	Risk Identificati Assessme		and				Act	ual a	nd Pr	Risk Management  poosed Actions to Manage the Risk			
Ref	Risk/Opportunity Description	Inh	erent Scor		Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)		esid Scor		Change	Actions planned to further reduce and mitigate the risk and timescales (what is going to be done)	Target Risk Level	Date of last review	Risk Owner
		1	L	0	(what is actually in place)	1	L	0	5 S	(what is going to be done)	LEVEI	IEVIEW	
1	If there is insufficient capacity within WG and partners to deliver the national response plan there could be further harm to public health and safety	5	5	25	Priority resourcing processes in place to manage resource across the organisation     Investment in TTP services	4	3	12	$\rightarrow$	Ongoing monitoring of capacity and effectiveness.		Mar 2021	Reg Kilpatrick
2	If decisions need to be taken quickly then advice may not have considered all relevant issues and Ministers might not be fully informed before making decisions	3	5	15	Reduce:     WG-wide Covid Steering Group established.     Governance and intelligence flows established and regularly reviewed.     Regular meetings between Minsters DG Covid and CMO/CSA	2	3	6	$\rightarrow$			Mar 2021	Reg Kilpatrick
3	If there is lack of join up between different work streams across WG and partners then it could lead to duplication of effort and confused messages	3	3	9	Reduce: Excovid supports join up on cross-cutting issues, WG-wide Covid Steering group established. Regular meetings with delivery partners, including NHS,SCG meeting	3	2	6	$\rightarrow$			Mar 2021	Reg Kilpatrick
4	If modelling assumptions and intelligence is not robust or sufficiently balanced then poor	5	3	15	Share/Reduce: Wales is represented on various UKG groups such as JBC and SAGE	5	1	5	$\rightarrow$			Mar 2021	Reg Kilpatrick

	Risk Identificati Assessme		and				Ac	tual a	nd Pr	Risk Management oposed Actions to Manage the Risk			
Ref	Risk/Opportunity Description	Inh	erent Scor		Mitigations and Controls in place and active now	1000	esid Sco		Change	mitigate the risk and timescales R	rget isk	Date of last	Risk Owner
œ		ı	L	0	(what is actually in place)	ı	L	0	ວິ	(what is going to be done) Le	vel	review	
	or misleading advice might be given on the most appropriate response.				Swansea modelling regularly updated with developing assumptions to support most up to date modelling								
5	If international travel takes place then further infection could be brought into Wales.	5	5	25	Reduce:     Engagement at Ministerial level on the UK-wide approach to International travel.     International travel ban on countries where VOC identified or precautionary approach advised by JBC     Introduction of pre departure testing.     Testing, Quarantine	4	4	16	$\rightarrow$	Dialogue across UK to further strengthen response – with Global Travel Taskforce reporting in April.     Building capacity TTP to follow up international travel.		Mar 2021	Reg Kilpatrick
6	Equalities  If departments do not adequately consider equality impacts arising from the pandemic then there is a risk these inequalities, including socio-economic disadvantage, will deepen further	5	4	20	An overarching equality impact is in place in relation to current Covid measures.  As noted in risk X (CYP one) a children's impact assessment has also been completed.  A repository of information about equality impacts is in place to support policy-makers  The Socio-economic duty is due to come into force 31 March 2021 which will require due regard to be given socio-economic disadvantage as part of strategic decision-making.	5	3	15		Scoping of an Equalities Data and Evidence Unit is underway which will be able to provide strengthened support to policy- makers (and potentially other public bodies). The Race Equality Action Plan will be published later this year which focuses on improving outcomes for Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic people. The impact of Covid-19 on Disabled People report will drive a refresh of the Action for Independent Living Framework – addressing inequality for disabled people. The commencement of the Socio-economic Duty from 31 March means that some public bodies now have to think about how their			Tracey Burke ( (Claire Bennett)

	Risk Identificati Assessme		and				Act	tual a	nd Pr	Risk Management oposed Actions to Manage the Risk			
Ref	Risk/Opportunity Description	Inh	nerent Scor		Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)		esid Scor		ange	Actions planned to further reduce and mitigate the risk and timescales (what is going to be done)	Target Risk Level	Date of last review	Risk Owner
		1	L	0	(what is actually in place)	1	L	0	ည်	(what is going to be done)	Levei	review	
					Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic SE impact report published – with a series of recommendations being actioned Report on impact of Covid-19 on disabled people due to be published post-election. Draft available to officials now.					strategic decisions, such as setting objectives and developing public services, can improve inequality of outcome for people who suffer socio-economic disadvantage.  The implementation of the Income Maximisation Plan, published in November has a focus on supporting those most economically disadvantaged including those who have had their incomes reduced as a result of the pandemic			
7	TTP  There is a risk that a VAMC/VOC may emerge and spread faster than we are able to identify and contain it causing another wave of infection	5	4	20	C1- Arriving travellers' controls in place, red list quarantine hotels and amber list actively followed up. C2- Genomics sequencing of all cases of concern/positives amongst those that have travelled/been in contact with those that have travelled C3- General genomic surveillance amongst community testing positive cases C4- Enhanced testing framework in place where a rapid response is required	5	2	10					Andrew Goodall (Jo-anne Daniels)
8	Moved from EPS group risk - Children and young people  If we do not support children and young	5	4	20	<ul> <li>A cross Government Group has been established to coordinate activity around ensuring children are safe, seen, heard, nurtured and developing.</li> </ul>	4	4	16		Work is scheduled to draw together a plan looking at how WG is addressing the known issues on all aspects of children and young people's development, but as importantly how we will define and find out about the issues that we are not as aware of at this point in time.			Tracey Burke

