 125/17	171/3	145/17 148/11 168/20
146/3 176/12 183/6	I haven't [2] 157/4	I reluctantly [1]	I want [5] 12/23	177/18 200/7 201/20
190/22 196/4 197/23	185/23	143/22	99/10 100/21 119/13	204/4
197/24 207/4 207/20	I honestly [1] 192/13		132/25	l'm [75] 4/22 23/23
I can't [12] 77/17 77/17 152/5 185/22	I hope [7] 71/4 90/6	76/22	I wanted [4] 102/7	24/19 33/1 36/3 37/25
185/25 186/15 197/20	06/11 106/0 140/6	I represent [1]	135/5 140/12 186/7	39/13 44/3 45/3 45/5
202/21 204/1 207/10	152/23 154/10	148/17	I was [76] 24/10	54/20 55/13 60/19
207/14 208/25	I just [10] 39/11 64/7	I requested [1] 126/3		62/22 64/23 64/25
I certainly [2] 199/15	75/17 82/7 82/13	I respect [1] 108/8	96/23 110/10 115/25	65/12 65/23 71/15
204/1	85/10 116/16 117/8	I responded [2]	122/16 124/16 125/23	78/4 84/8 85/10 87/1
I check [1] 132/2	128/25 138/24	195/12 195/24	127/17 127/20 127/23	89/24 91/19 93/2 94/6
I comfortable [1]	I kind [1] 172/25	I said [14] 63/10	130/24 131/16 133/12	96/11 96/11 104/15
108/5	<b>I knew [3]</b> 177/25   193/24 202/8	65/10 84/21 95/21 109/9 115/10 142/3	133/19 133/24 134/7 134/21 134/25 135/15	112/11 112/12 114/11 129/25 141/20 144/13
I communicated [1]	I know [15] 23/24	173/19 187/23 192/2	137/9 137/12 138/12	144/25 146/3 146/4
			.5.,5 .5.,12 100,12	, 20 1 10/0 170/7
i e	i .	i .	i l	

65/1 65/6 69/3 70/17 88/11 111/18 193/5 33/16 imports [2] 75/1 77/1 71/15 80/16 80/24 impose [1] 104/25 **indicates** [2] 93/9 INQ000109535 [2] I'm... [36] 147/24 84/9 84/25 85/1 86/2 imposed [1] 137/18 95/17 148/1 154/20 159/15 159/24 163/2 86/3 86/4 93/13 95/9 impossible [1] individual [9] 17/22 INQ000269653 [1] 163/3 163/5 163/8 95/21 95/21 99/10 106/24 21/16 26/23 35/9 36/7 53/7 163/21 176/16 178/10 102/20 103/21 105/25 impressive [3] 105/10 112/15 151/3 INQ000273559 [1] 178/13 178/13 178/18 106/24 107/4 107/13 190/10 190/23 195/1 152/2 127/6 179/3 179/4 181/1 107/21 109/18 113/1 improved [1] 117/5 individuals [1] INQ000336538 [1] 181/8 181/11 184/9 113/6 115/22 116/16 improving [1] 42/17 202/10 152/22 185/6 186/14 195/10 119/16 119/22 119/25 inadequate [1] 52/16 **industrial [5]** 1/15 INQ000339236 [1] 196/8 199/6 200/4 122/21 123/4 123/6 1/24 2/19 33/10 35/4 inappropriate [1] 37/3 200/16 203/16 203/17 123/12 123/13 127/12 148/18 industry [5] 11/7 INQ000377395 [1] 203/18 203/19 203/21 127/18 130/23 131/19 inbound [2] 96/18 13/22 75/18 75/19 156/1 203/21 203/24 206/7 134/17 135/11 135/17 96/20 75/25 INQ000474916 [1] 206/11 206/17 136/8 136/16 137/7 inboxes [2] 179/23 inefficiencies [1] 102/13 I'm honest [1] 23/23 INQ000475266 [1] 138/18 139/24 140/8 187/25 139/4 I've [20] 62/22 78/1 143/20 145/12 145/18 incident [8] 57/19 inefficiency [1] 51/22 84/7 91/18 93/13 57/21 58/23 59/2 147/20 148/4 149/19 150/25 INQ000475332 [1] 93/17 94/6 95/16 151/3 151/7 156/12 87/25 88/18 88/20 **inevitable [3]** 135/8 18/7 144/11 179/9 181/20 157/9 157/12 158/12 89/2 135/9 135/11 INQ000475400 [1] 182/7 182/9 182/14 159/17 160/13 162/23 incidents [1] 89/1 inevitably [1] 206/7 23/17 184/21 191/6 191/16 163/6 163/13 164/1 include [2] 33/22 **infection [1]** 102/2 INQ000475418 [1] 192/13 196/19 206/15 170/24 171/15 173/1 73/8 infer [1] 118/24 27/19 **I, [1]** 185/25 176/8 176/10 176/12 included [1] 94/11 inflated [3] 148/15 INQ000475422 [1] I, in [1] 185/25 180/1 180/6 180/13 148/22 149/17 includes [1] 99/21 30/12 idea [12] 48/4 50/3 183/6 184/11 186/16 including [14] 36/9 influence [1] 115/24 INQ000477692 [1] 70/10 72/7 174/6 186/22 186/23 187/1 50/13 75/7 76/11 influenced [1] 89/7 89/23 175/7 175/10 182/7 187/10 187/24 188/7 90/18 100/9 101/2 influencing [2] INQ000477693 [1] 182/8 182/9 183/13 189/19 193/2 194/13 102/5 111/19 114/14 100/10 199/10 78/10 184/21 198/18 203/8 205/12 117/22 126/13 142/5 influx [1] 72/2 INQ000477708 [1] ideally [1] 138/13 inform [3] 108/14 205/25 207/2 207/3 160/22 20/11 ideas [1] 62/15 INQ000477747 [1] 207/6 207/20 208/13 inclusive [1] 36/23 112/14 134/1 identified [6] 9/25 **ill [1]** 166/14 incoming [4] 11/25 information [25] 12/5| 31/1 10/16 14/4 70/5 70/7 illustrated [2] 86/2 12/1 137/19 173/16 17/20 49/8 60/5 77/23 INQ000480114 [1] 119/11 101/12 increase [10] 4/4 80/9 81/4 86/23 86/25 119/23 identifies [1] 11/5 imagine [3] 182/23 INQ000510462 [1] 52/19 74/3 92/12 96/2 112/3 113/17 identify [15] 6/8 7/19 104/2 104/15 104/25 114/14 114/17 131/15 198/1 187/24 207/6 9/6 9/13 11/3 13/23 131/17 131/25 131/25 INQ000510463 [1] immediate [1] 142/19 113/11 125/24 137/12 36/17 73/10 79/19 immediately [2] increased [6] 28/6 132/6 132/7 135/10 189/15 79/22 95/15 111/1 102/18 104/3 113/11 135/12 142/7 142/15 80/15 152/11 INQ000513154 [1] 111/3 116/9 199/16 impact [6] 37/2 68/7 113/24 151/22 142/17 identifying [1] 96/24 143/24 161/10 162/12 increases [3] 73/14 informed [2] 55/10 INQ000517443 [2] ie [3] 28/22 114/22 162/13 73/15 103/4 112/6 1/16 41/11 151/12 INQ000526188 [1] impede [1] 143/19 increasing [6] 20/21 infrequently [1] 68/6 if [164] 1/17 3/23 7/9 imperative [5] 104/6 20/22 55/25 113/9 inhale [1] 47/6 196/24 7/20 11/5 13/25 15/18 104/13 106/4 124/22 113/14 114/9 **inherently [1]** 129/8 INQ000527570 [1] 17/15 17/17 20/2 initial [12] 91/11 126/11 incredibly [2] 62/7 1/8 20/15 21/7 21/9 21/9 implement [3] 50/7 139/19 113/9 113/15 113/25 INQ000530462 [2] 23/23 24/11 25/2 incurred [6] 118/20 68/9 104/9 118/21 128/23 136/25 191/19 195/9 25/10 25/11 25/23 implementation [1] 139/16 139/25 140/3 143/2 160/11 189/2 INQ000533519 [1] 26/2 27/15 27/24 20/19 148/9 150/1 194/11 196/9 193/17 29/25 30/6 31/16 incurring [1] 153/5 initially [3] 79/18 INQ000534512 [1] implementing [1] 31/18 31/19 33/16 149/10 196/17 106/20 indeed [18] 39/15 123/11 33/19 34/14 35/1 35/7 **initiative [2]** 50/9 imply [1] 52/22 58/19 97/17 105/5 INQ000540486 [1] 36/15 38/6 38/14 42/9 import [1] 69/8 124/25 125/14 131/1 176/11 164/10 42/25 45/5 47/1 47/5 INQ000551322 [1] 142/25 143/12 157/1 injection [1] 34/10 importance [2] 47/13 47/14 47/15 158/5 160/13 160/22 107/19 143/25 innovate [1] 12/22 176/15 48/8 49/20 50/16 **important** [9] 55/3 INQ000562460 [1] 163/5 174/7 207/8 innovation [1] 30/24 50/20 50/21 52/23 59/9 61/1 85/3 125/6 208/17 209/3 innovative [3] 12/11 41/4 54/25 55/22 58/15 178/24 186/5 202/14 independent [1] 26/19 26/25 INQ000563070 [1] 58/17 60/15 61/25 202/15 141/6 **INQ [1]** 127/4 142/4 62/20 62/22 63/19 importer [2] 48/19 independently [1] INQ000055876 [1] INQ000572255 [2] 64/7 64/10 64/10 49/6 154/7 82/15 126/21 128/17 64/14 64/17 64/22 **importing [1]** 54/22 indicated [4] 87/24 INQ000066032 [1] INQ000572257 [1]

interest [9] 101/16 125/13 176/6 201/22 INQ000572257... [1] 202/2 202/20 202/23 131/20 203/19 204/6 INQ000572260 [1] interested [4] 112/11 130/10 175/2 175/5 181/24 INQ000574180 [2] interesting [16] 98/14 161/4 141/7 170/7 171/20 inquiry [38] 1/6 1/8 172/4 173/14 181/21 2/6 12/25 16/13 25/11 189/23 190/1 190/6 26/14 40/6 41/1 41/3 190/12 190/15 194/25 83/1 98/7 98/11 98/13 98/15 98/24 102/2 208/7 105/6 143/21 150/5 interests [7] 116/13 163/12 164/5 164/6 165/2 201/5 201/11 164/9 165/6 165/7 201/11 202/12 203/4 168/24 191/14 191/21 **interface [2]** 8/14 197/3 197/23 206/18 63/21 207/16 209/2 210/4 interfacing [1] 6/16 210/9 210/13 210/19 intermediary [1] insist [1] 152/20 147/9 insisted [1] 125/3 internally [1] 185/15 insofar [1] 41/11 international [2] **inspection [2]** 94/12 53/16 141/16 97/5 interrupt [3] 45/10 inspections [2] 43/10 66/18 123/18 94/15 Interruption [1] instance [1] 202/16 28/12 instances [5] 124/4 intervene [1] 180/24 143/22 203/10 203/14 into [52] 3/23 4/25 203/18 5/18 7/1 7/21 10/4 instead [2] 14/25 10/8 11/23 13/3 19/24 119/13 20/8 23/18 24/12 **institution [2]** 26/12 24/13 31/7 34/23 49/21 47/12 51/19 55/11 **institutions** [1] 25/13 56/16 59/5 63/15 instructed [2] 38/1 63/17 66/17 71/16 176/7 73/20 74/5 75/3 77/13 instructions [1] 79/6 82/4 91/22 92/12 111/19 94/21 96/9 122/3 insufficient [1] 122/19 127/3 134/21 112/21 intelligence [7] 43/9 173/11 175/16 180/7 63/5 73/7 74/20 75/10 183/23 184/23 188/3 77/23 78/3 intelligence-led [1] 203/5 43/9 introduced [7] 50/15 intended [6] 43/6 94/16 94/17 147/8 45/17 46/11 48/7 173/8 186/4 188/21 63/13 144/6 introducing [2] 50/12 intense [1] 121/2 202/7 **intensive** [1] 56/6 introduction [5] 18/2 intent [2] 57/2 57/3 27/17 188/2 189/5 **intention [1]** 76/9 197/7 intentioned [1] introductions [1] 142/17 10/25 interact [1] 172/17 invariable [1] 200/23 interacting [1] invariably [1] 204/1 169/14 invented [1] 89/14 interaction [3] inventory [3] 112/20 122/25 174/4 181/13 117/1 131/13 interaction | [1] invisible [1] 183/24 174/4 invite [1] 138/24 interdepartmental [1] invited [1] 72/13

100/7

11/20 20/12 21/4 26/8 36/13 38/23 59/20 71/11 79/24 79/25 87/7 88/19 88/20 96/19 100/6 101/4 137/24 147/1 147/10 152/4 156/13 173/17 181/19 194/13 198/19 199/16 207/12 involvement [7] 60/2 147/3 182/2 194/17 196/7 196/8 204/7 Ireland [7] 43/15 61/15 117/21 118/6 118/14 146/6 155/11 Irish [1] 156/5 irregular [1] 125/20 irritating [1] 201/13 is [460] isn't [15] 44/7 44/16 59/10 65/15 70/22 93/5 93/16 93/17 99/15 104/22 124/10 144/2 178/14 180/4 202/14 issue [12] 38/4 75/17 75/21 75/23 109/20 113/22 121/10 139/11 155/17 issued [2] 50/10 111/18 issues [15] 3/19 18/16 32/3 39/4 41/1 65/2 75/25 77/25 96/14 142/5 147/13 147/21 155/2 158/1 160/2 it [513] 136/21 146/13 171/18 it over [1] 82/1 it was [1] 174/5 |it's [110] 14/5 19/20 190/24 194/15 196/13 27/23 31/19 33/21 35/12 35/24 45/2 46/3 1/7 1/17 2/5 4/22 47/14 47/15 56/5 56/6 58/11 59/10 60/9 60/9 62/20 63/25 64/2 65/23 68/11 68/13 69/12 70/19 70/23 72/23 73/13 74/22 74/23 78/2 83/9 83/10 174/22 174/23 83/19 86/3 86/4 90/1 90/6 90/9 90/13 90/13 **joint [2]** 2/16 2/17 95/1 95/12 95/14 96/15 97/18 98/14 98/15 99/12 100/25 102/6 102/13 107/22 110/23 120/9 121/19 123/6 123/15 124/9 127/1 127/4 127/5 127/6 127/7 128/25 131/4 135/9 136/14

involve [4] 6/2 15/24

involved [25] 11/19

43/9 87/3

137/20 142/4 148/4 156/3 157/9 163/25 164/9 167/1 179/2 180/9 180/14 181/2 181/6 181/9 181/9 181/12 182/17 182/23 30/15 36/19 37/5 182/23 184/2 184/7 192/19 192/19 192/22 192/23 193/4 193/4 197/9 198/2 198/13 200/2 200/6 200/6 202/14 203/20 206/8 207/14 207/16 207/24 item [4] 57/15 66/24 77/13 95/1 items [17] 45/17 45/23 45/24 46/20 57/8 67/11 71/8 77/10 77/11 77/14 82/11 85/15 94/5 117/22 148/21 149/16 149/17 iterative [1] 21/12 its [21] 4/14 12/19 12/22 28/20 41/12 45/21 48/7 59/24 63/3 65/9 89/4 100/1 137/7 143/23 156/19 174/24 193/1 194/18 198/19 208/9 208/15 140/20 149/21 150/15 itself [6] 47/13 49/15 54/16 58/19 73/2 205/6 James [8] 165/25 167/19 169/13 170/18 175/23 176/8 177/5

200/3 James's [1] 180/9 **January [2]** 125/19 126/16 January 2021 [2] 125/19 126/16 Jarvis [15] 1/4 1/5 28/24 35/1 35/19 36/1 37/6 38/1 39/16 50/3 210/3 **job [10]** 55/10 104/8 169/24 170/1 172/25 174/5 174/8 174/9 John [1] 40/9 judgement [4] 110/20 172/13 201/2 201/3 judgements [3] 112/4 112/6 120/21 judgments [2] 109/5 201/10

July [9] 31/3 51/6

67/23 82/3 99/1 99/6

July 2022 [1] 99/1 jump [1] 85/10 June [11] 30/12 102/20 113/11 138/7 138/8 151/24 155/22 160/24 193/25 196/18 196/18 June 2020 [1] 36/19 just [119] 3/10 4/23 5/25 11/13 14/4 19/15 21/1 21/19 28/15 29/20 30/12 33/17 36/20 38/22 39/11 48/8 49/20 50/10 50/17 50/21 55/4 55/24 57/19 59/7 59/10 59/13 59/16 64/7 64/25 66/8 69/12 70/21 72/10 73/14 75/17 76/25 80/4 82/7 82/13 83/4 83/18 85/10 85/14 86/15 86/19 87/18 87/22 91/19 92/20 93/9 93/13 94/9 95/16 97/6 101/5 101/12 106/2 107/10 110/8 110/25 112/8 113/19 116/16 117/8 121/25 123/10 128/25 131/20 133/17 135/3 135/7 136/23 137/20 138/24 140/24 141/24 142/2 143/8 146/10 150/13 151/7 153/23 154/25 157/1 161/15 163/20 165/1 165/13 167/20 169/10 172/8 175/15 175/21 178/13 179/13 179/22 180/1 180/13 183/17 186/23 187/23 190/3 190/11 193/14 195/4 195/7 196/21 196/25 197/25 199/1 199/5 200/2 200/7 200/16 202/16 206/11 206/20 207/4 208/23 Justice [4] 87/22 146/6 146/7 199/5

102/21 113/12 148/3

July **2020 [1]** 67/23

199/5

KC [14] 35/25 37/24 87/19 146/1 159/22 199/2 206/16 210/5 210/6 210/10 210/15 210/16 210/21 210/22 keen [5] 52/4 60/21 129/21 144/13 149/12 Keep [1] 4/20

Justice UK [2] 87/22

justify [1] 75/5

K keeping [1] 140/16 keeps [2] 64/16 64/16 kept [4] 78/8 98/8 122/10 174/3 key [9] 19/12 42/25 90/16 104/24 132/12 133/24 139/9 139/15 141/1 kicked [1] 62/15 kind [6] 86/18 86/22 87/2 152/20 172/25 177/19 kinds [1] 3/12 Kingdom [3] 23/1 34/25 104/11 kits [1] 130/12 knew [24] 9/7 22/16 22/17 39/9 68/17 68/18 68/18 68/19 69/3 126/2 166/3 166/13 166/23 168/7 173/16 173/17 174/14 174/19 177/25 187/24 188/24 193/24 202/6 202/8 know [136] 12/2 12/7 13/11 17/16 20/1 23/24 25/17 33/5 37/19 38/5 39/18 40/23 46/22 47/24 48/1 50/16 50/19 57/20 58/1 60/9 61/4 65/15 68/7 68/8 68/14 69/13 71/5 77/16 80/20 82/16 83/18 84/5 84/9 84/19 84/20 85/16 91/20 91/25 96/1 98/19 102/8 102/14 107/23 112/17 113/10 114/18 123/12 123/13 127/12 132/1 134/13 134/15 134/16 134/17 135/14 135/16 139/10 155/12 165/15 166/19 167/8 167/9 168/1 168/17 169/22 170/2 171/16 171/19 171/23 171/24 171/25 172/2 172/6 173/20 174/12 174/16 174/21 175/3 175/8 175/14 175/19 176/4 176/10 177/9 177/20 178/2 178/20 179/6 179/11 179/19 179/22 180/25 181/12 182/23 183/8 183/9 183/14 183/15 183/16 184/1 184/5 184/6 184/7 184/22 184/24 185/6 185/14 185/16 186/4 186/7

187/14 187/20 188/1 188/3 188/4 189/18 190/11 190/24 193/15 193/24 195/14 196/13 200/4 200/6 201/1 202/9 202/19 204/20 205/2 205/13 206/7 207/3 208/16 knowledge [10] 1/10 41/6 65/13 98/16 118/7 128/6 164/11 168/15 173/19 182/2 knowledgeable [2] 205/15 208/6 known [3] 166/19

185/11 185/14 labelling [1] 66/12 **Labour [1]** 102/5 lack [3] 92/7 135/1 140/23 Lady [26] 1/4 37/3 37/20 39/14 40/4 47/18 64/22 86/13 86/20 98/4 98/10 105/6 108/2 110/11 133/14 135/9 140/8 141/1 144/25 145/10 151/8 159/13 162/24 163/19 164/4 208/20 **Lady's [4]** 16/13 58/3 84/21 148/11 lag [3] 75/2 138/18 138/19 lags [1] 81/9 Lancaster [1] 98/25 landscape [3] 7/1 8/22 62/24 Lane [12] 173/7 173/12 175/16 175/17 179/18 179/21 182/11 led [7] 2/20 5/12 182/15 183/1 184/23 185/3 185/13 **Lanka [1]** 166/23 laptop [4] 169/18 176/3 191/10 200/10 large [20] 10/17 12/24 13/6 13/11 14/18 14/24 22/22 25/9 26/4 55/7 69/8 69/14 69/19 123/22 123/25 124/5 125/8 126/14 170/5 177/17 large-scale [3] 13/6 25/9 26/4 largely [5] 6/22 17/13 29/3 202/13 202/15 larger [2] 26/6 151/1 larger-scale [1] 26/6 **LAs [1]** 148/7 last [8] 38/3 46/25

47/2 85/22 86/15

129/9 156/12 158/13 late [6] 110/12 115/23 135/25 136/17 136/19 136/21 197/23 198/19 198/22 later [10] 21/21 53/1 69/2 69/4 91/19 114/1 let's [30] 54/22 56/7 122/23 136/4 148/12 159/16 law [6] 18/22 46/2 46/5 46/20 48/21 160/5 laws [1] 59/10 lawyer [1] 122/20 lead [18] 5/14 5/21 5/22 13/14 15/18 16/1 66/24 83/2 83/16 84/12 84/14 85/1 98/7 117/13 164/5 176/10 210/13 210/19 lead-in [2] 15/18 16/1 leading [4] 6/1 36/5 94/2 199/19 leads [6] 17/13 37/15 173/3 173/14 180/7 180/15 learn [3] 172/25 191/19 193/14 learned [10] 31/6 33/8 33/11 33/13 33/18 41/1 139/18 142/2 145/1 145/7 learning [5] 70/16 116/11 116/17 172/20 levels [5] 52/5 193/10 learnt [4] 57/20 59/8 59/16 116/18 least [11] 6/20 23/2 70/1 85/15 110/11 129/5 141/9 147/18 161/19 175/5 201/16 **leave [5]** 79/4 115/10 148/11 166/14 194/17 17/13 43/9 74/3 111/16 153/10 left [5] 15/7 66/15 111/24 166/13 172/13 legislation [4] 44/5 44/12 44/13 118/16 legislative [2] 32/1 51/25 legitimate [3] 127/15 129/5 144/7 legitimately [1] 150/1 **Leigh [1]** 174/18 lengthy [1] 15/20 Leslie [1] 159/24 less [6] 12/12 28/1 116/12 130/5 130/6 151/5 lessons [15] 30/2 33/8 33/11 33/13 33/18 41/1 57/21 59/15 89/6 116/18

