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LADY HALLETT: Good morning.
MS DOBBIN: Good morning. My Lady, please may I call the first witness for today, please, Mr Pengelly.

## MR RICHARD PENGELLY (sworn)

Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY for MODULE 2C
LADY HALLETT: Ms Dobbin.
MS DOBBIN: Thank you.
Can I ask you to give your full name to the Inquiry, please.
A. It's Richard Pengelly.
Q. Mr Pengelly, I think you have a witness statement in front of you, I think it comes to about 113 pages, and that you've signed that statement at the very end. Is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Are you content that that witness statement is true to the best of your knowledge and belief?
A. I am, yes.
Q. Thank you.

Mr Pengelly, I think it's right that you became the permanent secretary to the Department of Health in Northern Ireland in 2014?
A. Yes, July 2014.
middle --
A. I'm sorry.

LADY HALLETT: It's just you're softly spoken, not a bad thing, but we need to, all, hear everything you say. Thank you.
MS DOBBIN: Then the other very distinct part of your role was that you were the chief executive of the health and social care services as well. Is that also correct, or the right way to characterise it?
A. That's correct, but just by way of explanation, unlike the position in England where the National Health Service has a legal entity, what we call HSC in Northern Ireland, the health and social care system, it's a number of individual organisations, there isn't the individual legal entity. So l'm chief executive -it's a sort of virtual chief executive post, if that makes sense.
Q. All right. Just so we're clear about this, and I will ask you about it in a bit more detail as we go through your evidence, but, first of all, I think it's right that within the Department of Health in Northern Ireland, it's essentially an umbrella for a number of social care services as well; is that right?
A. Yes, our five regional, what we call health and social care trusts will provide both healthcare and commission
Q. I think that you then moved posts to become the permanent secretary to the Department of Justice in 2022; is that right?
A. April 2022. And then subsequently, three weeks ago, I've moved again to become chief executive of the Education Authority in Northern Ireland.
Q. I didn't know that, so thank you very much.

I think it's right, then, that your tenure at the Department of Health lasted some eight years and spanned periods of time when power-sharing arrangements were both extant or suspended; is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Just in terms of the role of the permanent secretary to the Department of Health in Northern Ireland and what that encompasses, is it right to characterise it as essentially tripartite, in that, first of all, you obviously have the statutory responsibilities of leading that department and ensuring that it fulfils its statutory obligations? Is that right?
A. Yes, but just with the caveat that I would be leading the department subject to the direction and control of a minister when in place.
Q. Yes, of course. And I think that --

LADY HALLETT: Mr Pengelly, I'm sorry to interrupt -- I'm sorry, Ms Dobbin -- you slightly drop your voice in the 2
social care on behalf of the population.
Q. Can you just help me with whether or not the department also then had responsibility for other social care services, for example like social work, so adult social services and children's social services as well?
A. Yes, the department would hold the policy remit for all social services, and that would be delivered through what we call arm's length bodies, like the health and social care trusts, but the -- so one of my senior colleagues would have been the chief social services officer, he's a professional social worker by background and would act as the head of profession for the social work profession across the public sector.
Q. Nonetheless, in terms of the nexus between you and those organisations, you are -- nonetheless you remained the permanent secretary with oversight --
A. Yes.
Q. -- of all of that full gamut, as it were, of social services as well?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. Then the other part of your role was that much more akin to what might be expected of a permanent secretary in that you were the principal policy adviser as well to the minister; is that also correct?
A. That is, yes.
Q. And obviously during the pandemic that was Minister Swann; yes?
A. Yes.
Q. What you've said in your statement -- and, sorry, I'm just going back, if I may, to the period before January 2020 -- that in that period of time between 2017 and 2020, that you exercised your functions in accordance with section 3 of the Northern Ireland (Executive Formation and Exercise of Functions) Act 2018; is that --
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. We haven't touched upon that Act yet in the Inquiry, but I think it's right that that was enacted so as to give senior officials like you further powers in the event that power-sharing was suspended; is that right?
A. Yes, essentially it gave permanent secretaries the power to take decisions that would normally have been taken by ministers, but we wouldn't have created the new policy or departed from a policy set by previous ministers. So it was the sort of operational decisions that would normally go to a minister but within a fixed policy environment.
Q. I think that broadly the Act gave you a power to discharge functions in the public interest; is that correct?

5
something that --
A. We didn't do legislation. Typically if colleagues were putting forward advice that would normally have gone to a minister, that would come to me for a decision, but it would include the addition of a public interest test that weighed up the pros and cons from the public perspective of either taking or not taking the decision. There was, again, a general rule of thumb that if the decision could await the return of ministers, it should wait, so there had really to be a pressing nature associated with the decision, but fundamentally was it in the public interest to take this decision, or sometimes is it in the public interest to not, because not taking a decision is in itself a decision.
Q. Yes, I understand.

Just in terms of that idea as to whether or not a decision could await a minister coming back, how -that must be quite difficult to judge if you have no idea whether or not power-sharing will be resumed within a given period of time?
A. It is, and so certain decisions would have been subject to regular review, because at various times the mood music was political talks were reaching the point where, you know, there was the prospect of a return. In those cases a decision was becoming urgent, we might think we
A. Yes, any time we exercised the power or a decision-making power that would have previously gone to ministers, it was a public interest test had to be applied.
Q. What was the limit, then, on that power, that said you couldn't, for example, enact new policy or take decisions that, for example, might be controversial?
A. The key element was the public interest test. It was a rule of thumb that we couldn't get into new policy because policy really is the preserve of elected representatives, but it was taking decisions. So maybe it best to illustrate by way of some examples. New and emerging drugs, even very high cost drugs that come on the market that normally would be for a minister to decide: does the cost justify the benefit? So I would have taken decisions about commissioning new drugs. I suspect we'll come on to the transformation agenda, but some decisions within the transformation agenda too.
Q. All right. It's really just trying to understand what the limits of your powers were, because as the Act puts it in terms of the public interest, so would you have received advice, then, on an ongoing basis as to the extent to which you could enact new -- well, let me -I'm jumping ahead. Could you in fact enact new legislation during the period of suspension, or was that 6
can pause for a couple of weeks but if it hasn't -- if the ministers haven't returned within a couple of weeks, at that stage we might have to take the decision.
Q. All right. We'll come back, because obviously I'm asking you this because it relates very much to health services and the need for reform in Northern Ireland before 2020. I'll come back to that, if I may.

I just wanted to touch on another very distinct aspect, as you've said, about Northern Ireland services which is the integration of health and social care services. Again, if I can just make sure I've understood it properly, that arises in the conventional sense of having integrated services in that the Department of Health oversaw primary care, hospital care, other specialist health services; correct?
A. Yes
Q. But then also had responsibility and oversight of things like care homes and nursing homes as well?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And then, as we've said, all of the full range of other Social Services --
A. Yes.
Q. -- as well.

The benefit of that, as it might be understood, is that when you have oversight of the whole system, you're
able, for example, to foresee where there are weaknesses, for example, in social care --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- and how that impacts then on health services; is that right?
A. Yes. It also -- I'm at the risk of jumping ahead. When we get into the issue of care homes during the pandemic, the integrated nature would have been very helpful, but we may come on to that in a bit more detail later.
Q. Yes.
A. It also allows health and social care trusts to take a broader population assessment. One of the big issues in the provision of healthcare through emergency departments is what's called the back door of the hospital. We tend to get queues at the front door where people come to emergency departments and we have the four-hour and 12 -hour waits. The back door of the emergency department is where people can be admitted into the hospital.
Q. Yes.
A. But creating space in a hospital sometimes requires the discharge of patients from hospital into the community, so the oversight of care and residential homes by an integrated health and social care trust can smooth that path too and try to assist. We haven't been
pride that uniquely we had an integrated system. At times I struggled to see the real manifestation of that in terms of tangible benefits for patients. So I think that's a very fair assessment by Bengoa.
Q. All right. So certainly this Inquiry shouldn't proceed on the basis that when it came to responding to the pandemic, that Northern Ireland by virtue of its integrated system was in a better position than other parts of the United Kingdom?
A. I think if we take the holistic view of the provision of health and social care, given other pressures, I don't think we were in a markedly better position, but if I look at the specific issue of the relationship with care homes, particularly for issues like the provision of PPE and the -- some considerable hours of nursing time were made available into residential and care homes by trusts because of the long-standing relationship that existed. So there were very, very practical benefits that flowed from the integrated nature of our system.
Q. All right, we'll come back and look at care homes in slightly more detail, but just understanding the pressures then that existed in terms of health services in Northern Ireland -- I will come to the Bengoa report in a little more detail -- but I think it's right that one of the principal issues that were affecting
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spectacularly successful given the wider pressures on our system, which again we may touch on later.
Q. I am going to back to that, because I think it's important to make clear, so, for example, the concept of bed blocking ought in theory, in an integrated system, to be something that's much easier to overcome, because you understand where there's capacity in the social care system; correct?
A. Yes, and I think the practical outworking is we certainly have better transparency about the issue but sometimes having the levers to actually reach into a care home and create the space and there is an issue too about personal choice. Space sometimes arises in a care home that would make visiting by the family, for example, very difficult, so issues still remain that are common to both an integrated and a non-integrated system.
Q. I think in fact when we look at the Bengoa report in 2016, effectively -- and I'm summarising -- he said that any -- that any integration was more illusory than real. Is that a fair way to summarise it?
A. I think that's very fair, and certainly if I cast my mind back to the latter part of 2014 and 2015, at times I made the comment to senior colleagues that I noticed quite often in Northern Ireland we wore it as a badge of 10

Northern Ireland was the sheer length of its waiting lists --
A. Yes
Q. -- and the lengths of time that people were waiting for elective care as well; is that right?
A. That's right, and maybe just a little bit of background, the timeline and that. If we go back to about 2013, the position in Northern Ireland was pretty much in equilibrium. There weren't outrageously long waiting lists either in terms of numbers waiting or the length of time that individuals had been waiting. But it's important to understand that that position had been reached by what we call waiting list initiatives. That was -- so there was a misalignment between the capacity of the system and the demands that were being placed on the system, but that mismatch was being met through one-off money that was provided through what's called the in-year monitoring process, where the Executive in the course of a financial year finds itself a certain -say another sector doesn't spend a bit of money that they'd planned to spend, that would come back to the Executive for reallocation. Back in 2013, and the year or two before it, a lot of that money would have been routinely reallocated in health service, who would have purchased waiting list initiatives from the independent 12
sector, and that's what kept the waiting list position in equilibrium.
Q. Okay.
A. As we moved into 2014, the financial position changed. That additional in-year money was no longer available and you can track the escalation of waiting lists from 2013 onwards through to about 2020.
Q. Yes, because I think in your witness statement you say, I think, the waiting lists in Northern Ireland pre-2005 were in fact the longest in the UK, the situation improved until 2013 --
A. Yes.
Q. -- but then steadily deteriorated after 2013, and I think what you've said in paragraph 37 of your statement and what you have cited, but I want to make sure that you agree with it, that in terms of evidence that was put before Northern Ireland Affairs Committee it was said that Northern Ireland currently -- and, sorry, I should say this was in 2018 to 2019 -Northern Ireland currently has the worst performing health service in the UK, with none of the waiting list targets currently being met.
A. I think from memory that the statement about "worst performing in the UK" was, I think, made by the BMA at that stage. Certainly numerically none of our targets 13
a population of 1.9 million people. So before the pandemic that looks very high?
A. It is. But just the one caveat to that is some individuals will be on more than one waiting list, you know, if they have a couple of different conditions. So it's not that if you've lined up the full population, 400,000 of them would be on a waiting list. There was 400,000 waits.
Q. I understand.
A. And that will be similar for other jurisdictions. So it's not saying that it alters the comparison.
Q. And I think that the statistics then, and again perhaps this isn't surprising, but those statistics have gotten worse since the pandemic as well?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. So that there's now over -- it's over half a million waits, as you have characterised it.

Just in -- so we understand, then, the position from 2013 got progressively worse in terms of waiting times, but in terms, then, of your ability to address those issues during the period of suspension, I think what you've set out in your statement was there was a limited ability to undertake the radical transformation that would have been required; is that correct?
A. Yes, there's -- the position, there's a nuance to the
were being met, but the qualitative assessment in terms of how that stood in comparison to other parts of the UK I think was a more subjective judgement, because some of the waiting lists are articulated slightly differently, but, I mean, the BMA is a respected organisation and there they are, it's a professional perspective.
Q. Well, I wanted to check, because you cited it in your witness statement, and I wanted to understand the extent to which you were citing it, because it was evidence that -- or information that you accepted. But if I can just take the objective evidence in terms of whether or not Northern Ireland had the worst waiting lists as compared to the United Kingdom, was that correct in 2018 to 2019 ?
A. Yes, our numbers were higher, but, as I say, some of the waiting list targets are articulated slightly differently, so it's more of a subjective comparison as opposed to everyone using exactly identical metrics. But I wouldn't argue that we were in a markedly better position than anyone else. I certainly wouldn't push back the assessment that, you know, we were the poorest performing region of the UK.
Q. I think that the statistics, and again you've set this out in your statement, was that, at that time, the waiting lists were 400,550 people. That's out of 14
position in terms of the period 2017 to 2020. There is a narrative that exists in the community that, for those three years -- the Executive agreed -- the Bengoa report was published I think in about October 2016 --
Q. Yes.
A. -- and then that became an input into the health minister developing the Delivering Together transformation strategy, which was a ten-year transformation strategy, which was then taken to the Executive and it received Executive agreement.

There is a narrative that that then sat on the shelf gathering dust for three years while there were no ministers. The reality is that document at the point of agreement set out 18 actions -- the first wave of actions, there were 18 actions. Prior to the return of ministers, all 18 actions had been delivered, so we were able to progress.

What didn't happen was the development and evolution of the next set of actions. The advantage we had -- and we touched earlier that in the absence of ministers we couldn't create new policy -- through a quirk of timing, prior to the collapse of the Executive we had cross-party agreement to a new Delivering Together transformation strategy, so that allowed me the framework to take decisions that, in the absence of that 16
strategy, I wouldn't have been able to take.
So some work was taken forward, but -- for example, some of that work would have been consultation on a new model of stroke care, we undertook that work -- we couldn't take it to the next stage, which would be decisions as regards what a new model of stroke provision would look like.

So it's just that nuance that some work progressed but not all the work we would have like to progress had ministers been in place.
Q. So I think there's two points that you make in your statement. I think the first one is the budgetary constraints that you operated under during that period because of the absence of power-sharing. So there wasn't -- I think is this right, you had to operate under one-year budgets; is that correct?
A. One-year budgets, but also in the position that we weren't actually getting confirmation of our budget until quite late in each financial year, which makes financial planning even more difficult than just a one-year budget on its own. So that was a very, very challenging financial position.
Q. Then I think the second point, which is related to the evidence you've given, that you were able to take reforms so far --
with a configuration of services that wasn't as good as it could have been. I think had an Executive been in place for three years it wouldn't have been perfect but it would have been better than it was at the point we entered the pandemic.

The other big point to make in terms of people, the first number of years that I was in health, if we look at emergency department activity as a real measure of the temperature of the health system, and four-hour breaches and 12 -hour breaches, people waiting longer than 12 hours for admission or discharge, in the early years of my tenure, there was a real seasonality to that, that we had extreme winter pressures, and then from about the spring through to the autumn the system fell back into a good state. The important bit of that was it meant that staff across the system, particularly in emergency departments but throughout the system, they actually got some meaningful downtime and some respite.

By the time we entered the pandemic, as a combination culmination of the growing pressures in the system flowing from an ageing population, the lack of transformation, there is virtually no discernible seasonality, particularly in emergency departments, now. 12-hour breaches are a manifestation every month.

So we entered the pandemic with a very, very tired 19
A. Yes.
Q. -- that the radical reform did require the --
A. Yes.
Q. -- authority of ministers --
A. It did.
Q. -- in order to take it one step further.

The reason I'm asking you all of this, Mr Pengelly, is obviously the question of the extent to which the fragility of the health service impacted on the response the pandemic thereafter, so after January 2020, is important.

Can I ask for your view on that and the extent to which it may have conditioned the response --
A. Yes.
Q. -- of what ministers were able to do?
A. I think there are two very clear dimensions: there's the system and there's the people in the system.

The system was, whatever language I choose, certainly suboptimal. The structure of our system wasn't, and isn't yet, right for the services we're trying to provide to the population of Northern Ireland. And reform at that stage, the reform programme, was focusing on reconfiguration of services in many cases. So that didn't happen.

So we certainly entered -- we entered the pandemic 18
workforce. Up to the point of the pandemic the continual provision of high quality health and social care was reliant on the goodwill of staff. That is still the case.

But that is not a finite well of goodwill, and people are tired. They have been going above and beyond. And I certainly would not tolerate any criticism of staff in our health and social care system for the way they performed, but it's important to make the point that they entered the pandemic with a degree of fatigue flowing from the state of health and social care.
Q. Is it correct that you actually had real workforce pressures in any event, for example because of shortages in staff across both hospitals and social care as well?
A. Yes, we were carrying large numbers of vacancies. And at the tail end, towards the end of 2019, there was industrial action from our nursing colleagues in terms of the pay position. That -- that was part of a vicious circle, leading to more vacancies with our nursing colleagues, because other jurisdictions were more attractive to them as a proposition to work in. So -and that was true too of medical colleagues. So there were vacancies flowing from both the pay system and from workload pressures, which just compounded the problem. 20
Q. All right. So just in terms of coming into the pandemic then and that issue of how the response might have been conditioned, would it be right to characterise health services in Northern Ireland in January 2020 as being extremely fragile or is that putting it too high?
A. I don't think it's putting it too high. I think we were in a very difficult space, because -- you've mentioned that the figure in and around that time of waits was in excess of 400,000, we were routinely missing the 12-hour targets for emergency department, red flag targets were being missed. So it was a service that was under intense pressure, and the pressure was growing on a daily basis, notwithstanding what was coming through Covid. So I think to describe it as fragile is entirely legitimate.
Q. Did it also mean, then, and I know this might be taken as read but it might nonetheless be important to say it, that there were a large number of people with unaddressed health needs as well in Northern Ireland?
A. I think that's fair, given the numbers waiting, yes.
Q. So did that mean, at the start of the pandemic, obviously, when there was -- and we'll come to look at the specifics of this -- obviously when there were concerns about health services being overwhelmed, was that concern particularly acute in Northern Ireland, 21
Q. Yes, sorry, it's probably important that I establish this first. I understand that that was -- that's an operational response --
A. Yes --
Q. -- is that correct?
A. -- that's right.
Q. Did you have a role in instituting that or saying "This is the right time to stand up" --
A. The decision would have been taken at the Health and Social Care Board and PHA, but departmental colleagues, particularly in the Chief Medical Officers' group, would have been in constant dialogue with colleagues in those organisations about that decision.
Q. Before we move on to that group, it's probably important just to establish that in terms of the management of the Department of Health, the CMO in Northern Ireland is actually part of the top management team; is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. So he is part and parcel of the management structure of the department in terms of its day-to-day work; is that correct?
A. That's right, yes.
Q. So he isn't an independent adviser like

Professor Sir Chris Whitty is, he doesn't have an
given the fragility of the health service; in other words that there was very little capacity in Northern Ireland to shoulder the burden of the oncoming pandemic?
A. Yeah, I think that's fair. And again, the two components to it -- the typical metric for hospital capacity is that ideally a hospital should run at no more than about 85\% capacity, to create a bit of churn in the system. I think all our hospitals were routinely running well in excess of $85 \%$. But that's, again, with the workforce condition and the number of vacancies and the tired workforce. So I think all those issues contributed to the service not being as resilient as it otherwise would have been had other changes been in place.
Q. All right. So just, if I may, then move on to the beginning of the pandemic and the burgeoning awareness of it in Northern Ireland. I think we know, and I won't take you to your statement about this, that within the Department of Health a silver command was set up on 22 January 2020.
A. That was silver command for -- it wasn't within the department, that was the wider health and social care system. I think that was led by colleagues in Health and Social Care Board and the Public Health Agency. 22
independent role within government, for example?
A. It's not independent, but his professional advice is independent, it's not subject to any form of oversight by the likes of myself or the ministers, but he provides independent medical advice. He also -- he holds a policy remit as well, but the CMO advice has an independent aspect to it, if I'm making that clear.
Q. So, again, to be clear, from your perspective as permanent secretary, he is there to provide advice, so in the conventional sense --
A. Yes.
Q. -- of a medical adviser or --
A. Yes.
Q. -- a CMO, but separate to that is also part of the management structure?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And within the management structure, then, what is the remit of the CMO?
A. It's broader healthcare. There's areas of healthcare policy. The CMO would have been the senior sponsor for the Public Health Agency, and the public health dimension in there. He would have input into other policy areas that other senior colleagues were working on. So quite -- he'd also senior oversight of RQIA, which is the regulator for Health and Social Care.
Q. All right. We'll come back probably and touch on all those different points.

But just coming back, then, to the standing of the silver command, so did that take place independently of you, you weren't involved in that decision?
A. I wasn't involved in that decision.
Q. Do you know what prompted that decision to be made?
A. It was -- I think it was prompted by the request for the development and evolution of surge plans because of the awareness of the growing threat of the Covid as maturing into a pandemic, the dialogue from the Chief Medical Officers' group with colleagues, and both the board and the PHA.
Q. At that point in time, is that an understanding that you would have shared then?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. All right, and I think we can see, and perhaps if we bring this up on screen, please, this is INQ000308458, please, and if we could go to page 12.

In terms of the risk presented to Northern Ireland by travel, and specifically from Wuhan itself, I think this demonstrates that on 25 January in fact Northern Ireland had a number of visitors from there, I think there was a coach of people; yes?
A. Yes, that's right.
was symptomatic? That turned out not to be the case, so
they had reported themselves as being asymptomatic, and I think that was confirmed, to the best of my recollection, by the colleague who called with them.
Q. But nonetheless any idea that this pandemic was something happening on the other side of the world --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and presented no threat to Northern Ireland was very clearly dispelled --
A. Yes.
Q. -- at this point in time.

We know, and the Inquiry has seen, that on
25 January there was an email from Professor Woolhouse, and that the Northern Ireland CMO was a recipient of it, setting out concerns about modelling done in Scotland and about the potential overwhelming of the Scottish medical system.

Was that information about which you were aware at the time, or did you understand that to be a concern at that point?
A. I understood it to be a concern, even at that stage, at the end of January.
Q. Yes.
A. But it -- and colleagues were always very careful to point out this wasn't a prediction, it was scenario
Q. So I think we can see that the PHA were despatched to go and provide advice?
A. Yes, I think -- and I think I remember the conversation that, you know, in the absence of any other legislative mechanism, there was no further intervention that could happen at that stage as distinct from any -- there wasn't a professional view(?) about what was needed, but colleagues from the public health side of PHA went and provided advice to the group. I think they were -- they arrived off the boat late in the evening, checked into a hotel, and were up very early the next morning and on the bus on the road to Dublin, so it wasn't a long stay.
Q. I think we can see from your messages that it's right in fact that no-one had any power --
A. No.
Q. -- to do anything about the visitors because in fact they were -- they reported that they were asymptomatic --
A. Yes.
Q. -- so there was nothing more to be done, and I think the visitors then made their way to the Republic of Ireland.
A. I believe I'm right in saying that one of the conversations I had was when PHA colleagues were calling to give them some advice, the question: what would happen if our colleague noticed that one or more of them 26
planning at that stage, but that was certainly one of the causes for concern, that that was a possible trajectory that we were embarking on.
Q. We'll come to that issue of prediction versus scenario, I think, as we go through your evidence. But just coming back again to the information that was known about that time, we also know that on 28 January Professor Whitty sent an email to Downing Street about the two scenarios that he foresaw might play out, either that China would control -- there would be a large outbreak, but China would control the outbreak, or the reasonable worst-case scenario which was that there would be a large outbreak and China wouldn't be able to control it.

Again, was that something that you were familiar with, or did you have that understanding at the end of January?
A. I can't recall specifically seeing the text of the email, but I do recall, and dialogue with the Chief Medical Officer at the time, that that was the sort of message he was conveying to us, that -- of those two available scenarios, and the latter more concerning scenario about overwhelming of the service.
Q. All right, and I think in fact we can see that from WhatsApp messages that we've looked at already in 28

| the Inquiry. | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| And perhaps if we could go to this, please, | 2 |
| INQ000308436, and it's the last entry on page 2. | 3 |
| I think this is the message to you from | 4 |
| Sir David Sterling effectively, I think, the main part | 5 |
| of it being that the message that was being conveyed to | 6 |
| Northern Ireland officials was that China had lost | 7 |
| control. | 8 |
| A. Yep. | 9 |
| Q. And I think if we go over the page, that was a message | 10 |
| that you had received as well. | 11 |
| A. Yep. | 12 |
| Q. We see your response at the top. I won't read all of it | 13 |
| out, but: | 14 |
| "At one level, very worrying, although at peak time | 15 |
| here will present 'only' ..." | 16 |
| You have put that in parenthesis: | 17 |
| "... as bad flu as opposed to anything more | 18 |
| sinister." | 19 |
| And then you said that most people don't appreciate | 20 |
| how bad a flu it is. | 21 |
| LADY HALLETT: Can I just go back for a second, Mr Pengelly: | 22 |
| when people in your position, and many others around you | 23 |
| and in the rest of the UK, were told that the two | 24 |
| scenarios were China contains or global pandemic, didn't | 25 |

scenarios was, first of all, in fact playing out, and
that individuals from that part of China had in fact
been in Northern Ireland, so no protection in
Northern Ireland on the basis of travel?
A. Well, the travel bit is obviously outwith the devolved space.
Q. Of course.
A. So that wasn't an issue for us. But as I say, by 6 February, you know, we had been in activation mode of our own gold arrangements for over a week by that stage, so the preparatory and planning work was kicking into overdrive in the department at that stage.
Q. I just want, before this disappears from the screen -that obviously Sir Richard was -- and he's been taken to this -- recognised at that point in time that the problem would be when it hit care homes and hospitals. Again, was that something that you had a keen appreciation of at that time as well?
A. Certainly in terms of our planning work and surge work, we were commissioning work from colleagues across the sector in terms of surge plans for both hospitals and care homes.
Q. In terms of your understanding that this would only be a bad flu, where were you getting that understanding from?
anybody stop and say: well, wait a minute, we know that visitors from Wuhan, the centre of where the disease has started, have been visiting, as you've just described, Northern Ireland, so doesn't that indicate that back in January people should have been questioning whether China had -- could ever contain it, because their people were travelling the world?
A. I think that's a very fair point. I mean, my understanding was that that was why the assessment was that the second of the two scenarios was seen as the most likely situation, that it wouldn't be contained within China.

LADY HALLETT: My point is that that should have come earlier than February, it should have come in January, because you all knew that people were travelling the world --
A. I think in January we were starting to plan on that basis, certainly within -- I think it was 27 January that in the Department of Health we activated our own gold arrangements, so that certainly pre-dated this exchange.

LADY HALLETT: Sorry to interrupt.
MS DOBBIN: I don't think we get any sense, Mr Pengelly, from this message of the sort of alarm that might be expected, it being understood that the second of the 30
A. That was just a reflection. And there's always a risk when a non-expert like myself tries to interpret what medics are saying, but at that early stage there was no sense of what the symptomatic position for Covid would be. I think there was a sense that it could present as flu-like symptoms. And, you know, my language in this was trying to make the point that people often refer to themselves as having flu when they have a cold, and a flu is a much more difficult illness to endure and experience than a cold, so hence the "only as a bad flu", but at this stage, you know, we were very much in the foothills of understanding how the virus would present itself.
Q. Is that an understanding you would have had from the Northern Ireland CMO?
A. I -- I assume it's from conversations that -- CMOs about -- but, again, there's always the risk that -you know, it certainly wasn't a definitive briefing that the CMO gave me about how exactly this would present itself, it was more my, probably clumsy, interpretation of words that the CMO was using
Q. I want to move on, if I may, just to, I think it's right, Mr Pengelly, that the two scenarios presented by Professor Sir Chris Whitty did become integrated into Northern Ireland policy.

So if we can just have a look, please, at INQ000425583.

Can you help me, first of all, we've already seen who Ms Redmond is. She's -- she sits within the CMO --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- group in the Department of Health, and I think she was the director of Population Health.
A. That's right.
Q. We just so some other officials to whom this was being circulated. Can you help as to whether or not they're also part of the CMO group or --
A. They all would have been. You see Cathy Harrison is now, currently, the Chief Pharmaceutical Officer. I'm not sure just at that stage whether she had taken a post or was -- she was Deputy Chief Pharmaceutical Officer, but she's a professional pharmacist.
Q. Okay.
A. And the other three colleagues are Civil Service policy colleagues.
Q. All right. And I think we can see at paragraph 8 the integration into the policy position as the Department of Health; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Again, so there can be no doubt, then, in Northern Ireland, as to the understanding of the 33
placing a request". And the reason for that being that within health we had activated our own gold structure, so we were doing what we needed to do to prepare from a health perspective, and at this point in the cycle we weren't seeking assistance from anyone else at this stage, but the issue about activation of NICCMA fundamentally was an issue for TEO as lead in civil contingencies.
Q. The advice goes further than that, though, doesn't it, because it's saying -- sorry, if we could just bring that back up again -- if we look at the second line, so what she says is:
"I do not consider it necessary to activate NICCMA at this time, unless or until the infection appears in [Northern Ireland] and impacts are experienced here."

So it's going further. It's not -- this isn't advice that -- you may wish to think about it. This is advice coming from the Department of Health that there isn't any need to stand these up unless and until the virus was felt in Northern Ireland?
A. And I absolutely -- I take that point in terms of the words that are in front of us. The point I would make is that, from the Department of Health's perspective, I would be reluctant to offer a view as to what other departments were doing, because we now -- now, I didn't
position; yes? It was well understood --
A. Yes.
Q. -- that those were the two scenarios.

So that is on 5 February, and we now know -- and again perhaps if I could bring this up -- this is 6 February, we've seen this already.

## It's INQ000218470.

And this is the letter from Ms Redmond again.
A. Mm-hm.
Q. And I think we can see, if we go to page 2, it being advised -- and it's the third paragraph up from the bottom -- that the Department of Health was providing advice to other parts of government in Northern Ireland that it wasn't necessary to activate the contingency arrangements, the central contingency arrangements, at that time; yes?
A. Yes, but I think just to be clear, and at the risk of speaking for Liz in this, the activation of the NICCMA arrangements can be called for by the lead government department. I -- I'm interpreting this form of words as being, at this stage: we in the Department of Health are not calling for the activation, the decision about whether or not to activate it is an issue for TEO.

So I don't think I would interpret this as us saying "Don't activate NICCMA", as opposed to "We are not 34
know this at the time, but in terms of preparations for Module 1 and for this, we now know that the assessment in and around this time was that other departments, in terms of plans for sector resilience were around 18 months behind where they could have been. So there was an issue about what other departments needed to do and the extent to which they needed to engage.

I don't believe it's for the Department of Health to say: you should or should not stand up the contingency arrangements to deal with those aspects.

I can see that, from the form of words in front of us, you can interpret that. I would attribute that more to loose drafting, that we're saying what we should be saying is: from the health perspective, we don't request the stand-up at this point in time.
Q. I'm going to explore that with you, because there are a series of communications in the same vein as this.

Perhaps if we could go on, please, to look at INQ000185378, and if we just look at page 1.

I think that this is your briefing or an aide memoire for you and for a briefing of other permanent secretaries; is that right?
A. That's right, yes.
Q. Just -- you might be able to help us with this, was this part of a regular meeting at which all permanent 36
secretaries would meet in Northern Ireland aside from the pandemic, or was this a special --
A. No, there's a -- for as long as l've been a permanent secretary, Friday morning the permanent secretaries meet for just a regular stocktake. So that's -- that happened pre and post-pandemic.
Q. I think we can see on this page, on page 1 , there's reference to planning for the reasonable worst-case scenario. So you explained or you were certainly briefed to explain that to colleagues.
A. Yep.
Q. If we go to page 3, please, we can see, if we look, first of all, at "Sector Resilience", that you were giving advice that consideration was needed across departments on sector resilience, and on the basis of the spread; correct?
A. Yep.
Q. So in other words, because of the global spread.

And I think if we go to bullet 2, you foresaw that there would be a need for further guidance and co-ordination but again, at 3, that you didn't consider that it was necessary to set up the central contingency arrangements in Northern Ireland, although you do point out to your colleagues that it's an evolving situation; yes?
pressures that colleagues were under; that was also true across the wider Civil Service in terms of -- you know, going back to, I think, the 2014 voluntary redundancy programme that -- so there was a sense of shortage across the system.

So the point was: I wasn't calling for it; that's not to say that if it was needed it shouldn't happen, but the assessment needed to take account of what is the value in creating it, at that point in time, as against the cost of bringing the staff together to do that, because it meant that something else wasn't happening, and I wasn't in a position to have that transparency across other departments.
Q. Okay, I think there's a number of things that are contained within an answer, if I may try to unpick them.

First of all, the Department of Health was the lead department at this stage?
A. For the health response.
Q. Yes, well, it was the lead department in terms of the contingency arrangements, wasn't it --
A. No, it was the lead government department for the health response to the pandemic.
Q. Well, perhaps this is just a semantic difference, but in terms of across Northern Ireland, do you accept that it was the lead department in terms of the response to the 39
A. But I would just point out this is the briefing note that I was given as an aide memoire for the meeting, and I suspect there may have been a sense of cut and paste, and the same source material used is Liz's letter and mine. My interpretation of the position remains, and I -- regrettably I can't recall the exact form of words I used in speaking to this with my permanent secretary colleagues, but my position would be: we're not calling for the stand-up at the moment, but the issue as to whether or not it stood up remains one for TEO.
Q. Can I just ask, just to explore that, and I'm going to come on to more communications about this, but why the Department of Health wouldn't have wanted other departments to stand up arrangements, or wouldn't have wanted that co-ordinated approach, given that the worst-case scenario was, in fact, eventuating?
A. But I think, and forgive me if I'm dancing on a pinhead, the point I'm making is I wasn't calling for it.
Q. Yes.
A. It wasn't that I was saying it shouldn't happen. I, at that stage, didn't know the state of preparation. Establishing the arrangements comes at a cost, because it pulls colleagues away from other work. And I've talked earlier, particularly in the context of health and social care, the stresses and strains and the 38
pandemic?
A. I would accept it was the lead government department for the health response to the pandemic. If there was other sectoral responses to the outworking of the pandemic, it wasn't for the Department of Health to lead on those aspects
Q. Are you --
A. We may have had a role in advising and providing expert advice, particularly through the Chief Medical Officer.
Q. The 2016 protocol that sets out the emergency -- or that sets out the contingency arrangements for an emergency in Northern Ireland sets out the concept of a lead department, doesn't it?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hm}$.
Q. Do you accept that the Department of Health was the lead department for the purposes of those contingency arrangements?
A. But I would characterise the lead government department role was in the context of the health response to the pandemic. I don't think it was for the Department of Health to be the lead department for the response from other sectors
Q. But --

LADY HALLETT: Mr Pengelly, I'm sorry to interrupt, but I heard a lot about this during the course of 40

Module 1 -- forgive me, Ms Dobbin -- and there is this concept of a lead government department model.

So, for example, in the UK Government, the Health Department, the Health Secretary of State chaired the original COBRs because they were the lead government department. And it wasn't qualified by "for health", they led the response in the early days until the Prime Minister became involved and chaired COBR himself.

I'd understood that Northern Ireland was the only other part of the UK where the lead government department model applied and, similarly, is the lead government department model for the response, as Ms Dobbin is putting to you. That was the evidence I thought I'd heard in Module 1.
A. Forgive me, my Lady, if I'm getting -- creating a sense of confusion. We can be the lead government department in terms of articulating the response and the Department of Health cannot dictate or mandate other departments, the sectoral response from another sector --
LADY HALLETT: Cannot dictate, but you can lead.
A. But forgive me, I don't understand what "lead" means if it doesn't ...

LADY HALLETT: Well, as in encouraging others to check that their resilience is better, getting them prepared.
A. Is that not the role for the central -- the civil 41
what was coming down the road in terms of the pandemic; yes?
A. Yes.
Q. So the Department of Health would have been in a position to send out the message to other departments about what might be required from them in order to be a part of a cross-departmental response; do you accept that?
A. Yes, very much so, but that message coming from COBR about the UK analysis, at COBR, for example, they wouldn't have discussed the state of preparedness of Northern Ireland departments, so it would have been at the more macro level. That message, and I know Minister Swann attended, I think I'm right in saying, although I can't say for certain, I think officials from TEO may have been dialling in to some of the COBR meetings. Notwithstanding that, Minister Swann would have briefed, and I think there were some exchanges about the minister briefing FM and dFM on the emerging position, but even ultimately whether or not -- if the correspondence there showed that we were calling, all we would be doing would be putting the request. The TEO is fundamentally a TEO decision in the context of their assessment of the state of readiness of other sectoral partners across Northern Ireland at that stage.
Q. And would have understood the potential consequences of 42
Q. But you were the department that understood the full potential consequences, yes, of what might be required in order to have an all-society response to the pandemic?
A. In these very early stages our focus was on evolving the health response and the health consequences of it, so we wouldn't have been sighted on either the state of -I mean, and fundamentally activation of NICCMA is more into the response phase than the prepare stage. At this early stage in February, we were still a number of weeks away, for example, from the first case in
Northern Ireland, which I think was 27 February, so that's why the health assessment -- and we were very much looking at it from the health perspective -- we weren't asking for the activation of the arrangements from the health perspective at that stage, but we weren't fully sighted on the needs of other departments in Northern Ireland.
Q. I'll come back to that, but just in terms of your view that the contingency arrangements are part of a response, is it your position, then, that Ms Redmond was right when she was saying, then, there isn't a need for contingency arrangements unless and until the virus arrives in Northern Ireland?
A. I think Ms Redmond's assessment at that point in time, 44
A. Yes.
Q. So making the point to departments that obviously there had been spread to a number of countries, and by this stage it's right, isn't it, Mr Pengelly, that there were a number of cases in the United Kingdom --
A. Yes.
Q. -- although not yet in Northern Ireland; correct?
A. That's right.
Q. If we just look at page 14, please, so I think this sets out some of the work that was going on in the Department of Health; correct?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. And I think we can see surge preparations referred to there -- I'm going to come back to the surge preparations -- and if we go, please, to page 15 , so we assume he explained what the reasonable worst-case scenario is; yes?
A. Yes.
Q. And if we go to page 16, please, so we can see that he was recommending that they consider their pandemic influenza planning as a starting point; correct?
A. Yep.
Q. And that he knows, and he's communicating, that the elderly and those with existing health conditions would 47
you would have seen at the time?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. All right.

So if we go, please, if we may, to page 5 , really just setting some of this so that I can put it in context, Mr Pengelly, but I think this is a presentation that he gave, I think, to permanent secretaries or people in other departments. Is that your understanding?
A. That's my understanding, yes.
Q. I think if we go to page 6, please, so he's setting out, isn't he, what's happened to date; yes?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hm}$.
Q. Sorry, if I didn't, forgive me, but I think that the date of this presentation is 13 February, and it was given on 20 February.
A. Sorry, I'm confused, because the date on it is the 19th -- it's 13 February, three weeks later, sorry.
Q. I think we can check that, but we understand that it was given --
A. On the 20th.
Q. -- that it was a presentation that was given on 20 February, but we can orientate ourselves from the --
A. Sorry, 1 --
Q. So we can see him setting out what the position was on 46
be disproportionately affected; yes?
A. Yes.
Q. And were setting out that the planning assumptions predicted excess deaths, massive impacts across government, school closures, rail and road transport issues, and huge costs; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And I think he also set out at page 17 the case fatality rate as well, and the numbers of people who would be potentially affected; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Then at 19 sets out the wider sectorial impacts as well; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So, again, that would tend to suggest that the Department of Health was providing an advisory role to other departments about the potential reach and impact of the pandemic --
A. Yes, correct.
Q. -- across Northern Ireland, do you agree?
A. Yes.
Q. But notwithstanding that, Mr Pengelly, if we go to INQ000425535, please, and again this is another permanent secretaries stakeholder group, and again I think this is an aide memoire prepared for you; yes? 48
A. Yes.
Q. And I think if we go to page 2, please, I think we can see again under "Sector Resilience" the second bullet point deals with -- I think that's largely concerned with the levels of people who would become ill and the people who would be off work; correct?
A. Yep.
Q. Doesn't actually mention -- I don't think this document mentions the fatality rate, for example.
A. I don't think so.
Q. Again, I think if we look at the fifth bullet point down -- on page 2, yes -- we can see again:
"It is not necessary to activate the contingency arrangements at this time; however ...

Again the reference to it being an evolving situation.

In light of everything that Dr Chada had said, why was that still the position, if not the advice, that was being given by you to other permanent secretaries as late as 21 February?
A. I think, and apologies at the risk of repeating myself, but I again read this, and bearing in mind this was the brief that was given to me as opposed to a record of what I actually said, that our position was we weren't yet calling for the establishment of the crisis
Q. What might be thought very surprising is the Department of Health is not saying at this time "We are facing the most enormous crisis we've ever faced and we really need every government department to co-ordinate because this cannot just be a Department of Health response".
A. But I don't -- I'm not suggesting we were saying it in those terms. I think we were saying: we are facing into a crisis and there's a huge amount of work to be done, let's be very careful that we don't initiate arrangements that undermine the sectoral response and the evolution and development of the sectoral response to allow it to be joined across sectors, because establishing the NICCMA arrangements comes at a cost, people need to be relocated from other areas of work, a judgement has to be made that at the point in time on 20 February was that other work of a higher priority than a NICCMA arrangement which will inevitably be required but is it required this week or next week, and that's the judgement call.
Q. But all that the civil contingency arrangements foresee is that there will be a cross-departmental approach to an oncoming emergency. Do you agree that that's what the protocol provides for?
A. There absolutely has to be a cross-departmental approach, but a cross-departmental approach has to be 51
management arrangements, we were focused in terms of health. So we didn't feel, in terms of the evolving work that we were doing in and around 20 February when this document was produced, that our work needed the support of the NICCMA arrangements. We weren't in a position -- and I recognise that the wording here doesn't necessarily suggest this, but I don't believe we were saying the TEO do not establish NICCMA as opposed to we are not calling for its establishment at this stage, because we were focused at this stage on our own evolving preparatory work to the emerging situation. As we said, we will reach that stage, and that's in the context, as I've said, that establishing these arrangements comes at a cost in terms of other work being stood down, and that's -- my view remains that that's a call that can only be made when you have the transparency about both the work that needs to be done in other sectors and the cost of doing that work in terms of other preparation work, because NICCMA is fundamentally about co-ordinating a response. The building blocks of that are there's an individual sectoral response and then you start to join the dots across sectors. I still think that the judgement on that at this point in time was one for someone with more line of sight about what was happening in other sectors. 50
built upon the foundations of a departmental analysis and response about what is needed, and then we join sectors.

I think I'm -- I'm maybe perhaps being very clumsy in the way I'm making it, but I just think when we establish structures and architecture that remove people from other work, we need to be very, very careful and consider where is the immediate priority and value. Is it the work they're doing today or is it the work that the new structure will be doing tomorrow? Because if that's not absolutely needed for another week, we should let colleagues stay and do the work they're doing for this week. We didn't have a line of sight on that and that was a judgement call that I think TEO had visibility in terms of what was needed at that stage. So we certainly weren't saying NICCMA wouldn't ever be needed; it's always a judgement call about what point you trigger that, because it comes with a cost.
Q. So, from your perspective as a permanent secretary at this point in time, what did you see as the cross-departmental part of the machinery that was considering planning across the departments and which it understood what planning was taking place and --
A. Well, I think -- I mean, there was planning work happening in each department, certainly, and I can only 52
speak in detail in terms of the Department of Health.
Q. Yes.
A. There was the regular dialogue that was happening at the permanent secretary meetings, and we can see both the 7th and 21st -- this was clearly an agenda item -I think I'm right in saying that 20 February CCG met for the first time.
Q. That's correct, there was an officials' meeting of CCG, that was the only meeting that took place --
A. But that in itself would have brought departments together on a cross-departmental basis, so that was happening at this point in time -- on this very day.
Q. Were you at that meeting?
A. The CCG meeting --
Q. The CCG meeting.
A. -- on the 20th? I don't think I was at that meeting.
Q. We've seen the minutes of what was discussed at that meeting and it wasn't -- it was a few topics, it wasn't -- there's no sense of it having been any sort of very detailed consideration of cross-government plans in Northern Ireland.
A. Which, if I may, I would pray in aid of the point I'm making, that sometimes creating the architecture doesn't actually achieve the objective, why very careful thought needs to be given that we don't precipitously trigger 53

LADY HALLETT: Ms Dobbin.
MS DOBBIN: Thank you, my Lady.
Mr Pengelly, I just want to stick, if I may, with
the civil contingency arrangements and the point that you made that the Department of Health didn't, in the correspondence we've just seen, or at those points in time, think that it would be assisted by civil contingency arrangements being stood up by the government in Northern Ireland.

It didn't think it would be assisted by those being stood up, did it, when, on 24 February, WHO published its report on its mission to Wuhan. I don't know if you remember that? It was at that point that WHO said that there needed to be an all-society response to the pandemic, and that at this point in time, for example, focus needed to be placed on contact tracing and rigorous quarantine. Do you remember that communication?
A. Very vaguely. I can't remember the specifics of it.
Q. All right. But we can be clear that that didn't prompt any change in approach on the Department of Health in terms of a wider governmental response, did it?
A. No, I mean, it clearly didn't because, you know, there wasn't an immediate call by us to establish the NICCMA arrangements, but it was an evolving piece, and, as
architecture that may not be needed for another week or two. As I say, I don't think I was at that 20 February CCG meeting.
Q. There's an alternative interpretation of events which is that it just wasn't understood or appreciated at that point in time the gravity of the situation that Northern Ireland faced.
A. I can see that that's an alternative interpretation, but I think in terms of the briefings that had been given to permanent secretary groups and the work we were doing in the department, that certainly wasn't our position on it.
Q. What I was going to say was that interpretation may have been coloured or informed by the position that was being taken by the Department of Health, which was that there wasn't any need for contingency arrangements to be stood up at this point in time.
A. I don't believe it would have, no.

MS DOBBIN: My Lady, I don't know if that's a good time for a break.
LADY HALLETT: Yes, of course.
11.30.
(11.11 am)

## (A short break)

(11.30 am)
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I said, it was always a judgement call. And actually clarifying -- you know, I'm not sort of clinging desperately to the notion that we got it exactly right about when, I'm just trying to help -- explain our thinking about the position at the time.

But we were on a trajectory to clearly needing NICCMA. I think it was early March so, you know, we are talking about the separation of a few days at most here. But in and around 20 February, when Dr Chada made the presentation, 24 February I think --
Q. WHO, yes.
A. -- you've touched on that -- we were evolving towards it, but clearly in our minds, at that stage, we hadn't reached the stage where we'd come out formally and called for the establishment of NICCMA.
Q. It didn't change whenever the first case of Covid was detected in Northern Ireland either, did it?
A. No.
Q. And --
A. But I think it did in the very early days of March, I think it -- there was -- I think there was correspondence from the Chief Medical Officer. He used the phrase, I think, "We need an increasing lean-in to the position", which led to, I think, the establishment on, I think, 18 March.
Q. That's right. But again, that was even after WHO declared that Covid-19 was a global pandemic as well, and that was on 11 March, wasn't it?
A. That's right.
Q. So even that didn't promote a whole-government response, did it, in Northern Ireland?
A. But, again, I think I'm trying to differentiate between -- a whole-of-government response is absolutely essential in terms of the fight against the pandemic.
When the architecture is formally triggered -- because there was dialogue happening at a whole-of-government level, the value proposition of established -- formally establishing and trigger these mechanisms on a specific date, I think these are fine judgements and, you know, I think it's very difficult to say that today is wrong and tomorrow is right. But these were judgements in and around that period.
Q. Yes, I think what your evidence seems to be suggesting, but you must tell me if I'm wrong, Mr Pengelly, is that you saw these contingency arrangements as primarily responsive to a crisis as opposed to a vitally important part of planning the response to a crisis?
A. I think there's an element of that, and if you look at the definition of NICCMA it's about ensuring that the response phase is working on a cross-sectoral basis. 57
response at a much earlier stage?
A. No, I think all I'm trying to do is explain our thinking at the time, and our thinking at the time was the work that we were focused on in the Department of Health would not have been facilitated on, for example, 6 February, when Liz wrote her letter, or on 7 February, when I spoke to my permanent secretary colleagues. We didn't feel there was a major enhancement to the work we were doing on that day by calling for the establishment of the NICCMA arrangements.

Whether there would have been a value proposition in other sectors, I think I would have to leave that to colleagues who would have a line of sight into the issues in those other sectors.

So, looking back from this remove, I would say, I think, as a rule of thumb, earlier establishment would be better, but I still -- but earlier establishment with a clearly defined value proposition. Because I think that the point, and we may have slightly different perspectives on it, but if we reference the 20 February CCG, which I don't think I was at, that was part of the architecture of bringing folk together, but your reading of the record of that -- your interpretation was there wasn't a lot of value that maybe came out of that meeting. Possibly those colleagues were drawn away from

I think that the sharper point I'm trying to make is that I think in the middle of a crisis, when resources and people are stretched to breaking point, we must make sure that anything and everything we do has a value proposition. I don't fully grasp at this stage that the value proposition of establishing the NICCMA arrangements in early February would have been greater than the cost to other activities that was happening on a sectoral basis, to losing that capacity. At some point we reached a crossover point, and I think it's a fine judgement about when that crossover point was. Some time between early February and mid-March when it happened, I don't know specifically.

But I think the focus needs to be on the value proposition rather than -- having the architecture in and of itself wouldn't have delivered value. It was how we used the architecture. And I think there's other examples of that later in the pandemic.
Q. All right. I want to pause there just to check that I understand. There's obviously a distinction between what value you understood at the time there might be in having a formal government contingency response at an early stage.

Does it remain your position that you can't see that there would have been any value in having that sort of 58
other work in doing that. And I'm just making that -and I think in a very clumsy way, that when resources are stretched we need to ensure that we make the best use of them at all times, because there is more work than there is time available.
Q. Just going back to some fundamentals about all of this, and just being clear, did the Department of Health understand in February 2020 that there was going to need to be a whole-government response to the pandemic?
A. Absolutely, yes.
Q. Did it understand that there would be many areas of overlapping policy responsibility within departments?
A. Yes, we knew that there would be. I'm sorry, my hesitation is just I'm not sure we could have specifically and clearly defined them all at that stage, but there was an inevitability about those overlaps existing. I think that's the point of your question.
Q. Did you also understand that this wasn't about -I think the way you've put it in answers is: we wouldn't have been assisted by what we were doing, that civil contingency arrangements aren't about what we are doing but it's about what all of us are doing within government in order to meet an oncoming emergency?
A. But I think they're two very, very different questions, because the question about do $I$, as the permanent 60
secretary of health, ask TEO to convene a set of cross-departmental structures, for me to ask them to do it, in the context that they could initiate that without recourse to myself, the value -- the question I need to put to myself is: do I need these?

My point is I'm not sighted on the value that there would be for other colleagues in other departments on that specific day about establishing that. And, more importantly, would the value outweigh the cost in terms of the repositioning of resources? I wasn't sighted on that, so I am not -- and I think this is the point about the form of words in both the aide memoire and the 6 February letter. My interpretation now is my position was not that I am saying "Don't do it", I am saying "I don't need it on this given day". But there's an inevitable trajectory towards that.
Q. To take something like children's social services, for which you had responsibility, there's a huge overlap, isn't there, with schools, for example, and with -schools play an integral part, don't they, in child protection?
A. Yes.
Q. And in the wider provision of social services to children; do you agree?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hm}$.
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A. Were they the plans produced by individual departments?
Q. They're the central summary of the plans that were being produced.
A. But could I argue then that maybe the departments spending more time on sharpening those plans was, arguably, a better use of their time? If we take children's social services as an example -- I'm sorry, I'm not trying to be argumentative on this point, I'm just trying to ensure that my position is clear.

If we take children's social services, that is a big area. The NICCMA arrangements, if you pull together all departments, you would have two departments that would be very, very interested and very much part of that bilateral discussion. There's arguably a number of other departments that are then drawn into a conversation that possibly isn't the best use of their time. So my point is just one about maximising the time and energy that's available at a point in time. It's not a black and white issue about should we or shouldn't we establish structures.
Q. Again, if I can just ask you about this, you've made the point a number of times that this is all about resources. I'm not quite clear as to why it would have been such a drain on resources to have a formal cross-government approach at an earlier point in time.
Q. I'm going to take --
A. Yes.
Q. -- this outside health for a moment because it's a straightforward example. Both departments would have to work together, wouldn't they, in order to be able to plan for an oncoming pandemic and, for example, the closure of schools; yes?
A. Yes
Q. So both of those departments would need to meet and have overlapping plans in order to ensure that there weren't obvious gaps --
A. Yes.
Q. -- child protection?
A. But that can happen outwith NICCMA arrangements.
Q. Was this happening, then, at the time?
A. I can't give you a factual answer yes or no in terms -because that's an operational conversation. I assume it was happening but I can't speak to the complete accuracy of that.
Q. Because, I mean, the Inquiry has seen some of the cross-departmental plans that existed in March 2020, and it might be thought that they're extremely rudimentary. We've seen a document that summarises the main points of the plans, and, for example, didn't foresee that schools might be shut?
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A. At this stage the most valuable commodity we had was people and their time. And certainly -- you know, I can only speak with complete accuracy about colleagues in the department, but I had teams of people that were working ridiculously long days, 16, 18-hour days, with no respite at weekends. So anything additional that happened would have been layered on top of that, and it could have pushed them -- you know, it could have been the difference between breaking point or not.

My sense was that there was a similar position in some, possibly not all, other departments, so it's -the resource point is about the impact on people, not about physically spending large sums of money.
Q. I'm going to move on, Mr Pengelly, if I may, just to understand a bit more then about the planning that had gone on in the Department of Health and to try to understand what structures were on foot in order to be able to respond to the pandemic.

The Inquiry understands from the evidence of the Chief Scientific Adviser, Professor Young, that there was no modelling capacity in Northern Ireland until he came back into office after a period of leave, and that it wasn't instituted until the end of March 2020; is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Why wasn't there any modelling capacity or provision in Northern Ireland until that individual came back from leave?
A. Well, modelling capacity along the lines you're talking about wasn't a routine activity that we would have had a resource doing that, so the need for it emerged in the course of the pandemic. And I'm making that as -- that central modelling capacity, in terms of having line of sight on the pandemic as distinct from various ad hoc modelling work that would have taken place in the Public Health Agency from time to time, that didn't exist at that point in time because it hadn't been needed prior to the pandemic.

I think, looking back, had lan been about, we would have triggered that capacity before the end of March. But the modelling work -- and lan can speak to this in much more detail than I can -- the quality of any modelling work is directly proportional to the number of data points you put into that modelling. So any modelling work that was done in late February and early March would have had such a low confidence level attached to it, because of the scarcity of data points; we had one case on 27 February. So it wouldn't have been possible to do comprehensive modelling work in the early and mid-part of March, until case numbers started 65
of March, was beating the desk in frustration that he needed modelling done and he didn't have lan available to do the modelling. There was a recognition that the population-based approach, leaning into the work that was being done in other jurisdictions, was fit for purpose at that point in time. That's more my understanding of the position than being part of those conversations.
Q. All right. We can take that up with the CMO.

But the fact that Northern Ireland didn't have a Chief Scientific Adviser until the end of March might be thought extremely surprising, to say the least, that Northern Ireland completely lacked that input until such a late stage.
A. I think that's a fair comment, and lan had been off on a period of absence, and when he returned -- I think he was off from early February through to March. He'd been in post before that but had been off for a period of absence for a few weeks.
Q. We understand from his witness statement that he went off in mid-February, but it doesn't appear that he had any role in the Northern Ireland response before that.

Now, obviously we can ask him about that, but that does go to a formal question about the structures available in Northern Ireland. I mean, can you, as 67
to escalate.
So in the absence of our own modelling capacity in the early part of March we were tending to use modelling work that had been done across the water and look at that and its population application to Northern Ireland. That was arguably at least as, possibly more, accurate than any modelling work that could have been done locally, given the low number of data points at that point in time.
Q. I'll come separately to look at why there was a lack of data points in Northern Ireland at that time. But obviously all of this was contingent upon an individual not being in office for a period of time, as we understand it, that modelling didn't happen until he came back, because there wasn't anyone else to institute it; is that correct?
A. Well, I'm ... I mean, the -- I wasn't involved in the granular discussion about modelling capacity, but I think that the sense I'm trying to make is we didn't have modelling capacity before then and we knew we would need modelling capacity as we stepped into the pandemic. The -- you want to come back to the number of data points. I think this was an issue that was assigned and lan was asked to lead on it when he came back. My sense isn't that the Chief Medical Officer, at the early stage 66
permanent secretary, explain why the Chief Scientific Adviser --
A. I can't offer a great deal of insight into the work that lan was doing prior to his departure in February, I think it was -- I mean, there was a lot of work -I think it was a part-time post and it had historically been a part-time post. I think when lan came back, because of the pressures, it morphed into a full-time post, and I think prior to that it had been leaning more into the R\&D space than the scientific officer space.
Q. Yes. It's also right that Northern Ireland -- or within the Department of Health there was no advisory group in respect of Covid either, or a special pandemic advisory group; is that also correct? And that Professor Young set that up as well when he came back --
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. Again, can you help as to why there was no advisory group within the Department of Health for such a long period of time at the outset of the pandemic?
A. I don't know the thinking behind that. I think it was just because there were so many moving parts, and issues were morphing in the latter part of January through February into March, it was just one of those issues that we were moving to.
Q. I mean, in terms of your role as permanent secretary, 68
did you not think that these were the sorts of structures that ought to be in place from that early point when you understood just how serious the position was?
A. The specific question, that structure, clearly hadn't -it hadn't occurred to me, that -- and I can't recall at the time was I travelling in the assumption that we had that capacity within the department, or certainly in terms of the relationships and networks that -- through the likes of the Chief Medical Officer network or(?) the full four-nation basis, that we were getting the input through that, and I think at that early stage much of our intelligence was coming through that network rather than trying to recreate it. I think -- you know, there was a paucity of information at that stage. So something that we grew into, for want of a better term, in March. You know, it doesn't jar with me as being too uncomfortable.
Q. All right.