	Risk Identificati Assessme		and				Actu	ual an	d Pro	Risk Management  pposed Actions to Manage the Risk			
Ref	Risk/Opportunity Description	Int	nerent Scor		Mitigations and Controls in place and active now		sidu Score	e e	Change	Actions planned to further reduce and mitigate the risk and timescales	Target Risk Level	Date of last review	Risk Owner
		1	L	0	(what is actually in place)	ı	L	0	ರ	(what is going to be done)	Levei	review	
	people in recovering from the impacts of Covid-19 on their social, emotional, physical and mental development, there will be long-term and wide spread harms impacting educational attainment, social adjustment and interactions, life chances and whole of life outcomes. There is a risk existing inequalities will be exacerbated as the impacts of the restrictions have not fallen equally				<ul> <li>An initial paper has been developed looking at what we currently know about the impact of Covid-19 and the associated restrictions on those aged 0-25. The issues are many and varied with some significant complexities.</li> <li>Provision within childcare and education settings has been prioritised in the response to Covid-19. Childcare settings have remained open since June 2020; Education settings have faced more disruption with all learners currently planned to be back in attendance for face to face learning from 12 April 2021.</li> <li>Developed the 2021         Learning Plan, supported by £72m in investment to put in place a wide range of support for children and young people via education settings, aligning with the whole school approach to mental health.</li> </ul>					There is a real and pressing need to look at support in the early years — 0-7 but particularly under 5s.  There is a real and pressing need to look at the wider support required for children and young people who will have a range of additional learning needs or wider cognitive and physical issues to navigate that will require help and support at the earliest stage possible to prevent or reduce the likelihood of that becoming a crisis.  There is a real and pressing need to better understand the impacts on and needs of children impacted by trauma over this period including bereavement and abuse.  Bids for additional funding in 2021-22 are being developed to support the Child Development Fund and a range of extracurricular activities for children and young people of all ages. It is worth noting even now that early help waiting lists have started to increase significantly and there are no additional resources available to meet the already increasing demands. It is anticipated that demand will increase further as children and families emerge following the easement of restrictions.			

	Risk Identificati Assessme		and				Act	ual aı	nd Pr	Risk Management oposed Actions to Manage the Risk			
Ref	Risk/Opportunity Description	Int	nerent Scor		Mitigations and Controls in place and active now		esid Scor		Change	Actions planned to further reduce and mitigate the risk and timescales	Target Risk	Date of last	Risk Owner
<u> </u>		ı	L	0	(what is actually in place)	I	L	0	ch	(what is going to be done)	Level	review	
					<ul> <li>A range of evidence papers have been published setting out the early evidence of impacts on children and young people. These look at developmental delay and regression, with some focus on social and emotional impacts.</li> <li>A Delphi study into ways childcare settings can start to address impacts in the early years has been commissioned.</li> <li>An initial sum of £3.5m was put into the Child Development Fund in 2020-21 to start to address developmental delay impacts for under 5s.</li> <li>Children and family support services have continued to operate 'virtually' during the pandemic, with face to face support available in extremis. £800k was put towards support for families experiencing inter-parental conflict.</li> </ul>					Alongside the support for children we will also need to consider further support for parents who may experience a range of shocks that could impact on their parenting capacity – this will also be pretty cross cutting as the support needed may come via a wide range of policy areas – mental health, substance misuse, poverty, housing etc. It may also lead to further inter-parental conflict and separation			

	Risk Identificati Assessme		and				Act	tual a	nd Pr	Risk Management oposed Actions to Manage the Risk			
Ref	Risk/Opportunity Description	Description Score  I L  aging the socionomic impacts			Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)		esid Scor		Change	Actions planned to further reduce and mitigate the risk and timescales (what is going to be done)	Target Risk Level	Date of last review	Risk Owner
		-	L	0	(what is actually in place)	I	L	0	ਠ	,	Level	Teview	
9	Managing the socio economic impacts of Covid on mental health.  If we do not further strengthen action across government policy areas that prevent and protect the mental health of the population NHS mental health services could become overwhelmed with demand. Many of the determinants of poor mental health lie outside of the health and social care system — cross Ministerial.	5	4	20	Cross Government Mental Health Delivery Plan 2019-22 refreshed and republished in October 2020 with strengthen policy commitments in areas such as employability, housing and education.  Cross Government internal Programme Board in place to monitor delivery against current commitments in the Delivery Plan.  Paper agreed at Cabinet on 2 February 2021 (Mental Health Impact and Response – CAB(20-21)60) that Departments would reconsider policy areas to strengthen the mental health prevention and protection element.  Mental Health Delivery & Oversight Board established in February 2021 to tighten programme management of the entirety of the WG 10 year mental health strategy. Includes the Cross Government action. (Board previously chaired by Minister for Mental Health).  KAS supporting survey and evidence gathering on the socio economic impact on mental health and also	4	3	12		Agreed that Cabinet Office and the Permanent Secretary's Delivery Board will support the cross Government consideration and challenge. (limited response received in March 2021 in response to the commission following the Cabinet discussion)  Intention is that further strengthening of proposals to prevent and protect mental health ready to assimilate with new programme for government and to present to new Ministers. Policy areas asked to embed mental health support within all new or existing relevant policy and 'bend' existing and planned actions towards supporting those with (low level) mental health need.  To be re- considered at the Permanent Secretary's Delivery Board in June 2021		April 2021	Andrew Goodall

	Risk Identificati Assessme		and				Act	ual a	nd Pr	Risk Management oposed Actions to Manage the Risk			
Ref	Risk/Opportunity Description	Int	nerent Scor		Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)	1000	esid Scor	and the state of	Change	mitigate the risk and timescales	Target Risk Level	Date of last review	Risk Owner
		1	L	0	supporting officials to model future mental health support demand	ı	L	0	IJ	(what is going to so done)	20101		
10	Support to Businesses in Wales / Economic Resilience Fund Over £2bn of public money has been utilised to deliver support to businesses across Wales. The ERF team has delivered equivalent to ten years of grant funding in 12 months. While there remains a risk that some businesses that have received support may fail in the future, there is a wider risk of the reputational legacy impact of the 2020/21 Covid	5	4	20	<ul> <li>Reduce:         <ul> <li>Project due diligence is undertaken by officials within the Directorate and wider ESNR / GCOE Group.</li> <li>Checks using the fraud prevention database are undertaken as part of the grants appraisal process. Issues that arise from within individual applications are analysed with the help of colleagues in Counter Fraud and process improvements incorporated if required.</li> <li>ERF WG Working Group continues to meet to ensure appropriate measures are being taken at each phase of the Fund.</li> <li>An evaluation of the impact and of the ERF has been commissioned and the first stage report "Covid-19 financial interventions: An analysis of</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	4	3	12	$\rightarrow$	<ul> <li>Reduce:</li> <li>Post Completion Monitoring to be instigated on each phase of the ERF.</li> <li>There are a further two stages to the evaluation work which include a survey element and a follow on impact and conclusion evaluation planned in 2021 and early 2022</li> </ul>		April 2021	Sioned Evans