139/18 142/2 144/25 145/7 172/19 let [6] 131/24 142/22 170/14 172/8 179/7 185/20 101/12 102/13 113/6 116/8 119/16 119/22 121/25 127/2 130/9 165/5 171/25 175/11 176/15 176/17 179/13 links [1] 187/12 180/1 184/11 188/7 189/15 193/17 195/7 letter [29] 53/8 54/10 | literally [4] 57/18 119/24 120/7 120/19 122/1 125/1 125/23 126/2 126/3 137/14 146/9 146/15 148/2 149/5 149/23 151/17 152/24 153/4 154/11 154/15 154/19 156/6 158/5 159/1 159/1 159/8 159/8 194/7 letters [1] 125/22 level [16] 25/25 27/16 48/25 56/10 56/11 56/11 59/16 59/17 60/3 61/25 62/1 73/13 89/21 101/1 107/7 151/25 131/12 131/22 133/8 133/20 liaise [1] 74/11 liaising [1] 114/20 life [4] 66/20 68/15 111/11 188/24 lifelong [1] 40/24 like [52] 4/24 12/21 15/18 17/15 19/21 21/7 25/8 25/23 36/15 156/23 38/5 40/14 48/13 54/12 59/4 59/18 61/25 70/2 71/21 72/23 75/4 80/16 80/22 83/8 87/2 89/20 22/24 95/9 107/7 111/9 122/15 126/18 134/2 135/15 152/21 155/12 locked [1] 55/11 167/25 170/8 172/17 174/18 176/2 180/10 182/24 183/25 184/1 190/9 190/11 190/21 194/25 203/9 205/14 likelihood [1] 37/2 likely [1] 73/18 likewise [1] 102/23 limit [1] 56/20 limited [5] 103/17 115/23 133/1 153/1 192/11

|**limits [1]** 5/25 line [13] 46/25 47/2 52/16 56/17 65/3 65/6 65/9 79/6 129/15 130/2 143/24 177/8 208/12 64/10 65/16 66/4 67/4 lines [2] 65/11 86/11 link [4] 10/18 26/1 186/19 187/17 linked [2] 122/24 141/14 list [3] 36/21 127/1 184/14 195/14 196/21 197/25 listening [1] 85/11 182/9 183/13 184/3 little [21] 2/11 6/21 16/4 20/11 21/20 23/18 27/20 33/12 50/17 61/22 65/12 74/23 83/9 124/6 129/18 131/25 139/23 139/23 141/13 175/13 176/25 Little's [1] 135/20 live [2] 83/19 157/24 lives [7] 104/14 105/14 108/9 121/9 124/22 126/12 142/14 loads [1] 91/6 Loan [1] 28/12 local [31] 25/20 26/7 27/11 27/12 27/16 28/21 30/5 34/14 34/18 34/24 40/20 43/13 54/8 56/11 72/1 72/18 72/20 72/25 74/12 74/17 75/11 88/24 89/18 128/6 148/8 149/24 150/13 152/7 153/25 153/25 locally [3] 25/12 25/15 25/19 **locate [1]** 76/9 located [2] 22/8 location [2] 74/2 92/24 logic [1] 125/9 logistics [7] 79/25 80/9 81/14 96/20 97/2 170/12 204/17 London [1] 8/18 207/12 207/21 208/15 long [19] 15/18 16/1 16/9 19/25 40/7 49/18 68/16 81/25 87/10 98/8 132/2 144/15 193/4 193/4 207/5 207/13 207/18 208/9 209/1 longer [5] 24/10

Lord Carter's [1] main [4] 58/22 79/2 65/8 100/16 103/25 126/19 127/7 128/17 140/14 100/16 173/18 108/20 110/1 116/11 130/9 132/16 137/1 longer... [4] 24/20 Lord Chadlington [4] mainly [1] 172/18 124/19 131/4 160/8 152/17 165/7 166/1 39/24 113/16 191/9 174/19 192/3 193/11 maintain [5] 52/9 160/13 164/20 March 2020 [2] 119/8 look [49] 9/21 10/13 204/7 106/22 106/25 133/7 mandate [1] 72/22 126/19 20/10 30/11 31/1 133/20 Manley [1] 152/15 March 2025 [1] 1/1 Lord Chadlington's 49/11 64/12 64/13 manner [1] 132/5 **[1]** 195/7 maintained [1] marching [1] 9/21 68/25 72/23 73/16 Lord Deighton [1] 161/21 Manners [3] 6/21 margin [2] 6/23 7/6 77/22 84/3 90/25 33/15 39/1 marked [3] 37/1 7/11 Majesty's [2] 98/21 91/13 105/25 121/25 80/21 205/3 Lord Feldman [3] 101/2 manpower [1] 172/6 139/7 139/24 140/8 168/22 186/25 198/21 major [2] 20/25 75/7 manufacture [17] 3/4 market [72] 7/8 8/1 147/25 152/22 157/9 8/2 9/8 14/15 17/9 Lord Patrick [1] majority [5] 166/21 4/4 6/18 7/14 8/9 157/12 171/13 173/16 140/11 168/8 168/9 174/12 10/16 18/25 21/4 23/9 33/25 43/3 43/4 174/8 176/15 177/14 **Lords [1]** 199/19 175/9 26/15 30/19 30/21 43/5 43/11 43/13 178/23 179/2 179/13 lose [4] 76/3 135/18 make [78] 1/23 2/5 35/5 36/17 38/8 137/8 43/19 43/20 43/23 180/13 186/3 188/1 179/3 179/4 2/16 3/7 3/11 3/15 4/4 139/13 141/13 44/1 44/4 44/9 45/1 189/15 190/18 191/7 manufactured [4] 4/11 4/16 5/1 5/10 45/20 46/14 47/12 loss [5] 39/20 40/18 192/17 192/20 192/20 76/4 111/11 207/19 5/12 6/9 7/1 7/10 7/11 2/11 6/22 21/25 48/1 48/6 48/10 48/20 193/5 193/17 194/13 lost [5] 29/23 136/24 8/23 9/3 10/10 12/8 166/18 51/2 51/7 51/11 51/17 195/7 199/22 203/24 137/7 143/23 176/10 12/18 12/22 13/5 14/6 manufacturer [7] 53/9 53/24 53/25 54/8 207/6 208/13 14/17 16/8 16/25 17/6 54/17 54/18 55/15 lot [21] 11/25 13/1 17/6 18/2 21/8 23/13 looked [18] 5/18 53/5 17/15 17/17 17/19 19/23 43/1 44/3 57/20 48/19 49/6 140/5 56/16 58/3 60/14 62/4 77/13 84/5 84/6 84/7 79/7 83/16 87/14 19/16 20/23 21/9 manufacturer/import 62/11 62/12 63/5 84/8 88/25 95/10 117/2 127/14 139/21 21/13 21/14 22/13 er [1] 49/6 66/11 66/13 71/16 96/10 136/19 139/4 166/20 167/7 167/7 23/21 27/11 27/15 71/17 71/18 72/9 manufacturers [48] 146/9 147/21 154/19 167/8 167/8 172/2 27/17 27/22 28/25 3/2 4/15 5/17 6/7 6/16 72/10 72/10 72/12 176/2 190/9 208/14 72/25 75/21 76/3 190/8 202/5 204/17 29/8 29/15 29/17 7/20 12/25 13/6 13/7 looking [27] 8/2 9/12 lots [3] 140/20 141/2 29/22 30/2 31/7 32/15 14/3 14/18 15/12 77/12 77/15 81/18 13/14 21/6 24/21 166/19 32/15 32/25 33/11 17/10 17/12 18/13 83/15 108/10 108/15 24/22 29/25 31/7 low [6] 6/23 7/6 39/17 47/5 47/7 48/18 18/15 24/12 24/13 110/16 121/9 128/1 31/10 33/7 35/15 32/22 48/12 131/24 49/12 56/24 57/3 24/22 25/1 25/10 128/9 128/10 134/11 64/11 64/18 64/19 205/7 62/13 65/25 70/2 25/12 25/23 26/4 26/6 148/15 158/9 208/4 95/1 105/21 123/10 70/10 72/22 82/23 26/8 26/24 27/11 28/1 markets [8] 9/13 9/13 low-margin [2] 6/23 127/11 141/10 141/16 108/19 108/23 120/24 28/3 28/7 29/13 30/4 11/2 13/15 37/13 42/2 146/14 156/6 157/1 30/6 32/11 33/3 33/5 low-value [1] 32/22 147/9 170/7 174/10 57/14 77/7 183/9 188/2 192/22 lower [2] 81/11 129/4 176/8 193/12 196/15 33/24 34/9 34/19 markings [1] 48/5 203/21 34/23 38/12 67/7 lowest [1] 48/12 200/17 201/3 207/25 Marron [1] 18/4 looks [1] 143/20 mask [14] 47/5 49/22 67/13 67/18 67/22 makers [1] 19/10 Lord [69] 5/12 5/16 М makes [4] 48/20 69/21 69/24 64/10 64/15 65/1 65/4 7/11 8/6 8/25 10/13 machine [4] 175/25 manufacturing [41] 53/15 120/19 194/18 66/5 66/5 66/6 86/2 11/14 11/17 14/21 194/15 194/17 204/13 making [32] 8/4 19/9 2/13 3/20 5/9 6/24 7/2 86/17 86/19 86/22 17/14 29/4 29/18 31/4 **machinery** [2] 28/13 23/24 23/25 27/21 7/4 7/7 9/4 9/10 9/15 86/25 115/16 123/20 135/22 29/6 28/7 39/17 59/20 10/11 10/21 12/11 masks [4] 10/7 86/24 140/11 140/14 163/19 made [47] 2/23 3/25 12/18 15/3 16/7 16/10 87/11 167/25 59/22 60/11 61/10 163/23 164/2 164/8 8/4 13/9 14/21 25/11 16/11 22/8 22/23 23/2 **Mason [2]** 188/17 62/10 62/16 68/11 164/14 165/25 168/22 31/16 33/15 34/22 72/24 79/9 79/25 24/6 26/9 26/13 26/19 197/5 170/19 170/20 170/20 61/8 61/12 69/7 71/20 84/15 90/18 100/9 27/4 27/5 28/5 28/14 massive [5] 55/20 170/22 173/2 173/8 75/24 83/11 88/25 103/21 112/6 113/21 30/16 30/23 32/20 56/22 121/12 144/19 174/8 174/18 174/19 93/22 95/6 103/11 120/20 120/23 126/4 34/3 34/14 35/8 35/16 167/21 175/18 176/8 177/5 103/15 109/5 111/25 128/3 142/14 161/11 38/4 141/6 166/16 **massively [2]** 56/3 178/7 184/9 186/20 115/3 120/21 127/5 191/2 200/2 201/14 166/22 168/2 79/9 186/21 186/25 187/13 132/18 133/22 142/6 man [1] 206/25 many [20] 5/22 6/23 material [5] 49/9 188/21 189/4 191/17 142/16 143/1 176/13 28/18 68/1 77/14 manage [7] 12/4 76/10 77/3 95/14 192/3 192/9 192/10 185/17 186/20 187/7 65/11 132/3 156/8 97/20 105/4 105/7 113/22 193/5 193/11 193/20 187/13 187/18 187/19 156/14 183/2 183/11 116/22 121/15 128/10 materials [8] 28/13 194/10 195/7 195/17 188/2 189/2 189/5 managed [5] 9/19 136/15 138/15 148/17 29/6 93/15 141/17 195/23 196/11 196/21 190/25 201/10 203/8 24/16 87/15 165/3 155/11 162/3 182/1 166/21 168/1 168/9 197/4 198/12 198/21 203/13 205/25 208/7 185/9 184/22 184/25 206/18 192/14 199/4 201/22 202/16 208/21 management [11] map [1] 70/13 Matt [4] 119/25 148/3 204/5 204/7 206/11 magic [1] 157/25 9/5 57/19 57/22 58/23 March [23] 1/1 2/9 159/2 180/6 208/23 210/18 magnitude [2] 4/5 Matt Hancock [2] 59/2 87/25 88/18 51/23 53/9 54/15 Lord Bethell [6] 205/7 88/20 89/2 100/8 56/19 92/3 107/5 148/3 180/6 170/19 170/20 170/20 mailbox [3] 171/6 109/18 111/4 111/14 128/7 matter [6] 40/24 80/4 170/22 177/5 201/22 179/16 179/20 managing [12] 11/25 111/18 119/8 120/16 85/9 143/14 167/1

183/6 183/21 183/24 157/14 157/14 157/19 139/10 140/4 М 199/19 185/7 185/20 187/16 members [1] 76/1 158/2 158/6 module [8] 98/7 matter... [1] 196/14 190/3 190/19 192/1 memory [6] 38/9 Minister's [1] 132/12 100/23 102/15 164/5 matters [5] 38/3 193/1 193/18 195/13 121/20 122/15 137/6 ministerial [3] 99/12 165/6 175/14 210/14 88/13 99/3 139/1 196/17 197/4 197/15 138/7 161/25 99/14 160/14 210/20 205/19 199/6 200/10 200/18 mention [1] 161/5 moment [18] 23/25 Ministerially [1] **Matthew [2]** 169/13 204/14 204/18 204/20 mentioned [8] 5/21 180/9 31/23 64/25 65/4 177/1 208/10 208/19 110/23 114/16 117/7 108/16 117/7 125/1 ministers [19] 56/23 matured [1] 88/3 119/16 123/20 135/22 117/15 117/15 117/16 mean [31] 15/17 131/2 132/4 138/22 **Max [9]** 169/15 16/19 19/22 24/7 29/7 142/10 142/20 143/12 153/16 178/18 193/5 138/16 172/18 178/25 179/23 31/7 36/13 38/18 merits [1] 198/19 143/18 144/22 150/7 200/8 200/13 200/17 180/3 180/14 180/19 45/23 47/24 85/1 150/8 152/24 153/8 202/21 203/25 message [2] 102/18 182/19 183/14 86/17 93/13 104/20 189/2 153/16 154/12 157/3 moments [3] 99/4 maximise [1] 6/6 110/10 116/17 136/23 met [9] 17/14 51/5 158/19 158/22 171/8 111/13 168/20 maximised [1] 22/13 141/5 162/20 169/10 59/25 110/5 162/23 minorities [1] 36/24 **Monday [3]** 91/14 may [69] 11/5 20/17 170/17 179/19 182/5 169/12 169/13 169/15 Minority [2] 36/3 91/21 152/15 22/25 23/21 29/25 186/13 189/13 190/18 174/21 159/25 money [39] 14/14 31/7 42/25 47/18 48/8 197/19 199/15 203/2 **MHRA [11]** 19/10 minute [3] 88/14 68/10 99/23 100/16 58/15 58/17 64/22 203/19 208/2 43/17 46/1 46/7 61/16 150/12 150/13 101/7 101/24 103/25 71/25 82/16 96/7 63/1 65/6 75/13 78/24 minutes [7] 145/3 106/22 107/3 107/12 meaning [2] 52/24 96/19 97/2 99/10 145/4 145/17 150/7 107/18 108/1 108/4 112/9 83/2 94/12 100/23 102/15 111/4 108/11 108/21 108/24 meaningful [1] Michael [2] 119/25 151/10 157/12 158/20 111/14 113/6 113/7 107/20 168/24 Mirza [1] 132/11 109/10 109/24 110/1 114/2 116/16 118/15 meaningfully [2] mid [1] 158/16 misapprehension [1] 110/20 117/19 122/6 119/16 119/22 125/18 124/15 136/10 mid-May [1] 158/16 124/19 129/2 129/11 196/12 126/20 126/23 128/19 middle [3] 96/10 130/6 132/15 138/3 means [2] 63/13 miss [1] 184/5 129/6 130/12 131/5 140/13 140/14 143/19 85/13 135/4 195/6 missed [1] 139/15 134/18 135/14 135/21 meant [13] 20/8 Midlands [2] 28/20 misspeak [1] 207/6 144/1 146/20 149/6 138/8 138/15 140/8 25/17 39/11 53/13 75/7 mistakes [1] 205/24 149/13 150/16 160/8 141/19 152/25 153/21 53/22 54/7 109/9 might [55] 9/11 12/13 misunderstanding 160/13 195/24 156/4 157/3 157/10 140/23 157/6 189/12 16/25 24/9 24/20 26/8 **[2]** 154/18 194/3 **monitor** [1] 169/19 157/13 158/5 158/16 191/3 191/4 191/6 29/25 33/5 35/4 35/16 misunderstood [1] monitored [2] 37/7 158/21 159/15 165/7 meantime [1] 32/8 43/9 45/25 46/23 47/18 103/22 184/11 185/1 186/13 measure [1] 133/6 49/22 50/16 52/21 misuse [2] 101/24 months [8] 20/1 28/6 187/9 188/7 191/12 55/8 55/15 58/7 66/14 102/10 measured [1] 52/5 29/20 29/23 116/19 194/3 196/17 197/11 66/16 69/2 69/2 72/25 Mitchell [9] 37/22 measures [4] 52/1 130/3 140/1 143/14 197/25 198/8 198/22 52/8 68/19 116/4 73/19 81/3 85/11 37/23 37/24 39/15 more [67] 4/20 4/20 201/12 202/22 208/23 206/15 206/16 208/22 mechanism [1] 32/9 85/11 87/2 89/12 4/21 7/4 9/20 12/9 May 2020 [5] 71/25 89/14 95/25 96/1 96/3 210/6 210/22 media [2] 101/17 20/3 24/1 26/25 31/24 96/19 111/14 113/7 112/11 127/18 130/4 34/17 39/14 45/21 182/11 mitigate [3] 40/22 191/12 medical [9] 35/6 136/24 139/17 146/8 63/13 200/9 46/4 54/11 57/15 **Mazima [1]** 184/18 43/18 45/25 46/7 147/17 151/14 152/11 mitigations [1] 57/16 58/16 58/23 me [88] 2/1 4/19 47/23 62/5 65/5 155/14 156/2 168/16 104/24 61/12 64/1 64/8 65/14 31/19 36/1 37/15 171/13 174/20 185/12 mixture [2] 174/14 165/14 167/13 71/25 74/23 75/3 38/24 40/24 49/20 Medicines [1] 43/15 185/22 187/15 189/6 174/14 76/17 80/10 87/3 87/7 54/20 54/25 85/3 198/16 205/15 207/3 **Mm [15]** 27/10 50/5 89/15 90/24 92/13 medium [1] 37/1 86/16 87/23 93/12 meet [17] 2/14 3/21 military [4] 190/7 96/2 101/5 104/19 67/12 67/16 69/22 93/12 104/22 108/17 11/18 16/12 16/21 190/20 195/5 206/25 71/10 91/17 91/24 113/2 113/22 115/3 132/21 135/13 135/17 million [14] 101/9 17/4 17/7 17/19 18/22 92/4 188/12 191/13 116/2 116/6 121/19 136/1 137/6 137/11 193/9 201/24 205/1 134/8 134/8 134/18 21/10 22/5 22/14 23/7 104/21 106/1 128/21 137/15 137/15 139/9 105/19 106/9 153/10 128/22 128/23 130/11 207/1 136/13 137/2 137/3 140/18 141/1 141/21 158/11 130/12 137/5 143/2 Mm-hm [8] 50/5 137/17 137/18 137/21 141/22 141/23 142/22 162/1 177/22 184/7 137/23 138/18 140/19 meeting [13] 12/19 67/12 67/16 69/22 144/4 151/16 157/8 188/13 71/10 91/17 91/24 156/24 159/17 162/5 18/8 19/14 38/9 62/6 159/1 159/2 165/16 150/6 150/7 153/16 mind [3] 163/15 92/4 172/19 172/21 180/14 169/17 169/20 170/3 157/1 157/12 157/22 188/4 204/2 mobile [2] 176/4 180/19 184/22 184/25 170/14 170/18 170/21 158/21 161/19 195/4 197/22 201/8 mine [2] 122/18 196/6 171/4 172/8 173/15 205/13 MoD [1] 92/24 204/19 meetings [3] 12/3 173/16 173/17 173/22 100/7 183/4 model [4] 33/24 mini [1] 176/2 morning [4] 36/1 173/23 173/24 174/3 minister [18] 104/7 42/16 129/22 142/24 meets [1] 54/3 69/20 83/3 91/10 174/15 174/17 174/19 104/12 104/18 106/18 Meheco [4] 126/19 modelling [12] 112/9 Moslyn [1] 140/9 174/19 174/20 174/21 most [21] 22/13 28/6 127/3 128/19 129/5 117/13 124/23 134/9 116/21 116/23 117/4 177/6 179/2 179/5 142/11 142/20 143/1 118/20 130/19 131/1 member [5] 90/13 31/14 34/9 44/22 76/3 179/7 179/25 181/7 91/2 193/7 199/18 143/16 149/7 154/14 131/9 138/5 138/10 77/10 85/3 85/19

М most... [12] 99/13 100/1 106/11 129/10 138/6 138/8 139/15 140/2 156/10 161/20 161/21 172/16 motivation [1] 74/4 move [28] 4/8 4/17 11/3 12/9 12/21 13/9 27/3 29/8 67/4 75/17 78/4 89/22 101/12 102/7 113/6 116/8 120/8 129/22 130/9 132/25 147/24 152/12 165/5 175/11 181/11 184/11 201/20 208/5 moved [81 7/4 7/5 60/21 75/3 75/8 80/24 141/19 142/24 moving [10] 12/6 20/3 28/22 89/24 91/1 94/8 106/14 131/17 155/16 179/3 **MPs [1]** 171/7 Mr [102] 1/3 1/5 1/7 1/17 2/5 4/22 5/14 16/13 18/4 22/21 28/24 35/1 35/19 35/24 36/1 37/6 37/21 38/1 39/1 39/16 40/5 45/3 50/3 86/17 87/17 87/18 87/19 87/21 97/16 97/17 98/3 98/5 98/6 98/9 98/13 98/19 101/4 103/10 104/1 105/16 106/21 107/4 107/16 110/2 112/8 112/24 118/7 119/5 123/5 123/12 123/14 123/17 123/19 125/1 126/9 127/1 128/13 128/14 133/18 135/3 135/4 138/21 143/9 144/4 144/4 144/24 145/3 145/6 145/25 146/1 146/2 146/10 151/6 152/15 153/20 154/19 159/18 159/21 159/23 163/2 163/5 163/18 164/3 169/3 186/16 187/7 194/4 195/13 195/20 196/3 198/25 199/1 199/2 205/14 206/21 206/24 210/3 210/8 210/10 210/12 210/15 210/21 Mr Barclay [19] 98/9 98/13 98/19 101/4 103/10 105/16 106/21 107/16 110/2 119/5 123/19 128/13 133/18 144/24 145/6 146/2 151/6 159/23 163/5