Again I'm just staying within planning and structures for the moment. I just want to ask you, please, if I may, about surge planning, which is something that you've already touched on upon.

If we could go to the letter which I think the CMO sent about this. So we can see it. And again, this 69
capacity?
A. My sense was that there was an urgency about it, but there was also realisation that it was an extensive and complex piece of work. So I think, forgive me, if your urgency point is related to the gap between the date of the letter and 13 March --
Q. Yes.
A. -- I think that's more a consequence of how much work was required to develop, and because, you know, Michael would obviously want to speak to this, I suspect Michael's view was, you know, he would rather have good comprehensive surge plans by 13 March than something that's of poor quality a week or two sooner than that.
Q. Right.

Just -- I'm going to go to the letter, if I may, that was sent -- sorry, if we could stay on that letter, I think we also see, I think it may be the page over, so we'd got the CMO also setting out management of the first case of Covid-19 and subsequent cases --
A. $M m-h m$.
Q. -- and he sets out -- yes, so it's the first paragraph:
"It is still our intention that the first
[Northern Ireland] case would be transferred to ... England."
A. Yes.
might be something that you're familiar with.
This is a letter from the CMO, I understand it's to Ms Watts, who is one of the people who sits, is that right, within health and social care services?
A. Valerie, at that stage, would have been chief executive of the Health and Social Care Board and the Public Health Agency on this date.
Q. These were the two organisations, were they, that were going to be most involved in surge planning?
A. They, those two organisations comprised health silver and surge -- there was a subgroup of health silver working specifically, I think, at surge planning for the trusts.
Q. All right, and I think if we go to page 2 we can see it's the paragraph that's in bold that the CMO wanted to see the details of the planning that had taken place, and that he was looking for that to be provided to him by 13 March.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hm}$.
Q. So I think this letter was on -- yes, it's 17 February. So it certainly doesn't appear from this letter, Mr Pengelly, but you may understand more about the detail of this, that certainly in terms of surge planning that there was an urgency about it or any concerns at this point in time about Northern Ireland's 70
Q. So, again, perhaps you can help with that, and he does go on in the second paragraph to say that that might not necessarily be possible, but nonetheless seems to foresee, as l've said, in the first paragraph, that the plan at that stage was that --
A. Yep.
Q. So is that right, that --
A. That that was the plan?
Q. -- the planning was that patients would go to England?
A. No, not -- my understanding of it was there was a plan that patients would go to England, it was the plan that the first patient which reflected just the lack of detailed knowledge about the virus at that stage, as a high-consequence infectious disease, we don't have the facilities in Northern Ireland to deal with that, so the thinking at that stage, the date of this letter, was that the first patient would be taken to a facility in England so that they could be properly monitored and treated whilst our knowledge of the system and our ability to deal with subsequent cases in Northern Ireland evolved. My understanding is in the event we made what's called a MACA, it's the military assistance --
Q. I'm going to come on to that, if I may, I just want to take it in stages --
A. Sure
Q. -- so that we can understand this.

I think, sorry, if we could go to the response to that, then; thank you.

So this is the letter that was sent in reply very shortly afterwards. Again, we see it's obviously the reply to that letter. And if we go to page 4, and again it sets out in some detail management of the first Covid case, and sets out again in the paragraphs that follow, I think it's right that there was possibly a ward that might be able to care for someone in the event that they couldn't be transferred to England; is that right?
A. Yes, there's an infectious disease ward in the Royal Victoria Hospital.
Q. I think at the penultimate paragraph from the bottom, that that provision was potentially not available at that point in time, because there was someone who was very poorly already on that ward?
A. I think that's right, yes.
Q. So, I mean, certainly that was the position, wasn't it, by 20 February --
A. Correct.
Q. -- that that was still the planning?
A. Yes.
Q. And obviously this seems to presume that there would be
the risk of cross-infection to colleagues, that the plan
was -- but I think the point you're making is if that's one patient, if that was very swiftly followed by a cascade of other patients, it's a very different scenario than one patient, with a pause for --
Q. Yes.
A. -- a number of days or weeks.
Q. Forgive me, Mr Pengelly, this is not about clinical cases because there weren't any in Northern Ireland at this point, this issue was about planning and trying to understand the plans that had been made within the Department of Health, and trying to understand what surge plans existed.
A. I accept that, but just -- this is planning, but this is planning for the clinical care of a patient and the transfer of a patient.
Q. Yes, but it's not -- it seems to foresee that the first patient would have to be transferred to England; do you agree?
A. My understanding -- and, as I said, it's my subsequent understanding, was that a decision was taken that we would transfer --
Q. Yes.
A. -- it wasn't so much borne out of necessity as opposed to given the lack of knowledge about the way the virus 75
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one person as opposed to a number of people who might all get ill at the same time as each other?
A. Yeah, I'm not sure, because I think this was more into the medical space in terms of, you know, the medical care for the individual patient or patients, and the learning that needed to flow from that to clinicians locally. I'm not sure, you know -- if the question is if it had been, for example, a family of four individuals that tested positive at the same time, would all four be transferred or would just one of them be transferred for the purposes of learning, as I say, that was more the medical assessment of the care which obviously wouldn't sit on my desk as an administrative --
Q. I don't think these letters read as though it's just about learning, it appears to be about whether or not specialist facilities were available in order to care for people.
A. But I think it was learning -- as I say, I wasn't involved with it because these are more medical matters so I wasn't involved in the conversation about this point. My subsequent understanding was it was more about the first case and given that we were very much in the early learning curve about the proper treatment of the individual and how the symptoms would present and 74
would present itself in a patient in the first episode.
Q. Can I just look, then, because you're right that a request was made, if we could please go to INQ000278481.

This was when the first case was detected. And as you can see, if we look at the second paragraph, and this was on 27 February, it wasn't on the basis that there was a requirement for learning, it was rather that there weren't any beds with the agreed specification available, or that there weren't any commercial providers who had appropriate equipment.
A. The point I would make about that is that the issue of whether or not they had beds available on a given day on 27 February, this was a planned transfer because I think the earlier correspondence, was it the 20th February the last one we looked --
Q. Yes, you're right.
A. So there was a plan in place a week before, at least a week before, that the first patient would be transferred. So I don't know whether again this is the drafting of the letter, I'm not so sure that we could say that the request for military assistance was prompted purely by the fact that there were no beds available on 27 February.
Q. It certainly reads that way, doesn't it?
A. It does.
Q. Again, just to be clear, and again I emphasise it's just about understanding --
A. Of course.
Q. -- it's not about clinical care, it's just about understanding the state of preparedness, that it certainly does seem to suggest, doesn't it, that Northern Ireland wasn't ready or didn't have an immediately available level of care that would be required in order to look after someone?
A. I think the -- the answer to your question is I can absolutely see that reading this suggests the point that you have just made. The point I am making is in subsequent discussions with colleagues my understanding is that this was a planned approach for an early patient given the lack of knowledge; it wasn't that we had grave concerns about our ability to treat patients, and the evolution of surge plans was on the basis that -I mean, we weren't planning to be transferring all our Covid-positive patients, we were going to be treating them. This was, as I say, my subsequent learning, understanding is it's about the learning from that.
Q. Right. Well, we can see that this letter is from Minister Swann. When you say you've subsequently learned, is that from the CMO? Does he have -77
A. In terms of getting the -- because in the absence of a test --
Q. Yes.
A. -- you know, there was -- there would have been ongoing surveillance issues for flu-like -- you know, it's part and parcel of winter planning and flu surveillance, and the initial presentation of Covid could be flu-like symptoms, so I think in the absence of a significant testing capacity, you know, the answer has to be we didn't know at that early stage.
Q. Yes. We've heard evidence from Dr McClean of the PHA about the use of the Apollo system, but that that was fairly limited --
A. Yep.
Q. -- in terms of throwing light on Covid-19; do you agree with that assessment?
A. Yes, yes, absolutely.
Q. Is it also right that you didn't have an ability to monitor hospitalisation rates for Covid-19 until May 2020?
A. Yes, I think that's right, yes.
Q. All right. So all in all, in terms of those early stages in Northern Ireland, in fact just a very limited capacity on the part of the Department of Health to understand the prevalence of the virus, save for,
A. It's just in the discussions in the preparations for the Inquiry.
Q. For the Inquiry.

I'm going to move away, if I may, from that, again just to understand the position that existed around that time in terms of the data that was available in Northern Ireland. You've made the point that there wasn't -- that there didn't appear to be a whole lot of prevalence in Northern Ireland until later in March 2020; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. But I think it's also right that you had very limited testing capacity in Northern Ireland until that point as well?
A. Yes, I think from memory in the sort of February stage our testing capacity had started off as low as maybe 40 tests per day. I think by mid-March it had grown to about 200 tests per day. So by any measure, you know, and population numbers, it was a low testing capacity.
Q. I think it's also right that, in terms of just picking up or in terms of surveillance in the community, asides limited testing, there wasn't any sort of reach into primary care services in order to understand people who might be going to GPs, for example, with symptoms of coronavirus; is that also correct?
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I assume, people who were presenting for testing?
A. Yes
Q. Just in terms of understanding some more of the difficulties about the data at that time, perhaps if I could bring up, please, INQ000389819, and page 1, please.

The Inquiry has seen this email, Mr Pengelly, I think four points down. And this is from the Director of Public Health in Northern Ireland, correct --
A. Yes.
Q. -- Professor van Woerden? I think we understand, but you may understand a bit more about this, that he was effectively pushing back against the Department of Health's requests for data about deaths in Northern Ireland; is that right?
A. Yeah, he was, but, I mean, I read this email more as his point -- the third bullet point about the misleading data, which --
Q. Yes.
A. -- I became aware of this email, I think last week it was exhibited, was the first I was aware, certainly it wasn't a point that the Director of Public Health had ever made specifically to me in the past. My understanding of the position is it was a common case definition that was used across the UK and for 80
comparative purposes the professional view of others, 1 including Chief Medical Officer, Chief Scientific
Adviser and colleagues in -- other colleagues in Public Health Agency was there was more to be gained from the standardised use of a definition that was common to all jurisdictions than a Northern Ireland unique definition.
Q. So would you read this now, Mr Pengelly -- understanding the point that you only saw it last week -- did you understand, then, that Professor van Woerden was essentially pushing back against a position that was commonly understood and not controversial in other parts of the UK?
A. Well, the couple of points I would make on this were: I would suggest that if the Director of Public Health had felt so strongly about this, he might have made more of it and escalated it either to myself or the minister at that time. I have no knowledge or recollection of that happening. This was a standard definition. It was a common external narrative about the difference in terms of people dying "from" Covid versus people dying "with" Covid.

So what the data that we were producing represented we were very clear about explaining precisely what that was. So I -- when I saw this last week, my sense was more it was Hugo pushing back as regards the pressure he 81
concerns there were about serious discrepancies in what the minister was being told. Again, Mr Pengelly, as the Inquiry understands it, it was because Minister Swann was, for example, I think, making public statements --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- about figures or about testing --
A. That's right.
Q. -- and was concerned that he wasn't being provided with accurate information; is that right?

I think if we look -- just looking at that, I think his concern was, wasn't it, that there were concerns that the PHA surveillance figures in general were not accurate; is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Then I think this is probably the email that you were talking about, but at page 2, where you say that you're concerned that the PHA just isn't taking these concerns seriously enough.
A. That's correct.
Q. So again, how serious an issue was this for the minister at this point in time, that he wasn't being provided and wasn't conveying accurate information?
A. It was -- I mean, the minister was very sensitive to this issue because his view was that accurate data and reliable data was central, both to taking decisions
was under to try and produce figures that, you know, he -- my frustration, and I think there was another email exhibited last week, it was an email l'd sent too, which showed some frustration on my part with him --
Q. Yes.
A. -- that I felt he just wasn't responding in the way that I had wanted him to respond to that.
Q. And, Mr Pengelly, it is right that was a fairly-I don't want to overstate it, but it was a theme that endured for some time with the Public Health Agency, wasn't it?
A. It was a theme that endured for a point in time longer than we would have liked it to endure for. We did move past it, but it was clearly prevalent for longer than it should have been the case.
Q. If we can just look at some of the issues that arose, because these are obviously important points in terms of understanding some of the difficulties that there were early on in the pandemic.

But if we look, please, at INQ000389810. Thank you. We've also seen this.

And I think if we go to page 4, please.
First, this is Minister Swann's special adviser, who was I think on behalf of the minister setting out the serious concerns that there were -- or, sorry, the 82
about our response to the emerging pandemic but also was an issue of public confidence that if we were constantly re-stating figures, the public would have no confidence. I think part of his frustration -- so there was a big part of his frustration was on data accuracy. I also think a part of his frustration was that the response when we raised this with the PHA just wasn't as robust as he would have expected from them in terms of, you know, "We understand the problem and we commit to fixing it rapidly".
Q. Yes, and I think if we can, just to complete this, if we could look, please, at INQ000440253. I think if we could go to page 2, please.

So I think that this was the -- the name has been redacted, but I think that we can see -- and it is from the PHA, I think it's part of the same theme, isn't it?
A. It is, yeah, very much so.
Q. And I think we caught a glimpse of your response on the first page, that you were "stunned" by the way the PHA had responded; is that correct?
A. Yes
Q. All right.

So in terms of when those issues actually resolved themselves, can you recall when it was that the PHA was actually able to provide accurate data?
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A. I think the issue resolved itself as we moved into April, and our information analysis directorate colleagues within the department assumed responsibility for the collation and publication, and that's our professional statistical colleagues, and the figures then became published under national statistics conventions with the governance and oversight that associates itself with that.
Q. Forgive me, Mr Pengelly, I think it's right that, in fact, the Department of Health effectively took over a number of functions from the PHA at around that time; is that right?
A. I think we certainly moved the data issue, and I think other functions we worked very, very closely with them as opposed to took them away from PHA, but we maybe stepped into much more command and control-type approach as opposed to the normal relationship between sponsor department and arm's length body where there's quite a remove between the two organisations.
Q. And I think that that also then -- did that also become the position about test and trace as well?
A. Yeah, in terms of oversight, the operational delivery stayed with PHA --
Q. Yes.
A. -- but the governance and oversight of it, the 85
Q. And that just wasn't correct, was it?
A. As I understand it, it was not the case at all, and I think the number of tracers I think peaked later in the year at about 300.
Q. Yes.
A. So I don't think there was ever a case of 500 being in training.
Q. Again we'll pick this point up with Professor Young, but in fact the issue arose again, didn't it, in the autumn of 2020, when again there was an issue with the PHA in terms of them not understanding why there needed to be greater numbers of people trained?
A. Yes, I think there was -- but I -- and, sorry, I'm sure you'll cover this this afternoon, but my understanding is that Professor Young was very clear in April 2020 about the numbers that would be required as we moved forward. That number, I think, was -- I think his number was in the sort of excess of 300 , and a number of 50 was quoted in the first draft of the business case later in the year by PHA, which was subsequently amended but the fact that it was 50 is a cause for concern.
Q. We can pick up the detail with him, but in terms of your role as permanent secretary, what insight or understanding did you have that tracing capacity was not what it was being represented to be?
department stepped in.
Q. The Inquiry has already seen, and it will hear evidence from Professor Young about this this afternoon, but it is correct, isn't it, that the PHA in an email exchange said -- or, well, I think it is just stated on 20 April 2020 that 500 people were being trained in order to be able to undertake tracing. Is that something that you're familiar with?
A. I'm familiar with it, again only over the course of the last week when I saw the email last week; I hadn't been aware of that before. But just to be clear, my understanding is the email states the 500 figure.
Q. Yes.
A. I think there is a disconnect between what the figure states and what actually happened.
Q. Yes, that's really what I think the Inquiry is interested in --
A. Yep.
Q. -- it's the understanding that in fact it was represented to the Chief Scientific Adviser that 500 people --
A. Yes
Q. -- were being trained.
A. And I don't think there can be any other interpretation of that email than that was the case.
A. Well, the specifics of the email and the 500 , and that misrepresentation -- if that's the appropriate word for it, and I don't know the detail -- it's clearly not a reflection of what happened. I wasn't aware of that. I was aware throughout that period in 2020 that there was frustration in terms of trying to grow testing capacity and that there was a programme work to work with PHA colleagues to both recruit staff and redeploy staff. And then I think at the end of July the proximity app came online which facilitated that, and then in October, I think, the digital self-trace mechanism cam on, so they were components of the overall solution. But there was an intense programme of work. I wasn't intimately engaged in terms of the operational outworking of that, but I'm aware it was the cause of much focus in terms of the dialogue between colleagues and PHA.
Q. I think the point is really just the short one of whether or not the Department of Health was fully sighted on the lack of capacity in PHA to undertake tracing or whether or not it was proceeding on a false basis that there was a cadre of tracers trained and ready to go who didn't in fact exist.
A. My understanding is that whilst it was never elevated to my desk, senior colleagues in the department were very 88
much aware of the issue about capacity and working with colleagues in PHA to try and address any deficit.
Q. All right. So if it is a misrepresentation after a fashion it came to light --
A. Yes.
Q. -- is that correct? All right. Again, in terms of the individuals who have the detailed understanding of that, would that be Professor Young or the Chief Medical Officer?
A. Yes, they were both involved in this.
Q. Just in terms of before we leave test and tracing, the Inquiry has seen that on 8 March Northern Ireland was still regarded as being in the contain phase; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. In terms of the decision on 12 March to cease test and tracing, was that a decision that you, as the permanent secretary, made within the Department of Health?
A. No, that was an issue that flowed from the COBR decision to move nationally from the contain to the delay phase, because there was -- the UK-wide plan had been agreed, I think at COBR, a week before, and it included that -it included the point that when we move into the delay phase, the benefits of contact tracing were redundant, it would be stood down. There were other measures in
decision.
Q. I'm putting aside the formal mechanism by which such a decision might be made, it's really just the question of whether or not the substantive or qualitative analysis had taken place in Northern Ireland as to whether there was any merit in continuing to test and trace.
A. I think there was a -- sorry, the short answer is that there wasn't the detail and substantive analysis, as you have described it there, because it was swept up in that broader decision.
Q. Because the Inquiry understands that test and trace was still being done in quite low numbers in
Northern Ireland, it may have been -- it may have put the PHA under pressure, but the numbers were relatively small; is that correct?
A. They were small, yes, linked to testing capacity too, but there was also a sense, as we moved into March and case numbers grew, that our limited testing capacity needed to pivot more towards clinical testing for people on admission to hospital to see whether they were Covid positive or not, rather than for a test and trace capacity, until such times as we grew testing capacity.
Q. All right, but no qualitative analysis --
A. No.
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terms of isolation being put in place as part of that. I understand there is some confusion about the nuances of that decision. My explanation is -- my understanding of it is that it flowed from the COBR discussion on 12 March.
Q. Maybe be clear about that: is it that that decision de facto just applied in Northern Ireland, or in terms of did the decision that was made in COBR apply without any individual consideration of --
A. No, there's an understanding that -- as has been explained to me, there was an understanding at the COBR discussion, at which both central government and the devolved administrations were present, that this was a UK-wide decision that was being taken, and all the devolved administrations were part of that decision.
Q. But was that -- I mean, in Northern Ireland, was there substantive consideration of the question of whether or not there was still merit in test and trace?
A. I -- as far as I'm aware, that decision wasn't taken -to answer your question, it wasn't the subject of an Executive paper and presentation to the Executive and specific consideration in the context of Northern Ireland, I'm not aware that that was the case. The UK-wide decision at which all the devolved administrations were present was the one -- once-for-all 90
Q. -- as to whether that was the position reached?

I just want to deal, if I may, with some discrete topics that are important, just to understand the -your perspective as permanent secretary. So I'm just going to deal, if I may, with the question of joint modelling with the Republic of Ireland.
Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland entered into a memorandum of understanding, didn't they, in March 2020, and they undertook by that informal mechanism, I think, to -- if I can put it in these terms -- both governments will adopt similar approaches guided by scientific evidence, I think that's what was said, and that in relation to modelling the participants are committed to working in partnership to predict the likely impact of Covid-19 and enable evidence-based decisions on how best to respond across the island of Ireland.

That was the undertaking in the memorandum, but that didn't, as we -- as the Inquiry understands it, that didn't in fact result in any capacity to undertake joint modelling, did it?
A. That's my understanding too. I mean, the modelling group would have been more in this space, but I think at the time the MoU was signed there was an aspiration, but it wasn't underpinned by sort of joint modelling as we 92
would understand it.
Q. Can you help with why, given that that was the expressed intent in March 2020, why that didn't eventuate or why it didn't prove possible?
A. I think Professor Young might give you a much more fulsome answer, but my understanding was that it was down to differences in methodology and approach, and the modelling, there was very different approaches to testing North and South, and I think trying to bring the two different approaches into a joint model would have been very difficult but, as I say, Professor Young I think understands the nuances of that in a way that I don't.
Q. But you weren't involved with your counterpart in the Republic of Ireland to try and discuss what you might be able to do to overcome those kind of barriers?
A. No, those were more technical discussions insofar as they took place at a sort of modelling group level as opposed to between myself and my counterpart.
Q. It's understood from the evidence that's been provided from the CMO and from others within the Department of Health that it was accepted, or certainly agreed, that Ireland was effectively acting as a single epidemiological unit for the purposes of the virus, so epidemiologically that was the position; is that right? 93
jurisdiction, combined with his understanding of what was happening inside as distinct from doing joint modelling, provided information and analysis --
Q. And those --
A. -- that was helpful to us.
Q. I'm sorry, I didn't mean to speak over to you. And was that regarded as sufficient then from the Department of Health's perspective --
A. As I understand it, it was. We didn't feel there was a major deficiency amount.
Q. All right.

I think you've said "as I understand" on a number of occasions; is that because you're dependent on the views of other people in order to make that point?
A. Yes, because I'm dependent on the modelling the Chief Scientific Adviser was doing, his analysis of the modelling work that was done was being done, and was it sufficient and fit for purpose to underpin the decisions that we were putting in front of the Executive, and I'm assured it was.
Q. All right.

I'm going to move on then, we can take those issues up with Professor Young, to the issue that arose last week about the question of the extent to which government in Northern Ireland operates in
A. Yes, but the way they have explained it to me is that it was a single epidemiological unit, it wasn't the only single epidemiological unit, and certainly the point had been made to me that New Zealand was a single epidemiological unit, that the North and South Islands are much more geographically remote than Ireland is from Great Britain, so there's an argument that the whole of the U -- that the islands, that Great Britain and Ireland were acting also as a single epidemiological unit, so I think there's many nuances to that point.
Q. All right, but Ireland, the land mass of Ireland is a small territory and it's got a small population, hasn't it, when you take North and South together?
A. It does, but the big complication is the Common Travel Area.
Q. Of course.
A. It is small and discrete but it doesn't have that ring round it.
Q. It's really just trying to understand how important it was or wasn't to the Department of Health that there wasn't that capacity to model on an all-island basis and to have that understanding of how --
A. As I say, I don't want to speak for Professor Young, but the explanation and any discussions I have had with him was that the modelling that was happening in our 94
a compartmentalised way. And the Inquiry saw evidence last week of the frustrations on the part of the First Minister and the deputy First Minister about the Department of Health effectively being not under their control and operating in a way possibly that was causing them difficulty. Was that a tension or an issue that you were aware of at the time?
A. I was aware of a -- what I would describe as a very understandable tension across the Executive, that there was a thirst for analysis and information and data that we all struggled to meet, and part of that was -- we've talked about some issues, particularly in the early stages, about the adequacy and accuracy of data but even when we addressed those points in mid and late April and the department took over the dashboard and we had the data, the reality was that, on any given day, the decision that we were putting in front of the Executive was underpinned by data that was only emerging overnight. So it was a very understandable frustration about the speed and timeliness of getting data. But l'd struggle to think of what -- you know, the alternative would have been to go with an earlier paper that contained data that was maybe 48 hours old, which I don't -- and given the speed at which we were moving.

I've heard the frustrations mentioned. I've only 96
ever heard the frustrations mentioned in very general terms. I don't think I can point to any very specific examples of where issues weren't being brought to the Executive or the department. There was a sense the department that -- had access to information analysis that wasn't being shared, so ...

I think the pace we were moving at, I understand the frustration, and I think it's always going to be present, but I -- in terms of -- if your question to me was what's the answer to that problem, I do struggle with it.
Q. No, I think the question is much more about whether or not you recognise or accept that there was compartmentalised behaviour or action on the part of the Department of Health at the outset of the pandemic such that -- we've seen already in emails consideration even being given to -- or certainly discussion about whether one solution was to remove Minister Swann. Those were the kind of --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- issues being raised?
A. I don't accept -- I didn't see, I didn't hear and I didn't experience any compartmentalised behaviour by colleagues in the Department of Health. The issue about the possible removal of Minister Swann, that wasn't 97
and there was a desire that we have it but we're not sharing it. Many colleagues were involved in a lot of exchanges of information on the Sunday and evolving and preparing the information to facilitate a response that happened on the Monday. So I offer that as one illustration and --
Q. Can I just stop you there, Mr Pengelly.
A. Sorry.
Q. We've seen the correspondence. We know that what Cabinet Office was seeking was a response, I think from across Northern Ireland, about some of the measures that were possibly being contemplated at that point in time. I'm not sure that it was clear that it was necessarily just something from the Department of Health to respond to, but that nonetheless the CMO said that Northern Ireland -- well, his advice was: don't respond to this. And as we understand it, it's because government, the Cabinet Office, pressed for a response that it was ultimately replied to.

Is that your understanding?
A. My understanding, the -- my understanding was that the initial response, given the lateness of the hour and the volume of (inaudible), was maybe a little higher temperature than may otherwise have been the case in more benign times. I think my counterpart at the time 99
something I was ever aware of. Again, I saw it aired last week.

I think one of the core issues here that -- is the sheer pressure that was on individuals, and l've touched earlier -- if I may, one of the issues that I think came up last week was the request from the Cabinet Office for information from the CMO, and that there was the exchange about -- I think there was the email about --
Q. Yes.
A. I think just in terms of context for that -- I mean, I -- having seen the issue aired last week, I looked into some of the paperwork a bit more. The request came across from the Cabinet Office, I'm not sure when but I think just in the course of the day, on the Friday. It made its way onto the Chief Medical Officer's desk, I think, at about 11 o'clock on the Friday night, while he was still in the office, with the request for a response by lunchtime on the Saturday. And that was after a week of probably being in the office. So I certainly would forgive him for what may have been a rather abrupt response.

In terms of then responding, we ultimately responded I think on Monday the 9th, there's a large flurry of activity in the duration of Sunday with colleagues. So it wasn't that this information was readily available 98
in the Department of Education also indicated that he would find it very difficult to respond at all or to respond in a meaningful way.

I think the point l'm making is work was then initiated within the department and it took two days to develop a response that went on the Monday, as distinct from the department wrapping its arms round it and saying: no, we're absolutely not doing this. And I'm just differentiating that it wasn't we said that Monday because we wanted to make a point; it took us until Monday to generate the answer.
Q. This is something we'll take up with the CMO and look a bit more closely at the communications, but on that point you didn't see anything wrong or didn't think it was wrong in principle that the CMO should be replying and telling government in Northern Ireland generally not to respond to the requests from the Cabinet Office?
A. I think that's maybe a question of interpretation. I think his view was that we didn't need to respond. I mean, I don't think Michael was presenting his response as the definitive answer in that question, and the fact that we did ultimately put a response in.
LADY HALLETT: Can I just step in for a second?
A few minutes ago you mentioned the suggestion that a minister, the minister of health should be removed, 100
I think if you read the document fairly, the writer of the document wasn't suggesting the minister should be removed but it was just one of a number of options which --
A. Sorry.
LADY HALLETT: No, no, I'm not even sure you did use the word "suggest", but just in case anybody thought you did.
A. Apologies.
LADY HALLETT: No, no, I don't think you did, I think I'm just being ultra-cautious.
MS DOBBIN: I'm sure it's more my fault, so I apologise, it was me trying to summarise an email, but quite right, it was just a number of options for discussion.
Can we just, in terms of some of the cultural issues, though, Mr Pengelly, could we, please, look at INQ000305020.
Mr Pengelly, we're going to come with other witnesses to look at what happened in autumn of 2020 in a good deal more detail, but this is a letter from Minister Swann that was sent, I think, at a point in time when things were obviously of some sensitivity in Northern Ireland in terms of the direction of travel with infection rates and, I think we'll come with Professor Young, looking at death rates as well. 101
wrestled with a very difficult decision and reached a conclusion, to then attempt to sell that position to the public in a fragmented way would undermine public confidence in the measure that's being adopted and subsequently in -- particularly if it was a restriction that was being put in place, with adherence to that restriction.

So that -- that was an issue that bubbled to the surface a number of times, particularly throughout the autumn of 2020.
Q. But was it regarded as undermining public confidence at points in time when the position was particularly finely balanced or sensitive as regards the transmission of the virus?
A. Sorry, I know this wasn't your exact question, I don't think there was any quantitative analysis of what it did to public confidence. There was certainly a perception that I heard some ministers make that they felt public confidence and, importantly, adherence with measures put in place would be undermined by colleagues not standing shoulder to shoulder with the decisions taken.
Q. All right. Well, we can take that point up with ministers.

I just want to finish, I have a topic that I hope I might be able to take you to without needing to go to 103

But in terms of what he was saying there, and it's in the second paragraph, where he sets out obviously that they had come to a joint decision as an Executive Committee about what would happen, and then the suggestion that a colleague had gone on to the radio to confuse the position.

I think if we look three paragraphs down as well, he refers in that regard to the requirements of the Ministerial Code as well.

I picked this letter out because it encompasses a number of things that obviously the Inquiry has seen a bit of thus far, but can you help us, regards the undermining of Executive decision-making by ministers taking public positions that were different to that decided by the Executive Committee, was that a problem during this period, as far as the Department of Health was concerned?
A. I think -- I think it was a frustration, from memory, with many ministers, that these were very, very difficult decisions, and I think it was rehearsed last week just about the difficulty sometimes of the Executive discussion that leads to the decision.

The frustration that certainly the minister of health was reflecting in this letter, and I think some other ministers shared it, was that if the Executive 102
the documents, and it's in relation to care homes, Mr Pengelly.

I think it's right that at the outset of the pandemic, I'm sure you're familiar with this, but that certainly throughout March 2020, you, in a series of communications, communicated to those who had management or control over the care sector about surge plans and repeated the point that:
"Trusts should ... work to maximise and utilise all spare capacity in residential, nursing and domiciliary care."

And that also trusts were to work to fill up vacant places, I think, in the care sector as quickly as was possible, having regard to the need to, I suppose, free up hospital spaces; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And I think it's also right that you -- that it was the position that trusts were expediting discharges where patients had been deemed medically fit in hospital so as to move them into the care sector as well, and that that was the -- that was certainly the plan from mid-March to mid-April?
A. Yes, I think -- just a -- the delay at that point, just to emphasise the discharge was to be expedited in the case where patients were medically fit to be discharged. 104

It had been an enduring problem in Northern Ireland -we touched earlier on some of the challenges about running emergency departments --
Q. Yes.
A. -- and admitting patients because beds were filled and "bed blocking". It's been an enduring challenge about timely discharge. The reality is my medical colleagues over the years have emphasised on many, many occasions that, particularly for a frail elderly person, being medically fit to be discharged from hospital means that it is quite a dangerous position to put them in by retaining them in hospital past the point -- for issues like hospital-acquired infection, notwithstanding Covid, but also there -- I think there's -- muscle mass deteriorates at the rate of about $10 \%$ a week.
Q. Yes.
A. So there are many clinical issues why it's extremely important for timely discharge.
Q. But in terms of the testing of individuals who had been in hospital and their move into care homes, I just want to make sure that the Inquiry has this correct.

I'm not going to bring you to this document, but just -- I can if you need to.
A. No, no.
Q. But certainly what appears to have been the position as
email says:
"... grateful for confirmation you're ok with that, (think I flagged this was coming - but very happy to chat)."

So that's an email internal to the Department of Health. And again, is that correct that in and around 18 April it was recognised that individuals going from hospital into care homes should be tested?
A. The date I have for that is 19 April that that --
Q. 19 April.
A. Which also -- in terms of testing capacity I think on 19 April our testing capacity moved from 1,000 per day to 1,800 per day, so it wasn't a capacity issue.
Q. But is that right that it wasn't until 18 April that individuals were being tested upon leaving hospital to come into care homes --
A. That's right.
Q. I think it's also right -- and this is a letter from you, I won't take you to it -- but I think from 24 April, all new outbreaks in care homes resulted in individuals being tested; is that right?
A. Yes, that was -- and that was both all residents and staff from 24 April, where there's a new outbreak.
Q. And I think, again, it's also right, Mr Pengelly, that if an individual was tested in hospital from that date, 107
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at 17 March, so this is both in relation to testing leaving hospital and in care homes, that on 17 March the position was that there was testing of residents in care settings where there had been a potential or a possible cluster of outbreak; is that right?
A. That's right, but the caveat is I think the testing at that stage, due to capacity constraints, was restricted to a maximum of five residents where there had been a cluster, as opposed to all residents --
Q. Yes.
A. -- of the care home.
Q. And that on 12 April there was an agreement to the extension of testing arrangements so that there was testing of symptomatic residents and staff in care homes if there were two or more breakouts; correct? So it wasn't until 12 April --
A. That's right.
Q. -- that that position was reached.

We can pick this up from an email of 18 April 2020. I won't take you to it, but again, just in terms of orientating ourselves in the chronology, there was a reference in that email to the position in England, that there was a strategy to discharge from hospitals into care homes, and that it stated that all discharges to care homes should be tested for Covid-19, and the 106
from 19 April onwards, there didn't have to be a result in order for them to move to a care home --
A. That's right.
Q. -- is that right?
A. That's right.
Q. And I think it's also right that --
A. Sorry --
Q. -- an individual could also move to a care home if they had tested positive, if there were arrangements for them to be --
A. For isolation, right.

Can I just add: in terms of the discharge from hospital to care homes, and you may come on to this with other witnesses, there was a point-in-time review we did later in 2020 with Niall Herity, who is a consultant cardiologist, now, he sampled two weeks, but he discovered that $1.1 \%$ of patients discharged from hospital to care homes tested positive within the two weeks, 98.9 didn't test positive, and his analysis of the data was that outbreaks in care homes were much more closely aligned with levels of community transmission than hospital transmission, just by way of context.
Q. I think that there was also a response, wasn't there, from the sector to that report that said it didn't take 108
into account that there was very limited testing in the time period that he was looking at?
A. That's reflected in the report, but the point in the report was of those test -- it was still only $1.1 \%$ and that the data flows, as the pandemic endured, showed that -- attract community transmission and I think that was a point-in-time review with a limited dataset. There's subsequent statistical analysis that shows across the UK care home cases much more closely aligned with community transmission than hospital transmission.
MS DOBBIN: Right, Mr Pengelly, l'm going to stop there because I think there are some questions from other core participants.
LADY HALLETT: There are.
Mr Wilcock.
Questions from MR WILCOCK KC
MR WILCOCK: Good afternoon, Mr Pengelly. I represent the
Northern Ireland Covid Bereaved Families for Justice and I want to ask you questions on three topics.

Topic 1 is the relationship between the
Northern Ireland Commissioner for Older People and your department, and we have heard evidence from Mr Lynch about some of the frustrations he experienced in his dealings with the Department of Health, particularly in relation to care homes. As a starting point, presumably 109
amount of dialogue. This was happening at a point in time. It's certainly the case that the legitimate issues that the Commissioner was raising from time to time we weren't able to respond to effectively.

So the two points, sorry, I want to finish with, there was a lot of engagement and dialogue. More engagement and dialogue with a valuable representative of what is a critical group would always have been preferable had it been possible.
Q. Dialogue of course works both ways. Why, if you say that you were looking for dialogue, did the department not actively seek the expertise of the Commissioner for Older People rather than just rely on him to approach you, given that he had a direct line of communication with the people in the care homes and their families?
A. Well, my understanding is we did actively seek him out. The difficulty that the Commissioner faced, and it's entirely understandable, is that at the pace issues were moving at the times when we shared copies of draft guidance that he didn't feel he had sufficient time to engage and consider and respond to that, and I understand and sympathise with that point, but that's different from not engaging at all. The problem that we faced, and colleagues in particular faced, the bulk of this guidance was in the early stages, certainly at the 111
you accept that the Commissioner would have been a valuable source of information on any topic, such as care homes, which was inevitably likely to become a critical issue for older people.
A. Yes, absolutely.
Q. In his statement to the Inquiry, Mr Lynch stated that:
"In the early days of the pandemic I found that there was no single point of contact for me, or anyone from my office, to enable us to provide specialist information or guidance on what I feared would become a critical position for older people."

And that because of this there seemed to him to be no proper forum for raising his concerns -- and he quotes, for example, testing in care homes that you've just discussed -- and because of this, he felt he had to tell the media that there was a lack of urgency on the part of the Department of Health. Do you have any sympathy with the position the Commissioner found himself in?
A. The position is -- I understand his point about not having a single point of contact, there wasn't a defined single point of contact, but there were a number of key contacts that the Commissioner utilised and the evidence I have seen is that they were utilised extensively in terms of two of my senior colleagues. There was a large 110
late February and early March, was dealing with issues like infection prevention and control, which were really moving from national standards. The -- because as well as speaking to the Commissioner, my colleagues were also speaking directly to other representatives in care homes and to care homes directly. The message they were hearing loud and clear was colleagues in care homes were urgently requesting clear guidance on the emerging latest position, so it was trying to marry those two issues. We didn't always get it right, and with more time there would have been longer and more fulsome engagement, but just the pace of it overtook us at times.
Q. Well, just taking up that topic, whether you always got it right, can I ask you, please -- can I ask for INQ000023185, page 2, to be put on screen.

While that's happening, Mr Pengelly, I'm hoping that's going to be the index to the Department of Health Covid-19 Emergency Response Strategy which was published on 30 March. Sorry, I think you may have a different reference, which is INQ000130409.

We've had the pleasure of having multiple copies of the same documents, as you know, my Lady.

So anyway, that is the index to the emergency response strategy that your department published on 112

30 March, and I've put it on screen to give some idea of what the strategy at the end of March purported to contain.

Now, I imagine you've had an opportunity of making yourself familiar with this document before today?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hm}$.
Q. Do you accept that this document makes no specific mention of the acutely vulnerable status of older people?
A. Well, I accept that. I haven't seen the rest of the document before me today, I've read the document recently, but I'm happy to accept that point.
Q. You've told us this morning about text exchanges that you had with the head of the Civil Service nearly two months earlier, on 6 February, in which Sir David Sterling specifically remarked that the problem will be when the pandemic hits care homes and hospitals. And I know he was talking about a different type of pandemic, but the principle remains the same.

Do you accept, therefore, that by 30 March 2020 you and your department were well aware that any response to the Covid pandemic you now knew you were dealing with would have to take account of the acutely vulnerable status of older people?
A. Yes, and it did.
control measures in care homes?
A. No, I'm not. I'm saying this was a high-level strategy document that painted a high-level picture of elements like understanding the path of the curve and measures to flatten the curve. It didn't seek to get into every important aspect of work.

The fact that something is not specifically mentioned in there does not mean that it's not strategically important, and I can't emphasise that point enough. Care homes were hugely important to us and particularly the care of all residents within care homes, and indeed the staff.
Q. Well, I think the public would expect that's the minimum we could expect from the Department of Health, that care homes would be hugely important, but can you not see why it might be thought that the absence, even if this is a high-level strategic document, of any mention of the importance of controlling Covid within care homes in itself indicates that there was a failure in your department to adequately recognise and plan for the acutely vulnerable position of care homes?
A. I can certainly acknowledge the frustration of the stakeholders you represent that it's not specifically mentioned in this, but I just -- I emphasise, that is not to suggest that this wasn't a strategically
Q. So why is there no mention of it?
A. Well, this is a very high-level strategic document covering the work of the totality of the sector. As I get -- it doesn't run to much more than about 17 pages. There were separate very complex workstreams looking specifically at the issue of care homes, so I can understand the frustration that it's not mentioned in the document specifically, but that's not to say that there wasn't a focus and energy on work taking place in terms of the care sector.
Q. Well, high-level can mean two things -- one, it can mean general policy; or, two, it can just mean vague -- but either way is it not surprising that this document does not refer within those 17 pages to the importance of infection prevention and control measures in the micro-environments of care homes in particular?
A. As I said, it's a high-level strategic document. It doesn't get into granular detail across every sector. There would be other areas that aren't mentioned, but that -- I must emphasise that's not to say that there wasn't comprehensive workstreams happening in parallel with the development of this document within the sector about care homes.
Q. Just so I understand your evidence, are you saying that it wasn't a matter of high-level strategy to prevent and 114
important issue of great concern for us in the department.
Q. Thank you, Mr Pengelly.

Next topic: can we have INQ000145670, page 1, on screen, please.

This is a letter that you wrote, I think, to the chief executives of the Health and Social Care Trusts, which you've described as the arm's length delivery body for the Department of Health earlier on in your evidence, and in this letter on 25 April 2024 entitled "Key Changes To Testing For Covid-19" you wrote in the last paragraph that:
"In advance of discharge from hospital to a care home each patient must be tested for COVID-19, ideally this test will be undertaken 48 hours prior to the patient's discharge to their identified care home."

Then rather incongruously you end by saying:
"This testing requirement must not hold up a timely discharge."

Now, in his evidence to the Inquiry last week, the Commissioner for Older People stated that he thought:
"... it was very clear cut that the policy of discharging people without testing into [care homes] was a potentially disastrous one."

Now, I used the word "potentially" in view of the 116
answer you gave to Ms Dobbin less than ten minutes ago that, rightly or wrongly, you say not as much damage was done as might have been expected. Do you accept that it was at least a potentially disastrous policy to discharge people into care homes from hospitals bearing in mind the danger of passing on?
A. Absolutely, it's potentially dangerous.
Q. Right.
A. -- if unmitigated, but I think the point that paragraph is making is it must not hold up a timely discharge because other mitigating measures may be in place; for example, isolation within the care home.
Q. Right. Now, Mr Lynch goes on to say that not only was it potentially disastrous but any policy of discharging people without testing into care homes was quite a reckless decision to allow this to happen. Now, you've already said that in fact the policy could have allowed it to happen because people were getting discharged at times without tests, and even if they were having tests, the results weren't in by the time of transfer. You agree with that?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Even accepting the evidence you've told us about this morning about the historical difficulties in Northern Ireland's fragile health system meaning there 117
care home managers and providers expressing concern and frustration about what they saw as a requirement by their respective HSCTs to admit new residents from hospital without adequate testing for Covid-19.

Other than through Mr Lynch, what mechanisms were in place for care home managers to convey these concerns?
A. Well, there's Pauline Shepherd's organisation, I think it's IHCP, I think the colleagues in the department were also in very regular dialogue with Pauline about some of the issues that she was raising, and also particularly for some of the larger care homes there was regular dialogue between colleagues in the department and the owners and managers, both of large and small care homes, so there was many points of dialogue.
Q. Do you accept that, in spite of the available points of dialogue, the concerns of care home managers and providers were not adequately taken into account when you gave this guidance in this letter?
A. I mean, I can't argue with the assertion that this letter didn't completely deal with the concerns that were clearly prevalent in some care homes, but through issues like pressures on hospital capacity, pressures on testing capacity, there wasn't a perfect solution to any of these issues. I believe that this letter and the policy we adopted was the best compromise position we 119
has to be a careful balancing act between hospital admissions and discharge, do you agree that nevertheless this striking caveat, that testing must not hold up a timely discharge, is an example of the recklessness Mr Lynch was describing?
A. I don't agree with the use of the word "reckless". I think we -- I mean, it's hugely important to have regard to the care homes and possibly routes of infection into care homes, but it's also of huge importance to have regard to individuals, and we are talking about people in all circumstances, but for an individual who is clinically fit to be discharged from hospital, particularly, as I said, if they're a frail elderly individual, for them to be retained in hospital beyond that is potentially highly dangerous to that individual. So the approach here is about trying to balance a series of risks, and the solution, whilst imperfect, is hopefully achieving the best alignment of all those competing factors.
Q. Well, it's not imperfect, it's completely contradictory, isn't it?
A. Well, I've used the word "imperfect", but I believe it's imperfect rather than contradictory.
Q. In his statement Mr Lynch goes on to state that he had been receiving calls during March and April 2020 from 118
could reach in terms of managing all those competing tensions.
MR WILCOCK: Thank you very much. I've no further questions.
LADY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Wilcock.
Thank you for your help, Mr Pengelly.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
LADY HALLETT: I know you've already helped and I do understand the burden that we place upon witnesses like you, but I'm afraid I can't say we won't call upon you again because, as you may know, I have a health module coming up in the autumn. So thank you for your help today.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
(The witness withdrew)
LADY HALLETT: I shall return at 2 o'clock.
( 1.00 pm )

## (The short adjournment)

( 2.00 pm )
LADY HALLETT: Professor Young, I hope you weren't brought
here this morning "just in case", and if you were, may I apologise for keeping you waiting.
THE WITNESS: Not at all.
PROFESSOR IAN STUART YOUNG (affirmed) Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY for MODULE 2C
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MS DOBBIN: May I ask you to give your full name to the Inquiry, please.
A. Ian Stuart Young.
Q. I think, Professor Young, you ought to have a witness statement in front of you which you signed on 31 January of this year.
A. Yes.
Q. Are you content that that witness statement is true to the best of your knowledge and belief?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you.

Professor Young, I wanted to start, if I may, by asking you some questions about your background. You're obviously here to give evidence because you were the Chief Scientific Adviser to the Department of Health, but prior to 2020 I think that it's right that you held that role in conjunction with a number of other positions, or you had a number of other professional roles; is that correct?
A. That is correct, and I do continue to hold other roles as the CSA role is a part-time role --
Q. Yes.
A. -- within Northern Ireland.
Q. So I think it's right that prior to the pandemic it was -- the commitment was for three days a week; is that 121
my CSA commitment; the other two aspects of the job are as head of profession for the healthcare science workforce, which constitutes over 2,500 healthcare scientists in Northern Ireland working in over 50 separate disciplines; and the third aspect of the job was to provide scientific advice.
Q. Would it be correct in terms of the specialisation that you brought to the role of CSA, is that better seen as being clinical expertise or is it better categorised as scientific expertise, or is there no real difference between the two?
A. No, it was very much scientific expertise. As well as being medically qualified, I have a basic science degree and whenever the CSA role was advertised it was open either to medically qualified or scientifically qualified candidates. I was appointed, I think, based on my scientific credentials rather than my medical credentials, so medical advice within the context of the department came very much from the CMO and Deputy CMOs; my role was to provide scientific advice.
Q. And I think it's right to say as well that your role was specific to the Department of Health rather than being a cross-departmental role; is that also right?
A. That's correct, yes. My role was specifically and exclusively within the Department of Health.
right?
A. Yes, the commitment was for the equivalent of three days per week worked in a flexible way.
Q. And I think it's also right that you were a professor of medicine at Queen's University as well; is that correct?
A. That is correct, yes.
Q. And did you have a particular specialisation as a professor?
A. Yes, my interests are in laboratory medicine and nutrition, both in relation to my academic work and also clinically, because I had a clinical job as a medical professional within Belfast Health and Social Care Trust.
Q. Is it right that that role was one of a clinical pathologist then?
A. Technically a chemical pathologist, which is one of the branches of pathology.
Q. What you explain in your witness statement was that prior to 2020 a principal focus of the Chief Scientific Adviser's work was that of research and development; is that correct?
A. Yes, there are three aspects to the role of the CSA: one is as director of research and development for health and social care in Northern Ireland, and that was the component of the job which occupied the greater part of 122
Q. You explain in your witness statement, and I'm sure this will be clear as we consider your evidence as well, that you worked very closely with the CMO; is that correct?
A. I worked very closely with the CMO, and particularly during the pandemic. Prior to the pandemic I was largely independent but reported to the CMO and met with him on a reasonably regular basis, but during the pandemic we worked together very closely.
Q. All right, and I should have made that distinction clear because when the pandemic started and when you came back to your post in March of 2020, I think it's right that at that point in time it then became a full-time post; is that correct?
A. Yes, it became the equivalent of a full-time post, I think is what l've said, meaning significantly over 40 hours per week, often much, much more than that, but in fact I continued to do my clinical work in the hospital throughout the pandemic and also some academic work at times.
Q. All right.

Just in terms of your working relationship with the CMO, I think it's right you took up your CSA position in 2015?
A. Yes.
Q. That's right, and did you overlap with the CMO in other 124
professional roles or was it only in your capacity of CSA that you had overlapped with him or did overlap?
A. The CMO is ultimately responsible for all medical professionals within Northern Ireland, so in that sense --
Q. Yes.
A. -- I overlap with him, but in relation to the department, it was purely around scientific advice, the CSA role, and research and development, as I have described.
Q. All right. So, Professor Young, just returning then to the first few months of 2020, I know that you've set out in your statement that you went on leave in or around 12 February; is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. But what you say is that you didn't play any part in the response to the pandemic up and until that point; is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. So I think there had been a number of developments in the Department of Health in January. I think, for example, it had an emergency operating centre that was operational from January, and we know that COBR was on foot from 24 January, and we know, for example, that Sir Patrick Vallance, who is the UK Chief Scientific 125
necessary to have additional scientific input beyond what they were obtaining already from other sources.

I recall having some general discussions, at least with some of my DA equivalents, and I think their involvement at that early stage was also very patchy, so the expectation was that we might be called on at a later stage.
Q. I just want to focus on you, if I may, rather than other colleagues in other administrations. Would it be correct to say, then, that at that early stage in the pandemic you didn't see a role for yourself within the response; rather, you were waiting, I think, as you've explained, to be called upon?
A. I think that's correct, yes.

LADY HALLETT: I appreciate Ms Dobbin said don't talk about other jurisdictions, could I just ask this question: other jurisdictions you have a Chief Scientific Officer as well as scientific officers for individual departments. When you're talking about colleagues, are you talking about colleagues who were Chief Scientific Officers for their jurisdiction or who were scientific officers for a particular department?
A. So I'm talking about the Chief Scientific Advisers for the --

LADY HALLETT: Did I say "officers"? Sorry, advisers. 127

Adviser, attended COBR from the outset.
Was there a reason why you hadn't been integrated into that part of the Department of Health response at that early stage?
A. I guess that's a question that would need to be directed to others, rather than to me. I mean, I can observe that I wasn't integrated into the response at that stage. I was aware of the emerging pandemic from other areas of my professional life in particular, and my understanding was that the lead in terms of response was coming from CMO and other colleagues within the Department of Health, and my expectation was that I would be called on to provide scientific input whenever they felt that was necessary, and with that in mind I was doing my best to keep up with scientific developments in the wider literature.
Q. And why didn't you put yourself forward at that time and say that the CSA ought to be part of the response in January until mid-February?
A. Well, my experience of working in the department was that I was available for advice in a large number of areas. There were a number of areas where I had been called on to give advice, for example around obesity, alcohol, rare diseases, genomics, so -- and policy colleagues would call me in when they felt it was 126
A. That's okay. The Chief Scientific Advisers for the departments of health, specifically in Wales and Scotland, which is where -- which I viewed as my equivalents.
LADY HALLETT: Thank you.
MS DOBBIN: Thank you, my Lady.
So, Professor Young, just coming back then to you, you've set out in your witness statement that you returned to your post on 23 March, having been on leave, and obviously a number of things had taken place in your absence, and I think by that stage Covid was well established in Northern Ireland, wasn't it, and test and trace had been stopped in Northern Ireland on 12 March?
A. Yes
Q. That's right. There had been the announcement of work from home on 16 March in Northern Ireland.
A. Yes.
Q. School closures had been announced on 18 March to become effective on 23 March, I think, is that also --
A. Well, I haven't got that document in front of me, but yes, that seems to be --
Q. You can take it from me that's not controversial.

On 22 March there had been an announcement of the 2-metre rule; do you recollect that?
A. I couldn't give you the exact dates but --
Q. Don't worry, I don't think any of that's controversial. But in any event, obviously a number of very significant decisions had taken place in your absence. But there obviously wasn't a CSA in post during that period of time; do you understand why no-one had stepped in to take that position during those very important stages of the response?
A. So within the department there wasn't any deputy to my position, so there I think wasn't anyone obvious within the department to step up, but my understanding would be that other colleagues, mainly medically qualified colleagues, would have been stepping in to fill the gaps, and that in addition scientific input or information to the Department of Health would have been coming via UK-wide sources.
Q. All right.
A. But, yes, I recognise that there was a gap.
Q. I mean, I think the important point, Professor Young, is that we know that it wasn't until you came back that a number of important parts of the response were instituted; for example, it was only when you came back -- and I think you drove this -- that there was any modelling capacity in Northern Ireland; is that correct?
A. So the week before I returned to work, I contacted the CMO and had a conversation with him about what he felt 129
A. So that is correct, and again I think that there were observers from Northern Ireland, is my understanding, at the majority of the SAGE meetings, if not all, and that the outputs and minutes from SAGE meetings were being received by the CMO. But following my return to work, I wrote to SAGE secretariat and asked that I join as a full member of SAGE.
Q. Just in terms of the suggestion that someone from Northern Ireland was attending as an observer -- I mean, it may be that these exist, I don't think we've seen, for example, any notes being provided as to what had been discussed or the nuance of any of the debate at SAGE meetings -- was anyone actually formally reporting back on what was being said at SAGE?
A. So I know that there are some notes or read-outs from SAGE meetings which were provided by observers, because in terms of preparing for this Inquiry l've certainly seen some examples of those. I can't speak to how comprehensive they were. The SAGE minutes, as you'll realise, unfortunately do not record for early meetings all of those who were present; in particular they don't record the observers who were present. So in terms of Northern Ireland attendance, I understand an effort has been made to reconstruct who was present as an observer for those meetings, and that that information is
would be the most important things that I could do on my return to work, and establishing modelling capacity was, I think, the thing that was top of the list at that stage, although obviously there were a number of other things which were also important and which I looked to take ahead
Q. And again, why was that contingent upon you being in position? Was that because there weren't other obvious candidates to be able to take that work forward?
A. So I think -- I can't answer that, obviously, and again it's a question that would need to be better addressed by someone else. Certainly I thought that the amount of data which was available close to my return even was extremely limited in Northern Ireland, and in terms of effective modelling, the key to it is having effective data inputs to allow the modellers to work. I think it would have been possible to do some modelling before my return to work, but that there would have been considerable uncertainties around that, even greater uncertainties than the initial modelling which we did due to the greatly limited data inputs.
Q. I'm going to come back to the limited data, if I may, shortly. I think it's also right that in your absence there wasn't anyone who had membership of SAGE in Northern Ireland; is that correct? 130
available.
Q. Right.
A. But I don't think observer status is as useful as full member and participant status at SAGE meetings.
Q. Yes. So I think what you say in your statement was it was only by being a member obviously that you could actually take part in the debates, or put forward, for example, a Northern Irish perspective on what was being discussed; is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. I think what's also right is that there wasn't a body or an advisory body who were synthesising information coming out of SAGE for the purposes of a Northern Irish audience either, that was something that you instituted when you came back as well?
A. Yes, that's also correct.
Q. I think it is right that the CMO says that he received papers back from SAGE, but one imagines, given the pressures that he was under as well, the ability of a group of advisers to synthesise that and provide best information, and from other sources as well, must be quite important?
A. Certainly that became increasingly important as the pandemic progressed, and the volume of papers and length of papers being considered by SAGE increased. So yes, 132

I think being able to synthesise that and provide summary condensed information or advice and then any detail that was necessary to help inform CMO's advice was important.
Q. Again, can you explain why that wasn't set up until you were back in your post, and I think it was 27 March perhaps when the first meeting of that group took place?
A. In terms of the strategic intelligence group, it was actually 27 April, I think, the first meeting --
Q. I'm sorry, that's what I meant.
A. -- rather than 27 March, before that took place. And I think -- certainly whenever I returned to work, I was meant to be returning on a phased return to work, that didn't happen. I was working very extended hours, seven days a week, and there was a lot to get up to speed with and a lot of information to synthesise. So it was towards the middle/end of April before I got round to establishing a broader group of experts to help with the work of analysing SAGE and other scientific inputs in the context of Northern Ireland.
Q. But again that sounds as though that was being very much driven by you, as opposed to being part of an institutional response to the structures that existed in the United Kingdom?
A. In terms of establishing our own scientific advisory 133

United Kingdom, that that was restricted to membership of one scientific adviser to Northern Ireland; is that right?
A. Yes, that's correct. After I took up post in 2015,

I requested to join that scientific network, that was declined by the UK Government, CSA, and it was explained that they would allow one Northern Ireland CSA to attend. Northern Ireland does not have a cross-government CSA, as is present in Wales, Scotland, or Patrick Vallance in the case of London. There is just myself, and CSA in DAERA, to cover agriculture and -- here. And it was agreed that the DAERA CSA, whose appointment was full time and who had been in post longer than me, would be a Northern Ireland link to the CSA network.