	Risk Identificati Assessme		and				Act	ual aı	nd Pr	Risk Management oposed Actions to Manage the Risk			
Ref	Risk/Opportunity Description	Int	erent Scor		Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)		esidı Scor		Change	Actions planned to further reduce and mitigate the risk and timescales (what is going to be done)	Target Risk Level	Date of last review	Risk Owner
		1	L	0	(what is actually in place)	ı	L	0	ر ک	(what is going to be done)	Level	review	
	intervention for business.  1. VFM will mean winners and losers within the cohort of supported business.  2. There remains a legacy commitment to Post Completion Monitoring all activity taken to date (this process is still in deployment).				Welsh beneficiaries" was published in December 2020 with further waves to follow.  • Approval and recruitment is underway for a dedicated PCM team.  • Stakeholder engagement is undertaken to attempt to manage expectations around the availability of future funding  • AAO notes have been issued to ensure there is full awareness of VFM issues.								
	3. Looking forward, the current £200m and resource available would not be able to support a third lockdown and is exacerbated by loss of EU funding and current UKG												

	Risk Identificati Assessme		and				Act	ual a	nd Pro	Risk Management  posed Actions to Manage the Risk			
J DO	Risk/Opportunity Description	Inf	nerent Scor		Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)		esid Scor		Change	Actions planned to further reduce and mitigate the risk and timescales (what is going to be done)	Target Risk Level	Date of last review	Risk Owner
-	•	1	L	0	(what is actually in place)	1	L	0	ည်	(what is going to be done)	Level	review	
	approach to support.												
	4. There is a significant risk around the expectations of businesses and any future funding provision.  There is a reputational risk that the context of delivery decisions made at pace are not fully understood												
1	NEW - Demand for Service - Public Transport.  The Covid-19 Pandemic has seen a sharp decrease in the number of journeys made with public transport operators (up to	5	5	25	Accept:  • The Bus Emergency Scheme 2 provides an additional £37.2 million of funding to operators, who are in turn required to provide bus services that meet local needs and incentivised to support the recovery of the whole network, improve standards, and build a lasting partnership with the public sector.	5	4	20		<ul> <li>Service levels are being increased (to 85% of normal on rail, with bus more dependent on local need) to continue to allow for social distancing whilst restrictions are lifted. However, services will only be able to accommodate c. 20% capacity with 2m distancing in place.</li> <li>TfW are developing a recovery plan, including a campaign to encourage people to return public transport once</li> </ul>		April 2021	Simon Jones

	Risk Identificati Assessme		and			Actu	ual an	d Pro	Risk Management  posed Actions to Manage the Risk			
Ref	Risk/Opportunity Description	Int	erent Scor		Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)	Residu Score	ual e	Change	Actions planned to further reduce and mitigate the risk and timescales (what is going to be done)	Target Risk Level	Date of last review	Risk Owner
		1	L	0	(what is actually in place)	I L	0	ا ت	(what is going to be done)	Level	Teview	
	c.95%). This has significantly impacted the revenue of operators in Wales and rendered almost all public transport services commercially unviable.  Unless significant numbers of people return to public transport as Covid restrictions are lifted there it will likely take a long time for services to return to commercial viability, so will required significant additional subsidy or operators will fail and people will lose access to public transport.				<ul> <li>The franchise was brought under public control on 7 Feb 2021, so services can continue with the Welsh Government, through Transport for Wales, being able to take decisions balancing e.g. the benefits of increasing service levels against the revenue and cost risk, with continuing increased subsidy to compensate for low passenger numbers</li> <li>TfW are undertaking a review of operating costs of rail services to identify opportunities to make efficiency savings.</li> <li>On 31 March 2021 we completed the capital acquisition of rolling stock to reduce future lease costs and consequently improve future viability of services.</li> <li>Throughout periods of lockdown restrictions service levels have been reduced to limit costs and support wider messaging about avoiding all but essential travel.</li> </ul>				we are safely able to do so. Messages that it is safe to use public transport, and an associated reduced need for social distancing, will be a key driver of recovering patronage and thus revenue.  However, given the current budget settlement for 21/22 for rail subsidy and forecast supressed growth in passenger demand TfW is forecasting that costs may not be able to be met beyond September so this risk will remain critical unless further funding is secured to continue mitigating in the second half of the financial year or there is a major change in forecast demand.  Initial discussions have been held with Strategic Budgeting and they are aware a submission will be needed in the Summer seeking additional budget cover if passenger demand does not recover significantly. It is currently unclear what (if any) additional consequential funding WG will receive as DfT have indicated that HMT is unwilling to commit additional funding			
	Policy will also need to develop alongside ambitious targets for 25-30% of people				<ul> <li>Work is ongoing with TfW and operators to plan future funding availability around future revenue projections.</li> </ul>				<ul> <li>for English train operating companies.</li> <li>Coordinate policy on transport and remote working to realise overall ambitions to reduce congestion and pollution from private cars, and allow</li> </ul>			

