1	Thursday, 29 June 2023
2	(10.00 am)
3	LADY HALLETT: Yes, Mr Keith.
4	MR KEITH: Good morning, my Lady. The first witness this
5	morning is Sir Jeremy Farrar, who is joining us online.
6	Sir Jeremy, could you be sworn, please, or give the
7	affirmation. If you follow the instructions from the
8	usher.
9	SIR JEREMY FARRAR (affirmed)
10	(Evidence via videolink)

Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY

12 **MR KEITH:** Could you provide your full name, please.

13 A. Jeremy James Farrar.

11

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q. Sir Jeremy, thank you for joining us this morning. May I commence, please, with your qualifications.

You trained, I believe, in medicine, with postgraduate training in London, Chichester, Edinburgh, Melbourne, Oxford and San Francisco. You have a DPhil PhD from the University of Oxford.

You were a director of the Oxford University Clinical Research Institute at the Hospital for Tropical Diseases in Ho Chi Minh City in Vietnam from 1996 to 2013.

From 2013 you were Director of the Wellcome Trust, and from May 2023 have you been the Chief Scientist at

1

1 influenza pandemic?

A. Yeah, thanks very much.

Can I start as well by just reaching out with the greatest support for those who have lost lives during the Covid pandemic, affected by the Covid pandemic, families that are still affected and those with long Covid, and particularly also for healthcare workers around the world who put their lives at risk in order to help all of us.

The influenza strategy I think you're referring to, of 2011 -- in 2011 I was living and working in Vietnam, and so I was not directly involved in the development of the strategy, but it was lessons learned indeed after the H1N1 pandemic of 2009, and so I was peripherally involved in parts of it, and also in the Academy of Medicine Sciences' review of how clinical trials and evidence and data could be gathered in the context of epidemics and emergencies, so peripherally involved in that strategy.

Q. Was there a general awareness of the importance of the
 strategy to the United Kingdom's pandemic preparedness
 for influenza?

3

A. Yeah, I think if you go back -- bear in mind I've never
 worked directly within government, I'm sure there are
 better people than me about -- talking about how that

1 the World Health Organisation?

- 2 A. Yes, all correct.
- Q. Are you giving evidence today in your personal capacity
 as opposed to a representative of the World Health
- 5 Organisation?
- A. Yes, correct. It's very important that I'm here totally
 in my personal capacity, not representing the World
- 8 Health Organisation or, indeed, previous lives at
- 9 Wellcome Trust either.
- 10 **Q.** Have you throughout your professional career served as
- 11 a chair on a multitude of advisory bodies, for
 - governments and global organisations? Were you the
- 13 founding chair, in fact, of the World Health
- 14 Organisation R&D Blueprint entity or body, and the
- 15 founding director of the International Severe Acute
- 16 Respiratory and emerging Infection Consortium, ISARIC?
- 17 A. Correct

12

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 18 **Q.** Have you received a plethora of honours from a number of governments, institutes and entities?
- 20 A. Thank you
- 21 **Q.** Could I commence, please, Sir Jeremy, by asking you some
- 22 questions about the United Kingdom influenza strategy
- document, the 2011 strategy, about which a great deal of
- 24 evidence has been received by my Lady's Inquiry.

Are you familiar with that 2011 strategy for

2

was perceived in government, but if you go back to the government risk registers over the years, then pandemic influenza would have been in the top risk of many of those risk registers.

I do think, coming out of 2009, that there was an issue with a sense -- and this actually is true after many epidemics, I sincerely hope it's not true after this epidemic -- that actually 2009 H1N1 was not quite as bad as people thought, and there was a danger, globally, including in the UK, of a sort of child that cries wolf, and that actually these were less of an issue than perhaps they were. I think that did influence thinking after 2009 and may have built into why influenza as a pandemic dropped down risk registers around the world after 2011.

- 16 Q. There have been a number of references in the course of
 17 evidence to the possibility that a degree of complacency
 18 was engendered by the H1N1 swine flu pandemic, because
- it was, by the nature of these things, relatively mild;
- 20 would you agree?
- 21 A. Yeah, I do agree. I was in Mexico as part of a WHO22 group in May of 2009. In May of 2009 the city of Mexico
- group in May of 2009. In May of 2009 the city of Mexico in four hospitals within a square kilometre were full of
- 24 mostly young people with very, very severe influenza,
- and for many of us who have been concerned, and remain

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

concerned, that one of the greatest risks is an influenza pandemic of some ilk -- going back to 1918 -- it was entirely appropriate, in my view, in 2009 to raise the flag that this was going to cause a major global problem.

In reality, as you rightly say, the severity of H1N1 in 2009 was less than expected and therefore I think there did come a degree of complacency that actually the world was safer against an influenza pandemic than perhaps had been previously thought, and there was a lot of criticism at the time about things like stockpiling of the drug oseltamivir. Personally I think that criticism was unwarranted and that actually influenza remains, among -- with others, but remains one of the greatest risks to humanity. And as we now watch H5N1 pandemic in animals circulate around the world in an unprecedented way, influenza is never going to go away as a threat to humanity.

19 Q. Sir Jeremy, whilst you give evidence --

20 LADY HALLETT: Could we slow down?

21 MR KEITH: Could you slow down a little? We obviously want 22 to hear what you have to say and it's important that 23 your evidence is recorded by our hard-working 24 stenographer and it's quite difficult if you go too 25

5

1 otherwise would.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2

4

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

A. If we look from 1999 to 2019, that 20-year period, and 3 just look at the number of regional or global events that have led to major disruption, SARS-1, which I was 5 involved in in Vietnam, H5N1, Zika, MERS, another 6 coronavirus, the pandemic of 2009, and many others as 7 well, it is clear that we're living in an age of --8 a pandemic age, where, as Mike Ryan at the WHO has said, 9 we're living in an age which is going to have more 10 frequent and more complex pandemics. Yet it is 11 extraordinarily difficult when governments are faced 12 with dealing with the challenges of day to day to also 13 put in place those critical infrastructures, resilience 14 and surge capacity and spare capacity, that would allow 15 to deal with the unexpected, but inevitable, disruptions 16 that are going to occur.

> So I think in the UK and around the world, despite the warnings of the last 20 years, there has been a complacency about the need to prepare for these sorts of major disruptive events which go well beyond health to the whole of society, and the UK, yes, was complacent in regard to planning for that.

23 Q. Is it your view that epidemics will become more frequent, more complex, perhaps, and harder to prevent and contain as a result of the well known issues of

A. Apologies. It's a nervous occasion to be part of.

Q. Well, it won't be for very long, Sir Jeremy, so I'm sure vou'll survive.

My Lady has heard considerable evidence about the inherent unpredictability of respiratory viruses and therefore the inherent unpredictability of the characteristics of a pandemic which may ensue from a widespread pathogenic outbreak. Putting it in blunter terms, it's impossible to know with any degree of certainty what characteristics the outbreak may have, which viruses, respiratory viruses, may eventuate, and therefore what one has to guard against.

Was there a general sense at all, as far as you could tell, after 2011, that there had been a failure to focus on, in the government guidance and the government policy in the United Kingdom, on the inherent unpredictability of respiratory viruses and, therefore, on the risks of a non-influenza pandemic?

19 A. Yeah. I think that would be true in the UK. I think it 20 would be true globally as well. If you go back in the 21 last 20 years -- I mean, I started working on emerging 22 infections in 1999 with an outbreak of something called 23 Nipah virus in Malaysia --

24 Sir Jeremy, please slow down, you're going very fast Q. 25 again. You have to steel yourself to go slower than you

1 changing ecology, urbanisation, climate change and 2 increased travel and movement of human beings? 3 A. Yeah, you've hit the major features that I would have

4 outlined, absolutely. These are features of the 5 21st century. They're not going to go away. It would 6 be a grave mistake, in my view, to see each of these 7 episodes I've outlined -- some of them, but there are 8 more -- to see each one as a discrete episode. They are 9 telling us something far deeper about how the world is 10 changing, biodiversity loss, environment, climate 11 change, urbanisation, trade and travel as you say.

12 Slow down

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

13 And what I'd like for us to move away from is thinking 14 that this is a discrete episode which we can put in 15 a box and think about, and think more about the systemic 16 way that we need to address these more frequent and more 17 complex events.

> If we look at Ebola in West Africa in 2014, the Ebola virus had not changed, people had not changed, what had changed was the social circumstances in which it happened, not in villages that could be isolated and quarantined and an epidemic brought under control quickly, but in major capital cities and across borders, and that more frequent and, indeed, sociologically more complex epidemics and pandemics is what we will face in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 the future.

2 Q. Does it follow, Sir Jeremy, that from the vantage point 3 of governments, and with a view to the necessary and 4 important process by which risks are identified, 5 assessed and planned for, that there must be a much 6 greater focus now, both in light of Covid and of course 7 because of the increased general risks to which you've 8 referred, upon: firstly, identifying multiple scenarios, 9 as opposed to just influenza; secondly, focusing 10 additionally on how to prevent catastrophic consequence 11 as opposed to managing catastrophic consequence; and, 12 thirdly, thinking more and to a much greater extent 13 about the necessary countermeasures that may need to be 14 deployed?

15 A. Yeah, absolutely. The first thing I'd say is what you 16 have before a crisis hits will to a large extent 17 determine your ability to respond to it. If you have 18 deep inequalities in your society, if you have a large 19 degree of ill health in terms of health issues, if you 20 have health services which are stretched to the limit, 21 if you have fragmented government approaches such that 22 each individual vertical structure considers its area 23 but there's a challenge to sort of look at the 24 all-of-society perspective, then trying to cobble 25 together a horizon approach, an all-of-government, an

9

the UK science advisory system, whilst complex and not perfect, is considered to be one of the stronger ones internationally; would you agree?

Q. Evidence was given by Professor Sir Chris Whitty that

5 **A.** Yes.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. What must be done to ensure that that remains the
 position in terms of resourcing or funding or
 a continuing focus on understanding the vital importance
 of scientific advice?

A. So I would agree with those comments, and I have been involved -- in some of your introductory remarks -- with a number of other governments around the world, of all economic levels of growth and depth of scientific background. My view is that the construct of having a Chief Scientific Adviser in every ministry, close to the minister, close to the system, close to the senior civil servants, not just structured in a crisis but there every day, and learning to bridge the cultural and language complexity that is there within different disciplines, and different ways of working and different educational backgrounds and everything else, building that structure to be permanent, to be functioning all the time and, critically, providing value and utility to a government machinery all of the time, is, I believe, critical.

all-of-society approach in a set of vertical systems is extraordinarily difficult.

And tabletop exercises will get you so far, but you need to be working in those systems all of the time if you want those systems to work when a crisis hits, and I think we need to think more strongly about how totally disruptive all-of-society events, of which this is a good example, will be dealt with as a cross-government whole-of-society approach rather than just as a single ministry approach.

Q. A vital component in the whole-of-society approach is,
 of course, having adequate scientific advice as well as,
 and alongside, an adequate and sufficient research base.
 Could I focus firstly, please, on the issue of the
 scientific advisory networks which are available in the
 United Kingdom.

You were a member of SAGE, the stand-up -- that is to say, the committee which is stood up in the event of emergencies -- in relation to Zika and Ebola; is that correct?

21 A. Correct.

Q. Have you had extensive experience throughout your long
 career of dealing with the scientific advisory
 structures in the United Kingdom beyond SAGE?

25 A. Yes.

10

I've argued with many other countries -- not argued, discussed with many other countries that actually the UK system of scientific advice in every ministry brought together under a chief scientist network, together providing mutual support to each other, is absolutely critical, must be maintained, must be strengthened. The very best people in science should be encouraged to go into it and -- on the rotational basis, so that they can retain their scientific expertise and skills, and then network together through the chief scientists. That, to me, is the best system in the world and everything should be done to maintain it, not for crisis time but for all the time, to deal with the day-to-day issues as well as being able to respond when a crisis inevitably hits.

Q. It's plain, Sir Jeremy, that in the particular context of dealing with the Covid pandemic, SAGE and the scientific advisory structures in the United Kingdom Government drew to a very large extent, of course, upon biomedical expertise. Because of the need to consider at speed and in very difficult circumstances the consequences of societal measures, social restrictions, mandatory quarantining, and so on and so forth, it may be the case that there was an absence of sufficient expertise from non-biomedical professionals, that is to

say economists and behavioural scientists or social scientists, and experts not from the world of health, and not from the world of science, but from the social sciences

Would you agree with that? From your experience of SAGE, do you think that it is, in a general sense, sufficiently diverse?

A. So I would agree with some of that, but not all of it.

Firstly, I think that SAGE is often seen as the names officially on the SAGE list, which I think counts to 30 or 40 people or so. Behind that there were hundreds of people involved. And particularly on some of the areas you mentioned there, behavioural science, for instance, I think the input into -- the behavioural scientists into SAGE from throughout my time on SAGE, I thought was of the very highest quality.

Where I think -- where I do agree with you is that there was no -- there were two things lacking, in my view. One is the SAGE health, public health, behavioural science perspectives on the pandemic were not mirrored with other equally transparent and debated issues that brought the whole of the society elements together, and I believe -- I've never been in government, but I believe that a better approach would have been to have a SAGE that focuses on its area of

expertise, definitely including behavioural science, international perspective, ethics, many of the things you mention, but that would be mirrored, in my view, through the Cabinet Office, with a similar transparent expert group that would consider other aspects that are absolutely critical to an all-of-society response.

I think if you ask SAGE to do all of that, it would become huge, unwieldy, and wouldn't be able to have the clarity, given in mind that SAGE was meeting, at some points, every 24 or 48 hours. So personally I would do that through the Cabinet Office but have equal transparency with elements that considered other aspects beyond the health agenda.

The second thing I would suggest, and I was part of this in a US group, is that there is outside the SAGE system, but linked to it in a constructive way, if you like, a red team. A red team that would have access to other -- the same information but would be able to constructively challenge the thinking from the outside and wouldn't be within the room at the same time. I thought that worked extremely well when I was part of a similar enterprise in the United States, and I would like to see that set up. Independent SAGE I think tried to do that, but unfortunately, for reasons others can debate, sometimes it became more confrontational than

1 perhaps was constructive.

Q. A red team would plainly be in a position to challenge orthodoxy. Do you mean orthodoxy on the part of the government or orthodoxy -- or alleged orthodoxy on the part of the scientists in SAGE or a mirror group who, I think I should tell you, have described themselves in this Inquiry in various terms such as being self-correcting or, on another occasion, as being "licensed dissidents"? Do scientists need to be challenged in that way, or is this a point made more directly against administrators and government employees?

A. Science absolutely has to be challenged and I think -unfortunately I'm not aware that beyond the summaries, been released I think at the behest of Patrick Vallance, crucially, it's a shame that actually SAGE wasn't recorded in some ways, because I think within the SAGE discussions that I was always part of, there was a very high degree of challenge.

But to have an outside group, a red group, that just puts in questions -- have you thought about this? Have you thought about that? Have you considered that? -- who are not part of that formal group, I think -- my own experience of that is from the United States, and there I thought it was very helpful to be able to do that. It

doesn't diminish the authority or the voice of SAGE but it would give you some of the external challenge.

I think within the Cabinet Office, to me that is where the political challenge of, is this the right thing to do, closing schools, closing economies, whatever it might be, should be held. But I think those need also to be transparent in the same way that SAGE was, with its minutes and summaries, so that people can actually -- as a public, can actually challenge those assumptions as well.

11 LADY HALLETT: Mr Keith, are you going further into the12 mirror group?

MR KEITH: No, I was going to move to the questions - LADY HALLETT: Can I just have a brief summary of what
 Sir Jeremy means by the mirror group? Which experts?
 How would it work?

17 MR KEITH: Yes.

Sir Jeremy, a few moments ago, in response to a question from myself as to whether or not the constitution, the make-up of SAGE was sufficiently diverse, you suggested the possibility of a mirror group, not as part of SAGE itself, because it would become too unwieldy and too large to be convened at speed and in very difficult circumstances, but a separate committee comprising experts, specialists

1 from different professional walks of life. Could you 2 expand on that? You referred to social scientists, 3 economists and others. Is there anything more that you 4 want to say about that in terms of the composition? 5 A. Well, I think the social scientists and behavioural 6 scientists were absolutely fundamental to SAGE itself, 7 so I certainly ... but I think a group outside of what 8 I call a red team, that was able to throw in questions 9 into SAGE: have you thought about this? Have you 10 thought about that? Some of that happened informally, 11 through things like, in the UK, the Royal Society, 12 Academy of Medicine Sciences, et cetera, et cetera and 13 obviously in the lay and scientific literature. But 14 having been part, for some time, in the US of that 15 red group, which brought together, yes, epidemiologists, 16 biomedical people, social scientists, economists, people 17 thinking outside the box, that was helpful, I think, in 18 terms of the US approach to this. Which I have to say 19 I believe was not as well constructed and organised as 20 was SAGE, would be my view having seen both operating. 21 Q. So those specialists and scientists and professionals

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the growing risks of pathogenic outbreaks, particularly of the viral respiratory kind, and to the growing risks generally because of changes in our environment and our way of life. To what extent is it essential to meet those continuing and growing risks that we maintain as a country capability, that is to say the medical, scientific and social weapons at our disposal to meet a future pandemic?

are, in terms of their own professional qualifications,

not from other walks of professional life, they are

mirror images of the constituent parts of SAGE, they're

there to challenge, if you like, the members of SAGE on

A. So I started off by saying what you have before a pandemic or crisis hits makes such a huge difference. There are many things to question and challenge, and that's the role of the public inquiry in terms of the pandemic. But I think we can only celebrate the remarkable scientific -- and by "scientific" I mean the broad sciences -- contribution to the pandemic in the UK and around the world. That -- you can't turn that on in a crisis. You know, we didn't make a vaccine in 12 months. We made a vaccine because for years before all governments in the UK, of any colour, I would argue as well the Wellcome Trust, the charitable sector, philanthropy, have invested in basic science, in people, in teams and institutions, and if you look through the development of the Oxford-AstraZeneca vaccine, if you look at COG-UK, building off years of work at the

1 their own turf?

A. No, no, I wouldn't -- no, sorry. Let me clarify.
I think in that sort of red group you could easily have
a broader sector of society, civic society, industry,
people, yes, with expertise and understanding of public
health, but also others who would bring a different
perspective.

Q. Right.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

25

11

12

Sir Jeremy, can I ask you now about your experience, please, of some of the more specialist scientific committees concerned with pathogenic outbreaks.

Have you had dealings over the years with HAIRS, the

Human Animal Infections and Risk Surveillance committee, or ACDP, the Advisory Committee on Dangerous Pathogens, or on the now abolished NEPNEI? Are those committees, although you weren't in government, with which you've had any experience?

A. No, I was not part of any of those. The greater
 experience I had was actually outside the UK, as you
 mentioned, being the founding chair of the
 WHO R&D Blueprint, advisory and WHO committees and other

governments, but I was never involved in any of those that you mention there.

23 that you mention

24 Q. All right.

You've referred in the course of your evidence to

- 18

1 RECOVERY Trial, if you look at when local authorities 2 and others through public health got involved in their 3 communities, through ownership and knowledge of those 4 communities, those were absolutely world leading. Those 5 are the results of decades of investment in fundamental 6 science and its translation, a brilliant regulator. 7 And HRA now, and when it was part of the EMA, is one of 8 the world's if not the world's best regulator. They 9 were critical. Understanding ethics. 10 So this infrastructure in the UK is something the UK

should be incredibly proud of, must maintain, and has a critical role to play internationally.

Q. Is that a capability without which it is impossible to

13 Q. Is that a capability without which it is impossible to
14 scale up the necessary medical and clinical responses in
15 the event of a pandemic? Is that something which must
16 be maintained because, without it, we would not have the
17 building blocks to be able to mount a defence in the
18 event of a pandemic?

A. Absolutely agree with that. As I say, the vaccine was not made in a year. If you don't maintain that capacity, and if that capacity isn't valued, isn't funded -- it's not providing value and utility all of the time. We should remember that the

24 Oxford-AstraZeneca vaccine came off a team,

25 Sarah Gilbert, Andy Pollard and many others had been

working on those vaccines, they'd been working on typhoid vaccines, they'd been working on meningitis vaccines and were able to pivot. The RECOVERY Trial built off years of investment, particularly from the National Institutes of Health' research and the clinical trials capacity.

These are absolute jewels in the UK's crown. They could play, in my view, a bigger role internationally, but you have to maintain them every Monday, every Tuesday, and you have to value them and they must provide value either in enhancing knowledge and our understanding of the world or in translation into products/countermeasures for people in a pandemic.

If we do not retain that scientific infrastructure, then the UK will be woefully underprepared to deal with today's challenges and tomorrow's inevitable epidemics.

Q. Does that infrastructure include matters such as having sufficient laboratory services, for example to be able to scale up mass diagnostic testing in the event of a new pandemic and perhaps a different testing device? What sort of laboratory infrastructure is required to be able to provide that building block to enable us to scale up in the next crisis?

A. The testing capacity in the first three months of 2020
 in the UK was woefully inadequate. It wasn't possible

to scale that up at the speed that was required and testing got way behind the speed of the epidemic. In epidemics and pandemics there is no point saying "We're quicker than we used to be" if you're slower than the speed of the epidemic, and if you get behind that curve, you'll really struggle to catch up. Remembering that exponential growth, doubling time every two days means, even 48 hours later, you've lost -- you've got behind the curve, and the data you have today is in the rear view mirror, it's what happened yesterday that you're seeing, not what happened today.

So unless you have that diagnostic capacity -- I would personally like to see a much closer interrelationship between what we call public health, public health laboratories, clinical and NHS facilities, and the broad and very strong research environment in the UK.

Often these are almost competing with each other rather than seeing themselves as part of a common approach, and I think to forge together public health laboratories, local authorities, hospitals and clinical facilities, general practice, primary care and the research endeavour, and make sure that those are working together outside the pandemic and can much better work together in a pandemic, would be a huge progress in

terms of our ability to have the resilience in thefuture.

Q. So drawing those threads together, Sir Jeremy, would you
 agree that both in terms of research capacity but also
 infrastructure, laboratories, technicians and the like,
 is vital --

7 A. Yes --

Q. I'm sorry, I hadn't quite finished -- it's vital that capability is maintained for not just diagnostic testing but antivirals and also vaccines, to which you've referred? So this is a capability which must be maintained across the board for those three pillars, if you like, of pathogenic outbreak response?

A. Yeah. I would actually add to that, the social science, the behavioural science must be integrated as part of that, not some separate thing that goes on in other conversations. But we must maintain that all the time.

The only way to maintain it, and if we're not to repeat the lessons of all of the epidemics I mentioned at the start, the only way we can maintain this is if it is integrated into services and health provision, prevention and treatment every Monday, every Tuesday, every week, every month, because after every previous epidemic, after Ebola, the world said "Never again, we must build these capacities", and sadly one or two or

three years later a pandemic hasn't happened and they start to be cut. So my view is, instead of creating yet more vertical structures that will somehow be there when a pandemic strikes, build it into systems that are of use every day, people are using them, they provide value to communities, and then they can pivot when it's necessary.

If we look at COG-UK as a good example, established by Sharon Peacock, it was building off years of public interest in genomics and the Wellcome Trust's genome centre and institutes like the Crick, Edinburgh, Glasgow, Manchester and others, Birkbeck, these are critical infrastructures, they're providing value and utility all the time and they have, critically, the people who can pivot when necessary. We must not lose this capacity.

Q. Turning now, finally, to a more administrative or governmental angle, and the issue of countermeasures, is it your view that further work is also required to be done in terms of thinking about, evaluating, working out the consequences of the policy interventions with which we're now all only too familiar, such as quarantining, social distancing, the efficacy of face masks, airport screening and so on, all of which, of course, came very much into focus during Covid, but perhaps have not been

developed in terms of the thinking as far as they might be?

A. Yes, I do agree with that, and having listened to some previous interventions and the concern that everything was based around a potential flu pandemic and no other thinking, the things I would say on that: flu remains the number one biggest risk, but we should be thinking beyond flu, in terms of a crisis management system that would be agnostic to what the event was, it could be respiratory, most likely, it could be sexual, it could be through the gastrointestinal -- there's all sorts of scenarios, and instead of getting plugged in to a single outcome which we feel comfortable with, perhaps more important is to think, whilst we will focus on flu, because it's hugely important and is the most -- the highest risk and it remains so, that nevertheless we must have a system which allows us actually to cope with whatever is thrown at us and have the resilience and the spare capacity and not the whole system stretched to its very limit in order not to be able to respond when the demand increases.

So I would like to think more broadly, more like an incident management group than a flu-specific group, without losing the knowledge that flu remains a huge -- a huge risk to us all.

the efficacy of face masks, putting aside how effective they actually were?

So was there a standing scientific consensus on the efficacy of face masks pre-Covid? And to what extent had thinking on face masks developed pre-Covid?

A. I think in the UK that consensus did not exist, and the effectiveness as well as the efficacy of face masks I do not believe in the UK there was a consensus on that.

Having spent 20 -- almost 20 years living in Vietnam through SARS and H5N1, and then watching very closely and being very involved in the responses in China, in Korea, in Vietnam and Singapore, four countries that had previously dealt with SARS-1 and with other emergencies, I think if you asked there, there was a clear consensus amongst the decision-makers, and indeed the scientists and healthcare workers, that face masks had a role in contributing to the public health intervention.

In public health there's rarely a magic bullet.

Public health -- the analogy of the Swiss cheese model of having multiple interventions is crucial. If you're expecting face masks to give you 95 protection against something, you won't get it. But as part of a series of interventions which includes face masks, includes hand washing and includes clean air and spacing between individuals, and then when you have the countermeasures

Those policy interventions that you talk about need a discussion at societal level. The word "lockdown", none of us had heard of it before about February or March of 2020. The implications of it are huge, and longstanding. We should be able to debate those in the interepidemic periods and come to a societal discussion about what we're going to prioritise, what we're going to protect and what we may have to do in order to prevent an event like Covid-19 happening again.

Q. Sir Jeremy, thank you very much.

My Lady, I believe you granted permission to Welsh Covid Bereaved for an issue or issues surrounding the question of face masks to be explored through my own examination

Sir Jeremy, could I return you, please, to the issue of face masks. The issue of face masks and their efficacy is very much going to be a matter for consideration in my Lady's Module 2 in this Inquiry, because of course there was a huge debate about face masks once Covid had hit. But in the context of preparedness, may I ask you this: to what extent pre-Covid was the wearing of face masks an issue which was thought about, sufficiently developed, and views reached upon? And an associated question: to what extent was there, pre-Covid, a scientific consensus on

you're talking about, diagnostic tests, treatment and vaccines, together they create a Swiss cheese model of what our public health is. Each one contributes a percentage. None of them on their own contribute enough to change the dynamic of a pandemic, but together they can have a very profound impact.

When you talk about countermeasures, often we talk about countermeasures in terms of therapeutics and vaccines and diagnostic testing, but countermeasures need to be seen in the full Swiss cheese model, they need to include social distancing, they need to include masks, they need to include hand washing, they need to include in other epidemic potentials other interventions, for instance, in HIV, condoms, et cetera.

So I think we would be wiser -- and the evidence base on face masks, unfortunately whilst we had large clinical trials of therapeutics, the RECOVERY Trial, we had the vaccine trials, we missed an opportunity during the pandemic to gather robust, strong prospective data on non-pharmaceutical interventions, which are a critical component of any response to an epidemic and pandemic until you have those countermeasures that will then change the course of the pandemic.

So what I would plead for is we don't see countermeasures just as something you inject into

5 6

11

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

1	somebody's arm or you take as a tablet, but we see
2	countermeasures in a Swiss cheese model of public health
3	which integrates them all and we find the evidence for
4	how they work on their own and we find the evidence for
5	how they work together.
6	MR KEITH: Sir Jeremy, thank you very much indeed.
7	LADV HALLETTE Sir Joromy thank you you much indeed for

LADY HALLETT: Sir Jeremy, thank you very much indeed for your help, it's been extremely interesting and we're very grateful to you. Thank you.

10 **THE WITNESS:** I wish you the very best with the public inquiry and offer all the support we can to it. The 11 lessons must be learned and we must never be there 12 13 again.

LADY HALLETT: Thank you. 14

8

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

15 (The witness withdrew)

16 MR KEITH: My Lady, I think that we can proceed to the next 17 witness straightaway. If somebody would be good enough to sever the link with Sir Jeremy, we will return to the 18 19 witness box.

20 LADY HALLETT: Just before Ms Sturgeon gives evidence 21 I would like to apologise to those who were 22 inconvenienced by the fact we didn't call Ms Calderwood 23 on Wednesday morning. It was due entirely to unforeseen 24 circumstances, and I can assure people we gave everyone 25 as much notice as we could, and as we got in fact. So

> suffering continues to this day, and there is not a day that passes that I don't think about that.

Secondly, I want to convey my thanks to all those who contributed to the national response, obviously to our health and care workforce but to all of those across the public, voluntary and private sectors, and of course to the general public who did everything that was asked of them and made extraordinary sacrifices.

Finally, my Lady, I know that every day the government I led did our best to take the best possible decisions, but equally I know that we did not get everything right. The learning from the pandemic is of critical importance, and this public inquiry has a central role to play in ensuring that those lessons are learned, and therefore I appreciate the opportunity to be here before you for the first occasion today.

Thank you very much.

MR KEITH: Thank you, Ms Sturgeon.

You say the first occasion because, of course, it's known to my Lady but not necessarily the wider world that you will be giving evidence again before this Inquiry for the purposes of Module 2A, which will be the module that will be more particularly concerned with the response to the pandemic once, of course, it had struck.

Ms Sturgeon, you've provided, kindly, a witness

MR KEITH: Could you give the Inquiry, please, your full 7 8

apologies to anybody ...

MR KEITH: My Lady, yes. LADY HALLETT: Mr Keith.

9 A. Nicola Sturgeon. 10 Q. Ms Sturgeon, thank you for offering your assistance and

MR KEITH: Could the witness be sworn, please.

providing it to this Inquiry. Whilst you give evidence, 12 could you please remember to keep your voice up so that

MS NICOLA STURGEON (affirmed)

Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY

13 we may all clearly hear what you have to say, and also

14 for our hard-working stenographer so that she can record 15 vour evidence.

16 A. Excuse me, my Lady, with your permission, would it be 17 possible to say a few words by way of introduction?

LADY HALLETT: You may. 18

19 A. Thank you.

> I am appearing at this public inquiry for the first time and, as the First Minister of Scotland for the duration of the pandemic, I wanted to take a brief opportunity to offer my sympathies and condolences to all those who have suffered as a result of Covid-19. The pandemic may be over but for very many people that

1 statement, dated, I think, 19 April 2023. We needn't

bring it up but it's a witness statement to which you

3 have appended your signature and a statement of truth.

4 Is that correct?

5 A. That's correct.

6 Q. You were, of course, as you've said, the First Minister 7 of Scotland, but earlier in your career you were Deputy 8 First Minister and Cabinet Secretary for Health, and, coincidentally, that was during the swine flu pandemic 9

10 which hit the United Kingdom in 2009; is that correct?

A. That is correct. 11

12 Q. So you would have become familiar with the exquisite 13 difficulties of dealing with the onset of a pandemic on 14 a country, and familiar with governmental response?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Just to get our bearings, that pandemic, H1N1, as we've heard, was, by the general standards of these things, 17 18 relatively mild, was it not?

19 A. Yes, indeed, milder, thankfully, than any of us had 20 expected at the outset of it.

21 Q. There were some 1,500 hospitalisations in Scotland, fortuitously no deaths, I believe, but of course there 22 23 were around about 17,000 deaths globally.

24 There may, therefore, be a limit as to what lessons could have been learnt from that milder pandemic, but 25

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

4

5

6

7

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 1 the Scottish Government commissioned a paper, did it
- 2 not, to review its own response to that pandemic?
- 3 A. We did, yes.
- 4 Q. Was that a report or a paper that you yourself 5 commissioned?
- 6 A. Yes, I believe I would have commissioned that as 7 Health Secretary at the time.
- 8 May we have, please, that paper on the screen,
- 9 INQ000102936. It's headed "Cabinet Sub-Committee on
- 10 Scottish Government Resilience, Influenza (H1N1)
- Pandemic Review of the Scottish Government Response", 11
- 12 and it was a "Paper by the Cabinet Secretary for Health
- 13 and Wellbeing". You were Deputy First Minister and
- 14 Cabinet Secretary for Health, and therefore may we
- 15 presume that was you?
- A. I'd have to check the date of it to see whether I was 16
- 17 still Health Secretary when that paper was produced, but
- I believe that would have been me, yes. 18
- 19 Q. I think the paper was produced in 2011. You were
- 20 Cabinet Secretary for Health until 19 May 2011.
- 21 A. I was Cabinet Secretary for Health into late 2012.
- 22 Q.

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 23 A. That would have been me in that case.
- 24 Q. Yes, you were Cabinet Secretary for Health until
- 25 19 May 2011, and then you became Cabinet Secretary for

1 is correct, but certainly in relation to the second 2 bullet point there, what that seems to me to reflect is 3 something that was certainly true in the handling of the 4 H1N1 pandemic, is that the pandemic did not unfold in 5 the way that the plans and the modelling and the 6 reasonable worst-case scenario estimates had indicated 7 that it would, and that had relevance, I think, to what 8 we learned about the strength and weaknesses of

> So my reading of that is that that was a statement about the gap that opened up during the swine flu pandemic between what the plan told us would happen and

what in reality happened.

pre-pandemic planning.

13 14 Q. That issue, the divergence between risk assessment, 15 plan, identification of response and the reality of 16 a pandemic was an issue that continued to bedevil this 17 area of strategy planning, did it not?

A. Yes, it did. I think that is fair comment.

I also, having now, in different capacities, as we have been covering, been involved in the response to two pandemics, I to some extent think that there is an inevitability about that being a problem that will always exist to some extent because, there is no plan that will ever completely replicate what happens in reality when a pandemic unfortunately confronts us.

Health, Wellbeing and Cities Strategy thereafter?

- A. Thank you for reminding me.
- 3 Page 5 of the report refers, at the bottom of the page, 4 to "Planning Assumptions":

"Respondents recognised the limitations of modelling, however it was felt that it would have been helpful to have updated the planning assumptions more quickly to reflect the picture on the ground. In effect, reflecting the most likely scenario, rather than the worst case scenario. Respondents felt it would have been helpful for the process of testing the planning assumptions to be more explicit.

"The planning assumptions which were published did not hold much weight with responders on the grounds that they did not reflect what they were experiencing."

Ms Sturgeon, these references to the planning assumptions and to national and local responders' views as to how efficient or how useful they were, was that a reference to the broad governmental system by which risks are assessed, grouped together, and assumptions made for the purposes of planning as to how those risks should be addressed?

23 A. So my reading of these paragraphs, and, forgive me, 24 I would need to see these paragraphs in the context of 25 the whole paper to be certain that what I'm about to say

Q. Indeed.

At page 11, relatedly, in the middle of the page 2 3 there is this heading:

"Actions to be taken forward as part of the UK-wide Review into the influenza A (H1N1) response."

Was that a reference to the review which was carried out in fact by Dame Deirdre Hine?

8 A. That would have been a reference to the Hine review, yes. 9

10 Q. "We will oversee the work of the Review Team through 11 Scottish Government representation on the reference 12 group. We will consider the implications for Scotland 13 of the emerging findings, specifically those relating 14 to ..."

Then the bottom bullet point:

"Future iterations of the Pandemic Flu Framework."

Was that reference to "Pandemic Flu Framework" a reference to the then pre-existing Scottish strategy for dealing with pandemic flu and also the prospective, the anticipated United Kingdom strategy for dealing with influenza pandemic, which we'll come to in a moment?

22 A. So I suspect that that would have been effectively both 23 of those things, it would have been a reference to 24 whatever pandemic flu framework was in existence at the

time, the pre-existing Scottish Government one and then 25

36

what became the UK-wide pandemic flu preparedness plan in 2011.

Q. Thereafter, Ms Sturgeon, as my Lady has heard in the course of evidence, under the four nations approach, the United Kingdom drew up and disseminated a new 2011 strategy, and that is or became the sole strategy for dealing with pandemics, and it was, of course, a strategy which, on its face, dealt only with influenza pandemic.

There was a commitment there in that review by the Scottish Government to keeping future iterations of the pandemic strategy under review, but to a very large extent that did not happen, did it?

A. The 2011 four nations plan was not updated. Now, for that to have been updated on a four nations basis would obviously have required the engagement of all four governments. In my view, and this takes us to the heart of some of the most important lessons I learned from the swine flu pandemic, had that plan been updated I do not necessarily think it would have changed substantially. I think I heard Professor Sir Chris Whitty make a similar point to you last week. A review, refresh, different iteration of that would not have changed necessarily the fundamental assumptions or planning or modelling at the heart of it.

Q. As it turned out, Ms Sturgeon, the reality was that the plan, the strategy, the 2011 document required not just tweaking -- and it may well be that it wouldn't have just stopped at tweaking had it been significantly revised -- it was and has been described by a variety of different witnesses as wholly inadequate.
Strategically. Do you accept that there is now a much clearer understanding as to the nature and the degree of the inadequacy of that document?

- 10 A. So, yes, and if I may, I'll perhaps try to break that11 down briefly into two parts --
- 12 Q. Please.

13 A. -- and perhaps give a little bit more explanation for myuse of the term "tweak".

Had a process to update that plan focused on updating an influenza preparedness plan, I can't be sure about this, but I do not believe it would have changed significantly, because it would still have been a plan dealing with the potential for a flu pandemic. Had it been a process signed to turn a flu plan into a plan that was looking at a different range of pandemics, that may have been a more substantive exercise.

In terms of your question: do I accept that the plan was inadequate? In summary, yes, the plan was for a different type of pandemic than the one we

In brief, the two lessons that I took from swine flu in relation to plans were, firstly, and I've already touched on this, the importance of any plan being adaptable and flexible when it first confronts reality. In summary, what happened in swine flu was that as the pandemic, thankfully, turned out to be milder than we had anticipated, there was a period when the governments tried to make the pandemic fit the plan rather than adapt the plan to the pandemic. So flexibility is the first point.

The second point I guess relates to that, is the importance of whatever is on the paper in the form of a plan, there is work done to operationalise and test that plan.

I'm sure we'll come on to some questions around Exercise Cygnus on a UK basis, Silver Swan on a Scottish basis, to a lesser extent because it was looking at MERS, SARS, rather than flu, Exercise Iris. But the work that was done through these exercises and the work that was done in Scotland by local resilience partnerships sitting underneath our regional resilience partnerships in my view was more important than having tweaked versions of a plan that was only ever going to be a template for the situation that we ultimately found ourselves dealing with.

unfortunately were confronted with. What I would say in addition, though, is that that does not mean no part of that plan was useful in any way, because some of the consequence planning for a pandemic -- I mean, there are some, as we know and we'll come on to, no doubt, today and in future modules, significant differences with significant consequences between flu and what we ended up dealing with in terms of a coronavirus pandemic. But some of the consequences were similar. So I would, I guess, push back a little bit against the notion that there was nothing in the flu planning that served us any purpose at all when it came to Covid-19.

Q. You would accept, I think, that there was no plan for
 non-influenza pandemic, at least on its own face;
 correct?

A. No, that is absolutely the case. That is not to say that there was no thinking within governments around non-influenza diseases, you know, high-consequence infectious diseases. Exercise Iris, which was a Scottish Government exercise, looked at that specifically. What there wasn't, and I think this is the significant gap, is there was no set plan, which, as I say, is not the same as saying there was no thinking, into how we dealt with a pandemic that had features and characteristics of flu in terms of transmissibility, but

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

4

also the severity and the -- what we came to understand in terms of the asymptomatic transmission of Covid-19.

So if you look at Exercise Iris, it was looking at a MERS/SARS type incident, but not a pandemic, one that was small and very contained.

6 Q. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

- 7 A. So I would readily accept that there was a gap in terms 8 of the pandemic we ultimately were dealing with, but, as 9 I say, that does not mean the plan that was in place had 10 no utility at all.
- No, and I'm not suggesting it had no utility. The plan 11 12 on its face called for flexible --
- 13 A. Yeah.
- 14 Q. -- application, it called for flexibility, it proclaimed 15 the fact that viral respiratory pathogenic outbreaks are 16 by their nature inherently unpredictable, and therefore 17 that the plan should be applied to non-influenza 18 pandemics. But there was no development of that 19 thinking, was there, in the plan? There was no debate 20 about what those inherently unpredictable 21 characteristics might consist of, the differences in 22 transmission rate, or viral load or severity or 23 incubation period.
- 24 That's correct, isn't it?
- 25 A. That is correct. That said, and I -- obviously I'm not

1 have been a much closer and clearer debate about the 2

necessary countermeasures, for example mass diagnostic

3 testing, mass contact tracing, social restrictions,

4 quarantining and so on, and that debate was wholly 5

- absent, wasn't it, from that strategy and from all the
- 6 contemporaneous material?
- 7 A. Much of that, yes, was absent from that. So I do think
 - that is fair, and yes, I -- with retrospect and in
- 9 hindsight, I think we would all have benefitted from
- 10 much earlier discussion around some of these things.
- I suppose the only point I'm making, maybe this comes 11
- 12 from too many years in government, not now obviously, is
- 13 I think there is a real danger in putting
- 14 an overemphasis on plans, there is a -- there is often
- 15 a tendency in government to say, "Well, we have a plan,
- 16 it sits on the shelf, and so we've done the
- 17 preparation", and it's what -- as I think you're putting
- 18 to me fairly, it's what lies underneath that, and had
- 19 there been a plan that looked at the range of pandemics
- 20 other than flu, then yes, it is possible that we would
- 21 have had greater discussion around some of the elements
- 22 that of course came very much to the fore when Covid
- 23 struck.

8

24 Q. The reason I put the question to you, Ms Sturgeon, the 25 way that I did was to respond to your suggestion that

43

a scientific clinical expert in any way, shape or form, but it may have been difficult to capture the range of possibilities that you -- you've just alluded to there in a single plan.

I think the other point I would make about the utility or otherwise of plans, had the flu plan been reviewed and turned into something that was looking at pandemics or the potential pandemics more widely, whether that plan would have served its purpose would have depended on the capabilities that lay underneath that plan.

So I'm straying slightly perhaps into future modules here, but for me the questions in my mind, literally every day, are not so much did we lack a plan, but did we lack the capabilities for dealing with a pandemic of the nature of Covid-19. And obviously I'm talking there about contact tracing, testing, infrastructure in particular.

19 Indeed. But you would accept, Ms Sturgeon, that had the 20 plan focused more plainly, more clearly on the inherent 21 unpredictability of viral respiratory pathogens and 22 their characteristics, and identified that the next 23 pandemic might have different characteristics to 24 influenza, in terms of transmission rate, incubation 25 period, viral load, severity, it is likely there would

the strategic, acknowledged strategic flaws in the plan

42

- 2 may not have mattered because what matters more is 3
- capability.

A. Absolutely.

- 5 **Q.** My point to you is: had there been a proper development
- 6 of the issues of the required countermeasures necessary 7 to meet properly identified risks of non-influenza
- 8 pandemics in that document, that capability is likely to
- 9 have been better developed by the time Covid struck?
- 10 A. I think that is fair, and I would accept that. I think 11 it would come down to how precise some of those other --
- 12
- 13 -- predictions or models had been, but I think that is 14 a fair comment to put to me.
- 15 Q. Your point about the danger in government of selecting 16 a plan in the reasonable expectation that it will do 17 what it says on the tin and it will meet the need of the
- 18 exigency or emergency which has arisen, would you agree
- 19 that that plan tended to focus upon managing the
- 20 catastrophic consequences of a pandemic influenza as
- 21 opposed to trying to prevent those catastrophic
- 22 consequences from developing in the first place?
- 23 A. I'm not sure I do entirely agree with that, and again 24 I'm perhaps straying from your question being anchored
- 25 in the flu preparedness plan and projecting a little bit

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

to some of the commentary that's been made around the handling of Covid. I suppose -- you know, there is a question in my mind, in the context of a pandemic, what do we mean by prevention. I think there is a question about whether any single country at a population level could prevent, ie stop, a pandemic happening. Clearly there are measures at an individual level that people try to take to prevent themselves getting it. But in the context of a pandemic, it is -and I can only speak for myself and the Scottish Government here -- it was never the case when Covid struck that we just accepted as a given that a reasonable worst-case scenario was going to unfold. It was our determination from the outset to do everything we could, and I think that is what prevention means in the pandemic context, to suppress it to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

The questions I think that are really important for us all to consider very, very frankly is: could or should we have done more to suppress to the maximum Covid? But speaking on behalf of the government I led at the time, it was never the case that we simply accepted there is a level of harm that is going to be done by this virus and we accept that. We were always -- in fact it became, later on, one of the points

What I was seeking to address was this notion, either in the flu plan or later in Covid, that there was simply an acceptance of a level of consequence. I think, forgive me, I can't remember the precise text in the 2011 flu plan, but I think there is commentary in it that reasonable worst-case scenario are not necessarily things you accept, they don't take account of the countermeasures that you take to try to reduce that. So either in that plan or in the eventual handling of Covid, I -- speaking from my own perspective, it was not simply a, "Here's a level of consequence that we accept that we can't do anything about". I do think -- and this goes to your point about mass testing and contact tracing -- the question, very

responsible government it can ever, in a context like this, be either trying to suppress or dealing with the consequences. You have to do both. And that is a feature of the planning as well.

- 23 A. Forgive me.
- 24 Q. But in relation, therefore, to the strategy, we appear 25 to be agreed that the strategy, because it proclaimed 47

1 of difference between the Scottish and the 2 UK Government, the extent to which we were still seeking 3 to suppress as opposed to live with the virus.

> So I don't accept that there was ever, certainly in my mind, an acceptance of a level of harm that we were, you know, willing to have unfold.

Q. That was not, however, my question, Ms Sturgeon. My question revolved around the strategy and whether you accepted that one of the unintended consequences of that strategy was that it tended to focus administrative concentration on trying to deal with the consequences of a catastrophic emergency rather than preventing it in the first place.

For example, you've already acknowledged that the absence of thinking on the two main methods by which catastrophic consequences can be prevented, mass diagnostic testing and mass contact tracing, were wholly absent from this strategic debate?

19 A. So forgive me if you thought I wasn't answering your 20 question, I was seeking to try to answer your question 21 but I perhaps went on to Covid more than the flu plan.

its ability to be applicable to non-influenza

pandemics -- whilst it proclaimed its ability to be

simply did not provide the thinking or the tools

what its approach was once it was struck by the

flexible and applicable to non-influenza pandemics,

necessary to be able to deal with them. I'm not asking

relation to how the Scottish Government did respond, and

pandemic, but in terms of the strategising, the planning

thinking and the development of the necessary tools was

absent from the sole strategy document that was meant to

and the preparedness at an overarching level, that

you again about and I'm not seeking your answer in

I think one of your questions, which is reasonable, is the flu plan was looking at flu, and so some of what would have been in that plan had it been looking more widely was not there.

46

be applicable? 14 A. I think that is fair, yes.

15

LADY HALLETT: We're going to be breaking -- I'm sorry, we 16 17 have to take a break every so often, Ms Sturgeon, for 18 the stenographer.

19 Would that be a suitable point? 20 MR KEITH: Very suitable, thank you, my Lady.

LADY HALLETT: Right, I shall return at 11.30. 21

22 (11.12 am)

23 (A short break)

24 (11.30 am)

25 MR KEITH: So, Ms Sturgeon, having been harnessed to the

48

legitimate, is: could or should we have done more to put ourselves in the ability of suppressing? It is also the case that I don't think for any 22 **Q.** I'm going to put that over to Module 2A, Ms Sturgeon.

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

24

25

1 2011 strategy, Scotland was of course aware that that 2 strategy was required to be refreshed or updated, and 3 you are aware, are you not, that one of the workstreams which was assigned to the Pandemic Flu Readiness Board 4 5 in London and to the Pandemic Flu Preparedness Board in 6 Edinburgh was the job of updating that strategy, and it 7 never came to pass?

8 A. That's correct.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 9 Q. You agree that the reason why it never came to pass was 10 that it was one of the workstreams which was recognised to be necessary to be done and, because of the diversion 11 12 of time, energy and resources to the necessary 13 preparations for a no-deal EU exit, it happened to be 14 one of the workstreams that was paused?
 - A. Yes, that is correct. The prospect of a no-deal Brexit and the work that was required across all of the United Kingdom Governments to plan for Yellowhammer assumptions meant that a significant amount of time, energy and resource was diverted into that, from a range of other matters, and that was certainly one of the workstreams that suffered from that.

We may come on to this, I won't go into detail right now, that is not to say there was not continued work in the Scottish Government to prepare for a pandemic, although, as we've already covered, much of that was in

resources diverted from them.

The Scottish Cabinet discussed no-deal Brexit, Brexit generally and the potential for a no-deal Brexit on many different occasions. Brexit was obviously that was something happening completely against the will of the Scottish Government, so we were not at all happy about what they were having to do, but, to put it bluntly, we had no choice, because had a no-deal Brexit happened, and there were periods over 2019 where that was a distinct possibility, the consequences of that would have been very, very severe. The planning assumptions in Yellowhammer were grim, and extremely worrying.

So we had no alternative but to do that work to the best of our ability, and we have limited resources. All governments have limited resources. Within emergency planning we have, within that, limited specialisms and skills in particular areas. So it stands to reason that, with so much effort on that, there was going to be less resource available for other aspects of emergency planning.

22 Q. But resources were re-diverted from a number of 23 different parts of the Scottish Government. It wasn't, 24 I imagine, that resources were only re-diverted from 25 civil contingency planning?

1 the context of a flu pandemic.

2 Q. As you've rightly acknowledged, and as your then Director of Safer Communities, Gillian Russell, accepts 4 in her witness statement, a very significant amount of emergency planning time was spent on planning for 6 a no-deal EU exit, and therefore something had to give, and one of the things that had to give was some of the 8 work that was meant to be done for emergency planning.

May we ask you: to what extent was that difficult decision, the diversion of resources, debated at Cabinet level? It's apparent from a large number of documentation that the necessary diversion was ventilated at an administrative level, was acknowledged and accepted, and officials just had to get on with the job in hand with the resources that they had. But to what extent was that brought to your attention for the ultimate decision as to whether or not that diversion of resources away from emergency planning was appropriate? A. So I was very aware of the necessity to divert resources

from other priorities to plan for and look at the potential for a no-deal Brexit. It wasn't the case, to the best of my memory, that somebody came to me and said, "We need to divert resources from pandemic preparedness to this", but I would have known that there were many other aspects of emergency planning that had

A. No.

2 Q. You had to find the resource and the time and the energy 3 from somewhere in order to be able to do the necessary 4 preparations for a no-deal exit?

A. There was probably not, and forgive me if I'm slightly 5 6 oversimplifying this here, but there would not be many 7 if any areas of Scottish Government work that were not 8 impacted by the planning for a -- for Brexit generally 9 and a no-deal Brexit. So, in health, other than in 10 emergency planning, a lot of resource and energy looking 11 at some of the supply chain distributions, the 12 consequences for the health service staff of ending free 13 movement across the European Union, and education, 14 obviously, with universities around the education 15 programme, so every part of our work was impacted by 16 this and it was a matter of deep and extreme regret and 17 frustration for us at the time.

Q. The risk of a pandemic influenza was a Tier 1 risk in 18 19 the United Kingdom Government's risk register. 20 Presumably it was no less great a risk in the Scottish 21 risk register. It was identified as the greatest risk 22 facing the nation in the plethora of risks which any 23 nation faces.

> So would you agree that the diversion of resource and money and time from that issue, that area of

1 planning for the greatest risk which the country faced, 2 the Tier 1 influenza pandemic risk, was ultimately 3 a false economy, because although the consequences of a no-deal EU exit would have been extremely serious and 4 5 had to be mitigated, the one area from which it really 6 couldn't be said that resources should sensibly be drawn 7 would be the no less significant area of pandemic 8 preparedness?

9 A. I don't disagree with that. I think every aspect of 10 Brexit has been false economy, if I can put it mildly, 11 but that's another issue altogether.

12 Ms Sturgeon, I'm so sorry, that is a witness box not Q. 13 a soapbox, and we cannot allow --

14 Indeed

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

15 Q. -- the political debates of Brexit to be ventilated 16 here.

17 A. With respect, I think you're asking me questions here 18 that are very germane to the whole issue.

> So, yes, I think it was deeply regrettable that resources had to be diverted from any other area of work, and in particular pandemic preparedness. I also, though, would repeat a point I made earlier on, that it was -- certainly from the Scottish Government perspective, it was not the case that all preparation around the potential for a pandemic stopped. I would

1 need for perhaps a health forum across the 2 United Kingdom in which there could be a proper informed 3 debate at that level about pandemic preparedness, and 4 also, of course, between the civil services of the 5 devolved administrations. To what extent do you believe 6 that the working relationships in relation to pandemic 7 preparedness worked across the devolved administration 8 in UK level?

A. I think they worked reasonably well in general terms. I think they remained too ad hoc, and didn't become, as the Hine review would have recommended, more embedded in a very systemic way. I know, and this was certainly true at the outset of Covid, the working relationship between the four CMOs was very good and very strong and Scotland's CMO at the time fed lots of information and thinking from those discussions into the decisions we were taking. Discussions and relationships between health ministers would vary, I think, from my perspective over the years. Often, as will sometimes be the case, depend on the individuals concerned, which is more of a feature when they are ad hoc arrangements rather than embedded.

Overall, though, I think, in the context of intergovernmental relations, work around -- in swine flu and from swine flu, leading up to the beginning of 55

1 imagine you will ask me later in the session about 2 Exercise Silver Swan.

3 Q. Yes.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

4 A. That was one of the key elements of work in different work strands out of that, of course. So all of that was 5 6 deeply serious. The point I'm making is that we had 7 little alternative but to do that. The consequences of 8 a no-deal Brexit compared to what we faced with Covid, 9 of course, pale into insignificance, but at the time, 10 looking at the Yellowhammer assumptions, had they come 11 to pass they would have been severe. We were talking 12 about availability of food and, you know, the shops and 13 medicines for the National Health Service. So we had no 14 choice but to do that planning. I deeply regret any 15 implications that had for our emergency planning in 16 other areas.

17 Q. Thank you, that's very clear.

> Just turning now to the broad issue of the relations between Scotland and Westminster in terms of preparedness planning, many of the recommendations which had come out of the Hine review, to which you referred earlier, insofar as Scotland was concerned and the other devolved administrations, revolved around the need for a proper framework for communication, both at CMO level, the Chief Medical Officer level, and the DCMO level, the

Covid, I think relatively speaking they worked well.

2 Q. Presumably an informal system of communication depends 3 too much on personal inclination, personal relations and 4 perhaps ministerial whim as to whether or not the 5 meetings take place. Did you ever get to the point 6 where you believed that there had to be a significant 7 effort made to formalise those working relationships, or 8 did it never get to that state?

9 A. I think it frequently gets to that stage in various 10 discussions, and in this context, yes, I think it would 11 be better if we had working relationships that were more 12 systemised and embedded and that would then transcend 13 different individuals.

That said, processes will not work, however embedded they are, if they don't have good faith and the right mindsets and attitudes behind them. So in terms of the working between the four nations in this context or indeed any context, it's a combination of all of these things that is required, but certainly more of an embedded structure that is then respected by all of the governments at play I think would be a step in the right direction.

23 LADY HALLETT: Ms Sturgeon, how do you get past -- I don't know if you heard Jeremy Hunt come out with a brutally frank answer, which was that when he was Secretary of

1 State for Health here, for England, he found that party 2 politics got in the way of the relationship between 3 ministers for health in the various administrations?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

5

8

9

10

18

A. So I think that can happen and I think it has happened. I also think it's possible to overstate the extent to which that happens.

In my experience, forgive me, just briefly to go back to swine flu, I, as Scottish Health Secretary at the start of swine flu, Alan Johnson was Health Secretary for England, then replaced by Andy Burnham, I had a very good working relationship with both of those, and different political perspectives at play there. So I think if the attitudes and the mindsets are correct, particularly in the context of a health emergency, political differences shouldn't get in the way, but of course that is going to depend from time to time on the different personalities involved.

And -- forgive me, I'm not going to stray off the topic here, but inevitably that will be influenced, it shouldn't be, but it will be influenced by the wider political context at the time, and perhaps Brexit has an impact there in terms of setting the overall tone for some of these intergovernmental relationships.

MR KEITH: You lent your considerable authority to a review of United Kingdom and national intergovernmental

relations, did you not? 1

2 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

22

23

24

25

Q. Post-Covid, there is now a structure which provides, I think, for a devolved governments council, for interministerial groups to meet. I think there is an interministerial standing committee, or some sort of committee, and a secretariat, intergovernmental relation

Do you know whether or not that committee structure has borne fruit yet? Is it something which, as First Minister, you attended whilst you were in office?

Those new arrangements are very much in their infancy 12 A. 13 and were even more in their infancy while I was still 14 First Minister, so I think in many respects it remains 15 to be seen the extent to which they improve the overall 16 working relationship.

> I come back to a point I made earlier. I think systems and processes are really important, but they will only work if all of the parties participating in them participate in the right spirit and attitude, and that, in intergovernmental relations, is often where it breaks down, and I've been, as First Minister and for years before that as Deputy First Minister, involved in a range of different iterations, joint ministerial councils, these new arrangements, and they will work if

1 everybody around the table is there in the right spirit.

