1		Monday, 26 June 2023	1	MR	KEITH: Ms Reed, you have been a civil servant I think
2	(10.	29 am)	2		since April of 2003, and you've held a number of posts,
3	LAD	DY HALLETT: Mr Keith.	3		not just in the Department of Health and Social Care but
4	MR	KEITH: Good morning, my Lady. May I please call	4		also the Cabinet Office and the Government Equalities
5		Emma Reed.	5		Office; is that correct?
6		MS EMMA REED (sworn)	6	A.	Yes, it is.
7		Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY	7	Q.	Were you appointed to the senior civil service in April
8	MR	<b>KEITH:</b> Could you please commence by providing your name.	8		of 2013?
9	A.	My name is Emma Victoria Reed.	9	A.	Yes, I was.
10	Q.	Ms Reed, whilst you give evidence, could I remind you to	10	Q.	From November 2014 until June 2015, were you one of the
11		keep your voice up I don't think there will be	11		two deputy directors in the Department of Health and
12		a problem and also to make sure you speak clearly	12		Social Care, leading on the response to the Ebola
13		into the microphone so that your evidence may be	13		outbreak?
14		properly recorded.	14	A.	Yes, I was.
15		Have you kindly agreed to provide a statement to	15	Q.	Most significantly, are you currently director of the
16		this Inquiry?	16		directorate in the Department of Health and Social Care
17	A.	Yes, I have.	17		which is the directorate of Emergency Preparedness and
18	Q.	May we have, please, INQ000195847 up, please.	18		Health Protection?
19		Does that appear to be your statement?	19	A.	Yes, I am.
20	A.	It does.	20	Q.	Have you been in that post since February of 2018?
21	Q.	If we have the last page, you provided a signature and	21	A.	Yes.
22		a statement of truth.	22	Q.	Could you assist us, please, in broad terms, with the
23	A.	I did.	23		nature of the functions discharged within that
24	Q.	My Lady, may that be published, please?	24		directorate? We've heard a considerable amount of
25	LAD	DY HALLETT: Certainly.	25		evidence about the various functions in the
		1			2
1		Department of Health and Social Care, and I therefore	1		emergencies?
2		want to ask you what that particular directorate is	2	A.	Yes, it is.
3		concerned with. Is it, by way of commencement, the	3	Q.	Is it also part of your directorate's functions to be
4		directorate that discharges or plays its part in	4		concerned in that risk assessment process
5		discharging the duty on the Secretary of State for	5	A.	Yes, it is.
6		Health and Social Care by virtue of being a Category 1	6	Q.	of which we have heard? And is it also your
7		responder under the Civil Contingencies Act 2004?	7		directorate which liaises with bodies such as the
8	A.	Yes, but allow me to set out broadly where my	8		United Kingdom Health Security Agency and NHS England
9		responsibilities in the directorate fall, and they	9		and the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and
10		broadly fall into two different areas. I have	10		Communities, when it comes to assessing risk, managing
11		responsibility for health protection and health security	11		risk, preparing for health emergencies?
12		policy	12	A.	Yes, it is.
13	Q.	Can I come back to that, Ms Reed?	13	Q.	Importantly, given the extent of the burdens on you, is
14	Α.	Of course.	14		that why your directorate, the Emergency Preparedness
15	Q.	There is a method to my madness, I wanted you to set out	15		and Health Protection Directorate, has led the DHSC's
16		generically the functions of the directorate before we	16		response to all the major incidents to which you speak
17		look at health protection and health security.	17		in your statement, monkeypox, the Novichok poisoning,
18		So one of the major functions of the directorate is	18		the heatwave of 2022, and so on and so forth?
19		to discharge the duty on the Secretary of State by	19		Yes, it is.
20		virtue of being a Category 1 responder under the CCA; is	20	Q.	All right.
21	_	that correct?	21		So you've referred to health protection, and also to
22	Α.	It is, yes.	22		health security. What are they and what is the

24

25

23

24

25

**Q.** Is another responsibility to discharge whatever

functions are imposed on the DHSC by virtue of being the

lead government department when it comes to health

4

A. So health protection and health security policies form

part of half of my responsibility. The types of

difference between them?

- 1 policies that we have responsibility for in that area 2 includes pandemic preparedness, emerging infectious 3 disease, antimicrobial resistance. They are essentially about how the public health is protected but also what 4
- 5 threats, under infectious diseases, emerging diseases
- 6 and pandemics, may well be a risk to public health.
- 7 Q. So that's all under health protection?
- 8 A. And health security.
- 9 Q. Ah, they're together?
- 10 A. Yes, they are.
- Q. All right. Your statement refers to the directorate 11
- 12 having three branches, and you distinguish between
- 13 health protection and health security, but are they in
- 14 fact the same area?
- A. They are very closely related to each other, and sit 15
- 16 very closely adjoined.
- 17 Q. So which branch does the topic of pandemic preparedness
- 18 fall under?
- 19 A. Predominantly under health protection.
- 20 Q. Right.
- 21 Is there, in your directorate, a third branch called
- 22 the operational response centre?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. What does that do?
- 25 A. So that covers the responsibilities you set out at the
- 1 functions together to form what was then called the
- 2 operational response centre, that brings in EPRR
- 3 responsibility as well.
- 4 Q. I'm going to have to task you about your use of the word
- 5 "function". It's a word that --
- 6 A. I apologise.

- 7 No, no, there is no apology required. What do you mean
  - when you say it had different functions? Do you mean
- 9 there were different rooms, different operational
- 10 response centres, different groups of people, or it was
- 11 the same group of people just doing two different jobs?
- A. It was -- so by "functions" I mean a set of capabilities 12
- 13 of -- of -- ways of working that we use a manage
- 14 an emergency. In emergency response we had ones that
- 15 dealt with broader threats, and in the operational
- 16 response centre these were particular sets of products
- 17 and ways of working that were specifically focused on
- 18 no-deal exit.
- 19 Q. All right, so different jobs, but they were the same
- 20 people, they were just dealing with, at different times,
- 21 no-deal exit preparations or general EPRR responses?
- 22 Α. No, they were different people. We maintained
- 23 a capability to make sure that we were ready for any
- 24 type of emergency as separate from the work we did to
- 25 prepare for a no-deal exit.

- beginning of the questions which relate to the discharge 1
- 2 of the Category 1 responder capability and is about how
- 3 we prepare for threats and hazards that impact on public
- 4 health, and how we respond also to those threats.
- 5 So is it an emergency management centre? Does it deal 6 with crisis management?
- 7 A. It does. It delivers emergency preparedness, resilience 8 and response.
- 9 Q. Is the history, the etymology of the operational
- 10 response centre, that it was first created within Health
- 11 and Social Care to deal with the necessary preparations
- 12 for the no-deal EU exit, but latterly it is now the
- 13 crisis management centre in the Department of Health and
- 14 Social Care that deals with all emergency preparedness,
- 15 response and resilience issues?
- 16 A. That's not quite right. The department has had
- 17 a long-standing function that deals with emergency
- 18 preparedness, resilience and response, and that was
- 19 always part of the responsibility of this directorate
- 20 and was part of my responsibility when I took the post
- 21 in 2018.
- 22 In preparation for a no-deal exit we also developed 23 an operational response centre that was focused on those
- 24 particular capabilities for that threat, and following
- 25
  - the exit from the European Union we merged both of those
  - Q. All right.

1

5

6

- 2 They were under -- in the same part of my directorate,
- 3 but they were different sets of people.
- 4 Q. Right, that's clear, thank you.
  - My Lady's heard evidence about the high level risk register that was held in the Department of Health and
- 7 Social Care, and a departmental board meeting which held
- 8 what was called a risk deep dive into major infectious
- diseases within the department, how the department would 9
- 10 respond.
- 11 Could we please have INQ000022738 on the screen.
- 12 This is a document, Ms Reed, dated 28 September 2016, so
- 13 it's before your time, because, as you've told us, you
- 14 have been in post since February 2018.
- 15 If we look at page 2, please, would you just read 16
  - the middle bullet point within the red box.
    - (Pause)
- 18 A. Thank you.
- 19 At the time that you took up your position as director
- 20 of the relevant directorate, to what extent did you
- 21 understand that steps had been taken to address that
- plainly very serious and real concern? Were you told 22 23 what had been done to raise awareness of the risk and to
- 24 plan for the immediate mobilisation of a large number of
- 25 staff in the directorate?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- A. I was not made aware of that particular commitment or
   issue raised in 2016.
- 3  $\,$  Q. Were you not told by anybody, "Ms Reed, congratulations,
- 4 you're the director of the directorate, you need to be
- 5 aware that the main departmental board for the entire
- 6 department, the DHSC, stated two years before there was
- 7 a very real concern that the entire directorate would be
- 8 rapidly overwhelmed in the event of a major pandemic,
- 9 and this is what we're doing about it"?
- 10 A. At the point that I took over the post, we did think
- 11 about the resourcing models and methods for escalating
- 12 and scaling up our resource if it was needed, but that
- 13 was never aligned to this discussion in 2016. It was
- 14 part of our regular resourcing considerations.
- 15 **Q.** Could we have the minutes of that departmental board
- meeting at INQ000057271, please, page 6.
  - Again, I emphasise, before your time, but there are, on page 6 -- I'm just going to refer you to them and then give you time to read them -- paragraphs 25 and 26,
- 20 these words:

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

5

- "It was more likely than not that even a moderate pandemic would overrun the system."
- So not the department, in fact, but the system, the government.
  - "At the extreme, there would be significant issues

9

- 1 for how the system would respond to a pandemic -- and by
- 2 the system I mean organisations in health and social
- 3 care -- was both a factor of our pandemic flu readiness
- 4 programme but also one of the learnings from
  - Exercise Cygnus, so the intent of that paragraph and the
- 6 issue relating to system overload was something that
- 7 I was aware of, yes.
- 8  $\,$  Q. In essence, these concerns were being addressed because
- 9 there were boards and systems and procedures otherwise
- in place to try to make sure the system was better
- 11 prepared?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. We'll look then at those boards in a moment.
- 14 An important part of your directorate's preparedness
- 15 arrangements was its -- and I'm now going to slip into
- the terminology -- ownership of a 2011 pandemic
- 17 influenza strategy, was it not?
- 18 **A.** Yes. Yes.
- 19 Q. Because that was a strategy dealing with influenza
- 20 pandemic, a health emergency, and therefore, by
- 21 definition, something within the reach of the
- 22 Department of Health and Social Care?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Or the Department of Health, as it was then known.
- 25 Can you recall what you understood when you took up

11

if it became necessary to track or quarantine thousands of people."

Then, at 26, concerns are expressed about how resilient the "somewhat fragmented system" would be, that is to say the government system for preparedness.

So would you just like to just reflect on those two paragraphs and then I'll ask you some questions.

(Pause)

- 9 A. Thank you.
- 10 **Q.** Do you recall when you took up post anybody briefing you
- 11 about the serious concerns expressed by the
- 12 Department of Health and Social Care's own departmental
- 13 board about whether or not there were systems in place
- 14 to track or quarantine thousands of people in the event
- of even a moderate pandemic?
- 16 A. There was no discussion with me about quarantining.
- 17 Q. What about track and trace, any discussion about that?
- 18 A. There was no discussion with me about track and trace.
- 19 Q. All right. Then, in relation to paragraph 26, did20 anybody at your very senior level in the department
- anybody at your very senior level in the department say,"Ms Reed, we've got concerns about how fragmented the
- 22 system for preparedness in the United Kingdom has
- 23 become, this is something that your directorate is going
- to have to grapple with"?
- 25 A. In the terms in which you set out, no. But the process

1

- 1 your post about the efficacy, the appropriateness, the
- 2 adequacy of that strategy, whether it was a good
- 3 strategy, whether confidence was placed in it, whether
- 4 it needed refreshing, whether it needed updating or
- 5 wholesale revision? Can you recall what the state of
- 6 play was?
- 7 A. As I recall, the view was that the strategy included
- 8 important component parts that would be used for
- 9 a pandemic influenza, that it had been tested through
- 10 Exercise Cygnus and there were elements of that that
- 11 needed to be enhanced, and that there was a work
- 12 programme under way through the Pandemic Flu Readiness
- 13 Board to deliver that.
- 14  $\,$  Q. Were you concerned by the fact that Exercise Cygnus
- 15 itself had concluded that the UK's plans, policies and
- 16 capability for preparedness were not sufficient to cope
- 17 with the extreme demands of a severe pandemic?
- So you've referred to Cygnus and your answer is
- essentially, "Well, I understand that Cygnus, [which had
   taken place before your time] had addressed elements of
- the strategy", but the Exercise Cygnus conclusion was
- rather more serious than that, wasn't it?
- A. It was very clear that there was a lot of work that thedepartment needed to do to improve its readiness for
- a pandemic influenza. If the question you're asking is:

- 1 was I concerned about that? Yes, I was concerned about 2 that, but I was also aware that by the time I'd started 3 in my post in 2018, a programme of work had been 4 established to address those concerns. 5 Q. It was therefore of central concern to you that those
- 6 programmes should continue, because they were put into 7 place for a good reason, namely to meet the serious 8 concerns of this -- of the departmental board's 9 observations, the outcome of Exercise Cygnus, and 10 a clear understanding that the 2011 strategy needed at 11 the least some work doing on it?
- 12 **A.** Yes.

15

13 Q. All right.

> That 2011 strategy was the only pandemic-scale strategy, wasn't it?

16 A. It's the only one that was centrally run by the 17 Department of Health, yes.

18 Q. Well, pandemic is a health emergency, it goes to the 19 heart of your department's functions. Who else would 20 have an overarching health emergency-related strategy 21 for pandemic influenza?

22 A. I would expect that key organisations responsible for 23 delivering pandemic influenza response would also have 24 thought through and have plans in place on how they 25 would respond, so that would include NHS England, Public

1 A. Thank you.

2 Q. You are not by training an epidemiologist?

3 A. No.

13

4 Q. Why did no one in the directorate, with an eye to that 5 bullet point, ask himself or herself, "We have 6 a strategy for dealing with influenza pandemic, but 7 because influenza pandemics are intrinsically 8 unpredictable, and because we may be struck by 9 a pandemic that is not influenza but is another viral 10 respiratory outbreak that is equally as unpredictable as 11 influenza and therefore equally catastrophic, we need to 12 have plans for that eventuality"?

Why was that question not asked?

14 A. The preparedness we developed for pandemic influenza was 15 based on the reasonable worst-case scenario, so 16 effectively every renewal of that risk assessment did 17 ask whether -- what the scenario would be that we ought 18 to prepare for, and on successive risk assessments the 19 risk assessment was the pandemic we should prepare for 20 was a pandemic influenza.

21 But those very same risk assessment processes referred, 22 of course, to the possibility or the risk of 23 a non-influenza pandemic, and those same processes 24 stated in terms that there were inherent variabilities, 25 that the next pandemic might or might not be influenza,

15

Health England and local delivery partners. 1

2 Q. In the event of a national crisis, in the event of, as 3 it turns out, a catastrophic health emergency, the 4 Department of Health and Social Care is the lead 5 government department which drives forward what is 6 required to be done to prepare for and, initially at any 7 rate, respond to that crisis?

8 A. That's correct.

9 Q. So what other strategies for dealing with 10 a pandemic-scale catastrophe were there than this single 11 document?

12 The Department of Health owned the single document for 13 the strategy for pandemic influenza preparedness.

14 Q. Right. It was the only strategy document, was it not?

15 A.

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16 There was no strategy document for anything other than 17 an influenza pandemic?

That's correct. 18 **A**.

19 Q. Could we have INQ000022708, page 14.

20 Three bullet points from the bottom, in 21 paragraph 2.21, there is a reference to the intrinsic 22 unpredictability of influenza pandemics.

Ms Reed, could you just have a read of that bullet point, please.

(Pause) 14

it might have the same characteristics, it could be just as deadly or more so, it could have higher transmission or less transmission, it could be just as severe or less severe.

Where were the plans for dealing with those eventualities?

Well, the plans that we developed and the mitigations we built were based on the risk that we had been informed was the most likely risk, that experts advised me and 10 colleagues that was the highest risk, and that was of 11 an influenza pandemic.

12 Alongside the influenza pandemic is a risk that 13 relates to emerging infectious disease, and in that risk 14 scenario we had prepared messages and responses that 15 would respond to that risk should that risk materialise.

16 Q. But you know very well, of course, that that risk, the emerging infectious disease risk, was predicated upon 17 18 and assumed confinement to health setting outbreak, that 19 is to say it wouldn't extend probably beyond health settings, and that there would be a very small, 20 21 relatively speaking, number of casualties and an even 22 smaller number of fatalities?

23 A. Yes, that's correct.

24 Q. Yes.

25

Could we look at page 57 in this document, please.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The 2011 strategy assumed -- and we can see at paragraph 7.5 -- that "staff absence is likely to be significantly higher than normal across all sectors", levels of absence may vary due to the size, and then if you could scroll back out, please, and in the middle of the page, 7.4:

"... the Government will encourage those who are well to carry on with their normal daily lives ... The UK Government does not plan to close borders, stop mass gatherings or impose controls on public transport during any pandemic."

Any pandemic.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

3

4

5

6

Between 2011, when this strategy was first made, Ms Reed, and 2020, when the non-influenza pandemic struck, are you aware in the Department of Health and Social Care of any person at any time questioning that statement, "the UK Government does not plan to close borders, stop mass gatherings or impose controls"? Was there any debate about the possible necessity of border closings, self-isolation, quarantine, mass quarantine, mandatory quarantine, or anything of that sort?

- 22 A. I'm not aware of any conversations on those areas of 23 mitigation, no.
- 24 Could we have INQ000023131, please. Q.

This is a pandemic preparedness meeting dated

1 responsibilities of Departments ... needs to be 2 developed.

> "Refresh of UK Pandemic Influenza Strategy -- Update the content of the ... Strategy to ensure that UK Pandemic Influenza preparedness and response policy is accurate and up to date."

- 7 A. Thank you.
- 8 Q. These areas of xwork which were not prioritised were of 9 fundamental importance, were they not, to the
- 10 United Kingdom and the Department of Health and
- 11 Social Care's ability to be properly prepared for
- 12 a pandemic?
- 13 A. They were important pieces of work in the pandemic flu readiness programme, yes. They were not the areas of 14 15
- Q. Are you suggesting, Ms Reed, that the bringing up to 16 17 date and making accurate of the United Kingdom's sole 18 strategy for influenza preparedness was not a matter of 19 very considerable importance?
- 20 A. No, sorry, allow me to clarify. These pieces of work 21 were important as part of the pan flu readiness 22 programme and they were important pieces within that 23 programme. However, as I am happy to expand, at that 24 period of time, in readiness for the potential 25 disruption of a no-deal exit, my view at that time was

19

November 2019, so on the eve of the pandemic, Ms Reed, but about a year and a half after you had taken up your post.

It's a meeting of a -- well, of, in fact, the Department of Health and Social Care, so it's not, I think, a -- it wasn't a PIPP meeting or a PFRB meeting, we'll come back to those in a moment, it's just a departmental meeting.

Page 5, I'll read out the relevant bit and then give you a moment to find the part on the screen.

On the right-hand side -- don't, please, scroll in, because I'll lose my way -- but on the right-hand side there is a heading "Areas of Work not Prioritised for the Next 6 Months:

"Adult Social Care -- The briefing paper which outlined plans to augment adult social and community care during a pandemic, was agreed by the former CMO [Professor Dame Sally Davies], CSA and CNO in July 2018. DHSC policy and social care team to work with [National Health Service England and Improvement] to agree next steps.

"Pandemic Influenza Public Health Communications Strategy -- The content was signed off ... but needs further work ... a Concept of Operations ... document to outline the ... command structure and the

1 preparing for a no-deal exit took precedent(sic) over 2 completion of some of these pieces of work for a short 3 period of time.

- 4 Q. Did you or anybody else when confronted with -- and it 5 was a Cabinet direction, wasn't it?
- 6 Δ Yes
- 7 Q. Work must be -- to use the etymology, the terminology, work must be prioritised, the euphemism for the 8 9 cessation or interruption or complete stopping of other 10 workstreams in order to be able to focus on preparations 11 for a no-deal EU exit, that came from the highest level,
- 12 did it not?
- 13 A. It did, yes. 14 Q. It did.

21

22

23

24

25

15 Did anybody in the Department of Health and 16 Social Care, which bore the primary responsibility for 17 getting the country ready for a health emergency, say, 18 "These important" -- you used the word vital, "These 19 vital parts of pandemic preparedness cannot afford to be 20 stopped"?

If I recall the process at that time, I was asked to look at which areas of work we would prioritise and de-prioritise in order to prepare for a no-deal exit, and in thinking through which areas of work I would de-prioritise and prioritise, I recall a submission

going to ministers to set out which areas of work I would recommend that we prioritised and deprioritised.

On the case of adult social care particularly, I think it may be helpful to add that my concern about the impact of adult social care as a result of a no-deal exit, a real and credible threat to that sector, was that that sector needed to prepare for and ready itself for a no-deal exit over the risk of a pandemic preparedness.

- 10 Q. The concern that flowed from not being ready for 11 a no-deal EU exit in the adult social care sector --
- 12 **A**.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 13 Q. -- was that there would be an interruption of services, 14 that's to say the availability of staff to work in the 15 sector, because of problems with employment and the 16 ability of individual members of the workforce to work 17 in the United Kingdom after an abrupt and traumatic 18 no-deal exit; also the supply of medicines probably?
- 19 Α. That's correct.
- 20 Q. So the two areas were workforce availability and supply 21 chains?
- 22 A. I would say they're two of the areas of concerns.
- 23 Q. What were the others?
- 24 A. I think financial stability of that sector was 25 a particular concern before a no-deal exit, and at that

A. I would believe so, yes.

- 2 Q. Yes. So let me put the question again: in terms of the 3 balance between the possible outcomes of an unprepared 4 no-deal EU exit and the appalling loss of life attendant 5 upon a pandemic for which no preparedness had been 6 carried out, why did no one say "We cannot afford to 7 stop the pandemic preparedness"?
- 8 A. I think in response to your question, there's a couple 9 of points I think are important to make.

The first one is that the adult social care sector had done some work in pandemic preparedness prior to the pausate of the work.

Secondly, I think the work that was done for Operation Yellowhammer was of benefit to our preparedness for a pandemic influenza.

Then the third point I'd make is that, in considering where to allocate resources, what I consider is: what is a real and present and credible threat versus the risk of a threat? And to try to strike the balance of where resources are allocated, I retained teamwork on pandemic preparedness, but I also allocated resources to deal with the real risk of a disruption through a no-deal exit.

23 24 Q. All right. May we then look briefly at the NSRA process 25 to which you've referred.

time we weren't certain what additional financial would 2 be on the sector as a result of a no-deal exit, so that 3 was an additional concern. 4

Q. Was it ever seriously considered by anybody in your 5 department that one of the consequences of an unprepared 6 no-deal EU exit would be the deaths of very large numbers of inhabitants of care homes? 7

8 A. I think that the human aspect and risks associated with 9 that relating to a no-deal exit were considered. 10 I don't have the details of what the risk assessment

11 said of a no-deal exit, but the risk of harm to the

12 public was absolutely a consideration. 13 In the risk assessment process, and the procedure was

14 updated, as you know, in 2016 and then 2019, what was 15 the assumed outcome of a severe influenza pandemic on

16 the United Kingdom in terms of fatalities?

17 A. If I recall, I believe the number to be about 8 --18 800,000, I think, but I'm recalling, I might have that 19 number incorrect.

20 Q. Around 800,000 deaths?

21 A. (Witness nods)

22 Of which, if the pandemic were to be particularly 23 dangerous to the elderly, a significant proportion of 24 those deaths would be in the care home sector, would 25 they not?

22

1 Can you recall what role you had in the 2 republication of, the re-issue of the NSRA process in 3

4 A. The National Risk Register's reassessment comes to my 5 team to lead the process for reviewing whether the risk 6 is still the same risk. One of my team led the work on 7 developing that risk assessment. I was aware of the 8 work at the time, that was led within my team.

Q. Not all the risks, indeed only a very small number of 9 10 the risks, fall within the reach of the Department of 11 Health and Social Care. Of course, disease is one of 12 them, perhaps the main one.

13 A. The department has a number of risks on the risk 14 register. Not all of the department's risks are -- fall 15 within the confines of my directorate's work. We deal 16 with emerging infectious disease risk and we deal with 17 pandemic risks, but there are risks that sit outside my 18 team in the other parts of the department.

19 Q. Do you accept, as Ms Hammond on behalf of the 20 Cabinet Office -- and of course the Cabinet Office and 21 the DHSC co-chair the Pandemic Flu Readiness Board -would you accept in relation to the DHSC, as Ms Hammond 22

23 accepted in relation to the Cabinet Office, that the 24 DHSC would have been better prepared for a pandemic

25 if -- had the reasonable worst-case scenario been

1 closer, a lot closer to the realities of Covid than it 2 was?

3 A. Yes, I think it stands to reason that we would have built a different set of responses and plans had the 4 5 risk that we were dealing with been a Covid risk.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

19

20

21

Q. There is evidence before my Lady that Dame Deirdre Hine in her review of the swine flu pandemic in 2009 had expressed some concerns about the adequacy of the RWCS, the reasonable worst-case scenario model.

Within the DHSC, as far as you're aware, were there concerns ever expressed about the adequacy of the RWCS model, and in particular the risk that by focusing on the assumed worst-case scenario it could lead to a tendency to stop thinking about how to prevent that worst-case scenario from actually happening?

- 16 A. In the way in which you ask, nobody had raised with me a concern about the process for developing the 18 reasonable worst-case scenario or that risk that we don't do work on the lead-up to that risk occurring, and I believe that with the emerging infectious disease risk, we had complementary capabilities in two different 22 sets of scenarios which would have -- which would have 23 addressed where those risks would have taken us.
- 24 Q. But of course, as you now accept, the scenario for new 25 infectious disease was predicated on a very limited

1 NHS England and Public Health England to ensure that the 2 plans were in place for managing that risk.

- 3 Q. I didn't suggest that you were less concerned. I said 4 what you had to do practically by way of mitigating the 5 risk was a great deal less than what you would have had 6 to have done had you been mitigating for a severe 7 national pandemic?
- 8 A. I think it's true to say that our work on pandemic 9 influenza was a greater responsibility for the 10 department, yes.
- Q. That work was framed by that 2011 strategy which said in 11 12 terms: you don't need to worry about things like borders 13 or quarantining or self-isolation or mass test and 14 trace. Because none of it was envisaged, was it?
- 15 A. I would say that -- I wouldn't necessarily say that it 16 was framed by that. It was -- the work that we did on 17 pandemic influenza was framed by a series of documents, 18 by Exercise Cygnus, by the risk registers across that 19 period of time. So it was a number of different 20 documents, including the 2011 strategy.
- 21 Q. When Mr Hancock MP became Secretary of State for your 22 department in July 2018, that was after you had been 23 appointed to your post as director of the EPHP 24 directorate. He was provided with a document. 25 INQ000181825, please.

outbreak with relatively very limited consequences?

2 A. Indeed, and the mitigations that we had in place for 3 managing that had been adequate for the outbreaks of 4 those emerging infectious diseases I experienced over 5 the five years of my appointment.

6 Q. Putting it another way, because the reasonable 7 worst-case scenario for a non-influenza outbreak was 8 described in such very limited terms, confined to health 9 settings, relatively small number of casualties, an even 10 smaller number -- tragic though they are -- of deaths, 11 much less was required of the department to mitigate for 12 that risk, because the risk, of course, had none of the 13 terrible catastrophic consequences that the Covid 14 pandemic resulted in?

15 A. Sorry, I --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

16 Q. Yes. You didn't have to do very much by way of 17 mitigating the new and emerging infectious disease risk, 18 because the risk was described in a very limited way. 19 It didn't have the catastrophic or national consequences

20 that a severe influenza pandemic would have or as Covid 21 had.

22 A. I wouldn't agree with the statement that there was less 23 for us to be concerned with, with relation to 24 a high-consequence infectious disease risk. They are 25 extremely serious, and we worked very closely with

Ah, I've got the wrong reference, that's his witness statement.

Could we have INQ000184105, instead, please. "Introduction to Emergency preparedness, resilience and response". So this was a paper which was prepared for him, I think at his request, he wanted some more information, about the -- well, England's emergency preparedness, resilience and response.

Could we go down, please, to paragraph 12:

"Following a national-level exercise in 2016 and a subsequent National Security Council (Threats, Hazards, Resilience and Contingencies) meeting in February 2017, a cross-Government Pandemic Flu Readiness Board ... was established to develop and manage the UK's preparedness for a flu pandemic ... The first year of the programme included the following work streams ..."

Then over the page, please:

- "- Response of the adult social care and community healthcare system.
  - "- Coping with excess deaths ...
  - "- Communicating legal, moral and ethical considerations."

That led to the MEAG committee being set up.

"- Keeping different sectors working with reduced staff numbers."

If that could be shrunk, please. 1

2

3

4

5

6

15

10

16

Then, at paragraph 13, reference to "mass casualty" planning".

Do you recall assisting in the process by which Mr Hancock was briefed in relation to the general state of preparedness?

- 7 A. I am not familiar and cannot recall specifically adding 8 to this briefing. I can say, and can recall, that when 9 new ministers arrive I do support Clara Swinson in 10 producing an assessment of the very current situation of risks and threats that the department faces as part of 11 12 new ministerial briefing, but I can't specifically 13 recall contributing to this particular one.
- 14 Q. At paragraph 12, the first few words are:

"Following a national-level exercise in 2016 ..."

16 Would that have been a reference to Exercise Cygnus, 17 do you think?

- 18 A. Looking at the reference to the Pandemic Flu Readiness 19 Board. I would assume it was in relation to
- 20 Exercise Cygnus and not Exercise Alice.
- 21 Q. Yes, because it was as a direct result of
- 22 Exercise Cygnus that the then Prime Minister directed in
- 23 the NSC(THRC) meeting that a board be set up and
- 24 a programme of work devised for the Pandemic Flu
- 25 Readiness Board?

29

- 1 When it came to hazards, it was pandemic influenza.
- 2 Q. What was the lead government department for pandemic 3
- 4 A. The Department of Health and Social Care.
- 5 Q. So are you not, therefore, somewhat surprised that there
- 6 was no reference to the fact that the greatest hazard
- 7 risk in the entirety of the government's book of risks
- 8 was a pandemic influenza and that the national level
- 9 exercise of Exercise Cygnus, which dealt with the
  - possibility of an influenza pandemic, had reached the
- 11 conclusions that it did in such serious terms?
- 12 A. I would not have expected that document at that time to
- 13 have included more information on that risk than it did.
- 14 It is also useful to recognise that there had been
- 15 a poisoning in Salisbury, there had been breast cancer
  - screening incidents, so it was in a context of a number
- 17 of different incidents that had occurred. I would
- 18 expect the risk register to have been referred to, as it
- 19 was in this document.
- 20 Q. May we then discuss in a little more detail some of the
- 21 exercises. You were concerned, because you were one of
- 22 the two deputy directors within the department leading
- 23 on the response to the Ebola outbreak, so you were
- 24 concerned very much with how the country -- the
- 25 department did respond?

That's correct. 1 Δ

- 2 Q. Looking back, are you surprised that there is no
- 3 reference in this paragraph to the conclusions of
- 4 Exercise Cygnus, which you described earlier yourself as
- being concerning, to the effect that the UK's 5
- 6 preparedness and response in terms of its plans,
- 7 policies and capability were not sufficient?
- 8 No, I wouldn't say that I was surprised that it didn't
- go into more detail in this note. From my brief reading 10 of this note, my assessment is that this was a very
- 11
  - early briefing given to our Secretary of State to set
- 12 out the range of threats and hazards that the department
- 13 faced.

9

14

15

16

17

18

In 2018 there had been a series of challenging incidents over the last five years of my role, 32 major incidents, not including anything relating to Covid. So it's very important at the very start of a secretary of state's tenure that they're clear about our risk assessment and their Category 1 responder

- 19
- 20 requirements. I would have expected reference to the
- 21 high-level risks on pandemic influenza and emerging
- 22 disease, but in the context of the wider threat
- 23 landscape.
- 24 Q. What was the highest risk in the entirety of the
- 25 government's risk assessment procedures?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. To what extent -- and I should say that -- was that
- 3 outbreak 2014/15, so not when you were director of the
- 4 EPHP, you weren't appointed to that post until
- 5 February 2018, it was whilst you were in a different
- 6 post?
- 7 A. That is correct.
- Q. All right. 8
- 9 To what extent were you concerned with taking on the 10 recommendations in the report on the Ebola outbreak once
- 11 the outbreak was over?
- A. Are you talking about at the immediate time or in my 12
- 13 current post?
- 14 Q. No, at the immediate time. So following the outbreak
- 15 obviously there was a certain amount of learning and
- 16 reports were produced dealing with the outbreak and what
- 17 could be learned from them, and making recommendations
- 18 as to the future. To what extent were you concerned
- 19 with that process?
- 20 A. I was involved in the lessons learned processes, there
- 21 were a number of different lessons learned processes
- 22 post the Ebola outbreak, and I moved to different roles
- 23 that were unconnected in this area in the intervening
- 24 period. So the work was continued by my colleagues.
- 25 Q. So you were involved in the lessons learned processes

1 but only for a while?

- 2 A. Some of the lessons learned processes. There was
- 3 a number of lessons learned processes.
- 4 Q. Right. You said the work was continued by your
- 5 colleagues because you moved to different roles. To
- 6 what extent were you concerned? For how long were you
- 7 involved in the lessons learning process?
- 8 A. I'm recalling that some of the lessons learned processes
- 9 were operational lessons learned, and some of them were
- 10 more detailed lessons learned, a series of sessions.
- 11 I was not involved in the more formal lessons learned
- 12 processes, if I can recall.
- 13 Q. The reason I ask, Ms Reed, is that, as you will no doubt
- 14 recall, one of the lessons, lesson 8, from the Ebola
- 15 report was that appropriate levels of PPE should be
- 16 maintained for ongoing infectious disease preparedness.
- 17 A second lesson, lesson 16, was that consideration
- 18 needed to be given to the development of the relevant
- 19 powers to allow stepped interventions from port through
- 20 to community, so, in a sense, social restrictions or
- 21 closing of borders or management of people and
- 22 gatherings. 23

Can you recall what steps were taken to pursue those

- 24 issues, to draw up further papers or develop the 25
  - thinking on PPE and social interventions?
- 1 intensive treatment regime for a high-consequence
- 2 infectious disease and what would be the appropriate PPE
- 3 required to manage those diseases.
- 4 Q. What about lesson 16 and the consideration of powers
- 5 that might be required to adopt interventions in the
- 6 community, so restrictions on movement or public
- 7 gatherings or border controls and so on? Do you recall
- 8 what work was done on those issues?
- 9 A. I don't recall the work that was done on those issues.
- 10 I am aware that there was a view that border
- 11 restrictions wouldn't be the appropriate response for
- 12 an emerging infectious disease or pandemic influenza.
- 13 Q. Of course, that's why it was the lesson in the report.
- 14 But was this not something that, at least subsequently,
- 15 as the director of the directorate, you would have seen
- 16 the outcome of the work done to put that recommendation
- 17 into place?
- A. I'm aware of work that Public Health England and 18
- 19 latterly Health Security Agency have been doing around
- 20 border measures. I'm not aware of any work that was
- 21 done to restrict border access.
- 22 Q. Exercise Alice was in 2016, wasn't it, and it was
- 23 an assumed large-scale outbreak of MERS coronavirus?
- 24 A.
- 25 That's correct. Was that an exercise in which the Q.

- So thinking about the PPE aspect of your question, PPE 1
- 2 and appropriate levels of PPE were part of the
- 3 mitigations that were recommended on the back of the
- 4 emerging infectious disease risk. Ebola is an emerging
- 5 infectious disease, it's a high-consequence infectious
- 6 disease, and would therefore have been dealt with under
- 7 the mitigations for that particular risk.
- 8 Q. Can I just pause you there?
- 9 Α. Yes, of course.
- 10 Is that a reference back to what you said earlier, which
- 11 is that of the two risks, health or disease-related
- 12 risks in the risk assessment process, you've got
- 13 influenza pandemic, with its assumed catastrophic
- 14 consequences, and then you've got the much narrower new
- 15 and emerging infectious disease risk, with the assumed
- 16 much narrower consequences, and therefore reference
- 17 to PPE would be a reference to the PPE required in
- 18 a health setting or in a much narrower way?
- 19 Α. That is correct. Ebola would have been classed as
- 20 an emerging infectious disease and would have been
- 21 treated as an emerging disease with the mitigations that
- 22 would be appropriate for the management of
- 23 high-consequence infectious disease. And with that,
- 24 your question around PPE, is that PPE advice that would
- 25 be given to us would be based on how you have that
- 1 Department of Health and Social Care was a participant,
- 2 an organiser, or just an observer?
- 3 The exercise was run by Public Health England and the
- 4 Department of Health and Social Care participated in
- 5 that. I wasn't in post at the time.
- 6 Q. But there were a number of recommendations made as
- 7 a result of the report following on that exercise, were
- 8 there not?

16

- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Those recommendations included issues such as developing
- 11 plans for or at least considering the need for
- 12 quarantine, self-isolation, the collection of data from
- 13 contacts, an enlarged process of community sampling --
- 14 of course, again, this was regarded as
- 15 a high-consequence infectious disease, it was a more
  - limited outbreak -- do you know what happened with those
- 17 lessons and the putting into place of practical measures
- 18 to give effect to them?
- 19 Yes. There were two piece -- bodies of work that were Α.
- 20 set up to lead pieces of work on how to respond to those
- 21 actions. One was developed by NHS England, they set up 22 a high-consequence infectious diseases programme. The
- 23 Department of Health was a participant to that piece of
- 24 work. And Public Health England set up a programme of
- work to also respond to the recommendations and the work 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

21

22

23

24

25

on high-consequence infectious disease.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

As I understand it, NHS England's board continues, and we still play an active role on that, and PHE's commitments have been embedded within their programme of work at UKHSA

Q. Both those workstreams, Ms Reed, were clinically related, weren't they? They were to do with how the NHS clinically would deal with the impact of a high-consequence infectious disease outbreak and how Public Health England would deal, I suppose, semi-clinically, with the outcome of an outbreak.

Where was the work done by the DHSC by way of plans for quarantine, self-isolation, enhanced community sampling and collection of data?

15 A. I would say that the recommendations were both clinical 16 and operational, and that the clinical and operational 17 elements of them were led by NHS England and Public 18 Health England, with bodies that we were on to support. 19 In your -- answer to your question about where the work 20 on contact tracing was led, that was within Public 21 Health England.

22 Q. Did the DHSC, as far as you are aware, take forward, 23 produce papers or policies or guidance or spend time 24 thinking about any of those issues within its own

25 department?

37

1 particular issues?

2 A. I would say that it is within the remit of those 3 organisations to lead the response that was required to 4 those recommendations. That is set in the remit letters 5 and the responsibilities that those organisations hold 6 to deliver adequate preparedness to an outbreak of 7 an infectious disease and a response to public health. 8 That is enshrined within the responsibility of those two 9 bodies to do.

Q. Exercise Cygnus. 10

A. Yes. 11

12 Q. You're aware, because we've been debating it, that the 13 overall outcome of Exercise Cygnus was that the UK's 14 plans, policies and capability were not sufficient to 15 cope with the extreme demands of a severe pandemic. How

16 often, as far as you're aware, was that conclusion

17 considered within your department once you took up post 18 18 months later?

19 **A.** Sorry, could you repeat the question?

20 Q. Yes. How often was active consideration given to 21 whether or not that general conclusion from 22 Exercise Cygnus was being dealt with? How often were

23 meetings held where employees in the department would

24 say, "Right, well, that was the serious conclusion from

25 the exercise. How well are we doing in terms of

A. So the advice on clinical and operational matters would be the responsibility of NHS England and Public Health England, so we would look to those bodies to provide us with advice. I am not a clinician and I'm not well placed to write those papers. I would seek advice from colleagues across the health and social care organisations that can.

We were very aware of the level of readiness in the health and social care system to deal with an emerging infectious disease. There were, at -- off memory, approximately eight or nine in the five years of my appointment, and so I was very aware of the response capability to high-consequence infectious disease and had run a number of incidents to see how that operated

16 Q. Those recommendations were not formulated by way of 17 directions to NHS England or Improvement or to Public 18 Health England, they were generic recommendations or 19 lessons: X. Y or Z must be done.

> So, given that it wasn't the NHS England or the PHE who were told to respond in their own way, within the limits of their own functions, to these areas of concern, why wasn't the DHSC itself responding, doing what it could to improve the overall system of preparedness for a health emergency by addressing these

1 addressing those concerns, of making sure that the plans 2 and the policies and the capability are now sufficient"? 3 How often was active consideration given to making sure

4 that that worrying feature was being adequately

5 addressed?

6 A. I would say on a regular number of occasions in 7 different ways. That -- the concern that was raised in 8 Cygnus was a feature of our risk and our risk register. That was discussed at every level of the department on 9

10 a quarterly basis. We had boards that were looking at 11 the readiness of the health and social care system to

12 respond to that, that was chaired by my Director

13 General, Clara Swinson. We had quarterly conversations

14 to look at cross-government readiness and whether we

15 were addressing the recommendations of that report.

16 So -- and also regular meetings with our

17 permanent secretary. So I think the question about how

18 we were responding to our state of readiness was asked

19 on a regular occasion.

20 Q. How many recommendations came out of Exercise Cygnus?

21 22, and four learning recommendations.

22 Q. By June 2020, how many of those recommendations did the 23 DHSC itself identify had not been fully completed?

24 A. Off my recollection, I would say that eight of them had 25

not been fully completed -- had been partially

- 1 completed, and about six of them had not been completed 2 at all.
- 3 Q. That was, you're quite right, the conclusion of a DHSC 4 meeting, workstream, another workstream, to consider to 5 what degree the department or to what degree the 6 recommendations from Exercise Cygnus had not been
- 7 completed, and that was a conclusion reached in 8 June 2020, was it not, Ms Reed?
- 9 A. That sounds about the right date, yes.
- 10 Q. All right, take it from me then.
- LADY HALLETT: Can I just ask what you mean by not fully 11 12 completed, not completed at all?

13 Completed means done, completed. So I would have 14 thought not fully completed means work had started but 15 it hadn't finished. Not completed at all, I don't 16 understand.

- 17 A. Okay, allow me to expand. Some of the recommendations 18 had different component parts to them, and so there may 19 be an element of a part that had been completed. So, 20 for example, we had completed some work on surge 21 guidance, and that had been completed, but the second 22 half of that, around socialising that with -- or testing 23 that with health and social care organisations, that
- 24 part of it was not completed. 25 LADY HALLETT: But that would come under the category of not

1 acquired for an emerging infectious disease, as

- 2 I understand it the PPE stockpiles for emerging 3 infectious disease have been adequately built, I haven't 4 had anything to tell me to the contrary. So I'm not --5 it's -- unfortunately before my time I can't confirm 6 whether or not and how the recommendations for Ebola's 7 PPE were delivered, but I can say that that hasn't been 8 raised to me as an issue, that there isn't adequate PPE
- 9 for an emerging infectious disease. LADY HALLETT: Thank you. 10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR KEITH: Can I assist you, Ms Reed? 11

> Lesson 8 from Ebola was that further work would be required between the Department of Health, NHS England and Public Health England to determine the most appropriate levels of PPE that should be maintained for ongoing infectious disease preparedness.

But for the reasons that we have been debating, namely that the assumed consequences of infectious non-influenza disease were set so low, were so narrow, in terms of being confined to healthcare settings, and very low levels of casualties and fatalities, not very much PPE was required to meet what was thought to be necessary for a high-consequence infectious disease. But no consideration was given at all to the need for PPE for a non-influenza pandemic.

1 completed.

2

1

A. I think that's a fair conclusion to reach, yes, my Lady.

3 LADY HALLETT: So what do we mean by six were not completed 4 at all? Do we mean no work had started?

5 A. No, I wouldn't say that no work had started. Work had 6 started on all of the recommendations, but there were 7 some elements of those that had been completed.

8 So I would agree with your conclusion that they 9 weren't completed, but work had begun on all of them.

10 LADY HALLETT: Or they hadn't got very far?

A. It varies across the recommendations, my Lady. 11

LADY HALLETT: If you have a recommendation that says "We 12

13 must get more PPE, this is a highly infectious disease, 14 it's got terrible consequences, we must get" -- whose

15 responsibility is it to get the PPE?

16 A. I would suggest that that would be my responsibility.

17 LADY HALLETT: Who would ensure that your responsibility was carried through, apart from you? 18

19 A. That would be the responsibility of my

20 permanent secretary and the departmental board.

LADY HALLETT: So after Ebola you had a recommendation for 21 22 more PPE, was it?

23 A. I can't recall the recommendation from Ebola, my Lady.

24 LADY HALLETT: I think there was one in relation to PPE.

A. If there was a recommendation that related to PPE being

42

That's the sum of it, isn't it?

2 A. The risk assessment we were building our mitigations for 3 were a pandemic influenza and emerging infectious

4 disease, and in both of those cases, with advice from

5 experts and specialists, we were advised what PPE we

6 needed for both of those risks. If you start from the

7 premise of the risk you're mitigating, you build the

8 appropriate mitigation for those risks. So it is the

case that we had appropriate PPE for those two 9

10 scenarios, but not for a Covid pandemic, which was not 11

the risk we were managing.

12 **Q.** Going back to the recommendations, the recommendations 13 from Cygnus, 14 of which had not been fully completed,

14 whatever that means, eight partially, perhaps six not

15 fully, fully completed, that was not a situation in

16

June 2020 which took anybody by surprise, was it?

17 A. No. The recommendations that hadn't been completed were 18 part of our ongoing programme of work, and, as

19 I mentioned earlier, some elements of our programme

20 needed to be paused, and so there were elements of those

21 programmes that hadn't been completed.

22 I would also say that there are a number of 23 recommendations in Cygnus that it's not really 24 conceivable for us to say that we have ever fully

25 completed. So the first recommendation is that our

43

6

7

17

4

5

6

7

14

15

16

1 emergency preparedness must follow best practice. Well, 2 by definition we never complete that, because the 3 process is about continuous learning. So I'd never feel 4 comfortable being at the point of saying that we've 5 absolutely completed that activity. The way that some 6 of the recommendations were phrased were such that they 7 were about ongoing work and continuous development. So 8 I think it would be difficult for us ever to get to the 9 point that we'd say all 22 of those had been completed.

10 Q. The point, Ms Reed, though, is this, isn't it: as at 11 June 2020, the body that was looking at how many of the 12 recommendations were implemented couldn't have been 13 taken by surprise, it must have been apparent to 14 everybody who was responsible for implementing the 15 implementations, from 2016 through to 2020, that the 16 recommendations were not being implemented; it just was 17 not being done, for a variety of reasons, which you've 18 attempted to explain? It just wasn't done.

19 Α. There were a number of recommendations that weren't 20 completed, that's absolutely correct.

21 Q. You knew that the recommendations were not being 22 implemented. 2016 was four years before this committee 23 reported as to the number which weren't being 24 implemented.

25 Α. That's correct.

45

non-pharmaceutical interventions and how in practice the country would be enabled to deal with the consequences of a catastrophic pandemic were not addressed at all, were they?

5 A. No.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

6 **Q.** Page 9:

> "An effective response to pandemic influenza requires the capability and capacity to surge resources into key areas, which in some areas is currently lacking."

The NHS did put into place, at your department's urging, plans for surge capacity, and we saw that of course when the pandemic struck, but very little work was done in relation to how the adult social care sector would cope with a mass influx of patients in a pandemic.

16 A. I wouldn't agree that there was no work done in that 17 space. There was a lot of engagement with LRFs and some 18 guidance was issued to adult social care providers in 19 May of 2018 that addressed the question of surge. 20 I would not say that that work was completed, and 21 I would be very clear to say that there was more that we 22 needed to do about community surge. But it was not the 23 case that no work was done.

24 Q. Page 11, there was some feedback in the course of Exercise Cygnus from local resilience forums to the 25 47

Q. If we could have, please, on the screen INQ000022792, 1 2 which is the report into Exercise Cygnus, page 6. At 1, 3 amongst the recommendations which were never implemented 4 in full was this one:

"The development of a Pandemic Concept of Operations ..."

Correct?

8 A. That's correct.

9 Q. Page 8, at 3, work to be done on how the public would 10 respond to a pandemic, that is to say whether it would 11 self-isolate, whether it would cope with the demands of 12 mandatory quarantining, how it would respond to social 13 restrictions; correct?

14 A. I can't say with certainty whether any of the work was 15 done on this particular recommendation. I don't think 16 it is concluded.

18 was that a committee was set up called MEAG, of which 19 my Lady has heard, the Moral and Ethical Advisory Group, 20 which would give advice in the event of a pandemic on 21 some of the moral and ethical questions that might 22 arise?

Q. Now, the only thing that was done, Ms Reed, wasn't it,

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. But the work done, the behavioural work done as to how 25 the public would deal with social restrictions and

1 effect that there are just "too many plans" and "there 2 is a question about how up to date all the plans are and 3 whether there are contradictions between [them]".

What was done in order to rewrite the plans? To produce something, perhaps in a single document, something that was coherent and clear to the LRFs? Was that ever done?

8 A. No, it wasn't completed.

9 Q. Page 12, some of the feedback was to the effect that 10 LRFs, the local resilience forums, "would have difficulty operating their plans and capabilities at 11 12 this scale [of response]".

13 "More focus and co-ordination on pan flu preparedness [is] needed nationally, departmentally and within Resilience and Emergencies Division Operations Centre itself "

17 Now, of course you don't speak for the Resilience 18 and Emergencies Division of the Department for Levelling 19 Up, Housing and Communities, nor for the Cabinet Office, 20 but was that focus and co-ordination carried out, as far 21 as you were aware?

22 A. I am aware that the Ministry for Housing engaged 23 extensively with local resilience forum around their 24 readiness in pandemic influenza. I'm aware the 25 Cabinet Office engaged extensively with local resilience

forums on their resilience standard and their level of preparedness.

Of course it's also important to note that NHS England and Public Health England are represented on the local resilience forum, so I also engaged with the health system that sits on local resilience forum. It was not co-ordinated and that was definitely one of the recommendations that we were -- we didn't deliver, which I regret, around that co-ordination and the bringing together of advice. But we did engage with local resilience forums and at the local level.

12 MR KEITH: My Lady, is that a convenient moment?

13 LADY HALLETT: Certainly. I shall return at 12 o'clock.

14 (11.45 am)

15 (A short break)

16 (12.00 pm)

17 MR KEITH: Ms Reed, what are health sector security and18 resilience plans?

A. They would be plans that organisations who are
 Category 1 responders and have responsibility under the
 Civil Contingencies Act need to have in place to ensure
 that they can discharge that duty.

Q. So they are plans which you put into place to make sure
 that everyone can know or you can be assured that your
 preparedness and continuity arrangements are in order,

business continuity arrangements in place", it's yousigning off on how you're doing, paragraph 1?

A. Yes, but this is not a -- this is not a static status,
 it is something that we continually look at, the health
 and social care sector's resilience for emergency
 preparedness.

7 Q. Of course, so this is just for 2016?

A. Yes, I'm not familiar with this document.

9 Q. All right.

So every year or every two years these plans are put into place or these documents are prepared, and they're not static, are they, they take account of whether or not there is good resilience and whether there has been an outbreak or whether there has been an exercise and whether you've responded to an exercise or whatever it might be. They're not fixed, set in place. They take account of the reality of how well the department is doing

19 A. The department and its delivery organisations.

20 Q. Arm's length --

21 A. Yes

22 Q. And its arm's length bodies?

23 A. Yes.

Q. Could we then, please, have 2017/18 health sector
 resilience plan, INQ000105273. Page 3.

as they are obliged to be under the Civil ContingenciesAct 2004, as a Category 1 responder?

3 A. Yes.

**Q.** Can we have INQ000187694, please, which is the 2016 plan, page 3, paragraph 1:

"Within the health sector, there are generally good levels of resilience, with good preparedness and business continuity arrangements in place."

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. At paragraph 5:

"The health sector can be impacted by the majority of risks in the National Risk Assessment ... it is essential that within the health sector, national planners are ... planning against the common consequences ... Given the diversity and interconnectedness within the health sector, and the extent to which it needs to respond to the consequences of emergencies in other sectors, emergency preparedness, resilience and response planning ... adopts an 'All Risks' approach."

So this is the DHSC saying "We have measured ourselves against a security and resilience assurance, these are our plans for preparedness and continuity, we [going back to paragraph 1] think there are generally good levels of resilience, with good preparedness and

So, Ms Reed, this health sector security and resilience plan was after Exercise Cygnus. The first one I showed you was before the report in Exercise Cygnus.

Could you go, please, down to the bottom of the page -- or, rather, halfway down the page. There we are, stop there.

So this plan, a year and a half later, from the earlier plan, is after Cygnus has reported in the terms that it did about the systemic insufficiency of the plans, policies and capability in the health sector, amongst others, to cope with the extreme demands of a severe pandemic, but the wording in this plan is identical:

"... there are generally good levels of resilience, with good preparedness and business continuity arrangements in place."

The identical words to the plan 18 months before.

So it wasn't static -- sorry, it was static. The plan uses the identical wording from the earlier plan. So how could it possibly have taken account of that severe conclusion from Exercise Cygnus, and the fact that the workstreams which came from Cygnus were not by and large being pursued through to their fruition?

25 A. I'm not familiar with this document and this document

- 1 was produced before my time in the organisation, so 2 I cannot -- I cannot make an assessment of the decision 3 to draft that sentence as it is. Looking at this 4 document for -- for what I can see it to do, is it is 5 looking across the totality of the threats and hazards 6 landscape, so all of the threats that are captured in 7 the National Security Risk Assessment, I think that my 8 perception would be that at that time the concern of 9 pandemic influenza was in a state of readiness, but this 10 is looking at general levels of resilience and preparedness across all the risks in the National Risk
- 11 12 Register. 13 Q. Ms Reed, in the field of health emergency, in the field 14 of the Tier 1 risk faced by the United Kingdom, there 15 had been since the earlier sector resilience plan, 16 Exercise Cygnus, which had concluded in the way with
- 17 which you are very familiar. How could a proper, 18 adequate sector resilience plan conclude in this way 19 using the identical wording that its earlier plan had 20 used before Exercise Cygnus had reported?
- A. I can't comment on the drafting of this paper --21
- 22 Q. Because this was before your time?
- 23 A. -- it was not -- before my time. I would not say that 24 in the specific risk of pandemic influenza we were fully 25 prepared or that there was good levels of resilience.

- 1 A. I can't comment on the text, I'm not familiar with the 2 document. If the text is the same as the previous 3 versions, that would imply that it hadn't been changed. 4 That would not be my view of the pandemic risk, but it 5 would be my overarching view of our state of readiness 6 for wider threats and hazards.
- 7 Q. All right.

9

10

11

The Pandemic Flu Readiness Board, we've covered the workstreams which were meant to be addressed by the Pandemic Flu Readiness Board. Bringing those threads together, the board was established in --

12 2017. Α.

- 13 Q. -- in March, following Exercise Cygnus. It was 14 established by order of the National Security Council 15 Threats, Hazards, Resilience and Contingencies committee 16 in the order of the then Prime Minister?
- 17 Α.
- 18 Q. It had a number of workstreams, some of which were 19 completed?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Some were part completed, some were not completed at 22 all. We needn't go into the detail of it. But that
- 23 Pandemic Flu Readiness Board, which was a board chaired
- 24 jointly by your department and the Cabinet Office,
- 25 didn't sit at all, did it, between November 2018 and 55

1 I would say generally across the threat and hazards

2 landscape there is a good level of resilience and a good

- 3 degree of preparedness. 4 Q. Was there a sector resilience plan prepared by you,
- 5 however, after you were in post?
- 6 A. I don't believe there was, no. I don't recall producing 7 one, no.
- Q. All right. 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

My Lady, there's a document which we have on our system which hasn't in fact been disclosed for a variety of reasons, I'm not quite sure why, to core participants and to the witness, and therefore I'm not in a position to be able to bring it up on the screen, and it's not right that I should because it will take everyone by surprise.

But I want to ask you, Ms Reed, do you recall a sector resilience plan for 2018 and 2019 being prepared whilst you were and remain in post?

19 A. I don't recall a plan being produced, no.

20 Q. All right.

> If that plan were to use these words "there are generally good preparedness and business continuity arrangements in place", that would seem to indicate that the wording had still not been materially altered, even by 2018/19, when you were in post?

- 1 November 2019?
- 2 That's correct.
- 3 Q. You've already explained and other witnesses have 4 explained that that was because of the necessary 5 preparations for a no-deal exit, Operation Yellowhammer 6 interfered in this process. But why did the fact that 7

the particular workstreams were in some places being

- 8 paused or not completed mean that the board itself
- 9 didn't have to meet between November 2018 and
- 10 November 2019? Why was Operation Yellowhammer 11
- a sufficient explanation for why the board didn't meet
- 12 as opposed to why some of its workstreams were not being 13 seen through to their conclusion?
- 14 A. I would say that the reason for that is that our 15 prioritisation of resources in working on pandemic flu
- 16 were prioritised at the delivery of key elements of the
- 17 programme rather than in the secretariating of a board.
- 18 So I prioritised our work on the Bill and on work to do
- 19 with excess deaths and MEAG rather than board
- 20 secretariating functions. So the work continued but we 21 didn't run a board.
- 22 Q. You were the prime civil servant, along with Ms Hammond, 23 on that board?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. You effectively co-chaired it?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. You knew the board was not sitting and did not sit fora whole year.
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Did you not think to yourself, "The risk of a pandemic
- 6 has never gone away, these are important workstreams
- 7 which the Prime Minister ordered to be done, they are
- 8 things that matter, they reflect the conclusions of
- 9 Exercise Cygnus, they are important aspects of getting
- 10 this country ready for the Tier 1 risk, the greatest
- 11 risk in the entire risk assessment process, I think we
- 12 should be sitting"?
- 13 A. I -- no, I don't. I think that what I took as
- 14 a judgment was, firstly, that resources were needed to
- 15 support the response to the real threat of disruption
- from a no-deal exit and, secondly, that I prioritised
- 17 work that needed to be completed on capabilities that
- 18 actually were used in the Covid situation, which
- 19 included the Pandemic Flu Bill. Those pieces of work
- 20 could continue outwith a board structure.
- 21 Q. Now, there are a number of things that the board did see
- 22 through to fruition. There was the drafting of
- 23 a Bill --
- 24 A. Yes.

8

- 25 **Q.** -- which was the draft pandemic Bill, which became the
  - Local Resilience Forums came, at least in part, from the
- 2 Department of Health and Social Care, did they not?
- 3 A. If I recall, it was a piece of work that was led by the
- 4 Cabinet Office working in partnership with the
- 5 department responsible for local government, but we will
- 6 have supported that work.
- 7 Q. All right. Are you aware that until 14 November 2019,
  - just before the pandemic struck, the National Resilience
- 9 Standards for Local Resilience Forums across the
- 10 entirety of England and Wales made no reference to any
- 11 need to judge their work by reference to the plans that
- 12 might be required for an influenza pandemic?
- 13 A. That would be a matter for the Cabinet Office and the
- 14 department for housing and local government.
- 15 Q. All right.
- The PIPP board or the PIPP programme, what was that?
- 17 A. That was a programme that was led by my Director
- 18 General, Clara Swinson. The responsibility of that body
- 19 was to look at the delivery of the health and
- 20 social care elements of pandemic preparedness. So it
- 21 was a more internal health and social care-focused
- 22 programme.
- ${\bf 23}~~{\bf Q}.~~{\bf Was}$  there a long period during which it did not meet, or
- 24 at least the board for the Pandemic Influenza
- 25 Preparedness Programme did not meet? 59

- Coronavirus Act.
- 2 A. Yes

4

- ${f 3}$   ${f Q}$ . Only in relation to the emergency regulations in England
  - was that Act used, was it not, when Covid struck,
- 5 because Scotland, Wales, Northern Ireland all used
- 6 earlier emanations of the Public Health Act, did they
- 7 not?
- 8  $\,$  A. I would have to check my records to see which piece of
- 9 legislation --
- 10 Q. All right.
- 11 **A.** That would be an issue for the devolved administrations.
- 12 Q. MEAG --
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. -- was put in place, the Moral and Ethical Advisory
- 15 Group, and that gave valuable assistance, of course,
- during the pandemic on the moral and ethical issues.
- Another piece of work that was done was the board authorised, drafted and prepared and published something
- 19 called the National Resilience Standards. That was
- a standard, a test, a check, if you like, for local
- resilience forums, so that they knew to what standard
- their own preparedness plans had to be judged by?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24  $\,$  **Q.** I put it to Ms Hammond, but I ought to put it to you
- 25 because I think the National Resilience Standards for

58

- 1 **A.** As I recall, it also did not meet during the period of end 2018 to 2019.
- 3 Q. Again, because of Operation Yellowhammer?
- 4 A. As I understand it, yes.
- 5 Q. Do you agree that no pre-pandemic exercise in which your
- 6 department was either an observer or a participant and
- 7 no outbreak report and no DHSC policy or guidance paper
- 8 considered the issue of the vulnerabilities and
- 9 inequalities of parts of the community and how they
- 10 might be affected by the plans that you were drawing up
- 11 for a pandemic influenza?
- 12 A. No, I wouldn't agree with that statement. I think there
- was consideration taken for the impact to vulnerable
- 14 people of a pandemic influenza.
- 15 Q. Clinical vulnerability, Ms Reed, it was clinical
- 16 vulnerability, it was obviously, in the event of
- 17 a pandemic, the pandemic and our responses to the
- 18 pandemic will have an impact clinically on those who are
- 19 at greatest risk from the disease. Was there any
- 20 consideration of anything other than clinical
- 21 vulnerability?
- 22 A. I believe that there were considerations of wider
- 23 inequalities of -- for those individuals who would
- 24 potentially find it difficult to access health and
- 25 social care systems.

You mentioned earlier also the moral and ethical committee that considered issues around concerns from different faith groups about the approach to vaccination and shielding, so there were areas where thinking about protected characteristics were a consideration in our planning and preparing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1

2

3

4

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

There was no systemic assessment of protected characteristics impact, but individual work programmes were considering impacts on vulnerable people.

- Q. The work programmes to which I now understand you may be referring, was that the work done to ensure that if individuals want treatment, clinical treatment, steps needed to be taken to mitigate differential impact by ensuring that health communications will be available in a range of languages?
- 16 A. There was work undertaken to think about how we reach 17 communities where English is not the first language. 18 I would say that it is writ within the principles of how 19 we deliver our work that we consider health inequalities 20 at a national and local level and so communications 21 would, in themselves, think about people who may not be 22 able to access information where English isn't their 23 first language.
- 24 **Q.** Ms Reed, other than the obvious point that some people may be more clinically vulnerable to a pandemic, the

Q. Right. That was a broad omnibus consideration of the power or the duties of the government under the Equality Act. Where was a single paper referring to what the impact would be on the particular parts of

society to which I've made reference of eithera pandemic or your planning?

7 A. There was no single piece of paper with that on it.

8 Q. Right. Do you accept from me, evidence through me,
9 evidence from the government's own Equality Hub, and its
10 director, Mr Bell, who has given a witness statement to
11 my Lady, which says:

"Reasonable and proportionate searches have been conducted ... I can confirm that this department was involved in no work related to the United ... government's response to civil emergencies, including a pandemic. There was no contribution to the design or preparation of any policy response on behalf of the United Kingdom government in the event of a pandemic."

Just no work was done on this topic at all, was it? **A.** There was no overarching assessment of the impact of the pandemic preparedness strategy on inequalities since the

24 Q. Thank you.

25 A. Had there been a revision, we would have done that.

publication of the strategy in 2011.

22

23

24

25

only consideration in this whole ten-year period given 1 2 to the position given to members of ethnic minority 3 groups or vulnerable sectors of society, by way of your 4 pandemic planning, was making sure that health 5 information would be available in a range of languages; 6 is that the sum of it? 7 I don't believe that to be true, we considered equality 8 impact assessment as part of the -- as the 2011 9 strategy, we considered an impact assessment as part of 10 the pandemic Bill preparedness that you mentioned 11 earlier. In guidance that went to local resilience 12 forums they talked about people who would struggle to 13 access mainstream healthcare, which included those who 14 were homeless and disenfranchised. So there was work to 15 do that. It wasn't systemic -- systematic, I apologise, 16 but there was work to consider vulnerable people. 17 Q. The work that was done, and you've just referred to it, was a consideration -- there was a paper called the 18 19 Equality Duty paper, which came out around about the 20 same time as the 2011 strategy, there was nothing 21 thereafter, which considered the legal obligation 22 imposed on the government generally under the 23 Equality Act 2010, known as The public sector Equality 24 Duty. Is that the duty to which you're referring? 25 A. 62

MR KEITH: All right. Those are all my questions, thank you.

