MEMO

From the Permanent Secretary and HSC Chief Executive



From: Richard Pengelly Ref: RP5211

Date: 20 May 2020

To: Permanent Secretaries

HEALTH PROTECTION (CORONAVIRUS, RESTRICTIONS) REGULATIONS (NORTHERN IRELAND) 2020: FURTHER PROPOSALS FOR SPECIFIC AMENDMENTS

- Further to the Executive's decisions on 14 May and subsequent announcements, I am writing to invite Departments to submit further specific proposals for changes to the Health Protection (Coronavirus, Restrictions) Regulations.
- This Department has begun work on the third review of the restrictions and requirements in the Regulations. Minister Swann will bring the conclusions and recommendations from that review to the Executive meeting scheduled for Thursday 28 May. Ideally proposals for further amendments would be tabled at the same meeting, or the following Monday (1 June).
- At the meeting of 7 May the Executive agreed a decision-making framework and a structured process for bringing forward proposals for amendments to the Regulations. Since then the Executive has published the Executive Approach to Decision-Making (12 May) and has agreed a series of amendments (14 May). Appendix 1 lists the proposals that have been brought to the Executive so far, along with DoH's recommendations and the Executive's decision on each.
- In recent weeks this Department has received a number of proposals for amending the Regulations. For the most part these proposals have come ad hoc and in a variety of forms. So far only two Departments have used the process and the template agreed by the Executive on 7 May, the primary purpose of which is to facilitate a strategic assessment of specific proposals which takes into account the risks and benefits associated with each proposal. I am therefore reminding Departments that when developing a proposal to amend the Regulations they should:
 - a. have regard to the Executive Approach to Decision-Making, including the guiding principles of focus on primary purposes (controlling transmission and protecting healthcare service capacity); necessity; proportionality, and reliance on evidence, and
 - b. use the agreed templates (Appendix 2), which will help to bring together the key information needed to assess the proposed change.



- I cannot guarantee that proposals received on an ad hoc basis, or which are not supported by the information needed to enable an adequate assessment of the merits of the proposal, will be presented to the Executive for consideration at the next opportunity. The template is designed to help Departments make the case for an amendment and to ensure that this process is no more onerous that it need be.
- As I explained in my memo of 7 May, the risk/benefit ratings should reflect an analysis that is as rigorous as is feasible in the time available. When submitting proposals for amendments, Departments need not submit detailed supporting evidence as regards the potential benefits, beyond the commentary in the spaces provided. However, they should explain as fully as necessary their rating as regards the level of risk. This explanation should be sufficient to enable the Chief Medical Officer and the Chief Scientific Adviser to decide whether to concur with the risk rating.
- 7 The structured process and the template for proposing amendments are in Appendix 2. The template for the risk/benefit assessment matrix, with a worked example, is in Appendix 3.

| 8 | If your Department | your Department wishes to propose any specific amendments to the | | | | |
|---|----------------------|--|-------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| | Regulations, please | e submit completed templates for each amendment no later | | | | |
| | | day 22 May. Queries | | | | |
| | NR DoH I | Health Protection Bra | nch: Name Redacte | ed <u>@health-</u> | | |
| | ni.gov.uk. Tel. Irre | elevant & Sensitive | | | | |
| H | Personal Data | | | | | |

RICHARD PENGELLY

| Dates | Proposed change | Recommend- | Executive | Status at |
|-----------|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| tabled at | | ation by DoH | decision | 19 May |
| Executive | | | | |
| 17 April | Allow places of worship to open for | 17 April: adopt | 17 April: | |
| | individual acts of prayer. | proposal | deferred. | |
| | | | | |
| 14 May | | 14 May: adopt | 14 May: | SR being |
| | | | agreed | drafted. |
| 17 April | Allow access to burial grounds other than | 17 April: adopt | 17 April: | |
| | for funerals | proposal | deferred | |
| 24 April | | | 244 1 | |
| | | | 24 April: | Amended, |
| 17 April | Allow businesses providing heliday | Cupport | agreed Deferred | 24 April No |
| 17 April | Allow businesses providing holiday | Support | Deferred | change |
| | accommodation to prepare for recovery | | | Change |
| | by taking future bookings by telephone, | | | |
| 1 4 8 4 | post or internet. | Defen | D - f | NI - |
| 14 May | Allow services in larger churches. | Defer | Defer | No |
| 14 May | Allow participation in outdoor activities | Adopt | Agreed | change SR being |
| 14 Way | in general. | Αυορι | Agreed | drafted |
| 17 April | Allow people to leave home to use | 17 April: | 17 April: | urartea |
| 17 April | critical services provided by a District | propose to | no | |
| | Council or other public body, e.g. | broaden range | decision. | |
| | | of <i>critical</i> | accision. | |
| | household recycling centres. | public services. | | |
| 14 May | | | | SR being |
| , | | 14 May: | 14 May: | drafted |
| | | adopt. | agreed. | |
| 14 May | Allow urgent marriages (for couples | Adopt. | Agreed | SR being |
| | where one or both parties is terminally | | | drafted |
| | ill) | | | |
| 14 May | Allow marriage ceremonies limited to | Defer. | Deferred. | No |
| | immediate participants only. | | | change |
| 14 May | Allow visits to family members indoors. | Defer. | Deferred. | No |
| | | | | change |
| 14 May | Allow outdoor gatherings of up to six | Adopt. | Agreed. | SR being |
| | people. | | | drafted |
| 14 May | Allow drive-in church services/drive-in | Adopt. | Agreed. | SR being |
| | gatherings for cultural purposes. | | | drafted |
| 14 May | Designate district councils as an | Adopt. | Agreed. | SR being |
| | enforcement body in respect of | | | drafted |
| | Regulations 3, 4 & 6. | | | |
| 17 April | Clarify that the closure of auction houses | 17 April: | 17 April: | |
| | does not apply to livestock markets | support. | no | |
| | (breeding or slaughter). | | decision | |
| | | | | |
| 14 May | | 14 May: | 14 May: | SR being |
| | | adopt. | agreed | drafted |

