SC(20)4th Conclusions 28-Jan-2020

OFFICIAL-SENSITIVE

RESTRICTED HANDLING

SC(20)4th Conclusions

SCOTTISH CABINET

MINUTES OF MEETING HELD IN BUTE HOUSE, EDINBURGH AT 9.30 AM ON TUESDAY, 28 JANUARY 2020

Rt Hon Nicola Sturgeon MSP First Minister Present:

Fergus Ewing MSP

Fiona Hyslop MSP

Jeane Freeman MSP

Deputy First Minister and Cabinet Secretary John Swinney MSP

for Education and Skills

Cabinet Secretary for the Environment, Roseanna Cunningham MSP

> Climate Change and Land Reform Cabinet Secretary for the Rural Economy Cabinet Secretary for Health and Sport Cabinet Secretary for Culture, Tourism and

External Affairs

Derek Mackay MSP Cabinet Secretary for Finance, Economy and

Fair Work

Michael Matheson MSP Cabinet Secretary for Transport, Infrastructure

and Connectivity

Shirley-Anne Somerville MSP Cabinet Secretary for Social Security and

Older People

Humza Yousaf MSP Cabinet Secretary for Justice

In Attendance: Leslie Evans

Graeme Dey MSP

Kevin Stewart MSP

Lesley Fraser

David Rogers James Hvnd Andrew Bruce

Douglas McLaren

Ross Ingebrigtsen

Liz Lloyd Callum McCaig Stuart Nicolson Aileen Easton

Chris Birt Tabitha Stringer

Permanent Secretary

Minister for Parliamentary Business and Veterans

(part of meeting only)

Minister for Local Government, Housing and

Planning Director-General, Organisational Development

and Operations

Director of Constitution and Cabinet

Head of Cabinet Secretariat

Permanent Secretary's Principal Private Secretary

Deputy Director, Public Spending

Special Adviser Special Adviser Special Adviser Special Adviser

First Minister's Official Spokesperson First Minister's Policy and Delivery Unit

PS/First Minister

Head of Connected Communities Unit Environmental Quality and Circular Economy

Division

Cabinet Secretariat Cabinet Secretariat

SC(20)4th Conclusions

OFFICIAL-SENSITIVE

N00189_001953247 057 SC(20)4th Conclusions 28-Jan-2020

OFFICIAL-SENSITIVE

South West Scotland Transport Study

11. In relation to the item in paper SC(20)14 concerning publication of the South West Scotland Transport Study, which had been prepared by Transport Scotland, it was noted in discussion that the eventual case for dualling the A75 and A77 might be stronger than the impression given in the report, given local geographical factors.

National Transport Strategy (NTS2)

12. In relation to the item in paper SC(20)14 concerning publication of a new National Transport Strategy (NTS2), Mr Matheson indicated that the final document had been updated to strengthen its emphasis on tackling climate change, reducing inequalities and helping deliver inclusive economic growth. The first annual delivery plan was due to be prepared over the summer.

National Rail Passenger Survey

13. Mr Matheson updated Cabinet on the results of the latest National Rail Passenger Survey conducted by Transport Focus (a UK public body), which had been published that morning. The statistics showed that overall satisfaction with ScotRail services during autumn 2019 was 89 per cent, seven per cent above the average for the rest of Great Britain (GB) and ten per cent above the level for autumn 2018. Of the 41 station and on-board attributes measured by the survey, ScotRail had scored more highly than the GB average for 37 of them. Overall, ScotRail was in sixth position out of 25 train operating companies, which suggested that the Remedial Plan that had been put in place were beginning to bear fruit.

UK Telecoms Supply Chain Review

- 14. The First Minister noted that, later that day, the UK Government was due to announce what restrictions, if any, would be placed on the use of so-called 'high-risk' vendors in the UK's 5G and Gigabit-capable networks, at the conclusion of its Telecoms Supply Chain Review. This would affect the involvement of the Chinese technology company, Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd.
- 15. It was noted in discussion that it seemed likely that the UK Government would permit the involvement of high-risk vendors such as Huawei, at least on a restricted basis. This seemed likely to present significant security risks, which would need very careful monitoring and management, and it would be important for Ministers to make public the Scottish Government's principled concerns about such a development.

Coronavirus Outbreak

16. In relation to the item in paper SC(20)14 concerning the outbreak of a novel coronavirus emanating from Wuhan City in the People's Republic of China, Ms Freeman said that this was a fast-moving and continually evolving situation. There were still no confirmed cases of the virus in the UK: the results of all tests had been negative, including the seven tests carried out in Scotland. A further four cases were still under investigation in Scotland, and there was concern for a patient who had, that morning, been transferred to the Queen Elizabeth University Hospital in Glasgow in a serious, but not critical, condition.

SC(20)4th Conclusions

OFFICIAL-SENSITIVE

N00189_001953247 060

SC(20)4th Conclusions 28-Jan-2020

OFFICIAL-SENSITIVE

- 17. In discussion the following points were made:
 - (a) The rate at which the virus was spreading in China was alarming, as was the suggestion from Chinese officials that the virus might be infectious in its incubation period, before symptoms began to show. If confirmed, this would make controlling the virus much more challenging. It would be important for Scottish Government messaging to be consistent with the latest evidence concerning how the virus spread;
 - (b) It was likely that, in time, the virus would reach the UK. The Scottish Government was putting in place appropriate measures, in all parts of the country, to minimise the risk of contracting the virus and to control the spread of any outbreak;
 - (c) It would be necessary to consider how resilient NHSScotland would be in the face of a large-scale outbreak, including assessing how such an outbreak would impact existing healthcare provision and whether any additional medical facilities (such as isolation centres) were required. Work on this was already under way, and Ms Freeman undertook to update the First Minister as soon as possible;
 - (d) Thus far, the UK Government did not seem to have reacted quickly or effectively enough regarding the repatriation of UK nationals currently in China. There were estimated to be around 100 UK citizens in Hubei Province who were looking to return to the UK, and it would be vital to know how many of them would be travelling on to Scotland, not least to allow appropriate infection control measures to be put in place;
 - (e) While there had been good collaborative working between the UK's Chief Medical Officers, the UK Government had not been sufficiently responsive to requests from Scottish Government for information (including about UK nationals currently in China) and had not fulfilled a number of the commitments it had made at the Cabinet Office Briefing Room meeting held on 24 January. This point would need to be raised urgently at senior official level.

(Action: Cabinet Secretary for Health and Sport; Population Health Directorate)

UK Government Drugs Summit

18. Ms Freeman said that, on 24 January, the UK Government had announced publicly its intention to hold a UK Drugs Summit in Glasgow on 27 February. It was a matter of great regret that the Scottish Government had not received any advance notification of the announcement. This was particularly disappointing given the lengths to which the Scottish Government had gone in trying to organise a joint drugs summit with the UK Government and the other devolved administrations, and in light of the fact that – in the absence of a response from the UK Government about a joint summit – the Scottish Government had made its own plans for a summit in Glasgow, on 12 March, which were well advanced.

SC(20)4th Conclusions

5
OFFICIAL-SENSITIVE

N00189_001953247 061