Mr Block [3] 123/12 123/14 128/14 Mr Chris [1] 138/21 Mr Gove [2] 16/13 169/3 Mr Hall [3] 144/4 186/16 187/7 Mr Hancock [1] 5/14 Mr Jarvis [12] 1/7 1/17 2/5 4/22 28/24 35/1 35/19 36/1 37/6 38/1 39/16 50/3 Mr Manley [1] 152/15 Mr Manners-Bell [1] 39/1 Mr Marron [1] 18/4 Mr Russell [4] 22/21 86/17 87/21 97/17 Mr Sharma [1] 1/3 Mr Steve Barclay [1] 98/5 Mr Sumner [5] 195/13 196/3 205/14 206/21 206/24 Mr Thomas [4] 35/24 37/21 159/21 163/2 Mr Wald [19] 98/3 104/1 107/4 112/8 112/24 118/7 123/5 125/1 126/9 127/1 135/3 143/9 145/3 146/10 153/20 154/19 163/18 164/3 194/4 Mr Wald's [1] 135/4 Mr Weatherby [5] 87/17 87/18 97/16 198/25 199/1 Mr Wilcock [2] 145/25 159/18 Mr Young [1] 144/4 **Ms [9]** 37/22 37/23 39/15 40/3 45/2 64/8 96/17 206/15 208/22 Ms Mitchell [5] 37/22 37/23 39/15 206/15 208/22 **Ms Munby [1]** 96/17 Ms Shehadeh [3] 40/3 45/2 64/8 much [44] 6/23 12/17 20/6 29/3 35/19 39/15 39/17 40/13 56/7 57/15 58/22 59/16 75/16 87/20 97/15 97/17 97/22 97/23 112/17 121/8 121/19 123/17 134/24 140/12 151/21 154/4 158/23 159/13 163/2 163/5 163/11 163/14 163/16 168/14 180/14 199/3 200/5 205/16 206/12 206/14 208/22 208/23 208/25 209/2

muddies [1] 200/18 multiple [6] 24/12 24/13 24/21 24/22 129/9 207/9 Munby [6] 1/14 8/25 41/10 94/9 95/16 96/17 Munby's [1] 68/23 Munira [4] 131/24 132/9 132/11 144/11 must [7] 39/18 127/10 135/14 163/12 184/22 192/3 192/4 my [147] 1/4 4/13 4/15 6/5 6/8 7/3 7/18 8/20 10/13 10/17 11/17 12/7 13/13 15/25 16/13 19/25 22/3 22/11 23/5 24/1 26/2 26/21 37/3 37/6 37/12 37/15 37/20 37/20 38/24 39/14 40/4 40/9 40/14 40/19 45/2 46/8 47/10 47/18 54/21 55/1 58/3 61/6 64/22 64/24 65/13 65/18 65/20 66/10 67/1 81/25 84/21 86/13 86/20 87/23 98/4 98/10 104/8 105/6 107/4 107/16 108/2 109/13 110/11 115/7 118/7 119/2 122/18 122/20 123/4 124/3 124/4 126/10 129/18 133/14 135/9 137/13 140/8 141/1 144/15 144/25 144/25 145/10 145/10 149/5 149/10 150/15 150/23 151/8 151/9 151/16 151/17 152/12 154/10 154/11 157/1 157/3 157/22 159/13 159/23 161/8 162/7 162/8 162/10 162/24 163/19 164/4 165/1 165/15 166/13 166/14 167/6 169/11 169/11 169/24 170/1 171/21 172/9 173/15 173/19 177/7 179/24 183/18 187/17 187/21 189/6 192/15 194/16 196/5 196/8 196/12 197/15 197/18 197/20 198/3 198/18 200/7 200/22 201/7 204/2 204/18 205/19 205/21 206/18 207/10 208/18 208/20 208/20 my Lady [7] 37/3 64/22 108/2 110/11 133/14 135/9 162/24 myself [1] 130/24

Ν name [5] 40/8 40/9 98/11 159/23 164/6 names [2] 11/1 166/20 narrow [6] 83/13 84/22 85/2 168/18 168/18 169/25 narrowly [1] 50/23 nation [3] 68/3 156/20 156/23 nation's [1] 54/11 national [17] 2/25 5/15 25/25 26/3 40/20 56/10 59/1 88/24 89/21 107/3 107/12 125/7 125/11 126/13 133/12 137/14 160/18 national effort [1] 5/15 nations [9] 38/10 152/25 153/17 156/7 156/9 156/15 156/16 156/24 158/10 **natural [2]** 154/9 179/5 nature [5] 8/12 25/17 150/9 183/18 191/24 navigate [3] 8/22 19/13 71/5 near [1] 22/8 necessarily [6] 55/16 64/20 65/15 73/21 83/4 86/6 necessary [14] 54/2 56/15 60/15 62/17 69/1 75/14 81/8 96/4 96/9 107/11 122/11 134/11 151/12 162/4 need [32] 5/5 14/1 15/23 24/1 24/9 24/14 32/5 32/12 34/20 36/19 38/25 47/3 48/11 48/16 56/24 63/18 68/9 77/11 84/2 90/16 90/25 105/3 105/23 105/24 107/22 126/11 130/19 140/17 142/14 152/1 161/4 171/11 needed [41] 3/21 8/5 9/10 9/18 10/14 11/18 11/21 11/23 16/6 16/21 17/4 17/18 17/25 18/15 22/15 24/8 24/20 29/13 32/23 36/15 52/20 53/12 81/1 83/23 84/16 85/16 90/22 94/14 95/18 105/17 106/6 108/9 112/18 113/2 116/25 121/8 131/1 162/9 168/11

172/5 208/5 needing [2] 124/1 168/14 needs [13] 32/2 48/1 48/2 54/11 76/16 82/19 95/10 104/4 114/1 138/5 141/18 153/10 158/11 negotiations [1] 134/2 Neither [2] 77/16 83/2 **NEO [1]** 125/21 **network [2]** 114/20 151/2 never [6] 8/4 16/19 169/12 169/13 169/14 182/16 new [12] 4/15 19/12 20/25 28/19 55/21 68/8 71/18 93/15 106/10 120/15 156/16 173/3 news [2] 167/20 178/3 newspaper [1] 167/20 next [18] 3/16 19/7 22/1 28/6 31/19 38/24 40/4 85/25 92/1 98/4 101/13 137/8 157/21 163/19 192/25 195/15 195/25 208/1 NHS [25] 4/1 26/9 28/2 52/6 53/12 59/23 67/11 73/25 73/25 78/9 79/6 79/10 82/20 90/3 90/20 93/7 106/2 112/21 114/3 131/13 141/8 148/8 159/4 161/20 205/4 NHS England [1] 59/23 nice [1] 85/21 Nick [3] 188/17 197/5 197/14 Nick's [3] 197/10 198/6 198/14 Nigel [1] 82/16 **night [4]** 110/12 135/13 137/6 137/11 Nine [3] 177/12 177/15 183/25 Nine United [2] 177/15 183/25 no [70] 9/20 19/1 38/17 39/7 39/8 39/11 39/11 39/14 42/7 52/25 53/3 57/23 64/24 64/24 64/24 77/14 78/2 93/11 100/5 104/19 107/8 115/10 120/23 129/7 141/25 142/22 145/10

Ν no... [43] 145/11 147/2 154/18 157/25 162/22 163/25 163/25 167/5 173/22 174/6 174/16 175/2 175/2 175/7 175/9 175/21 179/6 182/2 182/2 182/7 182/8 182/9 183/13 184/21 185/4 185/20 187/23 189/19 191/8 191/9 194/2 194/6 194/6 196/4 196/18 200/20 200/22 203/17 203/17 205/6 206/5 206/13 208/20 nodded [2] 99/2 99/25 non [23] 51/25 54/1 72/2 72/8 75/22 76/2 76/6 76/10 76/21 77/2 77/5 77/7 77/15 78/15 79/3 86/5 88/19 159/4 165/1 165/2 191/20 191/20 192/9 non-compliant [12] 54/1 72/2 72/8 75/22 76/2 76/6 76/10 77/2 77/5 77/7 77/15 79/3 non-exec [1] 165/2 non-genuine [1] 76/21 non-legislative [1] 51/25 non-NHS [1] 159/4 non-specific [1] 88/19 non-Teneo [1] 165/1 **none [4]** 56/14 174/16 174/16 198/18 normal [18] 18/18 32/21 35/13 49/4 49/17 49/22 50/1 68/5 73/15 92/13 110/5 111/21 120/23 133/6 147/22 166/8 201/16 201/19 normality [1] 94/25 normally [10] 20/1 21/12 75/4 86/21 104/20 107/19 110/17 121/5 167/17 199/22 Northern [8] 43/15 61/15 117/21 118/6 118/14 146/6 155/11 156/5 Northern Ireland [1] 117/21 not [193] 2/16 3/18 3/21 4/14 6/20 7/17 8/16 8/20 20/2 22/9 23/1 29/9 29/23 31/16 36/22 37/12 40/23

43/23 44/3 45/21 46/8 47/4 47/8 47/11 48/10 notified [14] 32/12 51/16 52/10 54/20 55/5 55/7 55/13 56/4 56/8 56/25 57/3 57/24 59/13 60/10 60/19 62/10 62/22 64/11 64/14 64/21 65/19 65/23 65/24 66/19 68/11 69/12 71/13 72/10 73/24 75/4 76/19 76/20 77/1 77/11 77/25 79/4 83/1 83/10 83/18 84/1 84/8 92/23 93/8 93/21 94/3 94/6 94/24 96/3 96/9 96/11 96/13 97/10 98/10 100/4 101/2 102/2 102/17 102/20 105/4 106/24 107/22 109/1 109/2 110/11 110/17 110/23 113/16 115/11 116/5 117/15 118/7 118/13 118/17 119/19 126/8 128/3 129/6 129/13 131/14 132/17 132/22 132/23 133/5 134/19 136/9 137/20 139/3 140/15 140/24 141/9 142/10 142/14 142/17 143/15 144/22 144/22 147/12 150/2 150/17 150/17 151/8 152/11 153/23 154/2 154/4 154/6 157/8 158/14 158/24 159/15 161/19 163/1 163/7 165/25 166/6 166/8 167/12 167/23 171/22 171/23 171/24 175/2 175/21 178/16 178/18 179/3 179/8 180/9 180/20 181/2 181/23 182/17 182/17 182/24 183/4 185/1 185/18 186/14 187/8 187/16 189/4 192/8 192/10 192/19 193/3 193/10 193/25 196/4 196/18 197/6 197/6 197/17 199/6 199/23 200/4 200/6 202/20 203/11 203/17 203/18 203/20 203/20 205/3 205/13 205/19 206/8 207/19 207/19 208/10 39/13 206/17 note [2] 31/13 81/25 **notes [5]** 123/3 123/4 144/12 150/5 157/2 nothing [2] 90/24 115/1 noticed [1] 19/17 notifiable [1] 125/21

notification [2]

135/25 136/3 44/15 44/16 44/24 48/22 49/2 49/9 50/25 51/1 56/4 66/15 125/7 133/13 136/9 notify [1] 55/9 **notifying [3]** 126/13 133/12 137/11 **November [1]** 99/7 now [55] 8/14 28/3 32/5 39/23 41/10 46/8 136/6 144/10 185/17 50/1 50/9 57/21 58/16 185/18 187/8 58/18 66/14 71/22 85/8 89/7 90/6 91/25 99/10 100/21 102/6 102/13 103/2 103/10 105/22 112/3 113/9 115/11 121/19 127/2 128/16 132/4 132/25 136/23 145/19 148/17 October 2022 [1] 149/23 154/22 159/15 175/13 176/7 180/20 184/21 185/6 187/23 192/14 192/17 195/8 197/23 197/23 197/24 off-the-shelf-type [1] 198/11 198/25 204/20 33/2 204/22 206/19 number [36] 3/13 3/17 3/24 6/20 7/12 12/21 13/5 13/11 14/3 169/7 169/8 170/2 14/18 14/19 19/3 20/12 30/2 30/19 58/21 67/6 82/17 89/6 105/11 116/25 117/22 124/7 125/16 127/4 132/13 132/22 133/2 133/2 142/5 144/10 144/10 147/16 168/9 195/10 196/6 Number 10 [5] 105/11 124/7 132/13 132/22 144/10 **number 3 [1]** 3/13 number 4 [1] 3/24 numbers [1] 14/24 **numerous** [1] 136/6 nutshell [1] 161/13 objective [1] 130/15 objectives [2] 63/6 64/4 obligation [1] 46/25 **obliged [3]** 37/25 observation [1] 23/22 observe [1] 54/15 observed [1] 79/3 obtain [1] 2/10 obtained [2] 15/11

191/14

obtaining [1] 142/13

obvious [2] 22/8 74/22 obviously [10] 2/9 14/12 57/5 75/1 102/17 117/5 136/17 145/13 157/3 192/13 occasion [4] 124/6 175/15 187/6 193/6 occasionally [1] 165/14 occasions [6] 125/16 occupied [1] 182/4 occur [4] 61/3 145/12 163/13 192/10 occurred [1] 92/12 occurring [1] 79/14 October [2] 99/7 116/9 116/9 off [6] 33/2 102/20 113/15 129/9 172/24 176/6 offer [49] 3/3 8/6 52/5 82/8 126/19 128/18 136/8 136/24 170/3 170/11 170/15 172/10 174/24 175/4 178/16 179/4 179/9 180/18 180/22 181/4 181/7 181/9 181/24 183/25 185/22 185/24 186/3 187/5 188/10 190/1 190/4 191/1 191/8 192/7 192/25 193/2 194/9 194/16 196/13 196/17 196/20 198/17 198/18 206/23 208/1 208/4 offered [2] 40/17 181/22 offering [4] 15/12 27/2 177/22 187/22 offers [38] 7/25 13/3 13/10 129/14 137/18 169/1 169/5 169/19 171/5 171/10 171/12 171/20 171/22 172/7 172/9 172/22 173/11 173/18 175/16 181/15 182/1 182/5 183/12 183/22 184/23 184/25 185/4 185/11 186/13 186/14 187/9 187/15 187/25 199/12 203/2 203/3 207/17 207/22 offhand [1] 152/5 office [36] 13/4 19/6 19/8 19/10 41/22

92/25 93/14 97/4 99/12 99/14 111/17 111/23 115/15 115/17 115/19 123/1 124/4 125/7 125/11 126/14 133/12 134/1 137/14 137/22 138/1 152/16 160/18 169/12 171/17 171/18 173/15 176/2 178/21 office's [1] 107/4 officer [13] 105/1 108/19 109/14 109/19 120/11 120/15 120/20 120/23 120/24 121/6 124/18 146/25 147/22 officer's [1] 103/20 officers [5] 103/8 111/20 113/15 113/20 121/15 official [1] 171/14 officials [28] 2/18 5/22 38/20 101/22 122/10 132/20 137/21 144/14 144/16 144/21 156/4 156/13 157/16 160/10 160/15 161/7 171/7 171/13 171/17 173/15 173/23 173/24 174/3 175/18 176/3 176/7 177/14 179/25 offs [2] 111/9 116/13 offshored [1] 6/24 **OFGEM [1]** 2/2 often [7] 69/12 85/22 103/7 110/10 161/20 174/22 177/20 **Oh [2]** 78/1 179/5 okay [13] 38/14 54/25 89/22 127/2 145/18 157/8 159/12 176/13 199/17 200/1 201/16 201/20 204/23 **Olympics [1]** 8/18 on [330] on-demand [1] 112/9 once [8] 16/2 103/14 103/18 148/4 178/24 179/1 195/24 196/13 one [113] 4/20 4/20 4/21 7/9 7/10 8/23 13/1 18/12 19/5 20/12 22/10 23/3 23/5 23/10 25/24 28/19 29/15 30/11 30/12 32/24 38/3 38/18 47/10 50/6 50/24 52/18 58/4 58/10 61/19 63/15 64/18 64/19 65/7 65/20 66/1 66/9 66/17 70/1 70/13 75/24 76/13 76/18 76/18 76/24 80/12 85/5 85/7

59/23 69/25 70/7

41/12 41/17 41/22 130/14 131/3 131/5 47/10 50/3 57/21 0 42/7 50/6 53/8 54/15 132/23 139/2 142/13 58/13 59/1 59/5 59/9 one... [66] 85/14 87/4 57/16 58/19 58/22 146/12 149/20 154/14 59/14 62/3 62/3 62/4 87/8 89/18 101/18 59/19 60/17 61/15 170/11 187/5 207/16 68/3 76/14 79/17 89/1 102/17 103/6 103/14 63/3 67/5 67/5 69/23 orders [6] 9/21 103/15 104/23 107/17 71/14 72/13 72/17 132/13 132/20 132/21 107/19 107/20 107/21 73/3 73/8 74/10 75/24 138/15 195/15 110/23 110/23 115/4 77/5 78/5 78/21 78/23 ordination [4] 59/21 116/21 119/3 121/18 79/2 87/25 88/16 61/13 66/21 84/6 123/22 125/2 125/22 89/11 89/13 89/19 organisation [6] 126/9 131/2 131/5 90/11 90/18 96/21 26/22 42/20 44/19 131/6 131/15 132/12 70/17 78/24 88/21 **OPSS's [1]** 60/2 133/24 134/21 136/11 option [2] 137/7 organisational [1] 136/25 141/8 141/18 137/11 59/17 142/5 143/20 143/21 options [1] 157/21 organisations [9] 144/15 144/23 146/5 or [141] 2/1 3/8 3/9 6/17 11/8 11/12 12/1 147/18 156/14 156/24 5/15 5/20 6/3 7/17 12/4 61/20 70/2 161/2 163/8 167/11 9/13 15/14 19/15 100/18 148/14 167/21 168/16 172/2 19/18 21/18 23/2 organising [1] 72/18 172/7 177/1 178/8 25/13 34/6 36/7 36/8 original [2] 107/14 178/18 179/6 179/22 38/15 39/9 40/17 192/4 182/14 184/5 188/13 43/10 43/21 46/6 originally [1] 2/17 191/22 193/5 198/13 46/13 47/3 47/6 47/10 other [81] 2/15 2/22 200/14 204/18 205/25 48/19 49/10 49/11 3/2 5/18 8/25 25/13 206/19 54/1 54/17 54/17 31/20 32/21 34/12 ones [1] 187/10 38/14 38/15 40/20 55/13 60/12 61/2 oneself [1] 103/16 62/14 63/21 63/25 41/12 46/10 47/4 online [3] 3/20 11/4 64/11 64/16 64/16 49/11 54/17 55/14 14/2 64/21 65/15 65/21 57/25 58/2 59/1 66/4 only [17] 27/3 29/17 66/20 68/3 69/8 69/24 70/15 73/9 75/9 77/22 54/10 89/3 92/23 70/3 70/22 72/8 72/25 79/24 80/8 80/16 102/2 110/23 122/3 74/24 75/14 76/20 81/10 81/11 88/24 133/6 136/20 146/13 76/21 82/12 85/6 91/25 92/25 99/3 147/2 155/8 175/2 85/16 86/18 88/19 106/8 107/9 108/24 184/19 187/6 197/15 92/6 94/25 96/9 97/6 112/1 112/5 112/8 onset [1] 89/16 97/11 98/23 101/13 114/4 121/22 134/11 onshoring [1] 141/6 102/21 103/4 104/21 134/15 135/22 138/25 out-compete [1] onwards [1] 78/9 107/23 107/24 108/6 138/25 139/6 141/17 open [1] 186/11 141/25 149/9 149/24 110/6 110/7 110/24 opened [1] 50/12 156/24 159/14 160/20 outcomes [3] 84/4 112/9 113/11 114/11 opening [4] 52/10 115/2 123/12 124/9 163/7 165/14 166/3 100/22 107/14 114/3 127/12 129/24 131/5 166/7 168/22 169/16 operate [3] 12/12 133/2 135/7 136/23 174/20 181/15 181/18 outlined [1] 197/14 13/24 21/12 138/1 144/21 146/25 182/1 182/5 182/6 operated [4] 9/12 147/2 147/6 147/12 183/15 185/11 185/18 142/12 11/1 30/3 185/2 155/7 156/24 156/24 186/9 187/8 187/15 operating [6] 4/23 158/24 164/1 166/1 188/16 196/5 196/22 13/15 14/15 16/6 27/2 167/12 167/15 168/7 198/13 200/22 203/10 over [41] 7/3 17/2 196/11 169/1 169/8 169/15 207/10 operation [8] 27/24 169/15 169/15 170/2 others [26] 31/5 31/5 42/21 43/17 47/17 170/14 172/2 172/3 39/9 57/17 60/6 70/2 71/22 71/24 71/24 172/3 172/14 173/16 74/19 75/9 76/1 81/23 81/24 82/1 82/1 90/24 73/3 173/16 173/24 174/7 86/14 88/22 90/18 opportunities [4] 6/6 108/14 109/12 115/16 104/10 104/10 113/20 page 6 [1] 82/15 174/21 175/16 176/6 31/16 174/9 199/16 179/18 183/14 186/9 123/12 128/14 138/20 129/9 131/11 131/12 opportunity [9] 24/3 187/22 189/20 199/11 169/9 173/21 178/21 40/25 177/11 178/15 186/20 187/12 198/23 199/12 200/8 201/2 178/17 179/4 184/8 202/20 203/2 203/4 202/23 186/8 192/17 203/4 204/5 204/6 otherwise [2] 54/1 opposed [2] 94/4 207/18 207/19 207/19 120/21 161/15 ought [2] 25/3 32/18 oral [2] 83/3 168/23 **OPSS [44]** 19/11 our [39] 5/4 12/17 order [20] 4/5 22/5 19/12 19/24 31/22 108/18 110/6 118/2 20/6 28/5 29/9 34/7 32/4 32/13 40/19 119/14 124/20 130/11 36/12 36/16 38/10

91/12 98/4 112/13 114/20 115/23 127/19 oversaw [1] 37/8 128/7 139/22 151/2 153/6 158/11 158/12 163/19 174/9 194/23 ours [3] 197/11 198/7 198/15 ourselves [1] 122/22 out [73] 3/23 4/23 5/1 7/25 8/2 8/3 15/24 18/17 19/3 20/13 20/19 34/1 42/16 44/20 44/25 45/8 49/10 49/11 52/14 61/19 61/24 64/17 64/20 68/8 68/23 75/12 78/12 82/16 90/2 90/17 91/19 93/7 93/10 93/13 95/10 100/16 101/25 106/4 110/1 112/1 121/11 122/14 123/7 123/9 131/22 139/7 140/16 141/3 141/19 145/2 146/8 151/8 157/15 157/20 158/7 162/21 168/10 171/9 172/14 176/5 176/20 183/11 184/21 185/7 186/8 190/4 190/13 191/6 194/22 198/14 198/16 203/8 207/11 112/1 outcome [1] 86/7 111/6 158/4 outliers [1] 128/4 outset [3] 14/4 41/24 outside [3] 43/21 77/9 111/21 23/20 28/6 30/13 31/18 53/25 63/25 63/25 75/2 75/8 79/17 101/8 103/4 104/3 133/14 134/4 134/6 137/18 143/7 143/10 143/13 143/14 150/20 pages 5 [1] 33/17 151/10 155/22 159/9 165/18 170/13 171/11 177/22 overall [2] 15/6 43/11 overhaul [4] 24/2 24/14 24/19 25/3

overlap [2] 33/13 156/21 overlapping [1] 111/3 overriding [1] 111/6 overseas [5] 22/23 29/12 106/11 139/19 140/6 overseeing [3] 103/6 103/10 161/7 overseen [1] 162/14 oversight [2] 103/16 103/17 overspeaking [14] 39/10 48/24 50/22 95/5 95/8 133/16 140/6 152/9 152/10 178/21 201/15 202/19 203/23 204/16 overwhelmed [2] 171/5 180/17 overwhelming [2] 166/21 168/8 overwhelmingly [2] 165/16 202/8 own [9] 24/1 29/9 41/16 63/3 113/2 148/9 169/11 193/1 204/19 P

pace [14] 3/21 4/14 4/17 8/9 10/17 12/6 14/11 14/19 35/12 103/8 121/9 122/21 153/22 155/16 paced [1] 142/14 page [25] 3/10 4/18 4/19 18/11 20/15 23/20 23/20 30/13 31/18 82/15 90/8 90/9 90/24 90/25 91/4 91/7 91/8 101/13 120/1 120/8 122/1 126/21 131/20 178/3 195/10 page 1 [3] 120/1 126/21 131/20 page 2 [6] 3/10 4/18 90/8 90/9 91/4 120/8 page 3 [3] 18/11 90/25 122/1 page 5 [1] 101/13 pages [2] 33/17 100/25 pages 122 [1] 100/25 paid [8] 107/24 111/22 122/21 146/25 151/14 155/6 202/3 205/17 pandemic [65] 12/13