Q. My Lady heard evidence from Oliver Dowden, the Deputy

3 Prime Minister, about how both before but I think

4 boosted by the national Resilience Framework and its

publication by the United Kingdom Government in

6 December 2022, there had been set up a UK resilience

7 forum to discuss important issues relating to

cross-United Kingdom resilience and civil contingency

arrangements. The Scottish Government attended the

first UK Resilience Forum, as did UK ministers, on

11 14 July 2021, but the Scottish Government was absent,

12 it's listed as an absent participant, in May 2022 and 13

February 2023. So it missed -- it has simply not

14 attended two of the three UK Resilience Forum meetings.

15 Do you happen to know why that is so?

16 A. I don't know for certain that it is the case,

17 I appreciate you're -- but I understand there may be

some dubiety about whether we were in fact present on

19 one of these occasions, but that's not something I can

20 answer for you with certainty --

21 Q. Well, you were present on the first meeting --

22 Α. The Scottish Government was present, I personally wasn't

23 present. I understand from my own colleagues that there 24

is some uncertainty as to whether we were present at the

25 second one or not. I know the minutes suggest that we 1 weren't, but that's not an issue I can resolve for you 2 right now.

> That resilience forum I think is an important opportunity for the four nations to come together. Its remit, although again it's a forum in its relatively early stages, seems to be similar to, perhaps not identical to the Scottish Resilience Partnership, which is also a strategic overview. So certainly the ability to have a four nations forum that our own operations can feed into is certainly a useful one. I cannot answer why the Scottish Government -- I can get that information for you, I cannot answer here why we weren't present, if indeed we weren't present, but that is something I would certainly encourage ministers now to take part in.

16 Q. Thank you.

17 The minutes, I should say, for both 18 3 May 2022 and '23 do state in terms that the 19 Scottish Government was wholly absent.

20 A. Can I say, I wasn't questioning that particular point.

21 Q. All right.

> Now, the exercises, Silver Swan, Cygnus and Iris. The Exercise Cygnus exercise was a United Kingdom exercise delivered by Public Health England. It wasn't, therefore, focused centrally on Scotland. Scotland 60

7

8

9

10

played an important part and attended it, and members of the Scottish Government were present during the exercise itself.

Do you recall, whilst First Minister, debate about the extent to which the recommendations from Exercise Cygnus had been implemented? There is evidence, I should say, before my Lady that on a UK level many of the recommendations were by the time of Covid not implemented wholly. Some were in part implemented, some were not implemented at all, some were complete.

What was the position with Scotland?

- 13 A. As I understand it -- so the first part of your
- 14 question, would I have had an awareness, I would have
- 15 had a general awareness of exercises and the
- 16 Scottish Government working to implement recommendations
- 17 that were relevant to us. I wouldn't have been closely
- 18 involved on a day-to-day basis with that in detail. My
- 19 understanding is that of the, I think,
- 20 22 recommendations out of Exercise Cygnus, the
- 21 Scottish Government assessed all of them for their
- 22 applicability or relevance to Scotland --
- 23 Q. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- 24 A. -- and I believe at the time Covid struck us there were
- 25 14 of those complete and eight outstanding. Some of

- 1 Q. In March of 2018. Was that the exercise that was 2 concerned with an assumed outbreak of MERS?
- 3 A.

23

- 4 Q. What, Ms Sturgeon, was the outcome of that exercise in 5 terms of the implementation of recommendations?
- 6 A. That was very much ongoing at the time Covid struck.
- 7 Obviously that exercise was the latest of the three that
- 8 we're referring to right now, I think took place in
- 9 2018. There were, I think -- of the 13 recommendations
- 10 in it, there were four that had been completed,
- 11 a number, two I think, that were ongoing, and then some
- 12 others were paused when Covid came along, for -- when we
- 13 look at some of them, for understandable reasons,
- 14 because the system was dealing with a real pandemic at
- 15 that time and it would not have made sense to go
- 16 forwards in a separate track with the recommendations
- 17 from Iris. But Iris, partly because of when it
- 18 happened, is the one where, at the outset of Covid,
- 19 there were most of the recommendations still
- 20 outstanding. Or more than in the other exercises.
- 21 Q. I think it's fair to say, Ms Sturgeon, that there were
- 22 no single workstreams which were of great importance
- which were wholly uncompleted. So although there were, 24 I think, three areas where work had not been completed,
- 25 other aspects of those workstreams had been completed.

those would have been for the UK Government to take the

- 2 lead on. I believe one on social care was paused by
- 3 the UK Government due to Brexit, something we've already 4 discussed. There was another around pandemic guidance
- that the UK Government was to take the lead on, but that 5
- 6 hadn't been progressed.

I think the other point I would make about this is, and in relation to both those recommendations that I've mentioned, there would have been analogous recommendations in Silver Swan that Scotland was

11 pursuing. So, on --

- 12 Q. Yes.
- 13 A. -- social care there was a recommendation there about
- 14 social care contracts, business continuity, that we had
- 15 considered complete, and in terms of pandemic guidance,
- 16 with one exception -- which was updated guidance for
- 17 health and social care that had been out for
- consultation at the end of 2019, but other than that --18
- 19 the recommendations in Silver Swan for updating guidance
- 20 had been taken forward.
- 21 Q. In relation to Exercise Iris, that was a one-day
- 22 exercise, was that a tabletop exercise?
- 23 A. Yes, it was.
- 24 Q. That was a Scottish exercise?
- 25 Yes

62

- Are you still referring to Exercise Iris?
- 2 O. Yes.
- 3 A. Yes
- 4 So in relation to, I think, updating guidance in
- 5 relation to MERS CoV, which obviously is not of great
- 6 significance, perhaps, in terms of dealing with Covid,
- 7 certain work to do with readying NHS boards to deal with
- 8 the potential impact of contact tracing and community
- sampling during an HCID outbreak, and also finishing off 9
- 10 the fit testing for particular types of PPE; were those
- 11
- the broad areas that were still outstanding?
- 12 A. Yes, that is correct, and some of the PPE
- 13 recommendations around fit testing initially came from
- 14 Silver Swan, but there were similar recommendations out
- 15 of Exercise Iris as well.
- Q. Coming back to Silver Swan, which I acknowledge was 16
- 17 before Iris, but the reason I'm coming to that last is
- 18 for the importance of one of the workstreams which came
- 19 out of Silver Swan, the -- I think of the
- 20 17 recommendations, 13 were considered by the
- 21 Scottish Government to be complete, but an important
- 22 area was pandemic guidance for the health and social
- 23 care sector. Was that ever completed, even though
- 24 Silver Swan was in 2015?
- 25 **A**. The specific guidance for health and social care had not

of 2019, and therefore hadn't been finalised and signed off. The recommendation in Exercise Silver Swan a signed off. The recommendation in Exercise Silver Swan issue of the failure to complete the twork done in the adult social care sector that let b that conclusion? that one piece of guidance, and all of the other aspects that one piece of guidance, and all of the other aspects that one piece of guidance, and all of the other aspects that one piece of guidance, and all of the other aspects that one piece of guidance, and all of the other aspects that one piece of guidance, and all of the other aspects that the conformation of the same that would be part of it. I think — saving what let of those controls. I would say my view would be that that would be part of it. I think — published and releveed. Guidance on dealing with mass fattleties, guidance on death certification, pandemic 9 fattlatiles, guidance on death certification, pandemic 9 fattlatiles, guidance on death certification pandemic 9 fattlatiles, guidance on death certification and 10 availability and distribution, so I wouldn't — I'm not sure I would pare that was not preceived in the consultative stage. 12 those conclusions but certainly it would have been one of guidance had been completed. The one outstanding 12 those conclusions but certainly it would have been one of them. Perhaps for completeness I should say that the habitat and social care, which was still at the 14 that Audit Scolland report also did comment that the consultative stage. 15 Scottish Government responded quickly to Covid when it confronted us. 16 CQ. Ves. That was an important part of Silver Swan. It was the correct that the sum of the pandemic pandemic guidance for health and social care, which was still and the value of the pandemic pandemic guidance for health and social care, which was still and social care, the form the Covid pandemic of the pandemic it based its initial 24 respond to the Covid-19 pandemic, it based its initial 24 respond to the Covid-19 pandemic, it base	1		been completed, it was out for consultation at the end	1		did not fully implement improvements identified during
signed off. The recommendation in Exercise Silver Swan around pandemic guidance, though, incorporated more than that we took forward had been completed. So NHS that we took forward had been completed. So NHS standards for organisational resilience had been published and reviewed. Guidance on dealing with mass published and reviewed. Guidance on dealing with mass fatalities, guidance on dealing with mass fatalities, guidance on dealing with mass fatalities, guidance on death certification, pandemic flu guidance for infection prevention and control and pandemic flu communications guidance, these other bits of guidance had been completed. The one outstanding part was the response and guidance documentation for part was the response and guidance documentation for guidance had been completed. The one outstanding part was the response and guidance documentation for guidance had been completed been one guidance solution to the control and part was the response and guidance documentation for guidance had been completed. The one outstanding part was the response and guidance documentation for guidance had been completed. The one outstanding part was the response and guidance documentation for guidance had been completed. The one outstanding part was the response and guidance documentation for guidance had been completed by the time of list, and it wasn't complete by the time of list, and it wasn't wasn't complete by the time of list, and it wasn't wasn't complete by the time of list, and it wasn't complete by the time of list, and it wasn't complete by the time of list, and it wasn't complete by the time of list, and it wasn't complete by the time of list, and it wasn't complete by the time of list, and it wasn't complete by the time of list, and it wasn't complete by the time of list, and it wasn't complete by the time of list, and it wasn't complete by the time of list, and it wasn't complete by the time of list, and it wasn't complete by the time of list, and it wasn't complete by the time of list, and it wasn't comp						
around pandemic guidance, though, incorporated more than that one piece of guidance, and all of the other aspects that one piece of guidance, and all of the other aspects that to expect of the dubtor conclusions. I would say my standards for organisational resilience had been completed. So NHS 6 saying what led to those conclusions. I would say my standards for organisational resilience had been 7 view would be that that would be part of it. I think ~ view would be that that would be part of it. I think ~ view would be that that would be part of it. I think ~ view would be that that would be part of it. I think ~ view would be that that would be part of it. I think ~ view would be that that would be part of it. I think ~ view would be that that would be part of it. I think ~ view would be that that would be part of it. I think ~ view would be that that would be part of it. I think ~ view would be that that would be part of it. I think ~ view would be that that would be part of it. I think ~ view would be that that would be part of it. I think ~ view would be that that would be part of it. I think ~ view would be that that would be part of it. I think ~ view would be that that would be part of it. I think ~ view would be part of it. I think ~ view would be part of it. I think ~ view would be part of it. I think ~ view would be part of it. I think ~ view would be part of it. I think ~ view would be part of it. I think ~ view would be part of it. I think ~ view would be part of it. I think ~ view would be part of it. I think ~ view would be part of it. I think ~ view would be part of it. I think ~ view would are betted to or under of them. Part of view would be part of it. I think ~ view would be part of it. I think ~ view would be part of it. I think ~ view would be part of it. I think ~ view would be part of it. I think ~ view would be part of it. I think ~ view would be part of it. I think ~ view would be part of it. I think ~ view would be part of it. I think ~ view would be part of it. I think ~ view that hat						
that one piece of guidance, and all of the other aspects that we took forward had been completed. So NHS 6 saying what led to those conclusions. It would say my view would be that that would be part of it. I think standards for organisational resilience had been 7 view would be that that would be part of it. I think 18 published and reviewed. Guidance on dealing with mass 8 I know there were other issues raised in the 9 fatalities, guidance on death certification, pandemic 9 Audit Scotland report that you refer to around PPE, PPE 10 flu guidance for infection prevention and control and 10 availability and distribution, so I wouldn't I'm not 11 pandemic flu communications guidance, these other bits 11 sure I would agree that was the only issue that led to 12 of guidance had been completed. The noe outstanding 12 those conclusions but communications guidance, these other bits 11 sure I would agree that was the only issue that led to 13 part was the response and guidance documentation for 13 of them. Perhaps for completeness I should say that 14 that Audit Scotland report also did comment that the 14 that Audit Scotland report also did comment that the 15 Scottish Government report also did comment that the 15 Scottish Government report also did comment that the 16 Co. Yes. That was an important part of Silver Swan. It 16 Co. Yes. That was an important part of Silver Swan. It 17 Co. Ms Sturgeon, that of course is an issue of response, which you and I are both aware we're not addressing, we're dealing with preparedness. 19 which you and I are both aware we're not addressing, we're dealing with preparedness. 19 which you and I are both aware we're not addressing, we're dealing with preparedness. 19 Co. All right, Is that primarily why the Auditor General of 20 I may have been all tille unfair because paragraph 46 of that same report concludes: 20 Co. All right, Is that primarily why the Auditor General of 20 I may have been all pandemic guidance for health and social care." From which we deduce that that wa						
that we took forward had been completed. So NHS 5 sandards for organisational resilience had been 7 view would be that that would be part of it. I think — 9 published and reviewed. Guidance on dealing with mass 8 I know there were other issues raised in the 9 published and reviewed. Guidance on dealing with mass 8 I know there were other issues raised in the 9 pandemic flu guidance on death certification, pandemic 10 flu guidance for infection prevention and control and 11 pandemic flu communications guidance, these other bits 11 pandemic flu communications guidance, these other bits 12 of guidance had been completed. The one outstanding 13 part was the response and guidance documentation for 14 heath and social care, which was still at the 15 part was the response and guidance documentation for 16 Q. Yes. That was an important part of Silver Swan. It 17 part was the response and guidance documentation for 18 consultative stage. 18 consultative stage. 19 C. Wes. That was an important part of Silver Swan. It 19 part was the complete by the time of Iris, and it wasn't complete by the time of Covid four years later? 10 Q. All right. Is that primarily why the Auditor General of 21 Scotland reported in February 2021, in the report NHS in 22 Scotland reported in February 2021, in the report NHS in 23 Scotlish Government could have been better prepared to response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 24 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 25 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 26 Scotlash Government for three months now, and I think 27 I incorporate the granular as well as some of the you know? 28 A. I do not believe that has yet been published, do 29 you know? 30 A. I do not believe that has yet been published, do 40 you'll (projive me, I've not been in the 41 About Scotland report reflected this, there is 42 6 Committee on Pandemic Preparedness, which is a permanent advisory group which you commissioned—it now sits 43 A. I do not believe that has yet been published,					Α.	
standards for organisational resilience had been published and reviewed. Guidance on dealing with mass flatilities, guidance or dealing with mass flatilities, guidance or infection prevention and control and pandemic flu opmunications guidance, these other bits diugudance for infection prevention and control and pandemic flu communications guidance, these other bits diugudance fine communications guidance documentation for diugudance fine communications and guidance documentation for diugudance fine communications and guidance documentation for diugudance fine communications and guidance documentation for diugudance for dealing with an exportation diugudance documentation for diugudance for beat guidance documentation for diugudance for the confidence and guidance documentation for diugudance for beat guidance documentation for diugudance for beat guidance documentation for diugudance for the confidence guidance documentation for diugudance for the confidence guidance for health diugudance for the confidence for health diugudance for the confidence guidance for the confiden						•
B published and reviewed. Guidance on dealing with mass 8 I know there were other issues raised in the 9 fatalities, guidance on death certification, pandemic 9 Audit Scotland report that you refer to around PPE, PPE Audit Scotland report that you refer to around PPE, PPE PPE Audit Scotland report that you refer to around PPE, PPE PPE Audit Scotland report that you refer to around PPE, PPE PPE Audit Scotland report that you refer to around PPE, PPE PPE Audit Scotland report that you refer to around PPE, PPE PPE Audit Scotland report that you refer to around PPE, PPE PPE Audit Scotland report that you refer to around PPE, PPE PPE Audit Scotland report that you will be the to of guidance had been completed. The one outstanding 12 those conclusions but certainly it would have been one of the health and social care, which was still at the 14 that Audit Scotland report also did comment that the 15 constitutive stage. 15 Scotlish Government tresponded quickly to Covid when it constitutive stage. 16 C. Yes. That was an important part of Silver Swan. It 16 confronted us. wasn't complete by the time of Covid four years later? 18 Scotland reported in sisue of response, which you and I are both aware we're not addressing, we're dealing with preparedness. 18 Which you and I are both aware we're not addressing. We're dealing with preparedness. 18 Scotland reported in February 2021, in the report NHS in 21 of that same report concludes: Scotland reported in February 2021, in the report NHS in 21 of that same report concludes: Scotland reported in February 2021, in the report NHS in 22 "As a priority, the Scotlish Government should update and publish national pandemic guidance for health 4 and social care." From which we deduce that that was the area that was 65 From which we deduce that that was the area that was 66 outstanding. Has that guidance now been published, dut, 3 0. To conclude, the referenc						
fatalities, guidance on death certification, pandemic fu guidance for infection prevention and control and part was the response and guidance, these other bits of guidance had been completed. The one outstanding part was the response and guidance documentation for health and social care, which was still at the consultative stage. Yes. That was an important part of Silver Swan. It complete by the time of Covid four years later? Which was not complete by the time of Iris, and it wasn't complete by the time of Covid four years later? A That's correct. C All right, Is that primarily why the Auditor General of Scottland reported in February 2021, in the report NHS in Scottland reported in February 2021, in the report NHS in Scottland Government could have been better prepared to scotland 2020, to the effect that the cresponse on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but specification. A I don believe that has yet been published, do you know? A I are somet. Outstanding. Has that guidance now been published, but, you'll forgive me, I've not been in the Scottlish Government for three months now, and I think Scottlish Government for three months now, and I think Scottlish Government for three months now, and I think Scottlish Government for three months now, and I think Fig. 2. But the strategic learning from the Covid pandemic. Complete by the strategic learning from the Covid pandemic. Scottlish Government for three months now, and I think Scottlish Government for three months now, and I think Scottlish Government for three months now, and I think B Complete that the Studies of the strategic learning from the Covid pandemic. The strategic learning from the Covid pandemic. Scottlish Government for three months now, and I think Scottlish Government for three months now, and I think Committee on Pendemic Preparedness, which is a permanent advisory group which count missioned — it now sits February 2021, you ceased being First Minister on B A I do not believe that the strategic lea			_			
flu guidance for infection prevention and control and pandemic flu communications guidance, these other bits of pandemic flu communications guidance, these other bits of guidance had been completed. The one outstanding 12 those conclusions the certainty it would have been one part was the response and guidance documentation for 13 of them. Perhaps for completeness I should have been one the health and social care, which was still at the 14 that Audit Scotland report also did comment that the 15 consultative stage. 15 Scotlish Government responded quickly to Covid when it confronted us. 20 (2) Yes. That was an important part of Silver Swan. It 16 confronted us. 3 wasn't complete by the time of Iris, and it wasn't 17 (2) Ms Sturgeon, that of course is an issue of response, which you and I are both aware were not addressing, we're dealing with preparedness. 19 we're dealing with preparedness. 19 we're dealing with preparedness. 19 we're dealing with preparedness. 20 (2) All right. Is that primarily why the Auditor General of 20 I may have been all title unfair because paragraph 46 of that same report oncludes: 20 Scotland reported in February 2021, in the report NHS in 21 of that same report concludes: 20 Scotland reported in February 2021, in the report NHS in 21 of that same report oncludes: 21 and a scoila care. 22 "As a priority, the Scotlish Government should 24 respond to the Covid-19 pandemic, it based its initial 24 and social care. 24 and social care. 25 From which we deduce that that was the area that was 66 From the Scotlish Government for three months now, and I think 5 Committee on Pandemic Preparedness, which is a permanent about a standing committee, is that the Standing 25 Scotlish Government for three months now, and I think 5 Committee on Pandemic Preparedness, which is a permanent 4 poult Scotland report reflected this, there is 6 Action of the Scotland report reflected this, there is 6 advisory group which you commissioned – it now sits 9 reflects the learning from Covid. 9 better promotion of						
pandemic flu communications guidance, these other bits of guidance had been completed. The one outstanding 12 those conclusions but certainly it would have been one of them. Perhaps of them. Pe						
of guidance had been completed. The one outstanding part was the response and guidance documentation for 13 of them. Perhaps for completeness I should say that the health and social care, which was still at the 14 that Audit Scotland report also did comment that the Scotlish Government responded quickly to Covid when it consolutative stage. 15 Scotlish Government responded quickly to Covid when it conforted us. 16 Complete by the time of Irol, and it wasn't 17 Complete by the time of Covid four years later? 18 complete by the time of Covid four years later? 18 which you and I are both aware we're not addressing, which you and I are both aware we're not addressing, which you and I are both aware we're not addressing, which you and I are both aware we're not addressing, which you and I are both aware we're not addressing, which you and I are both aware we're not addressing, which you and I are both aware we're not addressing, which you and I are both aware we're not addressing, which you and I are both aware we're not addressing, which you and I are both aware we're not addressing, which you and I are both aware we're not addressing, which you and I are both aware we're not addressing, which you and I are both aware we're not addressing, which you are been a little unfair because paragraph 46 of that same report concludes: Scotland reported in February 2021, in the report NHS in 21 of that same report concludes: Scotland 2020, to the effect that the 22 "As a priority, the Scotlish Government should yudate and publish national pandemic guidance for health and social care." Tesponse on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 25 From which we deduce that that was the area that was 66 66 10 outstanding. Has that guidance now been published, do 11 incorporate the granular as well as some of the strategic learning from the Covid pandemic. Scotland report oncludes: Scotland report effected this, there is 4 about a standing committee, is that the Standing Committee, is that the Standing committee, is that the S						
part was the response and guidance documentation for health and social care, which was still at the health and social care, which was still at the consultative stage. 15 Scottish Government responded quickly to Covid when it confronted us. 16 Q. Yes. That was an important part of Silver Swan. It swant complete by the time of Iris, and it wasn't complete by the time of Covid four year later? 18 wasn't complete by the time of Covid four year later? 19 we're dealing with preparedness. 19 A. That's correct. 19 we're dealing with preparedness. 20 Q. All right. Is that primarily why the Audito General of Scottland reported in February 2021, in the report NHS in Scottland reported in February 2021, in the report NHS in Scottland Government could have been better prepared to respond to the Covid-19 pandemic, it based its initial response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 65 1 outstanding. Has that guidance now been published, do you know? 2 you know? 3 A. I do not believe that has yet been published, but, you'll forgive me, I've not been in the you'll forgive me, I've not been in the 4 about a standing committee, is that the Standing 5 Scottish Government for three months now, and I think 5 Committee on Pandemic Preparedness, which is a permanent advisory group which you commissioned — it now sits a real importance in ensuring that that guidance which 7 permanently comprising scientists, experts, the CMO, the deputy CMO and others — to make recommendations for the Pertuary 2021, you ceased being First Minister on 11 G. Has that committee already issued an interim report, I think it made three 14 published? 2 A. I do not believe that has open a little unfair because paragraph 46 of that same report complications for the before Covid fully 8 deputy CMO and others — to make recommendations for the before a published paragraph and the published paragraph and social care. The permanent advisory group which you commissioned — it now sits a real importance in ensuring that that guidance which 9 petiter						
health and social care, which was still at the consultative stage. Q. Yes. That was an important part of Silver Swan. It 16 confronted us. Confronted us. 17 wasn't complete by the time of Iris, and it wasn't 17 Q. Ms Sturgeon, that of course is an issue of response, complete by the time of Covid four years later? 18 which you and I are both aware we're not addressing, we're dealing with preparedness. A. That's correct. 19 we're dealing with preparedness. 19 we're dealing with preparedness. 20 Q. All right. Is that primarily why the Auditor General of 20 I may have been a little unfair because paragraph 46 of that same report concludes: Scotland reported in February 2021, in the report NHS in 21 of that same report concludes: Scotland 2020, to the effect that the 22 "As a priority, the Scotlish Government should 24 respond to the Covid-19 pandemic, it based its initial 24 and social care." 19 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 65 From which we deduce that that was the area that was 65 From which we deduce that that was the area that was 66 fect which you've just made, 4 you'll forgive me, I've not been in the 4 about a standing committee, is that the Standing 5 Scottish Government for three months now, and I think 5 Committee on Pandemic Preparedness, which is a permanent the Audit Scotland report reflected this, there is a real importance in ensuring that that guidance which 7 permanently comprising scientists, experts, the CMO, the 6 deputy CMO produces and proper treflected this, there is 6 advisory group which you commissioned it now sits a real importance in ensuring that that gluidance which 7 permanently comprising scientists, experts, the CMO, the 6 deputy CMO produces of the learning from Covid. 9 better promotion of pandemic preparedness, which is a permanent while you were First Minister on 11 Q. Has that committee already issued an interim report, I think it did so in August last year, making 14 preparedness? 12 I think it did so in August last year, making 14 preparedness?						-
consultative stage. 15						
16 Q. Yes. That was an important part of Silver Swan. It 17 wasn't complete by the time of Iris, and it wasn't 18 complete by the time of Covid four years later? 18 complete by the time of Covid four years later? 19 A. That's correct. 19 we're dealing with preparedness. 20 Q. All right. Is that primarily why the Auditor General of 21 Scotland reported in February 2021, in the report NHS in 22 Scotland reported in February 2021, in the report NHS in 23 Scotland 2020, to the effect that the 24 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 25 From which we deduce that that was the area that was 26 From which we deduce that that was the area that was 27 From which we deduce that that was the area that was 28 A. I do not believe that has yet been published, but, 39 You'll forgive me, I've not been in the 40 you'll forgive me, I've not been in the 51 Scotlish Government for three months now, and I think 52 Committee on Pandemic Preparedness, which is a permanent the Audit Scotland report reflected this, there is 53 a real importance in ensuring that that guidance which 54 had been out for consultation before Covid fully 55 reflects the learning from Covid. 56 Promoving on the Covid pandemic. 57 The Audit Scotland report reflected this, there is 58 department of consultation before Covid fully 59 reflects the learning from Covid. 50 But, Ms Sturgeon, that report was issued in 50 Cambridge and it is a fast and interim report. 51 Liste the learning from Covid. 52 Scotland report reflected this, there is 53 A. I do not believe that has yet been published, but, 54 about a standing committee, is that the Standing 55 Scotlash Government for three months now, and I think 56 Committee on Pandemic Preparedness, which is a permanent and the Audit Scotland report reflected this, there is 67 a real importance in ensuring that that guidance which 68 deputy CMO and others — to make recommendations for the better promotion of pandemic preparedness in Scotland? 69 Litsued an interim report that I responded to while 60 Littl						
vasn't complete by the time of Iris, and it wasn't complete by the time of Covid four years later? A. That's correct. A. That's correct. A. That's correct. A. That's correct. By we're dealing with preparedness. Inay have been a little unfair because paragraph 46 Could littly the Scotland reported in February 2021, in the report NHS in Cotland 2020, to the effect that the that was the effect that that was the area that was the effect that the cotland 2020, the effect that the attention and social care. Cotland 2020, to the effect that that guidance now been published, do Cotland 2020, the effect that that guidance the effect that the effect that the attention and social care that was the area that was the ar		0				
complete by the time of Covid four years later? A. That's correct. Q. All right. Is that primarily why the Auditor General of 20 I may have been a little unfair because paragraph 46 21 Scotland reported in February 2021, in the report NHS in 21 of that same report concludes: Scotland 2020, to the effect that the 22 "As a priority, the Scotlish Government should 23 Scotlish Government could have been better prepared to 23 update and publish national pandemic guidance for health 24 respond to the Covid-19 pandemic, it based its initial 24 and social care." Tersponse on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 65 From which we deduce that that was the area that was 66 for source when the supplished of the strategy is strategic learning from the Covid pandemic. A. I do not believe that has yet been published, but, 3 Q. To conclude, the reference to which you've just made, about a standing committee, is that the Standing Scotland report reflected this, there is 6 advisory group which you commissioned — it now sits a real importance in ensuring that that guidance which 7 permanently comprising scientists, experts, the CMO, the had been out for consultation before Covid fully 8 deputy CMO and others — to make recommendations for the Petruary 2021, you ceased being First Minister on 11 Q. Has that committee already issued an interim report, 12 28 March of this year; during that elapse of two years 12 I think it did so in August last year, making recommendations about various aspects of pandemic guidance for the help well as a control to while 10 A. Yes.		ų.	·		0	
19 A. That's correct. 20 Q. All right. Is that primarily why the Auditor General of 20 I may have been a little unfair because paragraph 46 21 Scotland reported in February 2021, in the report NHS in 21 of that same report concludes: 22 Scotland 2020, to the effect that the 22 "As a priority, the Scotlish Government should 23 Scotlish Government could have been better prepared to 23 update and publish national pandemic guidance for health 24 respond to the Covid-19 pandemic, it based its initial 24 and social care." 25 From which we deduce that that was the area that was 65 66 1 outstanding. Has that guidance now been published, do 1 incorporate the granular as well as some of the 20 you know? 2 strategic learning from the Covid pandemic. 3 A. I do not believe that has yet been published, but, 3 Q. To conclude, the reference to which you've just made, 3 you'll forgive me, I've not been in the 4 about a standing committee, is that the Standing 5 Scotlish Government for three months now, and I think 5 Committee on Pandemic Preparedness, which is a permanent a real importance in ensuring that that guidance which 7 permanently comprising scientists, experts, the CMO, the had been out for consultation before Covid fully 8 deputy CMO and others — to make recommendations for the 9 reflects the learning from Covid. 9 better promotion of pandemic preparedness in Scotland? 10 Q. But, Ms Sturgeon, that report was issued in 10 A. Yes. 11 February 2021, you ceased being First Minister on 11 Q. Has that committee already issued an interim report, 11 published? 4 lissued an interim report, 11 link it did so in August last year, making 13 while you were First Minister, was that national 13 recommendations about various aspects of pandemic 14 guidance for the health and social care sector 14 preparedness? 15 A. It issued an interim report that I responded to while 1 was still First Minister. I think it made three			· · · · · ·		Q.	
20		۸				•
Scotland reported in February 2021, in the report NHS in 21 of that same report concludes: Scotland 2020, to the effect that the 22 "As a priority, the Scotlish Government should update and publish national pandemic guidance for health respond to the Covid-19 pandemic, it based its initial 24 and social care." Tesponse on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 65 From which we deduce that that was the area that was 66 From which we deduce that that was the area that was 66 From which we deduce that that was the area that was 66 From which we deduce that that was the area that was 66 From which we deduce that that was the area that was 66 From which we deduce that that was the area that was 66 From which we deduce that that was the area that was 66 From which we deduce that that was the area that was 66 From which you've just made, 400 kms. A. I do not believe that has yet been published, but, 3 Q. To conclude, the reference to which you've just made, 400 you'll forgive me, I've not been in the 400 about a standing committee, is that the Standing 5 Scottish Government for three months now, and I think 5 Committee on Pandemic Preparedness, which is a permanent 400 the Audit Scotland report reflected this, there is 400 advisory group which you commissioned — it now sits 400 a real importance in ensuring that that guidance which 400 advisory group which you commissioned — it now sits 400 a real importance in ensuring that that guidance which 400 advisory group which you commissioned — it now sits 400 and 500 and						
Scottish Government could have been better prepared to respond to the Covid-19 pandemic, it based its initial 24 and social care." 25 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 65 From which we deduce that that was the area that was 65 From which we deduce that that was the area that was 66 foctors of 66 1 outstanding. Has that guidance now been published, do 1 incorporate the granular as well as some of the you know? 2 strategic learning from the Covid pandemic. 3 A. I do not believe that has yet been published, but, 3 Q. To conclude, the reference to which you've just made, you'll forgive me, I've not been in the 4 about a standing committee, is that the Standing Scottish Government for three months now, and I think 5 Committee on Pandemic Preparedness, which is a permanent the Audit Scotland report reflected this, there is 6 advisory group which you commissioned — it now sits 7 a real importance in ensuring that that guidance which 7 permanently comprising scientists, experts, the CMO, the deputy CMO and others — to make recommendations for the reflects the learning from Covid. 9 better promotion of pandemic preparedness in Scotland? 10 Q. But, Ms Sturgeon, that report was issued in 10 Q. Has that committee already issued an interim report, 12 28 March of this year; during that elapse of two years 12 I think it did so in August last year, making while you were First Minister, was that national 13 recommendations about various aspects of pandemic preparendenses? 15 A. It issued an interim report that I responded to while I was still First Minister. I think it made three		Q.				
Scottish Government could have been better prepared to respond to the Covid-19 pandemic, it based its initial 24 and social care." response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 65 From which we deduce that that was the area that was 66 From which we fer which was 4 From Array and a the for the eart						
respond to the Covid-19 pandemic, it based its initial 24 and social care." response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 65 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 65 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 65 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 65 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 65 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 65 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we deduce that that was the area that was 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we deduce that that was the area that was 66 response on the 2011 strategy, was the atea that was 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we deduce that that was the area that was 66 response of the strategy as well as some of the response on the 2011 strategy, was the atea that was 66 response of the granular as well as some of the response of the particular as well as some of the response of the particular as well as some of the response of the particular as well as some of the response of the particular as well as some of the response of the particular as well as some of the response of the particular as well as some of the response of the particular as well as some of the response of the particular as well as some of the response of the part						
response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 65 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 65 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy, which we've debated, but 66 response on the 2011 strategy which say well as some of the 9 strategic learning from the Covid pandemic. Response on the 2011 strategy which say well as some of the 9 strategic learning from the Covid pandemic Preparedness, which is a permanent 4 adout a standing committee, is that the Standing responsible or perparedness in Scotland? Response on the 2011 strategy which you commissioned it now sits 4 adout a standing committee, is that the Standing response on the granular as well as some of the 9 strategic learning from the Covid pandemic. Response on the 2011 strategy during that that guidance which 7 permanently comprising scientists, experts, the CMO, the 4 deputy CMO and others to make recommendations for the better promotion of pandemic preparedness in Scotland? Response on the 2011 strategic learning from Covid. Response on the granular as well as scored to which 9 strategic learning from the Covid pandemic. Response on the Granu						
outstanding. Has that guidance now been published, do you know? 2 strategic learning from the Covid pandemic. A. I do not believe that has yet been published, but, you'll forgive me, I've not been in the Scottish Government for three months now, and I think Scottish Government for three months now, and I think Learned the Audit Scottand report reflected this, there is a real importance in ensuring that that guidance which had been out for consultation before Covid fully reflects the learning from Covid. But, Ms Sturgeon, that report was issued in February 2021, you ceased being First Minister on Set March of this year; during that elapse of two years while you were First Minister, was that national suidance for the health and social care sector published? A. No. I – again, I can only give an opinion here. 1 incorporate the granular as well as some of the incorporate the granular as well as some of the strategic learning from the Covid pandemic. 1 incorporate the granular as well as some of the strategic learning from the Covid pandemic. 2 strategic learning from the Covid pandemic. 3 Q. To conclude, the reference to which you've just made, about a standing committee, is that the Standing Committee on Pandemic Preparedness, which is a permanent advisory group which you commissioned — it now sits advisory group which you commissioned — it now sits 4 deputy CMO and others — to make recommendations for the deputy CMO and others — to make recommendations for the better promotion of pandemic preparedness in Scotland? 9 better promotion of pandemic preparedness in Scotland? Q. Has that committee already issued an interim report, I think it did so in August last year, making recommendations about various aspects of pandemic preparedness? 15 A. It issued an interim report that I responded to while I was still First Minister. I think it made three						
outstanding. Has that guidance now been published, do you know? A. I do not believe that has yet been published, but, you'll forgive me, I've not been in the Scottish Government for three months now, and I think Let Audit Scotland report reflected this, there is a real importance in ensuring that that guidance which had been out for consultation before Covid fully reflects the learning from Covid. But, Ms Sturgeon, that report was issued in February 2021, you ceased being First Minister on While you were First Minister, was that national While you were First Minister, was that national May a count of the saturation out of the health and social care sector published? I i incorporate the granular as well as some of the incorporate the granular as well as some of the strategic learning from the Covid pandemic. I incorporate the granular as well as some of the strategic learning from the Covid pandemic. I incorporate the granular as well as some of the strategic learning from the Covid pandemic. I conclude, the reference to which you've just made, about a standing committee, is that the Standing Committee on Pandemic Preparedness, which is a permanent advisory group which you commissioned it now sits advisory group which you c	25			25		
you know? A. I do not believe that has yet been published, but, you'll forgive me, I've not been in the Scottish Government for three months now, and I think the Audit Scotland report reflected this, there is a real importance in ensuring that that guidance which had been out for consultation before Covid fully reflects the learning from Covid. But, Ms Sturgeon, that report was issued in February 2021, you ceased being First Minister on Sebruary 2021, you ceased being First Minister on Mile you were First Minister, was that national guidance for the health and social care sector published? Scottish Government for three months now, and I think for committee on Pandemic Preparedness, which is a permanent about a standing committee, is that the Standing Committee on Pandemic Preparedness, which is a permanent advisory group which you commissioned it now sits permanently comprising scientists, experts, the CMO, the deputy CMO and others to make recommendations for the better promotion of pandemic preparedness in Scotland? A. Yes. Has that committee already issued an interim report, I think it did so in August last year, making recommendations about various aspects of pandemic preparedness? It bink it did so in August last year, making recommendations about various aspects of pandemic preparedness? It issued an interim report that I responded to while I was still First Minister. I think it made three						
A. I do not believe that has yet been published, but, you'll forgive me, I've not been in the Scottish Government for three months now, and I think the Audit Scotland report reflected this, there is a real importance in ensuring that that guidance which had been out for consultation before Covid fully reflects the learning from Covid. But, Ms Sturgeon, that report was issued in February 2021, you ceased being First Minister on Set March of this year; during that elapse of two years while you were First Minister, was that national guidance for the health and social care sector published? A. No. I again, I can only give an opinion here. 7 To conclude, the reference to which you've just made, about a standing committee, is that the Standing Committee on Pandemic Preparedness, which is a permanent advisory group which you commissioned it now sits f Committee on Pandemic Preparedness, which is a permanent advisory group which you commissioned it now sits f Autit he Standing advisory group which you commissioned it now sits f Autit he Standing advisory group which you commissioned it now sits f Autit he Standing advisory group which you commissioned it now sits f Autit he Standing advisory group which you commissioned advisory group which you compision en it now sits f Autit he Standing advisory group	1		outstanding. Has that guidance now been published, do	1		incorporate the granular as well as some of the
you'll forgive me, I've not been in the Scottish Government for three months now, and I think the Audit Scotland report reflected this, there is a real importance in ensuring that that guidance which had been out for consultation before Covid fully reflects the learning from Covid. But, Ms Sturgeon, that report was issued in February 2021, you ceased being First Minister on Set March of this year; during that elapse of two years while you were First Minister, was that national guidance for the health and social care sector published? A. No. I again, I can only give an opinion here. Committee on Pandemic Preparedness, which is a permanent datus advisory group which you commissioned it now sits Committee on Pandemic Preparedness, which is a permanent advisory group which you commissioned it now sits	2		you know?	2		strategic learning from the Covid pandemic.
Scottish Government for three months now, and I think the Audit Scotland report reflected this, there is a real importance in ensuring that that guidance which had been out for consultation before Covid fully reflects the learning from Covid. Q. But, Ms Sturgeon, that report was issued in February 2021, you ceased being First Minister on Khale you were First Minister, was that national while you were First Minister, was that national guidance for the health and social care sector published? Scottish Government for three months now, and I think for many and I think for many advisory group which you commissioned it now sits advisory group which you commissioned it now sits for advisory group which you contents, permanently comprising scentists, experts, the CMO, the deputy CM	3	A.	I do not believe that has yet been published, but,	3	Q.	To conclude, the reference to which you've just made,
the Audit Scotland report reflected this, there is a real importance in ensuring that that guidance which had been out for consultation before Covid fully reflects the learning from Covid. But, Ms Sturgeon, that report was issued in February 2021, you ceased being First Minister on Mile you were First Minister, was that national while you were First Minister, was that national guidance for the health and social care sector published? the Audit Scotland report reflected this, there is advisory group which you commissioned it now sits permanently comprising scientists, experts, the CMO, the deputy CMO and others to make recommendations for the better promotion of pandemic preparedness in Scotland? A. Yes. Has that committee already issued an interim report, I think it did so in August last year, making recommendations about various aspects of pandemic preparedness? Lit issued an interim report that I responded to while I was still First Minister. I think it made three	4		you'll forgive me, I've not been in the	4		about a standing committee, is that the Standing
a real importance in ensuring that that guidance which had been out for consultation before Covid fully reflects the learning from Covid. But, Ms Sturgeon, that report was issued in February 2021, you ceased being First Minister on Sample of this year; during that elapse of two years while you were First Minister, was that national guidance for the health and social care sector published? a real importance in ensuring that that guidance which permanently comprising scientists, experts, the CMO, the deputy CMO and others to make recommendations for the better promotion of pandemic preparedness in Scotland? Has that committee already issued an interim report, I think it did so in August last year, making recommendations about various aspects of pandemic preparedness? A. It issued an interim report that I responded to while I was still First Minister. I think it made three	5		Scottish Government for three months now, and I think	5		Committee on Pandemic Preparedness, which is a permanent
a real importance in ensuring that that guidance which had been out for consultation before Covid fully reflects the learning from Covid. But, Ms Sturgeon, that report was issued in February 2021, you ceased being First Minister on Sample of this year; during that elapse of two years while you were First Minister, was that national guidance for the health and social care sector published? a real importance in ensuring that that guidance which permanently comprising scientists, experts, the CMO, the deputy CMO and others to make recommendations for the better promotion of pandemic preparedness in Scotland? Has that committee already issued an interim report, I think it did so in August last year, making recommendations about various aspects of pandemic preparedness? A. It issued an interim report that I responded to while I was still First Minister. I think it made three	6		the Audit Scotland report reflected this, there is	6		advisory group which you commissioned it now sits
had been out for consultation before Covid fully reflects the learning from Covid. 9 better promotion of pandemic preparedness in Scotland? 10 Q. But, Ms Sturgeon, that report was issued in 10 A. Yes. 11 February 2021, you ceased being First Minister on 12 28 March of this year; during that elapse of two years 13 while you were First Minister, was that national 14 guidance for the health and social care sector 15 published? 16 A. No. I again, I can only give an opinion here. 8 deputy CMO and others to make recommendations for the 9 better promotion of pandemic preparedness in Scotland? 9 better promotion of pandemic preparedness in Scotland? 10 A. Yes. 11 L hink it did so in August last year, making 12 recommendations about various aspects of pandemic 13 preparedness? 14 preparedness? 15 A. It issued an interim report that I responded to while 16 I was still First Minister. I think it made three	7			7		
9 reflects the learning from Covid. 9 better promotion of pandemic preparedness in Scotland? 10 Q. But, Ms Sturgeon, that report was issued in 10 A. Yes. 11 February 2021, you ceased being First Minister on 11 Q. Has that committee already issued an interim report, 12 28 March of this year; during that elapse of two years 13 while you were First Minister, was that national 14 guidance for the health and social care sector 15 published? 16 A. No. I again, I can only give an opinion here. 18 better promotion of pandemic preparedness in Scotland? 19 better promotion of pandemic preparedness in Scotland? 10 A. Yes. 11 I think it did so in August last year, making 12 recommendations about various aspects of pandemic 13 preparedness? 14 preparedness? 15 A. It issued an interim report that I responded to while 16 I was still First Minister. I think it made three	8			8		
10 Q. But, Ms Sturgeon, that report was issued in 10 A. Yes. 11 February 2021, you ceased being First Minister on 11 Q. Has that committee already issued an interim report, 12 28 March of this year; during that elapse of two years 13 while you were First Minister, was that national 14 guidance for the health and social care sector 15 published? 16 A. No. I again, I can only give an opinion here. 17 A. Yes. 18 Has that committee already issued an interim report, 19 I think it did so in August last year, making 10 recommendations about various aspects of pandemic preparedness? 11 preparedness? 12 I think it did so in August last year, making 13 recommendations about various aspects of pandemic preparedness? 14 preparedness? 15 It issued an interim report that I responded to while	9		reflects the learning from Covid.	9		
February 2021, you ceased being First Minister on 11 Q. Has that committee already issued an interim report, 12 28 March of this year; during that elapse of two years 12 I think it did so in August last year, making 13 while you were First Minister, was that national 13 recommendations about various aspects of pandemic 14 guidance for the health and social care sector 14 preparedness? 15 published? 15 A. It issued an interim report that I responded to while 16 A. No. I again, I can only give an opinion here. 16 I was still First Minister. I think it made three	10	Q.	-	10	A.	
12 28 March of this year; during that elapse of two years 13 while you were First Minister, was that national 14 guidance for the health and social care sector 15 published? 16 A. No. I again, I can only give an opinion here. 17 I think it did so in August last year, making 18 recommendations about various aspects of pandemic 19 preparedness? 19 It issued an interim report that I responded to while 10 I was still First Minister. I think it made three	11		·	11	Q.	Has that committee already issued an interim report,
while you were First Minister, was that national 13 recommendations about various aspects of pandemic guidance for the health and social care sector 14 preparedness? published? 15 A. It issued an interim report that I responded to while I was still First Minister. I think it made three						
guidance for the health and social care sector published? 14 preparedness? 15 published? 15 A. It issued an interim report that I responded to while 16 A. No. I again, I can only give an opinion here. 18 I was still First Minister. I think it made three						
published? 15 A. It issued an interim report that I responded to while 16 A. No. I again, I can only give an opinion here. 16 I was still First Minister. I think it made three			-			·
16 A. No. I again, I can only give an opinion here. 16 I was still First Minister. I think it made three					A.	• •
		Α.				
1/ I think, from my experience, to have published guidance 1/ Interim recommendations; one proposing a Centre for	17		I think, from my experience, to have published guidance	17		interim recommendations: one proposing a Centre for

also commissioned and established a Standing Committee

on Pandemic Preparedness, and I think it is important --

the health service in Scotland, as I'm sure is the case

that it operates within and that it takes cognisance of.

in the other nations of the UK, has lots of guidance

In terms of pandemic guidance, I think it is really

important that there is a proper fulsome exercise to

67

without properly assessing some of the lessons -- we

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

18 Pandemic Preparedness; another relating to the data and 19 analytics infrastructure that we have and, in its view, 20 should develop in Scotland; and a third around how we 21 build and strengthen scientific advisory networks both 22 within Scotland and across the UK and link into 23 international organisations as well. 24 It is due in coming months to publish a more 25

substantive report with longer term recommendations, as

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	I understand it.
2	Q. There was a fourth: continued innovation in the sciences
3	and public health research field?
4	A. Forgive me, that was the third one that I was referring
5	to. Forgive me if that was the fourth and I've missed
6	the third one.
7	MR KEITH: That's all right.
8	Ms Sturgeon, thank you very much.
9	My Lady, would you give me one moment?
10	LADY HALLETT: I think I've given provisional permission to
11	Scottish Covid Bereaved to ask a question.
12	MR KEITH: My Lady has.
13	LADY HALLETT: I confirm permission is granted.
14	Mr Anwar.
15	Questions from MR ANWAR
16	MR ANWAR: Good afternoon, Ms Sturgeon. I have a handful o
17	questions left to ask on behalf of the Scottish Covid

Bereaved. In your evidence earlier you readily accepted there

was a gap in terms of the pandemic you were ultimately dealing with, but that did not mean the plan had no utility at all.

So I'm going to refer you to the joint expert report that was provided -- prepared for this Inquiry on health inequalities for Module 1 by Professor Sir

Again, I won't repeat it, I think some of this is narrated in the expert report that you're quoting to me, the work that the Scottish Government had done starting, again when I was Health Secretary, around the Equally Well work culminating, in April 2020, in the establishment of Public Health Scotland, which is actually, in an organisational sense, one of the

initiatives intended to build that systemic and

9 comprehensive approach to, in particular, health 10

inequalities into our planning work.

Q. Thank you.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The second question is: to what extent, if any, did the Scottish Government carry out an equalities and human rights assessment of its pandemic preparedness

A. If I can answer in summary there, and offer to seek more information to be provided, because it is a question that would involve looking at lots of different aspects of planning.

The Scottish Government does and will have carried out different impact assessments of different aspects of our planning, both in preparedness and then as we went into the response phase to Covid. I don't have all of the detail of that in front of me right now, but I can, through those in the Scottish Government, seek to have

Michael Marmot and Professor Clare Bambra.

I refer you specifically to INQ000195843, page 0064, paragraph 149.

I'm not going to take you through it, but to summarise, he concluded that:

"... with some exceptions, the specialist structures concerned with the risk management and civil emergency planning did not properly consider societal, economic and health impacts in light of pre-existing inequalities. The UK Government and the devolved administrations and relevant public health bodies did not systematically or comprehensively assess pre-existing social and economic inequalities and the vulnerabilities of different groups during a pandemic in their planning or risk assessment processes."

So, Ms Sturgeon, the question is, do you accept that

this analysis would also apply to the Scottish Government in their pandemic planning? A. In broad terms, yes, I would. I don't think that we sufficiently, to use the terms there, "systematically or comprehensively" assessed social, economic health inequalities and, therefore, how we dealt with it in the context of a pandemic, so I think I would accept that.

Again, I don't think it is right to go from there to say there was no planning and no thought given to that.

that provided if that is helpful.

2 Q. Thank you, that would be helpful, and we would be 3 seeking that information, asking the Inquiry to seek 4 that information.

> The third question, Ms Sturgeon, is to what extent, if any, were those plans assessed as to how they would affect the various protected characteristics, in terms of the Equality Act 2010, for example age, disability, maternity, race, religion, sex and sexual orientation, amongst others?

A. Again, apologies if I'm repeating myself, that would have been part of impact assessments that would be carried out routinely on Scottish Government work and planning. Again, I will have to get you more detail of that in terms of the sort of granular information. Again, I am moving into the response phase here, but you will be aware, I'm sure, one of the things we did early on in the response phase was to set up an expert group on ethnic minority inequalities in order that, as we went through the response phase, we could make sure that we were adapting appropriately there.

But in terms of the detail of the impact assessments and protected characteristic assessments, as I say, I will seek, if the Inquiry would find it helpful, to have more information passed to it.

71

1	MR ANWAR: That would be helpful. Those are the answers to	1	inequalities in 2012; Equally Well, 2013; the NHS Health
2	my questions. Thank you, Ms Sturgeon.	2	Scotland's Health Inequalities Policy Review, 2013; and
3	LADY HALLETT: Thank you very much, Mr Anwar.	3	then five public health reports between 2013 and 2022,
4	It would be helpful if you could provide that	4	which therefore provide the basis, along with the public
5	information, Ms Sturgeon.	5	sector equality duty and the Equality Act 2010 for the
6	Can I just check, were you saying that it is your	6	consideration of health inequalities.
7	understanding that impact assessments routinely included	7	LADY HALLETT: Thank you.
8	consideration of protected characteristics?	8	Thank you very much indeed, Ms Sturgeon, that's all
9	A. I forgive me, my Lady, I wouldn't want to leave you	9	for today.
10	with that I'm not sure that that would not be	10	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
11	an overstatement, so, again, I think the information I'm	11	LADY HALLETT: The next time we meet I suspect will be in
12	offering to have provided through the offices of the	12	Scotland. Thank you.
13	Scottish Government would answer that question for you.	13	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
14	Certainly that would be involved in impact	14	(The witness withdrew)
15	assessments, but I wouldn't want to attach more	15	LADY HALLETT: Ms Blackwell.
16	relevance to the word "routinely" than I feel confident	16	MS BLACKWELL: Thank you, my Lady, the next witness is
17	to give you right now.	17	John Swinney.
18	LADY HALLETT: Thank you very much.	18	MR JOHN SWINNEY (affirmed)
19	MR KEITH: My Lady, rather than setting too great a hare	19	Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY
20	running, it may help Mr Anwar if my Lady recalls for him	20	MS BLACKWELL: Mr Swinney, may I begin by thanking you for
21	that the evidence of Ms Lamb yesterday included	21	the assistance that you've so far given to the Inquiry.
22	a section dealing with the consideration by Scotland of	22	You have provided a witness statement, which we can see
23	health inequalities, and my Lady will recall that there	23	at INQ000185352.
24	was in the course of evidence she referred to the	24	Thank you. Can we go to page 15, please.
25	Auditor General for Scotland's report on health	25	Can you confirm, Mr Swinney, that that was signed by
	73		74
1	you on 5 May of this year, and it's true to the best of	1	Q. Thank you.
2	your knowledge and belief?	2	I'd like to begin by asking you, please, about your
3	A. That is the case, yes.	3	ministerial responsibility for resilience, because as
4	MS BLACKWELL: Thank you very much.	4	Deputy First Minister over the nine-year period, that
5	May we have permission to publish?	5	was very much part of your portfolio, wasn't it?
6	LADY HALLETT: Certainly.	6	A. That's correct, yes.
7	MS BLACKWELL: Thank you, my Lady.	7	Q. What was the scope of ministerial resilience?
8	We can take that down.	8	A. Before I answer the question, would it be possible,
9	Mr Swinney, you held the position of Deputy First	9	my Lady, for me perhaps just to express at the outset of
10	Minister in the Scottish Government from November of	10	my evidence my sympathy to everyone affected by Covid
11	2014 until March of this year; is that right?	11	and for the suffering that has been experienced, and
12	A. That is correct, yes.	12	also my appreciation to members of the public and our
13	Q. You began your political career as a Westminster MP for	13	public service personnel who have done so much, along
14	the North Tayside constituency from May of 1997, and you	14	with colleagues in the private and third sectors, to
15	were then a member of the Scottish Parliament, first for	15	sustain recovery.
16	North Tayside constituency from 1999 to 2011, and then	16	In relation to the question, my responsibility for
17	for the Perthshire North constituency from 2011?	17	resilience was held essentially as an ultimate point of
18	A. That is all correct, yes.	18	responsibility, I considered myself to be, in the
	•	19	
19 20	Q. You also held the roles of Cabinet Secretary for Finance and Sustainable Growth in the Scottish Government from	20	government, responsible for resilience matters,
			accountable to the First Minister, and it was my role to
21 22	May 2007 to May 2016, Cabinet Secretary for Education	21 22	make sure that Scotland had in place effective
	and Skills from May 2016 to May 2021, and	23	resilience arrangements.
23	Cabinet Secretary for Covid Recovery from May 2021 to		Now, that didn't mean that I did everything, because
24	March 2023. Is that all correct?	24	in one of the introductions to the Scottish Risk

25 **A.** That is all correct, yes.

75

Assessment, for example, I make the point that

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 resilience has got to be everybody's business, so all 2 aspects of government have to think through what are the 3 resilience risks that are faced in their area of 4 responsibility, but it was my responsibility to make 5 sure that all of that was in as strong a position as it 6 could be for any eventuality that we had to face. 7 Q. Given that this was but one portfolio of many that you 8 would have had your eyes across in the role of Deputy 9 First Minister, do you feel that you had sufficient time 10 to be able to devote to the subject of resilience? A. Life was always pretty hectic, to be honest, in all of 11 12 the ministerial responsibilities that I carried out, but 13 I did feel I had adequate opportunity to provide the 14 strategic leadership to resilience issues that were 15 required. But I stress that wasn't a responsibility 16 that meant I had to do everything. I was providing the 17 direction, the strategy, the approach to be taken, but 18 obviously motivating colleagues and all the relevant 19 aspects of the Scottish government and our partners 20 around the country to make sure that they were preparing 21 properly. 22 Q. Right. The reason that I ask you that question is, and 23

you may be aware, that the Inquiry has heard from Sir Oliver Letwin who gave evidence to my Lady that there would be a benefit, in his view, of the 77

Now, the role of this particular committee was to give ministerial oversights to strategic policy and guidance in the context of resilience in Scotland; is that right?

5 A. That's correct, yes.

24

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. This committee met in preparation for emergency response and in order to keep abreast of matters related to promoting and improving civil protection and also preparing for special contingencies such as pandemic influenza. It was set up some considerable time ago, and indeed the last recorded meeting of it took place on 14 April 2010.

> Now, I want to go to those meeting notes, please. Which are at INQ000102935, thank you.

We can see the date there and present are yourself and also Nicola Sturgeon, and if we scroll down, please, we can also see others present, some of whose names have been redacted.

Let's go, please, to page 7, and I'd like to look at paragraphs 11 and 12.

Now, of course, if we remind ourselves that 2010 was just after we had suffered the swine flu outbreak, we can see at paragraph 11:

"[Somebody present] introduced [a] paper ... which analysed the implications of the lessons identified from

1 UK Government having a senior Cabinet-level minister 2 solely devoted full-time to a resilience portfolio. Do 3 you think that that is necessary within 4 Scottish Government?

5 A. I think it's a reasonable proposition, and one that is 6 worthy of consideration, because I think we are -- if 7 I look back on my ministerial career, I spent 16 years 8 as a minister, and I dealt with quite a number of 9 resilience incidents across a whole range of different 10 responsibilities and sectors. So -- and as I look at 11 some of the factors that are now affecting society, 12 issues around about the climate, for example, I only 13 think that resilience issues are going to become ever 14 more significant and prescient.

> Looking at some of the evidence that my Lady has heard in relation to the scenarios that can be faced as the world changes, you know, as the population rises, as climate change has its effect, there may well be a strong argument for the proposition that Sir Oliver Letwin has put forward.