My Lady, that concludes the evidence of Ms Reed.

4 LADY HALLETT: So no Rule 10? 5 (Pause)

6 **MR KEITH:** There were applications but permission has been denied.

8 **LADY HALLETT:** Thank you very much.

Thank you, Ms Reed, thank you for your help.

10 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

1 (The witness withdrew)

MS BLACKWELL: My Lady, good morning. The next witness is
 Rosemary Gallagher MBE. May she be sworn, please.

4 MRS ROSEMARY GALLAGHER (sworn)

15 Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY

16 MS BLACKWELL: Is it Ms or Mrs Gallagher?

17 **A.** It's Mrs.

18 **Q.** Thank you.

Mrs Gallagher, thank you for the assistance that you have so far given to the Inquiry and thank you for coming to give evidence today.

Please keep your voice up, speak into the microphones so that the stenographer can hear you for the transcript. If I ask you a question that isn't clear, please ask me to repeat it and I will.

1 If you need a break before our usual time of 2 breaking -- which I think will be 1 o'clock today, 3 my Lady? 4 LADY HALLETT: Or maybe 1.15, depending on how we go. 5 MS BLACKWELL: Or maybe 1.15 -- thank you -- then please 6 just say so. 7 Is it correct, Mrs Gallagher, that you are the 8 professional lead for Infection Prevention and Control, 9 or IPC, and nursing sustainability lead at the Royal 10 College of Nursing, a role that you have held since 11 2009? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Thank you. In terms of your professional career to 14 date, you were a senior nurse in infection control at 15 Stoke Mandeville Hospital from 2002 to 2008. In 2009 16 you represented the Royal College of Nursing as a member 17 of the Pandemic Influenza Clinical and Operational 18 Advisory Group, dealing with the H1N1 swine flu 19 pandemic, and from June to October of 2015 you assisted 20 the World Health Organisation on behalf of the RCN with 21 the MERS outbreak in Saudi Arabia. Between 2014 and 22 2016 you led the RCN response to the Ebola viruses 23 disease outbreak in West Africa, and in November 2018 24 you joined the emergency preparedness, resilience and 25 response (EPRR) clinical reference group of NHS England

work with. My role is a UK-wide role, and I'm one of a team of about 13 professional leads that work together to cover many areas of nursing practice. Right, and it being a UK-wide role, how do you ensure

Q. Right, and it being a UK-wide role, how do you ensure a tailored approach to the particular needs of each of the devolved nations, for instance?

7 So the RCN has -- covers the four regions of the 8 United Kingdom, and my role often involves both 9 proactive and reactive work. With the proactive work, 10 we engage with the four countries, the four regions of 11 the Royal College of Nursing, and as far as possible 12 with our relevant organisations in the countries as 13 well. For reactive work, we would respond according to 14 the need and what it was that I could support them with.

15 Q. Thank you.

5

6

16

17

18

19

20

Moving then to your role with the EPRR clinical reference group, a role that, as we've established, you've held since November of 2019. Could you provide us with an overview of what that group does, and in particular what your role is within that group?

21 **A.** I was asked to be on the group as a nurse.

22 **Q.** Yes.

A. Not specifically in relation to my experience with
 infection prevention and control, though that was
 thought to be advantageous in terms of some of the

1 at the request of its director, Stephen Groves.

2 A. That's correct.

3 Q. You have provided two witness statements. May we put up4 first, please, INQ000177809. Can you confirm that

5 that's your first witness statement, Mrs Gallagher?

6 A. That's correct.

7 Q. Thank you. Now INQ000183414.

Thank you. Is that the second statement that you've provided?

10 A. That's correct.

11 Q. Thank you very much.

My Lady, could we have permission for those to be published?

14 LADY HALLETT: You have.

15 MS BLACKWELL: Thank you. We can take that down.

I'm going to begin, please, by asking you to
describe to us the role and function that you hold at
the Royal College of Nursing.

19 A. So I am a registered nurse --

20 Q. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. -- and I provide strategic leadership on behalf of the
 Royal College of Nursing on matters relating to

22 infection prevention and control I provide and

23 infection prevention and control. I provide specialist

24 infection prevention and control advice to the college,

25 to our members and our stakeholder organisations that we

discussions that took place in the meetings, and I shared that role with a colleague who represented public health nursing.

As a member of the EPRR we were there to represent our discipline of nursing and to provide nursing input and advice on discussions that were on the agenda at that time.

Q. Right, thank you.

At paragraph 17 in your witness statement, you tell us that:

"Pandemic preparedness [with the group] focused only on influenza and was not a significant regular agenda item at meetings of [the group] ..."

And that:

"The need to consider other potential infections with pandemic potential was made public by the Chief Medical Officer ... for England in July of 2019 and this position was supported by the RCN due to the experience that it had gained through its planning to support Saudi Arabia with [the] MERS CoV [outbreak]."

You also say, Mrs Gallagher, that, additionally, Disease X was added as a new category to the World Health Organisation's emergency priority list in 2019, but that the UK continued to focus on influenza, despite the experience of MERS in the Middle East, and Severe 68

Acute Respiratory Syndrome, SARS, and the potential for a new coronavirus to emerge.

So the Chief Medical Officer had given advice in July 2019, the World Health Organisation had made Disease X -- given it a place on the emergency priority list in the same year, and yet the group upon which you sat was giving pandemic influenza only a priority in its discussions, and even that wasn't a regular agenda item.

Were you concerned about that?

- A. The overarching pandemic planning did not feel as if it reached into the EPRR group, whose agenda focused on much more recent incidents, and our response and our learning from those. So it was an ad hoc agenda item but not a regular item, and I'm unsure exactly how the EPRR group fed directly into the governance systems for pandemic planning.
- 17 Q. Did you personally have any concerns prior to January of
   2020 that the focus within the group was too narrow,
   given as it only appeared to consider pandemic
   influenza?
- A. The RCN had raised concerns regarding the opportunities
   for other organisms with pandemic potential that we
   needed to consider. The -- if I recall the discussions,
   it was more of an agenda item rather than an opportunity
   to feed back, it was more feedback on where the pandemic

69

a pandemic of influenza. This reflected a longstanding bias in our preparations in favour of influenza and diseases that had already occurred, with, we now know, an underestimation of the impact of novel and particularly zoonotic diseases."

Do you agree with that?

7 A. I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q. Yes.

In his evidence to this Inquiry, Jeremy Hunt has said that in his view there was a groupthink that the United Kingdom knew that this stuff, as he described it, the best, and that we had no need to look further afield to other countries in order to try and learn from their experience.

In particular, he said:

"... I don't think people were really registering particularly Korea as a place that we could learn from."

Did you observe this type of groupthink as described?

20 **A.** I did.

Q. Yes, and did you raise your concerns in relation to thatwith anybody or any organisation?

A. In response to the work that we did with Saudi Arabia,
 and also in relation to the work we did on Ebola, we
 raised significant concerns around the different needs,

1 planning was going.

Q. The Inquiry has heard an explanation or justification
 from those who were focused, perhaps too narrowly, on
 pandemic influenza that in fact plans could be and
 should be adapted?

6 A. Yes.

10

11

12

20

21

22

23

24

25

8

9

10

11

12

25

Q. So the fact that pandemic influenza led to a certain
 level of planning was able to be seized upon and used
 during the course of the Covid pandemic.

Do you agree that clinical preparedness plans are capable of being adapted for different infectious diseases?

13 A. I believe that if you have the principles right in
14 relation to pandemic planning, that you can use those as
15 a platform to adapt as situations evolve. It won't -16 you cannot have a specific plan for every specific
17 organism, but it's important that we get the foundation
18 structures right.

19 Q. Thank you.

The Inquiry has heard from Professor Dame Sally Davies, former Chief Medical Officer, and in her witness statement to the Inquiry she has said:

"I have previously expressed the view that whilst the [World Health Organisation] has said the UK was well prepared for a pandemic, those preparations assumed

70

for example, for personal protective equipment that may differ from influenza. So the concerns that we raised came out of our experience supporting other incidents and were fed directly back to those involved.

Q. Right, well, I want to ask you about your personal
 involvement with incidents representing the Royal
 College of Nursing.

In your witness statement, you tell us that the Royal College of Nursing was invited to be part of the Pandemic Influenza Clinical and Operational Advisory Group, or PICO, and that was as part of the response to the H1N1 swine flu --

13 A. That's correct.

14 Q. -- in 2009. Tell us about your experience in that15 group, please.

A. So as part of the pandemic response in 2009, the college
was approached to provide representation to the PICO.
It was a clinical subgroup that I understand provided
advice to SAGE at the time. Other members of the PICO included other medical royal colleges and those with
other relevant areas of expertise.

We discussed situations or drafts of guidance that were being developed, and we met weekly. I shared that role with two colleagues within the Royal College of

Nursing to ensure that we provided the correct level of

71

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

representation, that included my colleague who led on health and safety, and the professional lead for community and primary care at that time, so we considered all care settings.

I found the PICO an excellent group. It allowed for multi-professional discussion and scrutiny of proposed guidance. The end result of that discussion would be agreement on a specific position, or to approve the guidance moving forward.

- 10 Q. So you would describe this as an example of clinical 11 stakeholder engagement working well?
- 12 Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

2

13 Q. What you tell us, Mrs Gallagher, at paragraph 40 in your 14 witness statement is that:

> "We were able to feed in our expertise and intelligence and represent the needs of the [Royal College of Nursing's] membership to inform the development of clinical guidance and guidelines concerning the response to pandemic flu."

Then you go on to say:

21 "This was a very different experience to the 22 approach taken by the [United Kingdom] government during 23 the Covid-19 pandemic."

24 How so?

25 Α. My experience in the early stages for Module 1 is that

1 implementing guidance and guidelines. So it's

absolutely vital that we are around the table to be able

3 to identify opportunities or risks to that proposed

4 guidance.

- 5 Q. But that didn't happen?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 LADY HALLETT: Sorry, when didn't it happen?

8 I've got a feeling that you were moving on to when 9 the pandemic really was acknowledged as having hit, so 10 in other words response rather than --

- A. So I was referring to the very early days, up until the 11 12 middle of January, because I'm aware Module 1 only 13 covers that short time period.
- 14 MS BLACKWELL: All right, but in relation to pandemic 15 planning --
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 Q. -- there was a lack of engagement with the Royal College 18 of Nursing?
- A. That's correct, yes. 19
- 20 Q. You tell us in your witness statement about playing 21 a clinical advisory role during the Ebola viruses
- 22 disease outbreak?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Can you tell us what that involved, please.
- A. So the Ebola epidemic in West Africa was extremely 25

75

the opportunities for engagement of stakeholders from my position, from the Royal College of Nursing, was extremely limited, so this was a very -- it had the perception of a very hierarchical response. Given that it was a command and control situation, however, we had the experience of knowing that stakeholder engagement could be implemented and worked very well in previous

9 Q. Well, indeed, Dame Deirdre Hine in her review following 10 the swine flu outbreak, at paragraph 6.60 in her report, 11

> "Further engagement is needed between health departments, professional bodies and employers to further develop clinical advice and provide support to staff during a pandemic."

Is it your experience, Mrs Gallagher, that that lesson was or wasn't carried forward and incorporated into preparedness planning for the Covid-19 outbreak? A. So the Royal College wasn't specifically involved in

20 pandemic planning. Our experience does not reflect 21 stakeholder engagement. And I would just like to add 22 that it's not just the development of clinical guidance 23 or guidelines that requires stakeholders to be involved.

24 Nursing is the largest part of the healthcare worker 25

workforce, and actually we have a key role in

1 challenging, and a request was made for UK nurses to go 2 out and support the delivery and action in West Africa.

3 This request, from a nursing perspective, was led by the 4 public health -- by Public Health England.

5 Q. Yes?

6 A. -- and I was asked to provide professional support to 7 the nurses that were leading that response.

8 This was really about where the RCN could add value, 9 as somebody put it, at a time when everybody was running 10 towards the fire. We are able to sit back and reflect 11 on what is needed from a professional and regulatory and 12 indemnity perspective, and to support those nurses who 13 may be interested in going to West Africa under those 14 conditions to really understand what it is that they 15 need to do and the level of competency and capability 16 that is needed to do that sort of role.

17 Q. Was it possible, in your experience, for lessons to be 18 learned by the government in pandemic planning from the

19 time of the Ebola viruses outbreak until Covid-19 hit at

20 the beginning of January 2020?

21 Yes, one of the most useful lessons for us,

22 unfortunately, occurred when a healthcare worker in

23 Spain acquired Ebola virus disease as a result pf caring

24 for a patient in hospital in Madrid. Now, that

25 healthcare worker was not involved in providing direct

care. There were many, many lessons that were identified as a result of a European nursing summit with -- through our relationship with the European Federation of Nurses, to identify lessons around how we can best protect healthcare workers from what we call high-consequence infectious diseases now, such as Ebola.

Now, we were not preparing for a pandemic of Ebola, this was very much a local situation, but it highlighted significant lessons around how infection control policies were written and the need to engage with clinical staff. It identified lessons around what -- not just what type of personal protective equipment was needed but how we support staff to be educated on how to put these on and take these off safely. It also highlighted many lessons around confidence and communication and transparency that was needed by the healthcare workers.

Q. I wanted to ask you about the culture of transparency and learning. What do you say, in relation to that, should have been carried forwards and perhaps wasn't?
A. We fed the lessons back from the experience of that meeting in Madrid directly. At the time I was part of a Department of Health communications group that actually worked very well, again with other stakeholders

around the table, where we were able to feed in both 77

to seek nurses to respond to this, and we asked if it would be possible to undertake an assessment in person so that we could identify whether it was appropriate for nurses to be -- to go there, number one, but also to identify what risks might be present, both culturally, clinically, you know, a holistic view, and it was on that basis that we were asked to visit -- when I say "we", myself and a colleague in Public Health England -- to visit and undertake that assessment, and the subsequent ask, given our expertise, was then to look more widely at potential transmission of MERS CoV and how infection prevention and control might support that.

Q. Right, and you tell us at paragraph 36 in your witness statement that you believe, in your extensive experience of that outbreak:
" significant lessons should have been learnt

"... significant lessons should have been learnt from the experience with MERS CoV. For example, the Gulf Co-operation Council's IPC guidance specifically addressed the airborne spread of MERS CoV and the requirement for the use of RPE."

21 A. Yes, that's correct.

**Q.** You go on to say in a following paragraph:

"The Covid-19 pandemic has shown that there was too much of a focus on preparing for a flu pandemic and not enough consideration was given to how such plans would

intelligence from within the UK around how some vulnerable groups were feeling stigmatised, as cases started to be imported into the United Kingdom, but, on this occasion, more importantly, about the lessons we learnt from Madrid

So that worked very well. However, I have no knowledge of what happened with those recommendations and that report after it was delivered.

9 Q. All right, thank you.

Moving forward to MERS CoV, could you outline your role, please, during the outbreak in the Middle East in 2015.

A. Yes. A request came in to Public Health England through the global -- through GOARN, which was a global network, requesting support in Saudi Arabia, particularly in relation to the spread of MERS CoV within hospitals. There was real concern that healthcare workers were becoming infected with MERS, and MERS did have some sustained transmission between people at that time. We were asked to effectively identify nurses that would go -- that would be willing to go to Saudi Arabia to support education and training on infection prevention and control.

We undertook an assessment of the situation and were actually very concerned about potentially just going out

need to be adapted to deal with a respiratory infection pandemic, where the primary mode of transmission was not necessarily via 'traditional' droplet transmission."

And:

"... that airborne transmission needed to be properly factored into IPC Guidance concerning the level of PPE required for health and care workers exposed to patients with Covid-19."

A. That's correct. We had the experience of MERS CoV in
 Saudi Arabia and we additionally had the South Korean
 experience as well, both of which showed that
 transmission within healthcare facilities was entirely
 possible in addition to community spread of infection.

14 Q. What is the difference between PPE and RPE?

A. So RPE stands for respiratory protective equipment, and it is one form of personal protective equipment.
 Personal protective equipment is a broad term that in healthcare would cover items such as gloves, aprons, respiratory protective equipment, for example.

PPE is designed to protect the wearer from a hazard, so in the case of a pandemic of whatever cause, that would be the infectious agent or the biological hazard that is present at that moment in time.

Q. Are there lessons that you believe could have been learned from countries dealing with MERS CoV regarding 

1		the stockplling and use of RPE?
2	A.	Yes. To when you're in my view, if you are
3		planning for a pandemic, we need to consider all
4		eventualities. So we need to consider both potentially
5		the use of surgical masks, but they are not personal
6		protective equipment, and consider the need for
7		respiratory protective equipment for an infection that
8		is spread through the respiratory route predominantly.
9		Not exclusively but predominantly.

It's my view that there was inadequate consideration given to not just the use of respiratory protective equipment for a prolonged period of time but exactly which elements of the health and care system would need to use respiratory protective equipment if we had widespread infection.

- 16 Q. Right. So not just for hospital settings?
- 17 A. No, the NHS is more than buildings, so the NHS 18 considers -- the NHS has hospitals and healthcare 19 facilities but also community teams, community nurses, 20 district nurses, GP practice nurses, for example; all 21 make up part of the NHS. So NHS care goes beyond 22 hospitals.
- 23 Q. Right, thank you.

10

11 12

13

14

15

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 Q.

11

12

13

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

I want to ask you now about the level of engagement that the RCN had in the preparation of Exercise Cygnus,

that professional nursing was held in, so far as we could support that. At the time, around 2017, we were also part of an antimicrobial resistance programme board that was managed by Public Health England, that, again, had a variety of stakeholders, including the RCGP, the Royal Pharmaceutical Society, around the table.

Once that was disestablished, about a year later,

stakeholder engagement was significantly reduced and really remained that way until the pre-pandemic period. All right. Just taking that into account and moving back for a moment to Exercise Cygnus, do you believe it was a mistake for the Royal College of Nursing not to be

14 A. Yes, but I would go further and say it was a mistake not 15 to involve other professional organisations alongside 16 ourselves as well.

involved in that exercise?

17 Q. Thank you.

> May we put up, please, a paragraph of the report that's been provided to the Inquiry by Dr Claas Kirchelle. Thank you.

It's at INQ000205178, and we're looking at paragraph 112.

I want to seek your opinion on this paragraph, please, Mrs Gallagher:

"There were also ongoing concerns about [Public

which we know began to be prepared in 2014 but in fact 1 2 didn't take place until 2016.

3 Was the RCN involved in any sense in either the 4 preparation or the carrying out of that exercise?

- A. Not to the best of my knowledge. 5
- 6 Q. Do you know whether or not the RCN was invited to be involved in the preparation or carrying out of the 8 exercise?
- 9 A. No.

7

15

16

17

18

19

20

10 Q. Corporate memory. You tell us in paragraph 34 of your 11 witness statement that you have concerns about the loss 12 of corporate memory.

- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. You say:

"There was ... a palpable change in culture, in the years immediately preceding the Covid-19 pandemic, brought about by the successive administrations. This seemed to manifest in an attitude where engagement with stakeholder organisations seemed to be less of

21 Can you expand upon that, please. What did you mean 22 by a "palpable change in culture"?

23 A. So as I've described, our experience supporting the 24 incidents of MERS and Ebola were very positive 25 experiences in terms of the engagement and the value

1 Health England's] ability to act as an independent

2 advocate for public health from within the

3 Department of Health (from 2018 Department of Health and

4 Social Care ...). In 2014, the British Medical

5 Association ... warned that 'the requirement to adhere

6 to civil service rules and regulations is having

7 an impact on [PHE staff's] ability to do their work.

8 Particular concerns have been raised about ( ... ) the

ability to publicly discuss or criticise public health 9

10 policies'. In surveys, local authorities noted that PHE

11 could do more to 'acknowledge the pressures and

12 constraints facing Local Authorities in its work with

13 them' and 'be more vocal around issues such as welfare

14 reform and austerity and what this means for the health

15 of our nation'. A later witness seminar also

16 highlighted that the increasingly rapid turnaround of

17 civil servants across government departments had created

18 a lack of specialist interlocutors and understanding in

19 Whitehall."

20 In your view, Mrs Gallagher, did Public Health 21 England become less able to effectively advocate for 22 public health and public health budgets in the period 23 preceding January 2020? Are you able to give us your 24 opinion on that?

25 **A**. What I can say is that the Royal College of Nursing was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

very concerned around the reduced funding for Public Health England and the impact that that was having on local authorities and local health protection teams to support population health initiatives in that time.

From my perspective, obviously from an infection prevention and control position, the conversations continued in terms of business as usual, but not necessarily in relation to how we could move -- work forward to increase population health and respond to incidents at pace.

All right, thank you. We can take that down now. Q.

Finally on the issue of stakeholder engagement, before we leave this topic, please could we display INQ000148405, and it's page 5, paragraph 15 of Professor Kevin Fenton's witness statement, he being the president of the Faculty of Public Health.

If we could look over the page, please -- in fact let's look at paragraph 15 on page 5. Thank you. Could we highlight that, please:

"Generalist specialists in public health, particularly those working in health protection at regional and local levels, have been under-represented in the development of national pandemic policy, strategy and guidance and there is opportunity for this to be addressed in the future through the UKHSA-hosted Centre

1 to that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 2 Q. All right, and indeed, as you've already said, there was 3 a complete lack of engagement with the Royal College of 4 Nursing in terms of preparedness, so there was no option 5 or potential for --
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. -- raising those issues on behalf of your frontline 8 staff?
- 9 A. No.

14

15

16

Q. 10 You tell us in your witness statement that, in terms of your role at the Royal College of Nursing -- and indeed 11 12 you've confirmed this this morning -- that it was as 13 part of a UK-wide organisation.

> Did you have any concerns in relation to how EPRR had been dealt with in any of the devolved nations in terms of the frontline staff there?

- 17 A. No. I -- I only attended the EPRR group which was based 18
- 19 Q. Yes.
- 20 A. However, we did, if it was available at the time, take 21 intelligence or feedback from our members in relation to 22 what was relevant to feed in to the EPRR group. To the 23 best of my knowledge, the agenda items that were 24 discussed, the lessons there would have been learnt,
- 25 would have been shared with the four countries.

for Pandemic Preparedness. There was a significant missed opportunity for broader engagement in planning across local resilience forums and local health resilience partnerships which require closer working and mainstreaming of planning, training and exercising of pandemic response arrangements."

From your viewpoint, working within the Royal College of Nursing, do you agree with those sentiments?

9 A. I do

10 Q. Thank you very much. We can take that down, please.

11 By early 2019, is it your view, Mrs Gallagher, that there were sufficient structures in place for raising, 12 13 escalating and addressing concerns on behalf of 14 frontline staff amongst the UK preparedness bodies?

15 A. I don't think I can answer that question, because we 16 weren't specifically involved in preparedness.

17 Q. All right. So because of your lack of involvement at 18 all, it's not possible for you to comment on that 19 question?

20 A. No. Could you repeat the question again for me, please.

21 Q. Yes. It was whether or not you considered that there 22 were sufficient structures in place for escalating and 23 addressing concerns on behalf of your frontline staff, 24 with the United Kingdom preparedness bodies.

25 No, that wasn't in place, we weren't able to contribute

- Q. Yes.
- But I wasn't party to those discussions. 2
- 3

4 I want to come on to discuss workforce resilience 5 issues which are crucial to a pandemic. What is the 6 relationship, Mrs Gallagher, between the resilience of 7 health systems and the resilience of the workforce 8 within healthcare and social care?

9 So the resilience of the health and care workforce is 10 absolutely essential in order to be able to deliver 11 healthcare services that meet the public's needs. We 12 know that we went into the pandemic with a significant shortage, we were about 50,000 nurses short before we

13 14 went into the pandemic, and therefore that immediately

15 put us at risk when we needed to surge capacity to

16 support patients who were infected, either at home or in 17 hospitals

Q. Had the RCN, in your view, consistently highlighted over 18 19 a number of years the absence of effective workforce 20 planning for nursing?

21 They had. Α.

22 Q. What was the reaction of the government to that being 23 highlighted?

24 A. The RCN has campaigned and lobbied for many, many years 25 around what we now call staff safe -- safe staffing for

1		effective care. The RCN has participated in significant	1		work, so
2		research with our European counterparts around the	2	Q.	All right.
3		impact of insufficient numbers of registered nurses,	3		You also tell us in your witness statement that in
4		for example, on patient care and the implications for	4		Northern Ireland members took industrial action in
5		patient safety. The RCN has responded to all the	5		December 2019 and January 2020 over safe staffing and
6		consultations and also comprehensive spending reviews	6		pay.
7		highlighting the importance of investment in the nursing	7	A.	Yes, they did.
8		workforce.	8	Q.	So just immediately going into the pandemic?
9	Q.	Has this been handled differently across the different	9	A.	Yes.
10		nations? What I'm coming to is asking you about the	10	Q.	All right.
11		fact that the Welsh Government have implemented the	11		So does this still remain a concern of high priority
12		Nurse Staffing Levels (Wales) Act, which was passed in	12		for the RCN across the four nations?
13		March of 2016, and does that mean that health boards and	13	A.	Yes, absolutely. I mean, there are clearly differences
14		NHS trusts in Wales must have regard to the importance	14		across the UK in terms of how workforce and the need for
15		of providing appropriate numbers of nurses in all	15		an appropriate workforce level is implemented across
16		settings?	16		the UK.
17	A.	That's correct.	17	Q.	Right, thank you.
18	Q.	In Scotland, the Health and Care (Staffing) (Scotland)	18		I'd like to display, please, the witness statement
19		Act of 2019 has been passed, setting out requirements	19		of Jeremy Hunt, please, just to underline this point,
20		for safe staffing across both health and care services,	20		page 15, paragraph 66. Could we highlight this, please.
21		but the implementation of that, in fact, was delayed due	21		"As I have written elsewhere, one of the things
22		to Covid-19?	22		I learned in my time as Health Secretary and wish I had
23	A.	That's correct.	23		understood better at the outset was the importance of
24	Q.	So is that still pending, as far as you're aware?	24		workforce planning. This was not something
25	A.	As far as I'm aware, but I'm not leading that piece of	25		I implemented while Secretary of State because it took
1		me some time to appreciate the full picture. I was also	1	MS	BLACKWELL: Right.
2		not advised to place more emphasis on this because the	2	LAD	DY HALLETT: Forgive us, you're going to have to come back
3		NHS had a longstanding habit of relying on immigration	3		this afternoon, Mrs Gallagher. I hope it's not too
4		to fill any gaps. However, with a two million shortage	4		inconvenient for you. I shall return at, I'm being
5		of doctors globally according to the World Health	5		told, 1.45.
6		Organisation, this was not a sustainable position in the	6	MS	<b>BLACKWELL:</b> Fingers crossed. Thank you.
7		long term."	7	(1.0	3 pm)
8		Now, the former Secretary of State for Health and	8		(The short adjournment)
9		Social Care doesn't mention nursing there	9	(1.4	5 pm)
10	Α.	No.	10		DY HALLETT: I'm assured the problem has been resolved.
11	Q.	he uses the shortage of doctors as an example, but	11	MS	<b>BLACKWELL:</b> I do hope so. Thank you, my Lady.
12		would you say, Mrs Gallagher, that the issue was just as	12		Mrs Gallagher, just before we broke, we were
13		important in relation to nursing and workforce planning?	13		discussing workforce resilience issues and the
14	A.	Absolutely. We know we have a global shortage of	14		importance of workforce planning, and I'd like to turn
15		nurses, as identified in the triple impact report, so	15		now to look at public health and local infection
16		this is a global problem, and the reliance on overseas	16		control.
17		nurses is a real cause of concern for the Royal College	17		At paragraph 65 in your witness statement, you tell
18		of Nursing.	18		us that:
19		Thank you.	19		"Funding for public health services and
20	LAI	DY HALLETT: I think, Ms Blackwell, we are going to pause	20		interventions (ie the frontline public health services
21		there, because we have a strange noise that I know	21		funded by local authorities) in England has not been
22		BLACKWELL: Oh dear.	22		consistent and has suffered under austerity measures."
23	LAI	DY HALLETT: may need fixing. I don't know if you are	23		And you say that:
24		conscious of it. Initially I thought it was thunder,	24		"The public health grant has been cut by more than
25		but 91	25		a fifth (22% [in fact]), since 2015/16. Consequently, 92
		91			32

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

this has meant that local authorities are unable to provide vital functions that promote well-being and prevent ill health and the reductions in outreach services such as smoking cessation [and other health matters] which impacts population health and chances.

You go on to say that:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"It is the [Royal College of Nursing's] contention that this historic underfunding of public health [has] undermined the capacity of local public health teams to effectively improve health and reduce inequalities and respond to the Covid-19 pandemic."

From a nursing perspective, then, how does a reduction to the public health grant and public health spending affect pandemic preparedness at the local level? Is it just a matter of resilience, or are there other effects to the cutting in the budgets? A. In terms of population health and having a population that is as well as it can be to not suffer unnecessarily from the impact of an infectious disease, population health is absolutely vital, and throughout the life course. So, for example, we know we have far fewer health visitors at the moment that support mothers and also support young children, and that is vital in terms of local communities.

In terms of operational management of the pandemic,

A. So the role of community infection control teams has changed over time. When I was in clinical practice we provided support from the acute trust to our community partners and provided them with an infection control service, but in other areas they have dedicated infection control teams. So there is variation across the system on how advice is provided.

We know that when the Lansley reforms, the changes to the NHS -- the Health and Social Care Act was implemented, that we lost many community infection control teams as staff moved under the umbrella of local authorities away from their original employers, and that gap, if you like, placed increased pressure on health protection teams, but also had an effect on local relationships and resilience locally.

16 Q. May we put up, please, the statement of 17 Professor Kevin Fenton at paragraph 11, pages 3 to 4, 18 and highlight that, please. Thank you.

Here he says:

"Health protection teams, which moved from the Health Protection Agency ... to [Public Health England] ... saw successive reductions in funding and capacity over the pre-pandemic years and lack of investment in regional emergency preparedness, response and resilience ... teams. A direct result of these changes

that's -- that, at a local or regional level, would be supported by the health protection teams, and they are absolutely vital in having good relationships, collaborative relationships with provider organisations such as NHS trusts, but also in supporting care homes.

Now, health protection teams came under Public Health England, they're now under the UKHSA, and their roles have continued but, with the changes in the landscape, those roles and relationships have changed over time. So it's a bit of both, if you like.

11 Q. All right, thank you.

> Professor Philip Banfield from the British Medical Association has provided a witness statement to the Inquiry in which he says that reforms to the public health system in England in particular led to a fragmented system and that the 2012 Health and Social Care Act fractured in many places the links between public health specialists and NHS colleagues, which in turn impacted upon pandemic response.

Do you agree with that?

21 A. I do.

22 Q. All right.

> What role does community infection prevention and control have to play in pandemic planning and emergency response?

> > 94

was a reduction in the amount of professional exposure that the public health specialist generalist workforce had to health protection duties and continuing professional development outside of PHE. There was also a reduction in the exposure that NHS staff in general had to important public health issues associated with health protection, especially in community settings. This is likely to have contributed to a poor understanding of the role of the wider public health agenda around pandemic preparedness, and more specifically the role of local authority public health teams and wider system partners in pandemic preparedness and response. Community infection prevention and control ... is a key element of pandemic planning and local health protection more generally, but guidance is unclear on commissioning responsibilities, funding

integrated services. It is largely understood that 19 provision for community IPC was a significant casualty 20 of the 2012 reforms and the Faculty considers the

streams, and standards for high-performing local

21 creation of Integrated Care Systems, with local

22 authority Directors of Public Health and UKHSA as key

23 partners, an opportunity to rectify the current

24 problems. The use of Contain Outbreak Management 25 Funding ... during the pandemic to temporarily increase

96

IPC capacity in many systems provides proof of concept of what can be achieved through concerted effort and funding enhancements."

Do you agree with Professor Fenton's suggestion that guidance on commissioning responsibilities, funding streams and standards for high-performing local integrated services is unclear?

- 8 A. From my experience, yes.
- 9 Q. Do you also consider that the provision for community 10 IPC was a significant casualty of the reforms? I think as you've just referred to. 11
- A. Yes, I do. 12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

14

15

16

17

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

13 Q. All right.

> Towards the end of that paragraph, Professor Fenton suggests that the use of the COMF during the pandemic to temporary increase IPC capacity demonstrates the concept of what can be achieved; do you agree with him in that regard?

- 19 A. I do. In order to sustain the benefits that have been 20 achieved through this, however, I would also focus on 21 a need for standardised training as a foundation, 22 a cornerstone for health protection teams, because there 23 is no standardised education currently for health 24 protection practitioners.
- 25 Q. How would that best be achieved?

In terms of the problems created in public health provision, the Inquiry has heard from Professors Marmot and Bambra that those difficulties that arose, those highlighting of inequalities that developed, hit certain areas of the country hardest and hit people who were suffering from particular inequalities even harder, living in those areas.

Is that something that you recognise, and if so, is the difficulty with inequalities, and that caused by funding or lack of workforce planning or some of the issues that we've looked at, is that something that the Royal College of nurse was alive to prior to the onset of the pandemic?

14 A. Certainly in relation to the impact of the pandemic, 15 we're very aware of the effect of inequalities both on 16 our nursing workforce but also on those that required care or were most affected by the pandemic. 17

> The second part of your question, in relation to workforce --

- 20 Q. Yes.
- -- could you just repeat that for me, please. 21 A.
- 22 Q. Yes, what I'm asking is whether or not the fact that 23 inequalities hit in particular areas, as Professors
- 24 Marmot and Bambra have told the Inquiry, and whether or 25 not that was exacerbated by either workforce issues or

There are a number of ways in which education can be 1 2

commissioned and delivered. For me, the starting point

practitioners, who are not all nurses at all, many do

3 would be to identify the needs of health protection

not have a nursing background, and to support them to 5

6 identify what is needed in order for them to deliver

their role in practice. 7

8 Q. Thank you.

LADY HALLETT: Just before you go on, may I interrupt. 9

10 Can you explain, I appreciate it's not your

11 expression, Mrs Gallagher, "specialist generalists"

12 sounds a bit contradictory to me.

13 Sorry, that's me.

14 LADY HALLETT: Is it? Oh, no, I think it's in this report

15 as well.

16 Oh, I see. Α.

17 LADY HALLETT: What is a specialist generalist?

18 A. I'm not quite sure actually.

19 LADY HALLETT: Right.

20 MS BLACKWELL: We will provide a definition for my Lady.

LADY HALLETT: Thank you. I hope it makes sense. At the 21

22 moment it doesn't.

23 A. I think I know what it means, but I wouldn't like to

24 say.

25 Q. Right.

98

1 public health funding cuts or a combination of both, and 2 if that is something which the Royal College of Nursing 3 recognises, was that something that was apparent prior 4 to the onset of the pandemic in 2020? 5 A. Certainly the Royal College is very aware of the impact

6 of inequalities, from a public health perspective.

7 Then, obviously, whatever impacts on our public health 8 ultimately affects our hospitals and the demand for 9 hospital services, so the two are very closely related.

10 The issue of black and ethnic minority staff in 11 terms of their experience in the workforce is well 12 documented by the Royal College of Nursing.

13 Q. Is that something to which the Royal College of Nursing 14 was alive prior to the pandemic?

15 Α.

Q. The onset of the pandemic. 16

17 A. I would -- yes.

18 Q. All right.

24

25

19 Moving on to social care, please, you describe in your statement at paragraph 48 that there was not 20 21 a whole systems approach to pandemic planning, 22 particularly with regard to social care, and you say 23 that from your perspective:

> "This was evident at the start of the pandemic, during efforts to rapidly scale up acute capacity, when

2

3

4

5

14

15

16

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

some community staff were being redeployed into the acute sector without sufficient thought being given to the services that needed to continue in the community. For example [and this is an example that you give in your statement], the [Royal College of Nursing] heard reports that community nursing staff were being asked to go and work in hospitals when community services needed to be augmented at the same time to ensure essential services such as child protection and end of life care, 10 could continue."

> You identified this as a problem. Was this a problem that had persisted prior to the pandemic or was this something that came to light only when the pandemic hit and the staff, as you say, were being pulled from hospitals into the care sector and back again and vice versa?

- 17 A. Certainly you would expect to need to move staff in 18 a case of need --
- 19 Q.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

20 A. -- in the case of a national incident. The pandemic 21 highlighted, really, the impact of doing such actions, 22 and there was real concern regarding how we would 23 maintain care for our patients in the community, and we 24 have many more patients in community settings than we do 25 in hospital settings, for example.

- 1 A. Not that I recall.
- 2 Q. -- nor was there any invitation given to the RCN to 3 involve itself in any such guidance being prepared?
- 4 A. Not that I recall.
- 5 Q. All right.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'd like to ask you now, please, about the value of healthcare-acquired infection operational guidance, which you deal with at paragraph 53 in your witness statement.

Now, there was the publication in 2012 by the Health Protection Agency of certain guidance, and you recall that the guidance was updated in 2016, I think, as an internal document but that that update wasn't published; is that right?

- 15 A. Yes, that's a verbal report that I had. I've not seen 16 the 2016 updated guidance.
- 17 Q. Right.

You say in your witness statement that the fact that this operational guidance wasn't published, that's the 2016 update, I think:

"... meant that NHS teams, as well as care homes and community settings, did not have up-to-date information on the roles and responsibilities of Health Protection teams, and this would have impacted directly on local, regional and national incidents, including responding to

The RCN has raised concerns over a number of years around a reduction in the community nursing workforce and the implications for that, not just in terms of community care but the knock-on effect of care in

6 Q. Right. As far as you are aware, was there any planning 7 in terms of the movement of staff from hospitals into 8 adult social care and workforce planning in any of the 9 pandemic planning that was undertaken?

10 A. As I recall, as we took place -- part in Operation Pica 11 around 2018, the need to consider the movement of staff 12 and the different demands in different care sectors at 13 different times was on that agenda.

To the best of my memory, I don't recall in-depth discussions on what the real impact of that might mean, particularly from a nursing perspective.

17 Q. In your view, was there adequate operational guidance in place for managing a pandemic within the social care 18 19 sector prior to Covid-19 hitting?

20 A. I'm not aware of any, but we weren't involved in the 21 pandemic planning.

22 Q. Yes, of course.

23 A. Yeah.

24 Q. Certainly none was brought to the attention of the 25

102

1 HCIDs such as Covid-19."

A. Yes. The -- as I recall, the operational guidance was a recommendation that came out of the Stoke Mandeville report in 2016 -- 2006, apologies, where there was criticism around the role of the Health Protection Agency local team at that time and how they intervened to support the NHS trusts.

The guidance is around roles and responsibilities, and relationships between health protection teams, acute trusts and community providers is really important for dealing with local or regional issues, and therefore, when it comes to a national incident, it's absolutely essential.

The 2012 guidance focuses on healthcare-acquired infection because Stoke Mandeville was predominantly around clostridioides difficile healthcare-acquired infection.

18 However, for me this represents good governance and 19 essentially having your house in order to have 20 operational guidance in place that can be referred to 21 and is already in place.

- 22 **Q.** Has the RCN been vocal in expressing its concern about 23 a lack of guidance in this area?
- 24 A. I don't believe we've written anything formally, but 25 certainly questions have been asked over the years since 104

- 1 it was developed and at a time when it would have been 2 reasonable to update it.
- 3 Q. Yes. One of the aspects that you bring to the forefront in your witness statement is the concern that has been regularly raised by the RCN at national fora, including 6 the Care Quality Commission's stakeholder group for non-hospital organisations, that the CQC had not 8 delivered on its regulatory responsibilities in relation 9 to IPC, to the extent of ensuring effective systems.

Is that something which has regularly been raised by the RCN over the years?

- 12 A. So when I attended the CQC meetings on behalf of the 13 Royal College of Nursing I did raise this at those 14 meetings, and I also took the opportunity, I can't 15 recall which meeting specifically, but to raise this as 16 part of concerted efforts to support the reduction in 17 healthcare-associated infections that didn't just focus 18 on hospitals.
- 19 Q. Right. In particular, I think, one of the concerns of 20 the RCN was that a focused inspection was requested of 21 the CQC in adult social care and to strengthen 22 non-hospital-based IPC provisions. You say in your 23 witness statement that:

"Despite [that], the RCN is not aware of consideration being given to providers, such as

Right, thank you.

4

5

7

10

11

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

We've touched upon PPE and RPE, and you tell us in your witness statement that, as far as the RCN is concerned, there was a lack of -- or insufficient stockpiling of RPE that was needed. That's also a reference, is it not, to FFP-3 face masks, which I think you've already described to us, the critical nature of those?

You say that without a sufficient stockpile of that equipment, not only for hospital settings but also for community nursing, nursing staff are putting their own lives and the lives of their families and patients at

But in addition to the availability of such PPE, is it also necessary for those who are going to be utilising it to know how to fit it properly?

- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. That involves staff training in fit testing.

From an RCN perspective, is there or indeed was there at the onset of the pandemic sufficient capability within staff who might need that PPE to be able to fit it properly? Had the training been in force and in place?

- 24 A. If I might go back a little step --
- 25 Q. Certainly.

care homes, being assessed in pandemic planning with 1 2 regard to meeting the fundamental requirements of the 3 Code of Practice or their ability to escalate issues if 4 required."

Is that right?

- 6 A. That's correct. The theory behind our ask is that if 7 care homes are well prepared for business as usual, then 8 when it comes to an incident they are much better 9 prepared to respond and consider how they will manage, 10 should that occur.
- Q. Was social care non-compliance something that you'd 11 12 raised with the Department of Health and Social Care 13 before 2020?
- 14 A. Non-compliance with regard to the code of practice --
- 15 Q. Yes?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

16 A. -- do you mean?

> We raised concerns around the level of compliance, I would say, rather than non-compliance with the code of practice, which every provider of health and care has to meet in a proportionate way to their role. So there is a different expectation for care homes than there is, for example, to a large acute hospital.

The essential expectations around having good policies and procedures and education in place would be fundamental to their response in a pandemic.

106

1 -- briefly. The failure to consider a pathogen that had 2 pandemic potential that would require the extended use 3 of respiratory protective equipment was not duly 4 considered, and it is my view that that had an effect on 5 how large the stockpile was of respiratory protective 6 equipment as opposed to face masks.

> If you take that to the next degree, then I would have expected consideration of the need to cascade fit testing to be in place as part of pandemic preparedness.

When a pandemic or an incident first starts, it's absolutely critical that we also take a precautionary approach to what it is we are dealing with until the science tells us otherwise, and that would also have implications for how much respiratory protective equipment we would need. It's clear now that those systems for escalating fit testing, and also the system for having standardised respiratory protective equipment, was not in place, and by that I mean the demand for respiratory protective equipment resulted in many different types of masks being available, and masks fit people differently. So whilst your face may fit one type of mask, it may not fit the other. So this then necessitated multiple attempts or multiple -- the multiple -- multiple requirements to fit test staff on

108

numerous occasions because of the numerous types ofmasks that were required.

So I don't believe that the system was well set up to consider this as part of pandemic planning.

- Q. All right. So just to summarise your evidence, a lack
   of foresight in terms of the requirement for RPE, a lack
   of stockpiling for RPE, and then a lack of fit testing
   for the various RPE facilities?
- 9 A. Yes, or having systems in place to cascade fit testing. 10 You can, for example, introduce a train the trainer 11 system, where you can cascade to staff. Most trusts 12 would not routinely -- well, I can't think of any trust, 13 actually, that would routinely educate or train all its 14 staff to be fit tested all the time in RPE. However, we 15 did learn from H1N1 and Ebola that there would be a need 16 to expand and escalate fit testing and the use of RPE as 17 part of those experiences.
- 18 Q. In your witness statement, you discuss framing
   19 vulnerability as a clinical category in pandemic plans
   20 and guidance. In your view, were structural health
   21 inequalities factored into the government's pandemic
   22 planning?
- 23 A. No. I don't believe so.

3

4

- 24 Q. Why do you say that?
- 25 **A.** So the structural health inequalities that I would 109

increases in the number of white staff at each pay grade compared to the increase of in ethnic minority staff."

Was the risk of a disproportionate impact on minority ethnic staff mitigated against within pandemic planning as far as the Royal College of Nursing is concerned?

- 7 A. In my opinion, no, but as I've stated before, we weren't8 involved in pandemic planning.
- 9 Q. But you haven't seen anything or had anything brought to
   10 your attention in your position to indicate that it was
   11 so considered?
- A. Not that I recall. The language used in most strategic
   documents tends to refer to at-risk groups --
- 14 **Q.** Yes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

- A. -- or, as you've said, other clinical vulnerabilities
   linked to medical conditions, but not inequalities as
   described by Professor Marmot, for example.
- 18 Q. Thank you.

Finally, Mrs Gallagher, turning to lessons learned for future pandemics. You've mentioned stakeholder engagement earlier in your evidence this morning. What do you say is missing and what needs to be done in order to better ensure a level of preparedness, certainly so far as your organisation is concerned, with stakeholder engagement going forwards?

1 consider of key importance to take into account would

2 have included inequalities within the healthcare

3 workforce, as well as the vulnerabilities and

- 4 inequalities experienced by our population, and that has
- 5 undoubtedly changed since the last pandemic.
- 6 Q. How has that changed?
- A. So we know that our levels of non-communicable diseases have increased, so diseases such as diabetes, obesity, for example, those have really escalated since the 2009 pandemic, therefore there have been shifts in our populations that we would need to keep considering as part of our pandemic planning.
- 13 Q. All right.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Frontline workforce and planning for minority ethnic members of the workforce. Paragraph 63 of your report, you say that:

"In its written submission to the ... Treasury
Comprehensive Spending Review ... [in] (September 2020)
... the [Royal College of Nursing] highlighted the
overrepresentation of BAME staff at bands four to six,
which represent those professionals providing care on
the frontline, warning that they may be at increased
risk of exposure to the viral load of Covid-19."

And you also highlighted the fact that:

"... as the pay bands increase, data shows larger 110

I would say that we need to revisit what we think stakeholder engagement means. There may be assumptions that stakeholder engagement could be something as simple as sending out a draft document to review and comment on, but the view of the Royal College of Nursing is that meaningful stakeholder engagement would entail involvement at the beginning rather than being a recipient at the end of a long process.

We would also consider stakeholder engagement to be absolutely vital to allow us to really consider the impact of what we have learnt now in terms of vulnerabilities. So, for example, by engaging with other royal colleges or other organisations, which has been such a valuable lesson for us during the pandemic. So, for example, the inclusion of organisations such as the British Occupational Hygiene Society or speech and language therapists or others that can bring a combined view together with ours on how we operationalise or manage specific incidents or view guidance.

20 Q. Thank you.

Finally, is there any recommendation that you would like to bring to the attention of the Inquiry so far as transparency is concerned?

A. Transparency is absolutely vital to support
 communication. In my experience, I have found that

111

1	healthcare professionals and the general public are very	1 In your statement at paragraph 29 we don't need
2	understanding that guidance and advice changes as	2 to bring it up you make reference to a meeting on
3	an incident or a pandemic evolves, and they are very	3 22 October 2014 between the Chief Nursing Officer and
4	forgiving of changes in guidance and advice. But they	4 regional CNO nursing teams. It's a meeting you yourself
5	need to understand why. So we are able to bring people	5 did not attend.
6	with us if we can do that, and bringing in the public	6 A. That's correct.
7	and our healthcare workers with us at a time of national	7 Q. But you say that from discussions that flowed from that
8	crisis is absolutely vital.	8 meeting, there were concerns about whether or not the
9	Q. So transparency and information provision?	9 voices of nurses were being heard, and that issue of
10	A. Yes.	10 stakeholder engagement that you've spoken about at
11	MS BLACKWELL: Yes, thank you.	11 length this afternoon and earlier this morning.
12	My Lady, those are all the questions that I have.	12 Can you assist us, please, were there wider concerns
13	You have provisionally provided permission for Covid-19	about the engagement with the Chief Nursing Officer and
14	Bereaved Families for Justice to ask a specific question	the RCN, and did you see any improvements following on
15	around a meeting of the Chief Nursing Officers back in	15 from that meeting in 2014?
16	2014, according to the sheet that I have.	16 <b>A.</b> The feedback I had as a result of that meeting, which
17	May they ask those questions now, please?	17 I wasn't present at, was that the information that was
18	LADY HALLETT: They may. Ms Munroe.	18 provided, and this was in relation to the Ebola
19	Questions from MS MUNROE KC	outbreak, had been positively received and that there
20	MS MUNROE: Thank you, my Lady.	20 was that it had been taken on board around the need
21	Good afternoon, Mrs Gallagher.	for nursing to be engaged in this response.
22		22 I don't I don't recall any issues in relation to
23		relationships, there were good professional working
24	have said, my name is Allison Munroe and I ask questions	relationships at that time, but clearly because this was
25	on behalf of Covid-19 Bereaved Families for Justice.	25 in response to an incident that was occurring at
	113	114
1	a moment in time, the meeting was called at quite short	1 LADY HALLETT: Thank you very much indeed for your help,
2	notice, to the best of my memory.	2 Mrs Gallagher.
3	Q. You've said there were good working relationships. You	3 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
4	have been referred to paragraph 34 of your statement	4 (The witness withdrew)
5	again earlier, before the luncheon adjournment, where	5 MS BLACKWELL: My Lord, may I please call Professor Dame
6	you speak about certain significant changes that	6 Jenny Harries. Would you take the oath, please.
7	happened in the healthcare system and the culture around	7 DAME JENNY HARRIES (affirmed)
8	about the time 2018 to 2019.	8 Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY
9	Now, with regards to the Chief Nursing Officer,	9 MS BLACKWELL: May I begin by thanking you for the
10	Dame Ruth May succeeded Jane Cummings in January of	assistance that you've so far given to the Inquiry. You
11	2019. To what extent did that change have an impact, if	11 have produced an extensive witness statement.
12	at all, on the pan professional working and	May we have it on the screen, please. It's
13	communications between the CNO and the RCN?	13 INQ000148429. Can you confirm that that's your witness
14	A. To the best of my knowledge, there was no detrimental	statement, please, Dame Jenny.
15	effect at all when Dame Ruth May took over her position	15 <b>A.</b> It is.
16	of CNO. Most of the my experience before that had	16 <b>Q.</b> Thank you. We can take that down.
17	been to work to the Deputy Chief Nurse in Public Health	17 We have also had two witness statements from
18	England, who then held a strategic relationship with the	Professor Isabel Oliver, who is the Interim Chief
19	Chief Nursing Officers team in the NHS. From my	Scientific Officer. The first statement on 17 May 2023,
20	perspective, the change in CNO leadership didn't cause	which is at INQ000194054, and then a supplementary
21	any issues at all.	statement at 12 June 2023, at INQ000212902. May we have
22	MS MUNROE: Thank you very much.	permission, please, to publish Dame Jenny's witness
23	Thank you, my Lady.	23 statement and the second of Professor Isabel Oliver's

25

statements, please, today?

I can inform my Lady that the first witness

116

**LADY HALLETT:** Thank you, Ms Munroe.

MS BLACKWELL: That concludes Mrs Gallagher's evidence.

115

(29) Pages 113 - 116

1	statement of Professor Oliver will be published at
2	a slightly later date.
3	LADY HALLETT: Thank you.
4	MS BLACKWELL: Thank you.
5	Please keep your voice up during questions,
6	Dame Jenny. If I ask anything that you don't
7	understand, please ask me to repeat it and I will.
8	I'm going to begin by taking you through your

I'm going to begin by taking you through your professional qualifications and previous roles and relevant experience so far as it is relevant to our Inquiry.

So you are now chief executive of the United Kingdom Health Security Agency, since its formation in April of 2021, and head of the NHS Test and Trace since May of 2021.

You, prior to joining the UKHSA, were the Deputy Chief Medical Officer for England between 2019 and 2021, and prior to that you were the regional director of the south of England at Public Health England from 2013 to 2019, and prior to that you were the Interim Deputy National Medical Director between 2016 and 2017.

You have also worked as a director of public health. You are a medical physician with specialist training in public health medicine. You have formal qualifications in medicine and pharmacology, a Master's degree in

public health and also one in business administration. You have a postgraduate diploma in health economics evaluation and a postgraduate certificate in strategic planning and commissioning.

You are a fellow of the Chartered Management Institute, a visiting professor of public health at the University of Chester, and honorary fellow of both the Faculty of Occupational Medicine and the Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health.

You are a member of the Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunisation, national advisory committee on the NHS Constitution, NHS England Clinical Priorities Advisory Group and Women's Health Taskforce.

In particular you were the national programme director for Ebola screening and the UK returning workers programme in 2014 to 2016, and you were the SRO of the subsequent development of the High Consequence Infectious Disease Programme, and prior to the Covid-19 pandemic you contributed to various significant health protection incidents, including Zika in 2016, the Hurricane Irma response in 2017, the Novichok poisonings in 2018 and the first cases of monkeypox in the United Kingdom in 2018.

During the Covid pandemic, you chaired the SAGE Social Care Working Group, led clinical work on the

initial shielding programme, and acted for SRO for co-ordination of the subsequent Enhanced Protection Programme for those who remain more clinically vulnerable to serious outcomes from Covid-19:

A. Broadly, yes. Can I just clarify a couple of points?

So the roles where you said I -- it started with membership of the JCVI, those are previous roles, they're not current, and that's important, I think.

The most important qualification, which you probably didn't read out, was a fellowship of the Faculty of Public Health. That's probably the most important one

Then I would also just like to flag, just for clarity, I am a Welsh resident, and I realise there might be conversations around UK countries, and I trained in Wales, and to flag actually that I've spent more of my time professionally working in local -- as you've said, as a director of public health in the regions than I have nationally. So where that is relevant I'm assuming it will be okay for me to add that commentary.

22 Q. Thank you.

Let's deal first, please, with the background and history of public health bodies in England, starting with the Health Protection Agency, which was established 119

in April of 2003. To help put things in historical
context, is it right that the HPA was the public health
body in operation in England during the SARS outbreak in
late 2002, but that outbreak, of course, was not
contained until July of 2003, the swine flu outbreak in
2009 and 2010, and the MERS outbreak in the Kingdom of
Saudi Arabia in June of 2012?

8 A. Yes.

Q. The HPA was an executive non-departmental arm's length public body sponsored by the Department of Health which was accountable to the Secretary of State for Health and the Minister of State for Public Health, but which was operated separately to the Department of Health?

**A.** Yes.

Q. Could we display, please, INQ000187830, which is in fact
 a sheet which helpfully description the different
 organisational models of national statutory bodies.

At page 1, paragraph 2, we see described an "Executive non-departmental public body":

"[Those] bodies are normally established [by] primary legislation. They carry out a wide range of administrative, commercial, executive and regulatory or technical functions which are considered to be better delivered at arm's length from ministers."

Existing examples given there are the Care Quality 120

Commission and the independent regulator of NHS foundation trusts.

We'll just leave that on screen for the moment, please.

You set out in your witness statement the five main roles of the HPA, which were: advising government on public health protection policies and programmes; delivering services and supporting the NHS and other agencies to protect people from infectious diseases, poisons, chemicals, radiological hazards; providing an impartial and authoritative source of information and advice for professionals and the public; responding to new threats of public health; and providing a rapid response to health protection emergencies, including the deliberate release of biological, chemical, poison or radioactive substances.

So can we see, Dame Jenny, that the HPA's principal role was related to health protection?

## Α. (Witness nods)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

21

20 Q. There are three core strands of public health, are there 21 not: health protection, health improvement and 22 healthcare, public health?

> The change that took place when HPA was replaced by Public Health England in 2013 to 2021 was brought about in part to make a cohesive change to the three core

> > 121

fact sheet, and if we look, please, at paragraph 4 of page 1, we can see that an executive agency is:

"A national body created administratively, not legally distinct from its 'home' Department. Examples including the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA)."

And of course Public Health England.

So it was operationally independent but legally part of the Department of Health, the Department of Health and Social Care?

A. It was, but actually in looking at your -- at that 11 12 statement, of course I think the description of the 13 non-departmental public body uses regulatory as 14 a distinctive element, and in fact we say -- we can see 15 here that MHRA is a regulatory agency. So I think what 16 that probably signals is that, in theory, there is a lot 17 of difference and in practice there potentially isn't.

Q. Well, one of the differences between the way in which the HPA was created and run and Public Health England is created and run is that there was a direct link, wasn't there, there was a direct legal link between Public 22 Health England and its home department, the 23 Department of Health?

24 Α. Yes, that's correct, but the HPA also eventually will 25 have reported back up to Parliament, I think, for its 123

strands in public health; is that right? 1

2 A. It is. My understanding -- I wasn't actually in the 3 Health Protection Agency myself.

4 Q. Yes.

A. My understanding was, along with a number of other 5 6 public bodies at the time, that the intention was to try 7 and, as you say, streamline this, I think partly or if 8 not mainly for efficiency reasons. But actually in 9 the -- for Public Health England there was a wider remit 10 as well, which I think as you have been speaking through 11 the Inquiry were very aware around inequalities and the 12 importance of people's lives, their work, how they live 13 on a daily basis and how important that is for health 14 protection. So actually bringing together the health 15 improvement elements alongside the health protection 16 gave a potential opportunity to protect on all of those 17 fronts in one organisation.

18 Q. To help put things again in a historical context, Public 19 Health England was in operation during the global 20 outbreak of Ebola from 2013 to 2016, the MERS outbreak 21 in South Korea in 2015, and of course the start of the 22 Covid pandemic in January 2020.

23 A. Yes.

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

24 Q. Public Health England was established as an executive 25 agency of the Department of Health. So returning to our 122

1 use of public money. So, as I say, I think the 2 distinctions are there. They're clearly not entirely 3 distinguished, even in this statement, and in practice 4 I think they are less differential than perhaps is 5 assumed from this.

6 Q. As far as PHE's functions were concerned, they were 7 wide-ranging and, as you have already told us, one 8 intention was to bring together the three strands of public health. Its key functions, set out in your 9 10 statement at paragraph 80, include:

"... fulfilling the Secretary of State's duty to protect the public's health from infectious diseases and other public health hazards.

"b. Improving the public's health and wellbeing.

"c. Improving population health through sustainable health and care services.

17 "d. Building the capability and capacity of the 18 public health system.

"e. Developing and publishing the evidence base for public health ..."

Is that right?

22 A. It is. I think probably in all of those it says 23 somewhere "in partnership", because clearly one 24 organisation can't do all of that, and that was a key 25 component of PHE's work.

- 1 **Q.** All right. Well, in relation to pandemic preparedness
- 2 and resilience, is it correct that PHE's functions
- 3 included but were not limited to surveillance, the rapid
- 4 assessment of the first cases and early alerting,
- 5 testing and contact tracing, providing guidance on
- 6 border and infection control, the exchange of
- 7 information with international contacts, designing and
- 8 running simulation exercises, and managing the pandemic
- 9 flu stockpile?
- 10 A. Yes. I think just -- on two of those points, which I'm
- 11 sure we'll come on to, on testing and contact tracing
- 12 I think it's -- we should not assume that is mass
- 13 testing and mass contact tracing, which I think we will
- 14 come on to.
- 15 Q. Yes.

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

- 16 A. And in managing the stockpile, it is very definitely
- 17 management and procurement rather than decision on.
- 18 **Q.** All right, thank you. We will be turning to look at
- 19 that later on in your evidence.
  - Then bringing public health agencies up to date, we now know that the Public Health England organisation was replaced by the UK Health Security Agency, that change took place in 2021, and it became operational towards
  - the end of that year, October 2021.
    - It's right, isn't it, that during the Covid-19
  - at the changes made to England's public health structures by the Health and Social Care Act of 2012.
  - Would you agree that in 2012 there was a complex
- 4 restructuring of health and public health services in
- 5 England, including the -- abolishing the HPA and
- 6 transferring its functions to the PHE, which involved
- 7 the merging of 5,000 staff from over 120 different
- 8 organisations. I mean, that in itself is quite a task.
- 9 A. Yes. I mean, I think there's a series of different
- 10 organisational moves which have involved very large
- 11 numbers of staff and very complex systems working,
- 12 including the last one.
- 13 Q. Thank you. Also the abolition of strategic health
- 14 authorities and primary care trusts, which were replaced
- with a number of clinical commissioning groups.
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. The creation of a new arm's length commissioning body,
- 18 NHS England, which came into force?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 Q. Also the Secretary of State for Health was given
- 21 a statutory duty to take steps to protect the health of
- 22 the people of England, meaning that at a national level
- 23 accountability for health protection would rest with
- 24 central government?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 pandemic the government decided to separate out again
- 2 the national health improvement, healthcare public
- 3 health and health protection functions?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Yes, so the health improvement functions of Public
- 6 Health England moved into a new structure called the
- 7 Office for Health Improvement and Disparities, or the
- 8 OHID, which sits within the DHSC?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. The healthcare public health functions of Public Health
- 11 England transferred to the OHID, NHS England,
- 12 NHS Improvement and NHS Digital, and the health
- 13 protection capabilities of Public Health England and NHS
- 14 Test and Trace were combined into the new UKHSA,
- a pandemic preparedness and response super-body which
- has a permanent standing capacity to prepare for,
- 17 prevent and respond to infectious diseases and other
- 18 threats to health?
- 19 A. That's right.
- 20 Q. Thank you.
- 21 So the UKHSA is an executive agency of the DHSC --
  - 22 A. Yes.
  - 23 Q. -- is that right? Thank you. We can take that down,
- 24 please, now.
- 25 I want to look in a little bit more detail, please,
  - Q. And importantly, giving local authorities responsibility
- 2 for improving the health of their local populations,
- 3 which was previously, I think, the responsibility of the
- 4 primary care trusts?
- 5 **A.** Yes.

1

- 6 Q. The government's rationale for that change was that many
- 7 of the wider determinants of health, for example
- 8 housing, economic development, transport, could be more
- 9 easily impacted by local authorities who had overall
- 10 responsibility for improving the local area for their
- 11 populations and who were well placed to take a very
- 12 broad view of what services would impact positively on
- 13 the health of their local populations and maximise
- 14 benefits?
- 15 A. Yes, I mean, it returned to the sort of 1970s model,
- 16 a medical officer for health for the community, which
- 17 I think was important, and if you look at where the
- evidence is now, after a little bit of a sticky start,
- 19 I think that's where most people think a director of
- 20 public health should be: in the local authority.
- 21  $\,$  Q. Yes, the directors of public health in England were also
- given a new ringfenced budget and a duty to publish
  - 23 annual reports, I think, that could chart local
  - 24 progress. They were intended to be strategic leaders
- for public health, and health inequalities, in local

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

communities, working with the local NHS across the public, private and voluntary sectors, and new proposed local statutory health and well-being boards.

In your view, Dame Jenny, that has been a successful implementation?

- 6 Α. It was a painful birth, I think, and I say that having 7 taken my own team, when I was a director of public 8 health in Norfolk & Waveney, over to the local authority 9 and worked as chief officer in local authority and PCT. 10 It wasn't welcomed by all and there were some losses, which we might come on to. But I think broadly now, and 11 12 particularly actually since the last three years, it's 13 been very clear that many of those public health 14 director colleagues have really risen to the challenge 15 and are very respected senior leaders in their 16 communities.
- 17 Q. Would you agree that the 2012 reforms across the board 18 relating to mainly the creation of Public Health England 19 but the other matters that we've touched upon received 20 mixed reviews from the public health community?
- 21 A. I think that's a fair comment.

1

2

3

4

5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 22 Q. Okay. The Inquiry has heard from
- 23 Professor David Heymann, who was a non-executive chair
- 24 of both the HPA and PHE, and also from
- 25 Professor Whitworth, the biosecurity expert, who were 129

in force in the run-up to the pandemic, and really throughout the majority of the timescale that Module 1 is looking at.

I want to look at five potential drawbacks: confusion over EPRR responsibilities, independence from government, funding issues, capacity issues, and fragmentation of public health services.

In his report to the Inquiry, Dr Claas Kirchelle has said:

"What sounded complicated on paper proved complicated in practice. The blurred statutory overlap between local authority, Secretary of State, and Civil Contingencies Act duties could create significant operational confusion over prime protection responsibility during emergencies ..."

Dame Jenny, do you agree that there was some confusion perhaps over roles in emergency preparedness, resilience and response arising out of what is described as a complicated overlapping or blurred state of statutory responsibilities?

