

Feasibility of controlling COVID-19 outbreaks by isolation of cases and contacts



Joel Hellewell, Sam Abbott*, Amy Gimma*, Nikos I Bosse, Christopher I Jarvis, Timothy W Russell, James D Munday, Adam J Kucharski, W John Edmunds, Centre for the Mathematical Modelling of Infectious Diseases COVID-19 Working Group, Sebastian Funk†, Rosalind M Eggo†



Summary

Background Isolation of cases and contact tracing is used to control outbreaks of infectious diseases, and has been used for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Whether this strategy will achieve control depends on characteristics of both the pathogen and the response. Here we use a mathematical model to assess if isolation and contact tracing are able to control onwards transmission from imported cases of COVID-19.

Methods We developed a stochastic transmission model, parameterised to the COVID-19 outbreak. We used the model to quantify the potential effectiveness of contact tracing and isolation of cases at controlling a severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)-like pathogen. We considered scenarios that varied in the number of initial cases, the basic reproduction number (R_0), the delay from symptom onset to isolation, the probability that contacts were traced, the proportion of transmission that occurred before symptom onset, and the proportion of subclinical infections. We assumed isolation prevented all further transmission in the model. Outbreaks were deemed controlled if transmission ended within 12 weeks or before 5000 cases in total. We measured the success of controlling outbreaks using isolation and contact tracing, and quantified the weekly maximum number of cases traced to measure feasibility of public health effort.

Findings Simulated outbreaks starting with five initial cases, an R_0 of 1.5, and 0% transmission before symptom onset could be controlled even with low contact tracing probability; however, the probability of controlling an outbreak decreased with the number of initial cases, when R_0 was 2.5 or 3.5 and with more transmission before symptom onset. Across different initial numbers of cases, the majority of scenarios with an R_0 of 1.5 were controllable with less than 50% of contacts successfully traced. To control the majority of outbreaks, for R_0 of 2.5 more than 70% of contacts had to be traced, and for an R_0 of 3.5 more than 90% of contacts had to be traced. The delay between symptom onset and isolation had the largest role in determining whether an outbreak was controllable when R_0 was 1.5. For R_0 values of 2.5 or 3.5, if there were 40 initial cases, contact tracing and isolation were only potentially feasible when less than 1% of transmission occurred before symptom onset.

Interpretation In most scenarios, highly effective contact tracing and case isolation is enough to control a new outbreak of COVID-19 within 3 months. The probability of control decreases with long delays from symptom onset to isolation, fewer cases ascertained by contact tracing, and increasing transmission before symptoms. This model can be modified to reflect updated transmission characteristics and more specific definitions of outbreak control to assess the potential success of local response efforts.

Funding Wellcome Trust, Global Challenges Research Fund, and Health Data Research UK.

Copyright © 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an Open Access article under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license.

Introduction

As of Feb 5, 2020, more than 24 550 cases of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) had been confirmed, including more than 190 cases outside of China, and more than 490 reported deaths globally.¹ Control measures have been implemented within China to try to contain the outbreak.² As people with the infection arrive in countries or areas without ongoing transmission, efforts are being made to halt transmission, and prevent potential outbreaks.^{3,4} Isolation of confirmed and suspected cases, and identification of contacts are a crucial part of these control efforts; however, whether

these efforts will achieve control of transmission of COVID-19 is unclear.

Isolation of cases and contact tracing becomes less effective if infectiousness begins before the onset of symptoms.^{5,6} For example, the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) outbreak that began in southern China in 2003, was eventually able to be controlled through tracing contacts of suspected cases and isolating confirmed cases because the majority of transmission occurred after symptom onset.⁷ These interventions also play a major role in response to outbreaks where onset of symptoms and infectiousness are concurrent—eg, Ebola

Lancet Glob Health 2020;
8: e488–96

Published Online
February 28, 2020
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(20\)30074-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30074-7)

This online publication has been corrected. The corrected version first appeared at thelancet.com/lancetgh on March 5, 2020

See [Comment](#) page e452

*Contributed equally

†Contributed equally

Centre for the Mathematical Modelling of Infectious Diseases, Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK (J Hellewell PhD, S Abbott PhD, A Gimma MSc, N I Bosse BSc, C I Jarvis PhD, T W Russell PhD, J D Munday MSc, A J Kucharski PhD, Prof W J Edmunds PhD, S Funk PhD, R M Eggo PhD)

Correspondence to: Dr Rosalind M Eggo, Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK
r.eggo@lshtm.ac.uk