21 Q. I want to now ask you about a series of bodies and 22 committees that were set up either just before or during 23 your time in office, and I want to begin with the 24 Cabinet Sub-Committee on Scottish Government Resilience.

25 also known as CSC-SGoR, I think.

78

the recent emergencies for the Scottish Government's role in co-ordinating national emergency responses. He said that the requirement for SGoRR to be activated had greatly increased over the last 3 years, which included activation for the fuel shortages in 2008, the flu pandemic [that's the swine flu pandemic] and an increasing number of weather related incidents. Scottish Resilience would shortly undertake a significant review of SGoRR's capacity and its 10 capability to support enhanced national decision making 11 in the light of the lessons learned and this would 12 include options for improvements in accommodation, IT, training, and staffing." 13

Could we scroll down, please.

"12. He said that the lessons learned would also provide an opportunity to develop SGoRR as a national emergency information analysis and decision-making hub, which was in line with the shared services agenda and National Performance Framework. It was planned to have discussions with COSLA, ACPOS, and the Chief Fire Officers' Association Scotland on the option of co-locating mutual aid coordination centres for police, fire and local authorities with SGoRR. Such coordination would enable organisations to share resources and allow for a more streamlined approach to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

the collection and analysis of information."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you. We don't need to read in any further. So this was a committee which, as of April 2010, not only was active and had been activated because of the national issues that had arisen, fuel shortages, pandemic swine flu, and also issues with climate change, but was also very much looking forward to providing a level of service in terms of what was being anticipated.

Do you agree that, as far as this meeting was concerned, it very much looked as if the committee was going to be busy?

- 13 A. Yes, and the work that flowed from that over a number of 14 years I think demonstrates exactly that point.
- So why was this the last occasion upon which this 15 Q. 16 committee met?
- 17 A. Essentially what -- the work that was all envisaged in 18 the paragraphs that have been read into the record was 19 all work that was then taken forward, but not with the 20 necessity of the supervision of that particular 21 committee.

We essentially developed structures which had -which involved the creation of a Scottish Resilience Partnership, which in a sense was living out the point that I made in one of my earlier answers, which was that

that these issues are very much at the forefront of ministers' minds. I can say to my Lady and the Inquiry, you know, these issues have kept me awake at night for many, many years, on different questions, whether it's about winter weather incidents or about the pandemic flu. So they're very much issues that have been under active management and handling by ministers, with active engagement on a proactive basis to identify threats and risks, because that's what led to the production of the Scottish Risk Assessment for the first time in 2018, which was to try to calibrate the risks that we might face. But there may well be the need for a particular forum to look periodically, formally, in a recorded fashion, to take stock about where preparations happen

I think one of the reasons why we felt this committee didn't need to meet was that -- if I go back to the attendance list at that meeting that you cited from 2010, that was -- all members of the Cabinet were present there, apart from the then First Minister, so, you know, we had Cabinet meeting on a weekly basis which allowed us to conduct some of these issues as well.

Q. All right, thank you.

I next want to ask you about the Scottish Resilience Partnership, which you've just mentioned. The first

resilience had to be everybody's business. So we needed to have a range of different organisations very much engaged in the preparation of active resilience functions, many of which are listed in those paragraphs 11 and 12 that have been read.

So that work was taken forward through the Scottish Resilience Partnership. There was direct ministerial involvement in that. I would have attended a number of Scottish Resilience Partnership meetings to provide the strategic ministerial direction. And obviously, in the course of a range of different other incidents, because after 2010 we would have a number of other resilience incidents in which we were actively involved, we would essentially develop that work in practice.

15 Q. I understand your answer that the work was taken forward 16 by other bodies, but you will understand that the 17 UK Government had an equivalent committee called the NSC(THRC), or the threats committee, that didn't meet in 18 19 person between 2013 and 2017, and then it didn't meet in 20 person thereafter. The Inquiry has heard that evidence 21 already. Do you think that there is value now in this 22 sort of committee being reconvened and regularly meeting 23 in order to ensure that these matters are kept very much 24 within the forefront of ministers' minds?

25 **A**. My first response is to say that I do genuinely feel

1 issue is to make sure that I'm addressing it by its correct title, because when I suggested yesterday to 2 3 Gillian Russell, who set up the committee, that it was 4 called the Scottish Resilience Partnership, she 5 corrected me and said it was called the Strategic 6 Resilience Partnership. Which is it, please, 7 Mr Swinney? A. Well, at the risk of contradicting a civil servant, it 8 9

is, in my view, the Scottish Resilience Partnership, but 10 it has a strategic remit, if that perhaps helps to build 11 the bridge.

12 **Q.** Perhaps that's where the difficulty arose.

13 But in any event, this was set up during your time 14 in office?

15 A. Yeah.

Q. But it was restricted, wasn't it, to Category 1 16 17 responders? Do you think that that was, in hindsight, 18 perhaps too narrow a remit? Do you think it should have 19 been extended to other bodies beyond Category 1 20 responders?

21 A. I don't think so, but I wouldn't rule out the necessity 22 to look at this question. I think it's important to 23 look at who those Category 1 responders are. So around 24 the table of the Scottish Resilience Partnership would 25

be the leadership of Scotland's 32 local authorities,

the Chief Constable of Police Scotland, the Chief Fire Officer of the Fire and Rescue Service, the chief executive of the Scottish Environment Protection Agency, the chief executive of the Scottish Ambulance Service, and there will be others that I haven't managed to remember off the top of my head.

So they would be representing a very broad cross-section of those who would have to deliver the resilience response, and, crucially, would have to make sure that appropriate preparations were being undertaken at a more local level, whether that was across the three regional resilience partnership areas in Scotland or the 32 local resilience partnerships representing each of the local authority areas.

So that body had to consider what might future threats be, and they had to make sure that we were developing the processes and the infrastructure that would enable us to handle any such circumstance should an issue arise.

- Q. How often were ministers involved in or in attendance atthese meetings?
- A. Quite frequently. I certainly remember being personally
 at a number of these resilience partnership meetings,
 and that was to essentially -- that attendance was to
 provide the direction of ministerial thinking.

- would be available, so, for example, there would be representatives from Scotland that would take part in organisations such as SAGE, for example --
- 4 Q. And NERVTAG?

5 A. And NERVTAG. And we would gather expert information and
6 advice to inform our own thinking within Scotland. So
7 I would cite that as an example of where we weren't
8 trying to replicate what would be a very good and strong
9 source of scientific advice and professional advice to
10 government.

There would be co-operation around some aspects of procurement in relation to PPE, I think I recall. So -- and then there would be other dialogue on a four nations basis where there really was no particular value in us undertaking a different and distinctive process.

- Q. All right, well, we're going to come on and look at some of those. But whatever the political point that could be made about the devolved administrations and their connection and the strength of their connection to the United Kingdom Government, the truth is that pandemic planning was and ought to have been UK-wide as an effort, shouldn't it, with each nation performing a role in a collective endeavour to prepare for a pandemic?
- **A.** I would say that, yes.

So we would be considering -- I can remember contributing to those discussions around a range of issues, some of which would be about pandemic flu, some would be about winter weather, some would be about cyber security, for example, which would be, you know, a number of the very live and topical issues that we'd be discussing.

Q. In your witness statement to the Inquiry, you say at paragraph 9(sic):

"In the period running up to January 2020, the preparations for a pandemic were taken forward in Scotland as a combination of participation in the four nations activity across the UK and specific applications of this approach to the distinctive health and social care arrangements that reflected the devolved governance arrangements."

And that:

"13. The approach of the Scottish Government would best be summed up as a pragmatic way of co-operating on a four nations basis ..."

How do you say, Mr Swinney, that there was pragmatic co-operation between Scotland and the United Kingdom Government in terms of preparation?

A. I think there would be -- examples of that would be collaboration around some of the expert advice that

- Q. So I don't want to dwell upon it, because the Inquiry
 has heard evidence from several witnesses now about
- 3 this, but I'm sure you will accept, Mr Swinney, that the
- 4 UK influenza preparedness strategy of 2011 should have
- 5 been updated prior to Covid hitting, but wasn't updated?
- 6 A. I've obviously heard that evidence, yes.
- Q. Were you aware at the time when you were in office that
 there were plans afoot to update it but those plans in
 fact never came to fruition?
- 10 A. I wouldn't say I was specifically aware of that11 particular point, no.
- 12 Q. You are aware, though, that a Pandemic Flu Readiness13 Board was set up --
- **A.** Yes.
- Q. -- following the Exercise Cygnus recommendations, and
 one of the aspects of work for that board was to update
 this strategy. That work was eventually paused because
 of preparations for a no-deal EU exit.

19 Is it a source of regret for you, Mr Swinney, that 20 on your watch that preparedness strategy was not 21 updated?

A. Obviously I would, in all circumstances, prefer to be
 able to achieve all of the commitments that were given
 to update material and to prepare accordingly. I think
 there's very strong evidence of pandemic preparations in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 the strategies that were taken forward and in the work 2 that was undertaken particularly within the health team 3 within the Scottish Government that led on pandemic 4 preparation for that to be the case. But there's 5 obviously aspects of work which have suffered as 6 a consequence of what are the -- in my experience, the 7 inevitable congestion of multiple priorities that can 8 often exist. And as the Inquiry will have heard, the 9 preparations for a no-deal Brexit were a very real 10 threat which had to be addressed and, as a consequence, 11 some aspects of the work that was necessary to be 12 undertaken for other areas of activity were not able to 13 be completed.

Can I suggest, in addition to that, though, there appears to have been a sluggishness within the Scottish Government to implement aspects of not only the Exercise Cygnus recommendations but also those that had come from Exercise Silver Swan in 2016 and Exercise Iris in 2018? Because yesterday, during the evidence of Gillian Russell, we looked at some of the minutes from the Pandemic Flu Readiness Board from June of 2019, and some of the comments within those minutes expressed a surprise at how slow matters were progressing. In addition to that, we have heard this morning from

Nicola Sturgeon that so far as guidance for health and

Q. No update within seven years to that guidance.

A. But there would be other work that would be undertaken through the successive exercises between Silver Swan, Cygnus and Iris, which would be helping with the learning in different organisations as those exercises took their course and as professionals saw the sequence of events that were being under -- that were unfolding.

So there was a source of information to assist in the strengthening of guidance, but the final material was available for consultation around about the time when the Covid pandemic struck.

12 Q. All right.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we would wish.

It doesn't give the impression that those recommendations were being speedily addressed, does it? A. There's a lot of work been undertaken, but I think what I would have to concede is that there are multiple priorities that are difficult to wrestle with, within government, and I've -- I don't want to labour the point, but other events come along that unfortunately slow things up, and no-deal Brexit is one example, there would be other incidents that would happen, there would be other events that would happen in the sequence of events, that perhaps would mean that all the timetables we wanted to complete were not able to be completed as

social care is concerned, there was a recommendation for that to be updated as far back as the Exercise Silver Swan report in 2016, and she has confirmed to the Inquiry this morning that when she left office in March of this year that had still not been implemented. So that is guidance and recommendations from several years ago.

Do you agree that that demonstrates an alarming sluggishness for the implementation of what are important recommendations?

A. I think that there is a significant amount of guidance available in relation to the preparation for and the handling of a pandemic, and that guidance would be shared with health boards who would carry the responsibility for many of the actions that would be envisaged in such a plan.

The question -- so there would be an element of guidance that would be available. There was perhaps a -- well, there is a requirement from the commitments given here for that to be strengthened and advanced.

So it wasn't that no guidance was available, it's that perhaps updates were not provided in a timeous period for that, so --

24 Q. Seven years.

25 Yes, but --

90

Q. From your perspective, Mr Swinney, what was the impetus 2 and purpose behind a Scottish Risk Assessment being 3 implemented?

4 A. I would say its purpose was to take the learning that we 5 had from the UK-wide risk assessment and to ensure that 6 it was tailored in any way that was appropriate for it 7 to be tailored to the specific circumstances within 8 Scotland.

> Now, that would be more relevant on some of the challenges we would face in relation to winter weather, for example, which would be perhaps a more acute challenge for us than other parts of the United Kingdom. But its purpose and its objective was to be complementary to the United Kingdom National Risk Assessment.

16 Q. All right.

> Can we put up, please, the Scottish Risk Assessment for 2018. It's at INQ000102940. Thank you.

If we look to page 3 -- thank you -- we can see your smiling face there, Mr Swinney, together with, if we look on the right-hand column, your personal feelings about the implementation of this assessment:

"I feel very strongly that resilience is everyone's business. Our combined efforts to protect our society are the test of our resilience; the ongoing safety and

91

security of our communities is the measure of our success. Building a shared understanding of the risks we face in Scotland is vital if we are to do this successfully."

Does that accurately depict how you felt at the time that this was implemented?

- A. Yes, it does.
- 8 Q. Thank you.

I don't want to again cover evidence that the Inquiry has already heard, but you will I think agree, Mr Swinney, that so far as risk assessments are concerned, there is a risk assessment for pandemic influenza and there are risk assessments for high-consequence infectious diseases, but nothing in between, and the evidence that the Inquiry has heard is that consideration should be given to multiple scenarios or a spectrum of risks and that, going forwards, the risk assessments both nationally and also within the devolved administrations should concentrate on a much wider variety of what those risks should be? A. I think that's a reasonable point. I think the -- in the compilation of the Scottish Risk Assessment an effort was put in to try to ensure that we addressed the range of circumstances that we might face and, if my memory serves me right, I think in this risk assessment

is certainly focused on trying to mitigate the impact and to secure recovery as speedily as possible, but I don't think that does justice to the wider perspective within government which -- certainly in the Scottish Government -- lays a very heavy emphasis on prevention.

So, you know, in so many aspects of Scottish Government policy, there is an emphasis on early intervention and prevention to avoid damaging circumstances emerging, whether that's on policy questions such as child poverty or early learning interventions. But it has an application to some of the resilience questions as well.

Q. Well, I'd just like to look at a different document, please, in order to explore your answer to that question in a little more detail.

Could we put up INQ000087205, please. This is a minute from the meeting of the Pandemic Diseases Capabilities Board in April of 2022, so it's after the pandemic but I'd like to just look at the analysis here of preparation in order to better inform us of how we really should be considering preparing for any future pandemic.

Can we go to page 4, please, and look at paragraph 16. Thank you.

we identified and prioritised ten within that report.

But obviously within that there are a multiplicity of different scenarios on each and every one of those themes.

So, to go back to this risk assessment, we would identify -- I think we probably identified pandemic flu and winter weather as the two highest and most likely risks with the greatest degree of impact. Within those, there would be countless scenarios that might well be considered, and I think part of the challenge in all of this work is to be able to satisfactorily identify just how many scenarios it might be possible to consider, and then whether to prepare for them, because they will require a very different response. Of course, all of that stands to be very resource-intensive in the process.

Q. Yes. Or to have a plan that is flexible enough to deal with different levels of or types of transmission and incubation periods and that sort of thing.

The Inquiry has also heard that there is a doctrinal issue with the way in which the reasonable worst-case scenario is unmitigated and encourages those planning for risks to plan for the consequences rather than for preventing them. Do you agree with that?

25 A. I think the doctrinal approach in resilience, I think,

"Further, in line with the National Security Risk
Assessment ... methodology, revised pandemic reasonable
worst case scenario models ... represent unmitigated
scenarios and so do not include a full risk assessment
for the use of NPIs [non-pharmaceutical interventions].
Given that the imposition of lockdown in part accounted
for a 25% drop in GDP between February and April 2020,
the largest drop on record, and numerous secondary and
tertiary impacts on all sectors, this represents
a significant gap in the UK's assessment of pandemic
risk. Noting that, even without government
intervention, we would anticipate spontaneous behaviour
change and subsequent economic damage. What is more,
the secondary and tertiary impact of these measures will

If we can go to page 5, please, and then look at recommendation 2.1, this recommendation is that:

have been unevenly spread throughout society,

highlighting -- and in areas exacerbating --

pre-existing inequalities."

"All departments to use the outputs of recommendation 2 to produce a supplementary risk assessment to the NSRA that assesses the impacts of public behavioural changes on their sectors. The outputs of this work should be reviewed by ministers with a view to determining which behavioural changes

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fall within an agreed 'Response Ambition' that will provide clear planning assumptions to enhance cross-government preparedness arrangements for future NPI deployment."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Then if we can go down to read through paragraphs 18 to 20, please:

"18. The unprecedented use of NPIs and significant changes in public behaviour seen during the Covid-19 pandemic required the provision of far greater economic support than pre-Covid planning assumptions suggested.

"19. The planning assumptions in the 2011 UK Influenza Pandemic Preparedness Strategy focused on the economic impacts of sickness absence. As a result, the strategy did not include many of the significant economic impacts we have seen during this pandemic, such as the dramatic drops in economic activity, significant shifts and reductions in consumer spending and disruption to global supply chains. The OBR's Fiscal Risks Report from July 2021 suggests the UK's real GDP declined by an unprecedented 9.8% in 2020 and as of September 2021, the NAO estimated the lifetime cost of government spending on Covid-19 will reach £370 billion.

"20. Clearly then, in line with recommendation 2.1, our economic risk assessment for pandemics must be updated to include a broader range of impacts, including

the significant potential impacts of NPIs and 1 2 behavioural changes on different sectors of the 3 economy."

> So not only was much of the planning and preparedness concerned with preparing for the reasonable worst-case scenario, not preventing it from arising, but it would appear that planning was never really designed to deal with the fallout of any of the countermeasures that might be taken to prevent or cope with the reasonable worst-case scenario; do you agree?

A. I think it's difficult to -- and this gets to the heart of so much of the assessment work that has got to be undertaken here -- to identify what might well be the range of impacts that have to be wrestled with in any particular scenario, and then of course the more scenarios that we consider, the broader the range of variables that there will be.

But I think what the material that has been read I think fairly highlights is the very significant wider impact of the pandemic and its effect on our society, and, you know, as I -- and we may well come on to this in other modules of the Inquiry's work -- but after we took the steps to, you know, the most immediate steps in March 2020 in relation to lockdown. I led a lot of work within government which was about trying to essentially

reconcile much of this information as to how we then worked our way back out of that, and it became known as the "four harms framework", where we looked at the direct Covid harm, the indirect Covid harm, the economic and the social harm that was being caused, and how we evaluated what was the right amount of risk to wrestle with, I suppose, in terms of trying to get out of a situation of lockdown. So, in a sense, I offer that information to try to illustrate that the dilemmas that are involved very much in this material were dilemmas that we were wrestling with, but I would concede that we were wrestling with them after lockdown had commenced, not before.

- 14 Q. Yes, but going forwards, what we've just read into the 15 record become part of pandemic planning, shouldn't it?
- A. I would say it needs to, yes. 16
- 17 Q. Mr Swinney, I'm afraid I'm not going to finish your 18 evidence before the break, which we have to take in 19 a couple of minutes, but before we do break I'd just 20 like to ask you one more question, because you were 21 Cabinet Secretary for Finance and Sustainable Growth for 22 nine years.
- 23 A. Yeah.
- 24 Q. What are your views on the fact that, as a result of 25 what we've just discussed, there was no real financial 99

1 pandemic planning put in place for support or 2 countermeasures?

A. If I answer that in relation to the context I was dealing in, which is within the Scottish Government, I suspect your question, Ms Blackwell, might be getting towards: well, why didn't you have a reserve to deal with these circumstances? And as I think a number of evidence -- witness statements have provided this detail to the Inquiry, that was not within my gift to create. The financial arrangements of devolution essentially required the Scottish Government to balance its budget on an annual basis, and any resources that are carried forward are only carried forward on a very limited basis from one financial year to the next, so we are specifically, by the financial -- the Scottish Government is specifically prevented from building up a reserve that it can deploy for eventualities of this type. That's a commentary on the existing financial arrangements that exist within the Scottish Parliament.

Now, what I would acknowledge, and I've acknowledged this publicly on many occasions, that the scale of the economic intervention made by the United Kingdom Government in and around about March 2020 and thereafter was very welcome, from my perspective. It saved,

1	you know, many people's livelihoods from, you know,
2	great jeopardy, but it is an illustration of the scale
3	of the financial challenge that comes with a disruptive
4	pandemic of this nature.
5	MS BLACKWELL: All right, thank you very much.
6	My Lady, is that a convenient moment?
7	LADY HALLETT: Thank you very much. Sorry we can't c

LADY HALLETT: Thank you very much. Sorry we can't complete you this morning, Mr Swinney. I shall return at 1.45,

9 please.

10 (12.45 pm)

8

11

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

(The short adjournment)

12 **(1.45 pm)**

13 LADY HALLETT: Ms Blackwell.

14 MS BLACKWELL: Thank you, my Lady.

Mr Swinney, the first topic I want to ask you about this afternoon is intergovernmental relations, which is something that was touched upon by Ms Sturgeon in her evidence this morning, and for you to confirm that, in relation to the Civil Contingencies Act of 2004, there was a concordat between the United Kingdom Government and Scottish Ministers that was published in February of 2021, which was an agreed framework for co-operation between Scottish Ministers and the UK Government, not a legally binding agreement but with an expectation that each party would abide by it wherever practicable. Is

101

A. -- after the passage of the Civil Contingencies Act in
 2004.

3 Q. In 2004, yes.

4 A. So those arrangements were --

5 Q. Were already in place?

A. Were already in place, and they, for example, envisaged the designation of -- envisaged -- they require the designation of an individual within the
Scottish Government to be, at official level, a key resilience person, if I could use that terminology, and that was always followed through. So just so that I was

13 Q. No, thank you very much.

clear about the document.

In 2013, in fact, there was a memorandum of understanding and supplementary agreements between the United Kingdom Government and all of the devolved nations, with the intention of the devolution settlements having enduring qualities of good communication, et cetera, wasn't there?

20 **A.** Yes.

Q. So there were these agreements in place from, I'm going
 to suggest, soon after devolution happened, which always
 attempted to propel along a good natured agreement and
 good communication between the nations?

25 A. That's correct, yes.

1 that right?

A. It exists, yes, but I think the date is much earlierthan 2021.

Q. Did I say 2021? I meant 2011, I'm so sorry. I'm glad
you picked me up on that.

6 $\,$ A. Yes, yes. I think it may even be earlier than that.

7 Q. Right, February of 2011 is the date that I have here,8 but we can check that.

In any event, it came into force, I use that word
loosely because, of course, there was no legal binding
nature attached to it, but an expectation that the
Scottish Ministers and the UK Government would abide by
it, and effectively from that date, if indeed from
before, if you think that the agreement might have

agreed that certainly the spirit of the Civil

Contingencies Act would be followed, and from that time
Category 1 and Category 2 responders were identified, as

extended back beyond that date, Scottish Ministers

19 indeed happened in England?

A. Yes. The reason why I was just being a bit precise
 about the timescale is that I do have a concordat which
 was -- pre-dates our government coming to office in
 2007, so it must have followed, I think, some time
 soon --

25 **Q.** Yes.

15

102

Q. All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

But we know that, after the onset of Covid, and commissioned by the four heads of government, there was a review of intergovernmental relations, and we know that because a report was produced dated January of 2022, and I think that was referred to during this morning's session.

Michael Gove, who will be coming to this Inquiry to give evidence at a later date, who is currently Secretary of State for DLUHC and Minister for Intergovernmental Relations, has told the Inquiry in his written statement that at the time of the pandemic it was apparent that the broader matter of intergovernmental relations was not clearly agreed and there were difficulties encountered in relation to communication, but also matters of substance.

Does the fact that the four heads of state commissioned the review of intergovernmental relations suggest that Michael Gove might be right, that the practical difficulties that were encountered when Covid hit, in terms of communication and substance, indicated that further work needs to be done in terms of the way in which the nations work together in an emergency?

A. I wouldn't say that the working arrangements in
 an emergency were particularly poor. I think there was

generally a pretty good amount of co-operation when we were operating in an emergency. In that respect, I'm going a way back to my period since 2007, generally when there was a difficulty and we were perhaps involved in a COBR call, which is a UK emergency call, there would be, you know, a lot of reasonable, practical engagement in an emergency context.

But the reason why that process had to be undertaken to form an agreement about how we were all going to operate was that generally relationships between the administrations were pretty poor by that point. Poor in the aftermath of Brexit, because obviously constituent parts of the United Kingdom -- well, we were -- in Scotland we were not happy with Brexit at all, or not happy with the -- and you obviously had to spend a lot of time on the no-deal Brexit, as the Inquiry heard this morning from Nicola Sturgeon. But generally relations were pretty poor.

19 Q. All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

2

3

4

5

6 **A**.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Therefore there was, you know, a necessity to try to
 formulate some working basis upon which
 intergovernmental relationships could be improved.
- Q. So, moving forwards in terms of preparing for future
 pandemics or future civil emergencies, any level of
 clarity as to how communications and matters of

Scotland, has regular dialogue with major business representative organisations, and interaction with a representative range of third sector organisations.

Tell us how important the Scottish Leaders Forum and

the interaction between government and those sectors is.

Very important on all aspects of government policy.

I think if I -- I'm now out of government, one of my big reflections is that one of the big problems of government is that government often operates within individual compartments and the necessary of cross-responsibility working to try to sort common problems -- you know, the problem of child poverty or of climate change will not be solved in one neat little compartment in government, it will involve a whole range of different organisations, as will any issue in relation to resilience will invariably require a range of different organisations to be part of it.

So what the governments of which I was a part tried to foster was a climate of collaboration, co-operation across different public and private sector boundaries, third sector boundaries, so Scottish Leaders Forum would bring together basically the key public sector and third sector, private sector leaders around the country to try to formulate common purpose, and a common direction of travel in solving problems that we were all interested

1 substance should be taken forwards between the

2 four nations would be welcomed?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Thank you.

5 LADY HALLETT: Just in case an eagle-eyed commentator spots

6 it, I think you, by slip of the tongue, said four heads

7 of state.

9

12

13

14

15

2

8 MS BLACKWELL: I'm sorry, I didn't mean to say that, the

heads of government.

10 **LADY HALLETT:** Thank you.

11 MS BLACKWELL: Thank you.

I want to move on now to ask you about the level of engagement, community engagement, between the Scottish Government and local government and also the Scottish Leaders Forum.

16 A. Yeah.

17 **Q.** You tell us in your witness statement that one of the

18 hallmarks of the operating approach of

19 Scottish Government during the period that this module

20 is interested in was to engage widely with other public

authorities, public bodies, business and third sector

22 organisations to create a sense of common purpose in

23 your endeavour, and you tell us that that was achieved

24 through forums such as the Scottish Leaders Forum, which

25 brings together senior public sector leaders from across

106

1 in solving but might have slightly different

perspectives about who could do what in the process.

Q. Right, in terms of emergency preparedness and pandemic
 planning, what level of engagement was there between the
 Scottish Government and the voluntary sector?

Scottish Government and the voluntary sector?

A. There would be dialogue through, you know, the routine

A. There would be dialogue through, you know, the routine
 conversations we would have with the third sector about,

8 you know, how they could perform a role within the

9 delivery of policy. So if I think back to periods

where, you know, I had responsibility for third sector

11 relationships, 2007 to probably about 2012, you know,

we'd be regularly involved in the third sector in the

formulation of strategy, what role they could perform,

how they could be involved. When it got to the stage of

15 dealing with the pandemic, the third sector

organisations would be operating very closely with local

17 resilience partnerships, because, you know, we would

18 encourage -- we actually not just encouraged but we

19 funded what were called third sector interfaces at local

20 level in the 32 local authority areas in Scotland. So

21 the third sector had an ability to influence the

22 direction of policy and service delivery at local level.

Q. The Inquiry has received a statement from Heather Fisken
 representing an organisation called Inclusion Scotland.

25 It's an independent non-party political representative

organisation of disabled people across Scotland, with a network of over 50 DPO members and partner organisations as well as individual members. I want to give you the opportunity, Mr Swinney, to respond to what she tells us in her statement:

"Prior to January 2020 we were not invited to engage with government, UK, Scottish or local, regarding the extent to which inequalities and vulnerabilities should be factored into emergency preparedness and pandemic planning. We have routinely highlighted the obligation on the UK and Scottish Governments to involve disabled people in the development of law and policy. Failure to do this adequately means that inequalities faced by disabled people were not sufficiently factored in to emergency preparedness and pandemic planning."

What does it say, Mr Swinney, about the partnership approach that such a significant organisation, representing such an important and vulnerable constituency in society, were not subject to engagement?

A. I think I'm -- I've read Heather Fisken's witness statement and obviously I'm troubled by its contents, because that's the last impression or feeling I would want a person like Heather Fisken and the organisation she represents to have.

I think the government, the Scottish Government, has

and the United Kingdom Government were not perhaps as cordial as they should have been.

It's the UK Resilience Forum and the presence or absence of Scottish Government at these meetings, and given that there was a level of -- or a lack of clarity following Ms Sturgeon's evidence about whether or not the Scottish Government were present at some of the meetings, I think it's important for us to look very briefly at the minutes.

So can we look at the minutes of the first meeting, please, which are at INQ000198919.

This is the meeting on 14 July 2021, chaired by Paymaster General Penny Mordaunt, and if we can scroll down, please --

15 LADY HALLETT: I don't think Ms Sturgeon was questioningthat the minutes existed.

17 MS BLACKWELL: No, no.

18 LADY HALLETT: I think she was questioning the accuracy of

the minutes.

MS BLACKWELL: Or indeed whether or not the government were

22 LADY HALLETT: Yes.

23 MS BLACKWELL: So we can see representatives from the

following organisations who were in attendance.

25 Scottish Government are the first in the list.

gone to a lot of lengths, as I just have recounted, through the arrangements that we put in place to make sure the third sector have got a voice throughout the formulation of policy, whether that's around the design of Scottish Government policy or legislation that's brought forward and, you know, there's extensive consultation with third sector organisations about the formulation of policy within the Scottish Government. So I'm very troubled that that is the impression that Heather Fisken has about the extent to which the organisation she represents has been involved.

I think --

13 Q. It's not just an impression, is it? She sets out quite
 14 clearly that, having offered the assistance of that
 15 organisation, and acknowledging the importance of
 16 an organisation like that being involved in pandemic
 17 planning, her pleas were ignored.

18 A. Well, I regret the fact that that's the case, and
19 I think that, you know, that can and should be rectified
20 by the Scottish Government.

21 Q. Thank you.

I want to return now to, again, something that was covered in evidence this morning, and following on from your comments that certainly at some point during the Covid outbreak relations between the Scottish Government

1 Thank you.

If we can now look to the second meeting, which is at INQ000198920.

This was a meeting that took place on 3 May 2022, chaired by Minister for the Cabinet Office Michael Ellis, and if we can scroll down, please, to those present and absent, thank you. If we can scroll up the page, please, thank you.

We can see:

10 "Invited organisations unable to attend:

11 "Scottish Government ..."

Then, finally, INQ000198921, which is the third meeting, taking place on 2 February 2023, chaired by Oliver Dowden.

15 If we can look at those in attendance and thoseabsent, please.

"Invited organisations unable to attend", at the bottom of the page, we can see, fourth bullet down, Scottish Government.

So it rather looks as if the minutes suggest that the Scottish Government were not present in meetings 2 and 3.

My question to you is this: do you think that their absence from these meetings was a reflection on the poor quality of relations between the nations?

1	A.	No. But I wonder if I might just see on that, the	1		advised, the videolink was not working and unfortunately
2		minute that last minute, I wonder if I could just see	2		there were people ready to be involved but could not
3		slightly higher up	3		participate because of technical issues.
4	Q.	The one that's on the screen now?	4		On the third meeting, what I've been advised, and
5	A.	Yes, please. If I could just see it's I just	5		that's why I wanted to see this wording, was that it was
6		wanted to check, it says "Meeting held in" sorry,	6		an in-person meeting in London, and that minute
7		it's	7		contradicts what I've been told, and this was at
8	Q.	If we can go to the next page, please.	8		a period where we were wrestling with winter weather
9	A.	Forgive me for	9		challenges and our staff numbers were under pressure.
10	Q.	Not at all.	10		I'm also not certain that these were invitations
11	A.	the process here, because it's material to the answer	11		extended to ministers to participate. So I would need
12		I'm going to give.	12		to check whether that was a ministerial
13	Q.	Yes, of course.	13		But around this time, or certainly around about this
14	Α.	It says:	14		period, I discussed collaboration on this question with
15		"Meeting held in person and by video conference."	15		Michael Ellis, who was I think, at the time, Minister
16		Okay. Thank you for. That.	16		for the Cabinet Office
17		So, no, I don't think it's about the nature of	17 C	Q.	Yes, he was.
18		relations. In the short time I've had to explore this,			And basically we had an in principle conversation about
19		and, as I say, I'm no longer a member of government so	19		the necessity for co-operation.
20		it takes me slightly longer to get answers to things, at	20		So to go back to the question you put to me,
21		least	21		Ms Blackwell, did I think this was the absence of the
22	Q.	No, no need to apologise.	22		Scottish Government was in any way an indication of poor
23	Α.	On the first meeting, the Scottish Government was	23		relations, on that point, no, unreservedly not. I think
24		present. On the second meeting, the Scottish Government	24		it was perhaps logistics and issues that got in the way,
25		had planned to be present but, from what I have been	25		but I will make sure there is a definitive answer given
		113	20		114
1		to the Inquiry to explain that point.	1		course of your evidence was that the Inquiry has heard
2	Q.	Thank you very much.	2		from Sir Mark Walport, who spoke of the need, regardless
3		The final matter I want to ask you about is the	3		of what approach government takes to future funding of
4		National Performance Framework.	4		national resilience, we perhaps should consider having
5		We can see this at INQ000102917.	5		a national resilience assessment across all areas of
6		This was established, I think, during your time in	6		society in order to ensure that the best level of
7		office, and it demonstrates that organisations in	7		resilience is achieved.
8		Scotland were working together, doesn't it, to achieve	8		Do you think that that principle could work together
9		collective aspirations for all members of society?	9		with the National Performance Framework that we see is
10	A.	Encouraging them to do so.	10		currently in force in Scotland?
11	Q.	Yes. It's INQ000102917, please.		۹.	I think that would be beneficial, and I think there is
12		Right. Now, this is a pictorial representation of	12	-	a constant challenge that we've got to be aware of on
13		the framework, isn't it? Can you explain to us how it	13		resilience issues about how the world is changing.
14		works, please, Mr Swinney.	14		If I can perhaps give an illustration of that, we
15	Α.	Essentially what at the core of it in the centre are	15		had a very severe and acute storm in the northeast of
16		an explanation of the purpose of Scottish public policy	16		Scotland, Storm Arwen, and there was a very extensive
17		and the values that should underpin that, in	17		amount of damage particularly to power cables, and what,
18		the circular area in the centre. Then around about it	18		of course, we discovered very, very quickly is that
19		are a series of national outcomes that we work with	19		without power supplies, people's dependence on mobile
20		others in Scotland, whether they're in the local	20		technology, broadband, for which vast amounts of life
21		authority partners, third sector organisations, the	21		now hinge, stops. And it's all very well saying,

23

24

25

people.

22

23

24

private sector, to agree, to try to achieve those

25 Q. The reason that I wanted to highlight it during the

country we're trying to create.

outcomes. So they are aspirational about the type of

115

116

Storm Arwen was the case, that is an acute challenge to

you know, "We'll get the power back on tomorrow", but if

the power can't go on for seven days, which in

So the resilience effort is -- you know, the Inquiry will understand I'm not much of an electrical engineer, you need the proper people who know what they're doing to do that. So the necessity for whole approaches to resilience threats, whatever they happen to be, which Sir Mark is suggesting, is a very welcome suggestion.

MS BLACKWELL: Thank you very much.

My Lady, that concludes my questions for Mr Swinney. You have provisionally granted permission for Scottish Covid Bereaved to ask two discrete questions. May they do that now, please.

12 LADY HALLETT: Ms Mitchell.

Questions from MS MITCHELL KC

MS MITCHELL: I am obliged, and in fact one of the questions has already been dealt with in full before with Ms Sturgeon, so I only need to take you to one question now

I would like, Mr Swinney, for your comment on evidence given to this Inquiry by Dr Jim McMenamin. He was a consultant epidemiologist in Health Protection Scotland and, as you will know, that's the lead body protecting the Scottish public from infectious diseases at the time that pandemic planning was taking place, and also at the time just before the pandemic.

I'm not going to ask the Inquiry to physically go to

Scotland didn't have the budget or staffing levels to provide health protection for Scotland pre-pandemic? Α. My view is that Public Health Scotland provided the Scottish Government, our local authority partners -- and I make reference to this in my own witness statement -with a huge amount of immensely reliable information and trusted information to enable us to form our decisions. So part of the benefit of the reform which was undertaken to establish Public Health Scotland was it was a body jointly owned, if I could use that terminology, between the government and local authorities. So there was -- often local authorities might dispute the evidence base that government has taken its decisions based on. On this example there was none of that because we jointly owned the body of Public Health Scotland and there was wide confidence in the quality of the material and the information that came from Public Health Scotland.

So, in that respect, I want to put that on the record, about the strength of that information that was available from which decision-making then came.

Where I would accept is that there were financial pressures -- there were financial pressures throughout every aspect of the public sector in Scotland and we've had a prolonged period of austerity which has required

the statement, but just for the record it's his statement, the Inquiry number INQ000183410.

In that statement to the Inquiry, at paragraph 146, he explains that staffing numbers reduced at Health Protection Scotland between 2005 and 2020.

Now, he indicated that this was due to a number of factors, but he specifically highlighted that one of the factors was the requirement placed on all NHS boards by the Scottish Government to make what he describes as cash releasing efficiency savings, and as a result of that, of course, clearly, staffing numbers were affected.

Further, he explains at paragraph 145 that the newly formed Public Health Scotland, so the body that was taking over from the other one, the opening budget for that and staffing levels were not sufficient for Public Health Scotland to deliver the health protection and response required by the pandemic.

From your position, having, in your own words this morning, the responsibility to make Scotland in as strong a position as it could be for any eventuality we had to face, do you accept the evidence of Dr Jim McMenamin that, amongst other factors, the Scottish Government requirement to make cash savings in the previous body, the newly formed Public Health

us to make -- to live within very challenging fiscal -- a very challenging fiscal environment in the Scottish Government. Having said that, the health budget, which would have funded Public Health Scotland, would have been the budget that grew the most compared to any other aspect of the public -- of public budgets.

So yes, there would be efficiency savings required, they were required of everybody, but in that context the health budget was growing to a greater extent than any other part of the public budgets for which the Scottish Government has responsibility.

So what that answer is designed to do is to acknowledge the strength of Public Health Scotland but also to accept that, in a challenging fiscal environment, we have to ask organisations to perform strongly to live within the financial resources we have available to us.

- 18 Q. So despite the fact that Public Health Scotland would
 19 have had the budget that grew most compared to other
 20 aspects of public life, it still wasn't, in terms of
 21 budget or in terms of staffing, prepared for the
 22 pandemic?
- A. Well, I -- certainly from my experience of Public Health
 Scotland I thought Public Health Scotland contributed
 formidably to the handling of the pandemic and at no

1		stage did I feel that we did not have the necessary
2		information or interventions available to us, from
3		well, particularly Dr McMenamin and his colleagues at
4		that time. So from my perspective I felt they were able
5		to make that contribution, but I do acknowledge that the
6		burden of austerity and the requirement for efficiency
7		savings has been acute for many organisations.
8	MS	MITCHELL: Thank you, my Lady, that concludes my
9		questions.
10	LA	DY HALLETT: Thank you very much, Ms Mitchell.
11		Thank you very much indeed, Mr Swinney, thank you
12		for your help.
13	TH	E WITNESS: Thank you, my Lady.
14		(The witness withdrew)
15	MS	BLACKWELL: My Lady, the final witness of the day and
16		indeed of this week is Catherine Frances.
17		MS CATHERINE FRANCES (affirmed)
18		Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY
19	MS	BLACKWELL: Please sit down.
20	A.	Thank you.
21	Q.	Is your name Catherine Frances?
22	A.	It is.
23	Q.	Ms Frances, thank you for coming to give evidence today
24		and thank you for the assistance that you've given so
25		far. You've provided a witness statement which we'll
		121
1		a realm of shifting acronyms and names, so I'm going to
2		try and deal with it all at once so that we can then
3		move on.
4		Ms Frances, I need your assistance in relation to
5		how the government is formed and its previous
6		iterations, please.
7		The Department for Levelling Up, Housing and
8		Communities, referred to as DLUHC, which I'm going to
9		use during the course of your evidence, has operated in
10		various forms and under various names over its lifetime,
11		hasn't it? It was created in 2006 to replace the Office
12		of the Deputy Prime Minister, which had taken on the
13		Local Government and Regions portfolio from the
14		Department for Transport, Local Government and the
15		Regions in 2002.
16		When it was first formed, the department was called
17		the Department for Communities and Local Government,
18		DCLG, but then in January of 2018 it became the Ministry
19		of Housing, Communities & Local Government, MHCLG, and
20		then in September of 2021 it became DLUHC.
21		Have I got that right?
	Α.	Have I got that right? You have got that right.
21	A. Q.	5

RED, which is the Resilience and Emergencies Division,

123

25

1		look at on the screen in a moment. Before we confirm
2		that this is your witness statement, I notice that
3		you're quite softly spoken. That's not a criticism, but
4		please keep your voice up and speak into the microphone
5		so that the stenographer can hear you for the
6		transcript. If you need a break during the course of
7		your evidence, just ask and we will do that.
8		So can you confirm, please, Ms Frances, that this is
9		your witness statement?
10	A.	I can.
11	Q.	Thank you. We don't need to go there, but can you also
12		confirm that at the end you have signed it as being true
13		to the best of your knowledge and belief?
14	Α.	I have.
15	Q.	Thank you, we can take that down, please.
16		You are the Director General for Local Government
17		Resilience and Communities, a post which you have held
18		since April of 2019?
19	A.	That's correct.
20	Q.	I think that you joined the civil service in 2001, and
21		prior to joining this department you were director of
22		public services in Her Majesty's Treasury?
23	A.	That's correct.
24	Q.	Thank you.
25		Now, a warning, my Lady, we are about to enter
		122
		122
1		
1 2		although it is now known as the Resilience and Recovery Directorate; is that right?
	A.	although it is now known as the Resilience and Recovery
2	A. Q.	although it is now known as the Resilience and Recovery Directorate; is that right? That is correct.
2		although it is now known as the Resilience and Recovery Directorate; is that right? That is correct. I'm going to refer to it as "RED" during the course of
2 3 4 5		although it is now known as the Resilience and Recovery Directorate; is that right? That is correct. I'm going to refer to it as "RED" during the course of your evidence. Just pausing there, why has that
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	although it is now known as the Resilience and Recovery Directorate; is that right? That is correct. I'm going to refer to it as "RED" during the course of your evidence. Just pausing there, why has that particular name changed?
2 3 4 5 6 7		although it is now known as the Resilience and Recovery Directorate; is that right? That is correct. I'm going to refer to it as "RED" during the course of your evidence. Just pausing there, why has that particular name changed? It's changed for two reasons. Firstly, because this is
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	although it is now known as the Resilience and Recovery Directorate; is that right? That is correct. I'm going to refer to it as "RED" during the course of your evidence. Just pausing there, why has that particular name changed? It's changed for two reasons. Firstly, because this is part of our organisation which works on resilience
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	although it is now known as the Resilience and Recovery Directorate; is that right? That is correct. I'm going to refer to it as "RED" during the course of your evidence. Just pausing there, why has that particular name changed? It's changed for two reasons. Firstly, because this is part of our organisation which works on resilience planning and response, and we wanted to recognise that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	although it is now known as the Resilience and Recovery Directorate; is that right? That is correct. I'm going to refer to it as "RED" during the course of your evidence. Just pausing there, why has that particular name changed? It's changed for two reasons. Firstly, because this is part of our organisation which works on resilience planning and response, and we wanted to recognise that we were thinking in a holistic way about how you recover
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	although it is now known as the Resilience and Recovery Directorate; is that right? That is correct. I'm going to refer to it as "RED" during the course of your evidence. Just pausing there, why has that particular name changed? It's changed for two reasons. Firstly, because this is part of our organisation which works on resilience planning and response, and we wanted to recognise that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	although it is now known as the Resilience and Recovery Directorate; is that right? That is correct. I'm going to refer to it as "RED" during the course of your evidence. Just pausing there, why has that particular name changed? It's changed for two reasons. Firstly, because this is part of our organisation which works on resilience planning and response, and we wanted to recognise that we were thinking in a holistic way about how you recover from emergencies as well as how you just immediately
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	although it is now known as the Resilience and Recovery Directorate; is that right? That is correct. I'm going to refer to it as "RED" during the course of your evidence. Just pausing there, why has that particular name changed? It's changed for two reasons. Firstly, because this is part of our organisation which works on resilience planning and response, and we wanted to recognise that we were thinking in a holistic way about how you recover from emergencies as well as how you just immediately respond. So the name has been changed for that purpose. It's also been changed to reflect, I think over
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	although it is now known as the Resilience and Recovery Directorate; is that right? That is correct. I'm going to refer to it as "RED" during the course of your evidence. Just pausing there, why has that particular name changed? It's changed for two reasons. Firstly, because this is part of our organisation which works on resilience planning and response, and we wanted to recognise that we were thinking in a holistic way about how you recover from emergencies as well as how you just immediately respond. So the name has been changed for that purpose. It's also been changed to reflect, I think over time, changes in the resourcing of that team and set of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	although it is now known as the Resilience and Recovery Directorate; is that right? That is correct. I'm going to refer to it as "RED" during the course of your evidence. Just pausing there, why has that particular name changed? It's changed for two reasons. Firstly, because this is part of our organisation which works on resilience planning and response, and we wanted to recognise that we were thinking in a holistic way about how you recover from emergencies as well as how you just immediately respond. So the name has been changed for that purpose. It's also been changed to reflect, I think over time, changes in the resourcing of that team and set of teams. It is now run by a director who has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	although it is now known as the Resilience and Recovery Directorate; is that right? That is correct. I'm going to refer to it as "RED" during the course of your evidence. Just pausing there, why has that particular name changed? It's changed for two reasons. Firstly, because this is part of our organisation which works on resilience planning and response, and we wanted to recognise that we were thinking in a holistic way about how you recover from emergencies as well as how you just immediately respond. So the name has been changed for that purpose. It's also been changed to reflect, I think over time, changes in the resourcing of that team and set of teams. It is now run by a director who has responsibility solely for that function, and previously
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	although it is now known as the Resilience and Recovery Directorate; is that right? That is correct. I'm going to refer to it as "RED" during the course of your evidence. Just pausing there, why has that particular name changed? It's changed for two reasons. Firstly, because this is part of our organisation which works on resilience planning and response, and we wanted to recognise that we were thinking in a holistic way about how you recover from emergencies as well as how you just immediately respond. So the name has been changed for that purpose. It's also been changed to reflect, I think over time, changes in the resourcing of that team and set of teams. It is now run by a director who has responsibility solely for that function, and previously it's been in slightly different arrangements over the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	although it is now known as the Resilience and Recovery Directorate; is that right? That is correct. I'm going to refer to it as "RED" during the course of your evidence. Just pausing there, why has that particular name changed? It's changed for two reasons. Firstly, because this is part of our organisation which works on resilience planning and response, and we wanted to recognise that we were thinking in a holistic way about how you recover from emergencies as well as how you just immediately respond. So the name has been changed for that purpose. It's also been changed to reflect, I think over time, changes in the resourcing of that team and set of teams. It is now run by a director who has responsibility solely for that function, and previously it's been in slightly different arrangements over the years.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	although it is now known as the Resilience and Recovery Directorate; is that right? That is correct. I'm going to refer to it as "RED" during the course of your evidence. Just pausing there, why has that particular name changed? It's changed for two reasons. Firstly, because this is part of our organisation which works on resilience planning and response, and we wanted to recognise that we were thinking in a holistic way about how you recover from emergencies as well as how you just immediately respond. So the name has been changed for that purpose. It's also been changed to reflect, I think over time, changes in the resourcing of that team and set of teams. It is now run by a director who has responsibility solely for that function, and previously it's been in slightly different arrangements over the years. All right, thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	although it is now known as the Resilience and Recovery Directorate; is that right? That is correct. I'm going to refer to it as "RED" during the course of your evidence. Just pausing there, why has that particular name changed? It's changed for two reasons. Firstly, because this is part of our organisation which works on resilience planning and response, and we wanted to recognise that we were thinking in a holistic way about how you recover from emergencies as well as how you just immediately respond. So the name has been changed for that purpose. It's also been changed to reflect, I think over time, changes in the resourcing of that team and set of teams. It is now run by a director who has responsibility solely for that function, and previously it's been in slightly different arrangements over the years.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	although it is now known as the Resilience and Recovery Directorate; is that right? That is correct. I'm going to refer to it as "RED" during the course of your evidence. Just pausing there, why has that particular name changed? It's changed for two reasons. Firstly, because this is part of our organisation which works on resilience planning and response, and we wanted to recognise that we were thinking in a holistic way about how you recover from emergencies as well as how you just immediately respond. So the name has been changed for that purpose. It's also been changed to reflect, I think over time, changes in the resourcing of that team and set of teams. It is now run by a director who has responsibility solely for that function, and previously it's been in slightly different arrangements over the years. All right, thank you. The department is a ministerial department with oversight for local government and elections,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	although it is now known as the Resilience and Recovery Directorate; is that right? That is correct. I'm going to refer to it as "RED" during the course of your evidence. Just pausing there, why has that particular name changed? It's changed for two reasons. Firstly, because this is part of our organisation which works on resilience planning and response, and we wanted to recognise that we were thinking in a holistic way about how you recover from emergencies as well as how you just immediately respond. So the name has been changed for that purpose. It's also been changed to reflect, I think over time, changes in the resourcing of that team and set of teams. It is now run by a director who has responsibility solely for that function, and previously it's been in slightly different arrangements over the years. All right, thank you. The department is a ministerial department with oversight for local government and elections, homelessness, housing and home ownership, planning,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	although it is now known as the Resilience and Recovery Directorate; is that right? That is correct. I'm going to refer to it as "RED" during the course of your evidence. Just pausing there, why has that particular name changed? It's changed for two reasons. Firstly, because this is part of our organisation which works on resilience planning and response, and we wanted to recognise that we were thinking in a holistic way about how you recover from emergencies as well as how you just immediately respond. So the name has been changed for that purpose. It's also been changed to reflect, I think over time, changes in the resourcing of that team and set of teams. It is now run by a director who has responsibility solely for that function, and previously it's been in slightly different arrangements over the years. All right, thank you. The department is a ministerial department with oversight for local government and elections,

- government sector and is responsible for the stewardship
 and oversight of local authorities in England, which
 includes ensuring that the frameworks for accountability
 and finance of local government are robust, and that
 local authorities operate in accordance with what's
 described as a "best value" regime.

 A. That is a correct description of our role nationally in
 - A. That is a correct description of our role nationally in relation to local government. I think it's important for the Inquiry to understand, and that because local government does so many different things, in England the way that this is organised is that the lead government department for a particular service area would take national oversight and accountability for that.

So to give you an example, the Department for Health and Social Care would be responsible at national level for social care, even though local authorities are a major player in social care.

Similarly the Department for Education would be responsible for children's services, and we as a department would be responsible for homelessness at a national policy level.

22 Q. Right.

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

24

25

- 23 A. But you're correct in your description that we did the24 overarching framework.
- 25 **Q.** In terms of resilience, which is of particular interest

with overarching responsibility, and they have responsibility in terms of policy, known as doctrine, for local emergencies planning too.

As you have probably heard from other people there's often a lead government department which takes forward a particular risk and plans for that.

Locally speaking, we have a situation defined in legislation where Category 1 responders, hospitals, local authorities, blue lights, have a responsibility for planning for emergencies and then responding in emergencies. They also have a responsibility to come together in local resilience forums, and those forums are in place to enable planning and response when it needs a cross-agency response locally.

The role of RED in that wider system is, in a sense, relatively simple: it is the connecting team between the national level civil contingencies arrangements and the local LRFs.

- 19 **Q.** Right.
- A. So those 38 LRFs in England will have RED connecting
 officers working with them, and they will work with them
 on planning and also in response. I hope that's clear.
- 23 Q. It is, thank you very much.

Local government is responsible for a range of services for people and businesses in defined areas, and 127

to this Inquiry, your department shares joint competency for local resilience with the Cabinet Office, I think; is that right?

- 4 A. Yes. May I set this out very clearly for you?
- 5 Q. Please do.
- A. So the way to think about our department's role is in
 two different chunks, if you like. The first is, as any
 other government department, we have lead areas of
 responsibility, and they are exactly as you have set
 out: housing, homelessness, building safety and local
 government overarching accountancy in stewardship form.

There is then a separate function that sits within our department which is the Resilience and Emergencies Division, now renamed RED.

15 Q. RED?

12

13

14

19

1

2

3

4

A. RED. Now, RED performs a function which is not just for
 our own department, RED performs a function on behalf of
 all of government, central and local. I can set that

out role for you now if it's helpful.

- 20 **Q.** Yes, please.
- A. So this is all based in legislation and in the civil
 contingencies framework and then the supporting guidance
 that goes with this.
- So at national level civil contingencies are
 arranged in such a way as you have the Cabinet Office

I'd like your assistance, please, now, on how the local government levels work.

So there are different times of local authorities, aren't there?

- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Can you tell us what they are, please.
- 7 Yes. So there are a range of different types. There 8 are some authorities, metropolitan authorities and integrated authorities that have responsibility for 9 10 a full range of services. To give you an example, that 11 would include social care, children's services, those 12 sorts of services, it would include libraries, it would 13 also include responsibilities for refuse collection and 14 things like that, and planning.

15 In other parts of the country where we don't have 16 that unitary authority that integrates both tiers, that 17 can be split between a county, which holds some 18 responsibilities, and, underneath it, some district 19 councils.

- 20 **Q.** Right.
- A. They have separated lines of responsibilities that are
 set out very clearly in all legislation. For example,
 districts would do refuse and that sort of service and
 at the county level you might find adult social care and
 children's services.

- Q. So differing types of local authorities --1
- 2 A. It is a patchwork across the country, well understood by
- 3 practitioners, but it is not regular. Then, in addition
- 4 to that, in some parts of the country there are combined
- 5 authorities or mayoral combined authorities, they bring

together the authorities in the area and have certain

- 7 accountabilities that are set out in a series largely of
- 8 devolution deals and then legislation that follows
- 9 those

6

10

11

12

- If it would help the Inquiry, they tend to be less directly responsible for the public services that are affected immediately in a pandemic-type response.
- 13 Q. Right, but what's the interrelation between the local 14 resilience forums and local authorities? Is there 15 a direct correlation between the area that a local
- 16 resilience forum covers and a local authority, or do
- 17 some local resilience forums cross boundaries?
- 18 A. Thank you for asking that question.
- 19 So in the legislation the 38 local resilience forums 20 are set out as being along the same footprint as police 21 authorities.
- 22 Q. Right.

6

7

8

- 23 A. So that is what defines them.
- 24 You can imagine that a local resilience forum will 25 sometimes be responding to a situation where the police
- 1 Q. So the department's role in preparedness and risk 2 management for civil emergencies, as we've established, 3 sits within RED, and you've explained how RED really 4 sits between central government and local government, 5 and provides a conduit for advice.
 - Does that extend to assurance? So what level of assurance does RED have over plans and arrangements that a local -- that might be held at a local level?
- 9 A. Okay, just to break this down, so firstly I think we do 10 have civil contingencies responsibilities as a department outside RED as any other department would. 11
- 12 Q. Yes.
- 13 Α. But just looking at RED, its role is to act as the
- 14 connecting point between central government and LRFs.
- 15 So in the preparedness phase, RED's role is to act as
- 16 a critical friend of local planners, to check that they
- 17 are asking themselves the right question, because the
- 18 accountability for planning lies with them, to share 19
- with them and point them in the direction of guidance 20 that's been issued, so that they can understand that, to
- 21 ensure that they understand the national risk registers
- 22 that are issued, and then of course local planners then
- 23 have to make their own community risk registers, and to
- 24 help local partners identify risks.
- 25 In a response phase, RED's interaction would build 131

- 1 may be other lead agency, so one can see why it's set
- 2 out like that --
- 3 LADY HALLETT: I thought there were 42 authorities? Sorry 4 to interrupt.
- 5
- 6 LADY HALLETT: I thought there were 40 ...
- 7 A. I would bow to your greater knowledge on the police.
- LADY HALLETT: I thought it was over 40 police forces. 8
- 9 Forgive me for interrupting.
- 10 A. It may have changed, I don't know. I'm afraid I can't 11 help you on that one.
- You asked about the connection with local 12 13 authorities?
- 14 MS BLACKWELL: Yes.
- A. Because they are Category 1 responders, they are 15
- 16 required to engage with the local resilience forum of
- 17 which they are a part.
- Q. Under the Civil Contingencies Act? 18
- 19 A. Exactly.
- 20 Q. Yes.
- 21 In practice, what that can mean is that you'll have
- 22 a local resilience forum that has several councils in
- 23 it, that is perfectly standardised, and you may find
- 24 that councils don't all individually attend, they can
- 25 nominate each other to attend on each other's behalf.

