UPDATED, valid as of 1700 23 January

Precautionary SAGE meeting on Wuhan Coronavirus (WN-CoV) 22 January 2020

Held in 10 Victoria St, London SW1H 0NN

Situation update

- 1. DHSC provided an update on current declared cases, deaths and geographic spread.
- 2. China has recently revised case definitions. This makes comparisons difficult.
- 3. It was reported that diagnostic testing capability in Wuhan is overwhelmed.
- There is considerable uncertainty around the data, with almost certainly many more
 cases than have been reported; a reasonable worst case cannot be made reliably under
 such circumstances.
- 5. WHO has received some environmental sampling from Wuhan: information on the zoonotic reservoir may be forthcoming shortly.
- 6. *** Following the meeting, authorities in Wuhan announced the suspension of public transport, including outbound trains and flights, from 0200 GMT 23 January. ***

Current understanding of WN-CoV

- 7. There is evidence of person-to-person transmission. It is unknown whether transmission is sustainable.
- 8. The incubation period is unclear but appears to be within 5 to 10 days; 14 days after contact is a sensible outer limit to use.
- 9. It is highly probable that the reproductive number is currently above 1.
- 10. It is currently estimated that the mortality rate for WN-CoV is lower than for SARS, but it is too early to reliably quantify that rate.
- 11. There is insufficient information currently on the genetic strain to comment on WN-CoV's origin.
- 12. There is no evidence yet on whether individuals are infectious prior to showing symptoms.
- 13. There is no evidence that individuals are more infectious when symptoms are more severe, but that is likely.
- 14. There appears to be very little genetic diversity in WN-CoV based on sequences available so far.
- 15. It is reasonable to argue based on lessons from MERS and SARS, and consistent with exported cases of WN-CoV that individuals returning from Wuhan are no longer at risk if they show no symptoms after 14 days.

Summary and review of NERVTAG conclusions

- 16. NERVTAG does <u>not</u> advise port of entry screening, irrespective of the current limited understanding of the epidemiology.
- 17. NERVTAG does <u>not</u> advise use of screening questionnaires, pilot declarations or requiring confirmation of exit screening at Wuhan.
- 18. NERVTAG <u>does</u> support public health information efforts via leaflets, posters and broadcast messengers to passengers.
- 19. SAGE supports NERVTAG's position both on the value of port screening and on monitoring measures.
- 20. SAGE would review its position on port screening only if a simple, specific and rapid test was available <u>and</u> was deployable at scale across the UK. Temperature and other forms