Friday, 22 November 2024

2 (10.00 am)

MR MATT HANCOCK (continued)

LADY HALLETT: We're going to try and get through all the 5 questions, Mr Hancock, so we can finish your evidence 6 this morning in one go.

7 THE WITNESS: Terrific, thank you.
8 LADY HALLETT: Mr Jacobs, I think.

Questions from MR JACOBS

10 MR JACOBS: Good morning, Mr Hancock.

11 A. Good morning.

Q. Some questions on behalf of the Trades Union Congress. Firstly, on vaccination as a condition of employment.

You were asked questions on this topic yesterday in connection with ethnic minority groups. You described some groups feeling less connected to authorities as you put it and you described the importance of developing trust, using trusted voices and so on.

Does imposing vaccination as a condition of employment not actually work against those factors, so, in relation to groups feeling connected to authorities, you have a mandatory direction from authority with a severe sanction of loss of employment, and it also really abandons attempts at trust and persuasion?

are safe and effective and I think the moral obligation to save lives is more important.

You often get this in government, when, you know, where there is a -- when you're looking at the best interests of society as a whole, there are some strong voices who are opposed to something. You know, there's not just people who are hesitant at taking the vaccine but there's some anti-vaxxers who spread misinformation, and there's some people who get very upset at things even though they're the right thing to do.

So I understand the argument but it isn't borne out by evidence.

Q. Well, in terms of evidence and experience, we've heard evidence, for example, from Professor Ball, from a trust in Birmingham, who described vaccination as a condition of employment in healthcare having a very significant impact both on unvaccinated staff but also vaccinated staff who were worried what was going to happen to their colleagues. So do you accept that as a reality of the impact of this sort of measure?

A. Well, this measure wasn't brought in in healthcare. So I understand that some people make those arguments in advance, and say that's what's going to happen, but as I say, when we brought this in in social care, exactly those arguments were advanced and turned out not to be

Actually the experience that we had with vaccination as a condition of deployment in social care led to the -- exactly the opposite conclusion: vaccination rates increased. And I think most people in employment in care settings understood and understand that part of their responsibility, I suppose, is not to infect the people they're caring for with a potentially deadly

So obviously I understand those concerns, and anybody introducing a vaccination as a condition of deployment should be sensitive to those concerns, but ultimately the imperative of saving lives is more important.

Q. But going back to the focus of my question, Mr Hancock,
if you're right to say that there's a problem of some
groups feeling less connected to authority, that
authority saying, "Take the vaccine or lose your job",
the reality is that's going to be a problem, isn't it?

A. Well, the reality is best understood by looking at what happened when we introduced this in social care and exactly the opposite happened. So there were those concerns raised and there were the concerns raised that tens of thousands of people would leave employment. That isn't what happened. And I think it isn't what happened because vaccines -- clinically proven vaccines

accurate.

But even if they were accurate, even if there were concerns, the lifesaving imperative has, in my view, an overriding moral value that requires and demands that this policy is the right one. So, of course I understand those concerns and we discussed them and considered them ahead of bringing this in in social care, but they are not borne out by reality, as you put it, and even if there were -- even if they were, you would -- you have to consider the fact that if you don't have this, then you have people who are going into work with a higher chance of, entirely unintentionally, giving somebody in their care a disease that leads to their death. And it is as stark as that. So, for me, this is a -- it's a cut and dried issue, and I'm very, very pleased with how it went in social care, because it went very well.

In terms of the moral imperative that you describe to take the vaccine, do you at least recognise that there may be a moral imperative that points the other way which is with healthcare workers who have been putting their lives on the line through the earliest, most dangerous stages of a pandemic, to say to them, "You are now out of a job unless you take the vaccine" there's also a moral imperative against doing that? It may be

1 something that points both ways?

2 A. As I say, you have to consider all of these things, 3 absolutely. There is a counterargument, but the 4 lifesaving moral imperative absolutely overrides that, 5 not least because vaccinating people who are in these 6 dangerous settings, like working in a hospital, it's 7 good for them as well as good for their patients. So 8 even if you're not -- even if you take away, as you seem 9 to want to, the moral imperative in terms of protecting 10 the lives of people who go into hospital, it's good for 11 staff themselves as well.

> So, to say there's a balance is accurate, but in this case the scales of that imperative are very heavily weighed in favour of using science to save people's

- Q. And certainly my clients agreed with that in the sense of promoting use of vaccine, seeking to assist the NHS in achieving high levels of vaccination within staff. But do you think there might be a case for saying that because of the downsides, persuasion and using trust is actually more effective in the round than applying the sanction which you invite the Inquiry to suggest?
- 23 A. No. I think that if somebody doesn't want to use the 24 science that's available in order to protect the people 25 they care for, then it's entirely appropriate that they

1 those two things made this doable. I'm not saying it 2 was easy, but it was doable, and it was -- it would have 3 been critical had we not managed to stem the spread of 4 the virus when we did.

- 5 Q. You say, boldly, yes, Mr Hancock, but we've heard about 6 these being used when staffing ratios in intensive care 7 was already 1:6.
- 8 A. Yeah.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 9 Q. So where do these intensive care specialists appear 10 from?
- A. Well, the combination, as I said, of bringing people in 11 12 who, immediately prior to the pandemic, weren't working 13 in healthcare, for instance qualified nurses who had 14 recently retired, plus the --
- 15 Q. Just to pause you there, Mr Hancock, had they not been 16 brought in already to assist with existing hospital 17 capacity?
- A. Yes, the combination of bringing those in, them in, and 18 19 stretching ratios meant that we were able to service 20 more physical capacity. So, as you know, to deliver 21 an effective hospital bed and an effective hospital 22 treatment, you need the staff and you need the physical 23 equipment, and by building the physical hospital and by 24 stretching staff ratios and bringing in more staff, you 25 could therefore enhance the number of beds. So yeah.

7

1 should seek employment elsewhere.

- Q. Is there a lack of balance in your view, Mr Hancock?
- 3 A. Churchill once said, "I am partial as between the fire 4 brigade and the fire", and that applies in this, I've considered it very deeply and I think the clarity of 5

6 what is right this issue is absolutely clear.

7 Q. Next topic, please. Nightingale hospitals and staffing. 8 You describe yesterday that the Nightingales were to 9 operate within the auspices of the relevant trust and 10 the trust would be responsible for staffing, if 11 I understand your evidence correctly, and also that 12 Nightingales would be used when the capacity of existing

13 hospitals could stretch no further; is that right?

14 Broadly, yeah.

15 Q. If they are to be used in circumstances that existing 16 capacity could really stretch no further, was it ever 17 really realistic to think that at that point the trusts 18 could then provide thousands of staff for thousands of 19 extra beds in additional hospitals?

20 A. Yes, and that is what was planned, and of course it 21 would be difficult, of course it would be challenging, 22 but a combination of bringing more people back into 23 service, for instance those who'd retired, or were 24 working in private healthcare, and also stretching 25 ratios, as we discussed yesterday, the combination of

But my point is, my central point is, I know this 2 was an enormous challenge, but it was doable and to the degree that it was needed in those hospitals which did 4 take patients we did it.

5 MR JACOBS: I think I'm at my time. Thank you, my Lady. Thank you, Mr Hancock.

7 LADY HALLETT: Thank you, Mr Jacobs.

Mr Stanton.

Mr Stanton is behind you as well, I'm afraid, 9 10 Mr Hancock.

Questions from MR STANTON

MR STANTON: Good morning, Mr Hancock. I ask questions on 12 13 behalf of the British Medical Association. I'd like to 14 ask you about staff burnout and the trauma they 15 experienced.

16 A. Yes.

1

3

6

8

11

23

24

25

17 Q. The context is the circumstances we heard described 18 yesterday by Professor Fong, and with regard to the fact 19 that survey responses to Professor Fong and his team 20 reported symptoms of serious mental illness, including 21 severe depression, severe anxiety and PTSD among 22 ICU staff at a level of approximately 50%.

> I recognise from your evidence that you have personally witnessed and experienced those circumstances as well, but I'd like to ask you, were you aware that

the levels of trauma experienced by healthcare workers
were of that magnitude?
A. Yes, I was. And, you know, you acknowledge that

A. Yes, I was. And, you know, you acknowledge that I witnessed and, to a degree, experienced this, and of course -- and I worked incredibly hard but not nearly in the same way as those who were experiencing this and the death directly, day in, day out, in intensive care. So I'm grateful for your acknowledging that I spent as much time as I could on the wards but it was nothing like those who worked full-time in intensive care.

I am aware of the figures that you quote. It was something that we were worried about from the start. It is a consequence of the enormous pressures and the deadly nature of the virus, absolutely.

- Q. Thank you. Can I ask you about the general points at which you became aware that this was such a significant issue, and can I ask how that factored into some of your strategic decision-making, and also engagement with your senior colleagues, and thinking about the period between the first and second waves when healthcare workers, and the NHS generally, desperately needed to recover, and also, from your evidence yesterday, when you described speaking to a doctor in distress, who told you that there must not be a third wave.
- 25 A. So at that point we were worried about a third wave,

1 A. Yes.

- Q. -- that is absolutely for the employer to deal with, and
 deal with at that level. When you have issues at this
 level, reports of approximately 50% of staff
 experiencing severe anxiety, et cetera, do you think
 a more central role and leadership was required, not
 necessarily from you, but NHS England, for example?
 - A. Yes, so there's absolutely a need for national measures when -- as well as local measures when there's something of this scale. For instance, NHS England put in place a first port of call phone line, essentially, you know, an emergency mental health phone line for NHS staff, and it was something that the chief people officer in NHS England was engaged on and very concerned about.

You're right to say that the formal accountability was with NHS England rather than the department, because NHS England -- but the individual employer is, of course, the trust, the GP surgery, or the local NHS institution

So, there was a need for national and local measures, and if there's further things that can be put in place earlier in the future then I think that the BMA is very well placed to recommend them.

24 Q. Thank you.

Final question, Mr Hancock. How can we avoid this

because it had taken us so long to win the argument for the necessary lockdown the second time around. And thankfully, because of the vaccine, that wasn't -- that didn't happen. And that was -- well, thank goodness for that

The -- we put in place measures as much as we could, and as early as we could. This included, for instance, introducing well-being and recovery areas where possible, supporting hospitals to do that. That was really a hospital-by-hospital decision rather than one that we implemented directly.

I spoke to the BMA and other unions regularly throughout this, throughout this period, in order to understand these pressures and see what we could do. There were contractual changes in some places in order to try to make sure that the problems were mitigated but it was very much mitigation because of, you know, what was effectively a wartime attitude in the intensive care and other settings across the NHS.

20 Q. Thank you.

Could I ask you about the sort of -- type of support and the strategic way in which that support was put in place. And just taking an extreme example, obviously where you had a single individual who would be experiencing mental health issues --

- level of trauma in future pandemics, future healthemergencies?
- A. The absolute number one thing that we can do to avoid
 this sort of trauma for NHS staff is to bring in
 lockdown measures early in response to a pandemic level
 pathogen. And I think that those who understand the
 consequence of waiting before bringing in measures that
 are going to be necessary, need to unite to win that
 argument.

There are still people making the argument that lockdown wasn't necessary or in future we should try to do without it. I think that is false, wrong and dangerous and we should -- and the case needs to continue to be made so that should a pandemic potential pathogen hit, which could happen at any time, we're ready.

And I come back to the doctrine that I set out in the first module, which I think is -- has yet to be challenged, there needs to be a national debate in my view about how we respond immediately, and, again, the BMA will play an important role in that.

22 MR STANTON: Thank you, Mr Hancock.

Thank you, my Lady.

LADY HALLETT: Mr Wagner.

Mr Wagner's over there.

Questions from MR WAGNER

MR WAGNER: Good morning, Mr Hancock. I ask questions on behalf of Clinically Vulnerable Families.

I have two areas to ask you about. The first is shielding. You say in your statement that you in your view shielding saved many hundreds of thousands of lives; is that fair?

- A. Yes, it's very, very difficult to estimate, but it was 9 a huge programme and I think it's likely to be in that 10 order of magnitude.
- Is that based on any scientific study or is it --11 Q.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Yes, it's based on -- it's my best estimate based on the 12 13 number of people who were in the shielding programme, 14 the risks that they face should they catch Covid, which, 15 of course, by its nature was much higher than the 16 general population, and the likely reduction in the --17 in transmission amongst those who were shielding. But 18 it's very hard to know for sure.
- 19 Q. So is that your estimate or is it -- is it somebody has 20 given you that estimate?
- 21 A. There was some internal work done before I left office, 22 but the -- because the -- the statistical challenge is, 23 because there isn't a control group because we chose to 24 support everybody rather than have a control group, is 25 not possible to get an estimate that the government is

home but sending them into the fire in hospitals and therefore not really giving protection at all?

A. No, that's -- I think -- I was agreeing with you until the last bit. When a pandemic hits you don't have a choice between no pandemic and the actions that you take. You have a choice between how to minimise the impact of a pandemic. So, in a way, it comes back to the last answer that I gave to the BMA which is we need to make sure that we have a doctrine that brings in lockdown as early -- as soon as you know that you're going to have to do it, you should bring it in. And that is a hard judgment to know that you're going to need NPIs but as soon as you do, there is no benefit and no tradeoff from not bringing them in immediately.

This is particularly important and acute for those who are clinically extremely vulnerable to whatever pathogen has come along.

But to argue that shielding didn't work because the people who were shielded needed hospital treatment, they were going to need hospital treatment anyway. So what shielding did was protect them as much as possible from infection in the community, but the best thing to do to protect them from -- in hospital is reduce nosocomial infection and reduce the overall level of infection across the country.

happy to put its imprimatur to because there's --1

2 because these statistics are very hard, actually, to

3 assess.

4 Q. I want to ask you about what might have been done 5 differently to improve the shielding programme. Just

6 picking up on some evidence you gave yesterday, would

7 you agree that by definition the clinically extremely

8 vulnerable group who were involved in the shielding 9 programme would also have to access healthcare settings,

10 particularly hospitals quite a bit more than your

11 average member of the population?

12 **A**. Yes, absolutely, that is -- by the nature of the group, 13 that is likely to be true.

14 Q. And you said in evidence yesterday that hospitals are

15 dangerous places in pandemics, the estimate is that more 16 people caught Covid in hospitals than almost any other 17 setting and that's often forgotten.

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. I just want to ask you about the combination of those 20 factors. Wasn't there a problem with shielding that you 21 were protecting people at home --

22 A.

23 Q. -- but they were also the people who were having to go 24 to hospitals, and wasn't it the case that, in a sense, 25

that you were protecting people from the frying pan at

1 So it absolutely doesn't follow logically that 2 because people who are shielding have to go to hospital 3 therefore you shouldn't do shielding; that's not true.

4 Q. Well, that wasn't what I was putting; it was more about 5 how to improve the programme.

6 A. Okay.

7 Q. And when you get into hospitals, if you can't improve things like ventilation, you know, testing, those sorts 8 9 of things in the early days, doesn't it make the 10 shielding programme much less effective for that group,

11 taken overall?

12 No, because you have to protect people who are 13 clinically extremely vulnerable from community-acquired 14 infection and from hospital-acquired infection and to 15 say that shielding is only a partial solution is 16 reasonable, but to say that it is no solution because it 17 can't be the whole solution is false.

Q. So you'll agree it's only part of a picture which has to 18 19 include protecting people in healthcare settings as 20 well?

21 A. Absolutely.

22 Q. Just in relation to shielding from the perspective of 23 the shielded. Dr Catherine Finnis of CVF gave evidence 24 to this Inquiry that many of those advised to shield

25 felt that the messaging was frightening and the effect

15

was in one sense to disempower people by impressing on them the need to shield without providing them with sufficient information about the risks of Covid-19 and the steps that could be taken to manage them.

Would you agree, Mr Hancock, with the evidence of Professor McBride, the CMO for Northern Ireland, and I'm quoting, that "The approach that was taken in good faith initially did not fully think through the loss of agency and the loss of control that people would experience"?

A. So I take that evidence seriously but I also have to counterbalance it with the strong evidence we got of the support for the communications that we put out to those who were shielding, directly communicating with them. I wrote a number of times to the shielding population and their GPs were encouraged to follow up.

So there were very strong voices on the other side as well, and when you're dealing with a group of up to 2 million people who are clinically extremely vulnerable, the virus itself is extremely frightening.

The -- it's the virus that's frightening because it's killing people. Being able to communicate effectively is incredibly important and, hence, writing directly and I took personal trouble to make sure those letters were as empathetic as possible, understanding these concerns.

However, the question you've always got to ask is:

people who didn't want to just stay in their homes if they could avoid it?

A. We tried to do that as much as possible, yes. But you've got to remember, again, that the responsibility that I had towards the shielding population was not only to ensure that we got that population right -- and Jenny Harries did a huge amount of high quality work to do that and we expanded it over time -- but also to take into account the response from all those who are shielding not just those who were vocal and in campaign groups.

And -- you know, my -- I was always focused on the fact that my responsibility was to society as a whole, and in particular to those who are most vulnerable, and therefore tried to get as broad a range of feedback as possible.

Q. Finally, on DNACPRs (do not attempt CPR) orders.

CVF is concerned that there remain people to this day who may not be aware that a DNACPR notice was issued for them during the pandemic. For that reason, and to restore trust and confidence in the advance care planning process more widely, CVF has been advocating for a systemic review of all DNACPRs put in place in early 2020 and that the notes of all the formerly shielded people from 2020 be reviewed.

what is the alternative? And I understand the point about agency, and we didn't make any of the shielding measures required, they were advice, and we were clear that it was advice, and therefore agency was retained but I understand the impact of being told by the Secretary of State or the Chief Medical Officer that this is what you are recommended to do. So we always sought to strike that balance.

With respect to improving the shielding programme, I absolutely think that we should go over it and discuss it and be prepared to make it better next time round, as we did throughout -- we reiterated many times during the pandemic to try to improve this. But I think it would be wrong just to take the view of one side of this debate when, in fact, amongst those who are clinically vulnerable there was, essentially, a spectrum of views all the way through from "Tell me exactly what I ought to do" to "Don't tell me what to do, I'll work it out for myself", and there's every view in between.

Q. Do you agree, just going back to that question, that one area that could be improved was empowering people more with information. So, for example, giving them good information about what kind of mask they could wear in -- to go out into the community, ventilation, that sort of thing, to make it just a bit more empowering for

Do you recall any consideration being given to that kind of review and would you support that going forward?

A. I certainly think a review like that should be looked at, because it's obvious that there were cases when DNR notices were wrongly applied and I think the issue of consent is so important here.

To answer your question specifically about whether we looked at this, I can't recall us looking at a review like that, because our absolutely prime motivation was to stop that from happening in the first instance, and I'd left office by the time we were in a position then to do the review and look-back. But now, of course, we're no longer in a pandemic and so now would be an appropriate moment to consider doing that.

MR WAGNER: Thank you. Those are my questions.

17 LADY HALLETT: Thank you, Mr Wagner.

Ms Polaschek, who is sitting beside Mr Wagner.

Questions from MS POLASCHEK

20 MS POLASCHEK: Thank you.

I ask questions on behalf of 13 Pregnancy, Baby and Parent Organisations, and I have one topic of questions, on one of their key concerns, the visiting restrictions which impacted women and pregnant people but also new mothers, the newly bereaved and their 20

families when having support and healthcare.

Is it right that you were made aware, including for example in a meeting with the charity Bliss on 7 September 2020, that a core concern amongst these groups was that many hospitals were implementing the visiting restrictions very differently and therefore creating, in effect, a postcode lottery and, in turn, anxiety amongst many women and pregnant people about what support they would be allowed?

A. Yes. And more so than that, my first meeting on this subject that I can recall and have found the evidence from was in June 2020 and I was concerned to get the balance right from the start.

There is a balance here between protecting people from infection and the very, very strong need for companionship in birth or bereavement, but this was a concern, I remember the meeting with Bliss and, I think, Alicia Kearns MP.

Q. Thank you. And it's right that initial drafts of nationwide visiting guidance, which were later published in December 2020, were shared with your private secretary, and that visiting guidance would have imposed obligations on NHS trusts to implement with immediate effect women having access to a support person at all times during the maternity journey. Were you supportive

areas, for instance, that were in the higher tiers of what was then the tiering system, where a lack of visitors altogether was appropriate in extremis.

I understand there's some groups who think that that should never be the case, but this was the debate and we had to take all considerations into account, but I was broadly on the side of ensure there's a -- and ensure a companion -- a single companion can make a huge difference, and that was the side of the debate I was on.

Q. And just coming to that balance, Mr Hancock, the Inquiry has heard evidence from Gill Walton of The Royal College of Midwives, who was frank that one of the reasons her union did not endorse even the toned down version of that guidance was because of the perceived risk to staff from Covid-19 infection. And her evidence specifically was that testing and greater access to PPE earlier, for both support partners and staff, absolutely and, she said, definitely would have facilitated further visiting.

We've talked generally about PPE shortages and you've given evidence on that, but were you aware of those specific concerns about PPE shortages in maternity care?

A. I wasn't at the time but I am now. I would say, with

1 of that policy direction?

A. Yes, I was, and one of my advisers in particular I asked
 to stay close to this to make sure that it -- that I was
 continued to be properly advised on it.

Q. Were you made aware that there was resistance from the
 Royal College of Midwives and the Royal College of
 Obstetricians and Gynaecologists to those initial drafts
 and that, consequently, amendments to the draft guidance
 resulted in those directions to NHS trusts being toned
 down?

A. Yes. As I say, there was a balance in this argument and
 we had to take into account the balance and the need to
 mitigate the spread of the disease.

I come back to the point that hospitals are dangerous places in pandemics but nevertheless I was very keen that we get a set of guidance out that was appropriate and supported by those like the groups that you represent.

19 Q. But just to be clear, did you then understand as
 20 a result that that NHS visiting guidance continued to
 21 allow for localised variation and therefore maintained,
 22 in effect, the postcode lottery?

A. Yes, the argument in favour of that was that during the
 autumn of 2020, the level of disease was very different
 in different parts of the country, and there may be

respect both to PPE and especially with testing, there
are many, many examples of things that can be done
better if you can expand your testing fast enough.
That's why I was -- had my shoulder to the wheel on that
in a very public way, to try to make the expansion of
testing happen as early as possible. And this is just

one heart-rending example of why it's important.

8 Q. I think you've said that you weren't aware of those at
9 the time, so -- those concerns about PPE and maternity
10 care, so does it follow that you didn't discuss any
11 specific steps that the NHS could have taken at the time
12 to allay those concerns of midwives and other maternity
13 staff in order to try to open up visiting for the
14 impacted women you've identified?

Well, the truth is that we went into this without a testing system, right, and so it simply wasn't an available choice. There was a clinical ordering of prioritisation for tests. My job was not to effect that clinical prioritisation, which companions for women giving birth would have been one example of, my job was to expand the number of tests available so we could get as far down that list as possible.

The first -- so the first time I engaged on this subject was in June 2020, as that testing became more widely available and as we came out of the first stage

of lockdown. But engaging on it any earlier, without the testing to be able to expand that and without -- and with severe shortages in PPE, wouldn't have -- I don't think -- even with hindsight, wouldn't have made much difference.

6 MS POLASCHEK: Thank you, Mr Hancock.

My Lady, those are my questions.

LADY HALLETT: Thank you.

Mr Burton

Mr Burton is over there.

Questions from MR BURTON KC

MR BURTON: Good morning, Mr Hancock, I ask questions on behalf of the Disability Charities Consortium who speak on behalf of some 17 million disabled people in the UK.

In October 2020, the Chancellor of the Duchy of Lancaster, Sir Right Honourable Michael Gove MP, wrote to you and other secretaries of state asking on behalf of the Prime Minister for greater ambition in tackling the terrible disparities highlighted by the pandemic. In that letter Michael Gove said this:

[As read] "I want to draw your attention to the Prime Minister's request to departments to consider options from proving outcomes for those with disabilities ahead of a future Covid-O discussion. This is also extremely important work. I expect

list as a consequence, in order that a wider range of people got more of that -- the support that came with

that package.