13/18 15/5 30/1 30/8

78/7 27/2 34/17 38/15 96/20 97/5 P path [3] 116/23 phrase [2] 77/4 paramount [2] 131/11 134/14 38/24 50/1 71/13 pandemic... [60] patience [2] 91/9 134/21 139/11 85/24 127/5 154/6 146/17 32/18 34/4 35/2 38/8 paraphrased [1] 209/1 155/14 167/1 167/12 phrasing [1] 179/21 40/16 40/22 41/19 PHT000000149 [1] 95/17 patient [9] 46/10 193/3 204/19 42/24 47/10 50/2 50/6 parcel [1] 75/7 46/12 47/3 47/16 period [17] 7/3 16/5 100/25 50/18 52/18 55/2 44/18 98/24 99/17 47/23 65/16 66/6 66/7 Parliament [2] physically [3] 78/25 55/19 57/7 57/21 122/19 125/6 101/8 102/24 103/4 116/13 92/24 169/14 59/14 61/8 65/24 67/5 part [43] 2/25 6/1 6/7 patients [1] 177/9 104/3 113/9 114/13 pick [2] 14/19 117/8 67/15 68/18 72/16 12/19 22/16 24/3 24/4 Patrick [1] 140/11 116/8 136/25 139/15 picked [1] 178/14 76/8 77/22 82/18 83/8 picking [1] 20/17 26/17 35/8 35/14 pattern [2] 74/24 140/2 165/5 165/18 84/16 85/13 87/11 35/16 35/17 38/4 picture [1] 196/21 126/10 periods [2] 68/22 88/2 88/4 88/7 88/19 38/21 59/9 69/10 71/2 patterns [2] 73/15 124/1 **pictures** [1] 97/3 89/6 89/16 90/1 93/24 78/6 80/7 81/19 90/21 piece [2] 9/18 127/15 74/6 **perm [1]** 121/18 93/25 104/5 108/7 93/17 103/24 113/18 **Paul [1]** 98/12 permanent [7] 121/1 pieces [2] 67/6 67/14 108/13 108/21 109/14 114/20 126/10 128/20 pause [1] 72/15 121/13 121/20 121/23 pillar [1] 3/18 113/13 116/3 117/18 141/15 144/17 152/18 place [35] 4/2 19/6 128/22 133/24 138/21 pay [3] 99/22 108/9 137/1 139/24 140/17 139/18 141/18 142/3 134/12 19/14 19/14 19/23 permission [1] 141/2 141/12 142/12 150/10 150/25 154/25 paying [2] 108/6 159/14 28/3 38/15 50/2 55/5 153/22 155/5 162/3 57/13 58/8 58/9 59/6 156/2 162/8 166/17 **persistent** [1] 19/18 150/24 162/10 168/10 205/8 168/20 172/9 172/16 62/8 77/22 88/7 88/13 payment [2] 129/7 person [22] 17/24 panels [2] 76/14 173/13 195/21 45/18 46/24 47/2 93/23 93/25 102/24 76/16 part-time [1] 166/17 payments [5] 110/17 47/25 48/19 51/1 65/8 104/24 106/1 116/5 paper [3] 157/15 111/20 111/22 128/8 90/22 91/10 99/17 117/3 119/1 130/20 Participants [1] 157/17 157/20 128/11 136/11 170/4 176/25 134/6 139/13 143/6 146/5 paragraph [29] 20/16 186/8 187/21 188/1 149/20 153/17 156/23 particular [16] 10/20 **peacetime** [3] 15/18 27/24 30/13 31/3 189/14 204/18 205/15 73/19 74/16 92/9 124/21 167/17 161/18 162/5 176/1 31/19 33/21 34/6 36/4 207/12 208/6 97/14 100/3 112/16 peaks [2] 74/11 placed [9] 12/24 90/14 94/10 96/18 119/6 122/18 127/24 116/12 person's [1] 91/13 83/15 119/14 119/17 101/13 102/6 105/16 129/14 155/11 167/19 personal [3] 52/2 119/18 132/21 139/5 pedantic [1] 96/11 106/23 120/1 120/8 167/24 168/21 202/5 pensions [1] 99/23 59/16 101/21 167/15 170/11 122/1 127/8 127/13 particularly [18] penultimate [1] personally [1] 191/1 placement [1] 201/25 148/5 153/7 156/12 16/24 19/24 40/16 27/24 perspective [4] places [5] 45/14 161/3 167/2 184/13 52/6 54/8 60/7 61/4 people [65] 3/18 8/5 19/19 22/3 109/22 46/18 46/22 58/1 191/19 195/9 197/1 75/8 82/10 88/23 10/18 10/22 11/21 155/17 63/12 paragraph 1 [1] 102/9 102/17 106/11 12/10 12/10 16/6 placing [5] 21/16 perspectives [2] 120/1 116/21 126/1 134/4 19/23 26/1 29/4 29/7 48/20 51/2 127/20 61/7 129/12 paragraph 11 [2] 142/12 204/6 36/17 46/5 47/4 47/12 Pete [1] 196/5 206/5 105/16 122/1 52/21 55/11 56/8 56/9 Peter [1] 203/9 plan [7] 2/25 88/18 parties [6] 62/17 paragraph 12 [2] 56/11 56/24 57/1 57/4 Peter' [2] 195/20 62/21 102/4 108/22 88/20 89/2 131/4 106/23 197/1 109/1 135/10 59/11 61/10 63/11 196/1 156/16 156/19 paragraph 2 [1] partly [2] 77/20 167/5 65/24 68/15 73/24 pharmacies [1] plane [1] 183/7 148/5 partner [2] 156/18 74/3 77/16 77/24 78/1 149/25 planning [11] 34/17 paragraph 2.6 [1] 164/20 79/19 80/20 92/25 **phase [17]** 57/18 35/3 57/22 87/24 88/7 36/4 parts [1] 148/8 97/12 142/18 148/17 106/15 111/3 111/13 88/8 88/10 88/15 89/8 paragraph 25 [1] party [27] 18/21 149/16 155/11 168/11 112/6 113/6 114/12 89/16 99/21 161/3 44/20 46/13 48/6 169/14 170/8 172/2 116/8 117/5 118/21 plans [1] 101/8 paragraph 3 [1] 172/17 173/14 173/16 48/11 48/21 102/4 125/19 140/2 148/24 **plastic [2]** 13/17 120/8 102/5 114/17 114/22 173/24 174/18 174/20 153/21 155/4 158/16 13/19 paragraph 4 [2] 121/6 147/14 147/19 175/8 177/8 183/17 162/3 **plastics [1]** 10/22 20/16 167/2 147/23 162/15 162/23 190/10 202/6 202/7 phase 1 [1] 112/6 **play [4]** 3/15 12/19 paragraph 51 [1] 165/20 166/4 174/13 203/5 203/7 203/19 phase 2 [1] 114/12 21/23 35/17 195/9 174/25 185/18 186/2 204/14 204/21 205/20 phase 3 [1] 116/8 played [3] 26/15 paragraph 6 [1] 186/19 187/3 187/12 207/22 36/18 161/14 phases [7] 110/25 191/19 193/7 199/19 per [2] 28/2 153/24 111/3 114/10 114/11 playing [1] 199/20 Paragraph 9 [1] perceive [1] 201/4 119/11 179/11 179/12 please [66] 1/9 1/17 passage [2] 148/11 184/13 2/5 3/6 3/9 3/13 3/24 154/20 perceived [2] 48/25 philanthropic [1] paragraph 9.29 [1] passages [1] 41/8 97/12 165/2 4/18 4/20 4/24 7/14 94/10 phone [4] 167/18 9/2 10/6 11/13 12/21 passed [7] 170/9 perfect [1] 85/24 paragraph 9.31 [1] 12/24 13/7 14/16 15/8 179/9 190/12 194/20 176/4 190/12 200/3 **perfectly [3]** 163/7 96/18 196/13 205/13 208/14 15/13 18/7 18/11 163/8 200/24 phoned [2] 171/4 paragraphs [1] passing [3] 193/2 perform [2] 133/5 179/2 20/10 20/15 21/1 100/22 21/21 21/22 23/17 196/17 198/20 133/6 phoning [1] 173/14 parallel [2] 51/14 past [1] 181/1 perhaps [15] 23/13 photographs [2] 23/20 25/7 25/8 25/10

(77) pandemic... - please

90/15 90/19 96/20 prior [8] 4/1 13/17 P **positively [1]** 31/15 **preparing [4]** 1/13 possibilities [1] 97/3 101/3 101/5 32/17 116/14 140/7 51/19 58/24 72/15 please... [34] 26/12 **prescribed** [2] 55/10 158/25 101/6 102/20 105/14 88/7 147/2 205/7 27/19 27/25 30/11 **possibility [1]** 50/12 107/14 107/19 114/3 77/10 prioritisation [2] 30/13 31/1 31/18 33/8 possible [25] 9/23 114/4 117/23 120/5 presence [2] 25/22 58/13 77/21 33/16 33/19 34/14 16/8 17/21 21/6 60/22 121/11 127/12 127/18 78/22 prioritise [6] 32/5 40/8 44/1 48/9 51/22 68/16 69/7 69/7 69/10 127/22 131/5 134/6 present [1] 72/20 53/25 54/4 54/12 73/7 53/7 78/10 89/23 134/18 140/1 140/20 69/10 69/18 81/2 **presented** [1] 57/8 199/12 98/11 123/6 127/1 89/15 99/3 107/23 142/10 142/13 147/3 **presenting [2]** 73/19 priority [17] 9/24 148/1 152/22 156/1 122/7 129/22 132/8 148/10 148/20 149/2 108/8 111/6 130/1 85/7 163/14 164/1 164/6 134/25 146/20 169/25 149/25 150/18 153/2 pressing [2] 138/12 134/22 142/19 173/7 164/10 176/15 186/23 178/17 187/2 203/20 153/6 153/10 153/13 149/21 173/12 175/17 179/15 189/15 196/24 198/1 207/14 154/4 155/3 155/5 pressure [8] 121/2 179/21 182/10 182/15 209/4 156/8 156/10 156/16 121/12 127/18 132/19 183/1 184/23 185/3 possibly [8] 53/15 **plus [1]** 188/4 65/12 86/8 177/8 156/19 156/20 158/11 132/21 136/15 137/2 185/13 pm [6] 97/25 98/2 184/7 187/10 196/11 159/6 161/22 162/25 201/2 prism [1] 206/6 118/1 145/22 145/24 198/2 165/11 165/13 165/17 pressures [2] 115/22 prison [1] 152/6 209/5 postbox [1] 169/3 166/7 167/22 167/23 **prisons [2]** 114/5 119/14 point [48] 2/12 4/6 pot [1] 154/8 167/24 170/5 171/5 148/9 presumably [2] 93/7 20/24 25/1 26/5 28/24 private [9] 7/13 8/1 173/19 176/19 179/15 103/10 potential [7] 9/14 34/2 53/15 57/19 62/3 180/7 183/1 191/23 30/23 70/3 157/21 presume [1] 157/5 8/2 8/10 107/4 124/4 62/11 80/8 83/23 85/8 170/5 202/1 203/4 197/6 197/11 198/7 pretty [1] 163/25 128/18 173/15 176/2 87/23 91/23 92/7 93/6 potentially [10] 13/23 207/20 prevalent [3] 65/19 privy [2] 37/18 183/4 94/7 94/8 96/8 96/12 24/22 25/16 26/22 **PPE Cell [1]** 183/1 67/2 81/9 probably [17] 3/17 96/15 96/17 109/13 29/24 72/7 77/2 93/16 PPE Make [1] 70/10 prevent [5] 24/18 8/4 9/20 22/1 24/13 116/18 121/18 122/23 137/3 172/3 45/23 72/7 115/1 45/2 49/5 58/21 61/11 **PR [1]** 164/17 131/21 132/10 132/19 61/11 68/10 76/17 pounds [3] 143/2 practical [4] 103/18 115/12 135/7 135/17 140/3 136/13 138/14 188/2 143/3 160/11 105/4 105/10 124/14 **prevention** [2] 115/2 143/4 144/15 150/16 powers [9] 43/22 practically [1] 44/3 122/20 189/13 190/21 154/13 161/24 162/1 44/8 44/10 44/11 **practices [2]** 161/15 previous [5] 50/3 **problem [22]** 15/8 172/7 175/23 184/24 116/11 116/17 116/19 15/10 15/16 16/16 44/12 62/13 63/3 63/4 206/1 188/4 200/2 203/8 76/7 **practising** [2] 97/20 125/22 19/18 24/4 25/2 29/15 208/18 208/21 **PPE [179]** 1/23 2/10 47/11 58/12 65/23 163/22 previously [3] 8/17 pointed [5] 39/11 2/11 2/25 3/4 3/7 3/16 pragmatic [3] 53/19 80/17 164/20 68/17 79/20 89/21 118/19 158/6 198/16 4/4 5/15 6/7 6/18 6/21 53/22 61/25 price [12] 107/23 92/10 93/5 93/19 203/8 7/7 10/5 10/6 12/23 108/7 128/2 128/11 93/20 93/25 96/24 pragmatism [2] points [8] 21/2 63/10 13/5 14/6 14/17 15/4 53/11 53/13 139/14 140/22 141/9 97/11 97/12 72/3 73/8 108/6 110/4 18/12 18/18 18/25 pre [12] 15/5 20/21 148/16 148/22 151/14 problematic [1] 160/17 198/14 19/16 21/5 21/24 21/2 21/20 23/1 28/1 170/12 197/1 93/16 police [3] 148/7 22/24 26/15 27/22 32/16 95/9 95/12 prices [3] 148/15 problems [13] 3/12 152/7 153/25 28/2 28/7 30/25 32/5 108/7 116/14 130/11 148/22 149/17 11/15 15/14 79/13 policies [2] 100/11 32/15 35/6 36/9 38/8 pre-approved [4] primarily [1] 93/15 80/1 80/12 81/20 82/3 156/22 39/4 40/17 40/18 20/21 21/2 21/20 primary [1] 59/24 84/4 133/3 135/5 policy [6] 1/20 34/8 41/13 43/19 43/21 32/16 **Prime [12]** 104/7 135/6 145/16 42/22 61/1 61/2 104/12 104/18 106/18 procedures [2] 93/23 43/21 44/14 44/16 pre-COVID [2] 28/1 111/18 44/21 44/21 44/23 124/23 132/12 134/9 116/14 161/6 political [7] 102/4 142/11 142/20 143/1 45/1 45/17 45/18 pre-order [1] 130/11 process [61] 4/8 5/17 175/6 175/10 186/6 45/21 45/22 45/25 pre-pandemic [2] 143/16 149/7 5/19 6/10 9/8 10/15 200/21 201/1 201/2 46/2 46/4 46/5 46/19 15/5 108/7 Prime Minister [8] 11/2 12/4 12/9 14/13 politicians [1] 201/13 104/7 104/12 104/18 15/20 16/3 16/5 16/8 46/19 46/25 47/1 preceded [1] 70/9 politics [4] 167/6 47/13 48/11 48/15 precedent [1] 200/7 106/18 134/9 143/1 16/18 17/11 18/3 18/5 174/17 174/20 188/25 50/18 52/16 52/19 **precise [1]** 69/10 143/16 149/7 20/7 21/12 21/18 portal [2] 149/2 52/20 53/11 54/1 54/5 21/19 23/7 23/12 preclude [1] 193/1 Prime Minister's [1] 149/19 54/10 54/11 54/22 23/14 23/24 24/2 24/5 **predates** [1] 3/8 132/12 ports [3] 71/25 72/16 predominantly [2] 24/9 24/17 25/1 25/4 55/2 55/16 56/16 principal [3] 74/25 75/9 56/21 57/8 57/14 62/5 26/3 139/20 189/10 189/12 30/14 49/15 49/23 pose [1] 186/23 62/18 63/19 63/22 49/25 51/2 66/17 preferable [2] 118/25 principally [1] 102/6 posed [1] 101/19 64/6 65/2 65/4 67/4 118/25 principle [6] 73/24 68/21 70/14 76/20 position [11] 5/1 6/25 premium [1] 128/1 73/25 85/20 108/3 69/9 70/2 70/5 70/10 76/21 87/4 94/11 41/19 42/1 58/20 92/5 72/2 72/8 73/21 74/9 108/11 115/1 94/25 96/13 96/19 preparation [1] 84/8 107/1 107/2 113/16 75/4 75/20 76/2 76/6 96/22 97/2 103/22 prepared [2] 57/12 principles [9] 4/23 145/15 163/20 100/14 100/17 105/18 76/11 77/5 77/7 77/15 57/24 106/6 128/6 147/22 positive [3] 91/3 91/5 78/1 78/13 78/17 107/17 107/25 124/12 170/10 173/17 199/8 preparedness [2] 199/10 78/23 79/3 87/6 88/19 30/8 140/23 124/12 160/5 199/11 199/13 199/20

60/16 66/9 66/11 203/5 205/9 205/11 176/1 185/12 185/23 P **public [43]** 8/17 66/13 73/22 75/15 205/12 36/10 36/12 37/16 185/24 process... [2] 201/12 80/17 84/22 89/17 proof [1] 80/2 56/22 99/20 99/22 putting [11] 45/12 203/21 47/25 48/6 70/25 90/4 92/13 94/3 94/21 proper [3] 61/3 99/23 100/2 100/3 processed [3] 95/22 96/12 97/7 97/8 106/23 143/17 100/16 100/17 101/16 90/21 93/2 95/11 183/23 184/4 185/14 181/22 208/7 properly [5] 79/11 101/20 101/23 101/24 199/14 203/2 204/17 **processes [27]** 4/13 102/1 102/11 103/7 production [9] 3/3 93/8 93/10 140/7 putting it [1] 90/4 12/16 16/23 18/20 103/25 105/18 105/23 14/25 28/23 31/12 162/13 19/22 19/25 20/19 Q 48/17 49/15 55/22 proposal [3] 24/24 107/18 108/21 110/1 26/24 28/13 29/7 Quad [9] 104/7 156/25 158/10 122/6 124/19 125/5 55/22 57/7 35/11 37/7 43/8 55/4 proposals [1] 8/1 105/11 106/19 111/16 productive [1] 26/25 126/7 133/23 146/20 59/10 60/21 60/23 149/9 150/21 151/3 **products [59]** 10/14 propose [1] 119/12 71/13 71/21 78/15 13/19 17/4 17/8 21/16 **proposed [3]** 153/3 151/12 159/4 160/5 80/3 92/5 93/6 94/2 22/6 22/17 23/15 160/8 160/13 161/9 156/7 156/14 96/25 106/24 113/16 161/13 162/11 162/20 25/18 32/22 35/9 propriety [3] 109/22 processing [1] 54/5 35/15 36/15 43/16 129/3 129/11 publish [1] 32/9 procure [9] 2/25 4/14 43/18 46/6 46/7 46/7 prospect [1] 138/2 **published** [3] 67/5 24/6 29/12 107/22 46/11 46/14 47/12 **Prosser [1]** 92/1 67/6 184/14 122/17 150/18 154/7 48/10 49/1 51/12 52/2 protect [15] 45/17 purchase [5] 73/25 156/10 52/10 56/8 56/12 57/3 46/6 46/12 46/24 109/21 109/23 129/3 procured [6] 25/18 63/11 63/21 63/21 46/25 47/2 47/3 47/14 197/1 37/10 78/6 78/18 65/18 65/19 66/4 47/16 66/5 66/7 108/9 purchased [5] 79/6 114/8 155/5 66/22 73/17 74/5 75/4 144/1 153/6 161/18 92/14 159/6 188/13 procurement [46] 80/14 80/15 80/16 protected [3] 79/11 188/16 6/3 6/4 6/10 6/13 7/25 80/18 80/19 80/21 122/6 146/20 purchases [1] 8/18 12/23 20/21 80/24 80/25 81/1 81/6 protecting [3] 47/22 154/23 25/17 31/11 33/23 81/7 81/10 81/11 47/22 101/25 purchasing [5] 51/10 36/6 37/7 37/17 99/24 82/23 83/7 83/11 protection [7] 10/7 55/11 56/8 148/21 100/2 100/3 100/14 83/12 83/14 84/16 40/17 46/10 52/6 54/2 185/6 106/6 107/18 111/17 205/2 71/8 77/12 purpose [15] 18/9 112/22 116/4 117/12 professional [4] protective [3] 52/2 45/19 59/24 61/18 120/5 122/9 139/21 41/16 59/11 141/11 75/22 106/5 72/6 83/25 83/25 141/8 142/10 152/14 208/14 120/7 122/17 127/23 **protects** [2] 45/16 152/20 153/3 153/10 professionally [1] 65/16 140/12 165/6 187/20 154/8 156/16 158/12 40/21 protocol [1] 153/17 197/22 205/5 160/4 161/11 161/15 purposes [4] 46/12 Professor [7] 6/21 protocols [2] 85/4 165/9 169/22 176/20 33/15 35/25 42/15 88/20 63/12 63/14 118/13 177/16 199/13 199/20 159/22 210/5 210/16 proved [2] 60/16 purse [2] 101/20 201/12 127/19 151/12 Professor Chris [1] procuring [2] 118/21 42/15 **provide [16]** 3/10 6/7 pursued [1] 34/8 127/21 Professor 6/15 21/8 36/22 59/24 pursuing [1] 172/11 produce [11] 5/15 Manners-Bell [2] 65/22 71/25 93/14 push [3] 61/11 119/3 13/12 13/18 14/11 6/21 33/15 114/4 118/2 122/5 130/21 15/1 15/3 16/3 26/2 131/10 138/18 146/19 pushed [2] 130/23 **profiles [1]** 75/10 68/14 69/8 132/3 **profiling [1]** 73/16 197/14 162/24 produced [2] 10/22 profit [2] 147/9 provided [18] 1/7 pushing [7] 115/25 197/22 128/7 131/8 131/16 40/25 41/3 41/9 51/3 207/19 producers [1] 68/7 profiteering [3] 51/3 112/4 112/4 133/24 134/7 135/15 **producing [3]** 13/17 148/19 148/23 149/14 117/24 142/7 150/21 put [53] 4/2 7/25 15/22 71/7 155/18 155/20 155/21 12/14 14/8 19/5 19/24 programme [9] 2/6 product [61] 9/7 9/21 2/8 3/7 14/6 28/25 155/22 155/22 173/14 26/20 26/20 27/7 9/24 10/20 11/22 29/22 30/3 36/21 37/4 197/15 27/11 42/9 44/25 12/18 13/13 13/21 48/10 56/1 59/6 65/11 provider [2] 126/20 programmes [1] 14/2 15/21 15/25 70/18 73/19 74/19 120/6 128/19 16/20 17/1 17/13 progress [1] 30/20 providers [1] 151/15 77/12 82/13 88/13 17/16 18/19 19/6 providing [12] 3/16 90/16 93/12 93/17 progressed [1] 21/10 22/16 23/9 94/6 100/21 104/24 113/13 18/13 30/16 31/5 30/20 32/3 32/10 32/14 98/13 127/22 106/1 116/4 117/3 progressing [1] 32/12 36/23 41/22 132/6 148/22 149/19 121/1 123/11 129/15 179/10 46/22 47/25 48/6 project [1] 9/5 158/11 164/9 134/6 134/8 134/24 48/16 48/18 49/7 135/19 139/1 139/13 **promote [2]** 199/12 **provision** [2] 66/8 49/13 49/14 50/25 203/5 110/6 143/6 144/14 146/12 51/8 51/14 53/6 53/20 promoted [1] 202/12 149/19 153/17 156/23 **provisions** [1] 134/3

55/3 55/5 59/25 60/15

**promoting [5]** 203/3

**proximity [1]** 208/3

118/1 124/23 128/24 134/10 143/1 qualified [2] 22/2 185/15 quality [6] 16/20 18/20 52/9 55/23 93/5 122/15 **quantities** [1] 170/5 quantum [6] 105/2 121/3 152/8 155/19 155/21 161/25 quantums [1] 124/20 quarter [1] 123/22 question [48] 13/24 16/19 17/5 37/6 37/15 38/25 54/21 56/18 57/17 58/4 58/10 62/23 64/1 64/6 65/10 67/1 67/3 67/3 77/17 77/23 83/10 83/19 94/20 107/16 119/17 135/4 136/11 141/7 141/20 146/23 151/7 155/6 161/8 162/7 162/8 162/11 168/14 168/21 172/9 186/21 186/23 186/25 194/4 194/5 200/13 202/14 202/15 205/16 questions [58] 1/6 35/21 35/25 37/24 39/14 40/6 60/12 60/12 84/21 86/13 87/19 87/21 87/23 97/18 98/7 101/18 106/12 114/1 132/15 143/18 145/3 145/4 146/1 146/4 146/9 152/12 153/24 159/14 159/22 160/1 164/5 170/2 198/22 198/22 199/2 199/4 199/6 204/4 206/11 206/15 206/16 206/18 206/20 207/2 207/17 208/8 208/20 210/4 210/5 210/6 210/9 210/10 210/13 210/15 210/16 210/19 210/21 210/22 quick [2] 110/13 146/3 quicker [1] 53/6 quickly [26] 11/3 157/4 161/12 171/18 (79) process... - quickly