	Risk Identificati Assessme		and				Act	ual a	nd Pr	Risk Management  pposed Actions to Manage the Risk			
Ref	Risk/Opportunity Description	Int	erent Scor		Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)		esid Scor		Change	Actions planned to further reduce and mitigate the risk and timescales (what is going to be done)	Target Risk Level	Date of last review	Risk Owner
		1	L	0	(what is actually in place)	1	L	0	ဌ	(what is going to be done)	Level	review	
	remote working, to ensure we encourage people to use public transport where they do travel, and aim to drive reductions in car usage rather than seeing drops in public transport usage and knock-on impacts for service viability				<ul> <li>Analysis is being undertaken on the impact of increased remote working on public transport in the absence of additional policy interventions, so that future coordinated policy can be developed to manage this risk.</li> <li>Continuing to monitor remote working intentions of the workforce by issuing surveys and consultations with trade bodies / unions as needed.</li> </ul>					people to work more flexibly, without reducing the viability (and thus availability or affordability) of public transport service			
12	NEW - Financial position of HEI's  If the financial viability of some Higher Education Institutions (HEI's) across Wales are compromised and pressurised due to the challenges and impact of the Covid 19 pandemic there will be a significant loss of income.	5	4	20	<ul> <li>Maintain frequent ministerial/senior SHELL officials meetings with the HE sector.</li> <li>Maintain contact with Universities Wales/Colegau Cymru.</li> <li>Maintain dialogue with the Higher Education Funding Council for Wales (HEFCW) who are in regular contact with the Financial Directors and the senior leadership teams of HEI's in Wales.</li> </ul>	5	4	20				April 2021	Huw Morris

	Risk Identificati Assessme		and				Act	ual a	nd Pr	Risk Management oposed Actions to Manage the Risk			
Ref	Risk/Opportunity Description	Inh	erent Scor		Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)		esid Scor		Change	Actions planned to further reduce and mitigate the risk and timescales (what is going to be done)	Target Risk Level	Date of last review	Risk Owner
"		ı	L	0	(what is actually in place)	1	L	0	ပ်	(what is going to be done)	Level	review	
	This risk is set in a wider context of possible staff strikes following changes to pensions, student strikes following demands for refunds of tuition fees and accommodation costs, a ban on international travel impacting on the number of foreign students and the possibility of a 3rd wave of Covid 19 impacting on the sector as a whole.												
13	NEW - Labour Market Increased uncertainty over the labour market performance in Wales will remain until the spread of the virus is under control. If effective vaccines are rapidly rolled out, an early	5	5	25	Our ability to mitigate is limited as many levers are with the UK Government, such as JR	5	4	20		There are measures which could be implemented by Welsh Government to support the labour market recovery, such as active labour market policies. These could also involve training programmes, job search assistance programmes or tax incentives.			

					Risk Management  Actual and Proposed Actions to Manage the Risk  in place Residual   Actions planned to further reduce and Target Date R											
' I				Mitigations and Controls in place and active now				ange	mitigate the risk and timescales	Risk	of last	Risk Owner				
		L	0	(what is actually in place)	1	L	0	ည်	(what is going to be done)	Level	review					
s d d																
y ; id sFee moff of in	yy  d  RR), es red m  of of in - es). for yy	Inherence Set In	Inherent Score  I L  y  A  BR), es  red  m  of  of  of  of  of  in  -  es), for  y	Inherent Risk Score  I L O  y  RR), ested end of of of in es), for y	Inherent Risk Score  I L O  What is actually in place and active now (what is actually in place)  ARI), espected model in place and active now (what is actually in place)  I L O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O	Inherent Risk Score  I L O  Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)  I R, es ed ed m of of of in  Ses). for y	Inherent Risk Score and active now (what is actually in place)  I L O Reserved  I L O Reserved  I L O Reserved  I L O Reserved  I D Reserved	Inherent Risk Score  I L O  Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)  I L O  Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)  I L O  Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)  I L O  Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)  I L O  Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)  I L O  Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)  I L O  Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)  I L O  Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)  I L O  Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)  I L O  Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)  I L O  Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)	Inherent Risk Score I L O  Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)  I L O  Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)  I L O  Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)  I L O  Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)  I L O  Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)  I L O  Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)  I L O  Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)  I L O  Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)  I L O  Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)	The inherent Risk Score and active now (what is actually in place)    The inherent Risk Score   The inherent Risk Score	Ty Inherent Risk Score and active now (what is actually in place)    Ty   Inherent Risk Score   I   I   I   O   O	The result of the results in the results of the res				

	Risk Identificati Assessme		and				Ac	tual a	nd Pr	Risk Management oposed Actions to Manage the Risk			
Ref	Risk/Opportunity Description	Int	nerent Scor		Mitigations and Controls in place and active now	F	Resid Sco		ange	mitigate the risk and timescales	Target Risk	Date of last review	Risk Owner
		ı	L	0	(what is actually in place)	ı	L	0	ర్	(what is going to be done)	Level	review	
	Trade Cooperation Agreement having been agreed with the EU.												
14	Tourism Sector Failure to secure sufficient WG financial and human resources to help this sector (as one of the most severely affected by the COVID-19 pandemic) re-open safely and recover through the delivery of priority interventions as identified in Let's Shape the Future: the new Tourism & Hospitality Recovery Plan (to be published mid-March). The sector plays an important role in terms of supporting	5	5	25	<ul> <li>The Let's Shape the Future Recovery plan to be published shortly.</li> <li>CST works closely with colleagues to ensure the sector is being considered and that the recovery is balanced between the need for economic growth and the needs of communities across Wales.</li> </ul>	5	4	20		<ul> <li>The formation of a Task &amp; Finish Group (comprising WG and other key stakeholders) will drive forward the development of a practical Action Plan detailing a set of priority interventions required to support the re-opening and recovery of the sector. This will include proposals for the resourcing of these interventions and lead delivery partner.</li> <li>We will continue to work closely with colleagues to build on the recognition of the importance of the sector that the pandemic has helped to highlight.</li> <li>We will continue to work across WG to support the recovery of tourism that balances economic growth with what is right for our communities.</li> </ul>		April 2021	Jason Thomas

	Risk Identificati Assessme		and		Risk Management Actual and Proposed Actions to Manage the Risk											
Ref	Risk/Opportunity Description	Inh	nerent Score		Mitigations and Controls in place and active now	7.00	esidu Scor		ange	Actions planned to further reduce and mitigate the risk and timescales	Target Risk	of last	Risk Owner			
1 02		ı	L	0	(what is actually in place)	1	L	0	ch	(what is going to be done)	Level	review				
	chains, but more broadly in terms of its role in creating vibrant communities, improving health and wellbeing, and projecting Wales' reputation as a visitor destination.															