The other thing that I did personally was ensure that people living with disabilities were higher up the prioritisation by -- for vaccines, by accepting the JCVI advice, clinical advice, on the prioritisation of vaccines. So that was another important action that happened that autumn.

Q. Mr Hancock, just on the first of those, is it not
 correct that in relation to the CEV list, it's correct
 that people with Down's syndrome were added to that list
 in Autumn 2020, but no other disabled people were added
 to that list, were they?

A. More disabled people were, not by group but by
identification of more individuals. So the -- you're
right to say that the criteria didn't expand but the
data work to find more people who needed to be within
the existing criteria meant that the list as a whole
grew quite considerably over the autumn.

21 Q. Do you mean the CV list rather than the CEV list?

22 A. I mean the shielding list.

Q. I'm grateful. My next question is about mortality
 rates. In October 2020, the ONS established that
 6 in 10 deaths that occurred between March

Secretary of State to work with their departments to bring much more ambitious and far-reaching proposals to that discussion as per the Prime Minister's steer. The Prime Minister has clearly directed his ministers to engage with this issue fully and develop a strong package of interventions. If we do, then I have complete confidence that this committee and our government can move the dial and prevent a replication of disproportionate impacts in the second wave."

Mr Hancock, what did you do by way of bringing much more ambitious and far-reaching proposals to prevent a replication of disproportionate impacts on disabled people in the second wave?

Thank you. So this was obviously an incredibly important subject. I agree with the sentiments expressed by Michael in that letter. And the answer is the shielding programme was the core to the response from the health department. We anticipated from January 2020 that people with disabilities may be more likely to be clinically extremely vulnerable to Covid and more likely to be badly affected and the evidence, sadly, bore that out. There was a disproportionate impact in the first wave.

In the summer and autumn of 2020 we expanded the clinically extremely vulnerable list and the shielding

and July 2020, ie the first wave, were of disabled people. That rate of disparity remained for the second wave, even when controlled for matters such as residence type, geography, socioeconomic and demographic factors, healthcare characteristics, and indeed vaccination status, and disabled people therefore remained at a greater risk, a much greater risk of death than non-disabled people.

In light of that, do you believe your department did enough to reduce disproportionate impacts on disabled people ahead of the second wave?

12 A. We did everything we could, and the challenge is that
 13 the virus itself was more aggressive against people
 14 living with disabilities. And that is a sad fact --

15 Q. Mr Hancock --

16 A. -- in the same way that it was more aggressive against
17 people who were older. So, absolutely, we took action
18 to reduce the total number of people affected and the
19 disparities, but the disparities were a result of the
20 nature of the virus.

Q. So you're saying disabled people were clinically morelikely to die from Covid-19 than non-disabled people?

23 A. That is the clear evidence from the data, yes.

Q. Would you be able to assist us with what evidence you'rereferring to, Mr Hancock?

1	A.	Yes, I'm very happy to write afterwards with it.
2		I haven't got it to hand.
3	MR	BURTON: I'm most grateful.
4		Thank you very much, my Lady.
5	LAI	OY HALLETT: Thank you, Mr Burton.
6		Mr Pezzani.

Questions from MR PEZZANI

MR PEZZANI: Thank you, my Lady.

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr Hancock, I ask questions on behalf of Mind, the mental health charity.

He's over there, just along from Mr Burton.

The context of my question is this. Firstly, at paragraph 4 of your fifth witness statement you say, the single most important fact about the NHS in the pandemic is that it was never overwhelmed, although of course you do qualify that by saying that demand never exceeded capacity across the UK as a whole.

18 A. As a whole, absolutely.

19 Q. The second part of the context to my question is the
 20 witness statement of Saffron Cordery, who is the deputy
 21 chief executive of the NHS Providers organisation, in
 22 which she says at paragraph 206:

"Throughout the course of the relevant period, trust leaders highlighted to us that mental health services for children and young people faced

29

So I would say that these services were not overwhelmed by Covid, they were already under very significant pressure before the pandemic.

MR PEZZANI: I'm grateful, thank you.

Thank you, my Lady.

LADY HALLETT: Thank you, Mr Pezzani.

Ms Hannett.

Ms Hannett is behind Mr Pezzani.

Questions from MS HANNETT KC

MS HANNETT: Mr Hancock, I ask questions on behalf of the Long Covid groups. We're very grateful to Counsel to the Inquiry who has already raised most of the issues with you that we wished to raise already.

I have one remaining question. We know that healthcare workers are disproportionately affected by Covid-19 and so are also likely to be disproportionately impacted by Long Covid. As you've already confirmed with Counsel to the Inquiry, even now there's no data being collected on the prevalence of Long Covid amongst healthcare workers.

You've already stated there should be data collected on the incidence of healthcare workers with Long Covid. Do you agree that collecting data on staff absence due to Long Covid would have been helpful in order to understand the overall capacity of the

a significant treatment gap prior to the pandemic inaddition to demand stemming from the pandemic."

3 A. Yes

4 Q. And at paragraph 209 of the same statement she describes how in May 2021 NHS providers conducted a survey of 5 6 chairs and chief executives of mental health and 7 learning disability trusts that provide mental health 8 services for children and young people. The findings of 9 that survey include that 85% of respondents said they 10 could not meet demand for children and young people's 11 eating disorder services, and two-thirds said they were 12 not able to meet demand for community services and 13 inpatient services.

14 A. Yes

Q. So my question is, in specific relation to children and
 young people's mental health inpatient capacity, do you
 maintain that the NHS was never overwhelmed during the
 relevant period?

A. Well, what I'd say to that is that this was a problem
well before the pandemic and in the 2018 long-term plan
we increased the budget for mental health services
faster than the NHS budget as a whole and, within that,
for children and young people's services the fastest
still. So this is a clear and significant problem in
the NHS. It remains so today irrespective of Covid.

3

1 healthcare system?

2 A. Yes, I do, yes.

Q. And do you agree that that would also have been helpful
 to have that data for all staff with Long Covid, whether
 they're agency staff, privately employed staff, casual
 workers, non-clinical staff, ie even those not directly
 employed by the NHS?

8 A. Yes, and collecting the data in these circumstances for
 9 those not, as you say, not directly employed by the NHS
 10 is always more challenging, for instance we discussed
 11 private pharmacy services yesterday in a slightly
 12 different context, but I strongly agree.

13 MS HANNETT: Thank you.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14 Thank you, my Lady.

15 LADY HALLETT: Thank you, Ms Hannett.

Mr Simblet, who is just there.

Questions from MR SIMBLET KC MR SIMBLET: Good morning, Mr Hancock. These questions are

on behalf of the Covid Airborne Transmission Alliance, or CATA, which has been referred to already in the questioning yesterday. It's an organisation of healthcare workers and others who came together during the pandemic because they were concerned about the need to protect healthcare workers from Covid's airborne nature and they therefore had concerns also about

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

1

3

4

5

6

7

appropriate protective equipment.

And I've got three questions on the types of masks provided to healthcare workers.

4 A. Okay.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

2

3

7

8

Q. Now, my first question is about the feedback that you sought from healthcare workers in the context of paragraph 137 of your fifth witness statement where you mention the National Social Partnership Forum, which you say is the established mechanism for the department to discuss issues affecting staff, brings together the department, main healthcare trade unions, NHS employers arm's length body partners, and you say:

"The forum discussed issues relating to PPE regularly and particularly how staff concerns could be addressed "

So, what were the outcomes of those deliberations on PPE, how were the staff concerns over the level of protection dealt with, and were those concerns adequately addressed in the forum?

20 A. Well, it's a good question whether they were adequately 21 addressed, but they were addressed. The amount of IPC -- sorry, the amount of PPE was effectively 22 23 determined by the IPC process which I took as read, as 24 clinical advice. Of course, the availability of the 25 higher-end masks was extremely tight at the start of the

Q. And that in June 2020 you "pushed for us to look at data on the impact of use of masks in hospitals on infections"?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Now, you've given in your statement two examples of 6 that. One, a meeting on 11 June, of which in fact the minutes, which we don't need to go into, say -- it's headlined the "SOS nosocomial infections meeting on 9 11 June".

10 A. Right.

11 Q. And then in November 2020, so five months or so later, 12 there was a discussion with Amanda Pritchard and 13 Ruth May. And so my question is this: from your 14 evidence yesterday, ie your understanding was that FFP3 15 masks provide a higher degree of protection than FRSMs, 16 this would appear to be particularly important as 17 an issue. Can you say what data you were provided with 18 about masks and their impacts and how did that data 19 affect what you did?

A. 20 Yes, there was regular updates of data on those matters. 21 You quote two meetings. There were many other 22 discussions in between that, both formally and 23 informally, and I think the reason that the June meeting 24 is quoted is because around that time I pushed hard for, 25 and succeeded in getting, the agreement of the NHS to

pandemic and had we, for instance, specified FFP3 masks right from the get-go, there would have been a risk that in extremely high-risk settings there would not have been the availability of those masks had they been used across the board when the lower-grade masks were available more widely.

So those sorts of tradeoffs do need to be considered but I think that -- but that was the formal process

I think I also say in my witness statement, there was also, obviously, informal and other advice that we took. The formal process was only -- the formal forum was only part of the way that we understood feedback on

15 Q. Right. Well, I'll move on to the next question which is 16 about the data you were provided with. And, again, in 17 the same witness statement, paragraphs 115 to 116, you state that data on nosocomial infections was 18

20 A.

Q. -- identifying outliers and implementing best practice. 21

consistently used to inform policy --

22 A.

23 Q. And you say that you discussed nosocomial infections 24 frequently with Sir Simon Stevens and Dame Ruth May.

25 A.

34

insists on masks for everybody in hospital in all 2 settings where there might be a risk to patients.

So that was a -- there was a strengthening of that advice which I worked on with Ruth May, as you say.

Yes, all right. And then thirdly, and this goes back to

So in the paperwork there's -- there are the examples. I don't have them to hand today.

8 a question you were asked in Module 2 by Mr Stanton who has asked you questions this morning for the British 9 10 Medical Association, and it's this. Given that FFP3 11 masks are, in your view, the best protection against 12 an airborne virus and there being evidence that Covid 13 was airborne, there was a stop order placed on the

14 purchase of such masks in June 2020. And you were asked

15 why that was. You didn't know the answer at that point.

16 Do you know the answer now?

17 A. No, I don't. I would bring -- I would bring one other 18 thing to your attention. FFP3 masks are not the best protection against Covid. The best protection against 19 20 Covid is to stop the virus in its tracks by bringing in 21 lockdown measures --

22 Q. Well --

23 LADY HALLETT: We understand that argument, Mr Hancock, 24 we're talking about protection --

25 (Unclear: multiple speakers) 36

14

15

16

18

19

21

23

24

25

5

- MR SIMBLET: Within masks, within the field of masks. 1
- 2 A. Within the field of masks FFP3 masks aren't the most
- 3 effective, there are stronger masks as well. So this
- 4 isn't a binary question. I have no idea why -- if or
- 5 why a stop notice was put in place and if I had seen it
- 6 I doubt I would have approved it, but I haven't seen the
- 7 paperwork.
- 8 Q. Well, you've answered the question. Thank you very 9 much.
- 10 LADY HALLETT: Did you say yesterday, Mr Hancock, that you
- 11 understood the IPC guidance took into account the factor
- 12 of supply? Because that is not consistent with the
- 13 evidence I heard from people who were on the IPC
- 14 committee
- A. Well, my understanding is it took into account the 15
- 16 real-world situation that we were in. So for
- 17
- 18 LADY HALLETT: Well, where did you get that impression?
- 19 A. That's my recollection from the discussions I had at the
- 20 time, my Lady,
- 21 LADY HALLETT: With whom? Can you remember?
- 22 A. Well, I discussed these matters primarily with Ruth May,
- 23 Simon Stevens and Chris Whitty and Donna Kinnair, they
- 24 were the four people I would have relied on for this --
- 25 on this sort of issue.

37

- 1 by the NHS.
- 2 Α. Yeah.

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

- 3 Q. And largely from ethnic minority and migrant
- 4 backgrounds, such as hospital cleaners, porters,
- 5 security guards and medical couriers, and clinical
- 6 nursing and healthcare assistant staff, all of whom are
- 7 from a migrant background.

Mr Hancock, my clients and their members have numerous questions for you in relation to your conduct during the pandemic. However, in deference to her Ladyship and the Inquiry team, we restrict our questions today to those we've been given permission to

13 ask you, updated to reflect your oral evidence so far.

> From your answers yesterday, it appears clear that, at least from the spring of 2020, you were aware that migrant healthcare workers were suffering

disproportionately high infection and mortality rates;

- 18 is that right?
- 19 Yeah, that's right, and I cared a huge amount for it. A.
- I think that the non-clinical employees working in NHS 20
- 21 settings are often overlooked in these debates, and
- 22 those who you represent deserve a stronger voice. And
- 23 so I was very worried about it, yes.
- 24 Q. Thank you, Mr Hancock. You were worried about it. What
- 25 practical steps did you take to address your worry?

- LADY HALLETT: So it wouldn't have been the people directly 1
- providing the IPC guidance? 2
- 3 A. No, because that guidance was provided to me through --4 in particular through Ruth May.
- LADY HALLETT: So your impression was -- I am not using the 5 6 term pejoratively, but it was second-hand?
 - A. It was indirect, yes.

8 But an apposite example is the point about

9 FFP3 masks. If there's only a certain number, then that 10

sort of guidance would take into account the places 11 where they were most in need and could save most lives.

12 That was my understanding of it. If that understanding

13 is incorrect, that was the impression that I had.

And there may be a difference between what was considered formally and what was broadly taken into account in these decisions. The paperwork will only

17 show part of the -- part of that. LADY HALLETT: Thank you.

Who is next? Ms Sen Gupta.

20 Over there.

Questions from MS SEN GUPTA KC

22 MS SEN GUPTA: Thank you, my Lady.

> Mr Hancock, I represent the Frontline Migrant Health Workers Group. Our client's members include outsourced non-clinical workers, not directly employed

- 1 Well, the most important thing we could do was bring
- 2 down infection rates in hospitals. Hence, for instance,
- 3 the IPC measures that we've discussed, that first came
- 4 in in March 2020, took into account the risk of
 - asymptomatic transmission in the way that they didn't
- 6 amongst wider society. That's one example but there
- 7 were others.
- 8 Q. That's not specific to migrant healthcare workers
- 9 though, is it, Mr Hancock? What specific steps did you
- 10 take focused on that group?
- 11 A. I took steps focused on all those who worked in the NHS,
- 12 especially in those roles where the voice may not be as
- 13 strong because they may not have the same
- 14 representation. And my -- as with the discussion
- 15 yesterday on issues of ethnicity in the NHS, my attitude 16
- was not to try to prioritise one group or community over
- 17 another, it was to try to support all those in those
- 18 roles, no matter and irrespective of the colour of their
- 19 skin or where they were born.
- 20 Q. Thank you, Mr Hancock. You've referred to steps, and
- 21 I'll ask again, what specific steps did you take in that
- 22 regard?
- 23 A. Absolutely central to this was bringing in lockdown
- 24 measures. I know that I keep repeating it but it is
- 25 absolutely core to how you can respond to a problem like

this

The second is bringing in PPE measures that took into account the risk of asymptomatic transmission within hospitals that I've just mentioned.

The third was supporting research into how the disease spread. So this was critical and in fact goes to the questions we've just been discussing from the Covid-19 Airborne Transmission Alliance, because in the early days we did not understand how it was transmitted and there was a presumption that transmission was more based on touch than on aerosol. And when the research came to light to show the importance of aerosol transmission, we again took steps related to that.

So this was a core part of trying to reduce nosocomial infection but it's a very difficult problem to crack.

- Q. Mr Hancock, do you accept that migrant healthcare
 workers, who had precarious immigration status, were
 more vulnerable to employer pressure to work in
 higher-risk environments than their non-migrant
 colleagues?
- 22 A. I can absolutely see how that could be the case, yes.
- 23 Q. As the Minister for Health, what practical steps did you take to address that?
- 25 A. Well, as I say, even before the pandemic I was worried

within the NHS, I was always at pains to take into account those not directly employed. This wasn't always the natural inclination of employers within the NHS, and in fact yesterday's discussion around pharmacists not employed directly by the NHS is one example: where I said pharmacists should get support as a whole, and then the system turned that into pharmacists directly employed should get support, and within three days I'd managed to change that back again to my original instruction. This is -- you know, that's one granular example I reiterate because it's front of mind, but there's endless things like that that you have to do if you want to support people who are themselves supported by the organisation that you represent.

Q. Mr Hancock, PPE. You told her Ladyship yesterday "our responsibility was to make sure that there was as much
 PPE available as possible"?

A. Yes.

19 Q. You also said "preventing nosocomial infection is a key20 responsibility [for] the NHS"?

A. Yes.

Q. Outsourced workers dealing with NHS patients, both in
 NHS and private hospitals, reported that they were not
 provided with adequate PPE. As the Minister for Health,
 what efforts did you make to ensure that outsourced

about this, and I had taken steps to highlight it to the NHS as employers, including publicly describing what I wanted to see and in introducing, encouraging the NHS to introduce, a chief people officer for the first time, who, as it happened, herself was from a migrant background, but that's less important than the fact she took action within the NHS to try to tackle this problem

But I'm afraid to say, I have to tell you in all honesty, there is still a huge amount to do on this agenda.

12 Q. Mr Hancock, when the pandemic hit in early 2020, around
 half the UK's hospital sites had outsourced ancillary
 services, including for cleaners, caterers, security
 staff. And those workers invariably worked for minimum
 wage and, as outsourced workers, did not have the
 employment protections of NHS employed staff.

As the Minister for Health, what practical steps did you take to protect these particularly vulnerable workers?

A. Well, one step, for instance, was to support the
 increase in the minimum wage and the introduction of the
 national living wage, which I campaigned for again
 before the pandemic. That's one example.

The second is that in discussing people issues 42

workers in hospitals were provided with appropriate or indeed any PPE?

A. Well, again, my responsibility was to ensure that there
 was PPE broadly available and that, as a nation, we
 didn't run out. The -- of course the distribution of
 that matters, and ensuring the policy supports and
 allows for the distribution of PPE to all those who are
 vulnerable and need it was important.

One example of this is that we set up PPE supply chains from the government to organisations, including many of those who employ those you represent, who before the pandemic would have bought their PPE entirely privately.

So, you know, in normal times, most organisations buy PPE as a normal purchase with no intervention from the government whatsoever. And before the pandemic the NHS Supply Chain supplied only the state-owned NHS hospitals, about 250 of them.

We expanded that to include around 60-70,000 organisations to which the state supplied PPE. So that's one of many examples.

22 MS SEN GUPTA: Thank you, Mr Hancock.

Thank you, my Lady.

LADY HALLETT: Thank you, Ms Sen Gupta.
 Ms Woodward, who is at the back there.

ke

Questions from MS WOODWARD

MS WOODWARD: Thank you, Mr Hancock, I ask questions on behalf of Covid-19 Bereaved Families for Justice Cymru, and my question is about communications with the devolved nations and it relates to evidence that Frank Atherton, the CMO for Wales, gave to the Inquiry during this module.

The transcript of Dr Atherton's evidence can be found at tab 62 of your bundle, Mr Hancock, and for others' reference it's PHT000000108.

I'm afraid I'm going to have to read out a length of Dr Atherton's evidence to you to give my question context.

When asked about instances where the approach in Wales diverged from the approach in England, Dr Atherton said this:

"Testing was a bit of an issue, the testing strategies generally ... Although information on the public health basis flowed very smoothly, I think, between the chief medical officers, sometimes ... because the work was being undertaken so rapidly ... policy leads at UK level in England, let's say, didn't communicate as rapidly as I would have liked with colleagues who were working on similar issues in Wales and that did lead, I think, to some divergence and

some difficulties in keeping up with what everybody was doing."

When he was asked about a solution to that communication issue, Dr Atherton said:

"I think in the same way that chief medical officers met and continued to meet regularly, there needs to be more communication between policy officials, policy leads, between the four nations. I think to some degree that is already happening but that would make far more sense.

"It's very difficult in the heat of a pandemic ... because work was often being directed by, say, the Secretary of State at UK level and it was very difficult, I think, for policy officials there to always remember to link up as closely as they might with policy leads in the other devolved nations. It's something we need to continually work at as civil servants ..."

We can see from this passage that, in relation to testing, Dr Atherton appears to suggest that there were delays in information being communicated from policy leads at the UK level in England, including the Secretary of State, to those working on similar issues in Wales, and that this led to divergence and difficulties in testing policy between the nations.

My question is this, Mr Hancock.

Do you agree that these communication difficulties were as a result of delays from the UK Government, including yourself?

A. Well, I agree with precisely with the statement as read out from the CMO for Wales. Your interpretation isn't quite right, because it's true that there could be decisions that I had to make very rapidly as the UK Secretary of State, some of which would involve -- have an impact on devolved issues because my role was both as the Secretary of State across the UK and directly responsible for the operation of -- the strategic operation of the NHS in England rather than across the UK as a whole, but what he said, and I think is right, is that there was good quality communication with CMO -- between the CMOs.

There was also high quality communication amongst ministers. We had a -- exactly as he set out and recommended, we had a weekly Zoom meeting. I personally went, at the start of the pandemic, in anticipation of this problem, to go and visit each of the other three ministers, and we had an excellent rapport, which can be seen on the WhatsApp channel that we communicated on very, very frequently.

The point that he's making is that at -- it's

amongst policy officials, maybe that needs to be strengthened too. Personally I can't -- I'm not sure what communications there were at that level. I -- you know, we had policy officials sit in on those weekly calls as well, but I'm sure that it can be improved. The point he was making about decisions by the Secretary of State, sometimes I had to make very rapid decisions and that, therefore, inevitably makes this sort of communication harder, and that is absolutely

11 Q. Mr Hancock, from your perspective, what were the
12 challenges that you faced, personally, or that you were
13 aware of from your team, in communicating effectively
14 and quickly with the Welsh Government, if we set aside
15 the fact that of course some decisions were being made
16 by yourself very quickly?

17 A. Yes, so personally I didn't find difficulties at the -18 when decisions and discussions were happening at the
19 ministerial level. I had an excellent relationship with
20 Vaughan Gething, who was the health minister for almost
21 all of the time, and we would speak or message directly
22 if we needed to or we'd communicate in more formal
23 settings, including the weekly meeting.

And I would say that we supported each other through -- both going through similarly extremely

12

15

1

6

7

9

challenging circumstances and having to make enormous decisions in -- between unpalatable options.

Whether there could then, at the next level down, be better communication, if that is the evidence of the CMO in Wales then I wouldn't dispute it.

To give an example of that in substance, one of the particular challenges between England and Wales was the provision of testing at the border because, for many people, their closest testing site might be on the other side of the border, for instance the data integrations between the NHS in England and Wales are -- were poor and need to be radically improved because if you live in, say, Chester and work in Wrexham, your data needs to move from one to the other.

As it happens, I had a flu jab in Wrexham earlier this week and I'm a patient in England and who knows whether that data will make it on to my medical record, my English medical record.

So -- but those are -- that's a highly technical specific example but that is the level of detail that we'd get into.

22 MS WOODWARD: Thank you, Mr Hancock.

Thank you, my Lady, those are my questions.

24 LADY HALLETT: Thank you.

25 Mr Weatherby.

49

- 1 instinct that this was the problem.
- 2 Q. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

- 3 A. The problem is, looking back, if I had simply said there
- 4 was asymptomatic transmission, clinicians, right up to
- 5 the World Health Organisation, would have said you don't
- 6 have the evidence for that, Secretary of State.
- 7 Q. Yes, but that's the point, Mr Hancock, isn't it? We're
- 8 talking about an absence of evidence --
- 9 A. Absolutely.
- Q. -- rather than evidence of absence. 10
- A. And generally --11
- Q. And that was your role as Secretary of State to push 12
- 13 back and say that?
- 14 A. And generally my approach was to take the reasonable
- 15 worst-case scenario.
- Q. Yes. 16
- 17 A. And the reasonable worst-case scenario should have
- 18 included the possibility of --
- Q. It should. 19
- 20 A. -- asymptomatic transmission.
- Q. Let me take this one step further. In terms of aerosol 21
- 22 or airborne transmission, would you also agree, going
- 23 forward, that the learning point is that with a newly
- 24 emerging respiratory disease, the same should apply?
- 25 Yes, absolutely, for a respiratory disease, yes. Α.