162/17 82/18 Q read [12] 91/19 92/20 **referrers** [**5**] 174/15 93/13 129/20 157/17 recollection [3] 174/18 187/16 202/11 regulations [4] 43/7 quickly... [25] 12/9 157/20 157/23 158/3 60/20 151/16 187/17 203/13 43/9 71/12 100/15 14/21 16/7 17/20 20/3 recommend [1] 158/8 159/3 187/11 referring [11] 76/11 regulator [23] 2/2 20/7 54/6 58/6 60/21 192/13 115/12 111/12 135/20 136/22 40/24 43/4 63/16 61/9 61/10 61/12 74/3 readily [1] 142/18 169/5 181/1 187/9 63/17 63/19 64/19 recommendation 80/25 81/2 81/22 187/15 195/2 202/11 66/9 66/23 82/11 reading [2] 67/1 **[10]** 3/25 50/11 81/24 82/1 92/16 82/21 83/2 83/16 167/20 50/11 66/3 66/10 203/7 95/21 102/18 102/19 ready [6] 58/12 58/14 82/13 82/15 83/5 refers [1] 91/20 84/11 84/12 84/14 132/23 134/16 150/19 58/16 64/8 141/2 84/12 115/11 85/1 85/14 85/23 reflect [1] 162/4 quite [24] 7/5 11/3 145/14 recommendations reflected [11] 53/1 85/24 87/4 87/8 97/13 12/25 15/5 15/18 real [7] 88/12 125/7 **[6]** 20/20 33/14 53/2 104/13 111/24 regulator' [2] 82/22 15/20 19/20 22/10 126/14 133/12 174/14 34/21 65/20 112/13 113/25 121/24 131/19 83/6 62/19 62/20 62/22 177/6 178/5 144/18 153/20 154/1 171/7 regulators [36] 15/21 72/19 72/23 80/18 realised [1] 69/15 recommended [4] 162/1 19/10 40/19 41/12 82/1 83/16 87/13 reality [4] 128/9 33/21 50/24 130/14 reflecting [6] 24/10 42/18 52/17 56/19 90/21 160/25 164/15 130/1 140/13 141/12 24/19 24/24 121/2 57/6 57/25 58/19 59/2 185/5 168/2 177/15 181/23 really [43] 11/2 11/20 recommends [1] 143/15 150/9 59/4 60/11 62/2 64/5 194/25 64/11 64/15 64/18 25/25 26/6 29/17 45/8 **reflection [2]** 110/14 39/1 quote [2] 107/5 52/13 52/15 54/4 56/2 66/22 68/13 73/9 reconcile [1] 152/1 203/12 156/15 58/10 61/1 70/13 79/23 79/24 80/8 82/5 reconciliation [1] reflections [7] 14/16 quotes [1] 157/13 70/19 70/21 80/23 152/3 27/21 30/17 31/6 82/23 83/7 83/20 record [4] 94/9 96/18 81/8 81/15 110/8 32/14 82/8 145/7 83/24 84/3 84/18 123/16 133/6 138/10 144/14 174/4 reflects [3] 4/25 84/19 85/5 85/12 86/8 radical [4] 24/1 24/14 145/13 157/17 158/3 33/10 158/5 88/25 records [1] 160/9 24/19 25/3 159/18 163/4 163/12 recruitment [16] reflex [1] 85/20 regulatory [49] 12/15 raise [1] 159/15 169/21 169/22 171/8 184/18 188/8 188/21 15/10 15/15 15/19 **Reform [1]** 42/2 raised [7] 60/17 175/21 175/25 179/19 189/4 189/10 191/9 refresh [1] 118/15 16/14 16/18 16/25 75/18 75/21 75/23 179/22 183/7 184/8 191/25 196/22 204/3 refused [1] 125/17 17/23 17/24 19/5 126/6 147/18 158/23 185/6 192/8 192/15 204/8 204/23 204/24 19/13 19/18 20/18 regard [4] 41/15 raising [2] 124/16 205/3 205/5 205/9 118/9 149/13 149/14 192/15 192/19 196/14 20/24 21/10 22/6 24/4 150/10 reason [19] 34/10 205/25 **regarding [3]** 82/23 32/15 42/2 42/10 ramp [3] 55/22 55/22 62/21 72/15 81/17 recurring [1] 15/9 42/16 42/21 43/16 86/23 86/24 89/12 95/1 97/14 red [3] 147/11 147/18 regards [1] 153/1 47/9 47/16 50/4 50/7 ramp-up [1] 57/7 105/2 115/10 121/1 147/20 regime [2] 19/13 50/13 50/14 56/15 ramped [1] 77/20 124/9 149/5 150/25 redacted [1] 176/21 57/9 57/12 59/13 47/10 ran [2] 144/10 166/16 166/11 168/21 171/4 redrawing [1] 86/11 regimes [1] 46/16 59/21 60/7 60/10 range [5] 11/19 15/20 180/23 181/3 181/17 regional [5] 25/12 61/13 62/24 63/8 reduce [2] 68/21 28/8 46/20 61/2 145/17 reasonably [3] 55/9 25/22 30/23 34/18 66/21 70/14 70/18 rapid [2] 4/3 207/25 73/2 76/19 76/21 77/8 122/4 146/18 reduces [1] 68/10 56/10 rapidly [3] 68/22 reasons [7] 3/11 22/8 refer [10] 15/8 32/1 regionally [4] 25/16 83/22 84/6 109/21 130/15 154/9 73/22 84/3 89/18 32/13 106/22 107/4 25/21 26/7 27/6 reimburse [2] 149/25 rate [10] 107/24 138/18 193/6 107/13 109/18 149/23 regionally-based [1] 159/5 107/24 108/10 108/15 recall [13] 120/17 167/2 194/9 reimbursed [1] 150/4 109/7 112/22 116/24 126/20 128/19 130/12 reference [12] 1/8 regions [1] 34/24 reimbursement [1] 118/18 131/13 154/3 21/3 28/10 37/3 41/4 regret [1] 40/24 132/9 176/24 178/1 151/12 rather [13] 12/12 185/22 186/15 197/6 76/14 76/15 127/5 regular [2] 11/11 rejected [1] 178/3 16/9 17/2 21/11 34/6 197/6 197/20 207/15 128/15 128/16 136/21 38/21 related [8] 9/13 13/15 36/14 53/20 65/14 receipt [1] 129/8 182/14 **regularise** [1] 11/23 44/23 48/15 50/24 71/16 93/21 140/20 receipts [1] 151/13 referenced [1] 24/8 regularity [1] 129/11 132/10 136/23 183/16 141/2 207/22 receive [7] 26/18 references [1] regularly [1] 38/23 relates [4] 41/11 ratio [1] 77/18 49/4 49/6 51/4 78/3 100/24 115/14 128/18 132/9 regulate [1] 46/7 rationale [1] 68/24 145/14 181/17 referral [2] 72/24 regulated [8] 18/19 relating [1] 147/14 raw [3] 28/12 29/6 46/3 55/6 55/9 65/4 received [5] 12/25 76/12 **relation [20]** 7/15 141/17 124/8 132/24 136/3 referrals [2] 75/13 65/5 65/7 86/3 10/8 17/1 21/24 30/24 RCC [1] 84/6 197/17 102/3 regulating [2] 45/15 37/16 38/7 41/12 re [2] 5/5 30/24 referred [16] 18/20 54/18 73/3 100/9 recently [2] 72/19 62/18 re-tool [1] 5/5 147/2 20/23 25/9 28/15 regulation [16] 18/12 129/13 130/10 133/22 re-usable [1] 30/24 18/16 19/4 20/18 30/5 28/16 29/11 122/1 160/1 173/7 182/10 recognise [1] 179/17 reach [4] 52/16 54/5 165/16 178/23 178/25 31/20 42/11 44/14 202/2 206/21 206/23 recognising [8] 54/12 176/20 178/25 179/1 184/22 35/12 59/3 126/10 50/18 59/10 63/12 relationship [1] reaching [3] 52/20 127/25 128/9 134/9 185/8 196/2 203/18 73/1 82/18 82/19 11/14 56/16 110/20 134/21 157/18 referrer [4] 175/1 85/20 89/17 relative [1] 183/15 reactive [1] 13/10 181/18 184/15 202/23 Regulation 26 [1] recognition [1] relatively [4] 14/25

34/15 42/7 57/8 107/25 103/1 105/22 110/22 R rushed [1] 163/6 representing [2] 36/3 respecting [1] 111/14 117/6 119/5 rushing [1] 45/3 relatively... [3] 16/4 159/24 158/13 123/16 128/5 128/13 Russell [9] 22/21 74/7 81/24 respective [1] 151/19 130/9 134/3 138/16 represents [2] 75/20 40/4 40/5 40/9 45/3 release [3] 90/3 109/23 respiratory [1] 75/22 145/6 145/11 161/2 86/17 87/21 97/17 94/21 96/4 reputable [5] 122/4 respond [6] 31/15 164/18 169/7 173/10 210/8 released [7] 2/24 146/14 146/18 146/24 75/13 136/10 144/19 180/24 182/10 188/24 81/12 90/19 94/5 189/8 196/16 199/13 147/12 156/6 180/10 95/15 95/23 96/14 **sadly [1]** 147/16 request [12] 49/6 responded [2] 199/17 201/4 205/22 relevance [2] 99/3 safe [7] 53/5 56/21 risk [30] 36/22 37/1 124/8 125/17 125/24 195/12 195/24 198/17 57/4 69/8 90/2 122/5 132/17 135/17 136/16 responding [2] 69/11 37/6 37/17 47/1 47/4 relevant [12] 7/19 146/19 136/21 136/23 137/12 144/22 48/12 48/25 51/21 44/19 60/14 99/17 **Safeguard [3]** 71/22 144/7 175/11 response [11] 2/8 55/16 57/1 58/13 100/2 103/6 147/5 71/24 73/4 requested [2] 126/3 82/17 83/5 84/21 63/13 73/19 73/21 147/13 147/14 191/1 **safety [30]** 16/16 130/18 85/17 85/21 91/1 91/3 85/20 91/11 111/8 195/5 205/6 111/19 127/24 129/10 18/22 18/24 19/6 91/5 105/20 157/3 requesting [1] reliability [2] 93/6 19/11 31/25 32/3 135/19 142/9 143/15 130/24 responses [1] 69/16 139/22 41/22 43/14 43/15 requests [7] 11/25 responsibilities [2] 151/4 161/20 192/22 reliable [1] 112/14 45/13 45/14 51/5 52/9 115/22 132/7 142/6 34/24 120/16 200/5 200/9 200/10 reliance [3] 127/20 52/23 53/4 53/20 54/3 142/15 142/16 149/24 responsibility [7] risked [2] 23/5 23/9 139/5 140/6 60/1 63/2 70/20 70/23 require [5] 49/10 60/13 62/16 62/18 risking [1] 64/14 reliant [4] 124/17 71/2 75/18 75/19 51/7 71/13 158/11 72/17 82/12 84/15 risks [5] 31/16 36/22 133/3 139/19 161/21 75/23 75/25 78/5 159/18 85/15 40/22 65/8 65/14 relied [1] 113/1 82/25 89/17 required [14] 10/1 responsible [11] risky [1] 129/8 reluctant [1] 140/11 17/5 17/19 27/15 20/4 36/8 45/13 45/15 robust [2] 55/11 said [32] 3/1 18/25 reluctantly [1] 32/10 44/25 65/2 73/6 45/14 55/17 56/19 49/2 54/10 55/15 62/4 58/23 143/22 63/10 65/10 75/21 103/9 105/19 113/23 73/5 99/20 121/7 role [60] 3/15 4/15 rely [4] 45/5 120/10 76/14 84/21 86/21 124/24 134/18 154/4 rest [2] 168/12 5/21 6/3 6/5 6/8 6/14 135/7 187/21 93/9 95/7 95/21 109/9 requirement [8] 196/14 7/15 7/18 7/24 7/24 remained [4] 6/4 115/10 132/4 142/3 18/24 36/10 69/6 8/15 11/17 12/17 13/8 result [5] 31/6 33/11 6/12 6/13 60/13 70/16 120/10 197/7 13/13 20/6 25/24 171/11 173/19 175/18 70/23 106/10 106/21 remaining [1] 136/20 resulted [4] 153/4 178/20 179/2 187/23 137/3 156/20 26/14 26/21 27/9 remarkable [1] 189/23 192/2 195/2 requirements [12] 158/24 184/20 188/10 30/22 30/23 32/13 126/11 36/7 36/16 36/18 42/5 202/7 204/9 204/12 18/23 21/11 22/14 results [1] 49/11 remarkably [1] 205/8 208/2 49/13 51/5 51/18 retailer [2] 177/16 61/23 69/23 73/3 144/14 sale [1] 57/7 52/23 53/4 54/3 60/1 177/17 81/18 84/18 99/11 remarks [2] 77/19 sales [1] 151/13 100/6 100/14 102/10 70/21 86/9 retrieval [1] 128/14 157/22 same [25] 17/1 20/18 requires [2] 48/21 103/6 103/10 103/16 retrospectively [1] remember [12] 38/12 24/4 34/20 47/4 47/8 117/9 117/10 136/12 180/18 125/18 74/6 76/22 146/14 47/25 53/3 56/13 57/1 141/19 161/14 164/16 requiring [1] 18/22 return [4] 39/24 163/23 174/15 189/19 70/15 73/13 74/24 resemble [1] 168/2 97/24 116/14 145/21 167/16 170/14 170/25 190/5 190/23 197/24 107/17 130/16 131/6 reset [1] 23/25 returned [1] 191/11 182/21 183/18 196/12 204/12 207/10 resilience [7] 33/7 199/7 199/11 199/20 134/9 136/16 143/17 returning [1] 67/17 remind [1] 196/25 199/23 200/6 201/3 150/15 158/8 179/8 33/23 35/8 35/14 review [4] 82/14 remit [9] 4/3 5/15 179/23 198/13 198/14 35/17 38/5 141/9 82/17 122/10 141/10 201/17 201/18 5/25 5/25 46/1 63/1 **sanction [2]** 76/2 resolve [6] 64/3 reviews [1] 99/21 roles [6] 31/25 50/6 100/1 156/19 205/19 76/9 79/23 82/5 92/11 82/22 83/7 85/15 reworking [1] 81/8 remotely [1] 171/10 sanctioned [1] 76/7 92/23 94/1 right [85] 1/20 2/3 2/4 103/18 removing [1] 103/15 **sanitiser [2]** 71/8 resolved [2] 80/1 9/16 10/2 11/10 17/18 rose [3] 113/13 repeat [1] 199/7 17/23 17/24 19/1 26/1 113/19 113/23 188/16 80/3 repeated [3] 124/4 Sarah [8] 1/14 8/25 resolving [5] 58/5 26/11 27/14 34/4 35/7 roughly [1] 49/18 143/21 160/17 41/10 68/23 90/10 79/16 80/1 80/5 96/24 41/13 41/20 42/12 round [1] 183/15 **repeatedly [1]** 135/1 resort [2] 47/1 47/2 43/16 44/6 44/11 route [4] 51/11 79/5 91/2 94/9 95/16 replied [1] 195/20 SAS [3] 190/7 190/20 44/13 45/16 45/24 resource [8] 19/23 79/5 181/5 reply [1] 180/13 206/25 73/9 74/19 74/20 46/2 47/17 48/8 50/8 routes [2] 182/5 report [4] 38/25 89/21 90/17 92/7 60/17 61/7 61/9 61/13 182/6 satisfied [2] 109/21 82/18 160/23 160/25 61/16 64/15 67/8 109/22 118/12 row [2] 78/14 78/19 reported [3] 99/17 save [6] 105/14 resources [7] 62/1 67/20 67/24 72/13 Rule [1] 35/22 170/22 170/23 110/2 121/9 124/22 74/15 75/16 75/19 73/7 73/11 79/22 Rule 10s [1] 35/22 reporting [1] 182/12 126/12 142/13 88/22 93/14 100/17 78/4 82/6 86/24 87/7 run [3] 106/4 121/11 represent [1] 148/17 saving [1] 104/14 respect [6] 5/9 8/11 87/16 89/22 93/12 166/15 representative [4] running [**5]** 92/2 93/4 saw [11] 11/17 12/17 22/24 34/22 108/8 93/12 93/18 95/9 6/17 11/8 11/11 12/4 20/5 62/6 62/7 75/6 95/19 97/1 99/9 99/14 116/9 145/2 189/14 120/25 represented [3] 113/9 113/10 174/7 respectfully [1] 100/3 100/20 100/21 runs [2] 111/4 113/7

117/20 155/10 157/19 134/12 134/22 153/5 S 156/15 **settings [3]** 51/10 158/2 158/6 155/17 156/21 sent [14] 53/8 96/21 79/10 79/10 saw... [2] 182/11 secured [1] 106/14 Scottish [8] 35/22 125/24 126/2 126/3 **seven [2]** 67/18 187/9 38/2 38/6 38/20 39/6 **securing [4]** 105/13 137/14 148/2 177/8 129/1 say [77] 9/22 11/5 118/5 118/11 156/5 106/17 128/12 161/22 180/16 190/3 192/1 **several [2]** 67/14 20/17 21/8 31/9 32/4 scramble [1] 56/11 Security [1] 144/13 192/2 195/13 198/3 93/4 32/7 39/8 40/11 48/8 see [41] 7/20 13/25 sentence [8] 33/20 screen [14] 18/7 severe [1] 166/6 49/14 50/17 51/7 23/17 27/19 78/10 26/2 53/10 71/18 33/21 34/7 45/4 53/14 **SG [14]** 184/18 188/8 52/24 56/6 58/7 58/11 90/6 93/15 100/21 74/23 77/25 78/14 53/23 54/7 68/2 188/21 189/4 189/10 60/10 64/2 65/3 65/15 119/22 123/11 131/20 90/22 97/18 110/3 191/9 191/25 196/22 **sentiments** [1] 110/3 68/25 74/1 77/17 148/1 148/4 156/1 113/23 125/2 126/9 **separate** [1] 74/1 204/3 204/8 204/23 77/19 84/17 86/2 179/14 127/2 129/20 130/21 **September [12]** 1/22 204/24 205/3 205/9 96/17 107/5 107/18 screened [2] 93/8 143/21 143/23 148/2 67/19 67/23 82/4 SG Recruitment [13] 107/25 109/12 113/12 148/6 149/12 152/23 98/20 99/1 99/6 119/9 184/18 188/8 188/21 93/11 116/10 118/24 136/14 screening [6] 90/2 156/12 160/9 163/20 151/17 152/17 154/16 189/4 189/10 191/9 136/19 141/5 151/6 92/6 93/6 95/9 95/12 165/24 169/21 179/13 159/2 191/25 196/22 204/8 151/7 152/3 153/4 184/2 184/4 185/10 204/23 204/24 205/3 96/8 **September 2020 [4]** 162/22 163/13 167/2 scroll [1] 180/1 197/16 197/23 198/3 1/22 67/19 67/23 205/9 167/11 168/6 169/24 **scrupulous [2]** 133/7 198/4 198/11 205/11 152/17 SGH [2] 192/11 170/4 171/13 171/21 206/2 207/16 208/12 **September 2021 [2]** 193/23 187/2 171/25 172/24 174/1 scrutinise [2] 136/10 seeing [7] 2/9 62/2 99/1 119/9 SGH Global [1] 176/12 179/5 180/3 137/22 76/1 77/25 92/5 133/1 sequence [1] 190/5 193/23 180/22 180/25 182/10 scrutiny [5] 120/13 197/20 series [2] 150/8 SGH Limited [1] 183/6 185/25 187/1 137/18 138/1 160/22 seek [3] 73/12 170/1 192/11 187/17 190/14 192/13 159/15 173/10 serious [3] 170/4 shall [3] 39/23 97/24 160/22 193/7 194/10 195/20 177/10 180/15 145/21 sea [1] 75/3 seeking [9] 47/12 196/4 197/20 199/10 shaped [4] 106/18 **sea-based [1]** 75/3 71/1 71/15 74/4 92/11 seriously [1] 77/20 200/4 200/8 200/22 **seaport** [1] 74/25 92/23 93/19 154/22 servants [8] 142/9 110/19 118/1 138/14 204/1 208/25 sec [1] 121/18 173/7 169/16 169/17 170/13 shaping [1] 161/14 say-so [1] 109/12 **share [5]** 76/3 80/8 **second [37]** 4/6 19/7 200/10 201/3 201/7 seem [2] 170/8 saying [24] 54/11 30/13 33/20 34/6 51/9 118/17 154/2 154/5 180/14 206/7 55/13 60/19 64/15 52/20 53/18 59/1 seemed [6] 12/22 serve [1] 33/22 **shared [7]** 38/10 78/1 85/21 97/13 64/8 180/17 195/3 59/21 65/12 70/14 served [5] 98/20 42/14 63/6 64/4 125/3 104/19 130/21 148/13 79/7 79/8 90/14 91/22 205/14 207/11 98/25 165/8 165/18 185/7 197/3 157/14 178/13 178/18 92/3 92/8 93/3 99/13 seems [3] 104/22 190/19 shares [1] 192/10 186/3 187/22 188/1 sharing [8] 59/2 63/4 106/7 113/6 120/15 163/6 180/20 service [7] 99/24 194/6 194/7 200/16 121/1 121/13 121/23 seen [13] 102/15 152/7 183/2 199/13 115/1 119/1 119/4 203/8 203/17 203/20 125/19 126/3 127/8 111/16 123/20 129/7 199/21 200/23 200/24 124/17 135/1 139/9 203/24 206/5 **Sharma [1]** 1/3 134/23 146/6 152/12 133/9 157/4 183/20 **services** [9] 106/5 says [14] 3/14 36/20 183/21 185/23 191/15 116/25 116/25 127/19 she [2] 94/10 144/17 168/7 172/9 186/5 91/2 109/20 127/13 206/2 206/4 191/16 196/10 197/19 134/23 135/19 159/4 **sheet [2]** 208/10 142/7 142/23 173/2 secondly [6] 79/18 segmentation [1] 161/18 162/25 208/15 173/5 179/14 182/18 105/21 117/21 150/24 81/13 serving [1] 99/4 **Shehadeh [3]** 40/3 186/16 194/9 201/9 160/16 161/24 seized [1] 32/5 set [38] 2/7 2/8 4/14 45/2 64/8 scale [29] 6/17 10/10 shelf [2] 33/2 68/15 secretariat [1] 61/23 15/17 18/17 19/3 **selected [1]** 13/7 13/6 13/12 13/24 24/11 29/14 35/11 secretary [27] 5/13 selecting [1] 14/8 shift [1] 121/3 14/11 14/25 15/1 25/9 18/9 43/22 51/25 53/1 43/7 43/8 44/5 68/23 **shifted [1]** 126/5 **self [1]** 48/14 26/4 26/6 30/15 34/2 98/21 99/5 104/8 self-declaration [1] 73/2 83/24 89/19 **shifting [1]** 111/2 67/13 67/22 83/22 108/5 115/25 121/1 48/14 101/8 104/7 104/11 **shipment** [1] 178/1 104/5 139/11 139/14 121/13 121/23 123/1 106/19 107/15 110/1 sell [1] 149/16 short [10] 14/4 27/23 139/22 140/4 140/19 128/18 135/13 140/10 sellers [1] 76/5 122/14 123/7 123/9 40/1 57/22 98/1 104/3 140/21 140/24 141/1 141/15 144/17 149/5 sellers' [1] 158/9 131/3 131/7 139/7 124/1 145/18 145/23 141/5 151/3 177/18 151/16 152/18 153/18 selling [1] 76/2 149/2 149/3 152/12 172/24 208/4 154/11 154/16 177/2 170/15 171/6 171/18 shortage [5] 9/7 send [3] 168/3 scale-up [1] 30/15 177/13 179/20 179/25 172/14 190/13 191/6 40/16 167/22 168/5 **scaled [1]** 154/10 senior [5] 19/9 99/13 secs [1] 121/20 207/11 177/3 **scaling [1]** 9/3 144/15 161/6 181/18 sets [6] 4/23 5/1 sector [17] 2/13 7/13 **shortages** [1] 166/7 **Scheme [1]** 28/12 8/10 10/19 11/10 seniority [1] 183/15 42/16 72/16 78/12 **shortfall [1]** 12/19 scientific [1] 60/7 sensation [1] 171/21 36/10 36/13 37/16 100/16 **shorthand** [1] 167/1 scope [2] 98/24 42/10 67/4 82/19 sense [10] 9/20 setting [14] 20/13 **should [42]** 14/23 114/8 99/22 100/18 161/9 32/8 33/25 39/2 53/19 45/22 45/24 47/23 20/19 46/23 48/7 65/7 scoping [1] 93/14 161/13 162/11 162/20 55/6 60/11 63/25 66/6 85/17 85/25 55/17 58/9 58/12 scores [1] 141/8 secure [10] 52/1 80/8 64/12 66/8 66/10 76/23 77/16 107/20 86/18 118/2 131/22 Scotland [6] 38/19 112/1 121/8 130/2 sensible [2] 84/23 157/20 190/4 194/22 66/23 68/5 74/1 74/13