130

- 1 on that sort of relationship, and effectively they would
- 2 act as a communicator between the local LRF and the 3 centre, highlighting where there are issues that need to
- 4 be resolved and facilitating the transfer of information
- 5 between central and local.
 - For the avoidance of doubt, RED has no role in assuring the local plan, because the local plan is the responsibility of the local responders, and legislation
- and accountability very clearly sits there. 9
- 10 Q. All right.

6

7

8

- 11 The identity of the person that sits in RED who
- 12 communicates at a local level, is that person called
- 13 a resilience adviser?
- 14 A. They are called a resilience adviser when they're
- 15 planning and advising, yes.
- Q. In the event of a response period, if you like, does the 16
- 17 name of that person change to become a government
- 18 liaison officer?
- 19 A. They do, and the reason for the change of name is
- 20 literally that they turn into a function where they are
- 21 liaising very proactively between the local and the
- 22 national to make sure messages get through and to make
- 23 sure they're helping to solve problems and handing
- 24 things to lead departments and things where it's needed.
- 25 Is it more often than not the case that that person is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

the same person, the resilience adviser is the same
person as the government liaise officer?

A. Often and usually -- we may come on to this later -- at
points where the whole country's systems are activated,
as in a pandemic response, we had to work on shift bases
and with a bit more variety, but normally we would try
for as much continuity as is possible.

Q. All right.

8

9

10

11

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Do you think it's perhaps unnecessary and a little confusing that the name of that person changes or the title of that person changes, or do you think it's helpful?

13 A. I think for people who work in the system, they14 understand absolutely exactly how the systems work.

15 Q. In terms of oversight and assurance, you've explained
16 why RED does not hold a responsibility of assuring that
17 the local plans are in place, et cetera. Do you think
18 it would help if RED did have that level of assurance
19 and accountability to provide at that level comfort that
20 the local plans are dealing with the national risks
21 appropriately?

A. I think it potentially could be quite confusing done in
 that way, because what we are trying to achieve in RED
 is a situation where RED supports the local people who
 are accountable for planning and helps them in

risk, such as pandemic planning, extended as far as facilitating the communication in those sort of joined-up ways between local and national.

The second thing to say, I think, is just that we do recognise that LRFs need to be able to assure themselves and have good accountability locally for their own plans, and although I believe it wasn't set out in my witness statement, because it postdates it, we have made some further announcements about further work on that.

Q. All right, thank you.

At the heart of the system is the principle of subsidiarity; is that right?

13 A. Correct.

Q. Can you explain to us what RED's approach is to that and how it ensures that matters cascade down in the way that that principle expects.

17 A. Well, the principle of subsidiarity is that decisions 18 should be taken at the lowest possible level, and 19 co-ordination should happen at the lowest necessary 20 level. In general, RED's approach is therefore to make 21 sure that information is cascaded down, if I can use 22 that terminology, to local resilience fora. So, to give 23 you an example, RED will have facilitated events 24 following -- workshops and things, following the issue 25 of the National Security Risk Assessment to make sure 135

a supportive, collegiate way to assure themselves that they are at an appropriate level of preparedness, and that accountability and the clarity of that accountability is relatively important, I think.

I would say two additional things, if you would let me. The first I think is that that's not the same as saying that RED disengages from the process of local plans and local risk assessments. If I can take an example in pandemic preparedness, we may come on to it later, I mean, RED has participated in a lot of the exercises over the years that have been important in pandemic preparedness, but we have also taken steps over the years -- I mean, in December 2017 we interviewed all LRFs and said -- I think 35 or 38, and asked them about levels of preparedness, fed back what they said to central government departments to aid that communication. RED has additionally run workshops for LRFs to attend and had central government partners there as well, so that they could work together on the issues that needed to be grappled with in terms of planning for a pandemic. RED have also facilitated a sort of local resilience forum engagement group to work through particular issues with central government partners.

So although they're not assuring local plans whatsoever, RED's interlocutor role when it's a major 134

that every LRF in the country understood that and could dock that into their plans.

RED's general approach is to share as much information as is possible with local resilience fora. We do that depending on the security of the information and also the sign-off of the lead government department, but we have a very strong culture of sharing with local colleagues. And in relation to a pandemic, the preparedness here really was whole-system, so RED's approach to subsidiarity there was to dock into the central structures, which you'll have heard a lot about, the Pandemic Flu Readiness Boards and structures like that, and to convey there what local resilience forum were saying and then to facilitate the flow of information into local resilience forums, to be part of joint exercising, locally and nationally, and to facilitate the flow of messages back up and down through the system.

So I think in summary, it is an approach based onsubsidiarity.

21 **Q.** Yes.

22 **A.** It is just a whole-system approach when planning for
23 a pandemic, because some elements of it involve national
24 decision-making and some, quite rightly, either LRF
25 level or more local decision-making because --

3

4

5

11

12

- O. Even more local than that. 1
- 2 A. It is local partners who know their communities --
- 3 Q. The best, yes.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 4 A. -- and we know that local planners are very good at 5 dealing with their communities.
- 6 Q. Well, let's have a look at a couple of documents, please. The first is a report from the C-19 National 7 8 Foresight Group, entitled "Covid-19 Pandemic Third 9 Interim Operational Review".

Thank you.

Now, this is dated October of 2020 so it's outside of our Module 1 time period but I want to look at page 22, please, because it sets out some concerns that were felt by -- from delegates.

If which can highlight the second paragraph there, please, this was a group that had gathered evidence from all but one local resilience forum and it said:

"Delegates report that they did not feel understood or trusted by Central Government and Ministers. Delegates have reported that Ministers and some government departments still do not understand what LRFs and SCGs are, what these structures can and cannot do, and what the difference is between an LRF and an SCG."

Is that a strategic commissioning group, an SCG?

A. Co-ordination group.

137

To avoid a huge number of letters creeping in, in central government I think possibly sometimes people referred to LRFs when they meant SCGs, but I don't think that would have meant that central government departments didn't understand what an LRF was, or indeed an SCG.

I think this question about feelings of trust between central government and ministers is one that one really has to ask local partners about. We often heard from local partners that they wanted to have advanced notice of decisions that were being taken, and sometimes they asked about the sharing of information in a timely way. I think some of that is coming out here.

From a RED perspective, and indeed a wider departmental perspective, we shared material when it was authorised to be shared, when decisions had been taken and so on and so forth.

Q. All right. 18

> We can take that down, please, and let's replace it with INQ000177803, which is the witness statement of Mark Lloyd from the Local Government Association. He is going to be coming to give evidence to the Inquiry at a later date.

Could we go to page 51 and have a look at paragraph 199, please. Thank you. 139

"This hampers the ability to integrate the national and local approach, as the expectations from the national decision-makers are misplaced and misaligned with the civil contingencies' frameworks, or guidance materials are incorrectly framed, or include incorrect details."

6 Is that something that you recognise, Ms Frances? A. So I was aware of this work being done at the time, and 7 8 indeed RED attended some of the sessions to hear 9 first-hand, because it was important to learn from 10 practitioners and what they were feeling at the time.

I think, not to deflect the query you're making, but this is October 2020 --

13 Q. Yes.

14 A. -- and I think guite a lot of what we're hearing from 15 delegates here is their reflection on evolution during 16 the pandemic and how they felt certain things were 17 going. The distinction between an LRF and an SCG is, 18 of course, important in operational work --

19 What is the difference?

20 A. The distinction is literally that an LRF is the group 21 that brings together all of the Category 1 responders.

22 Q. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

23 A. But when you go into response you need a strategic group that is just running the response and that is designated 24 25 by the LRF, that is the SCG.

138

1 "The LGA's view is that in a number of [cases], the 2 principle [this is of subsidiarity] is not currently being applied effectively" --3

4 LADY HALLETT: Areas.

MS BLACKWELL: "Areas", I'm so sorry.

"... in a number of areas, the principle is not currently being applied effectively. Subsidiarity implies that local agencies are trusted, equal partners in emergency preparedness and response which, in appropriate circumstances, are empowered to lead local resilience work. However, there are a number of examples of practice suggesting otherwise."

If we could read on to the next paragraph, please:

"As noted, a persistent issue, which has undermined trust and therefore the principle of subsidiarity, has been the extent of central Government's willingness to share information with local partners. There have been repeated challenges with central Government sharing intelligence and information about national risks (for examples, planning assumptions reasonable worst-case scenarios) on a limited basis or not at all, thereby undermining the ability of local areas to undertake timely and informed local planning."

Thank you.

It appears from what Mark Lloyd has to say,

3

4

5

6

7

8

q

10

11

23

24

25

certainly in those two paragraphs, is that there was a lack of sharing of information or certainly a perception at the local level of not being fully informed about the National Risk Assessment and what lay behind it

Do you agree, Ms Frances, that if the risk assessments at a local level are going to be meaningful and adequate, there needs to be an understanding of the assumptions that are being used at a national level to perform the National Risk Assessment?

- A. So the national risk security assessment is shared with every LRF in England. There are elements of it that can be secure and they can be accessed through secure routes. LRFs themselves nominate who has access to that information. So -- and we use the LRF to cascade that information because it is the named ways for doing so in civil contingencies approaches in legislation.
- 18 Just so that I understand it correctly, there is Q. 19 a confidential -- there is a secret part of the National 20 Security Risk Assessment, isn't there? There is 21 an element of it which is not public facing? Are you 22 suggesting that, in relation to that part of the 23 assessment process, there is a facility whereby the 24 local resilience forum can have access to that, but it 25 requires the nomination of a person, presumably who has 141

I think I'd say two things here.

I mean, the first is that before the pandemic local planners had the same epidemiological sort of assumptions that were there in all of the documentation as national planners were using from the 2011 and 2013 documentation, and were working using the same planning frameworks as central government planners, and I think we also shared with them updated Covid material when it was available.

So I understand that local partners -- and we did hear local partners saying, "Are you sharing as much as you can?" But I think in terms of pandemic preparation the basic building blocks were all common across central and national government. The exercises that we were doing were on common bases, and the same for planners.

I would say that in the pandemic things moved at pace, and sometimes that may have led local planners to say, "Could you not have told us this earlier?"

143

19 **Q.** Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 20 **A.** Totally accept that. That's absolutely something we heard.
- Q. But in terms of preparedness and the National Risk
 Assessment and the ability of that to be carried
 forwards and cascading down to a local level, do you
 think there is a disconnect between what happens at

1 security clearance to do that?

A. So my understanding is that the NSRA is shared with every LRF in the country, and certainly in 2019, when it was updated, RED and the CCS ran a series of events with local resilience fora, so that they understood changes to the NSRA and appreciated how that could affect them.

That is not the same as saying that every local partner saw the NSRA or the associated documents. An LRF would each have had to decide who had access to that material, and my understanding is that the areas that are more secure are treated in a more secure way.

- 12 Q. Right.
- A. But it would have been for the LRF to determine who saw
 that, and I would certainly expect key people to have
 seen the key documentation.
- 16 Q. So does it surprise you that Mr Lloyd's opinion appears
 17 to be that there have been repeated challenges about the
 18 sharing of information?
- A. I think that he's making two points, if I read this
 right. So the first is around the national risk
 assessments where, as I've said, it was shared in the
 appropriate way down the appropriate routes.

The second point I think he's making is a general question about whether information more widely was shared.

142

a national and a local level or are you confident that there is sufficient quality of information flowing from the top to the bottom?

the top to the bottom?

4 A. Well, I think if we set out the National Risk

5 Assessments we hold a series of events to explain the

6 changes and then LRFs are required, and I think do,

7 understand what's in the national risk assessments, that

that's acceptable. I think they then need to work out at a local level, and this can be challenging actually,

how the local community risk assessment works, because you may have a part of the country which has a different

balance of risk assessment to another, for entirely

legitimate reasons. Maybe it's subject to more flooding

than another part of the country or something. We see
 those sorts of variations. But, yes, I think local

16 planners had those framework pieces.

- 17 Q. What are regional resilience teams?
- 18 A. So are you referring to the arrangements which were in19 place before RED started in our department?
- 20 **Q.** Yes.
- 21 A. Yes. So before 2011 --
- 22 **Q.** Yes.
- 23 **A.** -- government was structured in a different way and there were a series of government offices across

25 England. In that context, there were a series of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 1 regional resilience teams and they were Cabinet Office 2 teams who reported directly in to the Cabinet Office, 3 and they did -- what the name suggests, actually --4 a very similar function to that which RED performs and 5 has performed since 2011.
- 6 **Q.** But spread out across the country?
- 7 A. Yes, exactly. Located in those government offices which 8 no longer exist and didn't after 2011.
- 9 Q. Yes. If it were to be suggested that consideration 10 perhaps should be given to the reinstatement of regional resilience teams to add an additional level of 11 12 assistance, and perhaps combined with a level of 13 assurance between central and local government, do you 14 think that that's an idea that's worth considering?
- 15 A. There are very different views around the country on the 16 regional situation, and I think that we think that the 17 regional position is more complex than -- in resilience 18 terms, than existed prior to 2011.

To explain that a little bit more, there are some parts of the country where the collaboration jointly between resilience planners on the old regional footprint still continues to feel relatively natural, if I can put it that way.

So to draw an example, the northeast or the southwest, the LRFs in those areas tend to work jointly 145

1 needed to be made on the regional basis we were 2 facilitating that.

3 Q. Right, so from what you have said, RED takes the issue 4 that's been raised, considers the area in which it's 5 being raised, and prepares and presents a suitable 6 solution, and it's got flexibility within the 7 organisation in order to be able to do that?

- 8 A. That is a very good way of putting it, thank you.
- 9 Q. Thank you very much.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I want to move on to resources now, please. The Inquiry will hear that, in terms of local government funding, there were real terms reductions over the period of time that this Inquiry is involved in, up to, in some cases, 57%. That evidence is going to be coming from Mr Lloyd. And that however large the reduction was, there was a significant amount of concern at a local government level as to whether or not there was sufficient resource in order to be able to carry out proper preparation for any civil emergency happening.

Did you witness the impact of reduction in funding or changes in funding in your day-to-day relationship with local government?

- 23 Α. Can I correct one thing for the record, first?
- 24
- 25 A. I think you said that there was a 57% reduction in local 147

in a way that is very close to the original regional footprint, and RED works with them on that basis as well. If they want to work like that, we support them on that basis. But there are other parts of the country where that geography doesn't feel so natural, maybe because there's a very rural area next to a very urban area, and the connection there just feels less significant than maybe other structural connections.

So RED works in a way that we support collaboration across different LRFs in the way that works for whatever the task that needs to be done. So if I can give you an example, in preparations for the possibility of leaving the EU with no deal, we worked with different LRFs across the country who had ports and airports, and clearly they weren't all in one region but they shared a common set of issues that they needed to deal with, and so we would flex our approach that way.

I should just add one more point, which is that RED does work on a regional basis, we have four regional

21 Q. Right.

22 At various times it's been four or five. But all of the 23 workshops that we've run in pandemic planning, 24 for example our workshops in, I think it was, early 25 2018, were run in four locations so that if connections 146

1 government budgets.

- 2 Q. What I intended to say was that the councils had their 3 core funding from central government reduced and in some 4 areas that amounted to a real terms reduction of 57%.
- 5 A. So I think that's a quote from Mark Lloyd's witness 6 statement.
- 7 Q. That's exactly where it's come from.
- A. I just think it's important for the Inquiry to 8 9 understand that that is not a measure of the resources 10 available to local government, particularly because it 11 doesn't include resources from council tax.

12 Mark Lloyd in his witness statement does make 13 reference to another figure which is drawn from the 14 National Audit Office --

15 Q. Yes.

A. -- and I would strongly recommend that we use that one, 16 17 because it represents a holistic view of resources for 18 councils, it's at paragraph 287 in Mark Lloyd's witness 19 statement.

20 **Q.** Do you agree that there was a reduction?

21 A. Absolutely.

22 Right. And my question was: did you witness any impact 23 of that reduction in your day-to-day work with local

24 government?

25 **A**. So there was definitely a really significant reduction

2

3

4

5

6

1 in local government resources in the 2010s, as part of 2 the wider government approach to fiscal policy. What 3 I've witnessed varies a lot between different councils, 4 and it's hard to draw simple conclusions about the 5 budget reductions and preparedness for a pandemic, 6 actually. Firstly, I think, because councils make their own decisions about what they're going to prioritise 7 8 within the statutory framework, and so they will 9 naturally have looked at where they had statutory 10 responsibilities, like to plan for emergencies --11

Q. Yes?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

A. -- and for big public services, which were critical, and will have formed a view about what was necessary. Because they take different locally-based decisions as well, they also take quite different strategies, and it's hard to generalise. And they're quite good and have been very effective organisations at working in a creative way to get out efficiencies over this era.

So I would say I've seen councils' capacity being affected, that is the case. I've also seen them working in a very efficient way through different reductions.

At an overall level, when you look at the choices they've made on services like adult social care and children's services, they've tended to try to uphold the expenditure in those areas and make reductions 149

RED and the local government, both local authorities and local resilience forums.

Would it assist in the planning that they have to do for civil emergencies for there to be one single repository of material that they need to consider? The Inquiry has received information that there isn't at present a single repository for relevant guidance and information on emergency preparedness, and that that -consideration of creating that is something that should happen.

11 A. So the Cabinet Office hold a system called 12 ResilienceDirect which can be accessed by LRFs, and some 13 of the guidance is also available on public websites. 14 We have heard exactly the same feedback from local 15 planners, and you will have seen in some of our 16 documentation attached to my witness statement that 17 that's reflected in some of their feedback.

Q. The Inquiry has also heard that, in the main part, 18 19 guidance that reflects upon all civil emergencies, but 20 in particular pandemic planning, did not cover the issue 21 of non-pharmaceutical interventions. Do you think that, 22 going forwards, that is something, the involvement of 23 that in planning documents and guidance given to those 24 in charge of local government about non-pharmaceutical 25 interventions, would be a welcome addition?

elsewhere.

And, yes, I don't think you can draw quite a straight line from the resourcing question to their capability and their planning, because they've seemed quite resilient organisations to me and quite adaptable, and they were in the pandemic.

7 Q. In your witness statement you make reference to "best 8 value duty". What does that mean?

9 A. There's a duty in legislation that councils have regard 10 to efficiency and economy and improvement, which is 11 a requirement on all councils essentially to govern 12 themselves well and to continue with due regard to those 13 principles. They -- the best value principle has been 14 used when councils are in severe difficulty, but it's 15 a relatively unusual context, a relatively unusual 16 intervention to make, on best value grounds. Most 17 councils govern themselves exceptionally well and are 18 very effective at managing this sort of resource 19 pressure.

20 **Q.** Do you think that at the present time the subsidiarity 21 model is still capable of working effectively, given the 22 level of funding that local government has?

23 A. Absolutely.

24 Q. Right.

25

Other issues relating to the relationship between 150

1 So local planners for the pandemic were using the same 2 planning guidance documents and the same assumptions as 3 national, and thus -- as you will have heard from other 4 witnesses and you will have seen from the published 5 material -- there were elements in there, and what 6 happened in the pandemic, that weren't included in 7 there.

8 Q. Yes.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. In terms of what should be in a planning document for 9 10 pandemic, whether flu or otherwise, I would absolutely defer to the Department of Health and Social Care, 11 12 because they are the lead government department in 13 defining what should be included in that. So I hope 14 that answers your question.

There were gaps in terms of the comparability of what we were planning for and what ultimately happened. They had the same information as central government departments, and I would absolutely defer to DH.

Q. When one considers that particularly taking into account the model or the principle of subsidiarity, that it is those people on the ground acting locally, following plans and guidance locally, that are in the greatest need of practical guidance, then it is imperative, is it not, that the guidance that they follow includes the practical application of things like non-pharmaceutical

interventions?

- A. It's absolutely necessary that the plans that everyone
 is following are as close as can be reasonably expected
 to be what is likely to happen, and that everybody has
 a shared understanding of that, and that in exercising
 and in reflecting on exercises and in workshopping
 things we are talking about the same thing, whether at
 a national or a local level.
 - Q. I'd finally like to ask you about the vulnerable and what level of involvement planning and guidance has had in terms of identifying those who are the most vulnerable in society and how they need to be accounted for in terms of planning and also in terms of any response to a civil emergency.

You say in your witness statement that:

"RED engages with voluntary, community and social enterprise ('VCSE') partners in preparedness, response and recovery planning. This is primarily through LRF engagement where VCSE partners are core partners within individual LRFs."

Can you explain to us, please, how that works?

A. Yes, certainly. So the department is not the lead department in national government in terms of overall relationship with the voluntary and community sector.

25 Q. Yes.

there's some engagement by us at the national level, but our primary engagement with the VCS is to ask LRFs to do that, and then we absolutely acknowledge that local authorities and even smaller partners are working collaboratively with the VCS.

Q. All right.

You mention the British Red Cross, so I would like to display part of the witness statement that we have from Mr Adamson, who is the chief executive there.

It's at INQ000182613, and if we can go to page 10, please, and look at paragraph 43. Thank you.

"The [British Red Cross] has long believed that increased engagement between the CCS and the voluntary sector would be beneficial for the UK's emergency preparedness. It is in that context that, in 2019, the [British Red Cross] and other voluntary organisations sought to engage with the CCS. Our focus was on seeking to develop a strategy with the government for the voluntary sector to react to a range of emergencies based on the lessons learned from responding to the multiple emergency events of 2017. The intention was for the voluntary sector to offer something more than the gold, silver and bronze model which usually dominates emergency responses, in particular to focus on the human aspects of recovery that are sometimes

A. But it is absolutely critical to emergency preparedness and response that the voluntary and community sector are part of that. The guidance that sets out how LRFs should work stipulates that LRFs should be expected to work with volunteering organisations at the right footprint, and so the way that we support LRFs on that is simply to make sure that they are aware of that guidance and to make sure they factor it in.

I think more precisely, in terms of preparing from RED, if I can give you an example, at the national level, we try and make sure we have some connections with lead VCS organisations. So, for example, the British Red Cross has attended our twice-yearly LRFs, chairs a conference on very regular occasions, and is a regular attendee and invitee, but then we channel most of our work with the VCS simply by looking at the LRF and what the LRF is doing.

To elaborate yet further, when we're in response, and indeed in planning, we often find that the LRF area itself is quite a large footprint for engaging with charities, so in the pandemic a lot of very kind people gave of their time and effort, and they did so at what I would describe as a hyper-local level, so often that was corralled and organised by local authorities who themselves would then be part of the LRF structure. So

forgotten. The experience was somewhat dispiriting and there appeared to be a lack of curiosity on the part of the CCS regarding what the voluntary sector could provide."

Further down, please:

"44. I had also previously approached the RED in 2018 and received a more positive response, including a proposed approach to the CCS for a three-way meeting. However, this meeting did not materialise."

Could more be done, Ms Frances, to engage with the voluntary sector and to ensure that, so far as both planning and response is concerned, those most vulnerable in society, and who require the services and assistance from the voluntary sector, are engaged with, both at a national and also at a level at which RED was, and is, existing?

- A. So a lot of what you've just read out is in relation to
 CCS, not RED. I don't know about the particular meeting
 that Michael Adamson is alluding to in paragraph 44.
- Q. But forgive me, you were talking, in your previous
 answer, about engagement at a national level with CCS,
 between CCS and the --
- A. No, I was talking about engagement at national level
 primarily between RED and the British Red Cross, but
 I also noted that the British Red Cross often attended

1		at	1	VCS, in the event of a response to a civil emergency,
2	Q.	Yes.	2	that is likely to make more of an impact if the VCS has
3	A.	are joint LRF chairs, joint with CCS there.	3	also been engaged in the preparation, isn't it?
4		I mean, I think that there is always more to be done	4	A. RED is asking local responders but RED is acting as
5		working with the voluntary and community sector. It has	5	a communicator between central and local government and
6		an incredibly distinct and important role. It is	6	structures. RED is asking that local responders who are
7		exactly, as Michael Adamson notes, not the same as the	7	responsible in legislation are content that they have
8		role that is provided through gold, silver, bronze	8	plans, and the Cabinet Office guidance, which is very
9		structures, so I accept his feedback that local	9	sensible, expects LRFs to have good connections with
10		resilience partners, whether that be in LRFs or other	10	the VCS. I completely agree that good connections with
11		fora, can continue to build their connections with the	11	the VCS is a vital part of the mixture, part of the
12		VCS.	12	recipe of good response and good planning. I'm not sure
13		I also noted in his witness statement that he talked	13	I can go very much beyond that.
14		about progress that had been made about interrelations	14	Q. All right.
15		with the VCS over the course of the pandemic, which	15	A. Our recent publications on this express a desire to
16		seemed to me to be positive and were led by the lead	16	integrate preparedness and response more closely with
17		government department for the VCS.	17	communities, which of course is in part about the VCS,
18		In terms of RED's engagement with the VCS, as I've	18	though not entirely.
19		said the national engagement in the LRFs chairs forum	19	MS BLACKWELL: Thank you very much.
20		has been the principal one, and we have looked across	20	Would you excuse my back, please?
21		the sector at how people are engaging with the VCS to	21	(Pause)
22		see if we can learn any lessons or take any cues from	22	My Lady, there are no questions for which permission
23		that. We haven't yet moved forward with the work.	23	has been granted, and so that concludes Ms Frances's
24	Q.	Because if RED is expecting to be able to rely upon the	24	evidence.
25		British Red Cross and other organisations within the	25	LADY HALLETT: I think we have all had enough acronyms for
		157		158
1		one day.	1	INDEX
1 2	THI	one day. E WITNESS: I'm sorry about that.	1 2	INDEX SIR JEREMY FARRAR (affirmed)
_		•		
2		E WITNESS: I'm sorry about that.	2	SIR JEREMY FARRAR (affirmed) 1
2	LA	E WITNESS: I'm sorry about that. DY HALLETT: It's not your fault, I'm afraid it's	2	SIR JEREMY FARRAR (affirmed) 1
2 3 4	THI	E WITNESS: I'm sorry about that. DY HALLETT: It's not your fault, I'm afraid it's systemic.	2 3 4	SIR JEREMY FARRAR (affirmed)
2 3 4 5	THI	E WITNESS: I'm sorry about that. DY HALLETT: It's not your fault, I'm afraid it's systemic. E WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5	SIR JEREMY FARRAR (affirmed)
2 3 4 5 6	THI	E WITNESS: I'm sorry about that. DY HALLETT: It's not your fault, I'm afraid it's systemic. E WITNESS: Yes. DY HALLETT: If only it was enough acronyms for	2 3 4 5 6	SIR JEREMY FARRAR (affirmed)
2 3 4 5 6 7	THI	E WITNESS: I'm sorry about that. DY HALLETT: It's not your fault, I'm afraid it's systemic. E WITNESS: Yes. DY HALLETT: If only it was enough acronyms for a lifetime, but I fear it's not.	2 3 4 5 6 7	SIR JEREMY FARRAR (affirmed)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THI	E WITNESS: I'm sorry about that. DY HALLETT: It's not your fault, I'm afraid it's systemic. E WITNESS: Yes. DY HALLETT: If only it was enough acronyms for a lifetime, but I fear it's not. Thank you very much indeed for your help,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SIR JEREMY FARRAR (affirmed)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THI	E WITNESS: I'm sorry about that. DY HALLETT: It's not your fault, I'm afraid it's systemic. E WITNESS: Yes. DY HALLETT: If only it was enough acronyms for a lifetime, but I fear it's not. Thank you very much indeed for your help, Ms Frances.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SIR JEREMY FARRAR (affirmed)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THI LAI	E WITNESS: I'm sorry about that. DY HALLETT: It's not your fault, I'm afraid it's systemic. E WITNESS: Yes. DY HALLETT: If only it was enough acronyms for a lifetime, but I fear it's not. Thank you very much indeed for your help, Ms Frances. E WITNESS: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SIR JEREMY FARRAR (affirmed)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THI LAI	E WITNESS: I'm sorry about that. DY HALLETT: It's not your fault, I'm afraid it's systemic. E WITNESS: Yes. DY HALLETT: If only it was enough acronyms for a lifetime, but I fear it's not. Thank you very much indeed for your help, Ms Frances. E WITNESS: Thank you. (The witness withdrew)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	SIR JEREMY FARRAR (affirmed)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THI LAI	E WITNESS: I'm sorry about that. DY HALLETT: It's not your fault, I'm afraid it's systemic. E WITNESS: Yes. DY HALLETT: If only it was enough acronyms for a lifetime, but I fear it's not. Thank you very much indeed for your help, Ms Frances. E WITNESS: Thank you. (The witness withdrew) DY HALLETT: Right, as far as next week is concerned,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	SIR JEREMY FARRAR (affirmed)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THI LAI	E WITNESS: I'm sorry about that. DY HALLETT: It's not your fault, I'm afraid it's systemic. E WITNESS: Yes. DY HALLETT: If only it was enough acronyms for a lifetime, but I fear it's not. Thank you very much indeed for your help, Ms Frances. E WITNESS: Thank you. (The witness withdrew) DY HALLETT: Right, as far as next week is concerned, obviously we're not sitting tomorrow, it's a Friday, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	SIR JEREMY FARRAR (affirmed) 1 Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY 1 MS NICOLA STURGEON (affirmed) 30 Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY .30 Questions from MR ANWAR 69 MR JOHN SWINNEY (affirmed) 74 Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY 74 Questions from MS MITCHELL KC 117 MS CATHERINE FRANCES (affirmed) 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THI LAI	E WITNESS: I'm sorry about that. DY HALLETT: It's not your fault, I'm afraid it's systemic. E WITNESS: Yes. DY HALLETT: If only it was enough acronyms for a lifetime, but I fear it's not. Thank you very much indeed for your help, Ms Frances. E WITNESS: Thank you. (The witness withdrew) DY HALLETT: Right, as far as next week is concerned, obviously we're not sitting tomorrow, it's a Friday, we don't normally sit on a Friday. We had hoped to sit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SIR JEREMY FARRAR (affirmed) 1 Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY 1 MS NICOLA STURGEON (affirmed) 30 Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY .30 Questions from MR ANWAR 69 MR JOHN SWINNEY (affirmed) 74 Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY 74 Questions from MS MITCHELL KC 117 MS CATHERINE FRANCES (affirmed) 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THI LAI	E WITNESS: I'm sorry about that. DY HALLETT: It's not your fault, I'm afraid it's systemic. E WITNESS: Yes. DY HALLETT: If only it was enough acronyms for a lifetime, but I fear it's not. Thank you very much indeed for your help, Ms Frances. E WITNESS: Thank you. (The witness withdrew) DY HALLETT: Right, as far as next week is concerned, obviously we're not sitting tomorrow, it's a Friday, we don't normally sit on a Friday. We had hoped to sit Monday morning but for various reasons it hasn't proved	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SIR JEREMY FARRAR (affirmed) 1 Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY 1 MS NICOLA STURGEON (affirmed) 30 Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY .30 Questions from MR ANWAR 69 MR JOHN SWINNEY (affirmed) 74 Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY 74 Questions from MS MITCHELL KC 117 MS CATHERINE FRANCES (affirmed) 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THI LAI	E WITNESS: I'm sorry about that. DY HALLETT: It's not your fault, I'm afraid it's systemic. E WITNESS: Yes. DY HALLETT: If only it was enough acronyms for a lifetime, but I fear it's not. Thank you very much indeed for your help, Ms Frances. E WITNESS: Thank you. (The witness withdrew) DY HALLETT: Right, as far as next week is concerned, obviously we're not sitting tomorrow, it's a Friday, we don't normally sit on a Friday. We had hoped to sit Monday morning but for various reasons it hasn't proved possible, so I will next sit again at 2 o'clock, Monday	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	SIR JEREMY FARRAR (affirmed) 1 Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY 1 MS NICOLA STURGEON (affirmed) 30 Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY .30 Questions from MR ANWAR 69 MR JOHN SWINNEY (affirmed) 74 Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY 74 Questions from MS MITCHELL KC 117 MS CATHERINE FRANCES (affirmed) 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THI LAI	E WITNESS: I'm sorry about that. DY HALLETT: It's not your fault, I'm afraid it's systemic. E WITNESS: Yes. DY HALLETT: If only it was enough acronyms for a lifetime, but I fear it's not. Thank you very much indeed for your help, Ms Frances. E WITNESS: Thank you. (The witness withdrew) DY HALLETT: Right, as far as next week is concerned, obviously we're not sitting tomorrow, it's a Friday, we don't normally sit on a Friday. We had hoped to sit Monday morning but for various reasons it hasn't proved possible, so I will next sit again at 2 o'clock, Monday afternoon.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SIR JEREMY FARRAR (affirmed) 1 Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY 1 MS NICOLA STURGEON (affirmed) 30 Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY .30 Questions from MR ANWAR 69 MR JOHN SWINNEY (affirmed) 74 Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY 74 Questions from MS MITCHELL KC 117 MS CATHERINE FRANCES (affirmed) 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THI LAI	E WITNESS: I'm sorry about that. DY HALLETT: It's not your fault, I'm afraid it's systemic. E WITNESS: Yes. DY HALLETT: If only it was enough acronyms for a lifetime, but I fear it's not. Thank you very much indeed for your help, Ms Frances. E WITNESS: Thank you. (The witness withdrew) DY HALLETT: Right, as far as next week is concerned, obviously we're not sitting tomorrow, it's a Friday, we don't normally sit on a Friday. We had hoped to sit Monday morning but for various reasons it hasn't proved possible, so I will next sit again at 2 o'clock, Monday afternoon. BLACKWELL: Thank you, my Lady. DY HALLETT: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SIR JEREMY FARRAR (affirmed) 1 Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY 1 MS NICOLA STURGEON (affirmed) 30 Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY .30 Questions from MR ANWAR 69 MR JOHN SWINNEY (affirmed) 74 Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY 74 Questions from MS MITCHELL KC 117 MS CATHERINE FRANCES (affirmed) 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THI LAI	E WITNESS: I'm sorry about that. DY HALLETT: It's not your fault, I'm afraid it's systemic. E WITNESS: Yes. DY HALLETT: If only it was enough acronyms for a lifetime, but I fear it's not. Thank you very much indeed for your help, Ms Frances. E WITNESS: Thank you. (The witness withdrew) DY HALLETT: Right, as far as next week is concerned, obviously we're not sitting tomorrow, it's a Friday, we don't normally sit on a Friday. We had hoped to sit Monday morning but for various reasons it hasn't proved possible, so I will next sit again at 2 o'clock, Monday afternoon. BLACKWELL: Thank you, my Lady. DY HALLETT: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SIR JEREMY FARRAR (affirmed) 1 Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY 1 MS NICOLA STURGEON (affirmed) 30 Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY .30 Questions from MR ANWAR 69 MR JOHN SWINNEY (affirmed) 74 Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY 74 Questions from MS MITCHELL KC 117 MS CATHERINE FRANCES (affirmed) 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THI LAI	E WITNESS: I'm sorry about that. DY HALLETT: It's not your fault, I'm afraid it's systemic. E WITNESS: Yes. DY HALLETT: If only it was enough acronyms for a lifetime, but I fear it's not. Thank you very much indeed for your help, Ms Frances. E WITNESS: Thank you. (The witness withdrew) DY HALLETT: Right, as far as next week is concerned, obviously we're not sitting tomorrow, it's a Friday, we don't normally sit on a Friday. We had hoped to sit Monday morning but for various reasons it hasn't proved possible, so I will next sit again at 2 o'clock, Monday afternoon. BLACKWELL: Thank you, my Lady. DY HALLETT: Thank you. DY HALLETT: Thank you. (The hearing adjourned until 2 pm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SIR JEREMY FARRAR (affirmed) 1 Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY 1 MS NICOLA STURGEON (affirmed) 30 Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY .30 Questions from MR ANWAR 69 MR JOHN SWINNEY (affirmed) 74 Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY 74 Questions from MS MITCHELL KC 117 MS CATHERINE FRANCES (affirmed) 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THI LAI	E WITNESS: I'm sorry about that. DY HALLETT: It's not your fault, I'm afraid it's systemic. E WITNESS: Yes. DY HALLETT: If only it was enough acronyms for a lifetime, but I fear it's not. Thank you very much indeed for your help, Ms Frances. E WITNESS: Thank you. (The witness withdrew) DY HALLETT: Right, as far as next week is concerned, obviously we're not sitting tomorrow, it's a Friday, we don't normally sit on a Friday. We had hoped to sit Monday morning but for various reasons it hasn't proved possible, so I will next sit again at 2 o'clock, Monday afternoon. BLACKWELL: Thank you, my Lady. DY HALLETT: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SIR JEREMY FARRAR (affirmed) 1 Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY 1 MS NICOLA STURGEON (affirmed) 30 Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY .30 Questions from MR ANWAR 69 MR JOHN SWINNEY (affirmed) 74 Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY 74 Questions from MS MITCHELL KC 117 MS CATHERINE FRANCES (affirmed) 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THI LAI	E WITNESS: I'm sorry about that. DY HALLETT: It's not your fault, I'm afraid it's systemic. E WITNESS: Yes. DY HALLETT: If only it was enough acronyms for a lifetime, but I fear it's not. Thank you very much indeed for your help, Ms Frances. E WITNESS: Thank you. (The witness withdrew) DY HALLETT: Right, as far as next week is concerned, obviously we're not sitting tomorrow, it's a Friday, we don't normally sit on a Friday. We had hoped to sit Monday morning but for various reasons it hasn't proved possible, so I will next sit again at 2 o'clock, Monday afternoon. BLACKWELL: Thank you, my Lady. DY HALLETT: Thank you. DY HALLETT: Thank you. (The hearing adjourned until 2 pm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SIR JEREMY FARRAR (affirmed) 1 Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY 1 MS NICOLA STURGEON (affirmed) 30 Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY .30 Questions from MR ANWAR 69 MR JOHN SWINNEY (affirmed) 74 Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY 74 Questions from MS MITCHELL KC 117 MS CATHERINE FRANCES (affirmed) 121

14 [1] 61/25 14 April 2010 [1] LADY HALLETT: 79/12 **[38]** 1/3 5/20 16/11 14 July 2021 [2] 16/14 29/7 29/14 59/11 111/12 29/20 30/3 30/18 **145 [1]** 118/13 48/16 48/21 56/23 **146 [1]** 118/3 69/10 69/13 73/3 **149 [1]** 70/3 73/18 74/7 74/11 **15 [1]** 74/24 74/15 75/6 101/7 **16 [1]** 95/25 101/13 106/5 106/10 **16 years [1]** 78/7 111/15 111/18 111/22 17 recommendations 117/12 121/10 130/3 **[1]** 64/20 130/6 130/8 140/4 **17,000 [1]** 32/23 158/25 159/3 159/6 **18 [2]** 97/5 97/7 159/12 159/19 **19 [11]** 26/9 30/24 MR ANWAR: [2] 40/12 41/2 42/16 69/16 73/1 65/24 97/8 97/11 MR KEITH: [17] 1/4 97/22 137/7 137/8 1/12 5/21 16/13 16/17 19 April 2023 [1] 29/6 29/16 30/2 30/4 30/7 31/18 48/20 19 May 2011 [2] 48/25 57/24 69/7 33/20 33/25 69/12 73/19 **1918 [1]** 5/3 **MS BLACKWELL: 199 [1]** 139/25 **[18]** 74/16 74/20 **1996 [1]** 1/22 75/4 75/7 101/5 **1997 [1]** 75/14 101/14 106/8 106/11 **1999 [3]** 6/22 7/2 111/17 111/20 111/23 75/16 117/7 121/15 121/19 130/14 140/5 158/19 159/18 2 February 2023 [1] MS MITCHELL: [2] 112/13 117/14 121/8 2 o'clock [1] 159/16 THE WITNESS: [7] **2 pm [1]** 159/21 29/10 74/10 74/13 **2.1 [2]** 96/19 97/23 121/13 159/2 159/5 20 [3] 27/9 97/6 159/10 97/23 20 years [3] 6/21 7/18 27/9 **'23 [1]** 60/18 **20-year [1]** 7/2 'Response [1] 97/1 **2001 [1]** 122/20 'VCSE' [1] 153/17 **2002 [1]** 123/15 **2004 [3]** 101/19 103/2 103/3 **0064 [1]** 70/2 **2005** [1] 118/5 **2006 [1]** 123/11 **2007 [4]** 75/21 **1,500 [1]** 32/21 102/23 105/3 108/11 **1.45 [1]** 101/8 **2008 [1]** 80/5 **1.45 pm [1]** 101/12 2009 [10] 3/14 4/5 **10 [1]** 155/10 4/8 4/13 4/22 4/22 5/4 **10.00 am [1]** 1/2 5/7 7/6 32/10 **11 [4]** 36/2 79/20

79/23 82/5

82/5

86/18

11.12 am [1] 48/22

11.30 am [1] 48/24

12 [3] 79/20 80/15

12 months [1] 19/18

12.45 pm [1] 101/10

13 [3] 63/9 64/20

11.30 [1] 48/21

2010 [7] 72/8 74/5

79/12 79/21 81/3

2010s [1] 149/1

2011 [27] 2/23 2/25

3/11 3/11 4/15 6/14

37/2 37/5 37/14 39/2

33/19 33/20 33/25

75/17 88/4 97/11

102/4 102/7 143/5

82/12 83/19

145/18 **2012 [3]** 33/21 74/1 108/11 **2013 [8]** 1/23 1/24 74/1 74/2 74/3 82/19 103/14 143/5 **2014 [2]** 8/18 75/11 **2015 [1]** 64/24 **2016 [4]** 75/21 75/22 89/18 90/3 **2017 [3]** 82/19 134/13 155/21 **2018 [8]** 63/1 63/9 83/10 89/19 92/18 123/18 146/25 156/7 **2019 [8]** 7/2 51/9 62/18 65/2 89/21 122/18 142/3 155/15 **2020 [13]** 21/24 26/4 65/22 71/5 86/10 96/7 97/20 98/24 100/24 109/6 118/5 137/11 138/12 2021 [13] 59/11 65/21 67/11 75/22 75/23 97/19 97/21 101/22 102/3 102/4 111/12 123/20 124/24 **2022 [6]** 59/6 59/12 74/3 95/19 104/6 112/4 **2023 [7]** 1/1 1/25 32/1 59/13 75/24 112/13 159/22 21st century [1] 8/5 **22 [1]** 137/13 22 recommendations **[1]** 61/20 **24 [1]** 14/10 **25 [1]** 96/7 28 March [1] 67/12 **287 [1]** 148/18 29 June 2023 [1] 1/1 **2A [2]** 31/22 47/22 3 July 2023 [1] 159/22 3 May 2022 [1] 112/4 3 years [1] 80/4 **3.07 pm [1]** 159/20 **30 or [1]** 13/11 **32 local [3]** 84/25 85/13 108/20 **35 [1]** 134/14 **370 billion [1]** 97/22 **38 [4]** 127/20 129/19 130/5 134/14 47/5 49/1 65/25 75/16 40 [2] 13/11 130/6 **40 police [1]** 130/8 **42 [1]** 130/3

144/21 145/5 145/8

43 [1] 155/11

44 [2] 156/6 156/19 **46 [1]** 66/20 **48 hours [2]** 14/10 22/8 5 5 May [1] 75/1 **50 [1]** 109/2 **51 [1]** 139/24 **57 [3]** 147/14 147/25 148/4 9.8 [1] 97/20 **95 [1]** 27/21 abide [2] 101/25 102/12 ability [11] 9/17 23/1 47/16 48/1 48/2 51/15 60/8 108/21 138/1 140/22 143/23 able [23] 12/14 14/8 14/18 15/25 17/8 20/17 21/3 21/18 21/22 25/20 26/5 48/5 52/3 77/10 88/23 89/12 91/24 94/11 121/4 135/5 147/7 147/18 157/24 abolished [1] 18/15 about [117] 2/22 2/23 3/25 3/25 5/11 6/4 7/19 8/9 8/15 8/15 9/13 10/6 15/21 15/22 17/4 17/9 17/10 18/9 24/20 26/1 26/3 26/7 26/19 26/23 28/1 28/7 28/8 31/2 32/23 34/25 35/8 35/11 35/22 39/17 41/20 42/5 42/17 43/1 44/15 45/5 47/13 47/13 48/6 51/7 54/1 54/12 55/3 59/3 59/18 61/4 62/7 62/13 68/4 68/13 76/2 78/12 78/21 83/5 83/5 83/14 83/24 86/3 86/4 86/4 87/18 88/2 91/10 92/22 98/25 100/24 101/15 102/21 103/12 105/9 106/12 108/2 108/7 108/11 109/16 110/7 110/10 111/6 113/17 114/13 114/18 115/3 115/18 115/23 116/13 119/20 122/25 124/10 126/6 130/12 134/14 135/9 136/11 139/7 139/9 139/12 140/19 141/4 142/17 142/24 149/4 149/7

153/9 156/18 156/21 156/23 157/14 157/14 158/17 159/2 abreast [1] 79/7 absence [6] 12/24 46/15 97/13 111/4 112/24 114/21 absent [9] 43/5 43/7 46/18 48/12 59/11 59/12 60/19 112/7 112/16 absolute [1] 21/7 absolutely [19] 8/4 9/15 12/5 14/6 15/13 17/6 20/4 20/19 40/16 44/4 133/14 143/20 148/21 150/23 152/10 152/18 153/2 154/1 155/3 Academy [2] 3/15 17/12 accept [18] 39/7 39/23 40/13 41/7 42/19 44/10 45/24 46/4 47/7 47/12 70/16 70/23 88/3 118/22 119/22 120/14 143/20 157/9 acceptable [1] 144/8 acceptance [2] 46/5 47/3 accepted [5] 45/12 45/23 46/9 50/14 69/19 accepts [1] 50/3 access [4] 14/17 141/14 141/24 142/9 accessed [2] 141/13 151/12 accommodation [1] 80/12 accordance [1] 125/5 accordingly [1] 88/24 account [2] 47/7 152/19 accountabilities [1] 129/7 accountability [8] 125/3 125/13 131/18 132/9 133/19 134/3 134/4 135/6 accountable [2] 76/20 133/25 accountancy [1] 126/11 accounted [2] 96/6 153/12 accuracy [1] 111/18 accurately [1] 93/5 **ACDP [1]** 18/14 achieve [4] 88/23 (41) LADY HALLETT: - achieve

149/13 151/24 153/7

145/11 44/23 48/6 60/5 67/16 104/1 105/9 105/14 117/14 additionally [2] 9/10 70/24 71/1 71/4 72/11 105/19 107/6 107/25 **Ambition'** [1] 97/1 achieve... [3] 115/8 134/17 72/14 72/16 73/11 113/10 115/9 116/5 **Ambulance** [1] 85/4 115/22 133/23 address [2] 8/16 47/1 93/9 110/22 159/16 116/21 118/8 123/2 **among [1]** 5/14 achieved [2] 106/23 addressed [4] 34/22 against [6] 5/9 6/12 124/19 126/18 126/21 amongst [3] 27/15 116/7 128/22 130/24 132/10 72/10 118/23 89/10 91/14 93/23 15/11 27/21 40/10 acknowledge [5] 51/5 133/8 134/13 135/10 addressing [2] 66/18 amount [8] 49/18 64/16 100/21 120/13 137/17 138/21 139/18 50/4 90/11 99/6 105/1 84/1 age [4] 7/7 7/8 7/9 121/5 155/3 adequate [4] 10/12 72/8 140/21 143/4 143/13 116/17 119/6 147/16 acknowledged [5] 10/13 77/13 141/8 146/15 146/22 150/11 agencies [1] 140/8 amounted [1] 148/4 44/1 46/14 50/2 50/13 agency [3] 85/4 151/19 155/6 158/14 amounts [1] 116/20 adequately [1] 100/21 109/13 158/25 127/14 130/1 analogous [1] 62/9 acknowledging [1] adjourned [1] 159/21 agenda [2] 14/13 all right [18] 18/24 **analogy [1]** 27/19 110/15 60/21 65/20 69/7 adjournment [1] 80/18 analysed [1] 79/25 **ACPOS [1]** 80/20 101/11 agnostic [1] 25/9 83/23 87/16 91/12 analysis [4] 70/17 acronyms [3] 123/1 **ago [3]** 16/18 79/10 administration [1] 92/16 101/5 104/1 80/17 81/1 95/20 158/25 159/6 105/19 124/19 132/10 analytics [1] 68/19 55/7 across [23] 8/23 agree [24] 4/20 4/21 133/8 135/10 139/18 anchored [1] 44/24 administrations [7] 23/12 31/5 49/16 54/23 55/5 57/3 70/11 11/4 11/10 13/5 13/8 155/6 158/14 Andy [2] 20/25 57/11 52/13 55/1 55/7 68/22 87/18 93/19 105/11 13/17 20/19 23/4 25/3 alleged [1] 15/4 Andy Burnham [1] 77/8 78/9 85/11 86/13 44/18 44/23 49/9 administrative [3] **allow [3]** 7/14 53/13 57/11 106/25 107/20 109/1 24/17 46/10 50/13 52/24 66/11 81/10 80/25 Andy Pollard [1] 116/5 129/2 143/13 administrators [1] 90/8 93/11 94/24 allowed [1] 83/22 20/25 144/24 145/6 146/10 15/11 98/10 115/22 141/6 allows [1] 25/17 angle [1] 24/18 146/14 157/20 adult [3] 66/4 128/24 148/20 158/10 alluded [1] 42/3 **Animal [1]** 18/13 act [9] 72/8 74/5 agreed [5] 47/25 97/1 **alluding [1]** 156/19 149/23 **animals [1]** 5/16 101/19 102/17 103/1 101/22 102/16 104/14 almost [2] 22/18 27/9 announcements [1] advanced [2] 90/20 130/18 131/13 131/15 139/10 agreement [4] along [6] 63/12 74/4 135/9 132/2 advice [8] 10/12 11/9 101/24 102/14 103/23 76/13 91/19 103/23 annual [1] 100/12 acting [2] 152/21 another [8] 7/5 15/8 12/3 86/25 87/6 87/9 105/9 129/20 158/4 53/11 62/4 68/18 87/9 131/5 agreements [2] **alongside [1]** 10/13 actions [2] 36/4 advised [2] 114/1 103/15 103/21 already [10] 38/2 144/12 144/14 148/13 90/15 114/4 aid [2] 80/22 134/16 46/14 49/25 62/3 answer [16] 46/20 activated [3] 80/3 48/6 56/25 59/20 adviser [4] 11/15 air [1] 27/24 68/11 82/21 93/10 81/4 133/4 132/13 132/14 133/1 airport [1] 24/23 103/5 103/6 117/15 60/10 60/12 71/16 activation [1] 80/5 advising [1] 132/15 airports [1] 146/14 also [57] 3/7 3/15 73/13 76/8 82/15 active [4] 81/4 82/3 95/15 100/3 113/11 advisory [9] 2/11 **Alan [1]** 57/9 7/12 16/7 18/6 23/4 83/7 83/7 10/15 10/23 11/2 23/10 24/19 30/13 114/25 120/12 156/21 Alan Johnson [1] actively [1] 82/13 12/18 18/14 18/21 57/9 35/19 36/19 41/1 answering [1] 46/19 activity [3] 86/13 68/6 68/21 47/17 53/21 55/4 57/5 answers [4] 73/1 alarming [1] 90/8 89/12 97/16 affect [2] 72/7 142/6 **all [111]** 2/2 3/9 6/13 60/8 64/9 66/14 67/19 81/25 113/20 152/14 actually [18] 4/6 4/8 affected [6] 3/5 3/6 9/24 9/25 10/1 10/4 70/17 75/19 76/12 **anticipate** [1] 96/12 4/11 5/8 5/13 12/2 76/10 118/12 129/12 10/7 11/12 11/22 78/25 79/8 79/16 anticipated [3] 36/20 15/16 16/9 16/9 18/19 11/24 12/13 13/8 14/6 79/17 80/15 81/6 81/7 149/20 38/7 81/9 23/14 25/17 27/2 71/7 affecting [1] 78/11 14/7 18/24 19/19 89/17 93/18 94/20 **antivirals** [1] 23/10 108/18 144/9 145/3 20/22 23/17 23/19 104/16 106/14 114/10 Anwar [5] 69/14 affirmation [1] 1/7 149/6 117/24 120/14 122/11 69/15 73/3 73/20 affirmed [8] 1/9 30/5 24/14 24/22 24/24 acute [5] 2/15 92/11 74/18 121/17 160/2 124/13 127/11 127/22 25/11 25/25 29/3 160/7 116/15 116/24 121/7 160/5 160/9 160/13 29/11 30/13 30/24 128/13 134/12 134/21 any [43] 6/9 18/17 ad [2] 55/10 55/21 31/3 31/5 37/16 40/12 136/6 143/8 149/15 18/18 18/22 19/19 afoot [1] 88/8 ad hoc [2] 55/10 afraid [3] 99/17 41/10 43/5 43/9 45/19 149/20 151/13 151/18 28/21 32/19 38/3 40/3 55/21 130/10 159/3 49/16 51/6 51/15 153/13 156/6 156/15 40/11 42/1 45/5 47/17 Adamson [3] 155/9 53/24 54/5 56/18 156/25 157/13 158/3 52/7 52/22 53/20 Africa [1] 8/18 156/19 157/7 after [17] 3/13 4/6 4/7 56/20 58/19 60/21 **alternative [2]** 51/14 54/14 56/18 71/12 adapt [1] 38/9 72/6 77/6 81/2 84/13 4/13 4/15 6/14 23/23 61/10 61/21 65/5 54/7 adaptable [2] 38/4 23/24 79/22 82/12 65/20 69/7 69/22 although [8] 18/16 85/18 92/6 95/22 98/8 95/19 98/22 99/12 71/23 74/8 75/18 98/14 100/12 102/9 49/25 53/3 60/5 63/23 adapting [1] 72/21 103/1 103/22 104/2 75/24 75/25 77/1 77/5 124/1 134/24 135/7 105/24 107/15 114/22 add [3] 23/14 145/11 145/8 77/11 77/18 81/17 118/21 120/6 120/9 altogether [1] 53/11 146/18 aftermath [1] 105/12 81/19 83/19 83/23 126/7 131/11 147/19 always [7] 15/18 addition [5] 40/2 87/16 88/22 88/23 148/22 153/13 157/22 afternoon [3] 69/16 35/23 45/25 77/11 89/14 89/24 129/3 101/16 159/17 91/12 91/23 92/16 103/11 103/22 157/4 157/22 151/25 94/10 94/14 96/9 again [19] 6/25 23/24 am [6] 1/2 30/20 anybody [1] 30/1 **additional [2]** 134/5 26/9 29/13 31/21 96/20 101/5 103/16 48/22 48/24 72/16 **anything [2]** 17/3