131

20 21 A. Yes, but I don't think it was a perfect system before 22 either, and so I think what you're potentially getting 23 is a central view out rather than an outside view in, 24 but I do -- I agree in principle that it was confused, partly because of a number of different new changes, 25

both of the view that it was beneficial to have health protection and health improvement under one roof, the one roof of one organisation, because of the cross-learning to be had between those areas, and the synergy, as they described it, that was created between them as a result. But on the other hand, others have raised concerns about the structural reforms and problems that have arisen, which we're going to look at now, if we may.

10 A. If I might just add, though, I think whichever way you 11 divide public health it goes in multiple different 12 directions, so there is no straight line which works 13 perfectly, and what perhaps you haven't mentioned is the 14 potential advantage of the organisation we have now, 15 which is actually to build up on the science side, which 16 I think has been a little bit suppressed in the last 17 year, so totally supportive of the directors of public 18 health but actually, when we get to what can we do to 19 prevent a pandemic --

20 Q. Yes.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

21 A. -- it wasn't well placed.

22 No. Well, we're going to look at the scientific side 23 and how that has been perhaps improved by the UKHSA. 24 But remaining for a moment, please, with Public

Health England, because that's the organisation that was 130

people have to get used to them, partly because of the movement, which, we've just said, I think, everybody supports, of the director of public health into the local authority.

Q. Thank you.

Dr Claas Kirchelle also has told the Inquiry in his report:

"Although it absorbed many pre-existing structures, PHE also differed from its predecessors in key ways. In addition to its combination of health protection and promotion functions, PHE broke with the post-1950s English tradition of statutory non-departmental public health bodies that were set up by Parliament by being integrated as an executive body within the Department of Health. This not only resulted in far greater political control over PHE activities by ministers, but also meant that all employees were civil servants and subject to the Official Secrets Act -a cause of concern amongst public health workers ..."

Do you agree with that as a description, and do you agree that the very close political connection between the organisation and government was a cause of concern amongst public health workers?

A. I recognise the cause of concern and I recognise the perception, I don't necessarily agree with the content.

outcomes.

Q. All right. Why not? A. Well, I mean, I myself at times have been accused of going to the dark side. This is the standard thing. And it's very difficult because, as I pointed out when I did, I was a director of public health one day in a community and then, on the other side, the next, I'm just the same person with exactly the same professional skills and ambitions. There is a different way, necessarily, of working in government to try and achieve the outcomes, and I think the most important thing, as we'll probably see with other systems, is you need the trust of the people you're working with, and those relationships, and I think that is important to organisational change.

15 Q. To what extent did being an executive agency of Public
 16 Health England affect its ability to act as
 17 an independent advocate for public health and decide its
 18 own public health priorities?

A. So I think there are two answers to that. One is in reality and one is in perception, as I've said. So there was very definitely a strong perception. I can remember when I joined the organisation from -- you know, having not been in this area of work at all, was that government was trying to stop everything being published. So the minute you stopped to try to align

outcomes or whether it's better to be closer. But
I think the key point is you need both, that's the
really important thing, and you need the connections
between them.

Q. How important is it for an organisation such as Public Health England to be able to set its own strategic priorities, and is that possible with such a close connection with government?

A. I think it is important, because I'm sure, you know, a lot of the conversations that have been happening so far in this Inquiry are very much about who is raising which issues, are they being heard, and that is part of that strategic direction, and in most cases they are the experts in the topic and need to do that. Nevertheless, the point you make is they will be to some extent moved by whatever the departmental initiatives and priorities are, and they are part of that machinery. So there is a balancing act.

19 Q. Thank you.

Moving on to funding, please, could we display INQ000 -- ah, we have it already, paragraph 108. You're ahead of me, thank you very much.

This is, again, from Dr Kirchhelle's report and I just want to focus for a moment on the public health budget, please:

comments so it didn't confuse the public, it was perceived as "government won't let us publish science", and it was entirely incorrect, and in fact there was a very specific clause inserted in the rule that said there is a right for Public Health England -- and we've retained it in the UK Health Security Agency -- to speak the truth about the science.

But there's also how you use that to enable good public health outcomes and sometimes it's better for the public, for the political context, you'll get better outcomes if you manage that type of relationship, and you almost have to be in it to understand it, and I think that's one of the problems.

advisers to be independent of the government, or at

least appear to be independent of the government?

A. So I like to think -- I am a civil servant -- this is
where I have to throw whichever hat I'm wearing up in
the air -- but I'm also bound by General Medical Council
regulations, and I stick to them very firmly, but at the
end of the day I'm bound by my moral compass, which is
very definitely set on delivering public health

Q. So is it important in your view for public health

So I -- there is a debate here about, if you are away from government, whether you can achieve good 134

"Functioning of the new local and national English public health structures was compromised by austerity politics [in his view]. At the local level, the abolition of PCTs [primary care trusts] meant that overall public health performance was strongly dependent on local authority capabilities to commission and deliver effective services. Ministers had promised to ringfence the public health budget for local authorities. However, an in-year cut of £200 million in 2015 was followed by further reductions over the next 5 years. According to the Local Government Association, this amounted to a real term reduction of the public health grant from over £3.5 billion in 2015-16 to just over £3 billion in 2020-21."

That's a difference of 14%.

"Other estimates by the Institute for Public Policy
Research spoke of an even more dramatic reduction of
£850 million in net expenditure between 2014/2015 and
2019/2020 with the poorest areas in England experiencing
disproportionately high cuts of almost 15 percent.
Resulting pressures on local public health were
exacerbated by an overall 49 percent real term cut in
central government funding for local authorities between
2010/11 and 2016/17 and a resulting practice of
'top slicing' whereby authorities reallocated ringfenced

public health budgets to other services broadly impacting health and well-being such as trading standards or parks and green spaces. In 2010, Healthy Lives, Healthy People had promised to give 'local government the freedom, responsibility and funding to innovate and develop their own ways of improving public health in their area'. Freedom and responsibility had been granted -- but funding was often lacking."

Thank you, we can take that down.

Dame Jenny, do you agree that the ringfenced public health budget reduced over time due to austerity?

- Yes. I mean, those figures -- and, I mean, I recognise A. some of them, obviously I've read the report, but I think they just need to be taken in context. If there are 152 top tier local authorities and a 200 million cut in a year, we just need to think that's just about a million, and we're -- so it's an important million for that local population --
- 19 Q. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

24

25

1

2

23

20 A. -- but just to put that in context and hold that tight. 21 Nevertheless I do agree with you and I know that 22 directors of public health were under significant 23

pressure. Local authorities were actually often much more efficient at commissioning services, so they could almost generate savings from that and get just the same

137

- authorities were translating where lives were being protected through the lens which they had at that time.
- 3 Q. Do you agree that the poorest areas in England 4 experienced disproportionately high cuts?
- 5 A. I can't comment on that objectively without seeing the 6 numbers, but my understanding is that that's the case.
- 7 Q. How did the funding cuts impact on the work of the 8 directors of public health and local authorities 9 generally when it came to EPRR functions, do you think?
- 10 A. So I think it's fair to say, I mean, even at the start, 11 before any of the budgetary changes, whether because of 12 perceptions of people, for example, in clinical roles 13 not wanting to move to local authorities, or whether for 14 other reasons, the changes, people -- actually lots of 15 staff were lost in that move, so there was some skill 16 loss, and then increasingly, as people went across, some 17 of the -- initially, not now, but some of the directors 18 of public health roles started to move down the 19 hierarchy within the local authority and some of the 20 more, if you like, the expensive roles, so some of the 21 ones -- perhaps the clinical roles, would be lost. So

22 I think it is fair to say, and I'm pretty confident it's

- evidenced, that some of the health protection skills
- 24 were denuded from -- particularly from the smaller local
- 25 authorities, where you would perhaps have one director 139

- public health outcomes, but nevertheless they were 1 2 significantly under pressure.
- 3 Q. But as well as having the opportunity to generate income 4 themselves, the public health budget was reduced even
- further, wasn't it, by local authorities dipping into it 5
- 6 due to cuts to their overall funding from central
- 7 government, as set out in the piece that we've just
- 8
- 9 A. Yes, and rather than use the word "generate", I might 10 just say that there was a lesser -- a lower loss, if you
- 11 like, rather than -- it wasn't a generation --
- 12 Q. Yes --
- 13 A. -- it was just --
- Q. -- all right. 14
- A. -- (inaudible) more efficiently, just for clarity. 15
- 16 So I think the way the public health grant was 17 managed, it went through Public Health England 18 effectively, it came out as a top figure from local 19 authorities. It wasn't possible, often, to see -- and 20 we can -- I think may acknowledge that for health 21 protection -- exactly the detail of what was being spent 22
- 23 Q. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

where.

- 24 **A.** And it was a very sensitive area for obvious reasons.
- 25 But I think it's fairly reasonable to assume that local 138
- 1 of public health, one consultant and one other. Really 2 quite small.
- 3 Yes. In fact your colleague Professor Oliver tells us 4 at paragraph 93 in her witness statement that:

"Over the period from 2009 to 2013, regional EPRR resourcing in terms of whole time equivalent capacity and relative seniority and that of other teams supporting EPRR functions reduced."

She says that consequently this impacted on the 10 ability of regional teams to undertake EPRR functions 11 including engaging in multi-agency pandemic preparedness 12 work, and that reductions in funding also impacted on 13 the HPT workforce which would have had a further impact 14 on EPRR capacity.

- 15 Yes, just for clarity, though, those comments relate to 16 the Health Protection Agency EPRR capacity, not the 17 local authority, which is what I was referring to
- 18
- Q. Right. But do you agree --19
- 20 A. But I agree.
- Q. -- with what she says in terms of the --21
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. -- reduction in capacity.
- 24 Yes.
- 25 LADY HALLETT: If you're moving on -- actually you have one 140

more, haven't you, for the five?
MS BLACKWELL: Yes, sorry, that was dealing with capacity issues and I'm just going to deal, if I may -- were you inviting me to take a break, my Lady?
LADY HALLETT: When you're ready.

5 LADY HALLETT: When you're ready.6 MS BLACKWELL: Thank you.

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS BLACKWELL: Thank you.

Fragmentation of the public health system. I'll just deal with this briefly if I may.

Professor Philip Banfield of the BMA has told the Inquiry that reforms of the public health system in England in particular led to a fragmented system, with the 2012 Health and Social Care Act fracturing in many places the links between public health specialists and NHS colleagues.

Is that something that you recognise, Dame Jenny?

- A. I recognise it as a recurrent theme every time there is a change in the system, and it happens always when there's an NHS change as well, so it's almost if you're working on the front line, you have to throw your rope out to the person you knew last week and see which organisation they've landed in. So I do recognise it but it's not that uncommon. I think it was particularly difficult over that period.
- Q. Do you agree that community infection prevention and
   control suffered as a result of the fragmentation?

generally want to get on and do the job that they are trained to do.

3 Q. And it created its own pressure?

4 A. Yes.

6

10

14

15

16

17

18

5 MS BLACKWELL: Thank you.

My Lady, is that a convenient point?

7 LADY HALLETT: Thank you very much. I shall be back at

8 3.15.

9 (3.00 pm)

(A short break)

11 (3.15 pm)

12 LADY HALLETT: Yes, Ms Blackwell.

13 MS BLACKWELL: Thank you, my Lady.

At paragraph 139 in your statement, Dame Jenny, you explain that the PHE centres and regional teams worked with the NHS and local authorities as well as with other agencies involved in local public health systems across all of the three domains of public health.

What did the health protection teams do? What were their functions?

A. So the health protection teams were part of each PHE
 centre, and it's actually the same teams we use now.
 They would have a lead CCDC, a communicable disease
 consultant, who would work and link with the director of
 public health in a local authority. So if, for example,

1 A. So that was some of the clinical capacity that I was

2 mentioning. It was a declining resource anyway,

3 I think, so IPC nursing -- I know I personally persuaded

4 two of my nurses to come across to the council with me.

5 Most places were not that lucky, they mostly stayed in

6 the NHS, and actually there was -- there is and was

7 a strong need for them in the community.

8 **Q.** Finally, do you have any comments on how the structural changes might have impacted on staff morale and working conditions, including pay, based on your own experience

11 as regional director of the south of England PHE?

12 A. So within the PHE at the time?

13 **Q.** Yes.

22

23

24

25

14 A. So I think pay -- pay was a standard terms and 15 conditions, so to speak, for different 16 multidisciplinary, but that was not the issue, with one 17 exception, I think, which was for EPRR staff, where the 18 regional layer went, then people were -- then people 19 were -- there was a reconstruction, if you like, and 20 a formal consultation, and several people moved down 21 a rank rather than stayed in their existing roles. So

But I think the uncertainty around it, and, as
I say, just trying to find out whether the other end of
your rope needed to land is unsettling. People

I think that did prove demoralising for many of them.

142

1 you had some sort of health protection incident, then

2 usually the director public health -- one would alert

3 the other, depending on how the situation had arisen,

4 and they would work out between them how they needed to

5 go about it. If there was a longstanding health

6 protection issue, I can think -- smelly quarries or

7 something like that, then they would call on resource

8 from the centre of Public Health England to get

9 specialist input.

10 Q. You also say that regional directors played an important
 11 role in providing a local perspective in PHE's work at
 12 a national level. Can you provide an example of how

13 that might have worked?

14 LADY HALLETT: Before you do, could you speak more slowly?
 15 Like many of us, and I'm also guilty, you speak very
 16 quickly, and I know it's been a very long day for our
 17 wonderful stenographer, so ...

18 A. My apologies.

21

22

23

24

25

19 LADY HALLETT: Not at all, please don't apologise.

20 A. I will try and speak more slowly.

So in response to your question, as a regional director for the south of England, I had two centre directors who would report to me, each of whom had several health protection teams, and if, for example, let's say it was a capacity issue, they were having

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

problems with recruitment, we would be reporting that back in to the executive management team, nationally, to alert to the fact that there may be some risk in a health protection provision. Alternatively, if they were doing good work and had had some success in a particular issue, then that was an opportunity to be sharing that work.

But obviously it also gave an opportunity to feed back on the local political side as well and how different directors of public health were working in their patches.

#### 12 MS BLACKWELL: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

There were a number of changes to the structures of the regions and therefore to the management and delivery of EPRR functions over the course of our module time period. What was the impact of those structural changes to the PHE regions and to the regional teams?

A. So this started with the Health Protection Agency problems, and I think there were something like 28 strategic health authorities when it started off and gradually they all got removed and then we went into PHE regions.

The difficulties of coterminosity, or lack of it, was a major problem, and therefore, as numbers went down, with resource cuts across, obviously, local areas 145

So the Faculty of Public Health and the Royal Society of Public Health, but the Faculty sets the standards for public health training. As people go through their training programme, they could become a health protection specialist, I mentioned a CCDC, a consultant in communicable disease control, who is very focused on health protection, or they could become a specialist generalist, meaning that they were general across all those three areas of public health.

But we would expect a generalist still to have basic health protection training and exposure, so that if you have something like a pandemic they also would turn to support that.

So I think what he's suggesting is that if the teams in health protection were getting smaller and perhaps a little bit more fraught and overworked, they would potentially have less time to support that training as the generalists came through.

# Q. Is that something that you recognise?

19 20 A. It works both ways, because actually if you have less 21 capacity in your health protection teams, it's great way 22 to learn, because you get given a whole load of things 23 because there's nobody else there to pick it up. But 24 I think his point is he would be wanting to ensure those 25 people were receiving on-the-job training, and there 147

but Public Health England teams as well, then those individuals were trying to support more local resilience fora, directors of public health and local health resilience partnerships.

So generally it meant you were less able perhaps to put the same amount of input as you would have done, and support, into those different areas.

Q. Professor Fenton, from the UK Faculty of Public Health, who we have already looked at, said:

"Health protection teams, which moved from the Health Protection Agency ... to [Public Health England] ... saw successive reductions in funding and capacity over the pre-pandemic years and a lack of investment in regional emergency preparedness, response and resilience ... teams."

He says:

"A direct result of these changes was a reduction in the amount of professional exposure that the public health specialist generalist workforce had to health protection duties and continuing professional development outside of PHE."

Now, first of all, could you help both my Lady and myself by explaining what a specialist generalist workforce might be?

25 A. I will try very hard.

146

1 might be less capacity to do that.

2 Q. All right, thank you.

> I want to touch briefly upon the developments in the infrastructure of public laboratories and in the generation of microbiological data, because there will be other witnesses who will be helping the Inquiry with this, but we have received a report from the then CMO, Sir Liam Donaldson, called Getting Ahead of the Curve, which the Inquiry has read, and it was a report which proposed the creation, I think, of the HPA.

Within that report, there is reference to structural reforms that brought about the creation of the HPA in 2003. Is it right that during the creation of HPA that the public health laboratory service, PHLS, was disbanded and merged into the HPA, and that control over all the local PHLS laboratories was transferred into the NHS?

A. I wasn't around at the time so I'm only able to give you the information as I understand it, but I think what happened is that there were 30 -- broadly the public health laboratory service grew up after the war and had quite a wide reach. At the 2002 reforms, when HPA was formed, around 32 laboratories went into the NHS, and the rest, if you like, the specialist laboratories and reference -- public health reference laboratories, went

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 to Health Protection Agency, and those are the ones 2 which we retain in the UK Health Security Agency and, 3 previously, Public Health England.
- 4 Q. How did that work in practice?
- 5 A. Well, it meant that the local -- the NHS trusts, the 6 hospitals, had their own laboratories attached, and so 7 I presume what Liam Donaldson is referring to is there 8 would -- he would perceive a fracture, if you like, 9 between the NHS laboratories, now, and the specialist 10 laboratories, and sometimes you need an alerting system 11 to see where there are cases being diagnosed and then, 12 if you like, send them on to the reference laboratories 13 to check them out in detail.

I think in around 2010, again before I came into the English system, the -- there was a change in data flows, and therefore actually the reporting of data almost automatically then caught up, I suspect, with the concerns of 2002. So in general that work flows through.

We have different issues now, which is around staff retention and training and differential pay issues across the two divides, but I think the data flow issue is predominantly resolved.

24 Q. Right, thank you.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Does the microbiological testing of virus samples 149

you like, of the national -- well, they are part of the national security infrastructure, and therefore it's absolutely vital that the country retains them. In fact, as we've discussed, I think, through the early part of the Inquiry, we can see that the risks of these new emerging diseases developing and potential for needing to do more research and to use them for vaccine evaluation as well is growing.

Meanwhile, they take an awful long time to build, so it's very important that when decisions are being made about health protection, those sorts of decisions in funding and maintenance of laboratories absolutely factors in the timeframe for safe refurbishment and building.

15 Q. Looking back now, do you have any reflections on whether 16 all of the structural reforms had an impact on pandemic 17 preparedness in England leading up to January 2020?

A. It's very difficult to look back because the comments you've made about fractured lines and the potential -there definitely was uncertainty after 2013 when Public Health England started. We know, in fact we've submitted a number of papers, where different parts of the system have tried to work, directors of public health, with Public Health England proactively to recognise different roles and responsibilities.

require laboratory facilities and laboratory scientists who are specially trained or is it something that all scientists working within the service can deal with, and can it be dealt with at any of the laboratory sites?

A. No. So I think for many of the viruses that we will be talking about -- and they're very rare, you know, before I draw everybody's anxiety levels up. The Public Health England, at the time UKHSA now, deals with the very highest level pathogens. So we talk about containment level 4, the highest level laboratories, and those are only situated with -- on two sites for what was then Public Health England and another one, which was the government's scientific laboratory at Porton Down, and so none of the -- if we have a case of the high-consequence infectious disease case or pathogen X, whatever it might be, that we're uncertain about, they will be managed in a way which goes almost always to Porton Down or respiratory to Colindale labs, and they will be dealt with in those high containment facilities

21 Q. What about the infrastructure of those two laboratory 22 settings, in Porton Down and Colindale, and the 23 requirement obviously to keep that infrastructure 24 updated and safe?

25 Yeah, so these are major undertakings. They're part, if 150

> That said, we've also put forward in the evidence a survey which suggests actually that people do understand them. So my feeling is that the overall issue is more to do with capacity rather than roles and responsibilities.

Q. Thank you.

You also deal with the funding situation of PHE in your witness statement and you tell us at paragraph 91 that:

"Over the lifetime of PHE, its funding from central Government was reduced by over 40% in real terms (ie taking into account inflation and unfunded pay pressures). Thus, the organisation had to implement the cost savings that this required so it met its duty to operate within its budget. In addition, there were budget reductions on the level of funding in PHE's predecessor bodies for the functions that came into PHE in 2013."

I'd like to display INQ000090350, which is an absolutely of yearly funding for PHE received from the DHSC that UKHSA has produced for the Inquiry.

We can see the year in the left-hand column, and the funding in levels of millions on the right-hand column.

So we can see that the core grant in aid funding that PHE received from the DHSC in 2019/20 --152

1 thank you -- was 287.1 million. 2 If we move further up the chart and further back in 3 time, in 2013/14 the amount was 392.5 million. Is that the 40% reduction that you were referring 4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

3

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. It is, but it's in real terms, and I think we've explained in the submission, in the statement how that

But yes, effectively what was happening, not only was the grant in aid dropping, but the costs were going up, so maintenance of these very expensive laboratories which you have to retain. But also the organisation therefore, in order to sustain itself, became very dependent on its earnt income. It has absolutely brilliant scientists and it can generate some income. But by the end of this period my view would be that, rather than having a system that was a critical system for the UK, founded on a substantial grant that could maintain it, it was trying to pedal fast to keep up, generating income, and often using its scientists to do that rather than perhaps strengthen the wider health protection system.

23 Q. Just so we understand, although it appears that there is 24 a big rise in funding in the years 2012/13 to 2013/14, 25 that's because Public Health England was a much larger

153

1 So I think the overall impact was quite 2 significant --

(Alarm)

4 Q. Just pause, please, Dame Jenny, sorry. I'm afraid this 5 is a recurring theme in the afternoon. And it's usually 6 when I'm on my feet.

7 LADY HALLETT: Don't get paranoid, Ms Blackwell.

8 MS BLACKWELL: I'm sorry, I won't.

9 Sorry, Dame Jenny, you were explaining about perhaps 10 not seeing the same face around the table?

A. Well, concurrent efficiencies in relevant partner 11 12 organisations is really important to meant a system-wide 13 health protection response.

14 Q. Thank you.

> I'd like to turn now to discuss the interaction and involvement between PHE and the national risk assessment and how PHE works to create and provide the important facts and figures and calculations.

So could we have on the screen, please, INQ000206659, which is a document entitled "Risk assessment template cross-government risk assessment of 2018 emerging infectious diseases".

Is this the document that PHE would have produced and provided to DHSC in relation to the NRSA assessment for the risk pertaining to emerging infectious diseases?

155

organisation than PHA, wasn't it, and it had to take on 1 2 many more functions when it was created?

3 A. It was, and also there's a change in the middle, and 4 again I think explained in the statement, because it 5

took on child -- some of the child public health

6 programmes --

7 Q. Yes

8 A. -- which actually -- you know, the overall system went 9 down but the -- there was an additional grant in aid for 10

Q. Apart from the way in which you've described in your 11 12 answers just now, are there any other ways in which 13 those funding cuts which ran in parallel to workforce 14 issues and structural changes that we've just looked at 15 impacted on PHE's pandemic preparedness functions?

16 A. I mean, you know, I wouldn't like to make a particular 17 case for this in the sense that I recognise at that time 18 almost all public sector organisations were -- had 19 budget decreases, but of course the combined effect of

20 that meant that if the local authority also had

21 insufficient and the NHS had also dropped their numbers 22 of staff, what happened was, when you met round the 23 local resilience forum table, you may not see the person

24 you saw last week because they'd gone to another one.

25 There weren't as many people there to staff.

154

1 A. I'm unable personally to answer that directly. I would 2 imagine so.

3 Q. All right.

A. Yes. 4

5 Q. Because it's dated 2018, from your general knowledge of 6 the system, could we assume that this was in preparation 7 for the 2019 NSRA?

8 A. I'm making that assumption.

Q. All right. Who would have produced this document, 9

10 Dame Jenny?

A. This is difficult, and I think it would be better to 11 12 check. The general principle was that DHSC would feed

into the national risk assessment, but they absolutely 13

14 would consult with the specialists in Public Health

15 England to ensure that the right information was fed

16 back.

17 Q. So does that suggest that the first stage of collating 18 the information and performing the assessment happens

19 at DHSC, and that that information is then provided to

20 PHE for their comments and additions?

21 A. Yes, I think with most of these processes you have 22 a starting point and the first question is: is this

23 still correct?

24 Q.

25 A. I mean, it's a practical issue, which, if you've got 156

something on a piece of paper, people will comment no. If you put it open, they're less likely to. So I think you start off with: this is the position as it was last year or two years ago, does this still look right, are there new risks or should this change?

Q. I'd like to look at the last two paragraphs on this page, please, and just remind ourselves of the reasonable worst-case scenario risk description, or indeed to see how it is in this template document.

Over the past 30 years, more than 30 new or newly recognised diseases have been identified. Most of these have been zoonoses, ie diseases that are naturally transmissible, directly or indirectly, from animals to humans. The reasonable worst-case scenario ... is an outbreak of a high-consequence infectious disease ... which is airborne. An airborne disease is more likely to spread rapidly from person to person, and can make contact tracing more difficult compared to other diseases which have a different route of transmission. Other emerging infectious diseases which are spread through different routes of transmission are explored in the three variations below.

"Specifically, the current RWCS is based on an outbreak of a respiratory infection in the United Kingdom ... which is similar to the outbreak

outbreaks of MERS, the likely impact of such an outbreak originating outside the UK would be cases occurring amongst returning travellers and their families and close contacts, with potential spread to health care workers, and other patients within a hospital setting. The resulting cluster of individuals with a similar illness should lead to infection control within health care settings and other public health measures being instigated which can control the spread of the disease. For MERS, sustained human-to-human transmission outside of close contacts and healthcare workers has been limited so far ... and therefore there is currently a low risk of this disease presenting a wider threat to the UK. However, sustained human-to-human transmission in emerging airborne diseases is possible, which is why infection control procedures are critical to the mitigation of this risk."

18 A. Sorry, and could you repeat the actual question?

19 Q. Yes. So the reference in the middle of that paragraph,if we can highlight it:

"The resulting cluster of individuals with a similar illness should lead to infection control within health care settings and other public health measures being instigated which can control the spread of the disease."

So is the reference to infection control procedures 159

of ... (MERS) seen in South Korea in the 2015. This has been chosen due to the current risk of this disease and the historical precedent of imported MERS cases leading to outbreaks. However, it should be noted that due to the nature of an emerging infectious disease there is some uncertainty as to whether a different emerging pathogen, including one which was airborne, would lead to an outbreak similar to the scenario described."

We can see, moving back to paragraph 4, that the "overall confidence assessment", the likelihood or plausibility, is assessed as being low.

Was the reference to infection control procedures a reference to IPC within the healthcare setting or community IPC or both?

15 A. Sorry, where is the reference to IPC?

16 Q. If we look at, in fact, over the page at page 2, I think
17 it's clearer here. If we look at the first two
18 paragraphs here:

"The RWCS is predicated on a novel or emerging infection (ie one that is either globally unknown or unknown/very rare in the UK) arising in another country and then arriving in the UK before it is identified. It is possible that a novel infection could arise in the UK first but this is less likely.

"Based upon the experience of recent international 158

le

a reference to IPC within healthcare settings or within community IPC or both, do you think?
A. So, I mean, as I say, I'm slightly -- we just need to take this carefully because I'm not clear of the absolute origin of the document, but I can see a peer reviewed reference there, of 2017, so it's going

to be 2017 or later, which -- and the reference to
 infection control will be, it says, within healthcare
 settings. But we always have infection control measures

within healthcare settings. This will refer to,

potentially, bolstered healthcare settings controls, and

12 I think this work actually was taken forward in the HCID13 pathway work which resulted in the commissioning of five

new airborne HCID transmission control centres, if you like, which were not in the UK prior to this.

16 Q. Right. When were those created or where were they17 created?

A. So the names are actually in the statement, but the HCID
 work which started after Ebola, which is obviously
 a contact transmission, but looked at the potential for
 high-consequence airborne and touch transmission, and at

the time there were just two contact transmission

centres, which was the Royal Free and Newcastle, so,
 working with DHSC and with NHSE, new airborne

25 transmission control centres, if you like, were created,

1 so this is a direct result of the HCID pathway. And in 2 fact in 2018, of course, we had a MERS case; appropriate 3 IPC in healthcare settings was put in place, and there 4 was no transmission.

Q. All right, thank you.

Is it right that, certainly looking at this document, which appears to have been based on the MERS outbreak, that SARS and MERS were considered to be primarily transmitted via droplets rather than aerosols?

- 10 Α. No, I mean, I think Professor David Heymann put it --
- 11 Q. Sliding --

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

12 A. -- I thought expressed it very well in his -- the evidence prior to this was that mostly people were infectious when they were symptomatic, and the aerosol generating procedures, so these are procedures where you are, if you like, it's not quite right, but actively pushing air up from the bottom of the lungs, which is 18 different, for example, to having a virus sitting in your nose and it just popping out if you sneeze or are passing somebody.

> So these were -- that is how the transmission had occurred, and if we go to the Korea case, for example, as soon as they had put in good infection control measures in the healthcare setting, then they got on top of the transmission.

> > 161

fatality rate of 34.9% it is possible that up to 70 people could have died. Both figures could be higher or lower than this, depending on how communicable the disease is, as well as how quickly the disease is recognised and prevented from spreading further using infection control measures."

Let's just look for a moment, please, also at paragraph 16, which is on the previous page. We can see that the number of physical casualties is assessed here as being 200.

Do you know, Dame Jenny, why that figure was assessed at that level, taking into account that the number of cases in Korea was 186?

A. Well, I don't, and I think I would need to look at this. I'm very happy to do that outside the court and provide written feedback. It's quite difficult to just look at the numbers and make that decision.

But clearly, you know, Korea is one setting. I think all of these suggestions or scenarios around reasonable worst-case scenarios are based on what we know and the context at the time, and that's as good as we have. So we know case fatality rate around 35%, and the rest of it is a very sensible but, in many ways, a slightly educated guess unless you've got other parameters.

163

Q. All right. And you've referred to the evidence that 1 2 Professor Heymann gave to the Inquiry. He described, 3 didn't he, that really the difference between droplets 4 and aerosols is best described by a sliding scale --

5 A. Yes

6 Q. -- droplets being the heavier, larger particles and 7 aerosols being smaller, so the bottom of the scale.

- A. But there is also a generational thing, it depends about 8 9 how forcefully they come out, which is why we have these 10 distinctions about singing or shouting.
- 11 Q. Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

If we can go to paragraph 15, please, at page 9 of this document. Now, we can see that according to this risk assessment, it states that the total number of estimated fatalities -- there we are, at the top of the page -- is between 40 and 70.

If we go to the last paragraph on page 10, please, thank you, and highlight the bottom paragraph, we can see that:

"The number of casualties is based on the MERS outbreak in South Korea."

Which we have already established. And:

"Given this number of casualties, the number of fatalities could range from 40 to 70. Approximately 40 people died in the MERS outbreak, but with a case

162

Thank you. Can we take that down, please, and replace 2 it with INQ000185135, which is part of the 2019 National 3 Security Risk Assessment, which this information fed into. Could we go straight to page 8, please. Thank you.

> Now, if we look at -- without highlighting, if we look at the two main paragraphs under "Human welfare", going further down to "Casualties", first of all, the total number of casualties here, in the document itself, is 2,000, and if we move up the page, the total number of fatalities is 200.

Now, again, I appreciate, Dame Jenny, that you weren't personally involved in creating this risk assessment, nor indeed in providing the figures that we've just looked at that appear on the template, but in your experience of these matters are you able to assist as to why, having been provided with the figures of between 40 and 70 fatalities and 200 casualties, those figures could have been expanded to 200 fatalities and 2,000 casualties, as we see in the actual document? So as I've said before, I mean, I would need to look at

the whole document. On this sheet that you're showing me it doesn't actually mention which disease we're looking at, so case fatality rate for MERS was around

35% but I think for SARS in the early days it was 25

around 10%, so that would immediately answer your question, but I don't have the rest of the information to do that. I'm very happy to take it away and look in more detail

Q. I think that would be helpful to the Inquiry.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

On that point, let's just look at page 9, please, and the section entitled "Human welfare -- confidence assessment". Can we highlight that paragraph, please, because what it makes clear is that:

"For the number of casualties and fatalities, the lower bound is based on the MERS outbreak in South Korea. However, there's the potential for this to be much higher. During the SARS outbreak in 2003, there were approximately 350 reported deaths in China although this was where the outbreak [was] originating. Both figures could be higher or lower than this depending on how communicable the disease is [which is a phrase that we've also seen in the template], as well as how quickly the disease is recognised and prevented from spreading further using infection control measures. There is considerable uncertainty regarding the impact of the outbreak on British Nationals Overseas. This scenario has not been modelled by the FCO or Department of Health. The number of non-British fatalities and casualties abroad will depend on the

165

backwards, I suspect, either into legal arguments or the pockets of differentials in the risk assessment when they don't fit neatly in each. It's not possible often, in civil service terms, to actually say "unknown" in a box because it needs a number in a box in order to generate the next bit of the logic and the money that goes with it, and I think it drives some of these conversations into differentials which are not realistic. We just don't know, this is as good as we 10

11 Q. All right. There is a high level of uncertainty within 12 that paragraph, isn't there?

13 Α. Yes.

14 Q. Lots of variables?

15 A. Yes.

Q. And I suppose to a certain extent, you know, the figures 16 17 are the best that can be achieved at the present time 18 that the document is created?

19 A. But I wouldn't like to suggest -- or at least I have no 20 evidence to suggest that somebody has taken some figures 21 in one place and then moved them around in the others. 22 What is stated here looks reasonable.

23 Q. Right.

24 A. It's just very uncertain.

25 Thank you, we can take that down. Q.

1

2

the responding health system. For MERS there have been 2,102 casualties; 733 deaths from 2012-2017 but for SARS there were 8,096 casualties and 774 deaths from November 2002-July 2003. The figures presented are therefore based on the SARS outbreak in 2003."

country where the outbreak occurs and the response of

Which aligns with what I've just suggested.

8 Q. Yes. So the figures are provided by PHE, and then 9 they're not simply taken at face value, they will be 10 worked on or adapted or perhaps even given a slightly 11 different scenario in the preparation of this final 12 document; is that right?

13 A. I don't think I would translate it that way.

14 Q. All right.

15 A. In the sense that the information -- I don't disagree 16 with anything which is on the screen in front of me now, 17 it's just we're talking about estimates.

18 Q. Yes.

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

19 We have no cases to go on. So it's extremely difficult. 20 All it's looking at is the totality of cases and other 21 outbreaks and the case fatality rates. And even that, 22 actually, could be over or underestimated depending on 23 how many people were tested at the time.

> So, I mean -- if I may, my Lady, there is a general point here about the way we're trying to fit viruses 166

The provision of expert scientific advice and contribution to expert advisory groups. We know that PHE contributed to a number of the scientific committees that advised central government and often provided a secretariat for them.

As far as you're aware, to what extent were experts provided by PHE able to challenge the views of experts on those panels? So usually if colleagues in PHE were attending any of

those groups they were there as individual experts. It depends what group it was. And in fact for UKHSA we've just done a review and we've identified 19 different government -- I mean, just to make the spaghetti even worse -- different advisory committees. And then there are a whole load beyond that which are not government ones, are technical advisory groups.

So if they're on a government -- there is a government advisory committee, there are rules about how you -- and I think you've had those as well. They will be there offering their independent professional advice and they will be receiving that from other colleagues around the room.

If you looked now, for example, we run technical advisory groups and UKHSA will chair them, and so they would be there as a UKHSA representative. But actually 168

1 there are a number of places where people will get 2 external advice, including, for example, from the 3 advisory boards both of PHE and UKHSA. 4

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. You will be aware, I think, Dame Jenny, that the Inquiry 5 has heard about the need to avoid groupthink, 6 particularly in these advisory groups. Do you have any reflections on whether or not the PHE scientific experts 8 may have contributed to groupthink or suffered from that 9 as a principle? 10
  - A. I'm smiling because there are a number of words which keep repeating through the Inquiry. I don't hold with the groupthink agenda, I think people spoke very freely, they may not all have thought the same thing, and at the end of a meeting you have to come to a consensus statement and position to support progressing whatever the topic in charge is. But on the whole, scientists are quite outspoken. And I think it was Sir Patrick Vallance who said they actually quite like to be challenged and have to change their mind. It's quite exciting if you're a scientist. So I don't really hold with that.

I do recognise that particularly during the pandemic, and actually through lots of incidents, there is a feeling of people being left out of the room. Everybody wants to be in the room offering views, and

London to explore the challenges that a large-scale outbreak of MERS CoV could present nationally to health partners in England, and participating in the exercise were representatives from NHS England, Public Health England and the Department of Health, as it then was, and also observers from the Cabinet Office, the devolved administrations and GO-Science.

If we look at page 5 of the report, please, we can see the objectives of the exercise at paragraph 2.2:

- "1. To explore and confirm the health capabilities, capacities, protocols and resources, including surge arrangements.
- "2. To explore and confirm national command, control, communication and co-ordination arrangements.
- "3. To explore the capability for contact tracing and quarantining of possible MERS CoV cases.
- "4. To explore and confirm co-ordination of public messaging associated with a large number of MERS CoV cases."

If we just remind ourselves of the scenario, it was where a group of people from London and Birmingham had travelled to the Middle East and ten days after they returned three of them presented at three different hospitals with flu like symptoms. After their histories had been analysed MERS CoV was suspected and a process

there has to be a practical limit to that. It should be 1 2 representative, it should be challenging, you should 3 have the right skills, but you can't have everybody or 4 the whole response stops.

5 Q. So perhaps the composition of the groups is important 6

7 Α.

8 Q. -- make sure that there is a range of experience and 9 viewpoints?

10 A. Absolutely.

11 Q. Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Simulation exercises. We've received information about several exercises through the course of our preparation for the Inquiry. I want to concentrate, please, Dame Jenny, with you on Exercise Alice.

Could we have up on screen, please, the report, which is at INQ000090431. Thank you.

I think it's possible also to display at the same time a freedom of information request which was made by a member of the public in relation to actions implemented as a result of this exercise, which is at INQ000191910, if we could put that up on the right-hand side of the screen, please. Thank you.

This, as the Inquiry has already heard, was a tabletop exercise conducted on 16 February 2016 in

of contact tracing was initiated, and after two days two of the cases were lab confirmed and a further case, at St Thomas' Hospital was strongly suspected. Prior to arriving at the hospitals, two of the patients had been part of a large gathering, and the scenario then developed with 50 lab confirmed cases and 650 possible contacts, and various elements of the NHS were under pressure from the cases and the media had taken a keen interest.

There was a general consensus on the need to identify capacity and capability of assets within the health system, and the level and use of PPE was central and considered of crucial importance for frontline staff. It was noted that the learning from Ebola on infection control understanding, although improved, was still not embedded with staff. And also considered important were access to sufficient levels of appropriate PPE and pandemic stockpiles to ensure sufficient quantities of PPE were available.

If we can look at some of the lessons and actions identified, and go to page 10, please, and look at action 4. Action 4 was to develop a MERS CoV serology assay procedure to include a plan for a process to scale-up capacity.

Now, is that relating to an antibody test?

172

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

- A. Well, it's a detection test, yes, a diagnostic test, and that was completed by PHE, and in fact they'd been working on them since 2011. It's why we had such good early access during the Covid pandemic.
- Q. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 A.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In relation to this action 4, if we look to the document on the right-hand side, please, which if we go to page 2, fortuitously is set out in the same order, and we highlight number 4, we can see that the answer to this question was that the procedure was developed and used during the management of the imported case in August of 2018 -- that's the imported case of MERS, isn't it? -- and that:

"Laboratory procedures for scaling up capacity have been well rehearsed across a range of outbreaks." Can you explain what range of outbreaks that

capacity would have been rehearsed in relation to? So if you have a new infection, it would be PHE's role to create the assay, the diagnostic test, and be able to scale that, and initially you would usually go out to Public Health England laboratories, then out to NHS laboratories, depending on the risk associated with the pathogen itself.

So, I mean, when -- once you have an assay for something, it's -- I mean, I'm not an expert in serology 173

"... port of entry screening has been found to be of minimal use across a number of outbreaks and has been widely studied. The details of individual cases have not been released apart from the index case. The protocols developed following Exercise Alice were tested in the response to the importation of a case in 2018 which was successfully managed."

But in terms of whether or not the briefing paper was ever prepared on the South Korea outbreak with the intention of taking on board the manner in which South Korea reacted to their outbreak of MERS and attempting to learn for the United Kingdom, do you know, Dame Jenny, whether or not that briefing paper was ever prepared?

A. So I think what I'm reading here is -- and I wasn't at Exercise Alice myself, but what I understand has happened is that the lessons that were learned from it, in terms of the importation of this case and the learning from South Korea, was moved into what I would call practical utilisation. So we may well come on to the National Incident and Emergency Response Plan, the NIERP, gets updated regularly, now on an annual basis, proactively reviewed, and learning from this will get fed into it. But I think importantly there will have been discussion, and I think it was in our pack that we

175

assays, that's why we have them. Once you have them, there's a -- you've usually got your skill there, although you do need to ensure that they are -- remain quality assured.

So in terms of rehearsed across a range of outbreaks, I'm trying to think what else would have happened in that intervening period.

So I can't offhand think what happened between 2015 and August 2018 save to say that obviously this is an example that it had been rolled out and was available.

12 Q. Right. All right, thank you.

13 Can we go to action 5, please, which is on the 14 following page of the report, on the left-hand side. 15 Thank you.

Now, this is an action to:

"Produce a briefing paper on the South Korea outbreak with details on the cases and response and [to] consider the direct application to the UK including port of entry screening."

In relation to this point, can we now go to the freedom of information request and have a look at whether or not this was an action that had been taken up by the time that this response was provided.

The response is that:

174

1 sent in, around the clinical management of cases.

2 Q. Yes.

> **A.** That actually went into the doctors' packs, for example, for those people who were on call, it went out to the NHS, so there was a clear pathway for managing cases.

So depending on whether you -- I think there are two 7 issues here: there's management of the case, and I think 8 we have submitted some evidence that PHE did that. 9 I think the port of entry screening evidence base, about 10 whether it works, is a separate issue, and I'm happy to 11 talk about that. Then, though, I think there are then 12 port health, which is a completely different issue, and I'm very happy to talk about that as well. 13

14 Q. I was particularly interested in the management of 15 cases, but it's --

16 A. So there was -- I think we've submitted a document, 17 I think it was 2017, which went through the normal 18 review process in the EPRR delivery group that was 19 included in the pack. I think it was temporarily held 20 up, not from the doctors' packs but in order to make 21 sure that it aligned with the HCID pathway that was 22 under development. And that's now out on the website 23 for everybody to use.

24 Q. So once that was affirmed, then the guidance was given, 25 thank you.

2

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

4		"Produce an options plan using extant evidence and
5		cost benefits for quarantine versus self-isolation for
6		a range of contact types including symptomatic,
7		asymptomatic and high risk groups."
8		Is it right that there was a lot of discussion
9		around the issue of restriction of movement of
10		symptomatic and asymptomatic patients and whether this
11		should be voluntary, that's self-isolation, or through
12		enforced isolation, which is quarantine?
13	A.	Not just for this, but for Ebola as well.
14	Q.	Right.
15	A.	And I was personally involved with some many of the
16		Ebola discussions. So I would classify action
17		identified 7 as a wicked issue and one that we might
18		want to return to.
19		One of the problems with this is it's not something,
20		I think, that PHE can resolve independently, and I think
21		there is submitted with the statement a document
22		from 2019, after quite a long piece of work, about port
23		entry screening, and the two link together, because
24		obviously if somebody's coming in you need to grab them
25		when they come in if you're going to do this.
		177
1		and see what response was given by PHE, it says:
2		"This background research has been used to develop
3		the current guidance. Any decisions about making this
4		enforceable were outside the remit of PHE."
5		Which is
6	A.	Which is more or less what I'm saying, and it does link
7		very closely with the port health discussion.
8	Q.	Thank you.
9		Actions 8 and 9 are to:
10		"Develop a plan for the process of community
11		sampling in a MERS-CoV outbreak"
12		And also to:
13		"Develop a live tool or system to collect data from
14		MERS-CoV contacts"
15		If we look over to the FOI document, dealing with
16		8 first, we can see:
17		"Develop a plan for the process of community
18		sampling."
19		PHE confirm that "guidance has been produced and i
20		available at", the following place, and:
21		"Sample processing will take place in the routine
22		manner, adjusted for scale. As part of any incident
23		response, this scale will be determined and then
24		appropriately resourced in conjunction with other
25		responding agencies." 179
		113

Can we look at action 7, please, which is on the

following page of the report. Page 13, thank you.

1

2

3

To:

These are very, very difficult decisions for individuals to make. The law needs to support them, and there are costs involved. And the evidence base is often in a completely different direction to political will, and so they're very difficult issues to deal with.

So I think PHE, as far as I understand it, had done quite a lot of work on port health. This was one of the areas that they had identified that they needed support from the lead department. It requires buy-in from the Department for Transport, Border Force, almost everybody, and I think it hasn't progressed beyond that.

This issue in particular has a number of possible 12 Q. 13 options, doesn't it? I think in South Korea there was 14 the use of hotels, but then there is also the option of 15 using specific locations as sites for quarantine, and 16 also the legal rights of the restriction of movement of 17 people, and all of that is brought to bear, isn't it, 18 during this discussion? So it's not a simple matter by 19 any means?

A. It's not at all, although we -- you know, the country
 has used a managed quarantine service during Covid, but
 many of those difficult issues have surfaced through
 that utilisation.

24 Q. Thank you.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If we just look, please, over to the other document 178

And that:

"This is also contained in the first few hundred (FF100) Enhanced Case and Contact Protocol which is also available at the above link."

Then we see the answer to number 9, to develop a live tool or system, is that:

"... There are a range of systems that were employed by PHE and continue to be employed by UKHSA for gathering data from contacts across a range of outbreaks and are chosen based on the scale of the outbreak. They are causative organism agnostic to avoid duplication or processes."

Can you explain to us, please, what causative organism agnostic means?

15 A. I was going to say, both of those are quite Mr Humphrey.

So basically what it's saying is there are ways of collecting data depending on the sides of the outbreak and the type that it is, and you do need to fit it to that. For example, in fact, if it was not this organism but a food-borne one, for example, we might be working and looking at local authority systems and environmental health officers.

So I think there are two issues in both of those examples. One is, my other wicked issue for the Inquiry would actually be community sampling. So UKHSA has

actually put in a sort of mini rapid response team to enable that in some cases, but it is not mass testing. So I think this move from large-scale contact tracing or large-scale community testing to mass testing is one that is not resolved.

There are -- I think our data systems are much better, but actually it requires infrastructure as well, and we're still continuing to try and build the systems that we had, which were excellent towards the latter phases of the Covid pandemic, but still need both infrastructure support, if you like, and operational utilisation.

13 Q. Right, thank you.

We can put those documents off the screen now.

Do you agree, Dame Jenny, using what we've just looked at as examples, that Exercise Alice presented us with the opportunity of conducting important research which should feed into emergency plans not only for a future MERS CoV outbreak but also any other type of emerging infectious disease?

A. Yeah, I think actually I looked at these with interest because I worked directly on the airport screening for Ebola, and a number of these discussions and problems arose, and then we had this. But at the time that Exercise Alice happened, we were developing proactively 181

around port health -- and I think we can see that in the work frame there -- were there from Ebola, and community sampling is a long-standing issue, so those are two issues which I think the organisation has felt unable to resolve on its own, and it needs wider than DHSC. That's also my point.

Q. Thank you.

There was an exercise that took place in 2016 called Exercise Northern Light. I don't want to go to the details with you, but just to say that one of the matters identified during the course of the exercise was that current arrangements with supporting surge centres and partner organisations would benefit from future development in preparation for multiple HCID cases; and I just raise that because I'd like to move on to Exercise Cygnus which took place in October of 2016.

Again I don't want to go to the documentation, but simply to confirm that one of the lessons identified in Operation Cygnus was that an effective response to pandemic influenza -- because that was the subject matter of this exercise -- requires the capability and capacity to surge resources into key areas which in some areas were lacking.

Then Exercise Broad Street in January of 2018, which had as its subject matter an HCID outbreak, also touched 183

1 the HCID pathway --

2 Q. Already?

A. Yes. So I think to some extent, whereas you might think this would be a stop point to say, "Let's do something", in fact a lot of the activity was already happening. What we do now in UKHSA is if we have an outbreak we immediately put in a research programme at the start that says: what are the questions we're finding that we don't know the answer to? So that we try and kick that off immediately so it supports, you know, outbreak

management later. Yes. It's fair to say, though, that looking at Q. Exercise Alice and some of the actions or lessons learned that were highlighted, and from the evidence that you've given and what we've seen from the freedom of information request, that some of the actions were implemented by Public Health England even though that was not the commissioning organisation for the exercise. Why would that be? Would Public Health England have taken on actions that were ordinarily outwith their own work areas?

A. They will always try and do the right thing, and that's
 often not recognised. So this is a great opportunity to
 do so. And I tell staff to run towards things if it's
 important for health protection. But those two issues

upon the need for surge capacity.

Do you accept, Dame Jenny, that by the time we reached the outbreak of Covid-19 in January of 2020, that there had been lessons identified, warnings given, however you want to describe it, that come a pandemic, whether it be influenza or another type of disease, there needed to be within the health systems of the United Kingdom public health and also general health the capacity for a surge in terms of within hospitals, within workforces, and within a capacity in order to try and deal with a significant outbreak?

**A.** I do, but I think all of those three things are quite
13 different surge mechanisms, and I'm wildly trying to
14 remember -- it's a bit like variants for Covid -- which
15 one the Northern Lights exercise was, I think it was
16 Lassa fever and H7N9, which was to try and see if two
17 HCIDs, an airborne and a touch, could be handled at the
18 same time.

**Q.** Yes.

A. Which is -- as long as they're small case numbers, it's
 a different type of surge. Whereas pan flu is obviously
 a very large national one, and the Broad Street I think
 was checking --

**Q**. Yes

**A.** -- effectively the pathway that we were just putting in 184

(46) Pages 181 - 184

on the HCID pathway. So -- and they're checking different parts of the system. There's an NHS surge, there's a whole population public health surge.

So I do broadly agree, but I think two of those worked reasonably well. It's the Cygnus pan flu one where the capacity obviously was stretched, and there are mutual support arrangements. So each Public Health England centre would support the other one, it would divert calls or you could have whole regions working, and the emergency response plan outlines that work and allows resource to be flexed, and we can work across with NHSE as well. But I think the pan flu one is the one — is more like the Covid that we've just experienced, and says "Actually when really stressed the resources are very, very low".

Q. Yes.

At paragraph 106 in your witness statement, you say this, that:

"PHE had identified a gap in national strategy across government focusing on infectious diseases since the 2002 *Getting Ahead of the Curve* document, thus in 2018 it started work on an infectious diseases strategy which was published in autumn 2019 through a joint launch with the Chief Medical Officer."

You go on to say:

pretty familiar because that's what we need to focus on.

So I would not read an absence into that, I would just say it was far more of, after an internal reorganisation, getting a focus on the topics that were already being worked on.

- Q. So is it possible to say whether that gap in infectious
   disease strategy had any impact on the UK's preparedness
   for a pandemic?
- A. I don't -- I mean, apart from the general capacity issues and the financial background, I don't think it did, and in fact what you can see during that time is, for example, the way that we started diagnosing and treating TB -- which is done at the Birmingham PHE, now UKHSA, laboratory using whole genome sequencing --actually progressed very rapidly and moved from around a month in detection to coming to a week, and knowing whether you had a multidrug-resistant TB case. So these were actually advances, not going backwards, so I don't think I would accept that.
- Q. Before we leave this subject, I just want to ask you: to
   what extent did PHE seek learning from other countries
   that dealt first-hand with outbreaks including SARS and
   MERS?
- A. So that happens at a number of levels. It works -- it
   used to work, we continue to work with DHSC who have the
   187

"This identified ten strategic priorities including infectious disease surveillance, whole genome sequencing, major emergency response, and health inequalities."

For how long had that gap existed?

- 6 A. So I don't think it was a gap in action, it's a gap in focus.
- 8 Q. Right.

A. So the initial Liam Donaldson document from 2002, Getting Ahead of the Curve, was a CMO document setting out a strategic direction and actually forecasting almost the creation of the Health Protection Agency.

This one was actually very much more about -- it was actually an internal strategy. It wasn't so much a national one, but it had very wide consultation, and it was designed to put some focus and also recognise that there were new developing techniques. So the whole genome sequencing activity is moving us into a completely different realm of health protection with new opportunities in how we manage outbreaks and get on top of them more quickly.

So I wouldn't like it to be thought that the -those streams of work were not ongoing and, in fact,
you know, we're just about to publish our own UKHSA
strategy for the next three years and the topics will be
186

prime relationship with WHO, for example, but actually the previous health protection and medical director Sir Paul Cosford was on whatever the board level was for European Centre for Disease ... Control and contributed to that regularly. There are individual groups across, we have experts supporting -- in fact in many ways leading -- WHO laboratory -- reference laboratories.

So there's a lot of different individual professional levels. And again, I know it's a recurrent theme, but I think people are unfamiliar with the level of interconnectivity on an international basis, both on an individual level and organisational.

I mean, I might say as well that PHE was part of IANPHI, which is the institute -- association of national public health -- International Association of National Public Health Institutes, yet another acronym, and would regularly exchange information, and that happened through Covid and continues to.

19 Q. Thank you.

I'd like to ask you now about the Public Health
England emergency planning documents, and two in
particular, the ConOps document and also the NIERP, did
you call it?

A. I call it the NIERP, it's the national planningdocument.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

<ol> <li>Q. Yes, all ri</li> </ol>
------------------------------------

2 So the ConOps document, was this updated after 2013?

3 A. It's updated regularly and annually and it had a very 4 big update, I think it was after Ebola, it was around

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

5

8

Q. Right, okay, and this is the document that details PHE's response to incidents. Yes. And is it intended to be used alongside the NIERP and also deal with threat specific plans?

10 A. So it's progressed. So it started life well before 11 I was involved within it but, as I say, it's almost come 12 together as a single plan, so an operating process in 13 the background framework, and is, yes, is agnostic to 14 the threat. But the people who might be involved in it 15 will be decided by the nature of the threat.

> So, for example, it would manage a business continuity issue. When all the steam valves go supporting one of the laboratories, it's more likely to be somebody on the corporate management side, a senior leader; whereas if it was a high-consequence infectious disease, the strategic response director would almost certainly be a medical professional.

23 Q. All right, thank you.

> I would like to look at the pandemic influenza response plan 2014, please, and we can see this at 189

1 recognised groupings within PHE, professional groupings. 2

So I think it wouldn't be that the plan would be

3 inoperable, and in actual fact the way that the NIERP --

4 if you'll excuse the acronyms -- works is that you have

that as the backbone of emergency responses and then

6 your plan runs alongside it. So the operational

7 response would still have happened, but you are right,

there wasn't a follow-up plan from this. We were --

9 I think PHE was waiting for the DHSC one to come

10

11 Q. Right, so no update between 2014 and the outbreak of the 12 pandemic in January 2020?

13 Α. Yes.

14 MS BLACKWELL: I'm being told that our brave stenographer 15 would like a break in about five minutes.

LADY HALLETT: How much longer have we got to go? 16

17 MS BLACKWELL: I've probably got about 15 minutes left, so

18

21

19 LADY HALLETT: Right.

20 MS BLACKWELL: Then I think there's about five minutes of

questioning from another of the CPs, so --

22 LADY HALLETT: Let's break now.

23 MS BLACKWELL: -- it's convenient to do so. Thank you.

24 LADY HALLETT: Five minutes.

25 (4.26 pm)

INQ000178938. In fact, these are the minutes of a board meeting of the Pandemic Influenza Co-ordination Group of July 2019, and we can see that if we move down the page, please, and move to page 2 and look at the first paragraph, we can see that there has been prepared a paper on outline specific functions:

"... as this is a draft, the divisions listed in the document are in no particular order. GD thanked those who have already contributed; GD still waiting for a few more sections of PHE to contribute."

Then this:

"Noted that the challenge is that some PHE structures have changed significantly since the last PHE pandemic flu plan was published so we have to reorganise the document in that respect (eg NIS was formed since the last plan was published)."

All right, just pausing there, please, do you know, Dame Jenny, whether or not the pandemic influenza response plan was updated post-2014 in order to reflect the fact that there had been a change in PHE structures? A. I think it wasn't, because the plan was -- and I think you may have heard earlier -- that Department of Health

23 were due to upgrade their plan and therefore the idea is 24 that these cascade and follow and link with each other.

25 That said, the national infection service was formed of 190

# (A short break)

2 (4.31 pm)

MS BLACKWELL: Thank you, my Lady.

So we'd established, Dame Jenny, that in relation to the pandemic influenza response plan of 2014 it was not subsequently updated between its implementation and the pandemic hitting to update in terms of a change in organisational structures.

Could we go to page 67 of the plan, please -thank you -- the first paragraph, which states:

"During a pandemic NSC(THRC) will co-ordinate central government activities, make key strategic decisions such as the countermeasures required and determine UK priorities."

Do you agree, Dame Jenny, that that appears to be a misunderstanding of that body's role, that in fact the NSC(THRC) was a body that enabled ministers to spot major emerging diseases and understand the risks and receive expert advice on response and mitigation?

20 A. I read it as a co-ordination role. I realise that's not 21 exactly -- it does say "co-ordinate", it's not exactly 22 what it says. How I read that and in fact what happens

23 in practice --

24 Q.

25 A. -- is that CCS will ensure that everybody is in the 192

- 1 right place and obviously all the ministerial decisions 2 finally get agreed at COBR --
- 3 Q. All right.
- 4 A. -- for something like this. So, you know, I cannot
- 5 foresee that we would have a pandemic without some COBR
- 6 decision-making.
- 7 Q. No, but this sentence, as I've just read it out, and the
- 8 description that it provides about the practical level
- 9 at which this group would be involved in a pandemic is
- 10 misleading, isn't it?
- A. I think it could be phrased better, let's put it that 11
- 12 way.
- 13 Q. All right.
- A. I mean, it pre-dates -- well, no, it must have been 14
- started when I joined, around the time that I joined 15
- 16 PHE, and I wouldn't necessarily have personally been
- 17 responsible; and sometimes you do look back at documents
- 18 and you think that was not entirely well articulated.
- 19 So I think it could be better articulated.
- 20 I think people will have -- those people involved in
- 21 the response will have known where the wheels were
- 22 turning and in fact will have been invited, for
- 23 something like this, to contribute either directly to
- 24 COBR or through CMO.
- 25 Q. But the benefit of a document like this being

- 1 A. I agree with you, I think it's unhelpful. We're
- 2 probably -- I should suspect PHE is not the only
- 3 organisation with outdated documents and it's why, for
- 4 things like the NIERP particularly -- which is the
- 5 backbone of response, and I think you can see that
- 6 through the evidence that's there -- it is proactively
- 7 updated after each incident.
- 8 Q. I want to move away from this document now -- we can
- 9 take that down, please, thank you -- and just ask you
- 10 about a plan, a UKHSA plan for MERS. Is there one in
- force other than a draft interim response plan that was 11
- 12 created some time ago? Do you know whether there's
- 13 a final plan in force?
- 14 A. There is guidance and it would be handled along an HCID
- 15 pathway, so effectively the practical application is
- 16 there.
- 17 **Q**. How is it viewed in terms of the level of concern that
- 18 MERS poses?
- 19 A. So MERS is on the HCID list. So, I mean, in practice,
- 20 what that means is if we have ten cases of something
- 21 else, we say: is this a cluster? Does it look unusual?
- 22 If there's one case of MERS, somebody's on the phone to
- 23 me immediately and the CMO knows and the HCID pathway
- 24 goes in and the HCID network is activated. So -- and we
- have seen that happen. We've had a Lassa fever case 25 195

accurate -- not only in terms of its description of the 1

- 2 health bodies but also of the role of a CCS,
- 3 Cabinet Office body like this -- is that whoever reads
- 4 the document is clear about roles and responsibilities
- 5 and this, in the two aspects that we've just looked at,
- 6 could have been clearer and on one level could be
- 7 described as misleading?
- 8 A. I think I would agree with you. I mean, there are two
- 9 things I would say: one is I notice the date is 2014,
- 10 and I now have the same problem at UKHSA, every time
- 11 an organisation changes you're having to go back and
- 12
- work through documents to try and make them work with
- 13 the ones before. And it's not just your own
- 14 organisation, it's the other pieces of the machinery
- 15 that have changed at the same time, and they're
- 16 sometimes changing as you're trying to update your
- 17 document. So I agree with you. I am less concerned in
- 18 practice that that sentence would have affected how
- 19 individuals responded. They would have worked to the
- 20 NIERP and the systems in place.
- 21 **Q.** Because, as you may or may not be aware, the NSC(THRC)
- 22 was retired in 2018 and became completely disbanded by
- 23 2019. So in fact, as we get towards the time that the
- 24 pandemic hit, that organisation was no longer in
- 25 existence.

2

4

7

194

1 recently, we've had MERS.

So I'm very confident -- I mean, you can't -- you

3 will never secure 100% confidence, but it has been

rehearsed, and the 2018 example of that is that that

- 5 case was managed well.
- 6 Q. All right, thank you.
  - In terms of planning for an HCID or Disease X
- 8 pandemic, Professor Oliver in her witness statement to
- us has confirmed that Public Health England was not 9
- 10 involved in any programmes of work related to specific
- 11 planning for a pandemic caused by any pathogen other
- 12 than influenza -- and indeed that accords with other
- 13 evidence that the Inquiry has received -- or indeed any
- 14 pathogen agnostic planning.
- 15 A. Yes. Can I be invited to continue?
- 16 Q. Yes, please do.
- 17 A. So, yes, strictly to -- you said, that's my
- 18 understanding. But it does go back a little bit to
- 19 trying to fit what we have -- the way I see what we
- 20 have, from a clinical perspective -- and I think in many
- 21 ways probably reflecting what Sir Patrick and
- 22 Sir Chris Whitty said -- is that we have a respiratory
- 23 virus plan, currently, I think, because the national
- 24 strategic risk assessment says you have to use

25 an example, and that is geared to flu, and then we have

an HCID pathway which is smaller but has very high protection. And I'm probably pre-empting some further auestions.

My view is that the flu plan is actually a pretty good one. I turned round and thought I'd ask the question the other way round: if I was going to choose an example, because that's what the risk assessment says I must do, what other example of a respiratory virus with pandemic potential would I use? And there wouldn't -- I would still use flu because that's the history to date. But what it doesn't have is what I would call a sensitivity analysis. It doesn't do the bits that says: well, what if this flu virus had a longer incubation period or this flu virus transmitted asymptomatically for 50% of cases?

So the actual sort of structures of the pathways, whether it's a new virus or not, feel okay to me, but that's the bit that's missing at a national level and that would have got us to a consideration of, you know, what's -- what is -- had we planned for more asymptomatic transmission or a containment phase, as the Hine report suggested.

23 Q. Yes, but also doesn't it have an effect on decisions in 24 terms of pandemic stockpiles and clinical

25 countermeasures?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

197

- 1 virus or you don't know how it's going to behave,
- 2 specifying a trigger point is not the right thing to do;
- 3 you need to leave your mind open to what it might do.
- 4 Q. What are PHE's responsibilities in terms of stockpiling 5
- clinical countermeasures and PPE?
- 6 A. So -- what were they?
- 7 Q. Yes, of course.
- 8 A. Sorry, because I'm sort of jumping between organisations 9 and actually I wasn't responsible personally for PHE.
- No, of course. And, sorry, just to remind ourselves 10 Q. that we are dealing with the period of time running up 11
- 12 to --
- 13 A. Exactly.
- 14 Q. -- the pandemic, so that's why I'd counted the question 15 in terms of --
- A. Yes, exactly. 16
- 17 Q. -- PHE.
- A. So PHE had a VCR team, it was a vaccine and 18
- 19 countermeasures response team --
- 20 Q. Yes.
- 21 A. -- which was not there to set the parameters of the
- 22 stockpile, it was there to do the procurement and manage
- 23 the processing of it and make sure it was stored
- 24 effectively and that it turned over effectively. And
- 25 it's quite a complex procurement and management system

199

A. Yes.

1

7

2 Q. Because there was only a pandemic influenza plan, the 3 planning, the practical planning for pandemic stockpiles and clinical countermeasures followed that plan and so 4 5 in terms of antivirals they were entirely suitable for 6 an influenza pandemic but, as it happened, not for the

8 A. To an extent, yes, but, I mean, if we did a sensitivity 9 analysis that said, "We're going to have a new virus 10 that's got 100% asymptomatic transmission, we'll only

11 know if we go into it", we'd all be walking around in

12 PPE every day of our lives. So there is a limit to what 13

that stockpile might ever do, and it's not 14 an unreasonable assumption to put it somewhere around

15 the boundaries that I think it was. But I do think

16 this, however you call it -- as I say, I call it

pandemic that hit us?