42/12 58/25 66/15 66/3 66/18 68/3 74/10 128/22 137/23 161/24 S solved [2] 29/15 84/7 92/2 29/16 87/1 95/4 109/2 120/9 182/15 should... [27] 82/20 single [6] 85/8 solving [1] 11/16 123/18 162/7 163/2 specifically [11] 70/6 90/19 111/25 112/15 some [101] 2/14 3/10 72/14 83/7 114/1 123/23 174/4 185/22 163/4 163/5 163/21 112/16 115/5 115/6 185/24 185/25 3/20 8/17 8/21 12/8 170/20 178/8 193/14 122/8 131/3 132/16 115/7 116/4 116/5 sit [2] 145/8 200/7 18/15 20/9 22/9 23/23 **sort [49]** 10/24 13/19 160/9 166/11 178/22 119/1 124/2 125/10 site [2] 79/19 80/8 25/1 25/15 25/19 27/4 21/18 23/13 24/14 204/5 126/6 134/24 138/13 27/5 27/21 29/22 32/22 33/6 45/8 61/23 sits [2] 193/22 specification [2] 149/18 156/6 157/6 193/23 30/16 32/17 33/10 70/9 82/12 87/15 36/16 36/23 170/11 180/21 187/5 33/12 33/12 33/14 114/25 122/20 134/3 **sitting [3]** 169/11 speed [15] 10/10 191/7 192/18 193/1 204/14 204/20 34/16 35/2 35/22 139/10 160/11 167/17 15/10 16/8 16/14 195/15 203/12 18/16 25/4 29/8 52/14 situation [16] 5/9 42/14 42/25 44/8 170/8 170/13 171/6 shouldn't [2] 85/14 57/5 65/22 65/25 45/24 51/15 52/22 171/8 171/15 171/17 53/11 104/2 105/3 87/9 84/19 90/15 110/8 53/10 56/1 66/25 171/18 172/23 174/3 105/12 105/23 127/18 **show [3]** 110/11 67/18 69/20 70/9 70/9 176/1 177/15 177/20 111/9 148/18 159/4 162/5 131/24 157/13 167/19 175/24 192/21 70/24 73/8 78/12 178/4 179/24 181/6 **speeded [1]** 106/6 **showed [3]** 130/19 181/11 181/17 182/23 speeding [3] 16/17 205/23 206/9 208/5 80/21 81/6 81/7 81/10 150/6 154/12 182/24 183/7 183/18 **situations** [2] 56/25 82/2 82/8 82/12 85/2 19/17 52/15 **shown [1]** 182/14 speedy [3] 91/3 91/5 85/11 87/25 89/8 184/5 188/1 190/22 110/7 **shows [6]** 51/23 90/16 97/3 102/9 192/15 193/4 194/15 six [1] 10/4 128/14 124/3 135/1 154/16 102/9 102/10 105/6 194/21 196/6 204/9 size [5] 4/3 104/10 spend [14] 101/8 155/20 158/21 109/16 140/18 140/24 108/6 112/9 117/3 204/21 104/19 105/2 109/16 sic [3] 28/11 33/9 skilled [1] 56/6 118/19 119/6 119/13 **sorting [2]** 78/22 125/18 138/6 138/9 184/18 **Skinnydip** [1] 184/18 128/2 128/4 134/2 171/9 139/16 139/25 140/3 side [2] 36/14 36/14 slide [1] 36/19 134/17 135/12 135/21 sorts [4] 33/1 55/23 143/11 143/13 143/22 sifting [1] 14/24 138/16 138/19 141/16 56/2 206/2 144/1 slide's [1] 37/4 **sight [1]** 116/1 slight [1] 190/18 148/8 154/5 157/18 spending [30] 99/21 sought [3] 81/4 **sign [2]** 6/11 113/15 157/24 158/11 168/22 130/11 160/9 slightly [6] 21/11 99/22 101/1 101/7 **sign-off [1]** 113/15 39/24 55/8 72/15 169/11 171/11 173/21 sound [2] 55/8 102/18 103/3 103/4 signal [1] 3/19 173/22 174/14 174/18 194/25 103/7 104/9 104/17 104/22 132/9 signalling [1] 127/23 180/19 180/23 181/18 sounded [4] 190/10 slowed [1] 132/14 105/12 105/18 105/20 **signed [5]** 98/15 slowing [2] 60/23 183/19 183/22 185/16 190/12 190/21 207/21 106/23 109/15 110/18 129/9 135/14 164/10 111/7 112/15 113/12 122/8 186/14 186/18 187/23 sounds [3] 46/17 176/5 197/11 198/7 198/7 slowly [2] 60/18 87/2 189/23 116/12 116/14 117/22 significant [14] 3/15 68/14 202/7 204/24 205/2 **source [5]** 37/13 119/18 121/5 124/5 44/23 56/17 72/2 small [15] 5/21 14/18 112/14 135/25 169/25 130/25 131/14 141/10 somebody [6] 17/21 77/25 79/9 128/11 14/25 15/5 23/2 36/5 21/16 22/18 91/15 177/21 143/7 160/12 130/25 132/19 139/25 **sources [3]** 2/15 67/13 67/22 69/8 spends [1] 141/11 97/19 186/4 140/18 147/9 153/5 69/14 69/19 74/7 168/17 173/18 **somehow [2]** 176/10 spent [5] 14/24 160/11 104/21 125/4 133/9 83/24 161/25 168/9 186/9 **sourcing [5]** 28/12 significantly [4] 28/5 small-scale [3] 14/25 someone [8] 46/23 29/6 166/20 166/25 140/12 88/3 117/5 148/20 67/13 67/22 84/24 145/15 190/11 167/25 **spheres** [1] 43/17 silo [1] 134/7 smaller [1] 25/12 190/19 191/7 197/9 spike [3] 74/22 74/25 **spaces [1]** 43/25 **siloing [2]** 115/2 **Smith [2]** 90/10 91/2 197/10 **span [2]** 119/8 75/6 115/8 smooth [2] 16/3 16/8 | Someone else [1] 119/11 **spiking [1]** 128/2 silos [2] 116/6 119/4 smoothly [1] 80/18 speak [10] 31/22 197/9 **spirit** [1] 154/13 **similar [6]** 13/19 something [19] 8/4 57/16 105/16 129/25 **spoke [8]** 53/18 so [343] 23/11 25/24 76/15 so a [1] 176/25 21/13 47/6 47/7 49/20 171/16 171/16 172/1 123/25 144/17 170/9 115/15 118/13 social [9] 2/24 5/14 70/23 76/14 83/18 174/7 181/5 196/1 190/6 190/22 194/24 Simon [1] 164/7 6/14 13/4 28/2 78/9 99/11 122/21 140/21 speaking [5] 40/11 205/14 simple [7] 43/2 46/8 99/6 102/1 120/4 175/3 178/25 181/8 167/17 190/11 199/22 spoken [7] 45/3 55/13 65/15 69/7 socially [1] 166/3 183/25 202/3 202/25 203/7 112/3 189/19 189/20 83/10 83/10 203/22 204/2 soft [1] 190/18 **speaks** [1] 47/13 189/21 190/2 190/5 simpler [1] 32/25 **softly [1]** 45/3 sometime [1] 92/2 **spear [1]** 204/13 **spot [4]** 61/9 74/10 **simplified [1]** 71/21 sold [2] 149/9 177/24 sometimes [7] 25/18 specialist [4] 7/4 74/11 190/19 simplistic [1] 64/23 sole [1] 167/11 46/13 57/2 57/2 68/13 11/9 28/14 208/11 spread [1] 45/23 **simply [14]** 21/8 **solicitors** [1] 197/3 69/1 163/6 specialists [1] 27/6 **sprint [5]** 9/8 9/16 21/19 45/13 56/6 56/9 **solidified** [1] 96/19 soon [4] 129/22 specific [23] 9/18 9/25 10/4 11/2 86/11 105/3 107/22 36/7 44/16 46/22 sprints [4] 9/1 9/2 9/5 138/14 167/23 195/21 **solidity [1]** 169/8 124/14 134/6 169/5 sooner [3] 137/16 50/10 54/16 54/24 11/22 **solution [2]** 85/19 174/23 182/3 203/21 92/18 138/12 138/13 59/19 59/25 60/19 Sri [1] 166/23 simultaneously [1] sorry [25] 4/22 39/20 staff [8] 20/21 28/18 61/21 64/6 66/24 solutions [2] 85/22 17/2 44/8 45/10 47/21 73/14 73/15 75/17 28/19 28/21 73/8 156/23 since [7] 2/1 42/1 solve [2] 3/12 15/15 47/22 49/21 64/23 83/12 84/16 88/19 90/13 91/2 127/22

72/22 28/5 67/10 76/10 S stuff [2] 165/3 180/16 summarised [1] stenographer [1] subject [9] 7/9 25/8 154/20 148/16 175/9 177/18 staffing [1] 130/20 55/3 55/7 91/12 93/24 summary [1] 133/10 145/17 support [22] 3/2 7/18 stage [17] 4/1 5/19 11/17 11/21 15/12 step [2] 82/7 125/15 101/16 103/22 155/9 **summer [2]** 134/4 15/23 18/3 23/12 **Stephen [1]** 98/12 submissions [1] 159/9 26/19 27/4 28/9 28/12 30/14 88/23 115/23 **Sumner [14]** 188/14 29/4 29/6 30/22 34/8 **steps [2]** 157/21 159/16 131/10 134/20 153/12 submit [1] 145/13 189/10 189/16 189/18 34/17 35/5 71/25 195/25 155/15 160/25 181/6 191/21 192/1 193/18 90/18 115/19 120/13 Steve [3] 98/5 98/6 submitted [2] 143/21 190/2 192/25 208/1 210/12 195/13 195/20 196/3 166/9 195/20 196/2 150/5 stages [4] 70/8 89/4 204/5 205/14 206/21 STEVE BARCLAY [2] subsequent [4] supported [5] 9/14 92/20 104/16 98/6 210/12 53/14 151/17 154/15 206/24 10/13 13/20 25/19 stakeholder [1] 157/15 still [7] 23/23 63/20 **sums [5]** 101/14 111/7 100/7 101/15 123/25 126/15 supporting [6] 2/20 65/9 75/21 90/21 92/7 subsequently [3] stand [2] 15/2 32/24 129/6 133/14 167/6 186/9 161/25 12/17 19/12 19/24 **standard [2]** 36/17 stints [1] 99/4 subsidiaries [1] **Sunday [1]** 180/4 144/18 190/10 48/18 supervised [1] supportive' [1] stock [13] 108/25 192/12 standardised [1] 122/16 122/17 131/4 **substance** [1] 159/8 103/22 195/24 32/10 134/18 136/20 136/22 substantial [1] **supplied [6]** 28/1 suppose [4] 109/9 standards [17] 16/21 140/16 140/16 140/16 101/22 45/19 75/4 92/14 186/21 186/25 198/16 17/5 17/7 17/8 17/19 140/17 140/20 141/2 198/9 205/3 supps [1] 143/9 substantive [1] 19/6 22/6 41/23 42/11 stockpile [1] 140/24 161/14 supplier [5] 85/6 sure [24] 12/18 17/6 43/20 49/21 51/13 stockpiling [1] 35/17 subsumes [1] 85/14 179/2 186/19 187/11 17/16 17/19 21/14 51/16 51/16 53/16 stocks [1] 107/21 success [3] 29/1 205/5 27/11 27/15 44/3 47/5 72/1 74/12 stood [3] 35/11 147/9 39/17 192/12 **suppliers [24]** 10/1 47/7 54/20 56/24 standing [1] 29/22 19/12 55/20 55/21 62/22 79/9 84/9 193/11 successful [5] 7/5 start [16] 2/5 8/8 9/15 stop [5] 47/1 54/25 7/17 8/7 24/25 40/23 55/21 55/24 70/3 70/3 104/15 129/24 129/25 10/15 16/10 26/2 146/3 174/10 176/8 64/18 64/19 105/13 **succinct** [1] 145/10 73/18 93/16 96/1 84/25 87/13 90/8 176/20 180/20 186/24 stops [1] 64/17 such [24] 8/25 34/7 106/9 106/11 112/25 119/16 119/25 138/10 store [1] 141/4 35/6 64/23 71/8 97/19 114/19 128/10 173/19 **Surely [1]** 203/3 139/24 141/12 146/8 story [1] 178/3 surprised [4] 125/23 106/3 108/24 110/3 174/16 175/7 187/16 194/15 137/9 137/12 167/18 straight [1] 186/10 111/22 112/25 114/5 202/2 202/7 202/8 started [14] 5/10 strategic [3] 36/21 121/9 124/23 125/8 202/12 surprising [1] 137/15 5/23 19/16 29/17 63/5 99/21 125/12 126/14 131/11 suppliers' [2] 110/15 surprisingly [1] 57/19 80/22 91/18 **strategies** [1] 30/20 147/1 148/23 153/25 128/10 154/6 92/16 92/19 139/14 **strategy [12]** 1/15 161/19 199/20 201/17 **supplies [13]** 52/10 surveillance [29] 146/10 172/17 172/19 1/24 2/19 18/8 24/21 suchlike [1] 26/10 75/20 111/10 111/22 43/3 43/4 43/6 43/11 172/24 43/13 43/19 43/20 31/11 33/10 35/5 35/9 sudden [1] 121/3 112/2 128/12 131/24 starting [5] 96/8 35/14 38/5 164/17 135/19 139/20 143/19 43/24 44/2 44/4 44/9 suddenly [2] 55/20 96/12 96/15 101/14 45/20 51/8 51/12 stream [4] 76/18 56/5 161/22 166/8 177/4 149/2 76/18 76/24 134/16 51/17 53/9 53/24 sufficient [15] 51/4 supply [73] 5/3 6/8 starts [1] 194/24 streamlined [2] 73/9 83/21 117/11 7/12 7/23 8/11 10/2 53/25 54/8 54/17 state [9] 5/14 51/25 31/24 32/15 117/17 117/24 153/6 35/18 36/14 40/17 54/19 55/15 58/3 53/1 98/11 99/5 153/8 streamlining [1] 30/4 155/18 155/20 155/21 47/12 49/7 52/1 52/15 60/14 62/5 62/11 164/6 177/2 177/13 155/24 161/17 162/25 52/19 55/12 56/2 57/2 **streams [1]** 78/18 62/12 73/1 81/19 state's [2] 18/10 **street [2]** 166/19 204/10 208/4 75/2 75/3 78/7 79/5 suspect [5] 65/13 43/22 184/25 189/13 205/25 79/5 79/13 80/14 166/20 sufficiently [2] 3/18 stated [1] 201/21 92/12 93/21 93/22 **stress [2]** 57/9 136/9 207/13 statement [32] 1/7 152/19 suggest [4] 39/9 94/21 96/2 106/2 suspected [1] 168/8 1/10 1/14 15/9 36/4 stretch [1] 143/11 143/11 158/20 162/24 106/13 106/17 107/11 sweet [1] 61/9 41/3 41/5 41/9 41/10 **stretched** [1] 22/10 **suggested [3]** 84/10 111/24 112/17 113/3 sworn [7] 40/5 98/6 60/20 68/23 83/1 94/9 strict [1] 18/20 156/13 156/14 121/8 129/16 130/1 164/1 164/2 210/8 95/16 98/14 103/5 strong [1] 31/14 suggesting [6] 108/2 130/2 130/3 130/19 210/12 210/18 105/6 106/17 106/23 130/3 133/17 133/18 131/23 134/12 134/22 sympathy [1] 40/14 stronger [1] 59/6 161/3 161/5 164/9 135/21 139/23 141/18 system [29] 7/21 **struck [5]** 105/22 133/19 144/5 167/2 173/2 191/8 130/7 137/15 144/2 suggestion [6] 20/23 147/3 149/8 150/1 15/17 16/1 16/22 191/18 191/18 193/15 144/6 69/24 93/22 94/4 153/2 153/13 155/15 20/14 24/11 25/3 26/1 195/8 197/2 197/16 132/17 144/21 155/17 158/8 161/1 33/7 58/4 63/7 63/8 **structural** [1] 84/10 197/20 161/18 161/22 162/25 70/4 73/16 73/16 **structure [3]** 39/2 suggestions [2] **statements** [2] 40/10 166/8 166/24 166/24 74/20 77/8 86/5 82/20 208/18 34/21 183/3 168/23 167/13 167/23 168/6 174/10 176/11 177/4 struggling [2] 2/14 suitable [2] 108/25 **states [1]** 94/10 168/15 168/17 169/25 179/12 180/8 180/10 96/11 185/3 stating [1] 195/13 stuck [5] 180/19 sum [2] 38/16 123/22 171/24 172/23 177/21 183/23 183/23 196/13 **statute** [1] 65/21 180/23 180/25 181/3 summarise [1] 204/19 206/22 **statutory [2]** 62/13 201/14 122/12 **supplying [7]** 26/9 systemic [3] 133/2

(84) staffing - systemic

9/20 9/25 10/10 10/12 149/1 151/5 153/18 187/10 190/13 191/6 159/5 159/15 159/16 systemic... [2] 135/5 10/13 10/17 10/22 157/9 158/25 167/25 199/23 200/11 201/7 168/1 168/3 168/4 135/6 12/7 13/22 17/10 175/6 204/3 204/23 203/24 207/13 207/14 170/9 171/14 171/18 systems [8] 4/13 17/21 19/16 22/11 test [6] 22/10 57/9 that it [1] 118/25 174/16 183/3 183/10 19/4 47/17 47/19 57/9 25/20 26/3 27/22 108/2 108/10 108/12 that's [83] 1/21 1/25 183/23 183/23 183/24 57/12 58/6 160/3 29/17 33/23 36/5 36/6 190/12 2/4 4/21 8/5 8/20 186/10 187/20 187/24 T 11/10 21/15 26/11 202/5 202/25 203/5 36/7 81/25 91/14 tested [1] 22/7 91/21 123/13 126/23 testing [23] 18/21 27/14 33/6 34/1 35/7 205/13 205/21 table [3] 78/12 128/7 129/2 129/6 37/4 40/23 41/25 48/8 theme [3] 20/18 20/22 21/21 21/23 113/10 131/22 157/7 173/7 176/20 22/7 22/9 22/16 22/20 48/22 50/1 58/10 59/6 34/15 119/2 tackle [1] 16/17 183/6 183/11 190/10 22/22 22/25 23/3 23/8 59/6 61/7 62/21 64/6 **themes [5]** 119/15 tactical [1] 62/1 192/24 194/21 196/14 49/10 49/11 49/12 64/25 65/9 77/20 133/2 138/25 138/25 tailing [1] 102/20 206/6 206/6 208/14 81/5 81/6 94/14 95/18 82/14 83/23 84/20 139/6 tailored [1] 69/20 85/1 85/9 86/24 95/19 themselves [12] teams [8] 2/20 9/16 96/5 120/5 130/11 take [51] 14/23 18/1 10/4 10/19 72/1 74/12 130/16 96/23 98/22 98/24 11/12 49/10 51/10 20/1 20/6 23/6 23/14 205/20 208/11 99/14 100/3 100/20 113/1 118/5 118/21 tests [2] 15/24 22/18 24/16 25/7 27/20 technical [10] 49/8 text [2] 53/10 189/2 111/12 120/7 123/4 155/5 174/16 175/7 28/18 30/22 33/17 55/3 60/7 70/5 81/18 than [36] 9/20 12/12 123/8 131/19 136/13 175/22 190/20 202/8 39/23 49/19 49/22 92/6 168/15 170/10 12/13 16/9 17/2 21/11 140/23 142/21 152/23 then [145] 2/1 3/23 52/12 54/14 54/21 22/2 28/1 36/14 53/20 156/11 161/3 164/22 5/13 7/25 10/15 13/24 179/11 204/15 64/9 64/10 66/24 82/7 technically [3] 45/22 57/16 58/16 64/1 164/24 165/10 166/2 14/13 16/1 16/2 16/2 84/14 84/14 87/10 180/9 205/18 65/14 71/16 76/18 167/5 167/14 175/3 16/15 19/3 19/11 21/8 94/6 96/16 101/3 techniques [6] 12/11 83/17 87/3 87/7 93/21 179/5 179/6 179/8 21/9 21/10 21/21 101/6 119/1 119/13 13/19 26/20 26/24 101/5 107/9 115/3 179/17 180/11 181/3 24/13 27/3 32/7 34/6 123/16 125/15 126/16 27/1 27/5 121/22 129/4 136/4 181/7 181/11 182/17 34/20 35/16 42/1 43/8 126/18 128/16 132/2 140/20 141/2 156/13 183/18 184/8 184/24 45/19 47/1 49/9 49/10 telephone [1] 194/23 135/5 138/21 142/3 145/10 145/16 145/18 tell [13] 17/24 36/20 184/22 184/25 195/4 185/7 188/3 189/8 51/9 52/24 58/16 44/14 49/18 103/5 196/5 201/8 207/10 194/16 195/2 196/6 58/25 62/21 63/3 65/3 167/16 176/17 180/21 118/10 150/3 152/11 207/22 196/7 196/7 196/10 66/16 69/2 70/10 188/3 188/7 191/7 158/24 170/24 184/13 196/15 198/3 205/13 thank [70] 18/11 25/7 70/16 70/18 72/22 199/23 202/10 191/9 197/1 35/19 37/20 37/21 their [44] 3/3 9/21 73/11 74/19 75/2 75/3 taken [11] 37/11 52/4 telling [1] 155/1 38/24 39/15 39/16 11/23 15/12 23/9 32/5 75/8 75/8 75/13 78/8 52/22 58/7 72/17 template [4] 21/7 39/17 39/18 39/21 33/23 40/18 46/24 79/18 79/22 80/1 80/3 102/24 103/2 113/4 21/9 21/18 33/3 39/22 40/13 40/19 50/15 55/22 56/5 81/1 81/13 81/22 130/4 131/15 166/14 ten [7] 5/24 70/7 71/7 41/2 51/19 52/12 59/11 59/12 69/15 81/24 82/2 82/2 82/4 takes [2] 38/24 86/21 69/16 70/13 71/5 71/11 71/15 71/16 54/14 72/12 75/16 84/22 84/24 86/7 taking [11] 14/22 78/4 78/11 82/6 86/12 74/15 76/1 83/1 97/19 87/13 91/1 91/2 91/7 145/17 20/10 20/20 23/12 tend [2] 84/1 84/1 109/15 113/2 117/15 91/21 92/18 92/19 86/15 87/16 87/20 23/18 24/25 31/2 97/15 97/16 97/17 117/15 118/18 120/25 92/20 95/22 95/23 tendering [2] 31/16 64/25 96/20 97/3 141/8 97/21 97/22 97/23 121/5 121/6 148/9 96/21 96/24 97/4 163/20 tenders [1] 31/15 98/13 98/18 99/9 149/1 152/25 154/3 102/3 104/8 105/1 talk [5] 7/14 15/13 103/2 105/15 117/6 154/3 156/7 174/5 106/19 107/11 108/4 tends [1] 44/12 22/2 29/5 195/16 174/7 175/8 175/10 108/9 114/1 114/6 **Teneo [2]** 164/21 123/17 126/17 128/13 talked [5] 35/13 63/4 128/13 136/2 144/24 176/11 201/3 201/9 116/1 116/8 117/21 165/1 63/5 80/13 96/23 Teneo's [1] 164/16 117/23 118/22 120/8 149/22 159/13 159/20 201/14 talking [11] 24/15 161/3 163/2 163/5 them [84] 5/18 6/10 125/24 126/5 127/2 tens [1] 13/2 33/1 38/20 46/19 term [11] 24/10 24/20 163/11 163/16 163/17 7/21 8/3 8/8 8/17 8/21 127/18 127/25 128/7 76/17 77/1 77/9 86/18 43/11 44/4 44/17 164/4 164/8 164/8 10/14 11/24 12/14 132/21 135/18 136/17 86/22 114/12 158/15 44/19 45/21 45/22 12/15 17/8 17/11 18/4 139/14 140/5 143/3 165/4 165/24 195/11 talks [2] 105/6 96/7 113/16 190/16 198/21 199/3 200/15 18/13 19/5 19/13 21/8 143/3 145/8 147/21 135/23 termed [1] 146/13 206/11 206/14 208/22 21/17 21/19 23/8 149/8 149/9 149/20 tantamount [1] termination [1] 134/3 208/23 208/25 209/1 23/12 23/14 26/21 151/4 151/19 152/15 148/18 209/2 26/25 27/7 31/25 153/7 155/9 155/10 terms [48] 5/8 5/25 targets [1] 31/11 8/7 8/19 12/22 14/7 thanks [4] 91/3 91/5 44/12 45/18 46/24 157/8 159/7 167/6 task [3] 47/10 90/21 14/10 14/17 30/3 30/4 91/9 195/20 46/25 48/21 58/8 59/3 168/3 168/7 168/12 113/20 30/5 41/16 42/25 43/2 that [1421] 60/13 63/15 64/17 169/19 170/7 170/9 tasked [2] 11/16 94/1 44/8 46/9 49/17 59/18 that I [32] 2/20 38/10 66/14 68/21 68/24 171/15 172/25 174/5 tasks [2] 22/11 47/10 69/19 79/9 89/20 38/23 65/13 84/9 69/9 69/18 70/13 174/20 175/11 176/4 taught [1] 89/6 103/18 105/23 108/1 125/2 127/24 128/16 70/16 70/22 70/22 179/1 179/10 180/6 taxpayer [1] 116/13 166/23 168/7 169/19 110/16 110/16 112/8 70/25 72/14 74/12 180/13 190/4 194/17 team [56] 2/16 2/16 112/20 115/11 117/12 172/24 174/22 174/23 76/3 80/2 110/23 194/20 194/24 195/1 2/17 3/11 3/15 4/4 197/21 197/22 198/19 117/16 117/18 118/18 175/24 178/18 179/23 111/1 119/12 123/4 4/11 4/16 5/10 5/12 118/22 121/2 124/14 179/24 185/12 185/22 131/10 133/13 145/8 200/18 201/2

