

Message

From: Lucy Yardley [Irrelevant & Sensitive]
Sent: 14/04/2020 06:54:04
To: WOOLHOUSE Mark [Irrelevant & Sensitive]
Subject: RE: SPI-B proposals

No problem - I don't think there is any evidence for changing one regulation undermining adherence to other regulations but that is exactly why we advocate trialling a very small behaviour change when safe to do so! I find epidemiologists tend to underestimate the extent to which what people will do is malleable and can be influenced by how things are introduced and supported.

Best wishes,

Lucy

From: WOOLHOUSE Mark [mailto: [Irrelevant & Sensitive]
Sent: 13 April 2020 21:43
To: Lucy Yardley < [Irrelevant & Sensitive] >
Subject: Re: SPI-B proposals

Sorry Lucy, I don't I ever thanked you for this.

SPI-M keeps ending up speculating that if you lift one restriction then people will be less diligent about observing the others. Not sure if that's anything more than speculation.

mw

From: Lucy Yardley < [Irrelevant & Sensitive]
Sent: 09 April 2020 15:05
To: WOOLHOUSE Mark < [Irrelevant & Sensitive] >
Subject: SPI-B proposals

Dear Mark, here is a summary of SPI-B's current thoughts on this topic – not quite finalised and signed off for SAGE yet so not for circulation,

Best wishes,

Lucy

The University of Edinburgh is a charitable body, registered in Scotland, with registration number SC005336.