| 17 April | Enforce 2-metre separation distance in | Do not adopt. | Agreed: | No |
|----------|---|-----------------|-----------|----------|
| | the workplace. | | do not | change |
| | | | adopt. | |
| 17 April | Introduce a distance limit on driving for | Do not adopt. | Agreed: | No |
| | purposes of taking exercise. | | do not | change |
| | | | adopt. | |
| 17 May | Allow garden centres to open, although | 17 April: defer | 17 April: | |
| | not their cafés. | decision. | defer. | |
| | | | | SR being |
| 14 May | | 14 May: adopt | Agreed | drafted |

Extract from Executive paper 7 May 2020 re review of COVID-19 restrictions and requirements

Decision-making framework and process for reviewing and amending specific restrictions and requirements of The Health Protection (Coronavirus, Restrictions) Regulations (NI) 2020

- Approach and guiding principles for removing or amending specific restrictions will be as agreed by the Executive. The guiding principles are: (1) focus on primary purposes, i.e. controlling transmission and protecting healthcare capacity; (2) necessity; (3) proportionality and (4) reliance on evidence. These are quoted in full in Annex 2.
- 2 All restrictions and requirements in the Regulations will be treated as cross-cutting and therefore requiring Executive referral.
- As the Regulations are the responsibility of DoH, any amending regulations should be prepared by DoH.
- 4 Any NI Department can initiate a review of specific restrictions or requirements and make proposals for legislative change.
- A Department developing a proposal for change should engage at an early stage with all other Departments with a direct policy interest in the restriction(s) or requirement(s) being reviewed. Each Department should respond to other Departments' proposals as promptly as possible.
- 6 For this purpose each Department should have a designated a point of contact.
- Given the stipulation in the Regulations that a restriction or requirement should be in place no longer than is considered necessary, reform should progress as quickly as is prudent in light of the public health modelling and advice.
- In the interest of consistency of approach and to facilitate strategic and orderly management of ongoing review of the restrictions and requirements, Departments should use an agreed common template to set out the case for change and to ensure that this work is informed by the guiding principles. The template is intended to be flexible as regards presentation of different types of evidence. Draft template is at Annex 1.
- 9 Proposed changes to restrictions and requirements should be grouped whenever doing so would be more efficient and make the review process less onerous for Ministers, their Departments and other stakeholders.

Process for reviewing and amending a restriction or requirement

- 10 The step-by-step process is as follows.
 - (1) Dept x identifies a specific restriction or requirement to be reviewed and put to Executive for decision and seeks ministerial approval to proceed.
 - (2) Subject to ministerial approval, Dept x advises DoH, TEO and other Departments with a direct policy interest which restriction(s) or requirement(s) they are reviewing.

- (3) Dept x considers evidence of detrimental impacts, with other Departments as appropriate, and risks associated with withdrawal or amendment.
- (4) Dept x completes Part 1 of the template.
- (5) Dept x sends template to DoH for assessment.
- (6) DoH completes part 2.

If DoH supports the proposed change

- (7) DoH prepares amending SR. (This work could be started at an earlier stage if DoH believes that the proposal is likely to be accepted.)
- (8) DoH sends template and draft SR to DSO for advice.
- (9) On receipt of DSO advice, DoH prepares an Executive paper, or adds details of the proposed changes to an Executive paper that is already in preparation.
- (10) DoH Minister submits Executive paper.
- (11) If the proposed changes are agreed by the Executive DoH makes the amending SR.