19/11 20/5 21/7 22/18 around [40] 3/8 4/15 116/5 135/25 141/4 66/5 73/25 22/23 24/4 24/5 24/12 5/16 7/17 11/12 19/16 141/10 141/11 141/20 Auditor General [1] anything... [1] 47/12 26/4 28/20 31/15 25/5 32/23 38/15 141/23 143/23 144/10 66/5 apart [1] 83/20 34/20 40/4 41/15 40/17 43/10 43/21 144/12 **Auditor General for** apologies [3] 6/1 42/14 45/7 45/18 47/6 45/1 46/8 52/14 53/25 assessments [14] **[1]** 73/25 30/1 72/11 49/3 49/3 53/18 55/21 54/23 55/24 59/1 62/4 71/21 72/12 72/22 **Auditor General of** apologise [2] 29/21 64/13 65/4 66/9 68/20 56/15 57/14 58/12 72/23 73/7 73/15 **[1]** 65/20 113/22 58/18 64/1 66/18 73/1 71/4 77/20 78/12 93/11 93/13 93/18 August [1] 68/12 apparent [2] 50/11 77/2 77/3 78/6 78/11 84/23 86/2 86/25 134/8 141/7 142/21 austerity [2] 119/25 104/13 78/13 79/14 79/15 87/11 91/10 100/24 144/5 144/7 121/6 appear [2] 47/24 98/7 authorised [1] 82/4 82/23 83/1 84/23 107/23 110/4 114/13 assigned [1] 49/4 **appeared [1]** 156/2 88/12 89/6 90/9 91/16 114/13 115/18 142/20 assist [2] 91/8 151/3 139/16 appearing [1] 30/20 91/17 92/25 93/3 145/15 **assistance** [8] 30/10 authorities [26] 20/1 appears [3] 89/15 93/11 93/13 94/2 arranged [1] 126/25 74/21 110/14 121/24 22/21 80/23 84/25 140/25 142/16 99/10 99/24 100/12 arrangements [17] 123/4 128/1 145/12 106/21 119/12 119/12 appended [1] 32/3 100/13 100/14 111/11 55/21 58/12 58/25 156/14 125/2 125/5 125/16 applicability [1] 111/25 115/15 115/19 59/9 76/22 86/15 associated [2] 26/24 127/9 128/3 128/8 61/22 128/8 128/9 129/1 115/23 122/16 122/25 86/16 97/3 100/10 142/8 applicable [3] 48/1 123/24 125/4 125/16 100/19 103/4 104/24 129/5 129/5 129/6 Association [2] 48/3 48/13 126/9 126/24 127/13 110/2 124/17 127/17 80/21 139/21 129/14 129/21 130/3 application [3] 41/14 128/3 128/6 128/7 131/7 144/18 130/13 151/1 154/24 assumed [1] 63/2 95/12 152/25 128/8 128/21 129/4 Arwen [2] 116/16 assumptions [18] 155/4 applications [1] 16/10 34/4 34/7 34/12 authority [8] 16/1 129/7 129/11 129/20 116/24 86/14 130/15 130/15 130/17 as [227] 34/13 34/17 34/20 57/24 85/14 108/20 applied [3] 41/17 131/17 131/22 132/3 **As I say [1]** 20/19 37/24 49/18 51/12 115/21 119/4 128/16 140/3 140/7 132/14 132/20 133/4 aside [1] 27/1 54/10 97/2 97/10 129/16 **apply [1]** 70/17 133/17 133/20 133/23 ask [21] 14/7 18/9 97/11 140/20 141/9 availability [2] 54/12 **appreciate [2]** 31/15 133/25 134/2 137/4 26/21 50/9 54/1 69/11 143/4 152/2 66/10 59/17 137/22 138/3 138/5 69/17 77/22 78/21 assurance [5] 131/6 available [13] 10/15 appreciated [1] 140/8 140/10 140/11 83/24 99/20 101/15 131/7 133/15 133/18 51/20 87/1 90/12 142/6 141/7 141/9 141/12 90/18 90/21 91/10 106/12 115/3 117/10 145/13 appreciation [1] 141/21 142/11 142/11 117/25 120/15 122/7 assure [3] 29/24 119/21 120/17 121/2 76/12 143/11 144/1 144/6 139/9 153/9 155/2 134/1 135/5 143/9 148/10 151/13 approach [29] 9/25 144/17 144/18 145/15 asked [5] 27/14 31/7 assuring [3] 132/7 avoid [2] 95/9 139/1 10/1 10/9 10/10 10/11 145/19 146/4 150/14 130/12 134/14 139/12 133/16 134/24 avoidance [1] 132/6 13/24 17/18 22/20 150/17 152/12 152/22 asking [9] 2/21 48/5 awake [1] 83/3 AstraZeneca [2] 37/4 48/8 71/9 77/17 153/3 153/7 153/11 53/17 72/3 76/2 19/23 20/24 aware [13] 15/14 80/25 86/14 86/18 153/19 154/2 154/7 129/18 131/17 158/4 49/1 49/3 50/19 66/18 asymptomatic [1] 94/25 106/18 109/17 155/4 155/25 156/14 158/6 41/2 72/17 77/23 88/7 116/3 135/14 135/20 157/3 157/21 158/6 88/10 88/12 116/12 aspect [3] 53/9 at [190] 136/3 136/10 136/19 158/7 158/22 119/24 120/6 at present [1] 151/7 138/7 154/7 136/22 138/2 146/17 area [18] 9/22 13/25 aspects [20] 14/5 **attach [1]** 73/15 awareness [3] 3/20 149/2 156/8 35/17 52/25 53/5 53/7 14/12 50/25 51/20 attached [2] 102/11 61/14 61/15 approached [1] 53/20 64/22 66/25 63/25 65/5 68/13 151/16 away [4] 5/18 8/5 156/6 77/3 115/18 125/12 71/18 71/21 77/2 attempted [1] 103/23 8/13 50/18 approaches [3] 9/21 129/6 129/15 146/6 77/19 87/11 88/16 attend [5] 112/10 117/4 141/17 В 112/17 130/24 130/25 146/7 147/4 154/19 89/5 89/11 89/16 95/7 appropriate [8] 5/3 back [21] 3/23 4/1 areas [22] 13/13 107/6 120/20 155/25 134/18 50/18 85/10 92/6 5/2 6/20 40/10 57/8 aspirational [1] 51/18 52/7 54/16 attendance [5] 83/18 134/2 140/10 142/22 63/24 64/11 85/12 85/20 85/24 111/24 58/17 64/16 78/7 115/23 142/22 83/17 90/2 94/5 99/2 85/14 89/12 96/16 aspirations [1] 115/9 112/15 appropriately [2] 102/15 105/3 108/9 108/20 116/5 126/8 assess [1] 70/12 attended [8] 58/11 72/21 133/21 127/25 140/4 140/5 59/9 59/14 61/1 82/8 114/20 116/22 134/15 **assessed** [5] 9/5 **April [7]** 32/1 71/5 136/17 158/20 140/6 140/22 142/10 34/20 61/21 70/21 138/8 154/13 156/25 79/12 81/3 95/19 96/7 background [1] 145/25 148/4 149/25 72/6 **attendee [1]** 154/15 122/18 11/14 aren't [1] 128/4 assesses [1] 96/22 attention [1] 50/16 April 2010 [1] 81/3 backgrounds [1] argue [1] 19/19 assessing [1] 67/18 attitude [1] 58/20 **April 2020 [2]** 71/5 11/21 argued [2] 12/1 12/1 attitudes [2] 56/16 assessment [30] 96/7 35/14 70/15 71/14 **bad [1]** 4/9 **argument [1]** 78/19 57/13 are [148] 2/3 2/25 3/6 76/25 83/10 92/2 92/5 **Audit [4]** 66/9 66/14 balance [2] 100/11 arise [1] 85/19 3/24 7/11 7/16 8/4 8/7 144/12 92/15 92/17 92/22 arisen [2] 44/18 81/5 67/6 148/14 8/8 9/4 9/20 10/15 arising [1] 98/6 Bambra [1] 70/1 93/12 93/22 93/25 Audit Scotland [2] 14/5 15/23 16/11 base [3] 10/13 28/16 94/5 96/2 96/4 96/10 arm [1] 29/1 66/9 67/6 17/22 17/24 18/15 119/13 arose [1] 84/12 96/22 97/24 98/12 **Auditor [3]** 65/20

58/22 59/6 61/6 61/17 beneficial [2] 116/11 110/6 В 49/5 88/13 88/16 62/1 62/6 62/9 62/17 155/14 89/21 95/19 brutally [1] 56/24 based [7] 25/5 65/24 62/20 63/10 63/24 benefit [2] 77/25 **boards [4]** 64/7 budget [9] 100/11 119/14 126/21 136/19 90/14 118/8 136/12 118/15 119/1 120/4 63/25 65/1 65/2 65/6 119/8 149/14 155/20 65/7 65/12 65/23 benefitted [1] 43/9 **bodies [6]** 2/11 70/11 120/5 120/9 120/19 bases [2] 133/5 66/12 66/20 67/1 67/3 Bereaved [4] 26/12 78/21 82/16 84/19 120/21 149/5 143/15 67/4 67/8 72/12 76/11 69/11 69/18 117/10 106/21 budgets [3] 120/6 **basic [2]** 19/21 body [7] 2/14 85/15 79/18 81/4 81/18 82/5 best [17] 12/7 12/11 120/10 148/1 143/13 83/6 84/19 87/21 88/5 20/8 29/10 31/10 117/21 118/14 118/25 build [7] 23/25 24/4 basically [2] 107/22 89/15 90/5 91/15 31/10 50/22 51/15 119/10 119/15 68/21 71/8 84/10 114/18 96/15 98/18 110/11 75/1 86/19 116/6 boosted [1] 59/4 131/25 157/11 basis [18] 12/8 37/15 111/2 113/25 114/4 122/13 125/6 137/3 borders [1] 8/23 **building [10]** 11/21 38/16 38/17 61/18 114/7 117/15 120/5 150/7 150/13 150/16 **borne [1]** 58/10 19/24 20/17 21/22 74/4 83/8 83/21 86/20 121/7 124/12 124/13 better [8] 3/25 13/24 both [18] 9/6 17/20 24/9 93/2 100/17 87/14 100/12 100/13 124/17 131/20 134/11 22/24 44/9 56/11 23/4 36/22 47/20 124/23 126/10 143/13 105/21 140/21 146/2 139/16 140/16 140/17 65/23 68/9 95/21 54/24 57/12 59/3 **built [2]** 4/13 21/4 146/4 146/19 147/1 142/13 142/17 146/22 between [53] 22/14 bullet [4] 27/18 35/2 60/17 62/8 66/18 be [272] 147/4 149/17 150/13 27/24 35/12 35/14 68/21 71/22 93/18 36/15 112/18 bear [1] 3/23 157/14 157/20 158/3 40/7 46/1 54/19 55/4 128/16 151/1 156/11 **burden [1]** 121/6 bearings [1] 32/16 158/23 55/14 55/17 56/17 156/15 **Burnham [1]** 57/11 became [8] 14/25 before [24] 9/16 19/9 57/2 74/3 82/19 86/22 **bottom [4]** 34/3 business [6] 62/14 33/25 37/1 37/6 45/25 19/18 26/3 29/20 91/3 93/15 96/7 36/15 112/18 144/3 77/1 82/1 92/24 99/2 123/18 123/20 101/20 101/23 103/15 boundaries [3] 31/16 31/21 58/23 106/21 107/1 because [67] 4/18 59/3 61/7 64/17 67/8 103/24 105/10 106/1 107/20 107/21 129/17 businesses [1] 9/7 12/20 15/17 16/22 76/8 78/22 99/13 106/13 107/5 108/4 bow [1] 130/7 127/25 19/3 19/18 20/16 99/18 99/19 102/14 110/25 112/25 118/5 busy [1] 81/12 **box [4]** 8/15 17/17 23/23 25/15 26/19 117/15 117/24 122/1 119/11 127/16 128/17 29/19 53/12 but [198] 31/19 35/23 38/17 143/2 144/19 144/21 129/13 129/15 131/4 break [7] 39/10 48/17 39/18 40/3 44/2 47/25 **began [1]** 75/13 131/14 132/2 132/5 48/23 99/18 99/19 49/11 51/8 53/3 63/14 **C-19 [1]** 137/7 begin [3] 74/20 76/2 132/21 135/3 137/23 122/6 131/9 63/17 66/20 71/17 138/17 139/8 143/25 Cabinet [29] 14/4 78/23 breaking [1] 48/16 76/3 76/23 78/6 81/4 14/11 16/3 32/8 33/9 beginning [1] 55/25 145/13 145/21 149/3 breaks [1] 58/22 82/11 83/9 84/2 88/1 33/12 33/14 33/20 150/25 155/13 156/22 **Brexit [19]** 49/15 behalf [4] 45/21 88/17 89/19 94/13 50/21 51/2 51/3 51/3 33/21 33/24 33/25 69/17 126/17 130/25 156/24 158/5 99/20 102/10 104/5 50/10 51/2 75/19 **behaviour** [2] 96/12 beyond [8] 7/20 51/4 51/8 52/8 52/9 105/12 108/17 109/22 75/21 75/23 78/1 10/24 14/13 15/14 53/10 53/15 54/8 97/8 113/11 114/3 119/15 78/24 83/19 83/21 behavioural [10] 25/8 84/19 102/15 57/21 62/3 89/9 91/20 124/7 124/25 125/9 99/21 112/5 114/16 13/1 13/13 13/14 158/13 105/12 105/14 105/16 130/15 131/17 132/7 126/2 126/25 145/1 13/20 14/1 17/5 23/15 **big [3]** 107/7 107/8 **bridge [2]** 11/18 133/23 135/8 136/23 145/2 151/11 158/8 96/23 96/25 98/2 149/12 84/11 136/25 137/13 138/9 Cabinet Office [11] behest [1] 15/15 bigger [1] 21/8 brief [3] 16/14 30/22 141/16 144/10 146/6 14/4 14/11 16/3 112/5 behind [7] 13/11 22/2 biggest [1] 25/7 38/1 148/10 148/17 149/6 22/5 22/8 56/16 92/2 briefly [3] 39/11 57/7 114/16 126/2 126/25 billion [1] 97/22 149/14 150/4 152/12 145/1 145/2 151/11 141/5 **binding [2]** 101/24 111/9 157/24 158/8 being [29] 12/14 15/7 brilliant [1] 20/6 102/10 become [8] 7/23 14/8 bring [4] 18/6 32/2 Cabinet Secretary 15/8 18/20 27/11 biodiversity [1] 8/10 16/23 32/12 55/10 **[10]** 32/8 33/12 35/22 38/3 44/24 107/22 129/5 **biomedical** [3] 12/20 78/13 99/15 132/17 33/14 33/20 33/21 67/11 81/8 82/22 12/25 17/16 brings [2] 106/25 bedevil [1] 35/16 33/24 75/19 75/21 85/10 85/22 91/7 Birkbeck [1] 24/12 138/21 been [115] 1/25 2/24 91/14 92/2 99/5 75/23 99/21 **bit [6]** 39/13 40/10 British [7] 154/13 4/3 4/16 4/25 5/10 Cabinet-level [1] 102/20 110/16 122/12 44/25 102/20 133/6 155/7 155/12 155/16 6/14 7/18 11/10 13/23 129/20 138/7 139/11 145/19 156/24 156/25 157/25 78/1 13/25 15/15 17/14 140/3 140/7 141/3 cables [1] 116/17 **bits** [1] 65/11 **broad [7]** 19/15 20/25 21/1 21/2 24/25 Calderwood [1] 141/9 147/5 149/19 Blackwell [4] 74/15 22/16 34/19 54/18 29/8 32/25 33/18 29/22 beings [1] 8/2 100/5 101/13 114/21 64/11 70/19 85/7 33/23 34/6 34/11 calibrate [1] 83/11 belief [2] 75/2 122/13 block [1] 21/22 broadband [1] 35/20 35/20 36/8 call [5] 17/8 22/14 **believe [16]** 1/16 blocks [2] 20/17 116/20 36/22 36/23 37/15 29/22 105/5 105/5 11/24 13/23 13/24 143/13 broader [4] 18/4 37/19 39/4 39/5 39/18 called [12] 6/22 17/19 26/11 27/8 97/25 98/16 104/13 **blue [1]** 127/9 39/20 39/22 42/2 42/6 41/12 41/14 82/17 32/22 33/6 33/18 broadly [1] 25/22 **Blueprint** [2] 2/14 43/1 43/19 44/5 44/9 84/4 84/5 108/19 39/17 55/5 61/24 62/2 18/21 bronze [2] 155/23 44/13 45/1 46/24 108/24 123/16 132/12 67/3 135/7 157/8 blunter [1] 6/8 46/24 48/25 51/11 132/14 151/11 believed [2] 56/6 **bluntly [1]** 51/8 brought [6] 8/22 12/3 53/4 53/10 54/11 board [7] 23/12 49/4 came [15] 20/24 155/12 13/22 17/15 50/16

126/4 128/22 132/9 C 65/14 66/4 66/24 24/11 68/17 115/15 **charitable [1]** 19/20 67/14 86/15 90/1 115/18 132/3 **charities** [1] 154/21 146/15 came... [14] 24/24 **check [6]** 33/16 73/6 125/15 125/16 125/17 centres [1] 80/22 **climate [7]** 8/1 8/10 40/12 41/1 43/22 49/7 78/12 78/18 81/6 128/11 128/24 149/23 century [1] 8/5 102/8 113/6 114/12 49/9 50/22 63/12 152/11 certain [6] 34/25 131/16 107/13 107/19 64/13 64/18 88/9 59/16 64/7 114/10 career [5] 2/10 10/23 cheese [4] 27/19 clinical [8] 1/21 3/16 102/9 119/17 119/21 32/7 75/13 78/7 20/14 21/5 22/15 129/6 138/16 28/2 28/10 29/2 can [102] 3/3 8/14 22/21 28/17 42/1 carried [7] 36/6 certainly [26] 17/7 Chi [1] 1/22 12/8 14/24 16/8 16/9 71/20 72/13 77/12 35/1 35/3 46/4 49/20 Chichester [1] 1/17 close [5] 11/15 11/16 16/14 18/9 19/13 **chief [11]** 1/25 11/15 100/12 100/13 143/23 11/16 146/1 153/3 53/23 55/12 56/19 22/24 23/20 24/6 carry [3] 71/13 90/14 60/8 60/10 60/14 12/4 12/10 54/25 closely [4] 27/10 24/15 28/6 29/11 66/12 73/14 75/6 80/20 85/1 85/1 85/3 61/17 108/16 158/16 147/18 29/16 29/24 30/14 cascade [2] 135/15 85/22 95/1 95/4 85/4 155/9 closer [2] 22/13 43/1 45/10 46/16 47/18 102/16 110/24 114/13 chief executive [1] closing [2] 16/5 16/5 141/15 53/10 57/4 59/19 60/1 cascaded [1] 135/21 120/23 141/1 141/2 85/3 **CMO [4]** 54/24 55/15 60/9 60/11 60/20 cascading [1] 143/24 142/3 142/14 153/22 child [3] 4/10 95/11 68/7 68/8 67/16 71/16 71/24 case [27] 12/24 **certainty [2]** 6/10 107/12 **CMOs [1]** 55/14 73/6 74/22 74/24 33/23 34/10 35/6 59/20 children's [4] 125/19 co [11] 80/2 80/22 74/25 75/8 78/16 128/11 128/25 149/24 40/16 45/11 45/13 certification [1] 65/9 86/19 86/22 87/11 79/15 79/17 79/23 45/22 47/6 47/17 101/22 105/1 107/19 cetera [5] 17/12 China [1] 27/11 83/2 86/1 89/7 89/14 50/21 53/24 55/20 17/12 28/14 103/19 114/19 135/19 137/25 **choice [2]** 51/8 54/14 92/17 92/19 95/24 59/16 67/21 75/3 89/4 133/17 **choices [1]** 149/22 co-locating [1] 80/22 96/18 97/5 100/17 94/21 96/3 98/6 98/10 chain [1] 52/11 Chris [2] 11/1 37/21 co-operating [1] 102/8 110/19 111/10 106/5 110/18 116/24 chains [1] 97/18 Chris Whitty [2] 11/1 86/19 111/13 111/23 112/2 132/25 140/21 149/20 chair [3] 2/11 2/13 37/21 co-operation [6] 112/6 112/7 112/9 cases [2] 140/1 86/22 87/11 101/22 18/20 **chunks** [1] 126/7 112/15 112/18 113/8 147/14 chaired [3] 111/12 circular [1] 115/18 105/1 107/19 114/19 115/5 115/13 116/14 cash [2] 118/10 112/5 112/13 **circulate** [1] 5/16 co-ordinating [1] 122/5 122/8 122/10 118/24 chairs [3] 154/14 80/2 circumstance [1] 122/11 122/15 123/2 157/3 157/19 co-ordination [2] catastrophic [6] 9/10 85/18 126/18 128/6 128/17 9/11 44/20 44/21 challenge [14] 9/23 circumstances [10] 135/19 137/25 129/24 130/1 130/21 46/12 46/16 14/19 15/2 15/19 16/2 8/20 12/21 16/24 cobble [1] 9/24 130/24 131/20 134/8 16/4 16/9 17/25 19/11 **COBR [1]** 105/5 29/24 88/22 92/7 catch [1] 22/6 135/14 135/21 137/15 Category [8] 84/16 92/12 94/10 101/3 93/24 95/10 100/7 **COG [2]** 19/24 24/8 137/22 139/19 141/12 84/19 84/23 102/18 116/12 116/24 140/10 COG-UK [2] 19/24 141/13 141/24 143/12 102/18 127/8 130/15 cite [1] 87/7 **challenged [2]** 15/10 24/8 144/9 145/23 146/11 138/21 15/13 cited [1] 83/18 cognisance [1] 67/23 147/23 150/2 151/12 cities [2] 8/23 34/1 Category 1 [7] 84/16 challenges [6] 7/12 coincidentally [1] 153/3 153/21 154/10 84/19 84/23 102/18 21/16 92/10 114/9 city [2] 1/22 4/22 32/9 155/10 157/11 157/22 127/8 130/15 138/21 140/18 142/17 civic [1] 18/4 collaboration [5] 158/13 Category 2 [1] challenging [4] 120/1 civil [25] 11/17 51/25 86/25 107/19 114/14 can't [7] 19/16 39/16 102/18 120/2 120/14 144/9 55/4 59/8 70/7 79/8 145/20 146/9 47/4 47/12 101/7 Catherine [4] 121/16 change [10] 8/1 8/11 84/8 101/19 102/16 collaboratively [1] 116/23 130/10 28/5 28/23 78/18 81/6 103/1 105/24 122/20 121/17 121/21 160/13 155/5 cannot [4] 53/13 96/13 107/13 132/17 126/21 126/24 127/17 colleagues [5] 59/23 Catherine Frances 60/10 60/12 137/22 **[2]** 121/16 121/21 132/19 130/18 131/2 131/10 76/14 77/18 121/3 capabilities [3] 42/10 changed [11] 8/19 138/4 141/17 147/19 cause [1] 5/4 136/8 42/15 95/19 caused [1] 99/5 8/19 8/20 37/20 37/23 151/4 151/19 153/14 collection [2] 81/1 **capability [8]** 19/6 **CCS [9]** 142/4 155/13 39/17 124/6 124/7 158/1 128/13 20/13 23/9 23/11 44/3 155/17 156/3 156/8 124/12 124/13 130/10 civil service [1] **collective [2]** 87/23 44/8 80/10 150/4 156/18 156/21 156/22 changes [12] 19/3 122/20 115/9 capable [1] 150/21 157/3 78/17 96/23 96/25 **Clare [1]** 70/1 **collegiate** [1] 134/1 capacities [2] 23/25 97/8 98/2 124/14 ceased [1] 67/11 clarify [1] 18/2 **colour [1]** 19/19 35/19 133/10 133/11 142/5 **celebrate** [1] 19/13 **clarity [4]** 14/9 column [1] 92/21 capacity [14] 2/3 2/7 central [21] 31/14 144/6 147/21 105/25 111/5 134/3 combination [2] 7/14 7/14 20/21 20/21 126/18 131/4 131/14 changing [3] 8/1 8/10 clean [1] 27/24 56/18 86/12 21/6 21/24 22/12 23/4 clear [6] 7/7 27/14 132/5 134/16 134/18 116/13 combined [4] 92/24 24/16 25/19 80/9 134/23 136/11 137/19 channel [1] 154/15 54/17 97/2 103/12 129/4 129/5 145/12 149/19 139/2 139/4 139/8 characteristic [1] 127/22 come [20] 5/8 26/6 capital [1] 8/23 140/16 140/18 143/7 72/23 clearance [1] 142/1 36/21 38/15 40/5 capture [1] 42/2 143/13 145/13 148/3 characteristics [8] **clearer [2]** 39/8 43/1 44/11 49/22 54/10 care [21] 22/22 31/5 152/17 158/5 6/7 6/10 40/25 41/21 54/21 56/24 58/17 clearly [11] 30/13 62/2 62/13 62/14 42/22 42/23 72/7 73/8 60/4 87/16 89/18 centrally [1] 60/25 42/20 45/7 97/23 62/17 64/23 64/25 centre [6] 19/25 charge [1] 151/24 104/14 110/14 118/11 91/19 98/21 127/11

C 117/8 121/8 158/23 133/7 **community** [8] 64/8 considering [3] 86/1 106/13 131/23 144/10 conclusion [1] 66/4 95/22 145/14 contracts [1] 62/14 **come...** [3] 133/3 153/16 153/24 154/2 conclusions [3] 66/6 considers [3] 9/22 contradicting [1] 134/9 148/7 157/5 66/12 149/4 147/4 152/19 84/8 comes [2] 43/11 comparability [1] concordat [2] 101/20 consist [1] 41/21 contradicts [1] 114/7 101/3 152/15 102/21 Consortium [1] 2/16 contribute [1] 28/4 comfort [1] 133/19 compared [3] 54/8 contributed [2] 31/4 condolences [1] **Constable [1]** 85/1 comfortable [1] 120/5 120/19 30/23 constant [1] 116/12 120/24 25/13 constituency [4] compartment [1] condoms [1] 28/14 contributes [1] 28/3 coming [10] 4/5 75/14 75/16 75/17 107/14 conduct [1] 83/22 contributing [2] 64/16 64/17 68/24 compartments [1] conduit [1] 131/5 109/19 27/17 86/2 102/22 104/8 121/23 107/10 conference [2] constituent [2] 17/23 contribution [2] 139/13 139/22 147/14 competency [1] 113/15 154/14 105/12 19/15 121/5 **commence** [2] 1/15 control [2] 8/22 126/1 confidence [1] constitution [1] 2/21 competing [1] 22/18 119/16 16/20 65/10 commenced [1] confident [2] 73/16 **construct** [1] 11/14 convened [1] 16/23 compilation [1] 99/12 93/22 144/1 constructed [1] **convenient** [1] 101/6 comment [4] 35/18 conversation [1] confidential [1] 17/19 complacency [3] 44/14 66/14 117/18 4/17 5/8 7/19 114/18 141/19 constructive [2] commentary [3] 45/1 complacent [1] 7/21 confirm [6] 69/13 14/16 15/1 conversations [2] 47/5 100/18 23/17 108/7 complementary [1] 74/25 101/18 122/1 constructively [1] commentator [1] 92/14 122/8 122/12 14/19 convey [2] 31/3 106/5 complete [9] 61/11 confirmed [1] 90/4 consultant [1] 136/13 comments [3] 11/10 61/25 62/15 64/21 confrontational [1] 117/20 coordination [2] 89/22 110/24 65/17 65/18 66/3 80/22 80/24 14/25 consultation [5] commissioned [7] 62/18 65/1 67/8 91/10 cope [2] 25/17 98/9 91/24 101/7 confronted [2] 40/1 33/1 33/5 33/6 67/19 completed [9] 63/10 66/16 110/7 cordial [1] 111/2 68/6 104/3 104/18 63/24 63/25 64/23 confronts [2] 35/25 consultative [1] core [3] 115/15 148/3 commissioning [1] 65/1 65/6 65/12 89/13 38/4 65/15 153/19 137/24 consumer [1] 97/17 **confusing [2]** 133/10 coronavirus [2] 7/6 commitment [1] completely [3] 35/24 133/22 contact [5] 42/17 40/8 37/10 51/5 158/10 congestion [1] 89/7 43/3 46/17 47/14 64/8 corralled [1] 154/24 commitments [2] correct [34] 2/2 2/6 completeness [1] contain [1] 7/25 connecting [3] 88/23 90/19 127/16 127/20 131/14 66/13 contained [1] 41/5 2/17 10/20 10/21 32/4 committee [24] complex [6] 7/10 connection [4] 87/19 contemporaneous 32/5 32/10 32/11 35/1 10/18 16/25 18/13 **[1]** 43/6 7/24 8/17 8/25 11/2 87/19 130/12 146/7 40/15 41/24 41/25 18/14 33/9 58/6 58/7 49/8 49/15 57/14 145/17 connections [6] content [1] 158/7 58/9 67/19 68/4 68/5 complexity [1] 11/19 146/8 146/25 154/11 contents [1] 109/21 64/12 65/19 75/12 68/11 78/24 79/1 79/6 157/11 158/9 158/10 75/18 75/24 75/25 component [2] 10/11 context [23] 3/17 81/3 81/11 81/16 consensus [5] 26/25 12/16 26/20 34/24 76/6 79/5 84/2 103/25 28/21 81/21 82/17 82/18 composition [1] 17/4 27/3 27/6 27/8 27/14 45/3 45/9 45/16 47/18 122/19 122/23 124/3 82/22 83/17 84/3 comprehensive [1] consequence [9] 50/1 55/23 56/10 125/7 125/23 128/5 committees [4] 71/9 9/10 9/11 40/4 40/18 56/17 56/18 57/14 135/13 147/23 18/11 18/15 18/21 47/3 47/12 89/6 89/10 57/21 70/23 79/3 comprehensively [2] corrected [1] 84/5 78/22 93/14 100/3 105/7 120/8 correcting [1] 15/8 70/12 70/21 common [8] 22/19 144/25 150/15 155/15 correctly [1] 141/18 **comprising [2]** 16/25 consequences [15] 106/22 107/11 107/24 12/22 24/21 40/7 40/9 contingencies [10] 68/7 correlation [1] 107/24 143/13 143/15 concede [2] 91/16 44/20 44/22 46/9 79/9 101/19 102/17 129/15 146/16 99/11 46/11 46/16 47/20 103/1 126/22 126/24 **COSLA [1]** 80/20 communicates [1] 51/10 52/12 53/3 54/7 127/17 130/18 131/10 cost [1] 97/21 concentrate [1] 132/12 93/19 94/23 141/17 could [54] 1/6 1/12 communication [8] consider [10] 12/20 contingencies' [1] 2/21 3/17 5/20 5/21 concentration [1] 54/24 56/2 103/19 46/11 14/5 36/12 45/19 70/8 138/4 6/14 8/21 10/14 17/1 103/24 104/16 104/21 85/15 94/12 98/16 18/3 21/8 25/9 25/10 concern [2] 25/4 contingency [2] 134/17 135/2 147/16 116/4 151/5 51/25 59/8 25/10 26/15 29/25 communications [2] concerned [14] 4/25 considerable [3] 6/4 continue [2] 150/12 30/4 30/7 30/12 32/25 65/11 105/25 5/1 18/11 31/23 54/22 57/24 79/10 45/6 45/15 45/19 157/11 communicator [2] 55/20 63/2 70/7 81/11 consideration [8] 47/15 55/2 65/23 continued [3] 35/16 132/2 158/5 90/1 93/12 98/5 26/18 73/8 73/22 74/6 49/23 69/2 72/20 73/4 77/6 80/14 communities [12] 156/12 159/12 78/6 93/16 145/9 **continues** [2] 31/1 87/17 95/17 103/10 20/3 20/4 24/6 50/3 105/22 108/2 108/8 **concerns** [1] 137/13 151/9 145/22 93/1 122/17 123/8 continuing [2] 11/8 conclude [1] 68/3 considered [7] 11/3 108/13 108/14 113/2 123/17 123/19 137/2 14/12 15/22 62/15 113/5 114/2 116/8 **concluded** [1] 70/5 19/5 137/5 158/17 concludes [4] 66/21 64/20 76/18 94/10 **continuity [2]** 62/14 118/21 119/10 133/22

26/12 26/20 26/22 124/20 125/12 125/14 C **culture** [1] 136/7 death [1] 65/9 26/25 27/4 27/5 30/24 curiosity [1] 156/2 deaths [2] 32/22 125/18 125/20 126/1 **could... [8]** 134/19 currently [4] 104/9 40/12 41/2 42/16 32/23 126/8 126/13 126/17 136/1 139/24 140/13 debate [9] 14/25 26/5 116/10 140/2 140/7 43/22 44/9 45/2 45/12 127/5 131/11 131/11 142/6 143/18 156/3 curve [2] 22/5 22/9 26/19 41/19 43/1 43/4 45/21 46/21 47/2 136/6 144/19 152/11 156/10 47/10 54/8 55/13 56/1 cut [1] 24/2 46/18 55/3 61/4 152/12 153/22 153/23 couldn't [1] 53/6 58/3 61/9 61/24 63/6 cyber [1] 86/4 debated [3] 13/21 157/17 council [2] 58/4 63/12 63/18 64/6 Cygnus [8] 38/16 50/10 65/25 department's [2] 148/11 65/18 65/24 66/15 60/22 60/23 61/6 debates [1] 53/15 126/6 131/1 council tax [1] 67/8 67/9 68/2 69/11 61/20 88/15 89/17 decades [1] 20/5 departmental [1] 148/11 69/17 71/23 75/23 91/4 **December [2]** 59/6 139/15 councils [12] 58/25 76/10 88/5 91/11 97/8 134/13 departments [6] 128/19 130/22 130/24 D 97/10 97/22 99/4 99/4 **December 2017 [1]** 96/20 132/24 134/16 148/2 148/18 149/3 **D Blueprint [2]** 2/14 104/2 104/20 110/25 137/21 139/5 152/18 134/13 149/6 150/9 150/11 18/21 117/10 137/8 143/8 **December 2022 [1]** depend [2] 55/20 150/14 150/17 damage [2] 96/13 Covid-19 [9] 26/9 59/6 57/16 councils' [1] 149/19 116/17 30/24 40/12 41/2 decide [1] 142/9 depended [1] 42/10 COUNSEL [8] 1/11 damaging [1] 95/9 42/16 65/24 97/8 decision [9] 27/15 dependence [1] 30/6 74/19 121/18 97/22 137/8 **Dame [1]** 36/7 50/10 50/17 80/10 116/19 160/3 160/6 160/10 create [4] 28/2 100/9 **Dame Deirdre Hine** 80/17 119/21 136/24 **depending [1]** 136/5 160/14 **[1]** 36/7 136/25 138/3 106/22 115/24 depends [1] 56/2 countermeasures danger [3] 4/9 43/13 created [1] 123/11 decision-makers [2] depict [1] 93/5 **[15]** 9/13 21/13 creating [2] 24/2 44/15 27/15 138/3 deploy [1] 100/17 24/18 27/25 28/7 28/8 **Dangerous** [1] 18/14 151/9 decision-making [4] deployed [1] 9/14 28/9 28/22 28/25 29/2 creation [1] 81/23 data [4] 3/17 22/9 80/17 119/21 136/24 deployment [1] 97/4 43/2 44/6 47/8 98/8 28/19 68/18 136/25 creative [1] 149/18 depth [1] 11/13 100/2 date [8] 33/16 79/15 decisions [9] 31/11 deputy [9] 32/7 33/13 **creeping [1]** 139/1 countless [1] 94/9 102/2 102/7 102/13 Crick [1] 24/11 55/16 119/7 119/14 58/23 59/2 68/8 75/9 countries [3] 12/1 102/15 104/9 139/23 cries [1] 4/11 135/17 139/11 139/16 76/4 77/8 123/12 12/2 27/12 dated [3] 32/1 104/5 crisis [9] 9/16 10/5 149/7 149/14 describe [1] 154/23 country [19] 19/6 11/17 12/12 12/14 137/11 declined [1] 97/20 **described** [3] 15/6 32/14 45/5 53/1 77/20 dates [1] 102/22 19/10 19/17 21/23 **deduce [1]** 66/25 39/5 125/6 107/23 115/24 128/15 25/8 day [19] 7/12 7/12 deep [2] 9/18 52/16 **describes** [1] 118/9 129/2 129/4 136/1 11/18 12/13 12/13 critical [12] 7/13 deeper [1] 8/9 description [2] 125/7 142/3 144/11 144/14 24/5 31/1 31/1 31/9 deeply [3] 53/19 54/6 11/25 12/6 14/6 20/9 125/23 145/6 145/15 145/20 42/14 61/18 61/18 20/12 24/13 28/21 54/14 design [1] 110/4 146/4 146/14 62/21 121/15 147/21 31/13 131/16 149/12 defence [1] 20/17 designated [1] country's [1] 133/4 147/21 148/23 148/23 154/1 138/24 defer [2] 152/11 **counts [1]** 13/10 159/1 152/18 critically [2] 11/23 designation [2] county [2] 128/17 days [2] 22/7 116/23 24/14 defined [2] 127/7 103/7 103/8 128/24 **DCLG [1]** 123/18 criticism [3] 5/11 127/25 designed [2] 98/7 couple [2] 99/19 5/13 122/3 **DCMO** [1] 54/25 **defines [1]** 129/23 120/12 137/6 deal [28] 2/23 7/15 cross [14] 10/8 59/8 defining [1] 152/13 desire [1] 158/15 course [41] 4/16 9/6 12/13 21/15 46/11 85/8 97/3 107/11 definitely [2] 14/1 despite [2] 7/17 10/12 12/19 18/25 48/5 49/13 49/15 50/6 127/14 129/17 154/13 148/25 120/18 24/24 26/19 28/23 50/21 51/2 51/3 51/8 155/7 155/12 155/16 **definitive [1]** 114/25 detail [7] 49/22 61/18 31/6 31/19 31/24 32/6 52/4 52/9 53/4 54/8 156/24 156/25 157/25 71/24 72/14 72/22 **deflect [1]** 138/11 32/22 37/4 37/7 43/22 64/7 88/18 89/9 91/20 cross-government degree [7] 4/17 5/8 95/16 100/8 44/12 49/1 54/5 54/9 94/17 98/8 100/6 details [1] 138/5 **[1]** 97/3 6/9 9/19 15/19 39/8 55/4 57/16 66/17 105/16 123/2 146/13 cross-responsibility 94/8 determination [1] 73/24 79/21 82/11 146/16 **[1]** 107/11 **Deirdre [1]** 36/7 45/14 91/6 94/14 98/15 dealing [23] 7/12 delegates [4] 137/14 **determine** [2] 9/17 cross-section [1] 102/10 113/13 116/1 10/23 12/17 32/13 137/18 137/20 138/15 142/13 85/8 116/18 118/11 122/6 36/19 36/20 37/7 cross-United deliver [2] 85/8 determining [1] 123/9 124/4 131/22 38/25 39/19 40/8 41/8 118/17 **Kingdom [1]** 59/8 96/25 138/18 157/15 158/17 42/15 47/19 63/14 delivered [1] 60/24 develop [4] 68/20 crown [1] 21/7 CoV [1] 64/5 64/6 65/8 66/19 69/21 crucial [1] 27/20 delivery [2] 108/9 80/16 82/14 155/18 cover [2] 93/9 151/20 73/22 100/4 108/15 crucially [2] 15/16 108/22 developed [5] 25/1 covered [2] 49/25 133/20 137/5 26/23 27/5 44/9 81/22 85/9 demand [1] 25/21 110/23 dealings [1] 18/12 **CSC [1]** 78/25 demonstrates [3] developing [2] 44/22 covering [1] 35/20 deals [1] 129/8 **CSC-SGoR [1]** 78/25 81/14 90/8 115/7 85/17 covers [1] 129/16 dealt [7] 10/8 27/13 cues [1] 157/22 department [25] development [6] Covid [59] 3/5 3/5 3/7 37/8 40/24 70/22 78/8 3/12 19/23 41/18 44/5 122/21 123/7 123/14 culminating [1] 71/5 9/6 12/17 24/25 26/9 117/15 **cultural [1]** 11/18 123/16 123/17 124/20 48/11 109/12

D device [1] 21/20 devolution [4] 100/10 103/17 103/22 129/8 devolved [9] 54/23 55/5 55/7 58/4 70/10 86/15 87/18 93/19 103/16 devote [1] 77/10 devoted [1] 78/2 **DH [1]** 152/18 diagnostic [7] 21/19 22/12 23/9 28/1 28/9 43/2 46/17 dialogue [3] 87/13 107/1 108/6 did [49] 4/12 5/8 27/6 31/7 31/10 31/11 33/1 33/3 34/13 34/15 35/4 35/17 35/18 37/13 37/13 42/14 42/14 43/25 48/4 48/7 56/5 56/8 58/1 59/10 66/1 66/14 68/12 69/21 70/8 70/11 71/12 72/17 76/23 77/13 97/14 102/4 114/21 121/1 121/1 125/23 133/18 137/18 143/10 145/3 147/20 148/22 151/20 154/22 156/9 didn't [12] 19/17 29/22 55/10 76/23 82/18 82/19 83/17 100/6 106/8 119/1 139/5 145/8 difference [4] 19/10 46/1 137/23 138/19 differences [3] 40/6 41/21 57/15 different [52] 11/19 11/20 11/20 17/1 18/6 21/20 35/19 37/23 39/6 39/21 39/25 42/23 51/4 51/23 54/4 56/13 57/12 57/17 58/24 70/14 71/18 71/21 71/21 78/9 82/2 82/11 83/4 87/15 91/5 94/3 94/14 94/18 95/14 98/2 107/15 107/17 107/20 108/1 124/17 125/10 126/7 128/3 128/7 144/11 144/23 145/15 146/10 146/13 149/3 149/14 149/15 149/21 differing [1] 129/1 difficult [9] 5/24 7/11 10/2 12/21 16/24 42/2 87/15 50/9 91/17 98/11 distribution [1] 66/10 difficulties [3] 32/13 distributions [1]

104/15 104/20 difficulty [3] 84/12 105/4 150/14 dilemmas [2] 99/9 99/10 diminish [1] 16/1 direct [3] 82/7 99/4 129/15 direction [7] 56/22 77/17 82/10 85/25 107/24 108/22 131/19 directly [5] 3/12 3/24 15/11 129/11 145/2 director [7] 1/20 1/24 Division [2] 123/25 2/15 50/3 122/16 122/21 124/15 Directorate [1] 124/2 disability [1] 72/8 disabled [3] 109/1 109/11 109/14 disagree [1] 53/9 disciplines [1] 11/20 disconnect [1] 143/25 discovered [1] 116/18 discrete [3] 8/8 8/14 117/10 discuss [1] 59/7 discussed [5] 12/2 51/2 62/4 99/25 114/14 discussing [1] 86/7 discussion [4] 26/2 26/6 43/10 43/21 discussions [6] 15/18 55/16 55/17 56/10 80/20 86/2 diseases [6] 1/22 40/18 40/19 93/14 95/18 117/22 disengages [1] 134/7 dispiriting [1] 156/1 display [1] 155/8 disposal [1] 19/7 dispute [1] 119/13 disruption [2] 7/4 97/18 disruptions [1] 7/15 disruptive [3] 7/20 10/7 101/3 disseminated [1] 37/5 dissidents [1] 15/9 distancing [2] 24/23 28/11 distinct [2] 51/10 157/6 distinction [2] 138/17 138/20 distinctive [2] 86/14

52/11 150/8 district [1] 128/18 doesn't [5] 16/1 districts [1] 128/23 divergence [1] 35/14 diverse [2] 13/7 16/21 diversion [5] 49/11 50/10 50/12 50/17 52/24 divert [2] 50/19 50/23 diverted [5] 49/19 51/1 51/22 51/24 53/20 126/14 **DLUHC [3]** 104/10 123/8 123/20 do [92] 4/5 4/21 13/6 13/17 14/7 14/10 14/24 15/3 15/9 15/25 16/5 21/14 25/3 26/8 27/7 37/19 39/7 39/17 39/23 43/7 44/16 44/23 45/4 45/14 47/12 47/13 47/20 51/7 51/14 52/3 54/7 54/14 55/5 56/23 58/9 doubt [2] 40/5 132/6 59/15 60/18 61/4 64/7 67/1 67/3 70/16 77/9 77/16 78/2 81/10 82/21 82/25 84/17 84/18 86/21 90/8 93/3 44/11 58/22 75/8 94/24 96/4 98/10 99/19 102/21 108/2 109/13 112/23 115/10 116/8 117/4 117/11 118/22 120/12 121/5 122/7 126/5 128/23 129/16 131/9 132/19 133/9 133/11 133/17 135/4 136/5 137/21 137/22 141/6 142/1 143/24 144/6 145/13 147/7 148/20 150/20 151/3 151/21 155/2 dock [2] 136/2 136/10 doctrinal [2] 94/20 94/25 doctrine [1] 127/2 document [8] 2/23 39/2 39/9 44/8 48/12 95/14 103/12 152/9 documentation [6] 50/12 65/13 142/15 143/4 143/6 151/16 documents [4] 137/6 142/8 151/23 152/2 does [20] 9/2 21/17 40/2 41/9 71/20 91/14 68/24 118/6 150/12 93/5 93/7 95/3 104/17 109/16 125/10 131/6 131/7 132/16 133/16

91/13 115/8 146/5 148/11 doing [4] 117/3 141/16 143/15 154/17 duty [3] 74/5 150/8 dominates [1] 155/24 don't [29] 20/20 28/24 31/2 46/4 47/7 47/17 53/9 56/15 56/23 59/16 70/19 70/24 71/23 81/2 84/21 88/1 91/18 93/9 95/3 111/15 113/17 122/11 128/15 130/10 130/24 139/3 150/2 156/18 159/14 done [21] 11/6 12/12 24/20 38/13 38/19 38/20 43/16 45/20 45/24 47/15 49/11 50/8 66/3 71/3 76/13 104/22 133/22 138/7 146/11 156/10 157/4 doubling [1] 22/7 **Dowden [2]** 59/2 112/14 down [25] 4/14 5/20 5/21 6/24 8/12 39/11 79/16 80/14 97/5 111/14 112/6 112/18 121/19 122/15 131/9 135/15 135/21 136/17 economy [4] 53/3 139/19 142/22 143/24 53/10 98/3 150/10 156/5 **DPhil [1]** 1/18 **DPO [1]** 109/2 **Dr [3]** 117/19 118/23 121/3 Dr Jim McMenamin **[2]** 117/19 118/23 Dr McMenamin [1] 121/3 dramatic [1] 97/16 draw [3] 145/24 149/4 150/2 drawing [1] 23/3 drawn [2] 53/6 148/13 drew [2] 12/19 37/5 drop [2] 96/7 96/8 dropped [1] 4/14 drops [1] 97/16 drug [1] 5/12 dubiety [1] 59/18 due [5] 29/23 62/3 duration [1] 30/22 during [24] 3/4 24/25 28/18 32/9 35/11 61/2 efforts [1] 92/24 64/9 66/1 67/12 70/14 eight [1] 61/25 142/16 146/19 148/12

106/19 110/24 115/6 115/25 122/6 123/9 124/4 138/15 150/9 dwell [1] 88/1 dynamic [1] 28/5 Ε each [13] 8/6 8/8 9/22 12/5 22/18 28/3 85/13 87/22 94/3 101/25 130/25 130/25 142/9 eagle [1] 106/5 earlier [10] 32/7 43/10 53/22 54/22 58/17 69/19 81/25 102/2 102/6 143/18 early [5] 60/6 72/17 95/9 95/11 146/24 easily [1] 18/3 **Ebola [4]** 8/18 8/19 10/19 23/24 ecology [1] 8/1 **economic** [12] 11/13 70/8 70/13 70/21 96/13 97/9 97/13 97/15 97/16 97/24 99/4 100/23 **economies** [1] 16/5 economists [3] 13/1 17/3 17/16 **Edinburgh [3]** 1/17 24/11 49/6 **education [4]** 52/13 52/14 75/21 125/18 educational [1] 11/21 effect [4] 34/9 65/22 78/18 98/20 effective [4] 27/1 76/21 149/17 150/18 effectively [6] 36/22 102/13 132/1 140/3 140/7 150/21 effectiveness [1] 27/7 efficacy [5] 24/23 26/17 27/1 27/4 27/7 efficiencies [1] 149/18 **efficiency [4]** 118/10 120/7 121/6 150/10 **efficient [2]** 34/18 149/21 effort [6] 51/19 56/7 87/22 93/23 117/1 154/22

78/22 84/13 89/19

97/8 97/15 104/6

equal [2] 14/11 140/8 | 142/3 142/7 Ε **enduring [1]** 103/18 Exercise Cygnus [6] energy [4] 49/12 **equalities** [1] 71/13 **everybody** [3] 59/1 38/16 60/23 61/6 either [7] 2/9 21/11 equality [3] 72/8 74/5 120/8 153/4 49/19 52/2 52/10 61/20 88/15 89/17 47/2 47/9 47/19 78/22 engage [5] 106/20 74/5 everybody's [2] 77/1 Exercise Iris [7] 136/24 109/6 130/16 155/17 Equality Act [1] 72/8 82/1 38/18 40/19 41/3 **elaborate** [1] 154/18 156/10 equally [4] 13/21 everyone [3] 29/24 62/21 64/1 64/15 elapse [1] 67/12 engaged [3] 82/3 31/11 71/5 74/1 76/10 153/2 89/18 **elections [1]** 124/21 156/14 158/3 **Equally Well [1]** 71/5 everyone's [1] 92/23 **Exercise Silver Swan electrical [1]** 117/2 **[4]** 54/2 65/3 89/18 engagement [16] equivalent [1] 82/17 everything [8] 11/21 element [2] 90/17 37/16 83/8 105/6 12/11 25/4 31/7 31/12 90/3 era [1] 149/18 141/21 106/13 106/13 108/4 essential [1] 19/4 45/15 76/23 77/16 exercises [11] 10/3 elements [7] 13/22 109/19 134/22 153/19 evidence [51] 1/10 38/19 60/22 61/15 essentially [9] 76/17 14/12 43/21 54/4 155/1 155/2 155/13 81/17 81/22 82/14 2/3 2/24 3/17 4/17 63/20 66/2 91/3 91/5 136/23 141/12 152/5 156/21 156/23 157/18 85/24 98/25 100/10 5/19 5/23 6/4 11/1 134/11 143/14 153/6 Ellis [2] 112/6 114/15 157/19 115/15 150/11 18/25 28/15 29/3 29/4 **exercising [2]** 136/16 else [1] 11/21 engages [1] 153/16 establish [1] 119/9 29/20 30/11 30/15 153/5 **elsewhere [1]** 150/1 31/21 37/4 59/2 61/7 engaging [2] 154/20 established [4] 24/8 **exigency [1]** 44/18 **EMA [1]** 20/7 exist [5] 27/6 35/23 157/21 67/19 115/6 131/2 69/19 73/21 73/24 embedded [5] 55/11 76/10 77/24 78/15 89/8 100/19 145/8 engendered [1] 4/18 establishment [1] 55/22 56/12 56/14 82/20 88/2 88/6 88/25 existed [2] 111/16 engineer [1] 117/2 71/6 56/20 89/19 93/9 93/15 England [9] 57/1 estimated [1] 97/21 145/18 emergencies [16] 57/10 60/24 102/19 estimates [1] 35/6 99/18 100/8 101/18 existence [1] 36/24 3/18 10/19 27/13 80/1 et [5] 17/12 17/12 125/2 125/10 127/20 104/9 110/23 111/6 existing [7] 36/18 105/24 123/25 124/11 141/12 144/25 28/14 103/19 133/17 116/1 117/19 118/22 36/25 70/9 70/13 126/13 127/3 127/10 enhance [1] 97/2 119/13 121/23 122/7 96/17 100/19 156/16 et cetera [5] 17/12 127/11 131/2 149/10 123/9 124/5 137/16 enhanced [1] 80/10 17/12 28/14 103/19 exists [1] 102/2 151/4 151/19 155/19 139/22 147/14 158/24 exit [5] 49/13 50/6 enhancing [1] 21/11 133/17 emergency [32] ethics [2] 14/2 20/9 evolution [1] 138/15 enough [5] 28/5 52/4 53/4 88/18 44/18 46/12 50/5 50/8 29/17 94/17 158/25 ethnic [1] 72/19 exacerbating [1] expand [1] 17/2 50/18 50/25 51/16 **expect [1]** 142/14 159/6 EU [5] 49/13 50/6 96/16 51/20 52/10 54/15 expectation [3] ensue [1] 6/7 53/4 88/18 146/13 exactly [8] 81/14 57/15 70/7 79/6 80/2 ensure [7] 11/6 82/23 European [1] 52/13 126/9 130/19 133/14 44/16 101/24 102/11 80/17 104/23 104/25 92/5 93/23 116/6 145/7 148/7 151/14 European Union [1] expectations [1] 105/2 105/5 105/7 131/21 156/11 52/13 157/7 138/2 108/3 109/9 109/15 ensures [1] 135/15 evaluated [1] 99/6 examination [1] **expected** [4] 5/7 140/9 147/19 151/8 32/20 153/3 154/4 ensuring [3] 31/14 evaluating [1] 24/20 26/14 153/14 154/1 155/14 67/7 125/3 even [8] 22/8 58/13 example [26] 10/8 expecting [2] 27/21 155/21 155/24 158/1 64/23 96/11 102/6 21/18 24/8 43/2 46/14 157/24 enter [1] 122/25 emerging [4] 2/16 72/8 76/25 78/12 86/5 **expects [2]** 135/16 **enterprise [2]** 14/22 125/16 137/1 155/4 6/21 36/13 95/10 87/1 87/3 87/7 91/20 153/17 event [10] 10/18 158/9 **emphasis** [2] 95/5 entirely [5] 5/3 29/23 20/15 20/18 21/19 92/11 103/6 119/14 expenditure [1] 95/8 44/23 144/12 158/18 25/9 26/9 84/13 102/9 125/14 128/10 128/22 149/25 **employees [1]** 15/12 entities [1] 2/19 132/16 158/1 134/9 135/23 145/24 experience [11] empowered [1] 146/12 146/24 154/10 10/22 13/5 15/24 18/9 entitled [1] 137/8 events [12] 7/3 7/20 140/10 8/17 10/7 91/7 91/19 154/12 18/17 18/19 57/7 entity [1] 2/14 enable [5] 21/22 environment [6] 8/10 67/17 89/6 120/23 91/22 91/23 135/23 examples [3] 86/24 80/24 85/18 119/7 142/4 144/5 155/21 19/3 22/16 85/3 120/2 140/12 140/20 156/1 127/13 120/15 eventual [1] 47/9 **exception [1]** 62/16 experienced [1] encountered [2] envisaged [4] 81/17 eventualities [1] exceptionally [1] 76/11 104/15 104/20 90/16 103/6 103/7 100/18 150/17 experiencing [1] encourage [2] 60/14 epidemic [7] 4/8 8/22 eventuality [2] 77/6 exceptions [1] 70/6 34/15 108/18 22/2 22/5 23/24 28/13 118/21 excuse [2] 30/16 expert [7] 14/5 42/1 encouraged [2] 12/7 28/21 eventually [1] 88/17 158/20 69/23 71/2 72/18 108/18 **epidemics** [7] 3/18 eventuate [1] 6/11 **executive [3]** 85/3 86/25 87/5 encourages [1] 4/7 7/23 8/25 21/16 ever [7] 35/24 38/23 85/4 155/9 **expertise** [5] 12/9 94/22 22/3 23/19 46/4 47/18 56/5 64/23 exercise [29] 38/16 12/20 12/25 14/1 18/5 **Encouraging [1]** epidemiological [1] 38/18 39/22 40/19 78/13 **experts [4]** 13/2 115/10 143/3 every [24] 11/15 40/20 41/3 54/2 60/23 16/15 16/25 68/7 end [3] 62/18 65/1 11/18 12/3 14/10 21/9 60/23 60/24 61/2 61/6 explain [6] 115/1 epidemiologist [1] 122/12 21/9 22/7 23/22 23/22 61/20 62/21 62/22 115/13 135/14 144/5 117/20 endeavour [3] 22/23 145/19 153/21 epidemiologists [1] 23/23 23/23 23/23 62/22 62/24 63/1 63/4 87/23 106/23 17/15 24/5 31/9 42/14 48/17 63/7 64/1 64/15 65/3 **explained** [2] 131/3 ended [1] 40/7 episode [2] 8/8 8/14 52/15 53/9 94/3 67/25 88/15 89/17 133/15 ending [1] 52/12 episodes [1] 8/7 119/24 136/1 141/12 89/18 89/18 90/3 **explains [2]** 118/4