Questions from MR WEATHERBY KC

2 MR WEATHERBY: Good morning, Mr Hancock. I ask questions on

3 behalf of the Covid-19 Bereaved Families for Justice UK.

4 The first topic was covered by Ms Carey yesterday, 5 asymptomatic transmission, and I think you agreed that 6 decision and policy-making in that respect should have 7 proceeded on a precautionary basis. Have I understood 8

- 9 A. Yes, and should in future.
- 10 Q. And should in future. What I wasn't so clear about is 11 whether you accepted that as Secretary of State, looking
 - back on it, you should have ensured that in fact that is
- 13 what happened?
- 14 My challenge, looking back on it, is that I was facing
 - a global consensus to the contrary. I pushed hard. One
- 16 of the challenges you have as Secretary of State is that
- 17 you have to work out where you can push and how far you
- 18 can go. Reflecting on it, of course it would have been
- 19 far better --
- 20 Q. Yes.
- 21 A. -- if we'd had that presumption.
- 22 You were acting on an absence of evidence or what was
- 23 being told to you there was an absence of evidence?
- 24 A. But I know looking back, if I really searched for what
- 25 I really felt and knew at the time, I had a strong

- Thank you. Topic 2, capacity, and again you've been
- 2 asked a lot of questions about this so I can deal with
- 3 this quickly, and about the need to increase capacity
- 4 and the evidence you've already given about Nightingale 5

hospitals.

In Module 1 the Inquiry heard from

Professor Sally Davies, the CMO until shortly before the

8 pandemic, who told us that, and I'm quoting: "Compared to similar countries, per 100,000

10 population we were at the bottom of the table on

11 numbers of doctors, numbers of nurses, number of beds,

12 number of ITUs, number of respirators and

ventilators." 13

14 Do you agree that those were all key factors in 15 the capacity problem in the NHS and why you needed to 16 increase NHS capacity after the pandemic struck?

17 A. Yes. My response to that is that that is absolutely

18 true, it's one of the reasons I campaigned for the

10,000 extra beds in the summer of 2020 ahead of 19

20 the second wave and --

- 21 Q. Can we focus on the position effectively at
- 22 1 January 2020 --
 - 23 A. Okay.
- 24 -- and what happened then?
- 25 A. Yes, I was going on to say we were in the middle of

- 1 expanding those numbers very radically from the time
- 2 when Sally left office. For instance, I'd committed, in
- 3 2019, to 50,000 more nurses. That has now been
- 4 delivered but I'm strongly on the record in favour of
- 5 exactly that argument, yes.
- 6 Q. Thank you. And if we hadn't been bottom of the table in
- 7 respect to those matters, the need for the extra
- 8 capacity that you then applied your mind to would at the
- 9 very least have been mitigated, wouldn't it?
- 10 A. I think "mitigated" is a good word because I would still 11 argue in favour of it as an insurance policy.
- Yes, so again the answer is "yes"? 12 Q.
- 13 Yes, it is, yes. Very much so. Α.
- Q. Third topic. Visiting arrangements. And again, a lot 14
- of this, a lot of the points I was going to ask you 15
- 16 about have already been dealt with so I shan't repeat
- 17 those, but really one specific point.
 - The Inquiry has heard quite a bit of evidence about the problems of restrictions on support and visiting for those with learning disabilities and that includes the individual referred to by Ms Carey in the questioning she asked you about Susie Sullivan who had
- 24 Α. Yes.

19

20

21

22

23

25 Do you agree that, from the outset, guidance on visiting

Down's syndrome and whose family I represent.

- 1 in particular, ahead of the pandemic so it was an area 2 that I was well versed in.
- 3
- Q. Okay, but once the pandemic was on us and these problems
- 4 arose, did you become aware that the visiting
- 5 restrictions were having such a deleterious effect on
- 6 people who needed this kind of support? Did you become
- 7 aware of that?
- 8 A. I can't remember being presented with specific evidence
- 9 of individual cases and the debate was more at a higher
- 10 level about the balance between the spread of the virus
- and the need for visiting, much as in the case of 11
- 12 maternity.
- 13 Q. I don't want to be unfair and you had an awful lot on
- 14 your plate, but do you think you should have been aware
- 15
- 16 A. Well, this would have been brought to me as a policy
- 17 issue rather than individual cases which would have,
- 18 rightly, been the responsibility of those on the ground.
- Yes, and do you think the policy problems should have 19 Q.
- 20 been brought to you?
- 21 A. Well, at that time, the team had a very difficult task
- 22 to do to work out which issues needed to be brought to
- 23 my attention because I was -- if you're working
- 24 an 18-hour day there was still a massive limitation on
- bandwidth, so these decisions did have to be taken and 25

- arrangements during the pandemic should have contained 1
- 2 specific provision for people who needed additional
- 3 support, including those with Down's, those with
- 4 learning disabilities, those with dementia, in order to
- 5 ensure their safety and well-being so far as was
- 6 possible?
- 7 Yes, what I'd say is that these rules were drafted very
- 8 rapidly and one of the important pieces of work that
- 9 could be done ahead of the next pandemic is to draft
- 10 such rules so they're on the shelf, so to speak, so much
- 11 more nuanced rules can be put into place very rapidly
- 12 with appropriate consultation whilst we've got time to
- 13
- 14 Q. Yes, well, no doubt that's a very sensible suggestion,
- 15 Mr Hancock. But why wasn't that done prior to this
- 16 pandemic?
- 17 A. Because the anticipation of a pandemic -- we've been
- through that in Module 1. There wasn't -- there were 18
- 19 huge amounts of areas where there wasn't work done.
- 20 Were you aware of the problems created by the
- 21 restrictions on visitation for those needing support or
- 22 those with learning disabilities? Did you become aware
- 23 of that during the pandemic?
- 24 A. I did and I'd worked hard on the question of support for
- 25 those with learning difficulties and inpatient settings,

- 1 probably appropriate to be taken at a junior ministerial
- 2 level.
- 3 Q. Is the real answer yes, this was a real problem,
- 4 a problem that we've heard really affects the welfare
- 5 and mortality rates of people with learning
- 6 disabilities? Is the answer yes, it should have been
- 7 brought to your attention?
- 8 A. The easy answer for me to sit here and say would be
- "yes". What I've been at pains to do during this 9
- Inquiry is to try to explain what it's really like and, 10
- in this instance, I think if a civil servant had made 11
- 12 a decision that this sort of matter would go to the
- 13 minister of care, I think that would have been
- 14 an appropriate decision.
- 15 Q. So it should have gone to somebody else?
- There's a ministerial team for a reason. If you try to 16
- 17 put every decision through the Secretary of State,
- 18 decisions just don't get made.
- 19 I'll move on. Topic 4 and back to 111 services. Q.
- 20 I think that you've already confirmed that part of the
- 21 reassurance to the public underlying the Stay at Home
- 22 messaging was that those who needed NHS care could
- 23 continue to access the NHS, including online and through
- 24 first point of contact 111. And the devolved services,
- 25 similar services. Is that correct?

5

6

9

ability.

- A. 1 Yes.
- 2 Q. And by way of example, and it's just one example, one of
- 3 the families that I represent, her father followed the
- 4 guidance, attempted many times to call 111, each time it
- 5 took several hours to get through, his health
- 6 deteriorated, each time he was told to remain at home.
- 7 And that's quite a typical report from family members.
- 8 A. Yeah.
- 9 Q. Now plainly the plan relied on 111 being able to cope
- 10 with the increased levels of demand. I'm not going to
- take you to that because Ms Carey did yesterday, but the 11
- 12 plan -- the messaging and the reassurance for Stay at
- 13 Home relied on 111 being able to cope with the increased
- 14 level of demand --
- A. But not only on 111. So this brings to the point about 15
- 16 the NHS as a whole being there. So 111 is, of course,
- 17 a vital service and was --
- 18 Q. First point of contact, your words.
- 19 A. And weighed upon heavily. However 999 remained
- 20 available and didn't have the same outages. So people
- 21 who were facing an acute problem could switch from
- 22 calling 111 to calling 999 if necessary.
- 23 Q. Right. Well, let's focus on the first point of contact,
- 24 the service that you were advising the public to use as
- 25 the first point of contact unless they had, for example,
- 1 Q. Yesterday you gave evidence regarding some consideration 2
 - of delaying the Stay at Home message by 24 to 48 hours
- 3 to allow more time for the 111 system, and no doubt the
- 4 999 system as well, to get more ready, yes?
- 5 A. Yes, that's right.
- 6 Q. Can you help what could have been done in 24 hours or
- 7 48 hours to cope with the surge that Ms Carey took you
- 8 through yesterday?
- 9 A. Well, again, this is an operational question for
 - Sir Simon Stevens. He, in the COBR meeting, suggested
- 11 that delay for these operational reasons and it was
- 12 taken into account.

10

- 13 To give examples of what could have been done:
- 14 firstly, there would have been more time to draft
- 15 scripts, because 111 relies on scripts for call handlers
- 16 to follow, to give them guidance of how to answer
- 17 questions. In the end there was a matter of hours and
- 18 those scripts were put together overnight as opposed to
- 19 having 24 to 48 hours to write them.
- 20 The second thing is that the operation to
- 21 expand 111 and bring in more call centres --
- 22 Q. Yes.
- 23 -- could have been -- would have had 24 to 48 hours more
- 24 notice to put in place. So those two examples.
- 25 Okay. But you're not sensibly suggesting that 24 hours Q. 59

1 serious immediate life-threatening problems, in which 2 case they would phone 999. Okay? So let's focus 3 on 111.

And I think you're agreeing with me, I'll put it again, that the plan relied on 111 being able to cope with the increased level of demand?

- 7 A. No, I repeat my previous answer that 111 was one service 8 within a range of services, and your request to focus 9 only on 111 is not appropriate in the question that you 10 give because you have to look at the services provided 11 by the NHS as a whole.
- 12 Right. Well, I'm not going to ask the question yet Q. 13 again but I am concentrating --
- 14 A. You can, but I'll give you the same answer. The point 15 is you're concentrating on 111. My point is that if you 16 have a life-threatening condition and you can't get
- 17 through on 111, you call 999, and that is very broadly 18 known.
- 19 Q. Noted. We've been through that.
- 20 Is it correct there was no emergency pandemic 21 planning around the use of 111, including no planning 22 for increasing the capacity of 111 services?
- 23 A. I don't know that for sure but I wouldn't be surprised 24 if that was true because 111 was brought in after the 25 pandemic plan was written in 2011.

- 1 or even 48 hours would have made a material difference 2 to getting robust and appropriate scripts together, never mind call centres and further staff; you're not
- 3
- 4 sensibly suggesting that, are you?
- 5 A. Well, the question implies an easy world of being able 6 to do what you fancy. That isn't what happens in 7 a pandemic. The reality is that everything -- nothing 8 is done perfectly, everything is done to people's best

10 And as I say anyway, the Prime Minister then made 11 the judgment not to wait that period, understanding and 12 taking into mind the operational improvements that could 13 have been made. It wouldn't have been perfect, even 14 after 24 or 48 hours, as you imply, but it would have 15 been easier operationally, but we decided not to do that 16 and, with hindsight, I think that was the right 17 decision.

Q. Well, so far as we can see from the disclosed material 18 19 it wasn't until May that you considered whether the 111 20 service had been able to cope with the demand that was 21 immediately put on it by this policy, and it was in the 22 middle of May that you caused to be conducted a deep 23 dive regarding 111 capacity, and that appears to have 24 come out of a Quad discussion on 18 May. Does that 25 sound right to you in terms of the timing?

60

(15) Pages 57 - 60

- A. No. The work to enhance and support 111 was immediate 1
- 2 from the middle of March, when that COBR discussion took
- 3 place and, before that, in anticipation that there be
- 4 a huge surge of questions, and there was immediate work
- 5 to support 111 during that period, that again was led by
- 6 the NHS, by NHS England. That work was successful.
- 7 By May we were able to then look back to understand what
- 8 had happened as opposed to the hand-to-mouth immediate
- 9 response.
- 10 Q. I follow. In fact, it was a result of that deep dive
- that you ended up being informed on, I think 22 May, of 11
- 12 the 40% of 111 calls that had gone unanswered in
- 13 March 2020, as we heard yesterday. Does that accord
- 14 with your recollection?
- 15 A. I have no reason to doubt that.
- 16 Q. Yes. Sticking with 111 for a moment. My next point.
- 17 The quality of the service. Again, you were referred to
- 18 the Healthcare Safety Investigation Branch investigation
- 19 published in September of 2022. And you referred to it
- 20 yesterday in evidence with regard to the strong
- 21 messaging which may have discouraged some people from
- 22 seeking treatment. But it's not that point I want to
- 23 ask you about.

25

- The same report made a number of critical findings
- in relation to the 111 service, including that the Covid
- 1 scripts that could draw from the learnings from the 2 pandemic.
- 3 Q. I've no doubt you are right that's a lesson that can be
- 4 drawn, but before we get to that, can you help us that
- 5 during your time as Secretary of State what quality
- 6 assurance mechanisms were put in place so that you could
- 7 be satisfied, as Secretary of State, of the quality and
- 8 functioning of the 111 service?
- 9 A. Well the 111 service was contracted by NHS England so it
- 10 would be their responsibility to do that. What I say,
- 11 though, is that, again, this was put in place very
- 12 rapidly, in short order, and just as we were earlier
- 13 discussing, you've got to take 111 in its context with
- 14 the 999 and, of course, physical services and being able
- 15 to call your GP, and the other side, there's also now 16
- 17 and for many people not being able to reach 111 would
- 18 lead them to search on the NHS website. We saw that
- 19 journey many times as well.
- 20 So you've got to see the information provision in 21
- 22 Q. Can I ask you to focus on the question. The question
- 23
- 24 Home. It's one of the mechanisms to underpin that
- policy. I entirely understand that it's been rolled out 25

- much more widespread online availability of information,
- the round rather than simply looking at one sentence.
- was that this is a big part of your policy of Stay at
- - 63

- 1 Response Service, which was an add-on, if you like, to
- 2 the 111 service --
- 3 A. Yes
- 4 Q. -- it didn't function as intended --
- 5 A. Yes
- 6 Q. -- and that there were basic deficiencies in the advice
- 7 and that callers were not asked about comorbidities and
- 8 there was comment about the needs of specific groups
- 9 such as those with learning disabilities or whose first
- 10 language wasn't English. Are you aware of those
- 11 criticisms of the 111 service by the HSIB?
- 12 Yes. I think you have to set them against the fact Α.
- 13 that, thank God we had 111 in the first place, and it
- 14 did an amazing amount of work. The correct thing to do
- 15 is to thank those who worked in 111 for their service
- 16 and be grateful that we had it and then to seek to
- 17 improve the response in the future.
- 18 Q. Yes.
- 19 I think the point that you make specifically about the
- 20 pandemic response line is an important one that
- 21 I haven't seen drawn out yet in any of the discussion,
- 22 which was that there was a PHE contract for a pandemic
- 23 response line in anticipation of the need for a phone
- 24 line, and it did not integrate well, and one of the
- 25 lessons should be to be ready to expand 111 with draft
 - very rapidly. But you need to roll out quality
- 2 assurance rapidly as well, don't you, because otherwise
- 3 you may roll out something which doesn't work as, in
- 4 fact, to some extent, seems to have been what happened
- 5 here?

- 6 **A.** I repeat my previous answer which is that the question
- 7 implies a world of time and easy consideration which is
- 8 simply not the world that anybody inhabits when they're
- 9 trying to respond to a pandemic. This was a deadly
- 10 pathogen and we were bringing in measures from
- 11 January 2020 with enormous rapidity, and I'm very
- 12 grateful for those who did that work and did it so well.
- 13 Can it be improved? Of course it can, as anything 14 done in a massive hurry can be improved, as it was
- 15 during the pandemic.
- 16 Q. So the lesson is to have a plan for services like 111
- 17 including a surge capacity plan --
- 18 A. Precisely, yes.
- 19 -- but also a plan to quality assure it so that you know 20 that you're actually not wasting your time?
- 21 Well, that implies that there's a binary between putting
- 22 up stuff that is useful and putting up nothing at all.
- 23 Actually, putting together scripts very rapidly, putting
- 24 things on the internet, on the website very rapidly, and
- 25 then improving them iteratively is in practice what you

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 1 do in these circumstances. There isn't -- there may be 2 time for somebody like the CMO or another qualified
- 3 clinician to look over prepared documents that are
- 4 prepared in a very, very short window of time, of course
- 5 you can do a formal quality assurance later, but in many
- 6 cases we had to do things far, far faster than we would
- 7 do in normal circumstances, and if you don't take that
- 8 into account then the point you're making doesn't really
- 9 make sense.
- 10 Q. Well, the question was actually aimed at how you
- optimise the services that you were able to provide, 11
- 12 even given the lack of planning and the lack of
- 13 capacity.
- 14 A. Yes
- Q. So having no assurance meant that you simply didn't know 15
- 16 whether these services were working properly or
- 17 optimally in the circumstances.
- 18 Well, firstly, there was not no assurance, because Α.
- 19 senior clinicians looked at these materials before they
- 20 went out. And secondly, the way that the world works in
- 21 practice is that you get the best information you can
- 22 out, if you have to move very rapidly, and then you
- 23 improve it over time. It is not a sequential process
- 24 with the benefit of time.
- 25 Now is the moment to do the work that requires
- 1 Α. Right.
- 2 Q. So on 12 February of 2020 the government, your
- 3 department, issued a response to that report, and in
- 4 that report -- I'll give the reference just for the
- 5 record. It's INQ000474478. And in that report, at
- 6 paragraph 2.47, your department describes the problem
- 7 that I've just raised as being "completely
- 8 unacceptable"?
- 9 A. Yes, that was my view.
- Q. And that will be your view? 10
- A. Very strongly held, yeah. 11
- 12 Q. Yes. And as a result of that, the action that was
- 13 taken, so far as I understand it, was that the
- 14 department wrote to trusts to say that this needed to be
- 15
- A. Yes, I think that was done again by my -- by the junior 16
- 17 minister, but it was something that I was --
- Sighted on? 18 Q.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Indeed. Now we come to April, literally two months
- later. 21
- 22 **A**. Yeah.
- 23 And other problems, but similar problems in some cases,
- 24 materialised in respect of Covid patients.
- 25 A. Yes.

67

- 1 time and use time to consult with bodies. Now is the 2 moment to --
- 3 Q. As you correctly said, we discussed that in Module 1.

The next topic, DNACPRs. Again, you were asked a number of questions yesterday about this. And you stated that you were aware from early April 2020 that there were concerns being raised about the inappropriate imposition of DNACPRs, and potentially blanket orders, and this is something that chimes with well over 400 of the family members that I represent, who have raised

12 This was an issue, wasn't it, that was on your 13 radar long before April of 2020 because in May of 14 2019 --

- A. Yeah. 15
- 16 Q. -- there was the NHS learning disability mortality
- 17 review, sometimes referred to as the LeDeR, and that had
- identified a whole host, about 19, instances where 18
- 19 learning disabilities or Down's syndrome were given as
- 20 a rationale for a DNACPR order.

such concerns.

- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And you knew about that, didn't you, because in fact --
- 23 A. Not only did I know about it, I acted on it at the time
- 24 absolutely and --
- 25 Q. I'm coming to that.

66

- 1 Q. And so yesterday you told us that you'd acted again
- 2 in April and you made a number of public statements.
- 3 But in fact, apart from that, nothing else was done
- 4 until October, when the CQC started to investigate and
 - report on the DNACPR issues. That's the reality, isn't
- 6

5

8

- 7 A. No.
- Q. No?
- 9 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Well --10
- 11 A. The reality is that as soon as I heard about this being
- 12 a potential problem and these concerns being raised with
- 13 me, I immediately acted because I feel so strongly about
- 14 this, and I went public on it, including using the
- 15 platform of the daily press conference to reiterate the
- 16 total unacceptability of this. And I discussed it with
- 17 the NHS leadership, whose responsibility it was to stop
- 18 it from happening.
- 19 Q. Sir Simon Stevens?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Well, I can help you with this because what in actual
- 22 fact happens in early September or by early September,
- 23 David Davis MP raises a question about a number of
- 24 allegedly inappropriate DNACPRs, and that prompted
- 25 an email discussion which refers to you having a meeting

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And in that email correspondence, which was at tab 61 of your evidence bundle, INQ000478907 for the record, it's clear that there was still no data available to assess the scale of the problem or to monitor any progress held by either the DHSC or NHS England.

So, yes, you'd written in February to the NHS trusts, yes, you'd used your public platform to recognise the issue, but then nothing had been done apparently to monitor or collect data or again assurance about whether the problem is continuing or how it had been dealt with. That's the reality, isn't it?

A. No. The reality is that when this issue was highlighted I didn't use my public platform to discuss the issue, whatever the word was. I used my public platform to instruct that this was entirely unacceptable. There is no reason that the department would have data on this because it's a question within the NHS, and I took it up with the NHS.

I'm afraid we come to the division of responsibilities between the NHS and the department. The departmental position was extremely and vocally clear, and then when it was again brought to my attention I took further action. So the -- that's what

1 (The witness withdrew)
2 LADY HALLETT: Very well, noon on Monday.

1 I did and that was what I was accountable for.

2 I absolutely -- looking back, I took the action that

3 I ought to have taken and there is no -- there is

4 absolutely no reason why anybody should put in place one

of these measures without a properly consented process.

Q. Well, I've put the point to you. The Inquiry has thedocuments. But no monitoring, no data, no assurance,

8 and that's what happens in early September and that's

9 what triggers the CQC having a look at the issue.

10 That's the reality, isn't it?

A. The reality is that I raised this matter with those who
 were properly appropriate for ensuring that it didn't
 happen.

14 MR WEATHERBY: I'm out of time.

16

21

22

23

15 LADY HALLETT: Thank you very much, Mr Weatherby.

Ms Carey, any further questions from you?

MS CAREY: No, my Lady, I have had an opportunity to look at
 the transcript overnight and there's nothing I need to
 clarify, thank you.

20 LADY HALLETT: Thank you very much.

Mr Hancock, that completes the questions we have for you in this module although I'm afraid I do know that we are going to be asking you questions in another.

24 Thank you for your help so far.

25 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.