17 a sensitivity analysis -- we didn't think -- it should

18 have been flexed to potential characteristics of the

19 virus. The underlying plan is fine, but that isn't

20 of course -- this is the problem, that's not what the

21 NSRA, I think, allows PHE or the Department of Health to

22 do. It gets very specific on -- it wants to know how

23 many cases, and we have the same thing with Covid where

24 I will be asked, you know, what is your trigger point?

25 But if you have a virus that is behaving -- is a new

1 which -- I realise you have a very, very long chapter on

2 that, but important because there is another part in

3 Department of Health which links with it, and the actual

4 parameters of the stockpile are set through the

5 Department of Health and with input from groups such as

6 NERVTAG.

Q. All right.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

I have two topics left. One is the health of the population prior to Covid-19 and the extent to which pre-existing inequalities and vulnerabilities were considered and accounted for in pandemic planning and preparations.

Was it part of PHE's functions to assess the nation's health from time to time and also to seek to improve it?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Right, and what assessment would you give to the Inquiry 18 about the state of the nation's health in the months

19 running up to the Covid pandemic hitting?

20 A. I've read Sir Michael Marmot's report and I would agree 21 with the broad headline. I'll put some caveats. The

22 principle, which I think many people have established

23 and we know, is that infectious disease will follow

24 those areas of vulnerability, and that's -- and I don't

25 just mean clinical vulnerability, although that is

important separately. It will be the vulnerability, combined vulnerability of socio-economic deprivation and things like housing and, you know, whether people have got good jobs. These are all protective measures for good health outcomes.

So I broadly agree. I -- and he says himself -- I don't agree necessarily that the causative element, the link between the timeframe for austerity and the burden of disease in the population, it's very difficult to draw that conclusion directly. It's possible, but even he acknowledges that.

12 Q. Right, okay. So as a principle?

- A. But as a principle, people who are in the more deprived
   areas will suffer from -- they're more adversely
   affected by infectious diseases but also by underlying
   health conditions as well, which combined then creates
   a major problem.
- 18 Q. Right. Although you question the timescale, do you
   19 accept what Professors Marmot and Bambra said about the
   20 decline in the ten years running up to the Covid
   21 pandemic?
- A. I think what I'm saying is the object of evidence of
   decline you can measure, health and socio-economic
   deprivation and burden of disease. The bit that's not
   so easy to do is draw the direct link. They were making

are split into "Preparedness" and "Resilience", and each of those into "Quality of plans", "Ability to implement plans", "Performance going into the crisis", "Staff", "Buildings" and "Equipment".

Then along the horizontal axis and in the columns coming down from the top, we see "The NHS": "Hospitals" and "General practice"; "Local government": "Local emergency support services", "Adult social care", "Children's social care"; "Education": "Schools". And then "The criminal justice system", on the right-hand side, separated into "Police", "Criminal courts" and "Prisons".

The resilience of hospitals wasn't good, but if we look further to the middle of the graph we can see that, both in relation to preparedness and resilience, adult social care appears to have failed.

Are those concerns reflected in your experience of these organisations running up to the time that the pandemic hit?

A. I think I will have to refrain from comment, I'm
 a scientist, this looks completely subjective and I have
 absolutely no idea on how the ratings have been derived.

I mean, I can make a few comments, but just looking at it, for example, hospitals, ability to implement plans if you have no staff, or we've said that the EPRR

1 a link directly between austerity and (inaudible) --

- Q. Yes. No, no.
- 3 A. -- and some people will and others won't, and I'm just
  4 saying it's difficult to draw that. But definitely the
  5 shape of the curve, if you like --
- 6 Q. Yes.

- 7 A. -- is clear, it's evidential.
- 8 Q. Over the ten years leading up to the pandemic?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Thank you.

May we put up on the screen, please, the report from the Institute of Government which is entitled "How fit were public services for the coronavirus?" Thank you very much. If we go immediately, please, to page 11, I would just like to look with you, please, Dame Jenny, at the chart at the top of the page.

This is a piece of work that has been prepared by the institute and they have through various pieces of evidence received, sought to draw conclusions in relation to how prepared and resilient public services were at the start of the crisis, providing red for a level of organisational preparedness that was below par or failed, amber for something that was acceptable, and green for good.

We can see that on the left-hand side the categories 202

arrangements are low, feels a slightly strange conclusion.

I think in adult social care as well. I mean, it is one of the big problems for social care -- and I might add I have personal family experience of this and used to support commissioning in local authorities -- so I think, notwithstanding what's on there, I would agree that social care was a high-risk area and one of the difficulties -- and this goes to buildings and equipment and what have you -- is it is a largely privately provided service, so the difficulties of ensuring that there are plans that are fit or that people who are running those services, their responsibility at the start of the pandemic to understand infection control and have PPE ready for their staff is really challenging, and I think that has come out through the pandemic.

What I do know is they are an extremely vulnerable group of individuals and I think, you know, recognise -- and I personally always see this as a continuum for medical care, you can't just exclude one side of it.

But I would -- I'm afraid would have to refrain from comment on the rest, because it's not very evidential.

**Q.** Well, I had understood that you'd been provided with the report provided by the Institute of Government prior to 204

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

1 today, and you would have understood that the findings 2 are based on extensive desk research, analysis of 3 government data, interviews with civil servants, frontline staff, representative bodies and other 4 5

A. But it still has a subjective element to it. I mean, things that I could comment on: for example, prisons actually in the first wave of the pandemic had excellent outcomes, and in fact PHE is a WHO collaborating centre 10 for prison health, and you can see a marked contrast 11 between the outcomes there in the first wave and the US. 12 But, you know, I still think by the time you put those 13 together somebody has to knit them. So I agree with you 14 in the overarching. The red column in the middle 15 absolutely stands out and I would agree with it. 16

Q. Thank you.

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Finally I want to give you the opportunity, Dame Jenny, to provide us with your experience and knowledge in terms of what we have been through, your evidence today, but also any aspects that we haven't touched upon and allow you to assist the Chair in terms of any recommendations that she may want to consider in terms of lessons learned.

I know that in particular you were impressed with evidence that has been given to the Inquiry about the 205

So I think that minister almost needs to stay with it for the whole of the Parliamentary session, almost, for it to maintain the infrastructure for the country.

The second point I would make is to do with the science. Again, Sir Patrick Vallance said this, but I think we are -- and I think David Heymann said it, we're missing what the opportunities are. This all sounds very depressing and where everything may or may not have gone wrong, but for UKHSA, one of the positive things about having a science -- more science-focused organisation is to work upstream. So in contrast to perhaps where we have been, it's allowing me to put in more systematic horizon scanning and surveillance, we're already starting to work with industry and we've taken in -- so somebody I think mentioned: where has the vaccine taskforce gone? The answer is: I've got it and I'm working with it upfront, because we have the opportunity with new vaccine products, new diagnostic tests, to actually do one was things which was missing here, which was put equal focus on prevention for the next pandemic. And we have new tools.

MS BLACKWELL: Thank you.

My Lady, that completes my questions. I know that provisional permission has been given to Ms Claire Mitchell King's Counsel on behalf of the 207

1 possibility of recommending the appointment of 2 a resilience minister.

3 A. Yes, thank you. It wasn't an idea that I thought 4 I would warm to when somebody first suggested it, but 5 actually when I look back through my experience and when 6 you look at what I'm calling the wicked issues, one of 7 the difficulties is that these -- for example, 8 infrastructure for maintaining very high containment 9 level laboratories, or social care agenda -- cannot be 10 tackled even by a very willing -- and I might add

11 Department of Health have worked very hard with us --12

individual department. It needs somebody, and you see 13 it happening in incident response, which is why 14 Sir Oliver Letwin's contribution was very interesting.

I don't say that with a political slant at all. It was 16 very evident that he understood what happened and how 17 you needed to make things work.

> So I tend to agree. I would add a note of caution, which is: just like the rest of the system, churn in the system is a major problem, we lose understanding, we lose connections, and I've had four different ministers in the Department of Health, and you can start to see the difference of people who understand the problems and then clearly it turns to other things, which we -you know, is inevitable.

206

1 Scottish Covid Bereaved to ask a question, I think one 2 or two questions on the topic of Dame Jenny Harries' 3 role as DCMO for England, and may she do that now? 4 LADY HALLETT: Of course.

Ms Mitchell.

### Questions from MS MITCHELL KC

7 MS MITCHELL: I'm obliged.

> Dame Jenny, we have heard that you were Deputy Chief Medical Officer for England 2019 to 2021, and in your evidence today you've spoken about connections between governments and organisations and also the interconnectivity and the benefits of interconnectivity.

13 I'd like to ask you, please, about the connections 14 between individuals in the roles of the four nations, 15 and particularly your role when you were Deputy Chief 16 Medical Officer.

During your time as Deputy Chief Medical Officer, did you have meetings or discussions with the other Deputy Chief Medical Officers in their roles in the four nations?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Can you tell me, was any of the work that was done in 23 those discussions and meetings related to pandemic 24 planning or pandemic preparedness?

25 A. So during the Covid pandemic, most of it is obviously --208

	Thean, we're moving on to the next phase, almost, so	1 Concludes the questions thank you very much to our
2	I'm just looking for a signal as to how I should answer	2 stenographer for keeping going I'm very grateful to
3	this.	3 you for all your help and for your interesting thoughts.
4	LADY HALLETT: No, we're asking about I think Ms Mitchell	4 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5	is asking about what was the work done in collaboration	5 (The witness withdrew)
6	with the devolved administrations in relation to	6 LADY HALLETT: Thank you, and 10 o'clock tomorrow morni
7	planning and preparedness, not response.	7 please.
8	A. In that case, I might decline slightly, because just	8 MS BLACKWELL: Thank you, my Lady.
9	to explain, my although it may not appear that to the	9 <b>(5.00 pm)</b>
10	nation, my Deputy there are usually two Deputy Chief	10 (The hearing adjourned until 10 am
11	Medical Officers supporting the English CMO. One of	11 <b>on Tuesday, 27 June 2023)</b>
12	them did the health protection role, which was	12
13	Professor Jonathan Van-Tam, and the other one is the	13
14	health improvement role. So actually when I joined the	14
15	department it was to support work on tobacco control,	15
16	obesity, physical exercise and that sort of agenda. So	16
17	I would not have expected to be involved in the planning	17
18	for pandemics. It would be more with the health	18
19	protection DCMO.	19
20	MS MITCHELL: I see, but one of your colleagues would be the	20
21	person that we posed that question to then?	21
22	A. Yes.	22
23	MS MITCHELL: I'm obliged.	23
24	LADY HALLETT: Thank you very much, Ms Mitchell.	24
25	Thank you very much, Dame Jenny, I think that	25
	209	210

INDEX PAGE MS EMMA REED (sworn) ..... Questions from LEAD COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY ..1 MRS ROSEMARY GALLAGHER (sworn) ..... Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY ..... 64 Questions from MS MUNROE KC ..... DAME JENNY HARRIES (affirmed) ..... Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY ..... 116 Questions from MS MITCHELL KC ..... 

LADY HALLETT: **[45]** 1/3 1/25 41/11 41/25 42/3 42/10 42/12 42/17 42/21 42/24 43/10 49/13 64/4 64/8 65/4 66/14 75/7 91/20 91/23 92/2 92/10 98/9 98/14 98/17 98/19 98/21 113/18 115/24 116/1 117/3 140/25 141/5 143/7 143/12 144/14 144/19 155/7 191/16 191/19 191/22 191/24 208/4 209/4 209/24 MR KEITH: [8] 1/4 1/8 2/1 43/11 49/12 49/17 64/1 64/6 **MS BLACKWELL: [28]** 64/12 64/16 65/5 66/15 75/14

91/22 92/1 92/6 92/11 98/20 113/11 115/25 116/5 116/9 117/4 141/2 141/6 143/5 143/13 145/12 155/8 191/14 191/17 191/20 191/23 192/3 207/22 210/8 MS MITCHELL: [3]

208/7 209/20 209/23 MS MUNROE: [2] 113/20 115/22 THE WITNESS: [3] 64/10 116/3 210/4

'acknowledge [1] 84/11 **'All [1]** 50/20 'All Risks' [1] 50/20 **'be [1]** 84/13 'home' [1] 123/4 'home' Department **[1]** 123/4 'local [1] 137/4 'mass [1] 29/2 'the [1] 84/5 'top [1] 136/25 'top slicing' [1] 136/25 'traditional' [1] 80/3

1 o'clock [1] 65/2 **1.03 pm [1]** 92/7 **1.15 [2]** 65/4 65/5 **1.45** [1] 92/5 **1.45 pm [1]** 92/9 **10 [4]** 64/4 162/17 165/1 172/21

**10 am [1]** 210/10 10 o'clock [1] 210/6 10.29 am [1] 1/2 **100 [2]** 196/3 198/10 **106 [1]** 185/17 **108 [1]** 135/21 **11 [4]** 47/24 95/17 136/24 202/14 11.45 am [1] 49/14 **112** [1] 83/22 **12 [4]** 28/9 29/14 48/9 116/21 **12 o'clock [1]** 49/13 **12.00 pm [1]** 49/16 **120 [1]** 127/7 **13 [3]** 29/2 153/24 177/2 13 professional [1] 67/2 **139 [1]** 143/14 **14 [5]** 14/19 44/13 136/15 153/3 153/24 14 November 2019 [1] 59/7 **15** [**5**] 32/3 85/14 85/18 90/20 162/12 15 minutes [1] 191/17 15 percent [1] 136/20 **152 [1]** 137/15 **16 [5]** 33/17 35/4 92/25 136/13 163/8 16 February 2016 [1] 170/25 **17 [3]** 68/9 136/24 189/5 17 May 2023 [1] 116/19 **18 [1]** 51/24 **18 months [2]** 39/18 52/18 **186 [1]** 163/13 **19 [19]** 54/25 73/23 74/18 76/19 79/23 80/8 82/16 89/22 93/11 102/19 104/1 110/23 113/13 113/25 118/18 119/4 125/25 184/3 200/9 19 different [1] 168/12

1950s [1] 132/11 **1970s [1]** 128/15

**2,000 [2]** 164/10 164/20 **2,102 [1]** 166/3 **2.2 [1]** 171/9 **2.21 [1]** 14/21 **20 [1]** 152/25 200 [4] 163/10 164/11 164/18 164/19

**200 million [1]** 136/9 **2002 [6]** 65/15 120/4 148/22 149/18 185/21 186/9 **2003 [7]** 2/2 120/1 120/5 148/13 165/13 166/5 166/6 **2004 [2]** 3/7 50/2 **2006 [1]** 104/4 **2008 [1]** 65/15 2009 [8] 25/7 65/11 65/15 72/14 72/16 110/9 120/6 140/5 **2010 [4]** 62/23 120/6 137/3 149/14 **2010/11 [1]** 136/24 **2011 [11]** 11/16 13/10 13/14 17/1 17/13 27/11 27/20 62/8 62/20 63/23 173/3 2012 [9] 94/16 96/20 103/10 104/14 120/7 127/2 127/3 129/17 141/12 **2012-2017 [1]** 166/3 **2012/13 [1]** 153/24 **2013 [8]** 2/8 117/19 121/24 122/20 140/5 151/20 152/18 189/2 **2013/14 [2]** 153/3 153/24 **2014 [13]** 2/10 65/21 82/1 84/4 113/16 114/3 114/15 118/16 189/25 190/19 191/11 114/3 192/5 194/9 **2014/15 [1]** 32/3 **2014/2015 [1]** 136/18 **2015 [8]** 2/10 65/19 78/12 122/21 136/10 136/18 158/1 174/8 **2015-16 [1]** 136/13 **2015/16 [1]** 92/25 **[1]** 8/12 **2016 [24]** 8/12 9/2 28 strategic [1] 9/13 22/14 28/10 287.1 million [1] 29/15 35/22 45/15 45/22 50/4 51/7 65/22 153/1 **29 [2]** 113/23 114/1 82/2 89/13 103/12 103/16 104/4 117/21 118/16 118/20 122/20 170/25 183/8 183/16 **2016/17 [2]** 136/24 189/5

3 **2017 [9]** 28/13 55/12 83/2 117/21 118/21 160/6 160/7 166/3 176/17 **2017/18 [1]** 51/24 **2018 [29]** 2/20 6/21 8/14 13/3 18/18 27/22 30/14 32/5 47/19 54/17 55/25 56/9 60/2 65/23 84/3 102/11

115/8 118/22 118/23 155/22 156/5 161/2 173/12 174/9 175/6 183/24 185/22 194/22 196/4 2018/19 [1] 54/25 **2019 [26]** 18/1 22/14 24/3 54/17 56/1 56/10 **40 [7]** 73/13 152/11 59/7 60/2 67/18 68/17 68/23 69/4 86/11 89/19 90/5 115/8 115/11 117/17 117/20 **49 [1]** 136/22 156/7 164/2 177/22 185/23 190/3 194/23 208/9 **2019/20 [1]** 152/25 2019/2020 [1] 136/19 **2020 [18]** 17/14 40/22 41/8 44/16 45/11 45/15 69/18 76/20 84/23 90/5 100/4 106/13 110/18 122/22 136/19 151/17 184/3 191/12 **2020-21 [1]** 136/14 **2021 [7]** 117/14 117/15 117/17 121/24 125/23 125/24 208/9 **2022 [1]** 4/18 **2023 [4]** 1/1 116/19 116/21 210/11 **21 [1]** 136/14 **22 [3]** 40/21 45/9 92/25 22 October 2014 [1] **25 [1]** 9/19 **26 [3]** 9/19 10/3 10/19 26 June 2023 [1] 1/1 27 June 2023 [1] 210/11 28 September 2016

**3 billion [1]** 136/14 **3.00 pm [1]** 143/9 **3.15 [1]** 143/8 **3.15 pm [1]** 143/11 **3.5 billion [1]** 136/13 **30 [2]** 148/20 157/10 **30 years [1]** 157/10 **32 [1]** 148/23 **32 major [1]** 30/15 **34 [2]** 82/10 115/4 **34.9 [1]** 163/1 **35 [2]** 163/22 164/25 **350 [1]** 165/14

145/20

**36 [1]** 79/13 392.5 million [1] 153/3

4.26 pm [1] 191/25 **4.31 pm [1]** 192/2 153/4 162/16 162/24 162/24 164/18 **48 [1]** 100/20

**5 years [1]** 136/11 **5,000 [1]** 127/7 **5.00 pm [1]** 210/9 **50 [1]** 197/15 **50 lab [1]** 172/6 **50,000 [1]** 88/13 **53 [1]** 103/8 **57 [1]** 16/25

6 Months [1] 18/14 **6.60 [1]** 74/10 **63 [1]** 110/15 **65 [1]** 92/17 650 possible [1] 172/6 **66 [1]** 90/20 **67 [1]** 192/9

**7.4 [1]** 17/6 **7.5 [1]** 17/2 70 [3] 162/16 162/24 164/18 **70 people [1]** 163/2 **733 [1]** 166/3 **774 [1]** 166/4

8 first [1] 179/16 **8,096 [1]** 166/4 **80 [1]** 124/10 800,000 [2] 22/18 22/20 850 million [1] 136/18

91 [1] 152/8 **93 [1]** 140/4

ability [10] 19/11

21/16 84/1 84/7 84/9 106/3 133/16 140/10 203/2 203/24 able [20] 20/10 54/13 61/22 70/8 73/15 75/2 76/10 77/25 84/21 84/23 86/25 88/10

202/23 adjourned [1] 210/10 171/22 171/24 172/1 active [3] 37/3 39/20 accepted [1] 24/23 40/3 adjournment [2] 92/8 177/22 187/3 189/2 able... [8] 107/21 access [6] 35/21 actively [1] 161/16 115/5 189/4 195/7 113/5 135/6 146/5 60/24 61/22 62/13 activities [2] 132/16 adjusted [1] 179/22 **afternoon [5]** 92/3 148/18 164/16 168/7 172/17 173/4 192/12 administration [1] 113/21 113/22 114/11 173/19 according [5] 67/13 activity [3] 45/5 118/1 155/5 **abolishing [1]** 127/5 91/5 113/16 136/11 again [18] 9/17 23/2 182/5 186/18 administrations [4] **abolition [2]** 127/13 36/14 60/3 77/24 83/4 162/13 actual [5] 159/18 58/11 82/17 171/7 136/4 accords [1] 196/12 164/20 191/3 197/16 209/6 86/20 101/16 115/5 about [116] 2/25 5/4 200/3 122/18 126/1 135/23 account [7] 51/12 administrative [1] 6/2 7/4 8/5 9/9 9/11 51/17 52/21 83/10 actually [50] 25/15 120/22 149/14 154/4 164/12 10/3 10/11 10/13 110/1 152/12 163/12 57/18 74/25 77/24 183/17 188/9 207/5 administratively [1] 10/16 10/17 10/17 78/25 98/18 109/13 against [3] 50/14 accountability [1] 123/3 10/18 10/21 12/1 13/1 119/16 122/2 122/8 50/22 111/4 127/23 adopt [1] 35/5 13/1 17/19 18/2 21/4 122/14 123/11 129/12 adopts [1] 50/19 accountable [1] agencies [4] 121/9 22/17 25/8 25/11 120/11 130/15 130/18 137/23 adult [14] 18/15 125/20 143/17 179/25 25/14 25/17 27/12 accounted [1] 139/14 140/25 142/6 18/16 21/3 21/5 21/11 agency [23] 4/8 28/7 30/18 32/12 34/1 143/22 147/20 149/16 23/10 28/18 47/14 35/19 95/21 103/11 200/11 35/4 37/19 37/24 accurate [3] 19/6 152/2 154/8 160/12 47/18 102/8 105/21 104/6 117/13 119/25 40/17 41/1 41/9 45/3 19/17 194/1 160/18 164/23 166/22 203/8 203/15 204/3 122/3 122/25 123/2 45/7 47/22 48/2 52/10 167/4 168/25 169/18 123/6 123/15 125/22 accused [1] 133/2 advances [1] 187/18 61/3 61/4 61/16 61/21 achieve [2] 133/9 169/23 176/3 180/25 advantage [1] 130/14 126/21 133/15 134/6 62/12 62/19 67/2 69/9 134/25 181/1 181/7 181/21 advantageous [1] 140/11 140/16 145/18 72/5 72/14 75/20 76/8 achieved [5] 97/2 185/14 186/11 186/13 67/25 146/11 149/1 149/2 77/18 78/4 78/25 97/17 97/20 97/25 186/14 187/15 187/18 adversely [1] 201/14 186/12 81/24 82/11 82/17 188/1 197/4 199/9 agenda [12] 68/6 167/17 advice [20] 34/24 83/7 83/25 84/8 88/13 205/8 206/5 207/19 68/12 69/8 69/11 38/1 38/4 38/5 44/4 acknowledge [1] 89/10 103/6 104/22 138/20 209/14 46/20 49/10 66/24 69/13 69/24 87/23 114/8 114/10 114/13 acute [6] 69/1 95/3 68/6 69/3 72/19 74/14 96/10 102/13 169/12 acknowledged [1] 115/6 115/8 121/24 100/25 101/2 104/9 95/7 113/2 113/4 206/9 209/16 75/9 130/7 134/7 134/24 acknowledges [1] 106/22 121/12 168/1 168/21 agent [1] 80/22 135/11 137/16 144/5 201/11 ad [1] 69/13 169/2 192/19 agnostic [4] 180/11 148/12 150/6 150/9 acquired [5] 43/1 adapt [1] 70/15 advised [4] 16/9 44/5 | 180/14 189/13 196/14 150/16 150/21 151/11 76/23 103/7 104/14 adapted [4] 70/5 91/2 168/4 **ago [2]** 157/4 195/12 151/19 155/9 162/8 104/16 70/11 80/1 166/10 advisers [1] 134/15 agree [38] 18/20 162/10 166/17 166/25 add [8] 21/4 74/21 acronym [1] 188/16 26/22 42/8 47/16 60/5 advising [1] 121/6 168/18 169/5 170/13 60/12 70/10 71/6 86/8 acronyms [1] 191/4 76/8 119/20 130/10 **advisory [14]** 46/19 176/9 176/11 176/13 204/5 206/10 206/18 58/14 65/18 72/10 94/20 97/4 97/17 across [31] 17/3 177/22 179/3 186/13 27/18 38/6 42/11 53/5 added [1] 68/22 127/3 129/17 131/16 75/21 118/11 118/13 186/24 188/20 191/15 53/11 54/1 59/9 84/17 adding [1] 29/7 168/2 168/14 168/16 131/24 132/20 132/21 191/17 191/20 193/8 86/3 89/9 89/20 90/12 addition [4] 80/13 168/18 168/24 169/3 132/25 137/10 137/21 194/4 195/10 200/18 90/14 90/15 95/6 107/14 132/10 152/15 169/6 139/3 140/19 140/20 201/19 205/25 207/10 129/1 129/17 139/16 advocate [3] 84/2 141/24 181/15 185/4 additional [3] 22/1 208/10 208/13 209/4 142/4 143/17 145/25 192/15 194/8 194/17 22/3 154/9 84/21 133/17 209/5 147/9 149/22 173/15 195/1 200/20 201/6 additionally [2] 68/21 aerosol [1] 161/14 above [1] 180/4 201/7 204/7 205/13 174/5 175/2 180/9 aerosols [3] 161/9 80/10 abroad [1] 165/25 185/11 185/20 188/5 additions [1] 156/20 205/15 206/18 162/4 162/7 abrupt [1] 21/17 act [19] 3/7 49/21 address [2] 8/21 13/4 affect [2] 93/14 agreed [3] 1/15 18/17 absence [4] 17/2 50/2 58/1 58/4 58/6 addressed [9] 11/8 133/16 193/2 17/4 88/19 187/2 62/23 63/3 84/1 89/12 12/20 25/23 40/5 47/3 affected [4] 60/10 **agreement [1]** 73/8 absolute [1] 160/5 89/19 94/17 95/9 47/19 55/9 79/19 99/17 194/18 201/15 ah [3] 5/9 28/1 absolutely [22] 22/12 127/2 131/13 132/18 85/25 135/21 affects [1] 100/8 45/5 45/20 75/2 88/10 133/16 135/18 141/12 addressing [5] 38/25 ahead [4] 135/22 affirmed [3] 116/7 90/13 91/14 93/20 40/1 40/15 86/13 acted [1] 119/1 176/24 211/13 148/8 185/21 186/10 94/3 104/12 108/12 action [11] 76/2 90/4 86/23 afford [2] 20/19 23/6 aid [3] 152/24 153/10 112/10 112/24 113/8 172/22 172/22 173/6 adequacy [3] 12/2 afield [1] 71/12 154/9 151/3 151/12 152/20 air [2] 134/19 161/17 174/13 174/16 174/23 25/8 25/11 afraid [2] 155/4 153/14 156/13 170/10 177/1 177/16 186/6 adequate [5] 26/3 204/22 airborne [10] 79/19 203/22 205/15 action 4 [1] 172/22 39/6 43/8 53/18 Africa [4] 65/23 80/5 157/16 157/16 absorbed [1] 132/8 75/25 76/2 76/13 actions [8] 36/21 102/17 158/7 159/15 160/14 accept [7] 24/19 101/21 170/20 172/20 160/21 160/24 184/17 adequately [2] 40/4 after [20] 18/2 21/17 24/22 25/24 63/8 179/9 182/13 182/16 43/3 27/22 42/21 52/2 52/9 airport [1] 181/22 184/2 187/19 201/19 182/20 adhere [1] 84/5 54/5 78/8 128/18 **Alarm [1]** 155/3 acceptable [1] activated [1] 195/24 adjoined [1] 5/16 148/21 151/20 160/19 alert [2] 144/2 145/3

alerting [2] 125/4 149/10 Alice [8] 29/20 35/22 170/15 175/5 175/16 181/16 181/25 182/13 align [1] 133/25 aligned [2] 9/13 176/21 aligns [1] 166/7 alive [2] 99/12 100/14 all [118] 4/16 4/20 5/7 5/11 6/14 7/19 8/1 10/19 13/13 17/3 23/24 24/9 24/14 32/8 41/2 41/10 41/12 41/15 42/4 42/6 42/9 43/24 45/9 47/3 48/2 51/9 53/6 53/11 54/8 54/20 55/7 55/22 55/25 58/5 58/10 59/7 59/15 63/20 64/1 64/1 73/4 75/14 78/9 81/3 81/20 83/10 85/11 86/17 86/18 87/2 88/3 89/5 89/15 90/2 90/10 94/11 94/22 97/13 98/4 98/4 100/18 103/5 109/5 109/13 109/14 110/13 113/12 115/12 115/15 115/21 122/16 124/22 124/24 125/1 125/18 129/10 132/17 133/1 133/23 138/14 143/18 144/19 145/21 146/22 147/9 148/2 148/16 150/2 151/16 154/18 156/3 156/9 161/5 162/1 163/19 164/8 166/14 166/20 167/11 169/13 174/12 178/17 178/20 184/12 189/1 189/17 189/23 190/17 193/1 193/3 193/13 196/6 198/11 200/7 201/4 206/15 207/7 210/3 all right [47] 4/20 5/11 7/19 8/1 13/13 23/24 41/10 51/9 54/8 54/20 55/7 58/10 59/7 59/15 64/1 75/14 78/9 83/10 85/11 86/17 87/2 88/3 90/2 90/10 94/11 94/22 97/13 100/18 103/5 109/5 110/13 125/1 125/18 133/1 148/2 156/3 156/9 161/5 162/1 166/14 167/11 174/12 189/1 189/23 193/13 196/6 200/7 although [9] 132/8

**Allison [1]** 113/24 Allison Munroe [1] 113/24 allocate [1] 23/17 allocated [2] 23/20 23/21 allow [6] 3/8 19/20 33/19 41/17 112/10 205/21 allowed [1] 73/5 allowing [1] 207/12 allows [2] 185/11 198/21 almost [14] 134/12 136/20 137/25 141/18 149/16 150/17 154/18 178/10 186/12 189/11 amount [6] 2/24 189/21 207/1 207/2 209/1 along [4] 56/22 122/5 195/14 203/5 alongside [5] 16/12 83/15 122/15 189/8 191/6 already [15] 56/3 71/3 87/2 104/21 107/7 124/7 135/21 146/9 162/22 170/24 182/2 182/5 187/5 190/9 207/14 also [97] 1/12 2/4 4/3 4/6 4/21 5/4 6/4 6/22 11/4 13/2 13/23 21/18 answer [10] 12/18 23/21 31/14 36/25 40/16 44/22 49/3 49/5 60/1 61/1 68/21 71/24 77/14 79/4 81/19 83/3 answers [2] 133/19 83/25 84/15 89/6 90/3 91/1 93/23 94/5 95/14 antibody [1] 172/25 96/4 97/9 97/20 99/16 antimicrobial [2] 5/3 105/14 107/5 107/10 107/15 108/12 108/14 antivirals [1] 198/5 108/17 110/24 112/9 116/17 117/22 118/1 119/13 123/24 127/13 14/6 17/11 17/12 127/20 128/21 129/24 17/16 17/16 17/19 132/6 132/9 132/17 134/8 134/19 140/12 144/10 144/15 145/8 147/12 152/1 152/7 153/12 154/3 154/20 154/21 162/8 163/7 165/18 170/18 171/6 172/16 178/14 178/16 179/12 180/2 180/3 181/19 183/6 183/25 184/8 186/16 188/22 189/8 194/2 197/23 200/14 201/15 205/20 208/11 altered [1] 54/24 Alternatively [1]

145/4

153/23 165/14 172/15 anything [10] 14/16 174/3 178/20 200/25 201/18 209/9 always [6] 6/19 141/17 150/17 160/9 182/22 204/20 am [13] 1/2 2/19 19/23 29/7 35/10 38/4 apologies [2] 104/4 48/22 49/14 66/19 119/14 134/17 194/17 210/10 amber [1] 202/23 **ambitions** [1] 133/8 amongst [6] 46/3 52/12 86/14 132/19 132/23 159/3 32/15 96/1 146/6 146/18 153/3 amounted [1] 136/12 analysed [1] 171/25 analysis [4] 197/12 198/9 198/17 205/2 animals [1] 157/13 annual [2] 128/23 175/22 annually [1] 189/3 another [12] 3/23 15/9 26/6 41/4 58/17 150/12 154/24 158/21 184/6 188/16 191/21 200/2 37/19 86/15 156/1 165/1 173/9 180/5 182/9 207/16 209/2 154/12 83/3 anxiety [1] 150/7 any [49] 7/23 10/17 17/22 35/20 37/24 46/14 59/10 60/19 63/17 69/17 71/22 82/3 87/14 87/15 91/4 102/6 102/8 102/20 103/2 103/3 109/12 112/21 114/14 114/22 115/21 139/11 142/8 150/4 151/15 154/12 168/9 169/6 178/19 179/3 179/22 181/19 187/7 196/10 196/11 196/13 205/20 205/22 208/22 **anybody [8]** 9/3 10/10 10/20 20/4 20/15 22/4 44/16 71/22

60/20 104/24 111/9 111/9 117/6 166/16 anyway [1] 142/2 apart [4] 42/18 154/11 175/4 187/9 144/18 apologise [3] 7/6 62/15 144/19 apology [1] 7/7 appalling [1] 23/4 **apparent [2]** 45/13 100/3 appear [4] 1/19 134/16 164/15 209/9 **appeared [1]** 69/19 appears [4] 153/23 161/7 192/15 203/16 application [2] 174/19 195/15 applications [1] 64/6 appointed [3] 2/7 27/23 32/4 appointment [3] 26/5 38/12 206/1 appreciate [3] 91/1 98/10 164/12 approach [6] 50/20 61/3 67/5 73/22 100/21 108/13 approached [1] 72/17 appropriate [13] 33/15 34/2 34/22 35/2 35/11 43/15 44/8 44/9 79/3 89/15 90/15 161/2 172/18 appropriately [1] 179/24 appropriateness [1] 12/1 approve [1] 73/8 approximately [3] 38/11 162/24 165/14 **April [4]** 2/2 2/7 117/13 120/1 aprons [1] 80/18 **Arabia [7]** 65/21 68/20 71/23 78/15 78/21 80/10 120/7 are [178] 2/15 3/24 4/22 5/3 5/10 5/13 5/15 9/17 10/3 15/2 15/7 17/7 17/15 19/16 23/9 23/20 24/14 24/17 26/10 26/24 29/14 30/2 31/5 32/12 37/22 39/25 40/2 44/22 48/1 48/2 48/3 49/4 49/17 49/19 49/23 49/25 50/1 50/6 arisen [2] 130/8 50/14 50/23 50/24

17/21 30/16 43/4

51/10 51/11 51/12 52/7 52/15 53/6 53/17 54/21 57/6 57/7 57/9 57/21 59/7 60/18 64/1 65/7 70/10 75/2 76/10 80/24 81/2 81/5 84/23 88/5 90/13 91/20 91/23 93/1 93/15 94/2 98/1 98/4 100/9 102/6 106/7 106/8 107/11 107/15 108/13 113/1 113/3 113/5 113/12 117/12 117/23 118/5 118/10 119/7 120/20 120/23 120/25 121/20 121/20 124/2 124/4 129/15 133/19 134/24 135/11 135/12 135/13 135/17 135/17 137/15 143/1 149/1 149/11 150/2 150/10 150/25 151/1 151/10 154/12 157/4 157/12 157/20 157/21 159/16 160/18 161/15 161/16 161/19 162/15 163/20 164/16 166/5 166/8 167/8 167/17 168/15 168/15 168/16 168/18 169/1 169/10 169/17 174/3 176/6 176/11 178/1 178/3 179/9 180/7 180/10 180/11 180/15 180/16 180/23 181/6 181/6 182/8 183/3 184/12 185/7 185/15 188/5 188/10 190/1 190/8 191/7 194/8 199/4 199/11 200/4 201/4 201/13 203/1 203/17 204/1 204/12 204/12 204/12 204/18 205/2 207/6 207/7 209/10 area [8] 5/1 5/14 32/23 104/23 128/10 133/23 138/24 204/8 area' [1] 137/7 areas [32] 3/10 17/22 18/13 19/8 19/14 20/22 20/24 21/1 21/20 21/22 38/22 47/9 47/9 61/4 67/3 72/21 95/5 99/5 99/7 99/23 130/4 136/19 139/3 145/25 146/7 147/9 178/8 182/21 183/22 183/23 200/24 201/14 arguments [1] 167/1 arise [2] 46/22 158/23

144/3

arising [2] 131/18 158/21 arm's [5] 51/20 51/22 120/9 120/24 127/17 arose [2] 99/3 181/24 around [55] 22/20 34/24 35/19 41/22 48/23 49/9 61/2 62/19 71/25 75/2 77/4 77/9 77/11 77/15 77/25 78/1 83/2 83/6 84/13 85/1 88/25 89/2 96/10 102/2 102/11 104/5 104/8 104/16 106/17 106/23 113/15 114/20 115/7 119/15 122/11 142/23 148/18 148/23 149/14 149/20 155/10 163/19 163/22 164/24 165/1 167/21 168/22 176/1 177/9 183/1 187/15 189/4 193/15 198/11 198/14 around 10 [1] 165/1 arrangements [12] 11/15 49/25 50/8 51/1 52/17 54/23 86/6 171/12 171/14 183/12 185/7 204/1 arrive [1] 29/9 arriving [2] 158/22 172/4 articulated [2] 193/18 193/19 as [267] ask [27] 3/2 10/7 15/5 15/17 25/16 33/13 41/11 54/16 64/24 64/25 72/5 77/18 79/10 81/24 103/6 106/6 113/14 113/17 113/24 117/6 117/7 187/20 188/20 195/9 197/5 208/1 208/13 asked [11] 15/13 20/21 40/18 67/21 76/6 78/20 79/1 79/7 101/6 104/25 198/24 asking [6] 12/25 66/16 89/10 99/22 209/4 209/5 aspect [2] 22/8 34/1 **aspects [4]** 57/9 105/3 194/5 205/20 assay [3] 172/23 173/19 173/24 assays [1] 174/1 assess [1] 200/13 assessed [4] 106/1 158/11 163/9 163/12 assessing [1] 4/10

assessment [40] 4/4 15/16 15/19 15/21 22/10 22/13 24/7 29/10 30/10 30/19 30/25 34/12 44/2 50/12 53/2 53/7 57/11 austerity [6] 84/14 61/7 62/8 62/9 63/21 78/24 79/2 79/9 125/4 155/16 155/21 155/21 155/24 156/13 156/18 authoritative [1] 158/10 162/14 164/3 164/14 165/8 167/2 196/24 197/7 200/17 assessments [1] 15/18 assets [1] 172/11 assist [5] 2/22 43/11 114/12 164/16 205/21 **assistance** [3] 58/15 64/19 116/10 assisted [1] 65/19 assisting [1] 29/4 associated [5] 22/8 96/6 105/17 171/18 173/22 association [5] 84/5 94/13 136/11 188/14 188/15 assume [4] 29/19 125/12 138/25 156/6 **assumed [10]** 16/18 17/1 22/15 25/13 34/13 34/15 35/23 43/18 70/25 124/5 assuming [1] 119/20 assumption [2] 156/8 198/14 assumptions [1] 112/2 assurance [1] 50/22 assured [3] 49/24 92/10 174/4 asymptomatic [4] 177/7 177/10 197/21 198/10 asymptomatically [1] 197/15 at [250] at DHSC [1] 156/19 at-risk [1] 111/13 attached [1] 149/6 **attempted [1]** 45/18 attempting [1] 175/12 attempts [1] 108/24 attend [1] 114/5 attendant [1] 23/4

attended [2] 87/17

attending [1] 168/9

attention [3] 102/24

111/10 112/22

attitude [1] 82/18

augment [1] 18/16

105/12

augmented [1] 101/8 backbone [2] 191/5 **August [2]** 173/12 195/5 174/9 background [5] 98/5 August 2018 [1] 119/23 179/2 187/10 174/9 189/13 **backwards [2]** 167/1 92/22 136/2 137/11 187/18 201/8 202/1 balance [2] 23/3 authorised [1] 58/18 23/20 **balancing [1]** 135/18 **Bambra [3]** 99/3 121/11 authorities [23] 99/24 201/19 84/10 84/12 85/3 **BAME [1]** 110/20 92/21 93/1 95/12 bands [2] 110/20 127/14 128/1 128/9 136/9 136/23 136/25 137/15 137/23 138/5 138/19 139/1 139/8 139/13 139/25 143/16 176/9 178/3 145/20 204/6 authority [13] 96/11 96/22 128/20 129/8 129/9 131/12 132/4 136/6 139/19 140/17 143/25 154/20 180/21 automatically [1] 149/17 autumn [1] 185/23 availability [3] 21/14 21/20 107/14 available [8] 61/14 62/5 87/20 108/21 172/19 174/11 179/20 180/4 avoid [2] 169/5 180/11 aware [32] 9/1 9/5 11/7 13/2 17/15 17/22 24/7 25/10 35/10 35/18 35/20 37/22 38/8 38/12 39/12 39/16 48/21 48/22 48/24 59/7 75/12 89/24 89/25 99/15 100/5 102/6 102/20 105/24 122/11 168/6 169/4 194/21 awareness [1] 8/23 away [5] 57/6 95/12 134/25 165/3 195/8 awful [1] 151/9 **axis** [1] 203/5 back [30] 3/13 17/5 18/7 30/2 34/3 34/10 44/12 50/24 69/25 72/4 76/10 77/21 83/11 92/2 101/15

143/7 145/2 145/9

151/15 151/18 153/2

156/16 158/9 193/17

194/11 196/18 206/5

110/25 **Banfield [2]** 94/12 141/9 base [3] 124/19 **based [15]** 15/15 16/8 34/25 87/17 105/22 142/10 157/23 158/25 161/7 162/20 163/20 165/11 166/6 180/10 205/2 basic [1] 147/10 basically [1] 180/16 basis [5] 40/10 79/7 122/13 175/22 188/11 be [228] bear [1] 178/17 became [6] 10/1 27/21 57/25 125/23 153/13 194/22 because [70] 8/13 11/8 11/19 13/6 15/7 15/8 18/12 21/15 26/6 26/12 26/18 27/14 29/21 31/21 33/5 39/12 45/2 53/22 54/14 56/4 58/5 58/25 60/3 75/12 86/15 86/17 90/25 91/2 91/21 97/22 104/15 109/1 114/24 124/23 130/3 130/25 131/25 132/1 133/4 135/9 139/11 147/20 147/22 147/23 148/5 151/18 153/25 154/4 154/24 156/5 160/4 165/9 167/5 169/10 177/23 181/22 183/15 183/20 beginning [3] 6/1 187/1 190/21 194/21 196/23 197/7 197/10 198/2 199/8 200/2 204/23 207/17 209/8 become [4] 10/23 84/21 147/4 147/7 107/24 113/15 123/25 becoming [1] 78/18 been [127] 2/1 2/20 8/14 8/21 8/23 12/9 13/3 16/8 23/5 24/24 24/25 25/5 26/3 27/6

27/22 29/16 30/14 31/14 31/15 31/18 34/6 34/19 34/20 35/19 37/4 39/12 40/23 40/25 40/25 41/1 41/6 41/19 41/21 42/7 43/3 43/7 43/17 44/13 44/17 44/21 45/9 45/12 45/13 51/13 51/14 53/15 54/10 54/24 55/3 63/12 63/25 64/6 77/20 79/16 80/24 83/19 84/8 85/22 87/15 87/24 87/25 89/9 89/19 92/10 92/21 92/24 97/19 104/22 104/25 105/1 105/4 105/10 107/22 110/10 112/14 114/19 114/20 115/4 115/17 122/10 129/4 129/13 130/16 130/23 133/2 133/23 135/10 137/8 144/16 157/11 157/12 158/2 159/11 161/7 164/17 164/19 165/23 166/2 171/25 172/4 173/2 173/15 173/17 174/10 174/23 175/1 175/2 175/4 175/25 179/2 179/19 184/4 190/5 190/20 193/14 193/16 193/22 194/6 196/3 198/18 202/17 203/22 204/24 205/19 205/25 207/12 207/24 before [36] 3/16 8/13 9/6 9/17 12/20 21/25 25/6 43/5 45/22 52/3 52/18 53/1 53/20 53/22 53/23 59/8 65/1 85/13 88/13 92/12 98/9 106/13 111/7 115/5 115/16 131/21 139/11 140/18 144/14 149/14 150/6 158/22 164/21 187/20 189/10 194/13 began [1] 82/1 begin [3] 66/16 116/9 117/8 76/20 112/7 begun [1] 42/9 behalf [10] 24/19 63/17 65/20 66/21 86/13 86/23 87/7 105/12 113/25 207/25 behave [1] 199/1 behaving [1] 198/25 behavioural [1]

behind [1] 106/6

46/24

В being [58] 3/6 3/20 3/24 11/8 21/10 28/23 30/5 39/22 40/4 42/25 43/20 45/4 45/16 45/17 45/21 45/23 52/24 54/17 54/19 56/7 56/12 67/4 70/11 72/23 85/15 88/22 92/4 93/2 101/1 101/2 101/6 101/14 103/3 105/25 106/1 108/21 112/7 114/9 129/3 132/13 133/15 133/24 135/12 137/2 138/21 139/1 149/11 151/10 158/11 159/8 159/23 162/6 162/7 163/10 169/24 187/5 191/14 193/25 believe [13] 22/17 23/1 25/20 54/6 60/22 62/7 70/13 79/14 80/24 83/11 104/24 109/3 109/23 Bell [1] 63/10 below [2] 157/22 202/22 beneficial [1] 130/1 benefit [3] 23/14 183/13 193/25 benefits [4] 97/19 128/14 177/5 208/12 Bereaved [3] 113/14 113/25 208/1 best [11] 45/1 71/12 77/5 82/5 87/23 97/25 102/14 115/2 115/14 162/4 167/17 **better [13]** 11/10 24/24 90/23 106/8 111/23 120/23 134/9 134/10 135/1 156/11 181/7 193/11 193/19 between [44] 4/23 5/12 17/13 23/3 43/13 48/3 55/25 56/9 65/21 74/12 78/19 80/14 88/6 94/17 104/9 114/3 115/13 117/17 117/21 123/18 123/21 130/4 130/5 131/12 132/21 135/4 136/18 136/23 141/13 144/4 149/9 155/16 162/3 162/16 164/18 174/8 191/11 192/6 199/8 201/8 202/1 205/11 208/10 208/14 beyond [4] 16/19 81/21 168/15 178/11 bias [1] 71/2

big [3] 153/24 189/4

204/4 **Bill [5]** 56/18 57/19 57/23 57/25 62/10 **billion [2]** 136/13 136/14 biological [2] 80/22 121/15 biosecurity [1] 129/25 Birmingham [2] 171/21 187/13 birth [1] 129/6 bit [12] 18/9 94/10 98/12 126/25 128/18 130/16 147/16 167/6 184/14 196/18 197/18 201/24 **bits** [1] 197/13 black [1] 100/10 **Blackwell [3]** 91/20 143/12 155/7 blurred [2] 131/11 131/19 **BMA [1]** 141/9 board [35] 8/7 9/5 9/15 10/13 12/13 24/21 28/14 29/19 29/23 29/25 37/2 42/20 55/8 55/10 55/11 55/23 55/23 56/8 56/11 56/17 56/19 56/21 56/23 57/2 57/20 57/21 58/17 59/16 59/24 83/3 114/20 129/17 175/10 188/3 190/1 board's [1] 13/8 boards [6] 11/9 11/13 40/10 89/13 129/3 169/3 bodies [17] 4/7 36/19 British [5] 84/4 94/12 37/18 38/3 39/9 51/22 74/13 86/14 86/24 119/24 120/17 120/20 122/6 132/13 152/17 194/2 205/4 **body [12]** 45/11 59/18 120/3 120/10 120/19 123/3 123/13 126/15 127/17 132/14 192/17 194/3 body's [1] 192/16 **bolstered** [1] 160/11 book [1] 31/7 border [7] 17/19 35/7 35/10 35/20 35/21 125/6 178/10 borders [4] 17/9 17/18 27/12 33/21 bore [1] 20/16 borne [1] 180/20 **both [31]** 6/25 11/3

37/6 37/15 44/4 44/6

67/8 77/25 79/5 80/11

81/4 89/20 94/10 99/15 100/1 118/7 129/24 130/1 135/2 146/22 147/20 158/14 buildings [3] 81/17 160/2 163/2 165/15 169/3 180/15 180/23 181/10 188/11 203/15 43/3 **bottom [5]** 14/20 52/5 161/17 162/7 162/18 bound [3] 134/19 134/21 165/11 boundaries [1] 198/15 box [3] 8/16 167/5 167/5 branch [2] 5/17 5/21 branches [1] 5/12 **brave [1]** 191/14 break [7] 49/15 65/1 141/4 143/10 191/15 191/22 192/1 breaking [1] 65/2 breast [1] 31/15 brief [1] 30/9 briefed [1] 29/5 briefing [8] 10/10 18/15 29/8 29/12 30/11 174/17 175/8 175/13 briefly [4] 23/24 108/1 141/8 148/3 brilliant [1] 153/15 bring [7] 54/13 105/3 112/17 112/22 113/5 114/2 124/8 bringing [6] 19/16 49/9 55/10 113/6 122/14 125/20 brings [1] 7/2 112/16 165/22 165/24 calling [1] 206/6 broad [7] 2/22 63/1 80/17 128/12 183/24 184/22 200/21 **Broad Street [1]** 184/22 broader [2] 7/15 86/2 broadly [8] 3/8 3/10 119/5 129/11 137/1 148/20 185/4 201/6 broke [2] 92/12 132/11 brought [6] 82/17 102/24 111/9 121/24 148/12 178/17 budget [8] 128/22 135/25 136/8 137/11 138/4 152/15 152/16 154/19 **budgetary [1]** 139/11 budgets [3] 84/22 93/16 137/1 **build [4]** 44/7 130/15

151/9 181/8 building [3] 44/2 124/17 151/14 203/4 204/9 built [3] 16/8 25/4 bullet [4] 8/16 14/20 14/23 15/5 burden [2] 201/9 201/24 **burdens [1]** 4/13 business [8] 50/8 51/1 52/16 54/22 85/7 106/7 118/1 189/16 but [221] buy [1] 178/9 buy-in [1] 178/9 С Cabinet [12] 2/4 20/5 24/20 24/20 24/23 48/19 48/25 55/24 59/4 59/13 171/6 194/3 Cabinet Office [11] 2/4 24/20 24/20 24/23 48/19 48/25 55/24 59/4 59/13 171/6 194/3 calculations [1] 155/18 **call [12]** 1/4 77/5 88/25 116/5 144/7 175/20 176/4 188/23 188/24 197/12 198/16 198/16 called [10] 5/21 7/1 8/8 46/18 58/19 62/18 115/1 126/6 148/8 183/8 calls [1] 185/9 came [17] 20/11 31/1 40/20 52/23 59/1 62/19 72/3 78/13 94/6 101/13 104/3 127/18 138/18 139/9 147/18 149/14 152/17 campaigned [1] 88/24 can [105] 3/13 11/25 12/5 17/1 24/1 29/8 29/8 33/12 33/23 34/8 38/7 41/11 43/7 43/11 49/22 49/24 49/24 50/4 50/11 53/4 63/13 64/23 66/4 66/15 70/14 75/24 77/5 82/21 84/25 85/11 86/10 86/15 93/18 97/2 97/17 98/1 98/10 104/20 109/10 109/11 112/17 113/6 114/12

116/13 116/16 116/25 119/5 121/17 123/2 123/14 126/23 130/18 133/21 134/25 137/9 138/20 144/6 144/12 150/3 150/4 151/5 152/22 152/24 153/15 157/17 158/9 159/9 159/20 159/24 160/5 162/12 162/13 162/18 163/8 164/1 165/8 167/17 167/25 171/8 172/20 173/9 173/16 174/13 174/21 177/1 177/20 179/16 180/13 181/14 183/1 185/11 187/11 189/25 190/3 190/5 195/5 195/8 196/15 201/23 202/25 203/14 203/23 205/10 206/22 208/22 can't [14] 29/12 42/23 43/5 46/14 53/21 55/1 105/14 109/12 124/24 139/5 170/3 174/8 196/2 204/21 cancer [1] 31/15 cannot [8] 20/19 23/6 29/7 53/2 53/2 70/16 193/4 206/9 capabilities [8] 6/24 7/12 25/21 48/11 57/17 126/13 136/6 171/10 capability [15] 6/2 7/23 12/16 30/7 38/13 39/14 40/2 47/8 52/11 76/15 107/20 124/17 171/15 172/11 183/21 capable [1] 70/11 capacities [1] 171/11 capacity [32] 47/8 47/12 88/15 93/9 95/22 97/1 97/16 100/25 124/17 126/16 131/6 140/6 140/14 140/16 140/23 141/2 142/1 144/25 146/12 147/21 148/1 152/4 172/11 172/24 173/14 173/17 183/22 184/1 184/9 184/10 185/6 187/9 captured [1] 53/6 care [98] 2/3 2/12 2/16 3/1 3/6 6/11 6/14 8/7 11/3 11/22 14/4 17/16 18/5 18/15 18/17 18/19 20/16 21/3 21/5 21/11 22/7 22/24 23/10 24/11 28/18 31/4 36/1 36/4 38/6 38/9 40/11 41/23

129/23 168/24 205/21 Claas [3] 83/20 131/8 co-chaired [1] 56/25 176/15 181/2 183/14 C 195/20 197/15 198/23 chaired [4] 40/12 132/6 Co-operation [1] care... [66] 47/14 casualties [14] 16/21 55/23 56/25 118/24 Claire [1] 207/25 79/18 47/18 51/5 59/2 59/20 co-ordinate [2] 26/9 43/21 162/20 challenge [3] 129/14 **Clara [3]** 29/9 40/13 59/21 60/25 73/3 73/4 162/23 163/9 164/8 168/7 190/12 59/18 192/11 192/21 77/1 80/7 81/13 81/21 164/9 164/18 164/20 challenged [1] Clara Swinson [3] co-ordinated [1] 49/7 84/4 88/8 88/9 89/1 165/10 165/25 166/3 169/19 29/9 40/13 59/18 co-ordination [7] 89/4 89/18 89/20 91/9 166/4 challenges [1] 171/1 | clarify [2] 19/20 48/13 48/20 49/9 94/5 94/17 95/9 96/21 casualty [2] 96/19 challenging [4] 30/14 119/5 119/2 171/14 171/17 99/17 100/19 100/22 **clarity [3]** 119/14 76/1 170/2 204/16 190/2 97/10 101/9 101/15 101/23 casualty' [1] 29/2 chances [1] 93/5 138/15 140/15 **COBR [3]** 193/2 102/4 102/4 102/8 193/5 193/24 catastrophe [1] change [17] 82/15 classed [1] 34/19 102/12 102/18 103/21 14/10 82/22 115/11 115/20 **classify [1]** 177/16 code [3] 106/3 105/6 105/21 106/1 catastrophic [6] 14/3 121/23 121/25 125/22 106/14 106/18 clause [1] 134/4 106/7 106/11 106/12 15/11 26/13 26/19 128/6 133/14 141/17 clear [14] 8/4 12/23 **coherent** [1] 48/6 106/19 106/21 110/21 cohesive [1] 121/25 34/13 47/3 141/18 149/15 154/3 13/10 30/18 47/21 118/25 120/25 123/10 **Colindale [2]** 150/18 157/5 169/19 190/20 categories [1] 48/6 64/25 108/16 124/16 127/2 127/14 202/25 192/7 129/13 160/4 165/9 150/22 128/4 136/4 141/12 category [9] 3/6 3/20 changed [7] 55/3 176/5 194/4 202/7 collaborating [1] 159/4 159/8 159/23 94/9 95/2 110/5 110/6 clearer [2] 158/17 6/2 30/19 41/25 49/20 205/9 203/8 203/9 203/16 50/2 68/22 109/19 190/13 194/15 194/6 collaboration [1] 204/3 204/4 204/8 Category 1 [3] 6/2 changes [16] 94/8 clearly [7] 1/12 90/13 209/5 204/21 206/9 30/19 49/20 95/8 95/25 113/2 114/24 124/2 124/23 collaborative [1] care homes [6] 22/7 caught [1] 149/17 113/4 115/6 127/1 163/18 206/24 94/4 94/5 103/21 106/1 131/25 139/11 139/14 clinical [34] 37/15 causative [3] 180/11 collating [1] 156/17 106/7 106/21 37/16 38/1 60/15 142/9 145/13 145/16 180/13 201/7 colleague [4] 68/2 care sector [1] 146/17 154/14 194/11 60/15 60/20 61/12 73/1 79/8 140/3 cause [6] 80/21 101/15 91/17 115/20 132/19 **changing [1]** 194/16 65/17 65/25 67/16 colleagues [11] Care's [2] 10/12 chapter [1] 200/1 132/22 132/24 70/10 72/10 72/18 16/10 32/24 33/5 38/6 19/11 73/10 73/18 74/14 72/24 94/18 129/14 caused [2] 99/9 characteristics [4] care-focused [1] 196/11 16/1 61/5 61/8 198/18 74/22 75/21 77/11 141/14 168/9 168/22 59/21 caution [1] 206/18 charge [1] 169/16 95/2 109/19 111/15 209/20 career [1] 65/13 caveats [1] 200/21 **chart [3]** 128/23 118/12 118/25 127/15 collect [1] 179/13 carefully [1] 160/4 **CCA [1]** 3/20 153/2 202/16 139/12 139/21 142/1 **collecting [1]** 180/17 caring [1] 76/23 **CCDC [2]** 143/23 **Chartered** [1] 118/5 176/1 196/20 197/24 **collection [2]** 36/12 carried [5] 23/6 check [4] 58/8 58/20 198/4 199/5 200/25 147/5 37/14 42/18 48/20 74/17 CCS [2] 192/25 194/2 149/13 156/12 **clinically [7]** 37/6 college [32] 65/10 37/8 37/11 60/18 65/16 66/18 66/22 central [9] 13/5 checking [2] 184/23 carry [2] 17/8 120/21 61/25 79/6 119/3 127/24 131/23 136/23 185/1 66/24 67/11 72/7 72/9 carrying [2] 82/4 72/16 72/24 73/17 138/6 152/10 168/4 **chemical** [1] 121/15 **clinician** [1] 38/4 82/7 172/12 192/12 chemicals [1] 121/10 close [6] 17/9 17/17 74/2 74/19 75/17 cascade [4] 108/8 centrally [1] 13/16 **Chester [1]** 118/7 132/21 135/7 159/4 83/12 84/25 86/8 87/3 109/9 109/11 190/24 centre [15] 5/22 6/5 chief [19] 68/16 69/3 159/11 87/11 91/17 93/7 case [40] 15/15 21/3 6/10 6/13 6/23 7/2 70/21 113/15 114/3 **closely [5]** 5/15 5/16 99/12 100/2 100/5 24/25 25/9 25/13 7/16 48/16 85/25 114/13 115/9 115/17 26/25 100/9 179/7 100/12 100/13 101/5 25/15 25/18 26/7 44/9 143/22 144/8 144/22 105/13 110/19 111/5 115/19 116/18 117/12 closer [4] 25/1 25/1 47/23 80/21 101/18 185/8 188/4 205/9 117/17 129/9 185/24 112/5 118/8 86/4 135/1 101/20 139/6 150/14 208/8 208/15 208/17 centres [6] 7/10 closing [1] 33/21 colleges [2] 72/20 150/15 154/17 157/8 143/15 160/14 160/23 208/19 209/10 closings [1] 17/20 112/13 157/14 161/2 161/22 160/25 183/12 child [4] 101/9 118/9 clostridioides [1] column [3] 152/22 162/25 163/20 163/22 certain [7] 22/1 32/15 154/5 154/5 104/16 152/23 205/14 164/24 166/21 172/2 70/7 99/4 103/11 children [1] 93/23 cluster [3] 159/6 **columns [1]** 203/5 173/11 173/12 175/4 115/6 167/16 Children's [1] 203/9 159/21 195/21 combination [2] 175/6 175/18 176/7 **CMO [6]** 18/17 148/7 certainly [11] 1/25 China [1] 165/14 100/1 132/10 180/3 184/20 187/17 49/13 99/14 100/5 choose [1] 197/6 186/10 193/24 195/23 combined [5] 112/17 195/22 195/25 196/5 101/17 102/24 104/25 chosen [2] 158/2 209/11 126/14 154/19 201/2 209/8 107/25 111/23 161/6 180/10 **CNO [5]** 18/18 114/4 201/16 cases [26] 44/4 78/2 189/22 Chris [1] 196/22 115/13 115/16 115/20 come [17] 3/13 18/7 118/22 125/4 135/13 **churn [1]** 206/19 41/25 88/4 92/2 certainty [1] 46/14 **co [14]** 24/21 48/13 149/11 158/3 159/2 certificate [1] 118/3 civil [14] 2/1 2/7 3/7 48/20 49/7 49/9 56/25 125/11 125/14 129/11 163/13 166/19 166/20 cessation [2] 20/9 49/21 50/1 56/22 79/18 119/2 171/14 142/4 162/9 169/14 171/16 171/19 172/2 93/4 63/15 84/6 84/17 171/17 190/2 192/11 175/20 177/25 184/5 172/6 172/8 174/18 131/12 132/17 134/17 chains [1] 21/21 192/20 192/21 189/11 191/9 204/16 175/3 176/1 176/5 chair [4] 24/21 167/4 205/3 co-chair [1] 24/21 comes [5] 3/25 4/10