E	45/25 59/18 88/9	final [3] 91/9 115/3	flowed [1] 81/13	120/25
	99/24 103/14 104/17	121/15	flowing [1] 144/2	forms [1] 123/10
explains [1] 118/13	110/10 117/11 120/10		flu [51] 4/18 25/5	formulate [2] 105/21
explanation [2] 39/13	factor [1] 154/8	finally [4] 24/17 31/9	25/6 25/8 25/14 25/23	107/24
115/16	factored [2] 109/9	112/12 153/9	25/24 32/9 35/11	formulation [3]
explicit [1] 34/12	109/14	finance [3] 75/19	36/16 36/17 36/19	108/13 110/4 110/8
explore [2] 95/15	factors [4] 78/11	99/21 125/4		forth [2] 12/23
113/18	118/7 118/8 118/23		38/5 38/18 39/19	139/17
explored [1] 26/13		financial [9] 99/25		
exponential [1] 22/7	failure [3] 6/14 66/3	100/10 100/14 100/15		fortuitously [1] 32/22
express [2] 76/9	109/12	100/19 101/3 119/22	40/25 42/6 43/20	forum [22] 55/1 59/7
158/15	fair [6] 35/18 43/8	119/23 120/16	44/25 46/21 46/23	59/10 59/14 60/3 60/5
expressed [1] 89/22	44/10 44/14 48/14	find [7] 29/3 29/4	46/23 47/2 47/5 49/4	60/9 83/13 106/15
exquisite [1] 32/12	63/21	52/2 72/24 128/24	49/5 50/1 55/24 55/25	l l
extend [1] 131/6	fairly [2] 43/18 98/19	130/23 154/19	57/8 57/9 65/10 65/11	111/3 129/16 129/24
extended [4] 84/19	faith [1] 56/15	findings [1] 36/13	79/22 80/5 80/6 81/6	130/16 130/22 134/22
102/15 114/11 135/1	fall [1] 97/1	finish [1] 99/17	83/6 86/3 88/12 89/21	136/13 137/17 141/24
extensive [3] 10/22	fallout [1] 98/8	finished [1] 23/8	94/6 136/12 152/10	157/19
110/6 116/16	false [2] 53/3 53/10	finishing [1] 64/9	focus [10] 6/15 9/6	forums [8] 106/24
extent [24] 9/12 9/16	familiar [4] 2/25	fire [4] 80/21 80/23	10/14 11/8 24/25	127/12 127/12 129/14
12/19 19/4 26/21	24/22 32/12 32/14	85/1 85/2	25/14 44/19 46/10	129/17 129/19 136/15
26/25 27/4 35/21	families [1] 3/6	Fire Officers' [1]	155/17 155/24	151/2
35/23 37/13 38/17	far [14] 6/13 8/9 10/3	80/21	focused [5] 39/15	forward [15] 36/4
1	25/1 74/21 81/10	first [46] 1/4 9/15	42/20 60/25 95/1	62/20 65/6 78/20 81/7
46/2 50/9 50/16 55/5	89/25 90/2 93/11 97/9		97/12	81/19 82/6 82/15
57/5 58/15 61/5 71/12	121/25 135/1 156/11	31/16 31/19 32/6 32/8	focuses [1] 13/25	86/11 89/1 100/13
72/5 109/8 110/10	159/12	33/13 38/4 38/10	focusing [1] 9/9	100/13 110/6 127/5
120/9 140/16	Farrar [4] 1/5 1/9	44/22 46/13 58/11	follow [3] 1/7 9/2	157/23
external [1] 16/2	1/13 160/2	58/14 58/22 58/23	152/24	forwards [7] 63/16
extraordinarily [2]	fashion [1] 83/14	59/10 59/21 61/4	followed [3] 102/17	93/17 99/14 105/23
7/11 10/2	fast [2] 5/25 6/24	61/13 67/11 67/13	102/23 103/11	106/1 143/24 151/22
extraordinary [1]	fatalities [1] 65/9	68/16 75/9 75/15 76/4		foster [1] 107/19
31/8	fault [1] 159/3	76/20 77/9 82/25	110/23 111/6 111/24	found [2] 38/24 57/1
extreme [1] 52/16	fear [1] 159/7	83/10 83/20 83/25		founding [3] 2/13
extremely [4] 14/21	feature [2] 47/21	101/15 111/10 111/25		2/15 18/20
29/8 51/12 53/4	55/21	113/23 123/16 126/7	follows [1] 129/8	four [23] 4/23 27/12
eyed [1] 106/5	features [3] 8/3 8/4	134/6 137/7 138/9	food [1] 54/12	37/4 37/14 37/15
eyes [1] 77/8	40/24	142/20 143/2 147/23	footprint [5] 129/20	37/16 55/14 56/17
F	February [8] 26/3	First Minister [11]	145/22 146/2 154/6	60/4 60/9 63/10 65/18
	59/13 65/21 67/11	30/21 32/6 58/11	154/20	86/13 86/20 87/13
face [25] 8/25 24/23 26/13 26/16 26/16	96/7 101/21 102/7	58/14 58/22 61/4	fora [4] 135/22 136/4	99/3 104/3 104/17
26/19 26/22 27/1 27/4	440/40	67/11 67/13 68/16	142/5 157/11	106/2 106/6 146/19
27/5 27/7 27/16 27/21	February 2021 [2]	76/20 83/20	force [2] 102/9	146/22 146/25
27/23 28/16 37/8	65/21 67/11	first-hand [1] 138/9	116/10	four nations [6] 37/4
40/14 41/12 77/6	February 2023 [1]	firstly [7] 9/8 10/14	forces [1] 130/8	37/14 56/17 60/4
83/12 92/10 92/20	59/13	13/9 38/2 124/7 131/9		86/13 106/2
93/3 93/24 118/22	fed [2] 55/15 134/15	149/6	forefront [2] 82/24	four years [1] 65/18
I	feed [1] 60/10	fiscal [5] 97/18 120/1		fourth [3] 69/2 69/5
faced [6] 7/11 53/1	feedback [3] 151/14	120/2 120/14 149/2	Foresight [1] 137/8	112/18
54/8 77/3 78/16	151/17 157/9	Fisken [3] 108/23	forge [1] 22/20	fragmented [1] 9/21
109/13	feel [10] 25/13 73/16	109/23 110/10	forgive [14] 34/23	framed [1] 138/5
faces [1] 52/23	77/9 77/13 82/25	Fisken's [1] 109/20	46/19 47/4 47/23 52/5	
facilitate [2] 136/14	92/23 121/1 137/18	fit [3] 38/8 64/10	57/7 57/18 67/4 69/4	36/16 36/17 36/24
136/17	145/22 146/5	64/13	69/5 73/9 113/9 130/9	
facilitated [2] 134/21	feeling [2] 109/22	five [2] 74/3 146/22	156/20	101/22 115/4 115/13
135/23	138/10	flag [1] 5/4	forgotten [1] 156/1	116/9 125/24 126/22
facilitating [3] 132/4	feelings [2] 92/21	flaws [1] 44/1	form [5] 38/12 42/1	144/16 149/8
135/2 147/2	139/7	flex [1] 146/17	105/9 119/7 126/11	frameworks [3]
facilities [2] 22/15	feels [1] 146/7	flexibility [3] 38/9	formal [1] 15/23	125/3 138/4 143/7
22/22	felt [7] 34/6 34/10	41/14 147/6	formalise [1] 56/7	Frances [11] 121/16
facility [1] 141/23	1611 [1] 07/0 04/10	T 1/ 1T 1T//U	ioiiialise [i] 30//	
	83/16 03/5 121/4	flovible [4] 29/4	formally [4] 92/12	191/17 191/91 191/99
facing [2] 52/22	83/16 93/5 121/4 137/14 138/16	flexible [4] 38/4 41/12 48/3 94/17	formally [1] 83/13 formed [5] 118/14	121/17 121/21 121/23 122/8 123/4 138/6

flow [2] 136/14

136/17

flooding [1] 144/13

149/13

formidably [1]

118/25 123/5 123/16

field [1] 69/3

few [2] 16/18 30/17

figure [1] 148/13

141/21

fact [14] 2/13 29/22

29/25 36/7 41/15

160/13

141/6 156/10 159/9

Frances's [1] 158/23

Francisco [1] 1/18 frank [1] 56/25 frankly [1] 45/19 free [1] 52/12 frequent [4] 7/10 7/24 8/16 8/24 frequently [2] 56/9 85/22 **Friday [2]** 159/13 159/14 friend [1] 131/16 front [1] 71/24 fruit [1] 58/10 fruition [1] 88/9 **frustration** [1] 52/17 fuel [2] 80/5 81/5 full [8] 1/12 4/23 28/10 30/7 78/2 96/4 117/15 128/10 full-time [1] 78/2 fully [3] 66/1 67/8 141/3 fulsome [1] 67/25 function [6] 124/16 126/12 126/16 126/17 132/20 145/4 functioning [1] 11/22 functions [1] 82/4 fundamental [3] 17/6 20/5 37/24 funded [3] 20/22 108/19 120/4 funding [7] 11/7 116/3 147/12 147/20 147/21 148/3 150/22 further [10] 16/11 24/19 81/2 96/1 104/22 118/13 135/9 135/9 154/18 156/5 future [13] 9/1 19/8 23/2 36/16 37/11 40/6 42/12 85/15 95/22 97/3 105/23 105/24 116/3 G gap [5] 35/11 40/22

41/7 69/20 96/10 gaps [1] 152/15 gastrointestinal [1] 25/11 gather [2] 28/19 87/5 gathered [2] 3/17 137/16 gave [3] 29/24 77/24 154/22 **GDP [2]** 96/7 97/19 general [17] 3/20 6/13 9/7 13/6 22/22 31/7 32/17 55/9 61/15 65/20 66/5 73/25 111/13 122/16 135/20

136/3 142/23 General for [1] 122/16 generalise [1] 149/16 generally [7] 19/3 51/3 52/8 105/1 105/3 105/10 105/17 genome [2] 19/25 24/10 genomics [1] 24/10 genuinely [1] 82/25 **geography** [1] 146/5 germane [1] 53/18 get [17] 10/3 22/5 27/22 31/11 32/16 50/14 56/5 56/8 56/23 57/15 60/11 72/14 99/7 113/20 116/22 132/22 149/18 gets [2] 56/9 98/11 getting [3] 25/12 45/9 100/5 gift [1] 100/9 Gilbert [1] 20/25 **Gillian [3]** 50/3 84/3 89/20 Gillian Russell [3] 50/3 84/3 89/20 qive [25] 1/6 5/19 16/2 27/21 30/7 30/11 39/13 50/6 50/7 67/16 69/9 73/17 79/2 91/13 governance [1] 104/9 109/4 113/12 116/14 121/23 125/14 government [191] 128/10 135/22 139/22 Government's [3] 146/11 154/10 given [18] 11/1 14/9 45/12 69/10 70/25 74/21 77/7 88/23 90/20 93/16 96/6 111/5 114/25 117/19 121/24 145/10 150/21 151/23 gives [1] 29/20 giving [2] 2/3 31/21 glad [1] 102/4 Glasgow [1] 24/12 global [4] 2/12 5/5 7/3 97/18 globally [3] 4/10 6/20 32/23 go [30] 3/23 4/1 5/17 5/24 6/20 6/25 7/20 8/5 12/7 49/22 57/7 63/15 70/24 74/24 79/13 79/19 83/17 94/5 95/24 96/18 97/5 113/8 114/20 116/23 117/25 122/11 138/23 139/24 155/10 158/13 goes [3] 23/16 47/13 126/23 going [42] 5/2 5/4 5/17 6/24 7/9 7/16 8/5 grim [1] 51/12

26/17 38/23 45/13 45/23 47/22 48/16 51/19 57/16 57/18 69/23 70/4 78/13 81/12 87/16 93/17 99/14 99/17 103/21 105/3 105/9 113/12 117/25 123/1 123/8 124/4 138/17 139/22 141/7 147/14 149/7 151/22 gold [2] 155/23 157/8 138/20 138/23 gone [1] 110/1 good [22] 1/4 10/8 24/8 29/17 55/14 56/15 57/11 69/16 87/8 103/18 103/23 103/24 105/1 123/23 135/6 137/4 147/8 149/16 158/9 158/10 158/12 158/12 got [14] 20/2 22/2 22/8 29/25 57/2 77/1 98/12 108/14 110/3 114/24 116/12 123/21 123/22 147/6 **Gove [2]** 104/8 104/19 govern [2] 150/11 150/17 86/16 52/19 80/1 140/16 governmental [3] 24/18 32/14 34/19 governments [16] 2/12 2/19 7/11 9/3 11/12 18/22 19/19 37/17 38/7 40/17 49/17 51/16 56/21 58/4 107/18 109/11 granted [4] 26/11 69/13 117/9 158/23 granular [2] 68/1 72/15 grappled [1] 134/20 grateful [1] 29/9 grave [1] 8/6 great [6] 2/23 52/20 63/22 64/5 73/19 101/2 greater [7] 9/6 9/12 18/18 43/21 97/9 120/9 130/7 greatest [7] 3/4 5/1 5/15 52/21 53/1 94/8 152/22 greatly [1] 80/4 grew [2] 120/5 120/19

16/11 16/13 26/7 26/7 ground [2] 34/8 152/21 grounds [2] 34/14 150/16 group [25] 4/22 14/5 14/15 15/5 15/20 15/20 15/23 16/12 16/15 16/22 17/7 17/15 18/3 25/23 25/23 36/12 68/6 72/18 134/22 137/8 137/16 137/24 137/25 grouped [1] 34/20 **groups [2]** 58/5 70/14 growing [4] 19/1 19/2 19/5 120/9 growth [4] 11/13 22/7 75/20 99/21 guard [1] 6/12 guess [2] 38/11 40/10 guidance [47] 6/15 62/4 62/15 62/16 62/19 64/4 64/22 64/25 65/4 65/5 65/8 65/9 65/10 65/11 65/12 65/13 66/23 67/1 67/7 67/14 67/17 67/22 67/24 79/3 89/25 90/6 90/11 90/13 90/18 90/21 91/1 91/9 126/22 131/19 138/4 151/7 151/13 151/19 151/23 152/2 152/22 152/23 152/24 153/10 154/3 154/8 158/8 Н H1N1 [8] 3/14 4/8 4/18 5/6 32/16 33/10 35/4 36/5 **H5N1 [3]** 5/16 7/5 27/10 H5N1 pandemic [1] 5/16

had [122] 5/10 6/14 8/19 8/19 8/20 10/22 18/12 18/17 18/19 27/12 27/16 28/16 28/18 31/24 32/19 35/6 35/7 37/19 38/7 39/4 39/15 39/19 40/24 41/9 41/11 42/6|hare [1] 73/19 42/19 43/18 43/21 44/5 44/13 46/24 50/6 99/4 99/4 99/5 50/7 50/14 50/15 50/25 51/8 51/8 51/14 harnessed [1] 48/25 52/2 53/5 53/20 54/6 54/10 54/13 54/15 54/21 56/6 56/11

63/25 64/25 65/6 65/7 65/12 67/8 69/21 71/3 76/21 77/6 77/8 77/9 77/13 77/16 79/22 80/3 81/4 81/5 81/22 82/1 82/17 83/21 85/15 85/16 89/10 89/17 90/5 92/5 99/12 105/8 105/15 108/10 108/21 113/18 113/25 114/18 116/15 118/22 119/25 120/19 123/12 133/5 134/18 137/16 139/16 142/9 142/9 143/3 144/16 146/14 148/2 149/9 152/17 153/10 156/6 157/14 158/25 159/14 hadn't [3] 23/8 62/6 65/2 HAIRS [1] 18/12 hallmarks [1] 106/18 hampers [1] 138/1 hand [5] 27/23 28/12 50/15 92/21 138/9 handful [1] 69/16 handing [1] 132/23 handle [1] 85/18 **handling [6]** 35/3 45/2 47/10 83/7 90/13 120/25 happen [11] 35/12 37/13 57/4 59/15 83/14 91/21 91/22 117/5 135/19 151/10 153/4 happened [15] 8/21 17/10 22/10 22/11 24/1 35/13 38/5 49/13 51/9 57/4 63/18 102/19 103/22 152/6 152/16 happening [4] 26/9 45/7 51/5 147/19 happens [3] 35/24 57/6 143/25 happy [3] 51/6 105/14 105/15 20/25 26/3 26/20 27/5 hard [4] 5/23 30/14 149/4 149/16 hard-working [2] 5/23 30/14 harder [1] 7/24 harm [5] 45/23 46/5

57/11 59/6 61/6 61/14

61/15 62/14 62/17

62/20 63/10 63/24

harms [1] 99/3

has [77] 2/24 6/4

6/12 7/8 7/18 15/13

20/11 31/13 37/3 39/5

60/24 62/17 64/22 here [21] 2/6 31/16 130/10 Н how [49] 3/16 3/25 64/25 65/14 66/23 42/13 45/11 52/6 8/9 9/10 10/6 16/16 I cannot [2] 60/10 has... [67] 44/18 67/14 67/21 69/3 53/16 53/17 57/1 27/1 29/4 29/5 34/18 60/12 53/10 57/4 57/21 69/24 70/9 70/11 57/19 60/12 67/16 34/18 34/21 40/24 I carried [1] 77/12 58/10 59/13 67/1 67/3 44/11 48/7 56/23 59/3 I certainly [2] 17/7 70/21 71/4 71/6 71/9 72/16 90/20 95/20 67/22 68/11 69/12 73/23 73/25 74/1 74/2 98/13 102/7 113/11 68/20 70/22 72/6 85/22 76/11 77/1 77/23 74/3 74/6 86/14 89/2 136/9 138/15 139/13 85/20 86/21 89/23 I come [1] 58/17 78/15 78/18 78/20 89/25 90/14 117/20 93/5 94/12 95/21 99/1 commence [2] 1/15 143/1 82/20 84/10 88/2 90/3 118/4 118/14 118/17 Here's [1] 47/11 99/5 105/9 105/25 93/10 93/15 94/20 high [3] 15/19 40/18 118/17 118/25 119/2 107/4 108/8 108/14 I completely [1] 95/12 98/12 98/18 158/10 119/3 119/9 119/16 93/14 115/13 116/13 123/5 104/11 107/1 108/23 119/18 120/3 120/4 124/10 124/11 128/1 high-consequence I confirm [1] 69/13 109/25 110/10 110/11 120/9 120/13 120/18 **[2]** 40/18 93/14 131/3 133/14 135/15 I considered [1] 116/1 117/15 119/13 120/23 120/24 125/14|higher [1] 113/3 138/16 142/6 144/10 76/18 119/25 120/11 121/7 152/11 highest [3] 13/16 153/12 153/21 154/3 I correct [1] 147/23 123/9 124/5 124/12 I could [3] 103/10 **Health Secretary [5]** 25/16 94/7 157/21 124/15 130/22 132/6 33/7 33/17 57/8 57/10 highlight [2] 115/25 however [6] 34/6 113/2 119/10 134/10 134/17 139/9 I dealt [1] 78/8 71/4 137/15 46/7 56/14 140/11 140/14 140/15 140/25 Health' [1] 21/5 147/15 156/9 I deeply [1] 54/14 highlighted [2] 141/14 141/25 144/11 I did [3] 43/25 76/23 healthcare [2] 3/7 109/10 118/7 HRA [1] 20/7 145/5 150/13 150/22 27/16 highlighting [2] hub [1] 80/17 77/13 151/6 151/18 153/4 hear [6] 5/22 30/13 96/16 132/3 huge [9] 14/8 19/10 I didn't [1] 106/8 153/10 154/13 155/12 122/5 138/8 143/11 highlights [1] 98/19 22/25 25/24 25/25 I discussed [1] 157/5 157/20 158/2 147/11 him [1] 73/20 26/4 26/19 119/6 114/14 158/23 heard [26] 6/4 26/3 139/1 I do [14] 4/5 4/21 hindsight [2] 43/9 hasn't [3] 24/1 13/17 25/3 27/7 37/19 32/17 37/3 37/21 84/17 hugely [1] 25/15 123/11 159/15 human [4] 8/2 18/13 56/24 59/2 77/23 Hine [4] 36/7 36/8 39/17 43/7 44/23 have [238] 78/16 82/20 88/2 88/6 54/21 55/11 71/14 155/25 47/13 67/3 82/25 have separated [1] 89/8 89/24 93/10 Hine review [3] 36/8 102/21 121/5 **humanity [2]** 5/15 128/21 93/15 94/20 105/16 5/18 I don't [20] 31/2 46/4 54/21 55/11 haven't [2] 85/5 47/17 53/9 56/23 hinge [1] 116/21 116/1 127/4 136/11 hundreds [1] 13/11 157/23 139/9 143/21 151/14 his [7] 77/25 104/11 **Hunt [1]** 56/24 59/16 70/19 70/24 having [18] 10/12 151/18 152/3 118/1 121/3 148/12 hyper [1] 154/23 71/23 84/21 88/1 11/14 17/14 17/20 hearing [2] 138/14 157/9 157/13 91/18 93/9 95/3 21/17 25/3 27/9 27/20 159/21 hit [4] 8/3 26/20 111/15 113/17 130/10 35/19 38/22 48/25 heart [4] 37/17 37/25 I accept [2] 39/23 32/10 104/21 139/3 150/2 156/18 51/7 78/1 103/18 157/9 98/11 135/11 hits [4] 9/16 10/5 I fear [1] 159/7 110/14 116/4 118/19 I acknowledge [1] I feel [3] 73/16 92/23 Heather [4] 108/23 12/15 19/10 120/3 109/20 109/23 110/10 hitting [1] 88/5 64/16 121/1 **HCID [1]** 64/9 I also [5] 35/19 53/21 | I felt [1] 121/4 Heather Fisken [3] **HIV [1]** 28/14 he [14] 56/25 57/1 57/5 156/25 157/13 108/23 109/23 110/10 **Ho [1]** 1/22 I focus [1] 10/14 70/5 80/2 80/15 I am [2] 72/16 117/14 | I go [1] 83/17 Heather Fisken's [1] hoc [2] 55/10 55/21 114/17 117/19 118/4 109/20 hold [4] 34/14 133/16 | I answer [2] 76/8 I got [1] 123/21 118/6 118/7 118/9 144/5 151/11 100/3 heavy [1] 95/5 I guess [2] 38/11 118/13 139/21 157/13 hectic [1] 77/11 holds [1] 128/17 I appreciate [2] 40/10 he's [2] 142/19 31/15 59/17 held [8] 16/6 75/9 holistic [2] 124/10 I had [6] 18/19 57/11 142/23 l are [1] 66/18 75/19 76/17 113/6 77/13 77/16 108/10 148/17 head [1] 85/6 lask [3] 18/9 26/21 113/15 122/17 131/8 home [1] 124/22 156/6 headed [1] 33/9 77/22 help [9] 3/9 29/8 homelessness [3] I hadn't [1] 23/8 heading [1] 36/3 124/22 125/20 126/10|I begin [1] 74/20 73/20 121/12 129/10 I have [6] 11/10 heads [4] 104/3 I believe [12] 1/16 130/11 131/24 133/18 honest [1] 77/11 17/18 61/14 69/16 104/17 106/6 106/9 11/24 13/23 13/24 159/8 113/25 122/14 honours [1] 2/18 health [89] 2/1 2/4 hope [3] 4/7 127/22 17/19 26/11 32/22 helpful [11] 15/25 I haven't [1] 85/5 2/8 2/13 7/20 9/19 33/6 33/18 61/24 62/2|I heard [1] 37/21 17/17 34/7 34/11 72/1 152/13 9/19 9/20 13/2 13/19 135/7 72/2 72/24 73/1 73/4 hoped [1] 159/14 I hope [2] 127/22 13/19 14/13 18/6 20/2 I call [1] 17/8 126/19 133/12 152/13 horizon [1] 9/25 22/14 22/15 22/20 I can [19] 29/24 helping [2] 91/4 Hospital [1] 1/21 I imagine [1] 51/24 23/21 27/17 27/18 45/10 53/10 60/1 132/23 hospitalisations [1] I intended [1] 148/2 27/19 28/3 29/2 31/5 60/11 67/16 71/16 I just [4] 16/14 73/6 helps [2] 84/10 32/21 32/8 33/7 33/12 33/14 71/24 83/2 86/1 133/25 110/1 113/5 hospitals [3] 4/23 33/17 33/20 33/21 116/14 122/10 126/18 I know [5] 31/9 31/11 her [5] 50/4 101/17 22/21 127/8 33/24 34/1 52/9 52/12 134/8 135/21 145/23 hours [2] 14/10 22/8 109/5 110/17 122/22 55/12 59/25 66/8 54/13 55/1 55/18 57/1 146/11 154/10 158/13| I learned [1] 37/18 Her Majesty's [1] housing [4] 123/7 57/3 57/8 57/10 57/15 123/19 124/22 126/10|**I can't [3]** 39/16 47/4 122/22 | I led [3] 31/10 45/21

illustration [2] 101/2 | incidents [6] 78/9 101/15 106/12 109/3 140/5 158/12 159/2 110/22 115/3 119/19 159/3 116/14 80/7 82/11 82/13 83/5 I led... [1] 98/24 137/12 147/10 I'm afraid [2] 130/10 images [1] 17/23 91/21 I look [2] 78/7 78/10 I wanted [3] 30/22 159/3 imagine [3] 51/24 inclination [1] 56/3 I made [2] 58/17 I've [22] 3/23 8/7 12/1 54/1 129/24 114/5 115/25 include [14] 21/17 81/25 I was [24] 3/14 4/21 13/23 38/2 58/22 62/8 immediate [1] 98/23 28/11 28/11 28/12 I make [2] 76/25 7/4 14/14 14/21 15/18 67/4 69/5 69/10 88/6 immediately [2] 28/13 80/12 96/4 119/5 18/18 18/22 33/16 97/14 97/25 128/11 91/18 100/21 109/20 124/11 129/12 I may [2] 39/10 66/20 33/21 46/20 47/1 113/18 114/4 114/7 **immensely [1]** 119/6 128/12 128/13 138/5 I mean [5] 6/21 19/14 50/19 68/16 69/4 71/4 142/21 149/3 149/19 impact [15] 28/6 148/11 40/4 134/10 157/4 77/16 88/10 100/3 149/20 157/18 57/22 64/8 71/21 included [5] 73/7 I meant [1] 102/4 102/20 103/11 107/18 72/12 72/22 73/7 73/21 80/4 152/6 idea [1] 145/14 I mentioned [1] 138/7 156/23 identical [1] 60/7 73/14 94/8 95/1 96/14 152/13 23/19 98/20 147/20 148/22 includes [5] 27/23 I wasn't [2] 46/19 identification [1] I might [1] 113/1 60/20 35/15 158/2 27/23 27/24 125/3 I need [1] 123/4 I will [4] 72/14 72/24 identified [9] 9/4 impacted [2] 52/8 152/24 I next [1] 83/24 42/22 44/7 52/21 66/1 including [4] 4/10 114/25 159/16 52/15 I notice [1] 122/2 I wish [1] 29/10 79/25 94/1 94/6 impacts [8] 70/9 96/9 14/1 97/25 156/7 I offer [1] 99/8 I won't [2] 49/22 71/1 102/18 96/22 97/13 97/15 Inclusion [1] 108/24 I only [1] 78/12 I wonder [2] 113/1 identify [5] 83/8 94/6 97/25 98/1 98/14 **Inclusion Scotland** I perhaps [1] 46/21 113/2 94/11 98/13 131/24 imperative [1] **[1]** 108/24 I personally [1] 59/22 I would [52] 8/3 identifying [2] 9/8 152/23 inconvenienced [1] I put [1] 43/24 11/10 13/8 14/10 153/11 impetus [1] 92/1 29/22 I read [1] 142/19 14/14 14/22 19/19 identity [1] 132/11 **implement [3]** 61/16 incorporate [1] 68/1 I recall [1] 87/12 22/13 23/14 25/6 incorporated [1] 65/4 ie [1] 45/6 66/1 89/16 I refer [1] 70/2 25/22 28/24 29/21 incorrect [1] 138/5 ie stop [1] 45/6 implementation [3] I regret [1] 110/18 33/6 34/24 40/1 40/9 if [110] 1/7 3/23 4/1 63/5 90/9 92/22 incorrectly [1] 138/5 I responded [1] 5/24 6/20 7/2 8/18 41/7 42/5 44/10 50/24 implemented [7] increased [4] 8/2 9/7 68/15 53/25 60/14 61/14 9/17 9/18 9/19 9/21 61/6 61/9 61/10 61/10 80/4 155/13 I return [1] 26/15 62/7 66/6 66/11 70/19 10/4 14/7 14/16 17/25 90/6 92/3 93/6 increases [1] 25/21 I say [6] 40/23 41/9 70/23 82/8 87/7 87/25 19/22 19/23 19/25 implications [4] 26/4 increasing [1] 80/7 60/20 72/23 102/4 88/22 91/16 92/4 20/1 20/8 20/20 20/21 36/12 54/15 79/25 incredibly [2] 20/11 113/19 21/14 22/4 22/5 23/12 implies [1] 140/8 99/11 99/16 100/21 157/6 I set [1] 126/4 109/22 114/11 117/18 23/18 23/20 24/8 importance [9] 3/20 **incubation [3]** 41/23 **I shall [2]** 48/21 11/8 31/13 38/3 38/12 42/24 94/19 119/22 130/7 134/5 27/14 27/20 29/17 101/8 142/14 143/16 148/16 39/10 41/3 46/19 52/5 63/22 64/18 67/7 indeed [24] 2/8 3/13 I should [5] 15/6 149/19 152/10 152/18 52/7 53/10 56/11 110/15 8/24 27/15 29/6 29/7 60/17 61/7 66/13 154/23 155/7 56/15 56/24 57/13 **important [29]** 2/6 32/19 36/1 42/19 146/18 58/19 58/25 60/13 5/22 9/4 25/14 25/15 53/14 56/18 60/13 I wouldn't [9] 18/2 I sincerely [1] 4/7 61/17 66/5 66/10 73/9 69/5 71/12 71/16 72/1 37/18 38/22 45/18 74/8 79/11 102/13 I spent [1] 78/7 73/15 84/21 88/10 72/6 72/11 72/24 73/4 58/18 59/7 60/3 61/1 102/19 111/20 121/11 I start [1] 3/3 104/24 73/20 78/6 79/16 64/21 65/16 67/20 121/16 138/8 139/5 I started [2] 6/21 I'd [11] 8/13 9/15 79/21 81/11 83/17 67/25 84/22 90/10 139/14 154/19 159/8 19/9 84/10 92/19 92/20 107/4 107/6 109/18 33/16 76/2 79/19 independent [2] **I stress [1]** 77/15 95/14 95/20 99/19 93/3 93/24 96/18 97/5 111/8 125/8 134/4 14/23 108/25 I suggest [1] 89/14 128/1 143/1 153/9 100/3 102/13 102/14 134/11 138/9 138/18 Independent SAGE I suggested [1] 84/2 **I'II [1]** 39/10 103/10 107/7 108/9 148/8 157/6 **[1]** 14/23 I suppose [3] 43/11 l'm [57] 2/6 3/24 6/2 111/13 112/2 112/6 imposition [1] 96/6 **INDEX [1]** 159/23 45/2 99/7 15/14 23/8 34/25 112/7 112/15 112/20 impossible [2] 6/9 **indicated [3]** 35/6 I suspect [3] 36/22 113/1 113/2 113/5 38/15 41/11 41/25 20/13 104/21 118/6 74/11 100/5 42/12 42/16 43/11 113/8 116/14 116/22 impression [4] 91/13 indication [1] 114/22 I think [166] 44/23 44/24 47/22 119/10 122/6 126/7 109/22 110/9 110/13 indirect [1] 99/4 I thought [5] 13/16 48/5 48/6 48/16 52/5 126/19 129/10 132/16 improve [1] 58/15 individual [6] 9/22 14/21 15/25 120/24 53/12 54/6 57/18 133/18 134/5 134/8 improved [1] 105/22 45/7 103/8 107/10 130/8 64/17 66/10 67/21 135/21 137/15 140/13 improvement [1] 109/3 153/20 I to [1] 35/21 69/23 70/4 72/11 141/6 142/19 144/4 150/10 individually [1] I took [1] 38/1 72/17 73/10 73/11 145/9 145/22 146/3 improvements [2] 130/24 I understand [7] 84/1 88/3 99/17 99/17 146/11 146/25 154/10 66/1 80/12 individuals [3] 27/25 59/17 59/23 61/13 155/10 157/22 157/24 improving [1] 79/8 102/4 102/4 103/21 55/20 56/13 69/1 82/15 141/18 105/2 106/8 107/7 158/2 159/6 inadequacy [1] 39/9 industry [1] 18/4 143/10 109/20 109/21 110/9 ignored [1] 110/17 inadequate [3] 21/25 inequalities [14] 9/18 I use [1] 102/9 113/12 113/19 114/10 ilk [1] 5/2 39/6 39/24 69/25 70/10 70/13 I want [12] 31/3 70/22 71/10 72/19 117/2 117/25 123/1 **ill [1]** 9/19 incident [2] 25/23 78/21 78/23 79/13 123/8 124/4 130/10 illustrate [1] 99/9 41/4 73/23 74/1 74/2 74/6

23/8 24/6 25/15 29/8 input [1] 13/14 intelligence [1] introduced [1] 79/24 INQ000087205 [1] 140/19 introduction [1] 31/19 32/2 33/9 43/17 inequalities... [3] intended [2] 71/8 95/17 30/17 43/18 50/11 56/18 96/17 109/8 109/13 INQ000102917 [2] 148/2 introductions [1] 57/5 59/12 60/5 63/21 inevitability [1] 35/22 115/5 115/11 intensive [1] 94/15 76/24 75/1 78/5 83/4 84/22 inevitable [3] 7/15 intention [2] 103/17 INQ000102935 [1] introductory [1] 90/21 92/18 95/19 21/16 89/7 11/11 98/11 108/25 110/13 79/14 155/21 inevitably [2] 12/14 111/3 111/8 113/5 INQ000102936 [1] interaction [3] 107/2 **invariably [1]** 107/16 57/19 107/5 131/25 invested [1] 19/21 113/7 113/11 113/17 33/9 infancy [2] 58/12 115/11 116/21 118/1 INQ000102940 [1] interepidemic [1] investment [2] 20/5 58/13 92/18 26/6 21/4 124/7 124/13 124/17 **infection [2]** 2/16 **invitations** [1] 114/10 125/8 126/19 130/1 INQ000177803 [1] interest [2] 24/10 65/10 139/20 125/25 invited [3] 109/6 132/24 133/9 133/11 infections [2] 6/22 interested [3] 106/20 112/10 112/17 134/25 137/11 144/13 INQ000182613 [1] 18/13 155/10 107/25 124/24 invitee [1] 154/15 146/22 147/4 147/6 **infectious [3]** 40/19 INQ000183410 [1] involve [4] 71/18 148/7 148/8 148/18 interesting [1] 29/8 93/14 117/22 107/14 109/11 136/23 149/4 149/16 150/14 118/2 interfaces [1] 108/19 **influence [2]** 4/13 INQ000185352 [1] intergovernmental involved [25] 3/12 153/2 155/10 159/3 108/21 [11] 55/24 57/23 3/15 3/18 7/5 11/11 159/3 159/7 159/13 74/23 **influenced [2]** 57/19 57/25 58/7 58/21 13/12 18/22 20/2 iteration [1] 37/23 INQ000195843 [1] 57/20 101/16 104/4 104/11 27/11 35/20 57/17 70/2 **iterations [4]** 36/16 influenza [32] 2/22 INQ000198919 [1] 104/14 104/18 105/22 58/23 61/18 73/14 37/11 58/24 123/6 3/1 3/10 3/22 4/3 4/14 111/11 interim [4] 68/11 81/23 82/13 85/20 its [33] 9/22 13/25 4/24 5/2 5/9 5/13 5/17 INQ000198920 [1] 68/15 68/17 137/9 99/10 105/4 108/12 16/8 20/6 25/19 33/2 6/18 9/9 33/10 36/5 108/14 110/11 110/16 37/8 40/14 41/12 42/9 112/3 interlocutor [1] 36/21 37/8 39/16 48/1 48/2 48/8 59/4 134/25 114/2 147/13 INQ000198921 [1] 40/14 40/18 41/17 60/4 60/5 65/24 68/19 112/12 involvement [3] 82/8 interministerial [2] 42/24 44/7 44/20 48/1 71/14 78/18 80/9 84/1 inquiry [54] 1/11 2/24 58/5 58/6 151/22 153/10 48/3 52/18 53/2 79/10 15/7 19/12 26/18 international [3] 2/15 **Iris [13]** 38/18 40/19 92/4 92/13 92/13 88/4 93/13 97/12 29/11 30/6 30/7 30/11 14/2 68/23 41/3 60/22 62/21 98/20 100/11 109/21 influenza A [1] 36/5 30/20 31/13 31/22 internationally [3] 119/14 123/5 123/10 63/17 63/17 64/1 inform [2] 87/6 95/21 69/24 72/3 72/24 11/4 20/12 21/8 64/15 64/17 65/17 124/24 131/13 informal [1] 56/2 interrelation [1] 74/19 74/21 77/23 89/18 91/4 itself [4] 16/22 17/6 **informally [1]** 17/10 82/20 83/2 86/8 88/1 129/13 is [384] 61/3 154/20 information [38] 89/8 90/4 93/10 93/15 interrelations [1] **ISARIC [1]** 2/16 14/18 55/15 60/12 isn't [7] 20/21 20/21 94/20 100/9 104/8 157/14 71/17 72/3 72/4 72/15 104/11 105/16 108/23 interrelationship [1] James [1] 1/13 41/24 115/13 141/20 72/25 73/5 73/11 January [4] 86/10 115/1 116/1 117/1 22/14 151/6 158/3 80/17 81/1 87/5 91/8 104/5 109/6 123/18 117/19 117/25 118/2 interrupt [1] 130/4 isolated [1] 8/21 99/1 99/9 119/6 119/7 January 2020 [2] 118/3 121/18 124/24 interrupting [1] issue [26] 4/6 4/12 119/17 119/20 121/2 86/10 109/6 125/9 126/1 129/10 130/9 10/14 24/18 26/12 132/4 135/21 136/4 139/22 147/11 147/13 intervention [5] 26/15 26/16 26/22 jeopardy [1] 101/2 136/5 136/15 139/12 148/8 151/6 151/18 27/17 95/9 96/12 35/14 35/16 52/25 Jeremy [22] 1/5 1/6 140/17 140/19 141/2 160/3 160/6 160/10 53/11 53/18 54/18 1/9 1/13 1/14 2/21 100/23 150/16 141/15 141/16 142/18 5/19 6/2 6/24 9/2 160/14 interventions [13] 60/1 66/3 66/11 66/17 142/24 144/2 151/6 12/16 16/15 16/18 24/21 25/4 26/1 27/20 Inquiry's [1] 98/22 84/1 85/19 94/21 151/8 152/17 18/9 23/3 26/10 26/15 27/23 28/14 28/20 107/15 135/24 140/14 insignificance [1] informed [3] 55/2 29/6 29/7 29/18 56/24 54/9 95/12 96/5 121/2 147/3 151/20 140/23 141/4 160/2 insofar [1] 54/22 151/21 151/25 153/1 issued [5] 67/10 infrastructure [8] 68/11 68/15 131/20 Jeremy Hunt [1] instance [2] 13/14 interviewed [1] 20/10 21/14 21/17 56/24 28/14 134/13 131/22 21/21 23/5 42/17 into [38] 4/13 12/8 issues [27] 7/25 9/19 jewels [1] 21/7 instead [2] 24/2 68/19 85/17 13/14 13/15 16/11 12/13 13/22 26/12 **Jim [2]** 117/19 25/12 infrastructures [2] 118/23 Institute [1] 1/21 17/9 21/12 23/21 24/4 44/6 59/7 66/8 77/14 7/13 24/13 78/12 78/13 81/5 81/6 **job [2]** 49/6 50/15 **institutes [3]** 2/19 24/25 28/25 33/21 inherent [4] 6/5 6/6 **John [3]** 74/17 74/18 21/5 24/11 36/5 39/11 39/20 83/1 83/3 83/6 83/22 6/16 42/20 40/24 42/7 42/12 86/3 86/6 114/3 160/9 institutions [1] 19/22 **inherently [2]** 41/16 John Swinney [1] instructions [1] 1/7 49/19 49/22 54/9 114/24 116/13 132/3 41/20 134/19 134/23 146/16 74/17 55/16 60/10 68/22 integrate [2] 138/1 initial [1] 65/24 Johnson [1] 57/9 71/10 71/23 72/16 150/25 158/16 initially [1] 64/13 joined [2] 122/20 81/18 99/14 102/9 integrated [3] 23/15 it [313] initiatives [1] 71/8 it's [71] 2/6 4/7 5/22 135/3 23/21 128/9 109/9 122/4 132/20 inject [1] 28/25 joined-up [1] 135/3 136/2 136/10 136/15 5/24 6/1 6/9 12/16 integrates [2] 29/3 innovation [1] 69/2 joining [3] 1/5 1/14 128/16 138/23 152/19 15/16 20/22 22/10

joining... [1] 122/21 joint [6] 58/24 69/23 126/1 136/16 157/3 157/3 jointly [4] 119/10 119/15 145/20 145/25 July [4] 59/11 97/19 111/12 159/22 July 2021 [1] 97/19 June [2] 1/1 89/21 just [56] 3/3 7/3 9/9 10/9 11/17 15/20 16/14 23/9 28/25 29/20 32/16 39/2 39/4 42/3 45/12 50/14 54/18 57/7 68/3 73/6 76/9 78/22 79/22 83/25 94/11 95/14 95/20 99/14 99/19 99/25 102/20 103/11 106/5 108/18 110/1 110/13 113/1 113/2 113/5 113/5 117/24 118/1 122/7 124/5 124/11 126/16 131/9 131/13 135/4 136/22 138/24 141/18 146/7 146/18 148/8 156/17 justice [1] 95/3

KC [2] 117/13 160/11 keep [3] 30/12 79/7 122/4 keeping [1] 37/11 **Keith [3]** 1/3 16/11 30/3 kept [2] 82/23 83/3 key [5] 54/4 103/9 107/22 142/14 142/15 kilometre [1] 4/23 kind [2] 19/2 154/21 kindly [1] 31/25 Kingdom [24] 2/22 6/16 10/16 10/24 12/18 32/10 36/20 37/5 49/17 52/19 55/2 57/25 59/5 59/8 60/23 86/22 87/20 92/12 92/14 100/23 101/20 103/16 105/13 111/1 Kingdom's [1] 3/21 know [47] 6/9 19/17 31/9 31/11 40/5 40/18 45/2 46/6 54/12 55/12 56/24 58/9 59/15 59/16 59/25 66/8 67/2 78/17 83/3 83/21 86/5 95/7 98/21 98/23 101/1 101/1 104/2 104/4 105/6 105/20 107/12 108/6 108/8

108/10 108/11 108/17 110/6 110/19 116/22 117/1 117/3 117/21 123/24 130/10 137/2 137/4 156/18 knowledge [6] 20/3 21/11 25/24 75/2 122/13 130/7 known [7] 7/25 31/20 50/24 78/25 99/2 124/1 127/2 Korea [1] 27/12

laboratories [3] 22/15 22/21 23/5 **laboratory** [2] 21/18 21/21 labour [1] 91/18 lack [5] 42/14 42/15 111/5 141/2 156/2 lacking [1] 13/18 **Lady [33]** 1/4 6/4 26/11 29/16 30/2 30/16 31/9 31/20 37/3 48/20 59/2 61/7 69/9 69/12 73/9 73/19 73/20 73/23 74/16 75/7 76/9 77/24 78/15 83/2 101/6 101/14 117/8 121/8 121/13

Lady's [2] 2/24 26/18 Lamb [1] 73/21 language [1] 11/19 large [9] 9/16 9/18 12/19 16/23 28/16 37/12 50/11 147/15 154/20

159/18

largely [1] 129/7 largest [1] 96/8 last [10] 6/21 7/18 37/22 64/17 68/12 79/11 80/4 81/15 109/22 113/2 late [1] 33/21

later [10] 22/8 24/1 45/25 47/2 54/1 65/18 104/9 133/3 134/10 139/23

latest [1] 63/7 law [1] 109/12 lay [3] 17/13 42/10 141/4

lays [1] 95/5 lead [18] 1/11 30/6 62/2 62/5 117/21 125/11 126/8 127/5 130/1 132/24 136/6 140/10 152/12 153/22 154/12 157/16 160/3 160/6

leaders [6] 106/15

106/24 106/25 107/4 107/21 107/23 leadership [2] 77/14 84/25 leading [2] 20/4 55/25 learn [2] 138/9 157/22 learned [8] 3/13 29/12 31/15 35/8 37/18 80/11 80/15 155/20 learning [7] 11/18

31/12 67/9 68/2 91/5 92/4 95/11 learnt [1] 32/25

least [2] 40/14 113/21 leave [1] 73/9

leaving [1] 146/13 led [11] 7/4 31/10 45/21 66/4 66/6 66/11 83/9 89/3 98/24 143/17 157/16 left [2] 69/17 90/4

legal [1] 102/10 legally [1] 101/24 legislation [10] 110/5 14/17 14/23 17/11 126/21 127/8 128/22 129/8 129/19 132/8 141/17 150/9 158/7

121/15 122/25 158/22 legitimate [2] 47/15 144/13

> lengths [1] 110/1 lent [1] 57/24 less [7] 4/11 5/7 51/20 52/20 53/7 129/10 146/7 lesser [1] 38/17 lessons [13] 3/13 23/19 29/12 31/14 32/24 37/18 38/1

67/18 79/25 80/11 80/15 155/20 157/22 let [2] 18/2 134/5 let's [3] 79/19 137/6

139/19 letters [1] 139/1 Letwin [2] 77/24 78/20

level [60] 26/2 45/6 45/8 45/23 46/5 47/3 47/11 48/10 50/11 50/13 54/24 54/25 54/25 55/3 55/8 61/8 78/1 81/8 85/11 103/9 105/24 106/12 108/4 108/20 108/22 111/5 116/6 125/15 125/21 126/24 127/17 128/24 little [10] 5/21 39/13 131/6 131/8 132/12 133/18 133/19 134/2 135/18 135/20 136/25

141/3 141/7 141/9

143/24 144/1 144/9 149/22 150/22 153/8 155/1 156/15 156/21 156/23 levelling [2] 123/7 124/23 levels [6] 11/13 94/18 118/16 119/1 128/2 134/15 **LGA's [1]** 140/1 liaise [1] 133/2 liaising [1] 132/21 **liaison [1]** 132/18 libraries [1] 128/12 licensed [1] 15/9 lies [2] 43/18 131/18 life [6] 17/1 17/24 19/4 77/11 116/20 120/20 lifetime [3] 97/21 123/10 159/7 light [3] 9/6 70/9

80/11

lights [1] 127/9

like [**35**] 5/11 8/13 17/25 22/13 23/5 23/13 24/11 25/22 25/22 26/9 29/21 47/18 76/2 79/19 95/14 95/20 99/20 109/23 110/16 117/18 126/7 128/1 128/14 130/2 132/16 136/12 146/3 149/10 149/23 152/25 153/9 155/7

likely [7] 25/10 34/9 42/25 44/8 94/7 153/4 158/2 limit [3] 9/20 25/20

32/24 limitations [1] 34/5 **limited [5]** 51/15

51/16 51/17 100/13 140/21 line [4] 80/18 96/1 97/23 150/3 lines [1] 128/21

link [2] 29/18 68/22 linked [1] 14/16 list [3] 13/10 83/18 111/25

listed [2] 59/12 82/4 listened [1] 25/3 **literally [3]** 42/13 132/20 138/20 **literature [1]** 17/13 40/10 44/25 54/7 66/20 95/16 107/13

133/9 145/19 live [4] 46/3 86/6

120/1 120/16 145/11 145/12 147/17 livelihoods [1] 101/1 lives [3] 2/8 3/4 3/8 153/10 154/11 154/23 **living [5]** 3/11 7/7 7/9 27/9 81/24 148/5 148/18 22/21 34/17 38/20 80/23 84/25 85/11 85/13 85/14 106/14 108/22 109/7 115/20 119/4 119/11 119/12 124/25 124/25 125/2 125/4 125/5 125/8

Lloyd [4] 139/21 140/25 147/15 148/12 **Lloyd's [3]** 142/16 load [2] 41/22 42/25 local [131] 20/1 108/16 108/19 108/20 122/16 123/13 123/14 123/17 123/19 124/21 125/9 125/16 126/2 126/10 126/18 127/3 127/9 127/12 127/18 127/24 128/1 128/3 129/1 129/13 129/14 129/15 129/16 129/17 129/19 129/24 130/12 130/16 130/22 131/4 131/8 131/8 131/16 131/22 131/24 132/2 132/5 132/7 132/7 132/8 132/12 132/21 133/17 133/20 133/24 134/7 134/8 134/21 134/24 135/3 135/22 136/4 136/7 136/13 136/15 136/25 137/1 137/2 137/4 137/17 138/2 139/9 139/10 139/21 140/8 140/10 140/17 140/22 140/23 141/3 141/7 141/24 142/5 142/7 143/2 143/10 143/11 143/17

143/24 144/1 144/9 144/10 144/15 145/13 147/11 147/17 147/22 147/25 148/10 148/23 149/1 150/22 151/1

151/1 151/2 151/14 151/24 152/1 153/8 154/23 154/24 155/3 157/9 158/4 158/5 158/6

locally [7] 127/7 127/14 135/6 136/16 149/14 152/21 152/22

locally-based [1] 149/14

Located [1] 145/7 locating [1] 80/22 **locations [1]** 146/25 lockdown [5] 26/2

(55) joining... - lockdown

L	158/9	March [8] 26/4 63/1	maybe [4] 43/11	93/25
lockdown [4] 96/6	M	67/12 75/11 75/24	144/13 146/5 146/8	meningitis [1] 21/2
98/24 99/8 99/12	machinery [1] 11/24	90/5 98/24 100/24 March 2020 [2] 98/24	mayoral [1] 129/5	mention [3] 14/3 18/23 155/7
logistics [1] 114/24	made [19] 15/10	100/24	117/19 118/23 121/3	mentioned [5] 13/13
London [3] 1/17 49/5 114/6	19/18 20/20 31/8	March 2023 [1] 75/24		18/20 23/19 62/9
long [4] 3/7 6/2 10/22	34/21 45/1 53/22 56/7 58/17 63/15 68/3	Mark [7] 116/2 117/6	16/3 18/2 30/16 33/18	
155/12	68/16 81/25 87/18	139/21 140/25 148/5 148/12 148/18	33/23 34/2 34/23 35/2 42/13 43/18 44/14	41/4 63/2 64/5
long Covid [1] 3/7	100/23 135/8 147/1	Mark Lloyd [3]	46/19 47/4 47/23	MERS CoV [1] 64/5
longer [4] 68/25 113/19 113/20 145/8	149/23 157/14	139/21 140/25 148/12		messages [2] 132/22
longstanding [1]	magic [1] 27/18 main [2] 46/15	Mark Lloyd's [2]	57/7 57/18 67/4 69/4	136/17
26/5	151/18	148/5 148/18 Marmot [1] 70/1	69/5 69/9 71/2 71/24 73/9 76/9 83/3 84/5	met [2] 79/6 81/16 methodology [1]
look [35] 7/2 7/3 8/18 9/23 19/22 19/24	maintain [8] 12/12	masks [15] 24/23	93/25 102/5 113/9	96/2
19/25 20/1 24/8 41/3	19/5 20/11 20/20 21/9	26/13 26/16 26/16	113/20 114/20 130/9	methods [1] 46/15
50/20 63/13 78/7	23/17 23/18 23/20	26/20 26/22 27/1 27/4		metropolitan [1]
78/10 79/19 83/13	maintained [4] 12/6 20/16 23/9 23/12	27/5 27/7 27/16 27/21 27/23 28/12 28/16	157/16 mean [17] 6/21 15/3	128/8 Mexico [2] 4/21 4/22
84/22 84/23 87/16	Majesty's [1] 122/22	mass [7] 21/19 43/2	19/14 40/2 40/4 41/9	MHCLG [1] 123/19
92/19 92/21 95/14 95/20 95/24 96/18	major [8] 5/5 7/4 7/20	43/3 46/16 46/17	45/4 69/21 76/23	Michael [7] 70/1
111/8 111/10 112/2	8/3 8/23 107/1 125/17	47/14 65/8	91/23 106/8 130/21	104/8 104/19 112/6
112/15 122/1 137/6	134/25 make [38] 16/20	material [12] 43/6	134/10 134/13 143/2 150/8 157/4	114/15 156/19 157/7
137/12 139/24 149/22	19/17 22/23 37/21	88/24 91/9 98/18 99/10 113/11 119/17	meaningful [1] 141/7	Michael Adamson [2] 156/19 157/7
155/11	38/8 42/5 62/7 68/8	139/15 142/10 143/8	means [4] 16/15 22/7	
looked [7] 40/20 43/19 81/11 89/20	72/20 76/21 76/25	151/5 152/5	45/16 109/13	112/6 114/15
99/3 149/9 157/20	77/4 77/20 84/1 85/9 85/16 110/2 114/25	materialise [1] 156/9		Michael Gove [2]
looking [13] 38/17	118/9 118/20 118/24	materials [1] 138/4 maternity [1] 72/9	49/18 50/8 77/16 102/4 139/3 139/4	104/8 104/19 Michael Marmot [1]
39/21 41/3 42/7 46/23	119/5 120/1 121/5	matter [4] 26/17	measure [2] 93/1	70/1
46/24 52/10 54/10 71/18 78/15 81/7	131/23 132/22 132/22	52/16 104/13 115/3	148/9	microphone [1]
131/13 154/16	135/20 135/25 148/12	mattered [1] 44/2	measures [3] 12/22	122/4
looks [1] 112/20	149/6 149/25 150/7 150/16 154/7 154/8	matters [10] 21/17	45/7 96/14	middle [1] 36/2
loosely [1] 102/10	154/11 158/2	44/2 49/20 76/19 79/7 82/23 89/23 104/16	medical [3] 19/6 20/14 54/25	might [19] 16/6 25/1 41/21 42/23 83/11
lose [1] 24/15	make-up [1] 16/20	105/25 135/15	medicine [3] 1/16	85/15 93/24 94/9
losing [1] 25/24 loss [1] 8/10	makers [2] 27/15	maximum [2] 45/17	3/16 17/12	94/12 98/9 98/13
lost [2] 3/4 22/8	138/3	45/20	medicines [1] 54/13	100/5 102/14 104/19
lot [13] 5/10 52/10	makes [1] 19/10 making [11] 43/11	may [56] 1/14 1/25 4/13 4/22 4/22 6/7	meet [9] 19/4 19/7 44/7 44/17 58/5 74/11	108/1 113/1 119/13 128/24 131/8
91/15 98/24 105/6	54/6 68/12 80/10	6/10 6/11 9/13 12/23	82/18 82/19 83/17	Mike [1] 7/8
105/15 110/1 134/10 136/11 138/14 149/3	80/17 119/21 136/24	26/8 26/21 30/13	meeting [23] 14/9	mild [2] 4/19 32/18
154/21 156/17	136/25 138/11 142/19	30/18 30/25 32/24	59/21 79/11 79/13	milder [3] 32/19
lots [3] 55/15 67/22	142/23 Malaysia [1] 6/23	33/8 33/14 33/20	81/10 82/22 83/18	32/25 38/6
71/18	managed [1] 85/5	33/25 39/3 39/10 39/22 42/2 44/2 49/22	83/21 95/18 111/10 111/12 112/2 112/4	mildly [1] 53/10 mind [5] 3/23 14/9
lowest [2] 135/18	management [5]	50/9 59/12 59/17	112/13 113/6 113/15	42/13 45/3 46/5
135/19 LRF [19] 132/2 136/1	25/8 25/23 70/7 83/7	60/18 66/20 73/20	113/23 113/24 114/4	minds [2] 82/24 83/2
136/24 137/23 138/17	131/2	74/20 75/1 75/5 75/14		mindsets [2] 56/16
138/20 138/25 139/5	managing [3] 9/11 44/19 150/18	75/21 75/21 75/22 75/22 75/23 77/23	156/18 meetings [9] 56/5	57/14 Minh [1] 1/22
141/12 141/15 142/3	Manchester [1]	78/18 83/12 98/21	59/14 82/9 85/21	minister [25] 11/16
142/9 142/13 153/18 154/16 154/17 154/19	24/12	102/6 112/4 117/10	85/23 111/4 111/8	30/21 32/6 32/8 33/13
154/16 154/17 154/19	manuatory [1] 12/23	126/4 130/1 130/10	112/21 112/24	58/11 58/14 58/22
LRFs [23] 127/18	many [29] 4/3 4/7 4/25 7/6 12/1 12/2	130/23 133/3 134/9	Melbourne [1] 1/18	58/23 59/3 61/4 67/11
127/20 131/14 134/14	14/2 19/11 20/25	143/17 144/11 May 2007 [1] 75/21	member [3] 10/17 75/15 113/19	67/13 68/16 75/10 76/4 76/20 77/9 78/1
134/18 135/5 137/21	30/25 43/12 50/25	May 2016 [2] 75/21	members [7] 17/25	78/8 83/20 104/10
139/3 141/14 144/6 145/25 146/10 146/14	51/4 52/6 54/20 58/14	75/22	61/1 76/12 83/19	112/5 114/15 123/12
151/12 153/20 154/3	01/01/1/102/403/4	May 2021 [2] 75/22	109/2 109/3 115/9	ministerial [12] 56/4
154/4 154/6 154/13	83/4 90/15 94/12 95/7 97/14 100/22 101/1	75/23	memorandum [1] 103/14	58/24 76/3 76/7 77/12 78/7 79/2 82/7 82/10
155/2 157/10 157/19	121/7 125/10	May 2022 [1] 59/12 May 2023 [1] 1/25	memory [2] 50/22	85/25 114/12 124/20
		, [.] .,		
			(56	i) lockdown - ministerial