•		(The withess witharew)
2	LADY HALLETT:	Very well, noon on Monday.
3	(11.40 am)	
4	(The h	earing adjourned until 12.00 noon
5		on Monday, 25 November 2024)
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		71

1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3	MR MATT HANCOCK	1
4	(continued)	
5	Questions from MR JACOBS	1
6	Questions from MR STANTON	8
7	Questions from MR WAGNER	13
8	Questions from MS POLASCHEK	20
9	Questions from MR BURTON KC	25
10	Questions from MR PEZZANI	29
11	Questions from MS HANNETT KC	31
12	Questions from MR SIMBLET KC	32
13	Questions from	38
14	MS SEN GUPTA KC	
15	Questions from MS WOODWARD	45
16	Questions from	50
17	MR WEATHERBY KC	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	72	

17 million [1] 25/14 999 system [1] 59/4 47/11 47/14 aggressive [2] 28/13 LADY HALLETT: **18 May [1]** 60/24 acted [3] 66/23 68/1 **[21]** 1/4 1/8 8/7 agree [13] 14/7 16/18 **19 [8]** 17/3 23/16 68/13 12/24 20/17 25/8 29/5 28/22 31/16 41/8 45/3 abandons [1] 1/25 acting [1] 50/22 17/5 18/20 26/15 31/6 32/15 36/23 50/3 66/18 ability [1] 60/9 action [6] 27/8 28/17 31/23 32/3 32/12 47/2 37/10 37/18 37/21 able [14] 7/19 17/21 42/7 67/12 69/25 70/2 47/5 51/22 52/14 1:6 [1] 7/7 38/1 38/5 38/18 44/24 25/2 28/24 30/12 57/9 actions [1] 15/5 53/25 49/24 70/15 70/20 57/13 58/5 60/5 60/20 actual [1] 68/21 agreed [2] 5/16 50/5 2 million [1] 17/18 61/7 63/14 63/17 actually [7] 1/21 2/1 **agreeing [2]** 15/3 MR BURTON: [2] 65/11 **2.47 [1]** 67/6 5/21 14/2 64/20 64/23 58/4 25/12 29/3 **2011 [1]** 58/25 about [62] 7/5 8/14 65/10 agreement [1] 35/25 MR JACOBS: [2] **2018 [1]** 30/20 9/12 9/15 9/19 9/25 acute [2] 15/15 57/21 ahead [6] 4/7 25/24 1/10 8/5 **2019 [2]** 53/3 66/14 10/21 11/14 12/20 28/11 52/19 54/9 55/1 add [1] 62/1 MR PEZZANI: [2] 13/4 14/4 14/19 16/4 **2020 [26]** 19/24 add-on [1] 62/1 aimed [1] 65/10 29/9 31/4 19/25 21/4 21/12 17/3 18/2 18/23 20/8 added [2] 27/12 airborne [6] 32/19 MR SIMBLET: [2] 21/21 22/24 24/24 21/8 23/21 23/23 24/9 32/24 36/12 36/13 27/13 32/18 37/1 25/15 26/19 26/24 27/23 29/14 32/23 **addition [1]** 30/2 41/8 51/22 MR STANTON: [2] 27/13 27/24 28/1 35/1 32/25 33/5 34/16 Alicia [1] 21/18 additional [2] 6/19 8/12 12/22 35/11 36/14 39/15 35/18 36/24 38/8 all [20] 1/4 5/2 15/2 54/2 MR WAGNER: [2] 40/4 42/12 52/19 39/23 39/24 42/1 18/17 19/9 19/23 address [2] 39/25 13/2 20/16 44/18 45/4 45/14 46/3 41/24 52/22 61/13 64/11 19/24 21/24 23/6 32/4 MR WEATHERBY: 66/6 66/13 67/2 48/6 50/10 51/8 52/2 addressed [5] 33/15 36/1 36/7 39/6 40/11 **[2]** 50/2 70/14 52/3 52/4 53/16 53/19 **2021 [1]** 30/5 33/19 33/21 33/21 40/17 42/9 44/7 48/21 MS CAREY: [1] **2022 [1]** 61/19 53/22 55/10 57/15 67/15 52/14 64/22 70/17 61/23 62/7 62/8 62/19 adequate [1] 43/24 **2024 [2]** 1/1 71/5 allay [1] 24/12 MS HANNETT: [2] 206 [1] 29/22 66/5 66/7 66/18 66/22 adequately [2] 33/19 allegedly [1] 68/24 31/10 32/13 66/23 68/11 68/13 **209 [1]** 30/4 33/20 **Alliance [2]** 32/19 **MS POLASCHEK: 22 May [1]** 61/11 68/23 69/1 69/12 adjourned [1] 71/4 41/8 **[2]** 20/20 25/6 allow [2] 22/21 59/3 absence [5] 31/24 **22 November 2024** advance [2] 3/23 MS SEN GUPTA: [2] **[1]** 1/1 50/22 50/23 51/8 19/21 allowed [1] 21/9 38/22 44/22 **24 [4]** 59/2 59/19 51/10 advanced [1] 3/25 allows [1] 44/7 **MS WOODWARD:** 59/23 60/14 absolute [1] 12/3 advice [8] 18/3 18/4 almost [2] 14/16 [2] 45/2 49/22 24 hours [2] 59/6 **absolutely [24]** 5/3 27/7 27/7 33/24 34/11 48/20 THE WITNESS: [2] 59/25 5/4 6/6 9/14 11/2 11/8 36/4 62/6 along [2] 15/17 29/7 1/7 70/25 **25 [1]** 71/5 14/12 16/1 16/21 already [12] 7/7 7/16 advised [2] 16/24 **250 [1]** 44/18 18/10 20/10 23/18 1 22/4 31/2 31/12 31/13 28/17 29/18 40/23 31/17 31/21 32/20 advisers [1] 22/2 1 January 2020 [1] 40/25 41/22 48/9 51/9 advising [1] 57/24 46/9 52/4 53/16 56/20 52/22 **40 [1]** 61/12 51/25 52/17 66/24 advocating [1] 19/22 also [23] 1/24 3/17 **10,000 [1]** 52/19 400 [1] 66/9 70/2 70/4 aerosol [3] 41/11 4/25 6/11 6/24 9/18 10.00 [1] 1/2 48 hours [6] 59/2 accept [2] 3/19 41/17 41/12 51/21 9/22 14/9 14/23 17/10 **100,000 [1]** 52/9 59/7 59/19 59/23 60/1 accepted [1] 50/11 19/8 20/25 25/25 **affect [1]** 35/19 **11 June [2]** 35/6 35/9 60/14 accepting [1] 27/6 affected [3] 26/21 31/16 32/3 32/25 **11.40 [1]** 71/3 access [4] 14/9 28/18 31/15 34/10 34/11 43/19 **111 [34]** 56/19 56/24 21/24 23/17 56/23 57/4 57/9 57/13 57/15 **50 [2]** 8/22 11/4 47/17 51/22 63/15 **affecting [1]** 33/10 accord [1] 61/13 64/19 **affects [1]** 56/4 57/16 57/22 58/3 58/5 **50,000 [1]** 53/3 account [12] 19/9 afraid [5] 8/9 42/9 alternative [1] 18/1 58/7 58/9 58/15 58/17 22/12 23/6 37/11 45/11 69/21 70/22 although [3] 29/15 58/21 58/22 58/24 37/15 38/10 38/16 after [3] 52/16 58/24 45/18 70/22 59/15 59/21 60/19 **60-70,000 [1]** 44/19 40/4 41/3 43/2 59/12 60/14 altogether [1] 23/3 61/1 61/5 61/16 61/25 **61 [1]** 69/3 65/8 afterwards [1] 29/1 always [7] 17/25 18/7 62/2 62/11 62/13 **62 [1]** 45/9 accountability [1] again [22] 12/20 19/4 | 19/12 32/10 43/1 43/2 62/15 62/25 63/8 63/9 11/15 34/16 40/21 41/13 46/15 63/13 63/17 64/16 accountable [1] 70/1 42/23 43/9 44/3 52/1 am [7] 1/2 6/3 9/11 **111 calls [1]** 61/12 7 September 2020 [1] accurate [3] 4/1 4/2 53/12 53/14 58/5 23/25 38/5 58/13 71/3 111 capacity [1] 21/4 58/13 59/9 61/5 61/17 Amanda [1] 35/12 5/12 60/23 **70,000 [1]** 44/19 achieving [1] 5/18 63/11 66/4 67/16 68/1 Amanda Pritchard [1] **111 system [1]** 59/3 acknowledge [1] 9/3 69/11 69/24 8 35/12 **115 [1]** 34/17 acknowledging [1] against [8] 1/21 4/25 amazing [1] 62/14 **116 [1]** 34/17 **85 [1]** 30/9 9/8 28/13 28/16 36/11 **ambition [1]** 25/18 **12 February [1]** 67/2 9 acquired [2] 16/13 **ambitious [2]** 26/2 36/19 36/19 62/12 **12.00** noon [1] 71/4 16/14 agency [4] 17/8 18/2 26/11 999 [5] 57/19 57/22 **13 [1]** 20/21 across [6] 10/19 18/4 32/5 amendments [1]

58/2 58/17 63/14

15/25 29/17 34/5

agenda [1] 42/11

137 [1] 33/7

16/12 17/18 18/7 36/15 37/19 39/15 50/23 54/5 55/8 57/9 balance [9] 5/12 6/2 18/15 19/9 19/14 43/1 44/25 45/9 45/22 18/8 21/13 21/14 57/13 57/16 58/5 60/5 amendments... [1] 20/16 22/14 24/2 25/7 46/13 46/17 46/22 22/11 22/12 23/11 61/11 63/14 63/17 22/8 31/15 31/16 32/18 47/20 47/25 48/3 55/10 66/7 67/7 68/11 68/12 among [1] 8/21 36/5 36/11 36/18 37/3 48/17 48/18 49/3 49/8 **Ball [1]** 3/14 believe [1] 28/9 amongst [8] 13/17 benefit [2] 15/13 39/6 39/21 43/13 44/7 50/25 52/10 52/21 bandwidth [1] 55/25 18/15 21/4 21/8 31/19 49/11 49/19 49/23 53/8 55/9 55/21 56/1 65/24 based [4] 13/11 40/6 47/17 48/1 60/4 62/10 63/3 65/3 56/9 56/21 57/6 57/12 13/12 13/12 41/11 bereaved [3] 20/25 amount [6] 19/7 70/23 58/10 59/2 63/21 basic [1] 62/6 45/3 50/3 33/21 33/22 39/19 area [2] 18/21 55/1 basis [3] 34/14 45/19 63/23 64/22 65/10 bereavement [1] 42/10 62/14 areas [4] 10/8 13/4 65/19 66/23 67/5 69/2 50/7 21/16 **amounts [1]** 54/19 23/1 54/19 70/9 70/17 be [81] beside [1] 20/18 ancillary [1] 42/13 aren't [1] 37/2 at all [2] 15/2 64/22 became [2] 9/16 best [10] 2/19 3/4 another [4] 27/8 argue [2] 15/18 53/11 **Atherton [4]** 45/6 24/24 13/12 15/22 34/21 40/17 65/2 70/23 argument [8] 3/11 45/15 46/4 46/20 because [48] 2/25 36/11 36/18 36/19 answer [13] 15/8 10/1 12/9 12/10 22/11 **Atherton's [2]** 45/8 4/16 5/5 5/20 10/1 60/8 65/21 20/8 26/16 36/15 10/3 10/17 11/16 better [4] 18/11 24/3 22/23 36/23 53/5 45/12 36/16 53/12 56/3 56/6 **arguments** [2] 3/22 attempt [1] 19/17 13/22 13/23 13/23 49/4 50/19 56/8 58/7 58/14 59/16 3/25 attempted [1] 57/4 14/1 14/2 15/18 16/2 between [20] 6/3 64/6 16/12 16/16 17/20 9/19 15/5 15/6 18/19 arm's [1] 33/12 attempts [1] 1/25 answered [1] 37/8 attention [5] 25/21 21/14 27/25 35/22 arm's length [1] 20/5 20/10 23/15 answers [1] 39/14 33/12 36/18 55/23 56/7 32/23 35/24 37/12 38/14 45/20 46/7 46/8 anti [1] 3/8 arose [1] 55/4 69/25 38/3 40/13 41/8 43/11 46/25 47/16 49/2 49/7 anti-vaxxers [1] 3/8 around [6] 10/2 attitude [2] 10/18 45/21 46/12 47/7 49/11 55/10 64/21 anticipated [1] 26/18 35/24 42/12 43/4 47/10 49/8 49/12 69/22 40/15 anticipation [4] 53/10 54/17 55/23 44/19 58/21 **auspices** [1] 6/9 between March [1] 47/20 54/17 61/3 57/11 58/10 58/24 27/25 arrangements [2] authorities [2] 1/17 62/23 53/14 54/1 1/22 59/15 64/2 65/18 big [1] 63/23 anxiety [3] 8/21 11/5 as [135] binary [2] 37/4 64/21 **authority [3]** 1/23 66/13 66/22 68/13 21/8 68/21 69/19 aside [1] 48/14 2/16 2/17 Birmingham [1] 3/15 any [11] 12/15 13/11 ask [23] 8/12 8/14 autumn [5] 22/24 become [3] 54/22 **birth [2]** 21/16 24/20 14/16 18/2 20/1 24/10 bit [5] 14/10 15/4 8/25 9/15 9/17 10/21 26/24 27/9 27/13 55/4 55/6 25/1 44/2 62/21 69/6 13/2 13/4 14/4 14/19 27/20 bed [1] 7/21 18/25 45/17 53/18 70/16 beds [4] 6/19 7/25 17/25 20/21 25/12 availability [3] 33/24 blanket [1] 66/8 anybody [3] 2/10 29/10 31/10 39/13 34/4 63/16 52/11 52/19 Bliss [2] 21/3 21/17 64/8 70/4 BMA [4] 10/12 11/22 40/21 45/2 50/2 53/15 been [42] 4/21 7/3 available [9] 5/24 anything [1] 64/13 58/12 61/23 63/22 24/17 24/21 24/25 7/15 14/4 19/22 24/20 12/21 15/8 **anyway [2]** 15/20 31/24 32/3 32/20 34/2 board [1] 34/5 asked [11] 1/14 22/2 34/6 43/17 44/4 57/20 60/10 36/8 36/9 36/14 45/14 34/4 34/4 38/1 39/12 69/5 **bodies [1]** 66/1 apart [1] 68/3 41/7 50/18 52/1 53/3 46/3 52/2 53/22 62/7 average [1] 14/11 **body [1]** 33/12 apparently [1] 69/11 53/6 53/9 53/16 54/17 **boldly [1]** 7/5 66/4 avoid [3] 11/25 12/3 appear [2] 7/9 35/16 asking [2] 25/17 19/2 55/14 55/16 55/18 border [2] 49/8 49/10 appears [3] 39/14 aware [17] 8/25 9/11 55/20 56/6 56/9 56/13 bore [1] 26/22 70/23 46/20 60/23 9/16 19/19 21/2 22/5 58/19 59/6 59/13 assess [2] 14/3 69/5 born [1] 40/19 applied [2] 20/6 53/8 assist [3] 5/17 7/16 23/22 24/8 39/15 59/14 59/23 60/13 borne [2] 3/11 4/8 applies [1] 6/4 60/13 60/15 60/20 48/13 54/20 54/22 **both [8]** 3/17 5/1 28/24 apply [1] 51/24 63/25 64/4 69/10 assistant [1] 39/6 55/4 55/7 55/14 62/10 23/18 24/1 35/22 applying [1] 5/21 Association [2] 8/13 66/6 69/13 43/22 47/11 48/25 apposite [1] 38/8 36/10 away [1] 5/8 before [13] 12/7 **bottom [2]** 52/10 approach [4] 17/7 **assurance** [7] 63/6 awful [1] 55/13 13/21 30/20 31/3 53/6 45/14 45/15 51/14 64/2 65/5 65/15 65/18 41/25 42/24 44/11 bought [1] 44/12 appropriate [12] 5/25 69/11 70/7 44/16 52/7 61/3 63/4 **Branch [1]** 61/18 20/15 22/17 23/3 33/1 Baby [1] 20/21 65/19 66/13 **assure [1]** 64/19 **brigade** [1] 6/4 44/1 54/12 56/1 56/14 back [18] 2/14 6/22 asymptomatic [5] before April [1] bring [7] 12/4 15/11 58/9 60/2 70/12 12/17 15/7 18/20 40/5 41/3 50/5 51/4 66/13 26/2 36/17 36/17 40/1 approved [1] 37/6 20/13 22/14 36/7 43/9 51/20 behalf [12] 1/12 8/13 59/21 approximately [2] 44/25 50/12 50/14 at [70] 1/25 2/19 3/4 13/3 20/21 25/13 bringing [12] 4/7 8/22 11/4 50/24 51/3 51/13 3/7 3/9 4/19 6/17 8/5 25/14 25/17 29/10 6/22 7/11 7/18 7/24 **April [4]** 66/6 66/13 56/19 61/7 70/2 8/22 9/15 9/25 11/3 31/10 32/19 45/3 50/3 12/7 15/14 26/10 67/20 68/2 background [2] 39/7 11/3 12/15 14/21 behind [2] 8/9 31/8 36/20 40/23 41/2 are [45] 3/1 3/5 3/6 42/6 14/25 15/2 20/5 20/9 being [27] 7/6 10/8 64/10 3/7 4/8 4/11 4/23 5/5 20/9 21/24 23/25 24/8 backgrounds [1] brings [3] 15/9 33/10 17/21 18/5 20/1 22/9 5/13 6/15 12/8 12/10 39/4 24/11 28/6 29/12 31/19 36/12 45/21 57/15 14/2 14/14 15/16 16/2 29/22 30/4 33/25 35/1 badly [1] 26/21 British [2] 8/13 36/9 46/12 46/21 48/15

В	55/11 58/2	42/14	comorbidities [1]	54/12
<u>B</u>	cases [5] 20/5 55/9	clear [9] 6/6 18/3	62/7	
broad [1] 19/15		22/19 28/23 30/24		contact [4] 56/24 57/18 57/23 57/25
broadly [5] 6/14 23/7	55/17 65/6 67/23		companion [2] 23/8	
38/15 44/4 58/17	casual [1] 32/5	39/14 50/10 69/4	23/8	contained [1] 54/1
brought [9] 3/21 3/24	CATA [1] 32/20	69/24	companions [1]	context [7] 8/17
7/16 55/16 55/20	catch [1] 13/14	clearly [1] 26/4	24/19	29/12 29/19 32/12
55/22 56/7 58/24	caterers [1] 42/14	client's [1] 38/24	companionship [1]	33/6 45/13 63/13
	Catherine [1] 16/23	clients [2] 5/16 39/8	21/16	continually [1] 46/17
69/24	caught [1] 14/16	clinical [8] 24/17	Compared [1] 52/9	continue [2] 12/14
budget [2] 30/21	caused [1] 60/22	24/19 27/7 32/6 33/24	complete [1] 26/7	56/23
30/22	central [3] 8/1 11/6	38/25 39/5 39/20	completely [1] 67/7	continued [5] 1/3
building [1] 7/23	40/23			
bundle [2] 45/9 69/3		clinically [10] 2/25	completes [1] 70/21	22/4 22/20 46/6 72/4
burnout [1] 8/14	centres [2] 59/21	13/3 14/7 15/16 16/13		continuing [1] 69/12
Burton [6] 25/9 25/10	60/3	17/18 18/15 26/20	58/13 58/15	contract [1] 62/22
25/11 29/5 29/7 72/9	certain [1] 38/9	26/25 28/21	concern [2] 21/4	contracted [1] 63/9
but [93]	certainly [2] 5/16	clinician [1] 65/3	21/17	contractual [1] 10/15
	20/4	clinicians [2] 51/4	concerned [4] 11/14	contrary [1] 50/15
buy [1] 44/15	cetera [1] 11/5	65/19	19/18 21/12 32/23	control [3] 13/23
С	CEV [2] 27/11 27/21	close [1] 22/3	concerns [18] 2/9	13/24 17/9
	Chain [1] 44/17	closely [1] 46/15	2/11 2/22 2/22 4/3 4/6	
call [7] 11/11 57/4	chains [1] 44/10	closest [1] 49/9	17/24 20/23 23/23	cope [5] 57/9 57/13
58/17 59/15 59/21			24/9 24/12 32/25	
60/3 63/15	chairs [1] 30/6	CMO [7] 17/6 45/6		58/5 59/7 60/20
callers [1] 62/7	challenge [4] 8/2	47/6 47/16 49/5 52/7	33/14 33/17 33/18	Cordery [1] 29/20
calling [2] 57/22	13/22 28/12 50/14	65/2	66/7 66/11 68/12	core [4] 21/4 26/17
57/22	challenged [1] 12/19	CMOs [1] 47/16	conclusion [1] 2/3	40/25 41/14
calls [2] 48/5 61/12	challenges [3] 48/12	COBR [2] 59/10 61/2	condition [6] 1/13	correct [5] 27/11
came [5] 24/25 27/2	49/7 50/16	colleagues [4] 3/19	1/20 2/2 2/10 3/15	27/11 56/25 58/20
	challenging [3] 6/21	9/19 41/21 45/24	58/16	62/14
32/22 40/3 41/12	32/10 49/1	collect [1] 69/11	conduct [1] 39/9	correctly [2] 6/11
campaign [1] 19/10	chance [1] 4/12	collected [2] 31/19	conducted [2] 30/5	66/3
campaigned [2]	Chancellor [1] 25/15	31/22	60/22	correspondence [1]
42/23 52/18				
can [36] 1/5 9/15	change [1] 43/9	collecting [2] 31/23	conference [1] 68/15	
9/17 11/21 11/25 12/3	changes [1] 10/15	32/8	confidence [2] 19/21	could [33] 6/13 6/16
21/11 23/8 24/2 24/3	channel [1] 47/23	College [3] 22/6 22/6	26/7	6/18 7/25 9/9 10/7
26/8 35/17 37/21	characteristics [1]	23/12	confirmed [2] 31/17	10/7 10/14 10/21
40/25 41/22 45/8	28/5	colour [1] 40/18	56/20	12/15 17/4 18/21
46/19 47/22 48/5	Charities [1] 25/13	combination [5] 6/22	Congress [1] 1/12	18/23 19/2 24/11
50/17 50/18 52/2	charity [2] 21/3 29/11	6/25 7/11 7/18 14/19	connected [3] 1/16	24/21 28/12 30/10
52/21 54/11 58/14	Chester [1] 49/13	come [6] 12/17 15/17	1/22 2/16	33/14 38/11 40/1
59/6 60/18 63/3 63/4	chief [7] 11/13 18/6	22/14 60/24 67/20	connection [1] 1/15	41/22 47/7 49/3 54/9
l .	29/21 30/6 42/4 45/20		consensus [1] 50/15	56/22 57/21 59/6
63/22 64/13 64/13	46/5	comes [1] 15/7	consent [1] 20/7	59/13 59/23 60/12
64/14 65/5 65/21	chief executive [1]	coming [2] 23/11	consented [1] 70/5	63/1 63/6
68/21	29/21	66/25	consequence [3]	Counsel [2] 31/11
can't [6] 16/7 16/17				
20/9 48/2 55/8 58/16	chief executives [1]	comment [1] 62/8	9/13 12/7 27/1	31/18
capacity [16] 6/12	30/6	committed [1] 53/2	consequently [1]	counterargument [1]
6/16 7/17 7/20 29/17	children [5] 29/25	committee [2] 26/7	22/8	5/3
30/16 31/25 52/1 52/3	30/8 30/10 30/15	37/14	consider [4] 4/10 5/2	counterbalance [1]
52/15 52/16 53/8	30/23	communicate [3]	20/15 25/22	17/11
58/22 60/23 64/17	chimes [1] 66/9	17/21 45/23 48/22	considerably [1]	countries [1] 52/9
65/13	choice [3] 15/5 15/6	communicated [2]	27/20	country [2] 15/25
	24/47	46/21 47/23	consideration [3]	22/25
care [18] 2/2 2/5 2/20	chose [1] 13/23	communicating [2]	20/1 59/1 64/7	couriers [1] 39/5
3/24 4/8 4/13 4/16	Chris [1] 37/23	17/13 48/13	considerations [1]	course [17] 4/5 6/20
5/25 7/6 7/9 9/7 9/10	Chris Whitty [1]	communication [7]	23/6	6/21 9/5 11/18 13/15
10/18 19/21 23/24	37/23	46/4 46/7 47/2 47/15	considered [5] 4/7	20/13 29/15 29/23
24/10 56/13 56/22		47/17 48/9 49/4	6/5 34/8 38/15 60/19	33/24 44/5 48/15
cared [1] 39/19	Churchill [1] 6/3			l .
Carey [5] 50/4 53/21	circumstances [8]	communications [3]	consistent [1] 37/12	50/18 57/16 63/14
57/11 59/7 70/16	6/15 8/17 8/24 32/8	17/12 45/4 48/3	consistently [1]	64/13 65/4
caring [1] 2/7	49/1 65/1 65/7 65/17	community [5] 15/22	34/19	covered [1] 50/4
case [10] 5/13 5/19	civil [2] 46/17 56/11	16/13 18/24 30/12	Consortium [1]	Covid [25] 13/14
12/13 14/24 23/5	clarify [1] 70/19	40/16	25/13	14/16 17/3 23/16
41/22 51/15 51/17	clarity [1] 6/5	community-acquired	consult [1] 66/1	25/24 26/20 28/22
11,22 31,10 31,11	cleaners [2] 39/4	[1] 16/13	consultation [1]	30/25 31/2 31/11