However, again I think that that was not any substitute for being able to participate --
Q. Yes.
A. -- in the network as all of the London departmental CSAs, for example, are able to.
Q. In terms of during the pandemic itself, did that remain the position, that you weren't able to attend that group?
A. It did. During the pandemic it was probably less important, because SAGE was driving the scientific 135
group, yes, that was an internal Northern Ireland decision rather than part of a UK structure, and it was driven by the CMO and myself.
Q. Yes, and again just trying to understand why that hadn't taken place at an earlier point or why it wasn't instigated until you came back, what was the reason for that?
A. Well, again it's a question probably better directed to others, but I suspect it was to do with the very small number of individuals in the Department of Health covering a much larger number of areas than is the case for the other administrations in the UK. People were just under so much pressure with so much to do that it was impossible to address absolutely everything.
Q. So even though it's something that would have assisted in the -- assisted them, those very few people with all of those responsibilities, it was just a question of not having the capacity to set that sort of structure up?
A. I think, as I said, it's a question best directed to others, but, you know, I suspect that that was absolutely one of the reasons.
Q. All right.

The other point that you address in your witness statement was that in terms of the Chief Scientific Advisers' network that existed within the 134
response to the pandemic rather than it being driven through the CSA network, but certainly that remains the case to today, that it's not possible for me to be a member of the CSA network.
Q. All right.

Professor Young, I want to move on, if I may, then, just to ask you about some of the statistics in Northern Ireland. I was going to take you to one of the slides that was shown at the outset of Module 2C starting.

So this is INQ000472397.
This slide, and I think it's a slide that you might be familiar with, provides a broad picture as to how the pandemic progressed in Northern Ireland, and I just wanted to establish if we had common ground with you, Professor Young.

So the first part of the graph, that shows the -effectively the first wave, doesn't it, or the first spike, and that we see from March -- sorry, from January onwards, but peaking in the April time; is that right?
A. Yes, and just before we go through this, just to make a preliminary comment. So I think the shape of the pandemic is essentially the same with three waves, but the relative size of the peaks in the pandemic varies greatly, depending on whether you look at deaths -136
Q. Yes.
A. -- or ICU occupancy or hospital occupancy or cases, and I'm happy to explore the reasons for that. But this graph shows the shape of the pandemic in terms of deaths --
Q. Yes.
A. -- and it would be different if we looked at some other parameters, although still having those same three waves.
Q. I mean, obviously deaths is one of the most important means by which to measure a response to a pandemic; do you agree?
A. Absolutely, yes.
Q. If we look, then, at what this shows, it suggests obviously the first peak was -- although the peak was high, it was for a much shorter period of time, wasn't it?
A. Yes, it was a relatively short and sharp initial wave, yes.
Q. Then it levelled off after July 2020, and then we see it start to pick up again, and I think I'd be assisted if you can help: do we see that the growth, the initial growth, when it starts to ascend quite sharply, is that in and around the September time of 2020 or is it earlier than that?
would be anything from five days to quite a long time after admission to hospital.
Q. All right. We're going to come back and look at that in much more detail in terms of what happened in December, but I think it's right then, when we look at the -- I'm calling it the second wave, but looking at that much longer period leading up to January 2021 and thereafter, is it right to effectively characterise this as a single wave but a much longer wave in time than the first wave?
A. Yes, and we would have described that at the time as wave 2 --
Q. Yes.
A. -- and peaking in January 2021.
Q. But I think it's also probably important -- obviously the point about January 2021 is important, but nonetheless the rate of deaths was going up at quite a sharp ascent right up until that period of time, wasn't it?
A. There was a difficult plateau in the autumn months of 2020 , really from October onwards with a relatively high rate of deaths, unfortunately, rising and falling a little bit, and then rising to a significantly higher peak in January 2021.
Q. Yes. We'll come back to that, but I just wanted to make sure that we were in broad agreement in terms of how it
A. So in terms of deaths, it would be towards the end of September, but in terms of following the progress of the pandemic, deaths are the end stage --
Q. Yes.
A. -- of a process which starts with a case. So they're a lagging marker of the progression, and if we were to look at cases we would see them rising certainly from the beginning of August --
Q. Yes.
A. -2020.
Q. That's obviously really important, Professor Young, and I'm going to come back to that just to understand what happened.

Can you just help us, then, generally with what the lag is in Northern Ireland between case numbers rising and deaths rising?
A. So the lag is something which was observed everywhere and was largely the same. It did change a little bit during different phases of the pandemic, but essentially case numbers -- cases occurring through to risk of hospital admission was about ten days.
Q. Yes.
A. And then obviously hospital admissions would be rising around ten days afterwards, and then deaths tended to have a much longer window, unfortunately, you know, so 138
looks, because I think it will be important when we look at what happened in the autumn. Thank you, that can come down.

I also wanted to check whether or not you agree, Professor Young, with the evidence that Professor Hale has given to the Inquiry that effectively, when we look at the first wave of the pandemic in Northern Ireland, the decision to go into a national lockdown was at an earlier stage in the development of the pandemic in Northern Ireland as compared, for example, to England?
A. Yes, I do agree with that.
Q. And I think that brings us neatly back to you coming back into post on 23 March, which was the day that that was announced. When you came back, did you understand that that was essentially a fait accompli, that that was what was going to happen, or was that a matter of discussion within the Department of Health?
A. So whenever I came back, I felt that it was inevitable that there needed to be severe, strong non-pharmaceutical interventions, effectively lockdown, as we came to refer to it, and that there was no alternative at that stage. Now, I can't remember whether it was still a matter of discussion or not immediately when I came back to work, because I was not initially part of those discussions, I was focused on 140
establishing the modelling group and integrating with UK scientific networks, et cetera. But certainly when I came back, I was of the view that that was the only possible intervention or outcome which could take place.
Q. All right, and was that because you were confident that the spread in Northern Ireland had reached such a level that it was the only step that could be taken so as not to overwhelm the health services?
A. Yes, that was my view at the time, and I'm sure we may return to this, but there was extremely limited testing capacity available at that point, so really very little evidence on which to estimate the actual prevalence of the virus in the community, as we got very good at doing later in the pandemic, but certainly my view at that time is that there was relatively wide community transmission already taking place, we just weren't able to detect it due to lack of testing capacity, and therefore the only intervention, in the absence of testing to prevent it, was effectively a lockdown.
Q. Just in terms of why you were confident that that was the position despite the lack of testing, was that because of the numbers of people who were arriving at hospital or was it a sort of scientific assessment on your part that if it looks like that in England and it looks like that in the Republic of Ireland -- I don't 141

First of all, is it your position that, as a matter of epidemiology, that the whole island was effectively one unit and that the virus was behaving in that way within the island?
A. Yes, I agree that Ireland was a single epidemiological unit, and in my opinion the epidemic proceeded in a broadly similar way across the island of Ireland, but I think that's only a partial truth, because as well as that, the islands of Great Britain and Ireland were a single epidemiological unit due to the existence of the Common Travel Area --
Q. Yes.
A. -- and therefore the epidemic was also proceeding as one entity across the islands of Great Britain and Ireland. So there was something separate about Ireland as a single epidemiological unit, but it couldn't be considered in isolation from Great Britain due to the existence of the Common Travel Area.
Q. If we may stick on Ireland just for a moment, the MoU obviously foresaw that there would be a value in having co-operation about modelling, but we know that that wasn't ultimately possible in terms of having joint modelling; is that correct?
A. I think that's correct, and the reason it wasn't possible is that it wouldn't have been meaningful due to 143
know if that was the case -- but if it looks like that in those jurisdictions, that's probably what it's like in Northern Ireland?
A. So it was looking like that everywhere in Europe, and, you know, obviously there was somewhat larger number of cases that had been reported in England and some cases in the Republic of Ireland, but given the trajectory of the pandemic in Europe, particularly north Italy, it was inconceivable to me that it would do anything else other than proceed in a similar way in Northern Ireland, unless there was some very strong intervention. So it was a scientific assessment rather than a data-driven assessment.
Q. That's a much neater way of putting it, thank you.

Just in terms about -- I just want to stay on the issue of the physical island of Ireland, we know that an MoU was entered into between, I think it was in fact the Department of Health in Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland, and that it foresaw, and I'm going to summarise it, there would be a high degree of co-operation, effectively, between the Republic of Ireland and Northern Ireland, specifically it foresaw that there would be co-operation on modelling. There was just a few things about that that I wanted to ask you.
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the existence of considerable differences in terms of data collection and recording, and if we take the example of deaths, as that is what we were looking at --
Q. Yes.
A. -- in terms of the preceding graph, within Northern Ireland deaths are recorded within seven days and reported. Within the Republic of Ireland, it's not necessary to report deaths for up to three months. So given those sorts of differences in terms of how deaths are reported and recorded, it becomes impossible to do any meaningful modelling with such different data flows.

So I did have quite extensive conversations and discussions with modelling colleagues in the Republic of Ireland to explore options. We certainly shared data and outputs. I think there were occasions when we used our models on the Republic of Ireland, and occasions when they looked at our data with their models, but to combine the data, given the differences in it, would not have been meaningful or helpful. Indeed, it might have been misleading to one or both of us.
Q. But would it have been useful if it had have been possible?
A. I think it would have been useful if the intention was to make similar policy decisions. Where there were 144
different policy decisions across the two jurisdictions, it would have been less useful, you know, so -- and that's obviously a matter of politics. I mean, I've said that I viewed Ireland as a single epidemiological unit, which I did. I note that SPI-M and its modelling groups very quickly began not to do UK modelling but to report modelling for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland, for much the -- in other words they didn't think that combined UK modelling would be particularly useful, something I agreed with for other reasons. And also, you know, in fact the gap between Northern Ireland and Scotland is less than the gap between the North and South Islands of New Zealand, and New Zealand worked very well as a single epidemiological unit, in many ways set an interesting example, because there were similar policy decisions governing the entire country of New Zealand and both the North and South Islands. So in terms of the modelling, we could have done it, but there were so many limitations that certainly my view was -- and I think the view of many others -- that it wouldn't have been useful.
Q. Just in terms of understanding why it wouldn't be useful to know what was happening in Ireland in order to inform future policy choices, there's obviously a distinction between the two things. Why is it not useful to know 145
level, but between counterparts within departments, within Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland, was that predicated upon pre-existing relationships and co-operation or was that something that became established during the pandemic?
A. Prior to the pandemic, and I'm sure the CMO would speak to this, my understanding is that there were regular contacts and meetings between the CMOs. I can't speak to the content of those, because I wasn't involved in them.

My closest equivalent would have been, I think, chief scientist to the Irish Government, who was also heavily involved in research and development, and I would have met regularly with him in the context of research and development.

But, as I say, there wasn't a Chief Scientific
Adviser in the same sense, so I had very limited contacts with other parts of the Irish Government or Department of Health.
Q. The other sequel, I suppose, to this point, is that certainly the Inquiry is aware that it's still very difficult to compare outcomes in the Republic of Ireland to those in Northern Ireland. So obviously the problem about the three-month lag ought not to be a problem when it comes to being able to compare this far down the
epidemiologically what's happening in one part of an island in order to inform what might happen in another part in a week's time, for example?
A. Well, I think we did know that and shared that information in the regular meetings which took place following the signing of the memorandum of understanding with the CMOs and Deputy CMOs, and which I attended.
And the Republic of Ireland didn't have a scientific adviser in the same sense, but were -- sometimes modellers and scientists from the Republic of Ireland attended. We each shared in detail what our modelling was showing for our part of the island and what was happening in terms of progress of the epidemic.

So we tried to get the benefit of knowing what was happening from the modelling without the confounding of combining datastreams that were not equivalent in unhelpful ways.

It's a bit like a basket of fruit and you say, "Oh, there's six pieces of fruit in that basket", but actually there are three apples and three pears, and what you need to know is the number of apples and pears, it's not the number of pieces of fruit in the overall basket.
Q. All right. In terms of the co-operation that existed between officials -- I was going to say at official 146
line. First of all, is that right?
A. I think that -- I think that the three months is a guideline. I think my understanding is that sometimes, in terms of recording deaths, the delay in the Republic of Ireland can be even rather greater than that, and indeed I know they had a cyber attack which impacted, in 2021, on their deaths reporting system as well and attention to which has been drawn by other international bodies seeking to make comparisons.

I think ultimately it will and should be possible to make comparisons, and I think it will be important to do that. But at the moment I'm not sure that the data is sufficiently reconciled to allow it to be done in a meaningful way.
Q. It's 2024, we're a considerable point past the pandemic ending; are those kind of efforts to be able to make the data comparable ongoing in Northern Ireland?
A. So in terms of data available in Northern Ireland, there are a lot of efforts ongoing to make sure that our data is comparable and feeds are comparable to those in England, Scotland and Wales, and the way, ideally, that it would be aligned is that data from the Republic of Ireland would also be comparable to data through the UK. It would be difficult, from our point of view, to have complete alignment with everybody 148
unless the other countries are committed to being aligned with each other, and that's above my head in terms of --
Q. Yes, I'm just -- it's obviously very striking that this far down the line it's being suggested that it isn't possible to compare outcomes in the Republic of Ireland with those in the North of Ireland, and you've suggested that there are obstacles to that, because some deaths might be registered longer than three months in the Republic of Ireland, and they've had -- I think you suggested they had some sort of data breach three years ago. So just coming back to trying to understand why it's still not possible now to compare outcomes in the Republic of Ireland to the North of Ireland, are efforts being made to overcome those, the difficulties to which you've referred?
A. I think it is possible to compare outcomes. The question isn't about whether it's possible to do it. I think the question is how valid those comparisons are, and that's a matter of considerable debate, scientifically and in terms of epidemiology.

Now, I think it's important that it should be done and that researchers should continue to look at it, because I think it's important that we maximise the learning that comes out of the pandemic in order to 149

Republic of Ireland, and we know that Covid outcomes are
worse in areas with larger amounts of socioeconomic deprivation.

Now, all of that would need to be factored into the comparison in terms of understanding it. I don't think it could be -- differences could necessarily be attributed easily to policy differences. It would have to take into account that much wider range of factors.
Q. But there's obviously a distinction between the bare statistics in terms of comparing numbers of deaths between the two jurisdictions and the reasons why deaths might be higher in Northern Ireland; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. But in terms of the reliability of the statistics in terms of comparing -- and again I accept, of course, it's just a bare statistic -- but is there a sufficiently reliable basis upon which to say that deaths were higher in one jurisdiction as compared to the other?
A. I'm not sure I have seen data which has achieved widespread agreement and on which people have been able to make such a comparison.

I'll go back to what I said at the beginning, which is my view that the pandemic proceeded in a broadly similar way across the island of Ireland, and I believe 151
improve our responses to a future pandemic, and part of that has to be trying to understand what happened on the island of Ireland, with all of its complexities in terms of policy decisions, demographics, population density, all of the other things that might influence outcomes in the North of Ireland versus the South of Ireland.
Q. So those seem to be a different set of reasons why it might be complicated to compare the position in the Republic of Ireland to that in Northern Ireland; is that right?
A. So It think there's a set of reasons which relate to the data that's available, how the data was collected and the data flows. So that's one set of reasons.

The second set of reasons is how you would interpret any differences which might be found when you do an analysis. There are reasons, for example, why you might expect there to be a higher number of deaths from Covid in Northern Ireland than in the Republic of Ireland. For example, population density in Northern Ireland is significantly higher than in the Republic of Ireland, and we know that Covid outcomes tend to be worse in areas of higher population density.

Secondly, although people argue about it, there's likely to be a higher degree of socioeconomic deprivation in the North of Ireland than in the 150
that that will have been the case in terms of deaths ultimately, as in terms of other aspects of the pandemic.

But I think more work needs to be done there to understand that and to provide unequivocal evidence.
Q. I'm sure you're aware, but I think you addressed it in your witness statement, the academic criticism that's been made, I think, by a Professor Heenan, that although there are -- that there is co-operation at an operational level, that nonetheless there isn't -that -- and she has, I think, described it as almost deliberate that there isn't data available to be able to compare and that that's almost a strategic decision in order to, I suppose, stop enquiry into why there might be differences. I think that is something that you disagree with in your witness statement; is that right?
A. I think what I've said is that I've absolutely never seen any evidence of that in my interaction, certainly in the Department of Health. Rather, I've seen a degree of enthusiasm for working as much as possible on an all-island basis and for looking to be able to conduct comparisons.
Q. I'm going to move on, if I may, Professor.

In terms of the limitations in data in
Northern Ireland at the outset of the pandemic, we've 152
touched on this, but I just -- again to make sure the understanding is clear on the part of the Inquiry, there was obviously very limited testing, I think that that's a given, isn't it, until about mid-March?
A. Well, you know, testing, there was very limited testing to mid-March. In retrospect, mid-March right until the second year of the pandemic there was really quite limited testing.
Q. All right.
A. You know, so test numbers continued to ramp up and were low throughout the first wave.
Q. All right. I'm going to come back and ask you, because I know you obviously played an important part in trying to plan testing in terms of the numbers of people that would be required, for example, for tracing. But I'll come back to that.

Just staying with the limited data streams available, there wasn't data available, I think, in terms of hospital admissions, until quite a late point as well; is that right?
A. So there wasn't reliable data available on hospital admissions until towards the end of April 2020.
Q. And I think it's right as well there wasn't -- we've heard about the Apollo system that reported flu symptoms being reported to primary care, but again, is it right 153
A. Yes.
Q. Did your understanding about that persist for some time, or did you come to understand that that just wasn't the position?
A. I did not understand that that was not the position until August/September 2020.
Q. And was that the position amongst other senior leaders within the Department of Health as well, that they thought that there was a much greater tracing capacity than in fact existed?
A. Well, again that would need to be addressed, I think, to them, but that was certainly my -- my understanding.
Q. I mean, I think we might be able to pick this up -- if we could, please, look at INQ000353671. I think if we could please go, I think we probably need to go to the last page of this. No, the penultimate page. If we could just scroll up, please. Yes, this is the right one.

Professor, this was an email from the Director of Public Health, I think it was to Ms Redmond, who we've seen in the Department of Health, and I think we see the suggestion -- I think, again, the suggestion had been made that some 500 people would be required -- sorry, 300 to 600 people would be required in order to undertake tracing. We see that in the first paragraph. 155
that that didn't provide any particular insight into Covid-19 in Northern Ireland either?
A. So, certainly I began to get information from Apollo later in 2020, as one of many data feeds which was supporting our understanding of the progression of the pandemic, but I did not receive that feed early on, certainly not long after my return to work.
Q. So just coming back, then, to test and tracing, we know, I don't think I need to bring it up because I'm sure you're familiar with the email, it appears you made enquiries in April 2020 of the PHA, and specifically of the Director of Public Health in Northern Ireland, about the number of people who would be required in order to undertake tracing. I think your statement sets out that that was based on, I think it's a European standard for tracing; is that right?
A. Well, it was based on my understanding of best international practice in terms of contact tracing, yes.
Q. And we've seen the email to you from the Director of Public Health that appeared to suggest in terms that 500 people were being trained in order to be able to undertake tracing in Northern Ireland; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And is that what you understood the position to be at that time?
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I apologise. And then "Reflections".
A. So if I just go up to the top, first of all, I think
that that email is to Liz Mitchell rather than Liz Redmond.
Q. Forgive me, yes, I can see that.
A. So -- yes, so, obviously that is an account of a meeting I had in the middle of September or attended in the middle of September where again I reiterated the need for 300 to 600 staff.

So I think at that point I had become aware that they had proceeded with a different model for contact tracing rather than the one that I had given advice on earlier in the year.
Q. I think we can see that, at the very bottom of that page, under "Reflections", the authors are effectively saying that: if we were to try to do that, we would have to effectively double the entire size of the organisation?
A. And that's correct, but that was the magnitude of the task which I had felt was necessary to have an optimally effective contact tracing service, based on best international practice.
Q. I think if we go up this chain, Professor Young, you may be familiar with this. We will see that it's being referred to as "ground hog day", that's the email from 156
the CMO, and then the reference to modelling, the modelling update that had taken place between March and May, when those sorts of figures had been provided, and referring there to the significant incredulity and push-back from PHA that that's what would be required?
A. So I hadn't been aware of the incredulity and push-back. I had given advice and -- certainly that may have been experienced elsewhere in the department but I hadn't been aware of that.
Q. But anyway, this position, it does appear, had persisted between that much earlier indication in April --
A. Yes.
Q. -- that there were not, in fact, 500 staff and this position here.

I think it's right, I don't think I need to take you
to this, but there was an article published in the British Medical Journal where representatives of the PHA had said that they had in fact pushed against the idea that you would ever need this number of people to do tracing, and that they -- I think the suggestion was they had never come close to having that number of people trained.

Is that right?
A. So I am -- I'm now familiar with that article, yes. I can't remember when I became familiar with it. 157
pandemic, largely because there was much more testing available and we were identifying a much higher proportion of cases.

In the summer of 2020, the number of cases became extremely low. I think that was a seasonal effect, and in fact there were no deaths whatsoever at one stage for 10, 12 days in a row --
Q. That's in June 2020, wasn't it?
A. Yes. Suggesting that actually the transmission, the prevalence of the virus was very low, and there was probably enough testing capacity at that stage.

But as the transmission began to increase from the beginning of August or so onwards, and gradually picked up, then -- and became very substantial as we went into autumn, then testing capacity was much more than it had been during wave 1 , but not as high as it was during wave 3. So we were picking up a proportion of cases.

I mean, one of the key learning things for me from the pandemic is the absolute need for much faster roll-out of testing, because so many things, so many aspects of our understanding and our response were inhibited by lack of access to testing.
Q. Right

I just want to -- that, in a way, takes us perhaps to one of the most important phases, then, in the 159

I wasn't familiar with it at the time that it was published. I'm not sure that they pushed back, more that they rejected the advice.
Q. Yes.
A. Rather -- I mean, it's how I interpreted it. And indeed, PHA colleagues were the experts in terms of contact tracing. I would not have claimed to be an expert in that -- in that area.
Q. Well, I think that after you gave your advice in April, this article suggests -- and it says in terms:
"As of 25 May, 78 tracers had been fully trained, says Hugo Van Woerden, the PHA's director of public health."

So a very, very considerable reduction in the number of people who you had suggested needed to be trained?
A. Yes.
Q. In terms of -- you've said that there was limited testing for quite some time in Northern Ireland. Did that tracing capacity then -- presumably it remained quite low for a considerable period of time then during that first year of the pandemic; is that right?
A. So the testing capacity was low right through the first wave, much, much lower, and if you were to look at the actual number of positive cases, et cetera, you would see that it was much, much greater later in the 158
pandemic, which was what happened then after the summer of 2020 , and I think I can do this without -- if I can try and jog your memory a bit --
A. Yes.
Q. -- about some of the chronology, but if I alight on anything that you need to see, please do say, Professor Young.

I think, as you've said, there was a period in June 2020 when there had been no deaths and the picture was quite an optimistic one in terms of what had happened. I think it's right, though, that you and the CMO had both said at quite an early stage that it was almost inevitable that there would be a second wave in Northern Ireland; is that right?
A. The very first modelling paper I prepared, which was at the beginning of April 2020 --
Q. Yes.
A. -- after I returned to work, said that there would be a second wave.
Q. And it was a question of when rather than if that happened?
A. It said that there would be a second wave when the restrictions were relaxed.
Q. Yes, and we -- I won't take you through the decision-making process about how to relax restrictions, 160
but I think it's correct that there was a document called the "Executive Approach to Decision-Making", which was produced in May 2020, that set out the framework by which the Executive Committee would lift restrictions; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. I think that you and the CMO advocated an approach of lifting restrictions, waiting to see what the effect was, and then considering whether to lift another restriction; is that a fair way of putting it?
A. Yes, because in general every time a policy decision was made, either to relax or to introduce a new set of restrictions, it took two to three weeks before we could be confident what effect that was going to have. That was the case throughout the pandemic, and obviously was a source of frustration at times in terms of policy-making, which I understood, in that ministers would have liked to know more quickly what the impact of a past decision has made, but it was always between two and three weeks because of the course of the pandemic.
Q. And I think in terms of the principled approach that was going to be taken, that one of the touchstones -I think there was five -- but one of the touchstones was trying to keep R below 1 ; is that right?
A. That's correct. There was a lot of discussion and 161
people who were driving increasing rates, but that it was understood that that would inevitably lead to a more general rise in rates across the population.
A. Yes, I think that's correct. School holidays here are the entire months of July and August, and evidence of transmission of the pandemic was low, young people tended to be less symptomatic, they were mixing probably quite a bit in social settings, understandably, and most of the cases we were seeing were in younger people, but always it was inevitable that that would spread into other segments of the population.
Q. I'm going to, as it were, ask you about two things in one go, but the Inquiry knows, obviously, that the Eat Out to Help Out scheme was introduced in August 2020, and I think it's right, Professor Young, that that was a -- it was a UK policy, it was introduced in Northern Ireland without anyone making a decision about it or providing advice about it; is that correct?
A. Certainly no scientific or medical advice about it, yes.
Q. And I think that at the same time that it was being introduced, that you and the CMO were advising that there shouldn't be the re-opening of pubs that didn't sell food in Northern Ireland; is that right?
A. That's correct. The CMO and myself were concerned in early August about the increase in transmission, and 163
explanation of $R$, and what $R$ meant, and ministers committed to -- and understood that when $R$ was less than 1, that would mean that the pandemic was declining.
Q. Yes.
A. And when $R$ was above 1 , it would mean the pandemic was increasing. Keeping $R$ below 1 was indeed a stated policy position, but not something that was possible, obviously, as the pandemic progressed.
Q. Yes. So I think we will see that, that in fact very quickly I think it's right that R went above 1 in Northern Ireland, and that I think in fact that must have started in August 2020; is that right?
A. It probably started even before August 2020. For a while in July we stopped reporting $R$, the reason being that there were so few cases of the pandemic. And obviously I was anxious that ministers and the wider public understood uncertainty around R , and placing a numerical value on it could sometimes be misleading. I mean, there was a point when all I could say was that $R$ was somewhere between 0 and 3 because the number of cases were so low, and that was obviously not helpful information. So we stopped producing R for a while. But I think it was above 1 from some point in July.
Q. I think it's right that in July, just generally in terms of the pattern of transmission, that it was mostly young 162

I think what we felt was an inevitable autumn wave that was coming, and we expressed concern about additional relaxations that were proposed, in particular the opening of pubs which did not serve food.
Q. If we could just have a look maybe at the advice that you provided at that time, it's INQ000353624.

I think we can probably pick up at the fourth paragraph that by that stage, Professor Young, you thought the R rate was close to 2 ; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. If we go down just a couple of paragraphs, you pointed out that a delay in re-opening pubs -- so I think it's right -- I jumped ahead slightly -- pubs were due to re-open in and around this time?
A. Yes.
Q. And you point to the fact that there would be dismay in the sector that that wasn't going to be the position, but that was a matter for the Executive; correct?
A. Yes, and this comes back, I think, to the broader point that certainly what I was seeking to do all the time was to give advice on the potential implications of decision-making and to highlight the -- and to discuss the range of decisions that might be made, but was very clear that while I could easily give advice on the short to medium-term impacts of decisions on transmission of 164
the virus, and that I could highlight a range of other issues and concerns, both medical and non-medical, educational, economic, societal, that those could not be quantified in the same way, and that Executive had the very difficult task of considering that advice and taking account of that full range of factors in terms of making policy decisions.
Q. Yes, I'll come back to some of the decisions that were made, but just sticking on this document for a moment, I think you were making the point as well in the last paragraph that schools were about to re-open and that keeping schools re-open or making sure that they re-opened after the holiday was one of the components of the decision-making that had to be fed in at this stage --
A. Yes.
Q. -- is that right? In other words, you can't have every -- you may not be able to have everything, and that if you want schools to open, that's important.
A. Certainly one of our lessons was that it was very, very difficult, if not impossible, to have R below 1 , hospitality open and schools functioning normally. That seemed to be just a combination which was not compatible with R less than 1 , in our experience.
Q. I think it's right pubs didn't re-open at this point, 165
A. I made that point repeatedly. With the best will in the world, you know, people eating indoors without face coverings, in properties where, often, ventilation was quite limited, they can't be Covid-secure. The idea that they could be was naive.
MS DOBBIN: Thank you, Professor.
My Lady, I don't know if that's a good point to have the break, or if that -- I was going to move on, but if you want me to keep going ...
LADY HALLETT: No, that's fine. It's slightly early. How are we doing for timing?
MS DOBBIN: I think we're fine for timing.
LADY HALLETT: So if I said 3.30, are you okay for timing?
MS DOBBIN: If we could come back slightly before that --
LADY HALLETT: 25 past.
MS DOBBIN: Thank you.
( 3.12 pm )

## (A short break)

( 3.25 pm )
LADY HALLETT: Ms Dobbin.
MS DOBBIN: Thank you.
Professor Young, just before that short adjournment I think we had just left the position as it was at in August 2020, and again I just wanted to pick up the chronology with you, if I may, and again if you need to 167
they opened a bit later, I think it's in September --
A. Yes, yes, I think so --
Q. -- I need to check my notes --
A. -- yes.
Q. -- but just coming back to the Eat Out to Help Out scheme, presumably it wasn't helpful to have a scheme that encouraged people to go and socialise or to eat at this point when, for example, you're trying to discourage people from going to pubs, for example?
A. So it certainly wasn't helpful in terms of the transmission of the epidemic. I think it probably was very helpful for the restaurant sector in economic terms. So, like so many other decisions, there were pros and cons of it, but from the point of view of its impact on transmission of the epidemic, it was definitely not helpful, although I can't put a number on the extent to which it caused increased transmission.
LADY HALLETT: It should also be pointed out that when I heard evidence in Module 2, the suggestion was that the Eat Out to Help Out scheme was meant to be in a Covid-secure -- as far as you can be "Covid-secure" -environment.
A. That's correct, and I was generally unhappy with the idea of Covid-secure environments.
LADY HALLETT: Exactly. I put it in inverted commas. 166
go to any documents in order that I can demonstrate any of these dates, then please do say.

I think it's right that in terms of the general picture of the transmission of the virus, the picture just continued to get worse between August and September; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And that ultimately what was advised was that the Executive Committee consider bringing in some localised restrictions and that was -- I think those were brought into place or that that was decided upon on 10 December 2020?
A. I think 10 September.
Q. Yes.
A. Yes, sorry.
Q. Sorry, did I --
A. I thought you said December there.
Q. Sorry, I think it was the way I said it -- 10 September in 2020. So that was, as I understand it, Professor Young, in recognition of the fact that there were certain localities where transmission rates were particularly high; is that right?
A. That's correct, yes. So at that stage there were marked differences in terms of transmission of the pandemic in different regions of Northern Ireland, and in line with 168
other parts of the UK and Ireland it was felt that it was worth trying the effect of localised restrictions to reduce transmission, although I think we all recognised that the impacts of that were uncertain and at best would be transient in all likelihood.
Q. Yes. I mean, I think in Northern Ireland it was almost -- and I don't mean this in a pejorative way -experimental because it hadn't been done before in terms of the effect that it would produce.
A. I mean, it had been tried in some other parts of the UK and Ireland. It was a trial, yes, to see if it would be effective. And the evidence that we had suggested that there was some benefit, but, against the context of a pandemic which was increasing in most other parts of Northern Ireland, at best that was going to be -- that was a transient effect.
Q. I think it's right just to record that at that point in time, I think it's right, that you and the CMO were very concerned about the picture of transmission as it was at that point?
A. Yes, we were flagging up concerns about the trajectory of the pandemic and recognising that, you know, we were in an exponential growth phase, as people sometimes talk about, so during such a phase particularly, once you get to higher levels of transmission, you get a very rapid 169
was discussed at earlier Executive meetings than that,
but there may have been specific recommendations put on
8 October. But from memory I think the advice was conveyed to the Executive without specific recommendations coming out of it at earlier meetings.
Q. All right. I think we have it at paragraph 422 of your statement, I don't think we need to go to it, but I think --
A. I'm not sure my statement goes to 422 paragraphs.
Q. No, as soon as I said it I was thinking that that's not right, I think it's the CMO's statement deals with it at paragraph 422. But let me just check that you agree with him, in that what he says was:
"At that time, it was our view that realistically a circuit breaker or lockdown was needed."

Does that correspond with your recollection at that time?
A. Yes, we -- yes, it does, that we definitely felt that a circuit-breaker or lockdown was needed by 8 October, yes, definitely.
Q. I think maybe if we can bring this up on the screen so that I can remind you of it.

It's INQ000065756, please, and I think it's page 7.
Perhaps if we just look at page 1 , just so that I can demonstrate the date to you, Professor Young.
A. So I would have to see that. I think that that advice 170

So that is the meeting that took place on 8 October 2020 of the Executive Committee, and I think it's right that you attended this meeting in order to address the Executive Committee?
A. Yes.
Q. And again, was that to convey to them how serious the position was and the point that had been reached?
A. Yes, it was. At that stage I was attending most, if not all, Executive meetings, and providing regular updates in terms of the situation. So at earlier Executive meetings than that, I was telling them it was a serious situation, but by 8 October I think we'd reached the point where there was a realistic danger of the healthcare system becoming overwhelmed if there was not a rapid intervention.
Q. Yes, I'm not putting this to you on the basis that this was late in the day, it's really just to try and understand --
A. Yes.
Q. -- what the position that had been reached by 8 October.

LADY HALLETT: Can I just interrupt for a second.
Professor, there are those who question the need ever to have a lockdown. Would you like to explain why earlier in the year, and now again in the autumn, you felt that a lockdown was inevitable?
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A. So ultimately -- ultimately our pathway out of the pandemic -- and we said this from a very early stage in other documents which you will have access to -required us to achieve a high level of population immunity, preferably through vaccination, but also as a result of natural exposure to the virus. At that stage, vaccination was still a number of months away, and there were not really any very effective treatments in terms of antivirals or other interventions to reduce the risk of death. So our concern was that the hospital system in particular would become overwhelmed by very ill patients who would mainly be older patients with Covid, and that that would lead to a very large number of deaths over a short period of time.

The only way to avoid that was to greatly lower the level of transmission of the virus in the community. At that stage, it was clear to us that that required R to be below 1 , and furthermore to attain a fairly rapid fall-off in transmission it needed to be as far below 1 as possible.

Now, the best we ever achieved in terms of R was around 0.7 , perhaps slightly below, and that was with what came to be known as full lockdown. So it required people essentially to stay at home, to minimise their contacts, for everything other than essential retail to 173
consequences, which we continued to highlight, you know, loneliness, challenged mental health disturbances, people gaining weight, people drinking too much, all of those other consequences of severe restrictions, which we couldn't easily quantify but which needed to be considered in qualitative terms by ministers who were trying to reach enormously difficult decisions.

You know, the easiest thing in the world would have been to -- almost to stop transmission of the virus by having an indefinite complete lockdown until such time as we could roll out vaccination, but that was clearly not something that was ever feasible in terms of the indirect costs of the restrictions, because in many ways the restrictions were as damaging as the pandemic itself, and always ministers were trying to balance the harms of allowing transmission with the harms of the restrictions.
MS DOBBIN: I think we'll come back to -- and the Chair has perhaps foreseen what I was going to ask.
LADY HALLETT: I'm sorry.
MS DOBBIN: Not at all, because I think in fact it's right, that the lockdown that was -- we will see the lockdown that was brought in in fact didn't involve the closure of schools, children -- I think there was a two-week extended --
be closed, and for schools to be off. And we'd reached this point in October, when we felt we really needed to get $R$ down to 0.7. I think I was shown that on a -I think I'm shown as saying that in a later stage of this statement. And the only way to achieve that was full lockdown for a period.
LADY HALLETT: Full lockdown of course has enormous other consequences.
A. Yes, it does, and we absolutely highlighted those consequences and highlighted the alternatives that schools could be kept open, for example, in which case R would be about 0.9.

And what would the implications of that be? Well, a rather slower fall-off in transmission of the epidemic, so fairly high persistent levels of transmission, high hospital pressures through the autumn period.

And alternatively you could have closed schools and you could have opened hospitality, I think, and you would have had something around the same result based on our modelling and understanding, so R might have been a little bit below 1. And again, we described that as a possible course of action to try to address economic consequences or educational consequences.

There were all the broader ones in terms of societal 174
A. Yes, two weeks of half-term for children, and then two weeks back, yes.
Q. So I think it's -- at this point it's just trying to understand the dynamic, as it were --
A. Yes.
Q. -- because I think it's right, if we go, please, to page 7 of this, I think we understand -- it helps to understand perhaps the counterviews that were being pressed at this point in time. Now, these are handwritten notes, this isn't an agreed minute or anything like that, but perhaps it gives us some idea. But the idea that you were looking for a damaging approach, I think we see as well on this page as well, that this particular minister was saying that there was -- that I think you and the CMO perhaps were afraid to say where the problem is. I'll ask you about that in a separate question, but I think we start to see here perhaps the suggestion that rather than you advising that your -- I think we can see here the words are "looking for a damaging approach" as opposed to just advising that this is what we think, as the experts, might be required.
A. And I think if you go on to look further in those handwritten notes, which you're right are not an agreed minute --
Q. Yes.
A. -- I think the Minister of Health is quoted as saying the CSA's not looking for anything --
Q. Yes.
A. -- he's giving advice on the impacts of a range of possible interventions and outcomes, which is exactly what I was doing, and I understood that some ministers were unhappy with the information they were receiving, and there was certainly plenty of robust discussion, which I thought was entirely appropriate in terms of the science being questioned, to which I did my best to respond and provide additional explanations, but always I think that I sought to provide -- and I think the minutes will support this -- advice on a wide range of options, including the benefits in terms of reducing transmission, but also highlighting the areas where there would be harms in terms of the interventions, and those were always in, I think, both the mind of myself and the CMO when we gave advice. So, no, I would say I was not looking for any approach --
Q. Yes --
A. -- I was advising ministers on implications --
Q. Yes, decisions -- sorry, I didn't mean to cut across
you. It was certainly by no means an allegation that you were overstepping the mark, I think the question was
ten days away from having its health services overwhelmed.

Is that something that you recollect at that point --
A. Yes, so from memory -- and again, I don't have it in front of me -- I think that following that meeting on the 8th there were exchanges over the weekend where we emphasised the fact that we were very close to reaching the point where the hospital system could be overwhelmed, and discussion about the possibility of another Executive meeting earlier -- early the following week, around 10 or 11 October. And I think that's right, we -- the modelling was suggesting around ten days. And of course I indicated earlier that any intervention took around ten days --
Q. Yes.
A. -- to have an impact, so it was becoming acute in terms of the need, if the priority was to prevent the hospital system from going beyond strain.
Q. Just to pick up, sorry, on the point that was made in the meeting, the idea that you and the CMO were afraid to say where the problem was, I think there was some suggestion by the same minister, and it was made in public, that transmission rates were higher in areas that were of a particular political background; is that
about how your advice was perceived. And I think, as you rightly say, the health minister had to step in to say that you weren't there to tell ministers what to do, you were there in an advisory capacity and that they mustn't, as it were, take your advice in that way.
A. Yes, and that was entirely appropriate and certainly something I agreed with, yeah.
Q. Just in terms of what position had been reached here, there had been those local lockdowns. I think in fact pubs had re-opened as well on 23 September. I have a note of that if you need to see it. If you're happy to take it from me ...
A. I'm happy to take it from you, but can't confirm it. But, I mean, it sounds very reasonable to me, yes.
Q. I'm looking at a TEO document that tells me that, so I think it's probably reliable.

And schools were back as well, so there were a number of things going on in the epidemiological mix at this stage, I think; is that right?
A. Yes, absolutely.
Q. I don't think there was a decision on 8 October to do anything in particular, but I think it's right that -and we've certainly seen within a few days of this a note of a meeting at which the CMO was expressing the concern that Northern Ireland was probably about 178
correct?
A. Yes, from recollection, the minister suggested that transmission rates were higher in areas which would have been perceived as predominantly Nationalist in terms of their background and make-up.
Q. And I think it's right that the Chief Medical Officer had to make a public statement addressing that and saying that there wasn't a basis, there wasn't an epidemiological basis, for saying that that was the position?
A. And I recall being asked about it in more than one media interview, and saying that it had never been an analysis or comparison that we had done, nor would I think it appropriate to do in terms of our response to the pandemic.
Q. I think it is right, and this is based on reading across a number of papers, that it was recognised in Northern Ireland that transmission rates could be higher in some areas of greater deprivation than others; is that correct?
A. Yes. So the epidemiology within Northern Ireland, as you would expect, was relatively complex, but definitely, as elsewhere, we saw higher levels of transmission in areas with greater socioeconomic deprivation

We also, at certain points in the pandemic, observed a much higher incidence of transmission in the counties of Northern Ireland bordering the Republic of Ireland, and a lot of work was done in those regimes on a cross-border basis by the public health authorities to try to use co-ordinated messaging and risk management approaches to address that problem.
Q. So just coming back to the chronology in terms of what happened next, after that meeting where there was concern about services being overwhelmed, it is right, isn't it, there was another Executive Committee meeting at which it was recommended or advised that there be a six-week period of lockdown across the whole of Northern Ireland; is that right?
A. So that's -- that's correct, and that was based on the current position in terms of transmission of the epidemic and modelling, in order to give the maximum chance of avoiding the need for further intervention in the pre-Christmas period. And again, a range of different modelling was presented showing the effects of having a period of strong NPIs for anywhere between three weeks and six weeks, so that the Executive could consider the range of options.

But, yes, we felt that, from the point of view of short to medium-term consequences of the pandemic, 181
taken, because the decision was to have a four-week lockdown rather than the advised six weeks; is that right?
A. I think the decision was taken to have a four-week lockdown. I think that was with two weeks schools open and two weeks schools closed, so it was rather less than the lockdown which had been used in wave 1.

I didn't feel at the time that my advice wasn't being taken, I felt that by the majority of ministers the advice had been understood, received and accepted, and that ministers had appropriately factored in a range of other considerations around education, economy, society and family life, and, taking account of the broad range of factors, had decided to go with the four-week intervention that we have described.

So I didn't feel my advice was rejected, I thought it was -- it was understood.
Q. All right. But the fact that it was four weeks obviously meant that the decision had to come back, didn't it, to the Executive Committee then on -- well, we know it came back on 9 November for the first time, but that four-week period was going to end on 12 November?
A. Yes.
Q. I think by that stage schools were back and the question 183
Q. I think that your advice at that point in time wasn't
was whether or not to continue this almost lockdown but with schools -- with children still going to school for another two-week period?
A. Yes
Q. Were you at that meeting that took place on -- well --
A. Between 9 and 12 November?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes, I was at all parts of it, I think, yes.
Q. All right. But I think it's right -- I'm not going to go back to the papers that had been produced in advance of that meeting, but I think it's right that, broadly speaking, the advice that you were given was that if this almost lockdown wasn't extended that case numbers were going to -- they might arrest for a short period but they were going to go up again thereafter, and that hospital numbers were at quite a high base rate and weren't going to decline either?
A. I think that's correct, that was the advice. And we were very concerned about hospital numbers at that time, and in particular for the possible -- possibility of much higher numbers in hospitals in the post-Christmas period.
Q. So I think what initially happened, then, just picking up the decision-making process, that the recommendation made by you and the CMO, and I think it was the 184
recommendation for a two-week extension, I think it's right that -- was that initially defeated, as it were, on a vote by the Committee?
A. So it was a long and complex meeting in multiple parts, and there were, from recollection, a couple of votes on different proposals. But, yes, the initial proposal from the health minister, rather than based on advice from CMO and myself, my recollection is that that was defeated fairly early on in that 9th to 12th week process.
Q. It's right, isn't it, that that was a vote that was taken on a cross-community basis as well?
A. Yes, I recall that that was a vote taken on a cross-community basis, yes.
Q. In terms of, and it may be that it's best that I ask you about this from a principled point of view, the idea that a public health measure should be voted on a cross-community basis, was that of concern to you as a public health -- well, sorry, as a scientific adviser to the Executive Committee?
A. I mean, as you will be very well aware, the politics of Northern Ireland are complicated and there are firm rules in place around cross-community votes and how they work. I'm not an expert on that. I recall discussion and seeming agreement that it was appropriate, at least
take you to the papers on it, but there were a series of ministerial papers, weren't there, suggesting other courses that might be taken in opposition to having -or to taking your advice; is that right?
A. Well, I think all of the papers took account of our advice, and I wouldn't want to say otherwise, and we provided advice, I think, in relation to almost all of the proposals that were brought forward when asked to do so. I do recall, yes, that there were a series of votes and proposals during the course of that week before eventually a decision was made, yes.
Q. I think one of the papers that Minister Long brought forward was effectively to try and broker a compromise, is that right, between different ministers, and I think she suggested that there be a week extension rather than two weeks?
A. So there were a number of papers which brought forward different proposals from different ministers. I can't really comment on whether individual ministers were seeking to find a compromise or not. Certainly I recall Minister Long brought a paper forward and we commented on that in terms of advice around the likely implications of following those recommendations.
Q. And I think it's right that during this there was the generation of further papers, and we can ask
in technical terms, for such a vote to be taken, and due process was followed.

You know, from a -- I thought it was unfortunate in terms of public perception, particularly given the ongoing discussion of events at Executive meetings, I didn't think it was helpful in terms of public confidence.
Q. I wonder if it's a little bit more than just a perception of public confidence, though, that as a matter of principle the idea that a health measure should be voted on on that sort of basis, whether that -- and again I ask it as a matter of principle -whether or not that was a matter of concern.
A. So you'll appreciate maybe that I would prefer, you know, not to comment on that.

I think it was unfortunate, I think it was not helpful in terms of public perception and confidence in terms of decision-making. The evidence would suggest that consistent public messaging is important to maintaining confidence and helping with adherence to regulations, and I felt in that context it was not helpful. Beyond that, I can't really say anything.
Q. Thank you, Professor Young.

I mean, obviously this meeting carried on over the course of a number of days and I think -- again, I won't 186

Minister Swann about this, but effectively addressing why some of the suggestions would nonetheless not -wouldn't protect, for example, the health services in Northern Ireland or wouldn't provide a wholesale answer to the concerns that you had.
A. Yeah, I mean, I can remember that the various papers differed, sometimes in quite small ways, sometimes in slightly more substantial ways, in terms of what was being suggested. I think we reached a point where we said: well, look, in terms of advice, we can just say this would be a little worse, this would be a little better, we can't possibly model in any meaningful sense what the difference between this paper and the last paper would be, but overall the potential outcome in terms of short to medium-term transmission of the epidemic would look like this. And certainly there were a number of different versions and a lot of intense discussion about them.
Q. Yes, and I think there was then another cross-community vote as well, wasn't there -- there were two across this period?
A. Yeah, I recall a second cross-community vote, yes.
Q. I won't ask you about that, but presumably your position is precisely the same about that one, that it wasn't helpful to public perception and confidence? 188
A. I think that's what the evidence would suggest, that clear and consistent messaging and decision-making is important to public confidence and adherence to behaviours.
Q. I think the net result of all of this, Professor Young, was that there was a one-week extension of the restrictions that were in place, and again with children attending school; is that right?
A. Yes, that's my recollection, yes.
Q. And that meant that this issue came back before the Executive Committee once again, a week later, for further decision-making about what to do?
A. As we had advised that it would probably need to come back, yes.
Q. I think, and perhaps we can just have a look at the advice, because what was plainly an issue then was what impact that period of restrictions had had; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. I think is it right, and I think we can trace this through a number of your papers, that those restrictions hadn't had the impact that you hoped they would have?
A. So I'm aware of a comment, I think in handwritten notes, to that, to that effect.

I think, looking back, we had observed R falling to 189
remained really very high.
Q. I think it's right, although I can take you to a paper to demonstrate this, the effect of the way the decisions were made meant that when it got to the -- after that 19 November, the restrictions that had been in place lapsed and there was then a week's period where non-essential retail re-opened.
A. So I think -- I think that is correct, but I would need to go back to --
Q. I will take you to a document, yes, because I think it's important we get this right.
A. Yeah.
Q. I think if we are able to, please, it's INQ000422247. I think if we look at page 3, please, so I think if we ...
A. So the third line down, comments to cafés and non-essential retail being open this week, if that's the point?
Q. Yes, that was what I was looking for.
A. Right.
Q. And we will see this traced -- the impact of that, I think, traced into the other data that you then went on to consider.

So that, I think, was on 23 November, Professor Young.
around 0.7 during the first lockdown when there was a very high level of adherence and compliance to the restrictions in place. I think while schools were closed we observed R falling to a little bit less than 0.8 , so -- and indeed evidence suggested that adherence to the restrictions was not as good as it had been in the first lockdown. So in some -- that was probably unsurprising. And then with schools open again, R rose further and was probably not very far below 1.

So certainly if the intention had been to try to reduce $R$ to 0.7 to 0.8 , it was unsuccessful; $R$ had been a bit higher than that.
Q. Just in terms of picking up where this left things, then, I think we come back to 19 November and I think the concern remained at this point that health services were still at risk of being overwhelmed; is that right?
A. So throughout that period, and I think we saw it from deaths earlier, but you'd have seen something very similar in terms of hospital pressures, we were really sitting at a very high plateau, going up and down a little bit. In order for those pressures to be reduced, it needed a sustained reduction in $R$, preferably close to 0.7 , for four to six weeks, which is what we had originally suggested. We'd failed to achieve that and therefore the hospital pressures 190

I think if we could then, just -- it may help us, it may not be possible to go to this, but if we can, it may help -- INQ000304288.

## (Pause)

We may not have that, Professor; I'm just looking. One second, apparently.

## (Pause)

So I think this is a meeting note, and I think on page 2, in the fourth point -- yes, sorry, it's your advice, or what you said, which is said under "[Deputy First Minister]".
A. Yes.
Q. So I think we do see recorded here that there was nowhere near what had been achieved with the stay-at-home message in wave 1 :
"Still scope to open up ... after [11th] ..."
I think still making the point about not able to have everything open at once.

I think if -- thank you.
I'll just pick up the thread, then, if I may, with INQ000286272.
A. So just a brief comment on that: you'll also note that I had not seen any of these prior to the Inquiry, and again, you know, can't comment on the accuracy of the note that has been made.
Q. Of course. Sorry, Professor Young, and just to be clear, I think when you said there was a handwritten note about the stay-at-home message not being --
A. Yes.
Q. -- not having gotten through --
A. Yes.
Q. -- I think there may be, and I think we also see it in this note as well.
A. Yes.
Q. I think if we can go to the other document, so this is 8 December, and I think if we can -- I'm just looking to see where it says this; it may be over the page.

Yes, so this was the point that I wanted to pick up.
So in terms of the impact of that one week, what appears
to have been a relaxation in measures, it does appear that that was then translating into an increase in cases at this particular time, and it was that that I wanted to ask you about. I don't know if that has jogged your memory about that, that it --
A. No, I think that's -- I think that's correct. I mean, that's what we observed at the time in terms of -- in terms of the data.

And I think testing was relatively constant at that stage, from memory, so case numbers always have to be interpreted in the light of any changes in testing which 193
to happen in the notes of various meetings leading up to that.
Q. Yes. And obviously at this point in time we know that there were also the discussions about Christmastime as well --
A. Yes.
Q. And I think the way that it was put in Northern Ireland was "protecting Christmas", that may have been used in other places as well, but I think we see that in some of the papers.
A. There was certainly a desire, at the end of what had been an extraordinarily difficult year, to allow people some degree of mixing and, if not normality, at least interaction around the Christmas period. Our advice emphasised the difficulty that we would have in terms of predicting the impact of that, but that it was overwhelmingly likely to lead to a significant increase in virus transmission. We were particularly concerned about the likelihood of mixing between younger people and older individuals over the Christmas period, who would be at more risk.

In addition, traditionally very large numbers of young people in particular return to Northern Ireland from universities in the run-up to Christmas, and we were also concerned about additional introduction or 195
might have taken place. For example, when it came to Christmas we saw for two or three days a big drop in the number of cases. That was just because people weren't getting tested.
Q. Yes.
A. So, you know, you've always got to take account of testing, but I think it was fairly constant at this stage so that that one week of relaxation did have that effect.
Q. I think -- I won't go through all of the documents that I think show us this, but I can, Professor Young, if you do want to see it, but we saw it in the graph that we looked at at the very outset, that notwithstanding the steps that had been taken, the four-week lockdown extended by a week, that nonetheless the rates continued on an upward trajectory at that point in time.
A. So I think that -- I think that rates came down a little bit during the four weeks plus one week.
Q. Yes.
A. But because R didn't get down anywhere close to 0.7, then the drop-off in transmission was less than it could have been in ideal circumstances, and we then saw an upward trajectory, yes, in the run-up to Christmas as behaviours began to alter and there were further relaxations, as indeed is reflected in what we expected 194
seeding events as a consequence of people coming back into Northern Ireland. So it was a complex situation, difficult to predict, but we knew that it would lead to problems after Christmas.
Q. Just in terms of trying to understand why, notwithstanding the steps that had been taken in terms of having that four-week lockdown, then an extra week, I know there was that period in between and then I think there's another two-week --
A. So --
Q. -- set of restrictions. Sorry, to finish the question, it's really to try and understand why, notwithstanding the fact that there were certainly for points during that period some quite severe restrictions, that nonetheless we see the second wave take the shape that it does.
A. So there's a document which went to SAGE, of which I was one of the co-authors, and its number is INQ000396813, which compares the autumn interventions in the four countries of the UK, it's a scientific analysis, and it runs with the interventions up to about the middle or end of November, so a significant amount of the period that we're covering. What it shows is that the decisions and interventions made in Northern Ireland were the most effective of the interventions in any of 196
the four nations of the UK, very clearly the most effective.

So I think that the decisions which were made were effective, but not as effective as perhaps we would have needed them to be, and some of that relates probably to the timing of the interventions as well as the nature of the interventions. I think the interventions were effective, but always, as we said at that time, earlier intervention is better than later intervention. And earlier interventions of that kind at a lower point on the epidemiological curve probably would have had greater benefit.

But I think the evidence and analysis suggests that the interventions in Northern Ireland were good interventions, and to say more effective than those in England, Scotland or Wales.
Q. Just I want to unpick, if I may, some of that, and first of all without comparing to the rest of the UK, just understanding what happened in Northern Ireland, because, as we've seen, the picture of the second wave obviously demonstrates a larger number of deaths over a greater period of time; correct?
A. Than --
Q. Than in wave 1.
A. Than in wave 1, yes.
and that included less consistent messaging, probably, from people in positions of influence.

You have highlighted politicians at some stage, but there were also other influencers, if I can use that term, people with constituencies and audiences, some scientific, some general members of the public, popular in other spheres, who were expressing significant reservations about a range of the responses to the pandemic, whether it be wearing face coverings or the need for social distancing or lots of other things. And all of that I think fed into less adherence to the messaging, including the stay-at-home message, during the second wave than in the first wave.

I don't think inconsistency, observed inconsistency disagreements, among political leaders was helpful in terms of public confidence, but I think that the difficulties and challenges to public confidence had a significantly broader basis than just, for example, decision-making at the Executive and how that was portrayed.
Q. If you're right about the idea that it was just generally becoming more challenging to promote adherence during the second wave, one assumes it wouldn't help having decision-makers themselves making public the fact that they were in disagreement?
Q. We've seen from the evidence that there was clearly concern in wave 2 -- and we've seen it -- you have the concern at the time that the stay-at-home message wasn't working; correct?
A. It wasn't -- yes, it wasn't working as effectively as in wave 1, yes.
Q. As effectively?
A. Yes.
Q. Of course.

So one can assume, then -- well, perhaps one can't assume, Professor Young.

Let me ask you whether or not the concerns about public confidence, for example, around decision-making might be borne out in terms of people not adhering to measures in the way that they had done in wave 1 ?
A. My -- and this is an opinion rather than borne out by evidence, okay? My opinion was that during wave 1 there was a very acute level of worry and concern about the implications of the pandemic, and that that resulted in a very, very high level of adherence among the general population to messaging, and messaging was clear and consistent, and I'm not aware of any significant departures from that in Northern Ireland during wave 1.

By the time we reached the autumn of wave 2, there had been time for a lot more critical opinion to emerge, 198
A. No. And I've already said that I don't think that was helpful.
Q. Yes. I won't press you on that, but can I just go back again to the epidemiological question of the week when restrictions lapsed and there was obviously an increase in people going to shops and all the rest of it, that $25 \%$ increase in cases, you said at the outset you would start to see the consequences of that --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- a couple of weeks down the line in respect of admissions to hospitals and then a much longer period of time, potentially if one was looking at the fatality rate; is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes. I mean, typically around ten days.

Now, it depends a bit where the increase in cases is occurring. If the $25 \%$ increase in cases in that week was predominantly in young people, then there would have been very few direct hospital admissions which would have resulted from that, but still those young people, by virtue of mixing with older people at home or elsewhere, would have led to increased cases in older segments of the population, and it's that which would have led through, possibly after slightly further delay, to an increase in hospital admissions, with all of the consequences that flowed from that, including,
unfortunately, deaths.
Q. Okay. I didn't want to make it too simplistic, but insofar as one can see, then, the peak reached in January 2021, in your opinion was that attributable to the measures that were in place over the Christmas period or was it a cumulative effect of everything that had happened in the course of December, or something different entirely?
A. The cumulative effect of what had happened from the end of September meant that we had a very high baseline running into the two weeks before Christmas.

The observed consequences in January were predominantly a result of the two weeks before Christmas.
Q. Thank you, Professor.

I think just to -- I think to square that, if we just go to the -- I think this is the final document, INQ000422563.

Forgive me, that's the wrong document. It's INQ000276571, and paragraph 3, please.

So I think we see, in fact, that that is essentially the demonstration of the advice that you had been giving for some time leading up to that period?
A. Yes, I mean, unfortunately. And those consequences need to be weighed up with the benefits to the economy and 201

MS DOBBIN: Right. I think, my Lady, if I break off there,
I think there are some other questions from other core participants.
LADY HALLETT: I think there is a question on which I have given permission a minute ago.

Thank you, Ms Campbell.
MS CAMPBELL: Thank you, my Lady, and we all know the risks of asking a question that you've only just identified but I'll do my best.

## Questions from MS CAMPBELL KC

MS CAMPBELL: Professor Young, I want to revisit with you
just briefly the period of September to October 2020,
and we're very familiar now with where the peak starts
to rise on some of the charts that we've looked at in your evidence this afternoon.

In order to assist you, I wonder if we can look at paragraph 121 of your statement.