132/17 132/19 133/10 М more [74] 7/9 7/10 Mr Swinney [19] 117/7 121/10 121/11 7/23 7/24 8/8 8/15 74/20 74/25 75/9 84/7 127/23 133/7 136/3 145/3 ministers [15] 55/18 8/16 8/16 8/24 8/24 86/21 88/3 88/19 92/1 143/11 147/9 158/13 named [1] 141/16 57/3 59/10 60/14 83/7 9/12 10/6 14/25 15/10 92/20 93/11 99/17 158/19 159/8 names [4] 13/9 79/17 85/20 96/24 101/21 17/3 18/10 24/3 24/17 101/8 101/15 109/4 multiple [6] 9/8 27/20 123/1 123/10 101/23 102/12 102/15 25/13 25/22 25/22 109/16 115/14 117/8 89/7 91/16 93/16 NAO [1] 97/21 114/11 137/19 137/20 31/23 34/7 34/12 117/18 121/11 narrated [1] 71/2 155/21 139/8 38/22 39/13 39/22 Ms [52] 29/20 29/22 multiplicity [1] 94/2 narrow [1] 84/18 ministers' [2] 82/24 42/8 42/20 42/20 44/2 30/5 30/10 31/18 multitude [1] 2/11 nation [3] 52/22 83/2 45/20 46/21 46/24 31/25 34/16 37/3 39/1 52/23 87/22 must [17] 9/5 11/6 ministry [4] 10/10 47/15 55/11 55/21 42/19 43/24 46/7 12/6 12/6 20/11 20/15 national [57] 21/5 11/15 12/3 123/18 56/11 56/19 58/13 47/22 48/17 48/25 21/10 23/11 23/15 31/4 34/17 54/13 minority [1] 72/19 63/20 65/4 68/24 53/12 56/23 63/4 23/17 23/25 24/15 57/25 59/4 66/23 minute [4] 95/18 71/16 72/14 72/25 63/21 66/17 67/10 25/17 29/12 29/12 67/13 80/2 80/10 113/2 113/2 114/6 73/15 78/14 80/25 69/8 69/16 70/16 72/5 97/24 102/23 80/16 80/19 81/5 minutes [11] 16/8 85/11 92/9 92/11 73/2 73/5 73/21 74/8 mutual [2] 12/5 80/22 92/14 96/1 115/4 59/25 60/17 89/20 my [99] 1/4 2/7 2/24 95/16 96/13 98/15 74/15 100/5 101/13 115/19 116/4 116/5 89/22 99/19 111/9 99/20 132/25 133/6 101/17 111/6 111/15 5/3 6/4 8/6 11/14 116/9 125/13 125/15 111/10 111/16 111/19 136/25 137/1 142/11 114/21 117/12 117/13 13/15 13/18 14/3 125/21 126/24 127/17 112/20 142/11 142/24 144/13 117/16 121/10 121/17 15/23 17/20 21/8 24/2 131/21 132/22 133/20 mirror [6] 15/5 16/12 145/17 145/19 146/18 121/23 122/8 123/4 135/3 135/25 136/23 26/11 26/13 26/18 16/15 16/21 17/23 154/9 155/22 156/7 138/6 141/6 156/10 29/16 30/2 30/16 137/7 138/1 138/2 22/10 156/10 157/4 158/2 158/23 159/9 160/5 30/23 31/3 31/9 31/20 140/19 141/4 141/9 mirrored [2] 13/21 158/16 160/11 160/13 34/23 35/10 37/3 141/10 141/11 141/19 14/3 morning [12] 1/4 1/5 Ms Blackwell [4] 37/17 38/22 39/13 142/20 143/5 143/14 misaligned [1] 138/3 42/13 44/5 45/3 46/5 143/22 144/1 144/4 1/14 29/23 89/24 90/4 74/15 100/5 101/13 **misplaced** [1] 138/3 144/7 148/14 152/3 101/8 101/18 105/17 114/21 46/7 46/7 47/10 48/20 missed [3] 28/18 50/22 55/18 57/7 59/2 153/8 153/23 154/10 110/23 118/20 159/15 Ms Calderwood [1] 59/13 69/5 morning's [1] 104/7 29/22 59/23 61/7 61/18 66/6 155/1 156/15 156/21 mistake [1] 8/6 67/17 69/9 69/12 73/2 156/23 157/19 most [13] 25/10 Ms Frances [7] Mitchell [4] 117/12 25/15 34/9 37/18 121/23 122/8 123/4 73/9 73/19 73/20 nationally [3] 93/18 117/13 121/10 160/11 63/19 94/7 98/23 138/6 141/6 156/10 73/23 74/16 75/7 76/9 125/7 136/16 mitigate [1] 95/1 120/5 120/19 150/16 159/9 76/10 76/10 76/12 nations [15] 37/4 mitigated [1] 53/5 153/11 154/15 156/12 Ms Frances's [1] 76/16 76/20 77/4 37/14 37/15 56/17 mixture [1] 158/11 mostly [1] 4/24 158/23 77/24 78/7 78/15 60/4 60/9 67/22 86/13 **mobile [1]** 116/19 **motivating [1]** 77/18 81/25 82/25 83/2 84/9 86/20 87/13 103/17 Ms Lamb [1] 73/21 model [7] 27/19 28/2 Ms Mitchell [2] 85/6 89/6 93/24 100/9 103/24 104/23 106/2 mount [1] 20/17 28/10 29/2 150/21 move [5] 8/13 16/13 117/12 121/10 100/25 101/6 101/14 112/25 152/20 155/23 105/3 107/7 112/23 106/12 123/3 147/10 Ms Sturgeon [29] natural [2] 145/22 modelling [3] 34/6 moved [2] 143/16 29/20 30/10 31/18 117/8 117/8 119/3 146/5 35/5 37/25 157/23 31/25 34/16 37/3 39/1 119/5 120/23 121/4 **naturally [1]** 149/9 models [2] 44/13 movement [2] 8/2 42/19 43/24 46/7 121/8 121/8 121/13 **nature [7]** 4/19 39/8 96/3 47/22 48/17 48/25 121/15 122/25 135/7 41/16 42/16 101/4 52/13 module [7] 26/18 53/12 56/23 63/4 142/2 142/10 148/22 102/11 113/17 **moving [2]** 72/16 31/22 31/23 47/22 63/21 66/17 67/10 151/16 158/20 158/22 natured [1] 103/23 105/23 69/25 106/19 137/12 159/18 69/8 69/16 70/16 72/5 **MP [1]** 75/13 neat [1] 107/13 Module 1 [2] 69/25 **Mr [32]** 1/3 16/11 73/2 73/5 74/8 101/17 my Lady [32] 1/4 6/4 necessarily [4] 31/20 137/12 30/3 69/14 69/15 73/3 111/15 117/16 26/11 29/16 30/2 37/20 37/24 47/7 Module 2 [1] 26/18 necessary [20] 9/3 73/20 74/18 74/20 Ms Sturgeon's [1] 30/16 31/9 31/20 37/3 Module 2A [2] 31/22 48/20 59/2 61/7 69/9 9/13 20/14 24/7 24/15 74/25 75/9 84/7 86/21 111/6 47/22 88/3 88/19 92/1 92/20 much [59] 3/2 9/5 69/12 73/9 73/20 43/2 44/6 48/5 48/11 modules [3] 40/6 93/11 99/17 101/8 9/12 22/13 22/24 73/23 74/16 75/7 76/9 49/11 49/12 50/12 42/12 98/22 101/15 109/4 109/16 77/24 78/15 83/2 52/3 78/3 89/11 24/25 26/10 26/17 moment [4] 36/21 115/14 117/8 117/18 29/6 29/7 29/25 31/17 101/6 101/14 117/8 107/10 121/1 135/19 69/9 101/6 122/1 121/11 142/16 147/15 34/14 39/7 42/14 43/1 121/8 121/13 121/15 149/13 153/2 moments [1] 16/18 122/25 158/22 159/18 necessity [6] 50/19 155/9 160/7 160/9 43/7 43/10 43/22 **Monday [5]** 21/9 49/25 51/19 56/3 Mr Adamson [1] my Lady's [2] 2/24 81/20 84/21 105/20 23/22 159/15 159/16 155/9 58/12 63/6 69/8 73/3 26/18 114/19 117/4 159/22 73/18 74/8 75/4 76/5 need [37] 7/19 8/16 Mr Anwar [3] 69/14 myself [4] 16/19 money [1] 52/25 76/13 81/7 81/11 82/2 45/10 72/11 76/18 9/13 10/4 10/6 12/20 73/3 73/20 month [1] 23/23 15/9 16/7 26/1 28/10 Mr Keith [2] 1/3 82/23 83/1 83/6 93/19 months [4] 19/18 Ν 16/11 98/4 98/12 99/1 99/10 28/11 28/11 28/12 21/24 67/5 68/24 name [9] 1/12 30/8 101/5 101/7 102/2 28/12 34/24 44/17 Mr Lloyd [1] 147/15 Mordaunt [1] 111/13 Mr Lloyd's [1] 142/16 121/21 124/6 124/12 103/13 115/2 117/2 50/23 54/23 55/1 81/2

Ν need... [17] 83/12 83/17 113/22 114/11 116/2 117/3 117/16 122/6 122/11 123/4 132/3 135/5 138/23 144/8 151/5 152/23 153/12 needed [5] 82/1 132/24 134/20 146/16 147/1 needn't [1] 32/1 **needs [5]** 99/16 104/22 127/14 141/8 146/11 **NEPNEI [1]** 18/15 **nervous** [1] 6/1 **NERVTAG [2]** 87/4 87/5 network [3] 12/4 12/10 109/2 networks [2] 10/15 68/21 never [13] 3/23 5/17 13/23 18/22 23/24 29/12 45/11 45/22 49/7 49/9 56/8 88/9 98/7 nevertheless [1] 25/16 new [4] 21/20 37/5 58/12 58/25 newly [2] 118/13 118/25 next [12] 21/23 29/16 42/22 74/11 74/16 83/24 100/14 113/8 140/13 146/6 159/12 159/16 next time [1] 74/11 next week [1] 159/12 NHS [6] 22/15 64/7 65/6 65/21 74/1 118/8 NICOLA [6] 30/5 30/9 79/16 89/25 105/17 160/5 Nicola Sturgeon [4] 30/9 79/16 89/25 105/17 night [1] 83/3 nine [2] 76/4 99/22 nine years [1] 99/22 nine-year [1] 76/4 Nipah [1] 6/23 Nipah virus [1] 6/23 **no [69]** 13/18 16/13 18/2 18/2 18/2 18/18 22/3 25/5 32/22 35/23 40/2 40/5 40/13 40/16 40/17 40/22 40/23 41/10 41/11 41/11

41/18 41/19 49/13

49/15 50/6 50/21 51/2

51/3 51/8 51/8 51/14 82/21 88/2 92/9 52/1 52/4 52/9 52/20 53/4 53/7 54/8 54/13 63/22 67/16 69/21 70/25 70/25 87/14 88/11 88/18 89/9 90/21 91/1 91/20 99/25 102/10 103/13 105/16 111/17 111/17 **NPI [1]** 97/4 113/1 113/17 113/19 113/22 113/22 114/23 120/25 132/6 145/8 146/13 156/23 158/22 no-deal [1] 51/3 no-deal Brexit [3] 51/2 91/20 105/16 nominate [2] 130/25 141/14 nomination [1] 141/25 non [14] 6/18 12/25 28/20 40/14 40/18 41/17 44/7 48/1 48/3 96/5 108/25 151/21 151/24 152/25 non-biomedical [1] 12/25 non-influenza [6] 40/14 40/18 41/17 44/7 48/1 48/3 non-party [1] 108/25 non-pharmaceutical **[5]** 28/20 96/5 151/21 151/24 152/25 none [3] 26/3 28/4 119/15 normally [2] 133/6 159/14 North [3] 75/14 75/16 75/17 North Tayside [1] 75/16 northeast [2] 116/15 145/24 not [176] **noted [3]** 140/14 156/25 157/13 notes [2] 79/13 157/7 nothing [2] 40/11 93/14 notice [3] 29/25 122/2 139/11 Noting [1] 96/11 notion [2] 40/10 47/1 November [1] 75/10 now [52] 5/15 9/6 18/9 18/15 20/7 24/17 24/22 35/19 37/14 39/7 43/12 49/23 54/18 58/3 60/2 60/14 60/22 63/8 67/1 67/5 68/6 71/24 73/17 115/7 123/11 126/2 76/23 78/11 78/21

79/1 79/13 79/21

100/21 106/12 107/7 110/22 112/2 113/4 115/12 116/21 117/11 117/17 118/6 122/25 124/1 124/15 126/14 126/16 126/19 128/1 137/11 147/10 NPIs [3] 96/5 97/7 98/1 **NSC [1]** 82/18 **NSRA [4]** 96/22 142/2 142/6 142/8 number [22] 2/18 4/16 7/3 11/12 25/7 50/11 51/22 63/11 78/8 80/7 81/13 82/8 82/12 85/23 86/6 100/7 118/2 118/6 139/1 140/1 140/6 140/11 number one [1] 25/7 numbers [3] 114/9 118/4 118/11 **numerous** [1] 96/8 o'clock [1] 159/16 **objective** [1] 92/13 **obligation** [1] 109/10 obliged [1] 117/14 **OBR's [1]** 97/18 obviously [21] 5/21 17/13 31/4 37/16 41/25 42/16 43/12 51/4 52/14 63/7 64/5 77/18 82/10 88/6 88/22 89/5 94/2 105/12 105/15 109/21 159/13 occasion [5] 6/1 15/8 31/16 31/19 81/15 occasions [4] 51/4 59/19 100/22 154/14 occur [1] 7/16 October [2] 137/11 138/12 October 2020 [1] 138/12 off [10] 19/9 19/24 20/24 21/4 24/9 57/18 64/9 65/3 85/6 136/6 offer [5] 29/11 30/23 71/16 99/8 155/22 offered [1] 110/14 offering [2] 30/10 73/12 office [20] 14/4 14/11 16/3 58/11 78/23 84/14 88/7 90/5 102/22 112/5 114/16

126/25 145/1 145/2

officer [4] 54/25 85/2 132/18 133/2 officers [1] 127/21 Officers' [1] 80/21 offices [4] 73/12 144/24 145/7 146/20 official [1] 103/9 officially [1] 13/10 officials [1] 50/14 often [18] 13/9 22/18 28/7 43/14 48/17 55/19 58/21 85/20 89/8 107/9 119/12 127/5 132/25 133/3 139/9 154/19 154/23 156/25 Okay [2] 113/16 131/9 **old [1]** 145/21 Oliver [4] 59/2 77/24 78/20 112/14 Oliver Dowden [2] 59/2 112/14 on [204] once [4] 26/20 31/24 48/8 123/2 one [72] 5/1 5/14 6/12 8/8 11/3 13/19 20/7 23/25 25/7 28/3 36/25 39/25 41/4 45/25 46/9 46/22 49/3 49/10 49/14 49/20 50/7 53/5 54/4 59/19 59/25 60/10 62/2 62/16 62/21 63/18 64/18 65/5 65/12 66/12 68/17 69/4 69/6 69/9 71/7 72/17 76/24 77/7 78/5 81/25 83/16 88/16 91/20 94/3 99/20 100/14 106/17 107/7 107/8 107/13 113/4 117/14 117/16 118/7 118/15 130/1 130/11 137/17 139/8 139/8 146/15 146/18 147/23 148/16 151/4 152/19 157/20 159/1 one day [1] 159/1 ones [1] 11/3 ongoing [3] 63/6 63/11 92/25 online [1] 1/5 only [19] 19/13 23/18 23/20 24/22 37/8 38/23 43/11 45/10 51/24 58/19 66/11 67/16 78/12 81/4 89/16 98/4 100/13 117/16 159/6 onset [2] 32/13 104/2 opened [1] 35/11 opening [1] 118/15

148/14 151/11 158/8

operate [2] 105/10 125/5 operated [1] 123/9 operates [2] 67/23 107/9 operating [5] 17/20 86/19 105/2 106/18 108/16 operation [6] 86/22 87/11 101/22 105/1 107/19 114/19 operational [2] 137/9 138/18 operationalise [1] 38/13 operations [1] 60/9 **opinion [2]** 67/16 142/16 opportunity [7] 28/18 30/23 31/15 60/4 77/13 80/16 109/4 opposed [5] 2/4 9/9 9/11 44/21 46/3 option [1] 80/21 options [1] 80/12 or [109] 1/6 2/8 2/14 7/3 11/7 11/7 13/1 13/11 13/11 14/10 15/4 15/4 15/5 15/8 15/10 16/1 16/19 18/14 18/15 19/10 21/12 23/25 23/25 24/17 26/3 26/12 29/1 33/4 34/18 37/6 37/24 37/24 41/22 41/22 41/22 42/1 42/6 42/8 44/13 44/18 45/19 47/2 47/9 47/15 47/19 48/4 49/2 50/17 56/4 56/7 56/17 58/6 58/9 59/25 61/22 63/20 70/12 70/15 70/20 78/22 82/18 83/5 85/12 85/20 93/17 94/17 94/18 95/11 98/9 100/1 105/14 105/24 107/12 109/7 109/22 110/5 111/3 111/5 111/6 111/20 111/20 114/13 119/1 120/21 121/2 129/5 129/16 133/10 133/11 134/14 136/25 137/19 138/4 138/5 139/5 140/21 141/2 142/8 144/1 144/14 145/24 146/22 147/17 147/21 152/10 152/20 153/8 157/10 157/22 order [12] 3/8 25/20 26/8 52/3 72/19 79/7 82/23 95/15 95/21 116/6 147/7 147/18 ordinating [1] 80/2 (58) need... - ordinating

60/9 71/10 71/22 0 oversees [1] 124/25 paragraph 146 [1] parties [1] 58/19 76/12 77/19 87/6 oversight [5] 124/21 118/3 partly [1] 63/17 ordination [2] 135/19 92/24 92/24 92/25 124/24 125/2 125/13 paragraph 149 [1] partner [2] 109/2 137/25 93/1 93/1 97/24 98/20 133/15 70/3 142/8 organisation [13] 2/1 99/2 102/22 114/9 oversights [1] 79/2 partners [18] 77/19 paragraph 16 [1] 2/5 2/8 2/14 108/24 119/4 119/7 124/8 oversimplifying [1] 115/21 119/4 131/24 95/25 109/1 109/17 109/23 125/7 126/6 126/13 134/18 134/23 137/2 52/6 paragraph 199 [1] 110/11 110/15 110/16 126/17 137/12 144/19 139/9 139/10 140/8 overstate [1] 57/5 139/25 124/8 147/7 146/17 146/24 151/15 140/17 143/10 143/11 overstatement [1] paragraph 287 [1] organisational [2] 154/13 154/16 155/2 153/17 153/19 153/19 73/11 148/18 65/7 71/7 155/17 158/15 155/4 157/10 overview [1] 60/8 paragraph 43 [1] organisations [27] own [18] 15/23 17/22 ourselves [3] 38/25 155/11 partnership [12] 60/7 2/12 68/23 80/24 82/2 47/16 79/21 18/1 26/13 28/4 29/4 81/24 82/7 82/9 83/25 paragraph 44 [1] 87/3 91/5 106/22 out [42] 3/3 4/5 24/20 33/2 40/14 47/10 84/4 84/6 84/9 84/24 156/19 107/2 107/3 107/15 36/7 38/6 39/1 54/5 59/23 60/9 87/6 paragraph 9 [1] 86/9 85/12 85/23 109/16 107/17 108/16 109/3 paragraphs [7] 34/23 54/21 56/24 61/20 118/19 119/5 126/17 partnerships [4] 110/7 111/24 112/10 62/17 64/14 64/19 131/23 135/6 149/7 34/24 79/20 81/18 38/21 38/22 85/13 112/17 115/7 115/21 65/1 67/8 71/13 71/21 owned [2] 119/10 82/5 97/5 141/1 108/17 120/15 121/7 149/17 72/13 77/12 81/24 paragraphs 11 [2] parts [10] 3/15 17/23 119/15 150/5 154/5 154/12 84/21 99/2 99/7 107/7 79/20 82/5 39/11 51/23 92/12 **ownership** [2] 20/3 155/16 157/25 110/13 126/4 126/10 124/22 paragraphs 18 [1] 105/13 128/15 129/4 organised [3] 17/19 126/19 128/22 129/7 Oxford [5] 1/18 1/19 97/5 145/20 146/4 125/11 154/24 party [3] 57/1 101/25 129/20 130/2 135/7 1/20 19/23 20/24 paragraphs in [1] orientation [1] 72/9 137/13 139/13 144/4 Oxford-AstraZeneca 34/24 108/25 original [1] 146/1 144/8 145/6 147/18 [2] 19/23 20/24 pass [3] 49/7 49/9 **Parliament [2]** 75/15 **orthodoxy [4]** 15/3 149/18 154/3 156/17 100/20 54/11 15/3 15/4 15/4 outbreak [8] 6/8 6/10 part [51] 4/21 6/1 passage [1] 103/1 oseltamivir [1] 5/12 pace [1] 143/17 6/22 23/13 63/2 64/9 14/14 14/21 15/3 15/5 passed [1] 72/25 other [66] 11/12 12/1 page [16] 34/3 34/3 passes [1] 31/2 79/22 110/25 15/18 15/23 16/22 12/2 12/5 13/21 14/5 36/2 36/2 70/2 74/24 17/14 18/18 20/7 outbreaks [3] 18/11 past [1] 56/23 14/12 14/18 17/24 79/19 92/19 95/24 19/1 41/15 22/19 23/15 27/22 patchwork [1] 129/2 18/21 22/18 23/16 96/18 112/8 112/18 outcome [2] 25/13 36/4 40/2 52/15 60/15 pathogenic [5] 6/8 25/5 27/13 28/13 113/8 137/13 139/24 61/1 61/9 61/13 65/13 18/11 19/1 23/13 63/4 28/13 42/5 43/20 155/10 outcomes [2] 115/19 65/16 66/7 72/12 76/5 41/15 44/11 49/20 50/20 page 0064 [1] 70/2 115/23 87/2 94/10 96/6 99/15 pathogens [2] 18/14 50/25 51/20 52/9 page 10 [1] 155/10 outlined [2] 8/4 8/7 107/17 107/18 119/8 42/21 53/20 54/16 54/22 page 11 [1] 36/2 120/10 124/8 130/17 outputs [2] 96/20 Patrick [1] 15/15 62/7 62/18 63/20 page 15 [1] 74/24 136/15 141/19 141/22 Patrick Vallance [1] 96/24 63/25 65/5 65/11 66/8 page 22 [1] 137/13 outset [5] 32/20 144/11 144/14 149/1 15/15 67/22 82/11 82/12 page 3 [1] 92/19 45/14 55/13 63/18 151/18 154/3 154/25 Pause [1] 158/21 82/16 84/19 87/13 page 4 [1] 95/24 76/9 155/8 156/2 158/11 paused [4] 49/14 89/12 91/2 91/19 page 5 [2] 34/3 96/18 158/11 158/17 outside [9] 14/15 62/2 63/12 88/17 91/21 91/22 92/12 14/19 15/20 17/7 page 51 [1] 139/24 participant [1] 59/12 pausing [1] 124/5 98/22 106/20 118/15 page 7 [1] 79/19 Paymaster [1] 17/17 18/19 22/24 participate [3] 58/20 118/23 120/6 120/10 pale [1] 54/9 114/3 114/11 131/11 137/11 111/13 120/19 126/8 127/4 pandemic [176] outstanding [5] participated [1] Paymaster General 128/15 130/1 130/25 pandemics [15] 7/10 61/25 63/20 64/11 134/10 **[1]** 111/13 131/11 146/4 146/8 8/25 22/3 35/21 37/7 participating [1] 65/12 67/1 Peacock [1] 24/9 150/25 152/3 155/16 39/21 41/18 42/8 42/8 over [21] 4/2 18/12 58/19 Penny [1] 111/13 157/10 157/25 43/19 44/8 48/2 48/3 30/25 47/22 51/9 participation [1] Penny Mordaunt [1] other's [1] 130/25 97/24 105/24 55/19 76/4 80/4 81/13 86/12 111/13 others [14] 5/14 7/6 paper [9] 33/1 33/4 people [33] 3/25 4/9 109/2 118/15 123/10 particular [21] 12/16 14/24 17/3 18/6 20/2 124/13 124/17 130/8 33/8 33/12 33/17 4/24 8/19 12/7 13/11 42/18 51/18 53/21 20/25 24/12 63/12 33/19 34/25 38/12 131/7 134/11 134/12 60/20 64/10 71/9 79/1 13/12 16/8 17/16 68/8 72/10 79/17 85/5 79/24 147/12 149/18 157/15 81/20 83/12 87/14 17/16 18/5 19/21 115/20 paragraph [13] 66/20 overall [5] 55/23 88/11 98/15 124/6 21/13 24/5 24/15 otherwise [4] 7/1 57/22 58/15 149/22 70/3 79/23 86/9 95/25 125/12 125/25 127/6 29/24 30/25 45/8 42/6 140/12 152/10 118/3 118/13 137/15 153/23 134/23 151/20 155/24 109/1 109/12 109/14 ought [1] 87/21 139/25 140/13 148/18 156/18 114/2 116/25 117/3 overarching [4] our [49] 5/23 19/3 155/11 156/19 48/10 125/24 126/11 particularly [12] 3/7 127/4 127/25 133/13 19/3 19/7 21/11 23/1 paragraph 11 [1] 133/24 139/2 142/14 127/1 13/12 19/1 21/4 31/23 28/3 30/14 31/5 31/10 79/23 overemphasis [1] 57/14 89/2 104/25 152/21 154/21 157/21 32/16 38/21 45/14 paragraph 145 [1] 116/17 121/3 148/10 43/14 people's [2] 101/1 51/15 52/15 54/15 118/13 oversee [1] 36/10 152/19 116/19

(59) ordination - people's

13/20 57/12 108/2 51/25 52/8 52/10 53/1 105/11 110/24 114/23 82/14 130/21 140/12 P **Perthshire** [1] 75/17 54/14 54/15 54/20 115/1 131/14 131/19 practitioners [2] perceived [1] 4/1 Perthshire North [1] 70/8 70/15 70/18 142/23 146/18 129/3 138/10 percentage [1] 28/4 75/17 70/25 71/10 71/19 points [4] 14/10 pragmatic [2] 86/19 **perception** [1] 141/3 pharmaceutical [5] 71/22 72/14 87/21 45/25 133/4 142/19 86/21 perfect [1] 11/3 28/20 96/5 151/21 94/22 97/2 97/10 police [6] 80/22 85/1 pre [13] 26/22 26/25 perfectly [1] 130/23 151/24 152/25 97/11 98/4 98/7 99/15 129/20 129/25 130/7 27/4 27/5 35/9 36/18 perform [4] 108/8 phase [6] 71/23 100/1 108/4 109/10 36/25 70/9 70/13 130/8 108/13 120/15 141/10 72/16 72/18 72/20 109/15 110/17 117/23 policy [18] 6/16 96/17 97/10 102/22 Performance [3] 124/9 124/22 127/3 24/21 26/1 74/2 79/2 131/15 131/25 119/2 80/19 115/4 116/9 **PhD** [1] 1/19 127/10 127/13 127/22 95/8 95/10 107/6 pre-Covid [5] 26/22 **performed [1]** 145/5 128/14 131/18 132/15 108/9 108/22 109/12 26/25 27/4 27/5 97/10 philanthropy [1] performing [1] 87/22 19/21 133/25 134/20 135/1 110/4 110/5 110/8 pre-dates [1] 102/22 performs [3] 126/16 **physically [1]** 117/25 136/22 140/20 140/23 115/16 125/21 127/2 pre-existing [5] 126/17 145/4 picked [1] 102/5 143/6 146/23 150/4 149/2 36/18 36/25 70/9 perhaps [34] 4/12 pictorial [1] 115/12 151/3 151/20 151/23 **political [8]** 16/4 70/13 96/17 5/10 7/24 15/1 21/20 152/2 152/9 152/16 53/15 57/12 57/15 picture [1] 34/8 pre-pandemic [2] 24/25 25/13 39/10 piece [1] 65/5 153/10 153/13 153/18 57/21 75/13 87/17 35/9 119/2 39/13 42/12 44/24 **pieces [1]** 144/16 154/19 156/12 158/12 108/25 precise [3] 44/11 46/21 55/1 56/4 57/21 pillars [1] 23/12 plans [19] 35/5 38/2 47/4 102/20 politics [1] 57/2 60/6 64/6 66/13 76/9 42/6 43/14 71/15 72/6 Pollard [1] 20/25 pivot [3] 21/3 24/6 precisely [1] 154/9 84/10 84/12 84/18 24/15 88/8 88/8 127/6 131/7 poor [6] 104/25 predictions [1] 44/13 90/18 90/22 91/23 place [19] 7/13 41/9 133/17 133/20 134/8 105/11 105/11 105/18 prefer [1] 88/22 92/11 105/4 111/1 44/22 46/13 56/5 63/8 134/24 135/7 136/2 112/24 114/22 preparation [11] 114/24 116/4 116/14 76/21 79/11 100/1 152/22 153/2 158/8 43/17 53/24 79/6 82/3 population [2] 45/6 133/9 145/10 145/12 103/5 103/6 103/21 86/23 89/4 90/12 play [5] 20/12 21/8 78/17 period [15] 7/2 38/7 95/21 143/12 147/19 110/2 112/4 112/13 31/14 56/21 57/13 portfolio [4] 76/5 41/23 42/25 76/4 117/23 127/13 133/17 played [1] 61/1 77/7 78/2 123/13 158/3 86/10 90/23 105/3 144/19 player [1] 125/17 ports [1] 146/14 preparations [9] 106/19 114/8 114/14 plead [1] 28/24 position [8] 11/7 15/2 49/13 52/4 83/14 placed [1] 118/8 119/25 132/16 137/12 plain [1] 12/16 pleas [1] 110/17 61/12 75/9 77/5 85/10 86/11 88/18 147/13 plainly [2] 15/2 42/20 please [58] 1/6 1/12 118/19 118/21 145/17 88/25 89/9 146/12 periodically [1] 83/13 plan [54] 35/12 35/15 1/15 2/21 6/24 10/14 prepare [5] 7/19 positive [2] 156/7 periods [4] 26/6 51/9 35/23 37/1 37/14 18/10 26/15 30/4 30/7 157/16 49/24 87/23 88/24 94/19 108/9 37/19 38/3 38/8 38/9 30/12 33/8 39/12 possibilities [1] 42/3 94/13 peripherally [2] 3/14 38/13 38/14 38/23 74/24 76/2 79/13 possibility [4] 4/17 prepared [3] 65/23 3/18 69/24 120/21 39/2 39/15 39/16 79/16 79/19 80/14 16/21 51/10 146/12 permanent [2] 11/22 39/18 39/20 39/20 84/6 92/17 95/15 possible [12] 21/25 preparedness [45] 68/5 95/17 95/24 96/18 39/23 39/24 40/3 30/17 31/10 43/20 3/21 26/21 37/1 39/16 permanently [1] 68/7 40/13 40/22 41/9 97/6 101/9 111/11 57/5 76/8 94/12 95/2 44/25 48/10 49/5 **permission** [7] 26/11 41/11 41/17 41/19 111/14 112/6 112/8 133/7 135/18 136/4 50/24 53/8 53/21 30/16 69/10 69/13 42/4 42/6 42/9 42/11 112/16 113/5 113/8 159/16 54/20 55/3 55/7 66/2 75/5 117/9 158/22 42/14 42/20 43/15 115/11 115/14 117/11 **possibly [1]** 139/2 66/19 67/20 68/5 68/9 persistent [1] 140/14 43/19 44/1 44/16 121/19 122/4 122/8 post [2] 58/3 122/17 68/14 68/18 71/14 person [15] 82/19 **Post-Covid** [1] 58/3 44/19 44/25 46/21 122/15 123/6 126/5 71/22 88/4 88/20 97/3 82/20 103/10 109/23 46/23 46/24 47/2 47/5 126/20 128/1 128/6 97/12 98/5 108/3 postdates [1] 135/8 113/15 114/6 132/11 137/7 137/13 137/16 47/9 49/17 50/20 109/9 109/15 131/1 postgraduate [1] 132/12 132/17 132/25 69/21 90/16 94/17 139/19 139/25 140/13 1/17 131/15 134/2 134/9 133/1 133/2 133/10 94/23 132/7 132/7 147/10 147/24 153/21 potential [8] 25/5 134/12 134/15 136/9 133/11 141/25 155/11 156/5 158/20 39/19 42/8 50/21 51/3 140/9 143/22 149/5 149/10 personal [5] 2/3 2/7 planned [3] 9/5 80/19 plethora [2] 2/18 53/25 64/8 98/1 151/8 153/17 154/1 56/3 56/3 92/21 113/25 52/22 potentially [1] 133/22 155/15 158/16 personalities [1] **planners** [12] 131/16 plugged [1] 25/12 **potentials** [1] 28/13 prepares [1] 147/5 57/17 **pm [4]** 101/10 101/12 131/22 137/4 143/3 **poverty [2]** 95/11 preparing [6] 77/20 **personally [5]** 5/12 143/5 143/7 143/15 159/20 159/21 107/12 79/9 95/22 98/5 14/10 22/13 59/22 143/17 144/16 145/21 point [36] 9/2 15/10 power [4] 116/17 105/23 154/9 85/22 22/3 35/2 36/15 37/22 116/19 116/22 116/23 prescient [1] 78/14 151/15 152/1 personnel [1] 76/13 planning [81] 7/22 38/10 38/11 42/5 **PPE [5]** 64/10 64/12 presence [1] 111/3 perspective [12] 34/4 34/7 34/11 34/13 43/11 44/5 44/15 66/9 66/9 87/12 present [20] 59/18 9/24 14/2 18/7 47/11 34/16 34/21 35/9 47/13 48/19 53/22 59/21 59/22 59/23 practicable [1] 53/24 55/19 92/1 95/3 54/6 56/5 58/17 60/20 35/17 37/24 40/4 101/25 59/24 60/13 60/13 100/25 121/4 139/14 practical [4] 104/20 40/11 47/21 48/9 50/5 62/7 76/17 76/25 61/2 79/15 79/17 139/15 50/5 50/8 50/18 50/25 79/24 83/20 111/7 81/14 81/24 87/17 105/6 152/23 152/25 perspectives [3] 51/11 51/17 51/21 88/11 91/19 93/21 practice [4] 22/22 111/21 112/7 112/21

(60) perceived - present

82/9 85/25 97/2 119/2 Q 34/11 39/15 39/20 rate [2] 41/22 42/24 P 87/15 94/16 105/8 133/19 156/4 rather [10] 10/9 present... [4] 113/24 qualifications [2] 108/2 113/11 134/7 provided [11] 31/25 22/19 34/9 38/8 38/18 113/25 150/20 151/7 1/15 17/22 69/24 71/17 72/1 141/23 46/12 55/22 73/19 qualities [1] 103/18 presents [1] 147/5 processes [4] 56/14 73/12 74/22 90/22 94/23 112/20 pressure [2] 114/9 quality [4] 13/16 100/8 119/3 121/25 58/18 70/15 85/17 re [2] 51/22 51/24 112/25 119/17 144/2 150/19 proclaimed [3] 41/14 157/8 re-diverted [2] 51/22 pressures [2] 119/23 quarantined [1] 8/22 provides [2] 58/3 47/25 48/2 51/24 119/23 quarantining [3] reach [1] 97/22 procurement [1] 131/5 presumably [3] 12/23 24/22 43/4 87/12 **providing [7]** 11/23 reached [1] 26/24 52/20 56/2 141/25 query [1] 138/11 produce [1] 96/21 12/5 20/22 24/13 reaching [1] 3/3 presume [1] 33/15 question [40] 16/19 produced [3] 33/17 30/11 77/16 81/7 react [1] 155/19 pretty [4] 77/11 105/1 19/11 26/13 26/24 read [10] 81/2 81/18 33/19 104/5 provision [2] 23/21 39/23 43/24 44/24 105/11 105/18 production [1] 83/9 82/5 97/5 98/18 99/14 97/9 prevent [7] 7/24 9/10 45/3 45/5 46/7 46/8 **products** [1] 21/13 provisional [1] 69/10 109/20 140/13 142/19 46/20 46/20 47/14 26/9 44/21 45/6 45/8 products/counterme 156/17 provisionally [1] 61/14 69/11 70/16 readily [2] 41/7 69/19 asures [1] 21/13 117/9 71/12 71/17 72/5 **prevented** [2] 46/16 professional [5] 2/10 **public [58]** 13/19 **Readiness [4]** 49/4 100/16 73/13 76/8 76/16 17/1 17/22 17/24 87/9 16/9 18/5 19/12 20/2 88/12 89/21 136/12 preventing [3] 46/12 77/22 84/22 90/17 22/14 22/15 22/20 reading [2] 34/23 professionals [3] 95/15 99/20 100/5 94/24 98/6 24/9 27/17 27/18 12/25 17/21 91/6 35/10 112/23 114/14 114/20 prevention [6] 23/22 **Professor [4]** 11/1 27/19 28/3 29/2 29/10 ready [1] 114/2 117/16 129/18 131/17 45/4 45/15 65/10 95/6 37/21 69/25 70/1 30/20 31/6 31/7 31/13 readying [1] 64/7 95/9 139/7 142/24 148/22 **Professor Clare** 60/24 69/3 70/11 71/6 real [8] 43/13 63/14 150/3 152/14 **previous** [6] 2/8 74/3 74/4 76/12 76/13 67/7 89/9 97/19 99/25 **Bambra** [1] 70/1 23/23 25/4 118/25 questioning [3] 96/23 97/8 106/20 147/12 148/4 Professor Sir [3] 60/20 111/15 111/18 123/5 156/20 106/21 106/25 107/20 11/1 37/21 69/25 reality [6] 5/6 35/13 previously [4] 5/10 questions [31] 1/11 107/22 115/16 117/22 profound [1] 28/6 35/15 35/25 38/4 39/1 27/13 124/16 156/6 2/22 15/21 16/13 17/8 programme [1] 52/15 118/14 118/16 118/25 really [12] 22/6 45/18 primarily [3] 65/20 30/6 38/15 42/13 119/3 119/9 119/15 53/5 58/18 67/24 progress [2] 22/25 153/18 156/24 45/18 46/22 53/17 157/14 119/18 119/24 120/4 87/14 95/22 98/7 primary [2] 22/22 69/15 69/17 73/2 progressed [1] 62/6 120/6 120/6 120/10 131/3 136/9 139/9 155/2 74/19 83/4 95/11 120/13 120/18 120/20 148/25 progressing [1] 95/13 117/8 117/10 **Prime [2]** 59/3 120/23 120/24 122/22 89/23 realm [1] 123/1 117/13 117/14 121/9 123/12 129/11 141/21 149/12 projecting [1] 44/25 rear [1] 22/10 121/18 158/22 160/3 Prime Minister [2] prolonged [1] 119/25 151/13 rear view [1] 22/10 59/3 123/12 160/6 160/7 160/10 **promoting** [1] 79/8 publication [1] 59/5 reason [9] 43/24 49/9 principal [1] 157/20 160/11 160/14 promotion [1] 68/9 publications [1] 51/18 64/17 77/22 principle [10] 114/18 quicker [1] 22/4 **propel [1]** 103/23 102/20 105/8 115/25 158/15 116/8 135/11 135/16 quickly [4] 8/23 34/8 proper [6] 44/5 54/24 132/19 publicly [1] 100/22 66/15 116/18 135/17 140/2 140/6 55/2 67/25 117/3 **publish [3]** 66/23 reasonable [13] 35/6 140/15 150/13 152/20 quite [16] 4/8 5/24 147/19 68/24 75/5 44/16 45/13 46/22 principles [1] 150/13 23/8 78/8 85/22 properly [4] 44/7 published [8] 34/13 47/6 78/5 93/21 94/21 prior [4] 88/5 109/6 110/13 122/3 133/22 96/2 98/5 98/10 105/6 67/18 70/8 77/21 65/8 67/1 67/3 67/15 136/24 138/14 149/15 122/21 145/18 proposed [1] 156/8 67/17 101/21 152/4 140/20 149/16 150/2 150/5 **priorities [3]** 50/20 proposing [1] 68/17 purpose [9] 40/12 reasonably [2] 55/9 150/5 154/20 89/7 91/17 proposition [2] 78/5 42/9 92/2 92/4 92/13 153/3 prioritise [2] 26/7 quote [1] 148/5 78/19 106/22 107/24 115/16 reasons [6] 14/24 149/7 quoting [1] 71/2 prospect [1] 49/15 124/12 63/13 83/16 124/7 prioritised [1] 94/1 prospective [2] purposes [2] 31/22 R 144/13 159/15 priority [1] 66/22 28/19 36/19 34/21 recall [3] 61/4 73/23 race [1] 72/9 private [5] 31/6 76/14 pursuing [1] 62/11 87/12 protect [2] 26/8 raise [1] 5/4 107/20 107/23 115/22 92/24 recalls [1] 73/20 **push [1]** 40/10 raised [3] 66/8 147/4 **proactive [1]** 83/8 put [18] 3/8 7/13 8/14 protected [3] 72/7 received [5] 2/18 147/5 proactively [1] 72/23 73/8 43/24 44/14 47/15 2/24 108/23 151/6 ran [1] 142/4 132/21 protecting [1] 117/22 47/22 51/7 53/10 156/7 range [20] 39/21 42/2 probably [4] 52/5 protection [7] 27/21 78/20 92/17 93/23 recent [2] 80/1 43/19 49/19 58/24 94/6 108/11 127/4 79/8 85/3 117/20 95/17 100/1 110/2 158/15 problem [3] 5/5 78/9 82/2 82/11 86/2 118/5 118/17 119/2 114/20 119/19 145/23 recipe [1] 158/12 93/24 97/25 98/14 35/22 107/12 proud [1] 20/11 **puts [1]** 15/21 recognise [3] 124/9 98/16 107/3 107/14 problems [4] 107/8 proved [1] 159/15 putting [5] 6/8 27/1 135/5 138/6 107/16 127/24 128/7 107/12 107/25 132/23 recognised [2] 34/5 provide [15] 1/12 43/13 43/17 147/8 128/10 155/19 proceed [1] 29/16 21/11 21/22 24/5 48/4 49/10 process [11] 9/4 rarely [1] 27/18 73/4 74/4 77/13 80/16 recommend [1]

53/22 71/1 85/13 85/23 92/23 R reduced [2] 118/4 regulator [2] 20/6 148/3 20/8 repeated [2] 140/18 92/25 94/25 95/13 recommend... [1] reduction [7] 147/15 reinstatement [1] 142/17 103/10 107/16 108/17 148/16 147/20 147/25 148/4 145/10 repeating [1] 72/11 111/3 116/4 116/5 recommendation [7] 148/20 148/23 148/25 related [2] 79/7 80/7 replace [2] 123/11 116/7 116/13 117/1 62/13 65/3 90/1 96/19 reductions [5] 97/17 relatedly [1] 36/2 139/19 117/5 122/17 123/25 96/19 96/21 97/23 replaced [1] 57/10 124/1 124/8 125/25 147/12 149/5 149/21 relates [1] 38/11 recommendation 2.1 relating [4] 36/13 149/25 126/2 126/13 127/12 replicate [2] 35/24 **[2]** 96/19 97/23 refer [4] 66/9 69/23 59/7 68/18 150/25 129/14 129/16 129/17 87/8 recommendations 70/2 124/4 report [20] 33/4 34/3 129/19 129/24 130/16 relation [26] 10/19 **[24]** 54/20 61/5 61/8 reference [11] 34/19 35/1 38/2 47/24 48/7 65/21 66/9 66/14 130/22 132/13 132/14 61/16 61/20 62/8 36/6 36/8 36/11 36/17 55/6 58/7 62/8 62/21 66/21 67/6 67/10 133/1 134/22 135/22 62/10 62/19 63/5 63/9 36/18 36/23 68/3 64/4 64/5 76/16 78/16 68/11 68/15 68/25 136/4 136/13 136/15 63/16 63/19 64/13 119/5 148/13 150/7 87/12 90/12 92/10 69/23 71/2 73/25 90/3 137/17 140/11 141/24 64/14 64/20 68/8 references [2] 4/16 98/24 100/3 101/19 94/1 97/19 104/5 142/5 144/17 145/1 68/13 68/17 68/25 34/16 104/15 107/16 123/4 137/7 137/18 145/11 145/17 145/21 88/15 89/17 90/6 referred [9] 9/8 17/2 125/8 136/8 141/22 reported [3] 65/21 151/2 157/10 90/10 91/14 ResilienceDirect [1] 18/25 23/11 54/21 156/17 137/20 145/2 recommended [1] 73/24 104/6 123/8 relations [15] 54/18 reports [1] 74/3 151/12 55/11 139/3 55/24 56/3 58/1 58/21 repository [2] 151/5 resilient [1] 150/5 reconcile [1] 99/1 referring [5] 3/10 101/16 104/4 104/11 151/7 resolve [1] 60/1 reconvened [1] 63/8 64/1 69/4 144/18 104/14 104/18 105/17 represent [1] 96/3 resolved [1] 132/4 110/25 112/25 113/18 representation [2] refers [1] 34/3 resource [8] 49/19 record [7] 30/14 reflect [4] 34/8 34/15 114/23 36/11 115/12 51/20 52/2 52/10 81/18 96/8 99/15 35/2 124/13 52/24 94/15 147/18 relationship [8] representative [4] 118/1 119/20 147/23 55/13 57/2 57/11 2/4 107/2 107/3 150/18 reflected [3] 67/6 recorded [4] 5/23 58/16 132/1 147/21 86/15 151/17 108/25 resource-intensive 15/17 79/11 83/13 reflecting [2] 34/9 150/25 153/24 representatives [2] **[1]** 94/15 recounted [1] 110/1 153/6 relationships [8] 87/2 111/23 resources [21] 49/12 recover [1] 124/10 55/6 55/17 56/7 56/11 50/10 50/15 50/18 reflection [2] 112/24 representing [5] 2/7 recovery [9] 20/1 138/15 57/23 105/10 105/22 85/7 85/13 108/24 50/19 50/23 51/1 21/3 28/17 75/23 reflections [1] 107/8 108/11 109/18 51/15 51/16 51/22 76/15 95/2 124/1 relatively [9] 4/19 represents [4] 96/9 51/24 53/6 53/20 **reflects [2]** 67/9 153/18 155/25 109/24 110/11 148/17 151/19 32/18 56/1 60/5 80/25 100/12 120/16 **RECOVERY Trial [3]** reform [1] 119/8 127/16 134/4 145/22 require [4] 94/14 147/10 148/9 148/11 20/1 21/3 28/17 refresh [1] 37/22 150/15 150/15 103/7 107/16 156/13 148/17 149/1 rectified [1] 110/19 released [1] 15/15 required [18] 21/21 resourcing [3] 11/7 refreshed [1] 49/2 red [59] 14/17 14/17 releasing [1] 118/10 22/1 24/19 37/16 39/2 124/14 150/3 **refuse [2]** 128/13 15/2 15/20 17/8 17/15 44/6 49/2 49/16 56/19 respect [3] 53/17 128/23 relevance [3] 35/7 18/3 123/25 124/4 regard [3] 7/22 150/9 77/15 97/9 100/11 61/22 73/16 105/2 119/19 126/14 126/15 126/16 150/12 relevant [5] 61/17 118/18 119/25 120/7 respected [1] 56/20 126/16 126/17 127/15 regarding [2] 109/7 70/11 77/18 92/9 120/8 130/16 144/6 respects [1] 58/14 127/20 131/3 131/3 151/7 requirement [6] 80/3 respiratory [8] 2/16 131/7 131/11 131/13 90/19 118/8 118/24 regardless [1] 116/2 reliable [1] 119/6 6/5 6/11 6/17 19/2 132/6 132/11 133/16 regime [1] 125/6 religion [1] 72/9 121/6 150/11 25/10 41/15 42/21 133/18 133/23 133/24 region [1] 146/15 rely [1] 157/24 respond [8] 9/17 requires [1] 141/25 134/7 134/10 134/17 regional [13] 7/3 12/14 25/20 43/25 remain [1] 4/25 **Rescue** [1] 85/2 134/21 135/23 138/8 38/21 85/12 144/17 remained [1] 55/10 research [7] 1/21 48/7 65/24 109/4 139/14 142/4 144/19 145/1 145/10 145/16 remains [7] 5/14 5/14 10/13 21/5 22/16 124/12 145/4 146/2 146/9 145/17 145/21 146/1 11/6 25/6 25/16 25/24 22/23 23/4 69/3 responded [2] 66/15 146/18 147/3 151/1 146/19 146/19 147/1 58/14 reserve [2] 100/6 68/15 153/16 154/10 154/13 **Regions [2]** 123/13 remarkable [1] 19/14 100/17 Respondents [2] 155/7 155/12 155/16 123/15 remarks [1] 11/11 **resilience [92]** 7/13 34/5 34/10 156/6 156/15 156/18 register [2] 52/19 remember [6] 20/23 23/1 25/18 33/10 responders [11] 156/24 156/24 156/25 52/21 30/12 47/4 85/6 85/22 38/20 38/21 59/4 59/6 34/14 84/17 84/20 157/24 157/25 158/4 registers [5] 4/2 4/4 86/1 59/8 59/10 59/14 60/3 84/23 102/18 127/8 158/4 158/6 60/7 65/7 76/3 76/7 130/15 132/8 138/21 4/14 131/21 131/23 Remembering [1] red group [1] 17/15 regret [4] 52/16 22/6 76/17 76/19 76/22 158/4 158/6 **RED's [8]** 131/15 77/1 77/3 77/10 77/14 responders' [1] 54/14 88/19 110/18 remind [1] 79/21 131/25 134/25 135/14 regrettable [1] 53/19 78/2 78/9 78/13 78/24 34/17 reminding [1] 34/2 135/20 136/3 136/9 regular [4] 107/1 remit [3] 60/5 84/10 79/3 80/8 81/23 82/1 responding [3] 157/18 129/3 154/14 154/15 84/18 82/3 82/7 82/9 82/12 127/10 129/25 155/20 redacted [1] 79/18 83/24 84/4 84/6 84/9 regularly [2] 82/22 renamed [1] 126/14 response [44] 14/6 **reduce [1]** 47/8 108/12 repeat [3] 23/19 84/24 85/9 85/12 16/18 23/13 28/21

83/23 87/16 91/12 17/11 70/25 72/23 82/25 92/8 93/3 105/14 R 92/16 92/21 93/25 rule [1] 84/21 83/2 86/8 86/21 87/25 107/1 108/20 108/24 response... [40] 31/4 run [4] 124/15 134/17 99/6 101/5 102/1 88/10 92/4 99/16 109/1 115/8 115/20 31/24 32/14 33/2 102/7 104/1 104/19 146/23 146/25 102/4 104/24 106/8 116/10 116/16 117/21 33/11 35/15 35/20 105/19 108/3 115/12 running [3] 73/20 109/16 113/19 134/5 118/5 118/14 118/17 36/5 65/13 65/25 123/21 123/22 123/23 86/10 138/24 135/4 140/25 143/1 118/20 119/1 119/2 66/17 71/23 72/16 124/2 124/19 125/22 143/16 143/18 148/2 119/3 119/9 119/16 rural [1] 146/6 72/18 72/20 79/6 126/3 127/19 128/20 149/19 153/15 119/18 119/24 120/4 Russell [3] 50/3 84/3 82/25 85/9 94/14 129/13 129/22 131/17 saying [10] 19/9 22/3 120/13 120/18 120/24 89/20 118/18 124/9 127/13 132/10 133/8 135/10 **Ryan [1]** 7/8 40/23 66/6 73/6 120/24 127/14 127/22 129/12 135/12 139/18 142/12 116/21 134/7 136/14 **Scotland's [4]** 55/15 131/25 132/16 133/5 73/25 74/2 84/25 142/20 146/21 147/3 142/7 143/11 138/23 138/24 140/9 sacrifices [1] 31/8 says [3] 44/17 113/6 148/22 150/24 154/5 **Scottish [111]** 33/1 153/14 153/17 154/2 155/6 158/14 159/12 sadly [1] 23/25 113/14 33/10 33/11 36/11 154/18 156/7 156/12 **safer [2]** 5/9 50/3 right-hand [1] 92/21 scale [6] 20/14 21/19 36/18 36/25 37/11 158/1 158/12 158/16 safety [3] 92/25 rightly [3] 5/6 50/2 21/23 22/1 100/22 38/16 40/20 45/11 responses [4] 20/14 124/23 126/10 46/1 48/7 49/24 51/2 136/24 101/2 27/11 80/2 155/24 **SAGE [27]** 10/17 rights [1] 71/14 scenario [10] 34/9 51/6 51/23 52/7 52/20 responsibilities [7] 10/24 12/17 13/6 13/9 rises [1] 78/17 34/10 35/6 45/13 47/6 53/23 57/8 59/9 59/11 77/12 78/10 128/13 risk [58] 3/8 4/2 4/3 13/10 13/15 13/15 94/22 96/3 98/6 98/10 59/22 60/7 60/11 128/18 128/21 131/10 13/19 13/25 14/7 14/9 4/4 4/14 18/13 25/7 98/15 60/19 61/2 61/16 149/10 14/15 14/23 15/5 25/16 25/25 35/14 scenarios [10] 9/8 61/21 62/24 64/21 responsibility [20] 15/16 15/17 16/1 16/7 52/18 52/18 52/19 25/12 78/16 93/16 65/23 66/15 66/22 76/3 76/16 76/18 77/4 16/20 16/22 17/6 17/9 52/20 52/21 52/21 94/3 94/9 94/12 96/4 67/5 69/11 69/17 77/4 77/15 90/15 53/1 53/2 70/7 70/15 17/20 17/23 17/25 98/16 140/21 70/18 71/3 71/13 107/11 108/10 118/20 87/3 71/20 71/25 72/13 76/24 83/10 84/8 92/2 **SCG [5]** 137/23 120/11 124/16 126/9 said [19] 7/8 23/24 92/5 92/14 92/17 137/24 138/17 138/25 73/13 75/10 75/15 127/1 127/2 127/9 32/6 41/25 50/23 53/6 139/6 75/20 76/24 77/19 93/11 93/12 93/13 127/11 128/9 132/8 56/14 80/3 80/15 84/5 **SCGs [2]** 137/22 93/18 93/22 93/25 78/4 78/24 80/1 80/8 133/16 106/6 120/3 134/14 94/5 96/1 96/4 96/11 139/3 81/23 82/6 82/9 83/10 responsible [10] 134/15 137/17 142/21 83/24 84/4 84/9 84/24 96/21 97/24 99/6 schools [1] 16/5 47/18 76/19 123/24 127/6 131/1 131/21 147/3 147/25 157/19 science [11] 11/2 85/3 85/4 86/18 89/3 125/1 125/15 125/19 131/23 134/8 135/1 same [19] 14/18 12/7 13/3 13/13 13/20 89/16 92/2 92/17 125/20 127/24 129/11 14/20 16/7 40/23 135/25 141/4 141/6 14/1 15/13 19/21 20/6 93/22 95/5 95/8 100/4 158/7 66/21 129/20 133/1 141/10 141/11 141/20 23/14 23/15 100/11 100/16 100/20 restricted [1] 84/16 133/1 134/6 142/7 142/20 143/22 144/4 101/21 101/23 102/12 sciences [4] 13/4 restrictions [2] 12/22 143/3 143/6 143/15 144/7 144/10 144/12 102/15 103/9 106/14 17/12 19/15 69/2 151/14 152/1 152/2 risks [24] 5/1 5/15 106/15 106/19 106/24 **Sciences' [1]** 3/16 result [5] 7/25 30/24 152/17 153/7 157/7 6/18 9/4 9/7 19/1 19/2 107/4 107/21 108/5 **scientific [20]** 10/12 97/13 99/24 118/10 19/5 34/20 34/21 44/7 **sampling [1]** 64/9 109/7 109/11 109/25 10/15 10/23 11/9 results [1] 20/5 52/22 77/3 83/9 83/11 San [1] 1/18 11/13 11/15 12/3 12/9 110/5 110/8 110/20 retain [2] 12/9 21/14 93/2 93/17 93/20 94/8 San Francisco [1] 12/18 17/13 18/10 110/25 111/4 111/7 retrospect [1] 43/8 94/23 97/19 131/24 1/18 19/7 19/14 19/14 111/25 112/11 112/19 return [5] 26/15 Sarah [1] 20/25 21/14 26/25 27/3 42/1 112/21 113/23 113/24 133/20 140/19 29/18 48/21 101/8 Sarah Gilbert [1] robust [2] 28/19 68/21 87/9 114/22 115/16 117/9 110/22 20/25 117/22 118/9 118/24 125/4 **scientist [2]** 1/25 review [18] 3/16 33/2 **SARS [5]** 7/4 27/10 role [24] 19/12 20/12 119/4 120/3 120/11 12/4 33/11 36/5 36/6 36/8 27/13 38/18 41/4 21/8 27/16 31/14 scientists [13] 12/10 Scottish Government 36/10 37/10 37/12 **SARS-1 [2]** 7/4 27/13 76/20 77/8 79/1 80/2 13/1 13/2 13/15 15/5 **[66]** 33/1 33/10 37/22 54/21 55/11 87/23 108/8 108/13 satisfactorily [1] 15/9 17/2 17/5 17/6 33/11 36/25 37/11 57/24 74/2 80/9 104/4 94/11 123/24 125/7 126/6 17/16 17/21 27/15 45/11 48/7 51/6 51/23 104/18 137/9 126/19 127/15 131/1 saved [1] 100/25 68/7 52/7 53/23 59/9 59/11 reviewed [3] 42/7 131/13 131/15 132/6 savings [4] 118/10 59/22 60/11 60/19 scope [1] 76/7 65/8 96/24 118/24 120/7 121/7 134/25 157/6 157/8 61/2 61/16 61/21 Scotland [62] 30/21 revised [2] 39/5 96/2 saw [3] 91/6 142/8 roles [1] 75/19 32/7 32/21 36/12 64/21 65/23 66/15 revolved [2] 46/8 142/13 room [1] 14/20 38/20 49/1 54/19 66/22 67/5 70/18 71/3 54/23 say [51] 5/6 5/22 8/11 54/22 60/25 60/25 71/13 71/20 71/25 rotational [1] 12/8 right [64] 16/4 18/8 routes [2] 141/14 9/15 10/18 13/1 17/4 61/12 61/22 62/10 72/13 73/13 75/10 18/24 31/12 48/15 17/18 19/6 20/19 25/6 65/21 65/22 66/9 75/20 78/4 78/24 142/22 48/21 49/22 56/15 30/13 30/17 31/19 routine [1] 108/6 66/14 67/6 67/21 68/9 86/18 89/3 89/16 95/5 56/22 58/20 59/1 60/2 34/25 40/1 40/16 routinely [4] 72/13 68/20 68/22 71/6 95/8 100/4 100/11 60/21 63/8 65/20 69/7 40/23 41/9 43/15 73/7 73/16 109/10 73/22 74/12 76/21 100/16 103/9 106/14 70/24 71/24 73/17 49/23 60/17 60/20 79/3 80/21 85/1 85/12 106/19 108/5 109/25 Royal [1] 17/11 75/11 77/22 79/4 61/7 63/21 66/6 66/13 **Royal Society [1]** 86/12 86/22 87/2 87/6 110/5 110/8 110/20