## **Group risks**

	Risk Identification Assessmen			Ad	Risk Management Actual and Proposed Actions to Manage the Risk										
Ref	Risk/Opportunity Description	Inherent Risk Score	Mitigations and Controls in place and active now (what is actually in place)		sidual core	Change	:	Actions planned to further reduce and mitigate the risk and timescales (what is going to be done)	Target Risk Level	Date of last review	Risk Owner				
A	EPS - Resources risk  If EPS fails to establish adequate workforce / budget planning then there is a risk that we will be unable to deliver key ministerial priorities including:  Work on critical statutory policy or delivery functions services and	5 4 20	<ul> <li>Monthly Resourcing Reports provided to Management &amp; Assurance Board.</li> <li>Ministerial Priorities delivery monitored by Management &amp; Assurance Board.</li> <li>Progress of EPS Legislation is monitored by Management &amp; Assurance Board + via discussions at Legislative Programme Board. Directors continue to review workplans and where appropriate work may be outsourced to ensure delivery of legislation.</li> <li>MI about staff numbers provided to Management Board regularly.</li> </ul>	4	4 10	5		<ul> <li>Participation in WG strategic workforce planning initiatives.</li> <li>HRBP to continue to regularly meet EPS SCS to support them in resourcing their divisions.</li> <li>HRBP attending OD&amp;E resourcing meetings to identify EPS resourcing pressures and seek people to meet them.</li> </ul>			Tracey Burke				

70 Nove 1992 A 1	
programmes;	Directors monitor and review their
	structures to ensure
Key programmes	organisational efficiency.
such as Local	Minister for Finance has agreed
Government	funding for staff to support
Reform, Local	preparations for the UK exit from
Government	the EU until 31 March 2021.
Finance Reform,	Of this funding, EPS has been
Additional Learning	allocated up to 9 posts to support
Transformation	activity, priorities to be
Programme,	determined.
Funding	Continue to engage with Strategic
Flexibilities	Resourcing to ensure EPS
Programme,	resourcing needs in relation to C-
housing	19 & other activities are
programme, Free	understood and met.
School Meals	Key messages about importance
(FSM), curriculum	of addressing coronavirus issues
development and	to be sent from permanent
welfare reform.	secretary• HR Policy enabling
	senior managers to move staff
	without their agreement
	published, but not activated
	Directors have delegated
	authority to deploy staff resources
	to priority areas on a lateral basis
	without having to seek EPS
	Resource Panel's approval.
	EPS has worked with the OD&E
	Divisions corporate commission
	to identify priorities to support the
	Perm Sec in her discussions with
	the First & Finance Ministers
	Monthly Performance Reports
	provided to Management Board.
	•
	Ministerial Priorities recorded on
	CPMS (now moving to BIRT),

			delivery monitored by Management Board.					
EPS - Legislation risk  Against the background of ongoing C-19 activity and limited resource in policy and Legal Services, there is an increased risk that we will not deliver key priorities including:  B  The implementation of the Acts passed by the Senedd this Term, most of which need to be enacted early next Senedd Term.  Delivering the paused bad to be paused had to be paused this Term.	4	5	Directors continue to monitor Ministerial priorities and identify any pressure points for policy officials and legal services, and where necessary pause work to ensure delivery of the legislative programme. Policy leads are also continuing to monitor UKG Legislation which may impact on EPS polices. Barriers to delivery and development of Bills and business as usual (BAU) subordinate legislation continue to be assessed at the Legislative Programme Board (LPB). The FM also has monthly legislative stocktake meetings to consider Bills and subordinate legislation priorities. Whilst the FM has agreed legislative priorties- there is a need to consider the non-legislative work which requires input from Legal Services to ensure the machinary of Government continues to operate effectively	4	4	16	Through LPB and the FM LPMM agreed priorities continue to be reviewed and where necessary work is being paused to ensure delivery of the key priorities.  Target implementation date March 2021	Tracey Burke

	Developing primary legislation which is anticipated to be brought forward such as Building Safety Reform, Local Government Finance Bill								
С	EPS risk - LA financial stability and viability and consequent impact on delivery of priorities across Welsh Government.  There is a risk to the longer term sustainability of a number of local authorities in Wales, mainly relating to financial viability and corporate capacity and capability concerns. This has been exacerbated by Covid.  Biggest impact likely to be on loss of income due to behaviour change and economic change (eg home working impact on	4	5	20	<ul> <li>Hardship fund 2020-21 – insulated authorities against these impacts in respect of the majority of additional costs and lost income.</li> <li>Additional funding 2020-21 for reduced council tax income</li> <li>Funding through Hardship Fund in place for the first six months of 2021-22 (£206.6m)</li> <li>Significantly improved LG Settlements (for almost all authorities) for 2020-21 and 2021-22</li> <li>But additional costs (depending on what permanent or longer term service delivery adjustments need to be continued) and loss of income likely to continue, even if decreasing.</li> <li>The additional funding to support responses to Covid and significantly better Settlements for 2 years mean that even those authorities with higher risk factors are unlikely to fail imminently. However the end of or reduction in the Covid financial support is anticipated in 2021-22 and this could expose further risk</li> </ul>	4	4	16	Consideration of impacts on LAs of first quarter (or slightly longer) of 2021-22 will need to be done to inform advice to new Ministers on any further extension of Hardship Fund. This will need ongoing engagement with policy leads e.g. education and social services in particular. [by? July/ August 2021]  Usual budget consideration of LG risks and pressures needs to include to understand if new long term operating models costs are significant; if NDR impacts WG budget long term [during August to November 2021]  Need to explore if analysis is possible of whether any ongoing impacts of pandemic hit significantly on authorities which have other risk factors  Consideration of impacts on CT income on LA finances for both short and longer term.