C 96/13 96/19 97/9 4/4 12/14 13/1 13/1 142/20 186/15 conjunction [1] 101/1 101/3 101/6 26/23 27/3 31/21 179/24 consultations [1] comes... [3] 24/4 31/24 32/9 32/18 33/6 connection [2] 101/7 101/23 101/24 89/6 104/12 106/8 contact [12] 37/20 102/2 102/4 103/22 69/9 78/25 85/1 107/4 132/21 135/8 **COMF [1]** 97/15 104/10 107/11 128/16 111/6 111/24 112/23 connections [4] 125/5 125/11 125/13 comfortable [1] 45/4 129/20 133/6 141/24 124/6 194/17 135/3 206/21 208/10 157/18 160/20 160/22 coming [5] 64/21 142/7 158/14 160/2 208/13 171/15 172/1 177/6 concerning [3] 30/5 89/10 177/24 187/16 179/10 179/17 180/25 73/19 80/6 ConOps [2] 188/22 180/3 181/3 203/6 181/4 183/2 concerns [30] 10/3 189/2 contacts [7] 36/13 command [3] 18/25 10/11 10/21 11/8 13/4 conscious [1] 91/24 125/7 159/4 159/11 compared [2] 111/2 74/5 171/13 157/18 13/8 21/22 25/8 25/11 consensus [2] 172/7 179/14 180/9 **commence** [1] 1/8 40/1 61/2 69/17 69/21 169/14 172/10 Contain [1] 96/24 compass [1] 134/21 commencement [1] 71/21 71/25 72/2 consequence [16] **contained [2]** 120/5 competency [1] 3/3 76/15 82/11 83/25 84/8 26/24 34/5 34/23 35/1 180/2 comment [10] 53/21 86/13 86/23 87/14 36/15 36/22 37/1 37/9 containment [4] complementary [1] 55/1 86/18 112/4 25/21 102/1 105/19 106/17 38/13 43/23 77/6 150/9 150/19 197/21 129/21 139/5 157/1 114/8 114/12 130/7 118/17 150/15 157/15 206/8 **complete [3]** 20/9 203/20 204/23 205/7 45/2 87/3 149/18 203/17 160/21 189/20 content [3] 18/23 commentary [1] completed [35] **concerted** [2] 97/2 consequences [11] 19/4 132/25 119/21 40/23 40/25 41/1 41/1 22/5 26/1 26/13 26/19 contention [1] 93/7 105/16 comments [6] 134/1 41/7 41/12 41/12 34/14 34/16 42/14 conclude [1] 53/18 context [8] 30/22 140/15 142/8 151/18 41/13 41/13 41/14 concluded [3] 12/15 43/18 47/2 50/15 31/16 120/2 122/18 156/20 203/23 41/15 41/19 41/20 46/16 53/16 50/17 134/10 137/14 137/20 commercial [1] 41/21 41/24 42/1 42/3 concludes [3] 64/3 consequently [2] 163/21 120/22 42/7 42/9 44/13 44/15 115/25 210/1 92/25 140/9 Contingencies [6] commission [2] 44/17 44/21 44/25 3/7 28/12 49/21 50/1 conclusion [12] consider [20] 23/17 121/1 136/6 45/5 45/9 45/20 47/20 55/15 131/13 12/21 39/16 39/21 41/4 61/19 62/16 Commission's [1] 48/8 55/19 55/21 39/24 41/3 41/7 42/2 68/15 69/19 69/23 continually [1] 51/4 105/6 55/21 56/8 57/17 42/8 52/22 56/13 81/3 81/4 81/6 97/9 **continue** [7] 13/6 commissioned [1] 173/2 201/10 204/2 102/11 106/9 108/1 57/20 101/3 101/10 98/2 completely [5] conclusions [4] 30/3 109/4 110/1 112/9 180/8 187/25 196/15 commissioning [9] 176/12 178/4 186/19 31/11 57/8 202/19 112/10 174/19 205/22 continued [6] 32/24 96/16 97/5 118/4 194/22 203/21 concurrent [1] considerable [3] 33/4 56/20 68/24 85/7 127/15 127/17 137/24 completes [1] 207/23 155/11 2/24 19/19 165/21 94/8 160/13 182/18 204/6 completion [1] 20/2 **conditions [5]** 76/14 consideration [17] continues [2] 37/2 commitment [1] 9/1 complex [3] 127/3 111/16 142/10 142/15 22/12 33/17 35/4 188/18 commitments [1] 127/11 199/25 39/20 40/3 43/24 201/16 continuing [3] 96/3 37/4 compliance [4] **conducted [2]** 63/13 60/13 60/20 61/5 62/1 146/20 181/8 committee [8] 28/23 106/11 106/14 106/17 62/18 63/1 79/25 170/25 **continuity [7]** 49/25 45/22 46/18 55/15 50/8 50/23 51/1 52/16 conducting [1] 81/10 105/25 108/8 106/18 61/2 118/10 118/12 complicated [3] 181/17 197/19 54/22 189/17 168/18 131/10 131/11 131/19 confidence [5] 12/3 considerations [3] **continuous** [2] 45/3 committees [2] component [3] 12/8 77/15 158/10 165/7 9/14 28/22 60/22 45/7 168/3 168/14 41/18 124/25 196/3 considered [17] 22/4 continuum [1] common [1] 50/14 composition [1] confident [2] 139/22 22/9 39/17 60/8 61/2 204/20 communicable [5] 62/7 62/9 62/21 73/4 170/5 196/2 contradictions [1] 110/7 143/23 147/6 comprehensive [2] confined [2] 26/8 86/21 108/4 111/11 48/3 163/3 165/17 120/23 161/8 172/13 89/6 110/18 43/20 contradictory [1] Communicating [1] compromised [1] confinement [1] 172/16 200/11 98/12 28/21 136/2 16/18 considering [4] contrary [1] 43/4 communication [3] conceivable [1] confines [1] 24/15 23/17 36/11 61/9 contrast [2] 205/10 77/16 112/25 171/14 44/24 confirm [9] 43/5 110/11 207/11 communications [5] 63/13 66/4 116/13 considers [2] 81/18 **contribute [3]** 86/25 concentrate [1] 18/22 61/14 61/20 170/14 171/10 171/13 171/17 96/20 190/10 193/23 77/23 115/13 concept [4] 18/24 179/19 183/18 consistent [1] 92/22 contributed [6] 96/8 communities [6] 46/5 97/1 97/16 confirmed [4] 87/12 consistently [1] 118/19 168/3 169/8 4/10 48/19 61/17 concern [21] 8/22 172/2 172/6 196/9 88/18 188/4 190/9 93/24 129/1 129/16 9/7 13/5 21/4 21/10 **Constitution [1]** contributing [1] confronted [1] 20/4 community [43] 29/13 21/25 22/3 25/17 118/12 confuse [1] 134/1 18/16 28/18 33/20 38/23 40/7 53/8 78/17 confused [1] 131/24 **constraints** [1] 84/12 contribution [3] 35/6 36/13 37/13 90/11 91/17 101/22 63/16 168/2 206/14 **confusion [3]** 131/5 **consult [1]** 156/14 47/22 60/9 73/3 80/13 104/22 105/4 132/19 131/14 131/17 consultant [3] 140/1 control [41] 65/8 81/19 81/19 94/23 132/22 132/24 195/17 143/24 147/6 65/14 66/23 66/24 congratulations [1] 95/1 95/3 95/10 96/7 67/24 74/5 77/9 78/23 concerned [21] 3/3 9/3 consultation [2]

104/1 110/23 113/13 C 85/8 85/13 85/17 85/18 86/20 90/20 control... [33] 79/12 99/21 101/10 112/3 85/6 92/16 94/24 95/1 120/15 128/8 128/23 95/4 95/6 95/11 96/14 131/13 135/20 137/24 125/6 132/16 141/25 144/14 146/22 147/4 147/6 148/15 158/12 147/7 153/18 155/19 159/7 159/9 159/16 156/6 158/23 159/18 208/25 159/22 159/24 159/25 162/24 163/2 163/2 160/8 160/9 160/14 164/4 164/19 165/16 160/25 161/23 163/6 166/22 170/16 170/22 165/20 171/14 172/15 171/2 184/17 185/9 188/4 204/14 209/15 192/9 193/11 193/19 controls [4] 17/10 194/6 194/6 205/7 17/18 35/7 160/11 couldn't [1] 45/12 **convenient [3]** 49/12 council [4] 28/11 143/6 191/23 55/14 134/19 142/4 conversations [6] Council's [1] 79/18 17/22 40/13 85/6 **COUNSEL [7]** 1/7 119/15 135/10 167/8 64/15 116/8 207/25 cope [5] 12/16 39/15 211/5 211/9 211/15 46/11 47/15 52/12 counted [1] 199/14 Coping [1] 28/20 countermeasures [5] core [4] 54/11 121/20 192/13 197/25 198/4 121/25 152/24 199/5 199/19 core participants [1] 195/12 counterparts [1] 54/11 89/2 cornerstone [1] countries [7] 67/10 97/22 67/12 71/13 80/25 coronavirus [4] 87/25 119/15 187/21 35/23 58/1 69/2 country [10] 20/17 202/13 31/24 47/2 57/10 99/5 credible [2] 21/6 Coronavirus Act [1] 151/3 158/21 166/1 23/18 58/1 178/20 207/3 corporate [3] 82/10 couple [2] 23/8 119/5 203/11 82/12 189/19 course [32] 3/14 correct [34] 2/5 3/21 15/22 16/16 24/11 14/8 14/18 16/23 24/20 25/24 26/12 21/19 30/1 32/7 34/19 34/9 35/13 36/14 35/25 36/9 45/20 47/13 47/24 48/17 45/25 46/7 46/8 46/13 49/3 51/7 58/15 70/9 56/2 57/4 65/7 66/2 93/21 102/22 120/4 66/6 66/10 72/13 122/21 123/7 123/12 72/25 75/19 79/21 145/15 154/19 161/2 80/9 89/17 89/23 170/13 183/11 198/20 cross-government 106/6 114/6 123/24 199/7 199/10 208/4 125/2 156/23 court [1] 163/15 Cosford [1] 188/3 courts [1] 203/11 130/4 cost [2] 152/14 177/5 **CoV [16]** 68/20 78/10 costs [2] 153/10 78/16 79/11 79/17 178/3 79/19 80/9 80/25 172/13 coterminosity [1] 171/2 171/16 171/18 145/23 171/25 172/22 179/11 could [80] 1/8 1/10 179/14 181/19 2/22 8/11 9/15 14/19 cover [2] 67/3 80/18 14/23 16/1 16/2 16/3 covered [1] 55/8 16/25 17/5 17/24 **covers [3]** 5/25 67/7 115/10 25/13 28/3 28/9 29/1 75/13 32/17 38/24 39/19 Covid [40] 25/1 25/5 46/1 51/24 52/5 52/21 26/13 26/20 30/16 53/17 57/20 66/12 44/10 57/18 58/4 70/9 183/12 67/14 67/18 70/4 73/23 74/18 76/19 **currently [5]** 2/15 71/17 74/7 76/8 78/10 79/23 80/8 82/16 47/9 97/23 159/12

79/3 80/24 83/2 84/11

89/22 93/11 102/19

196/23

113/25 118/18 118/24 185/21 186/10 202/5 119/4 122/22 125/25 cut [4] 92/24 136/9 173/4 178/21 181/10 136/22 137/15 184/3 184/14 185/13 cuts [7] 100/1 136/20 David [3] 129/23 188/18 198/23 200/9 138/6 139/4 139/7 200/19 201/20 208/1 145/25 154/13 cutting [1] 93/16 Covid-19 [18] 73/23 Cygnus [37] 11/5 74/18 76/19 79/23 12/10 12/14 12/18 80/8 82/16 89/22 12/19 12/21 13/9 93/11 102/19 104/1 27/18 29/16 29/20 29/22 30/4 31/9 39/10 days [4] 75/11 110/23 113/13 113/25 118/18 119/4 125/25 39/13 39/22 40/8 184/3 200/9 40/20 41/6 44/13 CPs [1] 191/21 44/23 46/2 47/25 52/2 209/19 52/4 52/9 52/22 52/23 de [2] 20/23 20/25 **CQC [3]** 105/7 105/12 105/21 53/16 53/20 55/13 create [3] 131/13 57/9 81/25 83/11 155/17 173/19 183/16 183/19 185/5 created [14] 6/10 84/17 99/1 123/3 daily [2] 17/8 122/13 123/19 123/20 130/5 Dame [36] 18/18 25/6 143/3 154/2 160/16 160/17 160/25 167/18 70/20 74/9 115/10 115/15 116/5 116/7 116/14 116/22 117/6 creates [1] 201/16 121/17 129/4 131/16 creating [1] 164/13 137/10 141/15 143/14 creation [7] 96/21 155/4 155/9 156/10 127/17 129/18 148/10 163/11 164/12 169/4 148/12 148/13 186/12 170/15 175/13 181/15 184/2 190/18 192/4 192/15 202/15 205/18 dealing [14] 7/20 criminal [2] 203/10 208/2 208/8 209/25 211/13 crisis [7] 6/6 6/13 **Dame Deirdre Hine** 14/2 14/7 113/8 **[2]** 25/6 74/9 202/21 203/3 Dame Jenny [25] critical [4] 107/7 108/12 153/17 159/16 116/14 117/6 121/17 129/4 131/16 137/10 **criticise** [1] 84/9 141/15 143/14 155/4 criticism [1] 104/5 cross [4] 28/13 40/14 155/9 156/10 163/11 164/12 169/4 170/15 130/4 155/21 175/13 181/15 184/2 190/18 192/4 192/15 **[2]** 40/14 155/21 202/15 205/18 208/8 cross-learning [1] 209/25 **Dame Jenny Harries'** crossed [1] 92/6 **[1]** 208/2 crucial [2] 88/5 Dame Jenny's [1] **CSA [1]** 18/18 116/22 Dame Ruth May [1] culturally [1] 79/5 115/10 **culture [4]** 77/18 dangerous [1] 22/23 82/15 82/22 115/7 dark [1] 133/3 Cummings [1] data [12] 36/12 37/14 110/25 148/5 149/15 current [8] 29/10 149/16 149/22 179/13 decision [4] 53/2 32/13 96/23 119/8 180/9 180/17 181/6 157/23 158/2 179/3 205/3 date [10] 19/6 19/17

41/9 48/2 65/14

curve [4] 148/8

194/9 197/11 dated [3] 8/12 17/25 156/5 dates [1] 193/14 161/10 207/6 David Heymann [1] 207/6 **Davies [2]** 18/18 70/21 day [4] 133/5 134/21 144/16 198/12 164/25 171/22 172/1 **DCMO [2]** 208/3 de-prioritise [2] 20/23 20/25 deadly [1] 16/2 deal [43] 6/5 6/11 6/12 6/22 7/18 7/21 7/25 19/25 20/1 20/11 20/23 21/5 21/8 21/11 21/18 21/25 22/2 22/6 22/9 22/11 23/4 23/22 23/23 24/15 24/16 27/5 37/8 37/10 38/9 46/25 47/2 56/5 57/16 80/1 103/8 119/23 141/3 141/8 150/3 152/7 178/5 184/11 189/8 11/19 14/9 15/6 16/5 25/5 32/16 65/18 80/25 104/11 108/13 141/2 179/15 199/11 deals [3] 6/14 6/17 150/8 dealt [8] 7/15 31/9 34/6 39/22 87/15 150/4 150/19 187/22 dear [1] 91/22 deaths [9] 22/6 22/20 22/24 26/10 28/20 56/19 165/14 166/3 166/4 debate [2] 17/19 134/24 debating [2] 39/12 43/17 **December [1]** 90/5 **December 2019 [1]** 90/5 decide [1] 133/17 decided [2] 126/1 189/15 125/17 163/17 193/6 decision-making [1] 193/6 decisions [7] 151/10 103/22 117/2 125/20 151/11 178/1 179/3

135/13 178/4 186/11 132/15 165/24 171/5 187/16 139/7 142/22 143/19 D 178/9 178/10 190/22 determinants [1] 149/4 176/8 187/11 directions [2] 38/17 decisions... [3] 198/21 200/3 200/5 128/7 187/21 188/22 198/8 130/12 192/13 193/1 197/23 directly [10] 69/15 206/11 206/12 206/22 determine [2] 43/14 208/18 209/12 decline [3] 201/20 209/15 192/14 didn't [18] 26/16 72/4 77/22 103/24 201/23 209/8 department's [3] determined [1] 26/19 27/3 30/8 49/8 156/1 157/13 181/22 declining [1] 142/2 13/19 24/14 47/11 55/25 56/9 56/11 193/23 201/10 202/1 179/23 decreases [1] 154/19 56/21 75/5 75/7 82/2 departmental [12] detrimental [1] director [27] 2/15 dedicated [1] 95/5 105/17 115/20 119/10 8/7 9/5 9/15 10/12 115/14 8/19 9/4 27/23 32/3 **deep [1]** 8/8 13/8 18/8 42/20 120/9 134/1 162/3 198/17 35/15 40/12 59/17 develop [10] 28/14 deep dive [1] 8/8 120/19 123/13 132/12 33/24 74/14 137/6 died [2] 162/25 163/2 63/10 66/1 117/18 definitely [6] 49/7 172/22 179/2 179/10 117/21 117/22 118/15 135/16 differ [1] 72/2 125/16 133/21 134/22 departmentally [1] 179/13 179/17 180/5 differed [1] 132/9 119/18 128/19 129/7 151/20 202/4 developed [11] 6/22 129/14 132/3 133/5 48/14 difference [6] 4/23 definition [3] 11/21 departments [3] 19/1 15/14 16/7 19/2 36/21 80/14 123/17 136/15 139/25 142/11 143/24 45/2 98/20 74/13 84/17 72/23 99/4 105/1 162/3 206/23 144/2 144/22 188/2 degree [5] 41/5 41/5 172/6 173/10 175/5 depend [1] 165/25 differences [2] 90/13 189/21 54/3 108/7 117/25 **dependent [2]** 136/5 developing [7] 24/7 123/18 directorate [23] 2/16 **Deirdre [2]** 25/6 74/9 25/17 36/10 124/19 different [59] 3/10 2/17 2/24 3/2 3/4 3/9 153/14 delayed [1] 89/21 151/6 181/25 186/17 3/16 3/18 4/7 4/14 depending [8] 65/4 7/8 7/9 7/9 7/10 7/11 **deliberate [1]** 121/15 4/15 5/11 5/21 6/19 144/3 163/3 165/16 development [12] 7/19 7/20 7/22 8/3 deliver [7] 12/13 39/6 166/22 173/22 176/6 33/18 45/7 46/5 73/18 25/4 25/21 27/19 8/2 8/20 8/25 9/4 9/7 49/8 61/19 88/10 98/6 180/17 74/22 85/23 96/4 28/24 31/17 32/5 10/23 15/4 27/24 136/7 depends [2] 162/8 118/17 128/8 146/21 32/21 32/22 33/5 40/7 35/15 delivered [5] 43/7 176/22 183/14 41/18 61/3 70/11 168/11 directorate's [3] 4/3 78/8 98/2 105/8 depressing [1] 207/8 developments [1] 71/25 73/21 89/9 11/14 24/15 120/24 102/12 102/12 102/13 directors [13] 2/11 148/3 deprioritised [1] 21/2 delivering [3] 13/23 106/21 108/21 120/16 31/22 96/22 128/21 deprivation [2] 201/2 devised [1] 29/24 121/8 134/22 201/24 devolved [5] 58/11 127/7 127/9 130/11 130/17 137/22 139/8 delivers [1] 6/7 deprived [1] 201/13 67/6 87/15 171/6 131/25 133/8 142/15 139/17 144/10 144/23 delivery [7] 14/1 145/10 146/7 149/20 depth [1] 102/14 209/6 145/10 146/3 151/23 51/19 56/16 59/19 deputy [11] 2/11 **DHSC [25]** 3/24 9/6 151/22 151/25 157/19 disagree [1] 166/15 76/2 145/14 176/18 18/19 24/21 24/22 31/22 115/17 117/16 157/21 158/6 161/18 disbanded [2] 148/15 demand [2] 100/8 117/20 208/8 208/15 24/24 25/10 37/12 166/11 168/12 168/14 194/22 108/20 208/17 208/19 209/10 37/22 38/23 40/23 171/23 176/12 178/4 discharge [4] 3/19 demands [5] 12/17 209/10 41/3 50/21 60/7 126/8 184/13 184/21 185/2 3/23 6/1 49/22 39/15 46/11 52/12 derived [2] 153/8 126/21 152/21 152/25 186/19 188/8 206/21 discharged [1] 2/23 102/12 203/22 155/24 156/12 156/19 differential [3] 61/13 discharges [1] 3/4 demonstrates [1] 160/24 183/5 187/25 describe [4] 66/17 124/4 149/21 discharging [1] 3/5 97/16 191/9 73/10 100/19 184/5 differentials [2] discipline [1] 68/5 demoralising [1] described [16] 26/8 **DHSC's [1]** 4/15 167/2 167/8 disclosed [1] 54/10 142/22 26/18 30/4 71/11 diabetes [1] 110/8 differently [2] 89/9 discuss [5] 31/20 denied [1] 64/7 71/19 82/23 107/7 diagnosed [1] 149/11 84/9 88/4 109/18 108/22 denuded [1] 139/24 111/17 120/18 130/5 diagnosing [1] **difficile [1]** 104/16 155/15 department [82] 2/3 131/18 154/11 158/8 187/12 difficult [14] 45/8 discussed [4] 40/9 2/11 2/16 3/1 3/25 4/9 60/24 133/4 141/23 162/2 162/4 194/7 diagnostic [3] 173/1 72/22 87/24 151/4 6/13 6/16 8/6 8/9 8/9 151/18 156/11 157/18 discussing [1] 92/13 description [6] 173/19 207/18 9/6 9/23 10/12 10/20 163/16 166/19 178/1 120/16 123/12 132/20 did [64] 1/23 7/24 discussion [10] 9/13 11/22 11/24 12/24 157/8 193/8 194/1 8/20 9/10 10/19 15/4 178/5 178/22 201/9 10/16 10/17 10/18 13/17 14/4 14/5 14/12 73/6 73/7 175/25 design [1] 63/16 15/16 20/4 20/12 202/4 17/15 18/5 19/10 designed [2] 80/20 20/13 20/14 20/15 difficulties [5] 99/3 177/8 178/18 179/7 20/15 22/5 24/10 186/16 23/6 27/16 31/11 145/23 204/9 204/11 discussions [11] 24/13 24/18 26/11 31/13 31/25 37/22 68/1 68/6 69/8 69/23 designing [1] 125/7 206/7 27/10 27/22 29/11 40/22 47/11 49/10 88/2 102/15 114/7 desk [1] 205/2 difficulty [2] 48/11 30/12 31/2 31/4 31/22 52/10 55/25 56/6 57/2 despite [2] 68/24 99/9 177/16 181/23 208/18 31/25 36/1 36/4 36/23 105/24 57/5 57/21 58/6 59/2 **Digital [1]** 126/12 208/23 37/25 39/17 39/23 detail [7] 30/9 31/20 59/23 59/25 60/1 diploma [1] 118/2 disease [68] 5/3 40/9 41/5 43/13 48/18 dipping [1] 138/5 55/22 126/25 138/21 69/10 69/17 71/18 16/13 16/17 24/11 51/17 51/19 55/24 direct [9] 29/21 76/25 71/20 71/21 71/23 24/16 25/20 25/25 149/13 165/4 59/2 59/5 59/14 60/6 71/24 78/18 82/21 95/25 123/20 123/21 26/17 26/24 30/22 detailed [1] 33/10 63/13 77/23 84/3 84/3 84/20 87/14 87/20 146/17 161/1 174/19 33/16 34/4 34/5 34/6 details [5] 22/10 106/12 120/10 120/13 174/18 175/3 183/10 90/7 103/22 105/13 201/25 34/11 34/15 34/20 122/25 123/4 123/9 109/15 114/5 114/14 34/21 34/23 35/2 189/6 directed [1] 29/22 123/9 123/22 123/23 detection [2] 173/1 115/11 133/5 133/15 direction [4] 20/5 35/12 36/15 37/1 37/9

(62) decisions... - disease

D disease... [44] 38/10 38/13 39/7 42/13 43/1 43/3 43/9 43/16 43/19 43/23 44/4 60/19 65/23 68/22 69/5 75/22 76/23 93/19 118/18 143/23 147/6 150/15 157/15 157/16 158/2 158/5 159/9 159/13 159/24 163/4 163/4 164/23 165/17 165/19 181/20 184/6 186/2 187/7 188/4 189/21 196/7 200/23 201/9 201/24 Disease X [3] 68/22 69/5 196/7 disease-related [1] 34/11 diseases [27] 5/5 5/5 8/9 26/4 35/3 36/22 70/12 71/3 71/5 77/6 110/7 110/8 121/9 124/12 126/17 151/6 155/22 155/25 157/11 157/12 157/19 157/20 159/15 185/20 185/22 192/18 201/15 disenfranchised [1] 62/14 disestablished [1] 83/7 Disparities [1] 126/7 display [6] 85/13 90/18 120/15 135/20 152/19 170/18 disproportionate [1] 111/3 disproportionately **[2]** 136/20 139/4 **disruption [3]** 19/25 23/22 57/15 distinct [1] 123/4 distinctions [2] 124/2 162/10 distinctive [1] 123/14 distinguish [1] 5/12 distinguished [1] 124/3 district [1] 81/20 dive [1] 8/8 diversity [1] 50/15 divert [1] 185/9 divide [1] 130/11 divides [1] 149/22 **Division [2]** 48/15 48/18 divisions [1] 190/7 do [115] 5/24 7/7 7/8 10/10 12/24 24/19 does [21] 1/19 1/20 25/19 26/16 27/4 29/4

29/9 29/17 35/7 36/16

37/7 39/9 42/3 42/4 47/22 53/4 54/16 56/18 60/5 62/15 63/8 67/4 70/10 71/6 71/7 76/15 76/16 77/19 82/6 83/11 84/7 84/11 86/8 86/9 92/11 94/20 94/21 97/4 97/9 97/12 doing [10] 7/11 9/9 97/17 97/19 98/4 101/24 106/16 109/24 111/22 113/6 124/24 130/18 131/16 131/24 domains [1] 143/18 132/20 132/20 135/14 137/10 137/21 139/3 139/9 140/19 141/21 141/24 142/8 143/1 143/2 143/19 144/14 148/1 151/7 151/15 152/2 152/4 153/20 160/2 163/11 163/15 165/3 169/6 169/22 174/3 175/12 177/25 180/18 181/15 182/4 182/6 182/22 182/24 184/2 184/12 185/4 190/17 191/23 192/15 193/17 195/12 196/16 197/8 197/12 198/13 198/15 198/22 199/2 199/3 199/22 201/18 201/25 204/18 207/4 207/19 208/3 doctors [2] 91/5 91/11 doctors' [2] 176/3 176/20 document [49] 8/12 14/11 14/12 14/14 14/16 16/25 18/24 27/24 31/12 31/19 48/5 51/8 52/25 52/25 53/4 54/9 55/2 103/13 112/4 155/20 155/23 156/9 157/9 160/5 161/7 162/13 164/9 164/20 164/22 166/12 167/18 173/7 176/16 177/21 178/25 179/15 185/21 186/9 186/10 188/22 188/25 189/2 189/6 190/8 190/15 193/25 194/4 194/17 195/8 documentation [1] 183/17 documented [1] 100/12 documents [9] 27/17

27/20 51/11 111/13

194/12 195/3

181/14 188/21 193/17

5/17 5/24 6/5 6/7 17/9

17/17 67/19 74/20

57/22

drafts [1] 72/22

dramatic [1] 136/17

89/13 90/11 93/12 94/23 149/25 156/17 157/4 179/6 192/21 195/21 196/18 doesn't [7] 91/9 98/22 164/23 178/13 197/11 197/12 197/23 13/11 35/19 38/23 39/25 51/2 51/18 101/21 145/5 don't [48] 1/11 18/11 22/10 25/19 27/12 35/9 41/15 46/15 48/17 54/6 54/6 54/19 57/13 62/7 71/16 86/15 91/23 102/14 104/24 109/3 109/23 114/1 114/22 114/22 117/6 131/21 132/25 144/19 155/7 163/14 165/2 166/13 166/15 167/3 167/9 169/11 169/20 182/9 183/9 183/17 186/6 187/9 187/10 187/18 199/1 200/24 201/7 206/15 **Donaldson [3]** 148/8 149/7 186/9 done [37] 8/23 14/6 23/11 23/13 27/6 35/8 49/22 62/19 62/24 35/9 35/16 35/21 37/12 38/19 41/13 45/17 45/18 46/9 46/15 46/17 46/24 46/24 47/14 47/16 47/23 48/4 48/7 57/7 58/17 61/11 62/17 63/20 63/25 111/22 146/6 168/12 178/6 187/13 208/22 209/5 doubt [1] 33/13 down [22] 28/9 52/5 52/6 66/15 85/11 86/10 116/16 126/23 137/9 139/18 142/20 145/25 150/13 150/18 75/11 86/11 125/4 150/22 154/9 164/1 164/8 167/25 190/3 195/9 203/6 Dr [4] 83/20 131/8 132/6 135/23 Dr Claas Kirchelle [3] easy [1] 201/25 83/20 131/8 132/6 Dr Kirchhelle's [1] 135/23 draft [5] 53/3 57/25 112/4 190/7 195/11 drafted [1] 58/18 drafting [2] 53/21

draw [6] 33/24 150/7 201/10 201/25 202/4 202/19 drawbacks [1] 131/4 drawing [1] 60/10 drives [2] 14/5 167/7 droplet [1] 80/3 droplets [3] 161/9 162/3 162/6 dropped [1] 154/21 **dropping [1]** 153/10 due [8] 17/4 68/18 89/21 137/11 138/6 158/2 158/4 190/23 **duly [1]** 108/3 duplication [1] 180/11 during [32] 17/10 18/17 58/16 59/23 60/1 70/9 73/22 74/15 75/21 78/11 96/25 97/15 100/25 112/14 117/5 118/24 120/3 122/19 125/25 131/15 efficacy [1] 12/1 148/13 165/13 169/22 efficiencies [1] 173/4 173/11 178/18 duties [4] 63/2 96/3 131/13 146/20 duty [10] 3/5 3/19 62/24 124/11 127/21 128/22 152/14 Ε each [10] 5/15 67/5 111/1 143/21 144/23 167/3 185/7 190/24 195/7 203/1 earlier [14] 30/4 34/10 44/19 52/9 52/20 53/15 53/19 58/6 61/1 62/11 111/21 114/11 115/5 190/22 early [8] 30/11 73/25 151/4 164/25 173/4 earnt [1] 153/14 easily [1] 128/9 East [3] 68/25 78/11 171/22 Ebola [30] 2/12 31/23 emerge [1] 69/2 32/10 32/22 33/14 34/4 34/19 42/21

42/23 43/12 65/22

71/24 75/21 75/25

172/14 177/13 177/16

181/23 183/2 189/4

**Ebola's [1]** 43/6 economic [3] 128/8 201/2 201/23 **economics** [1] 118/2 educate [1] 109/13 educated [2] 77/13 163/24 education [5] 78/22 97/23 98/1 106/24 203/9 effect [11] 30/5 36/18 48/1 48/9 95/14 99/15 102/4 108/4 115/15 154/19 197/23 effective [6] 47/7 88/19 89/1 105/9 136/7 183/19 **effectively [11]** 15/16 56/25 78/20 84/21 93/10 138/18 153/9 184/25 195/15 199/24 199/24 effects [1] 93/16 155/11 178/21 183/11 187/11 efficiency [1] 122/8 192/11 208/17 208/25 efficient [1] 137/24 **efficiently [1]** 138/15 effort [1] 97/2 efforts [2] 100/25 105/16 eg [1] 190/15 eight [3] 38/11 40/24 44/14 either [9] 60/6 63/5 82/3 88/16 99/25 131/22 158/20 167/1 193/23 elderly [1] 22/23 **element [5]** 41/19 96/14 123/14 201/7 205/6 elements [11] 12/10 12/20 37/17 42/7 44/19 44/20 56/16 59/20 81/13 122/15 172/7 else [5] 13/19 20/4 147/23 174/6 195/21 elsewhere [1] 90/21 emanations [1] 58/6 **embedded [2]** 37/4 172/16 emergencies [8] 4/1 4/11 48/15 48/18 50/18 63/15 121/14 131/15 76/19 76/23 77/6 77/7 **emergency [36]** 2/17 82/24 109/15 114/18 4/14 6/5 6/7 6/14 6/17 118/15 122/20 160/19 7/14 7/14 7/24 11/20

20/17 28/4 28/7 38/25

13/18 13/20 14/3

36/24 37/10 37/17 15/2 176/3 180/19 180/20 Ε even [17] 9/21 10/15 37/18 37/21 38/2 38/3 **EPRR [21]** 7/2 7/21 16/21 26/9 54/24 69/8 187/12 188/1 189/16 emergency... [19] 38/17 38/18 38/20 65/25 67/16 68/4 99/6 124/3 136/17 196/4 196/25 197/7 45/1 50/18 51/5 53/13 197/8 203/24 205/7 43/13 43/14 49/4 49/4 69/11 69/15 87/14 138/4 139/10 166/10 58/3 65/24 68/23 69/5 58/3 59/10 65/25 87/17 87/22 131/5 166/21 168/13 182/17 206/7 94/24 95/24 131/17 68/17 76/4 78/13 79/8 139/9 140/5 140/8 201/11 206/10 examples [4] 120/25 146/14 175/21 181/18 83/4 84/21 85/2 87/18 140/10 140/14 140/16 event [7] 9/8 10/14 123/4 180/24 181/16 185/10 186/3 188/21 142/17 145/15 176/18 14/2 14/2 46/20 60/16 excellent [3] 73/5 92/21 94/7 94/15 191/5 203/8 95/21 115/18 117/17 203/25 63/18 181/9 205/8 emergency-related 117/19 117/19 118/12 equal [1] 207/20 eventualities [2] 16/6 exception [1] 142/17 **[1]** 13/20 119/24 120/3 121/24 Equalities [1] 2/4 81/4 excess [2] 28/20 emerging [30] 5/2 122/9 122/19 122/24 equality [6] 62/7 **eventuality** [1] 15/12 56/19 5/5 16/13 16/17 24/16 eventually [1] 123/24 123/7 123/19 123/22 62/19 62/23 62/23 **exchange [2]** 125/6 25/20 26/4 26/17 125/21 126/6 126/11 ever [8] 22/4 25/11 188/17 63/3 63/9 30/21 34/4 34/4 34/15 126/11 126/13 127/5 Equality Act [2] 44/24 45/8 48/7 175/9 exciting [1] 169/20 34/20 34/21 35/12 exclude [1] 204/21 127/18 127/22 128/21 62/23 63/3 175/13 198/13 38/9 43/1 43/2 43/9 129/18 130/25 133/16 equally [2] 15/10 every [9] 15/16 40/9 exclusively [1] 81/9 44/3 151/6 155/22 134/5 135/6 136/19 15/11 51/10 51/10 70/16 **excuse [1]** 191/4 155/25 157/20 158/5 106/19 141/16 194/10 executive [11] 138/17 139/3 141/11 equipment [18] 72/1 158/6 158/19 159/15 142/11 144/8 144/22 198/12 117/12 120/9 120/19 77/12 80/15 80/16 181/20 192/18 146/1 146/11 149/3 80/17 80/19 81/6 81/7 120/22 122/24 123/2 everybody [8] 45/14 **Emma [4]** 1/5 1/6 1/9 150/8 150/12 151/17 126/21 129/23 132/14 81/12 81/14 107/10 76/9 132/2 169/25 211/3 151/21 151/24 153/25 108/3 108/6 108/16 170/3 176/23 178/11 133/15 145/2 **Emma Reed [1]** 1/5 156/15 171/3 171/4 108/19 108/20 203/4 192/25 exercise [61] 11/5 **emphasis** [1] 91/2 171/5 173/21 182/17 204/9 12/10 12/14 12/21 everybody's [1] **emphasise** [1] 9/17 182/19 185/8 188/21 **equivalent** [1] 140/6 150/7 13/9 27/18 28/10 **employed [2]** 180/7 196/9 208/3 208/9 **escalate [2]** 106/3 29/15 29/16 29/20 everyone [2] 49/24 180/8 29/20 29/22 30/4 31/9 England's [4] 28/7 109/16 54/14 **employees [2]** 39/23 37/2 84/1 127/1 escalated [1] 110/9 31/9 35/22 35/25 36/3 everything [2] 132/17 133/24 207/8 36/7 39/10 39/13 English [6] 61/17 escalating [4] 9/11 **employers [2]** 74/13 61/22 132/12 136/1 86/13 86/22 108/17 39/22 39/25 40/20 evidence [32] 1/10 95/12 149/15 209/11 especially [1] 96/7 1/13 2/25 8/5 25/6 41/6 46/2 47/25 51/14 employment [1] 63/8 63/9 64/3 64/21 enhanced [4] 12/11 51/15 52/2 52/4 52/22 **essence** [1] 11/8 21/15 essential [5] 50/13 37/13 119/2 180/3 71/9 109/5 111/21 53/16 53/20 55/13 **empting [1]** 197/2 enhancements [1] 88/10 101/8 104/13 115/25 124/19 125/19 57/9 60/5 81/25 82/4 **enable [2]** 134/8 106/23 128/18 152/1 161/13 82/8 83/11 83/13 97/3 181/2 170/15 170/21 170/25 162/1 167/20 176/8 enlarged [1] 36/13 essentially [3] 5/3 enabled [2] 47/2 12/19 104/19 176/9 177/4 178/3 171/3 171/9 175/5 enough [1] 79/25 192/17 182/14 195/6 196/13 175/16 181/16 181/25 **enshrined** [1] 39/8 established [11] 13/4 encourage [1] 17/7 201/22 202/19 205/20 ensure [14] 19/4 27/1 28/14 55/11 55/14 182/13 182/18 183/8 end [10] 60/2 73/7 42/17 49/21 61/11 67/17 119/25 120/20 205/25 208/10 183/9 183/11 183/16 97/14 101/9 112/8 67/4 72/25 101/8 122/24 162/22 192/4 evidenced [1] 139/23 183/21 183/24 184/15 125/24 134/21 142/24 111/23 147/24 156/15 200/22 evident [2] 100/24 209/16 153/16 169/14 172/18 174/3 192/25 estimated [1] 162/15 206/16 Exercise Alice [8] enforceable [1] evidential [2] 202/7 ensuring [3] 61/14 **estimates** [2] 136/16 29/20 35/22 170/15 179/4 175/5 175/16 181/16 105/9 204/11 204/23 166/17 enforced [1] 177/12 entail [1] 112/6 ethical [6] 28/21 181/25 182/13 **evolve [1]** 70/15 engage [3] 49/10 46/19 46/21 58/14 entire [3] 9/5 9/7 **evolves [1]** 113/3 **Exercise Broad** 67/10 77/10 57/11 58/16 61/1 exacerbated [2] **Street [1]** 183/24 engaged [4] 48/22 entirely [5] 80/12 ethnic [5] 62/2 99/25 136/22 Exercise Cygnus [28] 48/25 49/5 114/21 124/2 134/3 193/18 100/10 110/14 111/2 exactly [8] 69/14 11/5 12/10 12/14 engagement [22] 198/5 81/12 133/7 138/21 12/21 13/9 27/18 111/4 47/17 73/11 74/1 74/6 192/21 192/21 199/13 29/16 29/20 29/22 entirety [3] 30/24 **etymology [2]** 6/9 74/12 74/21 75/17 199/16 30/4 31/9 39/10 39/13 31/7 59/10 20/7 81/24 82/18 82/25 entitled [3] 155/20 **EU [5]** 6/12 20/11 example [41] 41/20 39/22 40/20 41/6 46/2 83/8 85/12 86/2 87/3 165/7 202/12 21/11 22/6 23/4 72/1 73/10 79/17 47/25 52/2 52/4 52/22 111/21 111/25 112/2 80/19 81/20 89/4 53/16 53/20 55/13 entry [4] 174/20 **euphemism** [1] 20/8 112/3 112/6 112/9 175/1 176/9 177/23 **European [5]** 6/25 91/11 93/21 101/4 57/9 81/25 83/11 114/10 114/13 77/2 77/3 89/2 188/4 101/4 101/25 106/22 183/16 environmental [1] engaging [2] 112/12 109/10 110/9 111/17 **Exercise Northern** 180/21 European Union [1] 140/11 112/12 112/15 128/7 envisaged [1] 27/14 6/25 **Light [1]** 183/9 England [94] 4/8 **EPHP [2]** 27/23 32/4 139/12 143/25 144/12 **exercises [4]** 31/21 **evaluation** [2] 118/3 13/25 14/1 18/20 27/1 144/24 161/18 161/22 **epidemic [1]** 75/25 151/8 125/8 170/12 170/13 27/1 35/18 36/3 36/21 epidemiologist [1] eve [1] 18/1 168/23 169/2 174/10 exercising [1] 86/5

Ε 171/10 171/13 171/15 146/8 147/1 147/2 30/15 38/11 Fenton's [2] 85/15 171/17 failed [2] 202/23 97/4 fixed [1] 51/16 existed [1] 186/5 **explored [1]** 157/21 203/16 fever [2] 184/16 fixing [1] 91/23 existence [1] 194/25 exposed [1] 80/7 failure [1] 108/1 195/25 flag [2] 119/13 existing [4] 120/25 fair [5] 42/2 129/21 **exposure [5]** 96/1 few [4] 29/14 180/2 119/16 132/8 142/21 200/10 96/5 110/23 146/18 139/10 139/22 182/12 190/9 203/23 flexed [2] 185/11 exit [23] 6/12 6/22 147/11 fewer [1] 93/21 fairly [1] 138/25 198/18 6/25 7/18 7/21 7/25 **FF100 [1]** 180/3 **expressed** [6] 10/3 faith [1] 61/3 flow [1] 149/22 19/25 20/1 20/11 10/11 25/8 25/11 **fall [5]** 3/9 3/10 5/18 **FFP [1]** 107/6 flowed [2] 21/10 20/23 21/6 21/8 21/11 70/23 161/12 24/10 24/14 **FFP-3 [1]** 107/6 114/7 21/18 21/25 22/2 22/6 expressing [1] familiar [6] 29/7 51/8 field [2] 53/13 53/13 flows [2] 149/15 22/9 22/11 23/4 23/23 52/25 53/17 55/1 149/18 104/22 fifth [1] 92/25 56/5 57/16 flu [33] 11/3 12/12 **expression** [1] 98/11 187/1 figure [2] 138/18 expand [4] 19/23 163/11 19/13 19/21 24/21 **extant** [1] 177/4 families [4] 107/12 41/17 82/21 109/16 extend [1] 16/19 113/14 113/25 159/3 figures [11] 137/12 25/7 28/13 28/15 **expanded** [1] 164/19 **extended [1]** 108/2 family [1] 204/5 155/18 163/2 164/14 29/18 29/24 48/13 expect [4] 13/22 far [25] 25/10 37/22 164/17 164/19 165/16 55/8 55/10 55/23 **extensive [3]** 79/14 31/18 101/17 147/10 116/11 205/2 39/16 42/10 48/20 166/5 166/8 167/16 56/15 57/19 65/18 expectation [1] extensively [2] 48/23 64/20 67/11 83/1 167/20 72/12 73/19 74/10 106/21 89/24 89/25 93/21 79/24 120/5 125/9 48/25 **fill [1]** 91/4 expectations [1] final [2] 166/11 171/24 184/21 185/5 extent [17] 4/13 8/20 102/6 107/3 111/5 106/23 32/2 32/9 32/18 33/6 111/24 112/22 116/10 195/13 185/12 190/14 196/25 **expected [4]** 30/20 50/17 105/9 115/11 117/10 124/6 132/15 finally [6] 85/12 197/4 197/10 197/13 31/12 108/8 209/17 133/15 135/15 167/16 135/11 159/12 168/6 111/19 112/21 142/8 197/14 expenditure [1] 168/6 182/3 187/21 178/6 187/3 193/2 205/17 focus [14] 20/10 136/18 198/8 200/9 fast [1] 153/19 48/13 48/20 68/24 financial [3] 21/24 **expensive [2]** 139/20 **external** [1] 169/2 fatalities [10] 16/22 22/1 187/10 69/18 79/24 97/20 153/11 **extreme [4]** 9/25 22/16 43/21 162/15 find [3] 18/10 60/24 105/17 135/24 186/7 experience [31] 12/17 39/15 52/12 162/24 164/11 164/18 142/24 186/16 187/1 187/4 67/23 68/18 68/25 extremely [5] 26/25 164/19 165/10 165/25 finding [1] 182/8 207/20 71/14 72/3 72/14 74/3 75/25 166/19 fatality [4] 163/1 **findings** [1] 205/1 focused [9] 6/23 7/17 73/21 73/25 74/6 59/21 68/11 69/11 204/18 163/22 164/24 166/21 fine [1] 198/19 74/16 74/20 76/17 favour [1] 71/2 Fingers [1] 92/6 70/3 105/20 147/7 **eye [1]** 15/4 77/21 79/14 79/17 finished [1] 41/15 FCO [1] 165/23 207/10 80/9 80/11 82/23 97/8 **F** feature [2] 40/4 40/8 fire [1] 76/10 focuses [1] 104/14 100/11 112/25 115/16 face [5] 107/6 108/6 February [5] 2/20 firmly [1] 134/20 focusing [2] 25/12 117/10 142/10 158/25 108/22 155/10 166/9 8/14 28/13 32/5 first [31] 6/10 17/13 185/20 164/16 170/8 203/17 faced [2] 30/13 53/14 170/25 23/10 28/15 29/14 **FOI [1]** 179/15 204/5 205/18 206/5 faces [1] 29/11 follow [4] 45/1 February 2017 [1] 44/25 52/2 61/17 experienced [4] 26/4 facilities [5] 80/12 61/23 66/4 66/5 28/13 190/24 191/8 200/23 110/4 139/4 185/14 81/19 109/8 150/1 February 2018 [2] 108/11 116/19 116/25|followed [2] 136/10 experiences [2] 150/19 8/14 32/5 118/22 119/23 125/4 198/4 82/25 109/17 facing [1] 84/12 fed [6] 69/15 72/4 146/22 156/17 156/22 **following [14]** 6/24 experiencing [1] fact [43] 5/14 9/23 158/17 158/24 164/8 77/21 156/15 164/3 28/10 28/16 29/15 136/19 12/14 18/4 31/6 52/22 175/24 179/16 180/2 187/22 32/14 36/7 55/13 74/9 expert [5] 129/25 54/10 56/6 70/4 70/7 190/4 192/10 205/8 79/22 114/14 174/14 Federation [1] 77/4 168/1 168/2 173/25 82/1 85/17 89/11 feed [7] 69/25 73/15 205/11 206/4 175/5 177/2 179/20 192/19 89/21 92/25 99/22 77/25 87/22 145/8 first-hand [1] 187/22 food [1] 180/20 expertise [3] 72/21 103/18 110/24 120/15 fora [2] 105/5 146/3 firstly [1] 57/14 156/12 181/18 73/15 79/10 fit [19] 107/16 107/18 force [6] 107/22 123/1 123/14 134/3 feedback [6] 47/24 experts [9] 16/9 44/5 140/3 145/3 151/4 48/9 69/25 87/21 107/21 108/9 108/17 127/18 131/1 178/10 135/14 168/6 168/7 151/21 158/16 161/2 114/16 163/16 108/22 108/22 108/23 195/11 195/13 168/10 169/7 188/6 168/11 173/2 180/19 108/25 109/7 109/9 feel [3] 45/3 69/10 forcefully [1] 162/9 205/5 182/5 186/23 187/11 109/14 109/16 166/25 forecasting [1] 197/17 explain [6] 45/18 188/6 190/1 190/20 feeling [4] 75/8 78/2 167/3 180/18 196/19 186/11 98/10 143/15 173/16 191/3 192/16 192/22 152/3 169/24 202/12 204/12 forefront [1] 105/3 180/13 209/9 193/22 194/23 205/9 feels [1] 204/1 **fit testing [1]** 108/9 **foresee [1]** 193/5 **explained [4]** 56/3 factor [1] 11/3 feet [1] 155/6 five [10] 26/5 30/15 foresight [1] 109/6 56/4 153/7 154/4 factored [2] 80/6 38/11 121/5 131/4 fellow [2] 118/5 Forgive [1] 92/2 **explaining [2]** 146/23 109/21 141/1 160/13 191/15 **forgiving [1]** 113/4 118/7 155/9 factors [1] 151/13 fellowship [1] 119/10 191/20 191/24 form [3] 4/24 7/1 explanation [2] 56/11 facts [1] 155/18 felt [1] 183/4 80/16 five minutes [3] 70/2 Faculty [7] 85/16 191/15 191/20 191/24 formal [3] 33/11 Fenton [3] 95/17 **explore** [5] 171/1 96/20 118/8 119/10 97/14 146/8 five years [3] 26/5 117/24 142/20

78/14 91/14 91/16 gave [4] 58/15 126/1 127/24 131/6 fulfilling [1] 124/11 full [2] 46/4 91/1 122/16 145/8 162/2 122/19 132/22 133/9 133/24 formally [1] 104/24 fully [9] 40/23 40/25 **GD [2]** 190/8 190/9 globally [2] 91/5 134/2 134/15 134/16 formation [1] 117/13 41/11 41/14 44/13 geared [1] 196/25 158/20 134/25 135/8 136/11 formed [3] 148/23 44/15 44/15 44/24 general [18] 7/21 gloves [1] 80/18 136/23 137/5 138/7 190/15 190/25 53/24 29/5 39/21 40/13 go [39] 28/9 30/9 152/11 155/21 168/4 former [3] 18/17 53/10 59/18 96/5 52/5 55/22 65/4 73/20 168/13 168/15 168/17 function [3] 6/17 7/5 70/21 91/8 76/1 78/21 78/21 79/4 168/18 185/20 192/12 66/17 113/1 134/19 147/8 formulated [1] 38/16 Functioning [1] 149/18 156/5 156/12 79/22 83/14 93/6 98/9 202/12 203/7 204/25 **forth [1]** 4/18 166/24 172/10 184/8 101/7 107/24 144/5 205/3 136/1 fortuitously [1] 173/8 functions [32] 2/23 187/9 203/7 147/3 161/22 162/12 government's [7] forum [4] 48/23 49/5 generalist [7] 85/20 162/17 164/4 166/19 30/25 31/7 63/9 63/15 2/25 3/16 3/18 3/24 49/6 154/23 4/3 7/1 7/8 7/12 13/19 96/2 98/17 146/19 171/7 172/21 173/7 109/21 128/6 150/13 forums [9] 47/25 38/22 56/20 93/2 146/23 147/8 147/10 173/20 174/13 174/21 governments [1] 48/10 49/1 49/11 120/23 124/6 124/9 generalists [2] 98/11 183/9 183/17 185/25 208/11 58/21 59/1 59/9 62/12 125/2 126/3 126/5 147/18 189/17 191/16 192/9 **GP [1]** 81/20 194/11 196/18 198/11 grab [1] 177/24 126/10 127/6 132/11 generally [10] 50/6 forward [8] 14/5 grade [1] 111/1 139/9 140/8 140/10 50/24 52/15 54/1 202/14 37/22 73/9 74/17 143/20 145/15 152/17 54/22 62/22 96/15 GO-Science [1] gradually [1] 145/21 78/10 85/9 152/1 grant [8] 92/24 93/13 154/2 154/15 190/6 139/9 143/1 146/5 171/7 160/12 generate [5] 137/25 200/13 **GOARN [1]** 78/14 136/13 138/16 152/24 forwards [2] 77/20 goes [7] 13/18 81/21 fundamental [3] 19/9 138/3 138/9 153/15 153/10 153/18 154/9 111/25 106/2 106/25 167/6 130/11 150/17 167/7 granted [1] 137/8 found [3] 73/5 112/25 funded [1] 92/21 195/24 204/9 graph [1] 203/14 generating [2] 175/1 153/20 161/15 going [32] 7/4 9/18 funding [27] 85/1 grapple [1] 10/24 foundation [3] 70/17 92/19 95/22 96/16 generation [2] 10/23 11/15 21/1 grateful [1] 210/2 97/21 121/2 96/25 97/3 97/5 99/10 138/11 148/5 44/12 50/24 66/16 great [3] 27/5 147/21 founded [1] 153/18 70/1 76/13 78/25 90/8 100/1 131/6 135/20 generational [1] 182/23 four [11] 40/21 45/22 136/23 137/5 137/8 162/8 91/20 92/2 107/15 greater [2] 27/9 67/7 67/10 67/10 138/6 139/7 140/12 111/25 117/8 130/8 132/16 generic [1] 38/18 87/25 90/12 110/20 130/22 133/3 141/3 146/12 151/12 152/7 generically [1] 3/16 greatest [3] 31/6 206/21 208/14 208/20 152/10 152/16 152/20 genome [3] 186/2 153/10 160/6 164/8 57/10 60/19 four nations [3] 177/25 180/15 187/18 green [2] 137/3 152/23 152/24 153/24 186/18 187/14 90/12 208/14 208/20 154/13 get [19] 42/13 42/14 197/6 198/9 199/1 202/24 four years [1] 45/22 further [18] 18/24 42/15 45/8 70/17 203/3 210/2 grew [1] 148/21 fracture [1] 149/8 33/24 43/12 71/12 130/18 132/1 134/10 gone [4] 57/6 154/24 group [30] 7/11 fractured [2] 94/17 74/12 74/14 83/14 137/25 143/1 144/8 46/19 58/15 65/18 207/9 207/16 151/19 136/10 138/5 140/13 147/22 155/7 167/10 65/25 67/17 67/19 good [34] 1/4 12/2 fracturing [1] 141/12 169/1 175/23 186/20 13/7 50/6 50/7 50/25 67/20 67/21 68/11 153/2 153/2 163/5 fragmentation [3] 164/8 165/20 172/2 68/13 69/6 69/11 193/2 194/23 50/25 51/13 52/15 131/7 141/7 141/25 52/16 53/25 54/2 54/2 197/2 203/14 gets [2] 175/22 69/15 69/18 72/11 fragmented [4] 10/4 future [5] 32/18 198/22 54/22 64/12 94/3 72/15 73/5 77/23 10/21 94/16 141/11 getting [8] 20/17 57/9 104/18 106/23 113/21 85/25 111/20 181/19 87/17 87/22 105/6 frame [1] 183/2 183/13 131/22 147/15 148/8 113/22 114/23 115/3 118/13 118/25 168/11 framed [3] 27/11 185/21 186/10 187/4 134/8 134/25 145/5 171/21 176/18 190/2 27/16 27/17 161/23 163/21 167/9 193/9 204/19 give [12] 1/10 9/19 framework [1] gained [1] 68/19 18/9 36/18 46/20 173/3 197/5 201/4 groupings [2] 191/1 189/13 Gallagher [21] 64/13 64/21 84/23 101/4 201/5 202/24 203/13 191/1 framing [1] 109/18 64/14 64/16 64/19 137/4 148/18 200/17 got [18] 10/21 28/1 groups [15] 7/10 fraught [1] 147/16 65/7 66/5 68/21 73/13 34/12 34/14 42/10 205/17 61/3 62/3 78/2 111/13 Free [1] 160/23 74/16 83/24 84/20 given [36] 4/13 30/11 42/14 75/8 145/21 127/15 168/2 168/10 freedom [5] 137/5 86/11 88/6 91/12 92/3 33/18 34/25 38/20 156/25 161/24 163/24 168/16 168/24 169/6 137/7 170/19 174/22 92/12 98/11 111/19 39/20 40/3 43/24 174/2 191/16 191/17 170/5 177/7 188/5 182/15 113/21 116/2 211/7 50/15 62/1 62/2 63/10 197/19 198/10 201/4 200/5 freely [1] 169/12 Gallagher's [1] 64/20 69/3 69/5 69/19 207/16 **groupthink** [5] 71/10 front [2] 141/19 115/25 74/4 79/10 79/25 71/18 169/5 169/8 governance [2] 166/16 gap [6] 95/13 185/19 69/15 104/18 81/11 101/2 103/2 169/12 frontline [9] 86/14 186/5 186/6 186/6 105/25 116/10 120/25 government [50] 2/4 Groves [1] 66/1 86/23 87/7 87/16 187/6 127/20 128/22 147/22 3/25 9/24 10/5 14/5 growing [1] 151/8 92/20 110/14 110/22 gaps [1] 91/4 17/7 17/9 17/17 28/13 guess [1] 163/24 162/23 166/10 176/24 172/13 205/4 gathering [2] 172/5 179/1 182/15 184/4 31/2 40/14 59/5 59/14| guidance [38] 37/23 fronts [1] 122/17 180/9 205/25 207/24 62/22 63/2 63/18 41/21 47/18 60/7 fruition [2] 52/24 gatherings [4] 17/10 73/22 76/18 84/17 62/11 72/22 73/7 73/9 giving [2] 69/7 128/1 57/22 17/18 33/22 35/7 global [5] 78/14 88/22 89/11 121/6 73/18 74/22 75/1 75/4

#### 175/16 178/12 178/21 Healthy [2] 137/3 185/19 186/5 186/15 G **highly [1]** 42/13 187/7 187/17 189/3 179/2 179/19 180/25 137/4 him [2] 28/6 97/17 guidance... [26] 190/20 195/25 196/1 183/4 190/5 196/3 hear [1] 64/23 himself [2] 15/5 79/18 80/6 85/24 197/13 197/20 199/18 196/9 196/13 197/1 heard [15] 2/24 4/6 201/6 96/15 97/5 102/17 204/24 205/8 206/21 202/17 204/16 205/6 8/5 46/19 70/2 70/20 Hine [3] 25/6 74/9 103/3 103/7 103/11 hadn't [5] 41/15 205/13 205/25 207/15 99/2 101/5 114/9 197/22 103/12 103/16 103/19 42/10 44/17 44/21 207/24 129/22 135/12 169/5 his [9] 28/1 28/6 71/9 104/2 104/8 104/14 170/24 190/22 208/8 55/3 hasn't [3] 43/7 54/10 71/10 131/8 132/6 104/20 104/23 109/20 half [4] 4/25 18/2 178/11 hearing [1] 210/10 136/3 147/24 161/12 112/19 113/2 113/4 41/22 52/8 hat [1] 134/18 heart [1] 13/19 historic [1] 93/8 125/5 176/24 179/3 historical [3] 120/1 halfway [1] 52/6 heatwave [1] 4/18 have [270] 179/19 195/14 Hammond [4] 24/19 haven't [5] 43/3 heavier [1] 162/6 122/18 158/3 guidelines [3] 73/18 24/22 56/22 58/24 111/9 130/13 141/1 held [9] 2/2 8/6 8/7 histories [1] 171/24 74/23 75/1 205/20 history [3] 6/9 119/24 Hancock [2] 27/21 39/23 65/10 67/18 **guilty [1]** 144/15 29/5 having [19] 5/12 75/9 83/1 115/18 176/19 197/11 **Gulf [1]** 79/18 hand [11] 18/11 84/6 85/2 93/17 94/3 help [6] 64/9 116/1 hit [9] 75/9 76/19 18/12 130/6 152/22 104/19 106/23 108/18 120/1 122/18 146/22 99/4 99/5 99/23 152/23 170/22 173/7 109/9 129/6 133/23 210/3 101/14 194/24 198/7 **H1N1 [3]** 65/18 72/12 helpful [2] 21/4 165/5 174/14 187/22 202/25 138/3 144/25 153/17 203/19 109/15 203/10 161/18 164/17 194/11 helpfully [1] 120/16 hitting [3] 102/19 **H7N9 [1]** 184/16 handled [3] 89/9 207/10 helping [1] 148/6 192/7 200/19 habit [1] 91/3 184/17 195/14 hazard [3] 31/6 80/20 her [7] 25/7 70/21 hoc [1] 69/13 had [153] 6/16 7/8 happen [3] 75/5 75/7 80/22 74/9 74/10 115/15 hold [5] 39/5 66/17 7/14 8/21 8/23 12/9 195/25 hazards [10] 6/3 140/4 196/8 137/20 169/11 169/21 12/15 12/19 12/20 happened [13] 36/16 28/12 30/12 31/1 53/5 here [12] 95/19 **holistic** [1] 79/6 13/3 16/8 16/14 18/2 54/1 55/6 55/15 123/15 134/24 158/17 78/7 115/7 148/20 home [3] 22/24 88/16 23/5 23/11 24/1 24/25 158/18 163/9 164/9 154/22 174/7 174/8 121/10 124/13 123/22 25/4 25/7 25/16 25/21 175/17 181/25 188/18 HCID [15] 160/12 166/25 167/22 175/15 home department [1] 26/2 26/3 26/12 26/21 191/7 198/6 206/16 160/14 160/18 161/1 176/7 207/20 123/22 27/4 27/5 27/6 27/22 176/21 182/1 183/14 happening [5] 25/15 herself [1] 15/5 homeless [1] 62/14 30/14 31/10 31/14 135/10 153/9 182/5 183/25 185/1 195/14 Heymann [4] 129/23 homes [6] 22/7 94/5 31/15 31/17 38/14 206/13 195/19 195/23 195/24 161/10 162/2 207/6 103/21 106/1 106/7 40/10 40/13 40/23 happens [4] 141/17 196/7 197/1 106/21 hierarchical [1] 74/4 40/24 40/25 41/1 41/6 hierarchy [1] 139/19 156/18 187/24 192/22 **HCIDs [2]** 104/1 honorary [1] 118/7 41/14 41/18 41/19 happy [5] 19/23 184/17 high [29] 8/5 26/24 hope [3] 92/3 92/11 41/20 41/21 42/4 42/5 163/15 165/3 176/10 he [16] 27/24 28/6 30/21 34/5 34/23 35/1 98/21 42/5 42/7 42/9 42/21 36/15 36/22 37/1 37/9 horizon [1] 207/13 176/13 71/11 71/15 85/15 43/4 44/9 44/13 45/9 hard [2] 146/25 91/11 94/14 95/19 38/13 43/23 77/6 horizontal [1] 203/5 53/15 53/16 53/19 90/11 96/17 97/6 206/11 146/16 147/24 149/8 hospital [11] 65/15 53/20 54/24 55/18 162/2 162/3 201/6 118/17 136/20 139/4 harder [1] 99/6 76/24 81/16 100/9 58/22 63/25 68/19 hardest [1] 99/5 201/11 206/16 150/15 150/19 157/15 101/25 105/7 105/22 69/3 69/4 69/21 71/3 harm [1] 22/11 he's [1] 147/14 160/21 167/11 177/7 106/22 107/10 159/5 71/12 74/3 74/5 80/9 189/20 197/1 204/8 172/3 Harries [3] 116/6 head [1] 117/14 80/10 81/14 81/25 116/7 211/13 heading [1] 18/13 206/8 hospitals [17] 78/16 83/5 84/17 87/15 Harries' [1] 208/2 headline [1] 200/21 81/18 81/22 88/17 high-consequence 88/18 88/21 90/22 100/8 101/7 101/15 has [80] 4/15 6/16 **[6]** 34/23 37/1 38/13 health [419] 91/3 95/14 96/3 96/6 77/6 150/15 160/21 102/5 102/7 105/18 10/22 24/13 46/19 healthcare [34] 101/12 103/15 105/7 51/13 51/14 52/9 57/6 28/19 43/20 62/13 high-level [1] 30/21 149/6 171/24 172/4 107/22 108/1 108/4 63/10 64/6 67/7 70/2 74/24 76/22 76/25 high-performing [2] 184/9 203/6 203/13 111/9 114/16 114/19 70/20 70/22 70/24 77/5 77/17 78/17 96/17 97/6 203/24 114/20 115/16 116/17 71/9 79/23 81/18 80/12 80/18 81/18 higher [5] 16/2 17/3 hosted [1] 85/25 128/9 130/4 136/7 88/24 89/1 89/5 89/9 88/8 88/11 103/7 163/2 165/13 165/16 hotels [1] 178/14 137/4 137/7 139/2 89/19 92/10 92/21 104/14 104/16 105/17 highest [5] 16/10 house [1] 104/19 140/13 144/1 144/3 92/22 92/24 93/1 93/8 110/2 113/1 113/7 20/11 30/24 150/9 housing [6] 4/9 48/19 144/22 144/23 145/5 94/13 95/1 99/2 102/1 115/7 121/22 123/5 150/10 48/22 59/14 128/8 145/5 146/19 148/21 highlight [7] 85/19 104/22 105/4 105/10 126/2 126/10 158/13 201/3 149/6 151/16 152/13 106/19 110/4 110/6 159/11 160/1 160/8 90/20 95/18 159/20 how [100] 5/4 6/2 6/4 154/1 154/18 154/20 112/13 126/16 129/4 160/10 160/11 161/3 162/18 165/8 173/9 8/9 10/3 10/21 11/1 154/21 161/2 161/21 129/22 130/16 130/23 13/24 25/14 31/24 161/24 highlighted [9] 77/8 161/23 168/19 171/21 131/8 132/6 141/9 33/6 34/25 36/20 37/7 healthcare-acquired 77/15 84/16 88/18 171/25 172/4 172/8 148/9 152/21 153/14 88/23 101/21 110/19 **[3]** 103/7 104/14 37/9 38/14 39/15 173/3 174/10 174/23 158/1 159/11 165/23 104/16 110/24 182/14 39/20 39/22 39/25 178/6 178/8 181/9 167/20 169/5 170/1 40/3 40/17 40/20 healthcare-associate highlighting [3] 89/7 181/24 183/25 184/4