121 deals, at the outset, with the SAGE meeting of 21 September 2020. It will come up in a moment.
(Pause)
Sorry, I should say -- it would be helpful if I gave a page number -- it's page 44.
(Pause)
There we are. The very top paragraph, you were asked about this briefly just after the break this
broader society and family relationships of having those relaxations in the pre-Christmas period, yes.
Q. Just in terms of the general point --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and of course that's a matter of balancing up different considerations, but I think it is right there's no doubt that the advice that you gave before Christmas was that this would effectively be the outcome?
A. The modelling which was conducted by my colleagues and myself -- working with me, to whom I'm very grateful, was in general very good and, you know, this was in line with what we had suggested would be likely to happen based on our modelling. Unfortunately close to the upper end of --
Q. Yes.
A. -- of the limits.
Q. That's what I was going to ask you. The modelling did in fact foretell what would happen in terms of that peak in January 2021?
A. Yes, we were always very keen to say, and I need to repeat it, modelling is not a prediction.
Q. Yes.
A. But in fact what happened was very much in line with the modelling that was done, yes.
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afternoon, and the point that we are interested in, we have the 21 September SAGE meeting which, as you've set out, recommends the consideration of a package of measures, including a circuit-breaker, such were, if you like, the statistics and the modelling at that point in England.

Do you recall that portion of your evidence?
A. Yes.
Q. You were asked about it in the context of paragraph 422 of the Chief Medical Officer's statement, which in fact correlates almost precisely with your statement at 121, so there is that, if you like, comfort for you.

But this statement makes clear that on 21 September we have SAGE recommending consideration of a package of measures, including a circuit-breaker, which as we know went on to become, if you like, controversial in the context of Northern Ireland. You say:
"At that stage hospital pressures in [Northern Ireland] remained relatively modest, and it was not until early October that the [Chief Medical Officer] and I advised that significant intervention was required ..."

App you did so at the Executive meeting of 8 October. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, building on your evidence that really early intervention is better intervention, that's what you've told us this afternoon, the earlier the better, and to some extent Northern Ireland had benefited from earlier intervention in March 2020 and we saw that when we looked at the graph of wave 1, here we are in September, the R number is consistently above 1 , and on 21 September SAGE is recommending a series of measures including and up to circuit-breakers.

Now, the rule still applies really for Northern Ireland: early intervention is better intervention, isn't that right?
A. Yes, it applies everywhere, yes.
Q. If it is the case, as you say in your statement here, using your words, it was not until early October that the CMO and you advised that significant intervention was necessary, and you did so at the meeting of 8 October, there will have been a period of slippage from 21 September until the papers that you exhibit in this statement are drafted, 6 October, 7 October and the meeting of 8 October. Do you see the point?
A. So a couple of comments on that. So, first of all, what SAGE recommended on 21 September was consideration --
Q. Yes.
A. -- of the measures, and indeed the measures were 205
them to be put up -- the CMO makes clear in this meeting of 8 October that he had never been so concerned as he was until that point, okay? It may be that you don't recollect that at this juncture.

Certainly taking him at his word, by 8 October there was a sense of urgency in relation to decisions that needed to have been taken.
A. Well, I think as we saw earlier in my evidence, both the CMO and I were very keen on an intervention at the meeting on 8 October and would have supported it, in terms of the short to medium impacts on transmission of the virus. I think that's the meeting where there was quite a lot of pushback, and we'd have to get the minutes up and have a look at it in terms of the damaging nature of the intervention or response which we were asking for.

So, you know, there was a degree of urgency, there was a sense that the hospital system would have difficulty coping with the pressures probably in two weeks' time. In fact the pressures became much worse later that autumn and winter than we had even anticipated.
Q. Finally, given that that urgency was there on 8 October, and given that the warnings had been clear at least from 21 September at that SAGE meeting, was there a window of 207
considered, and I think if we look at -- and I think, from memory, it's the Executive meeting of 24 September, we tabled SAGE's advice along with discussion of the epidemiological position within Northern Ireland, and the current state of play.

So all of that information was provided. The timing of interventions and, you know, what l've said and what I agree with is that earlier -- the earlier the intervention and the more stringent it is, and the longer it lasts, the better, in terms of the transmission of the virus, but that needs to be weighed up against the other considerations around an intervention, including the extent to which it's likely to be accepted by the public, and the economic damage, the damage to society, and the educational damage that would result.

So the timing of a recommendation coming forward, which came forward from the department, not from myself and the CMO, but it was a recommendation in line with our advice which came through on 8 October, but the information, all of the information from SAGE and about the epidemiology of the pandemic, had been made very clear to the Executive at earlier meetings.
Q. In fact if we look at the handwritten minutes or the handwritten notes, I should say -- and I don't ask for 206
opportunity in which earlier intervention in that period of time would have been better intervention for autumn and winter 2020?
A. I think if you go back to look at the minutes of the SAGE minute from 21 September and the modelling which was presented to SAGE at that time through SPI-M, it didn't flag up particular concerns about
Northern Ireland, but did flag up considerable concerns about other parts of the UK. So where we were in terms of the epidemic curve was not the same, I think, as it was in parts of Great Britain. But the general concept that the earlier the intervention, the more stringent the intervention and the longer it lasts is better in terms of the short to medium-term impacts of virus transmission. That's absolutely true, and it was true then, as it was true at other parts of the epidemic.
MS CAMPBELL: Thank you.
LADY HALLETT: Thank you, Ms Campbell.
Thank you very much for your help, Professor Young, I'm very grateful.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
LADY HALLETT: I'm not sure whether I can give you any undertakings of not imposing on you again, but thank you for your help so far.
THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you.
208

## (The witness withdrew)

MS DOBBIN: I'm going to let Professor Young go. I think he can be excused.

LADY HALLETT: Thank you.
DOBBIN: My Lady, there is a short matter that arises about the witness list for tomorrow. You were due in 5 fact to hear a marathon five witnesses tomorrow, and one 7 of those witnesses has been taken ill, that's 8
Mr Conor Murphy, who you were due to hear from. I think 9 he has made an announcement himself that he has been 10 advised by his doctor, pending some medical tests coming 11 back, that he has informed the Inquiry that he won't be 12 able to attend the hearing tomorrow on medical advice. 13 So, my Lady, we will revisit that with you, but 14 certainly for the purposes of tomorrow he has been stood 15 down.16

LADY HALLETT: Okay. If it ends up that we finish the 17
hearings here and he can't give evidence, is the 18
material that he provides material that can be covered 19
in other ways, including obviously his written 20
statement? 21
MS DOBBIN: Yes, and I think there are other witnesses to 22 whom we could put questions that might help illuminate23 some of the points he has made. 24
LADY HALLETT: I'm sure the core participants will help if 25 209

```
they can, if there are any matters they want to ...
Thank you very much, 10 o'clock tomorrow, please.
( 4.30 pm )
(The hearing adjourned until 10 am on Wednesday, 8 May 2024)
    Thank you very much, 10 o'clock tomorrow, please
(The hearing adjourned until 10 am
    On Wednesday,8
```
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18-hour [1] 64/5
19 [12] 48/12 57/2
71/19 79/15 79/19
92/15 106/25 112/19
116/11 116/14 119/4
154/2
19 April [4] 107/9
107/10 107/12 108/1
19 February [1] 47/1
19 November [2]
190/14 191/5
19th [1] 46/18
2
2 o'clock [1] 120/16
2,500 [1] 123/3
2-metre [1] 128/24
2.00 pm [1] 120/19

20 April 2020 [1]
86/6
20 February [9]
46/16 46/23 50/3

51/16 53/6 54/2 56/9 59/20 73/21
200 [1] 78/18
2005 [1] 13/9
2013 [6] 12/7 12/22
13/7 13/11 13/13
15/19
2014 [5] 1/24 1/25
10/23 13/4 39/3
2015 [3] 10/23
124/23 135/4
2016 [3] 10/19 16/4 40/10
2017 [2] 5/6 16/1
2018 [3] 5/10 13/19 14/13
2019 [3] 13/19 14/14 20/17
2020 [55] 5/6 5/7 8/7
13/7 16/1 18/10 21/4
22/21 60/8 62/21
64/23 78/10 79/20
86/6 87/10 87/15 88/5
92/9 93/3 101/19
103/10 104/5 106/19
108/15 113/20 118/25 30 March [2] 112/20
121/16 122/19 124/11 113/1
125/12 137/20 137/24 30 March 2020 [1]
138/10 139/20 153/22
154/4 154/11 155/6
159/4 159/8 160/2
160/9 160/16 161/3
162/12 162/13 163/14
167/24 168/12 168/19
172/2 203/12 203/19
205/5 208/3
2021 [7] 139/7
139/13 139/15 139/23
148/7 201/4 202/20
2022 [2] 2/3 2/4
2024 [4] 1/1 116/10
148/15 210/5
20th [3] 46/21 53/16
76/15
21 February [1]
49/20
21 September [9]
170/11 170/16 204/2
204/13 205/8 205/19
205/23 207/25 208/5
21 September 2020
[1] 203/19
21st [1] 53/5
22 January 2020 [1]

## 22/21

22 March [1] 128/23
23 [2] 128/19 140/13
23 March [1] 128/9
23 November [1] 191/24
23 September [1] 178/10
24 April [2] 107/20
107/23

113/20
300 [4] 87/4 87/18 155/24 156/9
31 January [1] 121/5
37 [1] 13/14

## 4

4.30 pm [1] 210/3

40 [1] 78/16
40 hours [1] 124/16
400,000 [3] 15/7 15/8 21/9
400,550 [1] 14/25
422 [3] 171/6 171/12 204/9
422 paragraphs [1]
171/9
44 [1] 203/22
48 [1] 116/15
48 hours [1] 96/23

## 5

5 February [1] 34/4
50 [2] 87/19 87/21
50 separate [1] 123/5
500 [7] 86/6 86/12
86/20 87/6 88/1
154/20 155/23
500 staff [1] 157/13
6
6 February [5] 31/9
34/6 59/6 61/13
113/15
6 October [1] 205/20
600 [2] 155/24 156/9

7 February [1] 59/6
7 May 2024 [1] 1/1
7 October [1] 205/20
78 [1] 158/11
7th [1] 53/5
8
8 December [1]
193/11
8 March [1] 89/12
8 May 2024 [1] 210/5
8 October [12]
170/23 171/3 172/12
172/20 178/21 204/24
205/18 205/21 207/2
207/5 207/10 207/23
8 October 2020 [1] 172/2
85 [2] 22/8 22/10 8th [1] 179/7

9 November [1] 183/21
98.9 [1] 108/19

9th [2] 98/23 185/9
A
ability [6] 15/20
15/23 72/20 77/17 79/18 132/19
able [33] 9/1 16/17
17/1 17/24 18/15
28/13 36/24 45/23
62/5 64/18 73/11
84/25 86/7 93/16 103/25 111/4 130/9 133/1 135/17 135/20 135/22 141/16 147/25 148/16 151/21 152/12 152/21 154/21 155/13 165/18 191/13 192/17 209/13
about [205] 1/14 3/18 3/19 6/16 8/9 10/10
10/13 12/7 13/7 13/23
16/4 19/14 21/24 22/8
22/19 23/13 26/7
26/16 27/15 27/16
27/18 28/7 28/8 28/23 32/17 32/19 34/22 35/6 35/17 36/6 38/12 40/25 43/6 43/10 43/19 45/3 45/7 48/17
50/17 50/20 50/25
52/2 52/17 56/4 56/5
56/8 57/24 58/11 60/6
60/16 60/18 60/21
60/22 60/25 61/8
61/11 63/17 63/19
63/21 63/22 64/3
64/12 64/13 64/15

A
about... [141] 65/5
65/14 66/18 67/23
67/24 69/22 69/25
70/22 70/24 70/25
71/2 72/13 74/16
74/16 74/21 74/23 74/24 75/8 75/10 75/25 76/12 77/3 77/5 77/5 77/17 77/22 78/18 79/12 80/4 80/12 80/14 80/17 81/15 81/19 81/23 83/1 83/6 83/6 83/16 84/1 85/21 86/3 87/4 87/16 89/1 90/2 90/6 95/24 96/3 96/12 96/13 96/20 97/12 97/17 97/24 98/8 98/8 98/16 99/11 102/4 102/21 104/7 105/2 105/6 105/15 109/23 110/20 113/13 113/18 114/4 114/23 117/23 117/24 118/11 118/16 119/2 119/9 121/13 127/15 127/19 127/20 127/23 129/25 136/7 138/21 139/15 142/15 142/24 143/15 143/21 147/24 149/18 150/23 153/4 153/24 154/12 155/2 160/5 160/25 163/12 163/17 163/18 163/19 163/25 164/2 165/11 169/19 169/21 169/24 174/12 176/16 178/1 178/25 179/10 180/11 181/10 184/19 185/16 188/1 188/18 188/23 188/24 189/12 192/17 193/3 193/18 193/19 195/4 195/19 195/25 196/21 198/12 198/18 199/8 199/21 203/25 204/9 206/21 208/7 208/9 209/6
above [6] 20/6 149/2 162/5 162/10 162/23 205/7
above 1 [1] 162/10 abrupt [1] 98/21
absence [14] 16/20
16/25 17/14 26/4 66/2 67/16 67/19 79/1 79/8 115/16 128/11 129/3 130/23 141/18
absolute [2] 159/19 170/1
absolutely [17] 35/21 51/24 52/11 57/8 60/10 77/12 79/17 100/8 110/5 117/7

134/14 134/21 137/13 $111 / 16$ 152/17 174/9 178/20 208/15
academic [3] 122/10 124/18 152/7
accept [15] 39/24 40/2 40/15 43/7 75/14 97/13 97/22 110/1 113/7 113/10 113/12 113/20 117/3 119/15 151/15
accepted [4] 14/10 93/22 183/10 206/14 accepting [1] 117/23 access [3] 97/5 159/22 173/3
accompli [1] 140/15 accordance [1] 5/8 account [10] 39/8 109/1 113/23 119/17 151/8 156/6 165/6 183/13 187/5 194/6 accuracy [5] 62/18 64/3 84/5 96/13 192/24
accurate [6] 66/6 83/9 83/13 83/22 83/24 84/25
achieve [4] 53/24
173/4 174/5 190/25
achieved [3] 151/20
173/21 192/14
achieving [1] 118/18 acknowledge [1] 115/22
acquired [1] 105/13 across [32] 4/13
19/16 20/15 31/20 37/14 39/2 39/5 39/13 39/24 43/25 48/4 48/20 50/23 51/12 52/22 66/4 80/25 92/16 96/9 98/13 99/11 109/9 114/18 143/7 143/14 145/1 151/25 163/3 177/23 180/16 181/13 188/20 act [6] 4/12 5/9 5/12 5/23 6/20 118/1 acting [2] 93/23 94/9 action [3] 20/18 97/14 174/23
actions [5] 16/14
16/15 16/15 16/16 16/19
activate [5] 34/14 34/23 34/25 35/13 49/13
activated [2] 30/19 35/2
activation [7] 31/9 34/18 34/22 35/6 44/8 44/15 45/2
actively [2] 111/12
activities [1] 58/8
activity [3] 19/8 65/5 98/24
actual [2] 141/12 158/24
actually [17] 10/11 17/18 19/18 20/13 23/17 49/8 49/24 53/24 56/1 84/23 84/25 86/15 131/13 132/7 133/9 146/20 159/9
acute [3] 21/25 179/17 198/18 acutely [3] 113/8
113/23 115/21
ad [1] 65/9
ad hoc [1] 65/9 add [1] 108/12 addition [3] 7/5 129/13 195/22
additional [6] 13/5 64/6 127/1 164/2 177/12 195/25
address [7] 15/20
89/2 134/14 134/23 172/4 174/23 181/7
addressed [4] 96/14 130/11 152/6 155/11 addressing [2] 180/7 188/1
adequacy [1] 96/13
adequate [1] 119/4
adequately [2]
115/20 119/17
adherence [9] 103/6 103/19 186/20 189/3 190/2 190/5 198/20 199/11 199/22
adhering [1] 198/14
adjourned [1] 210/4
adjournment [2]
120/18 167/22
administrations [5]
90/13 90/15 90/25
127/9 134/12
administrative [1] 74/14
admission [4] 19/11
91/21 138/21 139/2
admissions [7] 118/2
138/23 153/19 153/22
200/11 200/18 200/24
admit [1] 119/3
admitted [1] 9/18
admitting [1] 105/5
adopt [1] 92/11
adopted [2] 103/4 119/25
adult [1] 4/4
advance [2] 116/13
184/10
advantage [1] 16/19
advertised [1] 123/14
advice [72] 6/22 7/3 24/2 24/5 24/6 24/9 26/2 26/9 26/24 34/13 35/9 35/17 35/18 37/14 40/9 49/18 99/16 123/6 123/18 123/20 125/8 126/21 126/23 133/2 133/3 156/12 157/7 158/3 158/9 163/18 163/19 164/5 164/21 164/24 165/5 170/3 170/8 170/10 170/17 170/25 171/3 177/5 177/14 177/19 178/1 178/5 182/6 182/10 182/11 182/12 182/14 182/23 182/25 183/8 183/10 183/16 184/12 184/18 185/7 187/4 187/6 187/7 187/22 188/10 189/16 192/10 195/14 201/22 202/7 206/3 206/20 209/13
advised [9] 34/11
168/8 170/12 181/12 183/2 189/13 204/21 205/16 209/11
adviser [16] 4/23 23/24 24/12 64/20 67/11 68/2 81/3 82/23 86/20 95/16 121/15 126/1 135/2 146/9 147/17 185/19
Adviser's [1] 122/20 advisers [4] 127/23 127/25 128/1 132/20 Advisers' [1] 134/25 advising [5] 40/8 163/21 176/18 176/21 177/22
advisory [7] 48/16 68/12 68/13 68/17 132/12 133/25 178/4
advocated [1] 161/7
Affairs [1] 13/17
affected [2] 48/1 48/10
affecting [1] 11/25
affirmed [2] 120/24
211/10
afraid [3] 120/10
176/15 179/21
after [21] 13/13 18/10 57/1 64/22 77/10 89/3 98/19 135/4 137/20 139/2 154/7 158/9 160/1 160/18 165/13 181/9 191/4 192/16 196/4 200/23 203/25
afternoon [6] 86/3
87/14 109/17 203/15

204/1 205/3
afterwards [2] 73/6 138/24
again [83] $2 / 57 / 8$ 8/11 10/2 14/23 15/12 22/5 22/10 24/8 28/6 28/15 31/17 32/17 $33 / 2434 / 534 / 835 / 11$ 37/21 48/15 48/23 48/24 49/3 49/11 49/12 49/15 49/22 57/1 57/7 63/21 68/17 69/20 69/25 72/1 73/6 73/7 73/9 76/20 77/2 77/2 78/4 83/2 83/20 86/9 87/8 87/9 87/10 89/6 98/1 106/20 107/6 107/24 120/11 130/7 130/10 131/1 133/5 133/21 134/4 134/8 135/16 137/21 151/15 153/1 153/25 155/11 155/22 156/8 167/24 167/25 172/6 172/24 174/22 179/5 181/19 184/15 186/12 186/25 189/7 189/11 190/8 192/24 200/4 208/23
against [7] 39/9 57/9 80/13 81/10 157/18 169/13 206/12
ageing [1] 19/21
Agency [6] 22/25
24/21 65/11 70/7 81/4 82/10
agenda [3] 6/17 6/18 53/5
ago [5] 2/4 100/24
117/1 149/12 203/5
agree [15] 13/16
48/20 51/22 61/24 75/19 79/15 117/21 118/2 118/6 137/12 140/4 140/11 143/5 171/12 206/8
agreed [9] 16/3 76/9 89/21 93/22 135/12 145/10 176/10 176/24 178/7
agreement [7] 16/10 16/14 16/23 106/12 139/25 151/21 185/25
agriculture [1]
135/12
ahead [4] 6/24 9/6 130/6 164/13
aid [1] 53/22
aide [4] 36/21 38/2 48/25 61/12
aide memoire [1] 61/12
aired [2] 98/1 98/11 akin [1] 4/21

A
alarm [1] 30/24
alcohol [1] 126/24 alight [1] 160/5 aligned [4] 108/21 109/9 148/22 149/2 alignment [2] 118/18 148/25
all [131] 2/16 3/4 3/18 3/20 4/6 4/18 6/19 8/4 8/20 11/5 11/20 16/16 17/9 18/7 21/1 22/9 22/12 22/16 25/1 25/1 25/17 28/24 29/13 30/15 31/1 33/3 33/12 33/20 36/25 37/13 39/16 42/21 43/21 44/3 46/3 51/20 55/14 55/20 58/19 59/2 60/4 60/6 60/15 60/22 63/11 63/22 64/11 66/12 67/9 69/19 70/14 74/2 74/10 77/19 79/22 79/22 79/22 81/5 84/22 87/2 89/3 89/6 90/14 90/24 90/25 91/24 94/11 94/21 95/11 95/21 96/11 100/2 103/22 104/9 106/9 106/24 107/20 107/22 111/23 115/11 118/11 118/19 120/1 120/23 124/9 124/20 125/3 125/11 129/16 131/3 131/21 134/16 134/22 135/19 136/5 139/3 141/5 143/1 146/24 148/1 150/3 150/5 151/4 152/21 153/9 153/12 156/2 162/19 164/20 169/3 169/5 171/6 172/9 174/25 175/3 175/21 183/18 184/8 184/9 187/5 187/7 189/5 194/10 197/18 199/11 200/6 200/24 203/7 205/22 206/6 206/21
All right [38] 3/18 6/19 8/4 11/20 21/1 22/16 25/1 25/17 28/24 33/20 46/3 55/20 58/19 67/9 69/19 70/14 79/22 84/22 89/6 91/24 94/11 95/11 95/21 103/22 124/9 124/20 125/11 129/16 134/22 136/5 139/3 141/5 146/24 153/9 153/12 171/6 183/18 184/9
allegation [1] 177/24
allow [6] 51/12 117/16 130/16 135/7 148/13 195/12 allowed [2] 16/24 117/18
allowing [1] 175/16 allows [1] 9/11 almost [9] 152/11 152/13 160/13 169/7 175/9 184/1 184/13 187/7 204/11
along [2] 65/4 206/3 already [12] 28/25 33/3 34/6 69/23 73/18 86/2 97/16 117/17 120/8 127/2 141/16 200/1
also [72] 3/8 4/3 4/24 8/17 9/6 9/11 17/17 21/16 24/5 24/14 24/24 28/7 33/11 39/1 48/8 60/18 68/11 68/14 71/3 71/17 71/18 78/12 78/20 78/25 79/18 82/21 84/1 84/5 85/20 85/20 91/18 94/9 100/1 104/12 104/17 105/14 107/11 107/18 107/24 108/6 108/8 108/24 112/4 118/9 119/9 119/10 122/4 122/10 123/23 124/18 127/5 128/19 130/5 130/23 132/11 132/16 139/14 antivirals [1] 173/9 140/4 143/13 145/11 anxious [1] 162/16 147/12 148/23 166/18 any [69] 6/1 10/20 170/12 173/5 177/16 181/1 192/22 193/7 195/4 195/25 199/4 alter [2] 182/22 194/24
alternative [4] 54/4
54/8 96/21 140/22
alternatively [1] 174/18
alternatives [1] 174/10
alters [1] 15/11
although [12] 29/15
37/23 43/15 47/8 130/4 137/8 137/15 150/23 152/8 166/16 169/3 191/2
always [18] 27/24
32/1 32/17 52/17 56/1 97/8 111/8 112/10 112/14 161/19 163/10 175/15 177/12 177/18 193/24 194/6 197/8 202/21
am [11] 1/2 1/20 10/3 54/23 54/25 61/11 61/14 61/14 77/13

157/24 210/4
amended [1] 87/20 among [2] 198/20 199/15
amongst [1] 155/7 amount [5] 51/8 95/10 111/1 130/12 196/22
amounts [1] 151/2 analysing [1] 133/19 analysis [18] 43/10 52/1 85/2 91/5 91/9 91/24 95/3 95/16 96/10 97/5 103/16 108/19 109/8 150/16 180/12 182/23 196/20 197/13
announced [2] 128/18 140/14 announcement [3]
128/15 128/23 209/10 another [14] 8/8 12/20 41/19 48/23 52/11 54/1 82/2 146/3 161/9 179/11 181/11 184/3 188/19 196/9 answer [13] 39/15 62/16 77/11 79/9 90/20 91/8 93/6 97/10 100/11 100/21 117/1 130/10 188/4
answers [1] 60/19 anticipated [1] 207/22
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { antivirals [1] } & 173 / 9 \\ \text { anxious [1] } & 162 / 16\end{array}$
10/20 20/7 20/14 24/3 26/4 26/6 26/14 27/5
30/23 35/19 53/19 54/16 55/21 58/25 65/1 65/17 65/19 66/7 67/22 70/24 75/9 76/9 76/10 78/18 78/22 86/24 89/2 90/9 91/6 92/20 94/24 96/16 97/2 97/23 103/16 110/2 110/17 113/21 115/17 117/14 119/23 125/16 129/1 129/2 129/8 129/22 131/11 131/12 133/2 135/16 144/11 150/15 152/18 154/1 168/1 168/1 173/8 177/20 179/14 182/22 188/12 192/23 193/25 196/25 198/22 208/22 210/1
anybody [2] 30/1 101/7
anyone [8] 14/20
35/5 66/15 110/8 129/9 130/24 131/13 163/17
anything [12] 26/16 29/18 58/4 64/6 100/14 139/1 142/9 160/6 176/11 177/3 178/22 186/22
anyway [2] 112/24 157/10
anywhere [2] 181/21 194/20
Apollo [3] 79/12 153/24 154/3
apologies [2] 49/21 101/9
apologise [3] 101/12 120/22 156/1
app [2] 88/10 204/23
apparently [1] 192/6
appear [5] 67/21
70/21 78/8 157/10 193/15
appeared [1] 154/20 appears [6] 35/14
74/16 105/25 154/10
182/4 193/14
apples [2] 146/20 146/21
application [1] 66/5
applied [3] 6/4 41/11
90/7
applies [2] 205/10 205/13
apply [1] 90/8
appointed [1] 123/16
appointment [1]
135/13
appreciate [3] 29/20 127/15 186/14
appreciated [1] 54/5 appreciation [1] 31/18
approach [18] 38/15
51/21 51/25 51/25
55/21 63/25 67/4
77/15 85/16 93/7
111/13 118/16 161/2 161/7 161/21 176/13 176/20 177/20
approaches [4] 92/11 93/8 93/10 181/7
appropriate [6] 76/11 88/2 177/10 178/6 180/14 185/25
appropriately [1] 183/11
April [27] 2/4 85/2 86/6 87/15 96/14 104/22 106/12 106/16 106/19 107/7 107/9 107/10 107/12 107/14 107/20 107/23 108/1 116/10 118/25 133/9 133/17 136/20 153/22 154/11 157/11 158/9

160/16
April 2020 [4] 87/15 118/25 153/22 160/16
April 2022 [1] 2/4
April 2024 [1] 116/10 architecture [7] 52/6 53/23 54/1 57/10
58/15 58/17 59/22
are [81] 1/18 4/15 9/1 10/16 14/4 14/6 14/16 18/16 19/24 20/6 32/3 33/18 34/21 34/25 35/15 35/22 36/16 39/14 40/7 42/11 44/20 50/9 50/21 51/2 51/7 56/7 57/14 58/3 60/3 60/21 60/22 63/15 74/20 82/17 92/3 92/14 94/6 105/17 109/12 109/14 114/24 118/10 121/8 122/9 122/22 123/1 127/19 131/15 135/20 138/3 144/6 144/10 146/20 148/16 148/19 148/20 149/1 149/8 149/14 149/19 150/16 151/1 152/9 156/15 163/4 167/11 167/13 172/22 176/9 176/19 176/24 185/22 185/22 191/13 203/2 203/24 204/1 205/6 205/20 209/22 210/1
area [5] 63/11 94/15 143/11 143/18 158/8 areas [16] 24/19 24/23 51/14 60/11 114/19 126/9 126/22 126/22 134/11 150/22 151/2 177/16 179/24 180/3 180/19 180/24 aren't [2] 60/21 114/19
arguably [3] 63/6 63/14 66/6
argue [5] 14/19 45/8 63/4 119/19 150/23
argument [1] 94/7
argumentative [1] 63/8
arises [3] 8/12 10/13 209/5
arm's [3] 4/8 85/18 116/8
arms [1] 100/7
arose [3] 82/16 87/9 95/23
around [31] 21/8
29/23 36/3 36/4 50/3
56/9 57/17 78/5 85/11
107/6 125/8 125/13
126/23 130/19 137/24
138/24 162/17 164/14

A
around... [13] 173/22
174/20 179/12 179/13 179/15 183/12 185/23 187/22 190/1 195/14 198/13 200/14 206/12
arrangement [1] 51/17
arrangements [36]
2/10 30/20 31/10
34/15 34/15 34/19
36/10 37/23 38/14
38/22 39/20 40/11
40/17 42/11 44/15
44/20 44/23 49/14
50/1 50/5 50/14 51/10 51/13 51/20 54/16 55/4 55/8 55/25 57/20
58/7 59/10 60/21
62/14 63/11 106/13 108/9
arrest [1] 184/14
arrived [1] 26/10
arrives [1] 44/24
arriving [1] 141/22
article [3] 157/16 157/24 158/10
articulated [2] 14/4 14/16
articulating [1] 41/17 as [325]
As I say [1] 94/23
ascend [1] 137/23
ascent [1] 139/17
aside [2] 37/1 91/2 asides [1] 78/21
ask [29] 1/10 3/19
18/12 38/11 45/2 61/1 61/2 63/21 67/23
69/21 109/19 112/15
112/15 121/1 127/16
136/7 142/25 153/12
163/12 175/19 176/16
185/15 186/12 187/25
188/23 193/18 198/12
202/18 206/25
asked [6] 66/24
131/6 180/11 187/8 203/25 204/9
asking [7] 8/5 18/7
42/9 44/15 121/13 203/8 207/16
aspect [4] 8/9 24/7 115/6 123/5
aspects [6] 36/10 40/6 122/22 123/1 152/2 159/21
aspiration [1] 92/24
assertion [1] 119/19
assessment [18]
9/12 11/4 14/1 14/21 30/9 36/2 39/8 43/24
44/13 44/25 45/3 45/4

45/9 74/12 79/16 141/23 142/12 142/13 assigned [1] 66/23 assist [2] 9/25
203/16
assistance [3] 35/5
72/23 76/22
assisted [6] 55/7
55/10 60/20 134/15 134/16 137/21
associated [1] 7/11 associates [1] 85/8 assume [6] 32/16 47/17 62/17 80/1 198/10 198/11
assumed [1] 85/3
assumes [1] 199/23
assumption [1] 69/7 assumptions [3] 48/3 182/18 182/18
assured [1] 95/20 asymptomatic [2] 26/18 27/2
at [351]
at that [1] 39/9
attached [1] 65/22
attack [1] 148/6
attain [1] 173/18
attempt [1] 103/2
attend [3] 135/8 135/22 209/13
attendance [1] 131/23
attended [6] 43/14 126/1 146/7 146/11 156/7 172/3
attending [4] 42/14 131/9 172/8 189/8
attention [1] 148/8 attract [1] 109/6
attractive [1] 20/22
attributable [1] 201/4
attribute [1] 36/12
attributed [1] 151/7
audience [1] 132/14 audiences [1] 199/5
August [10] 138/8 155/6 159/13 162/12 162/13 163/5 163/14 163/25 167/24 168/5
August 2020 [4]
162/12 162/13 163/14 167/24

## August/September

2020 [1] 155/6
authorities [1] 181/5
authority [2] 2/6 18/4 authors [2] 156/15 196/18
autumn [15] 19/14 87/9 101/19 103/10 120/12 139/19 140/2 159/15 164/1 172/24 174/16 196/19 198/24

207/21 208/2
available [27] 11/16 13/5 28/22 60/5 63/18 67/2 67/25 73/16 bare [2] 151/9 151/16 74/17 76/10 76/13 barriers [1] 93/16 76/24 77/9 78/6 98/25 base [1] 184/16 119/15 126/21 130/13 based [13] 45/1 67/4 132/1 141/11 148/18 $\quad 92 / 15$ 123/16 154/15 150/12 152/12 153/18 153/18 153/21 159/2 avoid [1] 173/15 avoiding [1] 181/18 await [2] 7/9 7/17 aware [23] 27/18 80/20 80/21 86/11 88/4 88/5 88/15 89/1 90/19 90/23 96/7 96/8 98/1 113/21 126/8 147/21 152/6 156/10 157/6 157/9 185/21 189/23 198/22
awareness [2] 22/17 25/10
away [7] 38/23 44/11
59/25 78/4 85/15 173/7 179/1

## B

back [87] 5/5 7/17 8/4 8/7 9/14 9/17 10/3 10/23 11/20 12/7 12/21 12/22 14/21 19/15 25/1 25/3 28/6 29/22 30/4 35/11 39/3 42/3 44/19 47/15 59/15 60/6 64/22 65/2 65/14 66/15 66/22 66/24 68/7 68/15 80/13 81/10 81/25 124/10 128/7 129/19 129/22 130/22 131/14 132/15 132/18 133/6 134/6 138/12 139/3 139/24 140/12 140/13 140/14 140/18 140/24 141/3 149/12 151/23 153/12 153/16 154/8 157/5 157/6 158/2 164/19 165/8 166/5 167/14 175/18 176/2 178/17 181/8 182/5 182/9 183/19 183/21 183/25 184/10 189/10 189/14 189/25 190/14 191/9 196/1 200/3 208/4 209/12
background [5] 4/11 12/6 121/13 179/25 180/5
bad [5] 3/3 29/18
29/21 31/24 32/10
badge [1] 10/25
balance [2] 118/17
175/15
balanced [1] 103/13 balancing [2] 118/1 202/5

175/21 176/6 182/11 183/1 189/16 191/10 194/3 194/20 197/20 become [11] $2 / 12 / 5$ 32/24 49/5 85/20
110/3 110/10 128/18 156/10 173/11 204/16
becomes [1] 144/10 154/17 156/21 174/20 becoming [4] 7/25 180/16 181/15 182/12 172/14 179/17 199/22 185/7 202/14 $\quad$ bed [2] 10/5 105/6
baseline [1] 201/10 basic [1] 123/13
basis [26] 6/22 11/6 21/13 30/18 31/4 37/15 53/11 57/25 58/9 69/11 76/7 77/18 88/22 94/21 124/7 151/17 152/21 172/16 180/8 180/9 181/5 185/12 185/14 185/18 186/11 199/18
basket [3] 146/18 146/19 146/23
be [298]
bearing [2] 49/22 117/5
beating [1] 67/1
became [14] 1/22
16/6 41/8 80/20 85/6
124/12 124/14 132/23
147/4 157/25 159/4
159/14 170/20 207/20
because [110] 6/10
6/20 7/13 7/22 8/4 8/5
10/3 10/6 11/17 13/8 14/3 14/7 14/9 17/14
20/14 20/21 21/7 25/9
26/16 30/6 30/15
35/10 35/25 36/16
37/18 38/22 39/11
41/5 42/4 45/11 46/17
50/10 50/19 51/4
51/12 52/10 52/18
55/23 57/10 59/18
60/4 60/25 62/3 62/17
62/20 65/12 65/22
66/15 68/8 68/21 71/9 73/17 74/3 74/20 75/9 76/2 76/14 79/1 82/17 83/3 83/24 89/21 91/10 91/12 95/13 95/15 99/17 100/10 102/10 105/5 109/12 110/12 110/15 112/3 117/11 117/18 120/11 121/14 122/11 124/10 130/8 131/16 135/25 140/1 140/24 141/5 141/22 143/8 145/15 147/9 149/8 149/24 153/12 154/9 159/1 159/20 161/11 161/20 162/20 169/8 175/13
beds [4] 76/9 76/13 76/23 105/5
been [164] $4 / 105 / 17$ 7/21 9/8 9/25 12/11 12/12 12/23 15/24 16/16 17/1 17/3 17/10 19/2 19/2 19/3 19/4 20/6 21/2 22/14 22/14 23/9 23/12 24/20 30/3 30/5 31/3 31/9 31/14 $33 / 1236 / 537 / 338 / 3$ 43/4 43/12 43/16 44/7 47/4 53/19 54/9 54/14 58/7 58/25 59/5 59/11 60/20 63/24 64/7 64/8 65/12 65/14 65/24 66/4 66/7 67/15 67/17 67/18 68/7 68/9 70/5 74/8 75/11 79/4 82/15 84/14 86/10 89/21 90/10 91/14 92/23 93/11 93/20 94/4
96/22 98/20 99/24 104/19 105/1 105/6 105/19 105/25 106/4 106/8 110/1 111/8 111/9 112/11 117/3 118/25 125/20 126/2 126/22 128/9 128/13 128/15 128/18 128/23 129/12 129/14 130/17 130/18 131/12 131/24 135/14 142/6 143/25 144/19 144/20 144/22 144/22 144/24 145/2 145/21 147/11 148/8 151/21 152/1 152/8 155/22 157/3 157/6 157/7 157/9 158/11 159/16 160/9 169/8 169/10 171/2 172/7 172/20 174/21 175/9 178/8 178/9 180/4 180/12 183/7 183/10 184/10 190/6 190/10 190/11 191/5 192/14 192/25 193/15 194/14 194/22 195/8 195/12 196/6 198/25 200/18 201/22 205/18 206/22 207/2 207/7 207/24 208/2 209/8 209/10 209/15
before [34] 5/5 8/7
12/23 13/17 15/1
23/14 31/13 45/24
65/15 66/20 67/18
67/22 76/18 76/19
86/11 89/11 89/22
113/5 113/11 129/24
130/17 133/11 133/17
136/21 161/13 162/13
167/14 167/22 169/8
187/10 189/10 201/11
201/13 202/7
began [4] 145/6
154/3 159/12 194/24
beginning [5] 22/17 138/8 151/23 159/13 160/16
behalf [2] 4/1 82/24
behaving [1] 143/3
behaviour [2] 97/14 97/23
behaviours [2] 189/4 194/24
behind [2] 36/5 68/20 being [89] 12/15
12/16 13/22 14/1 21/4 21/11 21/24 22/13
27/2 29/6 29/6 30/25 33/9 34/10 34/21 35/1 49/15 49/19 50/15 52/4 54/14 55/8 55/10 60/7 63/2 66/13 67/5 67/7 69/17 83/2 83/8 83/21 86/6 86/23 87/6 87/25 89/13 90/1
90/14 91/13 95/17
96/4 97/3 97/6 97/17 97/21 98/19 99/12 101/11 103/4 103/6 105/9 107/15 107/21 123/9 123/13 123/22 130/7 131/4 131/11 131/14 132/6 132/9 132/25 133/1 133/21 133/22 135/17 136/1 147/25 149/1 149/5 149/15 153/25 154/21 156/24 162/14 163/20 170/10 176/8 177/11 180/11 181/10 182/19 183/9 188/9 190/16 191/17 193/3
Belfast [1] 122/12
belief [2] 1/19 121/9
believe [7] 26/22
36/8 50/7 54/18
118/22 119/24 151/25
below [8] 161/24
162/6 165/21 173/18 173/19 173/22 174/22 190/9
below 1 [1] 173/19
benefit [5] 6/15 8/24 bold [1] 70/15 146/14 169/13 197/12 border [1] 181/5 benefited [1] 205/4 bordering [1] 181/3 benefits [5] 11/3 borne [3] 75/24 11/18 89/24 177/15 201/25
Bengoa [4] 10/18 11/4 11/23 16/3 benign [1] 99/25 Bereaved [1] 109/18 best [21] 1/19 6/12 27/3 60/3 63/16 92/16 118/18 119/25 121/9 126/15 132/20 134/19 154/17 156/21 167/1 169/4 169/15 173/21 177/11 185/15 203/9 better [21] 10/10 11/8 11/12 14/19 19/4 41/24 59/17 63/6 69/16 123/8 123/9 130/11 134/8 188/12 197/9 205/2 205/3 205/11 206/10 208/2 208/13
between [39] 4/14 5/6 12/14 57/8 58/12 58/20 64/9 71/5 85/17 breakers [1] 205/9 85/19 86/14 88/16 breaking [2] 58/3 93/19 109/20 118/1 64/9
119/12 123/11 138/15 breakouts [1] 106/15 142/17 142/21 145/11 brief [2] 49/23 192/22 145/13 145/25 146/25 briefed [2] 37/10 147/1 147/8 151/9 151/11 157/2 157/11 161/19 162/20 168/5 181/21 184/6 187/14 188/13 195/19 196/8 beyond [5] 20/7 118/15 127/1 179/19 186/22
big [6] 9/12 19/6 63/10 84/4 94/14 194/2
bilateral [1] 63/14 bit [25] 3/19 9/9 12/6 brings [1] 140/12 12/20 19/15 22/8 31/5 Britain [6] 94/7 94/8 64/15 80/12 98/12 100/13 102/12 138/18 139/22 146/18 160/3 163/8 166/1 174/22 186/8 190/4 190/12 190/21 194/18 200/15 broader [9] 9/12 black [1] 63/19 blocking [2] 10/5 105/6
blocks [1] 50/21
BMA [2] 13/24 14/5 board [4] 22/25 23/10 25/12 70/6 boat [1] 26/10 bodies [2] 4/8 148/9 body [4] 85/18 116/8 132/11 132/12

43/18
briefing [5] 32/18 36/20 36/21 38/1 43/19
briefings [1] 54/9 briefly [2] 203/12 203/25
bring [8] 25/18 34/5 35/10 80/5 93/9 105/22 154/9 171/21
bringing [3] 39/10 59/22 168/9 143/9 143/14 143/17 208/11
British [1] 157/17 broad [3] 136/13 139/25 183/14 24/19 91/11 133/18 164/19 170/10 174/25 199/18 202/1
broadly [4] 5/23
143/7 151/24 184/11
broker [1] 187/13
brought [10] 53/10
97/3 120/20 123/8 168/10 175/23 187/8 187/12 187/17 187/21
bubbled [1] 103/8
budget [2] 17/18 17/21
budgetary [1] 17/12 budgets [2] 17/16 17/17
building [2] 50/21
205/1
built [1] 52/1
bulk [1] 111/24
bullet [4] 37/19 49/3
49/11 80/17
burden [2] 22/3
120/9
burgeoning [1] 22/17
bus [1] 26/12
business [1] 87/19
but [354]

## C

Cabinet [5] 98/6
98/13 99/10 99/18
100/17
Cabinet Office [4]
98/6 98/13 99/18 100/17
cadre [1] 88/22
cafés [1] 191/16
call [13] 1/4 3/12
3/24 4/8 12/13 50/16
51/19 52/14 52/17
55/24 56/1 120/10 126/25
called [11] 9/14 12/17 27/4 34/19 56/15 72/22 126/13 126/23 127/6 127/13 161/2
calling [10] 26/23
34/22 38/8 38/18 39/6 43/21 49/25 50/9 59/9 139/6
calls [1] 118/25
cam [1] 88/12
came [30] 11/6 59/24
64/22 65/2 66/15
66/24 68/7 68/15
88/10 89/4 98/5 98/12 123/19 124/10 129/19 129/21 132/15 134/6 140/14 140/18 140/21 140/24 141/3 173/23 183/21 189/10 194/1 194/17 206/18 206/20
Campbell [4] 203/6 203/10 208/18 211/15 can [124] 1/10 4/2 8/1 8/11 9/18 9/24 13/6 14/10 18/12 25/17 26/1 26/13 28/24 29/22 33/1 33/3 33/10 33/20 33/24 34/10 34/19 36/11 36/12 37/7 37/12 38/11 41/16 41/20

46/5 46/19 46/23 46/25 47/14 47/20 49/2 49/12 50/16 52/25 53/4 54/8 55/20 62/14 63/21 64/2 65/16 65/17 67/9 67/23 67/25 68/17 69/25 70/14 72/1 73/2 76/2 76/6 77/11 77/23 82/16 84/11 84/15 84/24 86/24 87/22 92/10 93/2 95/22 97/2 99/7 100/23 101/15 102/12 103/22 105/23 106/19 108/12 112/15 112/15 114/7 114/11 114/11 114/12 115/15 115/22 116/4 126/6 128/22 133/5 137/22 138/14 140/2 148/5 156/5 156/14 160/2 160/2 164/7 166/21 168/1 171/21 171/22 171/25 172/21 176/19 182/3 187/25 188/6 188/10 189/15 189/20 191/2 192/2 193/10 193/11 194/11 198/10 199/4 200/3 201/3 203/16 208/22 209/3 209/19 210/1
can't [28] 28/18 38/6 43/15 45/6 55/19
58/24 62/16 62/18 68/3 69/6 115/9 119/19 120/10 130/10 131/18 140/22 147/8 157/25 165/17 166/16 167/4 178/13 186/22 187/18 188/12 192/24 198/10 209/18
candidates [2]
123/16 130/9
cannot [3] 41/18 41/20 51/5
capacity [51] 10/7
12/14 22/2 22/7 22/8 58/9 64/21 65/1 65/4 65/8 65/15 66/2 66/18 66/20 66/21 69/8 71/1 78/13 78/16 78/19 79/9 79/24 87/24 88/7 88/20 89/1 91/17 91/19 91/23 91/23 92/20 94/21 104/10 106/7 107/11 107/12 107/13 119/22 119/23 125/1 129/23 130/2 134/18 141/11 141/17 155/9 158/19 158/22 159/11 159/15 178/4

## cardiologist [1]

108/16
care [104] 3/8 3/13


3/25 4/1 4/3 4/9 8/10 8/14 8/15 8/18 9/2 9/7 9/11 9/23 9/24 10/7 10/12 10/14 11/11 11/14 11/16 11/20 12/5 17/4 20/3 20/8 20/12 20/15 22/23 22/25 23/10 24/25 31/16 31/22 38/25 70/4 70/6 73/11 74/5 74/12 74/17 75/15 77/5 77/9 78/23 104/1 104/7 104/11 104/13 104/20 105/20 106/2 106/3 106/11 106/14 106/24 106/25 107/8 107/16 107/20 108/2 108/8 108/13 108/18 108/20 109/9 109/25 110/3 110/14 111/15 112/5 112/6 112/7 113/17 114/6 114/10 114/16 114/23 115/1 115/10 115/11 115/11 115/14 115/18 115/21 116/7 116/13 116/16 116/23 117/5 117/12 117/15 118/8 118/9 119/1 119/6 119/11 119/13 119/16 119/21 122/12 122/24 153/25 care sector [3] 104/7 104/13 104/20 careful [5] 27/24 51/9 52/7 53/24 118/1 carried [1] 186/24 carrying [1] 20/16 cascade [1] 75/4 case [42] 20/4 27/1 28/12 37/8 38/16 44/11 47/17 48/8 56/16 65/23 65/25 71/19 71/23 73/9 74/23 76/5 80/24 82/15 86/25 87/2 87/6 87/19 90/23 91/19 99/24 101/7 104/25 111/2 120/21 134/11 135/10 136/3 138/5 138/15 138/20 142/1 152/1 161/15 174/11 184/13 193/24 205/14
cases [25] 7/25
18/23 47/6 71/19
72/20 75/9 109/9
137/2 138/7 138/20
142/6 142/6 158/24 159/3 159/4 159/17 162/15 162/21 163/9 193/16 194/3 200/7 200/15 200/16 200/21
cast [1] 10/22 categorised [1] 123/9
Cathy [1] 33/12 Cathy Harrison [1] 33/12
caught [1] 84/18 cause [2] 87/21 88/15
caused [1] 166/17 causes [1] 28/2 causing [1] 96/5 cautious [1] 101/11 caveat [4] 2/20 15/3 106/6 118/3 CCG [6] 53/6 53/8 53/14 53/15 54/3 59/21
cease [1] 89/16 central [8] 34/15 37/22 41/25 42/22 63/2 65/8 83/25 90/12 centre [2] 30/2 125/22
certain [5] 7/21 12/19 43/15 168/21 181/1 certainly [72] 10/10 10/22 11/5 13/25 14/20 18/19 18/25 20/7 28/1 30/18 30/20 31/19 32/18 37/9 45/23 52/16 52/25 54/11 64/2 69/8 70/21 70/23 73/20 76/25 77/7 80/21 85/13 93/22 94/3 97/17 98/20 102/23 103/17 104/5 104/21 105/25 111/2 111/25 115/22 130/12 131/17 132/23 133/12 136/2 138/7 141/2 141/14 144/14 145/20 147/21 152/18 154/3 154/7 155/12 157/7 163/19 164/20 165/20 166/10 170/8 177/9 177/24 178/6 178/23 182/3 187/20 188/16 190/10 195/11 196/13 207/5 209/15 cetera [2] 141/2 158/24
Chada [4] 45/15 45/17 49/17 56/9 chain [1] 156/23 Chair [1] 175/18 chaired [2] 41/4 41/8 challenge [1] 105/6 challenged [1] 175/2 challenges [2] 105/2 199/17
challenging [2] 17/22 199/22
chance [1] 181/18
change [3] 55/21
56/16 138/18
changed [1] 13/4
changes [3] 22/14 116/11 193/25
characterise [5] 2/15 3/9 21/3 40/18 139/8 characterised [1] 15/17
charts [1] 203/14
chat [1] 107/4
check [8] 14/7 41/23
45/13 46/19 58/19
140/4 166/3 171/12
checked [1] 26/10
chemical [1] 122/16
chief [39] 2/5 3/7
3/15 3/16 4/10 23/11 25/11 28/19 33/13 33/15 40/9 45/18 56/22 64/20 66/25 67/11 68/1 69/10 70/5 81/2 81/2 86/20 89/8 95/15 98/15 116/7
121/15 122/19 125/25 127/17 127/20 127/23 128/1 134/24 147/12 147/16 180/6 204/10 204/20
child [2] 61/20 62/13 children [5] 61/24 175/24 176/1 184/2 189/7
children's [4] $4 / 5$
61/17 63/7 63/10
China [8] 28/10
28/11 28/13 29/7
29/25 30/6 30/12 31/2
choice [1] 10/13
choices [1] 145/24
choose [1] 18/18
Chris [2] 23/25 32/24
Christmas [14]
181/19 184/21 194/2 194/23 195/8 195/14 195/20 195/24 196/4 201/5 201/11 201/14 202/2 202/8
Christmastime [1] 195/4
chronology [4]
106/21 160/5 167/25 181/8
churn [1] 22/8
circle [1] 20/20
circuit [6] 170/14
171/15 171/19 204/4
204/15 205/9
circuit-breakers [1] 205/9
circulated [1] 33/10
circumstances [2]
118/11 194/22
cited [2] 13/15 14/7
citing [1] 14/9
civil [13] 33/18 35/7 39/2 41/25 42/3 42/9 42/10 45/9 51/20 55/4
55/7 60/20 113/14
claimed [1] 158/7
clarifying [1] 56/2
clear [31] 3/18 10/4
18/16 24/7 24/8 34/17
55/20 60/7 63/9 63/23
77/2 81/23 86/11
87/15 90/6 99/13
112/7 112/8 116/22 124/2 124/9 153/2 164/24 173/17 189/2
193/2 198/21 204/13 206/23 207/1 207/24
clearly [14] 27/9 53/5 55/23 56/6 56/13 59/18 60/15 69/5 82/14 88/3 119/21 175/11 197/1 198/1 clinging [1] 56/2 clinical [9] 75/8
75/15 77/5 91/20
105/17 122/11 122/14 123/9 124/17
clinically [2] 118/12 122/11
clinicians [1] 74/6 close [7] 130/13 157/21 164/9 179/8 190/23 194/20 202/14
closed [4] 174/1 174/18 183/6 190/4 closely [7] 85/14 100/13 108/21 109/9 124/3 124/4 124/8
closest [1] 147/11 closure [2] 62/7 175/23
closures [2] 48/5 128/18
clumsy [3] 32/20 52/4 60/2
cluster [2] 106/5 106/9
CMO [53] 23/16 24/6
24/14 24/18 24/20
27/14 32/15 32/19
32/21 33/4 33/11
45/18 67/9 69/24 70/2 70/15 71/18 77/25 93/21 98/7 99/15 100/12 100/15 123/19 124/3 124/4 124/6 124/22 124/25 125/3 126/11 129/25 131/5 132/17 134/3 147/6 157/1 160/12 161/7 163/21 163/24 169/18 ombine [1] 144/18 176/15 177/19 178/24 combined [2] 95/1 179/21 182/9 184/25 185/8 205/16 206/19

207/1 207/9
CMO's [2] 133/3
171/11
CMOs [5] 32/16 123/19 146/7 146/7 147/8
co [12] 37/21 38/15 50/20 51/4 142/21 142/23 143/21 146/24 147/4 152/9 181/6 196/18

## co-authors [1]

 196/18co-operation [6] 142/21 142/23 143/21 146/24 147/4 152/9
co-ordinate [1] 51/4 co-ordinated [2] 38/15 181/6 co-ordinating [1] 50/20
co-ordination [1] 37/21
coach [1] 25/24
COBR [12] 41/8
42/14 43/9 43/10 43/16 89/19 89/22 90/4 90/8 90/11 125/23 126/1
COBRs [1] $41 / 5$ Code [1] 102/9 cold [2] 32/8 32/10 collapse [1] 16/22 collation [1] 85/4 colleague [3] 26/25 27/4 102/5
colleagues [59] 4/10 7/2 10/24 20/18 20/21 20/23 22/24 23/10 23/12 24/23 25/12 26/8 26/23 27/24 31/20 33/18 33/19 37/10 37/24 38/8 38/23 39/1 52/12 59/7 59/13 59/25 61/7 64/3 75/1 77/14 81/3 81/3 85/3 85/5 88/8 88/16 88/25 89/2 97/24 98/24 99/2 103/20 105/7 110/25 111/24 112/4 112/7 119/8 119/12 126/11 126/25 127/9 127/19 127/20 129/11 129/12 144/13 158/6 202/10
collected [1] 150/12 collection [1] 144/2 coloured [1] 54/14 combination [2] 19/20 165/23

145/9
combining [1]
combining... [1]
146/16
come [45] 6/13 6/17 7/4 8/4 8/7 9/9 9/16 11/20 11/23 12/21 21/22 25/1 28/4 30/13 30/14 38/12 42/3 44/19 45/11 47/15 56/14 66/10 66/22 72/24 101/18 101/24 102/3 107/16 108/13 130/22 138/12 139/3 139/24 140/3 153/12 153/16 155/3 157/21 165/8 167/14 175/18 183/19 189/13 190/14 203/19
comes [8] 1/14 38/22 50/14 51/13 52/18 147/25 149/25 164/19 comfort [1] 204/12 coming [25] 7/17 21/1 21/13 25/3 28/6 35/18 43/1 43/9 69/13 107/3 120/12 126/11 128/7 129/15 132/13 140/12 149/12 154/8 164/2 166/5 171/5 181/8 196/1 206/17 209/11
command [4] 22/20
22/22 25/4 85/16 commas [1] 166/25 comment [8] 10/24
67/15 136/22 186/15
187/19 189/23 192/22 192/24
commented [1] 187/21
comments [2]
191/16 205/22
commercial [1]
76/10
commission [1] 3/25
Commissioner [9]
109/21 110/1 110/18
110/23 111/3 111/12 111/17 112/4 116/21
Commissioner for [3]
109/21 111/12
116/21
commissioning [2]
6/16 31/20
commit [1] 84/9
commitment [3]
121/25 122/2 123/1
committed [3] 92/14
149/1 162/2
Committee [13]
13/17 102/4 102/15 161/4 168/9 170/21 172/2 172/4 181/11
comparative [1] 81/1 compare [7] 147/22 147/25 149/6 149/13 149/17 150/8 152/13 compared [3] 14/13 140/10 151/18
compares [1] 196/19 comparing [3]
151/10 151/15 197/18
comparison [6] 14/2 14/17 15/11 151/5 151/22 180/13 comparisons [4] 148/9 148/11 149/19 152/22
compartmentalised
[3] 96/1 97/14 97/23
compatible [1]
165/23
competing [2]
118/19 120/1
complete [5] 62/18
64/3 84/11 148/25
175/10
completely [3] 67/13
118/20 119/20
complex [5] 71/4
114/5 180/22 185/4
196/2
complexities [1]
150/3
compliance [1] 190/2
complicated [2]
150/8 185/22
complication [1]
94/14
component [1]
122/25
components [3] 22/6 cons [2] 7/6 166/14
88/12 165/13
compounded [1] 20/25
comprehensive [4] 65/24 71/12 114/21 131/19
comprised [1] 70/10 compromise [3] 119/25 187/13 187/20 concept [4] 10/4 40/12 41/2 208/11 concern [18] 21/25 27/19 27/21 28/2 83/11 87/21 116/1 119/1 164/2 173/10 178/25 181/10 185/18 186/13 190/15 198/2 198/3 198/18
concerned [10] 49/4 83/8 83/17 102/17 163/24 169/19 184/19 195/18 195/25 207/2
concerning [1] 28/22
concerns [18] 21/24
27/15 70/25 77/17
82/25 83/1 83/11
83/17 110/13 119/6
119/16 119/20 165/2
169/21 188/5 198/12
208/7 208/8
conclusion [1] 103/2
condensed [1] 133/2
condition [1] 22/11
conditioned [2]
18/13 21/3
conditions [2] 15/5 47/25
conduct [1] 152/22
conducted [1]
202/10
confidence [16]
65/21 84/2 84/3 103/4 103/11 103/17 103/19 186/7 186/9 186/17
186/20 188/25 189/3
198/13 199/16 199/17
confident [3] 141/5 141/20 161/14
configuration [1] 19/1
confirm [1] 178/13
confirmation [2] 17/18 107/2 confirmed [1] 27/3
confounding [1] 146/15
confuse [1] 102/6
confused [1] 46/17
confusion [2] 41/16 90/2
conjunction [1]
121/17
Conor [1] 209/9
consequence [3]
71/8 72/14 196/1
consequences [14]
42/25 44/2 44/6 174/8 174/10 174/24 174/24 175/1 175/4 181/25
200/8 200/25 201/12 201/24
consider [9] 35/13
37/21 47/21 52/8
111/21 124/2 168/9
181/23 191/23
considerable [8]
11/15 130/19 144/1
148/15 149/20 158/14
158/20 208/8
consideration [9]
37/14 53/20 90/9 90/17 90/22 97/16
204/3 204/14 205/23
considerations [3]
183/12 202/6 206/12
considered [5]
132/25 143/17 170/23
175/6 206/1
considering [3]
52/22 161/9 165/5
consistent [4]
186/19 189/2 198/22
199/1
consistently [1]
205/7
constant [3] 23/12
193/23 194/7
constantly [1] 84/2
constituencies [1]
199/5
constitutes [1] 123/3
constraints [2] 17/13
106/7
consultant [1]
108/15
consultation [1] 17/3
contact [9] 55/16
89/24 110/8 110/21
110/22 154/18 156/11
156/21 158/7
contacted [1] 129/24
contacts [4] 110/23
147/8 147/18 173/25
contain [4] 30/6
89/13 89/20 113/3
contained [3] 30/11
39/15 96/23
contains [1] 29/25
contemplated [2]
99/12 170/13
content [3] 1/18
121/8 147/9
context [16] 38/24
40/19 43/23 46/6
50/13 61/3 90/22
98/10 108/23 123/18