C **December [1]** 21/21 **discussion** [9] 25/24 18/18 25/3 35/7 36/6 **DHSC [1]** 69/6 **December 2020 [1]** dial [1] 26/8 26/3 35/12 40/14 43/4 36/17 51/5 55/13 Covid... [15] 31/16 21/21 did [38] 7/4 8/3 8/4 60/24 61/2 62/21 56/18 58/23 64/2 65/7 31/17 31/19 31/23 decided [1] 60/15 15/21 17/8 18/12 19/7 68/25 done [14] 13/21 14/4 31/24 32/4 32/19 decision [7] 9/18 22/19 23/14 26/10 discussions [3] 24/2 54/9 54/15 54/19 36/12 36/19 36/20 10/10 50/6 56/12 27/4 28/10 28/12 35/22 37/19 48/18 59/6 59/13 60/8 60/8 41/8 45/3 50/3 61/25 56/14 56/17 60/17 35/18 35/19 37/10 disease [7] 2/8 4/13 64/14 67/16 68/3 67/24 37/18 39/25 40/9 69/10 decision-making [1] 22/13 22/24 41/6 Covid's [1] 32/24 40/21 41/9 41/23 51/24 51/25 Donna [1] 37/23 9/18 Covid-19 [7] 17/3 42/16 42/19 43/25 doubt [5] 37/6 54/14 decisions [9] 38/16 disempower [1] 17/1 23/16 28/22 31/16 59/3 61/15 63/3 47/8 48/6 48/8 48/15 45/25 54/22 54/24 disorder [1] 30/11 41/8 45/3 50/3 48/18 49/2 55/25 55/4 55/6 55/25 57/11 disparities [3] 25/19 down [5] 22/10 23/14 Covid-O [1] 25/24 56/18 62/14 62/24 64/12 28/19 28/19 24/22 40/2 49/3 **CPR [1]** 19/17 deep [2] 60/22 61/10 64/12 66/23 70/1 disparity [1] 28/2 **Down's [4]** 27/12 CQC [2] 68/4 70/9 didn't [17] 10/4 15/18 disproportionate [4] deeply [1] 6/5 53/23 54/3 66/19 crack [1] 41/16 **deference** [1] 39/10 18/2 19/1 24/10 27/17 26/9 26/12 26/22 Down's syndrome [2] created [1] 54/20 36/15 40/5 44/5 45/22 28/10 deficiencies [1] 62/6 53/23 66/19 creating [1] 21/7 definitely [1] 23/19 48/17 57/20 62/4 disproportionately downsides [1] 5/20 criteria [2] 27/17 definition [1] 14/7 **Dr [6]** 16/23 45/8 65/15 66/22 69/15 **[3]** 31/15 31/16 27/19 degree [4] 8/3 9/4 70/12 39/17 45/12 45/15 46/4 critical [3] 7/3 41/6 35/15 46/9 die [1] 28/22 dispute [1] 49/5 46/20 61/24 delay [1] 59/11 difference [4] 23/9 distress [1] 9/23 **Dr Atherton [3]** 45/15 criticisms [1] 62/11 delaying [1] 59/2 25/5 38/14 60/1 distribution [2] 44/5 46/4 46/20 cut [1] 4/15 delays [2] 46/21 47/3 different [3] 22/24 44/7 Dr Atherton's [2] **CV [1]** 27/21 22/25 32/12 dive [2] 60/23 61/10 45/8 45/12 deleterious [1] 55/5 **CVF [3]** 16/23 19/18 deliberations [1] differently [2] 14/5 **diverged [1]** 45/15 **Dr Catherine Finnis** 19/22 **[1]** 16/23 **divergence [2]** 45/25 33/16 21/6 **Cymru [1]** 45/3 difficult [6] 6/21 13/8 deliver [1] 7/20 46/24 draft [4] 22/8 54/9 delivered [1] 53/4 41/15 46/11 46/14 division [1] 69/21 59/14 62/25 D demand [8] 29/16 **DNACPR [3]** 19/19 55/21 drafted [1] 54/7 daily [1] 68/15 30/2 30/10 30/12 difficulties [5] 46/1 66/20 68/5 drafts [2] 21/19 22/7 Dame [1] 34/24 57/10 57/14 58/6 46/25 47/2 48/17 **DNACPRs** [5] 19/17 draw [2] 25/21 63/1 Dame Ruth May [1] 19/23 66/4 66/8 68/24 drawn [2] 62/21 63/4 60/20 54/25 34/24 demands [1] 4/4 directed [2] 26/4 **DNR [1]** 20/5 dried [1] 4/15 dangerous [5] 4/23 dementia [1] 54/4 46/12 do [56] 3/10 3/19 **Duchy [1]** 25/15 5/6 12/13 14/15 22/15 due [1] 31/24 4/19 5/19 7/9 10/9 demographic [1] direction [2] 1/23 data [20] 27/18 28/23 28/4 22/1 10/14 11/5 12/3 12/12 during [14] 18/12 31/18 31/21 31/23 15/11 15/13 15/23 19/20 21/25 22/23 department [10] directions [1] 22/9 32/4 32/8 34/16 34/18 11/16 26/18 28/9 33/9 16/3 18/7 18/18 18/18 30/17 32/22 39/10 directly [13] 9/7 35/1 35/17 35/18 33/11 67/3 67/6 67/14 18/20 19/3 19/8 19/17 45/7 54/1 54/23 56/9 10/11 17/13 17/22 35/20 49/10 49/13 69/18 69/22 32/6 32/9 38/1 38/25 20/1 20/13 26/6 26/10 61/5 63/5 64/15 49/17 69/4 69/11 departmental [1] 43/2 43/5 43/7 47/12 27/21 28/9 29/16 69/18 70/7 48/21 30/16 31/23 32/2 32/3 69/23 **David [1]** 68/23 34/7 36/16 40/1 41/17 each [4] 47/21 48/24 departments [2] disabilities [10] **Davies [1]** 52/7 57/4 57/6 25/24 26/19 27/5 42/10 43/12 47/2 25/22 26/1 **Davis** [1] 68/23 52/14 53/25 54/13 earlier [5] 11/22 28/14 53/20 54/4 deployment [2] 2/2 day [4] 9/7 9/7 19/19 23/17 25/1 49/15 54/22 56/6 62/9 66/19 55/14 55/19 55/22 55/24 56/9 60/6 60/15 62/14 63/12 depression [1] 8/21 disability [3] 25/13 days [3] 16/9 41/9 earliest [1] 4/22 deputy [1] 29/20 30/7 66/16 63/10 65/1 65/5 65/6 43/8 early [12] 10/7 12/5 describe [2] 4/18 6/8 disabled [10] 25/14 65/7 65/25 70/22 deadly [3] 2/7 9/14 15/10 16/9 19/24 24/6 described [5] 1/16 26/13 27/13 27/15 doable [3] 7/1 7/2 8/2 64/9 41/9 42/12 66/6 68/22 1/17 3/15 8/17 9/22 28/1 28/6 28/8 28/11 doctor [1] 9/23 deal [3] 11/2 11/3 28/21 28/22 68/22 70/8 **describes** [2] 30/4 doctors [1] 52/11 52/2 early April 2020 [1] disclosed [1] 60/18 67/6 doctrine [2] 12/17 dealing [2] 17/17 66/6 describing [1] 42/2 discouraged [1] 15/9 43/22 deserve [1] 39/22 61/21 **early days [2]** 16/9 **documents** [2] 65/3 dealt [3] 33/18 53/16 41/9 desperately [1] 9/21 discuss [4] 18/10 70/7 69/13 detail [1] 49/20 early September [3] 24/10 33/10 69/15 does [4] 1/20 24/10 death [3] 4/14 9/7 68/22 68/22 70/8 deteriorated [1] 57/6 discussed [9] 4/6 60/24 61/13 28/7 easier [1] 60/15 determined [1] 33/23 6/25 32/10 33/13 doesn't [5] 5/23 16/1 deaths [1] 27/25 easy [4] 7/2 56/8 60/5 develop [1] 26/5 34/23 37/22 40/3 66/3 16/9 64/3 65/8 debate [5] 12/19 64/7 68/16 doing [3] 4/25 20/15 developing [1] 1/18 18/15 23/5 23/9 55/9 eating [1] 30/11 devolved [4] 45/5 discussing [3] 41/7 46/2 debates [1] 39/21 effect [6] 16/25 21/7 46/16 47/10 56/24 42/25 63/13 don't [13] 4/10 15/4

E	ensuring [2] 44/6	59/21 62/25	69/8	17/19 17/20
effect [4] 21/24	70/12	expand 111 [1] 59/21		front [1] 43/11
22/22 24/18 55/5	entirely [5] 4/12 5/25	expanded [3] 19/8	33/5 34/13	Frontline [1] 38/23
effective [6] 3/1 5/21	44/12 63/25 69/17	26/24 44/19	feel [1] 68/13	FRSMs [1] 35/15
7/21 7/21 16/10 37/3	environments [1]	expanding [1] 53/1	feeling [3] 1/16 1/22	frying [1] 14/25
effectively [5] 10/18	41/20 equipment [2] 7/23	expansion [1] 24/5 expect [1] 25/25	2/16 felt [2] 16/25 50/25	full [1] 9/10 full-time [1] 9/10
17/21 33/22 48/13	33/1	experience [3] 2/1	FFP3 [6] 34/1 35/14	fully [2] 17/8 26/5
52/21	especially [2] 24/1	3/13 17/9	36/10 36/18 37/2 38/9	
efforts [1] 43/25	40/12		FFP3 masks [1] 38/9	
either [1] 69/6 else [2] 56/15 68/3	essentially [2] 11/11	8/24 9/1 9/4	field [2] 37/1 37/2	further [8] 6/13 6/16
elsewhere [1] 6/1	18/16		fifth [2] 29/13 33/7	11/21 23/19 51/21
email [2] 68/25 69/2	established [2] 27/24		figures [1] 9/11	60/3 69/25 70/16
emergencies [1]	33/9	explain [1] 56/10	Final [1] 11/25	future [8] 11/22 12/1 12/1 12/1 25/24 50/9
12/2	estimate [6] 13/8 13/12 13/19 13/20	expressed [1] 26/16 extent [1] 64/4	Finally [1] 19/17 find [2] 27/18 48/17	50/10 62/17
emergency [2] 11/12	13/25 14/15	extra [3] 6/19 52/19	findings [2] 30/8	-
58/20	et [1] 11/5	53/7	61/24	G
emerging [1] 51/24 empathetic [1] 17/24	et cetera [1] 11/5	extreme [1] 10/23	finish [1] 1/5	gap [1] 30/1
employ [1] 44/11	ethnic [2] 1/15 39/3	extremely [12] 14/7	Finnis [1] 16/23	gave [5] 14/6 15/8
employed [8] 32/5	ethnicity [1] 40/15	15/16 16/13 17/18	fire [3] 6/3 6/4 15/1	16/23 45/6 59/1
32/7 32/9 38/25 42/17	even [16] 3/10 4/2	17/19 25/25 26/20	first [22] 9/20 11/11	general [2] 9/15 13/16
43/2 43/5 43/8	4/2 4/9 4/9 5/8 5/8 23/14 25/4 28/3 31/18	26/25 33/25 34/3 48/25 69/23	12/18 13/4 20/11 21/10 24/23 24/23	generally [5] 9/21
employees [1] 39/20	32/6 41/25 60/1 60/13		24/25 26/23 27/10	23/21 45/18 51/11
employer [3] 11/2	65/12		28/1 33/5 40/3 42/4	51/14
11/17 41/19 employers [3] 33/11	ever [1] 6/16	<u>F</u>	50/4 56/24 57/18	geography [1] 28/4
employers [3] 33/11 42/2 43/3	every [2] 18/19 56/17	face [1] 13/14	57/23 57/25 62/9	get [20] 1/4 3/3 3/9
employment [8] 1/13	everybody [3] 13/24	faced [2] 29/25 48/12		13/25 16/7 19/15
1/21 1/24 2/4 2/23	36/1 46/1	facilitated [1] 23/19	firstly [4] 1/13 29/12	21/12 22/16 24/21
3/16 6/1 42/17	everything [3] 28/12 60/7 60/8	facing [2] 50/14 57/21	59/14 65/18	34/2 37/18 43/6 43/8 49/21 56/18 57/5
empowering [2]	evidence [39] 1/5	fact [18] 4/10 8/18	five [1] 35/11 five months [1]	58/16 59/4 63/4 65/21
18/21 18/25	3/12 3/13 3/14 6/11	18/15 19/13 28/14	35/11	get-go [1] 34/2
encouraged [1]	8/23 9/22 14/6 14/14		flowed [1] 45/19	Gething [1] 48/20
17/15 encouraging [1] 42/3	16/23 17/5 17/10	43/4 48/15 50/12	flu [1] 49/15	getting [2] 35/25 60/2
end [2] 33/25 59/17	17/11 21/11 23/12	61/10 62/12 64/4	focus [6] 2/14 52/21	Gill [1] 23/12
ended [1] 61/11	23/16 23/22 26/21	66/22 68/3 68/22	57/23 58/2 58/8 63/22	
endless [1] 43/12	28/23 28/24 35/14	factor [1] 37/11	focused [3] 19/12	give [7] 45/12 49/6 58/10 58/14 59/13
endorse [1] 23/14	36/12 37/13 39/13 45/5 45/8 45/12 49/4	factored [1] 9/17 factors [4] 1/21	40/10 40/11 follow [5] 16/1 17/15	59/16 67/4
engage [1] 26/5	50/22 50/23 51/6 51/8		24/10 59/16 61/10	given [9] 13/20 20/1
engaged [2] 11/14	51/10 52/4 53/18 55/8		followed [1] 57/3	23/22 35/5 36/10
24/23	59/1 61/20 69/3	faith [1] 17/7	Fong [2] 8/18 8/19	39/12 52/4 65/12
engagement [1] 9/18 engaging [1] 25/1	exactly [6] 2/3 2/21		forgotten [1] 14/17	66/19
England [15] 11/7			formal [6] 11/15 34/8	giving [4] 4/13 15/2
11/10 11/14 11/16	example [18] 3/14	45/3 50/3 57/3	34/12 34/12 48/22	18/22 24/20
11/17 45/15 45/22	10/23 11/7 18/22 21/3 24/7 24/20 38/8 40/6	66/10	65/5	global [1] 50/15 go [11] 1/6 5/10
46/22 47/13 49/7	42/24 43/5 43/11 44/9		formally [2] 35/22 38/15	14/23 16/2 18/10
49/11 49/16 61/6 63/9	49/6 49/20 57/2 57/2	far [13] 24/22 26/2	formerly [1] 19/24	18/24 34/2 35/7 47/21
69/7	57/25	26/11 39/13 46/10	forum [4] 33/8 33/13	50/18 56/12
English [2] 49/18 62/10	examples [6] 24/2	50/17 50/19 54/5	33/19 34/12	God [1] 62/13
enhance [2] 7/25	35/5 36/6 44/21 59/13			goes [2] 36/7 41/6
61/1	59/24	70/24	51/23	going [20] 1/4 2/14
enormous [4] 8/2	exceeded [1] 29/16		found [2] 21/11 45/9	2/18 3/18 3/23 4/11
9/13 49/1 64/11	excellent [2] 47/22 48/19	26/11 fast [1] 24/3	four [2] 37/24 46/8	12/8 15/11 15/12 15/20 18/20 20/2
enough [2] 24/3	executive [1] 29/21	faster [2] 30/22 65/6	frank [2] 23/13 45/6 Frank Atherton [1]	45/11 48/25 51/22
28/10	executives [1] 30/6	fastest [1] 30/23	45/6	52/25 53/15 57/10
ensure [7] 19/6 23/7	existing [4] 6/12 6/15	father [1] 57/3	frequently [2] 34/24	58/12 70/23
23/8 27/4 43/25 44/3 54/5	7/16 27/19	favour [4] 5/14 22/23	47/24	gone [2] 56/15 61/12
ensured [1] 50/12	expand [6] 24/3	53/4 53/11	Friday [1] 1/1	good [15] 1/10 1/11
J 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 2	24/21 25/2 27/17	February [2] 67/2	frightening [3] 16/25	5/7 5/7 5/10 8/12 13/2

11/25 12/22 13/2 17/5 G 68/11 11/25 12/20 15/6 16/5 68/11 23/11 25/6 25/12 hearing [1] 71/4 30/5 33/14 33/17 I immediately [1] good... [8] 17/7 18/22 26/10 27/10 28/15 heart [1] 24/7 35/18 40/25 41/5 41/9 68/13 25/12 32/18 33/20 28/25 29/10 31/10 heart-rending [1] 41/22 50/17 59/16 I just [1] 14/19 47/15 50/2 53/10 32/18 36/23 37/10 24/7 65/10 69/12 I keep [1] 40/24 goodness [1] 10/4 38/23 39/8 39/24 40/9 heat [1] 46/11 I know [4] 8/1 40/24 **However [3]** 17/25 got [10] 17/11 17/25 40/20 41/17 42/12 50/24 66/23 39/10 57/19 **heavily [2]** 5/13 19/4 19/6 27/2 29/2 43/15 44/22 45/2 45/9 57/19 **HSIB** [1] 62/11 I left [1] 13/21 33/2 54/12 63/13 huge [7] 13/9 19/7 47/1 48/11 49/22 50/2 held [2] 67/11 69/6 I mean [1] 27/22 63/20 51/7 54/15 70/21 72/3 help [4] 59/6 63/4 23/8 39/19 42/10 I need [1] 70/18 Gove [2] 25/16 25/20 hand [4] 29/2 36/6 68/21 70/24 54/19 61/4 lought [2] 18/17 70/3 government [8] 3/3 38/6 61/8 helpful [2] 31/24 32/3 hundreds [1] 13/6 I personally [1] 47/19 13/25 26/8 44/10 hence [2] 17/22 40/2 handlers [1] 59/15 hurry [1] 64/14 I pushed [2] 35/24 44/16 47/3 48/14 67/2 Hannett [5] 31/7 31/8 her [5] 23/13 23/16 50/15 **GP [2]** 11/18 63/15 31/9 32/15 72/11 39/11 43/15 57/3 I raised [1] 70/11 **GPs [1]** 17/15 I absolutely [2] 18/10 I really [2] 50/24 happen [6] 3/18 3/23 | her Ladyship [2] grade [1] 34/5 10/4 12/15 24/6 70/13 70/2 39/11 43/15 50/25 granular [1] 43/10 l acted [1] 66/23 happened [10] 2/20 here [4] 20/7 21/14 I recognise [1] 8/23 grateful [7] 9/8 27/23 l agree [2] 26/15 47/5 | reiterate [1] 43/11 2/21 2/24 2/25 27/9 56/8 64/5 29/3 31/4 31/11 62/16 I also [2] 17/10 34/10 | I remember [1] 21/17 42/5 50/13 52/24 61/8 herself [1] 42/5 64/12 I am [5] 6/3 9/11 64/4 hesitant [1] 3/7 I repeat [2] 58/7 64/6 greater [4] 23/17 23/25 38/5 58/13 happening [4] 20/11 high [5] 5/18 19/7 I represent [4] 38/23 25/18 28/7 28/7 lask [12] 8/12 9/15 46/9 48/18 68/18 34/3 39/17 47/17 53/23 57/3 66/10 grew [1] 27/20 9/17 10/21 13/2 20/21 | said [2] 7/11 43/6 happens [4] 49/15 high-risk [1] 34/3 **ground [1]** 55/18 60/6 68/22 70/8 25/12 29/10 31/10 higher [8] 4/12 13/15 I say [6] 3/24 5/2 group [10] 13/23 23/1 27/5 33/25 35/15 45/2 50/2 63/22 22/11 41/25 60/10 happy [2] 14/1 29/1 13/24 14/8 14/12 lasked [1] 22/2 hard [7] 9/5 13/18 41/20 55/9 63/10 16/10 17/17 27/15 I campaigned [2] 14/2 15/12 35/24 higher-end [1] 33/25 I set [1] 12/17 38/24 40/10 40/16 42/23 52/18 50/15 54/24 higher-risk [1] 41/20 I shan't [1] 53/16 groups [10] 1/15 I can [4] 21/11 41/22 harder [1] 48/9 highlight [1] 42/1 | I spent [1] 9/8 1/16 1/22 2/16 19/11 highlighted [3] 25/19 | 52/2 68/21 Harries [1] 19/7 I spoke [1] 10/12 21/5 22/17 23/4 31/11 has [14] 4/3 12/18 I can't [3] 20/9 48/2 29/24 69/14 I strongly [1] 32/12 62/8 13/19 15/17 16/18 55/8 **highly [1]** 49/19 I suppose [1] 2/6 guards [1] 39/5 I cared [1] 39/19 19/22 23/12 26/4 hindsight [2] 25/4 I take [1] 17/10 guidance [13] 21/20 I certainly [1] 20/4 31/12 32/20 36/9 53/3 60/16 I think [37] 2/4 2/24 21/22 22/8 22/16 53/18 70/6 his [3] 8/19 26/4 57/5 I come [2] 12/17 3/1 5/23 6/5 8/5 11/22 22/20 23/15 37/11 have [93] hit [2] 12/15 42/12 22/14 12/6 12/12 12/18 13/9 38/2 38/3 38/10 53/25 I could [1] 9/9 haven't [3] 29/2 37/6 15/3 18/13 20/6 21/18 **hits** [1] 15/4 57/4 59/16 home [7] 14/21 15/1 I did [3] 27/4 54/24 24/8 34/8 34/10 35/23 62/21 **Gupta [4]** 38/19 56/21 57/6 57/13 59/2 70/1 having [10] 3/16 39/20 45/19 45/25 38/21 44/24 72/14 I didn't [2] 48/17 14/23 21/1 21/24 49/1 63/24 46/5 46/9 46/14 47/14 Gynaecologists [1] 55/5 59/19 65/15 homes [1] 19/1 69/15 50/5 53/10 56/11 22/7 68/25 70/9 I discussed [2] 37/22 56/13 56/20 58/4 honesty [1] 42/10 Honourable [1] 25/16 68/16 he [6] 46/3 47/14 60/16 61/11 62/12 Н |hospital [16] 5/6 5/10|I do [1] 70/22 47/18 48/6 57/6 59/10 62/19 67/16 had [46] 2/1 7/3 7/13 I don't [5] 25/3 36/6 he's [2] 29/7 47/25 7/16 7/21 7/21 7/23 I took [6] 17/23 33/23 7/15 10/1 10/24 19/5 36/17 55/13 58/23 headlined [1] 35/8 10/10 10/10 15/19 40/11 69/19 69/25 22/12 23/6 24/4 32/25 I doubt [1] 37/6 health [18] 10/25 15/20 15/23 16/2 70/2 34/1 34/4 37/5 37/19 16/14 36/1 39/4 42/13 | I engaged [1] 24/23 11/12 12/1 26/18 I understand [9] 2/9 38/13 41/18 42/1 hospital-acquired [1] I entirely [1] 63/25 29/11 29/24 30/6 30/7 3/11 3/22 4/6 6/11 42/13 47/8 47/18 I expect [1] 25/25 30/16 30/21 38/24 16/14 18/1 18/5 23/4 67/13 47/19 47/22 48/4 48/7 41/23 42/18 43/24 hospitals [20] 6/7 I feel [1] 68/13 I understood [1] 50/7 48/19 49/15 50/21 45/19 48/20 51/5 57/5 I follow [1] 61/10 6/13 6/19 8/3 10/9 lused [1] 69/16 50/25 51/3 53/22 I gave [1] 15/8 14/10 14/14 14/16 **healthcare** [25] 3/16 I want [3] 14/4 25/21 55/13 55/21 56/11 I had [11] 19/5 37/5 3/21 4/21 6/24 7/13 14/24 15/1 16/7 21/5 61/22 57/25 59/23 60/20 37/19 38/13 42/1 47/8 I wanted [1] 42/3 9/1 9/20 14/9 16/19 22/14 35/2 40/2 41/4 61/8 61/12 62/13 21/1 28/5 31/15 31/20 43/23 44/1 44/18 52/5 48/7 48/19 49/15 I was [21] 9/3 15/3 62/16 65/6 66/17 50/25 51/3 16/4 19/12 21/12 22/2 31/22 32/1 32/22 host [1] 66/18 69/10 69/12 70/17 I have [7] 13/4 20/22 32/24 33/3 33/6 33/11 hour [1] 55/24 22/3 22/15 23/7 23/9 hadn't [1] 53/6 26/6 37/4 42/9 61/15 39/6 39/16 40/8 41/17 hours [10] 57/5 59/2 24/4 39/23 41/25 43/1 half [1] 42/13 70/17 59/6 59/7 59/17 59/19 61/18 50/14 52/25 53/15 **HANCOCK [45]** 1/3 I haven't [3] 29/2 heard [10] 3/13 7/5 59/23 59/25 60/1 55/2 55/23 67/17 70/1 1/5 1/10 2/14 6/2 7/5 8/17 23/12 37/13 52/6 37/6 62/21 60/14 I wasn't [2] 23/25 7/15 8/6 8/10 8/12 I heard [2] 37/13 53/18 56/4 61/13 how [18] 4/16 9/17 50/10