**d [1]** 105/17

99/4 164/6

170/24 175/1 175/2

40/22 43/6 45/11 46/9

Н I appreciate [2] 98/10 164/12 how... [73] 46/12 lask [4] 33/13 64/24 46/24 47/1 47/14 48/2 113/24 117/6 51/2 51/17 52/21 I assist [1] 43/11 53/17 60/9 61/16 I attended [1] 105/12 | I just [6] 34/8 41/11 61/18 65/4 67/4 69/14 I be [1] 196/15 73/24 77/4 77/9 77/13 I begin [1] 116/9 77/13 78/1 79/12 I believe [4] 22/17 79/25 85/8 87/14 25/20 60/22 70/13 90/14 93/12 95/7 I broadly [1] 201/6 97/25 101/22 104/6 I call [2] 188/24 106/9 107/16 108/5 198/16 108/15 110/6 112/18 I came [1] 149/14 122/12 122/13 130/23 I can [11] 29/8 33/12 134/8 135/5 139/7 43/7 53/4 63/13 84/25 I looked [1] 181/21 142/8 144/3 144/4 86/15 116/25 133/21 144/12 145/9 149/4 160/5 203/23 153/7 155/17 157/9 I can't [10] 29/12 161/21 162/9 163/3 42/23 43/5 46/14 163/4 165/17 165/18 53/21 55/1 105/14 166/23 168/19 186/5 109/12 139/5 174/8 186/20 191/16 192/22 I cannot [3] 53/2 53/2 194/18 195/17 198/22 193/4 199/1 202/12 202/20 I come [1] 3/13 203/22 206/16 209/2 I concerned [1] 13/1 however [15] 19/23 I consider [1] 23/17 54/5 74/5 78/6 87/20 I could [1] 67/14 91/4 97/20 104/18 I did [4] 1/23 71/20 109/14 136/9 158/4 105/13 133/5 159/14 165/12 184/5 I didn't [1] 27/3 198/16 I do [15] 29/9 71/7 **HPA [13]** 120/2 120/9 86/9 92/11 94/21 121/6 121/23 123/19 97/12 97/19 131/24 123/24 127/5 129/24 137/21 141/21 169/22 148/10 148/12 148/13 184/12 185/4 198/15 148/15 148/22 204/18 **HPA's [1]** 121/17 I don't [35] 1/11 **HPT [1]** 140/13 22/10 35/9 41/15 **Hub [1]** 63/9 46/15 54/6 54/6 54/19|I now [2] 61/10 human [7] 22/8 57/13 62/7 71/16 159/10 159/10 159/14 86/15 102/14 104/24 159/14 164/7 165/7 109/3 109/23 114/22 humans [1] 157/14 Humphrey [1] 180/15 163/14 165/2 166/13 hundred [1] 180/2 Hunt [2] 71/9 90/19 183/9 183/17 186/6 Hurricane [1] 118/21 187/9 187/10 187/18 Hurricane Irma [1] 200/24 201/7 206/15 118/21 I draw [1] 150/7 Hygiene [1] 112/16 I emphasise [1] 9/17 I experienced [1] 26/4 l agree [5] 131/24 I found [1] 73/5 140/20 194/17 195/1 I had [5] 90/22 205/13 103/15 114/16 144/22 I realise [3] 119/14 I also [3] 23/21 49/5 204/24 105/14 I have [13] 1/17 3/10 I am [10] 2/19 19/23 70/23 78/6 90/21 29/7 35/10 38/4 48/22 112/25 113/12 113/16 66/19 119/14 134/17 134/18 167/19 200/8 194/17

203/21 204/5

I haven't [1] 43/3

I hope [1] 92/3

I apologise [2] 7/6

62/15

141/16 154/17 I implemented [1] 90/25 I interrupt [1] 98/9 I joined [4] 133/22 193/15 193/15 209/14 I said [1] 27/3 119/5 135/24 183/15 187/20 I know [8] 91/21 98/23 137/21 142/3 144/16 188/9 205/24 207/23 I learned [1] 90/22 I like [1] 134/17 I look [1] 206/5 I may [2] 141/3 166/24 I mean [30] 7/12 11/2 I still [1] 205/12 90/13 108/19 127/8 127/9 128/15 133/2 137/12 137/12 139/10 | I suspect [2] 149/17 154/16 156/25 160/3 161/10 164/21 166/24 I tell [1] 182/24 168/13 173/24 173/25 I tend [1] 206/18 187/9 188/13 193/14 194/8 196/2 198/8 203/23 204/3 205/6 209/1 I mentioned [2] 44/19 147/5 I might [8] 22/18 107/24 130/10 138/9 188/13 204/4 206/10 209/8 I moved [1] 32/22 I must [1] 197/8 I myself [1] 133/2 I notice [1] 194/9 194/10 I only [1] 87/17 I ought [1] 58/24 114/22 131/21 132/25 | I personally [2] 142/3 | 133/13 133/19 134/13 | I won't [1] 155/8 204/20 166/15 169/11 169/20 I please [2] 1/4 116/5 I pointed [1] 133/4 I presume [1] 149/7 I prioritised [2] 56/18 57/16 I provide [2] 66/21 66/23 I put [1] 58/24 I read [2] 192/20 192/22 192/20 200/1 I recall [12] 12/7 20/21 20/25 22/17 59/3 60/1 69/23 102/10 103/1 103/4 104/2 111/12 I recognise [5] 132/24 132/24 137/12 181/3 181/6 181/21

I regret [1] 49/9 I remind [1] 1/10 I retained [1] 23/20 I say [7] 79/7 124/1 129/6 142/24 160/3 189/11 198/16 I see [3] 98/16 196/19 209/20 I shall [3] 49/13 92/4 143/7 I shared [2] 68/2 72/23 I should [5] 32/2 54/14 113/23 195/2 209/2 I showed [1] 52/3 I suppose [2] 37/10 167/16 167/1 I therefore [1] 3/1 I think [154] 2/1 18/6 21/4 21/24 22/8 22/18 I wanted [2] 3/15 23/8 23/9 23/13 25/3 27/8 28/6 40/17 42/2 42/24 45/8 53/7 57/11 57/13 58/25 60/12 91/20 97/10 98/14 98/23 103/12 103/20 105/19 107/7 119/8 122/7 122/10 123/12 123/15 123/25 124/1 124/4 124/22 125/10 125/12 125/13 127/9 128/3 128/17 128/19 128/23 129/6 129/11 131/22 132/2 133/10 135/2 135/9 137/14 138/16 138/20 138/25 I would [56] 13/22 142/3 142/14 142/17 142/22 142/23 145/19 147/14 147/24 148/10 148/19 149/14 149/22 150/5 151/4 153/6 154/4 155/1 156/11 156/21 157/2 158/16 160/12 161/10 163/14 163/19 165/5 167/7 168/19 169/4 169/12 169/17 170/18 175/15 175/24 175/25 176/6 176/7 176/9 176/11 176/16 176/17 176/19 177/20 177/20 178/6 178/11 178/13 180/23

183/1 183/4 184/12 184/15 184/22 185/4 185/12 188/10 189/4 190/21 190/21 191/2 191/9 191/20 193/11 193/19 193/20 194/8 195/1 195/5 196/20 196/23 198/15 198/21 200/22 201/22 203/20 204/3 204/7 204/16 204/19 207/1 207/6 207/6 208/1 209/4 209/25 I thought [3] 91/24 161/12 206/3 I took [3] 6/20 9/10 57/13 I trained [1] 119/16 I understand [8] 12/19 37/2 43/2 60/4 72/18 148/19 175/16 178/6 I use [1] 197/9 I want [11] 54/16 72/5 81/24 83/23 88/4 126/25 131/4 148/3 170/14 195/8 205/17 77/18 I was [24] 2/9 2/14 9/1 11/7 13/1 13/2 20/21 24/7 30/8 32/20 33/11 38/12 67/21 75/11 76/6 77/22 95/2 129/7 133/5 142/1 176/14 177/15 189/11 197/6 I wasn't [7] 36/5 88/2 114/17 122/2 148/18 175/15 199/9 | I will [6] 64/25 117/7 129/21 130/10 130/16 144/20 146/25 198/24 203/20 I worked [1] 181/22 139/10 139/22 141/22 20/24 21/2 21/22 23/1 27/15 29/19 30/20 31/12 31/17 37/15 38/5 39/2 40/6 40/24 41/13 42/8 42/16 44/22 47/20 47/21 53/23 54/1 56/14 58/8 61/18 74/21 83/14 97/20 100/17 106/18 108/7 109/25 112/1 119/13 156/1 163/14 164/21 166/13 175/19 177/16 187/2 187/2 187/19 189/24 194/8 194/9 197/10 197/12

200/20 202/15 204/7

206/4 206/18 207/4

209/17

24/25 29/1 33/12 154/15 111/10 **inadequate [1]** 81/10 42/12 42/25 44/6 46/1 impacting [1] 137/2 inaudible [2] 138/15 indirectly [1] 157/13 I wouldn't [11] 26/22 54/21 55/2 58/20 59/3 impacts [3] 61/9 93/5 202/1 individual [8] 21/16 27/15 30/8 42/5 47/16 61/11 64/24 65/1 100/7 incident [11] 101/20 61/8 168/10 175/3 60/12 98/23 154/16 69/10 69/23 70/13 impartial [1] 121/11 104/12 106/8 108/11 188/5 188/8 188/12 167/19 186/22 193/16 79/1 81/2 81/14 85/17 implement [3] 113/3 114/25 144/1 206/12 I'd [14] 13/2 23/16 87/20 91/23 94/10 152/13 203/2 203/24 175/21 179/22 195/7 individuals [9] 60/23 45/3 90/18 92/14 95/13 99/8 100/2 implementation [3] 206/13 61/12 146/2 159/6 103/6 152/19 155/15 159/21 178/2 194/19 106/3 106/6 107/24 89/21 129/5 192/6 incidents [16] 4/16 157/6 183/15 188/20 108/7 113/6 115/11 30/15 30/16 31/16 204/19 208/14 implementations [1] 197/5 199/14 208/13 117/6 122/7 123/1 45/15 31/17 38/14 69/12 industrial [1] 90/4 I'II [5] 10/7 18/9 18/12 72/3 72/6 82/24 85/10 industry [1] 207/14 128/17 130/9 130/10 implemented [12] 141/7 200/21 134/11 134/24 137/14 45/12 45/16 45/22 103/25 112/19 118/20 inequalities [20] 60/9 l'm [71] 7/4 9/18 138/10 139/20 140/25 45/24 46/3 74/7 89/11 169/23 189/7 60/23 61/19 63/22 11/15 17/22 22/18 141/3 141/8 141/18 90/15 90/25 95/10 include [3] 13/25 93/10 99/4 99/6 99/9 33/8 35/18 35/20 38/4 142/19 143/25 144/5 170/21 182/17 124/10 172/23 99/15 99/23 100/6 43/4 48/24 51/8 52/25 144/24 145/4 147/11 109/21 109/25 110/2 implementing [2] included [11] 12/7 54/11 54/12 55/1 147/14 147/20 148/24 45/14 75/1 28/16 31/13 36/10 110/4 111/16 122/11 66/16 67/1 69/14 149/8 149/12 150/14 implications [3] 89/4 57/19 62/13 72/20 128/25 186/4 200/10 75/12 89/10 89/25 150/25 153/2 154/20 102/3 108/15 73/1 110/2 125/3 inevitable [1] 206/25 89/25 92/4 92/10 156/25 157/2 158/16 imply [1] 55/3 176/19 infected [2] 78/18 98/18 99/22 102/20 158/17 159/20 160/14 importance [9] 19/9 includes [1] 5/2 88/16 117/8 119/20 125/10 160/25 161/16 161/19 19/19 89/7 89/14 including [20] 27/20 infection [42] 65/8 133/6 134/18 134/19 161/22 162/12 162/17 90/23 92/14 110/1 30/16 63/15 83/5 65/14 66/23 66/24 134/21 135/9 139/22 164/6 164/6 164/10 122/12 172/13 103/25 105/5 118/20 67/24 77/9 78/22 141/3 144/15 148/18 166/24 168/9 168/17 79/12 80/1 80/13 81/7 121/14 123/5 127/5 important [37] 11/14 155/4 155/6 155/8 168/23 169/20 170/22 127/12 140/11 142/10 81/15 85/5 92/15 12/8 19/13 19/21 156/1 156/8 160/3 171/8 171/20 172/20 158/7 169/2 171/11 94/23 95/1 95/4 95/6 19/22 20/18 23/9 160/4 163/15 165/3 173/6 173/7 173/18 30/17 49/3 57/6 57/9 174/19 177/6 186/1 95/10 96/13 103/7 169/10 173/25 174/6 177/24 177/25 178/25 70/17 91/13 96/6 187/22 104/15 104/17 125/6 175/15 176/10 176/13 179/15 180/19 181/11 104/10 119/8 119/9 inclusion [1] 112/15 141/24 157/24 158/12 179/6 184/13 191/14 182/6 182/24 184/16 119/11 122/13 128/17 income [4] 138/3 158/20 158/23 159/7 196/2 197/2 199/8 189/20 190/3 191/4 133/10 133/13 134/14 153/14 153/15 153/20 159/16 159/22 159/25 201/22 202/3 203/20 195/20 195/22 197/6 135/3 135/5 135/9 inconvenient [1] 160/8 160/9 161/23 204/22 206/6 207/17 197/13 198/8 198/11 137/17 144/10 151/10 92/4 163/6 165/20 172/15 208/7 209/2 209/23 198/25 202/5 202/14 155/12 155/17 170/5 173/18 190/25 204/14 incorporated [1] 210/2 172/17 181/17 182/25 74/17 203/13 203/25 **infections [2]** 68/15 I'm afraid [1] 155/4 200/2 201/1 105/17 ill [1] 93/3 incorrect [2] 22/19 I've [16] 28/1 63/5 illness [2] 159/7 importantly [4] 4/13 134/3 **infectious** [58] 5/2 75/8 82/23 103/15 159/22 78/4 128/1 175/24 increase [5] 85/9 5/5 8/8 16/13 16/17 111/7 119/16 133/20 importation [2] 175/6 96/25 97/16 110/25 imagine [1] 156/2 24/16 25/20 25/25 137/13 164/21 166/7 **immediate** [3] 8/24 175/18 111/2 26/4 26/17 26/24 191/17 193/7 200/20 32/12 32/14 increased [3] 95/13 33/16 34/4 34/5 34/5 imported [4] 78/3 206/21 207/16 34/15 34/20 34/23 immediately [8] 158/3 173/11 173/12 110/8 110/22 IANPHI [1] 188/14 82/16 88/14 90/8 impose [2] 17/10 35/2 35/12 36/15 increases [1] 111/1 idea [3] 190/23 165/1 182/7 182/10 36/22 37/1 37/9 38/10 17/18 increasingly [2] 203/22 206/3 195/23 202/14 38/13 39/7 42/13 43/1 imposed [2] 3/24 84/16 139/16 identical [4] 52/14 immigration [1] 91/3 62/22 incubation [1] 43/3 43/9 43/16 43/18 52/18 52/20 53/19 Immunisation [1] impressed [1] 197/14 43/23 44/3 70/11 77/6 identified [15] 77/2 indeed [11] 24/9 26/2 80/22 93/19 118/18 118/11 205/24 77/11 91/15 101/11 impact [33] 6/3 21/5 improve [4] 12/24 74/9 87/2 87/11 121/9 124/12 126/17 157/11 158/22 168/12 37/8 60/13 60/18 61/8 38/24 93/10 200/15 107/19 116/1 157/9 150/15 155/22 155/25 172/21 177/17 178/8 61/13 62/8 62/9 63/4 164/14 196/12 196/13 157/15 157/20 158/5 improved [2] 130/23 183/11 183/18 184/4 63/21 71/4 84/7 85/2 161/14 181/20 185/20 172/15 indemnity [1] 76/12 185/19 186/1 89/3 91/15 93/19 improvement [10] independence [1] 185/22 186/2 187/6 identify [9] 40/23 99/14 100/5 101/21 18/20 38/17 121/21 131/5 189/20 200/23 201/15 75/3 77/4 78/20 79/3 102/15 111/3 112/11 122/15 126/2 126/5 independent [7] 84/1 inflation [1] 152/12 79/5 98/3 98/6 172/11 115/11 128/12 139/7 126/7 126/12 130/2 121/1 123/8 133/17 influenza [68] 11/17 ie [4] 92/20 152/12 140/13 145/16 151/16 209/14 134/15 134/16 168/20 11/19 12/9 12/25 157/12 158/20 155/1 159/1 165/21 13/21 13/23 14/13 improvements [1] independently [1] ie taking [1] 152/12 14/17 14/22 15/6 15/7 187/7 114/14 177/20 if [122] 1/21 8/15 improving [5] 124/14 impacted [8] 50/11 index [2] 175/4 15/9 15/11 15/14 9/12 10/1 12/25 17/4 94/19 103/24 128/9 124/15 128/2 128/10 15/20 15/23 15/25 210/12 20/21 22/17 22/22 140/9 140/12 142/9 137/6 indicate [2] 54/23 16/11 16/12 17/14

85/14 189/7 193/22 196/15 13/16 18/4 18/5 18/7 INQ000148429 [1] intensive [1] 35/1 inviting [1] 141/4 27/8 30/17 34/5 42/14 influenza... [48] 116/13 intent [1] 11/5 involve [2] 83/15 43/5 44/23 49/3 51/1 18/22 19/3 19/5 19/18 INQ000177809 [1] intention [3] 122/6 103/3 54/13 64/17 70/17 22/15 23/15 26/7 66/4 124/8 175/10 involved [28] 32/20 74/22 75/1 81/10 26/20 27/9 27/17 INQ000178938 [1] interaction [1] 32/25 33/7 33/11 83/21 85/14 86/18 30/21 31/1 31/3 31/8 63/14 72/4 74/19 92/3 94/10 98/10 155/15 190/1 31/10 34/13 35/12 74/23 75/24 76/25 98/14 104/12 108/11 INQ000181825 [1] interconnectedness 43/19 43/25 44/3 47/7 **[1]** 50/16 82/3 82/7 83/13 86/16 108/16 114/4 116/12 27/25 48/24 53/9 53/24 102/20 111/8 127/6 125/12 125/25 129/12 INQ000183414 [1] interconnectivity [3] 59/12 59/24 60/11 188/11 208/12 208/12 127/10 143/17 164/13 133/4 134/9 135/1 66/7 60/14 65/17 68/12 177/15 178/3 189/11 137/17 138/25 139/10 INQ000184105 [1] interest [2] 172/9 68/24 69/7 69/20 70/4 189/14 193/9 193/20 28/3 181/21 139/22 141/18 141/22 70/7 71/1 71/2 72/2 **interested [2]** 76/13 196/10 209/17 143/22 144/16 147/21 INQ000185135 [1] 72/10 183/20 184/6 164/2 176/14 involvement [4] 72/6 151/2 151/10 151/18 189/24 190/2 190/18 INQ000187694 [1] 86/17 112/7 155/16 153/6 155/5 156/5 interesting [2] 192/5 196/12 198/2 156/25 158/17 160/6 50/4 206/14 210/3 involves [2] 67/8 198/6 INQ000187830 [1] interfered [1] 56/6 107/18 161/16 163/16 166/17 **influx [1]** 47/15 interim [3] 116/18 **IPC [16]** 65/9 79/18 166/19 166/20 167/3 120/15 inform [2] 73/17 80/6 96/19 97/1 97/10 167/24 169/19 170/18 INQ000191910 [1] 117/20 195/11 116/25 173/1 173/3 173/25 170/22 interlocutors [1] 97/16 105/9 105/22 information [21] 28/7 INQ000194054 [1] 84/18 142/3 158/13 158/14 176/15 177/19 178/18 31/13 61/22 62/5 116/20 internal [4] 59/21 158/15 160/1 160/2 178/20 180/16 182/12 103/22 113/9 114/17 INQ000195847 [1] 103/13 186/14 187/3 161/3 182/24 184/14 184/20 121/11 125/7 148/19 international [4] Ireland [2] 58/5 90/4 185/5 186/6 188/9 1/18 156/15 156/18 156/19 188/24 189/3 189/10 125/7 158/25 188/11 **Irma [1]** 118/21 INQ000205178 [1] 164/3 165/2 166/15 188/15 189/11 189/18 191/23 83/21 is [440] 170/12 170/19 174/22 192/21 194/13 194/14 INQ000206659 [1] interrupt [1] 98/9 is: [1] 23/18 182/16 188/17 interruption [2] 20/9 is: what [1] 23/18 195/1 195/3 197/17 155/20 informed [1] 16/8 198/13 199/1 199/25 INQ000212902 [1] 21/13 **Isabel [2]** 116/18 infrastructure [8] intervened [1] 104/6 116/21 116/23 201/9 201/10 202/4 148/4 150/21 150/23 intervening [2] 32/23 isn't [12] 43/8 44/1 **INQUIRY [39]** 1/7 202/7 204/23 207/12 151/2 181/7 181/11 1/16 64/15 64/20 70/2 174/7 45/10 61/22 64/24 item [5] 68/13 69/8 206/8 207/3 123/17 125/25 167/12 69/13 69/14 69/24 70/20 70/22 71/9 interventions [5] inhabitants [1] 22/7 173/13 178/17 193/10 items [2] 80/18 87/23 83/19 94/14 99/2 33/19 33/25 35/5 47/1 inherent [1] 15/24 99/24 112/22 116/8 92/20 198/19 its [40] 3/4 11/15 initial [2] 119/1 186/9 116/10 117/11 122/11 **interviews [1]** 205/3 12/24 30/6 34/13 isolate [1] 46/11 **initially [4]** 14/6 129/22 131/8 132/6 into [59] 1/13 3/10 **isolation [7]** 17/20 37/24 51/19 51/22 91/24 139/17 173/20 135/11 141/10 148/6 8/8 11/15 13/6 30/9 27/13 36/12 37/13 53/19 56/12 63/9 66/1 initiated [1] 172/1 35/17 36/17 46/2 47/9 177/5 177/11 177/12 68/19 69/7 84/12 148/9 151/5 152/21 initiatives [2] 85/4 issue [25] 9/2 11/6 162/2 165/5 169/4 47/11 49/23 51/11 104/22 105/8 109/13 135/16 169/11 170/14 170/24 55/22 64/22 69/11 24/2 43/8 58/11 60/8 110/17 117/13 123/4 innovate [1] 137/6 180/24 196/13 200/17 69/15 74/18 78/3 80/6 85/12 91/12 100/10 123/22 123/25 124/9 **inoperable [1]** 191/3 205/25 211/5 211/9 83/10 88/12 88/14 114/9 142/16 144/6 127/6 132/9 132/10 input [4] 68/5 144/9 211/15 90/8 101/1 101/15 144/25 145/6 149/22 133/16 133/17 135/6 146/6 200/5 102/7 109/21 110/1 152/4 156/25 176/10 143/3 152/10 152/14 inserted [1] 134/4 INQ000 [1] 135/21 176/12 177/9 177/17 126/6 126/14 127/18 152/15 153/14 153/20 inspection [1] 105/20 INQ000022708 [1] 178/12 180/24 183/3 instance [1] 67/6 132/3 138/5 145/21 183/5 183/25 192/6 14/19 instead [1] 28/3 146/7 148/15 148/16 189/17 194/1 INQ000022738 [1] 148/23 149/14 152/12 issued [1] 47/18 itself [11] 12/15 21/7 instigated [2] 159/9 8/11 159/24 152/17 156/13 163/12 issues [36] 6/15 9/25 38/23 40/23 48/16 INQ000022792 [1] institute [6] 118/6 164/4 167/1 167/8 33/24 35/8 35/9 36/10 56/8 103/3 127/8 46/1 136/16 188/14 202/12 175/19 175/24 176/3 37/24 39/1 58/16 61/2 153/13 164/9 173/23 INQ000023131 [1] 181/18 183/22 186/18 84/13 87/7 88/5 92/13 202/18 204/25 17/24 **Institutes [1]** 188/16 187/2 198/11 203/1 96/6 99/11 99/25 INQ000057271 [1] insufficiency [1] Jane [1] 115/10 203/2 203/3 203/11 104/11 106/3 114/22 9/16 115/21 131/6 131/6 Jane Cummings [1] 52/10 intrinsic [1] 14/21 INQ000090350 [1] 115/10 insufficient [3] 89/3 intrinsically [1] 15/7 135/12 141/3 149/20 152/19 introduce [1] 109/10 January [11] 69/17 149/21 154/14 176/7 107/4 154/21 INQ000090431 [1] 75/12 76/20 84/23 integrated [4] 96/18 Introduction [1] 28/4 178/5 178/22 180/23 170/17 90/5 115/10 122/22 96/21 97/7 132/14 investment [3] 89/7 182/25 183/4 187/10 INQ000105273 [1] 151/17 183/24 184/3 95/23 146/13 206/6 intelligence [3] 73/16 51/25 191/12 78/1 87/21 **invitation** [1] 103/2 it [444] INQ000148405 [1] invited [4] 72/9 82/6 January 2020 [6] intended [2] 128/24 **it's [101]** 7/5 8/13

January 2020... [6] 76/20 84/23 90/5 122/22 151/17 191/12 **JCVI [1]** 119/7 Jenny [29] 116/6 116/7 116/14 117/6 121/17 129/4 131/16 137/10 141/15 143/14 155/4 155/9 156/10 163/11 164/12 169/4 170/15 175/13 181/15 184/2 190/18 192/4 192/15 202/15 205/18 208/2 208/8 209/25 211/13 Jenny Harries [1] 116/6 Jenny's [1] 116/22 Jeremy [2] 71/9 90/19 Jeremy Hunt [2] 71/9 90/19 job [2] 143/1 147/25 jobs [3] 7/11 7/19 201/4 joined [5] 65/24 133/22 193/15 193/15 **K** 209/14 joining [1] 117/16 joint [2] 118/10 185/23 jointly [1] 55/24 Jonathan [1] 209/13 judge [1] 59/11 judged [1] 58/22 judgment [1] 57/14 July [7] 18/18 27/22 68/17 69/4 120/5 166/5 190/3 July **2018 [2]** 18/18 27/22 July 2019 [2] 69/4 190/3 kick [1] 182/9 jumping [1] 199/8 **kindly [1]** 1/15 June [10] 1/1 2/10 King's [1] 207/25 40/22 41/8 44/16 King's Counsel [1] 45/11 65/19 116/21 207/25 120/7 210/11 Kingdom [18] 4/8 June 2015 [1] 2/10 10/22 19/10 21/17 June 2020 [4] 40/22 22/16 53/14 63/18 41/8 44/16 45/11 67/8 71/11 73/22 78/3 just [106] 2/3 7/11 86/24 117/12 118/23 7/20 8/15 9/18 10/6 120/6 157/25 175/12 10/6 14/23 16/1 16/3 184/8 18/7 34/8 36/2 41/11 **Kingdom's [1]** 19/17 45/16 45/18 48/1 51/7 Kirchelle [3] 83/20 59/8 62/17 63/20 65/6 131/8 132/6 74/21 74/22 77/12 Kirchhelle's [1] 78/25 81/11 81/16 135/23 83/10 90/8 90/19 knew [5] 45/21 57/2 91/12 92/12 93/15

97/11 98/9 99/21

102/3 105/17 109/5 119/5 119/13 119/13 121/3 125/10 130/10 132/2 133/7 135/24 136/13 137/14 137/16 137/16 137/20 137/25 138/7 138/10 138/13 138/15 140/15 141/3 141/8 142/24 153/23 154/12 154/14 155/4 157/7 160/3 160/22 161/19 163/7 163/16 164/15 165/6 166/7 166/17 167/9 167/24 168/12 168/13 171/20 177/13 178/25 181/15 183/10 183/15 184/25 185/13 186/24 187/3 187/20 190/17 193/7 194/5 194/13 195/9 199/10 200/25 202/3 202/15 203/23 204/21 206/19 209/2 209/8 justice [3] 113/14 113/25 203/10 Justice to [1] 113/14 justification [1] 70/2 **KC [4]** 113/19 208/6 211/11 211/17 keen [1] 172/8 keep [7] 1/11 64/22 110/11 117/5 150/23 153/19 169/11 keeping [2] 28/24 210/2 Keith [1] 1/3 Kevin [2] 85/15 95/17 key [13] 13/22 47/9 56/16 74/25 96/14 96/22 110/1 124/9 124/24 132/9 135/2 183/22 192/12

58/21 71/11 141/20

knit [1] 205/13

Korean [1] 80/10 lab [2] 172/2 172/6 laboratories [17] 148/4 148/16 148/23 148/24 148/25 149/6 149/9 149/10 149/12 150/10 151/12 153/11 173/21 173/22 188/7 189/18 206/9 laboratory [10] 148/14 148/21 150/1 150/1 150/4 150/13 150/21 173/14 187/14 188/7 labs [1] 150/18 lack [13] 75/17 84/18 86/17 87/3 95/23 99/10 104/23 107/4 109/5 109/6 109/7 145/23 146/13 lacking [3] 47/10 137/8 183/23 Lady [28] 1/4 1/24

46/19 49/12 54/9

66/12 92/11 98/20

63/11 64/3 64/12 65/3

113/12 113/20 115/23

knock [1] 102/4

know [56] 16/16

22/14 36/16 49/24

88/12 91/14 91/21

91/23 93/21 95/8

71/3 79/6 82/1 82/6

98/23 107/16 110/7

125/21 133/23 135/9

137/21 142/3 144/16

150/6 151/21 154/8

163/21 163/22 167/9

167/16 168/2 175/12

178/20 182/9 182/10

186/24 188/9 190/17

193/4 195/12 197/19

199/1 200/23 201/3

knowing [2] 74/6

knowledge [6] 78/7

82/5 87/23 115/14

known [3] 11/24

**knows [1]** 195/23

Korea [13] 71/17

122/21 158/1 161/22

165/12 174/17 175/9

156/5 205/19

62/23 193/21

187/16

knock-on [1] 102/4

116/25 141/4 143/6 143/13 146/22 166/24 192/3 207/23 210/8 Lady's [1] 8/5 land [1] 142/25 landed [1] 141/21 landscape [4] 30/23 53/6 54/2 94/9 language [4] 61/17 61/23 111/12 112/17 languages [2] 61/15 154/16 163/11 163/18 62/5 **Lansley [1]** 95/8 large [13] 8/24 22/6 35/23 52/23 106/22 108/5 127/10 171/1 171/18 172/5 181/3 198/11 198/22 198/24 181/4 184/22 large-scale [3] 35/23 204/18 204/19 205/12 181/3 181/4 205/24 206/25 207/23 largely [2] 96/18 204/10 larger [3] 110/25 153/25 162/6 largest [1] 74/24 **Lassa [2]** 184/16 195/25 last [13] 1/21 30/15 110/5 127/12 129/12 130/16 141/20 154/24 120/21 157/3 157/6 162/17 162/21 163/13 163/18 190/13 190/16 late [1] 120/4 175/11 175/19 178/13 later [8] 39/18 52/8 83/7 84/15 117/2 125/19 160/7 182/11 latter [1] 181/9 latterly [2] 6/12 35/19 launch [1] 185/24 law [1] 178/2 layer [1] 142/18 lead [18] 1/7 3/25 14/4 24/5 25/13 25/19 31/2 36/20 39/3 65/8 65/9 73/2 143/23 158/7 159/7 159/22 178/9 211/5 lead-up [1] 25/19 leader [1] 189/20 leaders [2] 128/24 129/15 leadership [2] 66/21 115/20 leading [8] 2/12 31/22 76/7 89/25 151/17 158/3 188/7 202/8 leads [1] 67/2 learn [5] 71/13 71/17 25/6 42/2 42/11 42/23 109/15 147/22 175/12 learned [17] 32/17 32/20 32/21 32/25 33/2 33/3 33/8 33/9 33/10 33/11 76/18

80/25 90/22 111/19 175/17 182/14 205/23 learning [11] 32/15 33/7 40/21 45/3 69/13 77/19 130/4 172/14 175/19 175/23 187/21 learnings [1] 11/4 learnt [4] 78/5 79/16 87/24 112/11 least [7] 13/11 35/14 36/11 59/1 59/24 134/16 167/19 leave [4] 85/13 121/3 187/20 199/3 led [15] 4/15 24/6 24/8 28/23 37/17 37/20 59/3 59/17 65/22 70/7 73/1 76/3 94/15 118/25 141/11 left [6] 152/22 169/24 174/14 191/17 200/8 202/25 left-hand [3] 152/22 174/14 202/25 legal [5] 28/21 62/21 123/21 167/1 178/16 legally [2] 123/4 123/8 legislation [2] 58/9 length [6] 51/20 51/22 114/11 120/9 120/24 127/17 lens [1] 139/2 less [17] 16/3 16/3 26/11 26/22 27/3 27/5 82/19 84/21 124/4 146/5 147/17 147/20 148/1 157/2 158/24 179/6 194/17 lesser [1] 138/10 lesson [8] 33/14 33/17 33/17 35/4 35/13 43/12 74/17 112/14 lesson 16 [2] 33/17 35/4 lesson 8 [2] 33/14 43/12 lessons [32] 32/20 32/21 32/25 33/2 33/3 33/7 33/8 33/9 33/10 33/11 33/14 36/17 38/19 76/17 76/21 77/1 77/4 77/9 77/11 77/15 77/21 78/4 79/16 80/24 87/24 111/19 172/20 175/17 182/13 183/18 184/4 205/23 let [2] 23/2 134/2 let's [8] 85/18 119/23 144/25 163/7 165/6 182/4 191/22 193/11

183/3 184/20 186/5 194/14 46/12 125/3 159/12 limits [1] 38/22 200/1 made [12] 9/1 17/13 **Mandeville [3]** 65/15 **letters** [1] 39/4 line [2] 130/12 long term [1] 91/7 36/6 59/10 63/5 68/16 104/3 104/15 Letwin's [1] 206/14 141/19 longer [3] 191/16 69/4 76/1 127/1 manifest [1] 82/18 level [42] 8/5 10/20 151/10 151/19 170/19 manner [2] 175/10 lines [1] 151/19 194/24 197/14 20/11 28/10 29/15 link [10] 123/20 madness [1] 3/15 179/22 longstanding [3] 30/21 31/8 38/8 40/9 123/21 143/24 177/23 71/1 91/3 144/5 **Madrid [3]** 76/24 many [33] 40/20 49/1 49/11 54/2 61/20 look [51] 3/17 8/15 179/6 180/4 190/24 77/22 78/5 40/22 45/11 48/1 67/3 70/8 72/25 76/15 80/6 201/8 201/25 202/1 11/13 16/25 20/22 main [4] 9/5 24/12 77/1 77/1 77/15 88/24 81/24 90/15 93/15 23/24 38/3 40/14 51/4 121/5 164/7 88/24 94/17 95/10 linked [1] 111/16 94/1 106/17 111/23 links [3] 94/17 59/19 71/12 79/10 97/1 98/4 101/24 mainly [2] 122/8 127/22 136/3 144/12 85/17 85/18 92/15 108/21 128/6 129/13 141/13 200/3 129/18 150/9 150/10 150/10 list [3] 68/23 69/6 123/1 125/18 126/25 132/8 141/12 142/22 mainstream [1] 152/16 163/12 167/11 128/17 130/8 130/22 144/15 150/5 154/2 195/19 62/13 172/12 188/3 188/10 listed [1] 190/7 131/4 151/18 157/4 mainstreaming [1] 154/25 163/23 166/23 188/12 193/8 194/6 little [8] 31/20 47/13 157/6 158/16 158/17 86/5 177/15 178/22 188/6 195/17 197/18 202/22 107/24 126/25 128/18 163/7 163/14 163/16 196/20 198/23 200/22 maintain [3] 101/23 130/16 147/16 196/18 164/6 164/7 164/21 153/19 207/3 March [2] 55/13 level 4 [1] 150/10 live [3] 122/12 165/3 165/6 171/8 89/13 maintained [3] 7/22 Levelling [2] 4/9 172/20 172/21 173/6 179/13 180/6 33/16 43/15 marked [1] 205/10 48/18 174/22 177/1 178/25 lives [7] 17/8 107/12 maintaining [1] Marmot [4] 99/2 levels [18] 17/4 107/12 122/12 137/4 179/15 189/24 190/4 206/8 99/24 111/17 201/19 33/15 34/2 43/15 139/1 198/12 193/17 195/21 202/15 maintenance [2] Marmot's [1] 200/20 43/21 50/7 50/25 living [1] 99/7 203/14 206/5 206/6 151/12 153/11 mask [1] 108/23 52/15 53/10 53/25 looked [9] 99/11 load [3] 110/23 major [11] 3/18 4/16 masks [6] 81/5 107/6 85/22 89/12 110/7 146/9 154/14 160/20 8/8 9/8 30/15 145/24 147/22 168/15 108/6 108/21 108/21 150/7 152/23 172/17 164/15 168/23 181/16 150/25 186/3 192/18 lobbied [1] 88/24 109/2 187/24 188/9 mass [9] 17/9 17/18 local [90] 14/1 47/25 181/21 194/5 201/17 206/20 liaises [1] 4/7 48/10 48/23 48/25 looking [18] 29/18 majority [2] 50/11 17/20 27/13 47/15 **Liam [3]** 148/8 149/7 49/5 49/6 49/10 49/11 30/2 40/10 45/11 53/3 131/2 125/12 125/13 181/2 186/9 58/20 59/1 59/5 59/9 53/5 53/10 83/21 make [24] 1/12 7/23 181/4 Liam Donaldson [2] 59/14 61/20 62/11 123/11 131/3 151/15 11/10 23/9 23/16 Master's [1] 117/25 149/7 186/9 161/6 164/24 166/20 77/8 84/10 84/12 85/3 49/23 53/2 81/21 materialise [1] 16/15 life [4] 23/4 93/20 85/3 85/22 86/3 86/3 180/21 182/12 203/23 114/2 121/25 135/15 **materially [1]** 54/24 101/9 189/10 92/15 92/21 93/1 93/9 154/16 157/17 163/17 209/2 matter [7] 19/18 57/8 lifetime [1] 152/10 93/14 93/24 94/1 168/13 170/8 176/20 59/13 93/15 178/18 looks [2] 167/22 light [2] 101/13 183/9 95/11 95/14 96/11 203/21 178/2 192/12 194/12 183/21 183/25 **Lights [1]** 184/15 96/15 96/17 96/21 199/23 203/23 206/17 matters [6] 38/1 **Lord [1]** 116/5 like [52] 10/6 27/12 lose [3] 18/12 206/20 97/6 103/24 104/6 207/4 66/22 93/5 129/19 58/20 74/21 90/18 104/11 119/17 128/1 206/21 makes [2] 98/21 164/16 183/11 92/14 94/10 95/13 128/2 128/9 128/10 loss [4] 23/4 82/11 165/9 maximise [1] 128/13 98/23 103/6 112/22 128/13 128/20 128/23 138/10 139/16 making [9] 19/17 may [56] 1/4 1/13 119/13 134/17 138/11 128/25 129/1 129/3 32/17 40/1 40/3 62/4 1/18 1/24 5/6 15/8 losses [1] 129/10 139/20 142/19 144/7 156/8 179/3 193/6 129/8 129/9 131/12 lost [3] 95/10 139/15 17/4 21/4 23/24 31/20 144/15 145/19 147/12 132/4 136/1 136/3 139/21 201/25 41/18 47/19 61/10 148/24 149/8 149/12 61/21 61/25 64/13 136/6 136/8 136/11 lot [9] 12/23 25/1 manage [9] 7/13 151/1 152/19 154/16 136/21 136/23 137/15 47/17 123/16 135/10 66/3 72/1 76/13 83/18 28/14 35/3 106/9 155/15 157/6 160/15 137/18 137/23 138/5 177/8 178/7 182/5 112/19 134/11 186/20 91/23 95/16 98/9 160/25 161/16 167/19 138/18 138/25 139/8 188/8 189/16 199/22 108/22 108/23 110/22 169/18 171/24 181/11 139/13 139/19 139/24 lots [3] 139/14 112/2 113/17 113/18 managed [6] 83/4 183/15 184/14 185/13 167/14 169/23 140/17 143/16 143/17 138/17 150/17 175/7 115/10 115/15 116/5 186/22 188/20 189/24 143/25 144/11 145/9 low [6] 43/19 43/21 178/21 196/5 116/9 116/12 116/19 191/15 193/4 193/23 145/25 146/2 146/3 158/11 159/13 185/15 management [18] 116/21 117/14 130/9 193/25 194/3 195/4 6/5 6/6 6/13 33/21 148/16 149/5 154/20 138/20 141/3 141/8 204/1 201/3 202/5 202/15 154/23 180/21 203/7 lower [4] 138/10 34/22 93/25 96/24 145/3 154/23 166/24 206/19 208/13 203/7 204/6 163/3 165/11 165/16 118/5 125/17 145/2 169/8 169/13 175/20 likelihood [1] 158/10 145/14 173/11 176/1 190/22 194/21 194/21 locally [1] 95/15 LRFs [3] 47/17 48/6 likely [9] 9/21 16/9 locations [1] 178/15 48/10 176/7 176/14 182/11 202/11 205/22 207/8 17/2 96/8 157/2 **logic [1]** 167/6 189/19 199/25 207/8 208/3 209/9 lucky [1] 142/5 157/16 158/24 159/1 maybe [2] 65/4 65/5 London [2] 171/1 **luncheon [1]** 115/5 managing [8] 4/10 189/18 171/21 lungs [1] 161/17 26/3 27/2 44/11 **MBE [1]** 64/13 limit [2] 170/1 198/12 long [12] 6/17 33/6 102/18 125/8 125/16 me [33] 3/8 10/16 limited [8] 25/25 26/1 59/23 91/7 112/8 10/18 16/9 19/20 23/2 176/5 26/8 26/18 36/16 74/3 machinery [2] 135/17 mandatory [2] 17/21 144/16 151/9 177/22 25/16 41/10 41/17

44/8 159/17 192/19 148/5 149/25 156/21 157/11 208/25 Μ 17/25 18/4 18/6 18/7 18/8 28/12 29/23 41/4 microphone [1] 1/13 mitigations [6] 16/7 mostly [2] 142/5 me... [24] 43/4 43/8 77/22 105/15 106/2 microphones [1] 26/2 34/3 34/7 34/21 161/13 63/8 63/8 64/25 86/20 113/15 114/2 114/4 64/23 44/2 mothers [1] 93/22 91/1 98/2 98/12 98/13 114/8 114/15 114/16 middle [10] 8/16 17/5 mixed [1] 129/20 move [12] 85/8 99/21 104/18 117/7 115/1 169/14 190/2 68/25 75/12 78/11 101/17 139/13 139/15 mobilisation [1] 8/24 119/20 135/22 141/4 meetings [8] 39/23 154/3 159/19 171/22 139/18 153/2 164/10 mode [1] 80/2 142/4 144/23 164/23 203/14 205/14 181/3 183/15 190/3 40/16 68/1 68/13 model [3] 25/9 25/12 166/16 195/23 197/17 Middle East [3] 105/12 105/14 208/18 128/15 190/4 195/8 207/12 208/22 68/25 78/11 171/22 208/23 modelled [1] 165/23 moved [11] 32/22 MEAG [4] 28/23 member [4] 65/16 33/5 95/11 95/20 might [33] 15/25 models [2] 9/11 46/18 56/19 58/12 15/25 16/1 22/18 35/5 120/17 68/4 118/10 170/20 126/6 135/15 142/20 mean [42] 7/7 7/8 members [7] 21/16 46/21 51/16 59/12 moderate [2] 9/21 146/10 167/21 175/19 7/12 11/2 41/11 42/3 60/10 79/5 79/12 10/15 62/2 66/25 72/19 187/15 42/4 56/8 82/21 89/13 87/21 90/4 110/15 102/15 107/21 107/24 module [4] 73/25 movement [6] 35/6 90/13 102/15 106/16 119/15 129/11 130/10 75/12 131/2 145/15 102/7 102/11 132/2 membership [2] 108/19 127/8 127/9 138/9 142/9 144/13 73/17 119/7 **Module 1 [3]** 73/25 177/9 178/16 128/15 133/2 137/12 memory [5] 38/10 146/24 148/1 150/16 75/12 131/2 moves [1] 127/10 137/12 139/10 154/16 moving [11] 67/16 82/10 82/12 102/14 177/17 180/20 182/3 moment [13] 11/13 156/25 160/3 161/10 188/13 189/14 198/13 18/7 18/10 49/12 73/9 75/8 78/10 83/10 115/2 164/21 166/24 168/13 199/3 204/4 206/10 mention [2] 91/9 80/23 83/11 93/22 100/19 135/20 140/25 173/24 173/25 187/9 164/23 209/8 98/22 115/1 121/3 158/9 186/18 209/1 188/13 193/14 194/8 mentioned [7] 44/19 million [8] 91/4 136/9 130/24 135/24 163/7 **MP [1]** 27/21 195/19 196/2 198/8 61/1 62/10 111/20 136/18 137/15 137/17 Monday [1] 1/1 Mr [5] 1/3 27/21 29/5 200/25 203/23 204/3 130/13 147/5 207/15 137/17 153/1 153/3 63/10 180/15 money [2] 124/1 205/6 209/1 millions [1] 152/23 mentioning [1] 142/2 167/6 Mr Bell [1] 63/10 meaning [2] 127/22 merged [2] 6/25 mind [2] 169/19 monkeypox [2] 4/17 Mr Hancock [2] 147/8 148/15 199/3 118/22 27/21 29/5 meaningful [1] 112/6 Mr Humphrey [1] merging [1] 127/7 mini [1] 181/1 month [1] 187/16 means [9] 41/13 MERS [44] 35/23 180/15 minimal [1] 175/2 months [4] 18/14 41/14 44/14 84/14 65/21 68/20 68/25 minister [6] 29/22 39/18 52/18 200/18 Mr Keith [1] 1/3 98/23 112/2 178/19 78/10 78/16 78/18 55/16 57/7 120/12 moral [7] 28/21 46/19 MRS [22] 64/14 180/14 195/20 78/18 79/11 79/17 206/2 207/1 46/21 58/14 58/16 64/16 64/17 64/19 meant [9] 55/9 93/1 79/19 80/9 80/25 ministerial [2] 29/12 61/1 134/21 65/7 66/5 68/21 73/13 103/21 132/17 136/4 82/24 120/6 122/20 193/1 morale [1] 142/9 74/16 83/24 84/20 146/5 149/5 154/20 more [62] 9/21 12/22 158/1 158/3 159/1 86/11 88/6 91/12 92/3 ministers [7] 21/1 155/12 159/10 161/2 161/7 29/9 120/24 132/17 16/2 28/6 30/9 31/13 92/12 98/11 111/19 **Meanwhile [1]** 151/9 161/8 162/20 162/25 136/7 192/17 206/21 31/20 33/10 33/11 113/21 115/25 116/2 measure [1] 201/23 36/15 42/13 42/22 164/24 165/11 166/2 211/7 Ministry [1] 48/22 measured [1] 50/21 171/2 171/16 171/18 minority [5] 62/2 47/21 48/13 59/21 Mrs Gallagher [16] measures [10] 35/20 171/25 172/22 173/12 100/10 110/14 111/2 61/25 69/12 69/24 65/7 66/5 68/21 73/13 36/17 92/22 159/8 175/11 179/11 179/14 111/4 69/25 78/4 79/11 74/16 83/24 84/20 159/23 160/9 161/24 181/19 187/23 195/10 minute [1] 133/25 81/17 84/11 84/13 86/11 88/6 91/12 92/3 163/6 165/20 201/4 195/18 195/19 195/22 minutes [6] 9/15 92/12 98/11 111/19 91/2 92/24 96/10 mechanisms [1] 196/1 190/1 191/15 191/17 96/15 101/24 119/3 113/21 116/2 184/13 119/17 126/25 128/8 MERS CoV [13] 191/20 191/24 Mrs Gallagher's [1] media [1] 172/8 136/17 137/24 138/15 115/25 68/20 78/10 78/16 misleading [2] medical [21] 68/17 139/20 141/1 144/14 79/11 79/17 79/19 193/10 194/7 **MS [44]** 1/6 1/10 2/1 69/3 70/21 72/20 84/4 80/9 80/25 171/2 missed [1] 86/2 144/20 146/2 147/16 3/13 8/12 9/3 10/21 94/12 111/16 117/17 151/7 152/4 154/2 171/16 171/18 171/25 missing [4] 111/22 14/23 17/14 18/1 117/21 117/23 128/16 181/19 197/18 207/7 207/19 157/10 157/16 157/18 19/16 24/19 24/22 134/19 185/24 188/2 165/4 179/6 185/13 33/13 37/6 41/8 43/11 MERS-CoV [1] mistake [2] 83/12 189/22 204/21 208/9 186/13 186/21 187/3 45/10 46/17 49/17 83/14 179/14 208/16 208/17 208/19 189/18 190/10 197/20 52/1 53/13 54/16 messages [1] 16/14 misunderstanding 209/11 messaging [1] **[1]** 192/16 201/13 201/14 207/10 56/22 58/24 60/15 medicine [3] 117/24 171/18 Mitchell [6] 207/25 207/13 209/18 61/24 64/3 64/9 64/16 117/25 118/8 met [3] 72/23 152/14 208/5 208/6 209/4 morning [6] 1/4 91/20 113/18 113/19 medicines [2] 21/18 64/12 87/12 111/21 154/22 209/24 211/17 115/24 143/12 155/7 123/5 114/11 210/6 207/25 208/5 208/6 method [1] 3/15 mitigate [2] 26/11 meet [9] 13/7 43/22 methods [1] 9/11 most [17] 2/15 16/9 209/4 209/24 211/3 61/13 56/9 56/11 59/23 MHRA [2] 123/6 mitigated [1] 111/4 43/14 76/21 99/17 211/11 211/17 59/25 60/1 88/11 123/15 109/11 111/12 115/16 mitigating [4] 26/17 Ms Blackwell [3] 106/20 Michael [1] 200/20 119/9 119/11 128/19 27/4 27/6 44/7 91/20 143/12 155/7 meeting [22] 8/7 9/16 microbiological [2] mitigation [4] 17/23 133/10 135/13 142/5 Ms Claire Mitchell [1]

(73) me... - Ms Claire Mitchell

207/25

M Ms Hammond [4] 24/19 24/22 56/22 58/24 Ms Mitchell [3] 208/5 209/4 209/24 Ms Munroe [2] 113/18 115/24 Ms or [1] 64/16 Ms Reed [23] 1/10 2/1 3/13 8/12 9/3 10/21 14/23 17/14 18/1 19/16 33/13 37/6 41/8 43/11 45/10 46/17 49/17 53/13 54/16 60/15 61/24 64/3 64/9 much [31] 26/11 26/16 31/24 34/14 34/16 34/18 43/22 64/8 66/11 69/12 77/8 79/24 86/10 106/8 108/15 115/22 116/1 135/11 135/22 137/23 143/7 153/25 165/13 181/6 186/13 186/14 191/16 202/14 209/24 209/25 210/1 multi [2] 73/6 140/11 multi-agency [1] 140/11 multi-professional **[1]** 73/6 multidisciplinary [1] 142/16 multidrug [1] 187/17 multiple [6] 108/24 108/24 108/25 108/25 130/11 183/14 Munroe [5] 113/18 113/19 113/24 115/24 211/11 must [10] 20/7 20/8 38/19 42/13 42/14 45/1 45/13 89/14 193/14 197/8 mutual [1] 185/7 my [106] 1/4 1/9 1/24 3/8 3/15 4/25 6/20 8/2 8/5 13/3 18/12 19/25 21/4 24/4 24/6 24/8 24/15 24/17 25/6 26/5 30/9 30/10 30/15 32/12 32/24 38/11 40/12 40/24 42/2 42/11 42/16 42/19 42/23 43/5 46/19 49/12 53/1 53/7 53/23 54/9 55/4 55/5 58/8 59/17 63/11 64/1 64/3 64/12 65/3 66/12 67/1 67/8 67/23 73/1 73/25 74/1 81/2 81/10 82/5

85/5 87/23 90/22 92/11 97/8 98/20 102/14 108/4 111/7 112/25 113/12 113/20 113/24 115/2 115/14 115/16 115/19 115/23 116/5 116/25 119/17 122/2 122/5 129/7 134/21 139/6 141/4 142/4 143/6 143/13 144/18 146/22 152/3 153/16 155/6 166/24 180/24 183/6 192/3 196/17 197/4 206/5 207/23 207/23 209/9 209/10 210/8 my Lady [28] 1/4 1/24 25/6 42/2 42/11 42/23 46/19 49/12 54/9 63/11 64/3 64/12 65/3 66/12 92/11 98/20 113/12 113/20 115/23 116/25 141/4 143/6 143/13 146/22 166/24 192/3 207/23 210/8 My Lady's [1] 8/5 My Lord [1] 116/5 myself [5] 79/8 122/3 133/2 146/23 175/16 name [3] 1/8 1/9 113/24 namely [2] 13/7 43/18 names [1] 160/18 **narrow [2]** 43/19 69/18 narrower [3] 34/14 34/16 34/18 **narrowly [1]** 70/3 **nation [1]** 209/10 **nation' [1]** 84/15 nation's [2] 200/14 200/18 national [49] 14/2 18/19 24/4 26/19 27/7 28/10 28/11 29/15 31/8 50/12 50/13 53/7 53/11 55/14 58/19 58/25 59/8 61/20 85/23 101/20 103/25 104/12 105/5 113/7 117/21 118/11 118/14 **NERVTAG [1]** 200/6 120/17 123/3 126/2 127/22 136/1 144/12 151/1 151/2 155/16

156/13 164/2 171/13

175/21 184/22 185/19

186/15 188/15 188/16

188/24 190/25 196/23

**nationally [4]** 48/14

197/18

119/19 145/2 171/2 68/22 69/2 121/13 Nationals [1] 165/22 126/6 126/14 127/17 nations [6] 67/6 87/15 89/10 90/12 208/14 208/20 **naturally [1]** 157/12 nature [4] 2/23 107/8 158/5 189/15 neatly [1] 167/3 necessarily [7] 27/15 80/3 85/8 132/25 133/9 193/16 201/7 **necessary [5]** 6/11 10/1 43/23 56/4 107/15 necessitated [1] 108/24 necessity [1] 17/19 need [53] 9/4 15/11 27/12 36/11 43/24 49/21 59/11 65/1 67/14 68/15 71/12 76/15 77/10 80/1 81/3 81/4 81/6 81/13 90/14 91/23 97/21 101/17 101/18 102/11 107/21 108/8 108/16 109/15 110/11 112/1 113/5 114/1 114/20 133/11 135/2 135/3 135/14 137/14 137/16 142/7 149/10 160/3 163/14 164/21 169/5 172/10 174/3 177/24 180/18 181/10 184/1 187/1 199/3 needed [32] 9/12 12/4 12/4 12/11 12/24 13/10 21/7 33/18 44/6 44/20 47/22 48/14 57/14 57/17 61/13 69/23 74/12 76/11 76/16 77/13 77/16 80/5 88/15 98/6 101/3 101/7 107/5 142/25 144/4 178/8 184/7 206/17 needing [1] 151/7 needn't [1] 55/22 needs [14] 18/23 19/1 50/17 67/5 71/25 73/16 88/11 98/3 111/22 167/5 178/2 183/5 206/12 207/1 net [1] 136/18 network [2] 78/14 195/24 never [6] 9/13 45/2 45/3 46/3 57/6 196/3 nevertheless [3] 135/14 137/21 138/1 new [29] 25/24 26/17 29/9 29/12 34/14

128/22 129/2 131/25 136/1 151/6 157/5 157/10 160/14 160/24 173/18 186/17 186/20 197/17 198/9 198/25 207/18 207/18 207/21 Newcastle [1] 160/23 newly [1] 157/10 next [11] 15/25 18/14 18/20 64/12 108/7 133/6 136/10 167/6 186/25 207/21 209/1 **NHS [54]** 4/8 13/25 27/1 36/21 37/2 37/7 37/17 38/2 38/17 38/20 43/13 47/11 49/4 65/25 81/17 81/17 81/18 81/21 94/18 95/9 96/5 103/21 104/7 115/19 117/14 118/12 118/12 nods [2] 22/21 121/1 121/8 126/11 126/12 126/12 126/13 noise [1] 91/21 127/18 129/1 141/14 141/18 142/6 143/16 148/17 148/23 149/5 149/9 154/21 171/4 172/7 173/21 176/5 185/2 203/6 NHS Digital [1] 126/12 NHS England [15] 4/8 13/25 27/1 36/21 37/17 38/2 38/17 38/20 43/13 49/4 65/25 118/12 126/11 127/18 171/4 NHS England's [1] 37/2 **NHS Improvement [1]** 126/12 NHS trusts [4] 89/14 94/5 104/7 149/5 **NHSE [2]** 160/24 185/12 NIERP [7] 175/22 188/22 188/24 189/8 191/3 194/20 195/4 nine [1] 38/11 NIS [1] 190/15 **no [102]** 6/12 6/22 7/7 7/7 7/7 7/18 7/21 7/22 7/25 10/16 10/18 176/17 10/25 14/16 15/3 15/4 normally [1] 120/20 17/23 19/20 19/25 20/1 20/11 20/23 21/5 90/4 183/9 184/15 21/8 21/11 21/18 21/25 22/2 22/6 22/9 22/11 23/4 23/5 23/6 23/23 30/2 30/8 31/6 32/14 33/13 42/4 42/5 note [4] 30/9 30/10

42/5 43/24 44/17 47/5 47/16 47/23 48/8 54/6 54/7 54/19 56/5 57/13 57/16 59/10 60/5 60/7 60/7 60/12 61/7 63/7 63/14 63/16 63/20 63/21 64/4 71/12 75/6 78/6 81/17 82/9 86/20 86/25 87/4 87/6 87/9 87/17 91/10 97/23 98/14 109/23 111/7 115/14 130/12 130/22 150/5 157/1 161/4 161/10 166/19 167/19 190/8 191/11 193/7 193/14 194/24 199/10 202/2 202/2 203/22 203/25 209/4 no one [2] 15/4 23/6 no-deal [6] 6/12 7/18 81/21 89/14 91/3 94/5 7/21 21/18 22/6 23/4 nobody [2] 25/16 147/23 121/19 non [18] 15/23 17/14 26/7 43/19 43/25 47/1 105/7 105/22 106/11 106/14 106/18 110/7 120/9 120/19 123/13 129/23 132/12 165/24 non-British [1] 165/24 non-communicable **[1]** 110/7 non-compliance [3] 106/11 106/14 106/18 non-departmental [4] 120/9 120/19 123/13 132/12 non-hospital [1] 105/7 non-hospital-based **[1]** 105/22 non-influenza [2] 17/14 43/19 non-pharmaceutical **[1]** 47/1 none [4] 26/12 27/14 102/24 150/14 **nor [3]** 48/19 103/2 164/14 Norfolk [1] 129/8 normal [3] 17/3 17/8 Northern [4] 58/5 Northern Ireland [2] 58/5 90/4 nose [1] 161/19 not [229]

Ν **note... [2]** 49/3 206/18 noted [4] 84/10 158/4 172/14 190/12 nothing [1] 62/20 **notice [2]** 115/2 194/9 notwithstanding [1] 204/7 novel [3] 71/4 158/19 158/23 **November [10]** 2/10 18/1 55/25 56/1 56/9 56/10 59/7 65/23 67/18 166/5 November 2002-July **2003 [1]** 166/5 November 2014 [1] 2/10 November 2018 [3] 55/25 56/9 65/23 November 2019 [3] 18/1 56/1 56/10 Novichok [2] 4/17 118/21 now [61] 6/12 11/15 25/24 40/2 46/17 48/17 57/21 61/10 66/7 71/3 76/24 77/6 77/7 81/24 85/11 88/25 91/8 92/15 94/6 94/7 103/6 103/10 108/16 112/11 113/17 115/9 117/12 119/12 125/21 126/24 128/18 129/11 130/9 130/14 139/17 143/22 146/22 149/9 149/20 150/8 151/15 154/12 155/15 162/13 164/6 164/12 166/16 168/23 172/25 **O** 174/16 174/21 175/22 176/22 181/14 182/6 187/13 188/20 191/22 194/10 195/8 208/3 NRSA [1] 155/24 NSC [4] 29/23 192/11 192/17 194/21 NSRA [4] 23/24 24/2 156/7 198/21 number [53] 2/2 8/24 16/21 16/22 22/17 22/19 24/9 24/13 26/9 26/10 27/19 31/16 32/21 33/3 36/6 38/14 40/6 44/22 45/19 45/23 55/18 57/21 79/4 88/19 98/1 102/1 111/1 122/5 127/15 131/25 145/13 151/22 162/14 162/20 162/23 **obviously [15]** 32/15 162/23 163/9 163/13

164/9 164/10 165/10 165/24 167/5 168/3 169/1 169/10 171/18 173/9 175/2 178/12 180/5 181/23 187/24 number 4 [1] 173/9 number one [1] 79/4 numbers [10] 22/7 28/25 89/3 89/15 127/11 139/6 145/24 154/21 163/17 184/20 numerous [2] 109/1 109/1 nurse [6] 65/14 66/19 occurring [3] 25/19 67/21 89/12 99/12 115/17 nurses [18] 76/1 76/7 76/12 77/4 78/20 79/1 79/4 81/19 81/20 81/20 88/13 89/3 89/15 91/15 91/17 98/4 114/9 142/4 nursing [53] 65/9 65/10 65/16 66/18 66/22 67/3 67/11 68/3 offering [2] 168/20 68/5 68/5 72/7 72/9 72/25 74/2 74/24 75/18 76/3 77/2 83/1 83/12 84/25 86/8 87/4 87/11 88/20 89/7 91/9 91/13 91/18 93/12 98/5 99/16 100/2 100/12 100/13 101/5 101/6 102/2 102/16 105/13 107/11 107/11 110/19 111/5 112/5 113/15 114/3 114/4 114/13 114/21 115/9 115/19 142/3 Nursing's [2] 73/17 93/7 o'clock [3] 49/13

65/2 210/6 oath [1] 116/6 obesity [2] 110/8 209/16 object [1] 201/22 objectively [1] 139/5 **objectives** [1] 171/9 obligation [1] 62/21 obliged [3] 50/1 208/7 209/23 observations [1] 13/9 observe [1] 71/18 observer [2] 36/2 60/6 observers [1] 171/6 **obvious [2]** 61/24 138/24

60/16 85/5 100/7

150/23 160/19 174/9 177/24 184/21 185/6 193/1 208/25 occasion [2] 40/19 78/4 occasions [2] 40/6 109/1 Occupational [2] 112/16 118/8 occur [1] 106/10 occurred [4] 31/17 71/3 76/22 161/22 114/25 159/2 occurs [1] 166/1 **October [4]** 65/19 114/3 125/24 183/16 October 2021 [1] 125/24 off [9] 18/23 38/10 40/24 51/2 77/14 145/20 157/3 181/14 182/10 169/25 offhand [1] 174/8 Office [13] 2/4 2/5 24/20 24/20 24/23 48/19 48/25 55/24 59/4 59/13 126/7 171/6 194/3 officer [14] 68/17 69/3 70/21 114/3 114/13 115/9 116/19 117/17 128/16 129/9 185/24 208/9 208/16 208/17 officers [5] 113/15 115/19 180/22 208/19 209/11 Official [1] 132/18 often [13] 39/16 39/20 39/22 40/3 67/8 open [2] 157/2 199/3 137/8 137/23 138/19 153/20 167/3 168/4 178/4 182/23 **Oh [3]** 91/22 98/14 98/16 **OHID [2]** 126/8 126/11 okay [6] 41/17 119/20 129/22 189/6 197/17 201/12 **Oliver [5]** 116/18 117/1 140/3 196/8 206/14 Oliver's [1] 116/23 omnibus [1] 63/1 on [308] once [6] 32/10 39/17 83/7 173/24 174/1 176/24

one [88] 2/10 3/18

137/13 145/8 145/25

11/4 13/16 15/4 22/5 23/6 23/10 24/6 24/11 24/12 29/13 31/21 33/14 36/21 42/24 46/4 49/7 52/3 54/7 67/1 76/21 79/4 80/16 operationally [1] 90/21 105/3 105/19 108/22 118/1 119/11 122/17 123/18 124/7 124/23 127/12 130/2 130/3 130/3 133/5 133/19 133/20 134/13 opportunities [5] 139/25 140/1 140/1 140/25 142/16 144/2 150/12 154/24 158/7 158/20 163/18 167/21 177/17 177/19 178/7 180/20 180/24 181/4 183/10 183/18 184/15 184/22 185/5 185/8 185/12 185/13 186/13 186/15 189/18 191/9 194/6 194/9 195/10 195/22 197/5 200/8 204/4 204/8 204/21 206/6 207/9 207/19 208/1 209/11 209/13 209/20 ones [5] 7/14 139/21 149/1 168/16 194/13 ongoing [6] 33/16 43/16 44/18 45/7 83/25 186/23 only [24] 13/14 13/16 14/14 24/9 33/1 46/17 58/3 62/1 68/11 69/7 69/19 75/12 87/17 101/13 107/10 132/15 148/18 150/11 153/9 181/18 194/1 195/2 198/2 198/10 onset [4] 99/12 100/4 100/16 107/20 operate [1] 152/15 operated [2] 38/14 120/13 operating [2] 48/11 189/12 operation [9] 23/14 56/5 56/10 60/3 79/18 102/10 120/3 122/19 183/19 **Operation Cygnus [1]** 183/19 Operation Pica [1] 102/10 Operation Yellowhammer [4] 23/14 56/5 56/10 60/3 operational [22] 5/22 6/9 6/23 7/2 7/9 7/15 33/9 37/16 37/16 38/1 65/17 72/10 93/25