133/20 147/14 169/13 186/21 204/9 204/17
contingencies [5]
35/8 42/1 42/4 42/9 45/9
contingency [18]
34/14 34/15 36/9
37/22 39/20 40/11
40/16 42/10 44/20
44/23 49/13 51/20
54/16 55/4 55/8 57/20
58/22 60/21
contingent [2] 66/12 130/7
continual [1] 20/2
continue [3] 121/20
149/23 184/1
continued [6] 124/17
153/10 168/5 175/1
182/12 194/15
continuing [1] 91/6
contradictory [2]
118/20 118/23
contributed [1] 22/13
control [11] 2/21
28/10 28/11 28/14
29/8 85/16 96/5 104/7
112/2 114/15 115/1
control-type [1]
85/16
controlling [1]
115/18
controversial [5] 6/7
81/11 128/22 129/1 204/16
convene [1] 61/1
conventional [2]
8/12 24/10
conventions [1] 85/7
conversation [6]
26/3 62/17 63/16
74/21 129/25 182/22
conversations [4]
26/23 32/16 67/8
144/12
convey [2] 119/6
172/6
conveyed [3] 29/6
42/8 171/4
conveying [2] 28/21
83/22
copies [2] 111/19 112/22
coping [1] 207/19
core [4] 98/3 109/13
203/3 209/25
core participants [3]
109/13 203/3 209/25
coronavirus [1]
78/25
correct [94] 1/16
1/17 2/12 3/8 3/10
4/24 5/11 5/25 8/15
8/19 10/8 14/13 15/15

| C | $120$ | 152/7 182/21 | $80 / 4 \text { 80/14 80/18 }$ | debate [2] 131/12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15/24 | counterpart [3] | cross [22] 16/23 43/7 | 81/22 83/24 83/25 |  |
| 17/16 20/13 23/5 | 仡 | 51/21 51/24 51/25 | 84/5 84/25 85/13 | debates [1] 132/7 |
| 23/18 23/19 23/22 | counterparts [1] | 52/21 53/11 53/20 | 96/10 96/13 96/16 | mber [5] 139 |
| 24/16 37/16 47/8 | 147/ | 57/25 61/2 62/21 | 96/18 96/20 96/23 | 168/12 168/17 193/11 |
| 47/12 47/22 48/6 | counterviews | 63/25 75/1 123/23 | 108/20 109/5 130/13 | 201 |
| 48/10 48/13 48/19 | 176/8 | 135/9 181/5 185/12 | 130/16 130/21 130/22 | decide [1] 6/15 |
| 49/6 53/8 64/24 64/25 | counties [1] | 185/14 185/18 185/23 | 142/12 144/2 144/11 | decided [3] 102/15 |
| 66/16 68/14 73/22 | countries [3] 47/4 | 188/19 188/22 | 144/15 144/17 144/18 | 168 |
| 78/10 78/25 80/9 | 149/1 196/20 | cross-communit | 148/12 148/17 148 | decision [61] 6/2 |
| 83/13 83/14 83/19 | country [1] 145 | 185/23 188/19 188/22 | 148/19 148/22 148/23 | 7/7 7/9 7/11 7/12 7/14 |
| 84/20 86/4 87/1 89/6 | couple [8] 8/1 8/2 | cross-departmental | 149/11 150/12 150/12 | 7/14 7/17 7/25 8/3 |
| 91/16 104/15 105/21 | 15/5 81/13 16 | [3] 52/21 61/2 62/2 | 150 | 23/9 23/13 25/5 25 |
| 106/15 107/6 121/19 | 185/5 200/10 205/22 | cross-government | 152/24 153/17 153/18 | 25/7 34/22 43/23 |
| 121/20 122/5 | course [22] 2/23 | [1] 53/20 | 153/21 154/4 191/22 | 75/21 89/16 89/17 |
| 122/21 123/7 123/24 | 12/19 31/7 40/25 | cross-gov | 193/22 | 89/19 90/3 90/6 90/8 |
| 124/3 124/13 125/15 | 54/21 65/7 77/4 86/9 | approach [1] 63/25 | dataset [1] 109/7 | 90/14 90/15 90/19 |
| 125/19 127/10 127/14 | 94/16 98/14 111/10 | cross-infection [1] | datastreams [1] | 90/24 91/1 91/3 91/11 |
| 129/23 130/25 131/1 | 151/15 161/20 174/7 | 75 | 14 | 96/17 102/3 102/13 |
| 132/10 132/16 135/4 | 174/23 179/14 186/25 | cross-party [1] 16/23 | date [10] 46/12 46/15 | 102/22 103/1 117/16 |
| 143/23 143/24 151/12 | 187/10 193/1 198/9 | crossover [2] 58/10 | 46/17 57/14 70/7 71/5 | 134/2 140/8 152/13 |
| 156/19 161/1 161/25 | 201/7 202/5 | 58 | 72/16 107/9 107/25 | 160/25 161/2 161/1 |
| 163/4 163/18 163/24 | courses [1] 187 | CS | 171/25 | 161/19 163/17 16 |
| 164/18 166/23 168/23 | cover [2] 87/14 | 22 123 | dated [1] | 165/14 178/21 182/14 |
| 170/22 180/1 180/20 |  | 123/14 124/22 125/2 | dates [2] 128/2 | 183 |
| 181/15 182/7 184/18 |  | 125/9 126/18 129/4 | 168/2 | 18 |
| 191/8 193/20 197/22 | covering [3] 114/3 | 135/6 135/7 135/9 | David [2] 29/5 113/16 | 189/2 189/12 198/13 |
| 198/4 200/13 200/14 | 134/11 196/23 | 135/11 135/13 135/15 | day [16] | 199 |
|  | coverings [2] 1 | 136/2 136/4 | 53/12 59/9 61/8 61/15 | decision-makers [1] |
|  | 19 | CSA's [1] | 76/13 78/17 7 | 199/24 |
|  | Covid [35] | CSAs [1] | 96/16 98/14 107/12 | decision-making [11] |
|  | 25/10 32/4 56/16 57/2 | culmination [1] | 107/13 140/13 156/25 | 102/13 160/25 161/2 |
|  | 68/13 71/19 73/8 | 19/20 | 172/17 | 164/22 165/14 184/24 |
|  |  | cultural [1] 101/15 | days [23] | 186/18 189/2 189/1 |
| cost [10] 6/13 6/15 | 79/19 81/20 81/21 | cumulative [2] 201/6 | 56/20 64/5 64/5 75/7 | 198/13 199/19 |
| 38/22 39/10 50/14 | 91/21 92/15 105/13 | 201/9 | 100/5 110/7 121/25 | decisions [30] 5/17 |
| 51/13 | 106/25 109/18 112/19 | current [2] 181/16 | 122/2 133/15 138/21 | 5/20 6/7 6/11 6/16 |
| 58/8 61/9 | 113/22 115/18 116/11 | 206/5 | 138/24 139/1 144/6 | 6/18 7/21 16/25 17/6 |
|  | 116/14 119/4 128/11 | currently [4] 13/18 | 159/7 178/23 179/1 | 83/25 92/16 95/18 |
| could [66] 6/23 6/24 | 150/18 150/21 151/1 | 13/20 13/22 33/13 | 179/14 179/15 186/25 | 102/20 103/21 129/3 |
| 7/9 7/17 19/2 25/19 | 154/2 166/21 166/21 | curve [5] 74/24 115/4 | 194/2 200/14 | 144/25 145/1 145/16 |
|  | 166/24 167/4 173/13 | 115/5 197/11 208/10 | de [1] 90/7 | 150/4 164/23 164/25 |
| 34/5 35/10 36/5 36/18 | Covid-19 [11] 57/2 | cut [3] 38/3 116/22 | de facto [1] | 165/7 165/8 166/13 |
| 45/12 60/14 61/3 63/4 | 71/19 79/15 79/19 | 177/23 | deal [8] 36/10 68/3 | 175/7 177/23 191/3 |
| 64/8 64/8 66/7 69/24 | 92/15 106/25 112/19 | cyber [1] 14 | 72/15 72/20 92/2 92/5 | 196/24 197/3 207/6 |
| 71/16 72/18 73/3 76/3 | 116/11 116/14 119/4 | cycle [1] 35/4 | 101/20 119/20 | declared [1] 57/2 |
| 76/21 79/7 80/5 84/12 |  | D | 2] 1 | decline [1] 184/17 |
| 84/13 101/16 108/8 |  |  |  |  |
| 115/14 117/17 120/1 |  | DA |  |  |
| 127/16 130/1 132/6 | 166/21 166/24 167/4 | $135 / 13$ |  | $2$ |
| 141/4 141/7 145/18 | create [3] 10 |  | death [2] | 18 |
| 151/6 151/6 155/14 | 16/21 22/8 | damage [4] 117/2 |  | ficiency [1] 95/10 |
|  | created [1] | 6/15 206/15 206 | deaths [2 | deficit [1] 89/2 |
|  | creating [4] 9/21 | damaging [4] 175/14 | 80/14 136/25 137 | defined [3] 59/18 |
| 167/5 167/14 174/11 | 39/9 41/15 53/23 | 176/12 176/20 207/15 | 137/10 138/1 138/3 | 60/15 110/21 |
| 174/18 174/19 175/11 | credentials [2] | dancing [1] 38/17 | 138/16 138/24 139/1 | definitely [4] 166/16 |
| 179/9 180/18 181/22 | 123/17 123/18 | danger [2] 117/6 | 139/21 144/3 144/6 | 171/18 171/20 180/23 |
| 192/1 194/21 209/23 | crisis [6] 49/25 51/3 | 172/13 | 144/8 144/9 148/4 | definition [5] 57/24 |
| couldn't [8] 6/6 6/9 | 51/8 57/21 57/22 58/2 | dangerous [3] | 148/7 149/8 150 | 80/25 81/5 81/6 81/18 |
| 16/21 17/5 73/12 | critical [5] 110/4 | 7/7 | 151/10 151/11 151/ | definitive [2] 32/18 |
| 128/25 143/16 175/5 | 111/8 182/19 | dashboard [1] 96/15 | 152/1 159/6 160/9 | /21 |
| COUNSEL [4] 1/7 | 198/25 | data [52] 65/19 65/22 | 173/14 190/18 197/2 | degree [7] 20/1 |
| COUNSEL [4] $1 / 7$ | criticism [3] 20/8 | 66/8 66/11 66/22 78/6 | 201/1 | 123/13 142/20 150/24 |

(61) correct... - degree
degree... [3] 152/19
195/13 207/17
delay [6] 89/20 89/23 104/23 148/4 164/12 200/23
deliberate [1] 152/12 delivered [3] 4/7 16/16 58/16
Delivering [2] 16/7 16/23
delivery [2] 85/22 116/8
demands [1] 12/15 demographics [1] 150/4
demonstrate [3] 168/1 171/25 191/3 demonstrates [2] 25/22 197/21
demonstration [1] 201/22
density [3] 150/4 150/19 150/22
departed [1] 5/19 department [132] 1/23 2/2 2/9 2/14 2/18 2/21 3/21 4/2 4/6 8/14 9/18 19/8 21/10 22/20 22/23 23/16 23/21 30/19 31/12 33/6 33/21 34/12 34/20 34/21 35/18 35/23 36/8 38/13 39/16 39/17 39/19 39/21 39/25 40/2 40/5 40/13 40/15 40/16 40/18 40/20 40/21 41/2 41/4 41/6 41/11 41/12 41/16 41/17 42/7 42/13 42/13 42/20 43/4 44/1 47/11 48/16 51/1 51/4 51/5 52/25 53/1 54/11 54/15 55/5 55/21 59/4 60/7 64/4 64/16 68/12 68/18 69/8 75/12 79/24 80/13 85/3 85/10 85/18 86/1 88/19 88/25 89/18 93/21 94/20 95/7 96/4 96/15 97/4 97/5 97/15 97/24 99/14 100/1 100/5 100/7 102/16 107/5 109/22 109/24 110/17 111/11 112/18 112/25 113/21 115/14 115/20 116/2 116/9 119/8 119/12 121/15 123/19 123/22 123/25 125/8 125/21 126/3 126/12 126/20 127/22 129/8 129/10 129/14 134/10

140/17 142/18 147/19 $\quad 105 / 15$
152/19 155/8 155/21 develop [2] 71/9 157/8 206/18 departmental [12] 23/10 43/7 51/21 51/24 51/25 52/1 52/21 53/11 61/2 62/21 123/23 135/19 departments [34] 9/14 9/16 19/17 19/23 35/25 36/3 36/6 37/15 38/14 39/13 41/18 42/10 43/5 43/12 44/17 46/8 47/3 48/17 52/22 53/10 60/12 61/7 62/4 62/9 63/1 63/4 63/12 63/12 63/15 64/11 105/3 127/19 128/2 147/1 departure [1] 68/4 departures [1] 198/23
dependent [2] 95/13 95/15
depending [1]
136/25
depends [1] 200/15 deprivation [4] 150/25 151/3 180/19 180/25
deputy [7] 33/15
45/18 96/3 123/19 129/8 146/7 192/10
Deputy CMOs [2] 123/19 146/7
describe [2] 21/14 96/8
described [8] 30/3 91/10 116/8 125/10 139/10 152/11 174/22 183/15
describing [1] 118/5 desire [2] 99/1
195/11
desk [4] 67/1 74/13 88/25 98/15
despatched [1] 26/1
desperately [1] 56/3
despite [1] 141/21
detail [16] 3/19 9/9 11/21 11/24 53/1 65/17 70/23 73/8 87/22 88/3 91/9 101/20 114/18 133/3 139/4 146/11
detailed [3] 53/20
72/13 89/7
details [1] 70/16 detect [1] 141/17 detected [2] 56/17 76/5
deteriorated [1] 13/13
deteriorates [1]
100/6
developing [1] 16/7
development [10]
16/18 25/9 51/11
114/22 122/20 122/23
125/9 140/9 147/13 147/15
developments [2] 125/20 126/16 devolved [4] 31/5
90/13 90/15 90/24
dFM [1] 43/19
dialling [1] 43/16
dialogue [15] 23/12
25/11 28/19 53/3
57/11 88/16 111/1
111/6 111/7 111/10
111/11 119/9 119/12
119/14 119/16
dictate [2] 41/18 41/20
did [60] 18/2 18/5
21/16 21/21 23/7 25/4 27/19 28/16 32/24 52/20 55/11 55/22 56/17 56/20 57/6 60/7 60/11 60/18 69/1 81/8 82/13 85/20 87/24 90/8 92/21 100/22 101/6 101/8 101/10 103/16 108/14 111/11 111/16 113/25 122/7 124/25 125/2 127/25 130/20 135/21 135/24 138/18 140/14 144/12
145/5 146/4 154/6
155/2 155/3 155/5 158/18 164/4 168/16 177/11 182/22 194/8 202/18 204/23 205/17 208/8
didn't [69] 2/7 7/2
16/18 18/24 29/25 35/25 37/21 38/21 45/2 46/14 50/2 52/13 55/5 55/10 55/20 55/23 56/16 57/5 59/8 62/24 65/11 66/14 66/19 67/2 67/10 77/8 78/8 79/10 79/18 87/9 88/23 92/8 92/19 92/20 93/3 93/4 95/6 95/9 97/22 97/22 97/23 100/14 100/14 100/19 108/1 108/19 177/23 183/8 183/16 183/20 186/6 194/20

108/25 111/20 112/10 disappears [1] 31/13 115/5 119/20 125/16 disastrous [3] 126/17 127/11 133/14 116/24 117/4 117/14 145/9 146/8 154/1 discernible [1] 19/22 163/22 165/25 175/23 discharge [15] 5/24 difference [5] 39/23 64/9 81/19 123/10 188/13
differences [9] 93/7
144/1 144/9 144/18 150/15 151/6 151/7 152/15 168/24
different [26] 15/5 145/1 150/7 156/11 108/17 168/25 181/20 185/6 187/14 187/18 187/18 188/17 201/8 202/6
differentiate [1] 57/7 differentiating [1] 100/9
differently [2] 14/4 14/17
difficult [18] 7/18
10/15 17/20 21/7 32/9 57/15 93/11 100/2 102/20 103/1 139/19 147/22 148/24 165/5 165/21 175/7 195/12 196/3
difficulties [5] 80/4 82/18 117/24 149/15 199/17
difficulty [5] 96/6
102/21 111/17 195/15 207/19
digital [1] 88/11
dimension [1] 24/22
dimensions [1] 18/16
direct [2] 111/14 200/18
directed [3] 126/5
134/8 134/19
direction [2] $2 / 21$ 101/23
directly [3] 65/18
112/5 112/6
director [9] 33/7 80/8
80/22 81/14 122/23
154/12 154/19 155/19 158/12
directorate [1] 85/2
disagree [1] 152/16
disagreement [1] 199/25
disagreements [1]
199/15

9/22 19/11 104/24
105/7 105/18 106/23

201/2 208/7
differed [1] 188/7 25/2 59/19 60/24 75/4 disciplines [1] 123/5 93/8 93/10 102/14 disconnect [1] 86/14 111/23 112/20 113/18 discourage [1] 166/9 137/7 138/19 144/11 discovered [1]

108/12 116/13 116/16 116/19 117/5 117/10 118/2 118/4
discharged [6] 42/1
104/25 105/10 108/17
117/19 118/12
discharges [2]
104/18 106/24
discharging [2]
116/23 117/14
discrepancies [1]
83/1
discrete [2] 92/2
94/17
discuss [2] 93/15 164/22
discussed [7] 43/11
53/17 110/15 131/12 132/9 170/21 171/1
discussion [16]
63/14 66/18 90/4
90/12 97/17 101/14
102/22 140/17 140/23
161/25 177/9 179/10
185/24 186/5 188/18 206/3
discussions [8]
77/14 78/1 93/17
94/24 127/3 140/25
144/13 195/4
disease [3] 30/2
72/14 73/13
diseases [1] 126/24
dismay [1] 164/16
dispelled [1] 27/9
disproportionately
[1] 48/1
distancing [1] 199/10
distinct [6] 3/6 8/8
26/6 65/9 95/2 100/6
distinction [4] 58/20
124/9 145/24 151/9
disturbances [1] 175/2
do [75] 7/2 18/15
25/7 26/16 28/19 35/3
35/13 36/6 37/23
39/10 39/24 40/15
42/5 43/7 48/20 50/8
51/22 52/12 55/17
58/4 59/2 60/25 61/2 61/5 61/14 61/24
65/24 67/3 75/18
79/15 93/16 97/10
110/17 113/7 113/20
117/3 118/2 119/15
120/8 121/20 124/17
128/24 129/5 130/1
130/17 131/20 134/9
do... [28] 134/13
137/11 137/22 140/11 142/9 144/10 145/6 148/11 149/18 150/15 156/16 157/19 160/2 160/6 164/20 168/2 178/3 178/21 180/14 187/8 187/9 189/12 192/13 194/12 203/9 204/7 204/24 205/21
Dobbin [8] 1/8 2/25 41/1 41/13 55/1 117/1 127/15 167/20
doctor [1] 209/11
document [30] 16/13 45/14 45/20 45/25 49/8 50/4 62/23 101/1 101/2 105/22 113/5 113/7 113/11 113/11 114/2 114/8 114/13 114/17 114/22 115/3 115/17 128/20 161/1 165/9 178/15 191/10 193/10 196/17 201/17 201/19
documents [5] 104/1 112/23 168/1 173/3 194/10
does [18] 6/15 58/24 67/24 72/1 77/1 77/7 77/25 94/14 114/13 115/8 135/8 157/10 170/23 171/16 171/18 174/9 193/15 196/16
doesn't [18] 12/20
23/25 30/4 35/9 40/13 41/22 49/8 50/7 53/23 67/21 69/17 70/21 76/25 77/7 94/17 114/4 114/18 136/18
doing [23] 35/3 35/25
43/22 50/3 50/18 52/9 52/10 52/12 54/10
59/9 60/1 60/20 60/21
60/22 65/6 68/4 95/2
95/16 100/8 126/15
141/13 167/11 177/7
domiciliary [1]
104/10
don't [66] 11/11 21/6 29/20 30/23 34/24 34/25 36/8 36/14
40/20 41/21 45/25
49/8 49/10 50/7 51/6 51/9 53/16 53/25 54/2 54/18 54/19 55/12 58/5 58/13 59/21 61/14 61/15 61/20 68/20 72/14 74/15 76/20 82/9 86/24 87/6 88/3 93/13 94/23 96/24 97/2 97/22

99/16 100/20 101/10 103/15 118/6 127/15 129/1 129/1 131/10 131/21 132/3 141/25 151/5 154/9 157/15 167/7 169/7 171/7 178/21 179/5 193/18 199/14 200/1 206/25 207/3
done [22] 26/20 27/15 50/17 51/8 65/20 66/4 66/7 67/2 67/5 91/13 95/17 95/17 117/3 145/19 148/13 149/22 152/4 169/8 180/13 181/4 198/15 202/25
door [3] 9/14 9/15 9/17
dots [1] 50/22
double [1] 156/17
doubling [1] 170/2
doubt [2] 33/24 202/7
down [18] 43/1 49/12
50/15 80/8 89/25 93/7
102/7 140/3 147/25
149/5 164/11 174/3
190/20 191/16 194/17 194/20 200/10 209/16
Downing [1] 28/8
Downing Street [1] 28/8
downtime [1] 19/18
Dr [5] 45/15 45/17
49/17 56/9 79/11
Dr Chada [3] 45/17 49/17 56/9
Dr McClean [1] 79/11
Dr Naresh Chada [1] 45/15
draft [2] 87/19
111/19
drafted [1] 205/20
drafting [2] 36/13
76/21
drain [1] 63/24
drawn [3] 59/25
63/15 148/8
drinking [1] 175/3
driven [4] 133/22
134/3 136/1 142/12
driving [2] 135/25 163/1
drop [3] 2/25 194/2 194/21
drop-off [1] 194/21
drove [1] 129/22
drugs [3] 6/13 6/13 6/16
Dublin [1] 26/12
due [11] 106/7
130/21 141/17 143/10 143/17 143/25 164/13 170/1 186/1 209/6

209/9
duration [1] 98/24 during [29] 5/1 6/25 9/7 15/21 17/13 40/25 102/16 118/25 124/5 124/7 129/4 129/6
135/21 135/24 138/19 147/5 158/20 159/16 159/16 169/24 187/10 187/24 190/1 194/18 196/13 198/17 198/23 199/12 199/23
dust [1] 16/12
dying [2] 81/20 81/20 dynamic [1] 176/4

## E

each [6] 17/19 52/25
74/2 116/14 146/11
149/2
earlier [35] 16/20
30/14 38/24 59/1
59/16 59/17 63/25
76/15 96/22 98/5
105/2 113/15 116/9
134/5 137/25 140/9
156/13 157/11 171/1
171/5 172/10 172/24 179/11 179/14 190/18 197/8 197/10 205/3 205/4 206/8 206/8 206/23 207/8 208/1 208/12
early [42] 19/11
26/11 32/3 41/7 44/5 44/10 56/7 56/20 58/7
58/12 58/23 65/20
65/25 66/3 66/25
67/17 69/2 69/12
74/24 77/15 79/10
79/22 82/19 96/12
110/7 111/25 112/1
126/4 127/5 127/10
131/20 154/6 160/12
163/25 167/10 173/2
179/11 185/9 204/20
205/1 205/11 205/15
easier [1] 10/6
easiest [1] 175/8
easily [3] 151/7
164/24 175/5
eat [4] 163/13 166/5
166/7 166/20
eating [1] 167/2
economic [4] 165/3
166/12 174/23 206/14
economy [2] 183/12
201/25
education [3] 2/6
100/1 183/12
educational [3] 165/3
174/24 206/15
effect [11] 159/5
161/8 161/14 169/2

169/9 169/16 189/24 191/3 194/9 201/6 201/9
effective [12] 128/19 130/15 130/15 156/21 169/12 173/8 196/25
197/2 197/4 197/4
197/8 197/15
effectively [22] 10/19
29/5 80/13 85/10
93/23 96/4 111/4
136/18 139/8 140/6
140/20 141/19 142/21
143/2 156/15 156/17
170/4 187/13 188/1
198/5 198/7 202/8
effects [1] 181/20
effort [1] 131/23
efforts [3] 148/16 148/19 149/14
eight [1] 2/9
eight years [1] $2 / 9$
either [13] 7/7 12/10
28/9 44/7 56/17 68/13
81/16 114/13 123/15
132/14 154/2 161/12
184/17
elderly [3] 47/25
105/9 118/14
elected [1] 6/10
elective [1] $12 / 5$
element [2] 6/8 57/23
elements [1] 115/3
elevated [1] 88/24
else [6] 14/20 35/5 39/11 66/15 130/12 142/9
elsewhere [3] 157/8
180/23 200/21
email [25] 27/13 28/8 28/19 80/7 80/16 80/20 82/3 82/3 83/15
86/4 86/10 86/12 86/25 88/1 98/8 101/13 106/19 106/22 107/1 107/5 154/10 154/19 155/19 156/3 156/25
emails [1] 97/16
embarking [1] 28/3
emerge [1] 198/25
emerged [1] 65/6
emergency [15] 9/13
9/16 9/18 19/8 19/17
19/23 21/10 40/10
40/11 51/22 60/23
105/3 112/19 112/24 125/22
emerging [7] 6/13
43/19 50/11 84/1
96/18 112/8 126/8
emphasise [5] 77/2
104/24 114/20 115/9 115/24
emphasised [3]
105/8 179/8 195/15 enable [2] 92/15 110/9
enact [3] 6/6 6/23 6/24
enacted [1] 5/13
encompasses [2]
2/15 102/10
encouraged [1]
166/7
encouraging [1]
41/23
end [20] 1/15 20/17
20/17 27/22 28/16
64/23 65/15 67/11
88/9 113/2 116/17
133/17 138/1 138/3
153/22 183/22 195/11
196/22 201/9 202/15
ending [1] 148/16
ends [1] 209/17
endure [2] 32/9
82/13
endured [3] 82/10
82/12 109/5
enduring [2] 105/1 105/6
energy [2] 63/18 114/9
engage [2] 36/7 111/21
engaged [1] 88/14
engagement [3]
111/6 111/7 112/12
engaging [1] 111/23
England [15] 3/11
71/24 72/9 72/11
72/18 73/12 75/18
106/22 140/10 141/24
142/6 145/7 148/21
197/16 204/6
enhancement [1]
59/8
enormous [2] 51/3
174/7
enormously [1]
175/7
enough [3] 83/18
115/10 159/11
enquiries [1] 154/11
enquiry [1] 152/14 ensure [3] 60/3 62/10 63/9
ensuring [2] 2/18 57/24
entered [8] 18/25
18/25 19/5 19/19
19/25 20/10 92/7
142/17
enthusiasm [1]
152/20
entire [3] 145/16
156/17 163/5

## $E$ <br> entirely [5] 21/14

111/18 177/10 178/6 201/8
entitled [1] 116/10
entity [3] 3/12 3/15
143/14
entry [1] 29/3
environment [2] 5/22 166/22
environments [2]
114/16 166/24
epidemic [10] 143/6
143/13 146/13 166/11
166/15 174/15 181/17
188/16 208/10 208/16
epidemiological [15]
93/24 94/2 94/3 94/5
94/9 143/5 143/10
143/16 145/4 145/14
178/18 180/9 197/11
200/4 206/4
epidemiologically [2] 93/25 146/1
epidemiology [4]
143/2 149/21 180/21 206/22
episode [1] 76/1
equilibrium [2] 12/9 13/2
equipment [1] 76/11
equivalent [4] 122/2
124/14 146/16 147/11
equivalents [2] 127/4 128/4
escalate [1] 66/1
escalated [2] 81/16
170/17
escalation [1] 13/6
essential [4] 57/9
173/25 191/7 191/17
essentially [9] 2/16
3/22 5/16 81/10
136/23 138/19 140/15
173/24 201/21
establish [7] 23/1
23/15 50/8 52/6 55/24
63/20 136/15
established [3] 57/12
128/12 147/5
establishing [10]
38/22 50/13 51/13
57/13 58/6 61/8 130/2
133/18 133/25 141/1
establishment [7]
49/25 50/9 56/15
56/24 59/9 59/16 59/17
estimate [1] 141/12
et [2] 141/2 158/24
et cetera [2] 141/2 158/24
Europe [2] 142/4

142/8
European [1] 154/15 even [19] 6/13 17/20 27/21 43/20 57/1 57/5 96/13 97/16 101/6 115/16 117/19 117/23 130/13 130/19 134/15 148/5 162/13 182/20 207/21
evening [1] 26/10 event [5] 5/14 20/14 72/22 73/11 129/2 events [3] 54/4 186/5 196/1
eventually [1] 187/11 eventuate [1] 93/3 eventuating [1] 38/16
ever [11] 30/6 51/3 52/16 80/23 87/6 97/1 98/1 157/19 172/23 173/21 175/12
every [6] 19/24 51/4 114/18 115/5 161/11 165/18
everybody [1] 148/25 everyone [1] 14/18 everything [8] 3/4 49/17 58/4 134/14 165/18 173/25 192/18 201/6
everywhere [3]
138/17 142/4 205/13 evidence [42] 3/20 13/16 14/9 14/11 17/24 28/5 41/13 57/18 64/19 79/11 86/2 92/12 92/15 93/20 96/1 109/22 110/23 114/24 116/10 116/20 117/23 121/14 124/2 140/5 141/12 152/5 152/18 163/5 166/19 169/12 182/12 186/18 189/1 190/5 197/13 198/1 198/17 203/15 204/7 205/1 207/8 209/18
evidence-based [2] 92/15 182/12 evolution [4] 16/18 25/9 51/11 77/18
evolved [1] 72/21 evolving [8] 37/24 44/5 49/15 50/2 50/11 55/25 56/12 99/3
exact [3] 38/6 103/15 128/25
exactly [5] 14/18
32/19 56/3 166/25 177/6
example [49] $4 / 46 / 6$ 6/7 9/1 9/2 10/4 10/15

43/10 44/11 49/9 55/15 59/5 61/19 62/4 62/6 62/24 63/7 74/8 78/24 83/4 110/14 117/12 118/4 125/22 125/24 126/23 129/21 131/11 132/8 135/20 140/10 144/3 145/15 146/3 150/16 150/19 153/15 166/8 166/9 174/11 182/17 188/3 194/1 198/13 199/18 examples [4] 6/12
58/18 97/3 131/18
excess [4] 21/9
22/10 48/4 87/18
exchange [3] 30/21
86/4 98/8
exchanges [4] 43/18
99/3 113/13 179/7
exclusively [1] 123/25
excused [1] 209/3
executive [49] $2 / 5$
3/7 3/15 3/16 5/9
12/18 12/22 16/3 16/10 16/10 16/22 19/2 42/1 70/5 90/21 90/21 95/19 96/9 96/17 97/4 102/3 102/13 102/15 102/22 102/25 161/2 161/4 164/18 165/4 168/9 170/18 170/21 171/1 171/4 172/2 172/4 172/9 172/10 179/11 181/11 181/22 183/20 185/20 186/5 189/11 199/19 204/23 206/2 206/23
executives [1] 116/7 Exercise [1] 5/9
exercised [2] 5/7 6/1
exhibit [1] 205/19
exhibited [2] 80/21 82/3
exist [3] 65/11 88/23 131/10
existed [9] 11/18
11/22 62/21 75/13 78/5 133/23 134/25 146/24 155/10
existence [3] 143/10 143/18 144/1
existing [3] 47/25
60/17 147/3
exists [1] $16 / 2$
expect [5] 45/25
115/13 115/14 150/17
180/22
expectation [2]
126/12 127/6
17/2 20/14 24/1 41/3

194/25
facilitate [1] 99/4
expedited [1] 104/24 facilitated [2] 59/5
expediting [1] 104/18 88/10
experience [4] 32/10 facilities [2] 72/15 97/23 126/20 165/24 74/17
experienced [3]
35/15 109/23 157/8
experimental [1]
169/8
expert [4] 32/2 40/8 158/8 185/24
expertise [4] 111/12
123/9 123/10 123/12
experts [3] 133/18
158/6 176/21
explain [8] 37/10
56/4 59/2 68/1 122/18
124/1 133/5 172/23
explained [6] 37/9
47/17 90/11 94/1
127/13 135/6
explaining [1] 81/23
explanation [4] 3/10
90/3 94/24 162/1
explanation and [1] 94/24
explanations [2]
177/12 182/13
explore [4] 36/16
38/11 137/3 144/14
exponential [1]
169/23
exposure [1] 173/6
expressed [2] 93/2
164/2
expressing [3] 119/1
178/24 199/7
extant [1] 2/11
extended [4] 133/14 175/25 184/13 194/15
extension [4] 106/13
185/1 187/15 189/6
extensive [2] 71/3
144/12
extensively [1]
110/24
extent [9] 6/23 14/8
18/8 18/12 36/7 95/24
166/17 205/4 206/13
external [1] 81/19
extra [1] 196/7
extraordinarily [1] 195/12
extreme [1] 19/13
extremely [8] 21/5
62/22 67/12 105/17
130/14 141/10 159/5 182/8
F
face [3] 167/2 182/4 199/9
faced [5] 51/3 54/7
111/17 111/24 111/2 fault [1] 101/12
feasible [1] 175/12
February [43] 30/14 31/9 34/4 34/6 44/10 44/12 46/15 46/16 46/18 46/23 47/1 49/20 50/3 51/16 53/6 54/2 55/11 56/9 56/10 58/7 58/12 59/6 59/6 59/20 60/8 61/13
65/20 65/23 67/17
67/21 68/4 68/23
70/20 73/21 76/7
76/14 76/15 76/24
78/15 112/1 113/15
125/14 126/19
February 2020 [1] 60/8
fed [3] 165/14 182/14 199/11
feed [1] 154/6
feeds [2] 148/20 154/4
feel [6] 50/2 59/8
95/9 111/20 183/8 183/16
fell [1] 19/15
felt [18] 35/20 81/15
82/6 103/18 110/15 126/14 126/25 129/25 140/18 156/20 164/1 169/1 171/18 172/25 174/2 181/24 183/9 186/21
few [10] 53/18 56/8 67/19 100/24 125/12 134/16 142/24 162/15 178/23 200/18
fifth [1] 49/11
fight [1] 57/9
figure [3] 21/8 86/12 86/14
figures [6] 82/1 83/6 83/12 84/3 85/5 157/3 fill [2] 104/12 129/12
filled [1] 105/5
final [1] 201/17
Finally [1] 207/23
financial [5] 12/19
13/4 17/19 17/20 17/22
find [2] 100/2 187/20
finds [1] 12/19
fine [4] 57/14 58/11
167/10 167/12
finely [1] 103/12
finish [4] 103/24
111/5 196/11 209/17
finite [1] 20/5
firm [1] 185/22
first [56] 1/5 2/16
3/20 16/14 17/12 19/7
23/2 31/1 33/3 37/13

39/16 44/11 53/7 56/16 71/19 71/21 71/22 72/4 72/12 72/17 73/8 74/23 75/17 76/1 76/5 76/19 80/21 82/23 84/19 87/19 96/3 96/3 125/12 133/7 133/9 136/17 136/18 136/18 137/15 139/9 140/7 143/1 148/1 153/11 155/25 156/2 158/21 158/22 160/15 183/21 190/1 190/7 192/11 197/17 199/13 205/22 form First Minister [1] 96/3
fit [6] 67/5 95/18 104/19 104/25 105/10 118/12
five [5] 3/24 106/8 139/1 161/23 209/7
five days [1] 139/1
fixed [1] 5/21
fixing [1] 84/10
flag [3] 21/10 208/7 208/8
flagged [1] 107/3
flagging [1] 169/21
flatten [1] 115/5
flexible [1] 122/3
flow [1] 74/6
flowed [4] 11/19
89/19 90/4 200/25
flowing [3] 19/21
20/11 20/24
flows [3] 109/5
144/11 150/13
flu [11] 29/18 29/21
31/24 32/6 32/8 32/9
32/11 79/5 79/6 79/7
153/24
flu-like [3] 32/6 79/5 79/7
flurry [1] 98/23
FM [1] 43/19
focus [7] 44/5 55/16
58/14 88/16 114/9 122/19 127/8
focused [4] 50/1
50/10 59/4 140/25
focusing [2] 18/23 42/6
folk [1] 59/22
follow [1] 73/9
followed [2] 75/3 186/2
following [6] 131/5 138/2 146/6 179/6 179/11 187/23
food [2] 163/23 164/4 foot [2] 64/17 125/24 foothills [1] $32 / 12$ foresaw [5] 28/9 143/20 206/18

197/1

19/9

192/9 117/25

161/4 98/16

179/6

37/19 142/19 142/23 $\quad$ frustrations [4] 96/2
foresee [5] 9/1 51/20 62/24 72/4 75/17
9 foreseen [1] 175/19
foretell [1] 202/19
forgive [11] 38/17
41/1 41/15 41/21
46/14 71/4 75/8 85/9
98/20 156/5 201/19
form [5] 24/3 34/20
36/11 38/6 61/12
formal [4] 58/22
63/24 67/24 91/2
formally [4] 56/14
57/10 57/12 131/13
Formation [1] 5/9
forum [1] 110/13
forward [12] 7/3 17/2
87/17 126/17 130/9
132/7 187/8 187/13
187/17 187/21 206/17
found [3] 110/7
110/18 150/15
foundations [1] 52/1
four [17] 9/17 19/9
69/11 74/8 74/10 80/8
183/1 183/4 183/15
183/18 183/22 190/23 future [2] 145/24
194/14 194/18 196/7
196/19 197/1
four nations [1]
four weeks [2]
183/18 194/18
four-hour [2] 9/17
four-nation [1] 69/11
four-week [4] 183/15
183/22 194/14 196/7
fourth [2] 164/7
fragile [3] 21/5 21/14
fragility [2] 18/9 22/1
fragmented [1] 103/3
frail [2] 105/9 118/14
framework [2] 16/25
free [1] 104/14
Friday [3] 37/4 98/14
front [9] 1/14 9/15
35/22 36/11 95/19
96/17 121/5 128/20
fruit [3] 146/18
146/19 146/22
frustration [15] 67/1
82/2 82/4 84/4 84/5
84/6 88/6 96/19 97/8 102/18 102/23 114/7
115/22 119/2 161/16

96/25 97/1 109/23
fulfils [1] $2 / 18$
full [17] 1/10 4/18
8/20 15/6 44/1 68/8 69/11 121/1 124/12
124/14 131/7 132/3
135/13 165/6 173/23
174/6 174/7
fully [4] 44/17 58/5
88/19 158/11
fulsome [2] 93/6
112/11
functioning [1]
165/22
functions [5] 5/7 5/9
5/24 85/11 85/14
fundamentally [5]
7/11 35/7 43/23 44/8
50/20
fundamentals [1]
60/6
further [14] 5/14 18/6
26/5 35/9 35/16 37/20
120/3 176/23 181/18
187/25 189/12 190/9
194/24 200/23
furthermore [1]
173/18
150/1
G
gained [1] 81/4
gaining [1] 175/3
gamut [1] 4/18
gap [4] 71/5 129/17
145/11 145/12
gaps [2] 62/11
129/13
gathering [1] 16/12
gave [10] 5/16 5/23
32/19 46/7 117/1
119/18 158/9 177/19
202/7 203/21
general [13] 7/8
83/12 97/1 114/12
127/3 161/11 163/3
168/3 198/20 199/6
202/3 202/12 208/11
generally [5] 100/16 138/14 162/24 166/23 199/22
generate [1] 100/11
generation [1]
187/25
genomics [1] 126/24
geographically [1]
94/6
get [19] 6/9 9/7 9/15
30/23 74/2 112/10
114/4 114/18 115/5
133/15 146/14 154/3
168/5 169/24 169/25

174/3 191/11 194/20 207/13
getting [9] 17/18
31/24 41/15 41/24
69/11 79/1 96/20
117/18 194/4
give [16] 1/10 5/13
26/24 62/16 93/5
113/1 121/1 121/14
126/23 128/25 164/21
164/24 181/17 182/12
208/22 209/18
given [41] 7/20 10/1
11/11 17/24 21/20
22/1 38/2 38/15 45/17
46/16 46/20 46/22
49/19 49/23 53/25
54/9 61/15 66/8 74/23
75/25 76/13 77/16
93/2 96/16 96/24
97/17 99/22 111/14
132/18 140/6 142/7
144/9 144/18 153/4
156/12 157/7 184/12
186/4 203/5 207/23
207/24
gives [1] 176/11
giving [4] 37/14
177/5 182/23 201/22
glimpse [1] $84 / 18$
global [3] 29/25 37/18 57/2
go [60] 3/19 5/21
12/7 25/19 26/1 28/5
29/2 29/10 29/22
34/10 36/18 37/12
37/19 45/12 46/4
46/11 47/16 47/20
48/22 49/2 67/24
69/24 70/14 71/15
72/2 72/9 72/11 73/3
73/7 76/3 82/22 84/13
88/23 96/22 103/25
136/21 140/8 151/23
155/15 155/15 156/2
156/23 163/13 164/11
166/7 168/1 171/7
176/6 176/23 183/14
184/10 184/15 191/9
192/2 193/10 194/10
200/3 201/17 208/4 209/2
goes [4] 35/9 117/13 118/24 171/9
going [62] 5/5 10/3
20/6 35/16 36/16
38/11 39/3 45/11
45/13 47/11 47/15
54/13 60/6 60/8 62/1
64/14 70/9 71/15
72/24 77/20 78/4
78/24 92/5 95/22 97/8
101/18 105/22 107/7
109/11 112/18 130/22
going... [31] 136/8
138/12 139/3 139/16 140/16 142/19 146/25 152/23 153/12 161/14 161/22 163/12 164/17 166/9 167/8 167/9 169/15 175/19 178/18 179/19 182/2 183/22 184/2 184/9 184/14 184/15 184/17 190/20 200/6 202/18 209/2
gold [3] 30/20 31/10 35/2
gone [4] 6/2 7/3
64/16 102/5
good [13] 1/3 1/4 19/1 19/15 54/19 71/11 101/20 109/17 141/13 167/7 190/6 197/14 202/12
goodwill [2] 20/3 20/5
got [12] 15/19 19/18 45/16 56/3 71/18 94/12 112/14 128/20 133/17 141/13 191/4 194/6
gotten [2] 15/13
193/5
governance [2] 85/7 85/25
governing [1] 145/16
government [33] 24/1 34/13 34/19 39/21 40/2 40/18 41/2 41/3 41/5 41/10 41/12 41/16 42/18 42/22 48/5 51/4 53/20 55/9 57/5 57/8 57/11 58/22 60/9 60/23 63/25 90/12 95/25 99/18 100/16 135/6 135/9 147/12 147/18
governmental [1] 55/22
governments [1] 92/11
GPs [1] 78/24
gradually [1] 159/13 granular [2] 66/18 114/18
graph [5] 136/17 137/4 144/5 194/12 205/6
grasp [1] 58/5 grateful [3] 107/2 202/11 208/20
grave [1] 77/16
gravity [1] 54/6 great [8] 68/3 94/7 94/8 116/1 143/9 143/14 143/17 208/11

Great Britain [5] 94/7 143/9 143/14 143/17 208/11
greater [11] 58/7 87/12 122/25 130/19 148/5 155/9 158/25 180/19 180/24 197/12 197/22
greatly [3] 130/21 136/25 173/15 grew [3] 69/16 91/19 91/23
ground [2] 136/15 156/25
group [20] 23/11 23/14 25/12 26/9 33/6 33/11 48/24 68/12 68/14 68/18 92/23 93/18 111/8 132/20 133/7 133/8 133/18 134/1 135/23 141/1
groups [2] 54/10 145/6
grow [1] 88/6
growing [3] 19/20
21/12 25/10
grown [1] 78/17 growth [3] 137/22 137/23 169/23
guess [1] 126/5 guidance [6] 37/20 110/10 111/20 111/25 112/8 119/18
guided [1] 92/12 guideline [1] 148/3 H had [181] 4/3 6/3 7/10 8/17 11/1 12/11 12/12 14/12 16/16 16/19 16/22 17/9 17/15 19/2 19/13 20/13 22/14 25/23 26/14 26/23 27/2 29/7 29/11 30/6 31/2 31/9 31/17 32/14 33/14 35/2 40/8 47/4 49/17 52/14 54/9 61/18 64/1 64/4 64/15 65/5 65/14 65/21 65/23 66/4 67/15 67/18 67/21 68/6 68/9 69/7 70/16 74/8 75/11 76/11 76/13 77/16 78/12 78/16 78/17 80/22 81/15 82/7 84/20 89/21 91/5 94/3 94/24 96/15 97/5 102/3 102/5 104/6 104/19 105/1 105/19 106/4 106/8 108/9 110/15 111/9 111/14 111/20 112/22 113/4 113/14 118/24 121/18 122/11

125/2 125/20 125/22 $\quad 113 / 12$ 137/3 178/11 126/22 128/10 128/13 $\quad 178 / 13$
128/15 128/18 128/23 harms [3] 175/16 129/3 129/5 129/25 175/16 177/17
130/24 131/11 135/13 Harrison [1] 33/12 136/15 141/6 142/6 has [36] 3/12 13/20 144/22 147/17 148/6 24/6 27/12 30/2 51/15 149/10 149/11 155/22 $51 / 24$ 51/25 58/4 156/7 156/10 156/11 $\quad$ 62/20 79/9 80/7 84/14 156/12 156/20 157/2 157/3 157/7 157/10 157/18 157/18 157/21 158/11 158/15 159/15 160/9 160/10 160/12 165/4 165/14 167/23 169/10 169/12 172/7 172/20 174/20 178/2 178/8 178/9 178/10 180/7 180/12 180/13 183/7 183/10 183/11 haven't [5] 5/12 8/2 183/14 183/19 184/10 9/25 113/10 128/20 188/5 189/13 189/17 having [29] 8/13 189/17 189/22 189/25 10/11 32/8 53/19 190/6 190/10 190/11 190/24 191/5 192/14 192/23 194/14 195/11 196/6 197/11 198/15 198/25 199/17 201/7 201/9 201/10 201/22 202/13 205/4 206/22 207/2 207/21 207/24 hadn't [11] 56/13 65/12 69/5 69/6 86/10 he [77] 10/19 23/20 126/2 134/4 157/6 157/8 169/8 189/22 Hale [1] 140/5 half [2] 15/16 176/1 half-term [1] 176/1 handwritten [6] 176/10 176/24 189/23 193/2 206/24 206/25
happen [17] 16/18 18/24 26/6 26/25 38/20 39/7 62/14 66/14 102/4 117/16 117/18 133/14 140/16 146/2 195/1 202/13 202/19
happened [21] 37/6 46/12 58/13 64/7 86/15 88/4 99/5
101/19 138/13 139/4 140/2 150/2 160/1 160/11 160/21 181/9 184/23 197/19 201/7 201/9 202/24
happening [20] 27/6 39/11 50/25 52/25 53/3 53/12 57/11 58/8 62/15 62/18 81/18 94/25 95/2 111/1 112/17 114/21 145/23 146/1 146/13 146/15
happy [5] 107/3

58/15 58/22 58/25 65/8 98/11 104/14 110/21 112/22 117/20 127/3 128/9 130/15 134/18 137/8 143/20 143/22 157/21 175/10 179/1 181/21 187/3 193/5 196/7 199/24 202/1 23/24 23/25 24/4 24/5 24/5 24/9 24/22 28/9 28/21 42/15 42/17 46/7 46/12 47/17 47/20 47/24 48/8 56/22 64/21 66/14 66/24 67/1 67/2 67/16 67/16 67/20 67/21 68/15 70/17 71/11 71/21 72/1 77/25 80/12 80/16 81/15 81/25 82/2 82/6 83/8 83/21 84/8 98/17 100/1 102/1 102/2 102/7 108/16 108/16 109/2 109/23 110/13 110/15 110/15 111/14 healthcare [7] 3/25 111/20 111/20 113/18 $9 / 13$ 24/19 24/19 116/21 118/24 129/25 123/2 123/3 172/14 132/17 132/19 171/13 hear [5] 3/4 86/2 207/2 207/2 209/2 97/22 209/7 209/9 | $207 / 2$ 207/2 209/2 | 97/22 209/7 209/9 |
| :--- | :---: |
| 209/10 209/10 209/12 | heard [9] 40/25 41/14 | 209/12 209/15 209/18 209/19 209/24

he'd [2] 24/24 67/17 he's [5] 4/11 31/14 46/11 47/24 177/5
head [4] 4/12 113/14 123/2 149/2
health [159] 1/23 2/9 2/14 3/7 3/11 3/13

3/21 3/24 4/8 8/5 8/10 8/14 8/15 9/4 9/11
9/24 11/11 11/22
12/24 13/21 16/6 18/9 19/7 19/9 20/2 20/8
20/11 21/3 21/19 21/24 22/1 22/20 22/23 22/24 22/25 23/9 23/16 24/21 24/21 24/25 26/8 30/19 33/6 33/7 33/22 34/12 34/21 35/2 35/4 35/18 36/8 36/14 38/13 38/24 39/16 39/18 39/21 40/3 40/5 40/15 40/19 40/21 41/3 41/4 41/6 41/18 42/7 42/13 43/4 44/6 44/6 44/13 44/14 44/16 45/5 47/12 47/25 48/16 50/2 51/2 51/5 53/1 54/15 55/5 55/21 59/4 60/7 61/1 62/3 64/16 65/11 68/12 68/18 70/4 70/6 70/7 70/10 70/11 75/12 79/24 80/9 80/22 81/4 81/14 82/10 85/10 88/19 89/18 93/22 94/20 96/4 97/15 97/24 99/14 100/25 102/16 102/24 107/6 109/24 110/17 112/18 115/14 116/7 116/9 117/25 120/11 121/15 122/12 122/23 123/22 123/25 125/21 126/3 126/12 128/2 129/14 134/10 140/17 141/8 142/18 147/19 152/19 154/12 154/20 155/8 155/20 155/21 158/13 175/2 177/2 178/2 179/1 181/5 185/7 185/17 185/19 186/10 188/3 190/15
Health's [3] 35/23 80/14 95/8 79/11 96/25 97/1 103/18 109/22 153/24 166/19
hearing [3] 112/7 209/13 210/4
hearings [1] 209/18 heavily [1] 147/13
Heenan [1] 152/8
held [1] 121/16
help [25] 4/2 33/3
33/10 36/24 56/4 68/17 72/1 93/2
102/12 120/6 120/12 133/3 133/18 137/22 138/14 163/14 166/5 166/20 192/1 192/3 199/23 208/19 208/24 209/23 209/25
helped [1] 120/8 helpful [15] 9/8 95/5 144/19 162/21 166/6 166/10 166/12 166/16 186/6 186/17 186/22 188/25 199/15 200/2 203/21
helping [1] 186/20 helps [1] 176/7 hence [1] 32/10 her [1] 59/6
here [19] 29/16 35/15 42/8 50/6 56/8 98/3 118/16 120/21 121/14 135/12 157/14 163/4 176/17 176/19 178/8 192/13 205/6 205/14 209/18
Herity [1] 108/15 hesitation [1] 60/14 high [27] 6/13 15/2 20/2 21/5 21/6 72/14 114/2 114/11 114/17 114/25 115/2 115/3 115/17 137/16 139/20 142/20 159/16 168/22 173/4 174/15 174/16 184/16 190/2 190/20 191/1 198/20 201/10
high-level [3] 114/2 114/11 114/25
higher [19] 14/15 51/16 99/23 139/22 150/17 150/20 150/22 150/24 151/12 151/18 159/2 169/25 179/24 180/3 180/18 180/23 181/2 184/21 190/12
highlight [3] 164/22 165/1 175/1
highlighted [3] 174/9 174/10 199/3

## highlighting [1]

177/16
highly [1] 118/15
him [18] 46/25 67/23 70/17 82/4 82/7 87/22 94/24 98/20 110/12 111/13 111/16 124/7 125/2 125/7 129/25 147/14 171/13 207/5
himself [3] 41/8
110/19 209/10
his [25] 24/2 67/20 68/4 80/16 83/11 83/24 84/4 84/5 84/6 87/17 95/1 95/16 99/16 100/19 100/20 108/19 109/23 110/6 110/13 110/20 116/20
118/24 207/5 209/11 209/20
historical [1] 117/24 historically [1] 68/6 hit [1] 31/16 hits [1] 113/17 hm [9] 34/9 40/14 42/19 42/24 46/13 61/25 70/19 71/20 113/6
hoc [1] 65/9
hog [1] 156/25 hog day [1] 156/25 hold [5] 4/6 116/18 117/10 118/3 121/20 holds [1] 24/5 holiday [1] 165/13 holidays [1] 163/4 holistic [1] 11/10 home [19] 10/12 10/14 106/11 108/2 108/8 109/9 116/14 116/16 117/12 119/1 119/6 119/16 128/16 173/24 192/15 193/3 198/3 199/12 200/20 homes [46] 8/18 8/18 9/7 9/23 11/14 11/16 11/20 31/16 31/22 104/1 105/20 106/2 106/14 106/24 106/25 107/8 107/16 107/20 108/13 108/18 108/20 109/25 110/3 110/14 111/15 112/5 112/6 112/7 113/17 114/6 114/16 114/23 115/1 115/10 115/12 115/15 Hugo Van Woerden 115/18 115/21 116/23 117/5 117/15 118/8 118/9 119/11 119/13 119/21
hope [2] 103/24 120/20
hoped [1] 189/22 hopefully [1] 118/18 hoping [1] 112/17 hospital [49] 8/14 9/15 9/19 9/21 9/22 22/6 22/7 73/14 91/21 104/15 104/19 105/10 I agreed [2] 145/10 105/12 105/13 105/20 178/7
106/2 107/8 107/15 I alight [1] 160/5 107/25 108/13 108/18 I also [2] 84/5 140/4 108/22 109/10 116/13 I am [3] 1/20 77/13 118/1 118/13 118/15 157/24 119/4 119/22 124/18 I apologise [3] 207/18
hospital-acquired [1] 105/13
hospitalisation [1] 79/19 hospitality [2] 165/22 174/19
hospitals [9] 20/15 22/9 31/16 31/21 106/23 113/18 117/5 184/21 200/11
hotel [1] 26/11 hour [8] 9/17 9/17 19/9 19/10 19/24 21/9 64/5 99/22
hours [6] 11/15
19/11 96/23 116/15 124/16 133/14
how [30] 7/17 9/4
14/2 21/2 29/21 32/12 32/19 58/16 69/3 71/8 74/25 83/20 92/16 94/19 94/22 131/18 136/13 139/25 144/9 149/19 150/12 150/14 158/5 160/25 167/10 172/6 178/1 182/14 185/23 199/19
however [2] 49/14 135/16
HSC [1] 3/12
HSCTs [1] 119/3
huge [4] 48/6 51/8 61/18 118/9
hugely [3] 115/10 115/15 118/7
Hugo [2] 81/25 158/12
[1] 158/12
huh [1] 117/22
I
I absolutely [1] 35/2
I accept [3] $75 / 14$ 113/10 151/15
I actually [1] 49/24
I advised [1] 204/21
I again [1] 49/22
I agree [2] 143/5 206/8

137/2 138/21 138/23 $101 / 12$ 120/22 156/1 139/2 141/23 153/19 I appreciate [1] 127/15 | $179 / 9$ | $179 / 18$ | $184 / 16$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | I argue [1] 63/4 184/19 190/19 190/25 I ask [7] 1/10 18/12 200/18 200/24 204/18 $112 / 15$ 112/15 121/1

185/15 186/12
I assume [3] 32/16
62/17 80/1
I attended [1] 146/7
I became [2] 80/20
157/25
I began [1] 154/3
I believe [4] 26/22
118/22 119/24 151/25
I break [1] 203/1
I call [1] $1 / 4$
I came [3] 140/18 140/24 141/3
I can [26] 8/11 14/10
36/11 52/25 54/8
63/21 64/2 65/17
77/11 92/10 97/2
105/23 114/7 115/22
126/6 156/5 160/2
160/2 168/1 171/22 171/25 188/6 191/2
194/11 199/4 208/22
I can't [20] 28/18
38/6 43/15 45/6 55/19 62/16 62/18 68/3 69/6 115/9 119/19 120/10 130/10 131/18 140/22 147/8 157/25 166/16 186/22 187/18
I cast [1] 10/22
I certainly [4] 14/20
20/7 45/23 98/20
I choose [1] 18/18
I contacted [1]
129/24
I continued [1] 124/17
I could [7] 34/5 45/12 80/5 130/1 162/19 164/24 165/1
I couldn't [1] 128/25
I did [5] 144/12 145/5 154/6 155/5 177/11
I didn't [9] 2/7 35/25
46/14 97/22 97/22
97/23 183/8 183/16 186/6
I do [6] 28/19 35/13
120/8 121/20 140/11
187/9
I don't [50] 11/11
21/6 30/23 34/24 36/8 40/20 41/21 45/25
49/8 49/10 51/6 54/2
54/18 54/19 55/12
58/5 58/13 59/21
61/15 68/20 74/15 I made [2] 10/24
76/20 82/9 86/24 87/6 167/1