If DoH does not support the proposed change

(7) DoH advises Minister of position and discusses options with Dept x.

TEMPLATE REVIEW OF SPECIFIC COVID-19 RESTRICTIONS AND REQUIREMENTS

| Part 1, to be completed by initia | ating Department | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Department initiating review | | |
| Description of restriction or | | |
| requirement | | |
| Legislation reference | | |
| Proposed change | | |
| NI Departments with the most | | |
| direct policy interest | | |
| Advice received from these | | |
| Departments | | |
| Summary of evidence – | | |
| quantitative or qualitative – | | |
| that has been considered | | |
| Summary of detrimental impact | s of restriction or requirement, inc | luding references to evidence |
| considered, and therefore benef | fits of removing or modifying the p | rovision |
| - Health | | |
| - Society | | |
| - Economy | | |
| Summary of assessment of risks | associated with removal or propos | sed modification |
| - Health | | |
| - Society | | |
| - Economy | | |
| Steps to mitigate any risks | | |
| identified | | |
| Commentary | | |
| Current position on this | | |
| restriction | | |
| - England | | |
| - Scotland | | |
| - Wales | | |
| - Rol, if applicable | | |
| Conclusion | | |
| Date: | | |
| | | |
| Part 2, to be completed by DoH | | |
| DoH assessment including | | |
| expected effect on R0 of | | |
| removal or proposed change | | |
| Date: | | |
| | | |
| Part 3, to be completed by DoH | | |
| Summary of DSO advice | | |
| Recommendation by DoH to | | |
| Executive (Repeal / Modify / | | |
| Retain) | | |
| Date: | | |
| | | |
| Part 4, to be completed by Exec | utive Secretariat | |
| Executive decision | | Date: |

Risk and benefit assessment matrix

Withdrawal or relaxation of COVID-19 restrictions and requirements: qualitative assessment of relative impact of easing social distancing measures

TEMPLATE

| Possible change to restrictions / requirements | Impact on transmission leading to serious disease | Health impacts medium to long term | Society | Economy |
|--|--|--|------------------|---------|
| | RISK | 1 | POTENTIAL BENEFI | г |
| | | | | |
| Commentary | | | | |

Overall rating of risk or potential benefit: key

- -3: highest risk
- -2: significant risk
- -1: moderate risk
- 0: negligible risk or benefit, or neutral
- +1: moderate benefit
- +2: significant benefit
- +3: greatest benefit

These ratings are intended to reflect a qualitative assessment of the risks and potential benefits. They are not scores that can be summed for a particular proposal.

Example

| Possible change to restrictions / requirements | Impact on transmission leading to serious disease | Health impacts medium to long term | Society | Economy | | | |
|--|--|--|------------------|---------|--|--|--|
| | RISK | 1 | POTENTIAL BENEFI | т | | | |
| Schedule 2 Part 3 – t | Schedule 2, Part 3 – types of businesses that may operate | | | | | | |
| Amend to include | ypes or businesses (| linat may operate | | | | | |
| garden centres and | -1 | +1 | +1 | +1 | | | |
| ornamental plant | | | | | | | |
| nurseries, but not | | | | | | | |
| garden centre cafés. | | | | | | | |
| Commentary | | | | | | | |

- 1. The sector comprises primarily wholesale growers of bedding plants, perennials, shrubs, ornamental plants and cut flowers. In Northern Ireland, there are 210 main producers selling approximately £24 million of product per year. The main outlets for produce are direct sales, garden centres, multiple retailers, and local retailers in Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland. There is a narrow window for sales and a short shelf life for the product with 60% of annual sales occurring from March to June. Most plants have a 'shelf life' in the nursery of 2-5 weeks and cut flowers a number of days thereafter, they are unfit for market.
- 2. There is an argument that, as regards risk, garden centres are comparable with large, mixed hardware stores but are not being treated equitably by the regulations.
- Work is ongoing at UK level to develop protocols on social distancing specifically for this sector.
- 4. SD risks may be lower in garden centres than in most hardware stores as there are normally fewer customers per square metre. Garden centres tend to be partially outdoors, which facilitates the management of SD.
- 5. The availability of gardening supplies helps people to engage in healthy and productive outdoor activity, and supports the 'stay at home' message.
- 6. May need to be added to the list of reasonable excuses to leave home.
- 7. Conclusion: amend.

| Returns should be | sent to Name Redacted He | alth Protection Br | anch, DoH |
|-------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| NR @hea | alth-ni.gov.uk, copied to | Name Redacted | |
| Name Redacted | @health-ni.gov.uk. | | |