36/10 41/15 43/11 illness [1] 8/20 incorrect [1] 38/13 intensive [5] 7/6 7/9 immediate [5] 21/23 increase [3] 42/22 9/7 9/10 10/18 45/10 46/11 46/16 I went [1] 68/14 58/1 61/1 61/4 61/8 52/3 52/16 interests [1] 3/5 47/7 47/25 52/18 I witnessed [1] 9/4 immediately [5] 7/12 increased [5] 2/4 internal [1] 13/21 56/10 57/2 61/22 I worked [2] 9/5 36/4 12/20 15/14 60/21 30/21 57/10 57/13 internet [1] 64/24 63/24 63/25 67/5 69/4 I would [8] 23/25 68/13 58/6 69/19 interpretation [1] 31/1 36/17 37/6 37/24 increasing [1] 58/22 iteratively [1] 64/25 immigration [1] 47/6 45/23 48/24 53/10 41/18 incredibly [3] 9/5 intervention [1] its [4] 13/15 14/1 I wouldn't [2] 49/5 impact [7] 3/17 3/20 17/22 26/14 44/15 36/20 63/13 itself [2] 17/19 28/13 15/7 18/5 26/23 35/2 indeed [3] 28/5 44/2 interventions [1] I wrote [1] 17/14 47/10 26/6 **ITUs [1]** 52/12 67/20 **I'd [8]** 8/13 8/25 into [26] 4/11 5/10 impacted [3] 20/24 **INDEX [1]** 71/6 20/12 30/19 43/9 53/2 24/14 31/17 indirect [1] 38/7 6/22 9/17 15/1 16/7 54/7 54/24 18/24 19/9 22/12 23/6 jab [1] 49/15 individual [5] 10/24 **impacts [4]** 26/9 I'd committed [1] Jacobs [4] 1/8 1/9 26/12 28/10 35/18 11/17 53/21 55/9 24/15 35/7 37/11 53/2 8/7 72/5 imperative [8] 2/12 55/17 37/15 38/10 38/15 I'd left [1] 20/12 4/3 4/18 4/20 4/25 5/4 40/4 41/3 41/5 43/1 January [3] 26/19 individuals [1] 27/16 I'd like [2] 8/13 8/25 52/22 64/11 5/9 5/13 inevitably [1] 48/8 43/7 49/21 54/11 I'd managed [1] 43/9 implement [1] 21/23 infect [1] 2/6 59/12 60/12 65/8 January 2020 [2] I'd say [2] 30/19 54/7 26/19 64/11 infection [11] 15/22 introduce [1] 42/4 implemented [1] I'd worked [1] 54/24 JCVI [1] 27/6 10/11 15/24 15/25 16/14 introduced [1] 2/20 **I'II [7]** 18/18 34/15 Jenny [1] 19/7 implementing [2] 16/14 21/15 23/16 introducing [3] 2/10 40/21 56/19 58/4 21/5 34/21 39/17 40/2 41/15 10/8 42/3 Jenny Harries [1] 58/14 67/4 implies [3] 60/5 64/7 43/19 introduction [1] 19/7 I'll ask [1] 40/21 infections [4] 34/18 42/22 job [4] 2/17 4/24 64/21 I'll give [2] 58/14 67/4 24/18 24/20 **imply [1]** 60/14 **invariably [1]** 42/15 34/23 35/3 35/8 I'll move [2] 34/15 journey [2] 21/25 inform [1] 34/19 importance [2] 1/18 investigate [1] 68/4 56/19 63/19 41/12 informal [1] 34/11 investigation [2] I'll put [1] 58/4 judgment [2] 15/12 important [17] 2/13 informally [1] 35/23 61/18 61/18 I'll work [1] 18/18 3/2 12/21 15/15 17/22 60/11 information [8] 17/3 invite [1] 5/22 I'm [25] 4/15 7/1 8/5 20/7 24/7 25/25 26/15 July [1] 28/1 18/22 18/23 45/18 involve [1] 47/9 8/9 9/8 17/6 27/23 June [7] 21/12 24/24 27/8 29/14 35/16 40/1 46/21 63/16 63/20 involved [1] 14/8 29/1 29/3 31/4 42/9 35/1 35/6 35/9 35/23 42/6 44/8 54/8 62/20 65/21 **IPC [6]** 33/22 33/23 45/11 45/11 48/2 48/5 36/14 imposed [1] 21/22 informed [1] 61/11 37/11 37/13 38/2 40/3 49/16 52/8 53/4 57/10 June 2020 [2] 21/12 **imposing [1]** 1/20 inhabits [1] 64/8 Ireland [1] 17/6 58/12 64/11 66/25 24/24 imposition [1] 66/8 initial [2] 21/19 22/7 irrespective [2] 69/21 70/14 70/22 junior [2] 56/1 67/16 impressing [1] 17/1 initially [1] 17/8 30/25 40/18 l've [7] 6/4 33/2 41/4 just [24] 3/7 7/15 impression [3] 37/18 inpatient [3] 30/13 is [162] 56/9 63/3 67/7 70/6 10/23 14/5 14/19 38/5 38/13 isn't [13] 2/18 2/24 30/16 54/25 I've been [1] 56/9 16/22 18/14 18/20 2/24 3/11 13/23 37/4 imprimatur [1] 14/1 INQ000474478 [1] I've got [1] 33/2 18/25 19/1 19/10 improve [6] 14/5 16/5 67/5 47/6 51/7 60/6 65/1 I've just [2] 41/4 67/7 22/19 23/11 24/6 16/7 18/13 62/17 INQ000478907 [1] 68/5 69/13 70/10 I've no [1] 63/3 65/23 69/3 issue [16] 4/15 6/6 27/10 29/7 32/16 41/4 I've put [1] 70/6 9/17 20/6 26/5 35/17 41/7 56/18 57/2 63/12 improved [5] 18/21 **Inquiry [11]** 5/22 ICU [1] 8/22 67/4 67/7 48/5 49/12 64/13 16/24 23/11 31/12 37/25 45/17 46/4 ICU staff [1] 8/22 Justice [2] 45/3 50/3 64/14 31/18 39/11 45/6 52/6 55/17 66/12 69/1 idea [1] 37/4 53/18 56/10 70/6 69/10 69/14 69/15 improvements [1] identification [1] 60/12 insists [1] 36/1 70/9 27/16 KC [10] 25/11 31/9 instance [14] 6/23 issue fully [1] 26/5 **improving** [2] 18/9 identified [2] 24/14 32/17 38/21 50/1 72/9 7/13 10/8 11/10 20/11 issue is [1] 6/6 64/25 66/18 72/11 72/12 72/14 23/1 32/10 34/1 37/17 issue of [1] 20/6 inappropriate [2] identifying [1] 34/21 72/17 66/7 68/24 40/2 42/21 49/10 53/2 issued [2] 19/19 67/3 ie [3] 28/1 32/6 35/14 **Kearns [1]** 21/18 56/11 incidence [1] 31/22 issues [12] 10/25 if [38] 2/15 4/2 4/2 keen [1] 22/16 inclination [1] 43/3 instances [2] 45/14 11/3 31/12 33/10 4/9 4/9 4/10 5/8 5/8 keep [1] 40/24 include [4] 16/19 66/18 33/13 40/15 42/25 5/23 6/10 6/15 11/21 keeping [1] 46/1 30/9 38/24 44/19 instinct [1] 51/1 45/24 46/23 47/10 16/7 19/1 24/3 26/6 key [3] 20/23 43/19 55/22 68/5 included [2] 10/7 **institution** [1] 11/19 37/4 37/5 38/9 38/12 52/14 51/18 instruct [1] 69/17 it [141] 43/12 48/14 48/22 it's [36] 4/15 5/6 5/10 killing [1] 17/21 includes [1] 53/21 instruction [1] 43/10 49/4 49/12 50/21 kind [3] 18/23 20/2 5/25 13/8 13/9 13/12 including [14] 8/20 insurance [1] 53/11 50/24 51/3 53/6 55/23 55/6 21/2 42/2 42/14 44/10 integrate [1] 62/24 13/12 13/18 16/18 56/11 56/16 57/22 Kinnair [1] 37/23 46/22 47/4 48/23 54/3 17/20 17/20 20/5 integrations [1] 58/15 58/24 62/1 65/7 knew [2] 50/25 66/22 56/23 58/21 61/25 21/19 24/7 27/11 49/10 65/22 know [25] 3/3 3/6 64/17 68/14 intended [1] 62/4 32/21 33/20 35/7

K	57/10	М	McBride [1] 17/6	26/3
know [23] 7/20 8/1	life [2] 58/1 58/16	made [13] 7/1 12/14	me [9] 4/14 18/17	ministerial [3] 48/19
9/3 10/17 11/11 13/18	life-threatening [1]	21/2 22/5 25/4 48/15	18/18 38/3 51/21	56/1 56/16
15/10 15/12 16/8	58/1	56/11 56/18 60/1	55/16 56/8 58/4 68/13	
19/12 31/14 36/15	lifesaving [2] 4/3 5/4	60/10 60/13 61/24	mean [2] 27/21 27/22	47/18 47/22
36/16 40/24 43/10	light [2] 28/9 41/12 like [14] 5/6 8/13	68/2	meant [3] 7/19 27/19 65/15	minority [2] 1/15 39/3
44/14 48/4 50/24	8/25 9/10 16/8 20/4	magnitude [2] 9/2	measure [2] 3/20	minutes [1] 35/7
58/23 64/19 65/15	20/10 22/17 40/25	13/10	3/21	misinformation [1]
66/23 70/22	43/12 56/10 62/1	main [1] 33/11	measures [13] 10/6	3/8
known [1] 58/18	64/16 65/2	maintain [1] 30/17		mitigate [1] 22/13
knows [1] 49/16	liked [1] 45/23	maintained [1] 22/21 make [20] 3/22 10/16		mitigated [3] 10/16
L	likely [7] 13/9 13/16	15/9 16/9 17/23 18/2	40/24 41/2 64/10 70/5	
lack [4] 6/2 23/2	14/13 26/20 26/21	18/11 18/25 22/3 23/8	mechanism [1] 33/9	
65/12 65/12	28/22 31/16	24/5 43/16 43/25	mecnanisms [2] 63/6	
Lady [12] 8/5 12/23	limitation [1] 55/24	46/10 47/8 48/7 49/1	63/24	36/8 45/7 52/6 54/18
25/7 29/4 29/9 31/5	line [6] 4/22 11/11 11/12 62/20 62/23	49/17 62/19 65/9	medical [8] 8/13 18/6 36/10 39/5 45/20 46/5	
32/14 37/20 38/22	62/24	makes [1] 48/8	49/17 49/18	54/18 66/3
44/23 49/23 70/17	link [1] 46/15	making [6] 9/18		Module 2 [1] 36/8
Ladyship [2] 39/11	list [10] 24/22 26/25	12/10 47/25 48/6 50/6	46/6	moment [4] 20/15
43/15 Lancaster [1] 25/16	27/1 27/11 27/12	65/8	meeting [10] 21/3	61/16 65/25 66/2
language [1] 62/10	27/14 27/19 27/21	manage [1] 17/4	21/10 21/17 25/6 25/9	Monday [2] 71/2 71/5
largely [1] 39/3	27/21 27/22	managed [2] 7/3 43/9 mandatory [1] 1/23	35/23 47/19 48/23	monitor [2] 69/6
last [2] 15/4 15/8	literally [1] 67/20	many [15] 13/6 16/24	59/10 68/25	69/11
later [4] 21/20 35/11	live [1] 49/12	18/12 21/5 21/8 24/2	meetings [1] 35/21	monitoring [1] 70/7
65/5 67/21	lives [7] 2/12 3/2 4/22	24/2 35/21 44/11	member [1] 14/11	months [2] 35/11
lead [2] 45/25 63/18	5/10 5/15 13/7 38/11	44/21 49/8 57/4 63/17	members [4] 38/24 39/8 57/7 66/10	67/20 moral [7] 3/1 4/4 4/18
leaders [1] 29/24	living [3] 27/5 28/14 42/23	63/19 65/5	mental [9] 8/20 10/25	
leadership [2] 11/6	local [3] 11/9 11/18	March [4] 27/25 40/4	11/12 29/11 29/24	more [42] 2/12 3/2
68/17 leads [5] 4/13 45/22	11/20	61/2 61/13	30/6 30/7 30/16 30/21	5/21 6/22 7/20 7/24
46/8 46/16 46/22	localised [1] 22/21	March 2020 [1] 61/13 mask [1] 18/23	mention [1] 33/8	11/6 14/10 14/15 16/4
learning [10] 30/7	lockdown [7] 10/2	masks [18] 33/2	mentioned [1] 41/4	18/21 18/25 19/22
51/23 53/20 54/4	12/5 12/11 15/10 25/1	33/25 34/1 34/4 34/5	message [2] 48/21	21/10 24/24 26/2
54/22 54/25 56/5 62/9	36/21 40/23	35/2 35/15 35/18 36/1	59/2	26/11 26/19 26/21
66/16 66/19	logically [1] 16/1	36/11 36/14 36/18	messaging [4] 16/25	27/2 27/15 27/16 27/18 28/13 28/16
learnings [1] 63/1	long [9] 10/1 30/20 31/11 31/17 31/19	37/1 37/1 37/2 37/2	met [1] 46/6	28/21 32/10 34/6
least [4] 4/19 5/5	31/23 31/24 32/4	37/3 38/9	Michael [3] 25/16	41/10 41/19 46/7
39/15 53/9	66/13	massive [2] 55/24	25/20 26/16	46/10 48/22 53/3
leave [1] 2/23 led [3] 2/2 46/24 61/5	Long Covid [6] 31/11	64/14	Michael Gove [2]	54/11 55/9 59/3 59/4
LeDeR [1] 66/17	31/17 31/19 31/23	material [2] 60/1 60/18	25/16 25/20	59/14 59/21 59/23
left [3] 13/21 20/12	31/24 32/4	materialised [1]	middle [3] 52/25	63/16
53/2	long-term [1] 30/20	67/24	60/22 61/2	morning [9] 1/6 1/10
length [2] 33/12	longer [1] 20/14	materials [1] 65/19	midwives [3] 22/6 23/13 24/12	1/11 8/12 13/2 25/12 32/18 36/9 50/2
45/11	look [7] 20/13 35/1 58/10 61/7 65/3 70/9	maternity [5] 21/25	might [5] 5/19 14/4	mortality [4] 27/23
less [4] 1/16 2/16	70/17	23/23 24/9 24/12	36/2 46/15 49/9	39/17 56/5 66/16
16/10 42/6 lesson [2] 63/3 64/16	look-back [1] 20/13	55/12	migrant [8] 38/23	most [11] 2/4 4/22
lessons [1] 62/25	looked [3] 20/4 20/9	MATT [2] 1/3 72/3 matter [4] 40/18	39/3 39/7 39/16 40/8	19/14 29/3 29/14
Let [1] 51/21	65/19	56/12 59/17 70/11	41/17 41/20 42/5	31/12 37/2 38/11
let's [3] 45/22 57/23	looking [9] 2/19 3/4	matters [5] 28/3	million [2] 17/18	38/11 40/1 44/14
58/2	20/9 50/11 50/14	35/20 37/22 44/6 53/7	25/14	mothers [1] 20/25
letter [2] 25/20 26/16	50/24 51/3 63/21 70/2 lose [1] 2/17	may [23] 4/20 4/25	mind [5] 29/10 43/11 53/8 60/3 60/12	motivation [1] 20/10 mouth [1] 61/8
letters [1] 17/23	loss [3] 1/24 17/8	19/19 22/25 26/19	minimise [1] 15/6	move [5] 26/8 34/15
level [19] 8/22 11/3	17/9	30/5 34/24 35/13 36/4	minimum [2] 42/15	49/14 56/19 65/22
11/4 12/1 12/5 15/24 22/24 33/17 45/22	lot [4] 52/2 53/14	37/22 38/4 38/14 40/12 40/13 60/19	42/22	MP [3] 21/18 25/16
46/13 46/22 48/3	53/15 55/13	40/12 40/13 60/19 60/22 60/24 61/7	minister [9] 25/18	68/23
48/19 49/3 49/20	lottery [2] 21/7 22/22	61/11 61/21 64/3 65/1	26/4 41/23 42/18	MR [78]
55/10 56/2 57/14 58/6	lower [1] 34/5	66/13	43/24 48/20 56/13	Mr Burton [1] 25/9
levels [3] 5/18 9/1	lower-grade [1] 34/5	maybe [1] 48/1	60/10 67/17 Minister's [2] 25/22	MR BURTON KC [2] 25/11 72/9
				201111213

30/15 31/5 32/14 33/5 30/5 30/17 30/22 58/12 59/25 60/3 18/20 20/22 20/23 M 34/10 35/13 37/15 30/25 32/7 32/9 33/11 60/11 60/15 61/22 22/2 23/13 24/7 24/20 Mr Hancock [36] 1/5 37/19 37/20 38/12 35/25 39/1 39/20 62/7 62/24 63/17 64/8 31/14 35/6 36/17 40/6 1/10 2/14 6/2 7/5 7/15 38/22 39/8 40/14 40/11 40/15 42/2 42/3 64/20 65/18 65/23 40/16 42/21 42/24 8/10 11/25 12/22 17/5 40/15 43/9 44/3 44/23 42/7 42/17 43/1 43/3 66/23 43/5 43/10 44/9 44/21 23/11 25/6 25/12 45/4 45/12 47/1 47/10 43/5 43/20 43/22 Noted [1] 58/19 49/6 49/14 50/15 26/10 27/10 28/15 49/17 49/18 49/23 43/23 44/17 44/17 51/21 52/18 53/17 notes [1] 19/24 28/25 29/10 31/10 49/23 50/14 51/14 47/13 49/11 52/15 nothing [6] 9/9 60/7 54/8 57/2 57/2 58/7 36/23 37/10 39/8 52/17 55/23 58/7 52/16 56/22 56/23 64/22 68/3 69/10 62/20 62/24 63/21 39/24 40/9 40/20 58/15 61/16 64/6 67/9 57/16 58/11 61/6 61/6 70/18 63/24 70/4 41/17 42/12 43/15 67/16 69/15 69/16 63/9 63/18 66/16 **notice [3]** 19/19 37/5 online [2] 56/23 44/22 45/2 45/9 47/1 69/24 70/17 68/17 69/7 69/8 69/19 59/24 63/16 48/11 50/2 51/7 54/15 my Lady [8] 8/5 69/20 69/22 notices [1] 20/6 only [11] 16/15 16/18 Mr Jacobs [3] 1/8 1/9 12/23 25/7 29/4 29/9 NHS England [8] **November [3]** 1/1 19/5 34/12 34/13 38/9 72/5 31/5 37/20 44/23 11/7 11/10 11/14 35/11 71/5 38/16 44/17 57/15 MR MATT HANCOCK myself [1] 18/19 11/16 11/17 61/6 63/9 **now [14]** 4/24 20/13 58/9 66/23 **[2]** 1/3 72/3 20/14 23/25 31/18 69/7 ONS [1] 27/24 Mr Pezzani [4] 29/6 Ν 33/5 35/5 36/16 53/3 open [1] 24/13 Nightingale [2] 6/7 29/8 31/6 72/10 nation [1] 44/4 57/9 63/15 65/25 66/1 operate [1] 6/9 52/4 Mr Simblet [1] 32/16 national [5] 11/8 67/20 **operation [3]** 47/12 Nightingales [2] 6/8 MR SIMBLET KC [2] 11/20 12/19 33/8 6/12 **NPIs** [1] 15/13 47/13 59/20 32/17 72/12 42/23 no [39] 5/23 6/13 nuanced [1] 54/11 operational [3] 59/9 Mr Stanton [4] 8/8 nations [4] 45/5 46/8 6/16 15/3 15/5 15/13 number [14] 7/25 59/11 60/12 8/11 36/8 72/6 46/16 46/25 15/14 16/12 16/16 12/3 13/13 17/14 operationally [1] Mr Wagner [5] 12/24 nationwide [1] 21/20 20/14 27/13 31/18 24/21 28/18 38/9 60/15 13/1 20/17 20/18 72/7 natural [1] 43/3 36/17 37/4 38/3 40/18 52/11 52/12 52/12 opportunity [1] 70/17 Mr Weatherby [1] nature [5] 9/14 13/15 61/24 66/5 68/2 68/23 opposed [3] 3/6 44/15 54/14 58/7 49/25 14/12 28/20 32/25 58/20 58/21 59/3 61/1 numbers [3] 52/11 59/18 61/8 MR WEATHERBY KC **nearly [1]** 9/5 61/15 63/3 65/15 52/11 53/1 opposite [2] 2/3 2/21 **[2]** 50/1 72/17 necessarily [1] 11/7 65/18 68/7 68/8 68/9 numerous [1] 39/9 optimally [1] 65/17 Ms [20] 20/18 20/19 69/4 69/14 69/18 70/3 nurses [3] 7/13 52/11 necessary [4] 10/2 optimise [1] 65/11 31/7 31/8 31/9 32/15 12/8 12/11 57/22 70/4 70/7 70/7 70/7 53/3 options [2] 25/23 38/19 38/21 44/24 need [24] 7/22 7/22 70/17 49/2 **nursing [1]** 39/6 44/25 45/1 50/4 53/21 11/8 11/20 12/8 15/8 non [6] 28/8 28/22 or [33] 2/17 6/23 57/11 59/7 70/16 72/8 15/13 15/20 17/2 32/6 38/25 39/20 11/18 12/11 13/11 72/11 72/14 72/15 21/15 22/12 32/23 obligation [1] 3/1 13/19 18/6 21/16 41/20 Ms Carey [5] 50/4 34/7 35/7 38/11 44/8 obligations [1] 21/23 non-clinical [3] 32/6 32/20 35/11 37/4 53/21 57/11 59/7 46/17 49/12 52/3 53/7 38/25 39/20 Obstetricians [1] 40/16 40/19 44/1 70/16 55/11 62/23 64/1 22/7 48/12 48/21 48/22 non-disabled [2] Ms Hannett [1] 32/15 70/18 **obvious [1]** 20/5 28/8 28/22 50/22 51/22 54/21 MS HANNETT KC [2] needed [11] 8/3 9/21 obviously [4] 2/9 non-migrant [1] 59/6 60/1 60/14 62/9 31/9 72/11 10/24 26/14 34/11 15/19 27/18 48/22 41/20 65/2 65/16 66/19 Ms Polaschek [3] 52/15 54/2 55/6 55/22 occurred [1] 27/25 68/22 69/5 69/6 69/11 noon [2] 71/2 71/4 20/18 20/19 72/8 56/22 67/14 October [3] 25/15 normal [3] 44/14 69/11 69/12 **MS SEN GUPTA KC** needing [1] 54/21 27/24 68/4 oral [1] 39/13 44/15 65/7 **[2]** 38/21 72/14 needs [6] 12/13 office [3] 13/21 20/12 **Northern [1]** 17/6 order [11] 5/24 10/13 Ms Woodward [3] 12/19 46/7 48/1 49/13 Northern Ireland [1] 53/2 10/15 13/10 24/13 44/25 45/1 72/15 62/8 officer [3] 11/13 18/6 17/6 27/1 31/25 36/13 54/4 much [21] 9/9 10/6 never [5] 23/5 29/15 nosocomial [6] 15/24 42/4 63/12 66/20 10/17 13/15 15/21 29/16 30/17 60/3 officers [2] 45/20 34/18 34/23 35/8 ordering [1] 24/17 16/10 19/3 25/4 26/2 nevertheless [1] 46/6 41/15 43/19 orders [2] 19/17 66/8 26/11 28/7 29/4 37/9 22/15 not [64] 1/21 2/6 3/7 officials [4] 46/8 organisation [4] 43/16 53/13 54/10 new [1] 20/25 3/25 4/8 5/5 5/8 7/1 46/14 48/1 48/4 29/21 32/21 43/14 55/11 63/16 70/15 newly [2] 20/25 7/3 7/15 9/5 9/24 11/6 often [4] 3/3 14/17 51/5 70/20 70/25 13/25 15/2 15/14 16/3 39/21 46/12 51/23 organisations [4] multiple [1] 36/25 next [9] 6/7 18/11 17/8 19/5 19/10 19/17 **Okay [7]** 16/6 33/4 20/22 44/10 44/14 must [1] 9/24 27/23 34/15 38/19 52/23 55/3 58/2 59/25 44/20 19/19 23/14 24/18 my [64] 2/14 4/3 5/16 49/3 54/9 61/16 66/4 68/10 27/10 27/15 30/10 original [1] 43/9 8/1 8/1 8/5 8/5 12/19 **NHS [60]** 5/17 9/21 30/12 31/1 32/6 32/9 older [1] 28/17 other [19] 4/20 10/12 12/23 13/12 19/12 10/19 11/7 11/10 32/9 34/3 36/18 37/12 on [123] 10/19 14/16 17/16 19/13 20/16 21/10 11/12 11/14 11/16 38/5 38/25 40/8 40/12 on **111 [1]** 58/3 24/12 25/17 27/4 22/2 24/4 24/18 24/20 11/17 11/18 12/4 once [2] 6/3 55/3 40/13 40/16 41/9 27/13 34/11 35/21 25/7 25/7 27/23 29/4 42/16 43/2 43/4 43/23 one [39] 1/6 4/5 21/23 22/9 22/20 36/17 46/16 47/21 29/9 29/12 29/19