147/20 152/14 I suspect [5] 6/17 38/3 71/10 134/9 134/20
I take [1] 35/21 I think [481] I thought [6] 41/14 130/12 168/17 177/10 183/16 186/3
I took [1] 135/4 I travelling [1] 69/7 I understand [14] 7/15 15/9 23/2 58/20 70/2 87/2 90/2 95/12 97/7 110/20 111/22 114/24 131/23 168/19 I understood [3] 27/21 161/17 177/7 I used [2] 38/7 116/25
I viewed [2] 128/3 145/4
I want [8] 13/15 32/22 58/19 109/19 111/5 136/6 197/17 203/11
I wanted [6] 14/7 14/8 121/12 142/25 193/13 193/17
I was [37] 19/7 38/2 38/20 54/2 54/13 59/21 80/21 88/5 96/8 98/1 123/16 124/5 126/8 126/15 126/21 133/12 133/14 136/8 140/24 140/25 141/3 146/25 162/16 164/20 166/23 167/8 171/10 172/8 172/11 175/19 177/7 177/20 182/23 184/8 191/19 196/17 202/18

## I was shown [1]

 174/3I wasn't [13] 25/6 38/18 39/6 39/12 61/10 66/17 74/19 74/21 88/4 88/14 126/7 147/9 158/1 I were [1] 207/9 I will [2] 3/18 11/23 I won't [9] 22/18 29/13 106/20 107/19 160/24 186/25 188/23 194/10 200/3
I wonder [2] 186/8 203/16
I worked [1] 124/4
I would [23] 2/20
6/15 34/24 35/22
35/24 36/12 38/1 40/2 40/18 45/8 53/22
59/12 59/15 76/12
81/13 81/14 96/8

126/13 147/14 158/7 170/25 186/14 191/8 I wouldn't [4] 14/19 17/1 45/22 187/6 I wrote [1] 131/6 I'd [5] 41/9 41/14 82/3 96/20 137/21 I'II [9] 8/7 44/19 66/10 151/23 153/15 165/8 176/16 192/20 203/9 I'm [123] 2/24 2/24 3/2 3/15 5/4 6/24 8/4 9/6 10/19 18/7 24/7 26/22 33/13 34/20 36/16 38/11 38/17 38/18 40/24 41/15 43/14 45/11 45/13 46/17 47/15 51/6 52/4 52/4 52/5 53/6 53/22 56/2 56/4 57/7 57/19 58/1 59/2 60/1 60/13 60/14 61/6 62/1 63/7 63/8 63/8 63/23 64/14 65/7 66/17 66/19 69/20 71/15 72/24 74/3 74/7 76/21 78/4 86/9 87/13 88/15 90/19 90/23 91/2 92/4 95/6 95/15 95/19 95/22 98/13 99/13 100/4 100/8 101/6 101/10 101/12 104/4 105/22 109/11 112/17 113/12 115/2 115/2 120/10 124/1 127/23 130/22 133/10 137/3 138/12 139/5 141/9 142/19 147/6 148/12 149/4 151/20 152/6 152/23 153/12 154/9 157/24 158/2 163/12 170/22 171/9 172/16 174/4 175/20 178/13 178/15 182/2 182/18 184/9 185/24 189/23 192/5 193/11 198/22 202/11 208/20 208/22 209/2 209/25
I've [23] 2/5 8/11 37/3 38/23 45/16 50/13 72/4 96/25 96/25 98/4 113/1 113/11 118/22 120/3 124/15 131/17 145/3 152/17 152/17 152/19 182/8 200/1 206/7
I've used [1] 118/22 Ian [10] 65/14 65/16 66/24 67/2 67/15 68/4 68/7 120/24 121/3 211/10
ICU [1] 137/2 idea [13] 7/16 7/19 27/5 113/1 157/18

| $166 / 24167 / 4176 / 11$ | $192 / 19192 / 20193 / 10$ |
| :---: | :--- | 176/12 179/21 185/16 193/11 193/18 194/11 186/10 199/21

ideal [1] 194/22
ideally [3] 22/7
116/14 148/21
identical [1] 14/18
identified [2] 116/16 203/8
identifying [1] 159/2 if [200] $3 / 165 / 57 / 2$ 7/8 7/18 8/1 8/1 8/7 8/11 10/22 11/10 11/12 12/7 14/10 15/5 15/6 19/7 22/16 24/7 25/17 25/19 26/25 29/2 29/10 32/22 33/1 34/5 34/10 35/10 35/11 36/18 36/19 37/12 37/12 37/19 38/17 39/7 39/15 40/3 41/15 41/21 43/20 45/12 46/4 46/4 46/11 46/14 47/10 47/16 47/20 48/22 49/2 49/11 49/18 52/10 53/22 54/19 55/3 55/12 57/19 57/23 59/20 63/6 63/10 63/11 63/21 64/14 69/22 69/24 70/14 71/4 71/15 71/16 72/24 73/3 73/7 74/7 74/8 75/2 75/3 76/3 76/6 78/4 80/4 81/14 82/16 82/20 82/22 83/10 84/2 84/11 84/11 84/12 88/2 89/3 92/2 92/5 92/10 97/9 98/5 101/1 102/7 102/25 103/5 105/23 106/15 107/25 108/8 108/9 111/10 115/16 117/9 117/19 118/13 120/21 121/12 127/8 130/22 131/3 136/6 136/15 137/7 137/14 137/21 138/6 141/24 142/1 142/1 143/19 144/2 144/22 144/24 152/23 155/13 155/14 155/16 156/2 156/16 156/23 158/23 160/2 160/5 160/20 164/5 164/11 165/19 165/21 167/7 167/8 167/8 167/13 167/14 167/25 167/25 169/11 170/22 171/21 171/24 172/8 172/14 176/6 176/23 imposing [1] 208/23 178/11 178/11 179/18 impossible [3] 184/12 186/8 190/10 134/14 144/10 165/21 191/13 191/14 191/14 improve [1] 150/1
191/17 192/1 192/2

195/13 197/17 199/4 199/21 200/12 200/16 201/16 203/1 203/16 203/21 204/4 204/12 204/16 205/14 206/1 206/24 208/4 209/17 209/25 210/1
IHCP [1] 119/8 ill [4] 49/5 74/2
173/12 209/8
illness [1] 32/9
illuminate [1] 209/23
illusory [1] 10/20
illustrate [1] 6/12
illustration [1] 99/6
imagine [1] 113/4
imagines [1] 132/18 immediate [2] 52/8 55/24
immediately [2] 77/9 140/24
immunity [1] 173/5 impact [11] 48/17 64/12 92/15 161/18 166/15 179/17 189/17 189/22 191/21 193/14 195/16
impacted [2] 18/9 148/7
impacts [9] 9/4 35/15 48/4 48/12 164/25
169/4 177/5 207/11 208/14
imperfect [4] 118/18 118/20 118/22 118/23 implications [5] 164/21 174/13 177/22 187/23 198/19
importance [3]
114/14 115/18 118/10 important [44] 10/4 12/12 18/11 19/15 20/9 21/17 23/1 23/14 42/4 57/21 82/17 92/3 94/19 105/18 115/6 115/9 115/10 115/15 116/1 118/7 129/6 129/18 129/20 130/1 130/5 132/22 132/23 133/4 135/25 137/10 138/11 139/14 139/15 140/1 148/11 149/22 149/24 153/13 159/25 165/19 170/16 186/19 189/3 191/11
importantly [2] 61/9 103/19
improved [1] 13/11
inaudible [1] 99/23
incidence [1] 181/2
include [1] 7/5
included [3] 89/22
89/23 199/1
including [9] 81/2
177/15 199/12 200/25 204/4 204/15 205/9 206/13 209/20
inconceivable [1] 142/9
incongruously [1] 116/17
inconsistency [2] 199/14 199/14
increase [10] 159/12 163/25 170/1 193/16 195/17 200/5 200/7 200/15 200/16 200/24 increased [3] 132/25 166/17 200/21
increasing [4] 56/23
162/6 163/1 169/14
increasingly [1] 132/23
incredulity [2] 157/4 157/6
indeed [9] 115/12
120/5 144/20 148/6
158/6 162/6 190/5
194/25 205/25
indefinite [1] 175/10
independent [8]
12/25 23/24 24/1 24/2 24/3 24/5 24/7 124/6
independently [1] 25/4
index [3] 112/18 112/24 210/6
indicate [1] 30/4 indicated [3] 100/1 179/14 182/1
indicates [1] 115/19 indication [1] 157/11 indirect [1] 175/13
individual [16] 3/14 3/15 50/21 63/1 65/2 66/12 74/5 74/25 90/9 107/25 108/8 118/12 118/14 118/16 127/18 187/19
individuals [13]
12/11 15/4 31/2 74/9 89/7 98/4 105/19 107/7 107/15 107/21 118/10 134/10 195/20 indoors [1] 167/2 industrial [1] 20/18 inevitability [1] 60/16 inevitable [6] 61/16 140/18 160/13 163/10 164/1 172/25
inevitably [3] 51/17 110/3 163/2
infection [7] 35/14 75/1 101/24 105/13 112/2 114/15 118/9 infectious [2] 72/14 73/13
influence [2] 150/5 199/2
influencers [1] 199/4 influenza [1] 47/22 inform [3] 133/3 145/23 146/2
informal [1] 92/9
information [29]
14/10 27/18 28/6 69/15 83/9 83/22 85/2 95/3 96/10 97/5 98/7 98/25 99/3 99/4 110/2 110/10 129/14 131/25 INQ000425535 [1] 132/12 132/21 133/2 133/16 146/5 154/3 162/22 177/8 206/6 206/21 206/21
informed [2] 54/14 209/12
inhibited [1] 159/22
initial [6] 79/7 99/22
130/20 137/18 137/22
185/6
initially [3] 140/25 184/23 185/2
initiate [2] 51/9 61/3
initiated [1] 100/5
initiatives [2] 12/13 12/25
input [7] 16/6 24/22 67/13 69/11 126/13 127/1 129/13
inputs [3] 130/16 130/21 133/20
INQ [1] 45/14
INQ000 [1] 45/16
INQ000023185 [1] 112/16
INQ000065756 [1] 171/23
INQ000130409 [1] 112/21
INQ000145670 [1] 116/4
INQ000185378 [1] 36/19
INQ000218470 [1] 34/7
INQ000276571 [1] 201/20
INQ000278481 [1] 76/4
INQ000286272 [1] 192/21
INQ000304288 [1]
192/3
INQ000305020 [1]

101/17
INQ000308436 [1] 29/3
INQ000308458 [1] 25/18
INQ000353624 [1] 164/6
INQ000353671 [1]
155/14
INQ000389810 [1]
82/20
INQ000389819 [1] 80/5
INQ000396813 [1] 196/18
INQ000422247 [1]
191/13
INQ000422563 [1]
201/18
48/23
INQ000425583 [1]
33/2
INQ000440253 [1]
84/12
INQ000472397 [1]
136/11
INQUIRY [33] $1 / 7$
1/10 5/12 11/5 27/12
29/1 62/20 64/19 78/2
78/3 80/7 83/3 86/2
86/16 89/12 91/12
92/19 96/1 102/11
105/21 110/6 116/20
120/25 121/2 131/17
140/6 147/21 153/2
163/13 192/23 209/12
211/5 211/12
inside [1] $95 / 2$
insight [3] 68/3 87/23 154/1
insofar [2] 93/17 201/3
instigated [1] 134/6
institute [1] 66/15
instituted [3] 64/23
129/21 132/14
instituting [1] 23/7
institutional [1]
133/23
integral [1] 61/20
integrated [13] 8/13
9/8 9/24 10/5 10/16
10/16 11/1 11/8 11/19
32/24 42/17 126/2
126/7
integrating [1] 141/1
integration [3] 8/10
10/20 33/21
intelligence [2] 69/13

## 133/8

intense [3] 21/12
88/13 188/17
intent [1] 93/3
intention [3] 71/22
144/24 190/10
interaction [2]
152/18 195/14
interest [7] 5/24 6/3
6/8 6/21 7/5 7/12 7/13
interested [3] 63/13
86/17 204/1
interesting [1]

## 145/15

interests [1] 122/9
internal [2] 107/5 134/1
international [3]
148/9 154/18 156/22
interpret [4] 32/2
34/24 36/12 150/14 interpretation [9] 32/20 38/5 54/4 54/8 54/13 59/23 61/13 86/24 100/18
interpreted [2] 158/5 193/25
interpreting [1] 34/20 is [403]
interrupt [4] 2/24 island [11] 92/16
30/22 40/24 172/21
intervention [26]
26/5 141/4 141/18 142/11 172/15 179/15 152/21
181/18 182/1 183/15 197/9 197/9 204/21 205/2 205/2 205/5 205/11 205/12 205/16 isn't [25] 3/14 15/13 206/9 206/13 207/9 207/15 208/1 208/2 208/12 208/13
interventions [15]
140/20 173/9 177/6
177/17 196/19 196/21
196/24 196/25 197/6
197/7 197/7 197/10
197/14 197/15 206/7
interview [1] 180/12 intimately [1] 88/14
into [70] 6/9 9/7 9/19 9/22 10/11 11/16 13/4 16/6 19/15 21/1 24/22 25/11 26/10 31/11 32/24 33/21 42/17 44/9 51/7 59/13 63/15 64/22 65/19 66/21 67/4 68/3 68/8 68/10 68/23 69/16 74/3 78/22 85/1 85/16 89/23 91/18 92/8 93/10 98/12 104/20 105/20 106/24 107/8 107/16 109/1 114/18 115/5 116/23 117/5 117/15 118/9 119/17 126/3 126/7 140/8 140/13 142/17 151/4 151/8 152/14 154/1 159/14 163/10 168/11

94/21 142/16 143/2 143/4 143/7 146/2 146/12 150/3 151/25
182/14 191/22 193/16 196/2 199/11 201/11
introduce [1] 161/12 introduced [3]
163/14 163/16 163/21
introduction [1]
195/25
inverted [1] 166/25
involve [1] 175/23
involved [12] 25/5
25/6 41/8 66/17 70/9
74/20 74/21 89/10 93/14 99/2 147/9 147/13
involvement [1] 127/5
Ireland [215]
Ireland's [2] 70/25 117/25
Irish [4] 132/8 132/13
147/12 147/18
Irish Government [2]
147/12 147/18
islands [6] 94/5 94/8 143/9 143/14 145/13 145/18
isn't [25] 3/14 15/13
18/20 $23 / 2435 / 16$
35/19 44/22 46/12 47/5 61/19 63/16 66/25 83/17 84/16
86/4 118/21 149/5
149/18 152/10 152/12 153/4 176/10 181/11 185/11 205/12
isolation [4] 90/1
108/11 117/12 143/17 issue [38] 9/7 10/10
10/12 11/13 21/2 28/4
31/8 34/23 35/6 35/7
36/6 38/9 45/12 63/19
66/23 75/10 76/12
83/20 83/24 84/2 85/1
85/13 87/9 87/10 89/1
89/19 95/23 96/6
97/24 98/11 103/8
107/13 110/4 114/6
116/1 142/16 189/10 189/16
issues [30] 9/12 10/15 11/14 11/25 15/21 22/12 48/6
59/14 68/21 68/23
79/5 82/16 84/23
95/22 96/12 97/3
97/21 98/3 98/5
101/16 105/12 105/17
111/3 111/18 112/1
issues... [5] 112/10 119/10 119/22 119/24 165/2

## it [646]

it's [180] 1/12 1/22 2/8 3/3 3/14 3/16 3/20 3/22 5/13 6/19 10/3 11/24 12/11 14/6 14/17 15/6 15/11 15/16 17/8 20/9 21/6 23/1 23/14 24/2 24/3 24/19 26/13 29/3 32/16 32/22 34/7 34/11 35/10 35/16 35/16 36/8 37/24 42/4 45/14 46/18 47/5 52/17 57/15 57/24 58/10 60/22 62/3 63/18 64/11 68/11 70/2 70/15 70/20 71/21 72/22 73/6 73/10 74/15 75/4 75/17 75/20 77/2 77/5 77/5 77/22 78/1 78/12 78/20 79/5 84/16 85/9 86/19 88/3 91/3 93/20 94/12 94/19 97/8 99/17 101/12 102/1 104/1 104/3 104/17 105/6 105/17 107/18 107/24 108/6 111/2 111/17 114/7 114/17 115/8 115/23 117/7 118/7 118/9 118/20 118/20 118/22 119/8 121/16 121/24 122/4 123/21 124/11 124/22 130/11 130/23 134/8 134/15 134/19 136/3 136/12 139/5 139/14 142/2 144/7 146/18 146/22 147/21 148/15 149/4 149/5 149/13 149/18 149/22 149/24 151/16 153/23 154/15 156/24 157/15 158/5 160/11 161/1 162/10 162/24 163/15 164/6 164/12 165/25 166/1 167/10 168/3 169/17 169/18 170/11 170/21 171/11 171/23 171/23 172/3 172/17 175/21 176/3 176/3 176/6 178/16 178/22 180/6 184/9 184/11 185/1 185/11 185/15 186/8 187/24 191/2 191/10 191/13 192/9 196/12 196/20 200/22 201/19 203/22 206/2 206/13
Italy [1] 142/8
item [1] 53/5 its [16] 2/18 11/7 12/1 17/21 23/21 50/9 55/12 55/12 66/5 98/15 100/7 145/5 150/3 166/14 179/1 196/18
itself [13] 7/14 12/19 25/21 32/13 32/20 53/10 58/16 76/1 85/1 85/8 115/19 135/21 175/15

J
January [27] 5/6 18/10 21/4 22/21 25/22 27/13 27/22 28/7 28/17 30/5 30/14 30/17 30/18 68/22 121/5 125/21 125/23 125/24 126/19 136/19 139/7 139/13 139/15 139/23 201/4 201/12 202/20
January 2020 [3] 5/6 18/10 21/4
January 2021 [6]
139/7 139/13 139/15
139/23 201/4 202/20
jar [1] 69/17
job [4] 122/11 122/25
123/1 123/5
jog [1] 160/3
jogged [1] 193/18 join [4] 50/22 52/2 131/6 135/5
joined [1] 51/12 joint [7] 92/5 92/20 92/25 93/10 95/2 102/3 143/22
Journal [1] 157/17 judge [1] 7/18 judgement [8] 14/3 50/23 51/15 51/19 52/14 52/17 56/1 58/11
judgements [2] 57/14 57/16
July [7] 1/25 88/9
137/20 162/14 162/23
162/24 163/5
July 2014 [1] 1/25
July 2020 [1] 137/20 jumped [1] 164/13 jumping [2] 6/24 9/6 juncture [1] 207/4 June [2] 159/8 160/9 June 2020 [2] 159/8 160/9
jurisdiction [3] 95/1
127/21 151/18 jurisdictions [9]
15/10 20/21 67/5 81/6
127/16 127/17 142/2

145/1 151/11
just [209] 2/13 2/20
$3 / 3$ 3/10 3/18 4/2 5/5 6/19 7/16 8/8 8/11 11/21 12/6 14/11 15/3 15/18 17/8 17/20 20/25 21/1 22/16 23/15 25/3 28/5 29/22 30/3 31/13 32/1 32/22 33/1 33/9 33/14 34/17 35/10 36/19 36/24 37/5 38/1 38/11 38/11 39/23 42/6 44/19 45/12 45/13 46/5 47/10 51/5 52/5 54/5 55/3 55/6 56/4 58/19 60/1 60/6 60/7 60/14 63/9 63/17 63/21
64/14 68/21 68/23 69/3 69/20 69/21
71/15 72/12 72/24
74/10 74/15 75/14
76/2 77/2 77/2 77/5 77/13 78/1 78/5 78/20 79/23 80/3 82/6 82/16 83/10 83/17 84/7 84/11 86/5 86/11 87/1 88/18 89/11 90/7 91/3 92/2 92/3 92/4 94/19 98/10 98/14 99/7 99/14 100/9 100/23 101/3 101/7 101/11 101/14 101/15 102/21 103/24 104/23 104/23 105/20 105/23 106/20 108/12 108/22 110/15 111/13 112/12 112/14 114/12 114/24 115/24 120/21 124/21 125/11 127/8 127/16 128/7 131/8 134/4 134/13 134/17 135/11 136/7 136/14 136/21 136/21 138/12 138/14 139/24 141/16 141/20 142/15 142/15 142/24 143/19 145/22 149/4 149/12 151/16 153/1 153/17 154/8 155/3 155/17 156/2 159/24 162/24 164/5 164/11 165/9 165/23 166/5 167/22 167/23 167/24 168/5 169/17 171/12 171/24 171/24 172/17 172/21 176/3 176/20 178/8 179/20 181/8 182/18 182/20 184/23 186/8 188/10 189/15 190/13 192/1 192/5 192/20 192/22 193/1 193/11 194/3 196/5 197/17 197/18 199/18 199/21 200/3 201/16 201/17

202/3 203/8 203/12 203/25
Justice [2] 2/2 109/18
Justice and [1] 109/18
Justice in [1] 2/2
justify [1] 6/15

## K

KC [4] 109/16 203/10
211/8 211/15
keen [3] 31/17 202/21 207/9
keep [3] 126/15
161/24 167/9
keeping [3] 120/22 162/6 165/12
kept [2] 13/1 174/11
key [5] 6/8 110/22
116/11 130/15 159/18
kicking [1] 31/11
kind [4] 93/16 97/19 148/16 197/10
Kingdom [5] 11/9 14/13 47/6 133/24 135/1
knew [6] 30/15 45/1
60/13 66/20 113/22 196/3
know [97] 2/7 7/24 14/21 15/5 21/16 22/18 25/7 26/4 27/12 28/7 30/1 31/9 32/6 32/11 32/18 34/4 36/1 36/2 38/21 39/2 43/13 54/19 55/12 55/23 56/2 56/7 57/14 58/13 64/2 64/8 68/20 69/14 69/17 71/9 71/11 74/4 74/7 76/20 78/18 79/4 79/5 79/9 79/10 82/1 84/9 88/3 96/21 99/9 103/15 112/23 113/18 120/8 120/11 125/12 125/23 125/24 129/19 131/15 134/20 138/25 142/1 142/5 142/16 143/21 145/2 145/11 145/23 145/25 146/4 146/21 148/6 150/21 151/1 153/5 153/10 153/13 154/8 161/18 167/2 167/7 169/22 175/1 175/8 182/10 183/21 186/3 186/15 192/24 193/18 194/6 195/3 196/8 202/12 203/7 204/15 206/7 207/17
knowing [1] 146/14 knowledge [7] 1/19 72/13 72/19 75/25 77/16 81/17 121/9
known [2] 28/6 173/23
knows [2] 47/24 163/13

L
laboratory [1] 122/9
lack [10] 19/21 66/10 72/12 75/25 77/16 88/20 110/16 141/17 141/21 159/22
lacked [1] 67/13
Lady [11] 1/4 41/15
54/19 55/2 112/23
128/6 167/7 203/1
203/7 209/5 209/14
lag [3] 138/15 138/17 147/24
lagging [1] 138/6
land [1] 94/11
language [2] 18/18 32/6
lapsed [2] 191/6 200/5
large [11] 20/16
21/18 28/10 28/13
64/13 98/23 110/25
119/13 126/21 173/13
195/22
largely [4] 49/4 124/6 138/18 159/1
larger [5] 119/11
134/11 142/5 151/2 197/21
last [19] 29/3 76/16
80/20 81/8 81/24 82/3
86/10 86/10 95/23
96/2 98/2 98/6 98/11
102/20 116/12 116/20
155/16 165/10 188/13
lasted [1] 2/9
lasts [2] 206/10
208/13
late [9] 17/19 26/10
49/20 65/20 67/14
96/14 112/1 153/19 172/17
lateness [1] 99/22
later [18] 9/9 10/2
46/18 58/18 78/9 87/3
87/20 108/15 127/7
141/14 154/4 158/25
166/1 170/18 174/4
189/11 197/9 207/21
latest [1] 112/9
latter [3] 10/23 28/22 68/22
layered [1] 64/7
lead [30] 1/7 34/19
35/7 39/16 39/19
39/21 39/25 40/2 40/5
40/12 40/15 40/18
40/21 41/2 41/5 41/10
41/11 41/16 41/20
lead... [11] 41/21
45/8 66/24 120/25
126/10 163/2 173/13
195/17 196/3 211/5 211/12
leaders [2] 155/7 199/15
leading [6] 2/17 2/20 20/20 139/7 195/1 201/23
leads [1] 102/22 lean [1] 56/23
lean-in [1] 56/23
leaning [2] 67/4 68/9
learned [1] 77/25
learning [10] 74/6
74/11 74/16 74/19 74/24 76/8 77/21
77/22 149/25 159/18
least [10] 66/6 67/12 76/18 117/4 127/3 170/13 182/5 185/25 195/13 207/24
leave [6] 59/12 64/22 65/3 89/11 125/13 128/9
leaving [2] 106/2 107/15
led [5] 22/24 41/7 56/24 200/21 200/23
left [2] 167/23 190/13
legal [2] 3/12 3/15
legislation [2] 6/25 7/2
legislative [1] 26/4
legitimate [2] 21/15 111/2
length [6] 4/8 12/1 12/10 85/18 116/8 132/24
lengths [1] 12/4
less [12] 117/1
135/24 145/2 145/12
162/2 163/7 165/24
183/6 190/4 194/21
199/1 199/11
lessons [1] 165/20
let [5] 6/23 52/12
171/12 198/12 209/2
let's [1] 51/9
letter [25] 34/8 38/4
59/6 61/13 69/24 70/2
70/20 70/21 71/6
71/15 71/16 72/16 73/5 73/7 76/21 77/23 101/20 102/10 102/24 107/18 116/6 116/10 119/18 119/20 119/24
letters [1] 74/15
level [21] 29/15
43/13 57/12 65/21
77/9 93/18 114/2

114/11 114/17 114/25 $190 / 4$ 190/21 194/17 115/2 115/3 115/17 Liz [5] 34/18 45/2 141/6 147/1 152/10 173/4 173/16 190/2 198/18 198/20
levelled [1] 137/20 levels [5] 49/5 5 174/1 180/23
levers [1] 10/11 life [2] 126/9 183/13 lift [2] 161/4 161/9 lifting [1] 161/8
light [4] 49/17 79/15 89/4 193/25
like [31] $4 / 44 / 85 / 14$ 8/18 11/14 17/7 17/9 23/24 32/2 32/6 61/17 79/5 79/7 105/13 112/2 115/4 119/22 120/9 141/24 141/25 142/1 142/2 142/4 146/18 166/13 172/23 176/11 188/16 204/5 204/12 204/16
liked [2] 82/13 161/18
likelihood [2] 169/5 195/19
likely [8] 30/11 92/15 110/3 150/24 187/22 195/17 202/13 206/14
likes [2] 24/4 69/10
limit [1] 6/5
limitations [2] 145/19 152/24
limited [19] 15/22
78/12 78/22 79/13 79/23 91/19 109/1 109/7 130/14 130/21 130/22 141/10 147/17 153/3 153/5 153/8 153/17 158/17 167/4
limits [2] 6/20 202/17
line [14] 35/11 50/25
52/13 59/13 65/8
111/14 148/1 149/5
168/25 191/16 200/10 202/12 202/24 206/19 lined [1] 15/6
lines [1] 65/4
link [1] 135/15
linked [1] 91/17
list [9] 12/13 12/25
13/1 13/21 14/16 15/4
15/7 130/3 209/6
lists [7] 12/2 12/10 13/6 13/9 14/4 14/12 14/25
literature [1] 126/16 little [14] 11/24 12/6 22/2 99/23 138/18 139/22 141/11 174/22 186/8 188/11 188/11

59/6 156/3 156/4
Liz Mitchell [1] 156/3 Liz Redmond [1] 156/4
Liz's [1] 38/4
local [1] 178/9
localised [2] 168/9 169/2
localities [1] 168/21 locally [2] 66/8 74/7 lockdown [23] 140/8 140/20 141/19 171/15 lots [1] 199/10 171/19 172/23 172/25 loud [1] 112/7 173/23 174/6 174/7 low [12] 65/21 66/8 175/10 175/22 175/22 78/16 78/19 91/13 181/13 183/2 183/5 183/7 184/1 184/13 190/1 190/7 194/14 196/7
lockdowns [1] 178/9 London [2] 135/10 135/19
loneliness [1] 175/2
long [11] 11/17 12/9
26/12 37/3 64/5 68/18 139/1 154/7 185/4 187/12 187/21
long-standing [1] 11/17
longer [13] 13/5 19/10 82/12 82/14 112/11 135/14 138/25 139/7 139/9 149/9 200/11 206/10 208/13 longest [1] 13/10 look [50] 10/18 11/13 11/20 17/7 19/7 21/22 33/1 35/11 36/18 36/19 37/12 45/12 47/10 49/11 57/23 66/4 66/10 76/2 76/6 77/10 82/16 82/20 83/10 84/12 100/12 101/16 101/19 102/7 136/25 137/14 138/7 139/3 139/5 140/1 140/6 149/23 155/14 158/23 164/5 171/24 176/23 188/10 188/16 189/15 191/14 203/16 maintaining [1] 206/1 206/24 207/14 208/4
looked [10] 28/25
76/16 98/11 130/5 137/7 144/17 182/10 194/13 203/14 205/6
looking [23] 44/14
59/15 65/14 70/17 83/10 101/25 109/2 111/11 114/6 139/6 142/4 144/3 152/21
176/12 176/20 177/3

177/20 178/15 189/25 191/19 192/5 193/11 200/12
looks [5] 15/2 140/1 141/24 141/25 142/1 loose [1] 36/13 losing [1] 58/9 lost [1] 29/7 lot [15] 12/23 40/25 59/24 68/5 78/8 99/2 111/6 133/15 133/16 148/19 161/25 181/4 188/17 198/25 207/13 153/11 158/20 158/22 159/5 159/10 162/21 163/6
lower [3] 158/23
173/15 197/10
lunchtime [1] 98/18
Lynch [6] 109/22
110/6 117/13 118/5
118/24 119/5

## M

MACA [1] 72/22
machinery [1] 52/21
macro [1] 43/13
made [44] 10/24
11/16 13/24 25/7
26/21 50/16 51/15
55/5 56/9 63/21 72/22 75/11 76/3 77/13 78/7 80/23 81/15 89/18 90/8 91/3 94/4 98/15 124/9 131/24 149/15 152/8 154/10 155/23 161/12 161/19 164/23 165/9 167/1 179/20 179/23 184/25 187/11 191/4 192/25 196/24 197/3 206/22 209/10 209/24
magnitude [1]
156/19
main [2] 29/5 62/23
mainly [2] 129/11
173/12

186/20
major [2] 59/8 95/10
majority [2] 131/3 183/9
make [32] 8/11 10/4 10/14 13/15 17/11
19/6 20/9 32/7 35/22 45/10 58/1 58/3 60/3 66/19 76/12 81/13 95/14 100/10 103/18
105/21 136/21 139/24
144/25 148/9 148/11

148/16 148/19 151/22 153/1 180/5 180/7 201/2
make-up [1] 180/5 makers [1] 199/24 makes [5] 3/17 17/19 113/7 204/13 207/1 making [33] 6/2 24/7 38/18 45/3 47/3 52/5 53/23 60/1 65/7 75/2 77/13 83/4 100/4 102/13 113/4 117/10 160/25 161/2 161/17 163/17 164/22 165/7 165/10 165/12 165/14 184/24 186/18 189/2
189/12 192/17 198/13 199/19 199/24
management [10]
23/15 23/17 23/20
24/15 24/17 50/1 71/18 73/8 104/6 181/6
managers [4] 119/1 119/6 119/13 119/16 managing [1] 120/1 mandate [1] 41/18 manifestation [2] 11/2 19/24
many [19] 18/23
29/23 60/11 68/21
94/10 99/2 102/19 105/8 105/8 105/17 119/14 145/15 145/19 145/20 154/4 159/20 159/20 166/13 175/13
marathon [1] 209/7 March [53] 56/7
56/20 56/25 57/3
58/12 62/21 64/23
65/15 65/21 65/25
66/3 67/1 67/11 67/17
68/23 69/17 70/18 71/6 71/12 78/10 78/17 89/12 89/16 90/5 91/18 92/9 93/3 104/5 104/21 106/1 106/2 112/1 112/20 113/1 113/2 113/20 118/25 124/11 128/9 128/13 128/16 128/18 128/19 128/23 133/6 133/11 136/19 140/13 153/4 153/6 153/6 157/2 205/5
March 2020 [7] 62/21 64/23 78/10 92/9 93/3 104/5 205/5
mark [1] 177/25
marked [1] 168/23
markedly [2] 11/12 14/19
marker [1] 138/6
market [1] 6/14
marry [1] 112/9 mass [2] 94/11 105/14
massive [1] 48/4
material [4] 38/4
45/11 209/19 209/19
matter [13] 114/25
140/16 140/23 143/1
145/3 149/20 164/18
182/15 186/10 186/12
186/13 202/5 209/5
matters [2] 74/20
210/1
maturing [1] 25/10 maximise [2] 104/9 149/24
maximising [1] 63/17 maximum [2] 106/8 181/17
may [71] $1 / 11 / 45 / 5$ 8/7 9/9 10/2 18/13 22/16 32/22 35/17 38/3 39/15 40/8 43/16 46/4 53/22 54/1 54/13 55/3 59/19 64/14
69/22 70/22 71/15
71/17 72/24 78/4
79/20 80/12 91/14 91/14 92/2 92/5 98/5 98/20 99/24 108/13 112/20 117/11 120/11 120/21 121/1 121/12 127/8 130/22 131/10 136/6 141/9 143/19 152/23 156/23 157/3 157/7 158/11 161/3 165/18 167/25 170/12 171/2 185/15 192/1 192/2 192/2 192/5 192/20 193/7 193/12 195/8 197/17 207/3 210/5
May 2020 [2] 79/20 161/3
maybe [14] 6/11 12/6 52/4 59/24 63/4 78/16 85/15 90/6 96/23 99/23 100/18 164/5 171/21 186/14
McClean [1] 79/11 me [46] 4/2 6/23 7/4 16/24 32/19 33/3 38/17 41/1 41/15 41/21 46/14 49/23 57/19 61/2 69/6 69/17 71/4 75/8 80/23 85/9 90/11 94/1 94/4 97/9 101/13 110/8 113/11 126/6 126/25 128/20 128/22 135/14 136/3 142/9 156/5 159/18 167/9 170/22 171/12

178/12 178/14 178/15 medium [5] 164/25 methodology [1] 179/6 198/12 201/19 202/11
mean [50] 14/5 21/16 21/21 30/8 44/8 45/22 52/24 55/23 62/20 66/17 67/25 68/5 68/25 73/20 77/19 80/16 83/23 90/16 92/22 95/6 98/10 100/20 114/11 114/11 114/12 115/8 118/7 119/19 126/6 129/18 131/9 137/10 145/3 155/13 158/5 159/18 162/3 162/5 162/19 169/6 169/7 169/10 177/23 178/14 185/21 186/24 188/6 193/20 200/14 201/24
meaning [2] 117/25 124/15
meaningful [7] 19/18 100/3 143/25 144/11 144/19 148/14 188/12 means [4] 41/21 105/10 137/11 177/24 meant [10] 19/16 39/11 133/10 133/13 162/1 166/20 183/19 189/10 191/4 201/10 measure [6] 19/8 78/18 103/4 137/11 185/17 186/10
measures [17] 89/25 99/11 103/19 114/15 115/1 115/4 117/11 170/13 170/14 193/15 198/15 201/5 204/4 204/15 205/8 205/25 205/25
mechanism [4] 26/5 88/12 91/2 92/10 mechanisms [2] 57/13 119/5 media [2] 110/16 180/11
medical [32] 20/23 23/11 24/5 24/12 25/11 27/17 28/20 40/9 56/22 66/25 69/10 74/4 74/4 74/12 74/20 81/2 89/8 98/15 105/7 122/11 123/17 123/18 125/3 157/17 163/19 165/2 165/2 180/6 204/10 204/20 209/11 209/13
medically [6] 104/19 104/25 105/10 123/13 123/15 129/11
medicine [2] 122/5 122/9
medics [1] 32/3

181/25 188/15 207/11 93/7
metre [1] 128/24 metric [1] 22/6

| medium-term [4] | metric [1] 22/6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 164/25 181/25 188/15 | metrics [1] 14/18 |

208/14
meet [5] 37/1 37/4
60/23 62/9 96/11
meeting [39] 36/25
38/2 53/8 53/9 53/13 53/14 53/15 53/16 53/18 54/3 59/25 133/7 133/9 156/6 170/16 172/1 172/3 178/24 179/6 179/11 179/21 181/9 181/11 182/16 184/5 184/11 185/4 186/24 192/8 203/18 204/2 204/23 205/17 205/21 206/2 207/1 207/10 207/12 207/25
meetings [18] 43/17 53/4 131/3 131/4 131/13 131/16 131/20 131/25 132/4 146/5 147/8 171/1 171/5 172/9 172/11 186/5 195/1 206/23
member [4] 131/7 132/4 132/6 136/4 members [1] 199/6 membership [2] 130/24 135/1
memoire [4] 36/21 38/2 48/25 61/12 memorandum [3] 92/8 92/18 146/6 memory [10] 13/23 78/15 102/18 160/3 170/24 171/3 179/5 193/19 193/24 206/2 mental [1] 175/2 mention [4] 49/8 113/8 114/1 115/17 mentioned [8] 21/7 96/25 97/1 100/24 114/7 114/19 115/8 115/24
mentions [1] 49/9 merit [2] 90/18 91/6 message [13] 28/21 29/4 29/6 29/10 30/24 43/5 43/9 43/13 112/6 192/15 193/3 198/3 199/12
messages [2] 26/13 28/25
messaging [7] 181/6 186/19 189/2 198/21 198/21 199/1 199/12
met [6] 12/16 13/22 14/1 53/6 124/6 147/14

Michael [2] 71/9 100/20
Michael's [1] 71/11 micro [1] 114/16 micro-environments [1] 114/16
mid [11] 58/12 65/25 67/21 78/17 96/14 153/4 153/6 153/6
mid-April [1] 104/22
mid-February [2] 67/21 126/19
mid-March [6] 58/12
78/17 104/21 153/4
153/6 153/6
mid-part [1] 65/25
middle [6] 3/1 58/2
133/17 156/7 156/8
196/21
middle/end [1] 133/17
might [51] 4/22 6/7 7/25 8/3 8/24 21/2 21/16 21/17 28/9 30/24 36/24 42/3 43/6 44/2 51/1 58/21 62/22 62/25 67/11 70/1 72/2 73/11 74/1 78/24 81/15 91/3 93/5 93/15 103/25 115/16 117/3 127/6 136/12 144/20 146/2 149/9 150/5 150/8 150/15 150/17 151/12 152/14 155/13 164/23 174/21 176/22 184/14 187/3 194/1 198/14 209/23
military [2] 72/22 76/22
million [2] 15/1 15/16 mind [5] 10/23 49/22 117/6 126/15 177/18
minds [1] 56/13
mine [1] 38/5
minimise [1] 173/24
minimum [1] 115/13 minister [41] $2 / 22$ 4/24 5/2 5/21 6/14 7/4 7/17 16/7 41/8 42/14 43/14 43/17 43/19 77/24 81/16 82/23 82/24 83/2 83/3 83/20 83/23 96/3 96/3 97/18 97/25 100/25 100/25 101/2 101/21 102/23 176/14 177/2 178/2 179/23 180/2 182/17 185/7 187/12 187/21

104/21 104/22 126/19 ministers [34] 5/18

188/1 192/11
Minister Long [2]
187/12 187/21
Minister Swann [10]
5/2 42/14 43/14 43/17
77/24 83/3 97/18
97/25 101/21 188/1
Minister Swann's [1] 82/23
ministerial [2] 102/9 187/2
Ministerial Code [1] 102/9
ministers [34] 5/18
$5 / 196 / 37 / 98 / 216 / 13$ 16/16 16/20 17/10 18/4 18/15 24/4 102/13 102/19 102/25 103/18 103/23 161/17 162/1 162/16 170/23 175/6 175/15 177/7 177/22 178/3 182/5 182/9 182/15 183/9 183/11 187/14 187/18 187/19
minute [6] 30/1 176/10 176/25 182/2 203/5 208/5
minutes [9] 53/17
100/24 117/1 131/4 131/19 177/14 206/24 207/14 208/4 misalignment [1] 12/14 misleading [3] 80/17 144/20 162/18 mismatch [1] 12/16 misrepresentation [2] $88 / 289 / 3$
missed [1] 21/11
missing [1] 21/9
mission [1] 55/12
Mitchell [1] 156/3
mitigating [1] 117/11
mix [1] 178/18
mixing [4] 163/7
195/13 195/19 200/20
Mm [9] 34/9 40/14
42/19 42/24 46/13
61/25 70/19 71/20
113/6
Mm-hm [9] 34/9
40/14 42/19 42/24
46/13 61/25 70/19
71/20 113/6
mode [1] 31/9
model [9] 17/4 17/6
41/2 41/11 41/12
93/10 94/21 156/11
188/12
modellers [2] 130/16 146/10
modelling [63] 27/15
64/21 65/1 65/4 65/8
modelling... [58]
65/10 65/16 65/18 65/19 65/20 65/24 66/2 66/3 66/7 66/14 66/18 66/20 66/21 67/2 67/3 92/6 92/13 92/21 92/22 92/25 93/8 93/18 94/25 95/3 95/15 95/17 129/23 130/2 130/15 130/17 130/20 141/1 142/24 143/21 143/23 144/11 144/13 145/5 145/6 145/7 145/9 145/18 146/11 146/15 157/1 157/2 160/15 174/21
179/13 181/17 181/20 202/10 202/14 202/18 202/22 202/25 204/5 208/5
models [2] 144/16 144/18
modest [1] 204/19
module [10] 1/7 36/2 41/1 41/14 120/11 120/25 136/9 166/19 211/6 211/13
Module 1 [3] 36/2 41/1 41/14
Module 2 [1] 166/19
Module 2C [1] 136/9 moment [8] 38/9
42/6 62/3 69/21
143/19 148/12 165/9 203/19
Monday [5] 98/23 99/5 100/6 100/9 100/11
money [5] 12/17 12/20 12/23 13/5 64/13
monitor [1] 79/19 monitored [1] 72/18 monitoring [1] 12/18 month [2] 19/24 147/24
months [9] 36/5 113/15 125/12 139/19 144/8 148/2 149/9
163/5 173/7
mood [1] 7/22
more [82] 3/19 4/21
9/9 10/20 11/21 11/24 14/3 14/17 15/4 17/20 20/20 20/21 22/8
26/20 26/25 28/22
29/18 32/9 32/20
36/12 38/12 43/13
44/8 45/11 50/24 60/4 61/8 63/5 64/15 65/17 66/6 67/6 68/9 70/22
71/8 74/3 74/12 74/20

74/22 80/3 80/12 80/16 81/4 81/15 81/25 85/16 91/20 92/23 93/5 93/17 94/6 97/12 98/12 99/25 100/13 101/12 101/20 106/15 108/21 109/9 111/6 112/10 112/11 114/4 124/16 139/4 152/4 158/2 159/1 159/15 161/18 163/2 180/11 182/19 186/8 188/8 195/21 197/15 198/25 199/22 206/9 208/12
morning [7] 1/3 1/4 26/11 37/4 113/13 117/24 120/21 morphed [1] 68/8 morphing [1] 68/22 most [14] 29/20 30/11 51/3 56/8 64/1 70/9 130/1 137/10 159/25 163/8 169/14 172/8 196/25 197/1 mostly [1] 162/25 MoU [3] 92/24 142/17 143/19
move [16] 22/16 23/14 32/22 64/14 78/4 82/13 89/20 89/23 95/22 104/20 105/20 108/2 108/8 136/6 152/23 167/8 moved [8] 2/1 $2 / 5$ 13/4 85/1 85/13 87/16 91/18 107/12
moving [6] 68/21 68/24 96/24 97/7 111/19 112/3
Mr[46] 1/5 1/6 1/13 1/22 2/24 18/7 29/22 30/23 32/23 40/24 45/21 46/6 47/5 48/22 55/3 57/19 64/14 70/22 75/8 80/7 81/7 82/8 83/2 85/9 99/7 101/16 101/18 104/2 107/24 109/11 109/15 105/24 109/11 109/15 muscle [1] 105/14 109/16 109/17 109/22 music [1] 7/23 110/6 112/17 116/3 117/13 118/5 118/24 119/5 120/5 120/6 209/9 211/3 211/8 Mr Conor Murphy [1] 209/9
Mr Lynch [6] 109/22 110/6 117/13 118/5 118/24 119/5
Mr Pengelly [31] $1 / 5$ 1/13 1/22 2/24 18/7 29/22 30/23 32/23 40/24 45/21 46/6 47/5 48/22 55/3 57/19 109/11 109/17 112/17 116/3 120/6
Mr Wilcock [2]
109/15 120/5
Ms [18] $1 / 82 / 2533 / 4$ 34/8 41/1 41/13 44/21 44/25 55/1 70/3 117/1 127/15 155/20 167/20 203/6 203/10 208/18 211/15
Ms Campbell [2]
203/6 208/18
Ms Dobbin [7] 1/8 2/25 41/13 55/1 117/1 127/15 167/20
Ms Redmond [4]
33/4 34/8 44/21
155/20
Ms Redmond's [1] 44/25
Ms Watts [1] 70/3 much [64] 2/7 4/21 8/5 10/6 12/8 32/9 32/11 43/9 44/14 59/1 63/13 65/17 69/12 71/8 74/23 75/24 84/17 85/16 88/16 89/1 93/5 94/6 97/12 108/20 109/9 114/4 117/2 120/3 120/5 123/12 123/19 124/16 124/16 133/21 134/11 134/13 134/13 137/16 138/25 139/4 139/6 139/9 142/14 145/8 151/8 152/20 155/9 157/11 158/23 158/23 158/25 158/25 159/1 159/2 159/15 159/19 175/3 181/2 184/21 200/11 202/24 207/20 208/19 210/2
multiple [2] 112/22 185/4
Murphy [1] 209/9 must [10] 7/18 57/19 58/3 114/20 116/14 116/18 117/10 118/3 132/21 162/11
mustn't [1] 178/5
my [116] 1/4 4/9
10/22 19/12 27/3 30/8 30/13 32/6 32/20 38/5 38/7 38/8 41/15 42/2

64/14 70/22 75/8 80/7 $\quad 74 / 13$ 74/22 75/20 $\quad 35 / 13$ 37/22 49/13 81/7 83/2 85/9 99/7 75/20 77/14 77/21 126/14 127/1 133/3 144/8 156/20 205/17 necessity [1] 75/24 y [1] 113/14 5/46/10 50/15 neater [1] 142/14 54/19 55/2 59/7 60/13 neatly [1] 140/12 61/6 61/13 61/13 63/9 necessarily [4] 50/7 63/17 64/10 66/24 $\quad 72 / 3$ 99/13 151/6 67/6 71/2 72/10 72/21 necessary [10] 34/14
need [48] 3/4 8/6
35/19 37/20 44/22 45/2 45/4 51/3 51/14 52/7 54/16 56/23 60/3 60/8 61/4 61/5 61/15 62/9 65/6 66/21 100/19 104/14 105/23 126/5 130/11 146/21 151/4 154/9 155/11 155/15 156/8 157/15 157/19 159/19 160/6 166/3 167/25 170/12 171/7 172/22 178/11 179/18 181/18 189/13 191/8 199/10 201/24 202/21
needed [30] 26/7
35/3 36/6 36/7 37/14
39/7 39/8 50/4 52/2
52/11 52/15 52/17
54/1 55/14 55/16
65/12 67/2 74/6 87/11
91/20 140/19 158/15
171/15 171/19 173/19
174/2 175/5 190/22
197/5 207/7
needing [2] 56/6 103/25
needs [7] 21/19
44/17 50/17 53/25
58/14 152/4 206/11
net [1] 189/5
network [8] 69/10
69/13 134/25 135/5
135/15 135/19 136/2
136/4
networks [2] 69/9 141/2
never [5] 88/24
152/17 157/21 180/12 207/2
nevertheless [1]
118/2
new [20] 5/18 6/6 6/9 6/12 6/16 6/23 6/24
16/21 16/23 17/3 17/6
52/10 94/4 107/20
107/23 119/3 145/13
145/14 145/17 161/12
New Zealand [4]
94/4 145/13 145/14 145/17
next [7] 16/19 17/5
26/11 45/14 51/18
116/4 181/9
nexus [1] 4/14
Niall [1] 108/15
NICCMA [19] 34/18
34/25 35/6 35/13 44/8
50/5 50/8 50/19 51/13

NICCMA... [10] 51/17
52/16 55/24 56/7 56/15 57/24 58/6 59/10 62/14 63/11 night [1] 98/16 no [63] 7/18 13/5 16/12 19/22 22/7 26/5 26/14 26/15 27/8 31/3 32/3 33/24 37/3 39/21 53/19 54/18 55/23 56/18 59/2 62/16 64/6 64/21 68/12 68/17 72/10 76/23 81/17 84/3 89/19 90/10 91/24 91/25 93/17 97/12 100/8 101/6 101/6 101/10 101/10 105/24 105/24 110/8 110/13 113/7 114/1 115/2 120/3 123/10 123/12 129/5 140/21 155/16 159/6 160/9 163/19 167/10 171/10 177/19 177/24 182/17 193/20 200/1 202/7
no-one [2] 26/14 129/5
non [6] 10/16 32/2 140/20 165/2 191/7 191/17
non-essential [2] 191/7 191/17 non-medical [1] 165/2
non-pharmaceutical
[1] 140/20
none [2] 13/21 13/25 nonetheless [11]
4/14 4/15 21/17 27/5
72/3 99/15 139/16 152/10 188/2 194/15 196/15
nor [1] 180/13
normal [1] 85/17
normality [1] 195/13
normally [5] 5/17
5/21 6/14 7/3 165/22
north [10] 93/9 94/5
94/13 142/8 145/13 145/17 149/7 149/14 150/6 150/25
Northern [170] 1/24
2/6 2/14 3/13 3/22 5/8 8/6 8/9 10/25 11/7 11/23 12/1 12/8 13/9 13/17 13/18 13/20 14/12 18/21 21/4 21/19 21/25 22/3 22/18 23/16 25/20 25/23 27/8 27/14 29/7 30/4 31/3 31/4 32/15 32/25 33/25 34/13
$35 / 1535 / 2037 / 1$ 37/23 39/24 40/12 41/9 43/12 43/25 44/12 44/18 44/24 47/8 48/20 53/21 54/7 55/9 56/17 57/6 64/21 65/2 66/5 66/11 67/10 67/13 67/22 67/25 68/11 70/25 71/23 72/15 72/21 75/9 77/8 78/7 78/9 78/13 79/23 80/9 80/15 81/6 89/12 90/7 90/16 90/23 91/5 91/14 92/7 95/25 99/11 99/16 100/16 101/23 105/1 109/18 109/21 117/25 121/23 122/24 123/4 125/4 128/12 128/13 128/16 129/23 130/14 130/25 131/2 131/9 131/23 132/8 132/13 133/20 134/1 135/2 135/7 135/8 135/14 136/8 136/14 138/15 140/7 140/10 141/6 142/3 142/10 142/18 142/22 144/6 145/8 145/12 147/2 147/23 148/17 148/18 150/9 150/18 150/20 151/12 152/25 154/2 154/12 154/22 158/18 160/14 162/11 163/17 163/23 168/25 169/6 169/15 170/7 170/18 178/25 180/18 180/21 181/3 181/14 185/22 188/4 195/7 195/23 196/2 196/24 197/14 197/19 198/23 204/17 204/19 205/4 205/11 206/4 208/8

## Northern Ireland

 [162] 1/24 2/6 2/14 3/13 3/22 5/8 8/6 8/9 10/25 11/23 12/1 12/8 13/9 13/17 13/18 13/20 14/12 18/21 21/4 21/19 21/25 22/3 22/18 23/16 25/20 25/23 27/8 27/14 29/7 30/4 31/3 31/4 32/15 32/25 33/25 34/13 35/15 35/20 37/1 37/23 39/24 40/12 41/9 43/12 43/25 44/12 44/18 44/24 47/8 48/20 53/21 54/7 55/9 56/17 57/6 64/21 65/2 66/5 66/11 67/10 67/13 67/22 67/25 68/11 71/23 72/15 72/21 75/9 77/8 78/7 78/9 78/13 79/23 80/980/15 89/12 90/7 90/16 90/23 91/5 91/14 92/7 95/25 99/16 100/16 101/23 105/1 109/18 109/21 121/23 122/24 123/4 125/4 128/12 128/13 128/16 129/23 130/14 130/25 131/2 131/9 131/23 133/20 134/1 135/2 135/7 135/8 136/8 136/14 138/15 140/7 140/10 141/6 142/3 142/10 142/18 142/22 144/6 145/8 145/12 147/2 147/23 148/17 148/18 150/9 150/18 150/20 151/12 152/25 154/2 154/12 154/22 158/18 160/14 162/11 163/17 163/23 168/25 169/6 169/15 170/7 170/18 178/25 180/18 180/21 181/3 181/14 185/22 188/4 195/7 195/23 196/2 196/24 197/14 197/19 198/23 204/17 204/19 205/4 205/11 206/4 208/8
Northern Ireland's [2] 70/25 117/25
not [189] 3/3 4/2 7/7 7/13 7/14 7/16 7/19 14/12 15/6 15/11 17/9 20/5 20/7 22/13 24/2 24/3 27/1 33/10 33/14 34/22 34/23 34/25 35/13 35/16 36/9 38/8 38/10 39/7 41/25 43/20 45/6 47/8 49/13 49/18 50/8 50/9 51/2 51/6 52/11 54/1 56/2 59/5 60/14 61/6 61/11 61/14 63/8 63/19 63/23 64/9 64/11 64/12 66/13 69/1 72/2 72/10 73/16 74/3 74/7 74/16 75/8 75/17 76/13 76/21 77/5 81/11 83/12 87/2 87/11 87/24 88/3 88/19 88/21 90/18 90/23 91/4 91/22 96/4 97/13 98/13 99/1 99/13 100/8 100/16 101/6 103/20 105/22 110/20 111/12 111/23 114/7 114/8 114/13 114/14 114/20 115/2 115/7 115/8 115/8 115/15 115/23 115/25 116/18 117/2 117/10 117/13 118/3 118/20

119/17 120/23 128/22 131/3 131/20 134/17 135/8 135/16 136/3 140/4 140/23 140/24 141/7 144/7 144/19 145/6 145/25 146/16 146/22 147/24 148/12 149/13 151/20 154/6 154/7 155/5 155/5 157/13 158/2 158/7 159/16 162/7 162/21 164/4 165/3 165/18 165/21 165/23 166/16 171/9 171/10 172/8 172/14 172/16 173/8 175/12 175/21 176/24 177/3 177/20 182/2 182/22 184/1 184/9 185/24 186/13 186/15 186/16 186/21 187/20 188/2 190/6 190/9 192/2 192/5 192/17 192/23 193/3 193/5 195/13 197/4 198/12 198/14 198/22 202/22 204/20 205/15 206/18 208/10 208/22 208/23 note [9] 38/1 145/5 178/11 178/24 192/8 192/22 192/25 193/3 193/8
notes [10] 131/11
131/15 166/3 176/10 176/24 182/2 182/16 189/23 195/1 206/25
nothing [1] 26/20
noticed [2] 10/24
26/25
notion [1] 56/3
notwithstanding [7] 21/13 43/17 48/22
105/13 194/13 196/6 196/12
November [7] 183/21 183/23 184/6 190/14 191/5 191/24 196/22
now [29] 15/16 19/23 33/13 34/4 35/25 35/25 36/2 61/13 67/23 81/7 108/16 113/4 113/22 116/20 116/25 117/13 117/16 140/22 149/13 149/22 151/4 157/24 172/24 173/21 176/9 200/15 203/13 205/1 205/10 nowhere [1] 192/14 NPIs [1] 181/21
nuance [3] 15/25 17/8 131/12
nuances [3] 90/2 93/12 94/10
number [66] 3/14
3/23 19/7 21/18 22/11

25/23 39/14 44/10 45/14 47/4 47/6 63/14 63/22 65/18 66/8 66/22 74/1 75/7 85/11 87/3 87/17 87/18 87/18 95/12 101/3 101/14 102/11 103/9 110/22 121/17 121/18 125/20 126/21 126/22 128/10 129/2 129/20 130/4 134/10 134/11 142/5 146/21 146/22 150/17 154/13 157/19 157/21 158/14 158/24 159/4 162/20 166/16 170/12 173/7 173/13 178/18 180/17 186/25 187/17 188/17 189/21 194/3 196/18 197/21 203/22 205/7 numbers [24] 12/10 14/15 20/16 21/20 48/9 65/25 78/19 87/12 87/16 91/13 91/15 91/19 138/15 138/20 141/22 151/10 153/10 153/14 184/13 184/16 184/19 184/21 193/24 195/22
numerical [1] 162/18 numerically [1] 13/25 nursing [5] 8/18 11/15 20/18 20/20 104/10
nutrition [1] 122/10