city centre car							
parking income),							
market changes							
filarket changes							
(eg waste and							
recycling), demand							
changes (eg social							
care type of							
provision).							
provision).							
Funding for local							
government relies							
both on WG grant							
and non-domestic							
rates income. A							
further period of							
nurther period of							
restricted							
government							
funding possibly							
accompanied by							
reduced income is							
likely to increase							
the risk of financial							
corporate or							
service failure							
				1			

EPS -	5	5	25	Additional £50m investment made 5 4 20      Options under development to
Homelessness risk  Failure to increase prevention activity to limit further homelessness presentations, coupled with a failure to support the large number of homeless individuals brought into temporary accommodation (TA) to move on to more permanent housing solutions, whilst addressing their individual support needs, may result in services becoming overwhelmed and a return to large numbers rough sleeping in Wales.  There are currently over 6,000				in 2020-21 to increase supply of both temporary and permanent homes (to deliver around 500 homes).  Phase 2 guidance issued to help inform the next phase of the response and begin the required transformation of provision. Housing Support Grant has been increased by £40m to £166.7m in 2021-22 to help LAs address the previously unmet support needs and help people to sustain tenancies. Continuation of funding for emergency provision in place via the LA Hardship Fund for the first 6 months of 2021-22. Team of Relationship Managers in place to support each LA in Wales with both the emergency homelessness response and the required transformation of services. Additional funding made available in this and next financial year and guidance issued in respect of Discretionary Housing Payment

	individuals in TA across Wales, with around 1,000 of those dependent children. New presentations requiring TA are around 1,000 a month. With around 500 being moved-on to permanent homes each month, there is a net increase in TA of around 500 a month. Concern that the lifting of restrictions on evictions and economic situation will result in further presentations and increase further the numbers in TA.				to support those in rent arrears to stay in their homes.  Tenant Saver Loan Scheme launched to support those in the PRS with rent arrears.  New Housing Support National Advisory Board established to provide advice and assistance in delivery of the homelessness prevention agenda.					
E	EPS risk - Local taxes  NDR - Relief schemes have been put in place in to assist ratepayers (businesses, charities and notfor-profits) in responding to Covid-19. Risks include:  • Expectations / demands from	4	5	20	<ul> <li>Regular (monthly / quarterly) monitoring of NDR and CT collection and demand for CTRS.</li> <li>An additional £35m provided in 2020-21 to mitigate the impact of CT losses and CTRS demand on local government funding.</li> <li>Significant additional NDR relief schemes (&gt;£350m) put in place for 2020-21 to protect sectors most affected by Covid-19.</li> <li>Close working with ESNR on business support (targeting / consistency etc).</li> </ul>	4	3	12	2	<ul> <li>Continued monitoring of NDR &amp; CT collection, tax-base etc and advice to Ministers on funding implications.</li> <li>Commissioned research on impact of Covid-19 on CTRS – including analysis of impact on households</li> <li>NDR relief schemes extended for 12 months to cover 2021-22</li> <li>Programme of communications to manage expectations on NDR relief for 2022 onwards.</li> <li>Covid-19 impact on sectors to be taken into account in 2023 NDR revaluation.</li> </ul>

	makamanyana fari			pro spring a se		
	ratepayers for schemes to	Quadrilateral discussions regarding		Liaison with individual authorities		
	30,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000	risks to NDR tax-base.		regarding NDR deferrals etc.		
	continue once the	Liaison with VOA regarding significant		<ul> <li>Advice on handling of NDR appeals.</li> </ul>		
	pandemic	increases in NDR appeals.		Liaison with LAs & WLGA regarding		
	recedes	CTRS scheme in place to protect		management of CT debt & arrears		
	Long-term	entitlements for 2021-22.				
	economic impact			Advice on programme of CT work.		
	of Covid-19	Liaison with LAs & WLGA regarding		<ul> <li>Review of CTRS to take account of</li> </ul>		
	shrinking the non-	Covid-19 support which might affect		Covid-19.		
	domestic tax-	household CTRS entitlement (eg one-off		<ul> <li>Advice on potential reform of local taxes</li> </ul>		
	base and	payments, UC changes)		to make system (notably CT) more		
	increasing non-			progressive.		
	collection –			progressive.		
	reducing the					
	income from NDR					
	to support local					
	government					
	spending					
	Differential impact					
	of NDR on certain					
	sectors and their					
	recovery					
	Increased					
	pressure to					
	reform the system					
	Implications for					
	WG Budgets.					
	Fraud risks and					
	mitigations have					
	been recorded					
8	separately.					
	Council Tax –					
	Covid-19 has					
	reduced council tax					
	collection rates and					
	increased demand					
	for council tax					
	1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1					
	support – Risks					
	include:					

	Economic impact of Covid-19 increasing demand for council tax support over the longer term — exerting a pressure on WG Budget, or local government resources, or both     Continuing non-collection of council tax — reducing the income to support local government spending     Impact on financial circumstances of low-income households.     Increased pressure to reform the system, linked to existing calls to make council tax more progressive.												
F	eps risk – children and young people (education)  If we do not support children and young people	4	5	20	education set prioritised in t Covid-19. Ev restrictions or face to face to place for mos	nin childcare and tings has been the response to ten where a attendances for earning have been in the children, access the learners has been	4	4		•	Formally establish a programme board to deliver this work across Welsh Government Directorates, recognising the dependencies with mental health, changes in related sectors (eg HE), and in line with our longer term educational reform agenda.		Tracey Burke