48/2 57/10 57/15 58/9 10/11 12/3 17/1 18/14

24/11 29/14 29/21

48/24 49/9 49/14

34/19 44/6 45/22 46/7 25/22 26/3 26/4 60/10 0 paragraph [5] 29/13 **perfectly [1]** 60/8 29/22 30/4 33/7 67/6 period [6] 9/19 10/13 46/8 46/14 46/16 Prime Minister [3] other... [2] 63/15 paragraph 137 [1] 29/23 30/18 60/11 46/21 46/25 48/1 48/4 25/18 26/4 60/10 67/23 33/7 50/6 53/11 55/16 Prime Minister's [2] others [2] 32/22 40/7 paragraph 2.47 [1] permission [1] 39/12 55/19 60/21 63/23 25/22 26/3 others' [1] 45/10 person [1] 21/24 63/25 prior [3] 7/12 30/1 67/6 otherwise [1] 64/2 personal [1] 17/23 54/15 paragraph 206 [1] policy-making [1] ought [2] 18/17 70/3 29/22 personally [6] 8/24 50/6 prioritisation [4] our [5] 20/10 26/7 27/4 47/19 48/2 48/12 24/18 24/19 27/6 27/7 paragraph 209 [1] **poor [1]** 49/11 38/24 39/11 43/15 **population [6]** 13/16 30/4 48/17 **prioritise** [1] 40/16 out [26] 3/12 3/25 4/8 14/11 17/14 19/5 19/6 Pritchard [1] 35/12 paragraph 4 [1] perspective [2] 4/24 9/7 12/17 17/12 16/22 48/11 private [4] 6/24 21/21 29/13 52/10 18/18 18/24 22/16 paragraphs [1] 34/17 persuasion [2] 1/25 port [1] 11/11 32/11 43/23 24/25 26/22 44/5 porters [1] 39/4 paragraphs 115 [1] 5/20 **privately [2]** 32/5 45/11 47/6 47/18 34/17 Pezzani [5] 29/6 29/8 **position [3]** 20/12 44/13 50/17 55/22 60/24 Parent [1] 20/22 31/6 31/8 72/10 52/21 69/23 probably [1] 56/1 62/21 63/25 64/1 64/3 part [9] 2/5 16/18 pharmacists [3] 43/4 possibility [1] 51/18 problem [19] 2/15 65/20 65/22 70/14 29/19 34/13 38/17 43/6 43/7 possible [10] 10/9 2/18 14/20 30/19 outages [1] 57/20 38/17 41/14 56/20 pharmacy [1] 32/11 13/25 15/21 17/24 30/24 40/25 41/15 outcomes [2] 25/23 63/23 19/3 19/16 24/6 24/22 42/8 47/21 51/1 51/3 **PHE [1]** 62/22 33/16 partial [2] 6/3 16/15 52/15 56/3 56/4 57/21 phone [4] 11/11 43/17 54/6 outliers [1] 34/21 particular [5] 19/14 11/12 58/2 62/23 postcode [2] 21/7 67/6 68/12 69/5 69/12 outset [1] 53/25 22/2 38/4 49/7 55/1 PHT000000108 [1] 22/22 problems [8] 10/16 outsourced [5] 38/25 particularly [5] 14/10 45/10 53/19 54/20 55/3 potential [2] 12/14 42/13 42/16 43/22 15/15 33/14 35/16 physical [4] 7/20 55/19 58/1 67/23 68/12 43/25 67/23 42/19 7/22 7/23 63/14 potentially [2] 2/7 over [12] 12/25 18/10 proceeded [1] 50/7 partners [2] 23/18 picking [1] 14/6 66/8 19/8 25/10 27/20 29/7 PPE [20] 23/17 23/21 33/12 **picture** [1] 16/18 process [6] 19/22 33/17 38/20 40/16 Partnership [1] 33/8 pieces [1] 54/8 23/23 24/1 24/9 25/3 33/23 34/9 34/12 65/3 65/23 66/9 place [13] 10/6 10/23 33/13 33/17 33/22 65/23 70/5 parts [1] 22/25 overall [3] 15/24 11/10 11/22 19/23 passage [1] 46/19 41/2 43/15 43/17 Professor [5] 3/14 16/11 31/25 pathogen [4] 12/6 37/5 54/11 59/24 61/3 43/24 44/2 44/4 44/7 8/18 8/19 17/6 52/7 overlooked [1] 39/21 12/15 15/17 64/10 62/13 63/6 63/11 70/4 44/9 44/12 44/15 Professor Ball [1] overnight [2] 59/18 patient [1] 49/16 placed [2] 11/23 44/20 3/14 70/18 patients [5] 5/7 8/4 36/13 practical [3] 39/25 Professor Fong [2] overrides [1] 5/4 36/2 43/22 67/24 41/23 42/18 8/18 8/19 places [4] 10/15 overriding [1] 4/4 pause [1] 7/15 14/15 22/15 38/10 practice [3] 34/21 **Professor McBride** overwhelmed [3] **[1]** 17/6 pejoratively [1] 38/6 64/25 65/21 **plainly [1]** 57/9 29/15 30/17 31/2 plan [8] 30/20 57/9 people [67] 2/4 2/7 **precarious** [1] 41/18 **Professor Sally** owned [1] 44/17 2/23 3/7 3/9 3/22 4/11 57/12 58/5 58/25 precautionary [1] **Davies [1]** 52/7 5/5 5/10 5/24 6/22 64/16 64/17 64/19 50/7 **programme** [8] 13/9 7/11 11/13 12/10 planned [1] 6/20 **precisely [2]** 47/5 13/13 14/5 14/9 16/5 package [2] 26/6 13/13 14/16 14/21 16/10 18/9 26/17 planning [4] 19/22 64/18 27/3 **Pregnancy [1]** 20/21 14/23 14/25 15/19 58/21 58/21 65/12 progress [1] 69/6 **PAGE [1]** 72/2 16/2 16/12 16/19 17/1 plate [1] 55/14 **promoting** [1] 5/17 pregnant [2] 20/24 pains [2] 43/1 56/9 17/9 17/18 17/21 platform [4] 68/15 21/8 prompted [1] 68/24 pan [1] 14/25 69/9 69/15 69/16 18/21 19/1 19/18 prepared [3] 18/11 properly [4] 22/4 pandemic [43] 4/23 19/25 20/24 21/8 play [1] 12/21 65/3 65/4 65/16 70/5 70/12 7/12 12/5 12/14 15/4 presented [1] 55/8 21/14 25/14 26/13 please [1] 6/7 **proposals** [2] 26/2 15/5 15/7 18/13 19/20 26/19 27/2 27/5 27/12 pleased [1] 4/16 press [1] 68/15 26/11 20/14 25/19 29/14 27/13 27/15 27/18 **plus [1]** 7/14 **pressure** [2] 31/3 protect [6] 5/24 30/1 30/2 30/20 31/3 28/2 28/6 28/8 28/11 point [25] 6/17 8/1 15/21 15/23 16/12 41/19 32/23 34/1 39/10 28/13 28/17 28/18 8/1 9/25 18/1 22/14 32/24 42/19 **pressures** [2] 9/13 41/25 42/12 42/24 36/15 38/8 47/25 48/6 10/14 28/21 28/22 29/25 protecting [5] 5/9 44/12 44/16 46/11 30/8 37/13 37/24 38/1 51/7 51/23 53/17 presumption [2] 14/21 14/25 16/19 47/20 52/8 52/16 54/1 42/4 42/25 43/13 49/9 56/24 57/15 57/18 41/10 50/21 21/14 54/9 54/16 54/17 54/2 55/6 56/5 57/20 57/23 57/25 58/14 **prevalence** [1] 31/19 protection [7] 15/2 54/23 55/1 55/3 58/20 61/21 63/17 58/15 61/16 61/22 33/18 35/15 36/11 **prevent [2]** 26/8 58/25 60/7 62/20 62/19 65/8 70/6 36/19 36/19 36/24 people's [5] 5/14 26/12 62/22 63/2 64/9 64/15 30/10 30/16 30/23 points [4] 4/20 5/1 **preventing** [1] 43/19 protections [1] 42/17 pandemics [3] 12/1 60/8 9/15 53/15 **previous** [2] 58/7 protective [1] 33/1 14/15 22/15 per [2] 26/3 52/9 64/6 **Polaschek [3]** 20/18 proven [1] 2/25 paperwork [3] 36/5 perceived [1] 23/15 20/19 72/8 primarily [1] 37/22 provide [4] 6/18 30/7 37/7 38/16 prime [6] 20/10 25/18 35/15 65/11 perfect [1] 60/13 policy [20] 4/5 22/1

(28) other... - provide

P **provided [7]** 33/3 34/16 35/17 38/3 43/24 44/1 58/10 providers [2] 29/21 30/5 **providing** [2] 17/2 38/2 proving [1] 25/23 provision [3] 49/8 54/2 63/20 **PTSD [1]** 8/21 public [9] 24/5 45/19 56/21 57/24 68/2 68/14 69/9 69/15 69/16 publicly [1] 42/2 **published** [2] 21/20 61/19 purchase [2] 36/14 44/15 push [2] 50/17 51/12 pushed [3] 35/1 35/24 50/15 put [20] 1/17 4/8 10/6 10/23 11/10 11/21 14/1 17/12 19/23 37/5 54/11 56/17 58/4 59/18 59/24 60/21 63/6 63/11 70/4 70/6 putting [6] 4/21 16/4 64/21 64/22 64/23 64/23 Q

Quad [1] 60/24 qualified [2] 7/13 65/2 qualify [1] 29/16 quality [9] 19/7 47/15 47/17 61/17 63/5 63/7 64/1 64/19 65/5 question [31] 2/14 11/25 17/25 18/20 20/8 27/23 29/12 29/19 30/15 31/14 33/5 33/20 34/15 35/13 36/8 37/4 37/8 45/4 45/12 47/1 54/24 58/9 58/12 59/9 60/5 63/22 63/22 64/6 65/10 68/23 69/19 questioning [2] 32/21 53/22 questions [50] 1/5 1/9 1/12 1/14 8/11 8/12 13/1 13/2 20/16 20/19 20/21 20/23 25/7 25/11 25/12 29/8 29/10 31/9 31/10 32/17 32/18 33/2 36/9 38/21 39/9 39/12 41/7 45/1 45/2 49/23 50/1

50/2 52/2 59/17 61/4 66/5 70/16 70/21 70/23 72/5 72/6 72/7 72/8 72/9 72/10 72/11 72/12 72/13 72/15 72/16 quickly [3] 48/14 48/16 52/3 quite [5] 14/10 27/20 47/7 53/18 57/7 quote [2] 9/11 35/21 quoted [1] 35/24 quoting [2] 17/7 52/8 radar [1] 66/13 radically [2] 49/12 53/1 raise [1] 31/13 raised [8] 2/22 2/22 31/12 66/7 66/10 67/7 68/12 70/11 raises [1] 68/23 range [3] 19/15 27/1 58/8 rapid [1] 48/7 rapidity [1] 64/11 rapidly [11] 45/21 45/23 47/8 54/8 54/11 63/12 64/1 64/2 64/23 64/24 65/22 rapport [1] 47/22 rate [1] 28/2 rates [5] 2/3 27/24 39/17 40/2 56/5 rather [8] 10/10 11/16 13/24 27/21 47/13 51/10 55/17 63/21 rationale [1] 66/20 ratios [4] 6/25 7/6 7/19 7/24 reach [1] 63/17 reaching [2] 26/2 26/11 read [4] 25/21 33/23 45/11 47/5 ready [3] 12/16 59/4 62/25 real [3] 37/16 56/3 56/3 real-world [1] 37/16 realistic [1] 6/17 reality [11] 2/18 2/19 3/19 4/8 60/7 68/5 68/11 69/13 69/14 70/10 70/11 really [11] 1/25 6/16 6/17 10/10 15/2 50/24

50/25 53/17 56/4

reason [6] 19/20

35/23 56/16 61/15

56/10 65/8

69/18 70/4

reasonable [3] 16/16 | 55/8 51/14 51/17 reasons [3] 23/13 52/18 59/11 reassurance [2] 56/21 57/12 recall [3] 20/1 20/9 21/11 recently [1] 7/14 recognise [3] 4/19 8/23 69/10 recollection [2] 37/19 61/14 recommend [1] 11/23 recommended [2] 18/7 47/19 record [5] 49/17 49/18 53/4 67/5 69/4 recover [1] 9/21 recovery [1] 10/8 reduce [5] 15/23 15/24 28/10 28/18 41/14 reduction [1] 13/16 **reference [2]** 45/10 67/4 referred [6] 32/20 40/20 53/21 61/17 61/19 66/17 referring [1] 28/25 refers [1] 68/25 reflect [1] 39/13 **Reflecting [1]** 50/18 regard [3] 8/18 40/22 61/20 regarding [2] 59/1 60/23 regular [1] 35/20 regularly [3] 10/12 33/14 46/6 reiterate [2] 43/11 68/15 reiterated [1] 18/12 related [1] 41/13 relates [1] 45/5 relating [1] 33/13 relation [7] 1/22 16/22 27/11 30/15 39/9 46/19 61/25 relationship [1] 48/19 relevant [3] 6/9 29/23 21/6 53/19 54/21 55/5 saved [1] 13/6 30/18 relied [4] 37/24 57/9 57/13 58/5 relies [1] 59/15 remain [2] 19/18 57/6 retired [2] 6/23 7/14 remained [3] 28/2 28/6 57/19 remaining [1] 31/14 remains [1] 30/25 remember [5] 19/4 21/17 37/21 46/15

rending [1] 24/7 repeat [3] 53/16 58/7 64/6 repeating [1] 40/24 replication [2] 26/8 26/12 report [6] 57/7 61/24 67/3 67/4 67/5 68/5 reported [2] 8/20 43/23 reports [1] 11/4 represent [8] 22/18 38/23 39/22 43/14 44/11 53/23 57/3 66/10 representation [1] 40/14 request [2] 25/22 58/8 required [2] 11/6 requires [2] 4/4 65/25 research [2] 41/5 41/11 residence [1] 28/3 resistance [1] 22/5 respect [5] 18/9 24/1 50/6 53/7 67/24 respirators [1] 52/12 | sad [1] 28/14 respiratory [2] 51/24 | sadly [1] 26/22 51/25 respond [3] 12/20 40/25 64/9 respondents [1] 30/9 Saffron Cordery [1] response [10] 12/5 19/9 26/17 52/17 61/9 said [16] 6/3 7/11 62/1 62/17 62/20 62/23 67/3 **responses** [1] 8/19 responsibilities [1] 69/22 responsibility [9] 2/6 same [10] 9/6 28/16 19/4 19/13 43/16 43/20 44/3 55/18 63/10 68/17 responsible [2] 6/10 47/12 restore [1] 19/21 restrict [1] 39/11 restrictions [5] 20/24 result [5] 22/20 28/19 saving [1] 2/12 47/3 61/10 67/12 resulted [1] 22/9 retained [1] 18/4 review [6] 19/23 20/2 20/4 20/9 20/13 66/17 reviewed [1] 19/25 right [30] 2/15 3/10 4/5 6/6 6/13 11/15 19/6 21/2 21/13 21/19

24/16 25/16 27/17 34/2 34/15 35/10 36/7 39/18 39/19 47/7 47/15 50/8 51/4 57/23 58/12 59/5 60/16 60/25 63/3 67/1 rightly [1] 55/18 risk [9] 23/15 28/7 28/7 34/2 34/3 36/2 40/4 41/3 41/20 risks [2] 13/14 17/3 robust [1] 60/2 role [4] 11/6 12/21 47/10 51/12 roles [2] 40/12 40/18 roll [2] 64/1 64/3 rolled [1] 63/25 round [3] 5/21 18/11 63/21 **Royal [3]** 22/6 22/6 23/12 rules [3] 54/7 54/10 54/11 run [1] 44/5 **Ruth [5]** 34/24 35/13 36/4 37/22 38/4 **Ruth May [4]** 35/13 36/4 37/22 38/4 **safe [1]** 3/1 safety [2] 54/5 61/18 Saffron [1] 29/20 29/20 14/14 23/19 24/8 25/20 30/9 30/11 43/6 43/19 45/16 46/4 47/14 51/3 51/5 66/3 **Sally [2]** 52/7 53/2

30/4 34/17 40/13 46/5 51/24 57/20 58/14 61/24 **sanction [2]** 1/24 5/22 satisfied [1] 63/7 save [3] 3/2 5/14 38/11 **saw [1]** 63/18 say [39] 2/15 3/23 3/24 4/23 5/2 5/12 7/5 11/15 13/5 16/15 16/16 22/11 23/25 27/17 29/13 30/19 31/1 32/9 33/9 33/12 34/10 34/23 35/7 35/17 36/4 37/10 41/25 42/9 45/22

S servants [1] 46/18 Simblet [3] 32/16 specific [11] 23/23 **stop [5]** 20/11 36/13 service [14] 6/23 32/17 72/12 24/11 30/15 40/8 40/9 36/20 37/5 68/17 say... [10] 46/12 similar [5] 45/24 7/19 57/17 57/24 58/7 40/21 49/20 53/17 strategic [3] 9/18 48/24 49/13 51/13 60/20 61/17 61/25 46/23 52/9 56/25 54/2 55/8 62/8 10/22 47/13 52/25 54/7 56/8 60/10 62/1 62/2 62/11 62/15 67/23 specifically [3] 20/8 **strategies** [1] 45/18 63/10 67/14 63/8 63/9 similarly [1] 48/25 23/16 62/19 strengthened [1] saying [5] 2/17 5/19 services [20] 29/25 Simon [5] 34/24 **specified** [1] 34/1 48/2 7/1 28/21 29/16 30/8 30/11 30/12 37/23 59/10 68/19 **spectrum [1]** 18/16 strengthening [1] says [1] 29/22 30/13 30/21 30/23 36/3 spent [1] 9/8 scale [2] 11/10 69/5 stretch [2] 6/13 6/16 31/1 32/11 42/14 Simon Stevens [1] **spoke [1]** 10/12 scales [1] 5/13 56/19 56/24 56/25 37/23 **spread [5]** 3/8 7/3 stretching [3] 6/24 **scenario [2]** 51/15 58/8 58/10 58/22 simply [5] 24/16 51/3 22/13 41/6 55/10 7/19 7/24 51/17 63/14 64/16 65/11 63/21 64/8 65/15 **spring [1]** 39/15 **strike [1]** 18/8 science [2] 5/14 5/24 65/16 single [3] 10/24 23/8 staff [28] 3/17 3/18 strong [8] 3/5 17/11 scientific [1] 13/11 set [6] 12/17 22/16 29/14 5/11 5/18 6/18 7/22 17/16 21/15 26/5 **scripts [6]** 59/15 44/9 47/18 48/14 Sir [5] 25/16 34/24 7/24 7/24 8/14 8/22 40/13 50/25 61/20 59/15 59/18 60/2 63/1 11/4 11/12 12/4 23/15| stronger [2] 37/3 59/10 68/19 69/1 62/12 64/23 setting [1] 14/17 Sir Simon [4] 34/24 23/18 24/13 31/23 39/22 search [1] 63/18 59/10 68/19 69/1 settings [10] 2/5 5/6 32/4 32/5 32/5 32/6 strongly [4] 32/12 searched [1] 50/24 10/19 14/9 16/19 34/3 sit [2] 48/4 56/8 33/10 33/14 33/17 53/4 67/11 68/13 second [12] 9/20 39/6 42/15 42/17 60/3 36/2 39/21 48/23 site [1] 49/9 **struck [1]** 52/16 10/2 26/9 26/13 28/2 54/25 sites [1] 42/13 **staffing [3]** 6/7 6/10 study [1] 13/11 28/11 29/19 38/6 41/2 several [1] 57/5 sitting [1] 20/18 7/6 stuff [1] 64/22 42/25 52/20 59/20 situation [1] 37/16 stage [1] 24/25 subject [3] 21/11 several hours [1] second-hand [1] 24/24 26/15 **skin [1]** 40/19 stages [1] 4/23 57/5 38/6 severe [5] 1/24 8/21 slightly [1] 32/11 **Stanton [5]** 8/8 8/9 **substance** [1] 49/6 secondly [1] 65/20 8/21 11/5 25/3 **smoothly [1]** 45/19 8/11 36/8 72/6 **succeeded [1]** 35/25 secretaries [1] 25/17 shan't [1] 53/16 so [100] stark [1] 4/14 successful [1] 61/6 **secretary [15]** 18/6 shared [1] 21/21 social [6] 2/2 2/20 **start [4]** 9/12 21/13 such [8] 9/16 28/3 21/22 26/1 46/13 she [5] 23/18 29/22 3/24 4/7 4/16 33/8 33/25 47/20 36/14 39/4 54/10 55/5 46/23 47/9 47/11 48/7 30/4 42/6 53/22 society [3] 3/5 19/13 started [1] 68/4 62/9 66/11 50/11 50/16 51/6 **shelf [1]** 54/10 40/6 state [18] 18/6 25/17 suffering [1] 39/16 51/12 56/17 63/5 63/7 shield [2] 16/24 17/2 26/1 34/18 44/17 sufficient [1] 17/3 socioeconomic [1] security [2] 39/5 **shielded [3]** 15/19 28/4 44/20 46/13 46/23 suggest [2] 5/22 42/14 16/23 19/25 solution [4] 16/15 47/9 47/11 48/7 50/11 46/20 see [6] 10/14 41/22 shielding [23] 13/5 16/16 16/17 46/3 50/16 51/6 51/12 suggested [1] 59/10 42/3 46/19 60/18 13/6 13/13 13/17 14/5 some [22] 1/12 1/16 56/17 63/5 63/7 suggesting [2] 59/25 63/20 14/8 14/20 15/18 2/15 3/5 3/8 3/9 3/22 state-owned [1] 60/4 seek [2] 6/1 62/16 15/21 16/2 16/3 16/10 9/17 10/15 13/21 14/6 44/17 **suggestion [1]** 54/14 seeking [2] 5/17 23/4 25/14 45/25 46/1 stated [2] 31/21 66/6 16/15 16/22 17/13 |Sullivan [1] 53/22 61/22 17/14 18/2 18/9 19/5 46/9 47/9 48/15 59/1 **statement [9]** 13/5 summer [2] 26/24 seem [1] 5/8 19/10 26/17 26/25 61/21 64/4 67/23 29/13 29/20 30/4 33/7 52/19 seems [1] 64/4 27/22 34/10 34/17 35/5 47/5 supplied [2] 44/17 **somebody [5]** 4/13 seen [4] 37/5 37/6 short [2] 63/12 65/4 5/23 13/19 56/15 65/2 statements [1] 68/2 44/20 47/23 62/21 something [9] 3/6 **shortages [3]** 23/21 statistical [1] 13/22 **supply [3]** 37/12 44/9 Sen [4] 38/19 38/21 statistics [1] 14/2 23/23 25/3 5/1 9/12 11/9 11/13 44/17 44/24 72/14 shortly [1] 52/7 46/17 64/3 66/9 67/17 **status [2]** 28/6 41/18 support [22] 10/22 sending [1] 15/1 should [27] 2/11 6/1 **sometimes [3]** 45/20 stay [6] 19/1 22/3 10/22 13/24 17/12 senior [2] 9/19 65/19 12/11 12/13 12/14 48/7 66/17 56/21 57/12 59/2 20/2 21/1 21/9 21/24 sense [5] 5/16 14/24 13/14 15/11 18/10 soon [3] 15/10 15/13 63/23 23/18 27/2 40/17 17/1 46/10 65/9 20/4 23/5 31/21 43/6 68/11 steer [1] 26/3 42/21 43/6 43/8 43/13 sensible [1] 54/14 43/8 50/6 50/9 50/10 **sorry [1]** 33/22 53/19 54/3 54/21 **stem [1]** 7/3 sensibly [2] 59/25 50/12 51/17 51/19 54/24 55/6 61/1 61/5 sort [8] 3/20 10/21 **stemming [1]** 30/2 60/4 51/24 54/1 55/14 12/4 18/25 37/25 **step [2]** 42/21 51/21 supported [3] 22/17 **sensitive** [1] 2/11 55/19 56/6 56/15 38/10 48/9 56/12 steps [11] 17/4 24/11 | 43/13 48/24 sentence [1] 63/21 62/25 70/4 sorts [2] 16/8 34/7 39/25 40/9 40/11 supporting [2] 10/9 **sentiments** [1] 26/15 SOS [1] 35/8 40/20 40/21 41/13 shoulder [1] 24/4 41/5 **September [5]** 21/4 **shouldn't [1]** 16/3 sought [2] 18/8 33/6 41/23 42/1 42/18 **supportive [1]** 21/25 61/19 68/22 68/22 **show [2]** 38/17 41/12 Stevens [4] 34/24 sound [1] 60/25 **supports** [1] 44/6 70/8 side [6] 17/16 18/14 speak [3] 25/13 37/23 59/10 68/19 suppose [1] 2/6 **sequential** [1] 65/23 23/7 23/9 49/10 63/15 48/21 54/10 Sticking [1] 61/16 sure [9] 10/16 13/18 serious [2] 8/20 58/1 still [6] 12/10 30/24 Sighted [1] 67/18 speakers [1] 36/25 15/9 17/23 22/3 43/16 seriously [1] 17/10 42/10 53/10 55/24 significant [5] 3/16 speaking [1] 9/23 48/2 48/5 58/23 servant [1] 56/11 surge [3] 59/7 61/4 9/16 30/1 30/24 31/3 specialists [1] 7/9 69/4