## 0

o'clock [3] 98/16 120/16 210/2
obesity [1] 126/23 objective [2] 14/11 53/24
obligations [1] $2 / 19$ observe [1] 126/6 observed [7] 138/17 181/1 189/25 190/4 193/21 199/14 201/12 observer [3] 131/9 131/24 132/3 observers [3] 131/2 131/16 131/22
obstacles [1] 149/8 obtaining [1] 127/2 obvious [3] 62/11 129/9 130/8
obviously [55] 2/17 5/1 8/4 18/8 21/22 21/23 31/5 31/14 42/4 47/3 58/20 66/12 67/23 71/10 73/6 73/25 74/13 82/17 101/22 102/2 102/11 121/14 128/10 129/2 129/4 130/4 130/10
obviously... [28]
132/6 137/10 137/15 138/11 138/23 139/14 142/5 143/20 145/3 145/24 147/23 149/4 151/9 153/3 153/13 156/6 161/15 162/8 162/16 162/21 163/13 182/19 183/19 186/24 195/3 197/21 200/5 209/20
occasions [4] 95/13 105/8 144/15 144/17
occupancy [2] 137/2 137/2
occupied [1] 122/25 occurred [1] 69/6 occurring [2] 138/20 200/16
October [25] 16/4
88/11 139/20 170/23 171/3 171/19 172/2
172/12 172/20 174/2
178/21 179/12 203/12
204/20 204/24 205/15
205/18 205/20 205/20
205/21 206/20 207/2
207/5 207/10 207/23
October 2016 [1] 16/4
October 2020 [1] 203/12
off [14] 12/17 26/10 49/6 67/15 67/17 67/18 67/21 78/16
137/20 173/19 174/1
174/14 194/21 203/1
offer [4] 35/24 45/6
68/3 99/5
office [11] 42/2 64/22
66/13 98/6 98/13
98/17 98/19 99/10
99/18 100/17 110/9
officer [14] $4 / 11$
28/20 33/13 33/15 40/9 56/22 66/25 68/10 69/10 81/2 89/9 127/17 180/6 204/21
Officer's [2] 98/15 204/10
officers [4] 127/18 127/21 127/22 127/25
Officers' [2] 23/11 25/12
official [1] 146/25
officials [5] 5/14 29/7 33/9 43/15 146/25
officials' [1] 53/8
often [4] 10/25 32/7 124/16 167/3
Oh [1] 146/18
ok [1] 107/2
okay [10] 13/3 33/17 39/14 128/1 167/13 198/17 201/2 207/3 208/25 209/17
old [1] 96/23
older [11] 109/21
110/4 110/11 111/13
113/8 113/24 116/21 173/12 195/20 200/20 200/21
on [300]
once [4] 90/25
169/24 189/11 192/18
oncoming [4] 22/3 51/22 60/23 62/6 one [83] 4/9 9/12 11/25 12/17 15/3 15/4 17/12 17/16 17/17 17/21 18/6 26/14 26/22 26/25 28/1 29/15 38/10 45/16 50/24 63/17 65/23 68/23 70/3 74/1 74/10 75/3 75/5 76/16 88/18 90/25 97/18 98/3 98/5 99/5 101/3 114/11 116/24 122/14 122/16 122/22 129/5 132/18 134/21 135/2 135/7 136/8 137/10 143/3 143/13 144/20 146/1 150/13 151/18 154/4 155/18 156/12 159/6 159/18 159/25 160/10 161/22 161/23 163/13 165/13 165/20 170/7 170/14 180/11 182/17 187/12 188/24 189/6 192/6 193/14 194/8 194/18 196/18 198/10 198/10 199/23 200/12 201/3 209/7
one week [3] 193/14
194/8 194/18
one-off [1] 12/17
one-year [2] 17/16 17/17
ones [1] 174/25
ongoing [6] 6/22
42/22 79/4 148/17
148/19 186/5
online [1] 88/10
only [25] 31/23 32/10 41/9 42/8 50/16 52/25 53/9 64/3 81/8 86/9 94/2 96/18 96/25 109/4 117/13 125/1 129/21 132/6 141/3 141/7 141/18 143/8 173/15 174/5 203/8 onto [1] 98/15
onwards [5] 13/7
108/1 136/20 139/20 159/13
open [13] 123/14 164/14 165/11 165/12 165/19 165/22 165/25 174/11 183/5 190/8 191/17 192/16 192/18
opened [5] 165/13 166/1 174/19 178/10 191/7
opening [3] 163/22 164/4 164/12
operate [1] 17/15
operated [1] 17/13
operates [1] 95/25
operating [2] 96/5 125/22
operation [6] 142/21
142/23 143/21 146/24 147/4 152/9
operational [7] 5/20
23/3 62/17 85/22
88/14 125/23 152/10
opinion [5] 143/6
198/16 198/17 198/25 201/4
opportunity [2] 113/4 208/1
opposed [14] 14/18 29/18 34/25 49/23 50/8 57/21 74/1 75/24 85/15 85/17 93/19 106/9 133/22 176/20
opposition [1] 187/3
optimally [1] 156/20
optimistic [1] 160/10
options [5] 101/3
101/14 144/14 177/15 181/23
or [170] 2/11 3/8 4/2 5/19 6/1 6/6 6/25 7/7 7/12 7/16 7/19 12/10 12/23 14/10 14/11 19/11 21/5 23/7 24/4 24/12 26/25 27/19 28/11 28/16 29/25 33/10 33/11 33/15 34/23 35/14 36/9 36/20 37/2 37/9 38/10 38/14 40/10 41/18 43/20 45/3 45/6 46/7 51/18 52/9 54/1 54/5 54/14 55/6 59/6 62/16 63/19 64/9 65/1 68/11 68/13 69/8 69/10
70/24 71/13 74/5
74/10 74/16 75/7
76/10 76/13 77/8 78/21 81/16 81/17 82/25 83/6 86/5 87/23 88/19 88/21 88/21 89/8 90/7 90/17 91/4 91/4 91/22 93/3 93/22 94/20 96/6 97/4 97/12 97/13 97/14 97/17 100/2 100/14 103/13

104/7 106/4 106/15 110/8 110/10 114/12 117/2 121/18 123/9 123/10 123/15 125/1 125/2 125/13 127/21 129/13 131/12 131/15 132/7 132/11 133/2 134/5 135/10 136/18 137/2 137/2 137/2 137/24 140/4 140/16 140/23 141/4 141/23 144/19 144/20 147/4 147/18 155/3 156/7 159/13 161/12 163/18 163/19 165/12 166/7 167/8 168/11 170/20 170/23 171/15 171/19 173/9 174/24 176/10 179/12 180/13 181/12 182/23 184/1 186/13 187/4 187/20 188/4 192/10 194/2 195/25 196/21 197/16 198/12 199/9 199/10 200/20 201/6 201/7 206/24 207/15
order [25] 18/6 43/6 44/3 60/23 62/5 62/10 64/17 74/17 77/10 78/23 86/7 95/14 108/2 145/23 146/2 149/25 152/14 154/13 154/21 155/24 168/1 172/3 181/17 190/21 203/16
ordinate [1] 51/4 ordinated [2] 38/15 181/6
ordinating [1] 50/20 ordination [1] 37/21 organisation [3] 14/5 119/7 156/18
organisations [6] 3/14 4/15 23/13 70/8 70/10 85/19
orientate [1] 46/23 orientating [1] 106/21
original [1] 41/5 originally [1] 190/24 other [135] 3/6 4/3 4/21 8/15 8/20 11/8 11/11 14/2 15/10 19/6 20/21 22/1 22/14 24/22 24/23 26/4 27/6 33/9 33/18 34/13 35/24 36/3 36/6 36/21 37/18 38/13 38/23 39/13 40/3 40/22 41/10 41/18 42/9 42/10 42/21 43/5 43/24 44/17 45/4 45/7 46/8 48/17 49/19 50/14 50/18 50/19

50/25 51/14 51/16 52/7 58/8 58/17 59/12 59/14 60/1 61/7 61/7 63/15 64/11 67/5 74/2 75/4 81/3 81/11 85/14 86/24 89/25 95/14 101/18 102/25 108/14 109/12 112/5 114/19 117/11 119/5 121/17 121/18 121/20 123/1 124/25 126/8 126/11 127/2 127/8 127/9 127/16 127/17 129/11 130/4 130/8 132/21 133/19 134/12 134/23 137/7 142/9 145/8 145/10 147/18 147/20 148/8 149/1 149/2 150/5 151/19 152/2 155/7 163/11 165/1 165/17 166/13 169/1 169/10 169/14 173/3 173/9 173/25 174/7 175/4 183/12 187/2 191/22 193/10 195/9 199/4 199/7 199/10 203/2 203/2 206/12 208/9 208/16 209/20 209/22
others [9] 29/23
41/23 81/1 93/21
126/6 134/9 134/20 145/21 180/19
otherwise [3] 22/14 99/24 187/6
ought [6] 10/5 42/8 69/2 121/4 126/18 147/24
our [61] 3/24 10/2 11/19 13/25 14/15 17/18 18/19 20/8
20/18 20/20 22/9
26/25 30/19 31/10 31/19 35/2 44/5 49/24 50/4 50/10 54/11 56/4 56/13 59/2 59/3 66/2 69/13 71/22 72/19 72/19 77/17 77/19 78/16 84/1 85/2 85/4 91/19 94/25 107/12 133/25 144/16 144/17 146/11 146/12 148/19 148/24 150/1 154/5 159/21 159/21 165/20 165/24 171/14 173/1 173/10 174/21 180/14 187/5 195/14 202/14 206/20
ourselves [2] 46/23 106/21
out [54] 14/24 14/25 15/22 16/14 27/1
27/15 27/25 28/9
29/14 31/1 37/24 38/1
out... [42] 40/10
40/11 40/12 43/5 46/11 46/25 47/11 48/3 48/8 48/12 56/14 59/24 71/18 71/21 73/8 73/9 75/24 82/24 102/2 102/10 111/16 125/12 128/8 132/13 149/25 154/14 159/20 161/3 163/14 163/14 164/12 166/5 166/5 166/18 166/20 166/20 171/5 173/1 175/11 198/14 198/16 204/3 outbreak [5] 28/11 28/11 28/13 106/5 107/23
outbreaks [2] 107/20 108/20
outcome [3] 141/4 188/14 202/9
outcomes [8] 147/22 149/6 149/13 149/17 150/5 150/21 151/1 177/6
outputs [2] 131/4 144/15

## outrageously [1]

 12/9outs [1] 131/15
outset [9] 68/19
97/15 104/3 126/1 136/9 152/25 194/13 200/7 203/18
outside [1] 62/3
outweigh [1] 61/9
outwith [2] 31/5
62/14
outworking [3] 10/9 40/4 88/15
over [21] 15/16 15/16 29/10 31/10 71/17 85/10 86/9 95/6 96/15 104/7 105/8 123/3 123/4 124/15 173/14 179/7 186/24 193/12 195/20 197/21 201/5 overall [3] 88/12 146/22 188/14 overcome [3] 10/6 93/16 149/15
overdrive [1] 31/12
overlap [4] 61/18
124/25 125/2 125/7
overlapped [1] 125/2 overlapping [2] 60/12 62/10
overlaps [1] 60/16
overnight [1] 96/19
oversaw [1] $8 / 14$
oversight [9] 4/16
8/17 8/25 9/23 24/3

24/24 85/7 85/22 85/25
overstate [1] 82/9 overstepping [1] 177/25
overtook [1] 112/12
overwhelm [1] 141/8
overwhelmed [7]
21/24 172/14 173/11 179/2 179/10 181/10 190/16
overwhelming [2] 27/16 28/23
overwhelmingly [1] 195/17
own [7] 17/21 30/19 31/10 35/2 50/10 66/2 133/25
owners [1] 119/13
P
pace [3] 97/7 111/18 112/12
package [2] 204/3 204/14
page [39] 25/19 29/3 29/10 34/10 36/19 37/7 37/7 37/12 46/4 46/11 47/10 47/16 47/20 48/8 49/2 49/12 70/14 71/17 73/7 80/5 82/22 83/16 84/13 84/19 112/16 116/4 155/16 155/16 156/15 171/23 171/24 176/7 176/13 191/14 192/9 193/12 203/22 203/22 211/2
page 1 [5] 36/19 37/7 80/5 116/4 171/24
page 12 [1] 25/19
page 14 [1] 47/10
page 15 [1] 47/16
page 16 [1] 47/20
page 17 [1] 48/8
page 2 [9] 29/3 34/10
49/2 49/12 70/14
83/16 84/13 112/16 192/9
page 3 [2] 37/12 191/14
page 4 [2] 73/7 82/22
page 44 [1] 203/22
page 5 [1] 46/4
page 6 [1] 46/11
page 7 [2] 171/23 176/7
pages [3] 1/14 114/5 114/14
painted [1] 115/3
pandemic [108] 5/1
9/7 11/7 15/2 15/14
18/10 18/25 19/5
19/19 19/25 20/1

## 20/10 21/1 21/21 22/4 parenthesis [1] 22/17 25/11 27/5 <br> 29/17 <br> 29/25 37/2 37/6 39/22 part [56] 3/6 4/21 40/1 40/3 40/4 40/20 10/23 20/19 23/17

 43/1 44/4 47/21 48/18 55/15 57/2 57/9 58/18 60/9 62/6 64/18 65/7 65/9 65/13 66/21 68/13 68/19 82/19 84/1 97/15 104/4 109/5 110/7 113/17 113/19 113/22 121/24 124/5 124/5 124/8 124/10 124/18 125/17 126/8 127/11 132/24 135/21 135/24 136/1 136/14 136/23 136/24 137/4 137/11 138/3 138/19 140/7 140/9 141/14 142/8 147/5 147/6 148/15 149/25 150/1 151/24 152/3 152/25 153/7 154/6 158/21 159/1 159/19 160/1 161/15 161/20 162/3 162/5 162/8 162/15 163/6 168/24 169/14 169/22 173/2 175/14 180/15 181/1 181/25 198/19 199/9 206/22paper [7] 90/21 96/22 160/15 187/21 188/13 188/14 191/2
papers [15] 132/18 132/24 132/25 180/17 184/10 187/1 187/2 187/5 187/12 187/17 187/25 188/6 189/21 195/10 205/19
paperwork [1] 98/12 paragraph [21] 13/14 33/20 34/11 70/15 71/21 72/2 72/4 73/15 76/6 102/2 116/12 117/9 155/25 164/8 165/11 171/6 171/12 201/20 203/17 203/24 204/9
paragraph 121 [1] 203/17
paragraph 3 [1] 201/20
paragraph 37 [1] 13/14
paragraph 422 [3]
171/6 171/12 204/9
paragraph 8 [1]
33/20
paragraphs [4] 73/9 102/7 164/11 171/9 parallel [1] 114/21 parameters [1] 137/8 parcel [2] 23/20 79/6

23/20 24/14 29/5 31/2 33/11 36/25 41/10 43/7 44/20 52/21
57/22 59/21 61/20 63/13 65/25 66/3 67/7 68/6 68/7 68/22 79/5 79/24 82/4 84/4 84/5 84/6 84/16 90/1 90/15 96/2 96/11 97/14 110/17 121/21 122/25 125/16 126/3 126/18 132/7 133/22 134/2 136/17 140/25 141/24 146/1 146/3 146/12 150/1 153/2 153/13 182/5
partial [1] 143/8
participant [1] 132/4 participants [4]
92/13 109/13 203/3 209/25
participate [1]
135/17
particular [16]
111/24 114/16 122/7
126/9 127/22 131/21 154/1 164/3 173/11
176/14 178/22 179/25 184/20 193/17 195/23 208/7
particularly [23]
11/14 19/16 19/23
21/25 23/11 38/24
40/9 96/12 103/5
103/9 103/12 105/9
109/24 115/11 118/13
119/10 124/4 142/8
145/10 168/22 169/24
186/4 195/18
partners [1] 43/25 partnership [1] 92/14 parts [16] 11/9 14/2 34/13 42/21 68/21 81/11 129/20 147/18 169/1 169/10 169/14 184/8 185/4 208/9 208/11 208/16 party [1] 16/23 passing [1] 117/6 past [7] 45/22 80/23 82/14 105/12 148/15 161/19 167/15
paste [1] 38/3
patchy [1] 127/5 path [2] 9/25 115/4
pathologist [2]
122/15 122/16
pathology [1] 122/17
pathway [1] 173/1
patient [12] 72/12

72/17 74/5 75/3 75/5 75/15 75/16 75/18 76/1 76/19 77/15 116/14
patient's [1] 116/16 patients [14] 9/22 11/3 72/9 72/11 74/5 75/4 77/17 77/20 104/19 104/25 105/5 108/17 173/12 173/12 Patrick [2] 125/25 135/10
Patrick Vallance [1] 135/10
pattern [1] 162/25 paucity [1] 69/15
Pauline [2] 119/7 119/9
Pauline Shepherd's
[1] 119/7
pause [7] 8/1 58/19
75/5 192/4 192/7
203/20 203/23
pay [2] 20/19 20/24
peak [7] 29/15
137/15 137/15 139/23
201/3 202/19 203/13
peaked [1] 87/3
peaking [2] 136/20 139/13
peaks [1] 136/24
pears [2] 146/20 146/21
pejorative [1] 169/7
pending [1] 209/11
Pengelly [36] 1/5 1/6
1/12 1/13 1/22 2/24
18/7 29/22 30/23
32/23 40/24 45/21
46/6 47/5 48/22 55/3
57/19 64/14 70/22
75/8 80/7 81/7 82/8
83/2 85/9 99/7 101/16
101/18 104/2 107/24
109/11 109/17 112/17
116/3 120/6 211/3
penultimate [2]
73/15 155/16
people [88] 9/16 9/18 12/4 14/25 15/1 18/17 19/6 19/10 20/6 21/18 25/24 29/20 29/23
30/5 30/6 30/15 32/7 42/9 46/8 48/9 49/5
49/6 51/14 52/6 58/3
64/2 64/4 64/12 70/3
74/1 74/18 78/23 80/1
81/20 81/20 86/6
86/21 87/12 91/20
95/14 109/21 110/4
110/11 111/13 111/15
113/9 113/24 116/21
116/23 117/5 117/15
117/18 118/11 134/12
people... [34] 134/16
141/22 150/23 151/21 153/14 154/13 154/21 155/23 155/24 157/19 157/22 158/15 163/1 163/6 163/9 166/7 166/9 167/2 169/23 173/24 175/3 175/3 194/3 195/12 195/19 195/23 196/1 198/14 199/2 199/5 200/6 200/17 200/19 200/20
per [6] 78/17 78/18
107/12 107/13 122/3 124/16
perceived [2] 178/1 180/4
perception [5]
103/17 186/4 186/9
186/17 188/25
perfect [2] 19/3
119/23
performed [1] 20/9
performing [3] 13/20 13/24 14/22
perhaps [23] 15/12
25/17 29/2 34/5 36/18 39/23 45/12 52/4 72/1 80/4 133/7 159/24
171/24 173/22 175/19
176/8 176/11 176/15
176/18 182/20 189/15
197/4 198/10
period [49] 5/5 5/6
6/25 7/20 15/21 16/1
17/13 57/17 64/22
66/13 67/16 67/18
68/19 88/5 102/16
109/2 129/4 137/16 139/7 139/17 158/20 160/8 173/14 174/6 174/17 181/13 181/19 181/21 183/22 184/3
184/14 184/22 188/21
189/17 190/17 191/6 195/14 195/20 196/8 196/14 196/22 197/22 200/11 201/6 201/23 202/2 203/12 205/18 208/1
periods [1] 2/10 permanent [25] 1/23
2/2 2/13 4/16 4/22
5/16 24/9 36/22 36/25 37/3 37/4 38/7 46/7 48/24 49/19 52/19 53/4 54/10 59/7 60/25 68/1 68/25 87/23 89/17 92/4
permission [1] 203/5 persist [1] 155/2 persisted [1] 157/10
persistent [1] 174/15 places [2] 104/13 person [3] 42/17 74/1 105/9
personal [1] 10/13 perspective [13] 7/7 14/6 24/8 35/4 35/23 36/14 44/14 44/16 45/5 52/19 92/4 95/8 132/8
perspectives [1]
59/20
PHA [27] 23/10 25/13 26/1 26/8 26/23 79/11 83/12 83/17 84/7 84/16 84/19 84/24 85/11 85/15 85/23 86/4 87/10 87/20 88/8 88/17 88/20 89/2 91/15 154/11 157/5 157/17 158/6
PHA's [1] 158/12 pharmaceutical [3] 33/13 33/15 140/20 pharmacist [1] 33/16 phase [7] 44/9 57/25 89/13 89/20 89/24 169/23 169/24
phased [1] 133/13 phases [2] 138/19 159/25
phrase [1] 56/23 physical [1] 142/16 physically [1] 64/13 pick [10] 87/8 87/22 106/19 137/21 155/13 164/7 167/24 179/20 192/20 193/13
picked [2] 102/10 159/13
picking [4] 78/20 159/17 184/23 190/13 picture [8] 115/3 136/13 160/9 168/4 168/4 169/19 170/5 197/20
piece [2] 55/25 71/4 pieces [2] 146/19 146/22
pinhead [1] 38/17 pivot [1] 91/20 place [38] 2/22 17/10 19/3 22/15 25/4 52/23 53/9 65/10 69/2 70/16 76/18 90/1 91/5 93/18 103/6 103/20 114/9 117/11 119/6 120/9 128/10 129/3 133/7 133/11 134/5 141/4 141/16 146/5 157/2 168/11 172/1 184/5 185/23 189/7 190/3 191/5 194/1 201/5 placed [2] 12/15 55/16

195/9
placing [2] 35/1 162/17
plainly [1] 189/16 plan [12] 30/17 62/6 72/5 72/8 72/10 72/11 75/1 76/18 89/21 104/21 115/20 153/14 planned [3] 12/21 76/14 77/15
planning [25] 17/20 28/1 31/11 31/19 37/8 47/22 48/3 52/22 52/23 52/24 57/22 64/15 69/20 69/22 70/9 70/12 70/16 70/24 72/9 73/23 75/10 75/14 75/15 77/19 79/6
plans [15] 25/9 31/21
36/4 53/20 62/10 62/21 62/24 63/1 63/2 63/5 71/12 75/11 75/13 77/18 104/7 plateau [2] 139/19 190/20
play [4] 28/9 61/20 125/16 206/5
played [1] 153/13 playing [1] 31/1 please [40] 1/4 1/5 1/11 25/18 25/19 29/2 33/1 36/18 37/12 46/4 46/11 47/10 47/16 47/20 48/23 49/2 69/22 76/3 80/5 80/6 82/20 82/22 84/12 84/13 101/16 112/15 116/5 121/2 155/14 155/15 155/17 160/6 168/2 170/22 171/23 176/6 191/13 191/14 201/20 210/2
pleasure [1] 112/22 plenty [1] 177/9 plus [1] 194/18 pm [5] 120/17 120/19 167/17 167/19 210/3 point [159] 7/23
16/13 17/23 19/4 19/6 20/1 20/10 25/14 27/11 27/20 27/25 30/8 30/13 31/15 32/7 35/4 35/21 35/22 36/15 37/23 38/1 38/18 39/6 39/9 42/14 44/25 45/1 45/8 47/3 47/22 49/4 49/11 50/24 51/15 52/17 52/20 53/12 53/22 54/6 54/17 55/4 55/13 55/15 58/1 58/3 58/10
58/10 58/11 59/19

60/17 61/6 61/11 63/8 poorest [1] 14/21 63/17 63/18 63/22 poorly [1] 73/18 63/25 64/9 64/12 65/12 66/9 67/6 69/3 70/25 71/5 73/17 74/22 75/2 75/10 76/12 77/12 77/13 78/7 78/13 80/17 80/17 80/22 81/8 82/12 83/21 87/8 88/18 89/23 94/3 94/10 95/14 97/2 99/12 100/4 100/10 100/14 101/21 103/22 104/8 104/23 105/12 108/14 109/3 109/7 109/25 110/8 110/20 110/21 110/22 111/1 111/22 113/12 115/10 117/9 124/12 125/17 129/18 134/5 134/23 139/15 141/11 147/20 148/15 148/24 153/19 156/10 162/19 162/23 164/16 164/19 165/10 165/25 166/8 166/14 167/1 167/7 169/17 169/20 170/13 172/7 172/13 174/2 176/3 176/9 179/4 179/9 179/20 181/24 182/25 185/16 188/9 190/15 191/18 192/9 192/17 193/13 194/16 195/3 197/10 202/3 204/1 204/5 205/21 207/3 pointed [2] 164/11 166/18
points [20] 17/11 25/2 55/6 62/23 65/19 65/22 66/8 66/11 66/23 80/8 81/13 82/17 96/14 103/12 111/5 119/14 119/15 181/1 196/13 209/24 policy [35] 4/6 4/23
5/18 5/19 5/21 6/6 6/9 6/10 16/21 24/6 24/20 24/23 32/25 33/18 33/21 60/12 114/12 116/22 117/4 117/14 117/17 119/25 126/24 144/25 145/1 145/16 145/24 150/4 151/7
161/11 161/17 162/7 163/16 165/7 182/14 policy-making [1] 161/17
political [3] 7/23
179/25 199/15
politicians [1] 199/3 politics [2] 145/3 185/21
poor [1] 71/13
popular [1] 199/6 population [19] 4/1 9/12 15/1 15/6 18/21 19/21 33/7 66/5 67/4 78/19 94/12 150/4 150/19 150/22 163/3 163/11 173/4 198/21 200/22
population-based [1] 67/4
portion [1] 204/7 portrayed [1] 199/20 position [84] 3/11 11/8 11/12 12/8 12/12 $13 / 1$ 13/4 14/20 15/18 15/25 16/1 17/17 17/22 20/19 29/23 32/4 33/21 34/1 38/5 38/8 39/12 43/5 43/20 44/21 46/25 49/18 49/24 50/6 54/11 54/14 56/5 56/24 58/24 61/13 63/9 64/10 67/7 69/3 73/20 78/5 80/24 81/10 85/21 92/1 93/25 102/6 103/2 103/12 104/18 105/11 105/25 106/3 106/18 106/22 110/11 110/18 110/20 112/9 115/21 119/25 124/22 129/6 129/9 130/8 135/22 141/21 143/1 150/8 154/24 155/4 155/5 155/7 157/10 157/14 162/7 164/17 167/23 172/7 172/20 178/8 180/10 181/16 188/23 206/4 positions [3] 102/14 121/18 199/2
positive [7] 74/9 77/20 91/22 108/9 108/18 108/19 158/24
possibility [2] 179/10 184/20
possible [26] 28/2 65/24 72/3 93/4 97/25 104/14 106/4 111/9 130/17 136/3 141/4 143/22 143/25 144/23 148/10 149/6 149/13 149/17 149/18 152/20 162/7 173/20 174/23 177/6 184/20 192/2 possibly [10] 59/25 63/16 64/11 66/6 73/10 96/5 99/12 118/8 188/12 200/23 post [17] 3/16 33/14 37/6 67/18 68/6 68/7 68/9 124/11 124/12
post... [8] 124/14
128/9 129/4 133/6
135/4 135/14 140/13
184/21
post-Christmas [1]
184/21
post-pandemic [1] 37/6
posts [1] 2/1
potential [7] 27/16
42/25 44/2 48/17
106/4 164/21 188/14
potentially [9] 48/10
73/16 116/24 116/25
117/4 117/7 117/14
118/15 200/12
power [10] 2/10 5/15
5/16 5/23 6/1 6/2 6/5
7/19 17/14 26/14
power-sharing [4]
2/10 5/15 7/19 17/14
powers [2] 5/14 6/20
PPE [1] 11/15
practical [2] 10/9
11/18
practice [2] 154/18
156/22
pray [1] 53/22
pre [6] 13/9 30/20
37/6 147/3 181/19
202/2
pre-2005 [1] 13/9
pre-Christmas [2]
181/19 202/2
pre-dated [1] 30/20
pre-existing [1]
147/3
preceding [1] 144/5 precipitously [1] 53/25
precisely [3] 81/23 188/24 204/11
predicated [1] 147/3 predict [2] 92/14 196/3
predicted [1] 48/4
predicting [1] 195/16
prediction [3] 27/25
28/4 202/22
predominantly [3]
180/4 200/17 201/13
prefer [1] 186/14
preferable [1] 111/9
preferably [2] 173/5 190/23
preliminary [1]
136/22
preparation [2] 38/21 50/19
preparations [4] 36/1 47/14 47/16 78/1
preparatory [2] 31/11

50/11
prepare [2] 35/3 44/9 prepared [3] 41/24 48/25 160/15 preparedness [3] 43/11 45/3 77/6 preparing [2] 99/4 131/17
present [13] 29/16
32/5 32/13 32/19
74/25 76/1 90/13
90/25 97/9 131/21
131/22 131/24 135/9
presentation [8]
45/15 45/17 46/6 46/15 46/22 56/10 79/7 90/21
presented [5] 25/20 27/8 32/23 181/20 208/6 presenting [2] 80/1 100/20
preserve [1] 6/10 press [1] 200/3 pressed [2] 99/18 176/9
pressing [2] 7/10 170/20
pressure [6] 21/12
21/12 81/25 91/15 98/4 134/13
pressures [20] 10/1 11/11 11/22 19/13 19/20 20/14 20/25 39/1 68/8 119/22 119/22 132/19 170/1 174/16 190/19 190/21 190/25 204/18 207/19 207/20
presumably [4]
109/25 158/19 166/6 188/23
presume [1] 73/25 pretty [1] 12/8 prevalence [4] 78/9 79/25 141/12 159/10 prevalent [2] 82/14 119/21
prevent [3] 114/25 141/19 179/18
prevention [2] 112/2 114/15
previous [1] 5/19 previously [1] 6/2
pride [1] 11/1 primarily [1] 57/20 primary [3] $8 / 14$ 78/23 153/25 Prime [1] 41/8 Prime Minister [1] 41/8
principal [3] 4/23 11/25 122/19
principle [4] 100/15

113/19 186/10 186/12 principled [2] 161/21 185/16
prior [12] 16/15
16/22 65/12 68/4 68/9 116/15 121/16 121/24 122/19 124/5 147/6 192/23
priority [3] 51/16 52/8 179/18
probably [25] 23/1
23/14 25/1 32/20 83/15 98/19 134/8 135/24 139/14 142/2 155/15 159/11 162/13 163/7 164/7 166/11 178/16 178/25 189/13 190/7 190/9 197/5 197/11 199/1 207/19 probe [1] 182/10 problem [13] 20/25 31/16 84/9 97/10 102/15 105/1 111/23 113/17 147/23 147/24 176/16 179/22 181/7 problems [1] 196/4 proceed [2] 11/5 142/10
proceeded [3] 143/6 151/24 156/11
proceeding [2] 88/21 143/13
process [6] 12/18 138/5 160/25 184/24 185/10 186/2
produce [2] 82/1 169/9
produced [5] 50/4
63/1 63/3 161/3 184/10
producing [2] 81/22 162/22
profession [3] 4/12 4/13 123/2
professional [11]
4/11 14/6 24/2 26/7 33/16 81/1 85/5
121/18 122/12 125/1 126/9
professionals [1] 125/4
professor [55] 23/25 27/13 28/8 32/24 64/20 68/14 80/11 81/9 86/3 87/8 87/15 89/8 93/5 93/11 94/23 95/23 101/25 120/20 120/24 121/4 121/12 122/4 122/8 125/11 128/7 129/18 136/6 136/16 138/11 140/5 140/5 152/8 152/23 155/19 156/23 160/7 163/15 164/8 167/6

167/22 168/20 171/25 protect [1] 188/3 172/22 186/23 189/5 protecting [1] 195/8 191/25 192/5 193/1 protection [3] 31/3
194/11 198/11 201/15 61/21 62/13
203/11 208/19 209/2 protocol [3] 40/10 211/10
Professor Hale [1]
140/5
Professor Sir Chris
Whitty [1] 23/25
Professor van
Woerden [2] 80/11
81/9
Professor Whitty [1]
28/8
42/4 51/23
prove [1] 93/4
provide [17] 3/25
18/21 24/9 26/2 84/25
110/9 123/6 123/20
126/13 132/20 133/1
152/5 154/1 177/12
177/13 182/13 188/4
provided [14] 12/17
26/9 70/17 83/8 83/21
93/20 95/3 131/11
131/16 157/3 164/6
170/11 187/7 206/6
providers [3] 76/11
119/1 119/17
provides [4] 24/4
51/23 136/13 209/19
providing [9] 34/12
40/8 48/16 163/18
170/4 170/8 172/9
182/6 182/11
provision [8] 9/13
11/10 11/14 17/7 20/2
61/23 65/1 73/16
proximity [1] 88/10
public [53] 4/13 5/24
6/3 6/8 6/21 7/5 7/6
7/12 7/13 22/25 24/21
24/21 26/8 65/10 70/6
80/9 80/22 81/3 81/14
82/10 83/4 84/2 84/3
102/14 103/3 103/3
103/11 103/17 103/18
115/13 154/12 154/20
155/20 158/12 162/17
179/24 180/7 181/5
185/17 185/19 186/4
186/6 186/9 186/17
186/19 188/25 189/3
198/13 199/6 199/16
199/17 199/24 206/14
publication [1] 85/4
published [7] 16/4
55/11 85/6 112/19
112/25 157/16 158/2
pubs [7] 163/22
164/4 164/12 164/13
165/25 166/9 178/10
pull [1] 63/11
pulls [1] 38/23
purchased [1] 12/25
purely [2] 76/23
125/8
purported [1] 113/2
purpose [2] 67/6
95/18
purposes [6] 40/16
74/11 81/1 93/24
132/13 209/15
push [5] 14/20 157/5
157/6 182/5 182/9
push-back [4] 157/5 157/6 182/5 182/9
pushback [1] 207/13
pushed [3] 64/8
157/18 158/2
pushing [3] 80/13 81/10 81/25
put [25] 13/17 29/17 46/5 60/19 61/5 65/19 90/1 91/14 92/10 100/22 103/6 103/19 105/11 112/16 113/1 126/17 132/7 166/16 166/25 170/23 171/2 182/19 195/7 207/1 209/23
puts [1] 6/20
putting [11] 7/3 21/5
21/6 41/13 43/22 91/2 95/19 96/17 142/14
161/10 172/16

## Q

qualified [5] 41/6
123/13 123/15 123/16 129/11
qualitative [4] 14/1 91/4 91/24 175/6 quality [3] 20/2 65/17 71/13
quantified [1] 165/4
quantify [1] 175/5
quantitative [1]
103/16
quarantine [1] 55/17
Queen's [1] 122/5
Queen's University
[1] $122 / 5$
question [36] 18/8 26/24 60/17 60/25 61/4 67/24 69/5 74/7
77/11 90/17 90/20
91/3 92/5 95/24 97/9 97/12 100/18 100/21 103/15 126/5 127/16 130/11 134/8 134/17 134/19 149/18 149/19 160/20 172/22 176/17 177/25 183/25 196/11 200/4 203/4 203/8 questioned [1]
177/11
questioning [1] 30/5 questions [15] $1 / 7$ 60/24 109/12 109/16 109/19 120/4 120/25 121/13 203/2 203/10 209/23 211/5 211/8 211/12 211/15
queues [1] 9/15
quickly [4] 104/13 145/6 161/18 162/10 quirk [1] 16/21 quite [27] 7/18 10/25 17/19 24/24 63/23 85/18 91/13 101/13 105/11 117/15 132/22 reached [15] 12/13 137/23 139/1 139/16 144/12 153/7 153/19 158/18 158/20 160/10 160/12 163/8 167/4 184/16 188/7 196/14 207/13
quoted [2] 87/19 177/2 quotes [1] 110/14 R
R number [1] 205/7 R rate [1] 164/9 radical [2] 15/23 18/2 radio [1] 102/5 rail [1] 48/5 raised [2] 84/7 97/21 raising [3] 110/13 111/3 119/10 ramp [1] 153/10 range [12] 8/20 151/8 164/23 165/1 165/6 177/5 177/14 181/19 181/23 183/11 183/14 199/8
rapid [3] 169/25 172/15 173/18
rapidly [2] 84/10 170/17
rare [1] 126/24
rate [8] 48/9 49/9 105/15 139/16 139/21 164/9 184/16 200/13 rates [11] 79/19 101/24 101/25 163/1 163/3 168/21 179/24 180/3 180/18 194/15 194/17
rather [31] 58/15 69/13 71/11 76/8 91/22 98/21 111/13 116/17 118/23 123/17 123/22 126/6 127/8 127/12 133/11 134/2 136/1 142/12 148/5 152/19 156/3 156/12 158/5 160/20 174/14 176/18 183/2 183/6 185/7 187/15 198/16 re [10] $84 / 3$ 163/22 164/12 164/14 165/11 165/12 165/13 165/25 178/10 191/7 re-open [4] 164/14 165/11 165/12 165/25 re-opened [3] 165/13 178/10 191/7
re-opening [2] 163/22 164/12
re-stating [1] 84/3 reach [6] 10/11 48/17 50/12 78/22 120/1 175/7

56/14 58/10 92/1
103/1 106/18 141/6
172/7 172/12 172/20 174/1 178/8 188/9 198/24 201/3
reaching [2] 7/23 179/8
read [9] 21/17 29/13 49/22 74/15 80/16 81/7 101/1 113/11 131/15
read-outs [1] 131/15 readily [1] 98/25
readiness [2] 43/24 45/7
reading [3] 59/22 77/12 180/16 reads [1] 76/25 ready [2] 77/8 88/23 real [6] 10/20 11/2 19/8 19/12 20/13 123/10
realisation [1] 71/3
realise [1] 131/20
realistic [1] 172/13
realistically [1] 171/14
reality [3] 16/13
96/16 105/7
reallocated [1] 12/24 reallocation [1] 12/22
really [25] 6/10 6/19 7/10 42/7 46/4 51/3 86/16 88/18 91/3 94/19 112/2 138/11 139/20 141/11 153/7 172/17 173/8 174/2 186/22 187/19 190/19 191/1 196/12 205/1 205/10
reason [6] 18/7 35/1 126/2 134/6 143/24 162/14
reasonable [4] 28/12 37/8 47/17 178/14 reasonably [1] 124/7 reasons [9] 134/21 137/3 145/11 150/7 150/11 150/13 150/14 150/16 151/11
recall [13] 28/18 28/19 38/6 69/6 84/24 127/3 180/11 185/13 185/24 187/9 187/20 188/22 204/7
receive [1] 154/6
received [6] 6/22
16/10 29/11 131/5
132/17 183/10
receiving [2] 118/25 177/8
recently [1] 113/12
recipient [1] 27/14
recite [1] 45/23
reckless [2] 117/16 118/6
recklessness [1] 118/4
recognise [4] 50/6
97/13 115/20 129/17
recognised [4] 31/15
107/7 169/3 180/17
recognising [1]
169/22
recognition [2] 67/3

## 168/20

recollect [3] 128/24
179/3 207/4
recollection [7] 27/4
81/17 171/16 180/2
185/5 185/8 189/9
recommendation [4]
184/24 185/1 206/17
206/19
recommendations
[3] 171/2 171/5 187/23
recommended [2]
181/12 205/23
recommending [3]
47/21 204/14 205/8
recommends [1]
204/3
reconciled [1]
148/13
reconfiguration [1] 18/23
reconstruct [1]
131/24
record [5] 49/23
59/23 131/20 131/22
169/17
recorded [3] 144/6
144/10 192/13
recording [2] 144/2 148/4
recourse [1] 61/4
recreate [1] 69/14
recruit [1] 88/8
red [1] 21/10
redacted [1] 84/15
redeploy [1] 88/8
Redmond [5] 33/4
34/8 44/21 155/20
156/4
Redmond's [1] 44/25
reduce [3] 169/3 173/9 190/11
reduced [1] 190/22
reducing [1] 177/15
reduction [2] 158/14 190/22
redundancy [1] 39/3 redundant [1] 89/24 refer [3] 32/7 114/14 140/21
reference [6] 37/8
49/15 59/20 106/22 112/21 157/1
referred [3] 47/14 149/16 156/25
referring [1] 157/4
refers [1] 102/8
reflected [3] 72/12 109/3 194/25
reflecting [1] 102/24
reflection [2] 32/1 88/4
Reflections [2] 156/1 156/15
reform [4] 8/6 18/2
18/22 18/22
reforms [1] 17/25
regard [4] 102/8
104/14 118/8 118/10
regarded [3] 89/13 95/7 103/11
regards [4] 17/6 81/25 102/12 103/13
regimes [1] 181/4
region [1] 14/22
regional [1] 3/24
regions [1] 168/25
registered [1] 149/9
regrettably [1] 38/6
regular [10] 7/22
36/25 37/5 53/3 119/9
119/11 124/7 146/5 147/7 172/9
regularly [1] 147/14
regulations [1]
186/21
regulator [1] 24/25
rehearsed [1] 102/20
reiterated [1] 156/8
rejected [2] 158/3
183/16
relate [1] 150/11
related [2] 17/23 71/5
relates [2] 8/5 197/5
relation [8] 92/13
104/1 106/1 109/25
122/10 125/7 187/7
207/6
relationship [5]
11/13 11/17 85/17
109/20 124/21
relationships [3]
69/9 147/3 202/1
relative [1] 136/24
relatively [7] 91/15
137/18 139/20 141/15
180/22 193/23 204/19
relax [2] 160/25
relax... [1] 161/12 relaxation [2] 193/15 194/8
relaxations [3] 164/3 194/25 202/2
relaxed [1] 160/23
reliability [1] 151/14 reliable [4] 83/25
151/17 153/21 178/16
reliant [1] 20/3
relocated [1] 51/14
reluctant [1] 35/24
rely [1] 111/13
remain [3] 10/15 58/24 135/21
remained [5] 4/15 158/19 190/15 191/1 204/19
remains [5] 38/5
38/10 50/15 113/19 136/2
remarked [1] 113/16
remember [7] 26/3
55/13 55/17 55/19
140/22 157/25 188/6
remind [1] 171/22
remit [3] 4/6 24/6
24/18
remote [1] 94/6
removal [1] 97/25
remove [4] 52/6
59/15 85/19 97/18
removed [2] 100/25
101/3
repeat [1] 202/22
repeated [1] 104/8
repeatedly [1] 167/1
repeating [1] 49/21
replied [1] 99/19
reply [2] 73/5 73/7
replying [1] 100/15
report [9] 10/18
11/23 16/3 55/12
108/25 109/3 109/4
144/8 145/7
reported [8] 26/17
27/2 124/6 142/6
144/7 144/10 153/24
153/25
reporting [3] 131/13
148/7 162/14
repositioning [1] 61/10
represent [2] 109/17 115/23
representative [1] 111/7
representatives [3] 6/11 112/5 157/17 represented [3]
81/22 86/20 87/25
Republic [25] 26/21

92/6 92/7 93/15 141/25 142/7 142/19 142/22 144/7 144/14 144/16 146/8 146/10 147/2 147/22 148/5 148/23 149/6 149/10 149/14 150/9 150/19 150/21 151/1 181/3 request [9] 25/8 35/1 36/14 43/22 76/3 76/22 98/6 98/12 98/17
requested [1] 135/5 requesting [1] 112/8 requests [2] 80/14 100/17
require [1] 18/2 required [18] 15/24 43/6 44/2 51/18 51/18 71/9 77/10 87/16 153/15 154/13 155/23 155/24 157/5 173/4 173/17 173/23 176/22 204/22
requirement [3] 76/8 116/18 119/2 requirements [1] 102/8
requires [1] 9/21
research [5] 122/20 122/23 125/9 147/13 147/15
researchers [1] 149/23
reservations [1] 199/8
residential [3] 9/23 11/16 104/10
residents [7] 106/3 106/8 106/9 106/14 107/22 115/11 119/3
resilience [5] 36/4 37/13 37/15 41/24 49/3
resilient [1] 22/13 resolved [2] 84/23 85/1
resource [2] 64/12 65/6
resources [5] 58/2 60/2 61/10 63/23 63/24
respect [2] 68/13 200/10
respected [1] 14/5
respective [1] 119/3
respite [2] 19/18 64/6
respond [12] 64/18
82/7 92/16 99/14 99/16 100/2 100/3 100/17 100/19 111/4 111/21 177/12
responded [2] 84/20 98/22
responding [3] 11/6 82/6 98/22
response [70] 18/9
18/13 21/2 23/3 29/13 39/18 39/22 39/25 40/3 40/19 40/21 41/7 41/12 41/17 41/19 42/18 42/22 43/7 44/3 44/6 44/9 44/21 45/4 50/20 50/22 51/5 51/10 51/11 52/2
55/14 55/22 57/5 57/8 57/22 57/25 58/22 59/1 60/9 67/22 73/3 84/1 84/6 84/18 98/18 ridiculously [1] 64/5 98/21 99/4 99/10 right [220] 99/18 99/22 100/6 rightly [2] 117/2 100/21 100/22 108/24 178/2 112/19 112/25 113/21 rigorous [1] 55/17 125/17 126/3 126/7 ring [1] 94/17 126/10 126/18 127/12 rise [2] 163/3 203/14 129/7 129/20 133/23 rising [6] 138/7 136/1 137/11 159/21 180/14 207/15
responses [3] 40/4
150/1 199/8
responsibilities [2] 2/17 134/17
responsibility [5] 4/3
8/17 60/12 61/18 85/3
responsible [1] 125/3
responsive [1] 57/21
rest [4] 29/24 113/10 197/18 200/6
restaurant [1] 166/12 restricted [2] 106/7 135/1
restriction [3] 103/5
103/7 161/10
restrictions [20]
160/23 160/25 161/5
161/8 161/13 168/10
169/2 175/4 175/13
175/14 175/17 189/7
189/17 189/21 190/3
190/6 191/5 196/11
196/14 200/5
result [7] 92/20 108/1
173/6 174/20 189/5
201/13 206/16
resulted [3] 107/20
198/19 200/19
results [1] 117/20
resumed [1] 7/19
retail [3] 173/25
191/7 191/17
retained [1] 118/14
retaining [1] 105/12
retrospect [1] 153/6 return [12] 7/9 7/24 16/15 120/16 130/2 130/13 130/18 131/5 133/13 141/10 154/7

195/23
run [4] 22/7 114/4 194/23 195/24
run-up [2] 194/23 195/24
running [3] 22/10 105/3 201/11
runs [1] 196/21

## S

SAGE [27] 130/24
131/3 131/4 131/6
131/7 131/13 131/14 131/16 131/19 132/4 132/13 132/18 132/25 133/19 135/25 170/11 170/16 196/17 203/18 204/2 204/14 205/8 205/23 206/21 207/25 208/5 208/6
SAGE's [1] 206/3 said [52] $5 / 46 / 58 / 9$ 8/20 10/19 13/14 13/18 29/20 49/17 49/24 50/12 50/13 55/13 56/1 72/4 75/20 86/5 92/13 95/12 99/15 100/9 108/25 114/17 117/17 118/13 124/15 127/15 131/14 134/19 145/4 151/23 152/17 157/18 158/17 160/8 160/12 160/18
160/22 167/13 168/17 168/18 171/10 173/2 182/8 188/10 192/10 192/10 193/2 197/8 200/1 200/7 206/7 same [18] 36/17 38/4 74/2 74/9 84/16
112/23 113/19 136/23 137/8 138/18 146/9 147/17 163/20 165/4 174/20 179/23 188/24 208/10
sampled [1] 108/16 sat [1] 16/11
Saturday [1] 98/18 save [1] 79/25
saw [14] 57/20 81/8 81/24 86/10 96/1 98/1
119/2 180/23 190/17
194/2 194/12 194/22
205/5 207/8
say [59] 3/4 12/20
13/8 13/19 14/15 21/17 30/1 31/8 36/9 39/7 43/15 54/2 54/13 57/15 59/15 67/12 72/2 74/11 74/19
76/22 77/21 77/24
83/16 93/11 94/23
111/10 114/8 114/20
117/2 117/13 120/10
123/21 125/16 126/18
say... [25] 127/10 127/25 132/5 146/18 146/25 147/16 151/17 160/6 162/19 168/2 176/16 177/19 178/2 178/3 179/22 182/22 186/22 187/6 188/10 197/15 202/21 203/21 204/17 205/14 206/25 saying [34] 15/11 23/7 26/22 32/3 34/24 35/10 36/13 36/14 38/20 42/8 42/11 43/14 44/22 50/8 51/2 51/6 51/7 52/16 53/6 61/14 61/14 100/8 102/1 114/24 115/2 116/17 156/16 174/4 176/14 177/2 180/8 180/9 180/12 182/17
says [7] 35/12 107/1 132/17 158/10 158/12 171/13 193/12
scarcity [1] 65/22
scenario [8] $27 / 25$
28/4 28/12 28/23 37/9 38/16 47/18 75/5
scenarios [7] 28/9
28/22 29/25 30/10 31/1 32/23 34/3
scheme [4] 163/14 166/6 166/6 166/20 school [5] 48/5
128/18 163/4 184/2 189/8
schools [19] 61/19 61/20 62/7 62/24 165/11 165/12 165/19 165/22 174/1 174/11 174/18 175/24 178/17 183/5 183/6 183/25 184/2 190/3 190/8 science [5] 123/2 123/13 177/11 182/11 182/17
scientific [42] 64/20 67/11 68/1 68/10 81/2 86/20 92/12 95/16 121/15 122/19 123/6 123/10 123/12 123/17 123/20 125/8 125/25 126/13 126/15 127/1 127/17 127/18 127/20 127/21 127/23 128/1 129/13 133/19 133/25 134/24 135/2 135/5 135/25 141/2 141/23 142/12 146/8 147/16 163/19 185/19 196/20 199/6
scientifically [2] 123/15 149/21
scientist [1] 147/12 scientists [2] 123/4 146/10
scope [1] 192/16 Scotland [7] 27/15 128/3 135/10 145/7 145/12 148/21 197/16 Scottish [1] 27/16 screen [6] 25/18 31/13 112/16 113/1 116/5 171/21
scroll [1] 155/17
seasonal [1] 159/5 seasonality [2] 19/12 19/23
second [23] 17/23
29/22 30/10 30/25 35/11 49/3 72/2 76/6 100/23 102/2 139/6 150/14 153/7 160/13 160/19 160/22 172/21 188/22 192/6 196/15 197/20 199/13 199/23
Secondly [1] 150/23
secretariat [1] 131/6 secretaries [7] 5/16 36/22 37/1 37/4 46/7 48/24 49/19
secretary [19] 1/23
2/2 2/13 4/16 4/22 24/9 37/4 38/7 41/4 52/19 53/4 54/10 59/7 61/1 68/1 68/25 87/23 89/18 92/4
section [1] $5 / 8$
section 3 [1] $5 / 8$
sections [1] 45/23
sector [19] $4 / 13$
12/20 13/1 31/21 36/4 37/13 37/15 41/19 49/3 104/7 104/13 104/20 108/25 114/3 114/10 114/18 114/22 164/17 166/12
sectoral [8] 40/4 41/19 43/24 50/22 51/10 51/11 57/25 58/9
sectorial [1] 48/12 sectors [10] 40/22 45/4 45/7 50/18 50/23 50/25 51/12 52/3 59/12 59/14
secure [4] 166/21 166/21 166/24 167/4 see [69] 11/2 25/17 26/1 26/13 28/24 29/13 33/12 33/20 34/10 36/11 37/7 37/12 46/25 47/14 47/20 49/3 49/12 52/20 53/4 54/8 58/24 69/25 70/14 70/16 71/17 73/6 76/6 77/12

77/23 84/15 91/21 separate [5] 24/14 97/22 100/14 115/15 $\quad 114 / 5$ 123/5 143/15 127/11 136/19 137/20 176/17
137/22 138/7 155/21 separately [1] 66/10 155/25 156/5 156/14 separation [1] 56/8 156/24 158/25 160/6 September [24] 161/8 162/9 169/11 137/24 138/2 155/6 170/25 175/22 176/13 $156 / 7$ 156/8 166/1 176/17 176/19 178/11 182/3 182/16 191/21 192/13 193/7 193/12 194/12 195/9 196/15 200/8 201/3 201/21 204/24 205/21
seeding [1] 196/1 seeing [2] 28/18 163/9
seek [3] 111/12 111/16 115/5 seeking [5] 35/5 99/10 148/9 164/20 187/20
seem [2] 77/7 150/7 seemed [2] 110/12 165/23
seeming [1] 185/25
seems [5] 57/18 72/3 73/25 75/17 128/21
seen [35] 27/12
30/10 33/3 34/6 45/22 45/24 46/1 53/17 55/6 62/20 62/23 80/7 82/21 86/2 89/12 97/16 98/11 99/9 102/11 110/24 113/10 123/8 131/10 131/18 151/20 152/18 152/19 154/19 155/21 178/23 190/18 192/23 197/20 198/1 198/2
segments [2] 163/11 200/22
self [1] $88 / 11$
self-trace [1] 88/11
sell [2] 103/2 163/23
semantic [1] 39/23
send [1] $43 / 5$
senior [9] 4/9 5/14
10/24 24/20 24/23 24/24 88/25 110/25 155/7
sense [23] $3 / 178 / 13$ 24/10 30/23 32/4 32/5 38/3 39/4 41/15 53/19 64/10 66/19 66/24 71/2 81/24 91/18 97/4 125/5 146/9 147/17 188/12 207/6 207/18 sensitive [2] 83/23 103/13
sensitivity [1] 101/22
sent [6] 28/8 69/25 71/16 73/5 82/3 101/21
series [6] 36/17
104/5 118/17 187/1 187/9 205/8
serious [7] 69/3
82/25 83/1 83/20
170/7 172/6 172/11
seriously [1] 83/18
serve [1] 164/4
service [12] $3 / 12$
12/24 13/21 18/9
21/11 22/1 22/13
28/23 33/18 39/2 113/14 156/21
services [32] 3/8
3/23 4/4 4/5 4/5 4/7 4/10 4/19 8/6 8/9 8/11 8/13 8/15 8/21 9/4 11/22 18/20 18/23 19/1 21/4 21/24 61/17 61/23 63/7 63/10 70/4 78/23 141/8 179/1
181/10 188/3 190/15
set [23] $5 / 19$ 14/23
15/22 16/14 16/19
22/20 37/22 48/8 61/1
68/15 125/12 128/8
133/5 134/18 145/15
150/7 150/11 150/13
150/14 161/3 161/12
196/11 204/2
sets [10] 40/10 40/11
40/12 47/10 48/12
71/21 73/8 73/9 102/2 154/14
setting [7] 27/15 46/5
46/11 46/25 48/3
71/18 82/24
settings [2] 106/4
163/8
seven [2] 133/15
144/6
seven days [2]
133/15 144/6
severe [3] 140/19
175/4 196/14
shall [1] 120/16
shape [3] 136/22
137/4 196/15

102/25 111/19 144/15 146/4 146/11
sharing [5] 2/10 5/15
7/19 17/14 99/2
sharp [2] 137/18 139/17
sharpening [1] 63/5
sharper [1] 58/1
sharply [1] 137/23
$\begin{array}{ll}168 / 6168 / 13168 / 18 & \text { sharply [1] } 13 / 23 / 16178 / 10 \\ \text { she [12] } 33 / 433 / 6\end{array}$
201/10 203/12 203/19 33/14 33/15 35/12
204/2 204/13 205/6 44/22 45/1 45/5 45/6
205/8 205/19 205/23 119/10 152/11 187/15
206/2 207/25 208/5 she's [2] 33/4 33/16
sequel [1] 147/20 sheer [2] 12/1 98/4
shared [7] 25/15 97/6 significant [9] 79/8

## S

significant... [8]
129/2 157/4 195/17
196/22 198/22 199/7
204/21 205/16
significantly [4]
124/15 139/22 150/20 199/18
signing [1] 146/6 silver [5] 22/20 22/22
25/4 70/10 70/11
similar [9] 15/10
64/10 92/11 142/10
143/7 144/25 145/16
151/25 190/19
similarly [1] 41/11
simplistic [1] 201/2
since [1] 15/14
single [14] 93/23
94/2 94/3 94/4 94/9
110/8 110/21 110/22
139/8 143/5 143/10
143/16 145/4 145/14
sinister [1] 29/19
Sir [6] 23/25 29/5
31/14 32/24 113/16
125/25
Sir Chris Whitty [1] 32/24
Sir David Sterling [2] 29/5 113/16
Sir Patrick Vallance
[1] 125/25
Sir Richard [1] 31/14
sit [1] 74/13
sits [2] 33/4 70/3
sitting [1] 190/20
situation [10] 13/10
30/11 37/24 49/16
50/11 54/6 172/10
172/12 182/24 196/2
six [6] 146/19 181/13 181/22 182/1 183/2 190/23
six weeks [3] 181/22
183/2 190/23
size [2] 136/24
156/17
slide [2] 136/12 136/12
slides [1] 136/9
slightly [11] $2 / 25$
11/21 14/4 14/16
59/19 164/13 167/10
167/14 173/22 188/8 200/23
slippage [1] 205/18 slower [1] 174/14
small [8] 91/16 91/17
94/12 94/12 94/17 119/13 134/9 188/7
smooth [1] 9/24
so [316]
social [42] $3 / 83 / 13$ 3/23 3/24 4/1 4/3 4/4 4/4 4/5 4/7 4/9 4/10 4/11 4/12 4/18 8/10 8/21 9/2 9/11 9/24
10/7 11/11 20/2 20/8 20/11 20/15 22/23 22/25 23/10 24/25 38/25 61/17 61/23 63/7 63/10 70/4 70/6 116/7 122/12 122/24 163/8 199/10
social care [3] 3/23 4/3 9/2
socialise [1] 166/7 societal [2] 165/3 174/25
society [5] 44/3
55/14 183/13 202/1 206/15
socioeconomic [3] 150/24 151/2 180/24
softly [1] 3/3
solution [4] 88/13 97/18 118/17 119/23 some [88] 2/9 6/12 6/18 11/15 14/3 14/15 sort [16] 3/16 5/20 15/3 17/2 17/3 17/8 19/18 19/18 26/24 33/9 43/16 43/18 46/5 47/11 58/9 58/12 60/6 62/20 64/11 73/8 80/3 82/4 82/10 82/16 82/18 90/2 92/2 96/12 98/12 99/11 101/15 101/22 102/24 103/18 105/2 109/12 109/23 113/1 119/9 119/11 119/21 121/13 124/18 127/3 127/4 130/17 131/15 131/18 136/7 137/7 142/6 142/11 149/8 149/11 155/2 155/23 158/18 160/5 162/23 165/8 168/9 169/10 169/13 176/11 177/7 179/22 180/19 182/5 188/2 190/7 195/9 195/13 196/14 197/5 197/17 199/3 199/5 199/6 201/23 203/2 203/14 205/4 209/11 209/24
someone [6] 50/24
73/11 73/17 77/10
130/12 131/8
something [30] 7/1
10/6 27/6 28/15 31/17 39/11 61/17 69/16 69/23 70/1 71/12 86/8 98/1 99/14 100/12 115/7 132/14 134/15 138/17 143/15 145/10 special [3] 37/2 147/4 152/15 162/7 9/21 10/11 10/13 53/23 102/21 146/9 148/4 162/18 169/23 188/7 188/7
somewhat [1] 142/5 somewhere [1] 162/20
soon [1] 171/10
sooner [1] 71/13
sorry [42] 2/24 2/25 3/2 5/4 13/19 23/1 30/22 35/10 40/24 46/14 46/17 46/18 46/24 60/13 63/7 71/16 73/3 82/25 87/13 91/8 95/6 99/8 101/5 103/15 108/7 111/5 112/20 127/25 133/10 136/19 155/23 168/15 168/16 168/18 185/19 192/9 193/1 196/11 203/21
sort [16] 3/16 5/20
$28 / 2030 / 2453 / 19$ 56/2 58/25 78/15 78/22 87/18 92/25 93/18 134/18 141/23 149/11 186/11
sort of [12] 5/20
28/20 30/24 53/19 58/25 78/15 78/22 87/18 92/25 134/18 149/11 186/11
sorts [3] 69/1 144/9 157/3
sought [1] 177/13
sounds [2] 133/21 178/14
source [3] 38/4 110/2 161/16
sources [3] 127/2 129/15 132/21
South [6] 93/9 94/5 94/13 145/13 145/17 150/6
space [9] 9/21 10/12
10/13 21/7 31/6 68/10 68/10 74/4 92/23
spaces [1] 104/15
spanned [1] 2/9
spare [1] 104/10
speak [10] 53/1
62/18 64/3 65/16
71/10 94/23 95/6 131/18 147/6 147/8
speaking [6] 34/18
38/7 45/5 112/4 112/5 184/12