81/5 1/14 2/21 5/19 6/2 S see [25] 8/6 8/8 session [2] 54/1 14/23 22/13 28/24 104/7 **shortly [1]** 80/8 6/24 9/2 11/1 12/16 Scottish 29/1 33/16 34/24 sessions [1] 138/8 should [41] 12/7 16/15 16/18 18/9 23/3 Government... [15] 74/22 79/15 79/17 set [21] 10/1 14/23 12/12 15/6 16/6 20/11 26/10 26/15 29/6 29/7 110/25 111/4 111/7 79/23 92/19 111/23 40/22 59/6 72/18 20/23 25/7 26/5 34/22 29/18 37/21 69/25 111/25 112/11 112/19 112/9 112/18 113/1 78/22 79/10 84/3 41/17 45/20 47/15 77/24 78/20 116/2 112/21 113/23 113/24 113/2 113/5 114/5 84/13 88/13 124/14 53/6 60/17 61/7 66/13 117/6 160/2 114/22 118/9 118/24 115/5 116/9 130/1 126/4 126/9 126/18 66/22 68/20 84/18 Sir Jeremy [16] 1/6 119/4 120/3 120/11 144/14 157/22 128/22 129/7 129/20 85/18 88/4 93/16 1/14 2/21 5/19 6/2 9/2 Scottish 130/1 135/7 144/4 93/19 93/20 95/22 seeing [2] 22/11 12/16 16/15 16/18 Government's [1] 22/19 146/16 96/24 106/1 109/8 18/9 23/3 26/10 26/15 80/1 seek [4] 71/16 71/25 110/19 111/2 115/17 sets [3] 110/13 29/6 29/7 29/18 Scottish 72/3 72/24 137/13 154/3 116/4 135/18 135/19 Sir Jeremy Farrar [1] Governments [1] 145/10 146/18 151/9 seeking [6] 46/2 setting [2] 57/22 1/5 109/11 46/20 47/1 48/6 72/3 73/19 152/9 152/13 154/4 Sir Mark [1] 117/6 Scottish Ministers 155/17 154/4 settlements [1] Sir Mark Walport [1] **[4]** 101/21 101/23 seemed [2] 150/4 103/18 **shouldn't [4]** 57/15 116/2 102/12 102/15 157/16 seven [3] 90/24 91/1 57/20 87/22 99/15 Sir Oliver Letwin [2] screen [3] 33/8 113/4 seems [2] 35/2 60/6 sic [1] 86/9 77/24 78/20 116/23 122/1 seen [11] 13/9 17/20 sit [4] 121/19 159/14 **sickness** [1] 97/13 seven years [2] screening [1] 24/24 28/10 58/15 97/8 90/24 91/1 sign [1] 136/6 159/14 159/16 scroll [5] 79/16 80/14 97/15 142/15 149/19 sever [1] 29/18 sign-off [1] 136/6 sits [7] 43/16 68/6 111/13 112/6 112/7 149/20 151/15 152/4 several [3] 88/2 90/7 **signature** [1] 32/3 126/12 131/3 131/4 second [10] 14/14 selecting [1] 44/15 signed [4] 39/20 65/3 132/9 132/11 130/22 35/1 38/11 59/25 severe [6] 2/15 4/24 74/25 122/12 sitting [2] 38/21 **self [1]** 15/8 71/12 112/2 113/24 159/13 51/11 54/11 116/15 **signed off [1]** 65/3 self-correcting [1] 135/4 137/15 142/23 150/14 significance [1] 64/6 situation [6] 38/24 15/8 secondary [2] 96/8 senior [3] 11/16 78/1 severity [4] 5/6 41/1 **significant [20]** 40/6 99/8 127/7 129/25 96/14 106/25 41/22 42/25 40/7 40/22 49/18 50/4 133/24 145/16 secondly [2] 9/9 31/3 sense [9] 4/6 6/13 53/7 56/6 78/14 80/9 sex [1] 72/9 **skills [3]** 12/9 51/18 secret [1] 141/19 13/6 63/15 71/7 81/24 sexual [2] 25/10 72/9 90/11 96/10 97/7 75/22 secretariat [2] 58/7 99/8 106/22 127/15 **SGoR [1]** 78/25 97/14 97/16 98/1 slightly [6] 42/12 58/8 98/19 109/17 146/8 sensible [1] 158/9 **SGoRR [3]** 80/3 52/5 108/1 113/3 Secretary [18] 32/8 sensibly [1] 53/6 80/16 80/23 147/16 148/25 113/20 124/17 33/7 33/12 33/14 separate [4] 16/25 SGoRR's [1] 80/9 significantly [2] 39/4 slip [1] 106/6 33/17 33/20 33/21 23/16 63/16 126/12 shall [2] 48/21 101/8 slow [6] 5/20 5/21 39/18 33/24 33/25 56/25 separated [1] 128/21 **shame [1]** 15/16 silver [16] 38/16 54/2 6/24 8/12 89/23 91/20 57/8 57/10 71/4 75/19 60/22 62/10 62/19 **September [2]** 97/21 **shape [1]** 42/1 slower [2] 6/25 22/4 75/21 75/23 99/21 64/14 64/16 64/19 123/20 share [4] 80/24 sluggishness [2] 104/10 September 2021 [1] 131/18 136/3 140/17 64/24 65/3 65/16 89/15 90/9 section [2] 73/22 97/21 **shared [12]** 80/18 89/18 90/3 91/3 **small [1]** 41/5 85/8 sequence [2] 91/6 90/14 93/2 139/15 155/23 157/8 smaller [1] 155/4 sector [37] 18/4 139/16 141/11 142/2 Silver Swan [10] smiling [1] 92/20 91/22 19/20 64/23 66/4 series [8] 27/22 142/21 142/25 143/8 38/16 60/22 62/10 so [219] 67/14 74/5 106/21 78/21 115/19 129/7 146/15 153/5 62/19 64/14 64/16 **soapbox [1]** 53/13 106/25 107/3 107/20 142/4 144/5 144/24 64/19 64/24 65/16 **shares [1]** 126/1 social [35] 8/20 107/21 107/22 107/23 144/25 **sharing [6]** 136/7 91/3 12/22 13/1 13/3 17/2 107/23 108/5 108/7 serious [2] 53/4 54/6 139/12 140/18 141/2 similar [7] 14/4 14/22 17/5 17/16 19/7 23/14 108/10 108/12 108/15 servant [1] 84/8 142/18 143/11 37/22 40/9 60/6 64/14 24/23 28/11 43/3 62/2 108/19 108/21 110/3 62/13 62/14 62/17 servants [1] 11/17 **Sharon [1]** 24/9 145/4 110/7 115/21 115/22 served [3] 2/10 40/11 **Sharon Peacock [1] Similarly [1]** 125/18 64/22 64/25 65/14 119/24 125/1 153/24 42/9 **simple [2]** 127/16 66/4 66/24 67/14 24/9 154/2 155/14 155/19 she [10] 30/14 73/24 70/13 70/21 86/15 serves [1] 93/25 149/4 155/22 156/3 156/11 84/4 90/3 90/4 109/5 90/1 99/5 125/15 **service [11]** 52/12 simply [7] 45/22 47/3 156/14 157/5 157/21 54/13 67/21 76/13 109/24 110/11 110/13 47/11 48/4 59/13 125/16 125/17 128/11 sectors [7] 31/6 81/8 85/2 85/5 108/22 154/7 154/16 128/24 149/23 152/11 111/18 76/14 78/10 96/9 122/20 125/12 128/23 shelf [1] 43/16 since [4] 105/3 153/16 96/23 98/2 107/5 services [17] 9/20 **shift [1]** 133/5 122/18 124/23 145/5 social care [8] 62/2 secure [5] 95/2 21/18 23/21 55/4 shifting [1] 123/1 sincerely [1] 4/7 62/13 62/14 86/15 141/13 141/13 142/11 80/18 122/22 125/19 **Singapore [1]** 27/12 125/16 125/17 128/11 **shifts [1]** 97/17 142/11 127/25 128/10 128/11 **shops [1]** 54/12 single [7] 10/9 25/12 152/11 security [8] 86/5 93/1 **short [3]** 48/23 128/12 128/25 129/11 42/4 45/5 63/22 151/4 societal [4] 12/22 96/1 135/25 136/5 149/12 149/23 149/24 101/11 113/18 26/2 26/6 70/8 141/11 141/20 142/1 156/13 **shortages** [2] 80/5 Sir [27] 1/5 1/6 1/9 society [21] 7/21

119/1 120/21 53/12 56/23 63/4 S soon [2] 102/24 **straight** [1] 150/3 103/22 stage [4] 56/9 65/15 straightaway [1] 63/21 66/17 67/10 society... [20] 9/18 sorry [11] 18/2 23/8 108/14 121/1 29/17 69/8 69/16 70/16 72/5 9/24 10/1 10/7 10/9 48/16 53/12 101/7 stages [1] 60/6 **strands** [1] 54/5 73/2 73/5 74/8 79/16 10/11 13/22 14/6 stand [1] 10/17 102/4 106/8 113/6 **strategic** [12] 44/1 89/25 101/17 105/17 17/11 18/4 18/4 78/11 130/3 140/5 159/2 stand-up [1] 10/17 44/1 46/18 60/8 68/2 111/15 117/16 160/5 92/24 96/15 98/20 sort [15] 4/10 9/23 77/14 79/2 82/10 84/5 Sturgeon's [1] 111/6 standardised [1] 109/19 115/9 116/6 18/3 21/21 58/6 72/15 130/23 84/10 137/24 138/23 **Sub [2]** 33/9 78/24 153/12 156/13 82/22 94/19 107/11 **standards** [2] 32/17 Strategically [1] 39/7 Sub-Committee [2] sociologically [1] 128/23 132/1 134/21 65/7 strategies [2] 89/1 33/9 78/24 8/24 135/2 143/3 150/18 149/15 subject [3] 77/10 **standing [5]** 27/3 **softly [1]** 122/3 58/6 67/19 68/4 68/4 sort of [11] 18/3 strategising [1] 48/9 109/19 144/13 sole [2] 37/6 48/12 21/21 58/6 72/15 **stands [2]** 51/18 strategy [34] 2/22 subsequent [2] 66/2 **solely [2]** 78/2 82/22 94/19 128/23 94/15 2/23 2/25 3/10 3/13 96/13 124/16 132/1 135/2 143/3 start [4] 3/3 23/20 3/19 3/21 34/1 35/17 subsidiarity [9] **solution [1]** 147/6 150/18 24/2 57/9 36/18 36/20 37/6 37/6 135/12 135/17 136/10 solve [1] 132/23 sorts [4] 7/19 25/11 37/8 37/12 39/2 43/5 136/20 140/2 140/7 started [3] 6/21 19/9 **solved [1]** 107/13 128/12 144/15 144/19 46/8 46/10 47/24 140/15 150/20 152/20 **solving [2]** 107/25 sought [1] 155/17 **starting [1]** 71/3 47/25 48/12 49/1 49/2 substance [3] 104/16 108/1 source [3] 87/9 88/19 state [6] 56/8 57/1 49/6 65/25 77/17 88/4 104/21 106/1 some [84] 2/21 5/2 91/8 60/18 104/10 104/17 88/17 88/20 97/12 substantially [1] 8/7 11/11 13/8 13/12 southwest [1] 145/25 106/7 97/14 108/13 155/18 37/20 14/9 15/17 16/2 17/10 spacing [1] 27/24 statement [29] 32/1 stray [1] 57/18 substantive [2] 39/22 17/14 18/10 23/16 32/2 32/3 35/10 50/4 **spare [2]** 7/14 25/19 **straying [2]** 42/12 68/25 25/3 32/21 35/21 speak [3] 45/10 66/5 74/22 86/8 104/12 44/24 success [1] 93/2 35/23 37/18 38/15 106/17 108/23 109/5 successfully [1] 93/4 122/4 streamlined [1] 40/3 40/5 40/9 43/10 speaking [4] 45/21 109/21 118/1 118/2 80/25 successive [1] 91/3 43/21 44/11 45/1 118/3 119/5 121/25 47/10 56/1 127/7 strength [4] 35/8 **such [17]** 9/21 15/7 46/23 50/7 52/11 special [1] 79/9 122/2 122/9 135/8 87/19 119/20 120/13 19/10 21/17 24/22 57/23 58/6 59/18 139/20 148/6 148/12 79/9 80/23 85/18 87/3 specialisms [1] **strengthen [1]** 68/21 59/24 61/9 61/10 148/19 150/7 151/16 strengthened [2] 51/17 90/16 95/11 97/15 61/10 61/25 63/11 **specialist [2]** 18/10 153/15 155/8 157/13 12/6 90/20 106/24 109/17 109/18 63/13 64/12 67/18 statements [1] 100/8 strengthening [1] 126/25 135/1 70/6 68/1 70/6 71/1 78/11 **States [2]** 14/22 **specialists [2]** 16/25 91/9 suffered [4] 30/24 78/15 79/10 79/17 17/21 15/24 stress [1] 77/15 49/21 79/22 89/5 83/22 86/3 86/3 86/4 specific [4] 25/23 **statutory [2]** 149/8 **stretched** [2] 9/20 **suffering [2]** 31/1 86/25 87/11 87/16 64/25 86/13 92/7 149/9 25/19 76/11 89/11 89/20 89/22 specifically [7] 36/13 steel [1] 6/25 strikes [1] 24/4 **sufficient [7]** 10/13 92/9 95/12 102/23 40/21 70/2 88/10 stenographer [4] 12/24 21/18 77/9 **strong [9]** 22/16 105/21 110/24 111/7 100/15 100/16 118/7 5/24 30/14 48/18 28/19 55/14 77/5 118/16 144/2 147/18 128/8 128/17 128/18 **spectrum [1]** 93/17 122/5 78/19 87/8 88/25 sufficiently [5] 13/7 129/4 129/17 135/9 speed [5] 12/21 step [1] 56/21 118/21 136/7 16/20 26/23 70/20 136/23 136/24 137/13 16/24 22/1 22/2 22/5 steps [3] 98/23 98/23 109/14 stronger [1] 11/3 137/20 138/8 139/13 **speedily [2]** 91/14 134/12 **strongly [4]** 10/6 suggest [6] 14/14 145/19 147/14 148/3 92/23 120/16 148/16 59/25 89/14 103/22 95/2 stewardship [2] 151/12 151/15 151/17 struck [8] 31/24 spend [1] 105/15 125/1 126/11 104/19 112/20 154/11 155/1 still [15] 3/6 33/17 43/23 44/9 45/12 48/8 suggested [4] 16/21 **spending [2]** 97/17 somebody [3] 29/17 97/22 39/18 46/2 58/13 61/24 63/6 91/11 84/2 97/10 145/9 50/22 79/24 spent [3] 27/9 50/5 63/19 64/1 64/11 **structural** [1] 146/8 suggesting [4] 41/11 somebody's [1] 29/1 65/14 68/16 90/5 78/7 **structure [6]** 9/22 117/6 140/12 141/22 somehow [1] 24/3 120/20 137/21 145/22 11/22 56/20 58/3 58/9 suggestion [2] 43/25 spirit [3] 58/20 59/1 something [22] 6/22 150/21 154/25 102/16 117/6 8/9 20/10 20/15 27/22 **split [1]** 128/17 **stipulates** [1] 154/4 **structured [2]** 11/17 suggests [2] 97/19 28/25 35/3 42/7 50/6 **spoke [1]** 116/2 stock [1] 83/14 144/23 145/3 51/5 58/10 59/19 stockpiling [1] 5/11 spoken [1] 122/3 structures [10] 10/24 suitable [3] 48/19 60/14 62/3 101/17 12/18 24/3 70/6 81/22 48/20 147/5 spontaneous [1] **stood [1]** 10/18 110/22 138/6 143/20 136/11 136/12 137/22 summaries [2] 15/14 96/12 stop [1] 45/6 144/14 151/9 151/22 **spots [1]** 106/5 stopped [2] 39/4 157/9 158/6 16/8 155/22 **summarise** [1] 70/5 **spread [2]** 96/15 53/25 struggle [1] 22/6 sometimes [7] 14/25 stops [1] 116/21 145/6 Sturgeon [35] 29/20 **summary [5]** 16/14 55/19 129/25 139/2 **square [1]** 4/23 storm [3] 116/15 30/5 30/9 30/10 31/18 38/5 39/24 71/16 139/11 143/17 155/25 staff [2] 52/12 114/9 116/16 116/24 31/25 34/16 37/3 39/1 136/19 somewhat [1] 156/1 42/19 43/24 46/7 **staffing [6]** 80/13 Storm Arwen [1] **summed [1]** 86/19 **somewhere [1]** 52/3 118/4 118/11 118/16 116/24 47/22 48/17 48/25 supervision [1]

thank [78] 1/14 2/20 124/15 144/17 145/1 17/22 18/1 20/2 26/16 S 101/15 109/4 109/16 115/14 117/8 117/18 145/2 145/11 26/10 29/6 29/7 29/9 28/4 29/4 41/16 42/22 supervision... [1] 121/11 160/9 technical [1] 114/3 29/14 30/10 30/19 58/12 58/13 61/21 81/20 **Swiss [4]** 27/19 28/2 technicians [1] 23/5 31/17 31/18 34/2 70/15 70/18 77/3 supplementary [2] 28/10 29/2 48/20 54/17 60/16 87/18 87/19 91/6 technology [1] 96/21 103/15 sworn [2] 1/6 30/4 69/8 71/11 72/2 73/2 96/23 112/23 131/23 116/20 **supplies [1]** 116/19 sympathies [1] 30/23 tell [6] 6/14 15/6 73/3 73/18 74/7 74/8 135/6 136/2 137/2 **supply [2]** 52/11 106/17 106/23 107/4 74/10 74/12 74/13 137/5 138/15 148/2 sympathy [1] 76/10 97/18 74/16 74/24 75/4 75/7 system [18] 11/2 128/6 149/6 150/3 150/4 support [9] 3/4 12/5 76/1 79/14 81/2 83/23 151/17 154/22 157/11 11/16 12/3 12/11 telling [1] 8/9 29/11 80/10 97/10 14/16 25/8 25/17 92/18 92/19 93/8 them [31] 8/7 21/9 tells [1] 109/5 100/1 146/3 146/9 25/19 34/19 56/2 95/25 101/5 101/7 21/10 24/5 28/4 29/3 template [1] 38/24 154/6 63/14 127/15 133/13 101/14 103/13 106/4 31/8 48/5 51/1 56/16 ten [1] 94/1 supporting [1] 135/11 136/9 136/18 tend [2] 129/10 106/10 106/11 110/21 58/20 61/21 63/13 126/22 136/22 151/11 145/25 112/1 112/7 112/8 66/13 94/13 94/24 **supportive** [1] 134/1 systematically [2] tended [3] 44/19 113/16 115/2 117/7 99/12 115/10 127/21 **supports [1]** 133/24 46/10 149/24 121/8 121/10 121/11 127/21 129/23 131/18 70/12 70/20 **suppose [3]** 43/11 **systemic [4]** 8/15 tendency [1] 43/15 121/11 121/13 121/20 131/19 131/19 133/25 45/2 99/7 55/12 71/8 159/4 term [2] 39/14 68/25 121/23 121/24 122/11 134/14 142/6 143/8 suppress [4] 45/16 122/15 122/24 124/19 146/2 146/3 149/20 systemised [1] 56/12 terminology [3] 45/20 46/3 47/19 103/10 119/11 135/22 127/23 129/18 135/10 themes [1] 94/4 systems [7] 10/1 suppressing [1] 10/4 10/5 24/4 58/18 terms [64] 6/9 9/19 137/10 139/25 140/24 themselves [10] 15/6 47/16 22/19 45/8 131/17 133/4 133/14 11/7 15/7 17/4 17/18 147/8 147/9 155/11 sure [28] 3/24 6/2 17/22 19/12 23/1 23/4 158/19 159/8 159/10 134/1 135/5 141/14 22/23 38/15 39/16 24/20 25/1 25/8 28/8 159/18 159/19 150/12 150/17 154/25 44/23 66/11 67/21 table [2] 59/1 84/24 39/23 40/8 40/25 41/2 thank you [55] 1/14 then [52] 4/2 9/24 72/17 72/20 73/10 tablet [1] 29/1 41/7 42/24 48/9 54/19 29/9 29/14 30/10 12/9 21/15 24/6 27/10 76/21 77/5 77/20 84/1 tabletop [2] 10/3 55/9 56/16 57/22 30/19 31/18 34/2 27/25 28/23 33/25 85/10 85/16 88/3 62/22 60/18 62/15 63/5 64/6 48/20 54/17 60/16 36/15 36/18 36/25 110/3 114/25 132/22 tailored [2] 92/6 92/7 67/24 69/20 70/19 71/11 72/2 74/7 74/10 43/20 50/2 56/12 132/23 135/21 135/25 take [25] 29/1 30/22 70/20 72/7 72/15 74/12 74/13 74/16 56/20 57/10 63/11 154/7 154/8 154/11 31/10 45/8 47/7 47/8 72/22 81/8 86/23 99/7 74/24 75/7 76/1 79/14 71/22 74/3 75/15 158/12 48/17 56/5 60/15 62/1 104/21 104/22 105/23 81/2 83/23 92/18 75/16 81/19 82/19 surge [1] 7/14 62/5 70/4 75/8 83/14 108/3 120/20 120/21 92/19 93/8 95/25 83/20 87/13 94/13 surprise [2] 89/23 87/2 92/4 99/18 124/25 125/25 127/2 101/14 106/4 106/10 96/18 97/5 97/23 142/16 117/16 122/15 125/12 133/15 134/20 143/12 106/11 110/21 112/1 98/15 99/1 112/12 surrounding [1] 134/8 139/19 149/14 143/22 145/18 147/11 112/7 112/8 113/16 115/18 119/21 123/2 26/12 149/15 157/22 147/12 148/4 152/9 121/8 121/11 121/13 123/18 123/20 126/12 Surveillance [1] taken [16] 36/4 62/20 152/15 153/11 153/13 121/20 121/23 121/24 126/22 127/10 129/3 18/13 77/17 81/19 82/6 153/13 153/23 154/9 122/11 122/15 122/24 129/8 131/22 131/22 **survive [1]** 6/3 82/15 86/11 89/1 98/9 157/18 124/19 129/18 135/10 136/14 144/6 144/8 suspect [3] 36/22 106/1 119/14 123/12 tertiary [2] 96/9 137/10 140/24 147/8 152/23 154/15 154/25 74/11 100/5 134/12 135/18 139/11 96/14 155/11 159/10 159/18 155/3 sustain [1] 76/15 139/16 test [2] 38/13 92/25 159/19 therapeutics [2] 28/8 Sustainable [2] takes [6] 37/17 67/23 28/17 testing [13] 21/19 **thankfully [2]** 32/19 75/20 99/21 113/20 116/3 127/5 21/20 21/24 22/2 23/9 38/6 there [227] Swan [14] 38/16 54/2 147/3 28/9 34/11 42/17 43/3 thanking [1] 74/20 there's [11] 9/23 60/22 62/10 62/19 taking [5] 55/17 46/17 47/14 64/10 thanks [2] 3/2 31/3 25/11 27/18 88/25 64/14 64/16 64/19 112/13 117/23 118/15 64/13 that [1053] 89/4 91/15 110/6 64/24 65/3 65/16 152/19 tests [1] 28/1 **that's [42]** 19/12 32/5 127/4 146/6 150/9 89/18 90/3 91/3 talk [3] 26/1 28/7 text [1] 47/4 41/24 45/1 49/8 53/11 155/1 swine [13] 4/18 32/9 28/7 than [41] 3/25 4/12 54/17 59/19 60/1 thereafter [4] 34/1 35/11 37/19 38/1 38/5 talked [1] 157/13 5/7 5/9 6/25 10/9 65/19 69/7 74/8 76/6 37/3 82/20 100/24 55/24 55/25 57/8 57/9 talking [7] 3/25 28/1 14/25 22/4 22/4 22/19 79/5 80/6 83/9 84/12 thereby [1] 140/22 79/22 80/6 81/6 42/16 54/11 153/7 25/23 32/19 34/9 38/6 93/21 95/10 100/18 therefore [17] 5/7 6/6 swine flu [13] 4/18 156/20 156/23 38/8 38/18 38/22 103/25 109/22 110/4 6/12 6/17 31/15 32/24 32/9 35/11 37/19 38/1 task [1] 146/11 39/25 43/20 46/12 110/5 110/18 113/4 33/14 41/16 47/24 38/5 55/24 55/25 57/8 tax [1] 148/11 46/21 52/9 55/22 114/5 117/21 122/3 50/6 60/25 65/2 70/22 57/9 79/22 80/6 81/6 **Tayside [2]** 75/14 62/18 63/20 65/4 122/19 122/23 127/22 74/4 105/20 135/20 Swinney [22] 74/17 75/16 73/16 73/19 92/12 131/20 134/6 143/20 140/15 74/18 74/20 74/25 team [9] 14/17 14/17 144/8 145/14 145/14 these [34] 4/11 4/19 94/23 97/10 102/3 75/9 84/7 86/21 88/3 15/2 17/8 20/24 36/10 102/6 120/9 132/25 147/4 148/5 148/7 7/19 8/4 8/6 8/16 21/7 88/19 92/1 92/20 89/2 124/14 127/16 137/1 144/14 145/17 22/18 23/25 24/12 151/17 93/11 99/17 101/8 teams [6] 19/22 145/18 146/8 155/22 their [34] 3/8 12/9 32/17 34/16 34/23

16/6 16/9 17/21 18/15 Tier [2] 52/18 53/2 Т think [211] touched [2] 38/3 thinking [20] 4/13 18/18 18/22 19/5 20/3 tiers [1] 128/16 101/17 these... [21] 34/24 time [63] 5/11 10/4 towards [1] 100/6 8/13 9/12 14/19 17/17 20/4 20/4 21/1 22/23 38/19 43/10 56/18 11/23 11/24 12/12 24/20 25/1 25/6 25/7 23/3 23/12 26/1 26/5 tracing [5] 42/17 57/23 58/25 59/19 27/5 40/17 40/23 28/22 29/21 30/24 12/13 13/15 14/20 43/3 46/17 47/14 64/8 65/11 82/23 83/1 83/3 41/19 46/15 48/4 31/3 31/5 31/14 34/21 17/14 20/23 22/7 track [1] 63/16 83/22 85/21 85/23 48/11 55/16 85/25 36/13 36/23 41/20 23/17 24/14 30/21 trade [1] 8/11 96/14 100/7 103/21 87/6 124/10 44/11 44/21 55/16 33/7 36/25 44/9 45/22 trained [1] 1/16 111/4 112/24 114/10 49/12 49/18 50/5 52/2 training [2] 1/17 third [21] 68/20 69/4 56/7 57/12 58/12 137/22 69/6 72/5 76/14 61/25 62/1 62/8 63/25 52/17 52/25 54/9 80/13 they [109] 4/12 8/8 106/21 107/3 107/21 64/10 66/6 66/12 55/15 57/17 57/17 transcend [1] 56/12 12/8 17/24 20/8 21/7 57/21 61/8 61/24 63/6 transcript [1] 122/6 107/22 108/7 108/10 71/25 72/6 73/1 79/13 21/10 24/1 24/5 24/6 63/15 65/17 65/18 108/12 108/15 108/19 82/4 84/23 85/8 86/2 transfer [1] 132/4 24/14 25/1 27/2 28/2 108/21 110/3 110/7 87/17 88/8 89/17 74/11 77/9 78/2 78/23 translation [2] 20/6 28/6 28/10 28/11 112/12 114/4 115/21 89/22 91/5 91/13 79/10 83/10 84/13 21/12 28/12 28/12 29/4 29/5 transmissibility [1] 137/8 93/20 94/3 94/8 94/22 88/7 91/10 93/5 34/15 34/15 34/18 103/4 107/5 112/7 102/17 102/23 104/12 40/25 thirdly [1] 9/12 47/7 50/15 51/7 54/10 105/16 113/18 114/13 transmission [4] this [144] 1/4 1/14 112/15 112/15 115/22 54/11 55/9 55/10 41/2 41/22 42/24 4/6 4/8 5/4 8/14 10/7 127/12 127/20 128/11 114/15 115/6 117/23 55/21 56/1 56/15 14/15 15/7 15/10 129/9 135/2 141/1 117/24 121/4 124/14 94/18 56/15 58/15 58/18 15/21 16/4 17/9 17/18 137/12 138/7 138/10 144/15 144/16 145/7 transparency [1] 58/25 72/6 77/20 85/7 20/10 23/11 23/20 145/25 149/25 150/12 147/13 150/20 154/22 14/12 85/16 94/13 103/6 24/16 26/18 26/21 151/23 152/21 153/11 timely [2] 139/12 transparent [3] 13/21 103/7 108/8 108/13 30/11 30/20 31/1 156/12 140/23 14/4 16/7 108/14 111/2 115/23 31/13 31/21 35/16 though [9] 40/2 timeous [1] 90/22 Transport [1] 123/14 117/5 117/10 120/8 36/3 37/17 38/3 39/17 53/22 55/23 64/23 times [2] 128/3 travel [3] 8/2 8/11 121/4 126/9 127/1 40/21 43/11 45/24 65/4 88/12 89/14 146/22 107/25 127/11 127/21 128/6 46/18 47/1 47/13 125/16 158/18 timescale [1] 102/21 Treasury [1] 122/22 128/21 129/5 129/10 timetables [1] 91/23 47/19 49/22 50/24 thought [16] 4/9 5/10 treated [1] 142/11 130/15 130/15 130/17 13/16 14/21 15/21 52/6 52/16 55/12 tin [1] 44/17 treatment [2] 23/22 130/24 131/16 131/20 56/10 56/17 62/7 67/6 15/22 15/25 17/9 title [2] 84/2 133/11 28/1 131/21 132/1 132/14 67/12 69/24 70/17 17/10 26/23 46/19 today [7] 2/3 22/9 **Trial [3]** 20/1 21/3 132/19 132/20 132/20 71/1 75/1 75/11 77/7 70/25 120/24 130/3 22/11 31/16 40/5 74/9 28/17 133/13 134/2 134/15 79/1 79/6 80/11 81/3 130/6 130/8 121/23 trials [4] 3/16 21/6 134/19 137/18 138/10 81/10 81/15 81/15 THRC [1] 82/18 today's [1] 21/16 28/17 28/18 138/16 139/3 139/10 82/21 83/16 84/13 threads [1] 23/3 together [25] 9/25 tried [3] 14/23 38/8 139/12 141/13 142/5 12/4 12/4 12/10 13/23 107/18 84/22 86/14 88/3 threat [2] 5/18 89/10 144/8 145/1 145/3 88/17 89/24 90/4 90/5 threats [4] 82/18 17/15 22/20 22/24 Tropical [1] 1/21 146/3 146/15 146/15 92/22 93/3 93/6 93/25 83/8 85/16 117/5 22/25 23/3 28/2 28/5 troubled [2] 109/21 146/16 149/8 149/9 94/5 94/11 95/17 96/9 three [10] 21/24 29/5 34/20 60/4 92/20 110/9 149/14 149/15 150/6 104/23 106/25 107/22 true [8] 4/6 4/7 6/19 96/19 96/24 97/15 23/12 24/1 59/14 63/7 150/13 151/3 151/5 98/11 98/21 99/1 63/24 67/5 68/16 115/8 116/8 127/12 6/20 35/3 55/13 75/1 152/12 152/17 152/24 99/10 100/8 100/18 85/11 156/8 129/6 134/19 138/21 122/12 153/12 154/7 154/8 100/22 101/4 101/8 three months [2] told [4] 35/12 104/11 | trust [5] 1/24 2/9 154/22 158/7 101/16 101/18 104/6 114/7 143/18 19/20 139/7 140/15 21/24 67/5 they'd [2] 21/1 21/2 Trust's [1] 24/10 104/8 105/16 106/19 three years [1] 24/1 tomorrow [2] 116/22 they're [11] 8/5 17/23 109/13 110/23 111/12 through [31] 12/10 trusted [3] 119/7 159/13 24/13 83/6 115/20 112/4 112/23 113/18 14/4 14/11 17/11 tomorrow's [1] 21/16 137/19 140/8 117/3 132/14 132/23 truth [2] 32/3 87/20 114/5 114/7 114/13 19/22 20/2 20/3 25/11 tone [1] 57/22 134/24 149/7 149/16 114/13 114/14 114/21 26/13 27/10 36/10 tongue [1] 106/6 **try [15]** 39/10 45/8 they've [3] 149/23 115/5 115/6 115/12 38/19 70/4 71/25 too [10] 5/24 16/23 46/20 47/8 83/11 149/24 150/4 117/19 118/6 118/19 72/20 73/12 77/2 82/6 16/23 24/22 43/12 93/23 99/9 105/20 thing [8] 9/15 14/14 119/5 119/14 121/16 91/3 97/5 103/11 55/10 56/3 73/19 107/11 107/23 115/22 16/5 23/16 94/19 122/2 122/8 122/21 106/24 108/6 110/2 84/18 127/3 123/2 133/6 149/24 135/4 147/23 153/7 124/7 125/11 126/1 132/22 134/22 136/17 took [7] 38/1 63/8 154/11 things [27] 4/19 5/11 126/4 126/21 126/23 141/13 149/21 153/18 65/6 79/11 91/6 98/23 trying [10] 9/24 44/21 13/18 14/2 17/11 131/9 133/3 137/11 46/11 47/19 87/8 95/1 157/8 112/4 19/11 25/6 32/17 137/16 138/1 138/7 throughout [6] 2/10 tools [2] 48/4 48/11 98/25 99/7 115/24 36/23 43/10 47/7 50/7 top [3] 4/3 85/6 144/3 138/12 139/7 140/2 10/22 13/15 96/15 133/23 56/19 72/17 91/20 142/19 143/18 144/9 110/3 119/23 **topic** [2] 57/19 Tuesday [2] 21/10 113/20 125/10 128/14 147/13 149/18 150/18 throw [1] 17/8 101/15 23/22 132/24 132/24 134/5 topical [1] 86/6 153/18 156/9 158/15 thrown [1] 25/18 turf [1] 18/1 135/24 138/16 143/1 those [86] 3/4 3/6 4/4 **Thursday [1]** 1/1 totally [3] 2/6 10/6 turn [3] 19/16 39/20 143/16 152/25 153/7 7/13 10/4 10/5 11/10 thus [1] 152/3 143/20 132/20

T	undermined [1]	14/22 15/24	61/24 66/16 83/22	24/3
turned [3] 38/6 39/1	140/14	universities [1] 52/14		very [113] 2/6 3/2
42/7	undermining [1] 140/22	University [2] 1/19 1/20	95/21 106/17 106/23 107/4 109/5 111/8	4/24 4/24 6/2 6/24 12/7 12/19 12/21
turning [2] 24/17	underneath [4] 38/21	unless [1] 22/12	115/13 119/7 120/1	13/16 15/18 15/25
54/18	42/10 43/18 128/18	unmitigated [2]	120/17 121/2 128/6	16/24 22/16 24/24
tweak [1] 39/14	underpin [1] 115/17	94/22 96/3	135/14 143/18 153/21	25/20 26/10 26/17
tweaked [1] 38/23	underprepared [1]	unnecessary [1]	155/1	27/10 27/11 28/6 29/6
tweaking [2] 39/3 39/4	21/15	133/9	use [13] 24/5 39/14	29/7 29/9 29/10 30/25
twice [1] 154/13	understand [18] 41/1	unprecedented [3]	70/20 96/5 96/20 97/7	31/17 37/12 41/5
twice-yearly [1]	59/17 59/23 61/13	5/17 97/7 97/20	102/9 103/10 119/10	43/22 45/19 45/19
154/13	69/1 82/15 82/16 117/2 125/9 131/20	unpredictability [4] 6/5 6/6 6/17 42/21	123/9 135/21 141/15 148/16	47/14 48/20 50/4 50/19 51/11 51/11
two [18] 13/18 22/7		unpredictable [2]	used [3] 22/4 141/9	53/18 54/17 55/12
23/25 35/20 38/1	139/5 141/18 143/10	41/16 41/20	150/14	55/14 55/14 57/11
39/11 46/15 59/14 63/11 67/12 94/7	144/7 148/9	unreservedly [1]	useful [3] 34/18 40/3	58/12 63/6 69/8 73/3
117/10 124/7 126/7	understandable [1]	114/23	60/10	73/18 74/8 75/4 76/5
134/5 141/1 142/19	63/13	until [5] 28/22 33/20	usher [1] 1/8	81/7 81/11 82/2 82/23
143/1	understanding [13]	33/24 75/11 159/21	using [4] 24/5 143/5	83/1 83/6 85/7 86/6
two days [1] 22/7	11/8 18/5 20/9 21/12	unusual [2] 150/15	143/6 152/1	87/8 88/25 89/9 92/23
two years [1] 67/12	39/8 61/19 73/7 93/2 103/15 141/8 142/2	150/15 unwarranted [1] 5/13	usually [2] 133/3 155/23	94/14 94/15 95/5 98/19 99/10 100/13
type [5] 39/25 41/4	140/40 450/5	unwieldy [2] 14/8	utility [7] 11/23 20/22	100/25 101/5 101/7
100/18 115/23 129/12	understood [4] 129/2		24/14 41/10 41/11	103/13 107/6 108/16
types [4] 64/10 94/18 128/7 129/1	136/1 137/18 142/5	up [37] 10/17 10/18	42/6 69/22	110/9 111/8 115/2
typhoid [1] 21/2	undertake [2] 80/8	14/23 16/20 20/14	V	116/15 116/16 116/18
	140/23	21/19 21/23 22/1 22/6		116/18 116/21 117/6
U	undertaken [8] 85/10 89/2 89/12 91/2 91/15			117/7 120/1 120/2 121/10 121/11 126/4
UK [48] 4/10 6/19	98/13 105/8 119/9	78/22 79/10 84/3	20/24 28/18	127/23 128/22 132/9
7/17 7/21 11/2 12/2 17/11 18/19 19/15	undertaking [1]	84/13 86/10 86/19	vaccines [6] 21/1	132/21 136/7 137/4
19/19 19/24 20/10	87/15	88/13 91/20 92/17	21/2 21/3 23/10 28/2	145/4 145/15 146/1
20/10 21/15 21/25	unevenly [1] 96/15	95/17 100/17 102/5	28/9	146/6 146/6 147/8
22/17 24/8 27/6 27/8	unexpected [1] 7/15	112/8 113/3 122/4	Vallance [1] 15/15	147/9 149/17 149/21
36/4 37/1 38/16 46/2	unfair [1] 66/20	123/7 124/23 135/3 136/17 147/13	value [12] 11/23 20/22 21/10 21/11	150/18 154/14 154/21 158/8 158/13 158/19
55/8 59/6 59/10 59/10	unfold [3] 35/4 45/13 46/6	update [6] 39/15	24/5 24/13 82/21	159/8
59/14 61/8 62/1 62/3	unfolding [1] 91/7	66/23 88/8 88/16	87/14 125/6 150/8	via [1] 1/10
62/5 67/22 68/22 70/10 78/1 82/17	unforeseen [1] 29/23		150/13 150/16	video [1] 113/15
86/13 87/21 88/4 92/5	fa	updated [13] 34/7	valued [1] 20/21	videolink [2] 1/10
97/11 101/23 102/12	14/24 15/14 28/16	37/14 37/15 37/19	values [1] 115/17	114/1
105/5 109/7 109/11	35/25 40/1 91/19	49/2 62/16 88/5 88/5	vantage [1] 9/2	Vietnam [5] 1/22
111/3	114/1	88/21 90/2 97/25 142/4 143/8	variables [1] 98/17 variations [1] 144/15	3/11 7/5 27/9 27/12
UK Government [3]	unintended [1] 46/9 Union [1] 52/13	updates [1] 90/22	varies [1] 149/3	view [23] 5/3 7/23 8/6 9/3 11/14 13/19 14/3
46/2 78/1 82/17	unions [1] 124/23	updating [4] 39/16	variety [3] 39/5 93/20	17/20 21/8 22/10 24/2
UK influenza [1] 88/4 UK's [4] 21/7 96/10	unitary [1] 128/16	49/6 62/19 64/4	133/6	24/19 37/17 38/22
97/19 155/14	United [27] 2/22 3/21	uphold [1] 149/24	various [9] 15/7 56/9	66/7 68/19 77/25 84/9
UK-wide [4] 36/4	6/16 10/16 10/24	upon [9] 12/19 26/24	57/3 68/13 72/7	96/25 119/3 140/1
37/1 87/21 92/5	12/18 14/22 15/24	44/19 81/15 88/1	123/10 123/10 146/22 159/15	
ultimate [2] 50/17	32/10 36/20 37/5 49/17 52/19 55/2	101/17 105/21 151/19 157/24	vary [1] 55/18	views [4] 26/23 34/17 99/24 145/15
76/17	57/25 59/5 59/8 60/23		vast [1] 116/20	villages [1] 8/21
ultimately [5] 38/24 41/8 53/2 69/20	86/22 87/20 92/12	upon: firstly [1] 9/8	VCS [14] 154/12	viral [5] 19/2 41/15
152/16	92/14 100/23 101/20	urban [1] 146/6	154/16 155/2 155/5	41/22 42/21 42/25
unable [2] 112/10	103/16 105/13 111/1	urbanisation [2] 8/1	157/12 157/15 157/17	virus [4] 6/23 8/19
112/17	United Kingdom [14]	8/11	157/18 157/21 158/1	45/24 46/3
uncertainty [1] 59/24	2/22 6/16 10/16 10/24 12/18 32/10 36/20		158/2 158/10 158/11 158/17	viruses [4] 6/5 6/11 6/11 6/17
uncompleted [1]	37/5 49/17 55/2 57/25	4/25 8/9 8/13 14/15 17/14 17/18 21/22	VCSE [1] 153/19	vital [6] 10/11 11/8
63/23	92/12 92/14 105/13	25/17 25/18 25/25	ventilated [2] 50/13	23/6 23/8 93/3 158/11
under [9] 8/22 12/4 37/4 37/12 83/6 91/7	United Kingdom's [1]		53/15	voice [4] 16/1 30/12
114/9 123/10 130/18	3/21	35/25 37/17 40/11	versions [1] 38/23	110/3 122/4
1.1.2 1.20, 10 100, 10	United States [2]	45/19 52/17 61/17	vertical [3] 9/22 10/1	voluntary [13] 31/6

19/4 19/9 21/21 22/10 87/14 99/3 108/10 we're [17] 7/7 7/9 widespread [1] 6/8 22/3 23/18 24/22 26/7 22/11 22/14 25/9 26/7 114/8 119/22 127/8 will [63] 7/23 8/25 voluntary... [12] 26/7 29/8 48/16 63/8 26/7 26/8 26/21 26/24 128/15 129/25 132/3 9/16 10/3 10/8 21/15 108/5 153/16 153/24 66/18 66/19 87/16 27/4 28/3 28/24 30/13 132/20 132/24 133/4 24/3 25/14 28/22 154/2 155/13 155/16 115/24 138/14 154/18 32/24 34/15 34/25 133/24 142/21 145/20 29/18 31/21 31/22 155/19 155/22 156/3 159/13 35/2 35/7 35/12 35/13 146/5 148/7 149/9 31/23 35/22 35/24 156/11 156/14 157/5 35/24 37/1 38/5 40/1 153/19 36/10 36/12 44/16 we've [11] 32/16 volunteering [1] 43/16 49/25 62/3 40/7 40/21 41/1 41/20 whereby [1] 141/23 44/17 51/5 54/1 55/19 154/5 65/25 99/14 99/25 43/17 43/18 44/2 wherever [1] 101/25 56/14 57/19 57/20 vulnerabilities [2] 116/12 119/24 131/2 44/17 45/4 45/15 58/19 58/25 71/20 whether [24] 16/19 70/14 109/8 146/23 46/23 47/1 48/8 50/9 33/16 42/9 45/5 46/8 72/14 72/17 72/24 vulnerable [4] 109/18 weaknesses [1] 35/8 50/16 51/7 54/8 55/5 50/17 56/4 58/9 59/18 73/23 74/11 82/16 153/9 153/12 156/13 61/12 63/4 66/6 71/12 weapons [1] 19/7 59/24 83/4 85/11 85/5 88/3 89/8 93/10 W 72/5 76/7 77/2 81/8 94/13 95/10 110/4 94/13 96/14 97/1 wearing [1] 26/22 weather [6] 80/7 83/5 81/17 83/9 85/15 87/8 111/6 111/20 114/12 97/22 98/17 104/8 walks [2] 17/1 17/24 86/4 92/10 94/7 114/8 89/6 90/9 91/15 92/1 115/20 142/24 147/17 107/13 107/14 107/15 **Walport [1]** 116/2 152/10 153/7 157/10 93/20 96/13 98/13 107/16 114/25 117/2 websites [1] 151/13 want [24] 5/21 10/5 Wednesday [1] 29/23 98/18 99/6 99/14 117/21 122/7 127/20 which [163] 17/4 31/3 66/5 73/9 while [3] 58/13 67/13 week [4] 23/23 37/22 99/24 99/25 100/21 127/21 129/24 135/23 73/15 78/21 78/23 107/18 108/2 108/4 147/11 149/8 149/13 121/16 159/12 68/15 79/13 83/24 88/1 151/15 152/3 152/4 weekly [1] 83/21 108/13 108/19 109/4 whilst [8] 5/19 11/2 91/18 93/9 101/15 weight [1] 34/14 109/16 113/25 114/4 25/14 28/16 30/11 159/16 106/12 109/3 109/23 welcome [3] 100/25 114/7 115/15 116/3 48/2 58/11 61/4 willing [1] 46/6 110/22 115/3 119/19 117/6 151/25 116/17 117/3 118/9 whim [1] 56/4 willingness [1] 137/12 146/3 147/10 welcomed [1] 106/2 120/12 123/24 128/6 Whitty [2] 11/1 37/21 140/16 wanted [7] 30/22 winter [5] 83/5 86/4 129/23 130/21 131/6 well [53] 3/3 6/2 6/20 **who [45]** 1/5 3/4 3/8 91/24 113/6 114/5 92/10 94/7 114/8 7/7 7/20 7/25 10/12 133/23 134/15 135/14 4/21 4/25 7/8 15/5 115/25 124/9 139/10 12/14 14/21 16/10 136/13 137/21 137/22 15/23 18/6 18/21 wiser [1] 28/15 warning [1] 122/25 17/5 17/19 19/20 27/7 137/23 138/10 138/14 18/21 24/15 29/21 wish [2] 29/10 91/25 **warnings** [1] 7/18 30/24 31/4 31/7 76/13 withdrew [4] 29/15 39/3 43/15 47/21 55/9 138/19 139/5 140/25 was [384] 56/1 59/21 64/15 68/1 141/4 143/25 144/17 77/24 84/3 84/23 85/8 74/14 121/14 159/11 washing [2] 27/24 68/23 71/5 74/1 78/18 145/3 147/3 148/2 90/14 104/8 104/9 within [43] 3/24 4/23 28/12 83/12 83/22 84/8 149/2 149/7 149/13 108/2 111/24 114/15 11/19 14/20 15/17 wasn't [20] 15/16 87/16 90/19 94/9 150/8 152/5 152/9 116/2 117/3 124/15 16/3 40/17 51/16 21/25 40/21 43/5 95/13 95/14 98/13 152/13 152/16 152/16 132/11 133/13 133/24 51/17 67/23 68/22 46/19 50/21 51/23 98/21 100/6 105/13 153/4 153/10 154/17 137/2 141/14 141/25 78/3 82/24 87/6 89/2 59/22 60/20 60/24 109/3 110/18 116/21 154/22 156/3 156/17 142/9 142/13 145/2 89/3 89/15 89/22 91/1 65/17 65/17 76/5 120/23 121/3 124/11 146/14 153/11 154/24 91/17 92/7 93/18 94/1 what's [3] 125/5 77/15 84/16 88/5 129/2 134/19 135/17 129/13 144/7 155/9 156/13 158/6 94/2 94/8 95/4 97/1 90/21 103/19 120/20 98/25 100/4 100/9 137/6 144/4 146/3 whatever [7] 16/6 **WHO R [1]** 18/21 135/7 149/15 150/12 150/17 25/18 36/24 38/12 whole [13] 7/21 10/9 100/19 103/8 107/9 watch [2] 5/15 88/20 well known [1] 7/25 87/17 117/5 146/10 10/11 13/22 25/19 108/8 110/8 120/1 watching [1] 27/10 Wellbeing [2] 33/13 34/25 53/18 78/9 120/16 126/12 131/3 whatsoever [1] way [46] 5/17 8/16 107/14 117/4 133/4 147/6 149/8 153/19 34/1 134/25 14/16 15/10 16/7 19/4 Wellcome [5] 1/24 when [49] 7/11 10/5 136/9 136/22 157/25 22/2 23/18 23/20 2/9 19/20 19/25 24/10 12/14 14/21 20/1 20/7 whole-system [1] without [6] 20/13 30/17 35/5 40/3 42/1 24/3 24/6 24/15 25/20 136/9 20/16 25/24 67/18 Wellcome Trust [3] 43/25 55/12 57/2 1/24 2/9 19/20 27/25 28/7 33/17 wholly [6] 39/6 43/4 96/11 116/19 57/16 86/19 92/6 Wellcome Trust's [1] 35/25 38/4 38/7 40/12 46/17 60/19 61/9 witness [35] 1/4 94/21 99/2 104/22 24/10 43/22 45/11 55/21 63/23 29/15 29/17 29/19 105/3 114/22 114/24 Welsh [1] 26/11 56/25 63/12 63/12 whose [1] 79/17 30/4 31/25 32/2 50/4 124/10 125/11 126/6 went [3] 46/21 71/22 63/17 66/15 71/4 84/2 why [15] 4/14 49/9 53/12 74/14 74/16 126/25 133/23 134/1 88/7 90/4 91/11 74/22 86/8 100/8 72/20 59/15 60/11 60/12 135/15 139/13 142/11 142/22 144/23 145/23 were [171] 104/20 105/1 105/3 106/17 109/20 119/5 65/20 81/15 83/16 weren't [7] 18/16 108/14 123/16 127/13 100/6 102/20 105/8 121/14 121/15 121/25 146/1 146/9 146/10 60/1 60/12 60/13 87/7 132/14 134/25 136/22 114/5 124/5 130/1 122/2 122/9 135/8 146/17 147/8 149/18 138/23 139/3 139/15 139/20 147/20 148/5 146/15 152/6 133/16 149/21 154/6 156/8 West [1] 8/18 139/16 142/3 143/8 wide [5] 36/4 37/1 148/12 148/18 148/22 **ways [4]** 11/20 15/17 149/22 150/14 152/19 87/21 92/5 119/16 150/7 151/16 153/15 West Africa [1] 8/18 135/3 141/16 154/18 widely [4] 42/8 46/25 155/8 157/13 159/11 Westminster [2] we [285] where [31] 7/8 13/17 54/19 75/13 106/20 142/24 witnessed [1] 149/3 we'd [2] 86/6 108/12 what [134] 5/22 6/10 13/17 16/4 51/9 56/6 wider [8] 31/20 57/20 witnesses [3] 39/6 we'll [5] 36/21 38/15 6/12 8/13 8/20 8/25 58/21 63/18 63/24 93/20 95/3 98/19 88/2 152/4

83/14 84/12 87/7

40/5 116/22 121/25

9/15 11/6 16/14 17/7

(69) voluntary... - woefully

woefully [2] 21/15

127/15 139/14 149/2

W	world [2
woefully [1] 21/25	2/13 3/8
wolf [1] 4/11	7/17 8/9 13/2 13
won't [4] 6/2 27/22	21/12 2
49/22 71/1	78/17 1
wonder [2] 113/1	world's
113/2	worryin
word [3] 26/2 73/16 102/9	worst [9
wording [1] 114/5	45/13 4
words [2] 30/17	98/6 98
118/19	worst-c 45/13 4
work [73] 10/5 16/16	98/10 1
19/24 22/24 24/19	worth [
29/4 29/5 36/10 38/13 38/19 38/19 49/16	worthy
49/23 50/8 51/14 52/7	would [
52/15 53/21 54/4 54/5	wouldn
55/24 56/14 58/19	14/20 1
58/25 63/24 64/7 66/3	
71/3 71/5 71/10 72/13	84/21 8 wrestle
81/13 81/17 81/19	99/6
82/6 82/14 82/15	wrestle
88/16 88/17 89/1 89/5 89/11 91/2 91/15	wrestlir
94/11 96/24 98/12	99/12 1
98/22 98/24 104/22	written
104/23 115/19 116/8	Υ
127/21 128/2 133/5	Yeah [1
133/13 133/14 134/19	4/21 6/
134/22 135/9 138/7	23/14 4
138/18 140/11 144/8 145/25 146/3 146/19	99/23 1
148/23 154/4 154/5	year [9]
154/16 157/23	67/12 6
worked [7] 3/24	75/11 7 100/14
14/21 55/7 55/9 56/1	yearly [
99/2 146/13	VOORO IS
workers [2] 3/7 27/16	7/18 18
workforce [1] 31/5	19/24 2
working [31] 3/11 5/23 6/21 10/4 11/20	27/9 43
21/1 21/1 21/2 22/23	58/23 6
24/20 30/14 55/6	78/7 80 90/7 90
55/13 56/7 56/11	124/18
56/17 57/11 58/16	Yellowh
61/16 104/24 105/21	49/17 5
107/11 114/1 115/8 127/21 143/6 149/17	yes [10 ²
149/20 150/21 155/4	7/21 10
157/5	17/15 1
works [7] 115/14	30/2 32
124/8 144/10 146/2	33/6 33 33/24 3
146/9 146/10 153/21	39/10 3
workshopping [1]	43/8 43
153/6	49/15 5
workshops [4] 134/17 135/24 146/23	56/10 5
146/24	02/12 0
workstreams [7]	63/3 64 65/16 6
49/3 49/10 49/14	75/3 75
49/21 63/22 63/25	75/25 7
64/18	87/25 8

21] 2/1 2/4 2/7 8 4/15 5/9 5/16 9 11/12 12/11 3/3 19/16 20/4 3/24 31/20 16/13 **[2]** 20/8 20/8 ı**g [1]** 51/13 **91** 34/10 35/6 7/6 94/21 96/3 3/10 140/21 ase [7] 35/6 7/6 94/21 98/6 40/21 **11** 145/14 **[1]** 78/6 228] **'t [12]** 14/8 8/2 39/3 61/17 /10 73/9 73/15 8/10 104/24 **[2]** 91/17 **d [1]** 98/14 **ng [3]** 99/11 14/8 **[1]** 104/12 1] 3/2 3/23 19 8/3 9/15 1/13 84/15 06/16 7/2 20/20 8/12 75/1 6/4 90/5 **1]** 154/13 **26]** 4/2 6/21 3/12 19/18 1/4 24/1 24/9 /12 55/19 5/18 67/12 /4 81/14 83/4 134/11 134/13 nammer [3] 1/12 54/10 **1]** 1/3 2/2 2/6)/25 11/5 16/17 8/5 23/7 25/3 1/15 32/19 33/3 /18 33/22 5/18 36/9 9/24 41/6 43/7 3/20 48/14 3/19 54/3 8/2 61/23 2/23 62/25 /2 64/3 64/12 8/10 70/19 75/18 '6/6 79/5 81/13

87/25 88/6 88/14

90/25 93/7 94/17 99/14 99/16 102/2 102/6 102/6 102/20 102/25 103/3 103/20 103/25 106/3 111/22 113/5 113/13 114/17 115/11 120/7 126/4 126/20 128/7 130/14 130/20 131/12 132/15 136/21 137/3 138/13 138/22 143/19 144/15 Zika [2] 7/5 10/19 144/20 144/21 144/22 145/7 145/9 147/24 148/15 149/11 150/2 152/8 153/22 153/25 157/2 159/5 yesterday [4] 22/10 73/21 84/2 89/19 yet [6] 7/10 24/2 58/10 67/3 154/18 157/23 you [404] you know [24] 40/18 45/2 46/6 54/12 78/17 83/3 83/21 86/5 95/7 98/21 98/23 101/1 101/1 105/6 105/20 107/12 108/6 108/8 108/10 108/11 108/17 110/6 110/19 116/22 you'll [5] 6/3 22/6 67/4 130/21 136/11 you're [13] 3/10 6/24 22/4 22/11 27/20 28/1 43/17 53/17 59/17 71/2 122/3 125/23 138/11 you've [20] 8/3 9/7 18/16 18/25 22/8 22/8 23/10 31/25 32/6 42/3 46/14 50/2 68/3 74/21 83/25 121/24 121/25 131/3 133/15 156/17 young [1] 4/24 0/24 91/1 99/22 your [85] 1/12 1/15 2/3 2/10 5/23 7/23 9/17 9/18 10/22 11/11 13/5 18/9 18/25 24/19 29/8 30/7 30/10 30/12 30/15 30/16 32/3 32/7 39/23 43/25 44/15 44/24 46/19 46/20 46/22 47/13 48/6 50/2 50/16 57/24 61/13 69/19 73/6 75/2 75/13 76/2 76/5 77/8 78/23 82/15 84/13 86/8 88/20 92/1 92/19 92/21 95/15 99/17 99/24 100/5 106/17 106/23 110/24 115/6 116/1 117/18 118/19 118/19 121/12 121/21

122/2 122/4 122/7

122/9 122/13 123/4 123/9 123/24 124/5 125/23 126/1 128/1 130/7 147/21 148/23 150/7 152/14 153/15 156/20 159/3 159/8 yourself [3] 6/25 33/4 79/15

Z