in reengaging with their learning and education, taking account of the social, emotional and wellbeing elements alongside their knowledge and skills, the longer term impacts will affect their personal wellbeing and educational outcomes at all stages and their life chances and whole of life outcomes — this will likely have a long term impact on services and the economy		maintained. All learners currently planned to be back in attendance for face to face learning from 12 April 2021. Early years (3-7) and qualifications year groups have been prioritised in the return to school.  Published and updated learning guidance throughout the pandemic period to aid education settings in supporting the learning needs of children and young people.  Project already developed to support post-16 learners in Years 11-13 in their transition to next steps —working closely with SHELL colleagues. Policy approach to qualifications 2021 designed to support learner wellbeing and progression, as well as public confidence.  Work underway to establish a programme of work to ensure the different elements of learning recovery are joined up across Welsh Government (including with young children and post 16 learners), clarity on success objectives, and clear focus on mitigating impacts and also building on positive experiences where these have happened.		Drive forward the implementation of the Curriculum for Wales (with the bill now passed and Curriculum Implementation Plan published), with a focus on the needs of the individual learner.  Drive forward the Additional Learning Needs Transformation Programme, supporting children and young people with ALN to continue their education journey.  Subject to Ministerial clearances, scope and start delivery of a clearly defined scheme of Early Childhood Education and Care, with a focus child development and a play based pedagogy for the pre-school early years (0-5)  The Minister for Education has announced a further £15 million investment in educational technology for schools in the next financial year (2021/22). Part of this funding will also be used by local authorities to ensure ongoing MiFi connectivity for digitally excluded learners, to the end of the current school year July

<ul> <li>Ensure all teachers and learners in maintained schools in Wales have continued access to a wide range of digital services via the Hwb platform they which can use to support blended learning and remote delivery. Ring-fence up to £3 million from the wider £30 million from the wider £30 million Hwb EdTech infrastructure programme (2020/21) to support digitally excluded learners access devices and connectivity.</li> <li>Developed the 2021 Learning Plan, supported by £87m in investment across 2020/1 academic year to put in place a</li> </ul>
investment across 2020/1

New –HSS risk COVID-19 Planning & Response:	5	4	20	<ul> <li>Guidance provided on definition and scope of essential services.</li> <li>Essential services group meets regularly.</li> </ul>	4	4	16	Further work is taking place following the paediatric services deep dive.  COVID hub.	12	12/4/2	Andrew Goodal (Janet Davies
Essential Services: There is a risk that if essential services are not maintained through Covid-19 peaks, this will have an adverse impact on patient outcomes and harm. The definition of essential services, is those that are required to minimise avoidable mortality and significant morbidity from health conditions other than COVID-19 during the				<ul> <li>Deep dives are being undertaken on a speciality basis: cardiac surgery (December 2020) and paediatrics (March 2021).</li> <li>Implementing a clear framework and speciality specific guidance has had a positive impact – there has been increased focus on trying to balance COVID and non- COVID ES over recent months, evidence in hospital inc. ITU admissions show this.</li> <li>Winter plan/operating frameworks have prioritised the need to maintain ES</li> <li>The recent deep dive into cardiac confirmed that overall essential cardiac services were being maintained.</li> <li>Concerns were highlighted by the paediatric services deep dive, in particular for immunisation (HPV and meningococcal) and screening) and</li> </ul>							

response and recovery period.				Development of a non COVID data hub to help provide evidence is underway.							
Associated risk factors include:											
Reduction in capacity and constraints in mitigating actions - impact of new IPC guidance											
New- HSS risk COVID 19 Planning &	4	5	20	Workforce Deployment and Wellbeing Group meets regularly and undertakes deep dives with organisations.	4	4	16		12	12/4/2	Goodall (Helen
Response Workforce capacity:				Mini-JET meetings are taking place with Health Boards to discuss cross- outling planning for parties delivery.							Arthur)
There is a risk of insufficient workforce capacity to maintain essential services				cutting planning for service delivery and the workforce underpinning the plans.							

New- HSS risk COVID 19 Planning & Response SOCIAL CARE SERVICES: There is a risk that social care services will be overwhelmed and unable to cope.	5	4	20	<ul> <li>Directors of Social Services meeting in place for oversight (weekly).</li> <li>Social Care Planning and Response Group meets fortnightly to provide sector intelligence.</li> <li>Social Care dashboards are in place and used to provide updates at the P&amp;R group to highlight current and emerging areas of pressure.</li> <li>Financial package for social care services in place and financial and well -being support for workforce e.g. EAP, SSP enhancement scheme.</li> </ul>	3	5	15	Weekly WG Covid reporting is showing improved metrics across the sector, but with hot spots remaining. However, the risk is reducing.	10	12/4/2	Andrew Goodall (Andrea Street)
NEW ESNR risk  Well-being Staff well-being is at the core of decision making across the ESNR Group. There is a risk to mental health and other wellbeing issues originating from continuing working at home or from COVID-19 related anxiety. If adequate support is not available staff absence rates may increase and key objectives across the Group may not be achieved. The	5	4	20	Staff Survey results and the ESNR for the Future work reports have been produced and work continues to progress the findings. Senior Management are considering further short term actions to ensure staff well-being is at the heart of all decisions being made. The Senior team are in regular communications with their individual Directorates and weekly well-being comms goes out to all ESNR staff. However, the pressure on staff resource will inevitably increase as the workload as a result of the pandemic, the UK leaving the EU and a full PFG with only limited opportunities to increase total capacity. Staff have been identified to lead projects for the ESNR for the Future programme of work, with regular updates being provided to the ESNR senior team.  A forward look plan has been presented to PCC which ensures visibility of proposed actions to Directors and ensures the right message is being delivered to teams. The	5	4	20	Further work continues to increase group resources to help spread the building work pressures. Close attention will continue to be paid to group sickness reports and reports from directors on the impact these pressures are having on staff. Regular communications form the DG and senior team receive high positive feedback so these will continue. As lockdown measures are eased there is potential for the well-being of staff to improve. This will be monitored by line managers across the Group		April 2021	Dean Medcraft f