68/13 82/23

174/20 175/12 178/7 specialisation [2] 179/3 190/18 201/7 122/7 123/7
sometimes [12] 7/13 specialist [3] 8/15 $175 / 20177 / 23179 / 20$ spending [2] 63/5

74/17 110/9
specific [10] 11/13
57/13 61/8 69/5 90/22
97/2 113/7 123/22
171/2 171/4
specifically [15]
25/21 28/18 58/13
60/15 70/12 80/23
113/16 114/6 114/8 115/7 115/23 123/24 128/2 142/22 154/11 stand-up [2] 36/15 specification [1] 76/9 38/9
specifics [3] 21/23 55/19 88/1
spectacularly [1] 10/1
speed [3] 96/20
96/24 133/16
spend [2] $12 / 20$
12/21
64/13
spheres [1] 199/7
SPI [2] 145/5 208/6
SPI-M [2] 145/5
208/6
spike [1] 136/19
spite [1] 119/15
spoke [1] 59/7
spoken [1] 3/3
sponsor [2] 24/20 85/17
spread [5] 37/16
37/18 47/4 141/6 163/10
spring [1] 19/14
square [1] 201/16
staff [12] 19/16 20/3
20/8 20/15 39/10 88/8
88/9 106/14 107/23
115/12 156/9 157/13
stage [70] 8/3 13/25
17/5 18/22 26/6 27/21
28/1 31/10 31/12 32/3
32/11 33/14 34/21
35/6 38/21 39/17
43/25 44/9 44/10 44/16 47/5 50/10 50/10 50/12 52/15 56/13 56/14 58/5 58/23 59/1 60/15 64/1 66/25 67/14 69/12 69/15 70/5 72/5 72/13 72/16 78/15 79/10 106/7 126/4 126/8 127/5 127/7 127/10 128/11 130/4 138/3 140/9 140/22 159/6 159/11 160/12 164/8 165/15 168/23 172/8 173/2 173/7 173/17

174/4 178/19 183/25 193/24 194/8 199/3 204/18
stages [6] 44/5 72/25
79/23 96/13 111/25 129/6
stakeholder [1] 48/24
stakeholders [1]
115/23
stand [8] 23/8 35/19
36/9 36/15 38/9 38/14
42/9 42/10
standard [2] 81/18 154/15
standardised [1] 81/5
standards [1] 112/3
standing [3] 11/17
25/3 103/20
start [6] 21/21 50/22
121/12 137/21 176/17
200/8
started [6] 30/3
65/25 78/16 124/10 162/12 162/13
starting [4] 30/17
47/22 109/25 136/10
starts [3] 137/23
138/5 203/13
state [12] 19/15
20/11 38/21 41/4
43/11 43/24 44/7 45/3
45/7 77/6 118/24
206/5
stated [5] 86/5
106/24 110/6 116/21 162/6
statement [38] 1/13
1/15 1/18 5/4 13/8
13/15 13/23 14/8
14/24 15/22 17/12
22/19 67/20 110/6
118/24 121/5 121/8
122/18 124/1 125/13
128/8 132/5 134/24
152/7 152/16 154/14
171/7 171/9 171/11
174/5 180/7 203/17
204/10 204/11 204/13
205/14 205/20 209/21
statements [1] 83/4
states [2] 86/12

## 86/15

stating [1] $84 / 3$
statistic [1] 151/16
statistical [2] 85/5
109/8
statistics [8] 14/23
15/12 15/13 85/6
136/7 151/10 151/14
204/5
status [4] 113/8
113/24 132/3 132/4
statutory [2] $2 / 17$ 2/19
stay [9] 26/12 52/12 71/16 142/15 173/24 192/15 193/3 198/3 199/12
stay-at-home [4] 192/15 193/3 198/3 199/12
stayed [1] 85/23
staying [2] 69/20 153/17
steadily [1] 13/13
step [5] 18/6 100/23 129/10 141/7 178/2 stepped [4] 66/21 85/16 86/1 129/5 stepping [1] 129/12 steps [2] 194/14 196/6
Sterling [2] 29/5 113/16
stick [2] 55/3 143/19 sticking [1] 165/9 still [24] 10/15 20/4 44/10 49/18 50/23 59/17 71/22 73/23 89/13 90/18 91/13 98/17 109/4 137/8 140/23 147/21 149/13 173/7 184/2 190/16 192/16 192/17 200/19 205/10
stocktake [1] 37/5
stood [8] 14/2 38/10 50/15 54/16 55/8 55/11 89/25 209/15
stop [5] 30/1 99/7
109/11 152/14 175/9 stopped [3] 128/13 162/14 162/22
straightforward [1] 62/4
strain [1] 179/19
strains [1] 38/25
strategic [5] 114/2
114/17 115/17 133/8 152/13
strategically [2] 115/9 115/25 strategy [10] 16/8 16/9 16/24 17/1 106/23 112/19 112/25 113/2 114/25 115/2
streams [1] 153/17
Street [1] 28/8
stresses [1] 38/25
stressing [1] 182/13
stretched [2] 58/3 60/3
striking [2] 118/3 149/4
stringent [2] 206/9 208/12
stroke [2] 17/4 17/6
strong [3] 140/19 142/11 181/21
strongly [1] 81/15 structure [9] 18/19 23/20 24/15 24/17 35/2 52/10 69/5 134/2 134/18
structures [8] 52/6 61/2 63/20 64/17 67/24 69/2 69/21 133/23
struggle [2] 96/21 97/10
struggled [2] 11/2 96/11
STUART [3] 120/24 121/3 211/10
stunned [1] 84/19 subgroup [1] 70/11 subject [4] 2/21 7/21 24/3 90/20
subjective [2] 14/3 14/17
suboptimal [1] 18/19 subsequent [7] 71/19 72/20 74/22 75/20 77/14 77/21 109/8
subsequently [4] 2/4 77/24 87/20 103/5 substantial [2] 159/14 188/8
substantive [3] 90/17 91/4 91/9
substitute [1] 135/17 successful [1] 10/1 such [15] 63/24
65/21 67/13 68/18 91/2 91/23 97/15 110/2 141/6 144/11 151/22 169/24 175/10 186/1 204/4
sufficient [3] 95/7
95/18 111/20
sufficiently [2]
148/13 151/17
suggest [9] 48/15
50/7 77/7 81/14 101/7 sustained [1] 190/22 115/25 154/20 186/18 Swann [10] 5/2 42/14 189/1
suggested [11] 149/5 149/7 149/11 158/15 169/12 180/2 187/15 188/9 190/5
190/24 202/13
suggesting [6] 51/6 57/18 101/2 159/9 179/13 187/2
suggestion [10] 42/6

100/24 102/5 131/8 symptomatic [4] 155/22 155/22 157/20 $27 / 1$ 32/4 106/14 166/19 176/18 179/23 163/7
suggestions [1] symptoms [5] 32/6 188/2
suggests [4] 77/12
137/14 158/10 197/13
summarise [3] 10/21
101/13 142/20
summarises [1]
62/23
summarising [1] 10/19
summary [2] 63/2 133/2
summer [2] 159/4 160/1
sums [1] 64/13
Sunday [2] 98/24
99/3
support [2] 50/5
177/14
supported [1] 207/10
supporting [1] 154/5
suppose [3] 104/14
147/20 152/14
sure [31] $8 / 11$ 13/16
33/14 58/4 60/14 73/1
$74 / 374 / 776 / 21$ 87/13
98/13 99/13 101/6 101/12 104/4 105/21 124/1 139/25 141/9 147/6 148/12 148/19 151/20 152/6 153/1 154/9 158/2 165/12 171/9 208/22 209/25
surface [1] 103/9
surge [14] 25/9 31/19
31/21 47/14 47/15
69/22 70/9 70/11
70/12 70/23 71/12
75/13 77/18 104/7
surprising [4] 15/13
51/1 67/12 114/13
surveillance [4]
78/21 79/5 79/6 83/12
suspect [5] 6/17 38/3
71/10 134/9 134/20
suspended [2] 2/11
5/15
suspension [2] 6/25 15/21

43/14 43/17 77/24
83/3 97/18 97/25 101/21 188/1
Swann's [1] 82/23
swept [1] 91/10
swiftly [1] 75/3
sworn [2] 1/6 211/3
sympathise [1]
111/22
sympathy [1] 110/18

74/25 78/24 79/8 153/24
synthesise [3]
132/20 133/1 133/16
synthesising [1] 132/12
system [37] 3/13
8/25 10/2 10/5 10/8 10/17 11/1 11/8 11/19 12/15 12/16 18/17
18/17 18/18 18/19
19/9 19/14 19/16
19/17 19/21 20/8
20/24 22/9 22/24
27/17 39/5 72/19
79/12 117/25 148/7
153/24 170/1 172/14
173/11 179/9 179/19
207/18
T
tabled [1] 206/3
tail [1] 20/17
tail end [1] 20/17
take [51] $5 / 176 / 6$ 7/12 8/3 9/11 11/10 $14 / 1116 / 2517 / 117 / 5$
17/24 18/6 22/19 25/4
35/21 39/8 61/17 62/1 63/6 63/10 67/9 72/25 94/13 95/22 100/12 103/22 103/25 106/20 107/19 108/25 113/23 128/22 129/6 130/6 130/9 132/7 136/8 141/4 144/2 151/8 157/15 160/24 178/5 178/12 178/13 182/2 187/1 191/2 191/10 194/6 196/15
taken [36] 5/17 6/16 16/9 17/2 21/16 23/9 31/14 33/14 54/15 65/10 70/16 72/17 75/21 90/14 90/19 91/5 103/21 119/17 128/10 129/3 134/5 141/7 157/2 161/22 183/1 183/4 183/9 185/12 185/13 186/1 187/3 194/1 194/14 196/6 207/7 209/8
takes [1] 159/24
taking [15] 6/11 7/7
7/7 7/14 52/23 83/17 83/25 102/14 112/14 114/9 141/16 165/6 183/13 187/4 207/5
talk [2] 127/15
169/23
talked [2] 38/24 96/12
talking [8] 56/8 65/4 83/16 113/18 118/11 127/19 127/20 127/23
talks [1] 7/23
tangible [1] 11/3
targets [5] 13/22
13/25 14/16 21/10 21/10
task [2] 156/20 165/5
team [1] 23/17
teams [1] 64/4
technical [2] 93/17
186/1
Technically [1]
122/16
tell [3] 57/19 110/16 178/3
telling [2] 100/16 172/11
tells [1] 178/15
temperature [2] 19/9
99/24
ten [8] 16/8 117/1
138/21 138/24 179/1
179/14 179/15 200/14
ten days [6] 138/21
138/24 179/1 179/14
179/15 200/14
ten minutes [1]
117/1
tend [3] 9/15 48/15
150/22
tended [2] 138/24
163/7
tending [1] 66/3
tension [2] 96/6 96/9
tensions [1] 120/2
tenure [2] $2 / 819 / 12$
TEO [11] 34/23 35/7
38/10 43/16 43/22
43/23 45/8 50/8 52/14
61/1 178/15
term [7] 69/16 164/25
176/1 181/25 188/15 199/5 208/14
terms [187] 2/13 4/14
6/21 7/16 11/3 11/22
12/10 13/16 14/1
14/11 15/19 15/20
16/1 19/6 20/18 21/1
23/15 23/21 25/20
31/19 31/21 31/23
35/21 36/1 36/4 39/2
39/19 39/24 39/25
41/17 42/7 43/1 44/19
50/1 50/2 50/14 50/19
51/7 52/15 53/1 54/9
55/22 57/9 61/9 62/16
65/8 68/25 69/9 70/23
74/4 78/6 78/20 78/21
79/1 79/15 79/22 80/3
81/20 82/17 84/8

## $T$

terms... [127] 84/23 85/22 87/11 87/22 88/6 88/14 88/16 89/6 89/11 89/16 90/1 90/7 92/11 97/2 97/9 98/10 98/22 101/15 101/23 102/1 105/19 106/20 107/11 108/12 110/25 114/10 120/1 123/7 124/21 126/10 130/14 131/8 131/17 131/22 133/8 133/25 134/24 135/21 137/4 138/1 138/2 139/4 139/25 141/20 142/15 143/22 144/1 144/5 144/9 145/18 145/22 146/13 146/24 148/4 148/18 149/3 149/21 150/3 151/5 151/10 151/14 151/15 152/1 152/2 152/24 153/14 153/19 154/18 154/20 158/6 158/10 158/17 160/10 161/16 161/21 162/24 165/6 166/10 166/13 168/3 168/24 169/8 170/10 170/20 172/10 173/9 173/21 174/25 175/6 175/12 177/10 177/15 177/17 178/8 179/17 180/4 180/14 181/8 181/16 182/6 185/15 186/1 186/4 186/6 186/17 186/18 187/22 188/8 188/10 188/15 190/13 190/19 193/14 193/21 193/22 195/15 196/5 196/6 198/14 199/16 202/3 202/19 206/10 207/11 207/14 208/9 208/14
territory [1] 94/12
test [17] 6/3 6/8 7/5
79/2 85/21 89/11 89/16 90/18 91/6 91/12 91/22 108/19 109/4 116/15 128/12 153/10 154/8
tested [10] 74/9 106/25 107/8 107/15 107/21 107/25 108/9 108/18 116/14 194/4
testing [49] 78/13
78/16 78/19 78/22
79/9 80/1 83/6 88/6 91/17 91/19 91/20 91/23 93/9 105/19 106/1 106/3 106/6 106/13 106/14 107/11 107/12 109/1 110/14 116/11 116/18 116/23

117/15 118/3 119/4 that [1809] 119/23 141/10 141/17 That was [1] 22/22 141/19 141/21 153/3 153/5 153/5 153/8 153/14 158/18 158/22 159/1 159/11 159/15 159/20 159/22 193/23 193/25 194/7
tests [5] 78/17 78/18 117/19 117/20 209/11 text [2] 28/18 113/13 than [90] 10/20 11/8 14/20 15/4 17/20 19/4 19/11 22/8 30/14 32/10 35/9 44/9 51/17 58/8 58/15 60/5 65/17 66/7 67/7 68/10 69/14 71/12 71/13 75/5 81/6 82/13 82/14 86/25 91/22 94/6 99/24 108/22 109/10 111/13 114/4 117/1 118/23 119/5 123/17 123/22 124/16 126/6 127/8 130/20 133/11 134/2 134/11 135/14 136/1 137/25 139/9 142/10 142/12 145/12 148/5 149/9 150/18 150/20 150/25 155/10 156/3 156/12 159/15 160/20 162/3 165/24 171/1 172/11 173/25 176/18 180/11 180/19 182/20 183/2 183/6 185/7 186/8 187/15 190/4 190/12 194/21 197/9 197/15 197/23 197/24 197/25 198/16 199/13 199/18 207/21
than 1 [1] 162/3
thank [36] 1/9 1/21
2/7 3/5 45/16 55/2 73/4 82/20 116/3 120/3 120/5 120/6 120/7 120/12 120/14 121/11 128/5 128/6 140/2 142/14 167/6 167/16 167/21 186/23 192/19 201/15 203/6 203/7 208/17 208/18 208/19 208/21 208/23 208/25 209/4 210/2 thank you [31] 1/9 1/21 3/5 45/16 55/2 73/4 82/20 116/3 120/6 120/7 120/12 120/14 121/11 128/5 128/6 140/2 142/14 167/6 167/16 167/21 186/23 192/19 201/15 203/6 203/7 208/17 208/18 208/21 208/23 208/25 209/4
that's [140] $1 / 172 / 12$ 3/10 4/20 5/11 8/19 10/6 10/22 11/4 12/6 13/1 14/25 15/15 21/20 22/5 22/10 23/2 23/6 23/19 23/23 24/16 25/25 30/8 33/8 36/23 37/5 39/6 42/16 44/13 46/10 47/9 47/13 49/4 50/12 50/15 50/16 51/19 51/22 52/11 53/8 54/8 54/19 57/1 57/4 60/17 62/17 63/18 64/25 67/6 67/15 68/16 70/15 71/8 71/13 73/19 75/2 79/21 83/7 83/14 83/19 85/4 86/16 88/2 92/12 92/22 93/20 100/18 103/4 106/6 106/17 107/5 107/17 108/3 108/5 109/3 111/22 112/17 112/18 114/8 114/20 115/13 123/24 124/25 125/15 125/19 126/5 127/14 128/1 128/15 128/22 129/1 132/10 132/16 133/10 135/4 138/11 142/2 142/14 143/8 143/24 145/3 149/2 149/20 150/12 150/13 152/7 152/13 153/3 156/19 156/25 157/5 159/8 161/25 163/4 163/24 165/19 166/23 167/7 167/10 168/23 170/10 171/10 179/12 181/15 181/15 184/18 189/1 189/9 191/17 193/20 193/20 193/21 200/14 201/19 202/5 202/18 205/2 207/12 208/15 209/8
that: [1] 156/16
that: if [1] 156/16
their [20] 26/21 30/6 41/24 43/23 45/9 47/21 63/6 63/16 64/2 96/4 105/20 111/15 116/16 119/3 127/4 127/21 144/17 148/7 173/24 180/5
them [34] 15/7 20/22 26/24 26/25 27/4 85/15 87/11 96/6 108/2 108/9 118/14

39/15 41/24 43/6 60/4 thereafter [3] 18/10 60/15 61/2 64/8 74/10 139/7 184/15 77/21 84/8 85/14 therefore [4] 113/20 104/20 105/11 105/12 these [22] 35/19 44/5

134/16 138/7 147/10 155/12 172/6 172/11 188/18 197/5 207/1
theme [3] 82/9 82/12 84/16
themselves [4] 27/2 32/8 84/24 199/24
then [107] $2 / 12 / 42 / 8$ 3/6 4/3 4/21 6/5 6/22 8/17 8/20 9/4 11/22 13/13 15/12 15/18
15/20 16/6 16/9 16/11 17/23 19/13 21/2 21/16 22/16 24/17 25/3 25/15 26/21 29/20 33/24 42/21 44/21 44/22 48/12 50/22 52/2 62/15 63/4 63/15 64/15 66/20 73/4 76/2 81/9 83/15 85/6 85/20 88/9 88/11 95/7 95/22 98/22 100/4 102/4 103/2 116/17 122/15 124/12 125/11 127/10 128/7 133/2 136/6 137/14 137/20 137/20 138/14 138/23 138/24 139/5 139/22 154/8 156/1 157/1 158/19 158/20 159/14 159/15 159/25 160/1 161/9 168/2 170/12 170/21 170/23 176/1 182/12 183/20 184/23 188/19 189/16 190/8 190/14 191/6 191/22 192/1 192/20
193/16 194/21 194/22
196/7 196/8 198/10
200/11 200/17 201/3
208/16
theory [1] 10/5
there [341]
there's [43] 10/7
15/16 15/25 15/25 17/11 18/16 18/17 24/19 32/1 32/17 37/3 37/7 39/14 45/11 50/21 51/8 53/19 54/4 57/23 58/17 58/20 61/15 61/18 63/14 73/13 85/18 90/10 94/7 94/10 98/23 105/14 107/23 109/8 119/7 145/24 146/19 150/11 150/23 151/9 182/17 196/9 196/17 202/7 141/18 143/13 190/25 50/13 57/13 57/14

57/16 57/20 61/5 69/1 70/8 74/15 74/20 82/17 83/17 92/10 102/19 119/6 119/24 131/10 168/2 176/9 192/23
they [77] 14/6 15/5 19/17 20/6 20/9 20/10 26/9 26/9 26/17 26/17 26/17 27/2 32/8 33/12 36/5 36/7 41/5 41/7 43/10 47/21 61/3 61/20 62/5 63/1 70/8 70/10 72/18 73/11 76/13 88/12 89/10 91/17 91/21 92/8 92/9 93/18 94/1 102/3 103/18 108/8 110/24 112/6 117/19 119/2 126/14 126/25 127/2 131/19 131/21 135/7 144/17 145/8 148/6 149/11 155/8 156/11 157/18 157/20 157/21 158/2 158/3 163/7 165/12 166/1 167/4 167/5 177/8 178/4 182/10 184/14 184/15 185/23 189/22 198/15 199/25 210/1 210/1 they'd [1] 12/21 they're [8] 33/10 52/9 52/12 60/24 62/22 63/2 118/13 138/5 they've [1] 149/10 thing [3] 3/4 130/3 175/8
things [17] $8 / 17$
39/14 101/22 102/11 114/11 128/10 130/1 130/5 142/24 145/25 150/5 159/18 159/20
163/12 178/18 190/13 199/10
think [541]
thinking [6] 56/5 59/2
59/3 68/20 72/16
171/10
third [4] 34/11 80/17
123/5 191/16
thirst [1] 96/10
this [304]
those [76] 4/14 7/24
15/13 15/20 16/2 22/12 23/12 25/2 28/21 34/3 36/10 40/5 40/16 47/25 51/7 55/6 55/10 59/14 59/25 60/16 62/9 63/5 67/7 68/23 70/10 79/22
84/23 93/16 93/17 95/4 95/22 96/14 97/18 104/6 109/4 112/9 114/14 118/19
(84) terms... - those
throwing [1] 79/15 thumb [3] 6/9 7/8 59/16
thus [1] 102/12
time [146] 2/10 5/6 6/1 7/20 11/16 12/4 12/11 14/24 19/19 21/8 23/8 25/14 27/11 27/19 28/7 28/20 29/15 31/15 31/18 34/16 35/14 36/1 36/3 36/15 39/9 44/25 45/1 45/8 46/1 49/14 50/24 51/2 51/15 52/20 53/7 53/12 54/6 54/17 54/19 55/7 55/15 56/5 58/12 58/21 59/3 59/3 60/5 62/15 63/5 63/6 63/17 63/17 63/18 63/25 64/2 65/11 65/11 65/12 66/9 66/11 66/13 67/6 68/6 68/7 68/8 68/19 69/7 70/25 73/17 74/2 74/9 78/6 80/4 81/17 82/10 82/12 83/21 85/11 92/24 96/7 99/12 99/25 101/22 103/12 108/14 109/2 109/7 111/2 111/3 111/4 111/20 112/11 117/20 Topic 1 [1] 109/20 121/21 124/12 124/12 topics [3] 53/18 92/3 124/14 126/17 129/5 109/19
135/13 136/20 137/16 totality [1] 114/3 137/24 139/1 139/9 touch [3] 8/8 10/2 139/10 139/17 141/9 25/1 141/15 146/3 154/25 155/2 158/1 158/18 158/20 161/11 163/20 164/6 164/14 164/20 169/18 170/4 170/13 171/14 171/17 173/14 towards [7] 20/17 175/10 176/9 182/25 183/8 183/21 184/19 193/17 193/21 194/16 trace [8] 85/21 88/11 195/3 197/8 197/22 198/3 198/24 198/25 200/12 201/23 207/20 208/2 208/6 timeline [1] 12/7 timeliness [1] 96/20 timely [5] 105/7 105/18 116/18 117/10 118/4
times [17] 7/22 10/23 11/2 15/19 60/4 63/22 91/23 99/25 103/9 111/19 112/13 117/19 124/19 161/16 170/2 182/8 182/21
timing [7] 16/21
167/11 167/12 167/13 197/6 206/6 206/17
tired [3] 19/25 20/6

22/12
today [7] 1/5 52/9 57/15 113/5 113/11 120/13 136/3
together [9] 16/7 16/23 39/10 53/11 59/22 62/5 63/11 94/13 124/8
told [5] 29/24 83/2
113/13 117/23 205/3
tolerate [1] 20/7
tomorrow [7] 52/10 57/16 209/6 209/7 209/13 209/15 210/2 too [12] 6/18 9/25 10/13 20/23 21/5 21/6 69/17 82/3 91/17 92/22 175/3 201/2
took [17] 53/9 85/10 85/15 93/18 96/15 100/5 100/10 124/22 133/7 133/11 135/4 146/5 161/13 172/1 179/15 184/5 187/5 top [6] 23/17 29/13 64/7 130/3 156/2 203/24
topic [5] 103/24 109/20 110/2 112/14 116/4
touched [7] 5/12
16/20 56/12 69/23
98/4 105/2 153/1
touchstones [2]
161/22 161/23
towards [7] 20/17 133/17 138/1 153/2

90/18 91/7 91/12
91/22 128/13 189/20
traced [2] 191/21 191/22
tracers [3] 87/3
88/22 158/11
tracing [20] 55/16
86/7 87/24 88/21
89/11 89/17 89/24 153/15 154/8 154/14 154/16 154/18 154/22 155/9 155/25 156/12 156/21 157/20 158/7 158/19
track [1] 13/6
traditionally [1]
195/22
trained [8] 86/6
86/23 87/12 88/22

154/21 157/22 158/11 $116 / 7$
158/15 $\quad$ truth [1] 143/8
training [1] 87/7 $\quad$ try [14] 9/25 39/15
trajectory [7] 28/3
56/6 61/16 142/7 169/21 194/16 194/23
transfer [4] 75/16
75/22 76/14 117/21
transferred [6] 71/23 73/12 74/10 74/11 75/18 76/20
transferring [1] 77/19
transformation [7]
6/17 6/18 15/23 16/8
16/9 16/24 19/22
transient [2] 169/5 169/16
translating [1] 193/16
transmission [41]
103/13 108/22 108/22
109/6 109/10 109/10 141/16 159/9 159/12 162/25 163/6 163/25 164/25 166/11 166/15 166/17 168/4 168/21 168/24 169/3 169/19 169/25 173/16 173/19 174/14 174/16 175/9 175/16 177/16 179/24 180/3 180/18 180/24 181/2 181/16 188/15 194/21 195/18 206/11 207/11 208/15
transparency [3]
10/10 39/12 50/17
transport [1] 48/5
travel [7] 25/21 31/4 31/5 94/14 101/23 143/11 143/18
travelling [3] 30/7 30/15 69/7
treat [1] 77/17
treated [1] 72/19
treating [1] 77/20
treatment [1] 74/24
treatments [1] 173/8
trial [1] 169/11
tried [2] 146/14 169/10
tries [1] 32/2
trigger [3] 52/18 53/25 57/13
triggered [2] 57/10 65/15
tripartite [1] 2/16
true [7] 1/18 20/23 39/1 121/8 208/15
208/15 208/16
trust [2] 9/24 122/13
trusts [9] 3/25 4/9
9/11 11/17 70/13
104/9 104/12 104/18

64/16 82/1 89/2 93/15
156/16 160/3 172/17
174/23 181/6 187/13
190/10 196/12
trying [30] 6/19 18/21 32/7 56/4 57/7 58/1 59/2 63/8 63/9 66/19 69/14 75/10 75/12 88/6 93/9 94/19 101/13 112/9 118/16 134/4 149/12 150/2 153/13 161/24 166/8 169/2 175/7 175/15 176/3 196/5
Tuesday [1] 1/1 turned [1] 27/1 two [50] 12/23 17/11 18/16 22/5 28/9 28/21 29/24 30/10 32/23 34/3 54/2 60/24 63/12 70/8 70/10 71/13
85/19 93/10 100/5 106/15 108/16 108/19 110/25 111/5 112/9 113/15 114/11 114/12 123/1 123/11 145/1 145/25 151/11 161/13 161/19 163/12 175/24 176/1 176/2 183/5 183/6 184/3 185/1 187/16 188/20 194/2 196/9 201/11 201/13 207/20
two days [1] 100/5
two departments [1] 63/12
two months [1]
113/15
two weeks [9]
108/16 108/19 176/1 176/2 183/5 183/6 187/16 201/11 201/13
two weeks' [1] 207/20
two-week [3] 175/24
184/3 196/9
type [2] 85/16 113/19
typical [1] 22/6
typically [2] 7/2
200/14

## U

Uh [1] 117/22
Uh-huh [1] 117/22
UK [32] 13/10 13/21
13/24 14/2 14/22
29/24 41/3 41/10
42/18 43/10 80/25
81/12 89/21 90/14
90/24 109/9 125/25
129/15 134/2 134/12

UK... [12] 135/6
141/1 145/6 145/9
148/24 163/16 169/1
169/10 196/20 197/1
197/18 208/9
UK Government [3]
41/3 42/18 135/6
UK-wide [3] 89/21
90/24 129/15
ultimately [11] 43/20
98/22 99/19 100/22
125/3 143/22 148/10
152/2 168/8 173/1
173/1
ultra [1] 101/11
ultra-cautious [1] 101/11
umbrella [1] 3/22
unaddressed [1] 21/19
uncertain [1] 169/4
uncertainties [2]
130/19 130/20
uncertainty [1]
162/17
uncomfortable [1]
69/18
under [13] 17/13
17/16 21/11 39/1 49/3 82/1 85/6 91/15 96/4 132/19 134/13 156/15 192/10
undermine [2] 51/10 103/3
undermined [1]
103/20
undermining [2] 102/13 103/11
underpin [1] 95/18
underpinned [2]
92/25 96/18
understand [63] 6/19
7/15 10/7 12/12 14/8 15/9 15/18 23/2 27/19 41/21 42/5 46/19 58/20 60/8 60/11 60/18 64/15 64/17 66/14 67/20 70/2 70/22 73/2 75/11 75/12 78/5 78/23 79/25 80/11 80/12 81/9 84/9 87/2 90/2 92/3 93/1 94/19 95/9 95/12 97/7 99/17 110/20 111/22 114/7 114/24 120/9 129/5 131/23 134/4 138/12 140/14 149/12 150/2 152/5 155/3 155/5 168/19 172/18 176/4 176/7 176/8 196/5 196/12
understandable [3] 96/9 96/19 111/18 understandably [1] 163/8
understanding [62] 11/21 25/14 28/16 30/9 31/23 31/24 32/12 32/14 33/25 42/2 46/9 46/10 67/7 72/10 72/21 74/22 75/20 75/21 77/3 77/6 77/14 77/22 80/3 80/24 81/7 82/18 86/12 86/19 87/11 87/14 87/24 88/24 89/7 90/3 90/10 90/11 92/8 92/22 93/6 94/22 95/1 99/20 99/21 99/21 111/16 115/4 126/10 129/10 131/2 145/22 146/6 147/7 148/3 151/5 153/2 154/5 154/17 155/2 155/12 159/21 174/21 197/19
understands [5]
64/19 83/3 91/12 92/19 93/12
understood [24] 8/12 8/24 27/21 30/25 34/1 41/9 42/20 42/21 42/25 44/1 52/23 54/5 58/21 69/3 81/11 93/20 154/24 161/17 162/2 162/17 163/2 177/7 183/10 183/17 undertake [7] 15/23 86/7 88/20 92/20 154/14 154/22 155/25 undertaken [1] 116/15
undertaking [1] 92/18
undertakings [1] 208/23
undertook [2] 17/4 92/9 unequivocal [1] 152/5
unfortunate [2] 186/3 186/16
unfortunately [6]
131/20 138/25 139/21
201/1 201/24 202/14
unhappy [2] 166/23
177/8
unhelpful [1] 146/17
unique [1] 81/6
uniquely [1] 11/1
unit [11] 93/24 94/2
94/3 94/5 94/10 143/3 143/6 143/10 143/16 145/5 145/15
United [5] 11/9 14/13

47/6 133/24 135/1
United Kingdom [5]
11/9 14/13 47/6
133/24 135/1
universities [1]
195/24
University [1] 122/5
unless [5] 35/14 35/19 44/23 142/11 149/1
unlike [1] 3/10
unmitigated [1] 117/9
unpick [2] 39/15 197/17
unsuccessful [1]
190/11
unsurprising [1] 190/8
until [37] 13/11 17/19 35/14 35/19 41/7 44/23 64/21 64/23 65/2 65/25 66/14 67/11 67/13 78/9 78/13 79/19 91/23 100/10 106/16 107/14 125/17 126/19 129/19 133/5 134/6 139/17 153/4 153/6 153/19 153/22 155/6 175/10 204/20 205/15 205/19 207/3 210/4
up [90] 7/6 15/6 20/1
22/20 23/8 25/18
26/11 34/5 34/11
35/11 35/19 36/9
36/15 37/22 38/9
38/10 38/14 42/9 42/10 54/17 55/8 55/11 67/9 68/15 78/21 80/5 87/8 87/22 91/10 95/23 98/6 100/12 103/22 104/12 104/15 106/19 112/14 116/18 117/10 118/3 120/12 124/22 125/17 126/15 129/10 133/5 133/15 134/18 135/4 137/21 139/7 139/16 139/17 144/8 153/10 154/9 155/13 155/17 156/2 156/23 159/14 159/17 164/7 167/24 169/21 171/21 179/20 180/5 184/15 184/24 190/13 190/20 192/16 192/20 193/13 194/23 195/1 195/24 196/21
201/23 201/25 202/5 203/19 205/9 206/12 207/1 207/14 208/7 208/8 209/17
update [1] 157/2
updates [1] 172/9
upon [12] 5/12 52/1 66/12 69/23 107/15 120/9 120/10 127/13 130/7 147/3 151/17 168/11
upper [1] 202/15 upward [2] 194/16 194/23
urgency [7] 70/24
71/2 71/5 110/16
207/6 207/17 207/23
urgent [1] 7/25
urgently [1] 112/8
us [28] 28/21 31/8
34/24 35/22 36/12
36/24 55/24 60/22
95/5 100/10 102/12
110/9 112/12 113/13
115/10 116/1 117/23
138/14 140/12 144/2
159/24 170/17 173/4
173/17 176/11 192/1
194/11 205/3
use [10] 60/4 63/6
63/16 66/3 79/12 81/5
101/6 118/6 181/6
199/4
used [10] 38/4 38/7
56/22 58/17 80/25
116/25 118/22 144/16 183/7 195/8
useful [8] 132/3
144/22 144/24 145/2
145/10 145/21 145/22 145/25
using [3] 14/18 32/21 205/15
utilise [1] 104/9
utilised [2] 110/23 110/24
V
vacancies [4] 20/16
20/20 20/24 22/11
vacant [1] 104/12
vaccination [3] 173/5
173/7 175/11
vague [1] 114/12
vaguely [1] 55/19
Valerie [1] 70/5
valid [1] 149/19
Vallance [2] 125/25 135/10
valuable [3] 64/1
110/2 111/7
value [17] 39/9 52/8
57/12 58/4 58/6 58/14
58/16 58/21 58/25
59/11 59/18 59/24
61/4 61/6 61/9 143/20 162/18
van [3] 80/11 81/9
158/12
varies [1] 136/24
various [4] 7/22 65/9
188/6 195/1
vein [1] 36/17
ventilation [1] 167/3 versions [1] 188/17 versus [3] 28/4 81/20 150/6
very [148] $1 / 152 / 7$
3/6 6/13 8/5 8/8 9/8
10/15 10/22 11/4
11/18 11/18 15/2
17/21 17/21 18/16
19/25 19/25 21/7 22/2
26/11 27/8 27/24
29/15 30/8 32/11 43/9
44/5 44/13 51/1 51/9
52/4 52/7 52/7 53/12
53/20 53/24 55/19
56/20 57/15 60/2
60/24 60/24 63/13
63/13 63/13 73/5
73/18 74/23 75/3 75/4
78/12 79/23 81/23
83/23 84/17 85/14
85/14 87/15 88/25
93/8 93/11 96/8 96/19
97/1 97/2 100/2
102/19 102/19 103/1 107/3 109/1 114/2
114/5 116/22 119/9 120/3 120/5 123/12 123/19 124/3 124/4 124/8 127/5 129/2 129/6 133/14 133/21 134/9 134/16 141/11 141/13 142/11 145/6 145/14 147/17 147/21 149/4 153/3 153/5 156/14 158/14 158/14 159/10 159/14 160/15 162/9 164/23 165/5 165/20 165/20 166/12 169/18 169/25 170/17 173/2 173/8 173/11 173/13 178/14 179/8 182/21 184/19 185/21 190/2 190/9 190/18 190/20 191/1 194/13 195/22 197/1 198/18 198/20 198/20 200/18 201/10 202/11 202/12 202/21 202/24 203/13 203/24 206/22 207/9 208/19 208/20 210/2 via [1] 129/15
vicious [1] 20/19
Victoria [1] 73/14
view [23] 11/10 18/12 26/7 35/24 44/19 45/6 50/15 71/11 81/1 83/24 100/19 116/25 141/3 141/9 141/14 145/20 145/20 148/25 151/24 166/14 171/14
view... [2] 181/24 185/16
viewed [2] 128/3 145/4
views [1] 95/13
virtual [1] 3/16
virtually [1] 19/22
virtue [2] 11/7 200/20 virus [20] 32/12
35/20 44/23 72/13
75/25 79/25 93/24
103/14 141/13 143/3
159/10 165/1 168/4
173/6 173/16 175/9
195/18 206/11 207/12 208/14
visibility [1] 52/15
visiting [2] 10/14 30/3
visitors [4] 25/23
26/16 26/21 30/2
vitally [1] 57/21
voice [1] $2 / 25$
volume [2] 99/23 132/24
voluntary [1] 39/3
vote [6] 185/3 185/11
185/13 186/1 188/20 188/22
voted [2] 185/17 186/11
votes [3] 185/5
185/23 187/9
vulnerable [3] 113/8 113/23 115/21

## W

wait [2] 7/10 30/1
waiting [23] 12/1
12/4 12/9 12/10 12/11 12/13 12/25 13/1 13/6 13/9 13/21 14/4 14/12 14/16 14/25 15/4 15/7 15/19 19/10 21/20 120/22 127/12 161/8 waits [4] 9/17 15/8 15/17 21/8
Wales [5] 128/2
135/9 145/7 148/21 197/16
want [29] 13/15
31/13 32/22 55/3
58/19 66/22 69/16
69/21 71/10 72/24
82/9 92/2 94/23
103/24 105/20 109/19
111/5 127/8 136/6
142/15 159/24 165/19 167/9 187/6 194/12
197/17 201/2 203/11
210/1
wanted [16] 8/8 14/7

14/8 38/13 38/15 $\quad$ wave 2 [3] 139/11 70/15 82/7 100/10 198/2 198/24
121/12 136/15 139/24 wave 3 [1] 159/17 140/4 142/25 167/24 waves [2] 136/23 193/13 193/17
ward [3] 73/10 73/13 73/18
warnings [1] 207/24
was [921]
wasn't [115] 17/15 18/20 19/1 22/22 25/6 26/7 26/12 27/25 31/8 32/18 34/14 38/18 38/20 39/6 39/11 39/12 39/20 40/5 41/6 42/14 45/2 53/18 53/19 54/5 54/11 54/16 55/24 57/3 59/24 60/18 61/10 64/23 65/1 65/5 66/15 66/17 73/20 74/19 137/9
way [42] 3/9 3/10 6/12 10/21 20/9 26/21 52/5 60/2 60/19 75/25 76/25 82/6 84/19 93/12 94/1 96/1 96/5 98/15 100/3 103/3 108/22 114/13 122/3 142/10 142/14 143/3 143/7 148/14 148/21 151/25 159/24 161/10 weeks' [1] 207/20 165/4 168/18 169/7 173/15 174/5 178/5 182/23 191/3 195/7 198/15
ways [7] 111/10 145/15 146/17 175/13 74/21 75/24 76/7 77/8 188/7 188/8 209/20 77/16 78/8 78/22 we [469]
80/22 82/6 82/11 83/8 We haven't [1] 5/12 83/11 83/21 83/22 84/7 87/1 88/4 88/14 90/19 90/20 91/9 92/25 94/2 94/20 94/21 97/6 97/25 98/25 100/9 101/2 103/15 106/16 107/13 107/14 108/24 110/21 114/9 114/21 114/25 115/25 119/23 126/7 128/12 129/4 129/8 129/9 129/19 130/24 132/11 133/5 134/5 137/16 139/18 143/22 143/24 147/9 147/16 153/18 153/21 153/23 155/3 158/1 159/8 164/17 166/6 166/10 170/4 180/8 180/8 182/25 183/8 184/13 188/20 188/24 198/3 198/5 198/5
water [1] 66/4
Watts [1] 70/3 wave [33] 16/14 136/18 137/18 139/6 139/9 139/9 139/9 139/11 140/7 153/11 158/23 159/16 159/17 160/13 160/19 160/22 164/1 183/7 192/15 196/15 197/20 197/24 197/25 198/2 198/6 198/15 198/17 198/23 198/24 199/13 199/13 199/23 205/6
wave 1 [9] 159/16 183/7 192/15 197/25 198/6 198/15 198/17
198/23 205/6

196/9 200/4 200/16 week's [2] 146/3 191/6
weekend [1] 179/7 weekends [1] 64/6 weeks [25] 2/4 8/1 8/2 44/10 46/18 67/19 75/7 108/16 108/19 161/13 161/20 176/1 176/2 181/22 181/22 183/2 183/5 183/6 183/18 187/16 190/23 194/18 200/10 201/11 201/13
weighed [3] 7/6 201/25 206/11
weight [1] $175 / 3$
well [98] $3 / 83 / 234 / 5$ 4/19 4/23 6/23 8/18
8/23 12/5 14/7 15/14 20/5 20/15 21/19 22/10 24/6 29/11 30/1 31/5 31/18 34/1 39/19 39/23 41/23 48/9 48/12 52/24 57/2 65/4 66/17 68/15 77/23 78/14 81/13 85/21 86/5 88/1 99/16 101/25 102/7 102/9 103/22 104/20 111/16 112/3 112/14 113/10 113/21 114/2 114/11 115/13 118/20 118/22 119/7 122/5 123/12 123/21 124/2 126/20 127/18 128/11 128/20 132/15 132/19 132/21 134/8 143/8 145/14 146/4 148/8 153/5 153/20 153/23 154/17 155/8 155/11 158/9 165/10 174/13 176/13 176/13 178/10 178/17 182/3 183/20 184/5 185/12 185/19 185/21 187/5 188/10 188/20 193/8 195/5 195/9 197/6 198/10 207/8 went [9] 26/8 67/20 100/6 125/13 159/14 162/10 191/22 196/17 204/16
were [309]
weren't [26] 12/9 17/18 25/5 35/5 44/15 44/17 49/24 50/5 52/16 62/10 75/9 76/9 76/10 77/19 93/14 97/3 111/4 117/20 120/20 130/8 135/22 141/16 178/3 184/17 187/2 194/3
what [157] 2/14 3/12

3/24 4/8 4/22 5/4 6/5 6/19 12/13 13/1 13/14 13/15 15/21 16/18 17/6 18/15 21/13 24/17 25/7 26/7 26/24 32/2 32/4 35/3 35/12 35/24 36/6 36/13 39/8 41/21 42/7 42/11 43/1 43/6 44/2 45/1 46/25 47/17 49/24 50/25 51/1 51/22 52/2 52/15 52/17 52/20 52/23 53/17 54/13 57/18 58/21 60/20 60/21 60/22 64/17 75/12 81/22 81/23 83/1 86/14 86/15 86/16 87/23 87/25 88/4 92/12 93/15 95/1 96/8 96/21 98/20 99/9 101/19 102/1 102/4 103/16 105/25 110/10 111/8 113/2 119/2 119/5 122/18 124/15 125/16 127/2 129/25 131/11 131/14 132/5 132/8 133/10 134/6 137/14 138/12 138/14 139/4 140/2 140/16
142/2 144/3 145/23 146/2 146/11 146/12 146/14 146/21 150/2 151/23 152/17 154/24 157/5 160/1 160/10 161/8 161/14 161/18 162/1 164/1 164/20 168/8 171/13 172/20 173/23 174/13 175/19 176/21 177/7 178/3 178/8 181/8 184/23 188/8 188/13 189/1 189/12 189/16 189/16 190/24 191/19 192/10 192/14 193/14 193/21 194/25 195/11 196/23 197/19 201/9 202/13 202/18 202/19 202/24 205/2 205/22 206/7 206/7
what we [1] 202/13 what's [7] 9/14 12/17 46/12 72/22 97/10 132/11 146/1
whatever [1] 18/18
WhatsApp [1] 28/25
whatsoever [1] 159/6
when [88] 2/10 2/22
8/25 9/6 10/18 11/6
21/22 21/23 26/23
29/23 31/16 32/2 32/8
44/22 50/3 50/16 52/5
55/11 56/4 56/9 57/10
58/2 58/11 58/12 59/6
59/7 60/2 66/24 67/16
when... [59] 68/7
68/15 69/3 76/5 77/24 81/24 84/7 84/23
84/24 86/10 87/10
89/23 94/13 96/14
98/13 101/22 103/12
111/19 113/17 119/17
124/10 124/10 126/25 127/19 129/21 132/15 133/7 137/23 139/5 140/1 140/6 140/14 140/24 141/2 144/16 144/17 147/24 150/15 157/3 157/25 160/9 160/20 160/22 162/2 162/5 162/19 166/8 166/18 170/20 170/20 174/2 177/19 187/8 190/1 191/4 193/2 194/1 200/4 205/5 whenever [5] 56/16 123/14 126/14 133/12 140/18
where [44] 3/11 7/23 9/1 9/15 9/18 10/7 12/18 30/2 31/24 36/5 41/10 52/8 56/14 83/16 85/18 97/3 102/2 104/18 104/25 106/4 106/8 107/23 126/22 128/3 144/25 156/8 157/17 167/3 168/21 172/13 176/16 177/16 179/7 179/9 179/22 181/9 188/9 190/13 191/6 193/12 200/15 203/13 207/12 208/9
whether [37] 4/2 7/16 7/19 14/11 30/5 33/10 33/14 34/23 38/10 43/20 45/6 59/11 74/16 76/13 76/20 88/19 88/21 90/17 91/4 91/6 91/21 92/1 97/12 97/17 112/14 136/25 140/4 140/23 149/18 161/9 184/1 186/11 186/13 187/19 198/12 199/9 208/22
which [124] 6/23 8/10 10/2 14/9 16/8 16/9 17/5 17/19 17/23 18/8 18/13 20/25 24/25 27/18 28/12 36/7 36/25 44/12 45/15 51/17 52/22 53/22 54/4 54/15 56/24 59/21 61/18 69/22 69/24 72/12 74/12 80/18 82/4 87/20 88/10 90/12

90/24 91/2 95/24 96/23 96/24 101/4 107/11 110/3 112/2 112/19 112/21 113/15 116/8 121/5 122/16 122/25 123/3 128/3 128/3 130/5 130/5 130/13 130/20 131/16 137/11 138/5 138/17 140/13 141/4 141/12 145/5 146/5 146/7 148/6 148/8 149/15 150/11 150/15 151/17 151/20 151/21 151/23 154/4 156/20 160/1 160/15 161/3 161/4 161/17 164/4 165/23 166/17 169/14 173/3 174/11 175/1 175/4 175/5 176/24 177/6 177/10 177/11 178/24 180/3 181/12 182/23 183/7 187/17 190/23 192/10 193/25 196/17 196/17 196/19 197/3 200/18 200/22 202/10 203/4 204/2 204/10 204/15 206/13 206/18 206/20 207/15 208/1 208/5
while [7] 16/12 98/16 112/17 162/14 162/22 164/24 190/3
whilst [4] 72/19
88/24 118/17 182/13 white [1] 63/19 Whitty [3] 23/25 28/8 32/24
who [48] 12/24 $27 / 4$
33/4 42/17 45/17 48/9 49/5 49/6 55/11 55/13 56/11 57/1 59/13 70/3 70/3 73/17 74/1 76/11 78/23 80/1 82/23 88/23 89/7 104/6 105/19 108/15 118/12 125/25 127/20 127/21 130/24 131/21 131/22 131/24 132/12 135/13 141/22 147/12 154/13 155/20 158/15 163/1 172/22 173/12 175/6 195/20 199/7 209/9 whole [9] 8/25 57/5 57/8 57/11 60/9 78/8 94/7 143/2 181/13 wholesale [1] 188/4 whom [3] 33/9 202/11 209/23
whose [1] 135/13 why [37] 30/9 38/12 44/13 49/17 53/24 63/23 65/1 66/10 68/1 68/17 87/11 93/2 93/3

93/3 105/17 111/10 114/1 115/15 126/2 126/17 129/5 130/7 133/5 134/4 134/5 141/20 145/22 145/25 149/12 150/7 150/16 151/11 152/14 172/23 188/2 196/5 196/12 wide [6] 89/21 90/14 90/24 129/15 141/15 177/14
wider [9] 10/1 22/23
39/2 48/12 55/22
61/23 126/16 151/8 162/16
widespread [1] 151/21
Wilcock [4] 109/15 109/16 120/5 211/8 will [33] 3/18 3/25 7/19 11/23 15/4 15/10 29/16 50/12 51/17 51/21 52/10 86/2 92/11 113/17 116/15 124/2 140/1 148/10 148/11 152/1 156/24 162/9 167/1 173/3 175/22 177/14 185/21 191/10 191/21 203/19 205/18 209/14 209/25 window [2] 138/25 207/25
winter [4] 19/13 79/6 207/21 208/3
wish [1] 35/17
withdrew [2] 120/15 209/1
within [53] 3/21 5/21
6/18 7/19 8/2 22/19 22/22 24/1 24/17 30/12 30/18 33/4 35/2 39/15 60/12 60/22 68/11 68/18 69/8 69/20 70/4 75/11 85/3 89/18 93/21 100/5 108/18 114/14 114/22 115/11 115/18 117/12 121/23 122/12 123/18 123/25 125/4 126/11 127/11 129/8 129/9 134/25 140/17 143/4 144/5 144/6 144/7 147/1 147/2 155/8 178/23 180/21 206/4 without [13] 61/3 90/8 103/25 116/23 117/15 117/19 119/4 146/15 160/2 163/17 167/2 171/4 197/18
witness [17] 1/5 1/13 1/18 13/8 14/8 67/20 120/15 121/4 121/8 122/18 124/1 128/8 134/23 152/7 152/16

209/1 209/6
witnesses [6] 101/19 108/14 120/9 209/7 209/8 209/22
Woerden [3] 80/11 81/9 158/12
won't [11] 22/18
29/13 106/20 107/19 120/10 160/24 186/25 188/23 194/10 200/3 209/12
wonder [2] 186/8 203/16
Woolhouse [1] 27/13 word [6] 88/2 101/7
116/25 118/6 118/22

## 207/5

wording [1] 50/6 words [12] 22/2 32/21 34/20 35/22 36/11 37/18 38/6 61/12 145/8 165/17 176/19 205/15
wore [1] 10/25 work [79] 4/4 4/13 17/2 17/3 17/4 17/8 17/9 20/22 23/21 31/11 31/19 31/19 31/20 38/23 47/11 49/6 50/3 50/4 50/11 50/14 50/17 50/18 50/19 51/8 51/14 51/16 52/7 52/9 52/9 52/12 52/24 54/10 59/3 59/8 60/1 60/4 62/5 65/10 65/16 65/18 65/20 65/24 66/4 66/7 67/4 68/3 68/5 71/4 71/8 88/7 88/7 88/13 95/17 100/4 104/9 104/12 114/3 114/9 115/6 122/10 122/20 124/17 124/19 128/15 129/24 130/2 130/9 130/16 130/18 131/5 133/12 133/13 133/19 140/24 152/4 154/7 160/18 181/4 185/24
worked [6] 85/14 122/3 124/3 124/4 124/8 145/14 worker [1] 4/11 workforce [5] 20/1 20/13 22/11 22/12 123/3
working [14] 24/23 57/25 64/5 70/12 89/1 92/14 123/4 124/21 126/20 133/14 152/20 198/4 198/5 202/11 workload [1] 20/25 works [1] 111/10 workstreams [2]

114/5 114/21
world [5] 27/6 30/7
30/16 167/2 175/8
worry [2] 129/1
198/18
worrying [1] 29/15
worse [7] 15/14
15/19 150/22 151/2 168/5 188/11 207/21
worst [7] 13/20 13/23
14/12 28/12 37/8
38/16 47/17
worst-case [4] 28/12
37/8 38/16 47/17
worth [1] 169/2
would [252]
wouldn't [25] 5/18
14/19 14/20 17/1 19/3
28/13 30/11 38/13
38/14 43/11 44/7
45/22 52/16 58/16
60/19 62/5 65/23
74/13 143/25 145/21
145/22 187/6 188/3
188/4 199/23
wrapping [1] 100/7 wrestled [1] 103/1 writer [1] 101/1
written [1] 209/20
wrong [6] 57/15
57/19 100/14 100/15
170/22 201/19
wrongly [1] 117/2
wrote [4] 59/6 116/6
116/11 131/6
Wuhan [3] 25/21 30/2
55/12

## Y

yeah [17] 9/3 22/5
33/5 42/12 42/12
45/22 74/3 80/16 83/5
84/17 85/22 97/20
178/7 188/6 188/22
191/12 200/9
year [17] 12/18 12/19
12/22 13/5 16/8 17/16
17/17 17/19 17/21
87/4 87/20 121/6
153/7 156/13 158/21
172/24 195/12
years [8] $2 / 916 / 3$
16/12 19/3 19/7 19/12 105/8 149/11
Yep [9] 29/9 29/12
37/11 37/17 47/23
49/7 72/6 79/14 86/18
yes [307]
yet [4] 5/12 18/20
47/8 49/25
you [465]
you know [41] 7/24
26/4 32/6 32/11 32/18
55/23 56/2 57/14 64/2
you know... [32] 64/8
69/14 69/17 71/9 71/11 74/4 74/7 78/18 79/4 79/5 79/9 82/1 84/9 96/21 134/20 138/25 142/5 145/11 153/5 153/10 167/2 169/22 175/1 175/8 182/10 186/3 186/15 192/24 194/6 202/12 206/7 207/17
you'd [1] 190/18 you'll [4] 87/14 131/19 186/14 192/22
you're [22] $3 / 38 / 25$ 42/8 45/20 65/4 70/1 75/2 76/2 76/17 83/16 86/8 95/13 104/4 107/2 121/13 127/19 152/6 154/10 166/8 176/24 178/11 199/21 you've [35] 1/15 5/4 8/9 13/14 14/23 15/6 15/22 17/24 21/7 30/3 56/12 60/19 63/21 69/23 77/24 78/7
95/12 110/14 113/4
113/13 116/8 117/17 117/23 120/8 125/12 127/12 128/8 149/7 149/16 158/17 160/8 194/6 203/8 204/2 205/2
young [45] 64/20 68/14 86/3 87/8 87/15 89/8 93/5 93/11 94/23 95/23 101/25 120/20 120/24 121/3 121/4 121/12 125/11 128/7 129/18 136/6 136/16 138/11 140/5 156/23 160/7 162/25 163/6 163/15 164/8 167/22 168/20 171/25 186/23 189/5 191/25 193/1 194/11 195/23 198/11 200/17 200/19 203/11 208/19 209/2 211/10
younger [2] 163/9 195/19
your [107] 1/10 1/19 2/8 2/25 3/6 3/20 4/21 5/4 5/7 6/20 13/8 13/14 14/7 14/24 15/20 15/22 17/11 18/12 22/19 24/8 26/13 28/5 29/13 29/23 31/23 36/20 37/24 42/6 42/20 44/19 44/21 46/8 52/19 57/18 58/24 59/22 59/23 60/17

68/25 71/4 77/11 84/18 87/22 90/20 92/4 93/14 97/9 99/20 103/15 109/21 112/25 113/21 114/24 115/19 116/9 120/6 120/12 121/1 121/9 121/13 122/18 123/21 124/1 124/2 124/11 124/21 124/22 125/1 125/13 128/8 128/9 128/10 129/3 130/23 132/5 133/6 134/23 141/24 143/1 152/7 152/16 154/14 155/2 158/9 160/3 170/24 171/6 171/16 176/19 178/1 178/5 182/25 187/4 188/23 189/21 192/9 193/18 201/4 203/15 203/17 204/7 204/11 205/1 205/14 205/15 208/19 208/24 yourself [3] 113/5 126/17 127/11