131/14 181/11 191/6 operationalise [1] 112/18 123/8 **Operations** [3] 18/24 46/6 48/15 opinion [3] 83/23 84/24 111/7 69/21 74/1 75/3 186/20 207/7 opportunity [13] 69/24 85/24 86/2 96/23 105/14 122/16 138/3 145/6 145/8 181/17 182/23 205/17 207/18 opposed [2] 56/12 108/6 option [2] 87/4 178/14 options [2] 177/4 178/13 or [191] 3/4 7/10 7/21 9/1 10/1 10/13 10/14 11/24 12/4 15/5 15/22 15/25 16/2 16/3 16/3 17/10 17/18 17/21 18/6 20/4 20/9 20/9 25/18 26/19 26/20 27/13 27/13 27/13 32/12 33/20 33/21 33/24 34/11 34/18 35/6 35/7 35/12 36/2 36/11 37/23 37/23 37/23 38/11 38/17 38/17 38/18 38/19 38/20 39/21 41/5 41/22 42/10 43/6 49/24 51/10 51/11 51/12 51/14 51/15 52/6 53/25 56/8 59/16 59/23 60/6 60/7 62/3 63/2 63/6 63/16 64/16 65/4 65/5 65/9 70/2 71/22 72/11 72/22 73/8 74/17 74/23 75/3 80/22 82/4 82/6 82/7 84/9 86/21 87/5 87/21 88/16 93/15 94/1 99/10 99/10 99/17 99/22 99/24 99/25 100/1 101/12 104/11 106/3 107/4 107/19 108/11 108/24 109/9 109/13 111/9 111/15 112/13 112/16 112/17 112/18 112/19 113/3 114/8 120/22 121/15 122/7 126/7 131/19 134/15 135/1 137/3 (75) note... - or

102/17 103/7 103/19

104/2 104/20 125/23

208/11 21/1 23/6 30/12 40/20 21/8 26/4 28/17 30/15 211/2 Ο organiser [1] 36/2 48/20 62/19 72/3 76/2 32/11 85/17 88/18 page 1 [2] 120/18 or... [65] 139/13 organism [4] 70/17 78/25 82/4 82/7 89/19 90/5 94/10 95/2 95/23 123/2 144/6 145/23 147/7 180/11 180/14 180/19 104/3 112/4 119/10 102/1 104/25 105/11 page 10 [2] 162/17 150/2 150/15 150/18 organisms [1] 69/22 120/21 121/5 124/9 115/15 127/7 129/8 172/21 157/4 157/5 157/8 origin [1] 160/5 126/1 131/18 131/23 131/5 131/14 131/17 page 11 [2] 47/24 157/10 157/13 158/10 133/4 138/7 138/18 132/16 136/10 136/13 202/14 original [1] 95/12 158/13 158/14 158/19 141/20 142/24 144/4 136/14 137/11 140/5 originating [2] 159/2 Page 12 [1] 48/9 158/20 160/1 160/2 141/23 145/15 146/13 Page 13 [1] 177/2 149/13 161/19 162/9 165/15 160/7 160/16 161/19 other [69] 5/15 14/9 148/15 152/10 152/11 page 14 [1] 14/19 169/24 173/8 173/20 162/10 163/3 163/19 14/16 20/9 24/18 173/21 174/10 176/4 157/10 158/16 166/22 page 15 [1] 90/20 165/16 165/23 166/10 178/25 179/15 199/24 page 2 [4] 8/15 50/18 56/3 60/20 176/22 186/11 193/7 166/10 166/22 167/1 204/16 205/15 61/24 68/15 69/22 202/8 158/16 173/8 190/4 167/19 169/7 169/8 71/13 72/3 72/19 outbreak [64] 2/13 overall [10] 38/24 page 3 [2] 50/5 51/25 170/3 174/23 175/8 72/20 72/21 75/10 15/10 16/18 26/1 26/7 39/13 128/9 136/5 page 5 [4] 18/9 85/14 175/13 177/11 179/6 77/24 83/15 93/4 31/23 32/3 32/10 136/22 138/6 152/3 85/18 171/8 179/13 180/6 180/11 93/16 95/5 108/23 32/11 32/14 32/16 154/8 155/1 158/10 page 57 [1] 16/25 181/3 182/13 184/6 overarching [5] 111/15 112/13 112/13 32/22 35/23 36/16 page 6 [3] 9/16 9/18 185/9 190/18 193/24 121/8 122/5 124/13 37/9 37/11 39/6 51/14 13/20 55/5 63/21 46/2 194/21 196/7 196/13 126/17 129/19 130/6 60/7 65/21 65/23 69/10 205/14 page 67 [1] 192/9 197/14 197/17 197/21 133/6 133/11 136/16 68/20 74/10 74/18 overlap [1] 131/11 page 8 [2] 46/9 164/4 198/21 199/1 202/23 137/1 139/14 140/1 75/22 76/19 78/11 overlapping [1] page 9 [3] 47/6 203/25 204/12 206/9 140/7 142/24 143/16 79/15 96/24 114/19 131/19 162/12 165/6 207/8 208/2 208/18 144/3 148/6 154/12 120/3 120/4 120/5 overload [1] 11/6 page at [1] 158/16 208/24 157/18 157/20 159/5 120/6 122/20 122/20 overrepresentation pages [1] 95/17 order [19] 20/10 159/8 159/23 163/24 157/15 157/24 157/25 **[1]** 110/20 pages 3 [1] 95/17 20/23 48/4 49/25 166/20 168/21 178/25 158/8 159/1 161/8 overrun [1] 9/22 painful [1] 129/6 55/14 55/16 71/13 179/24 180/24 181/19 162/21 162/25 165/11 overseas [2] 91/16 palpable [2] 82/15 88/10 97/19 98/6 185/8 187/21 190/24 165/13 165/15 165/22 165/22 82/22 104/19 111/22 153/13 pan [6] 19/21 48/13 194/14 195/11 196/11 166/1 166/6 171/2 overview [1] 67/19 167/5 173/8 176/20 overwhelmed [1] 9/8 | 115/12 184/21 185/5 196/12 197/6 197/8 174/18 175/9 175/11 184/10 190/8 190/19 205/4 206/24 208/18 179/11 180/10 180/17 overworked [1] 185/12 ordered [1] 57/7 181/19 182/6 182/10 pan flu [3] 184/21 209/13 147/16 ordinarily [1] 182/20 others [6] 21/23 183/25 184/3 184/11 own [18] 10/12 37/24 185/5 185/12 ordinate [2] 192/11 52/12 112/17 130/6 191/11 38/21 38/22 58/22 pandemic [244] 192/21 167/21 202/3 outbreaks [11] 26/3 63/9 107/11 129/7 pandemic-scale [1] ordinated [1] 49/7 otherwise [2] 11/9 158/4 159/1 166/21 133/18 135/6 137/6 13/14 **ordination** [8] 48/13 108/14 173/15 173/16 174/6 142/10 143/3 149/6 pandemics [6] 5/6 48/20 49/9 119/2 175/2 180/9 186/20 182/20 183/5 186/24 ought [2] 15/17 58/24 14/22 15/7 74/8 171/14 171/17 190/2 our [62] 9/12 9/14 187/22 194/13 111/20 209/18 192/20 11/3 23/14 27/8 30/11 outcome [5] 13/9 owned [1] 14/12 panels [1] 168/8 organisation [28] 30/19 40/8 40/8 40/16 22/15 35/16 37/11 ownership [1] 11/16 paper [14] 18/15 28/5 53/1 65/20 69/4 70/24 40/18 44/2 44/18 39/13 53/21 60/7 62/18 71/22 87/13 91/6 44/19 44/25 50/23 outcomes [11] 23/3 62/19 63/3 63/7 111/24 122/17 124/24 pace [1] 85/10 54/9 55/5 56/14 56/18 119/4 133/10 134/9 131/10 157/1 174/17 125/21 130/3 130/14 60/17 61/5 61/19 65/1 pack [2] 175/25 175/8 175/13 190/6 134/11 134/23 135/1 130/25 132/22 133/22 176/19 papers [4] 33/24 66/25 66/25 67/12 138/1 201/5 205/9 135/5 141/21 152/13 packs [2] 176/3 68/5 69/12 69/12 71/2 205/11 37/23 38/5 151/22 153/12 154/1 182/18 176/20 72/3 73/15 74/20 77/3 outdated [1] 195/3 par [1] 202/23 183/4 194/11 194/14 Paediatrics [1] 118/9 79/10 82/23 84/15 outline [3] 18/25 paragraph [52] 10/19 194/24 195/3 207/11 page [46] 1/21 8/15 87/21 89/2 95/3 99/16 78/10 190/6 11/5 14/21 17/2 28/9 Organisation's [1] 9/16 9/18 14/19 16/25 100/7 100/8 101/23 outlined [1] 18/16 29/2 29/14 30/3 50/5 68/23 106/6 110/4 110/7 17/6 18/9 28/17 46/2 50/10 50/24 51/2 68/9 outlines [1] 185/10 organisational [6] 46/9 47/6 47/24 48/9 110/10 110/12 113/7 73/13 74/10 79/13 outreach [1] 93/3 120/17 127/10 133/14 50/5 51/25 52/6 52/6 117/10 122/25 144/16 outset [1] 90/23 79/22 82/10 83/18 188/12 192/8 202/22 85/14 85/17 85/18 145/15 170/13 175/25 outside [8] 24/17 83/22 83/23 85/14 organisations [23] 90/20 120/18 123/2 181/6 186/24 191/14 96/4 131/23 146/21 85/18 90/20 92/17 11/2 13/22 38/7 39/3 157/7 158/16 158/16 198/12 210/1 159/2 159/10 163/15 95/17 97/14 100/20 39/5 41/23 49/19 162/12 162/16 162/17 103/8 110/15 113/23 ours [1] 112/18 179/4 51/19 66/25 67/12 163/8 164/4 164/10 ourselves [5] 50/22 114/1 115/4 120/18 outspoken [1] 82/19 83/15 94/4 165/6 171/8 172/21 83/16 157/7 171/20 169/17 123/1 124/10 135/21 105/7 112/13 112/15 173/8 174/14 177/2 199/10 140/4 143/14 152/8 outwith [2] 57/20 127/8 154/18 155/12 out [48] 3/8 3/15 5/25 177/2 190/3 190/4 158/9 159/19 162/12 182/20 183/13 199/8 203/18 192/9 202/14 202/16 10/25 14/3 17/5 18/9 over [41] 9/10 20/1 162/17 162/18 163/8

P	152/8	partner [2] 155/11	147/25 152/2 154/25	pf [1] 76/23
paragraph [6]	paragraph 93 [1]	183/13	157/1 161/13 162/25	PFRB [1] 18/6
165/8 167/12 171/9	140/4 paragraph to [1] 30/3	partners [5] 14/1 95/4 96/12 96/23	163/2 166/23 169/1   169/12 169/24 171/21	PHA [1] 154/1 pharmaceutical [2]
185/17 190/5 192/10	paragraphs [5] 9/19	171/3	176/4 178/17 188/10	47/1 83/6
paragraph 1 [3] 50/5	10/7 157/6 158/18	partnership [2] 59/4	189/14 193/20 193/20	1
50/24 51/2 paragraph 106 [1]	164/7	124/23	200/22 201/3 201/13	117/25
185/17	paragraphs 25 [1]	partnerships [2] 86/4		phase [2] 197/21
paragraph 108 [1]	9/19	146/4	people's [1] 122/12	209/1
135/21	paragraphs on [1]	parts [8] 12/8 20/19	perceive [1] 149/8	phases [1] 181/10
paragraph 11 [1]	parallel [1] 154/13	24/18 41/18 60/9 63/4 151/22 185/2	perceived [1] 134/2 percent [2] 136/20	<b>PHE [56]</b> 38/20 84/7 84/10 96/4 127/6
95/17	parameters [3]	party [1] 88/2	136/22	129/24 132/9 132/11
paragraph 112 [1] 83/22	163/25 199/21 200/4	passed [2] 89/12	perception [5] 53/8	132/16 142/11 142/12
paragraph 12 [2]	paranoid [1] 155/7	89/19	74/4 132/25 133/20	143/15 143/21 145/17
28/9 29/14	parks [1] 137/3	passing [1] 161/20	133/21	145/21 146/21 152/7
paragraph 13 [1]	Parliament [2]	past [1] 157/10	perceptions [1]	152/10 152/17 152/20
29/2	123/25 132/13 Parliamentary [1]	patches [1] 145/11 pathogen [6] 108/1	139/12 perfect [1] 131/21	152/25 155/16 155/17 155/23 156/20 166/8
paragraph 139 [1]	207/2	150/15 158/7 173/23	perfectly [1] 130/13	168/3 168/7 168/9
143/14	part [48] 3/4 4/3 4/25	196/11 196/14	performance [2]	169/3 169/7 173/2
paragraph 15 [3] 85/14 85/18 162/12	6/19 6/20 8/2 9/14	pathogen X [1]	136/5 203/3	176/8 177/20 178/6
paragraph 16 [1]	11/14 18/10 19/21	150/15	performing [3] 96/17	179/1 179/4 179/19
163/8	29/11 34/2 41/19	pathogens [1] 150/9	97/6 156/18	180/8 185/19 187/13
paragraph 17 [1]	41/24 44/18 55/21 59/1 62/8 62/9 72/9	pathway [10] 160/13 161/1 176/5 176/21	perhaps [18] 24/12 44/14 48/5 70/3 77/20	187/21 188/13 190/10   190/12 190/13 190/20
68/9	72/11 72/16 74/24	182/1 184/25 185/1	124/4 130/13 130/23	191/1 191/9 193/16
paragraph 2 [1]	77/22 81/21 83/3	195/15 195/23 197/1	131/17 139/21 139/25	1
120/18	87/13 99/18 102/10	pathways [1] 197/16	146/5 147/15 153/21	199/17 199/18 205/9
paragraph 2.2 [1] 171/9	105/16 108/9 109/4	patient [3] 76/24 89/4		PHE's [11] 37/3
paragraph 2.21 [1]	109/17 110/12 121/25		207/12	124/6 124/25 125/2
14/21	123/8 135/12 135/17 143/21 150/25 151/1	patients [9] 47/15 80/8 88/16 101/23	period [18] 19/24 20/3 27/19 32/24	144/11 152/16 154/15   173/18 189/6 199/4
paragraph 26 [1]	151/5 164/2 172/5	101/24 107/12 159/5	59/23 60/1 62/1 75/13	1
10/19	179/22 188/13 200/2	172/4 177/10	81/12 83/9 84/22	Philip [2] 94/12 141/9
paragraph 29 [1] 113/23	200/13	Patrick [3] 169/18	140/5 141/23 145/16	PHLS [2] 148/14
paragraph 34 [2]	partially [2] 40/25	196/21 207/5	153/16 174/7 197/14	148/16
82/10 115/4	44/14	Paul [1] 188/3	199/11	phone [1] 195/22
paragraph 36 [1]	participant [3] 36/1 36/23 60/6	pausate [1] 23/12 pause [7] 8/17 10/8	permanent [3] 40/17 42/20 126/16	phrase [1] 165/17 phrased [2] 45/6
79/13	participants [1]	14/25 34/8 64/5 91/20	l .	193/11
paragraph 4 [2]	54/11	155/4	[2] 40/17 42/20	physical [2] 163/9
123/1 158/9 paragraph 40 [1]	participated [2] 36/4	paused [2] 44/20	permission [5] 64/6	209/16
73/13	89/1	56/8	66/12 113/13 116/22	physician [1] 117/23
paragraph 48 [1]	participating [1]	pausing [1] 190/17	207/24	Pica [1] 102/10
100/20	171/3 particles [1] 162/6	<b>pay [8]</b> 90/6 110/25 111/1 142/10 142/14	persisted [1] 101/12 person [8] 17/16	pick [1] 147/23 PICO [4] 72/11 72/17
paragraph 5 [1]	particular [28] 3/2	142/14 149/21 152/12		72/19 73/5
50/10	6/24 7/16 9/1 21/25	PCT [1] 129/9	154/23 157/17 157/17	picture [1] 91/1
paragraph 53 [1] 103/8	25/12 29/13 34/7 39/1	PCTs [1] 136/4	209/21	piece [11] 36/19
paragraph 6.60 [1]	46/15 56/7 63/4 67/5	pedal [1] 153/19	personal [7] 72/1	36/23 58/8 58/17 59/3
74/10	67/20 71/15 84/8	peer [1] 160/6	72/5 77/12 80/16	63/7 89/25 138/7 157/1 177/22 202/17
Paragraph 63 [1]	94/15 99/6 99/23 105/19 118/14 141/11	pending [1] 89/24 people [57] 7/10 7/11	80/17 81/5 204/5 personally [8] 69/17	pieces [8] 19/13
110/15	145/6 154/16 178/12	7/20 7/22 8/3 10/2	142/3 156/1 164/13	19/20 19/22 20/2
paragraph 65 [1] 92/17	188/22 190/8 205/24	10/14 33/21 60/14	177/15 193/16 199/9	36/20 57/19 194/14
paragraph 66 [1]	particularly [16] 21/3		204/20	202/18
90/20	22/22 71/5 71/17	62/12 62/16 71/16	perspective [11]	PIPP [3] 18/6 59/16
paragraph 7.5 [1]	78/15 85/21 100/22   102/16 129/12 139/24	78/19 99/5 108/22 113/5 121/9 127/22	76/3 76/12 85/5 93/12 100/6 100/23 102/16	59/16   <b>PIPP board [1]</b> 59/16
17/2	141/22 169/6 169/22	128/19 132/1 133/12	100/6 100/23 102/16	
paragraph 80 [1]	176/14 195/4 208/15	137/4 139/12 139/14	196/20	11/10 12/20 13/7
124/10 paragraph 91 [1]	partly [3] 122/7	139/16 142/18 142/18	persuaded [1] 142/3	13/24 26/2 27/2 35/17
paragraph 31 [1]	131/25 132/1	142/20 142/25 147/3	pertaining [1] 155/25	36/17 47/11 49/21
(77) paragraph plac				

policies [11] 4/24 5/1 181/18 189/9 203/2 P potential [18] 19/24 preparation [9] 6/22 203/3 203/25 204/12 12/15 30/7 37/23 68/15 68/16 69/1 63/17 81/25 82/4 82/7 place... [35] 49/23 **platform [1]** 70/15 39/14 40/2 52/11 69/22 79/11 87/5 156/6 166/11 170/14 50/8 51/1 51/11 51/16 plausibility [1] 77/10 106/24 121/7 108/2 122/16 130/14 183/14 52/17 54/23 58/14 158/11 policies' [1] 84/10 131/4 151/6 151/19 preparations [7] 6/11 68/1 69/5 71/17 82/2 play [3] 12/6 37/3 policy [7] 3/12 18/19 159/4 160/20 165/12 7/21 20/10 56/5 70/25 86/12 86/22 86/25 19/5 60/7 63/17 85/23 197/9 198/18 71/2 200/12 94/24 91/2 102/10 102/18 **played [1]** 144/10 136/16 potentially [7] 60/24 prepare [8] 6/3 7/25 104/20 104/21 106/24 playing [1] 75/20 political [6] 132/16 78/25 81/4 123/17 14/6 15/18 15/19 107/23 108/9 108/19 132/21 134/10 145/9 131/22 147/17 160/11 20/23 21/7 126/16 **plays** [1] 3/4 109/9 121/23 125/23 please [99] 1/4 1/8 178/4 206/15 power [1] 63/2 prepared [20] 11/11 161/3 167/21 179/20 1/18 1/18 1/24 2/22 16/14 19/11 24/24 politics [1] 136/3 powers [2] 33/19 179/21 183/8 183/16 8/11 8/15 9/16 14/24 poor [1] 96/8 35/4 28/5 51/11 53/25 54/4 193/1 194/20 16/25 17/5 17/24 poorest [2] 136/19 **PPE [35]** 33/15 33/25 54/18 58/18 70/25 placed [5] 12/3 38/5 18/11 27/25 28/3 28/9 139/3 34/1 34/1 34/2 34/17 82/1 103/3 106/7 95/13 128/11 130/21 popping [1] 161/19 28/17 29/1 46/1 50/4 34/17 34/24 34/24 106/9 175/9 175/14 places [5] 56/7 94/17 51/24 52/5 64/13 35/2 42/13 42/15 190/5 202/17 202/20 population [12] 85/4 141/13 142/5 169/1 64/22 64/25 65/5 66/4 85/9 93/5 93/17 93/17 42/22 42/24 42/25 preparedness [78] plainly [1] 8/22 66/16 72/15 75/24 93/19 110/4 124/15 43/2 43/7 43/8 43/15 2/17 4/14 5/2 5/17 6/7 plan [47] 8/24 17/9 78/11 82/21 83/18 137/18 185/3 200/9 43/22 43/25 44/5 44/9 6/14 6/18 10/5 10/22 17/17 50/5 51/25 52/2 83/24 85/13 85/17 201/9 11/14 12/16 14/13 80/7 80/14 80/20 52/8 52/9 52/13 52/18 85/19 86/10 86/20 populations [4] 107/2 107/14 107/21 15/14 17/25 19/5 52/19 52/20 53/15 90/18 90/19 90/20 110/11 128/2 128/11 172/12 172/18 172/19 19/18 20/19 21/9 23/5 53/18 53/19 54/4 95/16 95/18 99/21 128/13 198/12 199/5 204/15 23/7 23/11 23/15 54/17 54/19 54/21 100/19 103/6 113/17 port [9] 33/19 174/19 practical [7] 36/17 23/21 28/4 28/8 28/15 70/16 172/23 175/21 114/12 116/5 116/6 29/6 30/6 33/16 38/25 175/1 176/9 176/12 156/25 170/1 175/20 177/4 179/10 179/17 116/12 116/14 116/22 177/22 178/7 179/7 39/6 43/16 45/1 48/14 193/8 195/15 198/3 185/10 189/12 189/25 116/24 117/5 117/7 49/2 49/25 50/7 50/18 183/1 practically [1] 27/4 190/14 190/16 190/19 119/23 120/15 121/4 **Porton [3]** 150/13 practice [19] 38/15 50/23 50/25 51/6 190/21 190/23 191/2 123/1 126/24 126/25 150/18 150/22 45/1 47/1 67/3 81/20 52/16 53/11 54/3 191/6 191/8 192/5 130/24 135/20 135/25 Porton Down [3] 95/2 98/7 106/3 54/22 58/22 59/20 192/9 195/10 195/10 144/19 155/4 155/19 150/13 150/18 150/22 106/14 106/19 123/17 59/25 62/10 63/22 195/11 195/13 196/23 157/7 162/12 162/17 posed [1] 209/21 124/3 131/11 136/24 65/24 68/11 70/10 197/4 198/2 198/4 163/7 164/1 164/4 149/4 192/23 194/18 poses [1] 195/18 74/18 86/1 86/14 198/19 165/6 165/8 170/15 position [12] 8/19 195/19 203/7 86/16 86/24 87/4 planned [1] 197/20 54/12 62/2 68/18 73/8 practitioners [2] 170/16 170/23 171/8 93/14 95/24 96/10 planners [1] 50/14 172/21 173/7 174/13 74/2 85/6 91/6 111/10 97/24 98/4 96/12 108/10 111/23 planning [50] 29/3 177/1 178/25 180/13 115/15 157/3 169/15 125/1 126/15 131/17 pre [8] 60/5 83/9 50/14 50/19 61/6 62/4 189/25 190/4 190/17 140/11 146/14 151/17 positive [2] 82/24 95/23 132/8 146/13 63/6 68/19 69/10 192/9 195/9 196/16 154/15 187/7 202/22 207/9 193/14 197/2 200/10 69/16 70/1 70/8 70/14 202/11 202/14 202/15 **positively [2]** 114/19 pre-dates [1] 193/14 203/1 203/15 208/24 74/18 74/20 75/15 208/13 210/7 128/12 pre-empting [1] 209/7 76/18 81/3 86/2 86/5 pm [8] 49/16 92/7 197/2 preparing [5] 4/11 possibility [3] 15/22 88/20 90/24 91/13 92/9 143/9 143/11 20/1 61/6 77/7 79/24 31/10 206/1 pre-existing [2] 92/14 94/24 96/14 191/25 192/2 210/9 possible [19] 17/19 132/8 200/10 present [6] 23/18 99/10 100/21 102/6 23/3 67/11 76/17 79/2 pre-pandemic [4] pockets [1] 167/2 79/5 80/23 114/17 102/8 102/9 102/21 167/17 171/2 point [24] 8/16 9/10 80/13 86/18 135/7 60/5 83/9 95/23 106/1 109/4 109/22 14/24 15/5 23/16 45/4 138/19 158/23 159/15 146/13 presented [3] 166/5 110/12 110/14 111/5 45/9 45/10 61/24 163/1 167/3 170/18 precautionary [1] 171/23 181/16 111/8 118/4 188/21 171/16 172/6 178/12 90/19 98/2 135/2 108/12 presenting [1] 188/24 196/7 196/11 135/15 143/6 147/24 187/6 201/10 159/13 **precedent** [2] 20/1 196/14 198/3 198/3 156/22 165/6 166/25 possibly [1] 52/21 president [1] 85/16 158/3 200/11 208/24 209/7 174/21 182/4 183/6 post [20] 2/20 6/20 preceding [2] 82/16 pressure [5] 95/13 209/17 198/24 199/2 207/4 8/14 9/10 10/10 12/1 84/23 137/23 138/2 143/3 **plans [37]** 12/15 pointed [1] 133/4 13/3 18/3 27/23 32/4 predecessor [1] 172/8 13/24 15/12 16/5 16/7 points [4] 14/20 23/9 32/6 32/13 32/22 36/5 152/17 pressures [3] 84/11 18/16 25/4 27/2 30/6 119/5 125/10 39/17 54/5 54/18 predecessors [1] 136/21 152/13 36/11 37/12 39/14 poison [1] 121/15 54/25 132/11 190/19 132/9 presume [1] 149/7 40/1 47/12 48/1 48/2 **predicated [3]** 16/17 pretty [3] 139/22 poisoning [2] 4/17 post-1950s [1] 48/4 48/11 49/18 132/11 25/25 158/19 187/1 197/4 31/15 49/19 49/23 50/23 poisonings [1] post-2014 [1] 190/19 predominantly [5] prevent [4] 25/14 51/10 52/11 58/22 118/21 postgraduate [2] 5/19 81/8 81/9 104/15 93/3 126/17 130/19 59/11 60/10 70/4 118/2 118/3 poisons [1] 121/10 149/23 **prevented** [2] 163/5 70/10 79/25 109/19 Police [1] 203/11 posts [1] 2/2 premise [1] 44/7 165/19

198/20 201/17 206/20 97/14 146/8 provisional [1] P protected [4] 5/4 problems [11] 21/15 | Professor Fenton's 61/5 61/7 139/2 207/24 prevention [11] 65/8 96/24 99/1 130/8 **[1]** 97/4 protection [72] 2/18 provisionally [1] 66/23 66/24 67/24 134/13 145/1 145/19 **Professor Heymann** 3/11 3/17 4/15 4/21 113/13 78/22 79/12 85/6 177/19 181/23 204/4 **[1]** 162/2 4/24 5/7 5/13 5/19 provisions [1] 94/23 96/13 141/24 206/23 Professor Isabel 85/3 85/21 94/2 94/6 105/22 207/20 **procedure [3]** 22/13 **Oliver [1]** 116/18 95/14 95/20 95/21 public [199] 5/4 5/6 previous [6] 55/2 96/3 96/7 96/15 97/22 6/3 13/25 17/10 18/22 172/23 173/10 **Professor Isabel** 74/7 117/9 119/7 **procedures** [9] 11/9 Oliver's [1] 116/23 97/24 98/3 101/9 22/12 27/1 35/6 35/18 163/8 188/2 30/25 106/24 158/12 103/11 103/23 104/5 36/3 36/24 37/10 **Professor Jonathan** previously [3] 70/23 159/16 159/25 161/15 Van-Tam [1] 209/13 104/9 118/20 119/2 37/17 37/20 38/2 128/3 149/3 161/15 173/14 119/25 121/7 121/14 38/17 39/7 43/14 46/9 **Professor Kevin** primarily [1] 161/9 process [23] 4/4 Fenton [1] 95/17 121/18 121/21 122/3 46/25 49/4 58/6 62/23 primary [7] 20/16 10/25 20/21 22/13 122/14 122/15 126/3 68/3 68/16 76/4 76/4 Professor Kevin 73/3 80/2 120/21 23/24 24/2 24/5 25/17 Fenton's [1] 85/15 126/13 127/23 130/2 78/13 79/8 83/4 83/25 127/14 128/4 136/4 29/4 32/19 33/7 34/12 **Professor Marmot [1]** 131/14 132/10 138/21 84/2 84/9 84/20 84/22 prime [6] 29/22 55/16 36/13 45/3 56/6 57/11 139/23 140/16 143/19 84/22 85/1 85/16 111/17 56/22 57/7 131/14 85/20 92/15 92/19 112/8 171/25 172/23 Professor Oliver [3] 143/21 144/1 144/6 188/1 176/18 179/10 179/17 117/1 140/3 196/8 144/24 145/4 145/18 92/20 92/24 93/8 93/9 **Prime Minister [3]** 146/10 146/11 146/20 189/12 93/13 93/13 94/6 **Professor Philip** 29/22 55/16 57/7 processes [11] 15/21 147/5 147/7 147/11 94/14 94/18 95/21 Banfield [2] 94/12 principal [1] 121/17 15/23 32/20 32/21 141/9 147/15 147/21 149/1 96/2 96/6 96/9 96/11 principle [6] 131/24 32/25 33/2 33/3 33/8 **Professor Whitworth** 151/11 153/22 155/13 96/22 99/1 100/1 156/12 169/9 200/22 **[1]** 129/25 100/6 100/7 113/1 33/12 156/21 180/12 182/25 186/12 186/19 201/12 201/13 188/2 197/2 209/12 113/6 115/17 117/19 processing [2] Professors [3] 99/2 principles [2] 61/18 209/19 117/22 117/24 118/1 179/21 199/23 99/23 201/19 70/13 118/6 119/11 119/18 protective [16] 72/1 procurement [3] **Professors Marmot prior [16]** 23/11 125/17 199/22 199/25 119/24 120/2 120/10 **[1]** 201/19 77/12 80/15 80/16 69/17 99/12 100/3 programme [26] 11/4 80/17 80/19 81/6 81/7 produce [4] 37/23 120/12 120/19 121/7 100/14 101/12 102/19 48/5 174/17 177/4 12/12 13/3 19/14 81/11 81/14 108/3 121/12 121/13 121/20 117/16 117/18 117/20 produced [8] 32/16 108/5 108/15 108/18 121/22 121/24 122/1 19/22 19/23 28/16 118/18 160/15 161/13 53/1 54/19 116/11 29/24 36/22 36/24 108/20 201/4 122/6 122/9 122/18 172/3 200/9 204/25 37/4 44/18 44/19 152/21 155/23 156/9 **Protocol [1]** 180/3 122/24 123/7 123/13 priorities [6] 118/13 179/19 56/17 59/16 59/17 protocols [2] 171/11 123/19 123/21 124/1 133/18 135/7 135/16 producing [2] 29/10 59/22 59/25 83/3 175/5 124/9 124/13 124/18 186/1 192/14 118/14 118/16 118/18 124/20 125/20 125/21 54/6 prove [1] 142/22 prioritisation [1] 119/1 119/3 147/4 126/2 126/5 126/10 **products** [3] 7/16 proved [1] 131/10 56/15 123/5 207/18 182/7 126/10 126/13 127/1 **provide [15]** 1/15 **prioritise [4]** 20/22 127/4 128/20 128/21 professional [22] programmes [7] 13/6 38/3 66/21 66/23 20/23 20/25 20/25 65/8 65/13 67/2 73/2 44/21 61/8 61/10 128/25 129/2 129/7 67/18 68/5 72/17 **prioritised** [7] 18/13 73/6 74/13 76/6 76/11 121/7 154/6 196/10 74/14 76/6 93/2 98/20 129/13 129/18 129/20 19/8 20/8 21/2 56/16 83/1 83/15 96/1 96/4 progress [1] 128/24 144/12 155/17 163/15 130/11 130/17 130/24 56/18 57/16 114/23 115/12 117/9 205/18 131/7 132/3 132/12 progressed [3] priority [6] 19/15 133/7 146/18 146/20 132/19 132/23 133/5 178/11 187/15 189/10 provided [23] 1/21 68/23 69/5 69/7 82/20 168/20 188/9 189/22 27/24 66/3 66/9 72/18 133/15 133/17 133/18 progressing [1] 90/11 72/25 83/19 94/13 134/1 134/5 134/9 191/1 169/15 prison [1] 205/10 95/3 95/4 95/7 113/13 prolonged [1] 81/12 134/10 134/14 134/22 professionally [1] **prisons [2]** 203/12 119/17 promised [2] 136/7 114/18 155/24 156/19 135/5 135/24 136/2 205/7 professionals [3] 137/4 164/17 166/8 168/4 136/5 136/8 136/12 private [1] 129/2 168/7 174/24 204/11 136/16 136/21 137/1 110/21 113/1 121/12 promote [1] 93/2 privately [1] 204/10 professor [22] 18/18 promotion [1] 132/11 204/24 204/25 137/6 137/10 137/22 **proactive [2]** 67/9 70/20 85/15 94/12 provider [2] 94/4 138/1 138/4 138/16 proof [1] 97/1 67/9 138/17 139/8 139/18 95/17 97/4 97/14 proper [1] 53/17 106/19 proactively [4] 111/17 116/5 116/18 140/1 141/7 141/10 properly [5] 1/14 providers [3] 47/18 151/24 175/23 181/25 141/13 143/17 143/18 116/23 117/1 118/6 19/11 80/6 107/16 104/10 105/25 195/6 129/23 129/25 140/3 107/22 provides [2] 97/1 143/25 144/2 144/8 probably [11] 16/19 141/9 146/8 161/10 145/10 146/1 146/3 proportion [1] 22/23 193/8 21/18 119/9 119/11 162/2 196/8 209/13 proportionate [2] providing [10] 1/8 146/8 146/11 146/18 123/16 124/22 133/11 76/25 89/15 110/21 Professor Dame [3] 63/12 106/20 147/1 147/2 147/3 191/17 195/2 196/21 18/18 70/20 116/5 **proposed [4]** 73/6 121/10 121/13 125/5 147/9 148/4 148/14 197/2 148/20 148/25 149/3 Professor David 75/3 129/2 148/10 144/11 164/14 202/21 problem [10] 1/12 **Heymann [2]** 129/23 protect [6] 77/5 provision [6] 96/19 150/7 150/12 151/20 91/16 92/10 101/11 80/20 121/9 122/16 97/9 99/2 113/9 145/4 151/23 151/24 153/25 161/10 101/12 145/24 194/10 Professor Fenton [2] 124/12 127/21 168/1 154/5 154/18 156/14

64/24 86/15 86/19 25/18 26/6 63/12 ratings [1] 203/22 reconstruction [1] 86/20 99/18 113/14 rationale [1] 128/6 105/2 138/25 157/8 142/19 public... [17] 159/8 157/14 163/20 167/22 recorded [1] 1/14 144/21 156/22 159/18 **RCGP [1]** 83/5 159/23 170/20 171/4 RCN [26] 65/20 65/22 reasonably [1] 185/5 records [1] 58/8 165/2 173/10 197/6 171/17 173/21 182/17 199/14 201/18 208/1 67/7 68/18 69/21 76/8 reasons [6] 43/17 recruitment [1] 145/1 182/19 184/8 185/3 209/21 81/25 82/3 82/6 88/18 45/17 54/11 122/8 rectify [1] 96/23 185/7 188/15 188/16 88/24 89/1 89/5 90/12 138/24 139/14 questioning [2] recurrent [2] 141/16 188/20 196/9 202/13 102/1 102/25 103/2 17/16 191/21 reassessment [1] 188/9 202/20 recurring [1] 155/5 questions [24] 1/7 104/22 105/5 105/11 24/4 public's [3] 88/11 red [3] 8/16 202/21 6/1 10/7 46/21 64/1 105/20 105/24 107/3 recall [33] 10/10 124/12 124/14 64/15 104/25 113/12 107/19 114/14 115/13 11/25 12/5 12/7 20/21 205/14 publication [2] 63/23 113/17 113/19 113/24 re [1] 24/2 20/25 22/17 24/1 29/4 redeployed [1] 101/1 103/10 29/7 29/8 29/13 33/12 reduce [1] 93/10 116/8 117/5 182/8 re-issue [1] 24/2 publicly [1] 84/9 33/14 33/23 35/7 35/9 reduced [7] 28/24 197/3 207/23 208/2 reach [5] 11/21 24/10 publish [4] 116/22 208/6 210/1 211/5 42/2 61/16 148/22 42/23 54/6 54/16 83/8 85/1 137/11 128/22 134/2 186/24 211/9 211/11 211/15 reached [4] 31/10 54/19 59/3 60/1 69/23 138/4 140/8 152/11 published [10] 1/24 41/7 69/11 184/3 102/10 102/14 103/1 211/17 reduction [10] 93/13 58/18 66/13 103/14 quickly [4] 144/16 reacted [1] 175/11 103/4 103/11 104/2 96/1 96/5 102/2 103/19 117/1 133/25 163/4 165/18 186/21 reaction [1] 88/22 105/15 111/12 114/22 105/16 136/12 136/17 185/23 190/14 190/16 quite [19] 6/16 41/3 140/23 146/17 153/4 reactive [2] 67/9 recalling [2] 22/18 publishing [1] 54/11 98/18 115/1 67/13 33/8 reductions [6] 93/3 124/19 127/8 140/2 148/22 read [12] 8/15 9/19 receive [1] 192/19 95/22 136/10 140/12 pulled [1] 101/15 155/1 161/16 163/16 14/23 18/9 119/10 received [8] 114/19 146/12 152/16 pursue [1] 33/23 169/17 169/18 169/20 137/13 148/9 187/2 129/19 148/7 152/20 Reed [28] 1/5 1/6 1/9 pursued [1] 52/24 177/22 178/7 180/15 192/20 192/22 193/7 152/25 170/12 196/13 1/10 2/1 3/13 8/12 9/3 pushing [1] 161/17 184/12 199/25 200/20 202/19 10/21 14/23 17/14 put [36] 13/6 23/2 18/1 19/16 33/13 37/6 readiness [20] 11/3 receiving [2] 147/25 35/16 47/11 49/23 R 12/12 12/24 19/14 168/21 41/8 43/11 45/10 51/10 58/14 58/24 radioactive [1] 19/21 19/24 24/21 recent [2] 69/12 46/17 49/17 52/1 58/24 66/3 76/9 77/14 121/16 28/13 29/18 29/25 158/25 53/13 54/16 60/15 83/18 88/15 95/16 radiological [1] 38/8 40/11 40/14 recently [1] 196/1 61/24 64/3 64/9 211/3 120/1 122/18 137/20 121/10 40/18 48/24 53/9 55/5 recipient [1] 112/8 refer [3] 9/18 111/13 146/6 152/1 157/2 raise [5] 8/23 71/21 55/8 55/10 55/23 recognise [14] 31/14 160/10 161/3 161/10 161/23 105/13 105/15 183/15 reading [2] 30/9 99/8 132/24 132/24 reference [31] 14/21 170/22 181/1 181/14 raised [14] 9/2 25/16 175/15 137/12 141/15 141/16 28/1 29/2 29/16 29/18 182/7 186/16 193/11 40/7 43/8 69/21 71/25 141/21 147/19 151/25 30/3 30/20 31/6 34/10 reads [1] 194/3 198/14 200/21 202/11 72/2 84/8 102/1 105/5 ready [7] 7/23 20/17 154/17 169/22 186/16 34/16 34/17 59/10 205/12 207/12 207/20 105/10 106/12 106/17 21/7 21/10 57/10 204/19 59/11 63/5 65/25 putting [4] 26/6 130/7 67/17 107/6 114/2 141/5 204/15 recognised [5] 36/17 107/11 184/25 raising [3] 86/12 87/7 real [14] 8/22 9/7 157/11 163/5 165/19 148/11 148/25 148/25 135/11 21/6 23/18 23/22 182/23 191/1 149/12 158/12 158/13 ran [1] 154/13 57/15 78/17 91/17 **recognises [1]** 100/3 158/15 159/19 159/25 qualification [1] range [12] 30/12 101/22 102/15 136/12 recollection [1] 160/1 160/6 160/7 119/9 61/15 62/5 120/21 188/7 136/22 152/11 153/6 40/24 qualifications [2] 162/24 170/8 173/15 realise [3] 119/14 referred [10] 4/21 recommend [1] 21/2 117/9 117/24 173/16 174/5 177/6 12/18 15/21 23/25 192/20 200/1 recommendation [9] quality [4] 105/6 180/7 180/9 31/18 62/17 97/11 realistic [1] 167/9 35/16 42/12 42/21 120/25 174/4 203/2 ranging [1] 124/7 realities [1] 25/1 42/23 42/25 44/25 104/20 115/4 162/1 quantities [1] 172/19 rank [1] 142/21 referring [7] 61/11 reality [2] 51/17 46/15 104/3 112/21 quarantine [11] 10/1 rapid [4] 84/16 133/20 recommendations 62/24 63/3 75/11 10/14 17/20 17/20 121/13 125/3 181/1 reallocated [1] [31] 32/10 32/17 140/17 149/7 153/4 17/21 36/12 37/13 rapidly [4] 9/8 100/25 136/25 36/6 36/10 36/25 refers [1] 5/11 177/5 177/12 178/15 157/17 187/15 really [19] 44/23 37/15 38/16 38/18 reflect [5] 10/6 57/8 178/21 rare [2] 150/6 158/21 71/16 75/9 76/8 76/14 39/4 40/15 40/20 74/20 76/10 190/19 quarantining [4] rate [4] 14/7 163/1 83/9 101/21 104/10 40/21 40/22 41/6 reflected [2] 71/1 10/16 27/13 46/12 163/22 164/24 110/9 112/10 129/14 41/17 42/6 42/11 43/6 203/17 171/16 rates [1] 166/21 44/12 44/12 44/17 131/1 135/3 140/1 reflecting [1] 196/21 quarries [1] 144/6 rather [17] 12/22 155/12 162/3 169/20 44/23 45/6 45/12 reflections [2] **quarterly [2]** 40/10 52/6 56/17 56/19 185/14 204/15 45/16 45/19 45/21 151/15 169/7 40/13 69/24 75/10 106/18 46/3 49/8 78/7 205/22 reform [1] 84/14 realm [1] 186/19 question [27] 12/25 112/7 125/17 131/23 reason [4] 13/7 25/3 recommended [1] reforms [10] 94/14 15/13 23/2 23/8 34/1 138/9 138/11 142/21 33/13 56/14 34/3 95/8 96/20 97/10 34/24 37/19 39/19 152/4 153/17 153/21 129/17 130/7 141/10 reasonable [12] recommending [1] 40/17 47/19 48/2 161/9 15/15 24/25 25/9 206/1 148/12 148/22 151/16

R refrain [2] 203/20 204/22 **Refresh [1]** 19/3 refreshing [1] 12/4 refurbishment [1] 151/13 regard [5] 89/14 97/18 100/22 106/2 106/14 regarded [1] 36/14 regarding [4] 69/21 80/25 101/22 165/21 regards [1] 115/9 regime [1] 35/1 regional [16] 85/22 94/1 95/24 103/25 104/11 114/4 117/18 140/5 140/10 142/11 142/18 143/15 144/10 144/21 145/17 146/14 regions [7] 67/7 67/10 119/19 145/14 145/17 145/22 185/9 register [5] 8/6 24/14 31/18 40/8 53/12 **Register's [1]** 24/4 **registered [2]** 66/19 89/3 registering [1] 71/16 registers [1] 27/18 regret [1] 49/9 regular [7] 9/14 40/6 40/16 40/19 68/12 69/8 69/14 regularly [6] 105/5 105/10 175/22 188/5 188/17 189/3 regulations [3] 58/3 84/6 134/20 regulator [1] 121/1 regulatory [6] 76/11 105/8 120/22 123/6 123/13 123/15 rehearsed [4] 173/15 173/17 174/5 196/4 relate [2] 6/1 140/15 related [10] 5/15 13/20 34/11 37/7 42/25 63/14 100/9 121/18 196/10 208/23 relates [1] 16/13 relating [6] 11/6 22/9 30/16 66/22 129/18 172/25 relation [35] 10/19 24/22 24/23 26/23 29/5 29/19 42/24 47/14 58/3 67/23 70/14 71/21 71/24 75/14 77/19 78/16 85/8 87/14 87/21

91/13 99/14 99/18

101/6 128/23

105/8 114/18 114/22 125/1 155/24 170/20 173/6 173/17 174/21 192/4 202/20 203/15 209/6 relationship [5] 77/3 88/6 115/18 134/11 188/1 relationships [9] 94/3 94/4 94/9 95/15 104/9 114/23 114/24 115/3 133/13 relative [1] 140/7 relatively [3] 16/21 26/1 26/9 release [1] 121/15 released [1] 175/4 relevant [10] 8/20 18/9 33/18 67/12 72/21 87/22 117/10 117/10 119/20 155/11 reliance [1] 91/16 relying [1] 91/3 remain [4] 54/18 90/11 119/3 174/3 remained [1] 83/9 remaining [1] 130/24 remember [2] 133/22 184/14 remind [4] 1/10 157/7 171/20 199/10 remit [4] 39/2 39/4 122/9 179/4 removed [1] 145/21 renewal [1] 15/16 reorganisation [1] 187/4 reorganise [1] 190/14 repeat [6] 39/19 64/25 86/20 99/21 117/7 159/18 repeating [1] 169/11 replace [1] 164/1 replaced [3] 121/23 125/22 127/14 report [32] 32/10 33/15 35/13 36/7 40/15 46/2 52/3 60/7 74/10 78/8 83/18 91/15 98/14 103/15 104/4 110/15 131/8 132/7 135/23 137/13 144/23 148/7 148/9 148/11 170/16 171/8 174/14 177/2 197/22 200/20 202/11 204/25 reported [5] 45/23 52/9 53/20 123/25 165/14 reporting [2] 145/1 149/16 reports [3] 32/16

represent [3] 68/4 73/16 110/21 representation [2] 72/17 73/1 representative [3] 168/25 170/2 205/4 representatives [1] 171/4 represented [4] 49/4 65/16 68/2 85/22 representing [1] 72/6 represents [1] 104/18 republication [1] 24/2 request [8] 28/6 66/1 76/1 76/3 78/13 170/19 174/22 182/16 respiratory [18] requested [1] 105/20 requesting [1] 78/15 require [3] 86/4 108/2 150/1 required [16] 7/7 14/6 26/11 34/17 35/3 35/5 39/3 43/13 43/22 respond [20] 6/4 59/12 80/7 99/16 106/4 109/2 152/14 192/13 requirement [4] 79/20 84/5 109/6 150/23 requirements [4] 30/20 89/19 106/2 108/25 requires [5] 47/8 74/23 178/9 181/7 183/21 research [7] 89/2 136/17 151/7 179/2 181/17 182/7 205/2 resident [1] 119/14 resilience [62] 6/7 6/15 6/18 28/4 28/8 28/12 47/25 48/10 48/15 48/17 48/23 48/25 49/1 49/5 49/6 49/11 49/18 50/7 50/19 50/22 50/25 51/5 51/13 51/25 52/2 52/15 53/10 53/15 53/18 53/25 54/2 54/4 54/17 55/15 58/19 58/21 58/25 59/1 59/8 59/9 62/11 65/24 86/3 86/4 88/4 88/6 88/7 88/9 92/13 93/15 95/15 95/25 125/2 131/18 146/2 146/4 146/14 154/23 203/1 203/13 203/15 206/2 resilient [2] 10/4 202/20 resistance [2] 5/3 83/3

resistant [1] 187/17 resolve [2] 177/20 183/5 resolved [3] 92/10 149/23 181/5 resource [5] 9/12 142/2 144/7 145/25 185/11 resourced [1] 179/24 resources [9] 23/17 23/20 23/22 47/8 56/15 57/14 171/11 183/22 185/15 resourcing [3] 9/11 9/14 140/6 respect [1] 190/15 respected [1] 129/15 80/19 81/7 81/8 81/11 81/14 108/3 108/5 150/18 157/24 196/22 197/8 8/10 11/1 13/25 14/7 16/15 31/25 36/20 36/25 38/21 40/12 46/10 46/12 50/17 67/13 79/1 85/9 93/11 106/9 126/17 **responded [3]** 51/15 89/5 194/19 responder [5] 3/7 3/20 6/2 30/19 50/2 responders [1] 49/20 responding [6] 38/23 40/18 103/25 121/12 166/2 179/25 response [81] 2/12 4/16 5/22 6/8 6/10 6/15 6/18 6/23 7/2 7/10 7/14 7/16 13/23 19/5 23/8 28/5 28/8 28/18 30/6 31/23 35/11 38/12 39/3 39/7 47/7 48/12 50/19 57/15 63/15 63/17 65/22 65/25 69/12 71/23 72/11 72/16 73/19 74/4 75/10 76/7 86/6 94/19 94/25 95/24 96/13 106/25 166/1 170/4 174/18 174/24 174/25 175/6 175/21 179/1 179/23 181/1 183/19 185/10 186/3 189/7 189/21 189/25 190/19 191/7 192/5 192/19 193/21 195/5 195/11 199/19

206/13 209/7 responses [5] 7/21 16/14 25/4 60/17 191/5 responsibilities [15] 3/9 5/25 19/1 39/5 96/16 97/5 103/23 104/8 105/8 131/5 131/20 151/25 152/5 194/4 199/4 responsibility [24] 3/11 3/23 4/25 5/1 6/19 6/20 7/3 20/16 27/9 38/2 39/8 42/15 42/16 42/17 42/19 49/20 59/18 128/1 128/3 128/10 131/15 137/5 137/7 204/13 15/10 69/1 80/1 80/15 responsible [5] 13/22 45/14 59/5 193/17 199/9 108/15 108/18 108/20 rest [6] 127/23 148/24 163/23 165/2 204/23 206/19 restrict [1] 35/21 restriction [2] 177/9 178/16 restrictions [5] 33/20 35/6 35/11 46/13 46/25 restructuring [1] 127/4 result [14] 21/5 22/2 29/21 36/7 73/7 76/23 77/2 95/25 114/16 130/6 141/25 146/17 161/1 170/21 resulted [4] 26/14 108/20 132/15 160/13 resulting [4] 136/21 136/24 159/6 159/21 retain [2] 149/2 153/12 retained [2] 23/20 134/6 retains [1] 151/3 retention [1] 149/21 retired [1] 194/22 return [3] 49/13 92/4 177/18 returned [2] 128/15 171/23 returning [3] 118/15 114/21 114/25 118/21 122/25 159/3 121/14 126/15 131/18 review [6] 25/7 74/9 144/21 146/14 155/13 110/18 112/4 168/12 176/18 reviewed [2] 160/6 175/23 reviewing [1] 24/5 reviews [2] 89/6 129/20 revision [2] 12/5 63/25

R revisit [1] 112/1 rewrite [1] 48/4 right [121] 4/20 5/11 5/20 6/16 7/19 8/1 8/4 10/19 13/13 14/14 18/11 18/12 23/24 32/8 33/4 39/24 41/3 41/9 41/10 51/9 54/8 54/14 54/20 55/7 58/10 59/7 59/15 63/1 63/8 64/1 67/4 68/8 70/13 70/18 72/5 75/14 78/9 79/13 81/16 81/23 83/10 85/11 86/17 87/2 88/3 90/2 90/10 90/17 92/1 94/11 94/22 97/13 98/19 98/25 100/18 102/6 103/5 103/14 103/17 105/19 106/5 107/1 109/5 110/13 120/2 122/1 124/21 125/1 125/18 125/25 126/19 126/23 133/1 134/5 138/14 140/19 148/2 148/13 149/24 152/23 156/3 156/9 156/15 156/24 157/4 160/16 161/5 161/6 161/16 162/1 166/12 166/14 167/11 167/23 170/3 170/22 173/7 174/12 174/12 177/8 177/14 181/13 182/22 186/8 189/1 189/6 189/23 190/17 191/7 191/11 191/19 193/1 193/3 193/13 196/6 199/2 200/7 200/17 201/12 201/18 203/10 right-hand [6] 18/11 18/12 152/23 170/22 173/7 203/10 rights [1] 178/16 ringfence [1] 136/8 ringfenced [3] 128/22 136/25 137/10 rise [1] 153/24 risen [1] 129/14 risk [97] 4/4 4/10 4/11 5/6 8/5 8/8 8/23 15/16 15/18 15/19 15/21 15/22 16/8 16/9 16/10 16/12 16/13 16/15 16/15 16/16 16/17 21/8 22/10 22/11 22/13 23/19 23/22 24/4 24/5 24/6 24/7 24/13 24/16 25/5 25/5 25/12 25/18 25/19 25/21 26/12 26/12 26/17 26/18 royal [35] 65/9 65/16

26/24 27/2 27/5 27/18 66/18 66/22 67/11 30/19 30/24 30/25 31/7 31/13 31/18 34/4 34/7 34/12 34/15 40/8 40/8 44/2 44/7 44/11 50/12 53/7 53/11 53/14 53/24 55/4 57/5 57/10 57/11 57/11 60/19 88/15 107/13 110/23 111/3 111/13 145/3 155/16 155/20 155/21 155/25 156/13 Royal College [4] 157/8 158/2 159/13 159/17 162/14 164/3 164/13 167/2 173/22 177/7 196/24 197/7 204/8 risks [22] 22/8 24/9 24/10 24/13 24/14 24/17 24/17 25/23 29/11 30/21 31/7 34/11 34/12 44/6 44/8 50/12 53/11 75/3 79/5 151/5 157/5 192/18 Risks' [1] 50/20 role [36] 24/1 30/15 37/3 65/10 66/17 67/1 67/1 67/4 67/8 67/16 67/17 67/20 68/2 72/24 74/25 75/21 76/16 78/11 87/11 94/23 95/1 96/9 96/11 runs [1] 191/6 98/7 104/5 106/20 121/18 144/11 173/18 192/16 192/20 194/2 208/3 208/15 209/12 209/14 roles [21] 32/22 33/5 S 94/8 94/9 103/23 104/8 117/9 119/6 119/7 121/6 131/17 139/12 139/18 139/20 139/21 142/21 151/25 safely [1] 77/14 152/4 194/4 208/14 208/19 rolled [1] 174/10 roof [2] 130/2 130/3 room [3] 168/22 169/24 169/25 rooms [1] 7/9 rope [2] 141/19 142/25 **Rosemary [3]** 64/13 64/14 211/7 Rosemary Gallagher **[1]** 64/13 round [3] 154/22 197/5 197/6 route [2] 81/8 157/19 routes [1] 157/21 routine [1] 179/21 routinely [2] 109/12 109/13

72/6 72/9 72/20 72/24 73/16 74/2 74/19 75/17 83/6 83/12 84/25 86/7 87/3 87/11 91/17 93/7 99/12 100/2 100/5 100/12 100/13 101/5 105/13 110/19 111/5 112/5 112/13 118/8 147/2 160/23 74/19 99/12 100/5 118/8 Royal Society [1] 147/2 RPE [11] 79/20 80/14 80/15 81/1 107/2 107/5 109/6 109/7 109/8 109/14 109/16 rule [2] 64/4 134/4 Rule 10 [1] 64/4 rules [2] 84/6 168/18 run [9] 13/16 36/3 38/14 56/21 123/19 123/20 131/1 168/23 182/24 run-up [1] 131/1 running [7] 76/9 125/8 199/11 200/19 **Ruth [2]** 115/10 115/15 **Ruth May [1]** 115/15 **RWCS [4]** 25/8 25/11 157/23 158/19 safe [6] 88/25 88/25 89/20 90/5 150/24 151/13 safety [3] 73/2 89/5 150/20 **SAGE [2]** 72/19 118/24 said [31] 22/11 27/3 27/11 33/4 34/10 70/22 70/24 71/10 71/15 87/2 111/15 113/24 115/3 119/6 119/18 131/9 132/2 133/20 134/4 146/9 152/1 164/21 169/18 190/25 196/17 196/22 198/9 201/19 203/25 207/5 207/6 **Salisbury [1]** 31/15 Sally [2] 18/18 70/21 Sally Davies [2] 18/18 70/21 same [25] 5/14 7/11 7/19 8/2 15/21 15/23

16/1 24/6 55/2 62/20 69/6 101/8 133/7 133/7 137/25 143/22 146/6 155/10 169/13 170/18 173/8 184/18 194/10 194/15 198/23 Sample [1] 179/21 samples [1] 149/25 **sampling [6]** 36/13 37/14 179/11 179/18 180/25 183/3 SARS [8] 69/1 120/3 161/8 164/25 165/13 166/3 166/6 187/22 sat [1] 69/7 Saudi [7] 65/21 68/20 71/23 78/15 78/21 80/10 120/7 Saudi Arabia [7] 65/21 68/20 71/23 78/15 78/21 80/10 120/7 save [1] 174/9 **savings [2]** 137/25 152/14 saw [4] 47/12 95/22 146/12 154/24 say [84] 7/8 10/5 10/20 16/19 20/17 21/14 21/22 23/6 27/8 scientist [2] 169/20 201/20 203/18 204/13 27/15 27/15 29/8 30/8 203/21 32/2 37/15 39/2 39/24 scientists [5] 150/1 40/6 40/24 42/5 43/7 44/22 44/24 45/9 46/10 46/14 47/20 47/21 53/23 54/1 56/14 61/18 65/6 68/21 73/20 77/19 79/7 79/22 82/14 83/14 84/25 91/12 92/23 93/6 98/24 100/22 101/14 103/18 181/14 202/11 105/22 106/18 107/9 109/24 110/16 111/22 112/1 114/7 122/7 123/14 124/1 129/6 138/10 139/10 139/22 scrutiny [1] 73/6 142/24 144/10 144/25 160/3 167/4 174/9 180/15 182/4 182/12 183/10 185/17 185/25 116/23 207/4 187/3 187/6 188/13 189/11 192/21 194/9 saying [6] 45/4 50/21 179/6 180/16 201/22 202/4 says [18] 42/12 63/11 74/11 94/14 95/19 124/22 140/9 140/21 146/16 160/8 179/1 182/8 185/14 192/22 196/24 197/7 197/13 201/6

scale [15] 13/14 14/10 35/23 48/12 100/25 162/4 162/7 171/1 172/24 173/20 179/22 179/23 180/10 181/3 181/4 scale-up [1] 172/24 scaling [2] 9/12 173/14 scanning [1] 207/13 scenario [17] 15/15 15/17 16/14 24/25 25/9 25/13 25/15 25/18 25/24 26/7 157/8 157/14 158/8 165/22 166/11 171/20 172/5 scenarios [4] 25/22 44/10 163/19 163/20 Schools [1] 203/9 science [8] 108/14 130/15 134/2 134/7 171/7 207/5 207/10 207/10 science-focused [1] 207/10 scientific [6] 116/19 130/22 150/13 168/1 168/3 169/7 150/3 153/15 153/20 169/16 Scotland [3] 58/5 89/18 89/18 Scottish [1] 208/1 screen [12] 8/11 18/10 46/1 54/13 116/12 121/3 155/19 166/16 170/16 170/23 |screening [7] 31/16 118/15 174/20 175/1 176/9 177/23 181/22 scroll [2] 17/5 18/11 **searches** [1] 63/12 second [6] 33/17 41/21 66/8 99/18 **secondly [2]** 23/13 57/16 195/21 198/16 206/15 secretariat [1] 168/5 secretariating [2] 56/17 56/20 secretary [14] 3/5 3/19 27/21 30/11 30/18 40/17 42/20 90/22 90/25 91/8 120/11 124/11 127/20 131/12 **Secrets [1]** 132/18 section [1] 165/7

25/22 147/2 S sending [1] 112/4 signed [1] 18/23 skills [3] 133/8 setting [8] 16/18 senior [5] 2/7 10/20 signed off [1] 18/23 139/23 170/3 **sections** [1] 190/10 significant [17] 9/25 65/14 129/15 189/19 34/18 89/19 158/13 slant [1] 206/15 sector [26] 21/6 21/7 seniority [1] 140/7 159/5 161/24 163/18 22/23 68/12 71/25 slicing' [1] 136/25 21/11 21/15 21/24 sense [5] 33/20 82/3 186/10 77/9 79/16 86/1 88/12 sliding [2] 161/11 22/2 22/24 23/10 98/21 154/17 166/15 settings [19] 16/20 89/1 96/19 97/10 162/4 47/14 49/17 50/6 sensible [1] 163/23 26/9 43/20 73/4 81/16 115/6 118/19 131/13 slightly [6] 117/2 50/11 50/13 50/16 sensitive [1] 138/24 89/16 96/7 101/24 137/22 155/2 184/11 160/3 163/24 166/10 51/24 52/1 52/11 sensitivity [3] 197/12 101/25 103/22 107/10 significantly [5] 2/15 204/1 209/8 53/15 53/18 54/4 198/8 198/17 150/22 159/8 159/23 17/3 83/8 138/2 slip [1] 11/15 54/17 62/23 101/2 160/1 160/9 160/10 190/13 slowly [2] 144/14 sent [1] 176/1 101/15 102/19 154/18 **sentence** [3] 53/3 144/20 160/11 161/3 **signing [1]** 51/2 sector's [1] 51/5 193/7 194/18 several [3] 142/20 **similar [4]** 157/25 small [5] 16/20 24/9 **sectors [6]** 17/3 158/8 159/6 159/21 26/9 140/2 184/20 **sentiments** [1] 86/8 144/24 170/13 28/24 50/18 62/3 separate [3] 7/24 severe [10] 12/17 **simple [2]** 112/3 smaller [6] 16/22 102/12 129/2 126/1 176/10 16/3 16/4 22/15 26/20 178/18 26/10 139/24 147/15 **secure** [1] 196/3 27/6 39/15 52/13 **separated** [1] 203/11 **simply [2]** 166/9 162/7 197/1 security [20] 3/11 separately [2] 120/13 52/21 68/25 183/18 **smelly [1]** 144/6 3/17 4/8 4/22 4/24 5/8 shall [3] 49/13 92/4 **simulation** [2] 125/8 **smiling [1]** 169/10 201/1 5/13 28/11 35/19 **September [2]** 8/12 143/7 170/12 **smoking [1]** 93/4 49/17 50/22 52/1 53/7 110/18 shape [1] 202/5 since [18] 2/2 2/20 **sneeze [1]** 161/19 55/14 117/13 125/22 September 2020 [1] shared [3] 68/2 72/23 8/14 53/15 63/22 so [312] 134/6 149/2 151/2 110/18 87/25 65/10 67/18 92/25 social [66] 2/3 2/12 164/3 sequencing [3] 186/3 **sharing [1]** 145/7 104/25 110/5 110/9 2/16 3/1 3/6 6/11 6/14 see [47] 17/1 38/14 186/18 187/14 117/13 117/14 129/12 8/7 10/12 11/2 11/22 she [6] 64/13 70/22 53/4 57/21 58/8 98/16 173/3 185/20 190/13 140/9 140/21 205/22 14/4 17/16 18/5 18/15 series [4] 27/17 114/14 120/18 121/17 30/14 33/10 127/9 208/3 190/15 18/16 18/19 19/11 123/2 123/14 133/11 serious [8] 8/22 **sheet [4]** 113/16 singing [1] 162/10 20/16 21/3 21/5 21/11 138/19 141/20 149/11 10/11 12/22 13/7 120/16 123/1 164/22 23/10 24/11 28/18 single [6] 14/10 151/5 152/22 152/24 26/25 31/11 39/24 14/12 48/5 63/3 63/7 31/4 33/20 33/25 36/1 **shielding [2]** 61/4 154/23 157/9 158/9 119/4 119/1 189/12 36/4 38/6 38/9 40/11 160/5 162/13 162/19 **shifts [1]** 110/10 Sir [8] 148/8 169/18 seriously [1] 22/4 41/23 46/12 46/25 163/8 164/20 171/9 47/14 47/18 51/5 59/2 serology [2] 172/22 **short [8]** 20/2 49/15 188/3 196/21 196/22 173/9 179/1 179/16 173/25 75/13 88/13 92/8 200/20 206/14 207/5 59/20 59/21 60/25 180/5 183/1 184/16 servant [3] 2/1 56/22 115/1 143/10 192/1 Sir Chris Whitty [1] 84/4 88/8 91/9 94/16 187/11 189/25 190/3 shortage [4] 88/13 196/22 95/9 100/19 100/22 134/17 190/5 195/5 196/19 91/4 91/11 91/14 servants [3] 84/17 Sir Liam Donaldson 102/8 102/18 105/21 202/25 203/6 203/14 should [27] 13/6 **[1]** 148/8 106/11 106/12 118/25 132/18 205/3 204/20 205/10 206/12 123/10 127/2 141/12 15/19 16/15 32/2 Sir Michael Marmot's service [11] 2/7 206/22 209/20 203/8 203/9 203/16 18/20 84/6 95/5 33/15 43/15 54/14 **[1]** 200/20 seeing [2] 139/5 148/14 148/21 150/3 57/12 70/5 77/20 Sir Oliver Letwin's 204/3 204/4 204/8 155/10 167/4 178/21 190/25 79/16 106/10 113/23 **[1]** 206/14 206/9 seek [5] 38/5 79/1 204/11 125/12 128/20 157/5 Sir Patrick [1] 196/21 **social care [33]** 2/3 83/23 187/21 200/14 158/4 159/7 159/22 services [24] 21/13 Sir Patrick Vallance 2/12 2/16 3/1 3/6 6/11 seem [1] 54/23 [2] 169/18 207/5 88/11 89/20 92/19 170/1 170/2 170/2 6/14 8/7 11/22 14/4 seemed [2] 82/18 177/11 181/18 195/2 92/20 93/4 96/18 97/7 Sir Paul Cosford [1] 17/16 18/5 18/19 82/19 100/9 101/3 101/7 198/17 209/2 20/16 36/1 59/2 59/20 188/3 seen [9] 35/15 56/13 sit [5] 5/15 24/17 101/9 121/8 124/16 **shouting [1]** 162/10 84/4 88/8 91/9 100/19 103/15 111/9 138/8 127/4 128/12 131/7 **showed [2]** 52/3 55/25 57/2 76/10 100/22 102/18 106/11 158/1 165/18 182/15 136/7 137/1 137/24 106/12 118/25 123/10 80/11 sites [3] 150/4 195/25 202/13 202/20 203/8 **showing [1]** 164/22 150/11 178/15 127/2 141/12 203/9 seized [1] 70/8 204/13 sits [2] 49/6 126/8 204/4 204/8 206/9 **shown [1]** 79/23 self [7] 17/20 27/13 sitting [3] 57/2 57/12 | Social Care's [2] session [1] 207/2 **shows [1]** 110/25 36/12 37/13 46/11 10/12 19/11 sessions [1] 33/10 shrunk [1] 29/1 161/18 177/5 177/11 set [27] 3/8 3/15 5/25 sic [1] 20/1 situated [1] 150/11 socialising [1] 41/22 **self-isolate** [1] 46/11 society [5] 62/3 63/5 7/12 10/25 21/1 25/4 side [14] 18/11 18/12 situation [8] 29/10 self-isolation [6] 28/23 29/23 30/11 130/15 130/22 133/3 44/15 57/18 74/5 77/8 83/6 112/16 147/2 17/20 27/13 36/12 36/20 36/21 36/24 133/6 145/9 170/23 78/24 144/3 152/7 socio [2] 201/2 37/13 177/5 177/11 39/4 43/19 46/18 173/7 174/14 189/19 **situations [2]** 70/15 201/23 semi [1] 37/11 51/16 109/3 121/5 202/25 203/11 204/21 72/22 socio-economic [2] semi-clinically [1] 124/9 132/13 134/22 sides [1] 180/17 six [4] 41/1 42/3 201/2 201/23 37/11 135/6 138/7 173/8 44/14 110/20 signal [1] 209/2 **sole [1]** 19/17 seminar [1] 84/15 199/21 200/4 signals [1] 123/16 size [1] 17/4 some [66] 10/7 13/11 send [1] 149/12 sets [4] 7/16 8/3 signature [1] 1/21 **skill [2]** 139/15 174/2 20/2 23/11 25/8 28/6