134/5 139/21 147/20

185/24 186/4 186/14

147/15 150/10 155/18

5/21 6/1 8/21 8/23 9/3

S

31/3 44/20 46/13 113/11 114/18 114/19 191/4 191/6 193/2 121/22 123/17 124/1 114/20 115/21 115/22 48/11 48/21 59/7 194/25 196/19 201/10 124/6 125/7 126/14 there [245] thoughts [1] 145/14 116/5 117/1 117/11 70/16 82/11 106/9 127/7 133/12 134/9 there's [36] 25/20 117/16 118/8 118/17 117/5 153/7 160/20 **thousands [2]** 13/2 136/9 136/16 137/17 36/19 44/3 47/3 48/17 119/11 121/8 122/10 third-party [4] 18/21 187/25 137/21 137/24 137/25 53/10 56/1 58/21 65/1 128/20 129/25 132/5 44/20 48/11 48/21 thread [2] 90/8 91/18 138/18 138/19 139/12 65/6 69/13 82/13 132/6 136/14 149/20 three [19] 10/7 44/22 143/17 145/2 145/18 this [273] 84/17 84/17 85/20 150/1 150/4 150/21 Thomas [9] 35/24 148/19 155/12 164/15 47/16 48/12 55/19 90/24 91/1 93/5 151/2 151/2 151/4 35/25 37/21 159/21 56/13 58/21 70/12 166/3 166/16 166/17 103/17 106/10 107/7 152/19 154/4 154/4 159/22 159/24 163/2 110/25 111/2 119/11 178/1 178/19 178/19 115/10 136/25 139/19 154/6 156/5 159/6 210/5 210/16 147/13 172/3 177/4 184/9 186/18 187/11 139/23 141/7 143/13 those [147] 7/15 7/18 162/23 169/1 169/18 184/16 184/19 184/23 189/21 191/11 192/13 144/2 144/12 155/2 169/19 170/6 170/8 8/13 9/12 9/14 10/12 184/25 185/8 192/14 193/16 202/21 159/17 178/23 178/24 208/9 170/8 171/6 171/8 10/24 11/1 11/3 12/8 three days' [1] 177/4 185/10 200/5 200/9 171/13 172/5 172/20 12/14 12/17 13/7 **through [87]** 6/10 timeframe [3] 9/17 therefore [16] 21/9 174/3 174/4 174/7 13/21 13/25 14/5 14/8 14/24 15/13 15/19 14/23 133/1 44/18 50/19 57/23 15/23 17/11 18/3 18/4 timely [2] 144/20 174/19 174/19 175/21 14/13 15/11 15/13 72/19 77/14 96/5 17/7 17/7 17/8 17/20 177/21 180/16 182/3 18/20 20/7 21/17 144/22 106/15 114/24 117/24 183/7 183/16 183/16 18/16 18/24 20/6 21/4 21/19 22/25 23/7 times [25] 32/21 125/21 126/2 129/10 183/24 184/20 185/1 24/16 29/7 29/19 33/4 23/12 23/14 24/9 35/13 49/4 49/22 50/1 130/6 137/3 155/6 185/14 187/21 190/19 36/24 37/20 40/14 24/17 25/1 33/17 64/3 56/7 67/15 67/18 68/1 these [52] 17/22 194/15 200/25 201/4 40/16 43/8 43/24 70/4 70/14 70/19 68/5 73/15 82/24 83/8 19/24 25/18 28/7 201/10 201/10 201/12 44/10 46/9 46/12 70/25 71/5 74/1 74/5 84/23 92/13 108/6 32/11 40/22 41/1 44/8 74/20 76/12 77/11 108/6 110/12 126/11 201/13 203/8 203/9 46/15 46/21 47/4 47/7 48/11 52/4 52/8 56/12 205/4 206/9 208/11 48/1 48/2 48/3 49/1 77/22 80/17 80/18 133/6 144/5 157/23 56/24 62/17 67/14 55/19 56/9 56/13 80/25 81/7 81/23 82/3 163/7 201/16 201/19 208/14 70/11 71/7 71/15 85/8 85/13 88/3 91/6 they'd [5] 9/22 56/14 57/3 57/4 58/6 timescales [1] 71/18 81/21 92/15 59/12 59/13 59/15 92/11 93/21 94/3 118/20 174/21 174/21 129/21 101/14 101/15 103/11 174/23 61/20 61/24 62/7 96/13 97/4 97/10 timetabling [2] 163/6 110/4 110/7 114/11 they'll [1] 171/12 63/14 63/16 63/20 111/2 114/10 117/23 163/23 114/11 122/3 122/5 119/12 123/1 124/4 64/3 65/18 67/10 they're [12] 27/12 timing [2] 139/4 122/7 129/3 130/25 46/13 64/12 64/13 68/11 68/24 69/1 71/4 124/7 138/10 147/22 181/21 142/16 146/19 146/21 68/11 77/11 93/7 74/4 74/10 74/11 75/6 150/19 153/2 155/7 **tip [1]** 204/13 148/14 157/23 158/1 77/19 78/7 80/1 80/14 152/13 174/10 184/19 155/8 159/10 165/3 tirelessly [1] 40/21 158/14 160/2 161/7 200/12 200/24 80/23 82/5 83/12 170/1 171/6 171/7 today [4] 39/18 84/8 161/10 162/8 171/10 they've [1] 195/19 83/14 83/25 84/15 171/17 171/23 171/25 91/13 98/4 174/2 174/9 174/15 93/20 94/1 94/2 94/15 173/23 173/24 173/24 together [13] 45/4 thickness [1] 17/18 177/19 182/24 183/11 thing [9] 53/3 65/12 94/19 94/19 97/5 174/13 174/17 174/20 59/18 61/15 62/18 205/19 97/17 100/1 101/21 177/11 179/10 181/12 68/12 85/22 97/14 62/22 63/14 63/15 they [161] 7/18 7/20 168/7 178/5 186/5 102/3 102/4 104/9 182/5 182/6 185/7 66/17 73/5 85/1 85/12 7/22 8/4 8/14 8/20 9/2 200/22 105/9 105/12 105/13 185/13 187/15 187/21 158/20 183/10 9/6 9/23 9/25 10/25 things [54] 8/12 108/23 112/2 113/4 188/24 194/20 204/14 told [19] 71/20 86/16 11/22 11/24 12/13 11/20 20/9 32/17 113/21 114/21 114/23 throughout [6] 40/21 89/25 107/21 110/9 13/22 13/25 14/1 32/25 33/1 35/13 115/24 115/24 116/4 52/18 114/11 114/13 133/4 139/6 152/17 15/21 15/22 16/3 46/10 47/8 47/25 48/2 119/15 120/12 123/9 131/8 139/9 155/12 156/3 166/6 17/14 17/15 17/25 48/2 48/3 48/13 52/18 124/12 126/13 128/12 thrown [1] 162/21 170/18 170/20 177/3 20/1 26/2 26/18 26/20 55/19 56/2 56/13 57/4 129/13 133/21 134/2 tick [1] 161/16 179/20 179/25 190/19 26/23 27/5 27/6 27/8 137/24 138/15 139/7 58/21 58/22 59/3 ticket [1] 117/22 191/21 199/6 29/23 33/5 33/5 34/10 tomorrow [3] 195/22 59/12 59/13 62/8 64/3 139/25 142/23 143/19 tightly [1] 9/19 34/11 38/22 43/17 64/22 66/1 70/12 147/18 147/21 150/8 Tim [3] 1/4 1/5 210/3 196/1 209/4 44/10 46/14 46/18 80/13 80/22 82/5 154/9 154/10 155/4 time [84] 5/2 7/3 too [9] 40/7 54/25 47/7 47/8 47/9 49/4 89/20 92/16 122/15 161/20 161/21 168/9 10/18 14/15 15/7 60/18 81/25 85/21 49/5 49/7 49/12 51/3 125/2 131/16 133/24 168/13 168/18 173/18 16/12 17/2 19/16 22/3 98/8 123/17 145/5 56/10 57/13 58/9 134/2 136/16 147/13 174/12 184/19 185/5 22/14 24/18 25/21 200/5 59/12 61/6 63/2 66/1 153/22 167/24 172/20 26/21 27/23 28/7 185/5 188/19 191/11 took [19] 19/14 19/14 68/7 68/8 68/9 68/9 172/21 177/19 179/20 198/21 204/21 208/10 29/20 30/7 49/16 38/15 50/2 50/6 60/5 70/2 72/22 74/13 179/25 182/24 182/25 though [6] 31/15 55/24 56/13 57/1 61/8 70/10 77/19 81/25 74/18 76/13 76/17 186/2 191/5 206/3 115/1 162/21 163/25 62/25 73/13 75/8 86/24 106/19 117/3 76/22 76/25 77/4 182/17 191/14 208/15 75/10 76/16 79/17 120/15 125/16 140/11 77/24 80/2 80/20 81/9 83/23 84/5 85/10 175/21 189/13 199/22 think [281] thought [19] 9/23 81/11 85/6 87/5 87/12 thinking [8] 32/6 59/5 87/14 88/12 101/8 203/22 11/18 125/9 125/10 87/13 87/14 94/17 59/9 70/10 85/12 87/5 147/17 155/24 174/5 103/6 104/4 105/21 tool [3] 5/5 9/5 34/9 94/18 101/15 107/5 178/5 178/20 181/20 107/3 107/11 108/10 180/19 181/8 tooth [1] 177/20 110/16 110/20 113/10 top [12] 23/20 30/14 third [14] 4/6 18/21 184/5 189/25 190/14 108/16 117/3 119/2

120/10 120/14 120/22 turnover [2] 147/6 Т 39/3 118/18 154/5 undertaking [1] 121/21 121/22 124/7 205/7 **UK Government-led** 25/25 top... [10] 71/7 71/11 126/6 126/23 129/24 Twelve [1] 49/24 **[1]** 153/10 undertook [1] 22/11 71/15 71/16 78/18 130/21 131/23 132/14 twice [3] 107/24 **UK Governments [1]** unduly [1] 128/3 91/4 91/7 178/9 180/2 144/17 150/24 132/18 132/19 133/3 39/6 unfortunately [1] 180/13 136/12 136/17 136/21 **two [48]** 40/22 47/4 **UK manufacturers** 157/24 topic [2] 144/25 137/20 138/2 139/5 47/7 47/18 47/20 **[2]** 6/7 15/12 unilaterally [2] 155/5 147/24 143/12 143/12 143/18 50/14 50/23 52/18 **UK-wide [7]** 146/9 156/24 topics [1] 61/21 144/14 144/21 154/13 57/4 59/19 64/11 152/14 154/8 155/2 Union [3] 44/17 total [4] 38/16 89/17 155/17 156/9 158/21 64/14 64/17 64/22 155/7 155/13 159/10 50/24 51/6 101/7 166/18 161/8 161/23 65/8 70/1 86/8 87/12 ultimately [2] 50/15 unique [2] 121/24 **totally [2]** 54/20 Treasury's [4] 101/6 87/13 89/3 91/19 99/4 104/7 128/1 171/5 103/6 111/2 160/4 106/2 107/11 111/24 **Um [1]** 203/1 **unit [1]** 20/19 touch [7] 11/13 12/14 treatment [3] 118/4 121/20 125/21 130/1 unable [2] 153/13 United [6] 23/1 34/25 12/24 26/20 27/7 181/18 182/3 130/3 135/21 136/20 155/14 104/11 177/12 177/15 27/12 70/3 143/14 146/4 155/2 unaware [3] 182/11 183/25 trenchantly [1] touched [6] 45/12 United Kingdom [3] 158/25 166/3 167/21 186/18 187/11 201/13 50/2 87/23 131/2 **unblocking [1]** 18/15 trends [1] 74/10 169/17 169/18 172/2 23/1 34/25 104/11 139/3 142/2 unless [1] 181/18 triage [5] 18/3 91/11 173/15 173/18 174/2 uncertain [4] 112/23 touches [1] 142/4 unlike [1] 168/22 183/22 206/22 207/17 176/2 186/2 188/10 112/25 113/5 134/20 **Touching [1]** 38/3 triaged [3] 169/1 188/13 188/19 uncertainty [5] unlikely [2] 35/12 towards [6] 15/1 184/25 185/4 two million [1] 112/19 116/23 131/11 181/17 30/15 33/7 112/12 triaging [4] 174/9 188/13 131/12 157/25 unlock [1] 116/16 193/21 198/11 199/7 202/2 207/21 two weeks [3] 106/2 unclear [2] 90/21 unnecessary [1] 57/1 track [1] 74/1 tried [3] 69/17 79/19 107/11 136/20 unpaid [1] 165/8 92/7 trade [4] 75/20 111/9 79/22 two years [1] 89/3 uncomfortable [1] unprecedented [1] 116/13 141/16 trivialise [1] 64/24 type [4] 7/6 10/20 107/6 157/23 trade-offs [2] 111/9 trouble [1] 145/2 33/2 181/9 under [15] 36/21 unrealistic [1] 32/20 116/13 troubling [1] 169/9 types [2] 10/20 22/18 69/6 92/13 94/25 unsafe [7] 52/20 traded [1] 207/20 true [4] 1/10 41/5 100/11 101/25 115/22 56/15 72/8 77/3 93/22 trading [12] 42/11 118/17 119/14 122/10 98/15 164/11 94/5 127/19 43/20 72/1 74/6 74/11 UK [108] 2/5 2/11 trust [2] 122/5 132/20 132/21 136/14 until [13] 1/18 1/22 205/6 207/5 207/14 2/13 2/16 2/24 3/4 4/4 154/2 196/11 146/18 93/6 98/20 111/14 207/18 207/19 208/9 4/11 4/15 5/9 5/10 trusts [1] 26/10 underlying [1] 113/8 113/11 138/11 208/16 5/12 6/7 6/22 7/1 7/1 **truth [2]** 119/3 174/6 102/17 164/21 175/13 182/11 traditional [1] 75/3 try [17] 6/5 11/2 12/7 7/4 7/10 7/11 7/14 198/8 209/6 underpowered [1] training [2] 20/20 8/23 9/3 9/10 10/10 16/7 16/23 20/6 21/14 3/17 unusual [3] 129/13 81/23 12/18 12/22 13/17 24/12 70/3 70/11 181/8 181/9 understand [26] transaction [1] 15/12 20/5 22/9 23/3 92/25 131/16 134/8 22/12 39/20 54/20 up [100] 2/7 2/8 3/6 192/24 24/5 24/13 26/16 28/1 143/25 170/1 170/3 69/15 70/17 71/1 71/6 4/14 5/24 6/17 9/3 transactions [1] 28/3 28/4 28/6 28/17 200/11 71/19 76/16 92/9 93/1 10/4 10/8 10/10 14/19 188/19 28/25 29/15 29/17 trying [28] 4/17 7/19 94/19 112/12 113/19 14/22 15/2 15/17 16/9 transcript [1] 45/5 29/22 30/2 30/19 9/13 16/14 16/17 119/15 146/22 151/6 16/14 16/18 17/22 transfer [1] 103/18 21/15 21/17 22/11 30/21 31/7 31/14 152/10 172/5 175/25 18/7 19/17 20/18 23/7 transparency [13] 32/15 32/20 33/11 38/11 47/5 47/6 56/21 179/9 184/3 189/12 23/17 24/11 25/4 124/17 124/25 125/13 33/19 33/24 35/8 38/4 61/11 79/3 82/10 200/8 203/3 206/13 27/19 29/14 29/22 126/12 128/2 128/4 39/3 39/6 39/17 43/12 understandable [2] 30/15 32/24 34/2 93/14 115/21 128/1 133/8 133/19 133/20 48/10 50/10 50/13 35/11 36/20 39/1 43/1 128/4 128/8 152/20 125/10 137/4 134/24 160/5 160/17 50/15 51/16 51/20 168/13 174/8 179/8 understandably [1] 50/12 52/10 52/15 193/8 181/11 183/11 186/11 54/23 55/24 71/25 55/22 55/22 57/7 101/15 transparent [4] 186/6 72/3 72/9 73/23 74/5 207/25 understanding [17] 77/20 78/10 83/24 186/12 188/5 192/20 75/21 77/7 77/8 77/13 **Tuesday [1]** 157/13 4/11 4/13 4/25 5/8 86/15 89/19 89/23 treasurer [1] 165/21 77/16 83/19 87/22 6/25 7/3 15/25 19/25 90/6 91/1 91/7 92/2 Tulchan [1] 164/20 Treasury [63] 61/6 100/18 117/24 118/3 23/5 65/18 68/4 70/19 93/4 93/6 100/21 Turkish [1] 178/2 98/21 99/14 100/7 118/18 129/16 133/15 79/15 109/7 149/10 turn [25] 3/9 4/18 7/9 104/10 104/19 105/12 101/3 102/9 103/16 144/13 146/5 146/9 18/11 20/15 21/21 172/19 189/6 106/6 117/8 118/11 103/23 104/18 104/24 151/5 152/14 152/20 25/8 31/18 31/19 33/8 119/22 123/11 123/17 understood [12] 8/16 105/5 105/11 106/16 153/3 153/10 154/3 33/16 34/14 34/20 17/6 42/9 65/20 88/2 125/1 125/22 126/23 108/2 108/14 109/1 154/5 154/8 154/13 61/13 102/13 106/18 166/24 166/25 167/19 131/20 140/25 141/10 109/11 110/21 111/7 155/2 155/7 155/13 132/20 140/5 172/8 168/5 171/3 181/14 149/2 149/3 150/20 112/6 113/14 113/18 155/23 158/21 159/10 191/22 173/1 177/9 186/16 152/23 154/10 157/4 113/20 114/15 114/21 164/16 166/19 168/6 191/18 196/21 198/1 undertake [1] 133/7 161/4 163/20 167/16 115/14 115/23 116/11 176/19 199/5 171/6 171/18 173/10 turnaround [1] **undertaken [2]** 25/15 117/10 117/13 120/3 **UK Government [3]** 110/13 151/11 173/16 174/5 174/7

U up... [16] 174/11 175/13 175/16 178/14 178/16 179/2 180/1 180/2 180/16 185/1 185/5 185/9 191/18 196/24 198/1 198/25 updated [3] 67/14 67/18 68/1 updates [5] 20/13 30/12 173/7 173/11 175/11 upfront [3] 110/17 128/8 128/11 upheld [1] 161/9 uploaded [1] 78/23 upon [9] 11/13 32/14 33/6 50/3 72/5 87/23 152/20 189/25 193/10 uptick [2] 74/8 78/1 upturn [1] 137/2 urgency [10] 106/3 106/17 106/21 111/8 111/24 130/5 130/6 162/4 162/18 162/20 urgent [4] 103/8 105/19 107/22 175/24 urgently [1] 53/12 urges [1] 53/10 urging [1] 54/4 us [47] 7/14 9/1 10/5 11/19 13/6 15/13 21/1 21/22 40/8 41/8 44/14 49/18 51/15 53/13 59/6 71/6 71/20 74/18 81/25 83/3 89/6 89/25 94/15 97/6 103/3 103/5 105/13 110/21 112/1 118/10 122/12 131/25 132/1 150/3 152/11 152/17 155/1 156/3 158/24 160/3 171/19 184/13 191/9 195/19 197/1 199/6 205/22 usable [1] 30/24 usage [1] 131/12 use [28] 7/10 20/21 36/9 44/17 45/19 46/13 48/7 52/21 64/4 64/4 64/23 65/9 65/18 66/4 66/4 66/9 66/23 67/11 79/7 80/9 82/11 83/11 84/22 85/4 90/19 151/2 151/2 160/6 used [24] 9/6 12/7 15/22 28/2 32/11 43/9 44/4 46/4 47/15 51/14 68/22 69/16 74/3 51/15 63/11 65/1 74/22 75/16 76/22 65/24 66/6 76/23 77/4 77/19 79/24 80/21 79/8 96/7 100/14 81/8 81/20 85/10