ESNR senior team are well aware of this risk and have focused on staff support during the crisis.  The risk has been exacerbated by the recent incidences of local lockdown, as staff with caring responsibilities had further pressures put on them by the restrictions in support available to them.  The potential for further national lockdowns and travel restrictions over the summer period will further increase the pressure on staff working from home.				ESNR Director level meetings and ARAC have been provided with updates and risks are escalated to the Perm Sec (ExCo)						
Cardiff International Airport A negative change to Cardiff Airport's financial position could negatively impact its ability to deliver the intended objectives and benefits. This could have financial and reputational impacts that could be exacerbated by Brexit	5	5	25	Accept. Covid-19 has severely impacted the aviation industry. Passenger numbers have dropped significantly and during the Covid-19 emergency there has been no scheduled passenger flights through the airport. In mid 2020 an emergency loan to CIAL was agreed by Ministers to keep the Airport running through the emergency and the Airport open to allow for some minimal cargo and training flights. The Airport has managed the financial position but it remains unlikely that passenger numbers will recover for some time. In March 2021, Ministers also agreed a financial package of support of up to £42.6m over the next 4 years to protect the airport's medium term future and over 5,000 direct and indirect	5	3 15	5	Officials have submitted a State Aid Notification for approval to grant compensation to Cardiff International Airport Limited's (CIAL) for the damage caused by COVID-19 for up to £6.5m to the European Commission MA/KS/2737/20 refers. The initial damages for the first period between 17 March – 30 June 2020 at a value of c£2.39m has been agreed in principal. Further evidence is required justifying the proposed level of support for the second period c£4.1m for the period from the 1st July – 31st December 2020. Depending on the decision of the Commission, further advice will follow and will outline how this Notification could provide further support to CIAL if necessary.	April 2021	Andrew Slade/ Steve Vincent

and r COVI	more so now by ID-1				jobs. Based on specific modelling by industry specialists, projections show that with the support provided, we would expect the airport to be cash flow neutral in 2025/26. However, in the event that traffic were to take longer to recover than assumed in CIAL's base case and/or the airport's costs were higher than assumed in its base case, CIAL's ability to return to profitability would be adversely affected, and this would also have implications for the size of the subsidy required. We are working closely with the Airport Board to support them through delivering their recovery and restructure plan. Further to the support package made available in March 2021, Ministers have also agreed to write off £42.6m of debt, down-valuing the company in line with current asset valuation.				Welsh Government has agreed a medium term financial package against a five year plan for its rescue and restructure MA/KS/0809/21 refers. This proposed package satisfies the terms of the UK-EU Trade and Co-operation Agreement (relating to the previous state aid rules), in particular the provisions around subsidies for the purposes of rescue and restructure. The package involves the provision of a grant of up to £42.6m. As part of this funding package the First Minister has approved a write-off of £42.6m of CIAL debt to Welsh Government MA/FM/0846/21. The Airport Executive Board will report quarterly to WG officials and Holdco on progress against its recovery and restructure plan and performance will be monitored in line with grant requirements. Grant funding conditions include a number of targets which the airport company must achieve by certain dates as a provision of funding. Funding shall be drawn down and aligned to the schedule of pre-agreed instalments as specified within the Grant Agreement.		
If the and to the post of is like seek or ter the A leading of horall seek being	Franchise very Post d-19  business model terms of the t Agreement are nger sustainable e rail franchisee Covid 19, then it ely that they will to review and / rminate parts of togreement ng to uncertainty w the future of ervices can be ered and risk to passed back to to sector.	5	5	25	Reduce. An approach has been agreed by TfW with the rail franchisee to bring rail services under a Joint Venture arrangement. Accept: Even if agreement is made with the rail franchisee on Heads of Terms for the Joint Venture there is still a risk they will not agree to the settlement arrangements. This could result in rail services ceasing prior to the end of 2020 without additional funding. Accept. Some of the aspirations in the Grant Agreement may no longer be possible to deliver for economic reasons and a clear communication strategy is needed in order to inform stakeholders of potential implication	5	5	25		April 2021	Simon Jones

NEW -ESNR	5 4 20	SHARE - Both the impact and likelihood of this	5 4 20	Ongoing monitoring of risk and engagement with		April	Simon
Ouition   Funisht		risk are related to factors outside of our		UK-level structures, such as the Critical Freight		2021	Jones
Critical Freight		control. Public health measures to control		Taskforce.			
The first wave of		outbreaks of CV19 is the primary control		In the chart tarms there is also a risk relating to			
COVID19 and		measure of minimising this risk. Additionally,		In the short term there is also a risk relating to			
		most policy areas have developed contingency plans at a UK-level to ensure critical goods can		substantial road haulage having to park upon the A55 due to border control issues from 01st			
consequent lockdowns put				January 2021 as a consequence of Brexit. Two			
considerable		keep moving.		key staff have recently left the Transport team and			
pressure on the		Direct interventions to secure the freight		although they have been replaced there may be			
freight system across		system will be primarily handled at UK-level.		issues with handover and transition. This risk has			
the UK, particularly		From a WG perspective, there would be a		been temporarily increased to highlight potential			
mixed use ferry		continued need to engage with UK-level		impact with critical freight reaching destination			
operators (freight and		structures in respect of critical freight to ensure		Impact with childar freight reaching destination			
passengers) and		that interventions are joined up and account for					
long-haul road		risks in Wale					
haulage.		TISKS III Wale					
nadiago.							
Financial support was							
provided to ferry							
operators 'at the point							
of failure', and							
following substantial							
activity and							
negotiation from							
Welsh Government							
and the Wales Office,							
this was ultimately							
extended to include							
the key ferry route in							
Wales between							
Holyhead-Dublin. The							
DfT have stressed							
that they will seek to							
avoid offering							
financial support							
under a second wave							
scenario, but if the							
same failure points							
emerge, this							
requirement would be							
inevitable.							
				1	1		I

	no 10 no 10		 10			
For haulage, many						
commercial operators						
run on tight margin						
which leaves them						
vulnerable to financial						
shocks. Additionally,						
the haulage sector is						
comprised of tens of						
thousands of small-						
medium businesses						
across the UK (and a						
few large ones), and						
as a result do not						
individually tend to						
hold much liquidity, or						
wider group-level						
revenue, which						
means they have little						
to fall back on.						
If CV19 and the red						
listing of EU countries						
then this could have						
a catastrophic impact						
on the sector and						
Government's ability						
to ensure critical						
goods, such as food,						
medicines and						
essential chemicals,						
are able to move.						