21/14 28/25 74/15 23/7 25/14 52/7 S south [13] 80/10 **statement [57]** 1/15 117/19 122/21 142/11 77/11 77/13 86/14 1/19 1/22 4/17 5/11 133/24 182/4 some... [60] 31/20 144/22 158/1 162/21 86/23 87/8 87/16 17/17 26/22 28/2 **stopped [2]** 20/20 33/2 33/8 33/9 41/17 60/12 63/10 66/5 66/8 133/25 165/12 174/17 175/9 88/25 95/11 96/5 41/20 42/7 44/19 45/5 68/9 70/22 72/8 73/14|stopping [1] 20/9 175/11 175/19 178/13 100/10 101/1 101/6 46/21 47/9 47/17 South Korea [9] 101/14 101/17 102/7 75/20 79/14 82/11 stops [1] 170/4 47/24 48/9 55/18 122/21 158/1 162/21 102/11 107/11 107/18 85/15 87/10 90/3 **stored [1]** 199/23 55/21 55/21 56/7 165/12 174/17 175/9 107/21 108/25 109/11 90/18 92/17 94/13 straight [2] 130/12 56/12 61/24 67/25 175/11 175/19 178/13 109/14 110/20 111/1 95/16 100/20 101/5 164/4 78/1 78/18 91/1 99/10 111/2 111/4 127/7 103/9 103/18 105/4 South Korean [1] strands [3] 121/20 101/1 129/10 131/16 80/10 127/11 139/15 142/9 105/23 107/3 109/18 122/1 124/8 135/15 137/13 139/15 **space [1]** 47/17 142/17 149/20 154/22 113/23 114/1 115/4 strange [2] 91/21 139/16 139/17 139/19 **spaces [1]** 137/3 154/25 172/14 172/16 116/11 116/14 116/19 204/1 139/20 139/23 142/1 **spaghetti** [1] 168/13 182/24 203/3 203/25 116/21 116/23 117/1 strategic [14] 66/21 144/1 145/3 145/5 **Spain [1]** 76/23 204/15 205/4 121/5 123/12 124/3 111/12 115/18 118/3 153/15 154/5 158/6 speak [10] 1/12 4/16 staff's [1] 84/7 124/10 140/4 143/14 127/13 128/24 135/6 167/7 167/20 172/20 48/17 64/22 115/6 152/8 153/7 154/4 135/13 145/20 186/1 staffing [5] 88/25 176/8 177/15 181/2 186/11 189/21 192/12 134/6 142/15 144/14 89/12 89/18 89/20 160/18 169/15 177/21 182/3 182/13 182/16 90/5 144/15 144/20 185/17 196/8 196/24 183/22 186/16 190/12 speaking [2] 16/21 stage [1] 156/17 statements [3] 66/3 strategies [1] 14/9 193/5 195/12 197/2 116/17 116/24 122/10 stages [1] 73/25 strategy [32] 11/17 200/21 202/3 specialist [13] 66/23 stakeholder [15] states [2] 162/14 11/19 12/2 12/3 12/7 somebody [8] 76/9 84/18 96/2 98/11 66/25 73/11 74/6 192/10 12/21 13/10 13/14 161/20 167/20 189/19 98/17 117/23 144/9 74/21 82/19 83/8 **static [4]** 51/3 51/12 13/15 13/20 14/13 205/13 206/4 206/12 146/19 146/23 147/5 85/12 105/6 111/20 52/19 52/19 14/14 14/16 15/6 17/1 207/15 147/8 148/24 149/9 111/24 112/2 112/3 17/13 18/23 19/3 19/4 **status** [1] 51/3 somebody's [2] specialists [5] 44/5 112/6 112/9 114/10 **statutory [6]** 120/17 19/18 27/11 27/20 177/24 195/22 85/20 94/18 141/13 stakeholders [4] 127/21 129/3 131/11 62/9 62/20 63/22 something [32] 156/14 74/1 74/23 77/24 83/5 131/20 132/12 63/23 85/23 185/19 10/23 11/6 11/21 185/22 186/14 186/25 **specially [1]** 150/2 standard [5] 49/1 **stay [1]** 207/1 35/14 48/5 48/6 51/4 specific [12] 53/24 58/20 58/21 133/3 **stayed [2]** 142/5 187/7 58/18 90/24 99/8 70/16 70/16 73/8 142/14 142/21 streamline [1] 122/7 99/11 100/2 100/3 steam [1] 189/17 112/19 113/14 134/4 streams [4] 28/16 standardised [3] 100/13 101/13 105/10 178/15 189/9 190/6 97/21 97/23 108/18 stenographer [4] 96/17 97/6 186/23 106/11 112/3 141/15 196/10 198/22 standards [7] 58/19 64/23 144/17 191/14 **Street [2]** 183/24 144/7 145/19 147/12 specifically [10] 7/17 58/25 59/9 96/17 97/6 210/2 184/22 147/19 150/2 157/1 29/7 29/12 67/23 137/3 147/3 **step [1]** 107/24 strengthen [2] 173/25 177/19 182/4 74/19 79/18 86/16 105/21 153/21 standing [3] 6/17 **Stephen [1]** 66/1 193/4 193/23 195/20 96/11 105/15 157/23 126/16 183/3 Stephen Groves [1] stressed [1] 185/14 202/23 stands [3] 25/3 80/15 66/1 specifying [1] 199/2 **stretched** [1] 185/6 sometimes [4] 134/9 **speech [1]** 112/16 205/15 **stepped** [1] 33/19 **strictly [1]** 196/17 149/10 193/17 194/16 spend [1] 37/23 start [11] 30/17 44/6 steps [5] 8/21 18/21 strike [1] 23/19 somewhat [2] 10/4 100/24 122/21 128/18 33/23 61/12 127/21 spending [3] 89/6 **strong [2]** 133/21 93/14 110/18 139/10 157/3 182/7 stick [1] 134/20 142/7 somewhere [2] 202/21 204/14 206/22 sticky [1] 128/18 **spent [2]** 119/16 **strongly [2]** 136/5 124/23 198/14 stigmatised [1] 78/2 started [16] 13/2 138/21 172/3 soon [1] 161/23 41/14 42/4 42/5 42/6 still [16] 24/6 37/3 **struck [5]** 15/8 17/15 **split [1]** 203/1 sorry [14] 19/20 **spoke [2]** 136/17 78/3 119/6 139/18 54/24 89/24 90/11 47/13 58/4 59/8 26/15 39/19 52/19 169/12 145/18 145/20 151/21 147/10 156/23 157/4 **structural [8]** 109/20 75/7 98/13 141/2 160/19 185/22 187/12 spoken [2] 114/10 172/16 181/8 181/10 109/25 130/7 142/8 155/4 155/8 155/9 208/10 189/10 193/15 190/9 191/7 197/10 145/16 148/11 151/16 158/15 159/18 199/8 205/6 205/12 154/14 sponsored [1] **starting [4]** 98/2 199/10 119/24 156/22 207/14 stockpile [7] 107/9 120/10 **structure [3]** 18/25 sort [8] 17/21 76/16 108/5 125/9 125/16 **spot** [1] 192/17 **starts [1]** 108/11 57/20 126/6 128/15 144/1 181/1 **spread [9]** 78/16 state [17] 3/5 3/19 198/13 199/22 200/4 **structures** [11] 70/18 197/16 199/8 209/16 12/5 27/21 29/5 30/11 stockpiles [4] 43/2 79/19 80/13 81/8 86/12 86/22 127/2 sort of [3] 144/1 40/18 53/9 55/5 90/25 172/18 197/24 198/3 132/8 136/2 145/13 157/17 157/20 159/4 197/16 209/16 159/9 159/24 91/8 120/11 120/12 190/13 190/20 192/8 stockpiling [4] 81/1 **sorts [1]** 151/11 127/20 131/12 131/19 107/5 109/7 199/4 **spreading [2]** 163/5 197/16 **sought [1]** 202/19 200/18 Stoke [3] 65/15 104/3 struggle [1] 62/12 165/19 sounded [1] 131/10 **SRO [2]** 118/16 119/1 state's [2] 30/18 104/15 studied [1] 175/3 **sounds [3]** 41/9 St [1] 172/3 124/11 Stoke Mandeville [2] stuff [1] 71/11 98/12 207/8 stated [4] 9/6 15/24 **stability [1]** 21/24 104/3 104/15 **subgroup [1]** 72/18 source [1] 121/11 staff [46] 8/25 17/2 111/7 167/22 **stop [7]** 17/9 17/18 **subject [4]** 132/18

126/15 174/23 182/20 207/14 39/25 43/20 52/9 S swine [5] 25/7 65/18 supplementary [1] 72/12 74/10 120/5 taking [6] 32/9 83/10 65/13 67/25 82/25 **subject... [3]** 183/20 116/20 swine flu [5] 25/7 117/8 152/12 163/12 85/7 87/4 87/10 87/16 183/25 187/20 **supply [2]** 21/18 65/18 72/12 74/10 175/10 90/14 93/17 93/23 subjective [2] 203/21 talk [3] 150/9 176/11 21/20 120/5 93/25 99/1 100/11 205/6 support [36] 29/9 Swinson [3] 29/9 176/13 102/3 102/7 109/6 submission [3] 20/25 112/11 140/6 140/21 40/13 59/18 37/18 57/15 67/14 talked [1] 62/12 110/17 153/7 142/14 152/11 153/6 68/19 74/14 76/2 76/6 **sworn [5]** 1/6 64/13 talking [3] 32/12 submitted [4] 151/22 76/12 77/13 78/15 64/14 211/3 211/7 150/6 166/17 167/4 174/5 175/8 176/8 176/16 177/21 78/22 79/12 83/2 85/4 175/18 184/9 192/7 symptomatic [3] Tam [1] 209/13 subsequent [4] 88/16 93/22 93/23 161/14 177/6 177/10 task [2] 7/4 127/8 194/1 195/17 196/7 28/11 79/10 118/17 95/3 98/5 104/7 197/24 198/5 199/4 symptoms [1] 171/24 taskforce [2] 118/13 119/2 105/16 112/24 146/2 **Syndrome [1]** 69/1 207/16 199/15 205/19 205/21 subsequently [2] 146/7 147/13 147/17 **TB [2]** 187/13 187/17 205/23 synergy [1] 130/5 35/14 192/6 169/15 178/2 178/8 system [48] 9/22 team [13] 18/19 24/5 terrible [2] 26/13 substances [1] 181/11 185/7 185/8 9/23 10/4 10/5 10/22 24/6 24/8 24/18 67/2 42/14 121/16 203/8 204/6 209/15 11/1 11/2 11/6 11/10 104/6 115/19 129/7 test [9] 27/13 58/20 substantial [1] 145/2 181/1 199/18 supported [3] 59/6 28/19 38/9 38/24 108/25 117/14 126/14 153/18 68/18 94/2 40/11 49/6 54/10 199/19 172/25 173/1 173/1 succeeded [1] supporting [9] 72/3 81/13 94/15 94/16 teams [30] 81/19 173/19 115/10 95/7 96/12 108/17 tested [4] 12/9 82/23 94/5 121/8 85/3 93/9 94/2 94/6 success [1] 145/5 140/8 183/12 188/6 109/3 109/11 115/7 95/1 95/6 95/11 95/14 109/14 166/23 175/5 **successful** [1] 129/4 189/18 209/11 124/18 131/21 141/7 95/20 95/25 96/12 testing [14] 41/22 successfully [1] 141/10 141/11 141/17 97/22 103/21 103/24 107/18 108/9 108/17 supportive [1] 175/7 149/10 149/15 151/23 104/9 114/4 140/7 109/7 109/9 109/16 130/17 successive [4] 15/18 153/17 153/17 153/22 140/10 143/15 143/19 125/5 125/11 125/13 **supports [2]** 132/3 82/17 95/22 146/12 154/8 155/12 156/6 143/21 143/22 144/24 149/25 181/2 181/4 182/10 such [27] 4/7 26/8 166/2 172/12 179/13 145/17 146/1 146/10 **suppose [2]** 37/10 181/4 31/11 36/10 45/6 77/6 167/16 180/6 185/2 199/25 146/15 147/14 147/21 tests [1] 207/19 79/25 80/18 84/13 203/10 206/19 206/20 teamwork [1] 23/21 suppressed [1] text [2] 55/1 55/2 93/4 94/5 101/9 130/16 **systematic** [2] 62/15 technical [3] 120/23 than [38] 9/21 12/22 101/21 103/3 104/1 sure [14] 1/12 7/23 207/13 168/16 168/23 14/10 14/16 17/3 25/1 105/25 107/14 110/8 11/10 40/1 40/3 49/23 systemic [3] 52/10 27/5 31/13 56/17 techniques [1] 112/14 112/15 135/5 54/11 62/4 98/18 61/7 62/15 186/17 56/19 60/20 61/24 135/7 137/2 159/1 125/11 135/9 170/8 systems [20] 10/13 tell [16] 43/4 68/9 69/24 75/10 81/17 173/3 192/13 200/5 176/21 199/23 11/9 60/25 69/15 88/7 72/8 72/14 73/13 92/24 101/24 106/18 suffer [2] 93/18 96/21 97/1 100/21 106/21 112/7 119/19 surfaced [1] 178/22 75/20 75/24 79/13 201/14 surge [15] 41/20 47/8 105/9 108/17 109/9 82/10 87/10 90/3 124/4 125/17 131/23 suffered [3] 92/22 127/11 133/11 143/17 92/17 107/2 152/8 138/9 138/11 142/21 47/12 47/19 47/22 141/25 169/8 88/15 171/11 183/12 180/7 180/21 181/6 182/24 208/22 152/4 153/17 153/21 suffering [1] 99/6 183/22 184/1 184/9 181/8 184/7 194/20 tells [2] 108/14 140/3 154/1 157/10 161/9 sufficient [12] 12/16 184/13 184/21 185/2 template [4] 155/21 163/3 165/16 183/5 30/7 39/14 40/2 56/11 Т 185/3 157/9 164/15 165/18 195/11 196/12 86/12 86/22 101/2 table [5] 75/2 77/25 **surgical [1]** 81/5 temporarily [2] 96/25 thank [105] 8/4 8/18 107/9 107/20 172/17 83/6 154/23 155/10 176/19 10/9 15/1 19/7 43/10 **surprise [3]** 44/16 172/19 tabletop [1] 170/25 63/24 64/2 64/8 64/9 45/13 54/15 temporary [1] 97/16 suggest [5] 27/3 tackled [1] 206/10 ten [6] 62/1 171/22 64/9 64/10 64/18 surprised [3] 30/2 42/16 156/17 167/19 tailored [1] 67/5 30/8 31/5 186/1 195/20 201/20 64/19 64/20 65/5 167/20 take [29] 37/22 41/10 surveillance [3] 202/8 65/13 66/7 66/8 66/11 **suggested [3]** 166/7 51/12 51/16 54/14 ten days [1] 171/22 66/15 67/15 68/8 125/3 186/2 207/13 197/22 206/4 66/15 77/14 82/2 **survey [1]** 152/2 ten years [2] 201/20 70/19 78/9 81/23 suggesting [2] 19/16 85/11 86/10 87/20 surveys [1] 84/10 202/8 83/17 83/20 85/11 147/14 108/7 108/12 110/1 85/18 86/10 90/17 suspect [3] 149/17 ten-year [1] 62/1 suggestion [1] 97/4 116/6 116/16 126/23 91/19 92/6 92/11 167/1 195/2 tend [1] 206/18 suggestions [1] 127/21 128/11 137/9 suspected [2] 171/25 tendency [1] 25/14 94/11 95/18 98/8 163/19 141/4 151/9 154/1 tends [1] 111/13 98/21 107/1 111/18 172/3 suggests [2] 97/15 160/4 164/1 165/3 tenure [1] 30/18 112/20 113/11 113/20 sustain [2] 97/19 152/2 167/25 179/21 195/9 153/13 term [4] 80/17 91/7 115/22 115/23 115/24 suitable [1] 198/5 taken [20] 8/21 12/20 136/12 136/22 116/1 116/3 116/16 sustainability [1] sum [2] 44/1 62/6 18/2 25/23 33/23 117/3 117/4 119/22 65/9 terminology [2] **summarise** [1] 109/5 45/13 52/21 60/13 125/18 126/20 126/23 sustainable [2] 91/6 11/16 20/7 summit [1] 77/2 61/13 73/22 114/20 124/15 terms [50] 2/22 10/25 127/13 132/5 135/19 super [1] 126/15 sustained [3] 78/19 129/7 137/14 160/12 15/24 22/16 23/2 26/8 135/22 137/9 141/6 super-body [1] 166/9 167/20 172/8 159/10 159/14 27/12 30/6 31/11 143/5 143/7 143/13

137/16 139/6 153/25 180/5 181/24 183/24 161/24 162/9 166/9 161/10 163/14 163/19 163/21 173/12 174/1 190/11 191/5 191/20 167/3 168/10 168/19 164/25 165/5 166/13 thank... [40] 145/12 176/22 177/11 182/22 196/25 201/16 203/5 168/21 168/24 169/13 167/7 168/19 169/4 148/2 149/24 152/6 183/6 187/1 192/20 203/10 206/24 209/21 169/18 171/22 174/3 169/12 169/17 170/18 153/1 155/14 161/5 195/6 196/17 197/7 theory [2] 106/6 177/25 178/8 178/8 174/6 174/8 175/15 162/18 164/1 164/5 197/10 197/18 197/18 123/16 180/10 182/22 194/19 175/24 175/25 176/6 167/25 170/17 170/23 198/10 198/20 199/14 therapists [1] 112/17 198/5 199/6 201/25 176/7 176/9 176/11 173/5 174/12 174/15 200/24 201/24 202/18 204/18 176/16 176/17 176/19 there [254] 176/25 177/2 178/24 there's [16] 23/8 54/9 they'd [2] 154/24 their [50] 17/8 30/19 177/20 177/20 178/6 179/8 181/13 183/7 37/4 38/21 38/22 127/9 134/8 141/18 173/2 178/11 178/13 180/23 188/19 189/23 191/23 48/11 48/23 49/1 49/1 147/23 154/3 165/12 they're [18] 5/9 21/22 181/3 181/6 181/21 192/3 192/10 195/9 52/24 56/13 58/22 174/2 176/7 185/2 30/18 51/11 51/16 182/3 182/3 183/1 196/6 202/10 202/13 59/11 61/22 71/13 185/3 188/8 191/20 94/7 119/8 124/2 183/4 184/12 184/15 205/16 206/3 207/22 84/7 94/7 95/12 98/7 195/12 195/22 150/6 150/25 157/2 184/22 185/4 185/12 209/24 209/25 210/1 100/11 106/3 106/20 186/6 187/10 187/19 thereafter [1] 62/21 166/9 168/17 178/5 210/4 210/6 210/8 106/25 107/11 107/12 therefore [19] 3/1 184/20 185/1 194/15 188/10 189/4 190/21 thank you [88] 8/4 122/12 128/2 128/10 11/20 13/5 15/11 31/5 201/14 190/21 191/2 191/9 8/18 10/9 15/1 19/7 191/20 193/11 193/18 128/13 129/15 137/6 34/6 34/16 54/12 they've [1] 141/21 43/10 63/24 64/2 64/9 137/7 138/6 142/21 88/14 104/11 110/10 thing [9] 46/17 133/3 193/19 193/20 194/8 64/9 64/10 64/18 143/20 145/11 147/4 145/14 145/24 149/16 133/10 135/3 162/8 195/1 195/5 196/20 64/20 65/13 66/7 66/8 149/6 154/21 156/20 151/2 153/13 159/12 169/13 182/22 198/23 196/23 198/15 198/15 66/15 67/15 68/8 198/17 198/21 200/22 159/3 168/20 169/19 166/6 190/23 199/2 70/19 78/9 81/23 171/24 175/11 182/20 these [40] 7/16 9/20 things [17] 27/12 201/22 203/20 204/3 83/17 83/20 85/11 11/8 19/8 19/20 20/2 190/23 204/13 204/15 57/8 57/21 90/21 204/7 204/16 204/19 85/18 90/17 91/19 208/19 20/18 20/18 38/22 120/1 122/18 147/22 205/12 207/1 207/6 92/6 92/11 94/11 38/25 50/23 51/10 182/24 184/12 194/9 207/6 207/15 208/1 them [43] 4/23 9/18 95/18 98/8 107/1 9/19 24/12 32/17 33/9 51/11 54/21 57/6 195/4 201/3 205/7 209/4 209/25 111/18 112/20 113/11 36/18 37/17 40/24 77/14 77/14 95/25 206/17 206/24 207/10 thinking [6] 20/24 113/20 115/23 115/24 25/14 33/25 34/1 41/1 41/18 42/9 48/3 146/17 150/25 151/5 207/19 116/3 116/16 117/3 67/14 95/4 98/5 98/6 153/11 156/21 157/11 think [188] 1/11 2/1 37/24 61/4 117/4 119/22 125/18 130/6 132/1 134/20 161/15 161/21 162/9 9/10 18/6 21/4 21/24 third [2] 5/21 23/16 126/20 126/23 127/13 135/4 137/13 142/7 163/19 164/16 167/7 22/8 22/18 23/8 23/9 this [214] 132/5 135/19 137/9 142/22 144/4 149/12 169/6 178/1 181/21 23/13 25/3 27/8 28/6 **Thomas' [1]** 172/3 141/6 143/13 145/12 181/23 187/17 190/1 149/13 151/3 151/7 29/17 40/17 42/2 those [120] 6/4 6/23 148/2 149/24 152/6 190/24 201/4 203/18 152/3 167/21 168/5 42/24 45/8 46/15 6/25 10/6 11/13 13/4 153/1 155/14 161/5 168/24 171/23 173/3 206/7 50/24 53/7 57/5 57/11 13/5 15/21 15/23 16/5 162/18 164/1 164/5 174/1 174/1 177/24 they [116] 3/9 4/22 57/13 58/25 60/12 17/7 17/22 18/7 22/24 167/25 170/17 170/23 178/2 186/21 194/12 5/3 5/10 5/13 5/15 61/16 61/21 65/2 25/23 26/4 33/23 35/3 173/5 174/12 174/15 205/13 209/12 7/19 7/20 7/22 8/2 8/3 71/16 86/15 91/20 35/8 35/9 36/10 36/16 176/25 177/2 178/24 13/6 13/24 19/9 19/13 97/10 98/14 98/23 36/20 37/6 37/24 38/3 them' [1] 84/13 179/8 181/13 183/7 theme [3] 141/16 19/14 19/22 22/25 103/12 103/20 105/19 38/5 38/16 39/2 39/4 188/19 189/23 192/3 155/5 188/10 26/10 26/24 36/21 107/7 109/12 112/1 39/5 39/8 40/1 40/22 192/10 196/6 202/10 themselves [2] 61/21 37/7 37/7 38/18 42/8 119/8 122/7 122/10 42/7 44/4 44/6 44/8 205/16 206/3 207/22 42/10 45/6 47/4 49/19 123/12 123/15 123/25 44/9 44/20 45/9 55/10 138/4 210/4 210/6 210/8 then [73] 7/1 9/19 49/22 49/23 50/1 124/1 124/4 124/22 57/19 60/18 60/23 thanked [1] 190/8 10/3 10/7 10/19 11/13 51/12 51/12 51/16 125/10 125/12 125/13 62/13 64/1 66/12 thanking [1] 116/9 11/24 17/4 18/9 22/14 57/7 57/8 57/9 58/6 127/9 128/3 128/17 69/13 70/3 70/14 that [1095] 58/21 59/2 60/9 62/12 23/16 23/24 28/17 128/19 128/19 128/23 70/25 72/4 72/20 that key [1] 13/22 29/2 29/22 31/20 76/14 81/5 88/21 90/7 129/6 129/11 129/21 76/12 76/13 78/7 that's [72] 5/7 6/16 34/14 41/10 51/24 94/2 95/5 104/6 106/8 130/10 130/16 131/21 85/21 86/8 87/7 88/2 8/4 14/8 14/18 16/23 55/16 65/5 67/16 106/9 110/22 113/3 131/22 132/2 133/10 94/9 99/3 99/3 99/7 21/14 21/19 28/1 30/1 73/20 79/10 93/12 113/4 113/17 113/18 133/13 133/19 134/13 99/16 105/13 107/8 35/13 35/25 36/9 42/2 100/7 106/7 108/7 120/21 122/12 124/4 134/17 135/2 135/9 107/15 108/16 109/17 44/1 45/20 45/25 46/8 110/9 110/21 113/12 108/23 109/7 115/18 124/6 128/24 130/5 137/14 137/16 138/16 56/2 57/4 66/2 66/5 116/20 119/13 125/20 138/20 138/25 139/9 113/17 119/3 119/7 135/12 135/13 135/15 66/6 66/10 72/13 133/6 139/16 142/18 135/17 137/14 137/24 139/10 139/22 141/22 120/20 122/16 124/22 75/19 79/21 80/9 142/18 144/1 144/7 138/1 139/2 142/5 142/3 142/14 142/17 125/10 129/13 130/4 83/19 89/17 89/23 145/6 145/21 146/1 143/1 143/23 144/4 142/22 142/23 144/6 133/12 137/12 140/15 94/1 98/13 103/15 148/7 149/11 149/17 144/4 144/7 144/25 145/19 147/14 147/24 145/16 146/1 146/7 103/19 106/6 107/5 150/11 156/19 158/22 145/4 145/21 147/4 148/10 148/19 149/14 147/9 147/24 149/1 114/6 116/13 119/8 161/24 166/8 167/21 147/7 147/8 147/12 149/22 150/5 151/4 150/10 150/19 150/21 119/11 123/24 126/19 168/14 171/5 172/5 147/16 150/16 150/18 153/6 154/4 155/1 151/11 154/13 160/16 128/19 129/21 130/25 173/21 176/11 176/11 151/1 151/9 156/13 156/11 156/21 157/2 164/18 168/8 168/10 134/13 135/2 136/15 176/24 178/14 179/23 160/16 161/14 161/23 158/16 160/2 160/12 168/19 176/4 178/22

57/10 90/16 118/15 119/15 Т 208/2 treated [1] 34/21 treating [1] 187/13 tight [1] 137/20 topics [3] 186/25 125/22 134/6 146/8 those... [16] 180/15 time [86] 8/13 8/19 187/4 200/8 **treatment [3]** 35/1 149/2 153/18 158/21 180/23 181/14 182/25 9/17 9/19 12/20 13/2 total [3] 162/14 164/9 61/12 61/12 158/22 158/23 159/2 183/3 184/12 185/4 tried [1] 151/23 17/16 19/24 19/25 164/10 159/14 160/15 174/19 186/23 190/8 193/20 20/3 20/21 22/1 24/8 trigger [2] 198/24 192/14 totality [2] 53/5 200/24 203/2 203/17 27/19 31/12 32/12 166/20 199/2 UK countries [1] 204/13 205/12 208/23 32/14 36/5 37/23 43/5 totally [1] 130/17 triple [1] 91/15 119/15 though [8] 26/10 53/1 53/8 53/22 53/23 touch [3] 148/3 true [2] 27/8 62/7 UK's [5] 12/15 28/14 45/10 67/24 130/10 trust [3] 95/3 109/12 62/20 65/1 68/7 72/19 30/5 39/13 187/7 160/21 184/17 140/15 176/11 182/12 73/3 75/13 76/9 76/19 touched [4] 107/2 133/12 **UKHSA [22]** 37/5 182/17 77/22 78/19 80/23 129/19 183/25 205/21 85/25 94/7 96/22 trusts [10] 89/14 thought [11] 13/24 81/12 83/2 85/4 87/20 towards [6] 76/10 94/5 104/7 104/10 117/16 126/14 126/21 41/14 43/22 67/25 90/22 91/1 94/10 95/2 97/14 125/23 181/9 109/11 121/2 127/14 130/23 150/8 152/21 91/24 101/2 161/12 101/8 104/6 105/1 182/24 194/23 128/4 136/4 149/5 168/11 168/24 168/25 169/13 186/22 197/5 109/14 113/7 114/24 trace [5] 10/17 10/18 truth [2] 1/22 134/7 169/3 180/8 180/25 206/3 115/1 115/8 119/17 27/14 117/14 126/14 182/6 186/24 187/14 try [14] 11/10 23/19 thoughts [1] 210/3 tracing [8] 37/20 122/6 137/11 139/2 71/13 122/6 133/9 194/10 195/10 207/9 thousands [2] 10/1 133/25 144/20 146/25 UKHSA-hosted [1] 140/6 141/16 142/12 125/5 125/11 125/13 10/14 145/15 147/17 148/18 157/18 171/15 172/1 181/8 182/9 182/22 85/25 THRC [4] 29/23 150/8 151/9 153/3 181/3 184/10 184/16 194/12 ultimately [1] 100/8 192/11 192/17 194/21 154/17 160/22 163/21 track [4] 10/1 10/14 trying [9] 133/24 umbrella [1] 95/11 threads [1] 55/10 166/23 167/17 170/19 10/17 10/18 142/24 146/2 153/19 unable [3] 93/1 156/1 threat [11] 6/24 21/6 174/24 181/24 184/2 trading [1] 137/2 166/25 174/6 184/13 183/4 23/18 23/19 30/22 184/18 187/11 193/15 **tradition [1]** 132/12 194/16 196/19 uncertain [2] 150/16 54/1 57/15 159/13 194/10 194/15 194/23 tragic [1] 26/10 **Tuesday [1]** 210/11 167/24 189/8 189/14 189/15 195/12 199/11 200/14 train [2] 109/10 turn [4] 92/14 94/19 uncertainty [5] threats [13] 5/5 6/3 200/14 203/18 205/12 109/13 147/12 155/15 142/23 151/20 158/6 6/4 7/15 28/11 29/11 208/17 trained [3] 119/16 **turnaround** [1] 84/16 165/21 167/11 30/12 53/5 53/6 55/6 143/2 150/2 timeframe [2] 151/13 **turned [2]** 197/5 unclear [2] 96/16 55/15 121/13 126/18 201/8 trainer [1] 109/10 199/24 97/7 three [13] 5/12 14/20 times [3] 7/20 102/13 training [13] 15/2 turning [3] 111/19 uncommon [1] 121/20 121/25 124/8 78/22 86/5 97/21 125/18 193/22 133/2 141/22 129/12 143/18 147/9 timescale [2] 131/2 107/18 107/22 117/23 turns [2] 14/3 206/24 unconnected [1] 157/22 171/23 171/23 201/18 147/3 147/4 147/11 two [47] 2/11 3/10 32/23 184/12 186/25 under [26] 3/7 3/20 tobacco [1] 209/15 147/17 147/25 149/21 7/11 9/6 10/6 21/20 three years [2] today [6] 64/21 65/2 transcript [1] 64/24 21/22 25/21 31/22 5/5 5/7 5/18 5/19 8/2 129/12 186/25 116/24 205/1 205/20 34/11 36/19 39/8 44/9 12/12 34/6 41/25 transferred [2] through [47] 12/9 51/10 66/3 72/24 91/4 208/10 126/11 148/16 49/20 50/1 62/22 63/2 12/12 13/24 20/24 100/9 116/17 125/10 together [11] 5/9 7/1 transferring [1] 76/13 85/22 92/22 23/23 33/19 42/18 49/10 55/11 67/2 127/6 133/19 142/4 144/22 94/6 94/7 95/11 130/2 45/15 52/24 56/13 112/18 122/14 124/8 translate [1] 166/13 149/22 150/11 150/21 137/22 138/2 164/7 57/22 63/8 68/19 77/3 177/23 189/12 205/13 translating [1] 139/1 157/4 157/6 158/17 172/7 176/22 78/13 78/14 81/8 160/22 164/7 172/1 told [10] 8/13 8/22 transmissible [1] under way [1] 12/12 85/25 97/2 97/20 9/3 38/21 92/5 99/24 172/1 172/4 176/6 157/13 under-represented 117/8 122/10 124/15 177/23 180/23 182/25 **[1]** 85/22 124/7 132/6 141/9 transmission [22] 138/17 139/2 147/4 183/3 184/16 185/4 191/14 16/2 16/3 78/19 79/11 underestimated [1] 147/18 149/19 151/4 157/21 169/11 169/23 tomorrow [1] 210/6 80/2 80/3 80/5 80/12 188/21 194/5 194/8 166/22 too [5] 48/1 69/18 157/19 157/21 159/10 200/8 208/2 209/10 underestimation [1] 170/13 176/17 177/11 159/14 160/14 160/20 two days [1] 172/1 70/3 79/23 92/3 71/4 178/22 185/23 188/18 160/21 160/22 160/25 two years [3] 9/6 took [20] 6/20 8/19 underfunding [1] 191/10 193/24 194/12 9/10 10/10 11/25 20/1 161/4 161/21 161/25 51/10 157/4 93/8 195/6 200/4 202/18 197/21 198/10 type [9] 7/24 71/18 39/17 44/16 57/13 underline [1] 90/19 204/16 205/19 206/5 68/1 90/4 90/25 transmitted [2] 161/9 77/12 108/23 134/11 underlying [2] throughout [2] 93/20 102/10 105/14 115/15 197/14 180/18 181/19 184/6 198/19 201/15 131/2 undermined [1] 93/9 121/23 125/23 154/5 transparency [5] 184/21 throw [2] 134/18 183/8 183/16 77/16 77/18 112/23 types [4] 4/25 108/21 understand [20] 8/21 141/19 tool [2] 179/13 180/6 112/24 113/9 109/1 177/6 12/19 37/2 41/16 43/2 thunder [1] 91/24 tools [1] 207/21 60/4 61/10 72/18 transport [3] 17/10 thus [2] 152/13 top [7] 137/15 138/18 128/8 178/10 76/14 113/5 117/7 185/21 UK [29] 17/9 17/17 161/24 162/15 186/21 traumatic [1] 21/17 134/12 148/19 152/3 tier [3] 53/14 57/10 19/3 19/4 67/1 67/4 202/16 203/6 travelled [1] 171/22 153/23 175/16 178/6 137/15 68/24 70/24 76/1 78/1 192/18 204/14 206/23 topic [6] 5/17 63/20 travellers [1] 159/3 Tier 1 risk [2] 53/14 86/14 87/13 90/14 85/13 135/14 169/16 Treasury [1] 110/17 understanding [10]

8/19 9/12 10/10 11/25 70/8 111/12 132/1 86/10 99/15 100/5 U 18/2 19/6 19/16 25/19 173/11 178/21 179/2 100/9 113/1 113/3 understanding... [10] 28/23 29/23 33/24 187/25 189/8 204/5 115/22 116/1 122/11 13/10 84/18 96/9 36/20 36/21 36/24 useful [2] 31/14 113/2 122/2 122/5 39/17 46/18 48/2 76/21 139/6 172/15 196/18 48/19 54/13 60/10 uses [3] 52/20 91/11 132/21 133/4 133/21 206/20 64/22 66/3 75/11 123/13 understood [6] 11/25 81/21 83/18 95/16 using [8] 53/19 90/23 96/18 204/24 100/25 103/22 109/3 153/20 163/5 165/20 205/1 206/16 114/2 117/5 123/25 177/4 178/15 181/15 146/25 147/7 150/6 undertake [3] 79/2 125/20 130/15 131/1 187/14 79/9 140/10 132/13 134/18 147/23 usual [3] 65/1 85/7 undertaken [2] 61/16 148/21 149/17 150/7 106/7 102/9 151/17 153/2 153/11 usually [6] 144/2 undertakings [1] 153/19 161/17 163/1 155/5 168/9 173/20 176/13 178/1 178/1 150/25 164/10 170/16 170/22 174/2 209/10 178/5 179/7 184/22 undertook [1] 78/24 172/24 173/14 174/23 utilisation [3] 175/20 undoubtedly [1] 176/20 191/8 199/11 178/23 181/12 110/5 200/19 201/20 202/8 **utilising [1]** 107/16 196/2 197/1 198/22 unfamiliar [1] 188/10 202/11 203/18 200/1 200/1 201/9 unfortunately [2] update [8] 19/3 43/5 76/22 vaccination [2] 61/3 103/13 103/20 105/2 unfunded [1] 152/12 189/4 191/11 192/7 118/11 unhelpful [1] 195/1 vaccine [4] 151/7 194/16 209/25 210/1 210/2 Union [1] 6/25 updated [10] 22/14 199/18 207/16 207/18 via [2] 80/3 161/9 United [19] 4/8 10/22 Vallance [2] 169/18 103/12 103/16 150/24 vice [1] 101/16 19/10 19/17 21/17 207/5 175/22 189/2 189/3 22/16 53/14 63/14 valuable [2] 58/15 190/19 192/6 195/7 Victoria [1] 1/9 63/18 67/8 71/11 112/14 updating [1] 12/4 view [28] 12/7 19/25 73/22 78/3 86/24 upfront [1] 207/17 value [4] 76/8 82/25 117/12 118/23 157/25 103/6 166/9 upgrade [1] 190/23 175/12 184/8 valves [1] 189/17 **upon [12]** 16/17 23/5 84/20 86/11 88/18 United Kingdom [16] 69/6 70/8 82/21 94/19 Van [1] 209/13 4/8 10/22 19/10 21/17 variabilities [1] 15/24 107/2 129/19 148/3 112/5 112/18 112/19 22/16 53/14 67/8 variables [1] 167/14 158/25 184/1 205/21 128/12 129/4 130/1 71/11 73/22 78/3 variants [1] 184/14 upstream [1] 207/11 86/24 117/12 118/23 variation [1] 95/6 urging [1] 47/12 136/3 153/16 197/4 157/25 175/12 184/8 variations [1] 157/22 us [47] 2/22 8/13 viewed [1] 195/17 United Kingdom's [1] varies [1] 42/11 25/23 26/23 34/25 **viewpoint [1]** 86/7 19/17 variety [3] 45/17 38/3 44/24 45/8 66/17 viewpoints [1] 170/9 **University [1]** 118/7 54/10 83/5 67/19 68/10 72/8 views [2] 168/7 unknown [3] 158/20 72/14 73/13 75/20 various [5] 2/25 169/25 158/21 167/4 109/8 118/19 172/7 75/24 76/21 79/13 viral [2] 15/9 110/23 unknown/very [1] 82/10 84/23 87/10 202/18 virtue [3] 3/6 3/20 158/21 88/15 90/3 92/2 92/18 vary [1] 17/4 3/24 unless [1] 163/24 VCR [1] 199/18 107/2 107/7 108/14 virus [12] 76/23 unnecessarily [1] verbal [1] 103/15 112/10 112/14 113/6 93/18 versa [1] 101/16 113/7 114/12 124/7 197/8 197/13 197/14 unpredictability [1] versions [1] 55/3 134/2 140/3 144/15 197/17 198/9 198/19 14/22 versus [2] 23/19 152/8 180/13 181/16 198/25 199/1 unpredictable [2] 177/5 186/18 196/9 197/19 viruses [5] 65/22 15/8 15/10 very [116] 5/15 5/16 198/7 205/11 205/18 75/21 76/19 150/5 unprepared [2] 22/5 8/22 9/7 10/20 12/23 206/11 166/25 23/3 15/21 16/16 16/20 use [26] 7/4 7/13 visit [2] 79/7 79/9 unreasonable [1] 19/19 22/6 24/9 25/25 visiting [1] 118/6 20/7 54/21 70/14 198/14 26/1 26/8 26/16 26/18 visitors [1] 93/22 79/20 81/1 81/5 81/11 unsettling [1] 142/25 26/25 29/10 30/10 81/14 96/24 97/15 unsure [1] 69/14 30/17 30/17 31/24 108/2 109/16 124/1 until [10] 2/10 32/4 38/8 38/12 42/10 134/8 138/9 143/22 94/3 112/10 112/24 59/7 75/11 76/19 82/2 43/21 43/21 47/13 151/7 172/12 175/2 113/8 151/3 83/9 108/13 120/5 47/21 53/17 64/8 176/23 178/14 196/24 vocal [2] 84/13 210/10 66/11 73/21 74/3 74/4 104/22 197/9 197/10 unusual [1] 195/21 74/7 75/11 77/8 77/24 voice [3] 1/11 64/22 used [15] 12/8 20/18 up [64] 1/11 1/18 4/9 78/6 78/25 82/24 85/1 117/5 53/20 57/18 58/4 58/5

177/11 125/16 127/10 127/11 vulnerabilities [5] 128/11 129/13 129/15 60/8 110/3 111/15 134/4 134/20 134/22 135/11 135/22 138/24 143/7 144/15 144/16 150/8 151/10 151/18 153/11 153/13 158/21 161/12 163/15 163/23 165/3 167/24 169/12 W 185/15 185/15 186/13 191/9 186/15 187/15 189/3 202/14 204/23 206/8 206/10 206/11 206/14 206/16 207/8 209/24 vice versa [1] 101/16 205/22 35/10 55/4 55/5 70/23 77/18 71/10 79/6 81/2 81/10 wanting [2] 139/13 147/24 102/17 108/4 109/20 198/22 131/23 131/23 134/14 warm [1] 206/4 was [585] 149/25 161/18 196/23 205/11 vital [11] 20/18 20/19 way [32] 3/3 12/12 75/2 93/2 93/20 93/23

voices [1] 114/9 voluntary [2] 129/2 112/12 200/10 vulnerability [8] 60/15 60/16 60/21 109/19 200/24 200/25 201/1 201/2 vulnerable [8] 60/13 61/9 61/25 62/3 62/16 78/2 119/4 204/18 waiting [2] 190/9 Wales [5] 58/5 59/10 89/12 89/14 119/16 walking [1] 198/11 want [21] 3/2 54/16 61/12 72/5 81/24 83/23 88/4 126/25 131/4 135/24 143/1 148/3 170/14 177/18 183/9 183/17 184/5 187/20 195/8 205/17 wanted [3] 3/15 28/6 wants [2] 169/25 war [1] 148/21 warned [1] 84/5 warning [1] 110/22 warnings [1] 184/4 wasn't [38] 12/22 13/15 18/6 20/5 35/22 36/5 38/20 38/23 45/18 46/17 48/8 52/19 62/15 69/8 74/17 74/19 77/20 86/25 88/2 103/13 103/19 114/17 122/2 123/20 129/10 130/21 138/5 138/11 138/19 148/18 154/1 175/15 186/14 190/21 191/8 199/9 203/13 206/3 wave [2] 205/8 Waveney [1] 129/8 18/12 25/16 26/6 26/16 26/18 27/4 34/18 37/12 38/16 38/21 45/5 53/16 53/18 62/3 83/9 106/20 123/18 130/10 133/8 138/16 147/21

180/13 180/16 181/15 51/15 79/3 82/6 86/21 175/7 175/10 176/12 W 189/10 193/14 193/18 196/5 197/13 201/16 182/6 182/8 182/15 99/22 99/24 114/8 176/17 177/1 177/12 **way... [9]** 150/17 204/3 204/24 187/1 187/11 187/21 134/25 135/1 139/11 179/5 179/6 180/3 154/11 166/13 166/25 well-being [3] 93/2 192/22 192/22 195/20 139/13 142/24 151/15 181/9 181/18 183/4 187/12 191/3 193/12 129/3 137/2 196/19 196/19 196/21 158/6 169/7 174/23 183/16 183/22 183/24 196/19 197/6 wellbeing [1] 124/14 197/7 197/8 197/11 175/8 175/13 176/6 184/14 184/16 184/20 ways [12] 7/13 7/17 Welsh [2] 89/11 197/11 197/13 197/20 176/10 177/10 184/6 185/23 187/13 188/14 40/7 98/1 132/9 137/6 198/12 198/20 198/24 187/6 187/17 190/18 192/10 193/9 195/4 119/14 147/20 154/12 163/23 Welsh Government 199/3 199/4 199/6 195/12 197/17 201/3 197/1 199/21 200/1 180/16 188/6 196/21 200/17 201/19 201/22 which [211] 2/17 4/6 200/3 200/9 200/22 **[1]** 89/11 we [357] went [14] 62/11 204/10 204/18 205/19 4/7 4/16 5/17 6/1 8/7 201/16 202/12 206/13 we'd [3] 45/9 192/4 88/12 88/14 138/17 206/6 206/16 207/7 206/19 206/24 207/19 10/25 12/19 14/5 198/11 139/16 142/18 145/21 209/5 18/15 19/8 20/16 207/20 209/12 we'll [6] 11/13 18/7 145/24 148/23 148/25 what's [2] 197/20 20/22 20/24 21/1 whichever [2] 130/10 121/3 125/11 133/11 154/8 176/3 176/4 204/7 22/22 23/5 23/25 134/18 198/10 176/17 whatever [9] 3/23 25/16 25/22 25/22 while [2] 33/1 90/25 we're [19] 9/9 83/21 44/14 51/15 80/21 27/11 28/5 29/4 30/4 whilst [5] 1/10 32/5 were [263] 99/15 130/8 130/22 weren't [12] 22/1 100/7 135/16 150/16 31/9 34/10 35/25 54/18 70/23 108/22 137/17 150/16 164/23 32/4 37/7 42/9 45/19 169/15 188/3 44/10 44/13 44/16 white [1] 111/1 166/17 166/25 181/8 45/23 86/16 86/25 45/17 45/23 46/2 46/3 Whitehall [1] 84/19 wheels [1] 193/21 182/8 186/24 195/1 102/20 111/7 154/25 46/18 46/20 47/9 49/8 Whitty [1] 196/22 when [62] 3/25 4/10 198/9 207/7 207/13 164/13 6/20 7/8 10/10 11/25 49/23 50/4 50/17 Whitworth [1] 129/25 209/1 209/4 West [4] 65/23 75/25 17/13 17/14 20/4 52/23 53/16 53/17 who [47] 13/19 17/7 we've [32] 2/24 10/21 76/2 76/13 27/21 29/8 31/1 32/3 54/9 54/10 55/9 55/18 38/21 42/17 45/14 39/12 45/4 55/8 67/17 West Africa [4] 65/23 47/13 54/25 58/4 75/7 55/23 57/7 57/18 49/19 60/18 60/23 99/11 104/24 107/2 75/25 76/2 76/13 75/8 76/9 76/22 79/7 57/25 57/25 58/8 61/21 62/12 62/13 129/19 132/2 134/5 what [162] 3/2 4/22 81/2 88/15 95/2 95/8 59/23 60/5 61/10 63/10 68/2 70/3 73/1 138/7 151/4 151/21 62/13 62/19 62/21 100/25 101/7 101/13 4/22 5/4 5/24 7/1 7/7 76/12 88/16 98/4 99/5 152/1 153/6 154/14 8/8 8/20 8/23 9/9 104/12 105/1 105/12 62/24 63/5 63/11 65/2 107/15 107/21 115/18 164/15 165/18 168/11 10/17 11/25 12/5 14/5 106/8 108/11 115/15 69/6 78/14 80/11 116/18 119/3 128/9 168/12 170/12 176/16 121/23 129/7 130/18 128/11 129/23 129/25 14/9 15/17 21/23 22/1 81/13 82/1 86/4 87/17 181/15 182/15 185/13 22/10 22/14 23/17 133/4 133/22 139/9 88/5 89/12 93/5 94/14 135/11 143/24 144/23 194/5 195/25 196/1 23/18 24/1 27/4 27/5 141/5 141/17 145/20 94/18 95/20 98/1 146/9 147/6 148/6 203/25 207/14 30/24 31/2 32/2 32/9 148/22 151/10 151/20 100/2 100/13 103/8 150/2 156/9 169/18 wearer [1] 80/20 32/16 32/18 33/6 154/2 154/22 155/6 105/10 105/15 106/19 176/4 187/25 188/1 wearing [1] 134/18 33/23 34/10 35/2 35/4 160/16 161/14 167/2 107/6 110/21 112/13 188/7 189/14 190/9 website [1] 176/22 114/16 116/20 119/9 35/8 36/16 38/24 41/5 173/24 177/25 185/14 201/13 204/12 205/9 week [3] 141/20 41/5 41/11 42/3 43/22 189/17 193/15 206/4 119/25 120/10 120/12 206/23 154/24 187/16 120/15 120/16 120/23 whoever [1] 194/3 44/5 48/4 49/17 53/4 206/5 206/5 208/15 weekly [1] 72/23 57/13 58/21 59/16 209/14 121/6 122/10 123/18 whole [15] 57/3 62/1 welcomed [1] 129/10 63/4 67/14 67/19 where [44] 3/8 16/5 125/10 125/13 126/8 100/21 140/6 147/22 welfare [3] 84/13 67/20 73/13 75/24 23/17 23/20 25/23 126/15 127/6 127/10 164/22 168/15 169/16 164/7 165/7 76/11 76/14 77/5 37/12 37/19 39/23 127/14 127/18 128/3 170/4 185/3 185/9 well [73] 5/6 7/3 77/11 77/12 77/19 61/4 61/17 61/22 63/3 128/16 129/11 130/8 186/2 186/17 187/14 12/19 13/18 16/7 78/7 79/5 80/14 82/21 69/25 76/8 77/25 80/2 130/12 130/15 130/15 207/2 16/16 17/8 18/4 28/7 84/14 84/25 87/22 82/18 104/4 109/11 132/2 134/21 135/12 **wholesale** [1] 12/5 38/4 39/24 39/25 45/1 88/5 88/22 88/25 115/5 119/6 119/19 139/2 140/13 140/17 whom [1] 144/23 51/17 67/13 70/24 128/17 128/19 134/18 89/10 94/23 97/2 141/20 142/17 146/10 whose [2] 42/14 72/5 73/11 74/7 74/9 97/17 98/6 98/17 138/22 139/1 139/25 148/9 148/9 149/2 69/11 77/24 78/6 80/11 142/17 149/11 151/22 149/20 150/12 150/17 why [24] 4/14 15/4 98/23 99/22 102/15 83/16 93/2 93/18 108/13 111/21 111/22 158/15 160/16 161/15 152/2 152/19 153/12 15/13 23/6 35/13 98/15 100/11 103/21 112/1 112/11 115/11 165/15 166/1 169/1 154/8 154/11 154/12 38/23 54/11 56/6 106/7 109/3 109/12 123/15 128/12 130/13 171/21 185/6 193/21 154/13 155/20 156/25 56/10 56/11 56/12 110/3 122/10 123/18 130/18 131/10 131/18 198/23 207/8 207/12 157/16 157/19 157/20 109/24 113/5 133/1 125/1 128/11 129/3 131/22 133/15 138/21 207/15 157/25 158/7 159/9 159/15 162/9 163/11 130/21 130/22 133/2 140/17 140/21 143/19 whereas [3] 182/3 159/15 159/24 160/7 164/17 173/3 174/1 137/2 138/3 141/18 143/19 145/16 146/23 184/21 189/20 160/13 160/15 160/19 182/19 195/3 199/14 143/16 145/9 146/1 147/14 148/19 149/7 whereby [1] 136/25 160/19 160/23 161/7 206/13 149/5 151/1 151/8 whether [45] 10/13 wicked [3] 177/17 150/11 150/21 153/9 161/17 162/9 162/22 155/11 161/12 163/4 154/22 163/20 165/9 12/2 12/3 12/3 12/4 163/8 164/2 164/3 180/24 206/6 163/14 165/18 168/19 15/17 24/5 39/21 164/23 165/17 166/7 166/7 167/22 168/6 wide [8] 67/1 67/4 173/1 173/15 175/20 168/11 173/16 174/6 40/14 43/6 46/10 166/16 167/8 168/15 87/13 120/21 124/7 176/13 177/13 181/7 46/11 46/14 48/3 169/10 170/17 170/19 174/8 175/15 175/16 148/22 155/12 186/15 185/5 185/12 188/13 175/19 179/1 179/6 51/12 51/13 51/14 170/21 173/7 174/13 wide-ranging [1]

W wide-ranging... [1] 124/7 widely [2] 79/11 175/3 wider [11] 30/22 55/6 60/22 96/9 96/12 114/12 122/9 128/7 153/21 159/13 183/5 widespread [1] 81/15 wildly [1] 184/13 will [55] 1/11 17/7 33/13 54/14 59/5 60/18 61/14 64/25 65/2 98/20 106/9 117/1 117/7 119/20 123/24 125/13 125/18 135/15 144/20 146/25 148/5 148/6 150/5 150/17 150/19 157/1 160/8 160/10 165/25 166/9 168/20 168/21 168/24 169/1 169/4 175/23 175/24 178/5 179/21 179/23 182/22 186/25 189/15 192/11 192/25 193/20 193/21 193/22 196/3 198/24 200/23 201/1 201/14 202/3 203/20 willing [2] 78/21 206/10 wish [1] 90/22 withdrew [3] 64/11 116/4 210/5 within [59] 2/23 6/10 8/9 8/16 11/21 19/22 24/8 24/10 24/15 25/10 31/22 37/4 37/20 37/24 38/21 39/2 39/8 39/17 48/15 50/6 50/13 50/16 61/18 67/20 69/18 72/24 78/1 78/16 80/12 84/2 86/7 88/8 102/18 107/21 110/2 111/4 126/8 132/14 139/19 142/12 148/11 150/3 152/15 158/13 159/5 159/7 159/22 160/1 160/1 160/8 160/10 167/11 172/11 184/7 184/9 184/10 184/10 189/11 191/1 without [5] 101/2 107/9 139/5 164/6 193/5 witness [42] 22/21 28/1 54/12 63/10 64/11 64/12 66/3 66/5 68/9 70/22 72/8 73/14 75/20 79/13 82/11 209/15 84/15 85/15 87/10

90/3 90/18 92/17 94/13 103/8 103/18 105/4 105/23 107/3 109/18 113/23 116/4 116/11 116/13 116/17 116/22 116/25 121/5 121/19 140/4 152/8 185/17 196/8 210/5 witnesses [2] 56/3 148/6 Women's [1] 118/13 won't [4] 70/15 134/2 155/8 202/3 wonderful [1] 144/17 word [4] 7/4 7/5 20/18 138/9 wording [4] 52/13 52/20 53/19 54/24 words [6] 9/20 29/14 52/18 54/21 75/10 169/10 work [132] 7/24 12/11 12/23 13/3 13/11 18/13 18/19 18/24 19/8 19/13 19/20 20/2 20/7 20/8 20/22 20/24 21/1 21/14 21/16 23/11 23/12 23/13 24/6 24/8 24/15 25/19 27/8 27/11 27/16 28/16 29/24 32/24 33/4 35/8 35/9 35/16 35/18 35/20 36/19 36/20 36/24 36/25 36/25 37/5 37/12 37/19 41/14 41/20 42/4 42/5 42/5 42/9 43/12 44/18 workstreams [8] 45/7 46/9 46/14 46/24 46/24 47/13 47/16 47/20 47/23 56/18 56/18 56/20 57/17 57/19 58/17 59/3 59/6 59/11 61/8 61/10 61/11 61/16 61/19 62/14 62/16 62/17 63/14 63/20 67/1 67/2 67/9 67/9 67/13 71/23 71/24 84/7 84/12 85/8 90/1 101/7 115/17 118/25 122/12 124/25 133/23 139/7 140/12 143/24 144/4 144/11 145/5 145/7 149/4 149/18 151/23 160/12 would [226] 160/13 160/19 177/22 wouldn't [15] 16/19 178/7 182/21 183/2 185/10 185/11 185/22 186/23 187/25 187/25 194/12 194/12 196/10 202/17 206/17 207/11 207/14 208/22 209/5

worked [14] 26/25

74/7 77/24 78/6 117/22 129/9 143/15 144/13 166/10 181/22 185/5 187/5 194/19 206/11 worker [3] 74/24 76/22 76/25 workers [10] 77/5 77/17 78/17 80/7 113/7 118/16 132/19 132/23 159/5 159/11 workforce [29] 21/16 21/20 74/25 88/4 88/7 yearly [1] 152/20 90/15 90/24 91/13 92/13 92/14 96/2 99/10 99/16 99/19 99/25 100/11 102/2 102/8 110/3 110/14 110/15 140/13 146/19 146/24 154/13 workforces [1] 184/10 working [27] 7/13 7/17 28/24 56/15 59/4 2/14 2/19 2/21 3/8 73/11 85/21 86/4 86/7 114/23 115/3 115/12 118/25 119/17 127/11 129/1 133/9 133/12 141/19 142/9 145/10 150/3 160/24 173/3 180/20 185/9 207/17 works [6] 130/12 147/20 155/17 176/10 187/24 191/4 workstream [2] 41/4 41/4 20/10 37/6 52/23 55/9 55/18 56/7 56/12 57/6 World [5] 65/20 68/22 69/4 70/24 91/5 worry [1] 27/12 worrying [1] 40/4 worse [1] 168/14 worst [10] 15/15 24/25 25/9 25/13 25/15 25/18 26/7 157/8 157/14 163/20 worst-case [10] 15/15 24/25 25/9 25/13 25/15 25/18 26/7 157/8 157/14 163/20 26/22 27/15 30/8 35/11 42/5 47/16 60/12 98/23 154/16 167/19 186/22 191/2 193/16 197/10 writ [1] 61/18 write [1] 38/5

written [5] 77/10

163/16 wrong [2] 28/1 207/9 Yeah [3] 102/23 150/25 181/21 year [14] 18/2 28/15 51/10 52/8 57/3 62/1 69/6 83/7 125/24 130/17 136/9 137/16 152/22 157/4 88/9 88/19 89/8 90/14 years [22] 9/6 26/5 30/15 38/11 45/22 51/10 82/16 88/19 88/24 95/23 102/1 104/25 105/11 129/12 136/11 146/13 153/24 157/4 157/10 186/25 201/20 202/8 Yellowhammer [4] 23/14 56/5 56/10 60/3 yes [175] 1/17 2/6 2/9 3/22 4/2 4/5 4/12 4/19|you'll [2] 134/10 5/10 5/23 11/7 11/12 11/18 11/18 11/23 13/1 13/12 13/17 14/15 16/23 16/24 19/14 20/6 20/13 21/12 23/1 23/2 25/3 26/16 27/10 29/21 32/1 34/9 35/24 36/19 39/11 39/20 41/9 42/2 46/23 50/3 50/9 51/3 51/8 51/21 51/23 55/17 55/20 56/24 57/1 57/24 58/2 58/13 58/23 60/4 62/25 65/12 66/20 67/22 70/6 71/8 71/21 73/12 75/16 75/19 75/23 76/5 76/21 78/13 79/21 81/2 82/13 83/14 86/21 87/19 88/1 90/7 90/9 90/13 97/8 97/12 99/20 99/22 100/15 100/17 101/19 102/22 103/15 104/2 105/3 106/15 107/17 109/9 111/14 113/10 113/11 119/5 120/8 120/14 122/4 122/23 123/24 125/10 125/15 126/4 126/5 126/9 126/22 127/9 127/16 127/19 127/25 128/5 128/15 128/21 130/20 131/21 137/12 137/19 138/9 138/12 138/23 140/3 140/15 140/22 140/24 141/2 142/13 143/4 143/12

90/21 104/24 110/17 153/9 154/7 156/4 156/21 159/19 162/5 162/11 166/8 166/18 167/13 167/15 170/7 170/11 173/1 176/2 182/3 182/12 184/19 184/24 185/16 189/1 189/7 189/13 191/13 192/24 196/15 196/16 196/17 197/23 198/1 198/8 199/7 199/16 199/20 200/16 202/2 202/6 202/9 206/3 208/21 209/22 yet [2] 69/6 188/16 you [553] you know [18] 79/6 133/23 135/9 150/6 154/8 154/16 163/18 167/16 178/20 182/10 186/24 193/4 197/19 198/24 201/3 204/19 205/12 206/25 you'd [2] 106/11 204/24 191/4 you're [24] 9/4 12/25 25/10 39/12 39/16 41/3 44/7 51/2 62/24 81/2 89/24 92/2 131/22 133/12 135/21 140/25 141/5 141/18 164/22 168/6 169/20 177/25 194/11 194/16 you've [32] 2/2 4/21 8/13 12/18 23/25 34/12 34/14 45/17 51/15 56/3 62/17 66/8 67/18 87/2 87/12 97/11 107/7 111/15 111/20 114/10 115/3 116/10 119/18 151/19 154/11 156/25 162/1 163/24 168/19 174/2 182/15 208/10 young [1] 93/23 your [136] 1/8 1/11 1/13 1/19 4/3 4/6 4/14 4/17 5/11 5/21 7/4 8/13 8/19 9/17 10/20 10/23 11/14 12/1 12/18 12/20 13/19 18/2 22/4 23/8 27/21 27/23 33/4 34/1 34/24 37/19 37/19 39/17 42/8 42/17 47/11 49/24 53/22 55/24 60/5 62/3 63/6 64/9 64/22 65/13 66/5 67/16 67/20 68/9 71/21 72/5 72/8 72/14 73/13 74/16 75/20 76/17 78/10 79/13

Y
our [78] 79/14
82/10 83/23 84/20
84/23 86/7 86/11
86/17 86/23 87/7
87/10 87/11 88/18
90/3 92/17 98/10
99/18 100/20 100/23 101/5 102/17 103/8
103/18 104/19 105/4
105/22 107/3 108/22
109/5 109/18 109/20
110/15 111/10 111/10
111/21 111/24 113/23
114/1 115/4 116/1
116/13 117/5 117/8
121/5 123/11 124/9
125/19 129/4 134/14
140/3 141/19 142/10 142/25 143/14 144/21
147/21 152/8 154/11
156/5 161/19 164/16
165/1 174/2 185/17
191/6 194/13 194/16
198/24 199/3 203/17
205/18 205/19 208/9
208/15 208/17 209/20
210/3 210/3
yourself [3] 30/4 57/5
114/4
Z
Zika [1] 118/20
zoonoses [1] 157/12
zoonotic [1] 71/5