From: Harries, Jenny [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=CB41E14F2B234DBEB666D05EF2623BC1-JHARRIES]

Sent: 10/03/2020 10:12:52

To: Blain, Max [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=bf40dbb5aa594228bdb5a14ed1696541-MBlaine]; Name Redacted

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=d21258c9254e4e32942f15c21ee78f6f NR; Van Tam, Jonathan

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=d29c846fc8fa4678b419c6f0dc3836f3-JVanTam]

CC: Name Redacted [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=e223fb043c754ec7bf9231726b22610e NR ; Name Redacted

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=0512ff460b384dfeab4d92c077321599 NR ; Njoku-Goodwin, Jamie

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=ec863ed599ba4b8cb9648820f43f01d6-JNGoodwin]

Subject: RE: Lancet editorial criticising govt approach

Max

I had Rory Stewart on air just ahead of me this morning. I politely told them he was wrong. I wanted to say his views were dangerous but thought about the headlines.

I think we need to strongly challenge the idea that doing something sooner is better – it is genuinely potentially quite dangerous, which is why a responsible government taking decisions based on evidence would want to make sure the timing was as good as it possibly could be for maximum effect. Applied too early we risk trying to suppress the peak too soon for it to bounce back later having enduring social and economic demise for absolutely no health or mortality benefit at all. Equally if you start it too early, for interventions which need to be quite lengthy (not the short termism being applied in Italy) you will lose good will/compliance and they become ineffective because people ignore. Of course if you have not got good command and control systems in your country in relation to health services (eg Italy) or 10 year background of planning for flu, you are probably starting from a rubbish position, but in the UK neither of the above apply. We should defend robustly (as long as politicians continue to apply the science systematically – otherwise the arguments will fall over mid-implementation).

It is not at all clear that China has been successful yet — we will need to look globally in six months time before people start judging who has been effective. Richard Horton is too early with his editorial in my view Jenny

From: Blain, Max <Max.Blain@dhsc.gov.uk>

Sent: 10 March 2020 09:41

To: Name Redacted Name Redacted @dhsc.gov.uk>; Harries, Jenny <Jenny.Harries@dhsc.gov.uk>; Van Tam, Jonathan

<Jonathan.VanTam@dhsc.gov.uk>

CC: Name Redacted Name Redacted @dhsc.gov.uk>; Name Redacted < NR @dhsc.gov.uk>; Njoku-Goodwin,

Jamie < Jamie. Njoku-Goodwin@dhsc.gov.uk>
Subject: Lancet editorial criticising govt approach

Morning all – the Evening Standard is looking to run a story based on the editorial below by Richard Horton at the Lancet. It doesn't criticise UK govt specifically but broadly suggests that govts aren't moving fast enough or being sufficiently aggressive in their measures. They're planning to link it to Rory Stewart's recent comments.

I think Chris and CSA already answered these points yesterday on making sure we take the most effective actions at the right time. But grateful if you could let me know if there are any other points we could make in response.

Thanks,

Max

Although WHO has yet to call the outbreak of SARS-CoV-2 infection a pandemic, it has confirmed that the virus is likely to spread to most, if not all, countries. Regardless of terminology, this latest coronavirus epidemic is now seeing larger increases in cases outside China. As of March 3, more than 90 000 confirmed cases of COVID-19 have been reported in 73 countries. The outbreak in northern Italy, which has seen 11 towns officially locked down and residents threatened with imprisonment if they try to leave, shocked European political leaders. Their shock turned to horror as they saw Italy become the epicentre for further spread across the continent. As the window for global containment closes, health ministers are scrambling to implement appropriate measures to delay spread of the virus. But their actions have been slow and insufficient. There is now a real danger that countries have done too little, too late to contain the epidemic. By striking contrast, the WHO-China joint mission report calls China's vigorous public health measures toward this new coronavirus probably the most "ambitious, agile and aggressive disease containment effort in history". China seems to have avoided a substantial number of cases and fatalities, although there have been severe effects on the nation's economy. In its report on the joint mission, WHO recommends that countries activate the highest level of national response management protocols to ensure the all-of-government and all-of-society approaches needed to contain viral spread. China's success rests largely with a strong administrative system that it can mobilise in times of threat, combined with the ready agreement of the Chinese people to obey stringent public health procedures. Although other nations lack China's command-and-control political economy, there are important lessons that presidents and prime ministers can learn from China's experience. The signs are that those lessons have not been learned. SARS-CoV-2 presents different challenges to high-income and low-income or middle-income countries (LMICs). A major fear over global spread is how weak health systems will cope. Some countries, such as Nigeria, have so far successfully dealt with individual cases. But large outbreaks could easily overwhelm LMIC health services. The difficult truth is that countries in most of sub-Saharan Africa, for example, are not prepared for an epidemic of coronavirus. And nor are many nations across Latin America and the Middle East. Public health measures, such as surveillance, exhaustive contact tracing, social distancing, travel restrictions, educating the public on hand hygiene, ensuring flu vaccinations for the frail and immunocompromised, and postponing nonessential operations and services will all play their part in delaying the spread of infection and dispersing pressure on hospitals. Individual governments will need to decide where they draw the line on implementing these measures. They will have to weigh the ethical, social, and economic risks versus proven health benefits.

The evidence surely indicates that political leaders should be moving faster and more aggressively. As Xiaobo Yang and colleagues have shown, the mortality of critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia is substantial. As they wrote recently in *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine*, "The severity of SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia poses great strain on critical care resources in hospitals, especially if they are not adequately staffed or resourced." This coronavirus is not benign. It kills. The political response to the epidemic should therefore reflect the national security threat that SARS-CoV-2 represents.

National governments have all released guidance for health-care professionals, but published advice alone is insufficient. Guidance on how to manage patients with COVID-19 must be delivered urgently to health-care workers in the form of workshops, online teaching, smart phone engagement, and peer-to-peer education. Equipment such as personal protective equipment, ventilators, oxygen, and testing kits must be made available and supply chains strengthened. The European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control recommends that hospitals set up a core team including hospital management, an infection control team member, an infectious disease expert, and specialists representing the intensive care unit and accident and emergency departments. So far, evidence suggests that the colossal public health efforts of the Chinese Government have saved thousands of lives. High-income countries, now facing their own outbreaks, must take reasoned risks and act more decisively. They must abandon their fears of the negative short-term public and economic consequences that may follow from restricting public freedoms as part of more assertive infection control measures.



Max Blain

Head of News, Department of Health and Social Care

39 Victoria Street, London, SW1H 0EU

E: max.blain@dhsc.gov.uk T: I&S

Follow us on Twitter @DHSCgovuk

This e-mail and any attachments is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail. Any views expressed in this message are not necessarily those of the Department of Health and Social Care. Please note: Incoming and outgoing email messages are routinely monitored for compliance with our policy on the use of electronic communications.