

- Any allegation of a breach of the regs would be a matter for PSNI to investigate
- Any allegation that there has been a breach of the Pledge of Office ~~as to~~ and/or the Ministerial Code would be a matter for the Assembly authorities

Standards Committee

NR - not in court

EF - excuse doesn't cut it

NL - deep here, not party political, credibility damaged
parallel with things "do as I say, not as I do"

CnC - SD was followed

RS - set a bad example "image of 2 together worked well"
from is now on the split
answer lies in your hands.

GL - no excuse, no acknowledgment of wrongdoing
not good enough excuse to me I could do no more
you are up of SF - it was SF who argued this
- glorification of terrorism - rules could be changed
- credibility shot - damage to the Executive

PR - not political point - moving
credibility damaged - we need to be better,
the level of acceptance others will not be
able to move forward
- excuse has compounded the situation

DD - parallel with Donohoe brand distorted

CM. new to recognize rules have changed

NOV I acknowledge but

AF In upset - we're severely damaged

new guidance is not in place

- this is not the end of it
- I cannot stand on a platform with DFH
- we need to think and reflect
- this will not happen