124/14 149/8 181/10

190/17 useful [3] 50/19 69/17 167/3 user [1] 65/17 users [1] 36/23 uses [1] 81/10 using [10] 26/25 45/21 45/22 73/6 73/10 76/21 128/6 149/16 154/4 191/11 usual [2] 133/5 161/6 usually [1] 17/3 utilise [1] 160/4 vaccine [2] 134/16 134/19 valid [1] 200/14 valuable [1] 158/3 value [35] 8/21 14/14 26/13 32/22 62/6 62/8 69/21 75/5 85/2 99/23 106/22 107/2 107/12 107/17 108/1 108/4 108/11 108/24 109/10 109/23 110/20 129/2 129/11 130/6 132/15 138/3 140/14 141/9 143/18 144/1 149/6 149/13 150/16 156/21 192/11 values [1] 59/12 vantage [2] 26/5 28/24 various [9] 85/13 85/13 88/13 92/25 95/22 104/15 110/4 114/10 156/4 vary [2] 81/2 152/8 vast [6] 46/20 101/15 104/1 168/8 175/9 183/3 ventilators [5] 102/23 120/5 127/13 127/14 177/9 version [3] 119/3 197/25 198/2 versions [1] 67/23 versus [2] 141/9 151/14 very [124] 2/11 6/4 6/21 9/19 12/17 13/11 14/10 14/21 15/5 16/3 16/7 20/2 20/6 23/2 26/21 29/3 35/19 39/15 39/19 39/23 40/13 46/8 46/18 47/8 48/12 56/22 56/23 58/6 58/11 60/21

87/20 97/15 97/17

97/22 97/23 109/4 110/12 110/13 110/13 volunteering [1] 112/17 113/4 115/23 120/19 121/8 122/16 124/5 124/6 124/6 124/20 125/9 125/23 127/17 127/20 134/20 wait [1] 163/21 134/20 137/9 139/23 139/23 140/14 140/21 141/13 144/13 149/12 158/16 158/19 158/22 158/22 159/13 163/2 163/5 163/11 163/14 163/16 169/24 171/22 171/22 173/2 174/2 176/13 176/19 177/10 164/3 194/4 177/17 177/19 181/8 181/9 181/21 182/23 184/1 186/11 186/11 189/23 190/7 190/8 190/8 195/23 196/18 199/3 200/13 205/7 205/21 206/9 206/12 206/14 207/24 208/22 208/23 208/25 209/2 209/4 via [5] 88/12 154/23 188/14 188/17 189/2 viability [1] 30/18 Vietnam [1] 166/23 view [15] 2/12 24/1 57/12 62/3 77/5 136/8 wanted [10] 17/15 142/1 148/17 150/16 154/14 171/15 190/16 198/18 199/22 205/12 viewed [4] 28/24 104/4 138/5 196/17 views [1] 156/7 VIP [4] 78/15 78/15 175/16 179/18 VIP Lane [1] 179/18 VIP/non-VIP [1] 78/15 virtual [2] 76/15 171/17 virtually [1] 176/3 virus [6] 64/16 112/19 113/2 116/24 131/11 134/14 visibility [3] 37/9 169/23 185/4 visible [1] 74/5 visual [3] 94/11 94/15 97/5 vital [2] 29/22 107/19 voices [2] 61/3 61/5 volume [10] 6/22 7/6 10/14 32/22 67/7 67/17 69/23 113/22 115/20 121/3 volumes [3] 10/17 73/14 208/5 volunteer [4] 165/8

170/17 vouching [1] 187/20 W waiting [6] 40/7 76/20 98/8 163/3 163/9 209/1 150/19 154/13 157/20 Wald [19] 98/3 104/1 107/4 112/8 112/24 118/7 123/5 125/1 126/9 127/1 135/3 143/9 145/3 146/10 153/20 154/19 163/18 **Wald's [1]** 135/4 Wales [3] 117/21 118/13 157/14 want [35] 12/23 31/10 32/23 35/10 40/19 54/20 61/2 61/3 61/4 61/8 64/24 75/17 82/7 83/20 84/3 84/23 90/8 99/10 100/21 110/25 116/16 117/8 128/25 132/25 143/23 145/12 150/23 151/7 186/7 195/19 203/3 207/6 17/17 40/11 102/7 135/5 140/12 154/6 170/18 170/21 186/7 wanting [2] 12/2 151/6 wants [2] 52/8 131/23 War [2] 206/2 206/4 warehouse [3] 78/8 79/1 79/4 warehousing [1] 140/16 wartime [3] 4/8 5/3 205/23 was [826] wasn't [42] 19/21 22/15 23/4 29/16 39/11 39/12 50/9 50/10 52/14 52/24 55/16 67/15 73/8 80/4 wearing [2] 45/18 81/8 89/12 92/13 97/7 105/10 108/7 122/17 124/2 126/16 127/23 129/23 129/23 133/11 198/25 199/1 199/2 133/17 133/17 134/6 134/12 140/3 140/4 WEATHERBY KC [2] 168/14 175/5 176/10 183/4 183/5 187/19 Wednesday [1] 1/1 188/11 202/15 208/19 week [13] 3/9 9/20 watching [1] 167/20 waters [1] 200/19

165/25 176/5 199/7

wave [1] 106/13 waves [2] 113/2 134/17 way [56] 8/8 9/16 13/20 15/17 15/25 18/1 18/5 18/15 20/13 23/11 23/23 30/2 34/3 37/23 42/7 42/9 46/2 52/5 57/14 58/15 62/3 64/3 66/23 70/14 70/24 71/5 76/13 77/8 77/10 77/13 78/5 85/21 90/3 95/11 111/5 119/8 143/19 156/10 156/13 164/14 169/5 169/7 169/10 173/13 176/12 179/23 183/15 186/12 187/20 190/21 192/20 199/11 199/14 200/11 205/9 208/7 ways [10] 8/23 18/12 53/5 58/5 59/14 70/1 70/9 85/13 95/23 183/1 we [394] we'd [2] 11/19 61/19 119/13 123/16 127/21 we'll [15] 1/16 21/20 22/21 49/5 50/1 50/21 61/13 123/19 150/12 152/23 163/14 164/15 189/8 200/9 206/4 we're [19] 5/1 19/7 31/23 43/12 43/23 44/9 44/18 46/19 54/10 58/16 86/18 87/3 91/21 145/2 158/15 179/3 183/9 184/6 206/8 we've [27] 5/18 6/20 7/5 25/8 29/21 50/2 55/4 57/20 59/8 69/19 76/25 77/9 80/13 83/9 84/7 96/10 112/3 136/19 138/19 139/2 139/4 161/5 163/3 174/8 175/13 202/16 206/18 wealth [1] 141/24 wearer [9] 45/16 46/6 46/12 47/6 47/14 47/21 47/22 66/5 66/7 46/23 Weatherby [9] 87/17

87/18 87/19 97/16

9/24 69/2 69/4 91/20

92/1 129/9 129/16

210/10 210/21

87/19 210/10

185/12 205/4 W West [1] 28/20 week... [4] 158/13 what [300] 168/25 177/22 184/7 what's [2] 201/5 weekends [1] 110/13 207/11 weeks [19] 49/22 whatever [4] 104/21 49/24 58/7 75/2 86/21 112/11 143/5 181/17 86/25 87/12 87/13 whatsoever [1] 87/14 93/4 106/2 198/18 107/11 135/12 136/20 wheat [1] 171/9 142/24 154/10 193/14 when [62] 4/11 5/9 193/25 198/9 5/23 20/3 29/5 29/18 weeks' [4] 111/24 29/18 39/8 55/19 130/1 135/21 167/23 57/13 63/8 64/8 73/8 weight [2] 204/18 94/15 94/17 94/18 206/8 96/23 107/14 109/6 welcome [2] 3/2 24/3 109/9 114/3 116/22 well [96] 39/23 53/3 117/19 123/8 124/13 54/22 55/9 55/10 125/5 125/16 125/23 57/24 59/5 60/9 64/15 126/2 133/13 135/16 64/17 72/11 72/12 136/19 137/12 139/24 84/11 84/18 85/12 140/2 141/5 143/13 87/2 88/10 93/12 94/6 144/5 148/19 153/22 95/13 95/16 100/23 166/13 167/2 169/7 102/1 103/24 105/25 170/2 170/2 170/8 108/17 109/4 109/13 171/3 174/24 180/16 112/17 113/22 114/11 180/25 183/25 190/22 115/10 116/6 116/20 192/20 192/21 192/24 123/8 123/16 124/16 193/14 193/14 193/15 128/8 129/15 130/5 194/9 195/2 195/14 130/24 132/9 133/11 204/9 135/9 136/13 137/20 When I [1] 180/16 140/8 141/24 142/17 where [82] 7/4 8/5 145/6 146/4 147/13 8/20 9/7 9/14 12/5 147/19 148/24 149/18 12/7 16/24 17/14 150/15 153/15 155/16 17/15 21/13 22/23 157/1 157/6 157/8 24/21 27/8 31/20 34/7 159/20 160/8 161/17 35/10 38/10 40/16 162/15 165/21 166/13 45/18 48/22 50/25 166/19 167/5 170/17 51/9 51/13 53/16 173/22 173/23 175/21 55/17 62/8 63/12 178/5 178/22 180/3 63/20 65/1 65/3 65/11 180/25 181/20 184/24 65/24 70/2 73/10 185/21 186/22 189/7 73/16 74/13 75/7 189/8 192/1 192/19 75/14 77/13 82/4 87/5 193/3 197/8 197/20 90/1 90/1 92/5 97/8 199/22 200/2 200/8 97/11 107/18 109/14 203/14 203/16 205/11 118/20 123/9 124/5 207/23 209/4 128/2 135/17 135/23 well-intentioned [1] 136/19 137/6 139/15 142/17 142/13 143/10 143/22 well-known [1] 146/11 154/21 156/7 166/19 156/17 156/20 156/22 Welsh [2] 118/5 157/3 160/10 165/1 156/4 178/1 180/23 186/6 went [14] 5/24 123/8 187/17 187/19 195/14 133/13 133/14 143/2 202/16 202/23 203/14 143/3 143/3 143/4 203/18 205/17 208/5 143/4 159/7 170/12 whereby [1] 32/9 173/21 176/6 204/14 whether [64] 4/24 were [375] 7/16 12/9 12/15 14/16 weren't [9] 17/16 34/1 49/12 59/25 38/22 55/14 55/25 60/14 60/15 63/25 93/10 140/7 172/21 64/2 64/11 66/22

70/12 70/13 70/15 71/20 74/18 77/24 79/15 85/8 90/19 94/13 94/20 95/15 95/18 96/8 101/19 101/23 102/14 106/13 106/13 107/23 108/25 126/6 135/6 138/1 140/20 146/23 146/25 147/1 147/11 150/3 155/6 155/7 158/24 170/3 170/11 171/9 172/10 183/14 185/11 207/11 208/2 208/4 185/25 202/10 202/20 while [6] 68/11 203/22 203/25 203/25 123/10 152/23 183/7 207/18 207/19 207/25 186/19 187/12 208/2 208/8 which [187] 1/16 2/23 3/21 3/25 6/11 6/23 7/22 8/23 9/16 9/17 9/24 10/10 11/8 12/22 13/11 15/8 15/10 15/10 16/16 17/2 18/12 18/25 19/4 20/1 20/5 20/8 20/12 20/13 20/23 21/24 24/3 25/25 26/12 26/17 27/23 28/11 28/15 29/11 29/15 29/21 30/2 30/6 32/17 33/10 33/12 33/24 34/15 34/16 34/22 37/15 38/25 41/4 41/10 41/22 42/15 42/16 44/12 45/25 48/15 50/12 51/16 55/2 55/8 57/5 57/21 58/7 58/23 66/23 73/7 73/12 73/17 73/18 74/7 74/24 75/6 75/19 145/15 83/12 85/21 86/25 87/24 88/14 88/18 88/20 89/7 93/15 93/17 95/6 95/16 96/21 98/14 99/11 99/21 100/15 100/23 101/18 101/20 102/19 whom [2] 106/11 103/8 104/3 104/13 106/16 106/19 106/22 whose [3] 19/7 31/22 106/22 108/8 110/23 111/18 114/2 115/19 121/25 123/21 125/18 50/19 64/25 65/9 68/1 125/19 127/5 127/16 127/20 128/21 130/12 132/20 133/3 134/21 135/19 140/9 142/17 142/24 143/2 144/11 144/25 146/22 148/15 121/13 124/22 125/1 150/15 150/20 157/4 157/4 158/6 158/9 158/10 158/13 162/2 162/16 164/10 165/5

166/15 167/1 167/22

170/7 173/8 173/13

173/14 178/2 178/8 179/9 181/2 181/8 182/1 182/25 183/4 183/24 185/2 185/2 185/5 185/8 185/12 186/5 186/12 187/10 189/25 191/15 192/11 194/9 195/12 195/22 195/24 196/9 196/22 196/25 197/5 197/11 201/9 204/20 205/19 205/19 205/24 206/7 whilst [4] 124/21 138/12 142/16 154/7 who [59] 3/2 5/12 10/19 11/12 12/10 13/22 17/22 22/18 22/21 26/8 29/4 36/7 36/17 40/14 40/20 52/21 65/24 67/10 76/10 78/21 79/22 83/20 90/10 97/19 104/7 109/19 120/15 120/17 122/4 132/12 137/22 143/12 146/18 147/9 149/8 152/15 163/20 168/24 169/17 173/16 174/7 174/12 174/14 176/20 176/24 177/7 177/17 178/11 188/2 190/12 190/19 196/19 197/5 202/10 203/5 203/19 204/14 205/15 206/18 who's [2] 84/19 whoever [1] 72/25 whole [2] 16/22 24/2 wholesale [1] 148/15 wholesalers [3] 148/19 149/8 149/19 wholly [1] 148/18 149/15 183/17 why [48] 2/7 3/11 68/13 69/13 77/20 84/3 84/20 89/12 89/19 92/16 95/1 105/11 106/3 106/6 108/10 108/17 114/9 135/17 136/8 136/9 142/22 142/24 143/1 143/5 146/11 149/1 152/10 153/17 153/18 162/6 172/5 178/7 179/6 180/20 181/20

181/23 183/25 187/6 193/6 193/10 198/15 wide [17] 2/24 50/9 54/20 54/25 61/2 117/24 118/3 133/15 146/9 152/14 153/3 154/8 155/2 155/7 155/13 155/23 159/10 widely [1] 45/21 wider [9] 24/2 32/2 34/23 83/17 128/20 134/4 134/5 151/5 160/21 Wilcock [4] 145/25 146/1 159/18 210/15 will [54] 5/5 21/10 31/10 48/1 48/2 48/3 48/17 52/9 57/3 63/9 63/9 63/13 63/18 63/22 68/15 80/24 86/1 86/10 102/7 104/15 104/23 110/11 110/22 113/23 114/24 122/5 122/10 123/3 123/7 125/2 132/2 135/10 138/21 141/11 143/21 145/8 145/10 146/19 148/2 148/6 151/8 152/8 157/8 159/1 159/20 159/25 160/9 164/10 176/20 178/1 195/21 195/22 200/11 205/24 **Williams [2]** 109/19 120/18 willing [1] 134/12 willingness [1] 53/3 wings [2] 163/3 163/9 winning [1] 77/6 wish [2] 145/8 173/1 wished [2] 3/3 133/21 wishing [1] 57/2 within [60] 2/13 5/22 6/13 6/15 7/10 8/19 9/22 9/24 11/6 11/6 11/24 13/5 13/13 18/25 21/9 21/16 25/13 25/20 27/9 36/7 37/7 37/11 42/2 46/1 98/24 99/13 99/14 100/1 100/18 100/22 103/16 103/23 105/2 108/14 109/11 109/15 112/22 117/13 120/21 121/5 126/18 131/12 133/15 134/1 134/7 136/12 136/24 138/6 141/7 143/8 143/14 144/1 156/4 160/12 161/20 167/9 167/12 174/10 181/12 183/10 without [7] 32/11

W without... [6] 51/12 76/2 76/6 131/15 172/14 172/14 witness [25] 1/4 1/7 1/14 15/9 19/7 22/1 40/4 40/10 41/3 50/3 83/1 98/4 98/14 99/2 99/25 138/22 139/2 139/8 145/4 145/5 163/3 163/19 164/1 164/9 195/7 witnesses [9] 2/22 5/19 6/20 8/25 31/23 138/20 163/7 163/24 168/23 won't [2] 35/9 181/15 wonder [3] 1/17 25/10 207/20 **Wood [4]** 169/15 172/17 180/16 183/16 word [5] 48/8 52/24 58/10 205/13 208/24 words [3] 40/12 45/4 66/4 work [50] 7/20 9/18 10/19 11/24 14/20 16/5 19/17 25/15 33/23 34/1 36/12 37/12 38/11 41/12 42/17 42/24 45/14 53/25 54/13 54/19 59/1 59/12 68/8 68/13 70/4 70/7 71/23 72/18 74/18 75/11 75/12 78/12 78/25 87/25 89/13 91/6 95/9 129/7 141/14 141/16 144/16 144/19 151/17 154/16 158/4 159/9 163/24 168/16 182/24 183/11 worked [24] 2/20 8/17 8/23 9/17 11/14 14/17 16/1 18/1 18/5 24/17 36/5 38/18 40/21 42/11 59/4 88/21 88/22 88/23 144/14 153/22 160/20 175/25 182/19 205/21 workers [7] 36/4 52/6 52/7 102/1 153/6 159/25 161/12 workforce [1] 28/20 working [34] 7/15 8/19 17/13 20/4 20/5 20/14 23/7 30/5 37/14 56/24 59/15 59/18 59/19 60/2 60/18 65/23 65/25 66/20 70/1 70/11 71/3 91/12 92/24 124/13 132/1 158/19 159/5 169/22

171/9 172/20 172/21

176/3 183/6 206/6 workplace [6] 43/19 43/21 45/19 47/15 72/10 72/12 workplaces [1] 73/24 works [5] 10/22 73/16 77/8 77/10 163/7 world [5] 83/19 168/12 173/20 206/2 206/4 worlds [1] 154/7 worn [1] 45/23 worried [3] 73/24 127/20 179/3 worse [1] 198/2 worth [6] 172/10 188/2 191/4 191/5 198/19 200/2 would [214] wouldn't [17] 37/9 56/20 69/4 73/21 96/16 111/21 133/16 138/14 175/19 178/20 182/3 182/3 185/11 185/13 197/19 201/17 201/19 write [3] 69/17 126/7 163/14 writing [5] 120/2 145/13 159/18 178/11 178/12 written [8] 41/5 53/8 99/12 102/8 105/17 111/1 119/5 159/16 wrong [2] 79/16 96/7 wrongly [1] 144/6 wrote [9] 42/15 80/3 146/10 148/6 151/16 152/18 153/18 154/21 189/16 yeah [12] 24/23 26/11 136/6 170/23 178/18 178/18 180/5 189/4 189/9 198/5

year [3] 99/22 102/21 143/9 years [9] 20/2 89/3 97/20 125/5 165/19 166/17 166/17 166/17 207/7 yes [193] 1/12 1/21 2/8 3/5 4/13 5/11 6/19 you [**738**] 7/3 7/18 9/19 10/3 13/9 14/20 15/17 16/19 18/6 19/2 23/16 24/7 25/6 26/17 29/2 30/10 32/19 34/5 35/7 36/2 36/2 40/13 41/14 41/18 42/4 42/13

42/19 42/23 43/4

198/10 199/15

43/17 44/12 44/16 46/5 49/17 52/17 53/14 54/7 56/19 57/11 58/11 60/25 61/17 66/25 67/9 70/1 71/4 72/5 72/5 72/15 74/14 79/21 83/9 84/13 84/17 84/22 86/20 88/5 88/9 88/10 88/17 88/18 89/5 89/8 89/10 89/22 90/5 90/7 90/11 90/12 91/16 92/22 93/2 94/23 95/3 95/20 95/24 99/20 101/10 102/18 102/22 103/1 103/13 103/20 103/21 107/16 109/8 109/9 110/10 114/16 117/13 119/2 119/10 119/20 119/21 120/9 121/17 123/6 123/24 123/25 124/11 125/15 Young [3] 144/4 127/2 127/12 128/20 129/17 130/5 130/13 130/16 130/17 132/12 138/21 142/3 162/2 136/5 136/7 146/16 147/4 147/7 150/5 151/21 153/15 155/2 155/25 164/7 164/13 164/14 164/19 164/22 164/24 165/10 165/12 165/21 166/2 166/5 166/10 166/11 167/5 169/2 169/4 169/6 169/10 171/1 172/12 173/4 175/12 176/14 176/23 178/13 180/12 181/25 182/13 182/20 184/17 187/4 188/9 188/15 188/18 188/20 188/23 189/1 189/3 189/6 189/11 189/17 189/21 189/24 190/18 85/17 86/16 88/12 191/16 192/5 193/18 193/24 194/8 195/18 197/13 197/15 197/18 99/12 100/6 102/7 198/13 199/9 199/24 200/18 201/6 201/12 201/20 202/4 202/4 202/18 202/25 204/2 204/11 yesterday [4] 56/7 129/4 180/15 194/23 yet [3] 129/7 138/20 160/2 you'd [2] 23/14 146/3 you'll [4] 40/11 54/25 90/1 187/14 you're [30] 20/12 24/21 24/24 27/21 30/16 30/18 32/4 33/7 41/8 44/13 45/2 45/3

64/10 65/14 96/22

136/8 178/12 188/19 192/21 192/21 198/25 200/12 200/18 203/15 204/17 204/22 206/5 you've [46] 5/21 18/19 20/23 24/25 28/15 33/10 34/22 42/1 45/12 53/14 62/25 63/4 63/5 63/16 64/17 65/6 68/22 71/20 76/13 82/9 86/7 87/23 88/11 88/11 89/11 89/24 92/20 93/9 94/7 110/22 145/6 154/25 163/21 175/15 176/13 181/23 182/8 192/17 196/10 197/22 199/6 201/21 204/3 204/23 206/20 206/21 160/23 160/25 Young's [4] 105/5 your [141] 1/10 1/13 1/18 4/1 4/25 4/25 5/8 5/21 5/25 6/3 6/14 6/25 7/15 8/15 8/24 11/13 13/8 14/16 15/9 16/17 17/10 19/15 19/17 19/19 21/10 22/1 26/5 27/9 30/11 31/5 32/14 32/14 33/13 34/15 35/8 35/19 36/4 36/7 39/17 39/20 40/8 41/5 41/15 41/16 42/5 42/14 42/24 45/4 54/13 56/18 57/12 62/22 65/3 77/5 77/17 77/23 78/24 83/3 83/5 85/11 89/15 91/9 93/14 97/4 97/22 98/11 98/16 102/8 103/5 105/17 106/23 107/13 109/2 110/2 110/2 110/7 111/1 113/7 115/13 117/9 119/5 119/17 122/9 123/13 128/18 128/25 133/19 133/19 135/5 136/11 138/16 139/2 139/8 141/19 142/1 143/8 145/6 145/15 153/24 157/7 161/3 161/5 163/8 163/11 163/22 164/6 164/11 164/15 164/16 166/3 166/12 167/2 167/3 169/7 170/14 170/25 171/11 175/1

178/21 181/16 182/4

104/1 123/10 132/1

188/3 188/24 191/8 194/5 197/2 199/7 199/11 201/18 201/21 201/25 204/24 205/13 208/25 209/2 yourself [2] 6/2 119/24 yourselves [1] 108/15

Z

zero [1] 149/3 **zoom [3]** 3/13 3/23 33/19