

**FROM THE OFFICE OF THE MINISTER**  
Diane Dodds MLA



**From: Diane Dodds MLA**  
**Minister for the Economy**

Netherleigh  
Massey Avenue  
Belfast BT4 2JP  
Tel: **I&S**  
email: [private.office@economy-ni.gov.uk](mailto:private.office@economy-ni.gov.uk)

**Date: 6 May 2020**

**To: David Sterling**  
**HOCS**

## **EXECUTIVE APPROACH TO CORONAVIRUS DECISION MAKING**

### **Economic and social impacts**

Any paper on this important issue should have been a balanced assessment incorporating the social distancing guidance paper that I circulated to Executive Colleagues last week. There is not enough (indeed any) contextual information up front on the economic consequences, including its impact on health and wellbeing.

There needs to be an honest and frank assessment of the damage that this issue will have on people's lives and future standard of living for years to come. In simple terms, on its own, each month of shutdown is akin to a large recession. These forces are having severe economic, social and health impacts across Northern Ireland. For those people who have lost their jobs, been victim of domestic violence or have had urgent health treatments cancelled, the news that we as an Executive will consider these issues at some future, but not determined time, will bring little comfort. Indeed it risks holding the Executive to public ridicule.

The language within the draft paper also reinforces a 'binary' narrative of health versus economy and society, when the opposite must surely be the case. As an Executive we need to act on all these fronts at the same time to suppress the virus and mitigate the negative impact on livelihoods.

### **Options**

Our decisions at this critical stage of the pandemic will be critically assessed against both the evidence and the actions by the UK Government, other Devolved Administrations and the Republic of Ireland. The Executive's decisions on the options will need to be framed in this context.

The presentation of just two options seems an artificial constraint. There are other variations that could be considered.

Option 2 includes very technical language that will be difficult for the public to understand, and closes with the offer of clarity in the coming weeks. This will not be good enough at a time when the public is looking for clarity, and businesses and employees need the confidence to make the right decisions for their workplaces.

I am also concerned that Option 2 looks to be tightening the Executive's position. It is very difficult to understand which businesses would fall into each stage, and it is entirely possible that manufacturing sectors would be seen to be excluded from Stage 1. We are aware that many businesses in the manufacturing and construction sector are looking to restart, and are investing significant time and resources into making their workplaces safe for their employees. We do not want to undermine these efforts to keep our economy alive.

We should be looking to build confidence so that businesses and staff can go back to work at the right time. Option 1 does this to a certain extent, and is consistent with the narrative of other administrations.

I would like to see more detail on why there is the delay in getting advice from the Chief Medical Officer and the Chief Scientific Advisor to deliver on the Executive's last decision when other countries seem to be able to get this. Stating that you had clear advice from the Chief Medical Officer and the Chief Scientific Advisor that there is not the time to complete this table accurately and publish it in the coming days is unacceptable. There is a real risk that as an Executive we will be seen as becoming detached from the real world, the measures being taken around us and the economic and social consequences developing in front of us.

Yours sincerely,

**Personal Data**

**DIANE DODDS MLA**  
**Minister for the Economy**