S	30/22 35/15 41/11	21/4 31/1 32/8 32/18	tiers [1] 23/1	trusts [6] 6/17 21/23
surge [1] 64/17	41/20 42/6 47/13	37/22 38/16 39/21	tight [1] 33/25	22/9 30/7 67/14 69/9
	51/10 55/17 63/21	42/19 47/2 54/7 55/3	time [35] 8/5 9/9 9/10	truth [1] 24/15
surgery [1] 11/18 surprised [1] 58/23	65/6	55/25 59/11 65/1	10/2 12/15 18/11 19/8	try [11] 1/4 10/16
survey [3] 8/19 30/5	thank [47] 1/7 8/5 8/6	65/16 65/19 68/12	20/12 23/25 24/9	12/11 18/13 24/5
30/9	8/7 9/15 10/4 10/20	70/5	24/11 24/23 35/24	24/13 40/16 40/17
Susie [1] 53/22	11/24 12/22 12/23	they [35] 4/2 4/8 4/9	37/20 42/4 48/21	42/7 56/10 56/16
switch [1] 57/21	20/16 20/17 20/20	5/25 5/25 6/15 7/15	50/25 53/1 54/12	trying [2] 41/14 64/9
symptoms [1] 8/20	21/19 25/6 25/8 26/14		55/21 57/4 57/6 59/3	turn [1] 21/7
syndrome [3] 27/12	29/4 29/5 29/9 31/4	14/23 15/20 18/3	59/14 63/5 64/7 64/20	
53/23 66/19	31/5 31/6 32/13 32/14		1	
system [6] 23/2	32/15 37/8 38/18	30/9 30/11 31/2 32/23	l .	
24/16 32/1 43/7 59/3	38/22 39/24 40/20	32/25 33/20 33/21	times [6] 17/14 18/12	59/24 67/20
59/4	44/22 44/23 44/24	34/4 37/23 38/11 40/5	1	two areas [1] 13/4
systemic [1] 19/23	45/2 49/22 49/23	40/13 40/19 43/23	63/19	two months [1]
	49/24 52/1 53/6 62/13	46/15 57/25 58/2	timing [1] 60/25	67/20
T	62/15 70/15 70/19	65/19	today [3] 30/25 36/6	two-thirds [1] 30/11
tab [2] 45/9 69/3	70/20 70/24 70/25	they're [5] 2/7 3/10	39/12	type [2] 10/21 28/4
tab 61 [1] 69/3	thankfully [1] 10/3	32/5 54/10 64/8	together [5] 32/22 33/10 59/18 60/2	types [1] 33/2
tab 62 [1] 45/9	that [463] that's [28] 2/18 3/23	thing [9] 3/10 12/3 15/22 18/25 27/4	64/23	typical [1] 57/7
table [2] 52/10 53/6	5/24 14/17 15/3 16/3	36/18 40/1 59/20	l .	U
table in [1] 53/6	17/20 24/4 37/19	62/14	told [7] 9/23 18/5 43/15 50/23 52/8 57/6	
table on [1] 52/10	39/19 40/6 40/8 42/6	things [10] 3/9 5/2	68/1	45/22 46/13 46/22
tackle [1] 42/7	42/24 43/10 44/21	7/1 11/21 16/8 16/9	toned [2] 22/9 23/14	47/3 47/9 47/11 47/14
tackling [1] 25/18	49/19 51/7 54/14 57/7	24/2 43/12 64/24 65/6		50/3
take [23] 2/17 4/19	59/5 63/3 68/5 69/13	think [48] 1/8 2/4	took [17] 17/23 28/17	
4/24 5/8 8/4 15/6	69/25 70/8 70/8 70/10			ultimately [1] 2/12
17/10 18/14 19/8 22/12 23/6 38/10	their [20] 2/6 3/18	6/17 8/5 11/5 11/22	37/15 40/4 40/11 41/2	
39/25 40/10 40/21	4/13 4/14 4/22 5/7	12/6 12/12 12/18 13/9	41/13 42/7 57/5 59/7	68/16
41/24 42/19 43/1	17/15 19/1 20/23	15/3 17/8 18/10 18/13	61/2 69/19 69/25 70/2	
51/14 51/21 57/11	20/25 26/1 35/18 39/8		topic [8] 1/14 6/7	67/8 69/17
63/13 65/7	40/18 41/20 44/12	24/8 25/4 34/8 34/10	20/22 50/4 52/1 53/14	
takon [12] 10/1 16/11	49/9 54/5 62/15 63/10		56/19 66/4	61/12
17/4 17/7 24/11 38/15	them [23] 4/6 4//		total [2] 28/18 68/16	Unclear [1] 36/25
42/1 55/25 56/1 59/12	4/23 3/1 1/10 11/23	47/14 50/5 53/10	touch [1] 41/11	under [1] 31/2
67/13 70/3	15/1 15/14 15/21	55/14 55/19 56/11	towards [1] 19/5	underlying [1] 56/21
taking [3] 3/7 10/23	15/23 17/2 17/2 17/4 17/13 18/22 19/20	56/13 56/20 58/4	tracks [1] 36/20	underpin [1] 63/24 understand [18] 2/5
60/12	36/6 44/18 59/16	60/16 61/11 62/12 62/19 67/16	trade [1] 33/11 tradeoff [1] 15/14	2/9 3/11 3/22 4/6 6/11
talked [1] 23/21	E0/40 60/40 60/40	thinking [1] 9/19	tradeoffs [1] 34/7	10/14 12/6 18/1 18/5
talking [2] 36/24 51/8	64/25	third [4] 9/24 9/25	Trades [1] 1/12	22/19 23/4 31/25
task [1] 55/21	themselves [2] 5/11	41/5 53/14	transcript [2] 45/8	36/23 41/9 61/7 63/25
team [5] 8/19 39/11 48/13 55/21 56/16	43/13	thirdly [1] 36/7	70/18	67/13
technical [1] 49/19	then [24] 4/11 5/25	thirds [1] 30/11	transmission [11]	understanding [6]
tell [3] 18/17 18/18	6/18 11/22 20/12	this [108]	13/17 32/19 40/5 41/3	
42/9	22/19 23/2 26/6 35/11		41/8 41/10 41/13 50/5	
tens [1] 2/23	36/7 38/9 43/7 49/3	though [3] 3/10 40/9	51/4 51/20 51/22	understood [5] 2/5
term [2] 30/20 38/6	49/5 52/24 53/8 60/10		transmitted [1] 41/9	2/19 34/13 37/11 50/7
terms [5] 3/13 4/18	61/7 62/16 64/25 65/8		trauma [4] 8/14 9/1	undertaken [1] 45/21
5/9 51/21 60/25	65/22 69/10 69/24	6/18 6/18 13/6	12/1 12/4	unfair [1] 55/13 unintentionally [1]
terrible [1] 25/19	there [88] there's [21] 2/15 3/6	threatening [2] 58/1 58/16	treatment [5] 7/22 15/19 15/20 30/1	4/12
Terrific [1] 1/7	3/8 3/9 4/24 5/12 11/8		61/22	union [2] 1/12 23/14
testing [14] 16/8	11/9 11/21 14/1 18/19		tried [2] 19/3 19/15	unions [2] 10/12
23/17 24/1 24/3 24/6	23/4 23/7 31/18 36/5	three days [1] 43/8	triggers [1] 70/9	33/11
24/16 24/24 25/2 45/17 45/17 46/20	38/9 43/12 56/16	through [15] 1/4 4/22		unite [1] 12/8
46/25 49/8 49/9	63/15 64/21 70/18	17/8 18/17 38/3 38/4	true [6] 14/13 16/3	unless [2] 4/24 57/25
tests [2] 24/18 24/21	therefore [10] 7/25	48/25 48/25 54/18	47/7 48/10 52/18	unpalatable [1] 49/2
than [21] 5/21 10/10	15/2 16/3 18/4 19/15	56/17 56/23 57/5	58/24	until [5] 15/3 52/7
11/16 13/15 13/24	21/6 22/21 28/6 32/25		trust [9] 1/18 1/25	60/19 68/4 71/4
14/10 14/16 21/10	48/8	throughout [4] 10/13		until May [1] 60/19
27/21 28/7 28/22	these [25] 5/2 5/5 7/6	10/13 18/12 29/23	11/18 19/21 29/24	unvaccinated [1]
	7/9 10/14 14/2 17/24	tiering [1] 23/2	trusted [1] 1/18	3/17

U up [13] 14/6 17/15 17/17 24/13 27/5 44/9 46/1 46/15 51/4 61/11 64/22 64/22 69/19 updated [1] 39/13 updates [1] 35/20 **upon [1]** 57/19 upset [1] 3/9 us [9] 10/1 20/9 28/24 29/24 35/1 52/8 55/3 63/4 68/1 use [7] 5/17 5/23 35/2 57/24 58/21 66/1 69/15 used [7] 6/12 6/15 7/6 34/4 34/19 69/9 69/16 useful [1] 64/22 using [5] 1/18 5/14 5/20 38/5 68/14 vaccinated [1] 3/17 vaccinating [1] 5/5 vaccination [8] 1/13 1/20 2/1 2/3 2/10 3/15 5/18 28/5 vaccine [6] 2/17 3/7 4/19 4/24 5/17 10/3 vaccines [4] 2/25 2/25 27/6 27/8 value [1] 4/4 variation [1] 22/21 Vaughan [1] 48/20 vaxxers [1] 3/8 ventilation [2] 16/8 18/24 ventilators [1] 52/13 versed [1] 55/2 version [1] 23/14 very [57] 3/9 3/16 4/15 4/16 4/17 5/13 6/5 10/17 11/14 11/23

views [1] 18/16

W wage [3] 42/16 42/22 42/23 Wagner [5] 12/24 13/1 20/17 20/18 72/7 Wagner's [1] 12/25 wait [1] 60/11 waiting [1] 12/7 Wales [8] 45/6 45/15 45/24 46/24 47/6 49/5 49/7 49/11 **Walton [1]** 23/12 want [9] 5/9 5/23 14/4 14/19 19/1 25/21 43/13 55/13 61/22 wanted [1] 42/3 wards [1] 9/9 wartime [1] 10/18 was [202] was -- well [1] 10/4 was in [1] 24/24 wasn't [16] 3/21 10/3 13/8 13/8 13/18 14/2 12/11 14/20 14/24 17/16 21/6 21/15 16/4 23/25 24/16 43/2 21/15 22/16 22/24 50/10 54/15 54/18 24/5 29/1 29/4 31/2 54/19 60/19 62/10 31/11 37/8 39/23 66/12 41/15 45/19 46/11 wasting [1] 64/20 46/13 47/8 47/24 wave [9] 9/24 9/25 47/24 48/7 48/16 53/1 26/9 26/13 26/23 28/1 53/9 53/13 54/7 54/11 28/3 28/11 52/20 54/14 55/21 58/17 waves [1] 9/20 63/11 64/1 64/11 way [13] 4/20 9/6 64/23 64/24 65/4 65/4 10/22 15/7 18/17 24/5 65/22 67/11 70/15 26/10 28/16 34/13 70/20 70/25 71/2 40/5 46/5 57/2 65/20 view [9] 4/3 6/2 12/20 ways [1] 5/1 13/6 18/14 18/19 we [100] 36/11 67/9 67/10 we'd [3] 48/22 49/21 50/21 virus [9] 7/4 9/14 we're [6] 1/4 12/15

17/19 17/20 28/13

28/20 36/12 36/20

visitation [1] 54/21

visiting [12] 20/23

22/20 23/20 24/13

53/14 53/20 53/25

visitors [1] 23/3

vital [1] 57/17

vocal [1] 19/10

vocally [1] 69/23

voice [2] 39/22 40/12

voices [3] 1/18 3/6

vulnerable [12] 13/3

14/8 15/16 16/13

17/19 18/16 19/14

26/20 26/25 41/19

42/19 44/8

55/4 55/11

17/16

21/6 21/20 21/22

visit [1] 47/21

55/10

20/14 31/11 36/24 51/7 we've [10] 3/13 7/5 23/21 39/12 40/3 41/7 54/12 54/17 56/4 58/19 wear [1] 18/23 Weatherby [4] 49/25 50/1 70/15 72/17 website [2] 63/18 64/24 week [1] 49/16 weekly [3] 47/19 48/4 10/9 10/24 23/2 33/7 48/23 weighed [2] 5/14 57/19 welfare [1] 56/4 well [57] 2/19 3/13 3/21 4/17 5/7 5/11 7/11 8/9 8/25 10/4 10/8 11/9 11/23 16/4 16/20 17/17 24/15 30/19 30/20 33/20 34/15 36/22 37/3 37/8 37/15 37/18 37/22 40/1 41/25 42/21 44/3 47/5 48/5 54/5 54/14 55/2 55/16 55/21 57/23 58/12 59/4 59/9 60/5 60/18 62/24 63/9 63/19 64/2 64/12 64/21 65/10 65/18 66/9 68/10 68/21 70/6 whilst [1] 54/12 71/2 well-being [2] 10/8 54/5 Welsh [1] 48/14 went [6] 4/16 4/17 24/15 47/20 65/20 68/14 were [116] weren't [2] 7/12 24/8 what [59] 2/19 2/24 2/24 3/18 6/6 6/20 10/14 10/17 14/4 15/21 16/4 18/1 18/7 18/17 18/18 18/23 21/9 23/2 26/10 28/24 30/19 33/16 35/17 35/19 38/14 38/15 39/24 40/9 40/21 41/23 42/2 42/18 43/25 46/1 47/14 48/3 53/22 54/2 55/6 56/22 48/11 50/10 50/13 50/22 50/24 52/24 54/7 56/9 56/10 59/6 59/13 60/6 60/6 61/7 63/5 63/10 64/4 64/25 68/21 69/25 70/1 70/8 70/9 what's [1] 3/23 whatever [2] 15/16

69/16

WhatsApp [1] 47/23

wheel [1] 24/4 when [31] 2/20 3/3 3/4 3/24 6/12 7/4 7/6 9/20 9/22 11/3 11/9 11/9 15/4 16/7 17/17 18/15 20/5 21/1 28/3 34/5 41/11 42/12 45/14 46/3 48/18 53/2 49/17 67/10 61/2 64/8 68/4 69/14 69/24 where [16] 3/4 7/9 36/2 37/18 38/11 40/12 40/19 43/5 45/14 50/17 54/19 66/18 whether [9] 20/8 32/4 without [6] 12/12 33/20 49/3 49/17 50/11 60/19 65/16 69/12 which [36] 4/21 5/22 8/3 9/16 10/22 12/15 12/18 13/14 15/8 16/18 20/24 21/20 24/19 29/22 32/20 33/8 33/23 34/15 35/6 21/8 21/24 24/14 35/7 36/4 42/23 44/20 24/19 47/9 47/22 55/17 55/22 58/1 61/21 62/1 45/1 72/15 62/22 64/3 64/6 64/7 68/25 69/2 Whitty [1] 37/23 who [68] 3/6 3/7 3/8 3/9 3/15 3/18 4/11 4/21 5/5 5/10 7/12 7/13 9/6 9/10 9/23 10/24 12/6 13/13 13/17 14/8 14/23 15/16 15/19 16/2 16/12 17/13 17/18 18/15 19/1 19/9 19/10 19/14 19/19 20/18 23/4 23/13 25/13 27/18 28/17 29/20 31/12 32/16 32/22 36/8 37/13 38/19 39/22 40/11 41/18 42/5 43/13 44/7 44/11 44/11 44/25 45/24 48/20 49/16 52/8 57/21 62/15 64/12 66/10 70/11 who'd [1] 6/23 whole [12] 3/5 16/17 19/13 27/19 29/17 29/18 30/22 43/6 47/14 57/16 58/11 66/18 whom [2] 37/21 39/6 whose [3] 53/23 62/9 68/17

whatsoever [1] 44/16 why [8] 24/4 24/7 36/15 37/4 37/5 52/15 54/15 70/4 widely [3] 19/22 24/25 34/6 wider [2] 27/1 40/6 widespread [1] 63/16 will [4] 12/21 38/16 win [2] 10/1 12/8 window [1] 65/4 wished [1] 31/13 withdrew [1] 71/1 within [14] 5/18 6/9 27/18 30/22 37/1 37/1 37/2 41/4 42/7 43/1 43/3 43/8 58/8 69/19 17/2 24/15 25/1 25/2 70/5 witness [6] 29/13 29/20 33/7 34/10 34/17 71/1 witnessed [2] 8/24 9/4 women [5] 20/24 Woodward [3] 44/25 word [2] 53/10 69/16 words [1] 57/18 work [25] 1/21 4/11 13/21 15/18 18/18 19/7 25/25 26/1 27/18 41/19 45/21 46/12 46/17 49/13 50/17 54/8 54/19 55/22 61/1 61/4 61/6 62/14 64/3 64/12 65/25 worked [7] 9/5 9/10 36/4 40/11 42/15 54/24 62/15 workers [21] 4/21 9/1 9/20 31/15 31/20 31/22 32/6 32/22 32/24 33/3 33/6 38/24 38/25 39/16 40/8 41/18 42/15 42/16 42/20 43/22 44/1 working [8] 5/6 6/24 7/12 39/20 45/24 46/23 55/23 65/16 works [1] 65/20 world [6] 37/16 51/5 60/5 64/7 64/8 65/20 worried [6] 3/18 9/12 9/25 39/23 39/24 41/25 worry [1] 39/25 worst [2] 51/15 51/17 worst-case [2] 51/15 51/17 would [57] 2/23 4/10

64/20 65/8 W you've [15] 17/25 **would... [55]** 6/10 19/4 23/22 24/8 24/14 6/12 6/21 6/21 7/2 31/17 31/21 35/5 37/8 10/24 14/6 14/9 17/5 40/20 52/1 52/4 56/20 17/9 18/13 20/2 20/14 63/13 63/20 21/9 21/22 23/19 young [5] 29/25 30/8 23/25 24/20 28/24 30/10 30/16 30/23 31/1 31/24 32/3 34/2 your [53] 1/5 2/17 6/2 34/3 35/16 36/17 6/11 8/23 9/8 9/17 36/17 37/6 37/24 9/18 9/22 13/5 13/5 38/10 44/12 45/23 13/19 14/10 20/8 46/10 47/9 48/21 21/21 24/3 25/21 28/9 48/24 50/18 51/5 29/13 33/7 35/5 35/13 51/22 53/8 53/10 35/14 36/11 36/18 55/16 55/17 56/8 38/5 39/9 39/13 39/14 56/12 56/13 58/2 39/25 45/9 47/6 48/11 59/14 59/23 60/1 48/13 49/13 51/12 60/14 63/10 63/17 53/8 55/14 56/7 57/18 65/6 69/18 58/8 61/14 63/5 63/15 wouldn't [7] 25/3 63/23 64/20 66/12 25/4 38/1 49/5 53/9 67/2 67/6 67/10 69/3 58/23 60/13 69/9 70/24 Wrexham [2] 49/13 yourself [2] 47/4 49/15 48/16 write [2] 29/1 59/19 writing [1] 17/22 written [2] 58/25 69/8 **Zoom [1]** 47/19 wrong [2] 12/12 18/14 wrongly [1] 20/6 wrote [3] 17/14 25/16 67/14 yeah [11] 6/14 7/8 7/25 34/20 34/22 39/2 39/19 57/8 66/15 67/11 67/22 yes [75] yesterday [22] 1/14 6/8 6/25 8/18 9/22 14/6 14/14 32/11 32/21 35/14 37/10 39/14 40/15 43/15 50/4 57/11 59/1 59/8 61/13 61/20 66/5 68/1 yesterday's [1] 43/4 yet [3] 12/18 58/12 62/21 you [279] you know [9] 3/6 7/20 9/3 15/10 16/8 19/12 36/16 44/14 64/19 you want [1] 43/13 you'd [3] 68/1 69/8 69/9 **you'll [1]** 16/18 you're [17] 2/15 3/4 5/8 11/15 15/10 15/12 17/17 27/16 28/21 28/24 55/23 58/4 58/15 59/25 60/3